

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 20-F

REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR (g) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

OR

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

OR

SHELL COMPANY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Date of event requiring this shell company report _____

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 0-30070

AUDIOCODES LTD.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter
and translation of Registrant's name into English)

ISRAEL

(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

1 Hayarden Street, Airport City Lod 70151, Israel
(Address of principal executive offices)

Shabtai Adlersberg, Chairman and CEO, Tel: 972-3-976-4105, Fax: 972-3-9764040, 1 Hayarden Street, Airport City, Lod 70151 Israel
(Name, Telephone, E-mail and/or Facsimile number and Address of Company Contact Person)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Ordinary Shares, nominal value NIS 0.01 per share	NASDAQ Global Select Market

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None
(Title of Class)

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act:

None
(Title of Class)

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report.

As of December 31, 2010, the Registrant had outstanding 41,204,017 Ordinary Shares, nominal value NIS 0.01 per share.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act:

Yes No

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934:

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether registrant has submitted electronically and posted omits corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files)

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark which basis of accounting the registrant has used to prepare the financial statements included in this filing:

U.S. GAAP

International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board

Other

If "Other" has been checked in response to the previous question, indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow.

Item 17 Item 18

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act):

Yes No

PRELIMINARY NOTE

This Annual Report contains “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, or the Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act, or the Exchange Act. These forward-looking statements can generally be identified as such because the context of the statement will include words such as “may,” “will,” “intends,” “plans,” “believes,” “anticipates,” “expects,” “estimates,” “predicts,” “potential,” “continue,” or “opportunity,” the negative of these words or words of similar import. Similarly, statements that describe our business outlook or future economic performance, anticipated revenues, expenses or other financial items, introductions and advancements in development of products, and plans and objectives related thereto, and statements concerning assumptions made or expectations as to any future events, conditions, performance or other matters, are also forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those stated in such statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those set forth under Item 3.D, “Key Information – Risk Factors” of this Annual Report.

Our actual results of operations and execution of our business strategy could differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, the forward-looking statements. In addition, past financial and/or operating performance is not necessarily a reliable indicator of future performance and you should not use our historical performance to anticipate results or future period trends. We can give no assurances that any of the events anticipated by the forward-looking statements will occur or, if any of them do, what impact they will have on our results of operations and financial condition. In evaluating our forward-looking statements, you should specifically consider the risks and uncertainties set forth under Item 3.D, “Key Information – Risk Factors” of this Annual Report.

PART I

Unless the context otherwise requires, “AudioCodes,” “us,” “we” and “our” refer to AudioCodes Ltd. and its subsidiaries.

ITEM 1. IDENTITY OF DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND ADVISERS

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. OFFER STATISTICS AND EXPECTED TIMETABLE

Not applicable.

ITEM 3. KEY INFORMATION

A. *SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA*

The selected financial data, set forth in the table below, have been derived from our audited historical financial statements for each of the years from 2006 through 2010. The selected consolidated statement of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, and the selected consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2009 and 2010, have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements set forth elsewhere in this Annual Report. The selected consolidated statement of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007, and the selected consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008, have been derived from our previously published audited consolidated financial statements, which are not included in this Annual Report. The selected financial data should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements, and are qualified entirely by reference to these consolidated financial statements.

Year Ended December 31,

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
	(In thousands, except per share data)				
Statement of Operations Data:					
Revenues	\$ 147,353	\$ 158,235	\$ 174,744	\$ 125,894	\$ 150,040
Cost of revenues	61,242	69,185	77,455	56,194	66,138
Gross profit	86,111	89,050	97,289	69,700	83,902
Operating expense:					
Research and development, net	35,416	40,706	37,833	29,952	30,189
Selling and marketing	37,664	42,900	44,657	32,111	35,024
General and administrative	8,766	9,637	9,219	7,821	8,252
Impairment of goodwill and intangible assets	-	-	85,015	-	-
Total operating expenses	81,846	93,243	176,724	69,884	73,465
Operating income (loss)	4,265	(4,193)	(79,435)	(184)	10,437
Financial expenses, net	700	2,167	3,268	2,744	94
Income (loss) before taxes on income	3,565	(6,360)	(82,703)	(2,928)	10,343
Income tax expense (benefit), net	289	1,265	505	290	(1,885)
Equity in losses of affiliated companies	916	1,097	2,582	76	213
Net income (loss)	\$ 2,360	\$ (8,722)	\$ (85,790)	\$ (3,294)	\$ 12,015
Net loss attributable to a non-controlling interest	-	-	-	472	111
Net income (loss) attributable to AudioCodes' shareholders	\$ 2,360	\$ (8,722)	\$ (85,790)	\$ (2,822)	\$ 12,126
Basic net earnings (loss) per share	\$ 0.06	\$ (0.20)	\$ (2.08)	\$ (0.07)	\$ 0.30
Diluted net earnings (loss) per share	\$ 0.05	\$ (0.20)	\$ (2.08)	\$ (0.07)	\$ 0.30
Weighted average number of ordinary shares used in computing basic net earnings (loss) per share	41,717	42,699	41,201	40,208	40,560
Weighted average number of ordinary shares used in computing diluted net earnings (loss) per share	43,689	42,699	41,201	40,208	40,961

	December 31,				
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Balance Sheet Data:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 25,171	\$ 75,063	\$ 36,779	\$ 38,969	\$ 50,311
Short-term bank deposits, structured notes, marketable securities and accrued interest	58,080	35,309	78,351	13,902	13,825
Working capital	97,454	124,676	57,370	54,557	66,537
Long-term bank deposits, structured notes and marketable securities	50,377	32,670	-	-	-
Total assets	336,912	344,267	230,304	147,533	173,718
Bank loans	-	-	27,750	21,750	15,750
Senior convertible notes	109,949	114,893	70,670	403	353
AudioCodes shareholders' equity	175,607	180,577	83,860	84,129	99,180
Non-controlling interest	-	-	228	(244)	-
Total equity	175,607	180,577	84,088	83,885	99,180
Capital stock (*)	149,336	162,103	167,981	170,062	172,263

(*) Capital stock represents share capital plus additional paid-in capital, less carrying amount of the equity component of the senior convertible notes.

Currency and Exchange Rates

The following table sets out the exchange rates for one United States dollar ("US\$") expressed in terms of one New Israeli Shekel ("NIS") in effect at the end of the following years, (based on the exchange rate on the last day of each year).

	December 31,				
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
	4.225	3.846	3.802	3.775	3.549

The high and low exchange rates for each month during the previous six months are as follows (NIS per United States \$1.00):

Month	High	Low
September 2010	3.798	3.665
October 2010	3.645	3.569
Novembers 2010	3.684	3.580
December 2010	3.665	3.549
January 2011	3.710	3.528
February 2011	3.713	3.602

The high, low, average (calculated by using the average of the exchange rates on the last day of each month during the period) and closing exchange rates for each of the Company's five previous fiscal years are as follows:

	December 31,				
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
High	4.725	4.342	4.022	4.256	3.894
Low	4.176	3.830	3.230	3.690	3.549
Average	4.453	4.110	3.586	3.923	3.732
Period End	4.225	3.846	3.802	3.775	3.549

Unless otherwise indicated, in this Annual Report all references herein are to United States dollar.

The exchange rate on March 4, 2011, as reported by the Bank of Israel, for the conversion of United States dollars into New Israeli Shekel was U.S. \$1.00 equals NIS 3.611.

B. CAPITALIZATION AND INDEBTEDNESS

Not applicable.

C. REASONS FOR THE OFFER AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Not applicable.

D. RISK FACTORS

We are subject to various risks and uncertainties relating to or arising out of the nature of our business and general business, economic, financing, legal and other factors or conditions that may affect us. We believe that the occurrence of any one or some combination of the following factors could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations.

Risks Related to Our Business and Industry

We reported losses in 2007, 2008 and 2009. We may experience additional losses in the future.

We reported a net loss of \$8.7 million in 2007, \$85.8 million in 2008 and \$2.8 million in 2009. We reported net income of \$12.1 million in 2010. The loss in 2008 included a non-cash impairment charge of \$86.1 million taken in the fourth quarter of 2008 with respect to goodwill, intangible assets and investment in an affiliate. The majority of our expenses are directly and indirectly related to the number of people we employ. We may increase our expenses based on projections of revenue growth. If at any given time we do not meet our expectations for growth in revenues our expenses incurred in anticipation of projected revenues may cause us to incur a loss. We may not be able to anticipate a loss in advance and adjust our variable costs accordingly. We cannot be sure that we will continue to be profitable in 2011.

We have depended, and expect to continue to depend, on a small number of large customers. Nortel Networks, or Nortel, which was our largest customer in 2008 and 2009, filed for bankruptcy protection in January 2009. As a result, sales to Nortel decreased significantly in 2010. The loss of one or more of our other large customers or the reduction in purchases by a significant customer or failure of such customer to pay for the products it purchases from us could have a material adverse effect on our revenues.

Historically, a substantial portion of our revenues has been derived from large purchases by a small number of original equipment manufacturers, or OEMs, and network equipment providers, or NEPs, systems integrators and distributors. For example, our top three customers accounted for approximately 20.9% of our revenues in 2008, 25.7% of our revenues in 2009 and 22.2% of our revenues in 2010. Based on our experience, we expect that our customer base may change from period to period. If we lose a large customer and fail to add new customers, or if purchases made by such customers are significantly reduced, there could be a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Nortel filed for bankruptcy protection in January 2009. Nortel Networks was our largest customer in 2008 and 2009 accounting for 14.4% of our revenues in 2008 and 15.6% of our revenues in 2009. In 2010, Nortel accounted for only 3.9% of our revenues. As a result of this bankruptcy filing, \$1.7 million of sales to Nortel in the fourth quarter of 2008 were recorded as unpaid deferred revenues which also reduced trade receivables on our balance sheet. During 2009, Nortel returned to us products with a sales price of \$706,000, which reduced our unpaid deferred revenues by this amount. Nortel has sold a number of its business units and is continuing to sell business units and liquidate assets in the bankruptcy proceeding. Some of the business units sold by Nortel were customers of ours. We cannot be sure if Nortel or business units sold by Nortel that were customers of ours will continue to purchase products from us or, if Nortel sells additional business units that deal with us, any purchaser of those business units will continue to do business with us. Any significant reduction in sales to our large customers could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Nortel has asserted a preference claim against us in its bankruptcy proceeding. A successful claim by Nortel could result in a judgment against us requiring us to return to Nortel payments made to us.

In a bankruptcy proceeding, a company is entitled to make preference claims for amounts paid by the company during specified periods prior to the bankruptcy filing. Nortel has asserted that we received approximately \$2.6 million in payments from them during the ninety day period prior to their bankruptcy filing that constitute avoidable preferential transfers. We have entered into an agreement with Nortel that tolls the statute of limitations with respect to these claims until April 14, 2011. We expect to engage in discussions with Nortel with respect to these claims. While we believe that we have valid defenses to these claims, we cannot be sure that we will be able to reach an acceptable settlement with Nortel. If an acceptable settlement is not reached with Nortel, we intend to vigorously defend against any claim brought against us, but we cannot be sure of the outcome of any litigation with respect to this claim. We could be required to repay all or a portion of the amounts claimed by Nortel to be a preference if a litigation were to be resolved in Nortel's favor.

Recent and future economic conditions, including turmoil in the financial and credit markets, may adversely affect our business.

The general economic downturn, including disruptions in the world credit and equity markets, has had and continues to have a significant negative impact on business around the world. The impact of the current economic environment on the technology industry and our major customers has been significant. Conditions may continue to be depressed or may be subject to further deterioration which could lead to a further reduction in consumer and customer spending overall, which could have an adverse impact on sales of our products. A disruption in the ability of our significant customers to access liquidity could cause serious disruptions or an overall deterioration of their businesses which could lead to a significant reduction in their orders of our products and the inability or failure on their part to meet their payment obligations to us, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and liquidity. A significant adverse change in a customer's financial and/or credit position could also require us to assume greater credit risk relating to that customer's receivables or could limit our ability to collect receivables related to previous purchases by that customer. As a result, our reserves for doubtful accounts and write-offs of accounts receivable may increase.

We may need additional financing to operate or grow our business. We may not be able to raise additional financing for our capital needs on favorable terms, or at all, which could limit our ability to grow and to continue our longer term expansion plans.

We may need additional financing to operate our business or continue our longer term expansion plans. To the extent that we cannot fund our activities and acquisitions through our existing cash resources and any cash we generate from operations, we may need to raise equity or debt funds through additional public or private financings. In November 2009, we were required to use \$73.1 million to repurchase almost all of our outstanding senior convertible notes in accordance with the terms of the notes. We borrowed \$30 million in 2008 that is repayable in 20 equal quarterly payments of \$1.5 million from August, 2008 through July 2013. We will need to pay these installments and could also be required to repay all or portion of these bank loans if we do not comply with covenants in our loan agreements with respect to maintaining shareholders' equity at specified levels or achieving certain levels of operating income. We cannot be certain that we will be able to obtain additional financing on commercially reasonable terms, or at all. This could inhibit our growth, increase our financing costs or cause us severe financial difficulties.

We are party to an agreement for the construction and long-term lease of a new building in Israel. We are currently engaged in a dispute with the landlord with respect to this lease. Any unfavorable outcome in this dispute could result in significant damages to us.

In May 2007, we entered into an agreement with respect to property adjacent to our headquarters in Israel, pursuant to which a building of approximately 145,000 square feet has been erected and was expected to be leased to us for a period of eleven years. This new building was substantially completed on a structural level in May 2010. The landlord claimed that we should have taken delivery of the building at that time and started paying rent. We disagreed with the landlord's interpretation of the relevant agreement. As a result, the landlord terminated the agreement and leased the property to a third party. This dispute has been referred to arbitration where we claim that due to the landlord's failure we lost significant potential revenues. The landlord counterclaimed alleging that it sustained losses equal to approximately one year's rent and management fees in the amount of approximately NIS 14 million (approximately \$3.9 million). The claim is at an early stage and it is not possible at this stage to predict the outcome of these proceedings. We believe that we have valid defenses to the counterclaim. An unfavorable outcome in the arbitration could result in the payment by us of a significant amount to the landlord.

We are dependent on the development of the VoIP market to increase our sales.

We are dependent on the development of the Voice over Internet Protocol, or VoIP, market to increase our sales. Most existing networks are still not based on Voice over Packet technology which we use in our products designed for the VoIP market. We cannot be sure that the delivery of telephone and other communications services over packet networks will expand or that there will be a need to interconnect to other networks utilizing the type of technology contained in our products. For example, the need for our media gateway products depends on the need to interconnect VoIP networks with traditional non-packet based networks. Our session border control products depend on growth in the need to interconnect Voice over Packet networks with each other. The adaptation process of connecting packet networks and telephone networks can be time consuming and costly. Sales of our VoIP products will depend on the development of packet networks and the commercialization of VoIP services. If this market develops more slowly than we expect, we may not be able to sell our products in a significant enough volume to be profitable.

We may expand our business through acquisitions that could result in diversion of resources and extra expenses. This could disrupt our business and affect our results of operations.

Part of our strategy is to pursue acquisitions of, or investments in, businesses and technologies or to establish joint ventures to expand our business. For example, in April 2003, we purchased a product group from Nortel Networks and in May 2004 we purchased Ai-Logix Inc., now known as AudioCodes Inc. In 2005, we invested in two Israeli-based companies, MailVision Ltd. and CTI Squared Ltd., and continued investing in Natural Speech Communication Ltd. We have recognized losses from our equity investment in Natural Speech Communication in our results of operations in each of the past three years. In December 2008, we began consolidating the financial results of Natural Speech Communication in our financial results and in May 2010 we acquired the remaining shares of Natural Speech Communications that were not previously owned by us. In July 2006, we acquired Nuera Communications, Inc. (which merged into AudioCodes Inc.), in August 2006, we acquired Netrake Corporation (which merged into AudioCodes Inc.), and in April 2007, we completed our acquisition of CTI Squared Ltd.

The negotiation of acquisitions, investments or joint ventures, as well as the integration of acquired or jointly developed businesses or technologies, could divert our management's time and resources. Acquired businesses, technologies or joint ventures may not be successfully integrated with our products and operations. The markets for the products produced by the companies we acquire may take longer than we anticipated to develop and to result in increased sales and profits for us. We may not realize the intended benefits of any acquisition, investment or joint venture and we may incur losses from any acquisition, investment or joint venture.

The future valuation of acquired businesses may be less than the purchase price we paid and result in impairment charges related to goodwill or intangible assets. During the fourth quarter of 2008, we recognized non-cash impairment charges of \$86.1 million with respect to goodwill and intangible assets related to our acquisitions and an investment in an affiliated company.

In addition, acquisitions could result in:

- substantial cash expenditures;
- potentially dilutive issuances of equity securities;
- the incurrence of debt and contingent liabilities;
- a decrease in our profit margins;
- amortization of intangibles and potential impairment of goodwill and intangible assets, such as occurred during 2008;
- reduction of management attention to other parts of the business;
- failure to invest in different areas or alternative investments;
- failure to generate expected financial results or reach business goals; and
- increased expenditures on human resources and related costs.

If acquisitions disrupt our sales or marketing efforts or operations, our business may suffer.

We recorded significant charges for the impairment of goodwill and intangible assets during the fourth quarter of 2008 which caused us to report a net loss for 2008. If our goodwill and other intangible assets become further impaired, we may be required to record additional charges to earnings.

We recorded aggregate charges of \$86.1 million in the fourth quarter of 2008 for impairment charges with respect to goodwill and intangible assets related to our acquisitions and investments in affiliated companies. As a result, we reported a net loss for 2008. As of December 31, 2010, we had goodwill and other intangible assets in an aggregate amount of \$37.4 million, or approximately 21.5% of our total assets and 37.8% of our shareholders' equity. Under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, we review our goodwill and other intangible assets for impairment annually during the fourth quarter of each fiscal year and when events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable. The carrying value of our goodwill and other intangible assets may not be recoverable due to factors such as a decline in our stock price and market capitalization, reduced estimates of future cash flows and profitability and slower growth rates in our industry. Our impairment charges in 2008 were primarily the result of the decline in global economic conditions and reduction in consumer and business confidence experienced during the fourth quarter of 2008. In addition, we experienced a major setback in the product lines of the Nuera and Netrake business that had been acquired by us. Estimates of future cash flows and profitability are based on an updated long-term financial outlook of our operations. However, actual performance in the near-term or long-term could be materially different from these forecasts, which could impact future estimates. A further significant decline in our market capitalization or deterioration in our projected results could result in additional impairment of goodwill and/or intangible assets. We may be required in the future to record a significant charge to earnings in our financial statements during a period in which an impairment of our goodwill is determined to exist, as happened in 2008, which would negatively impact our results of operations and could negatively impact our stock price.

If new products we recently introduced or expect to introduce in the future fail to generate the level of demand we anticipated, we will realize a lower than expected return from our investment in research and development with respect to those products, and our results of operations may suffer.

Our success is dependent, in part, on the willingness of our customers to transition or migrate to new products, such as our expanded offering of Mediant and IPmedia products, our residential gateways, our session border controller products, the multi service business gateways (MSBGs), our software application products or expected future products. We are involved in a continuous process of evaluating changing market demands and customer requirements in order to develop and introduce new products, features and applications to meet changing demands and requirements. We need to be able to interpret market trends and the advancement of technology in order to successfully develop and introduce new products, features and applications. If potential customers defer transition or migration to new products, our return on our investment in research and development with respect to products recently introduced or expected to be introduced in the near future will be lower than we originally anticipated and our results of our operations may suffer.

Because of the rapid technological development in the communications equipment market and the intense competition we face, our products can become outmoded or obsolete in a relatively short period of time, which requires us to provide frequent updates and/or replacements to existing products. If we do not successfully manage the transition process to the next generation of our products, our operating results may be harmed.

The communications equipment market is characterized by rapid technological innovation and intense competition. Accordingly, our success depends in part on our ability to develop next generation products in a timely and cost-effective manner. The development of new products is expensive, complex and time consuming. If we do not rapidly develop our next generation products ahead of our competitors, we may lose both existing and potential customers to our competitors. Further, if a competitor develops a new, less expensive product using a different technological approach to delivering informational services over existing networks, our products would no longer be competitive. Conversely, even if we are successful in rapidly developing new products ahead of our competitors and we do not cost-effectively manage our inventory levels of existing products when making the transition to the new products, our financial results could be negatively affected by high levels of obsolete inventory. If any of the foregoing were to occur, then our operating results would be harmed.

Our industry is rapidly evolving and we may not be able to keep pace with technological changes, which could adversely affect our business.

The transmission of multimedia over data networks is rapidly evolving. Short product life cycles place a premium on our ability to manage the transition from current products to new products. Our future success in generating revenues will depend on our ability to enhance our existing products and to develop and introduce new products and product features. These products and features must keep pace with technological developments and address the increasingly sophisticated needs of our customers. The development of new technologies and products is increasingly complex and uncertain. This increases the difficulty in coordinating the planning and production process and can result in delay in the introduction of new technologies and products.

The increase in the number of IP networks may adversely affect the demand for media gateway products.

Media gateway products are primarily intended to transcode voice from traditional telephony networks to IP networks and vice versa. Along with the growth in the number of IP networks, there has been an increase in the amount of information that is sent directly from one IP network to another IP network. This direct network communication potentially obviates the need to use a media gateway or transcoding. A reduction in the demand for media gateways may adversely affect the demand for our media gateway products and, in turn, adversely affect our results of operations.

New industry standards, the modification of our products to meet additional existing standards or the addition of features to our products may delay the introduction of our products or increase our costs.

The industry standards that apply to our products are continually evolving. In addition, since our products are integrated into networks consisting of elements manufactured by various companies, they must comply with a number of industry standards and practices established by various international bodies and industry forums. Should new standards gain broad acceptance, we will be required to adopt those standards in our products. We may also decide to modify our products to meet additional existing standards or add features to our products. Standards may be adopted by various industry interest groups or may be proprietary and nonetheless accepted broadly in the industry. It may take us a significant amount of time to develop and design products incorporating these new standards. We may also have to pay additional fees to the developers of the technologies which constitute the newly adopted standards.

Our OEM customers or potential customers may develop or prefer to develop their own technical solutions, and as a result, would not buy our products.

Our products are sold also as components or building blocks to large OEMs and NEPs. These customers incorporate our products into their product offerings, usually in conjunction with value-added services of their own or of third parties. OEM or NEP customers or potential customers may prefer to develop their own technology or purchase third party technology. They could also manufacture their own components or building blocks that are similar to the ones we offer. Large customers have already committed significant resources in developing integrated product offerings. Customers may decide that this gives them better profitability and/or greater control over supplies, specifications and performance. Customers may therefore not buy components or products from an external manufacturer such as us. This could have an adverse impact on our ability to sell our products and our revenues.

We have a limited order backlog. If revenue levels for any quarter fall below our expectations, our results of operations will be adversely affected.

We have a limited order backlog, which makes revenues in any quarter substantially dependent on orders received and delivered in that quarter. A delay in the recognition of revenue, even from one customer, may have a significant negative impact on our results of operations for a given period. We base our decisions regarding our operating expenses on anticipated revenue trends, and our expense levels are relatively fixed, or require some time for adjustment. Because only a small portion of our expenses varies with our revenues, if revenue levels fall below our expectations, our results of operations will be adversely affected.

Generally, we sell to original equipment manufacturers, or OEMs, network equipment providers or system integrator customers, as well as to distributors. As a result, we have less information with respect to the actual requirements of end-users and their utilization of equipment. We also have less influence over the choice of equipment by these end-users.

We typically sell to OEM customers, network equipment providers, and system integrators, as well as to distributors. Our customers usually purchase equipment from several suppliers and may be trying to fulfill one of their customers' specific technical specifications. We rely heavily on our customers for sales of our products and to inform us about market trends and the needs of their customers. We cannot be certain that this information is accurate. If the information we receive is not accurate, we may be manufacturing products that do not have a customer or fail to manufacture products that end-users want. Because we are selling products to OEMs, system integrators and distributors rather than directly to end-users, we have less control over the ultimate selection of products by end-users.

The markets we serve are highly competitive and many of our competitors have much greater resources, which may make it difficult for us to maintain profitability.

Competition in our industry is intense and we expect competition to increase in the future. Our competitors currently sell products that provide similar benefits to those that we sell. There has been a significant amount of merger and acquisition activity and strategic alliances, frequently involving major telecommunications equipment manufacturers acquiring smaller companies, and we expect that this will result in an increasing concentration of market share among these companies, many of whom are our customers.

Our principal competitors in the residential gateway market are Pirelli Broadband (ADB), Technicolor (previously Thomson), Sagemcom, Zyxel, Netgear, Bewan (Pace), Amper, Huawei, FiberHome and ZTE.

Our principal competitors in the area of analog media gateways (2 to 24 ports) for access and enterprise are Linksys (a division of Cisco Systems, Inc.), Mediatrix Telecom, Inc., Vega Stream Limited, Samsung, Innovaphone AG, Net.com/Quintum Technologies, Tainet Communication System Corp., Welltech, Ascii Corp., D-Link Systems, Inc., Multitech Inc., Inomedia, Grandstream, OKI and LG. In the area of low density digital gateway and multi-service business gateways we face competition from companies such as Cisco, Adtran, Oneaccess, and more specifically in the enterprise class Session Border Controller technology with ACME Packet (Convergence), SIPera, Ingate and Edgewater. In addition we face competition in low, mid and high density gateways from companies such as Alcatel-Lucent, Nokia-Siemens, Huawei, Ericsson, UTstarcom, ZTE and from Cisco Systems, Dialogic (Veraz Networks/Cantata Technologies), Sonus Networks, General Bandwidth and Commatch (Telrad), some of which are also customers of our products and technology.

Our principal competitors in the media server market segment are Dialogic/Cantata Technology, NMS Communications, Convedia/Radisys, Movius (IP Unity Glenayre), Cognitronics and Aculab. In addition, we face competition in software-based and hardware-based media servers from internal development at companies such as Hewlett-Packard, Comverse-NetCentrex, General Bandwidth, Alcatel - Lucent, Nokia – Siemens and Ericsson.

Our principal competitors in the sale of signal processing chips are Texas Instruments, Broadcom, Infineon/Lantiq, Centillium, Surf and Mindspeed. Several large manufacturers of generic signal processors, such as Motorola, Agere Systems, which merged with LSI Corporation in April 2007, and Intel have begun, or are expected to begin, marketing competing processors. Our principal competitors in the communications board market are Dialogic/NMS Communications, Cantata, Aculab, Sangoma and PIKA Technologies.

Our principal competitors in the area of IP Phones are comprised of “best-of-breed” IP phone vendors and end-to-end IP telephony vendors. “Best of breed” IP phone vendors sell standard-based SIP phones that can be integrated into any standards-based IP-PBX or hosted IP telephony system. These competitors include Polycom, Mediatrix, Yaelink and SNOM. End-to-end IP telephony vendors sell IP phones that only work in their proprietary systems and include IP telephony vendors such as Cisco, Avaya (previously Nortel), Alcatel-Lucent, Siemens and Asstra.

Many of our competitors have the ability to offer complete network solutions and vendor-sponsored financing programs to prospective customers. Some of our competitors with broad product portfolios may also be able to offer lower prices on products that compete with ours because of their ability to recoup a loss of margin through sales of other products or services. Additionally, voice, audio and other communications alternatives that compete with our products are being continually introduced.

In the future, we may also develop and introduce other products with new or additional telecommunications capabilities or services. As a result, we may compete directly with VoIP companies and other telecommunications and solution infrastructure providers, some of which may be our customers. Additional competitors may include companies that currently provide communication software products and services. The ability of some of our competitors to bundle other enhanced services or complete solutions with VoIP products could give these competitors an advantage over us.

Offering to sell system level products that compete with the products manufactured by our customers could negatively affect our business.

Our product offerings range from media gateway building blocks, such as chips and boards, to media gateways, media servers and session border control products (systems). These products could compete with products offered by our customers. These customers could decide to decrease purchases from us because of this competition. This could result in a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Offering to sell directly to carriers or service providers may expose us to requirements for service which we may not be able to meet.

We also sell our products directly to telecommunications carriers, service providers or other end-users. We have traditionally relied on third party distributors and OEMs to test and/or sell our products and to inform us about the requirements of end-users. We have limited experience selling our products directly to end-user customers. Telecommunications carriers and other service providers have great bargaining power in negotiating contracts. Generally, contracts with end-users tend to be more complex and impose more obligations on us than contracts with third party distributors. We may be unable to meet the requirements of these contracts. If we are unable to meet the conditions of a contract with an end-user customer, we may be subject to liquidated damages or liabilities that could result in a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Selling directly to end-users may adversely affect our relationship with our current third party distributors upon whom we will continue to rely for a significant portion of our sales. Loss of third party distributors and OEMs, or a decreased commitment by them to sell our products as a result of direct sales by us, could adversely affect our sales and results of operations.

We rely on third-party subcontractors to assemble our products and therefore do not directly control manufacturing costs, product delivery schedules or manufacturing quality.

Our products are assembled and tested by third-party subcontractors. As a result of our reliance on third-party subcontractors, we cannot directly control product delivery schedules. We have in the past experienced delays in delivery schedules. Any problems that occur and persist in connection with the delivery, quality or cost of the assembly and testing of our products could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. This reliance could also lead to product shortages or quality assurance problems, which, in turn, could lead to an increase in the costs of manufacturing or assembling our products.

In addition, we have engaged three original design manufacturers, or ODMs, based in Asia to design and manufacture some of our products and may engage additional ODMs in the future. Any problems that occur and persist in connection with the delivery, quality, cost of the assembly or testing of our products, as well as the termination of our commercial relationship with an ODM or the discontinuance of the manufacturing of the respective products could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We may not be able to deliver our products to our customers, and substantial reengineering costs may be incurred if a small number of third-party suppliers do not provide us with key components on a timely basis.

Texas Instruments Incorporated supplies all of the chips for our signal processor product line. Our signal processor line is used both as a product line in its own right and as a key component in our other product lines. Motorola manufactures all of the communications processors currently used on our communications boards.

We have not entered into any long-term supply agreements or alternate source agreements with our suppliers and, while we maintain an inventory of critical components, our inventory of chips would likely not be sufficient in the event that we had to engage an alternate supplier for these components.

Texas Instruments is also one of our major competitors in providing signal processing solutions. An unexpected termination of the supply of the chips provided by Texas Instruments or Motorola or disruption in their timely delivery would require us to make a large investment in capital and personnel to shift to using signal processors manufactured by other companies and may cause a delay in introducing replacement products. Customers may not accept an alternative product design. Supporting old products or redesigning products may make it more difficult for us to support our products.

We utilize other sole source suppliers upon whom we depend without having long-term supply agreements.

Some of our sole source suppliers custom produce components for us based upon our specifications and designs while other of our sole source suppliers are the only manufacturers of certain components required by our products. We have not entered into any long-term supply agreements or alternative source agreements with our suppliers and while we maintain an inventory of components from single source providers, our inventory would likely not be sufficient in the event that we had to engage an alternate supplier of these single source components. In the event of any interruption in the supply of components from any of our sole source suppliers, we may have to expend significant time, effort and other resources in order to locate a suitable alternative manufacturer and secure replacement components. If no replacement components are available, we may be forced to redesign certain of our products. Any such new design may not be accepted by our customers. A prolonged disruption in supply may force us to redesign and retest our products. Any interruption in supply from any of these sources or an unexpected technical failure or termination of the manufacture of components could disrupt production, thereby adversely affecting our ability to deliver products and to support products previously sold to our customers.

In addition, if demand for telecommunications equipment increases, we may face a shortage of components from our suppliers. This could result in longer lead times, increases in the price of components and a reduction in our margins, all of which could adversely affect the results of our operations.

Our customers may require us to produce products or systems to hold in inventory in order to meet their “just in time”, or short lead time, delivery requirements. If we are unable to sell this inventory on a timely basis, we could incur charges for excess and obsolete inventory which would adversely affect our results of operations.

Our customers expect us to maintain an inventory of products available for purchase off the shelf subsequent to the initial sales cycle for these products. This may require us to incur the costs of manufacturing inventory without having a purchase order for the products. The VoIP industry is subject to rapid technological change and volatile customer demands, which result in a short product commercial life before a product becomes obsolete. If we are unable to sell products that are produced to hold in inventory, we may incur write-offs as a result of slow moving items, technological obsolescence, excess inventories, discontinued products and products with market prices lower than cost. Write-offs could adversely affect our operating results and financial condition. We wrote off inventory in an aggregate amount of \$2.4 million in 2008, \$3.4 million in 2009 and \$1.1 million in 2010.

The right of our customers to return products and their right to exchange products may affect our ability to recognize revenues which could adversely affect the results of our operations.

Some of our customers expect us to permit them to return some or all of the products they purchase from us. If we contractually agree to allow a customer to return products, the customer may be entitled to a refund for the returned products or to receive a credit for the purchase of replacement products. If we agree to this type of contractual obligation, it could affect our ability to recognize revenues. In addition, if we are not able to resell any products that are returned and we would have to write off this inventory. This could adversely affect our results of operations.

Our products generally have long sales cycles and implementation periods, which increase our costs in obtaining orders and reduce the predictability of our revenues.

Our products are technologically complex and are typically intended for use in applications that may be critical to the business of our customers. Prospective customers generally must make a significant commitment of resources to test and evaluate our products and to integrate them into larger systems. As a result, our sales process is often subject to delays associated with lengthy approval processes that typically accompany the design and testing of new communications equipment. The sales cycles of our products to new customers are approximately six to twelve months after a design win, depending on the type of customer and complexity of the product. This time period may be further extended because of internal testing, field trials and requests for the addition or customization of features. This delays the time until we realize revenue and results in significant investment of resources in attempting to make sales.

Long sales cycles also subject us to risks not usually encountered in a short sales span, including customers' budgetary constraints, internal acceptance reviews and cancellation. In addition, orders expected in one quarter could shift to another because of the timing of customers' procurement decisions. The time required to implement our products can vary significantly with the needs of our customers and generally exceeds several months; larger implementations can take multiple calendar quarters. This complicates our planning processes and reduces the predictability of our revenues.

Our proprietary technology is difficult to protect, and our products may infringe on the intellectual property rights of third parties. Our business may suffer if we are unable to protect our intellectual property or if we are sued for infringing the intellectual property rights of third parties.

Our success and ability to compete depend in part upon protecting our proprietary technology. We rely on a combination of patent, trade secret, copyright and trademark laws, nondisclosure and other contractual agreements and technical measures to protect our proprietary rights. These agreements and measures may not be sufficient to protect our technology from third-party infringement, or to protect us from the claims of others.

Enforcement of intellectual property rights may be expensive and may divert attention of management and of research and development personnel away from our business. Intellectual property litigation could also call into question the ownership or scope of rights owned by us. We believe that at least one of our patents may cover technology related to the ITU G.723.1 standard. Because of our involvement in the standard setting process, we may be required to license certain of our patents on a reasonable and non-discriminatory basis to a current or future competitor, to the extent required to carry out the G.723.1 standard. Additionally, our products may be manufactured, sold, or used in countries that provide less protection to intellectual property than that provided under U.S. or Israeli laws or where we do not hold relevant intellectual property rights.

We believe that the frequency of third party intellectual claims is increasing, as patent holders, including entities that are not in our industry and that purchase patents as an investment or to monetize such rights by obtaining royalties, use infringement assertions as a competitive tactic and a source of additional revenue. Any intellectual property claims against us, even without merit, could cost us a significant amount of money to defend and divert management's attention away from our business. We may not be able to secure a license for technology that is used in our products and we may face injunctive proceedings that prevent distribution and sale of our products even prior to any dispute being concluded. These proceedings may also have a deterrent effect on purchases by customers, who may be unsure about our ability to continue to supply their requirements. We may be forced to repurchase our products and compensate customers that have purchased such infringing products. We may be forced to redesign the product so that it becomes non-infringing, which may have an adverse impact on the results of our operations.

In addition, claims alleging that the development, use, or sale of our products infringes third parties' intellectual property rights may be directed either at us or at our direct or indirect customers. We may be required to indemnify such customers against claims made against them. We may be required to indemnify them even if we believe that the claim of infringement is without merit.

Multiple patent holders in our industry may result in increased licensing costs.

There are a number of companies besides us that hold patents for various aspects of the technology incorporated in our industry's standards and our products. We expect that patent enforcement will be given high priority by companies seeking to gain competitive advantages or additional revenues. The holders of patents from which we have not obtained licenses may take the position that we are required to obtain a license from them. We cannot be certain that we would be able to negotiate a license agreement at an acceptable price or at all. Our results of operations could be adversely affected by the payment of any additional licensing costs or if we are prevented from manufacturing or selling a product.

Changes in governmental regulations in the United States or other countries could slow the growth of the VoIP telephony market and reduce the demand for our customers' products, which, in turn, could reduce the demand for our products.

VoIP and other services are not currently subject to all of the same regulations that apply to traditional telephony. Nevertheless, it is possible that foreign or U.S. federal or state legislatures may seek to impose increased fees and administrative burdens on VoIP, data, and video providers. The FCC has already required VoIP service providers to meet various emergency service requirements relating to delivery of 911 calls, known as E911, and to accommodate law enforcement interception or wiretapping requirements, such as the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act, or CALEA. In addition, the FCC may seek to impose other traditional telephony requirements such as disability access requirements, consumer protection requirements, number assignment and portability requirements, and other obligations, including additional obligations regarding E911 and CALEA.

The cost of complying with FCC regulations could increase the cost of providing Internet phone service which could result in slower growth and decreased profitability for this industry, which would adversely affect our business.

The enactment of any additional regulation or taxation of communications over the Internet in the United States or elsewhere in the world could have a material adverse effect on our customers' (and their customers') businesses and could therefore adversely affect sales of our products. We do not know what effect, if any, possible legislation or regulatory actions in the United States or elsewhere in the world may have on private telecommunication networks, the provision of VoIP services and purchases of our products.

Use of encryption technology in our products is regulated by governmental authorities and may require special development, export or import licenses. Delays in the issuance of required licenses, or the inability to secure these licenses, could adversely affect our revenues and results of operations.

Growth in the demand for security features may increase the use of encryption technology in our products. The use of encryption technology is generally regulated by governmental authorities and may require specific development, export or import licenses. Encryption standards may be based on proprietary technologies. We may be unable to incorporate encryption standards into our products in a manner that will insure interoperability. We also may be unable to secure licenses for proprietary technology on reasonable terms. If we cannot meet encryption standards, or secure required licenses for proprietary encryption technology, our revenues and results of operations could be adversely affected.

We are subject to regulations that require us to use components based on environmentally friendly materials. We may be subject to various regulations relating to management and disposal of waste with respect to electronic equipment. Compliance with these regulations has increased our costs. Failure to comply with these regulations could materially adversely affect our results of operations.

We are subject to an increasing number of telecommunications industry regulations requiring the use of environmentally-friendly materials in telecommunications equipment. For example, pursuant to a European Community directive, telecom equipment suppliers were required to stop using specified materials that are not “environmentally friendly” by July 1, 2006. In addition, telecom equipment suppliers that take advantage of an exemption with respect to the use of lead in solders are required by this directive to eliminate the lead in solders from their products by the time set forth by the European Community regulations. This exemption has been extended by the authorities. Some of our customers may also require products that meet higher standards than those required by the directive, such as complete removal of additional harmful substances from our products. We will be dependent on our suppliers for components and sub-system modules, such as semiconductors and purchased assemblies and goods, to comply with these requirements. This may harm our ability to sell our products in regions or to customers that may adopt such directives.

Compliance with these directives, especially with respect to the requirement that products eliminate lead solders, requires us to undertake significant expenses with respect to the re-design of our products. In addition, we may be required to pay higher prices for components that comply with this directive. We may not be able to pass these higher component costs on to our customers. We cannot at this point estimate the expense that will be required to redesign our products in order to include “environmentally friendly” components. We cannot be sure that we will be able to timely comply with these regulations, that we will be able to comply on a cost-effective basis or that a sufficient supply of compliant components will be available to us. Compliance with these regulations could increase our product design costs. New designs may also require qualification testing with both customers and government certification boards. We cannot be certain of the reliability of any new designs that utilize non-lead components, in part, due to the lack of experience with the replacement materials and assembly technologies. In addition, the incorporation of new components may adversely affect equipment reliability and durability.

Some of our operations use substances regulated under various federal, state, local and international laws governing the environment, including laws governing the management and disposal of waste with respect to electronic equipment. We could incur substantial costs, including fines and civil or criminal sanctions, if we were to violate or become liable under environmental laws or if our products become non-compliant with environmental laws. We also face increasing complexity in our product design and procurement operations as we adjust to new and future requirements relating to the materials that compose our products. The EU has enacted the Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment Directive, which makes producers of electrical goods financially responsible for specified collection, recycling, treatment and disposal of past and future covered products. Similar legislation has been or may be enacted in other jurisdictions, including the United States, Canada, Mexico, China and Japan.

Our inability or failure to comply with these regulations could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. In addition, manufacturers of components that use lead solders may decide to stop manufacturing those components prior to the required compliance date. These actions by manufacturers of components could result in a shortage of components that could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

A significant portion of our revenues is generated outside of the United States and Israel. We intend to continue to expand our operations internationally and, as a result, our results of operations could suffer if we are unable to manage our international operations effectively.

We generated 40% of our revenues in 2008, 36% of our revenues in 2009 and 39% of our revenues in 2010 outside of the United States and Israel. Part of our strategy is to expand our penetration in existing foreign markets and to enter new foreign markets. Our ability to penetrate some international markets may be limited due to different technical standards, protocols or product requirements in different markets. Expansion of our international business will require significant management attention and financial resources. Our international sales and operations are subject to numerous risks inherent in international business activities, including:

- economic and political instability in foreign countries;
- compliance with foreign laws and regulations;
- different technical standards or product requirements;
- staffing and managing foreign operations;
- foreign currency fluctuations;
- export control issues;
- governmental controls;
- import or currency control restrictions;
- local taxation;
- increased risk of collection; and
- burdens that may be imposed by tariffs and other trade barriers.

If we are unable to address these risks, our foreign operations may be unprofitable or the value of our investment in our foreign operations may decrease.

Currently, our international sales are denominated primarily in U.S. dollars. Therefore, any devaluation in the local currencies of our customers relative to the U.S. dollar could cause customers to decrease or cancel orders or default on payment.

The prices of our products may become less competitive due to foreign exchange fluctuations.

Although we have operations throughout the world, the majority of our revenues and our operating costs in 2010 were denominated in, or linked to, the U.S. dollar. Accordingly, we consider the U.S. dollar to be our functional currency. However, a significant portion of our operating costs in 2010 were incurred in New Israeli Shekels (NIS). During 2010, the NIS appreciated against the U.S. dollar, which resulted in an increase in the U.S. dollar cost of our operations in Israel. As a result of this differential, from time to time we may experience increases in the costs of our operations outside the United States, as expressed in U.S. dollars. If there is a significant increase in our expenses, we may be required to increase the prices of our products and may be less competitive. We cannot be sure that our international customers will continue to place orders denominated in U.S. dollars.

Our sales to European customers denominated in Euros are increasing. Sales denominated in Euros could make our revenues subject to fluctuation in the Euro/U.S. dollar exchange rate. If the U.S. dollar appreciates against the Euro, we may be required to increase the prices of our products that are denominated in Euros. In 2010, the U.S. dollar appreciated against the Euro, which resulted in an increase in the prices of our products that are denominated in Euros.

We may be unable to attract sales representatives who will market our products effectively.

A significant portion of our marketing and sales involves the aid of independent sales representatives that are not under our direct control. We cannot be certain that our current independent sales representatives will continue to distribute our products or that, even if they continue to distribute our products, they will do so successfully. These representatives are not subject to any minimum purchase requirements and can discontinue marketing our products at any time. In addition, these representatives often market products of our competitors. Accordingly, we must compete for the attention and sales efforts of our independent sales representatives.

Our products could contain defects, which would reduce sales of those products or result in claims against us.

We develop complex and evolving products. Despite testing by us and our customers, undetected errors or defects may be found in existing or new products. The introduction of products with reliability, quality or compatibility problems could result in reduced revenues, additional costs, increased product returns and difficulty or delays in collecting accounts receivable. The risk is higher with products still in the development stage, where full testing or certification is not yet completed. This could result in, among other things, a delay in recognition or loss of revenues, loss of market share or failure to achieve market acceptance. We could also be subject to material claims by customers that are not covered by our insurance.

Obtaining certification of our products by national regulators may be time-consuming and expensive. We may be unable to sell our products in markets in which we are unable to obtain certification.

Our customers may expect us to obtain certificates of compliance with safety and technical standards set by national regulators, especially standards set by U.S. or European regulators. There is no uniform set of standards, and each national regulator may impose and change its own standards. National regulators may also prohibit us from importing products that do not conform to their standards. If we make any change in the design of a product, we are usually required to obtain recertification of the product. The process of certification may be time-consuming and expensive and may affect the length of the sales cycle for a product. If we are unable to obtain certification of a product in a market, we may be unable to sell the product in that market.

We depend on a limited number of key personnel who would be difficult to replace.

Because our products are complex and our market is evolving, the success of our business depends in large part upon the continuing contributions of our management and key personnel. Specifically, we rely heavily on the services of Shabtai Adlersberg, our Chief Executive Officer, President and Chairman of our Board of Directors. If our Chief Executive Officer is unable or unwilling to continue with us, our results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. We do not carry key person insurance for our Chief Executive Officer.

The success of our business also depends upon our continuing ability to attract and retain other highly-qualified management, technical, sales and marketing personnel. We need highly-qualified technical personnel who are capable of developing technologies and products and providing the technical support required by our customers. We experience competitive pressure with respect to retaining and hiring employees in the high technology sector in Israel. If we fail to hire and retain skilled employees, our business may be adversely affected.

If we do not manage our operations effectively, our results of operations could be adversely affected.

We have actively expanded our operations in the past and may continue to expand them in the future. This expansion has required, and may continue to require, the application of managerial, operational and financial resources. We cannot be sure that we will continue to expand, or that we will be able to expand our operations successfully. In particular, our business requires us to focus on multiple markets, including the VoIP, wireline, cable and wireless markets. In addition, we work simultaneously with a number of large OEMs and network equipment providers each of which may have different requirements for the products that we sell to them. We may not have sufficient personnel, or may be unable to devote this personnel when needed, to address the requirements of these markets and customers. If we are unable to manage our operations effectively, our revenues may not increase, our cost of operations may rise and our results of operations may be adversely affected.

As we grow we may need new or enhanced systems, procedures or controls. The transition to such systems, procedures or controls, as well as any delay in transitioning to new or enhanced systems, procedures or controls, may seriously harm our ability to accurately forecast sales demand, manage our product inventory and record and report financial and management information on a timely and accurate basis.

Our gross profit percentage could be negatively impacted by amortization expenses in connection with acquisitions, increased manufacturing costs and other factors. This could adversely affect our results of operations.

Our gross profit percentage decreased in 2008 and 2009 and increased in 2010. The decrease in our gross profit percentage in 2008 was primarily attributable to amortization expenses related to the acquisitions of Nuera and Netrake beginning in the third quarter of 2006 and CTI Squared beginning in the second quarter of 2007, as well as expenses related to equity-based compensation resulting from the adoption of Accounting Standards Codification, or ASC, 718 beginning in 2006. During the fourth quarter of 2008, we recognized non-cash impairment charges of \$86.1 million with respect to goodwill, intangible assets and investment in an affiliate. As a result of these impairment charges, non-cash amortization expense included in cost of revenues declined in 2009 and 2010.

Our gross profit percentage has also been negatively affected in the past and could continue to be negatively affected by an increase in manufacturing costs, a shift in our sales mix towards our less profitable products, increased customer demand for longer product warranties and increased cost pressures as a result of increased competition. Acquisitions of new businesses could also negatively affect our gross profit percentage, which could cause an adverse effect on our results of operations.

The growth in our product portfolio means that we have to service and support more products. This may result in an increase in our expenses and an adverse effect on our results of operations.

The size of our product portfolio has increased and continues to increase. As a result, we are required to provide to our customers sales support. Customers have requested that we provide a contractual commitment to support a product for a specified period of time. This period of time may exceed the working life of the product or extend past the period of time that we may intend to manufacture or support a product. We are dependent on our suppliers for the components (hardware and software) needed to provide support and may be unable to secure the components necessary to satisfy our service commitments. We do not have long-term contracts with our suppliers, and they may not be obligated to provide us with products or services for any specified period of time. We may need to purchase an inventory of replacement components and parts in advance in order to try to provide for their availability when needed. This could result in increased risk of write-offs with respect to our replacement component inventory to the extent that we cannot accurately predict our future requirements under our customer service contracts. If any of our component suppliers cease production, cease operations or refuse or fail to make timely delivery of orders, we may not be able to meet our contractual commitments for product support. We may be required to supply enhanced components or parts as substitutes if the original versions are no longer available. Product support may be costly and any extra service revenues may not cover the hardware and software costs associated with providing long-term support.

Terrorist attacks, or the threat of such attacks, may negatively impact the global economy which may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operation and may cause our share price to decline.

The financial, political, economic and other uncertainties following terrorist attacks throughout the world have led to a worsening of the global economy. As a result, many of our customers and potential customers have become much more cautious in setting their capital expenditure budgets, thereby restricting their telecommunications procurement. Uncertainties related to the threat of terrorism have had a negative effect on global economy, causing businesses to continue slowing spending on telecommunications products and services and further lengthen already long sales cycles. Any escalation of these threats or similar future events may disrupt our operations or those of our customers, distributors and suppliers, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are subject to taxation in several countries.

Because we operate in several countries, mainly in the United States, Israel, the United Kingdom and Singapore, we are subject to taxation in multiple jurisdictions. We are required to report to and are subject to local tax authorities in the countries in which we operate. In addition, our income that is derived from sales to customers in one country might also be subject to taxation in other countries. We cannot be sure of the amount of tax we may become obligated to pay in the countries in which we operate. The tax authorities in the countries in which we operate may not agree with our tax position. Our tax benefits from carry forward losses and other tax planning benefits such as Israeli approved enterprise programs, may prove to be insufficient due to Israeli tax limitations, or may prove to be insufficient to offset tax liabilities from foreign tax authorities. Foreign tax authorities may also use our gross profit or our revenues in each territory as the basis for determining our income tax, and our operating expenses might not be considered for related tax calculations, which could adversely affect our results of operations.

Risks Related to Operations in Israel

Conditions in Israel affect our operations and may limit our ability to produce and sell our products and instability in the Middle East may adversely affect us.

We are incorporated under the laws of the State of Israel, and our principal executive offices and principal research and development facilities are located in the State of Israel. Political, economic and military conditions in Israel directly affect our operations. There has been an increase in unrest and terrorist activity in Israel, which has continued with varying levels of severity for many years through the current period of time. This has led to ongoing hostilities between Israel, the Palestinian Authority, other groups in the West Bank and Gaza Strip, and the northern border of Lebanon. The future effect of this violence on the Israeli economy and our operations is unclear. The Israeli-Palestinian conflict may also lead to political instability between Israel and its neighboring countries. Ongoing violence between Israel and the Palestinians, as well as tension between Israel and the neighboring countries, may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial conditions and results of operations.

Recent popular uprisings in various countries in the Middle East and North Africa are affecting the political stability of those countries. This instability may lead to deterioration of the political and trade relationships that exist between the State of Israel and these countries. In addition, this instability may affect the global economy and marketplace through changes in oil and gas prices. Our headquarters and research and development facilities are located in the State of Israel. Any events that affect the State of Israel may impact us in unpredictable ways. We have contingent plans for alternative manufacturing and supply sources, but these plans may be insufficient. Should our operations be impacted in a significant way, this may adversely affect the results of our operations.

We cannot predict the effect on us of an increase in these hostilities or any future armed conflict, political instability or violence in the region. Additionally, some of our officers and employees in Israel are obligated to perform annual military reserve duty and are subject to being called for additional active duty under emergency circumstances, such as the military confrontation in the Gaza Strip at the end of 2008. Some of our employees live within conflict area territories and may be forced to stay at home instead of reporting to work. We cannot predict the full impact of these conditions on us in the future, particularly if emergency circumstances or an escalation in the political situation occur. If many of our employees are called for active duty, or forced to stay at home, our operations in Israel and our business may be adversely affected. Additionally, a number of countries continue to restrict or ban business with Israel or Israeli companies, which may limit our ability to make sales in those countries.

We are adversely affected by the devaluation of the U.S. dollar against the New Israeli Shekel and could be adversely affected by the rate of inflation in Israel.

We generate substantially all of our revenues in U.S. dollars and, in 2010, a significant portion of our expenses, primarily salaries, related personnel expenses and the leases of our buildings in Israel, were incurred in NIS. We anticipate that a significant portion of our expenses will continue to be denominated in NIS.

Our NIS related costs, as expressed in U.S. dollars, are influenced by the exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and the NIS. During 2009 and 2010, the NIS appreciated against the U.S. dollar, which resulted in a significant increase in the U.S. dollar cost of our operations in Israel. To the extent the U.S. dollar weakens against the NIS, we could experience an increase in the cost of our operations, which are measured in U.S. dollars in our financial statements, which could adversely affect our results of operations. In addition, in periods in which the U.S. dollar appreciates against the NIS, we bear the risk that the rate of inflation in Israel will exceed the rate of such devaluation of the NIS in relation to the U.S. dollar or that the timing of such devaluations were to lag considerably behind inflation, which will increase our costs as expressed in U.S. dollars.

The devaluation of the U.S. dollar in relation to the NIS has and may continue to have the effect of increasing the cost in U.S. dollars of these expenses. Our U.S. dollar-measured results of operations were adversely affected in 2009 and 2010. This could happen again if the U.S. dollar were to devalue against the NIS.

In order to manage the risks imposed by foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations, from time to time, we enter into currency forward contracts and put and call options to hedge some of our foreign currency exposure. We can provide no assurance that our hedging arrangements will be effective. In addition, if we wish to maintain the U.S. dollar-denominated value of our products in non-U.S. markets, devaluation in the local currencies of our customers relative to the U.S. dollar may cause our customers to cancel or decrease orders or default on payment.

Because exchange rates between the NIS and the U.S. dollar fluctuate continuously, exchange rate fluctuations have an impact on our profitability and period-to-period comparisons of our results of operations. In 2010, the value of the U.S. dollar decreased in relation to the NIS by 0.6%, and the inflation rate in Israel was 2.3%. As a result, our results of operations were adversely affected in 2010. If this trend continues, it will continue to adversely affect our result of operations.

The Israeli government programs in which we currently participate, and the tax benefits we currently receive require us to meet several conditions and may be terminated or reduced in the future, which would increase our costs.

We benefit from certain government programs and tax benefits, particularly as a result of exemptions and reductions resulting from the “approved enterprise” status of our existing production facilities and programs in Israel. In the past, the designation required advance approval from the Investment Center of the Israel Ministry of Industry, Trade and Labor (the Investment Center). To be eligible for these programs and tax benefits, we must continue to meet conditions relating principally to adherence to the approved programs and to periodic reporting obligations. We believe that we are currently in compliance with these requirements. However, if we fail to meet these conditions, we will be subject to corporate tax at the rate then in effect under Israeli law for such tax year.

In April 2005, an amendment to the law came into effect (the “Amendment”) which significantly changed the provisions of the law. The Amendment limited the scope of enterprises which may be approved by the Investment Center by setting criteria for the approval of a facility as a Privileged Enterprise, such as provisions generally requiring that at least 25% of the Privileged Enterprise’s income be derived from export. Additionally, the Amendment enacted major changes in the manner in which tax benefits are awarded under the law so that companies no longer require Investment Center approval in order to qualify for tax benefits.

The law provides that terms and benefits included in any certificate of approval granted prior to December 31, 2004 remain subject to the provisions of the law as they were on the date of such approval. Therefore, our existing “Approved Enterprises” are generally not subject to the provisions of the Amendment. As a result of the Amendment, tax-exempt income generated under the provisions of the law as amended, will subject us to taxes upon distribution or liquidation and we may be required to record a deferred tax liability with respect to such tax-exempt income. We have elected the year 2008 as the year of election for “Privileged Enterprise” under the Amendment.

Recently, new legislation amending the law was adopted. Under this new legislation, a uniform corporate tax rate will apply to all qualifying income of certain industrial companies, as opposed to the current law's incentives, which are limited to income from Approved Enterprises and Privileged Enterprises during their benefit period. Under the new law, the uniform tax rate will be 10% in areas in Israel designated as Development Zone A and 15% elsewhere in Israel during 2011-2012, 7% in Development Zone A and 12.5% elsewhere in Israel in 2013-2014, and 6% in Development Zone A and 12% elsewhere in Israel thereafter. The profits of these industrial companies will be freely distributable as dividends, subject to a 15% withholding tax (or lower, under an applicable tax treaty). AudioCodes is not located in Zone A so the higher rate would apply to AudioCodes.

Under the transition provisions of the new legislation, we may decide during 2011-2012 to irrevocably implement the new law while waiving benefits provided under the current law or to remain subject to the current law.

We are examining the possible effect of the amendments on our financial statements. We have not yet decided whether to elect to have the amendments apply to us.

The government grants we have received for research and development expenditures limit our ability to manufacture products and transfer technologies outside of Israel and require us to satisfy specified conditions. If we fail to satisfy these conditions, we may be required to refund grants previously received together with interest and penalties.

In connection with research and development grants we received from the Office of the Chief Scientist of the Israeli Minister of Industry, Trade and Labor, or the OCS, we must pay royalties to the OCS on the revenue derived from the sale of products, technologies and services developed with the grants from the OCS. The terms of the OCS grants and the law pursuant to which grants are made restrict our ability to manufacture products or transfer technologies developed outside of Israel if OCS grants funded the development of the products or technology. An amendment to the relevant law facilitates the transfer of technology or know-how developed with the funding of the OCS to third parties outside of Israel, but any future transfer would still require the approval of the OCS, which may not be granted, and is likely to involve a material payment to the OCS. This restriction may limit our ability to enter into agreements for those products or technologies without OCS approval. We cannot be certain that any approval of the OCS will be obtained on terms that are acceptable to us, or at all.

In order to meet specified conditions in connection with the grants and programs of the OCS, we have made representations to the Government of Israel concerning our Israeli operations. If we fail to meet the conditions related to the grants, including the maintenance of a material presence in Israel, or if there is any material deviation from the representations made by us to the Israeli government, we could be required to refund the grants previously received (together with an adjustment based on the Israeli consumer price index and an interest factor) and would likely be ineligible to receive OCS grants in the future. Any inability to receive these grants would result in an increase in our research and development expenses.

In 2010, we recognized a royalty-bearing grant of \$3.8 million from the Government of Israel, through the OCS for the financing of a portion of our research and development expenditures in Israel. The OCS budget has been subject to reductions, which may affect the availability of funds for these prospective grants and other grants in the future. As a result, we cannot be certain that we will continue to receive grants at the same rate, or at all. In addition, the terms of any future OCS grants may be less favorable than our past grant. As of December 31, 2010, we have a contingent obligation to pay royalties in the amount of approximately \$23.4 million.

It may be difficult to enforce a U.S. judgment against us, our officers and directors, assert U.S. securities law claims in Israel or serve process on substantially all of our officers and directors.

We are incorporated in Israel. Substantially all of our executive officers and directors are nonresidents of the United States, and a majority of our assets and the assets of these persons are located outside the United States. Therefore, it may be difficult to enforce a judgment obtained in the United States against us or any such persons or to effect service of process upon these persons in the United States. Israeli courts may refuse to hear a claim based on a violation of U.S. securities laws because Israel is not the most appropriate forum to bring such a claim. In addition, even if an Israeli court agrees to hear a claim, it may determine that Israeli law and not U.S. law is applicable to the claim. If U.S. law is found to be applicable, the content of applicable U.S. law must be proved as a fact which can be a time-consuming and costly process. Certain matters of procedure will also be governed by Israeli law. There is little binding case law in Israel addressing these matters. Additionally, there is doubt as to the enforceability of civil liabilities under the Securities Act and the Exchange Act in original actions instituted in Israel.

Israeli law may delay, prevent or make difficult a merger with or an acquisition of us, which could prevent a change of control and therefore depress the price of our shares.

Provisions of Israeli law may delay, prevent or make undesirable a merger or an acquisition of all or a significant portion of our shares or assets. Israeli corporate law regulates acquisitions of shares through tender offers and mergers, requires special approvals for transactions involving significant shareholders and regulates other matters that may be relevant to these types of transactions. These provisions of Israeli law could have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control and may make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us, even if doing so would be beneficial to our shareholders. These provisions may limit the price that investors may be willing to pay in the future for our ordinary shares. In addition, our articles of association contain certain provisions that may make it more difficult to acquire us, such as a staggered board, the ability of our board of directors to issue preferred stock and limitations on business combinations with interested shareholders. Furthermore, Israel tax considerations may make potential transactions undesirable to us or to some of our shareholders.

Risks Relating to the Ownership of our Ordinary Shares

The price of our ordinary shares may fluctuate significantly.

The market price for our ordinary shares, as well as the prices of shares of other technology companies, has been volatile. Between January 1, 2009 and March 4, 2011, our share price has fluctuated from a low of \$0.92 to a high of \$8.07. The following factors may cause significant fluctuations in the market price of our ordinary shares:

- fluctuations in our quarterly revenues and earnings or those of our competitors;
- shortfalls in our operating results compared to levels forecast by securities analysts;
- announcements concerning us, our competitors or telephone companies;
- announcements of technological innovations;
- the introduction of new products;
- changes in product price policies involving us or our competitors;
- market conditions in the industry;
- integration of acquired businesses, technologies or joint ventures with our products and operations;
- the conditions of the securities markets, particularly in the technology and Israeli sectors; and
- political, economic and other developments in the State of Israel and worldwide.

In addition, stock prices of many technology companies fluctuate significantly for reasons that may be unrelated or disproportionate to operating results. The factors discussed above may depress or cause volatility of our share price, regardless of our actual operating results.

Our quarterly results of operations have fluctuated in the past and we expect these fluctuations to continue. Fluctuations in our results of operations may disappoint investors and result in a decline in our share price.

We have experienced and expect to continue to experience significant fluctuations in our quarterly results of operations. In some periods, our operating results may be below public expectations or below revenue levels and operating results reached in prior quarters or in the corresponding quarters of the previous year. If this occurs, the market price of our ordinary shares could decline.

The following factors have affected our quarterly results of operations in the past and are likely to affect our quarterly results of operations in the future:

- size, timing and pricing of orders, including order deferrals and delayed shipments;
- launching of new product generations;
- length of approval processes or market testing;
- technological changes in the telecommunications industry;
- competitive pricing pressures;

- the timing and approval of government research and development grants;
- accuracy of telecommunication company, distributor and original equipment manufacturer forecasts of their customers' demands;
- changes in our operating expenses;
- disruption in our sources of supply; and
- general economic conditions.

Therefore, the results of any past periods may not be relied upon as an indication of our future performance.

Our actual financial results might vary from our publicly disclosed financial forecasts.

From time to time, we publicly disclose financial forecasts. Our forecasts reflect numerous assumptions concerning our expected performance, as well as other factors which are beyond our control and which might not turn out to be correct. As a result, variations from our forecasts could be material. Our financial results are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, including those identified throughout this "Risk Factors" section and elsewhere in this Annual Report. If our actual financial results are worse than our financial forecasts, the price of our ordinary shares may decline.

It is our policy that we will not provide quarterly forecasts of the results of our operations. This policy could affect the willingness of analysts to provide research with respect to our ordinary shares which could affect the trading market for our ordinary shares.

It is our policy that we will not provide quarterly forecasts of the results of our operations. This could result in the reduction of research analysts who cover our ordinary shares. Any reduction in research coverage could affect the willingness of investors, particularly institutional investors, to invest in our shares which could affect the trading market for our ordinary shares and the price at which our ordinary shares are traded.

As a foreign private issuer whose shares are listed on NASDAQ, we follow certain home country corporate governance practices instead of certain NASDAQ requirements.

As a foreign private issuer whose shares are listed on NASDAQ, we are permitted to follow certain home country corporate governance practices instead of certain requirements of the NASDAQ.

We do not comply with the NASDAQ requirement that we obtain shareholder approval for certain dilutive events, such as for the establishment or amendment of certain equity based compensation plans. Instead, we follow Israeli law and practice which permits the establishment or amendment of certain equity based compensation plans to be approved by our board of directors without the need for a shareholder vote, unless such arrangements are for the compensation of directors, in which case they also require audit committee and shareholder approval.

As a foreign private issuer listed on the NASDAQ, we may also elect in the future to follow home country practice with regard to, among other things, executive officer compensation, director nomination, composition of the board of directors and quorum at shareholders' meetings, as well as not obtain shareholder approval for certain dilutive events.

Accordingly, our shareholders may not be afforded the same protection as provided under NASDAQ's corporate governance rules.

Our ordinary shares are listed for trading in more than one market and this may result in price variations.

Our ordinary shares are listed for trading on NASDAQ and on TASE. Trading in our ordinary shares on these markets is made in different currencies (U.S. dollars on NASDAQ and New Israeli Shekels on TASE), and at different times (resulting from different time zones, different trading days and different public holidays in the United States and Israel). Actual trading volume on the TASE is generally lower than trading volume on NASDAQ, and as such could be subject to higher volatility. The trading prices of our ordinary shares on these two markets often differ resulting from the factors described above, as well as differences in exchange rates. Any decrease in the trading price of our ordinary shares on one of these markets could cause a decrease in the trading price of our ordinary shares on the other market.

We do not anticipate declaring any cash dividends on our ordinary shares.

We have never declared or paid cash dividends on our ordinary shares and do not plan to pay any cash dividends in the near future. Our current policy is to retain all funds and earnings for use in the operation and expansion of our business. See "Item 10B-Additional Information-Memorandum and Articles of Association-Dividends."

U.S. shareholders face certain income tax risks in connection with their acquisition, ownership and disposition of our ordinary shares. In any tax year, we could be deemed a passive foreign investment company, which could result in adverse U.S. federal income tax consequences for U.S. shareholders.

Based on the composition of our gross income, the composition and value of our gross assets and the amounts of our liabilities during 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010, we do not believe that we were a passive foreign investment company, or PFIC, for U.S. federal income tax purposes during any of such tax years. It is likely, however, that we would be deemed to have been a PFIC in 2001, 2002 and 2003. There can be no assurance that we will not be deemed a PFIC for any future tax year in which, for example, the value of our assets, as measured by the public market valuation of our ordinary shares, declines in relation to the value of our passive assets (generally, cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities). If we are a PFIC for any tax year, U.S. shareholders who own our ordinary shares during such year may be subject to increased U.S. federal income tax liabilities and reporting requirements for such year and succeeding years, even if we are no longer a PFIC in such succeeding years. Under legislation enacted by the U.S., a U.S. holder of our ordinary shares will be required to file an information return containing certain information required by the U.S. Internal Revenue Service for each year in which we are treated as a PFIC.

We urge U.S. holders of our ordinary shares to carefully review Item 10E. – “Taxation – United States Tax Considerations – United States Federal Income Taxes” in this Annual Report and to consult their own tax advisors with respect to the U.S. federal income tax risks related to owning and disposing of our ordinary shares and the consequences of PFIC status.

We are subject to ongoing costs and risks associated with complying with extensive corporate governance and disclosure requirements.

As a foreign private issuer subject to U.S. federal securities laws, we spend a significant amount of management time and resources to comply with laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, SEC regulations and NASDAQ rules. Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires management’s annual review and evaluation of our internal control over financial reporting and attestations of the effectiveness of these controls by our management and by our independent registered public accounting firm. There is no guarantee that these efforts will result in management assurance or an attestation by our independent registered public accounting firm that our internal control over financial reporting is adequate in future periods. In connection with our compliance with Section 404 and the other applicable provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, our management and other personnel devote a substantial amount of time, and may need to hire additional accounting and financial staff, to assure that we comply with these requirements. The additional management attention and costs relating to compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and other corporate governance requirements could materially and adversely affect our financial results.

ITEM 4 INFORMATION ON THE COMPANY

A. HISTORY AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE COMPANY

AudioCodes Ltd. was incorporated in 1992 under the laws of the State of Israel. Our principal executive offices are located at 1 Hayarden Street, Airport City, Lod, 70151 Israel. Our telephone number is +972-3-976-4000. Our agent in the United States is AudioCodes Inc., 27 World’s Fair Drive, Somerset, New Jersey 08873.

Major Developments since January 1, 2010

In 2006, 2008 and 2009, we extended convertible loans to MailVision in the aggregate amount of \$662,000. These loans bear interest at the rate of 4%-9% per annum and are convertible into shares of MailVision. In November 2010, \$588,000 of these loans was converted by us into shares of MailVision. As of December 31, 2010, we owned 25.6% of the outstanding share capital of MailVision, or 23.9% of the share capital of this company on a diluted basis, compared to owning 20.2% of the outstanding share capital as of December 31, 2009.

In January 2010, we entered into an agreement to acquire all of the outstanding equity of Natural Speech Communication Ltd., or NSC, that we did not own as of December 31, 2009. The closing of the transaction occurred in May 2010. Pursuant to the agreement, we purchased the remaining 40.3% of the shares from NSC’s non-controlling shareholders with a maximum total consideration payable in the aggregate amount of \$1,733,000 in any combination, at our option, of cash and our shares. We paid \$224,000 in cash in 2010. An additional \$1,009,000 is payable by us in three annual installments commencing on the first anniversary of the closing. Additional consideration of up to \$500,000 is payable by us in 2013, if certain aggregate revenue milestones are met for 2010, 2011 and 2012.

During 2010, AudioCodes invested in some key product lines and solutions to address the following market segments:

- *Enterprise session border controllers for the emerging SIP Trunking market:* We launched our enterprise session border controller (E-SBC) family, which is an extension to our existing line of Mediant media gateways and multi-service business gateways. The Mediant 800 E-SBC, Mediant 1000 E-SBC and Mediant 3000 E-SBC target the VoIP security and connectivity needs of enterprises of different sizes, migrating from traditional PSTN connectivity to SIP trunking services. In addition, they support the mediation between SIP solutions and application of different vendors. As the E-SBC line is an evolution of our existing gateways and MSBG lines, the market for these products will include the same evolving channel strategy, including value added resellers and service provider channels.
- *Residential gateways for the growing voice over broadband market:* We extended our line of residential gateways with our MP-252 multimedia home gateway. The MediaPack™ 252 (MP-252) is a sophisticated, feature-rich, multimedia home gateway for broadband networks with multi-play support. With an ADSL2+ modem, multiple antenna wireless LAN connectivity, DECT home wireless support, handsets supporting HD VoIP, bluetooth interface for connecting cellular phones and optional battery backup, it is targeting the tiers of service providers that offer multi-play services over broadband networks. The market for this product is focused on direct engagement with service providers, as this product typically requires specific integration with the network
- *Mobile clients for the growing mobile VoIP market:* AudioCodes' VoIP mobile access solution, VMAS, is a mobile VoIP (mVoIP) solution comprised of a client management system and a variety of mobile soft clients for leading mobile operating systems and smartphones. VMAS is currently available for leading smartphones such as iPhone™/iPod touch™, Nokia™, Samsung™ and HTC™. Our first customer orders are with service providers such as Vonage and Cellcom Israel. The market for this product is focused on direct engagement with service providers, as this product typically requires specific integration with the service provider's network.
- *Survivable branch appliances and applications for the Microsoft unified communications environment:* We have extended our product range specifically designed for the Microsoft unified communications environment to support Microsoft Lync, the latest Microsoft unified communications platform. These products include survivable branch appliances, based on our Mediant family of media gateways, as well as SPS (SIP phone support), a software platform that enables the connectivity of third party SIP phones into the Microsoft environment. The marketing and sales of these products is utilizing our growing network of Microsoft VSPs (voice specialized partners) that we work closely with.

· *Expanding the line of multi-service business gateways (MSBG) with a new platform and new interfaces:* We have extended our product range designed for integrated voice and data access applications with the launch of the Mediant 800 MSBG – an all-in-one, multi-service business gateway solution, designed to provide converged voice and data services for small-to-mid size business customers, and to form a well-managed point of demarcation for service providers. The Mediant 800 MSBG integrates a variety of communication functions into a single platform to support fundamental services, such as VoIP mediation, data routing, WAN access, voice and data security, survivability, and third party value-added services applications. These services allow smooth connectivity to cloud services. In addition, we have expanded the range of interfaces supported on the Mediant 1000 MBBG, with the introduction of E1/T1 voice interface, T1 WAN interface and SHDSL WAN interface.

Principal Capital Expenditures

We have made and expect to continue to make capital expenditures in connection with expansion of our production capacity. The table below sets forth our principal capital expenditures incurred for the periods indicated (amounts in thousands):

	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>
Computers and peripheral equipment	\$ 2,466	\$ 1,195	\$ 572
Office furniture and equipment	166	76	693
Leasehold improvements	<u>526</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>304</u>
Total	<u>\$ 3,158</u>	<u>\$ 1,271</u>	<u>\$ 1,569</u>

B. BUSINESS OVERVIEW

Introduction

We design, develop and sell products for voice and data over packet networks. In broad terms, voice over packet, or VoP, networks consist of key network elements such as software switches, application servers, Internet protocol, or IP phones and media gateways. Our products primarily provide the media gateway element in the network, as well as voice over Internet protocol, or VoIP, end-points such as IP Phones and VoIP mobile clients. Multi-service business gateways integrate media gateway functionality with data routing and network access. The media gateways connect legacy and IP networks. They essentially receive the legacy format of communication and convert it to an IP communication and vice versa. Typically, media gateways utilize compression algorithms to compress the amount of information and reduce the amount of bandwidth required to convey the information (for example, a voice communication). With the industry migration to an end-to-end IP network, gateways now also connect between different VoIP networks, providing session border controller, or SBC, functionality.

Voice over IP gateway equipment can be generally segmented into three classes: carrier class gateways for use in central office facilities, enterprise gateways for use by corporations and in small offices, and residential gateways for use in homes. In addition to the gateway element, which connects legacy voice equipment to an IP network, there is growth in native VoIP end user equipment, primarily including IP phones, soft phones and VoIP mobile clients, running on desktop PCs or portable devices such as PDAs, cellular phones, smart phones, and other devices that have wireless IP connectivity (e.g., WiFi, UMTS and CDMA.).

The need to re-route voice and fax traffic from the traditional circuit-switched networks onto the new packet networks has led to the development of interface equipment between the two networks, generally referred to as media gateways. The processing of voice and fax signals in gateway and access equipment is done according to industry-wide standards. These standards are needed to ensure that all traditional telephony traffic is seamlessly switched and routed over the packet network and vice versa. The industry migration into a network that is utilizing IP end-to-end has also added a new functionality into the media gateways that now also translates between different implementations of VoIP. This includes protocol translation as well as security services and is provided by stand-alone SBCs as well as Enterprise SBC (E-SBC) functionality integrated into the gateway.

Packet networks differ fundamentally from circuit-switched networks in that the packet network's resources and infrastructure can be shared simultaneously by several users and bandwidth can be flexibly allocated. Packet-based communications systems format the information to be transmitted, such as e-mail, voice, fax and data, into a series of smaller digital packages of information called "packets." Each of these packets is then transmitted over the network and is reassembled as a complete communication at the receiving end. The various packet networks employ different network protocols for different applications, priority schemes and addressing formats to ensure reliable communication.

Packet networks offer a number of advantages over circuit-switched networks. Rather than requiring a dedicated circuit for each individual call, packet networks commingle packets of voice, fax and data from several communications sources on a single physical link. This provides superior utilization of network resources, especially in dealing with information sources with bursts of information followed by periods of silence. This superior utilization means that more traffic can be carried over the same amount of network resources.

The integration of voice and data communications makes possible an enrichment of services and an entire range of new, value-added applications, such as unified messaging and voice-enabled web sites. In addition, voice traffic over packet networks is usually compressed to provide a further reduction in the use of or demand for bandwidth. Another recent trend in the VoIP environment, referred to as High Definition VoIP, or HD VoIP, now enables the improvement of voice quality. The adoption of both VoIP technology and broadband networks has enabled the development and deployment of high-quality voice coding algorithms that make communication more efficient, effective and natural. HD VoIP allows carriers to differentiate their services with an improved audio experience, with the goal of creating customer loyalty and affinity. It also enables enterprises to provide better, clearer voice services for their employees, which we believe makes them more productive and makes it easier to work across different cultures and accents.

As customers integrate more services into their IP network, they tend to use integrated products that provide all the services they need in one box. Multi-service business gateways, or MSBGs, combine all the capabilities of media gateways with the support of native data routing and switching. The MSBGs enables enterprise customers to connect their branch office networks into the corporate headquarters, and service providers to connect their customers into their network core. Some MSBGs also include integrated hosts which can run off-the-shelf unified communications applications. This combination enables system integrators to provide a fully integrated solution for small/medium businesses and enterprise branches, or SMB/E, that includes the voice and data infrastructure and the application in one device.

Moving into the VoIP world, enterprise and service providers have started to use a new breed of phone devices that inherently produce packets instead of legacy voice, called IP phones. The IP phone is an advanced telephone that connects into the network using VoIP over Ethernet instead of using analog TDM interfaces. Most enterprise telephony systems sold today use IP phones, as well as service providers managed services such as IP Centrex.

In addition to wireline IP telephony, mobile networks have started to use VoIP as well. Mobile VoIP clients, running on smart-phones, enable cost effective mobile roaming and allow Internet telephony service providers to enter the mobile space. These include mobile VoIP clients for leading smartphones operating systems, such as iPhone OS, Symbian, Windows mobile and Android.

We typically categorize our products into two main business lines: network and technology. Sales of network products accounted for approximately 72% of our revenues in 2009 and approximately 70% of our revenues in 2010 and sales of technology products accounted for approximately 28% of our revenues in 2009 and approximately 30% of our revenues in 2010.

Network products consist of customer premises equipment, or CPE, gateways for the enterprise and service provider (or carrier) markets and of carrier-grade-oriented low- and mid-density media gateways for service providers. Complementing our media gateways as network products are our multi-service business gateways, IP phones, media server, and value added application products.

Technology products are enabling in nature and consist of our chips and boards business products. These are sold primarily to original equipment manufacturers, or OEMs, through distribution channels. Our chips and boards serve as building blocks that our customers incorporate in their products. In contrast, our networking products are used by our customers as part of a broader technological solution and are a box level product that interacts directly with other third party products.

Our Products

We offer two categories of products, networking products and technology products.

Networking Products

Networking products are deployed in enterprise unified communications networks, service providers residential and access networks, trunking applications in carrier networks, and fixed-mobile convergence applications.

- Our media gateways enable voice, data and fax to be transmitted over Internet and other protocols, and interface with third party equipment to facilitate enhanced voice and data services.
- Our multi-service business gateways integrate multiple data, telephony and security services into a single device. Building on our media gateway CPE line, we have added the support of additional functions such as a LAN switch, a data router, a firewall and a session border controller, providing service providers with an integrated demarcation point and the enterprise with an all-in-one solution for its communications needs. Our IP phones include a family of high definition IP phones, suitable for integration with third party IP-PBX platforms for the enterprise IP telephony market, as well as into IP-Centrex service provider solutions.
- Our mobile VoIP clients include a family of soft clients for leading smartphones operating systems and a client management system, providing mobile roaming solutions for mobile and voice over IP and voice over broadband service providers.
- Media servers enable conferencing, multi-language announcement functionality, and other applications for voice over packet networks.
- Unified communication applications offer solutions that enable the integration of voice, data, fax and messaging.

Technology Products

Our technology products are enabling products that are part of our own or our customers' products.

- Our signal processor chips process and compress voice, data and fax and enable connectivity between traditional telephone networks and packet networks.
- Our communication boards and modules for communication system products are integrated into third-party communications systems and deployed on both access networks and enterprise networks.

Industry Background

Market Trends

The networking and telecommunications industries have experienced rapid change over the last few years. The primary factors driving this change include the following:

- *New technologies.* The increase of speed and the proliferation of broadband access technologies alongside related technologies, such as new high definition voice compression algorithms, quality of service mechanisms and security and encryption algorithms and protocols, have enabled delivery of voice over packet to residential and enterprise customers with more reliability, higher quality and greater security. Examples of these broadband access technologies include: third generation cellular, WiMax, WiFi, data over cable, digital subscriber line technologies and fiber networks (FTTx). Packet technologies enable delivery of real time and non-real time services by different service providers that do not necessarily own the access network or the part of the network through which the subscriber accesses the network. This allows for the growth of alternative or virtual service providers that do not own an access network.
- *Competition by alternative service providers with incumbent and traditional service providers.* Competition by alternative service providers is causing incumbents to deploy advanced broadband access technologies and increase their competitiveness by offering bundled services to their subscribers, such as voice, video and data, and online gaming. In addition, the emergence of wide band vocoders that use a higher sampling rate than used in legacy time domain multiplexing, or TDM, networks allows service providers to offer higher quality voice and music over their newly established IP network.
- *New services enabled by broadband access.* Changes in the regulatory environment affecting service providers and the availability of new technologies or standards allow service providers to compete with one another in the provision of additional services over and above the traditional telephony service of voice, fax and dial-up modem internet connectivity. New services that could be offered include internet connectivity over broadband access or access to rich multimedia content such as music, video and games.
- *Increasing need for peering between VoIP networks.* Service providers and enterprises are increasingly building out VoIP networks. As a result, there is an increasing need to connect between two VoIP networks. In order to interconnect between two VoIP networks, service providers and enterprises need session border controllers to provide connectivity and security.
- *Increased use of open source codes for enterprise telephony.* Similar to the trend experienced with respect to Linux in the IT world, open source has started to gain momentum in the VoIP space as well. Open source based IP telephony solutions, led by Asterisk, a well known IP-PBX implementation, is starting to penetrate the enterprise space as a low cost alternative to the proprietary IP-PBX solutions from the large vendors. The adoption of open source IP telephony solutions is gaining momentum mainly in the SMB/SME space, as well as with service providers and developers that add their own code on top of the open source basic code to enable special services and features.

- *Unified communications in the enterprise.* With the move to VoIP and the network integration between voice and data based on Ethernet and IP, enterprises can easily move into a unified communications network. Unified communications networks integrate all means of communications into a single experience, providing on line (voice, data, instant messaging) and off line (voice mail, email and fax) integration into the same device. The devices can be PCs, desktop phones or mobile smartphones and PDAs.
- *Mobility.* Mobile smartphones have become popular among business professionals as well as the general public. Smartphones, running advanced operating systems such as Symbian, Windows Mobile, Android and iPhone OS, include high CPU power, large storage space, integrated WiFi and 3G data, as well as the ability to run high performance multimedia applications. Mobile VoIP is one of these applications, allowing cost-effective roaming for a service provider's customers and enterprise mobility services.
- *Cloud Computing.* The emergence of cloud computing services also affects the communications world. Leading unified communication vendors offer their hosted services on the cloud as an alternative to enterprise owned systems. This includes solutions such as Microsoft Office 365, IP Centrex services by telecom providers and quality of experience monitoring solutions such as Broadsoft PacketSmart.

The Challenges

Despite the inherent advantages and the economic attractiveness of packet voice networking, the transmission of packet voice and fax poses a variety of technological challenges. These challenges relate to quality of service, reliability of equipment, functionality and features, and ability to provide a good return on investment.

- *Quality of Service.* The most critical issues leading to poor quality of service in the transmission of voice and fax over packet networks are packet loss, packet delay and packet delay jitter. For real time signals like voice, the slightest delay in the arrival of a packet may render that packet unusable and, in a voice transmission, the delayed packet is considered a lost packet. Delay is usually caused by traffic hitting congestion or a bottleneck in the network. The ability to address delay is compounded by the varying arrival times of packets, called packet-jitter, which results from the different routes taken by different packets. This "jitter" can be eliminated by holding the faster arriving packets until the slower arriving packets can catch up, but this introduces further delay. These idiosyncrasies of packet networks do not noticeably detract from the quality of data transmission since data delivery is relatively insensitive to time delay. However, even the slightest delay or packet loss in voice and fax transmission can have severe ramifications such as voice quality degradation or, in the case of a fax transmission, call interruption. Therefore, the need to compensate for lost or delayed packets without degradation of voice and fax quality is a critical issue.

- *Gateway Reliability.* In order for a packet network to be efficient for voice or fax transmission, the VoIP gateway equipment that is installed in core networks must be able to deliver a higher level of performance than existing switching equipment located at central offices. The telecommunications providers' central offices contain circuit-switching equipment that typically handles tens of thousands of lines and is built to meet severe performance criteria relating to reliability, capacity, size, power consumption and cost.
- *Connectivity and Security.* In contrast with legacy circuit switched voice and video communications, IP-based communications are more susceptible to attacks, interceptions and fraud by unauthorized entities. In addition, the complexity and relative immaturity of IP networks and protocols pose significant quality of service and connectivity challenges when sessions cross between separate IP networks.
- *Functionality.* In order to effectively replace legacy circuit-switching equipment, packet network equipment must be able to deliver equivalent and improved functionality and features for the service providers and network users.
- *Return on Investment.* With the reduction in profitability of service providers there is an even greater need for them to achieve better returns on investment from capital expenditures on new equipment. Given the evolving nature of packet technologies and capabilities, there is greater pressure to provide cost-effective technological solutions.

In order to maximize the benefits of using packet networks for the transmission of voice, data and fax, products must be able to address and solve these inherent problems and challenges. These products must also be standards-based to support interoperability among different equipment manufacturers and to allow operation over various networks.

AudioCodes' Solution

Using our voice compression algorithms, industry standards, advanced digital signal processing techniques, VoIP control protocol expertise, and voice communications system design expertise, our products address quality of service problems, security problems and reliability problems facing the VoIP industry. As a result, we enable our customers to build packet networks that provide communication quality comparable to traditional telephone networks. Using HDVoIP, voice quality can even surpass the quality of traditional TDM networks. We work closely with our customers in order to tailor our products to meet their specific needs, assist them in integrating our products within their networks and help them bring their systems into operation on a timely basis. We also work with our customers in deploying their systems in various network environments.

Utilizing our investment in developing standards-based VoIP protocol support for our products, customers can integrate our products with a large number of industry leading IP-PBXs and carrier soft switches. Our interoperability teams test our products against a variety of other products for interoperability, focusing on the leading standard VoIP protocols: Session Initiation Protocol, or SIP, and MEGACO/H.248.

We believe that the following strengths have enabled us to develop our products and provide services to our customers:

- *Leadership in voice compression technology.* We are a leader in voice compression technology. Voice compression exploits redundancies within a voice signal to reduce the bit rate of data required to digitally represent the voice signal while still maintaining acceptable voice quality. Our key development personnel have significant experience in developing voice compression technology. We were involved in the development of the ITU G.723.1 voice coding standard that was adopted by the VoIP Forum and the International Telecommunications Union as the recommended standard for use in voice over IP gateways. We implement industry voice compression standards and work directly with our customers to design state-of-the-art proprietary voice compression algorithms that satisfy specific network requirements. We believe that our significant knowledge of the basic technology permits us to optimize its key elements and positions us to address further technological advances in the industry. We also believe that our technological expertise has resulted in us being sought out by leading equipment manufacturers to work with them in designing their systems and provision of solutions to their customers.
- *Digital signal processing design expertise.* Our extensive experience and expertise in designing advanced digital signal processing algorithms enables us to implement them efficiently in real time systems. Digital signal algorithms are computerized methods used to extract information out of signals. In designing our signal processors, we use minimal digital signal processing memory and processing power resources. This allows us to develop higher density solutions than our competitors. Our expertise is comprehensive and extends to all of the functions required to perform voice compression, fax and modem transmission over packet networks and telephone signaling processing.
- *Compressed voice communications systems design expertise.* We have the expertise to design and develop the various building blocks and the products required for complete voice over packet systems. In building these systems, we develop hardware architectures, voice packetization software and signaling software, and integrate them with our signal processors to develop a complete, high performance compressed voice communications system. We assist our customers in integrating our signal processors into their hardware and software systems to ensure high voice quality, high completion rate of fax and data transmissions and telephone signaling processing accuracy. Further, we are able to customize our off-the-shelf products to meet our customers' specific needs, thereby providing them with a complete, integrated solution.

- *Real time embedded software design and implementation expertise.* We have the expertise to design and develop voice and data network elements using embedded real time software to achieve more competitive pricing. The development and integration of VoIP signaling protocols, routing protocols, management and provisioning into a more cost-effective solution uses our expertise and investment in research and development resources. We believe that the benefits we can deliver are better price performance, smaller footprint, reduced power consumption and more attractive products.
- *Media gateway protocols design expertise.* Our extensive experience in developing media gateway standard protocols, keeping ourselves up to date with new request for comments, or RFCs, and adjusting our features according to customers requirements and interoperability testing allows us to provide our customers with a single gateway that can interface with most of the leading solution providers in the VoIP market.
- *Close technology relationships with market leaders.* Our continuing effort of testing and certifying our systems against other vendors' complimentary solutions, positions us as a provider of VoIP products that can interoperate with most of the world's leading VoIP products. It also helps to create for us an extensive feature list that can be used by different customers for their own networks and solutions.

We believe that our products possess the following advantages:

- *Voice over Packet signal processors.* Our multi-channel signal processors enable our customers and us to create products that meet the reliability, capacity, size, power consumption and cost requirements needed for building high capacity VoIP products.
- *Multiple and comprehensive product lines.* We address both the standards-based open telecommunications architecture market and the proprietary system market. We can do this because we enable our customers to use multiple applications in different market segments. For example, our VoIP communications boards target the open telecommunications architecture market, while our signal processors, modules and voice packetization software target the proprietary system market. Our analog and digital media gateways and multi-service business gateways target residential, hosted, access, trunking and enterprise applications and our digital media gateways target wireless, wire line, cable and fixed-mobile convergence networks. Our IP phones and VoIP mobile clients target the enterprise and service provider hosted solutions markets.

- *Extensive feature set.* Our products incorporate an extensive set of signal processing functions and features (such as coders, fax processing and echo cancellation), functionalities (such as session initiation protocol, or SIP, H.248 or Megaco, H.323, and media gateway control protocol, or MGCP) and implement a complete system. We offer the ability to manage multiple channels of communications working independently of each other, with each channel capable of performing all of the functions required for voice compression, fax and modem transmission, telephone signaling processing and other functions. These functions include voice, fax or data detection, echo cancellation, telephone tone signal detection, generation and other telephony signaling processing. Our gateway products, media server and multi-service business gateways also offer wireless/mobile features to enable fixed mobile convergence.
- *Cost-effective solutions.* We are able to address different market segments and applications with the same hardware platforms thus providing our customers with efficient and cost-effective solutions.
- *Open architecture.* Our networking products utilize industry standard control protocols that enable them to interoperate with other vendors and easily integrate into enterprise IP telephony systems as well as carrier networks. Our voice over packet communications boards target the open architecture gateway market segment, which enables our customers to use hardware and software products widely available for standards-based open telecommunications platforms. We believe that this provides our customers the benefits of scalability, upgradeability and enhanced functionality without the need to replace their systems for evolving applications.
- *Various entry level products.* Our wide product range (chips to media gateways, multi-service business gateway, IP phones and media servers) provides our customers with a range of entry level products. We believe that these building blocks enable our customers to significantly shorten their time to market by adding their value added solution.
- *VoIPerfect™ architecture.* Our VoIPerfect architecture serves as the underlying technology platform common to all of our products since 1998. VoIPerfect™ is regularly updated and upgraded with features and functionalities required to comply with evolving standards and protocols. VoIPerfect™ architecture comprises VoIP digital signal processing, or DSP, software and media streaming embedded software, integrated public telephone switched network, or PTSN, signaling protocols and VoIP standard control protocols, provisioning and management engines. Additional features enable carrier-grade quality and high availability. VoIPerfect™ architecture components are available in AudioCodes' products at various levels of integration, from the chip level, through blades, to high-availability and non-high-availability analog and digital gateway platforms.

Business Strategy

AudioCodes' vision is to become a leading strategic supplier of VoIP and converged VoIP and data solutions for service providers and enterprises worldwide. The following are key elements of our strategy:

- *Maintain and extend technological leadership.* We intend to capitalize on our expertise in voice compression technology and voice signaling protocols and proficiency in designing voice communications systems. We continually upgrade our product lines with additional functionalities, interfaces and densities. We have invested heavily and are committed to continued investment in developing technologies that are key to providing high performance voice, data and fax transmission over packet networks and to be at the forefront of technological evolution in our industry.
- *Strengthen and expand strategic relationships with key partners and customers.* We sell our products to leading enterprise channels, regional system integrators, global equipment manufacturers and value-added resellers, or VARs, in the telecommunications and networking industries and establish and maintain long-term working relationships with them. We work closely with our customers to engineer products and subsystems that meet each customer's particular needs. The long development cycles usually required to build equipment incorporating our products frequently results in close working relationships with our customers. By focusing on leading equipment manufacturers with large volume potential, we believe that we reach a substantial segment of our potential customer base while minimizing the cost and complexity of our marketing efforts.
- *Expand and enhance the development of highly-integrated products.* We plan to continue designing, developing and introducing new product lines and product features that address the increasingly sophisticated needs of our customers. We believe that our knowledge of core technologies and system design expertise enable us to offer better solutions that are more complete and contain more features than competitive alternatives. We believe that the best opportunities for our growth and profitability will come from offering a broad range of highly-integrated network product lines and product features, the integration of data services into our VoIP products, and the expansion into the unified communications applications market.
- *Build upon existing technologies to penetrate new markets.* The technology we developed originally for the OEM market has served us in building products that now sell into the service provider and enterprise markets. The same products and technology can also be used to create vertical-specific products and solutions. Two vertical markets that we focus on are the military and government markets which have been adopting service-provider scale VoIP solutions.
- *Develop a network of strategic partners.* We sell our products through or in cooperation with customers that can offer or certify our products as part of a full-service solution to their customers. We expect to further develop our strategic partner relationships with solution providers, system integrators and other service providers in order to increase our customer base. Our strategic partners include companies such as Microsoft, BroadSoft, Avaya and Alcatel-Lucent.

- *Acquire complementary businesses and technologies.* We may pursue the acquisition of complementary businesses and technologies or the establishment of joint ventures to broaden our product offerings, enhance the features and functionality of our systems, increase our penetration in targeted markets and expand our marketing and distribution capabilities. As part of this strategy, we acquired the UAS business from Nortel in April 2003 and Ai-Logix (now part of AudioCodes Inc.), in May 2004. We also acquired Nuera (now part of AudioCodes Inc.) in July 2006, Netrake (now part of AudioCodes Inc.) in August 2006, CTI Squared in April 2007 and Natural Speech Communication in 2010.

Products

Our products facilitate the transmission of voice, data and fax over packet networks. we have incorporated our algorithms, technologies and systems design expertise in both our networking and technology product lines.

Networking products

This line of products includes products that are network level products. Our networking products include:

- analog media gateways for toll bypass, residential gateways, hosted, access and enterprise applications;
- digital media gateways with various capacities for wireless, wireline, cable, enterprise, fixed mobile convergence, and unified communications;
- multi-service business gateways for integrated voice, data and security access for service providers connecting enterprise customers to their network and for the enterprise branch office;
- IP phones for enterprise and managed services service providers;
- mobile VoIP access solutions;
- media servers for enhanced voice and video services and functionalities such as conferencing, video sharing and messaging (IPmedia™ Media Servers); and
- value-added applications for unified communications.

In addition, we continue to offer customers our professional services, which usually involve customization and development projects for customers.

Technology products

This line of products serves as a building block for network level products. Our technology products include:

- voice over packet processors;
- VoIP communication boards;
- media processing boards for enhanced services and functionalities; and
- voice and data logging hardware integration board products.

Our products are designed to build on our core technologies and competencies extending them both vertically (chips inserted into boards, boards inserted into digital media gateways) and horizontally into different applications for different market segments, such as enterprise, call centers, wireline, cable and wireless.

Our Product Families – Networking Products

Analog Media Gateways for Toll Bypass, Service Provider Access and Enterprise Applications

MediaPack™, our analog and basic rate interface, or BRI, media gateways for toll bypass, service provider access and enterprise applications, are designed to empower the next-generation network by providing cost-effective, cutting-edge technology solutions that deliver voice and fax services to the corporate market, small businesses and home offices. Our analog media gateways for access and enterprise applications provide media streaming functionality while being either controlled by a centralized call agent or used in box VoIP control protocols (SIP, H.323, and MGCP). Convergence of data, voice and fax is achieved by a combination of the media gateway with any IP access technology, eliminating the cost of multiple access circuits. This product family utilizes our experience and digital signal processing, or DSP, technology for echo cancellation, voice compression, silence suppression and comfort noise generation. Part of this line is composed of our analog residential gateways whose primary target market is the large volume residential service providers, or SP, market.

The MediaPack™ family represents a feature rich product for streaming voice quality with a powerful analog interface supporting all major control protocols, such as H323, SIP and MGCP, and is also capable of supporting unified communication and FMC applications.

The MediaPack family also includes the MP-2xx family of residential gateways, including the MP-252 multimedia home gateway. The MediaPack™ 252 (MP-252) is a feature-rich, multimedia home gateway for broadband networks with multi-play support. With ADSL2+ modem, multiple antenna wireless LAN connectivity, DECT handsets supporting HD VoIP, bluetooth interface for connecting cellular phones and optional battery backup, it is targeting the tiers of service providers that offer multi-play home services over broadband networks. The market for this product is focused on direct engagement with service providers, as this product typically requires specific integration with the network.

The Mediant™ Family of Products-Digital and Mixed Media Access Media Gateways and Multi-Service Business Gateways for Service Provider Access and Enterprise Applications (Mediant™ 600, 1000, 2000 and Mediant 800 and 1000™ MSBG) and Digital Media Gateways and Various Capacities for Wireless, Wireline and Cable (Mediant™ 3000, 5000, 8000).

Mediant™ is our family of access gateways. We have Mediant™ products for service provider access enterprise networks. We also provide converged media gateways for wireline, cable, wireless (GSM and CDMA), fixed-mobile-convergence and large enterprise networks. The Mediant™ product family offers scalability and functionality, providing a full suite of standards compliant control protocols and public switched telephone network, or PSTN, signaling interfaces for a variety of applications in most IP-PBX and softswitch controlled environments, as well as for a variety of large enterprise, wireline, cable and wireless media gateway applications in most softswitch controlled environments. This product family is compatible with popular wireline, cable and wireless voice coders and protocols, including code-division multiple access, or CDMA, global system for mobile communications, or GSM, CDMA2000 and universal mobile telecommunications service, or UMTS. It builds on our TrunkPack® architecture, which is installed in millions of lines worldwide. It is also interoperable with most of the world's leading vendors.

The Mediant™ family provides carriers with a comprehensive line of different sized gateways. Small or medium-sized gateways enable cost-effective solutions for enterprise or small points of presence, as well as entry into fast growing new and emerging markets. The large gateways scale to central office capacities and are designed to meet carriers' operational requirements. The Mediant™ family of media gateways is capable of supporting unified communication and fixed mobile convergence applications which may be of increased interest to enterprises and service providers. The Mediant™ gateway family shares our VoIP perfect architecture, designed to provide mature, field-proven solutions.

The Mediant™ family of products consists of a number of models that offer different capacity, that is the number of concurrent calls that the gateway can handle. The capacity of our Mediant products range from approximately 30 concurrent calls to 8,000 concurrent calls for the wireless and wireline markets.

Our Mediant™ 1000 has two different models. One model is a modular media gateway. The other model includes multi-service business gateways, or MSBGs, which are networking devices that combine multiple multiservice functions such as a media gateway, session border controller, data router and firewall, LAN switch, WAN access, and stand alone survivability, or SAS. The MSBG concept is designed to address the needs of service providers and cable operators that offer IP-Centrex and SIP trunking services and of distributed enterprises. In addition, we have expanded the range of interfaces supported on the Mediant 1000 MSBG, with the introduction of E1/T1 voice interface, T1 WAN interface and SHDSL WAN interface.

The Mediant 800 MSBG integrates a variety of communication functions into a single platform to support fundamental services such as VoIP mediation, data routing, WAN access, voice and data security, survivability and third party value-added services applications. These services allow smooth connectivity to cloud services.

We offer the Mediant 8000 MSBG and Mediant 1000 MSBG, which are all-in-one multi-service access solution designed to provide converged voice and data services for business customers at wire speed, while maintaining service level agreement, or SLA, parameters for superior voice quality. The Mediant MSBGs are based on AudioCodes' VoIPerfect best-of-breed media gateway technology, combined with enterprise class session border controller, data and voice security elements, data routing, LAN switching and WAN access.

We have extended our product range specifically designed for the Microsoft unified communications environment to support Microsoft Lync, the latest Microsoft unified communications platform. These products include survivable branch appliances, based on our Mediant family of media gateways, as well as SPS (SIP phone support), a software platform that enables the connectivity of third party SIP phones into the Microsoft environment. The marketing and sales of these products is utilizing our growing network of Microsoft VSPs (voice specialized partners) that we work closely with.

The enterprise session border controller, or ESBC, technology integrated into the Mediant 800 and 1000 MSBG, as well as the Mediant 3000, 5000 and 8000, offers secure VoIP and multimedia traversal of firewall, or FW, and network address translation, or NAT, systems, as well as denial of service, or DoS, attack prevention at both the signaling and media layers. These products target the VoIP security and connectivity needs of enterprises of different sizes, migrating from traditional PSTN connectivity to SIP trunking services. NAT and FW traversal are necessary to allow VoIP and multimedia sessions to pass from the service provider (“SP”) network to the residential or enterprise networks. DoS attack prevention protects the SP network from attacks that load the network until it crashes. It also provides comprehensive quality of service, or QoS, mechanisms and protocol mediation, which is the translation between two variants of the same VoIP protocol to enable two VoIP systems to communicate with each other. They also support the mediation between SIP solutions and applications of different vendors. As the E-SBC line is an evolution of our existing gateways and MSBG lines, the market for these products is expected to include the same evolving channel strategy, including value-added resellers and service provider channels.

The Mediant 800 and 1000 MSBGs can also include a general purpose CPU and hard disk, allowing to host any third-party off-the-shelf application. Positioned as MSBG PLUS, this solution enables system integrators and software vendors to use the MSBG platform for integrated unified communications solutions.

For the cable market, the Mediant™ gateway family complies with packet telephony standards and is designed for either hybrid or all IP cable network architecture. The Mediant gateway enables deployment of advanced packet-based cable telephony at multiple service operators own pace, without costly hardware changes. The Mediant™ gateway can be initially deployed as a V5.2 IP access terminal and then easily migrated by software upgrade to a cable telephony media gateway with external call management provided by a softswitch and an SS7 interface to the PSTN.

IPmedia™ Servers for Enhanced Services and Functionalities

IPmedia™ platforms are designed to answer the growing market demand for enhanced voice services over packet networks, particularly network-based applications like unified communications, call recording, and conferencing by carriers and application service providers. IPmedia™ enables our customers to develop and market applications such as unified communications, interactive voice response, call-centers, conferencing and voice-activated personal assistants.

300HD Series of High Definition IP Phones

AudioCodes 300 Series of HD VoIP-enabled IP phones offers a new dimension of voice call quality and clarity for the enterprise and service provider markets. This product line enables us to provide an end-to-end solution which relies heavily on the technological infrastructure and proven track record in providing state-of-the art high quality VoIP products for enterprise, wireline, wireless and cable applications.

The 300 Series of IP phones meet the demand for high definition VoIP solutions in end-user phones and terminals, providing high voice fidelity, advanced security and features and enhanced user interface. The 300 Series of IP phones is widely interoperable with numerous IP-PBXs, softswitches and IP-Centrex solutions.

VoIP Mobile Access Solution (VMAS)

The VMAS™ is a mobile VoIP solution from AudioCodes comprised of a client management system (CMS) and a variety of mobile soft clients for leading mobile operating systems and smartphones. VMAS is currently available for leading smartphones such as iPhone™/iPod touch®, Nokia®, Samsung®™ and HTC™. Our first customer orders for VMAS are with service providers such as Vonage and Cellcom Israel. The market for this product is focused on direct engagement with service providers, as this product typically requires specific integration with the service provider's network.

CTI2 Value Added Services Applications (InTouch)

The InTouch platform is an enhanced value added services, or VAS, platform for service providers, such as cable, class 5, class 4, fixed-line, mobile, multiservice virtual network operator, or MVNO, and operators. InTouch provides a suite of next generation VAS. InTouch is an IP-based, email-centric and telco-grade platform conforming to ultimate service providers' requirements for high-availability, reliability, scalability, and security. InTouch is designed to smoothly scale from a very small system to a system with millions of subscribers based on the same software and architecture, while enabling a rich suite of applications at all sizes. InTouch's open architecture is based on industry-standard protocols, facilitating interoperability and integration with best of breed, third-party applications. InTouch acts as a mediator between InTouch services and a large selection of clients and devices enabling service providers to offer attractive packages.

Element Management System

Our element management system, or EMS, is an advanced solution for centralized, standards-based management of our VoP gateways, covering all areas vital to the efficient operations, administration, management and provisioning of our Mediant™ and MediaPack™ VoP gateways.

Our EMS offers network equipment providers and system integrators fast setup of medium and large VoP networks with the advantage of a single centralized management system that configures, provisions and monitors all of AudioCodes gateways deployed, either as customer premises equipment, access or core network platforms.

Voice Over Packet Processors

Our signal processor chips compress and decompress voice, data and fax communications. This enables these communications to be sent from circuit-switched telephone networks to packet networks. Our chips are digital signal processors on which we have embedded our algorithms. These signal processor chips are the basic building blocks used by our customers and us to enable their products to transmit voice, fax and data over packet networks. These chips may be incorporated into our communications boards, media gateway modules and analog media gateways for access and enterprise applications or they may be purchased separately and incorporated into other boards or customer products.

TrunkPack™ VoIP Communication Boards

Our communications boards are designed to operate in gateways connecting the circuit-switched telephone network to packet networks based on Internet protocols. Our boards comply with VoIP industry standards and allow for interoperability with other gateways. Our boards support standards-based open telecommunications architecture systems and combine our signal processor chips with communications software, signaling software and proprietary hardware architecture to provide a cost efficient interoperable solution for high capacity gateways. We believe that using open architecture permits our customers to bring their systems to market quickly and to integrate our products more easily within their systems.

IPmedia™ Boards for Enhanced Services and Functionalities

The IPmedia™ product family is designed to allow OEMs and application partners to provide sophisticated content and services that create revenue streams and customer loyalty through the ability to provide additional services. The IPmedia™ boards provides voice and fax processing capabilities to enable, together with our partners, an architecture for development and deployment of enhanced services.

Voice and Data Logging Hardware Integration Board Products

The SmartWORKS™ family of products is our voice and data logging hardware integration board product line. SmartWORKS™ boards for the call recording and voice/data logging industry are compatible with a multitude of private branch exchange, or PBX, telephone system integrations.

Core Technologies

We believe that one of our key competitive advantages is our broad base of core technologies ranging from advanced voice compression algorithms to complex architecture system design. We have developed and continue to build on a number of key technology areas. We have named our cross platform core technology VoIPerfect™. It essentially allows us to leverage the same feature set and interoperability with other products across our product lines.

Narrowband and Wideband (HDVoIP) Voice Compression Algorithms

Voice compression techniques are essential for the transmission of voice over packet networks. Voice compression exploits redundancies within a voice signal to reduce the bit rate required to digitally represent the voice signal, from 64 kilobits per second, or kbps, down to low bit rates ranging from 5.3 kbps to 8 kbps, while still maintaining acceptable voice quality. A bit is a unit of data. Different voice compression algorithms, or coders, make certain tradeoffs between voice quality, bit rate, delay and complexity to satisfy various network requirements. Use of voice activity detection techniques and silence removal techniques further reduce the transmission rate by detecting the silence periods embedded in the voice flow and discarding the information packets which do not contribute to voice intelligibility.

We are one of the innovators in developing low bit rate voice compression technologies. Our patented MP-MLQTM coder was adopted in 1995 by the ITU as the basis for the G.723.1 voice coding standard for audio/visual applications over circuit-switched telephone networks. By adhering to this standard, system manufacturers guarantee the interoperability of their equipment with the equipment of other vendors.

We also provide wideband compression techniques that provide high definition VoIP quality, which expands the sampled frequency range from the traditional narrowband frequency range of 3.3Khz to over 7Khz, providing better voice quality and intelligibility, and a better user expertise. This technology is expanding and is expected to become a de-facto standard for future VoIP communications.

Advanced Digital Signal Processing Algorithms

To provide a complete voice over packet communications solution, we have developed a library of digital signal processing functions designed to complement voice compression coders with additional functionality, including: echo cancellation; voice activity detection; facsimile and data modem processing; and telephony signaling processing. Our extensive experience and expertise in designing advanced digital signal processing solutions allows us to implement algorithms using minimal processing memory and power resources.

Our algorithms include:

Echo cancellation. Low bit rate voice compression techniques introduce considerable delay, necessitating the use of echo cancellation algorithms. The key performance criterion of an echo canceller is its ability to deal with large echo reflections, long echo delays, fast changing echo characteristics, diverse telecommunications equipment and network effects. Our technology achieves low residual echo and fast response time to render echo effects virtually unnoticeable.

Fax transmission. There are two widely used techniques for real time transmission of fax over networks based on Internet protocols: fax relay and fax spoofing. Fax relay takes place when a fax is sent from a fax machine through a gateway over networks based on Internet protocols in real time to a fax machine at the other end of the network. At the gateway, the analog fax signals are demodulated back into digital data, converted into packets, routed over the packet network and reassembled at the receiving end. Fax relay is used when the round trip network delay is small (typically below one second). When the round trip network delay increases, one of the fax machines may time out while waiting for a response from the other fax machine to arrive.

Data modem technology. We have developed data modem technologies that facilitate data relay over packet networks. Our data modem relay software algorithms support all existing data modem standards up to a bit rate of 14.4 kbps.

Telephony signaling processing. Various telephony signaling standards and protocols are employed to route calls over the traditional telephone network, some of which use “in-band” methods, which means that the signaling tones are sent over the telephone line just like the voice signal. As a result, in-band signaling tones may have to undergo the compression process just like the voice signal. Most low bit-rate voice coders, however, are optimized for speech signals and exhibit poor tone transfer performance. To overcome this, our processors are equipped with tone detection and tone generation algorithms. To provide seamless transparency between the traditional telephone network and packet networks for signaling, we employ various digital signal processing techniques for efficient tone processing.

Voice Communications Software

To transmit the compressed voice and fax over packet networks, voice packetization processes are required to construct and deconstruct each packet of data for transmission. The processing involves breaking up information into packets and adding address and control fields information according to the specifications of the appropriate packet network protocol. In addition, the software provides the interface with the signal processors and addresses packet delay and packet loss issues.

Media Processing

Our media processing products provide the enabling technology and platforms for developing enhanced voice service applications for legacy and next generation networks. We have developed media processing technologies such as message recording/playback, announcements, voice coding and mixing and call progress tone detection that enable our customers to develop and offer advanced revenue generating services such as conferencing, network announcements, voice mail and interactive voice response.

Our media processing technology is integrated into our enabling technology platforms like Voice over Packet processors and VoIP blades, as well as into our network platforms like the Mediant media gateways and the IPMedia media servers. The same technology is also integrated into our multi-service business gateways, enabling the use of these platforms to run third party VoIP software, offloading media processing from the host CPU.

Addressing Multiple Networks and Standards Concurrently

Convergence of wireline and wireless networks is becoming a key driver for deployment of voice over packet networks, enabling operators to use common equipment for both networks, thus lowering capital expenditures and operating expenses, while offering enriched services.

Our voice over packet products provide a cost-effective solution for these convergence needs, complying with the requirements of broadband Wireline operators using xDSL technologies, Cable operators, mobile operators, FTTx operators, Internet telephony service providers, or ITSPs, and virtual network Operators (VNOs). This includes support for relevant vocoders (wireline and wireless concurrently), interfaces and protocols.

Our products are also positioned to support the requirement of all types of enterprise customers. From SOHO, SMB all the way up to large enterprises, our products can provide integrated VoIP services and service provider access to enterprises in multiple vertical markets.

Hardware Architectures for Dense Multi-Trunk Voice over Packet Systems

Our voice over packet product offerings include high density, multi-trunk voice over packet systems for standards-based open telecommunications platforms in access equipment. Multi-trunk processing is centered around a design encompassing two key processing elements, signal processors performing voice, fax and data processing and a communications processor. Overall system performance, reliability, capacity, size, cost and power consumption are optimized, based on our hardware architecture, which supports high throughput rates for multi-trunk processing. On-board efficient network and system interfaces relieve the system controller from extensive real time data transfer and processing of data streams.

Carrier Grade System Expertise

To provide state of the art carrier grade media gateways, we have developed a wide expertise in a number of fields essential to such a product line. We have developed or integrated the various components required to implement a full digital media gateway solution that behaves as a unified entity to the external world. This required a major investment in adapting standard cPCI and MicroTCA (AMC) platforms to our needs. Such adaptation included optimizing power supply and cooling requirements, adding centralized shelf controllers, fabric switches and alarm cards to the chassis. Another aspect of the expertise we developed relates to high availability software and hardware design. High availability is a required feature in any carrier grade media gateway platform. We have also developed a sophisticated EMS to complete our offering. Our EMS enables the user to provision and monitor a number of media gateways from a centralized location.

Customers

Our customers consist of service providers and enterprises, primarily via channels (such as distributors), OEMs, network equipment providers and systems integrators. Historically, we have derived the majority of our revenues from sales to a small number of customers. The identities of our principal customers have changed and we expect that they will continue to change, from year to year. Historically, a substantial portion of our revenue has been derived from large purchases by a small number of original equipment manufacturers, or OEMs, and network equipment providers, or NEPs, systems integrators and distributors. Our top three customers accounted for approximately 20.9% of our revenues in 2008, 25.7% of our revenues in 2009 and 22.2% of our revenue in 2010. Based on our experience, we expect that our customer base may change from period to period.

Nortel Networks was our largest customer in 2008 and 2009 accounting for 15.6% of our revenues in 2009 and 14.4% of our revenues in 2008. Nortel accounted for 3.9% of our revenues in 2010. In January 2009, Nortel filed for bankruptcy protection. As a result of this bankruptcy filing, \$1.7 million of sales to Nortel in the fourth quarter of 2008 were recorded as unpaid deferred revenues which also reduced trade receivables on our balance sheet. During 2009, Nortel returned to us products with a sales price of \$706,000, which reduced our unpaid deferred revenues by this amount. Nortel has sold a number of its business units and is continuing to sell business units and liquidate assets in the bankruptcy proceeding. Some of the business units sold by Nortel were customers of ours. We cannot be sure if Nortel or business units sold by Nortel that were customers of ours will continue to purchase products from us or, if Nortel sells additional business units that deal with us, any purchaser of those business units will continue to do business with us.

Sales and Marketing

Our sales and marketing strategy is to secure the leading channels and system integrators in each region, partner with leading application companies and achieve design wins with network equipment providers in our targeted markets. Prospective customers and channels generally must make a significant commitment of resources to test and evaluate our products and to integrate them into larger systems, networks, and applications. As a result, our sales process is often subject to delays associated with lengthy approval processes that typically accompany the design and testing of new communications equipment. For these reasons, the sales cycles of our products to new customers are often lengthy, averaging approximately six to twelve months after achieving a design win. This time may be further extended because of internal testing, field trials and requests for the addition or customization of features.

We also provide our customers with reference platform designs, which enable them to achieve easier and faster transitions from the initial prototype designs we use in the test trials through final production releases. We believe this significantly enhances our customers' confidence that our products will meet their market requirements and product introduction schedules.

We market our products in the United States, Europe, Asia, Latin America and Israel primarily through a direct sales force. We have invested significant resources in setting up local sales forces giving us a presence in relevant markets. We have given particular emphasis to emerging markets such as Latin America and Eastern Europe in addition to continuing to sell our products in developed countries.

Marketing managers are dedicated to principal customers to promote close cooperation and communication. Additionally, we market our products in these areas through independent sales representatives and system integrators. We select these independent entities based on their ability to provide effective field sales, marketing communications and technical support to our customers. We have generally entered into a combination of exclusive and non-exclusive sales representation agreements with these representatives in each of the major countries in which we do business. These agreements are typically for renewable 12-month terms, are terminable at will by us upon 90 days notice, and do not commit the sales representative to any minimum sales of our products to third parties. Some of our representatives have the ability to return some of the products they have previously purchased and purchase more up to date models.

Manufacturing

Texas Instruments Incorporated supplies all of the signal processor chips used for our signal processors. Other components are generic in nature and we believe they can be obtained from multiple suppliers.

We have not entered into any long-term supply agreements. However, we have worked for years in several countries with established global manufacturing leaders such as Flextronics and have a good experience with their level of commitment and ability to deliver. To date, we have been able to obtain sufficient amounts of these components to meet our needs and do not foresee any supply difficulty in obtaining timely delivery of any parts or components. However, an interruption in supply from any of these sources, especially with regard to signal processors from Texas Instruments Incorporated, or an unexpected termination of the manufacture of certain electronic components, could disrupt production, thereby adversely affecting our results. We generally maintain an inventory of critical components used in the manufacture and assembly of our products although our inventory of signal processor chips would likely not be sufficient in the event that we had to engage an alternate supplier for these components.

We utilize contract manufacturing for substantially all of our manufacturing processes. Most of our manufacturing is carried out by third-party subcontractors in Israel, China and Taiwan. We have extended our manufacturing capabilities through third party subcontractors in the United States and Mexico. Our internal manufacturing activities consist primarily of the production of prototypes, test engineering, materials purchasing and inspection, final product configuration and quality control and assurance.

In addition, we have engaged several original design manufacturers, or ODM, based in Asia to design and manufacture some of our products. We may engage additional ODMs in the future. Termination of our commercial relationship with an ODM or the discontinuance of manufacturing of products by an ODM would negatively affect our business operations.

We are obligated under certain agreements with our suppliers to purchase goods and under an agreement with one of our manufacturing subcontractors to purchase excess inventory. Aggregate non-cancellable obligations under these agreements as of December 31, 2010 were approximately \$930,000.

Industry Standards and Government Regulations

Our products must comply with industry standards relating to telecommunications equipment. Before completing sales in a country, our products must comply with local telecommunications standards, recommendations of quasi-regulatory authorities and recommendations of standards-setting committees. In addition, public carriers require that equipment connected to their networks comply with their own standards. Telecommunication-related policies and regulations are continuously reviewed by governmental and industry standards-setting organizations and are always subject to amendment or change. Although we believe that our products currently meet applicable industry and government standards, we cannot be sure that our products will comply with future standards.

We are subject to telecommunication industry regulations and requirements set by telecommunication carriers that address a wide range of areas including quality, final testing, safety, packaging and use of environmentally friendly components. We comply with the European Union's Restriction of Hazardous Substances Directive (under certain exemptions) that requires telecommunication equipment suppliers to not use some materials that are not environmentally friendly. These materials include cadmium, hexavalent chromium, lead, mercury, polybrominated biphenyls and polybrominated diphenyl ethers. Under the directive, an extension for compliance was granted with respect to the usage of lead in solders in network infrastructure equipment. We expect that other countries, including countries we operate in, will adopt similar directives or other additional regulations.

Competition

Competition in our industry is intense and we expect competition to increase in the future. Our competitors currently sell products that provide similar benefits to those that we sell. There has been a significant amount of merger and acquisition activity and strategic alliances frequently involving major telecommunications equipment manufacturers acquiring smaller companies, and we expect that this will result in an increasing concentration of market share among these companies, many of whom are our customers.

Our principal competitors in the area of analog media gateways (2 to 24 ports) for access and enterprise are Linksys (a division of Cisco Systems, Inc.), Mediatrix Telecom, Inc., Vega Stream Limited, Samsung, Innovaphone AG, Net.com/Quintum Technologies, Tainet Communication System Corp., Welltech, Ascii Corp., D-Link Systems, Inc., Multitech Inc., Inomedia, Grandstream, OKI and LG.

Our principal competitors in the residential gateway market are Pirelli Broadband (ADB), Technicolor (previously Thomson), Sagemcom, Zyxel, Netgear, Bewan (Pace), Amper, Huawei, FiberHome and ZTE.

In the area of low density digital gateways and multi-service business gateways we face competition from companies such as Cisco, Adtran, Oneaccess, and more specifically in the enterprise class Session Border Controller technology with ACME Packets (Covergence), SIPera, Ingate and Edwater. In addition we face competition in low, mid and high density gateways from companies such as , Alcatel-Lucent, Nokia-Siemens, Huawei, Ericsson, UTstarcom, ZTE and from Cisco, Dialogic (Veraz Networks/Cantata Technologies), Sonus Networks, General Bandwidth and Commatch (Telrad). Some of these competitors are also customers of our products and technologies.

Our principal competitors in the media server market segment are Dialogic/Cantata Technology/NMS Communications, Convedia/Radisys, Movius(IP Unity/Glenayre), Cognitronics and Aculab. In addition, we face competition in software-based and hardware-based media servers from internal development at companies such as Hewlett-Packard, Comverse-NetCentrex, General Bandwidth, Alcatel - Lucent, Nokia-Siemens and Ericsson.

Our principal competitors in the sale of signal processing chips are Texas Instruments, Broadcom, Infineon/Lantiq, Centillium, Surf and Mindspeed. Several large manufacturers of generic signal processors, such as Motorola, Agere Systems, which merged with LSI Corporation in April 2007, and Intel have begun, or are expected to begin marketing competing processors. Our principal competitors in the communications board market are Dialgic/NMS Communications/Cantata, Aculab, Sangoma and PIKA Technologies.

Our principal competitors in the area of IP phones are comprised of “best-of-breed” IP phone vendors and end-to-end IP telephony vendors. “Best-of-breed” IP phone vendors sell standard-based SIP phones that can be integrated into any standards-based IP-PBX or hosted IP telephony systems. These competitors include Polycom, Mediatrix, Yaelink and SNOM. End-to-end IP telephony vendors sell IP phones that only work in their proprietary systems. These competitors include Cisco, Avaya (previously Nortel), Alcatel-Lucent, Siemens and Asstra.

Many of our competitors have the ability to offer vendor-sponsored financing programs to prospective customers. Some of our competitors with broad product portfolios may also be able to offer lower prices on products that compete with ours because of their ability to recoup a loss of margin through sales of other products or services. Additionally, voice, audio and other communications alternatives that compete with our products are being continually introduced.

In the future, we may also develop and introduce other products with new or additional telecommunications capabilities or services. As a result, we may compete directly with VoIP companies and other telecommunications infrastructure and solution providers, some of which may be our current customers. Additional competitors may include companies that currently provide communication software products and services. The ability of some of our competitors to bundle other enhanced services or complete solutions with VoIP products could give these competitors an advantage over us.

Intellectual Property and Proprietary Rights

Our success is dependent in part upon proprietary technology. We rely primarily on a combination of patent, copyright and trade secret laws, as well as confidentiality procedures and contractual provisions, to protect our proprietary rights. We also rely on trademark protection concerning various names and marks that serve to identify it and our products. While our ability to compete may be affected by our ability to protect our intellectual property, we believe that because of the rapid pace of technological change in our industry maintaining our technological leadership and our comprehensive familiarity with all aspects of the technology contained in our signal processors and communication boards is also of primary importance.

We own U.S. patents that relate to our voice compression and session border control technologies. We also actively pursue patent protection in selected other countries of interest to us. In addition to patent protection, we seek to protect our proprietary rights through copyright protection and through restrictions on access to our trade secrets and other proprietary information which we impose through confidentiality agreements with our customers, suppliers, employees and consultants.

There are a number of companies besides us who hold or may acquire patents for various aspects of the technology incorporated in the ITU's standards or other industry standards or proprietary standards, for example, in the fields of wireless and cable. While we have obtained cross-licenses from some of the holders of these other patents, we have not obtained a license from all of the holders. The holders of these other patents from whom we have not obtained licenses may take the position that we are required to obtain a license from them. Companies that have submitted their technology to the ITU (and generally other industry standards making bodies) for adoption as an industry standard are required by the ITU to undertake to agree to provide licenses to that technology on reasonable terms. Accordingly, we believe that even if we were required to negotiate a license for the use of such technology, we would be able to do so at an acceptable price. Similarly, however, third parties who also participate with respect to the same standards-setting organizations as do we may be able to negotiate a license for use of our proprietary technology at a price acceptable to them, but which may be lower than the price we would otherwise prefer to demand.

Under a pooling agreement dated March 3, 1995, as amended, between AudioCodes and DSP Group, Inc., on the one hand, and France Telecom, Université de Sherbrooke and their agent, Sipro Lab Telecom, on the other hand, we and DSP Group, Inc. granted to France Telecom and Université de Sherbrooke the right to use certain of our specified patents, and any other of our and DSP Group, Inc. intellectual property rights incorporated in the ITU G.723.1 standard. Likewise France Telecom and Université de Sherbrooke granted AudioCodes and DSP Group, Inc. the right to use certain of their patents and any other intellectual property rights incorporated in the G.723.1 standard. In each case, the rights granted are to design, make and use products developed or manufactured for joint contribution to the G.723.1 standard without any payment by any party to the other parties.

In addition, each of the parties to the agreement granted to the other parties the right to license to third parties the patents of any party included in the intellectual property required to meet the G.723.1 standard, in accordance with each licensing party's standard patent licensing agreement. The agreement provides for the fee structure for licensing to third parties. The agreement provides that certain technical information be shared among the parties, and each of the groups agreed not to assert any patent rights against the other with respect of the authorized use of voice compression products based upon the technical information transferred. Licensing by any of the parties of the parties' intellectual property incorporated in the G.723.1 standard to third parties is subject to royalties that are specified under the agreement.

Each of the parties to the agreement is free to develop and sell products embodying the intellectual property incorporated into the G.723.1 standard without payment of royalties to other parties, so long as the G.723.1 standard is implemented as is, without modification. The agreement expires upon the last expiration date of any of the AudioCodes, DSP Group, Inc., France Telecom or Université de Sherbrooke patents incorporated in the G.723.1 standard. The parties to the agreement are not the only claimants to technology underlying the G.723.1 standard.

We are aware of parties who may be infringing our technology that is part of the G.723.1 standard. We evaluate these matters on a case by case basis, directly or through our licensing partner. Although we have not yet determined whether to pursue legal action, we may do so in the future. There can be no assurance that any legal action will be successful.

Third parties have claimed, and from time to time in the future may claim, that our past, current or future products infringe their intellectual property rights. Intellectual property litigation is complex and there can be no assurance of a favorable outcome of any litigation. Any future intellectual property litigation, regardless of outcome, could result in substantial expense to us and significant diversion of the efforts of our technical and management personnel. Litigation could also disrupt or otherwise severely impact our relationships with current and potential customers as well as our manufacturing, distribution and sales operations in countries where relevant third party rights are held and where we may be subject to jurisdiction. An adverse determination in any proceeding could subject us to significant liabilities to third parties, require disputed rights to be licensed from such parties, assuming licenses to such rights could be obtained, or require us to cease using such technology and expend significant resources to develop non-infringing technology. We may not be able to obtain a license at an acceptable price.

We have entered into technology licensing fee agreements with third parties. Under these agreements, we agreed to pay the third parties royalties, based on sales of relevant products.

Legal Proceedings

We are not a party to any material legal proceedings, except for the proceedings referred to below.

In September 2009, Network Gateway Solutions LLC filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware against AudioCodes Ltd., AudioCodes Inc. and 19 other defendants alleging the infringement of certain patents owned by Network Gateway. We agreed to defend Patton Electronics, a customer of ours who was also a defendant in this litigation. We settled the proceedings against Patton and against us in 2010 and the litigation has been dismissed.

In May 2007, we entered into an agreement with respect to property adjacent to our headquarters in Israel, pursuant to which a building of approximately 145,000 square feet was erected and was expected to be leased to us for a period of eleven years. This new building was substantially completed on a structural level in May 2010. The landlord claimed that we should have taken delivery of the building at that time and started paying rent. We disagreed with the landlord's interpretation of the relevant agreement. As a result, the landlord terminated the agreement and leased the property to a third party. This dispute has been referred to arbitration where we claim that due to the landlord's failure we lost significant potential revenues due. The landlord counterclaimed alleging that it sustained losses equal to approximately one year's rent and management fees in the amount of approximately NIS 14 million (approximately \$3.9 million). The claim is at an early stage and it is not possible at this stage to predict the outcome of these proceedings. We believe that we have valid defenses to the counterclaim.

In July 2004, a complaint was filed in the U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas, naming one of Nuera's customers as a defendant in a patent infringement suit involving technology the customer purchased from Nuera. In the suit, the plaintiff alleged that the customer used devices to offer services that infringe upon a patent the plaintiff owns. The customer sought indemnification from Nuera pursuant to the terms of a purchase agreement between Nuera and the customer relating to the allegedly infringing technology at issue. We acquired Nuera in 2006. This litigation was dismissed with prejudice in November 2007.

Nortel has asserted that we received approximately \$2.6 million in payments from them during the ninety day period prior to their bankruptcy filing in January 2009 that constitute avoidable preferential transfers. We have entered into an agreement with Nortel that tolls the statute of limitations with respect to these claims until April 14, 2011. We expect to engage in discussions with Nortel with respect to these claims. We believe that we have valid defenses to these claims. However, we are unable to estimate the likelihood of a settlement with Nortel being reached, or to estimate the range of loss if such a settlement is reached. In addition, if a settlement with Nortel is not reached, we are unable to estimate the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome if Nortel commences a formal proceeding, nor are we able to estimate the range of loss if the outcome of such formal proceeding is unfavorable.

C. ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE

List of Significant Subsidiaries

AudioCodes Inc., our wholly-owned subsidiary, is a Delaware corporation.

D. PROPERTY, PLANTS AND EQUIPMENT

We lease our main facilities, located in Airport City, Lod, Israel, which occupy approximately 200,000 square feet for annual lease payments (including management fees) of approximately \$4.6 million (including management fees). In addition, we entered into an agreement with Airport City, Ltd. regarding the neighboring property pursuant to which a building of approximately 145,000 square feet was erected and was to be leased to us for period of eleven years. We are currently engaged in a dispute with the landlord. See "Item 4B-Information on the Company-Business Overview-Legal Proceedings."

Our U.S. subsidiary, AudioCodes Inc., leases a 17,000 square foot facility in San Jose, California, Raleigh, North Carolina, Boston, Massachusetts, and Dallas, Texas. AudioCodes Inc. also leases a 32,000 square foot facility in Somerset, New Jersey, and a 20,000 square foot facility in Plano, Texas. The annual lease payments (including management fees) for all our offices in the United States is approximately \$700,000.

We believe that these properties are sufficient to meet our current needs. However, we may need to increase the size of our current facilities, seek new facilities, close certain facilities or sublease portions of our existing facilities in order to address our needs in the future.

ITEM 4A. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 5. OPERATING AND FINANCIAL REVIEW AND PROSPECTS

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or U.S. GAAP. These accounting principles require management to make certain estimates, judgments and assumptions based upon information available at the time that they are made, historical experience and various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. These estimates, judgments and assumptions can affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements, as well as the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the periods presented.

On an on-going basis, management evaluates its estimates and judgments, including those related to revenue recognition and allowance for sales returns, allowance for doubtful accounts, inventories, intangible assets, goodwill, income taxes and valuation allowance, stock-based compensation and contingent liabilities. Management bases its estimates and judgments on historical experience and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources.

Our management has reviewed these critical accounting policies and related disclosures with our Audit Committee. See Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, which contain additional information regarding our accounting policies and other disclosures required by US GAAP.

Management believes the significant accounting policies that affect its more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements and are the most critical to aid in fully understanding and evaluating AudioCodes' reported financial results include the following:

- Revenue recognition and allowance for sales returns;
- Allowance for doubtful accounts;
- Inventories;
- Intangible assets;
- Goodwill;
- Income taxes and valuation allowance;
- Stock-based compensation; and
- Contingent liabilities.

Revenue Recognition and Allowance for Sales Returns

We generate our revenues primarily from the sale of products. We sell our products through a direct sales force and sales representatives. Our customers include original equipment manufacturers, network equipment providers, systems integrators and distributors in the telecommunications and networking industries, all of whom are considered end-users.

Revenues from products are recognized in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin (“SAB”) 104, “Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements” when the following criteria are met: (i) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, (ii) delivery of the product has occurred, (iii) the fee is fixed or determinable and (iv) collectability is probable. We have no obligation to customers after the date on which products are delivered, other than pursuant to warranty obligations and any applicable right of return. We grant to some of our customers the right of return or the ability to exchange a specific percentage of the total price paid for products they have purchased over a limited period for other products.

We maintain a provision for product returns and exchanges and other incentives. This provision is based on historical sales returns, analysis of credit memo data and other known factors. This provision amounted to \$754,000 in 2008, \$656,000 in 2009 and \$1.4 million in 2010.

Revenues from the sale of products which were not yet determined to be final sales due to market acceptance or technological compatibility were deferred and included in deferred revenues. In cases where collectability is not probable, revenues are deferred and recognized upon collection. Revenues from services are recognized ratably over the time of the service agreement, usually one year.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Our trade receivables are derived from sales to customers located primarily in the Americas, the Far East, Israel and Europe. We perform ongoing credit evaluations of our customers and to date have not experienced any material losses from uncollected receivables. An allowance for doubtful accounts is determined with respect to those amounts that we have recognized as revenue and determined to be doubtful of collection. We usually do not require collateral on trade receivables because most of our sales are to large and well-established companies. On occasion we may purchase credit insurance to cover credit exposure for a portion of our sales and this may mitigate the amount we need to write off as a result of doubtful collections.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market value. Cost is determined using the “weighted average cost” method for raw materials and on the basis of direct manufacturing costs for finished products. We periodically evaluate the quantities on hand relative to current and historical selling prices and historical and projected sales volume and technological obsolescence. Based on these evaluations, inventory write-offs are provided to cover risks arising from slow moving items, technological obsolescence, excess inventories, discontinued products and for market prices lower than cost. We wrote-off inventory in a total amount of \$2.4 million in 2008, \$3.4 million in 2009 and \$1.1 million in 2010.

Intangible assets

As a result of our acquisitions, our balance sheet included acquired intangible assets, in the aggregate amount of approximately \$8.7 million as of December 31, 2008, \$6.8 million as of December 31, 2009 and \$5.3 million as of December 31, 2010.

We allocated the purchase price of the companies we have acquired to the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed, based on their estimated fair values. These valuations require management to make significant estimations and assumptions, especially with respect to intangible assets. Critical estimates in valuing intangible assets include future expected cash flows from technology acquired, trade names, backlog and customer relationships. In addition, other factors considered are the brand awareness and market position of the products sold by the acquired companies and assumptions about the period of time the brand will continue to be used in the combined company's product portfolio. Management's estimates of fair value are based on assumptions believed to be reasonable, but which are inherently uncertain and unpredictable.

If we did not appropriately allocate these components or we incorrectly estimate the useful lives of these components, our computation of amortization expense may not appropriately reflect the actual impact of these costs over future periods, which will affect our net income.

Intangible assets are reviewed for impairment in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification, or ASC, 360-10-35, "Property, Plant, and Equipment- Subsequent Measurement", whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to the future undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. The loss is allocated to the intangible assets on a pro rata basis using the relative carrying amounts of those assets, except that the loss allocated to an individual intangible asset shall not reduce the carrying amount of that asset below its fair value whenever that fair value is determinable.

Our intangible assets are comprised of acquired technology, customer relations, trade names, existing contracts for maintenance and backlog. All intangible assets are amortized using the straight-line method over their estimated useful life.

During 2009 and 2010, no impairment charges were identified. During 2008, we recorded an impairment charge for intangible assets in the amount of \$5.9 million (relating to the acquisition of Nuera).

Goodwill

As a result of our acquisitions, our balance sheet included acquired goodwill in the aggregate amount of approximately \$32.1 million as of December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010. Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price and related costs over the value assigned to net tangible and identifiable intangible assets of businesses acquired and accounted for under the purchase method. In accordance with ASC 350, "Intangible, Goodwill and Other" goodwill is not amortized and is tested for impairment at least annually. Our annual impairment test is performed at the end of the fourth quarter each year. If events or indicators of impairment occur between the annual impairment tests, we perform an impairment test of goodwill at that date.

The provisions of ASC No. 350 require that a two-step impairment test be performed on goodwill at the level of the reporting units. We operate in one operating segment and this segment comprises our only reporting unit. In the first step, we compare the fair value of the reporting unit to its carrying value. If the fair value exceeds the carrying value of the net assets, goodwill is considered not impaired, and no further testing is required to be performed. If the carrying value of the net assets exceeds the fair value, then we must perform the second step of the impairment test in order to determine the implied fair value of goodwill. If the carrying value of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value, then we would record an impairment loss equal to the difference.

Fair value is generally determined using discounted cash flows, market multiples and market capitalization. The process of evaluating the potential impairment of goodwill is subjective and requires significant judgment at many points during the analysis. Significant estimates used in the fair value methodologies include estimates of future cash flows, future short-term and long-term growth rates, weighted average cost of capital and estimates of market multiples of the reportable unit. If these estimates or their related assumptions change in the future, we may be required to record impairment charges for our goodwill and intangible assets with an indefinite life.

At December 31, 2008, our reporting unit failed step one of the impairment test. The fair value of our business, as reflected by the market capitalization fell below the carrying value. As a result, we performed step two of the analysis, using the income approach. The key assumptions used were: (a) expected cash flow for the period from 2009-2014, assuming recovery of the economy in 2011; (b) a discount rate of 16% which was based on management's best estimate of the weighted average cost of capital; and (c) future long-term growth rate of 4%. As a result of the impairment test for 2008, we recorded non-cash impairment charges of \$79.1 million in 2008. At December 31, 2009 and 2010, the fair value of our business, as reflected by our market capitalization, exceeded the carrying amount. As a result, our reporting unit did not fail step one and we were not required to perform step two of the analysis. The fair value of our reporting unit, as reflected by our market capitalization, exceeded carrying value by 21% at December 31, 2009 and by 145% at December 31, 2010. A significant decline in our market capitalization or deterioration in our projected results could result in additional impairment of goodwill in the future, as occurred in 2008.

The goodwill impairment in 2008 reflected the decline in global economic conditions and reduction in consumer and business confidence experienced during the fourth quarter of 2008. In addition, we experienced a major setback in the product lines of the Nuera and Netrake businesses that had been acquired by us. Management's expectations with respect to future results have been affected by the bankruptcy filing in January 2009 by Nortel, a major customer of ours at that time, that represented approximately 14.4% of our revenues in 2008 and 15.6% of our revenues in 2009. Sales to Nortel represented 3.9% of our revenues in 2010. We also significantly changed our business expectations for 2009 and beyond as a result of the effect on expected consumer purchases of the significant market downturn and credit crisis. We reduced the projected future revenues and net cash flows as we did not expect that the historical operating results would be indicative of future operations. The estimates and assumptions used by us to test our goodwill were consistent with the business plans and estimates used to manage our operations.

Income Taxes and Valuation Allowance

As part of the process of preparing our consolidated financial statements, we are required to estimate our income tax expense in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate. This process involves us estimating our actual current tax exposure, which is accrued as taxes payable, together with assessing temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items for tax and accounting purposes. These differences result in deferred tax assets, which are included within our consolidated balance sheet. We may record a valuation allowance to reduce our deferred tax assets to the amount of future tax benefit that is more likely than not to be realized.

Although we believe that our estimates are reasonable, there is no assurance that the final tax outcome and the valuation allowance will not be different than those which are reflected in our historical income tax provisions and accruals.

We have filed or are in the process of filing U.S. federal, state and foreign tax returns that are subject to audit by the respective tax authorities. Although the ultimate outcome is unknown, we believe that adequate amounts have been provided for and any adjustments that may result from tax return audits are not likely to materially adversely affect our consolidated results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

Stock-based compensation

We account for stock-based compensation in accordance with ASC 718 (formerly FAS 123R) "Compensation-Stock Compensation". We utilize the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the fair value of stock-based compensation at the date of grant. The Black-Scholes model requires subjective assumptions regarding dividend yields, expected volatility, expected life of options and risk-free interest rates. These assumptions reflect management's best estimates. Changes in these inputs and assumptions can materially affect the estimate of fair value and the amount of our stock-based compensation expenses. We recognized stock-based compensation expense of \$4.3 million in 2008, \$2.0 million in 2009 and \$2.1 million in 2010. As of December 31, 2010, there was approximately \$2.9 million of total unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to non-vested stock-based compensation arrangements granted by us. As of December 31, 2010, that expense is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.13 years.

Contingent liabilities

We are, from time to time, involved in claims, lawsuits, government investigations, and other proceedings arising from the ordinary course of our business. We record a provision for a liability when we believe that it is both probable that a liability has been incurred, and the amount can be reasonably estimated. Significant judgment is required to determine both probability and the estimated amount. Such legal proceedings are inherently unpredictable and subject to significant uncertainties, some of which are beyond our control. Should any of these estimates and assumptions change or prove to have been incorrect, it could have a material impact on our results of operations, financial position and cash flows. See "Item 4B-Information on the Company-Business Overview-Legal Proceedings" for a discussion of claims against us by Nortel and by a landlord involving potential contingent liabilities.

A. OPERATING RESULTS

You should read this discussion with the consolidated financial statements and other financial information included in this Annual Report.

Overview

We design, develop and sell advanced voice over IP, or VoIP, and converged VoIP and data networking products and applications to service providers and enterprises. We are a VoIP technology leader focused on VoIP communications, applications and networking elements, and its products are deployed globally in broadband, mobile, cable, and enterprise networks. We provide a range of innovative, cost-effective products including media gateways, multi-service business gateways, residential gateways, IP phones, media servers, session border controllers, s and value-added applications. Our underlying technology, VoIPerfectHD™, relies primarily on our leadership in digital signal processing, or DSP, voice coding and voice processing technologies. Our high definition (HD) VoIP technologies and products provide enhanced intelligibility, and a better end user communication experience in emerging voice networks.

Our products enable our customers to build high-quality packet networking equipment and network solutions and provide the building blocks to connect traditional telephone networks to VoIP networks, as well as connecting and securing multimedia communication between different packet-based networks. Our products are sold primarily to leading original equipment manufacturers, or OEMs, system integrators and network equipment providers in the telecommunications and networking industries. We have continued to broaden our offerings, both from internal and external development and through acquisitions, as we have expanded in the last few years from selling chips to boards, subsystems, media gateway systems, media servers, session border controllers and messaging platforms. We have also increased our product portfolio to enhance our position in the market and serve our channels better as a “one stop shop” for voice over IP hardware.

Our headquarters and research and development facilities are located in Israel with research and development extensions in the U.S. and U.K. We have other offices located in Europe, the Far East, and Latin America.

Nortel Networks was our largest customer in 2008 and 2009, accounting for 14.4% of our revenues in 2008 and 15.6% of our revenues in 2009. Nortel accounted for only 3.9% of our revenues in 2010. Nortel filed for bankruptcy protection in January 2009. Nortel has operated in bankruptcy since then while also selling a number of its business units and seeking to sell additional business units. As a result of Nortel’s bankruptcy filing, we could not recognize \$1.7 million of sales to Nortel in the fourth quarter of 2008. During 2009, Nortel returned to us products with a sales price of \$706,000. This amount reduced the \$1.7 million of unpaid deferred revenues on our balance sheet. The remaining approximately \$1.0 million of these deferred revenues represent an unsecured claim in Nortel’s bankruptcy proceeding. We do not know if we will recover any amount in the bankruptcy proceeding. In addition, Nortel is seeking to recover from us approximately \$2.6 million that it claims constitute avoidable preference payments made by Nortel to us during the ninety day period prior to their bankruptcy filing. We believe that we have valid defenses to these claims, but cannot predict the outcome of this dispute between Nortel and us. See “Item 4B-Information on the Company-Business Overview-Legal Proceedings.”

Our top five customers accounted for 26.3% of our revenues in 2008, 29.8% of our revenues in 2009 and 28.6% of our revenues in 2010. Based on our experience, we expect that our largest customers may change from period to period. If we lose a large customer and fail to add new customers to replace lost revenue, our operating results may be materially adversely affected.

Revenues based on the location of our customers for the last three fiscal years are as follows:

	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>
Americas	52.4%	55.6%	47.7%
Far East	16.4	14.6	17.8
Europe	23.4	21.5	21.7
Israel	7.8	8.3	12.8
Total	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>100.0%</u>

Part of our strategy over the past few years has involved the acquisition of complementary businesses and technologies. In July, 2006, we completed the acquisition of Nuera (merged into AudioCodes Inc. as of December 31, 2007). Nuera provides voice over Internet protocol, or VoIP, infrastructure solutions for broadband and long distance networks.

In August 2006, we acquired Netrake (merged into AudioCodes Inc. as of December 31, 2007), a provider of session border controller, or SBC, and security gateway solutions. SBCs enable connectivity, policies and security for real-time media sessions, such as VoIP, video or fax, between public or private IP networks. Security gateways enable secure real-time sessions across wifi, broadband and wireless networks in field mobile convergence deployments.

In April 2007, we completed the acquisition of CTI Squared. CTI Squared is a provider of enhanced messaging and communications platforms deployed globally by service providers and enterprises. CTI Squared's platforms integrate data and voice messaging services over internet, intranet, PSTN, cellular, cable and enterprise networks.

In May 2010, we acquired all of the remaining outstanding equity of Natural Speech Communication Ltd. that we did not own. NSC is based in Israel and is engaged in speech analytics and speech recognition technologies and products.

We believe that prospective customers generally are required to make a significant commitment of resources to test and evaluate our products and to integrate them into their larger systems. As a result, our sales process is often subject to delays associated with lengthy approval processes that typically accompany the design and testing of new communications equipment. For these reasons, the sales cycles of our products to new customers are often lengthy, averaging approximately six to twelve months. As a result, we may incur significant selling and product development expenses prior to generating revenues from sales.

The currency of the primary economic environment in which our operations are conducted is the U.S. dollar and, as such, we use the U.S. dollar as our functional currency. Transactions and balances originally denominated in U.S. dollars are presented at their original amounts. All transaction gains and losses from the remeasurement of monetary balance sheet items denominated in non-U.S. dollar currencies are reflected in the statement of operations as financial income or expenses, as appropriate.

The demand for Voice over IP, or VoIP, technology has increased during recent years. In recent years, the shift from traditional circuit-switched networks to next generation packet-switched networks continued to gain momentum. As data traffic becomes the dominant factor in communications, service providers are building and maintaining converged networks for integrated voice and data services. In developed countries, traditional and alternative service providers adopt bundled triple play (voice, video and data) and quadruple play (voice, video, data and mobile) offerings. This trend, enabled by voice and multimedia over IP, has fueled competition among cable, wireline, ISP and mobile operators, increasing the pressure for adopting and deploying VoIP networks. In addition, underdeveloped markets without basic wire line service in countries such as China and India and certain countries in Eastern Europe are adopting the use of VoIP technology to deliver voice and data services that were previously unavailable.

The general economic uncertainty, including disruptions in the world credit and equity markets, has had and continues to have a negative impact on business around the world. This economic environment has had an adverse impact on the technology industry and our major customers. Conditions may continue to be uncertain or may be subject to deterioration which could lead to a reduction in consumer and customer spending overall, which could have an adverse impact on sales of our products. A disruption in the ability of our significant customers to access liquidity could cause serious disruptions or an overall deterioration of their businesses which could lead to a significant reduction in their orders of our products and the inability or failure on their part to meet their payment obligations to us, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and liquidity. In addition, any disruption in the ability of customers to access liquidity could lead customers to request longer payment terms from us or long-term financing of their purchases from us. Granting extended payment terms or a significant adverse change in a customer's financial and/or credit position could also require us to assume greater credit risk relating to that customer's receivables or could limit our ability to collect receivables related to purchases by that customer. As a result, our reserves for doubtful accounts and write-offs of accounts receivable could increase.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth the percentage relationships of certain items from our consolidated statements of operations, as a percentage of total revenues for the periods indicated:

Statement of Operations Data:	Year Ended December 31,		
	2008	2009	2010
Revenues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Cost of revenues	44.3	44.6	44.1
Gross profit	55.7	55.4	55.9
Operating expenses:			
Research and development, net	21.6	23.8	20.1
Selling and marketing	25.5	25.5	23.3
General and administrative	5.3	6.2	5.5
Impairment of goodwill and intangible assets	48.7	-	-
Total operating expenses	101.1	55.5	48.9
Operating income (loss)	(45.4)	(0.1)	6.9
Financial expenses, net	1.9	2.2	0.0
Income (loss) before taxes on income	(47.3)	(2.3)	6.9
Income tax expense (benefit), net	0.3	0.2	(1.3)
Equity in losses of affiliated companies, net	1.5	0.1	0.1
Net income (loss)	(49.1)%	(2.6)%	8.1%

Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2009

Revenues. Revenues increased 19.2% to \$150.0 million in 2010 from \$125.9 million in 2009. The increase in revenues was primarily due to the recovery in the global economy.

Gross Profit. Cost of revenues includes the manufacturing cost of hardware, quality assurance, overhead related to manufacturing activity and technology licensing fees payable to third parties. Gross profit increased to \$83.9 million in 2010 from \$69.7 million in 2009. Gross profit as a percentage of revenues increased to 55.9% in 2010 from 55.4% in 2009. The increase in our gross profit percentage was primarily attributable to an increase in our revenues and a reduction in manufacturing costs.

Research and Development Expenses, net. Research and development expenses, net consist primarily of compensation and related costs of employees engaged in ongoing research and development activities, development-related raw materials and the cost of subcontractors less grants from the OCS. Research and development expenses were \$30.2 million in 2010 and \$30.0 million in 2009 and decreased as a percentage of revenues to 20.1% in 2010 from 23.8% in 2009. The decrease in net research and development expenses as a percentage of revenues was primarily due to the increase in our revenues. Stock-based compensation expense included in these expenses decreased to \$354,000 in 2010 from \$642,000 in 2009 and grants from the OCS, which reduce these expenses, increased by \$1.7 million in 2010. We increased the number of our research and development personnel in 2010 which increased personnel costs and associated expenses. We expect that research and development expenses will increase on an absolute dollar basis in 2011 as a result of our continued development of new products.

Selling and Marketing Expenses. Selling and marketing expenses consist primarily of compensation for selling and marketing personnel, as well as exhibition, travel and related expenses. Selling and marketing expenses increased 9.1% in 2010 to \$35.0 million from \$32.1 million in 2009 and decreased as a percentage of revenues to 23.3% in 2010 from 25.5% in 2009. These expenses increased on an absolute basis primarily due to an increase in selling and marketing personnel and associated expenses. We expect that selling and marketing expenses will increase on an absolute dollar basis in 2011 as a result of an expected increase in our sales force and marketing activities.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses consist primarily of compensation for finance, human resources, general management, rent, network and bad debt reserve, as well as insurance and professional services expenses. General and administrative expenses increased 5.5% to \$8.3 million in 2010 from \$7.8 million in 2009. As a percentage of revenues, general and administrative expenses decreased to 5.5% in 2010 from 6.2% in 2009. This decrease was due primarily to the leveraging of certain fixed costs over a higher revenue base in 2010. We expect that general and administrative expenses will increase in absolute dollar terms in 2011 to support our expected growth.

Financial Expenses, Net. Financial expenses, net consist primarily of interest derived on cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities and bank deposits, net of interest accrued in connection with our senior convertible notes and bank loans and bank charges. Financial expenses, net, in 2010 were \$94,000 compared to \$2.7 million in 2009. The decrease in financial expenses, net in 2010 was primarily due to lower interest expense recorded with respect to our senior convertible notes following the redemption of almost all of the outstanding notes in the fourth quarter of 2009.

Taxes on Income. We had a net income tax benefit of \$1.9 million in 2010 compared to income tax expense of \$290,000 in 2009. The net income tax benefit in 2010 is a result of a tax benefit of \$2.3 million relating to the available net carry forward tax losses based on expectations of generating taxable income in the foreseeable future.

Equity in Losses of Affiliated Company, Net. Equity in losses of affiliated company, net was \$213,000 in 2010 compared to \$76,000 in 2009. The increase in this amount is attributable to an increase in losses of our affiliated company and increase in our holding of the affiliated company.

Year Ended December 31, 2009 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2008

Revenues. Revenues decreased 28.0% to \$125.9 million in 2009 from \$174.7 million in 2008. The decrease in revenues was primarily due to the downturn in the global economy and the challenging business environment in the market for telecommunications products.

Gross Profit. Cost of revenues includes the manufacturing cost of hardware, quality assurance, overhead related to manufacturing activity and technology licensing fees payable to third parties. Gross profit decreased to \$69.7 million in 2009 from \$97.3 million in 2008. Gross profit as a percentage of revenues decreased to 55.4% in 2009 from 55.7% in 2008. The decrease in our gross profit percentage was primarily attributable to a decline in average selling prices of our products. The decrease in gross profit was partially offset by the results of our cost reduction plan implemented in the first quarter of 2009. In addition, the decrease in gross profit was partially offset by a reduction in manufacturing costs.

Research and Development Expenses, net. Research and development expenses, net consist primarily of compensation and related costs of employees engaged in ongoing research and development activities, development-related raw materials and the cost of subcontractors less grants from the OCS. Research and development expenses decreased 20.8% to \$30.0 million in 2009, from \$37.8 million in 2008 and increased as a percentage of revenues to 23.8% in 2009 from 21.6% in 2008. The decrease in net research and development expenses on an absolute dollar basis was primarily due to our cost reduction plans implemented during 2008 and 2009 that reduced the number of research and development personnel and due to a decrease in stock-based compensation expense to \$642,000 in 2009 from \$1.5 million in 2008.

Selling and Marketing Expenses. Selling and marketing expenses consist primarily of compensation for selling and marketing personnel, as well as exhibition, travel and related expenses. Selling and marketing expenses decreased 28.2% in 2009 to \$32.1 million from \$44.7 million in 2008. These expenses decreased primarily as a result of our cost reduction plans implemented during 2008 and 2009 which reduced the number of personnel in this area and a decrease in the stock-based compensation expense included in selling and marketing expenses to \$913,000 in 2009 compared to \$2.0 million in 2008, as well as a \$718,000 decrease in amortization expenses. The decrease in amortization expenses was mainly due to an intangible asset impairment charge recorded in the fourth quarter of 2008. As a percentage of revenues, selling and marketing expenses were 25.5% in both 2008 and 2009.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses consist primarily of compensation for finance, human resources, general management, rent, network and bad debt reserve, as well as insurance and professional services expenses. General and administrative expenses decreased 15.2% to \$7.8 million in 2009 from \$9.2 million in 2008. As a percentage of revenues, general and administrative expenses increased to 6.2% in 2009 from 5.3% in 2008. The decrease in general and administrative expenses on an absolute dollar basis, was primarily due to our cost reduction plans implemented during 2008 and 2009 which reduced the number of our general and administrative personnel.

Impairment of Goodwill and Intangible Assets. We review goodwill for impairment annually during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year or more frequently if events or circumstances indicate that an impairment loss may have occurred. In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, in connection with the impact of weakening market conditions on our forecasts and a sustained, significant decline in the market capitalization to a level lower than our net book value, it was concluded that triggering events existed and we were required to test intangible assets and goodwill for impairment, in accordance with ASC 360-10-35, "Property, Plant, and Equipment- Subsequent Measurement" and ASC 350, "Intangible, Goodwill and Other". As a result, in the fourth quarter of 2008, we recorded a goodwill impairment charge of approximately \$79.1 million and an intangible assets impairment charge of \$5.9 million. These impairment charges did not impact our business operations, cash flows or compliance with the financial covenants in our loan agreements. In 2009, there was no impairment charge.

Financial Expenses, Net. Financial expenses, net consist primarily of interest derived on cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities, bank deposits and structured notes, net of interest accrued in connection with our senior convertible notes and bank loans and bank charges. Financial expenses, net, in 2009 were \$2.7 million compared to \$3.3 million in 2008. The decrease in financial expenses, net in 2009 was primarily due to lower interest expense recorded with respect to our senior convertible notes following the repurchase of notes in the fourth quarters of 2008 and 2009.

Taxes on Income. Income taxes, net, were \$290,000 in 2009 compared to \$505,000 in 2008. The decrease is principally attributable to previous year's tax refund received in 2009.

Equity in Losses of Affiliated Companies, Net. Equity in losses of affiliated companies, net was \$76,000 in 2009 compared to \$2.6 million in 2008. The decrease in these expenses is attributable to consolidating the financial results of NSC into our operating results starting December 1, 2008. Also, in 2008, these expenses included an impairment charge of \$1.1 million related to an investment in an affiliate.

Impact of Inflation, Devaluation and Fluctuation of Currencies on Results of Operations, Liabilities and Assets

Since the majority of our revenues are paid in or linked to the U.S. dollar, we believe that inflation and fluctuations in the NIS/U.S. dollar exchange rate have no material effect on our revenues. However, a majority of the cost of our Israeli operations, mainly personnel and facility-related, is incurred in NIS. Inflation in Israel and U.S. dollar exchange rate fluctuations have some influence on our expenses and, as a result, on our net income. Our NIS costs, as expressed in U.S. dollars, are influenced by the extent to which any increase in the rate of inflation in Israel is not offset (or is offset on a lagging basis) by a devaluation of the NIS in relation to the U.S. dollar.

To protect against the changes in value of forecasted foreign currency cash flows resulting from payments in NIS, we maintain a foreign currency cash flow hedging program. We hedge portions of our forecasted expenses denominated in foreign currencies with forward contracts. These measures may not adequately protect us from material adverse effects due to the impact of inflation in Israel.

The following table presents information about the rate of inflation in Israel, the rate of devaluation of the NIS against the U.S. dollar, and the rate of inflation in Israel adjusted for the devaluation:

Year ended December 31,	Israeli inflation rate %	NIS devaluation rate %	Israeli inflation adjusted for devaluation %
2008	3.8	(1.1)	4.9
2009	3.9	(0.7)	4.6
2010	2.3	(6.0)	8.3
Two months ended Feb 28, 2011	0.6	2.1	(1.5)

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In October 2009, the FASB issued an update to ASC 605-25, "Revenue recognition – Multiple-Element Arrangements", that provides amendments to the criteria for separating consideration in multiple-deliverable arrangements to:

- 1) Provide updated guidance on whether multiple deliverables exist, how the deliverables in an arrangement should be separated, and how the consideration should be allocated;
- 2) Require an entity to allocate revenue in an arrangement using estimated selling prices ("ESP") of deliverables if a vendor does not have vendor-specific objective evidence of selling price ("VSOE") or third-party evidence of selling price ("TPE");
- 3) Eliminate the use of the residual method and require an entity to allocate revenue using the relative selling price method; and
- 4) Require expanded disclosures of qualitative and quantitative information regarding application of the multiple-deliverable revenue arrangement guidance.

We adopted the standard on January 1, 2011. We will apply the provision of this accounting standard on a prospective basis to all revenues from arrangements entered into or materially modified subsequent to January 1, 2011. We are currently evaluating the impact of this update on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

B. LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

We have financed our operations for the last three years, from the remaining proceeds of our sale of convertible notes, as well as with cash from operations in those years.

In November 2004, we raised net proceeds of approximately \$120.2 million in a private placement of \$125.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 2.00% Senior Convertible Notes due 2024. Holders of the notes are entitled to convert the notes into our ordinary shares at a conversion rate of 53.4474 ordinary shares per \$1,000 principal amount of notes, which is the equivalent to a conversion price of approximately \$18.71 per share. The conversion rate is subject to adjustment in certain circumstances, such as changes in our capital structure or upon the issuance by us of share dividends or certain cash distributions. During 2008, we repurchased \$51.5 million in principal amount of our 2% Senior Convertible Notes for a total cost, including accrued interest, of \$50.2 million. In November 2009, we repurchased approximately \$73.1 million in principal amount of the Notes. As of December 31, 2010, there was a total of \$353,000 in principal amount of the Notes outstanding. The remaining outstanding Notes may be redeemed by us, in whole or in part at any time. The holders of these outstanding Notes may require us to redeem the Notes on November 9, 2014 or November 9, 2019, or upon certain fundamental changes.

In April and July 2008, we entered into loan agreements with banks in Israel that provide for borrowings of an aggregate of \$30 million. The loans bear interest at an annual rate equal to LIBOR plus 1.3%-1.5% with respect to \$23 million of borrowings and LIBOR plus 0.5%-0.65% with respect to \$7 million of borrowings. The principal amount borrowed is repayable in 20 equal quarterly payments from August 2008 through July 2013. The banks have a lien on our assets and we are required to maintain \$7 million of compensating balances with the banks. The agreements require us, among other things, to maintain shareholders' equity at specified levels and to achieve certain levels of operating income. The agreements also restrict us from paying dividends. As of December 31, 2010, we were in compliance with the covenants contained in the loan agreements. As of December 31, 2010, we owed an aggregate of \$15.8 million under these borrowings.

As of December 31, 2010, we had \$64.1 million in cash and cash equivalents and bank deposits, an increase of \$11.3 million from \$52.9 million at December 31, 2009. The increase in this amount was primarily attributable to net cash provided by operating activities.

In January 2008, our Board approved a program to repurchase up to 4,000,000 of our ordinary shares. Purchases would be made from time-to-time at the discretion of management subject, among other things, to our share price and market conditions. If management elects to have us purchase our shares, we will use a portion of our cash to effect these purchases. In 2008, we repurchased a total of approximately 3.5 million ordinary shares at a total cost of \$13.7 million. We did not repurchase any of our ordinary shares in 2009 and 2010.

Cash from Operating Activities

Our operating activities provided cash in the amount of \$16.4 million in 2010, primarily due to our net income of \$12.0 million, an increase in other payables and accrued expenses of \$8.2 million, non-cash depreciation and amortization in the amount \$4.4 million, non cash stock-based compensation expenses of \$1.4 million and an increase in trade payables in the amount of \$4.9 million, partly offset by an increase in trade receivables in the amount of \$7.4 million and in inventories in the amount of \$4.5 million. Our trade receivables and our inventories increased primarily because of our higher sales volume in 2010 compared to 2009. Our trade and other payables increased because of increased expenses relating to our higher sales volume in 2010.

Our operating activities provided cash in the amount of \$21.0 million in 2009, primarily due to a decrease in trade receivables, net, of \$11.0 million, a decrease in inventories of \$7.1 million, non-cash depreciation and amortization expenses of \$5.0 million and non-cash amortization of discount and deferred charges on our senior convertible notes of \$2.9 million, offset, in part, by our net loss, a decrease of \$3.5 million in other payables and accrued expenses and a decrease of \$3.1 million in trade payables. Our trade receivables and our inventories decreased primarily because of our lower sales volume in 2009 than in 2008. Our trade receivables also decreased because of increased collection efforts. Our trade payables, other payables and accrued expenses decreased primarily because of our lower cost of goods sold in 2009 than in 2008 and implementation of cost reduction steps and a wage cut in January 2009.

Our operating activities provided cash in the amount of \$16.4 million in 2008, primarily due to non-cash expenses in the amount of \$86.1 million for impairment charges, \$7.4 million for depreciation and amortization, \$4.3 million for stock-based compensation and \$1.5 million of equity in losses of affiliated companies, as well as an increase of \$3.1 million in trade and other payables, offset, in part, by our net loss and a decrease of \$3.5 million in trade and other receivables and an increase of \$1.8 million in inventories. Our trade and other payables increased because of extended payment terms granted to us by suppliers. Our trade and other receivables decreased because we had lower revenues in the fourth quarter of 2008 than in the same period in 2007 and because of increased year-end collection efforts. Inventory increased primarily because of lower than expected revenues in the fourth quarter of 2008.

Cash from Investing Activities

In 2010, our investing activities used cash in the amount of \$1.5 million, primarily due to purchase of property and equipment.

In 2009, our investing activities provided cash in the amount of \$60.3 million, primarily due to the net proceeds from bank deposits and from redemption of marketable securities on maturity.

In 2008, our investing activities used cash in the amount of \$20.0 million, primarily due to the excess of our investment in marketable securities and short-term and long-term bank deposits and payments in connection with the acquisition of CTI Squared over proceeds from bank deposits and sale and maturity of marketable securities.

Cash from Financing Activities

In 2010, we used cash in financing activities of \$3.6 million as a result of \$6.0 million used for repayment of bank loans offset, in part, by \$2.6 million in proceeds from issuance of shares upon exercise of options and purchases of shares under our employee stock purchase plan.

In 2009, we used cash in financing activities of \$79.1 million as a result of \$73.1 million used to repurchase our Senior Convertible Notes and \$6.0 million used for repayment of bank loans.

In 2008, our financing activities used \$34.7 million due to \$50.2 million used to repurchase our Senior Convertible Notes and \$13.7 million used to repurchase our ordinary shares offset, in part, by bank borrowings in the aggregate amount of \$30 million.

Financing Needs

We anticipate that our operating expenses will be a material use of our cash resources for the foreseeable future. We believe that our current working capital is sufficient to meet our operating cash requirements for at least the next twelve months, including payments required under our existing bank loans. Part of our strategy is to pursue acquisition opportunities. If we do not have available sufficient cash to finance our operations and the completion of additional acquisitions, we may be required to obtain additional debt or equity financing. We cannot be certain that we will be able to obtain, if required, additional financing on acceptable terms or at all.

C. RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, PATENTS AND LICENSES, ETC.

Research and Development

In order to accommodate the rapidly changing needs of our markets, we place considerable emphasis on research and development projects designed to improve our existing products and to develop new ones. We are developing more advanced communications boards, analog and digital media gateways for carrier and enterprise applications, media servers and session border controllers. Our platforms are expected to feature increased trunk capacity, new functionalities, enhanced signaling software and compliance with new control protocols. As of December 31, 2010, 270 of our employees were engaged primarily in research and development on a full-time basis.

Our research and development expenses were \$30.2 million in 2010 compared to \$30.0 million in 2009. From time to time we have received royalty-bearing grants from the Office of the Chief Scientist of the Israeli Ministry of Industry, Trade and Labor, or the OCS. As a recipient of grants from the OCS, we are obligated to perform all manufacturing activities for projects subject to the grants in Israel unless we receive an exemption. Know-how from the research and development which is used to produce products may not be transferred to third parties without the approval of the OCS and may further require significant payments. The OCS approval is not required for the export of any products resulting from such research or development. Through December 31, 2010, we had obtained grants from the OCS aggregating \$11.6 million for certain of our research and development projects. We are obligated to pay royalties to the OCS, amounting to 3%-4.5% of the sales of the products and other related revenues generated from such projects, up to 100% of the grants received, linked to the U.S. dollar and bearing interest at the rate of LIBOR at the time of grant. The obligation to pay these royalties is contingent on actual sales of the products and in the absence of such sales no payment is required.

D TREND INFORMATION

The accelerated demand for VoIP technology has impacted our business during the last few years. Over the past few years, the shift from traditional circuit-switched networks to next generation packet-switched networks has continued to gain momentum. As data traffic becomes the dominant factor in communications, service providers are building and maintaining converged networks for integrated voice and data services. In addition, underdeveloped markets without basic wire line service in countries such as China and India and certain countries in Eastern Europe are beginning to use VoIP technology to deliver voice and data services that were previously unavailable. In addition, the growth in broadband access and related technologies has driven the emergence of alternative service providers. This in turn stimulates competition with incumbent providers, encouraging them to adopt voice over packet technologies. The entry of new industry players and the demand for new equipment have impacted our business in the last few years.

In 2010, we continued to experience pressure to shorten our lead times in supplying products to customers. Some of our customers are implementing “demand pull” programs by which they only purchase our product very close to the time, if not simultaneously with the time, they plan to sell their product. We are increasing our sales efforts in new markets, such as Latin America, Eastern Europe and Far East. We have introduced new system level products, and applications in our product lines. We are still experiencing low visibility into customer demand for our products and our ability to predict our level of sales.

EOFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We do not have any “off-balance sheet arrangements” as this term is defined in Item 5E of Form 20-F.

F. *TABULAR DISCLOSURE OF CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS*

As of December 31, 2010, our contractual obligations were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	PAYMENTS DUE BY PERIOD				TOTAL
	LESS THAN 1 YEAR	1-3 YEARS	3-5 YEARS	MORE THAN 5 YEARS	
Senior convertible notes			353		353
Bank loans	6,000	9,750			15,750
Rent and lease commitments, net (1)	4,775	4,625	598	39	10,037
Severance pay fund (2)					782
Uncertain tax positions (3)					338
Payment to NSC's former shareholders	275	1,038			1,313
Office of the Chief Scientist				23,357	23,357
Other commitments	930	-	-	-	930

(1) Our obligation for rent and lease commitments as of December 31, 2010 was approximately \$11.9 million. We have rent and lease income in the amount of approximately \$1.9 million, leaving a net obligation of approximately \$10.0 million.

(2) Our obligation for accrued severance pay under Israel's Severance Pay Law as of December 31, 2010 was \$15.8 million. This obligation is payable only upon termination, retirement or death of the respective employee. We have funded \$15.0 million through deposits into severance pay funds, leaving a net obligation of approximately \$782,000.

(3) Uncertain income tax position under ASC 740 (formerly FASB Interpretation No 48), "Income Taxes", are due upon settlement and we are unable to reasonably estimate the ultimate amount of timing of settlement. See also Note 13f in our Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding our liability under ASC 740.

ITEM 6. DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND EMPLOYEES

A. DIRECTORS AND SENIOR MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to our directors, senior executive officers and key employees at March 4, 2011:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Position</u>
Shabtai Adlersberg	58	Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer
Guy Avidan	48	Vice President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer
Lior Aldema	45	Chief Operating Officer
Jeffrey Kahn	53	Chief Strategy Officer
Eyal Frishberg	52	Vice President, Operations
Eli Nir	45	Vice President, Research and Development
Yehuda Hershkovici	43	Vice President, Systems
Tal Dor	41	Vice President, Human Resources
Sorin Lupu	51	Vice President, Global Sales
Joseph Tenne(1)(2)(3)	55	Director
Dr. Eyal Kishon(1)(2)(3)(4)	50	Director
Doron Nevo(1)(2)(3)(4)	55	Director
Dana Gross	44	Director

(1) Member of Audit Committee

(2) Member of Nominating Committee

(3) Member of Compensation Committee

(4) Outside Director

Shabtai Adlersberg co-founded AudioCodes in 1993, and has served as our Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer since inception. He has also acted as our Interim Chief Financial Officer since May 1, 2010. Mr. Adlersberg co-founded DSP Group, a semiconductor company, in 1987. From 1987 to 1990, Mr. Adlersberg served as the Vice President of Engineering of DSP Group, and from 1990 to 1992, he served as Vice President of Advanced Technology. As Vice President of Engineering, Mr. Adlersberg established a research and development team for digital cellular communication which was spun-off in 1992 as DSP Communications. Mr. Adlersberg also serves as Chairman of the Board of Directors of Natural Speech Communication Ltd. and as a director of MailVision Ltd and CTI Squared Ltd. Mr. Adlersberg holds an M.Sc. in Electronics and Computer Engineering from Tel Aviv University and a B.Sc. in Electrical Engineering from the Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, or the Technion.

Guy Avidan has served as our Vice President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer since July 2010. Prior to joining AudioCodes, Mr. Avidan served for 15 years in various managerial positions at MRV Communications Inc., a global provider of optical communications network infrastructure equipment and services. Most recently, Mr. Avidan served as Co-President of MRV Communications. Prior to that, he served as Chief Financial Officer of MRV Communications between 2007 and 2009. He also served as Vice President and General Manager of MRV International from September 2001 to July 2007. Prior to joining MRV Communications, from 1992 to 1995, Mr. Avidan served as Vice President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer of Ace North Hills, which was acquired by MRV. Mr. Avidan is a CPA in Israel and holds a B.A. degree in Economics and Accounting from Haifa University.

Lior Aldema has served as Chief Operating Officer since January 2010 and previously served as our Vice President, Product Management from 2002 until 2009, as well as our Vice President Marketing from February 2003 until 2009. He has been employed by us since 1998, when he was team leader and later headed our System Software Group in our research and development department. Prior to 1998, Mr. Aldema served as an officer in the Technical Unit of the Intelligence Corps of the Israeli Defense Forces (Major), heading both operational units and large development groups related to various technologies. Mr. Aldema holds an M.B.A. from Tel Aviv University and a B.Sc. from the Technion.

Jeffrey Kahn has served as our Chief Strategy Officer since January 2010. Prior to joining us, Mr. Kahn served as Founder and Managing Director of Strategy3i, a global consultancy that he established in 2007 to provide counseling to leading global companies, including Pfizer, Unicredit and Renova, among others. From 2005 to 2007, Mr. Kahn served as a director of investment banking at Maxim Group LLC, and from 1995 to 2005 he served as the Chief Strategic Officer of Ruder Finn International, one of the world's largest and oldest independent global communications firms. Mr. Kahn holds a B.A. in international relations and psychology from Brooklyn College and has done graduate studies in international relations and psychology at Tel Aviv University.

Eyal Frishberg has served as our Vice President, Operations since October 2000. From 1997 to 2000, Mr. Frishberg served as Associate Vice President, SDH Operations in ECI Telecom Ltd., a major telecommunication company. From 1987 to 1997, Mr. Frishberg worked in various operational positions in ECI Telecom including as manager of ECI production facility and production control. Mr. Frishberg worked from 1994 until 1997 for ELTA company, part of Israeli Aircraft Industries in the planning and control department. Mr. Frishberg holds a B.Sc. in Industrial Engineering from Tel Aviv University and an M.B.A. from Ben-Gurion University of the Negev.

Eli Nir has served as our Vice President, Research and Development since April 2001. He has been employed by us since 1996, when he founded and headed our System Software Group in our research and development department. Prior to 1996, Mr. Nir served as an officer in the Technical Unit of the Intelligence Corps of the Israeli Defense Forces (Major), heading both operational units and large development groups mostly related to digital processing. Mr. Nir holds an M.B.A. and an M.Sc. from Tel Aviv University in Digital Speech Processing and a B.Sc. from the Technion.

Yehuda Hershkovici has served as our Vice President, Systems Group since 2003. From 2001 to 2003, Mr. Hershkovici served as our Vice President, Advanced Products. From 2000 to 2001, Mr. Hershkovici served as our Director of Advanced Technologies. From 1994 to 1998 and during 1999, Mr. Hershkovici held a variety of research and development positions at Advanced Recognition Technologies, Ltd., a voice and handwriting recognition company, heading its research and development from 1999 to 2000 as Vice President, Research and Development. From 1998 to 1999, Mr. Hershkovici was engaged in developing various wireless communication algorithms at Comsys, a telecommunications company. Mr. Hershkovici holds an M.Sc. and a B.Sc., from the Technion both in the area of telecommunications.

Tal Dor has served as our Vice President of Human Resources since March 2000. Prior to March 2000, Ms. Dor acted for several years as a consultant in Israel to, among others, telephone and cable businesses, as well as health and social service organizations. Ms. Dor holds a B.A. in psychology, from Ben-Gurion University of the Negev and an M.A. in psychology from Tel Aviv University.

Sorin Lupu joined AudioCodes in October 2010 as Vice President of Global Sales. From 2009 to 2010, Mr. Lupu led international market sales for Carrier VoIP Applications and Solutions at Nortel. Prior to that, between 2005 and 2008 Mr. Lupu served as Vice President of Sales EMEA for emerging markets focusing on expanding markets in Russia, Poland, Turkey, Romania and India. Mr. Lupu also served as a member of the Board for Netas, a Nortel and TAF joint venture in Turkey. From 2001 to 2004, Mr. Lupu held the position of CEO Nortel Networks Israel. From 1997 to 2001, Mr. Lupu served in wireless engineering and account management positions. Prior to joining Nortel, Mr. Lupu held engineering, operational and managerial positions at Bezeq (PTT) in Israel. Mr. Lupu holds an International MBA from Tel Aviv and Northwestern University (Kellogg/Recanati) and an MSc in Electronics and Telecommunications from Iasi University in Romania.

Joseph Tenne has served as one of our directors since June 2003. Mr. Tenne is currently the Chief Financial Officer of Ormat Technologies, Inc., a company listed on the New York Stock Exchange, which is engaged in the geothermal and recovered energy business. Since January 2006, Mr. Tenne has also served as the Chief Financial Officer of Ormat Industries Ltd., an Israeli holding company listed on the Tel-Aviv Stock Exchange and the parent company of Ormat Technologies, Inc. From 2003 to 2005, Mr. Tenne was the Chief Financial Officer of Treofan Germany GmbH & Co. KG, a German company, which is engaged in the development, production and marketing of oriented polypropylene films, which are mainly used in the food packaging industry. From 1997 until 2003, Mr. Tenne was a partner in Kesselman & Kesselman, Certified Public Accountants in Israel and a member of PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited. Mr. Tenne holds a B.A. in Accounting and Economics and an M.B.A. from Tel Aviv University. Mr. Tenne is also a Certified Public Accountant in Israel.

Dr. Eyal Kishon has served as one of our directors since 1997. Since 1996, Dr. Kishon has been Managing Partner of Genesis Partners, an Israel-based venture capital fund. From 1993 to 1996, Dr. Kishon served as Associate Director of Dovrat-Shrem/Yozma-Polaris Fund Limited Partnership. Prior to that, Dr. Kishon served as Chief Technology Officer at Yozma Venture Capital from 1992 to 1993. Dr. Kishon serves as a director of Allot Communications Ltd and Celtra Inc. From 1991 to 1992, Dr. Kishon was a Research Fellow in the Multimedia Department of IBM Science & Technology. From 1989 to 1991, Dr. Kishon worked in the Robotics Research Department of AT&T Bell Laboratories. Dr. Kishon holds a B.A. in Computer Science from the Technion – Israel Institute of Technology and an M.Sc. and a Ph.D. in Computer Science from New York University.

Doron Nevo has served as one of our directors since 2000. Mr. Nevo is President and CEO of KiloLambda Technologies Ltd., an optical nano-technology company, which he co-founded in 2001. From 1999 to 2001, Mr. Nevo was involved in fund raising activities for Israeli-based startup companies. From 1996 to 1999, Mr. Nevo served as President and CEO of NKO, Inc. Mr. Nevo established NKO in early 1995 as a startup subsidiary of Clalcom, Ltd. NKO designed and developed a full scale, carrier grade, IP telephony system platform and established its own IP network. From 1992 to 1996, Mr. Nevo was President and CEO of Clalcom Ltd. Mr. Nevo established Clalcom in 1992 as a telecom service provider in Israel. He also serves as a director of Etgar - Portfolio Management Trust Co. and of a number of private companies. Mr. Nevo holds a B.Sc. in Electrical Engineering from the Technion – Israel Institute of Technology and an M.Sc. in Telecommunications Management from Brooklyn Polytechnic.

Dana Gross has served as one of our directors since November 2010. She also served as one of our directors between 2000 and 2006. Ms. Gross has been a Venture Partner at Camel Ventures, a leading Israeli venture capital firm since 2009. From 2006 to 2008, Ms. Gross was a Senior Vice President, Israel Country Manager at SanDisk Corporation, a manufacturer of flash memory cards. From 1992 to 2006, Ms. Gross held various senior positions at M-Systems, a manufacturer of flash memory cards that was acquired by SanDisk, including Chief Marketing Officer, Vice President, World Wide Sales, President of M-Systems Inc. (U.S. subsidiary of M-Systems) and CFO, Vice President, Finance and Administration. In addition, Ms. Gross has served as a director of Tower Semiconductor Ltd. since 2009, and served as a director of M-Systems Ltd. from 1999 to 2006 and PowerDsine Ltd. from 2004 to 2007. Ms. Gross holds a B.Sc. in Industrial Engineering from Tel-Aviv University and an M.A. in business administration from San Jose State University.

B. *COMPENSATION*

The aggregate direct remuneration paid during the year ended December 31, 2010 to the 13 persons who served in the capacity of director, senior executive officer or key employee during 2010 was approximately \$2.1 million, including approximately \$323,000 which was set aside for pension and retirement benefits. Three officers and one director who served in those capacities in 2010 are no longer associated with us. The compensation amounts do not include amounts expended by us for automobiles made available to our officers, expenses (including business, travel, professional and business association dues and expenses) reimbursed to officers and other fringe benefits commonly reimbursed or paid by companies in Israel.

Stock options to purchase our ordinary shares granted under our 1997, 1999 and 2008 Stock Option Plans to persons who served in the capacity of director or executive officer are generally exercisable at the fair market value at the date of grant, and expire ten years (under the 1997 Plan) and seven years (under the 1999 Plan and the 2008 Plan), respectively, from the date of grant. The options are generally exercisable in four equal annual installments, commencing one year from the date of grant.

Both the 1997 and 1999 Stock Option Plans have expired and no options are available for future grants under these plans.

A summary of our stock option activity and related information for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010 for the persons who served in the capacity of director, senior executive or key employee officer during 2008, 2009 and 2010 respectively is as follows:

	2008		2009		2010	
	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at the beginning of the year	2,002,269	\$ 7.54	1,778,269	\$ 7.66	1,865,928	\$ 6.44
Granted	85,000	\$ 3.25	483,577	\$ 1.42	682,108	\$ 3.77
Cancelled	(225,000)		(358,418)		(536,951)	
Exercised	(84,000)	\$ 2.23	(37,500)	\$ 0	(300,465)	\$ 2.43
Outstanding at the end of the year	<u>1,778,269</u>	<u>\$ 7.66</u>	<u>1,865,928</u>	<u>\$ 6.44</u>	<u>1,710,620</u>	<u>\$ 6.07</u>

As of December 31, 2010, options to purchase 735,196 ordinary shares were exercisable by the 11 persons who served as an officer or director during 2010 at an average exercise price of \$9.53 per share.

Under the Israeli Companies Law, the compensation arrangements for officers who are not directors require the approval of the board of directors, unless the articles of association provide otherwise. Our articles of association do not provide otherwise. Arrangements regarding the compensation of directors require the approval of the audit committee, the board and the shareholders, in that order.

C. BOARD PRACTICES

Corporate Governance Practices

We are incorporated in Israel and therefore are subject to various corporate governance practices under the Israeli Companies Law, 1999, or the Companies Law, relating to such matters as outside directors, the audit committee, the internal auditor and approvals of interested party transactions. These matters are in addition to the ongoing listing conditions of the NASDAQ Global Select Market and other relevant provisions of U.S. securities laws. Under the NASDAQ rules, a foreign private issuer may generally follow its home country rules of corporate governance in lieu of the comparable NASDAQ requirements, except for certain matters such as composition and responsibilities of the audit committee and the independence of its members. For further information, see "Item 16G – Corporate Governance."

Independent Directors

Under the Israeli Companies Law, Israeli companies that have offered securities to the public in or outside of Israel are required to appoint at least two “outside” directors. Doron Nevo and Dr. Eyal Kishon currently serve as our outside directors. Under the requirements for listing on the NASDAQ Global Select Market, a majority of our directors are required to be independent as defined by NASDAQ rules. Doron Nevo, Dr. Eyal Kishon, Dana Gross and Joseph Tenne qualify as independent directors under the applicable Securities and Exchange Commission and NASDAQ rules.

To qualify as an outside director under Israeli law, an individual or his or her relatives, partners, employers or entities under the person's control may not have, and may not have had at any time during the previous two years, any affiliation, as such term is defined in the Companies Law, with the company or any entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with the company. In addition, no individual may serve as an outside director if the individual's position or other activities create or may create a conflict of interest with his or her role as an outside director or are likely to interfere with his or her ability to serve as a director. For a period of two years from termination from office, a former outside director may not serve as a director or employee of the company or provide professional services to the company for consideration. Pursuant to the Israeli Companies Law, at least one of the outside directors appointed by a publicly-traded company must have “financial and accounting expertise.” The other outside directors are required to possess “financial and accounting expertise” or “professional expertise,” as these terms are defined in regulations promulgated under the Companies Law. Joseph Tenne is designated as the audit committee's financial expert.

The outside directors must be elected by the shareholders, including at least one-third of the shares of non-controlling shareholders voted on the matter. However, the outside directors can be elected by shareholders without this one-third approval if the total shares of non-controlling shareholders voted against the election do not represent more than one percent of the voting rights in the company. The term of an outside director is three years and may be extended for additional three-year terms. An outside director can be removed from office only under very limited circumstances. All of the outside directors must serve on a company's statutory audit committee and each other committee of a company's board of directors is required to include at least one outside director. If, at the time an outside director is elected, all current members of the board of directors are of the same gender, then the elected outside director must be of the other gender.

Pursuant to an amendment to the Israeli Companies Law, an Israeli company whose shares are publicly traded may elect to adopt a provision in its articles of association pursuant to which a majority of its board of directors will constitute individuals complying with certain independence criteria prescribed by the Israeli Companies Law. Pursuant to regulations adopted in January 2011, directors who comply with the independence requirements of the NASDAQ and Securities and Exchange Commission regulations are deemed to comply with the independence requirements of the Israeli Companies Law. We have not included such a provision in our articles of association since our board of directors complies with the independence requirements of the NASDAQ and Securities and Exchange Commission regulations described above.

Audit Committee

Under the Companies Law and the requirements for listing on the NASDAQ Global Select Market, our board of directors is required to appoint an audit committee. Our audit committee must be comprised of at least three directors, including all of the outside directors. The audit committee consists of: Dr. Eyal Kishon, Doron Nevo and Joseph Tenne. Our board of directors has determined that Joseph Tenne is an “audit committee financial expert” and that all members of the Audit Committee are independent under the applicable Securities and Exchange Commission and NASDAQ rules.

The audit committee may not include the chairman of the board of directors, a controlling shareholder and the members of his immediate family, or any director who is employed by the company or provides services to the company on a regular basis. Under Israeli law, the role of the audit committee is to examine flaws in our business management, in consultation with the internal auditor and the independent accountants, and to propose remedial measures to the board. The audit committee also reviews for approval transactions between us and office holders or interested parties, as described below.

We have adopted an audit committee charter as required by NASDAQ rules. Our audit committee assists the board of directors in fulfilling its responsibility for oversight of the quality and integrity of our accounting, auditing and financial reporting practices and financial statements and the independence qualifications and performance of our independent auditors. The audit committee also has the authority and responsibility to oversee our independent auditors, to recommend for shareholder approval the appointment and, where appropriate, replacement of our independent auditors and to pre-approve audit fees and all permitted non-audit services and fees.

Nominating Committee

NASDAQ rules require that director nominees be selected or recommended for the board’s selection either by a committee composed solely of independent directors or by a majority of independent directors. Our Nominating Committee assists the board of directors in its selection of individuals as nominees for election to the board of directors and/or to fill any vacancies or newly created directorships on the board of directors. The Nominating Committee consists of Dr. Eyal Kishon, Doron Nevo and Joseph Tenne. All members of the Nominating Committee are independent under the applicable NASDAQ rules.

Compensation Committee

NASDAQ rules also provide that the compensation of a company’s chief executive officer and other executive officers is required to be approved either by a majority of the independent directors on the board of directors or a committee comprised solely of independent directors. Our board of directors has appointed Dr. Eyal Kishon, Doron Nevo and Joseph Tenne to serve on our Compensation Committee of the board of directors. All members of the Compensation Committee are independent under the applicable NASDAQ rules.

Internal Auditor

Under the Companies Law, our board of directors is also required to appoint an internal auditor proposed by the audit committee. The internal auditor may be our employee, but may not be an interested party or office holder, or a relative of any interested party or office holder, and may not be a member of our independent accounting firm. The role of the internal auditor is to examine, among other things, whether our activities comply with the law and orderly business procedure. Brightman, Almagor Zohar & Co. (a member firm of Deloitte & Touche in Israel) has been our internal auditor since November 2008.

Board Classes

Pursuant to our articles of association, our directors, other than our outside directors, are classified into three classes (classes I, II and III). The members of each class of directors and the expiration of the term of office is as follows:

Dana Gross	Class I	2013
Joseph Tenne	Class II	2011
Shabtai Adlersberg	Class III	2012

Our outside directors under the Companies Law, Doron Nevo and Dr. Eyal Kishon, are not members of any class and serve in accordance with the provisions of the Companies Law. Mr. Nevo's term ends in 2012 and Dr. Kishon's term ends in 2011.

Amendment No. 16 to the Israeli Companies Law

On March 7, 2011, the Knesset (the Israeli Parliament) adopted Amendment No. 16 to the Israeli Companies Law, a broad amendment to the Israeli Companies Law. Amendment No. 16 includes amendments to various corporate governance provisions for public companies, including amendments that increase the protection of minority shareholder rights and expand the procedures and increase the voting thresholds for approval of transactions with controlling shareholders, for the appointment of outside directors and for the appointment of a company's chief executive officer as the chairman of the board of directors. The amendment also promotes the independence of the board of directors and the audit committee. Amendment No. 16 has not yet been officially published. If adopted as proposed, a portion of the new requirements will become effective 60 days after the official publication date and the remainder will become effective six months after the effective date, with certain grandfather clauses applying to a few of the new requirements.

D. EMPLOYEES

We had the following number of employees as of December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010 in the areas set forth in the table below:

	As of December 31,		
	2008	2009	2010
Research and development	249	248	270
Sales and marketing, technical service and support	209	201	211
Operations	92	88	91
Management and administration	45	41	40
	<u>595</u>	<u>578</u>	<u>612</u>

Our employees were located in the following areas as of December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010.

	As of December 31,		
	2008	2009	2010
Israel	382	384	394
United States	151	125	132
Europe	27	26	25
Far East	28	36	52
Latin America	7	7	9
	<u>595</u>	<u>578</u>	<u>612</u>

The decrease in the number of employees in 2009 was primarily attributable to our cost reduction plans implemented in 2008. The increase in the number of employees in 2010 was primarily attributable to an increase in research and development personnel and increased sales and marketing efforts.

Israeli labor laws and regulations are applicable to our employees in Israel. These laws principally concern matters such as paid annual vacation, paid sick days, length of the workday, pay for overtime, insurance for work-related accidents, severance pay and other conditions of employment. Israeli law generally requires severance pay, which may be funded by Manager's Insurance, described below, upon the retirement or death of an employee or termination of employment without cause (as defined under Israeli law). Furthermore, Israeli employees and employers are required to pay predetermined sums to the National Insurance Institute, which include payments for national health insurance. The payments to the National Insurance Institute currently range from approximately 5% to 17% of wages up to specified wage levels, of which the employee contributes approximately 65% and the employer contributes approximately 35%.

Our employees are subject to certain provisions of the collective bargaining agreements between the Histadrut (General Federation of Labor in Israel) and the Coordination Bureau of Economic Organizations (including the Industrialists Associations) by order of the Israeli Minister of Industry, Trade and Labor. These provisions principally concern cost of living increases, recreation pay and other conditions of employment. We generally provide our employees with benefits and working conditions above the required minimums. Our employees, as a group, are not currently represented by a labor union. To date, we have not experienced any work stoppages.

Pursuant to an order issued in December 2007 by the Israeli Minister of Industry, Trade and Labor, provisions relating to pension arrangements in the collective bargaining agreements between the Histadrut and the Coordination Bureau of Economic Organizations will apply to all employees in Israel, including our employees in Israel. We regularly contribute to a “Manager’s Insurance Fund” or to a privately managed pension fund on behalf of our employees located in Israel. These funds provide employees with a lump sum payment upon retirement (or a pension, in case of a pension fund) and severance pay, if legally entitled thereto, upon termination of employment. We provide for payments to a Manager’s Insurance Fund and pension fund contributions in the amount of 13.3% of an employee’s salary on account of severance pay and provident payment or pension, with the employee contributing 5.0% of his salary. We also pay an additional amount of up to 2.5% of certain of our employees’ salaries in connection with disability payments. In addition, we administer an Education Fund for our Israeli employees and pay 7.5% of these employees’ salaries thereto, with the employees contributing 2.5% of their salary.

E. SHARE OWNERSHIP

The following table sets forth the share ownership and outstanding number of options of our directors and officers as of March 4, 2011.

Name	Total Shares Beneficially Owned	Percentage of Ordinary Shares	Number of Options
Shabtai Adlersberg	5,336,127	13.5%	305,196
Guy Avidan	*		*
Lior Aldema	*		*
Jeffrey Kahn	*		*
Eyal Frishberg	*		*
Eli Nir	*		*
Yehuda Hershkovici	*		*
Tal Dor	*		*
Sorin Lupu	*		*
Joseph Tenne	*		*
Dr. Eyal Kishon	*		*
Doron Nevo	*		*
Dana Gross	*		*

*Less than one percent.

Our officers and directors have the same voting rights as our other shareholders.

The following table sets forth information with respect to the options to purchase our ordinary shares held by Mr. Adlersberg as of March 4, 2011. In addition, in 2009 and 2010, we granted to Mr. Adlersberg restricted share units that will enable him to receive 40,269 and 41,152, respectively, of our ordinary shares subject to his continuing service to us. These restricted share units vest quarterly over a four-year period from the date of grant. As of March 4, 2011, 10,067 ordinary shares were issued to Mr. Adlersberg upon vesting of such restricted share units

Number of Options	Grant Date	Exercise Price	Exercised	Cancelled	Vesting	Expiration Date
275,000	September 23, 2004	\$ 12.84	-	-	5 years	September 23, 2011
120,808	December 14, 2009	\$ 2.57	-	-	4 years	December 14, 2016
123,456	December 14, 2010	\$ 5.83	-	-	4 years	December 14, 2017

Employee Share Plans

We have Employee Share Purchase Plans for the sale of shares to our employees and Employee Share Option Plans for the granting of options to our employees, officers, directors and consultants. Most of these plans are pursuant to the Israeli Income Tax Ordinance, entitling the beneficiaries who are our employees to tax benefits under Israeli law. There are various conditions that must be met in order to qualify for these benefits, including registration of the options in the name of a trustee for each of the beneficiaries who is granted options. For tax benefits each option, and any ordinary shares acquired upon the exercise of the option, must be held by the trustee at least for a period commencing on the date of grant and ending no later than 24 months after the date of grant, in accordance with the period of time specified by Section 102 of Israel's Income Tax Ordinance, and deposited in trust with the trustee.

Employee Share Purchase Plans

We implemented two Employee Share Purchase Plans in May 2001. One plan, the global plan, was for our non-U.S., employees and the other our U.S. employees. We amended and restated the global plan in July 2007 and adopted an additional plan for U.S. employees in July 2007. Under these Plans, a maximum of 6,500,000 of our ordinary shares were reserved for sale to our employees at a price equal to 85% of the lesser of fair market value on the first day or last day of each offering period under the Plans. As of December 31, 2010, we had issued 2,259,776 of our ordinary shares pursuant to purchases under these plans.

During 2008, our Board of Directors decided to suspend operation of the Employee Share Purchase Plans. In 2010 our Board of Directors decided to reinstate the Employee Share Purchase Plans.

Employee Share Option Plans

2008 Equity Incentive Plan. We have adopted an equity incentive plan under Section 102 of the Israeli Income Tax Ordinance, or Section 102, which provides certain tax benefits in connection with share-based compensation to employees, officers and directors. This plan, our 2008 Equity Incentive Plan, was approved by the Israeli Tax Authority.

Under our equity incentive plan, we may grant our directors, officers and employees restricted shares, restricted share units and options to purchase our ordinary shares under Section 102. We may also grant other persons awards under our equity incentive plan. However, such other persons (controlling shareholders and consultants) will not enjoy the tax benefits provided by Section 102. The total number of ordinary shares that were originally available for grant under the 2008 Plan was 2,009,122, which was increased in 2010 to 4,009,122. This number is reduced by one share for each equity grant we make under the 2008 Plan. During 2010, options to purchase 848,772 ordinary shares and 142,152 restricted share units were granted under the 2008 Plan. As of December 31, 2010, 2,028,746 ordinary shares remained available for grant under the 2008 Plan.

The Israeli Tax Authority approved the 2008 Plan under the capital gains tax track of Section 102. Based on Israeli law currently in effect and the election of the capital gains tax track, and provided that options, restricted shares and restricted share units granted or, upon their exercise or vesting, the underlying shares, issued under the plan are held by a trustee for the two years following the date in which such awards are granted, our employees, officers and directors will be (i) entitled to defer any taxable event with respect to the awards until the underlying ordinary shares are sold, and (ii) subject to capital gains tax of 25% on the sale of the shares. However, if we grant awards at a value below the underlying shares' market value at the date of grant, the 25% capital gains tax rate will apply only with respect to capital gains in excess of the underlying shares' market value at the date of grant and the remaining capital gains will be taxed at the grantee's regular tax rate. We may not recognize a tax benefit pertaining to the employees' restricted shares, restricted share units and options for tax purposes except in the events described above under which the gain is taxed at the grantee's regular tax rate.

Restricted shares, restricted share units and options granted under the 2008 Plan will generally vest over four years from the grant date. If the employment of an employee is terminated for any reason, the employee (or in the case of death, the designated beneficiary) may exercise his or her vested options within ninety days of the date of termination (or within twelve months of the date of termination in the case of death or disability) and shall be entitled to any rights upon vested restricted shares and vested restricted share units to be delivered to the employee to the extent that they were vested prior to the date his or her employment terminates. Directors are generally eligible to exercise his or her vested options within twelve months from the date the director ceases to serve on the board of directors.

As of December 31, 2010, we recorded equity-based compensation as a liability based on its fair value in the amount of \$500,000 relating to a commitment to grant restricted share units that were granted in January 2011. In addition, we recorded a liability based on its fair value in the amount of \$160,000 relating to a commitment to grant restricted share units subject to our share price in the period in between the grant date and January 1, 2013.

1999 Option Plans. In 1999, our board restated three 1997 Employee Share Option Plans for our Israeli employees, officers, directors and consultants and two 1997 Share Option Plans for our U.S. employees, officers, directors and consultants. Additionally, in 1999 our board adopted an Employee Share Option Plan for our Israeli employees, officers, directors and consultants, and an Employee Share Option Plan for our U.S. employees, officers, directors and consultants. The terms of the 1999 Plans are substantially the same as those of the 1997 Plans, but have reduced the exercise period of options from 10 to 7 years. The board has the ability to grant options with longer or shorter terms. The terms of the 1999 Plans have been modified slightly since they were adopted and, in 2003, the Israeli Plan was changed to conform to amendments to the Israeli Income Tax law. As of December 31, 2010, the 1997 and 1999 Israeli Plans and the 1997 U.S. Plans have expired and we no longer make any grants under these plans.

The holders of options under all of the plans are responsible for all personal tax consequences relating to the options. The exercise prices of the options are based on the fair value of the ordinary shares at the time of grant as determined by our board of directors. The current practice of our board of directors is to grant options with exercise prices that equal 100% of the closing price of our ordinary shares on the applicable date of grant.

ITEM 7. MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

A. MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS

To our knowledge, (A) we are not directly or indirectly owned or controlled (i) by another corporation or (ii) by any foreign government and (B) there are no arrangements, the operation of which may at a subsequent date result in a change in control of AudioCodes. The following table sets forth, as of March 4, 2011 the number of our ordinary shares, which constitute our only outstanding voting securities, beneficially owned by (i) all shareholders known to us to own more than 5% of our outstanding ordinary shares, and (ii) all of our directors and senior executive officers as a group.

Identity of Person or Group	Amount Owned	Percent of Class
Shabtai Adlersberg ⁽¹⁾	5,661,677	13.5%
Leon Bialik ⁽²⁾	4,079,322	9.9%
Rima Management, LLC ⁽³⁾	3,554,328	8.6%
All directors and senior executive officers as a group (13 persons) ⁽⁴⁾	6,091,678	14.7%

-
- (1) Includes options to purchase 320,461 shares, exercisable within 60 days of March 4, 2011 and 5,089 ordinary shares issuable pursuant to restricted share units that vest within 60 days of March 4, 2011.
 - (2) The information is derived from a statement on Schedule 13G/A, dated February 8, 2011 of Leon Bialik filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
 - (3) The information is derived from a statement on Schedule 13G, dated February 9, 2011, of Rima Management, LLC and Richard Mashaal filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
 - (4) Includes 755,551 ordinary shares which may be purchased pursuant to options exercisable within sixty days following March 4, 2011 and 5,089 restricted share units that vest within 60 days of March 4, 2011

Mr. Adlersberg held 13.5% of our ordinary shares as of December 31, 2010 as compared to 14.4% of our ordinary shares as of December 31, 2009 and 14.3% of our ordinary shares as of December 31, 2008.

Mr. Bialik held 9.9% of our ordinary shares as of December 31, 2010 as compared to 10.1% of our ordinary shares as of December 31, 2009 and 10.2% of our ordinary shares as of December 31, 2008.

Rima Management, LLC held 8.6% of our ordinary shares as of December 31, 2010 as compared to 7.4% of our ordinary shares as of December 31, 2009 and 7.2% of our ordinary shares as of December 31, 2008.

As of March 4, 2011, there were approximately 20 holders of record of our ordinary shares in the United States, although we believe that the number of beneficial owners of the ordinary shares is significantly greater. The number of record holders in the United States is not representative of the number of beneficial holders nor is it representative of where such beneficial holders are resident since many of these ordinary shares were held of record by brokers or other nominees.

The major shareholders have the same voting rights as the other shareholders.

B. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

None.

C. INTERESTS OF EXPERTS AND COUNSEL

Not applicable.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

A. Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information

See Item 18.

Legal Proceedings

For a discussion of our legal proceedings, please see “Item 4B-Information on the Company-Business Overview-Legal Proceedings.”

Dividend Policy

For a discussion of our dividend policy, please see “Item 10B-Additional Information-Memorandum and Articles of Association-Dividends.”

B. Significant Changes

No significant change has occurred since December 31, 2010, except as otherwise disclosed in this Annual Report.

ITEM 9. THE OFFER AND LISTING**A. OFFER AND LISTING DETAILS UPDATE ALL TABLES AND DISCLOSURE IN THIS SECTION**

Our ordinary shares are listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market and The Tel Aviv Stock Exchange under the symbol “AUDC.”

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low sales prices of our ordinary shares as reported by the NASDAQ Global Select Market.

Calendar Year	Price Per Share	
	High	Low
2010	\$ 6.51	\$ 2.31
2009	\$ 3.06	\$ 0.92
2008	\$ 5.26	\$ 1.47
2007	\$ 10.40	\$ 4.55
2006	\$ 14.64	\$ 8.77

Calendar Period	Price Per Share	
	High	Low
2011		
First quarter (through March 4, 2011)	\$ 8.07	\$ 6.00
2010		
Fourth quarter	\$ 6.51	\$ 3.70
Third quarter	\$ 3.99	\$ 2.31
Second quarter	\$ 4.39	\$ 2.43
First quarter	\$ 4.17	\$ 2.65
2009		
Fourth quarter	\$ 3.06	\$ 1.94
Third quarter	\$ 2.40	\$ 1.37
Second quarter	\$ 1.60	\$ 1.16
First quarter	\$ 1.90	\$ 0.92

Calendar Month	Price Per Share	
	High	Low
2011		
February	\$ 8.07	\$ 6.15
January	\$ 7.76	\$ 6.00
2010		
December	\$ 6.51	\$ 4.61
November	\$ 5.16	\$ 4.34
October	\$ 5.03	\$ 3.70
September	\$ 3.99	\$ 2.63

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low sales prices of our ordinary shares as reported by The Tel Aviv Stock Exchange. All share prices shown in the following table are in NIS. As of December 31, 2010, the exchange rate was equal to approximately NIS 3.549 per U.S. \$1.00.

Calendar Year	Price Per Share	
	High	Low
2010	NIS 23.25	NIS 9.20
2009	NIS 11.55	NIS 4.26
2008	NIS 20.20	NIS 5.71
2007	NIS 44.00	NIS 18.90
2006	NIS 66.27	NIS 38.10

Calendar Period	Price Per Share	
	High	Low
2011		
First quarter (through March 4, 2011)	NIS 29.51	NIS 20.50
2010		
Fourth quarter	NIS 23.25	NIS 13.30
Third quarter	NIS 13.91	NIS 9.33
Second quarter	NIS 16.05	NIS 9.20
First quarter	NIS 15.25	NIS 9.50
2009		
Fourth quarter	NIS 11.55	NIS 7.61
Third quarter	NIS 8.30	NIS 5.50
Second quarter	NIS 6.64	NIS 4.70
First quarter	NIS 7.33	NIS 4.26

Calendar Month	Price Per Share	
	High	Low
2011		
February	NIS 29.51	NIS 23.01
January	NIS 15.25	NIS 9.50
2010		
December	NIS 23.25	NIS 16.20
November	NIS 18.65	NIS 16.05
October	NIS 18.10	NIS 13.30
September	NIS 13.91	NIS 9.91

B. PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION

Not applicable.

C. MARKETS

Our ordinary shares are listed for trading on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "AUDC". Our ordinary shares are also listed for trading on The Tel-Aviv Stock Exchange under the symbol "AUDC". In addition, we are aware of our ordinary shares being traded on the following markets: Frankfurt Stock Exchange, Berlin Stock Exchange, Munich Stock Exchange and XETRA.

D. SELLING SHAREHOLDERS

Not applicable.

E. DILUTION

Not applicable.

F. EXPENSES OF THE ISSUE

Not applicable.

ITEM 10. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

A. SHARE CAPITAL

Not applicable.

B. MEMORANDUM AND ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION

Objects and Purposes

We were incorporated in 1992 under the laws of the State of Israel. Our registration number with the Israeli Registrar of Companies is 520044132. Our objects and purposes, set forth in Section 2 of our memorandum of association, are:

- to plan, develop and market voice signal systems;
- to purchase, import, market and wholesale and retail distribute, in Israel and abroad, consumption goods and accompanying products;
- to serve as representatives of bodies, entrepreneurs and companies from Israel and abroad with respect to their activities in Israel and abroad; and
- to carry out any activity as determined by the lawful management.

Share Capital

Our authorized share capital consists of NIS 1,025,000 divided into 100,000,000 ordinary shares, nominal value NIS 0.01 per share, and 2,500,000 preferred shares, nominal value NIS 0.01 per share. As of March 3, 2011, we had 41,406,420 ordinary shares outstanding (which does not include 7,392,356 treasury shares) and no preferred shares outstanding.

Borrowing Powers

The board of directors has the power to cause us to borrow money and to secure the payment of borrowed money. The board of directors specifically has the power to issue bonds or debentures, and to impose mortgages or other security interests on all or any part of our property.

Amendment of Articles of Association

Shareholders may amend our articles of association by a resolution adopted at a shareholders meeting by the holders of 50% of voting power represented at the meeting in person or by proxy and voting thereon.

Dividends

Under the Israeli Companies Law, we may pay dividends only out of our profits. The amount of any dividend to be distributed among shareholders is based on the nominal value of their shares. Our board of directors has determined that we will not distribute any amounts of our undistributed tax exempt income as dividend. We intend to reinvest our tax-exempt income and not to distribute such income as a dividend. Accordingly, no deferred income taxes have been provided on income attributable to our Approved Enterprise program as the undistributed tax exempt income is essentially permanent in duration.

Voting Rights and Powers

Unless any shares have special rights as to voting, every shareholder has one vote for each share held of record. A shareholder is not entitled to vote at any shareholders meeting unless all calls then payable by him in respect of his shares have been paid (this does not apply to separate meetings of the holders of a particular class of shares with respect to the modification or abrogation of their rights).

Under our articles of association, we may issue preferred shares from time to time, in one or more series. However, in connection with our listing on The Tel-Aviv Stock Exchange in 2001, we agreed that for such time as our ordinary shares are traded on The Tel-Aviv Stock Exchange, we will not issue any of the 2,500,000 preferred shares, nominal value NIS 0.01, authorized in our articles of association. Notwithstanding the foregoing, we may issue preferred shares if the preference of those shares is limited to a preference in the distribution of dividends and such preferred shares have no voting rights.

Business Combinations

Our articles of association impose restrictions on our ability to engage in any merger, asset or share sale or other similar transaction with a shareholder holding 15% or more of our voting shares.

Winding Up

Upon our liquidation, our assets available for distribution to shareholders will be distributed to them in proportion to the nominal value of their shares.

Redeemable Shares

Subject to our undertaking to the Tel-Aviv Stock Exchange as described above, we may issue and redeem redeemable shares.

Modification of Rights

Subject to the provisions of our memorandum of association, and without prejudice to any special rights previously conferred upon the holders of our existing shares, we may, from time to time, by a resolution approved by the holders of 75% voting power represented at the meeting in person or by proxy and voting thereon, provide for shares with such preferred or deferred rights or rights of redemption, or other special rights and/or such restrictions, whether in regard to dividends, voting repayment of share capital or otherwise, as may be stipulated in such resolution.

If at any time our share capital is divided into different classes of shares, we may modify or abrogate the rights attached to any class, unless otherwise provided by the articles of association, by a resolution approved by the holders of 75% voting power represented at the meeting in person or by proxy and voting thereon, subject to the consent in writing of the holders of 75% of the issued shares of that class.

The provisions of our articles of association relating to general meetings also apply to any separate general meeting of the holders of the shares of a particular class, except that two or more members holding not less than 75% of the issued shares of that class must be present in person or by proxy at that separate general meeting for a quorum to exist.

Unless otherwise provided by our articles of association, the increase of an authorized class of shares, or the issuance of additional shares thereof out of the authorized and unissued share capital, shall not be deemed to modify or abrogate the rights attached to previously issued shares of that class or of any other class.

Shareholders Meetings

An annual meeting of shareholders is to be held once a year, within 15 months after the previous annual meeting. The annual meeting may be held in Israel or outside of Israel, as determined by the board of directors.

The board of directors may, whenever it thinks fit, convene a special shareholders meeting. The board of directors must convene a special shareholders meeting at the request of:

- at least two directors;
- at least one-quarter of the directors in office; or
- one or more shareholders who hold at least 5% of the outstanding share capital and at least 1% of the voting rights, or one or more shareholders who hold at least 5% of the outstanding voting rights.

A special shareholders meeting may be held in Israel or outside of Israel, as determined by the board of directors.

Notice of General Meetings; Omission to Give Notice

The provisions of the Companies Law and the related regulations override the provisions of our articles of association, and provide for notice of a meeting of shareholders to be sent to each registered shareholder at least 21 days or 35 days in advance of the meeting depending on the items included in the meeting agenda. Notice of a meeting of shareholders must also be published in two Israeli newspapers at least five days prior to the record date for the meeting.

Notice of a meeting of shareholders must specify the type of meeting, the place and time of the meeting, the agenda, a summary of the proposed resolutions, the majority required to adopt the proposed resolutions, and the record date for the meeting. The notice must also include the address and telephone number of our registered office, and a list of times at which the full text of the proposed resolutions may be examined at the registered office.

The accidental omission to give notice of a meeting to any shareholder, or the non-receipt of notice sent to such shareholder, does not invalidate the proceedings at the meeting.

Limitations on Foreign Shareholders to Hold or Exercise Voting Rights

There are no limitations on foreign shareholders in our articles of association. Israeli law restricts the ability of citizens of countries that are in a state of war with Israel to hold shares of Israeli companies.

Fiduciary Duties; Approval of Transactions under Israeli Law

The Companies Law imposes fiduciary duties that “office holders,” including directors and executive officers, owe to their company. An office holder’s fiduciary duties consist of a duty of care and a duty of loyalty.

Duty of care. The duty of care generally requires an office holder to act with the level of care which a reasonable office holder in the same position would have acted under the same circumstances. This includes the duty to use reasonable means to obtain information regarding the advisability of a given action submitted for his or her approval or performed by virtue of his or her position and all other relevant information material to these actions.

Duty of loyalty. The duty of loyalty generally requires an office holder to act in good faith and for the benefit of the company. Specifically, an office holder must avoid any conflict of interest between the office holder’s position in the company and his or her other positions or personal affairs. In addition, an office holder must avoid competing against the company or exploiting any business opportunity of the company for his or her own benefit or the benefit of others. An office holder must also disclose to the company any information or documents relating to the company’s affairs that the office holder has received due to his or her position in the company. A company may approve any of the acts mentioned above provided that all the following conditions apply: the office holder acted in good faith and neither the act nor the approval of the act prejudices the good of the company, and the office holder disclosed the essence of his or her personal interest in the act, including any substantial fact or document, a reasonable time before the date for discussion of the approval.

The term “office holder” includes any person who, either formally or in substance, serves as a director, general manager or chief executive officer, or who reports directly to the general manager or chief executive officer. Each person listed in the table under “Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees—A. Directors and Senior Management” above is an “office holder” of AudioCodes.

Compensation. Under the Companies Law, all arrangements as to compensation of office holders who are not directors require approval of the board of directors and, in certain cases, the prior approval of the audit committee. Arrangements as to compensation of directors also require audit committee and shareholder approval.

Disclosure of personal interest. The Companies Law requires that an office holder promptly disclose any personal interest that he or she may have, and all related material information known to him or her, in connection with any existing or proposed transaction by the company. A “personal interest” of an office holder, as defined in the Companies Law, includes a personal interest of the office holder’s relative or a corporation in which the office holder or the office holder’s relative is a 5% or greater shareholder, director or general manager or has the right to appoint at least one director or the general manager. “Personal interest” does not apply to a personal interest stemming merely from holding shares in the company.

The office holder must make the disclosure of his personal interest no later than the first meeting of the company’s board of directors that discusses the particular transaction. The office holder’s duty to disclose shall not apply in the event that the personal interest only results from a personal interest of the office holder’s relative in a transaction that is not an “extraordinary transaction”. The Companies Law defines an “extraordinary transaction” as a transaction not in the ordinary course of business, not on market terms, or likely to have a material impact on the company’s profitability, assets or liabilities, and a “relative” as a spouse, sibling, parent, grandparent, descendant, spouse’s descendant and the spouse of any of the foregoing.

Approvals. For a transaction that is not an extraordinary transaction, under the Companies Law, once the office holder complies with the above disclosure requirement, the board of directors is authorized to approve the transaction, unless the articles of association provide otherwise. Our articles of association do not provide otherwise. Such approval must determine that the transaction is not adverse to the company’s interest. If the transaction is an extraordinary transaction, or if it concerns exculpation, indemnification or insurance of an office holder, then it also must be approved by the company’s audit committee and board of directors, and, under certain circumstances, by the shareholders of the company. An office holder who has a personal interest in a matter that is considered at a meeting of the board of directors or the audit committee generally may not be present at this meeting or vote on this matter unless a majority of the board of directors or the audit committee has a personal interest in the matter. If a majority of the board of directors or the audit committee has a personal interest in the transaction, shareholder approval also would be required.

Duties of Shareholders

Under the Companies Law, the disclosure requirements that apply to an office holder also apply to a controlling shareholder of a public company. A controlling shareholder is a shareholder who has the ability to direct the activities of a company, including a shareholder that owns 25% or more of the voting rights if no other shareholder owns more than 50% of the voting rights, but excluding a shareholder whose power derives solely from his or her position on the board of directors or any other position with the company. Two or more shareholders with a personal interest in the approval of the same transaction are deemed to be one shareholder for the purpose of being a “controlling shareholder.”

Approval of the audit committee, the board of directors and our shareholders, in that order, is required for:

- extraordinary transactions, including a private placement, with a controlling shareholder or in which a controlling shareholder has a personal interest; and
- the terms of compensation or employment of a controlling shareholder or his or her relative, as an officer holder or employee of our company.

The shareholders approval must include the majority of shares voted at the meeting. In addition to the majority vote, the shareholder approval must satisfy either of two additional tests:

- the majority includes at least one-third of the shares voted by shareholders who have no personal interest in the transaction; or
- the total number of shares, other than shares held by the disinterested shareholders, that voted against the approval of the transaction does not exceed 1% of the aggregate voting rights of our company.

Under the Companies Law, a shareholder has a duty to act in good faith and in a customary manner towards the company and other shareholders, and to refrain from abusing his or her power in the company, including when voting in a shareholders meeting or in a class meeting on matters such as the following:

- an amendment to our articles of association;
- an increase in our authorized share capital;
- a merger; or
- approval of related party transactions that require shareholder approval.

In addition, any controlling shareholder, any shareholder who knows that he or she possesses the power to determine the outcome of a shareholders meeting or a shareholders class meeting and any shareholder who has the power to prevent the appointment of an office holder, is under a duty to act with fairness towards the company. The Companies Law does not define the substance of this duty of fairness, except to state that the remedies generally available upon a breach of contract will also apply in the event of a breach of the duty to act with fairness, taking into account the position in the company of those who breached the duty of fairness.

Anti-Takeover Provisions Under Israeli Law

The Companies Law provides that an acquisition of shares in a public company must be made by means of a tender offer if as a result of the acquisition the purchaser would hold 25% or more of the voting rights in the company, unless there is already another shareholder of the company with 25% or more of the voting rights. Similarly, the Companies Law provides that an acquisition of shares in a public company must be made by means of a tender offer if as a result of the acquisition the purchaser would hold more than 45% of the voting rights in the company, unless there is a shareholder with more than 45% of the voting rights in the company.

The Companies Law requires the parties to a proposed merger to file a merger proposal with the Israeli Registrar of Companies, specifying certain terms of the transaction. Each merging company's board of directors and shareholders must approve the merger. Shares in one of the merging companies held by the other merging company or certain of its affiliates are disenfranchised for purposes of voting on the merger. A merging company must inform its creditors of the proposed merger. Any creditor of a party to the merger may seek a court order blocking the merger, if there is a reasonable concern that the surviving company will not be able to satisfy all of the obligations of the parties to the merger. Moreover, a merger may not be completed until at least 50 days have passed from the time that the merger proposal was filed with the Israeli Registrar of Companies and at least 30 days have passed from the approval of the shareholders of each of the merging companies.

Finally, in general, Israeli tax law treats stock-for-stock acquisitions less favorably than does U.S. tax law. Israeli tax law has been amended to provide for tax deferral in specified acquisitions, including transactions where the consideration for the sale of shares is the receipt of shares of the acquiring company. Nevertheless, Israeli tax law may subject a shareholder who exchanges his ordinary shares for shares in a foreign corporation to immediate taxation or to taxation before his investment in the foreign corporation becomes liquid, although in the case of shares of a foreign corporation that are traded on a stock exchange, the tax may be postponed subject to certain conditions.

As described in Item 6.C. of this Annual Report, on March 7, 2011, the Knesset (the Israeli Parliament) adopted Amendment No. 16 to the Israeli Companies Law, a broad amendment to the Israeli Companies Law. Amendment No. 16 includes amendments to various corporate governance provisions for public companies, including amendments that increase the protection of minority shareholder rights and expand the procedures and increase the voting thresholds for approval of transactions with controlling shareholders for the appointment of outside directors and for the appointment of a company's chief executive officer as the chairman of the board of directors. The amendment also promotes the independence of the board of directors and the audit committee. Amendment No. 16 has not yet been officially published. If adopted as proposed, a portion of the new requirements will become effective 60 days after the official publication date and the remainder will become effective six months after the effective date, with certain grandfather clauses applying to a few of the new requirements.

Insurance, Indemnification and Exculpation of Directors and Officers; Limitations on Liability

Insurance of Office Holders

The Companies Law permits a company, if permitted by its articles of association, to insure an office holder in respect of liabilities incurred by the office holder as a result of:

- the breach of his or her duty of care to the company or to another person, or
- the breach of his or her duty of loyalty to the company, to the extent that the office holder acted in good faith and had reasonable cause to believe that the act would not prejudice the company.

A company can also insure an office holder against monetary liabilities imposed on the office holder in favor of a third party as a result of an act or omission that the office holder committed in connection with his or her serving as an office holder.

Indemnification of Office Holders

Under the Companies Law, a company can, if permitted by its articles of association, indemnify an office holder for any of the following obligations or expenses incurred in connection with his or her acts or omissions as an office holder:

- monetary liability imposed upon the office holder in favor of other persons pursuant to a court judgment, including a settlement or an arbitrator's decision approved by a court;
- reasonable litigation expenses, including attorney's fees, incurred by the office holder as a result of an investigation or proceeding instituted against the office holder by a competent authority, provided that such investigation or proceeding concluded without the filing of an indictment against the office holder; and either:
 - o no financial liability was imposed on the office holder in lieu of criminal proceedings, or
 - o financial liability was imposed on the office holder in lieu of criminal proceedings but the alleged criminal offense does not require proof of criminal intent, and
- reasonable litigation expenses, including attorneys' fees, actually incurred by the office holder or imposed upon the office holder by a court:
 - in an action brought against the office holder by the company, on behalf of the company or on behalf of a third party;
 - in a criminal action in which the office holder is found innocent; or
 - in a criminal action in which the office holder is convicted but in which proof of criminal intent is not required.

A company may indemnify an office holder in respect of these liabilities either in advance of an event or following an event. If a company undertakes to indemnify an office holder in advance of an event, the indemnification, other than legal costs, must be limited to foreseeable events in light of the company's actual activities when the company undertook such indemnification, and reasonable amounts or standards, as determined by the board of directors.

Exculpation of Office Holders

Under the Companies Law, a company may, if permitted by its articles of association, also exculpate an office holder in advance, in whole or in part, from liability for damages sustained by a breach of duty of care to the company, other than in connection with distributions.

Limitations on Exculpation, Insurance and Indemnification

Under the Companies Law, a company may indemnify or insure an office holder against a breach of duty of loyalty only to the extent that the office holder acted in good faith and had reasonable grounds to assume that the action would not prejudice the company. In addition, a company may not indemnify, insure or exculpate an office holder against a breach of duty of care if committed intentionally or recklessly (excluding mere negligence), or committed with the intent to derive an unlawful personal gain, or for a fine or forfeit levied against the office holder in connection with a criminal offense.

Our articles of association allow us to insure, indemnify and exculpate office holders to the fullest extent permitted by law, provided such insurance or indemnification is approved in accordance with law. Pursuant to the Companies Law, exculpation of, procurement of insurance coverage for, and an undertaking to indemnify or indemnification of, our office holders must be approved by our audit committee and our board of directors and, if the office holder is a director, also by our shareholders.

We have entered into agreements with each of our directors and senior officers to insure, indemnify and exculpate them to the full extent permitted by law against some types of claims, subject to dollar limits and other limitations. These agreements have been ratified by our audit committee, board of directors and shareholders. We have acquired directors' and officers' liability insurance covering our officers and directors and the officers and directors of our subsidiaries against certain claims.

C. MATERIAL CONTRACTS

In April 2008, we entered into a loan agreement with First International Bank of Israel that provides for borrowings in the aggregate amount of \$15 million. The loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.5% with respect to \$11.5 million of the loan and LIBOR plus 0.65% with respect to the remaining amount of \$3.5 million of the loan. The principal amount borrowed is repayable in 20 equal quarterly payments through April 2013. The bank has a lien on our assets and we are required to maintain \$3.5 million of compensating balances with the bank. The agreement requires us, among other things, to maintain shareholders' equity at specified levels and to achieve certain levels of operating income. The agreement also restricts us from paying dividends.

In July 2008, we entered into a loan agreement with Mizrahi Tfachot Bank that provides for borrowings in the aggregate amount of \$15 million. The loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.3% with respect to \$11.5 million of the loan and LIBOR plus 0.50% with respect to the remaining amount of \$3.5 million of the loan. The bank has a lien on our assets and we are required to maintain \$3.5 million of compensating balances with the bank. The other terms of the loan with Mizrahi Tfachot Bank are the same as the loan agreement with First International Bank described in the preceding paragraph. Mizrahi and First International share the lien on our assets.

D. EXCHANGE CONTROLS

Non-residents of Israel who own our ordinary shares may freely convert all amounts received in Israeli currency in respect of such ordinary shares, whether as a dividend, liquidation distribution or as proceeds from the sale of the ordinary shares, into freely-repatriable non-Israeli currencies at the rate of exchange prevailing at the time of conversion (provided in each case that the applicable Israeli income tax, if any, is paid or withheld).

Since January 1, 2003, all exchange control restrictions on transactions in foreign currency in Israel have been eliminated, although there are still reporting requirements for foreign currency transactions. Legislation remains in effect, however, pursuant to which currency controls may be imposed by administrative action at any time.

The State of Israel does not restrict in any way the ownership or voting of our ordinary shares by non-residents of Israel, except with respect to subjects of countries that are in a state of war with Israel.

E *TAXATION*

The following is a summary of the material Israeli and United States federal tax consequences, Israeli foreign exchange regulations and certain Israeli government programs affecting us. To the extent that the discussion is based on new tax or other legislation that has not been subject to judicial or administrative interpretation, there can be no assurance that the views expressed in the discussion will be accepted by the tax or other authorities in question. The discussion is not intended, and should not be construed, as legal or professional tax advice, is not exhaustive of all possible tax considerations and should not be relied upon for tax planning purposes. Potential investors are urged to consult their own tax advisors as to the Israeli tax, United States federal income tax and other tax consequences of the purchase, ownership and disposition of ordinary shares, including, in particular, the effect of any foreign, state or local taxes.

Israeli Tax Considerations

General Corporate Tax Structure

Generally, Israeli companies are subject to corporate tax on taxable income at the rate of 25% for the 2010 tax year. The corporate tax rate applicable for 2008 and 2009 was 27%.

In July 2009, Israel's Parliament (the Knesset) passed the Economic Efficiency Law (Amended Legislation for Implementing the Economic Plan for 2009 and 2010), 2009, which prescribes, among other things, a gradual reduction in the Israeli corporate tax rate and real capital gains tax rate starting from 2011 to the following tax rates: 2011 - 24%, 2012 - 23%, 2013 - 22%, 2014 - 21%, 2015 - 20%, 2016 and thereafter - 18%. However, the effective tax rate payable by us that derives income from an approved or privileged enterprise may be considerably less.

Tax Benefits Under the Law for the Encouragement of Capital Investments, 1959

Our facilities have been granted approved enterprise status pursuant to the Law for the Encouragement of Capital Investments, 1959 or the Investment Law, which provides certain tax and financial benefits to investment programs that have been granted such status.

The Investment Law provides that a proposed capital investment in eligible facilities may be designated as an "approved enterprise." Until 2005, the designation required advance approval from the Investment Center of the Israel Ministry of Industry, Trade and Labor (the Investment Center). Each certificate of approval for an approved enterprise relates to a specific investment program delineated both by its financial scope, including its capital sources, and by its physical characteristics, such as the equipment to be purchased and utilized pursuant to the program. The tax benefits under the Investment Law are not available for income derived from products manufactured outside of Israel.

A company owning an approved enterprise may elect to receive either governmental grants or an alternative package of tax benefits. Under the alternative package, a company's undistributed income derived from an approved enterprise will be exempt from corporate tax for a period of two to ten years (depending on the geographic location of the approved enterprise within Israel). The exemption commences in the first year of taxable income, and the company is taxed at a reduced corporate rate of 10% to 25% for the following five to eight years, depending on the extent of foreign shareholders' ownership of the company's ordinary shares. The benefits period is limited to twelve years from completion of the investment under the approved plan or fourteen years from the date of approval, whichever is earlier (these limits do not apply to the exemption period). A Foreign Investors Company, or FIC, defined in the Investment Law as a company of which more than 25% of its shareholders are non-Israeli residents, may enjoy benefits for a period of up to ten years, or twelve years if it complies with certain export criteria stipulated in the Investment Law (the actual length of the benefits period is graduated based on the percentage of foreign ownership).

We have elected the alternative package of tax exemptions and reduced tax rates for our production facilities that have received Approved Enterprise status. Accordingly, income derived from these facilities is generally entitled to a tax-exemption period of two years and a reduced corporate tax rate of 10% to 25% for an additional period of five to eight years, based on our percentage of foreign investment. The tax benefits for our existing Approved Enterprise programs are scheduled to gradually expire by 2018. The period of tax benefits for each capital investment plan expires upon the earlier of: (1) twelve years from completion of the investment under the approved plan, or (2) fourteen years from receipt of approval (these limits do not apply to the exemption period).

Out of our retained earnings as of December 31, 2010, approximately \$540,000 are tax-exempt. If we were to distribute this tax-exempt income before our complete liquidation, it would be taxed at the reduced corporate tax rate applicable to these profits (10% to 25%), and an income tax liability of up to approximately \$135,000 would be incurred. Our board of directors has currently determined that we will not distribute any amounts of our undistributed tax exempt income as dividend. We intend to reinvest our tax-exempt income and not to distribute such income as a dividend. Accordingly, no deferred income taxes have been provided on income attributable to our Approved Enterprise.

If we fail to meet the requirements of an Approved Enterprise we would be subject to corporate tax in Israel at the regular statutory rate. We could also be required to refund tax benefits, with interest and adjustments for inflation based on the Israeli consumer price index.

The tax benefits derived from any certificate of approval relate only to taxable income attributable to the specific approved enterprise. If a company has more than one approval or only a portion of its capital investments are approved, its effective tax rate is the result of a weighted combination of the applicable rates.

Our production facilities have been granted the status of approved enterprise. Income arising from our approved enterprise facilities is tax-free under the alternative package of benefits described above and entitled to reduced tax rates based on the level of foreign ownership for specified periods. We have derived, and expect to continue to derive, a substantial portion of our operating income from our approved enterprise facilities. The tax benefits attributable to our current approved enterprises are scheduled to expire gradually until 2018.

Distribution of earnings derived from approved enterprise which were previously taxed at reduced tax rates, would not result in additional tax consequences to us. However, if retained tax-exempt income is distributed in a manner, we would be taxed at the reduced corporate tax rate applicable to such profits (between 10%-25%). We are not obliged to distribute exempt retained earnings under the alternative package of benefits, and may generally decide from which source of income to declare dividends. We currently intend to reinvest the amount of our tax-exempt income and not to distribute such income as a dividend. Dividends from approved enterprises are generally taxed at a rate of 15% (which is withheld and paid by the company paying the dividend) if such dividend is distributed during the benefits period or within twelve years thereafter. The twelve-year limitation does not apply to an FIC.

In addition, the benefits available to an approved enterprise are conditional upon the fulfillment of conditions stipulated in the Investment Law and related regulations and the criteria set forth in the specific certificate of approval. In the event that a company does not meet these conditions, it will be subject to corporate tax at the rate then in effect under Israeli law for such tax year. As of December 31, 2010, management believes that we meet all of the aforementioned conditions.

On April 1, 2005, an amendment to the law came into effect (the "Amendment") and has significantly changed the provisions of the law. The Amendment limits the scope of enterprises which may be approved by the Investment Center by setting criteria for the approval of a facility as a Beneficiary Enterprise, such as provisions generally requiring that at least 25% of the Privileged Enterprise's income will be derived from export. Additionally, the Amendment enacted major changes in the manner in which tax benefits are awarded under the law so that companies no longer require Investment Center approval in order to qualify for tax benefits.

Tax benefits are available under the 2005 Amendment to production facilities (or other eligible facilities), which are generally required to derive more than 25% of their business income from export. In order to receive the tax benefits, the 2005 Amendment states that a company must make an investment in the Beneficiary Enterprise exceeding a certain percentage or a minimum amount specified in the Investments Law. Such investment may be made over a period of no more than three years ending at the end of the year in which the company requested to have the tax benefits apply to the Beneficiary Enterprise, or the Year of Election. Where the company requests to have the tax benefits apply to an expansion of existing facilities, then only the expansion will be considered a Beneficiary Enterprise and the company's effective tax rate will be the result of a weighted average of the applicable rates. In this case, the minimum investment required in order to qualify as a Beneficiary Enterprise is required to exceed a certain percentage or a minimum amount of the company's production assets at the end of the year before the expansion.

The duration of tax benefits is subject to a limitation of the earlier of seven to ten years from the Commencement Year, or twelve years from the first day of the Year of Election. The Commencement Year is defined as the later of (a) the first tax year in which a company had derived income for tax purposes from the Beneficiary Enterprise or (b) the year in which a company requested to have the tax benefits apply to the Beneficiary Enterprise – Year of Election. The tax benefits granted to a Beneficiary Enterprise are determined, as applicable to its geographic location within Israel, according to one of the following new tax routes, which may be applicable to us:

- Similar to the currently available alternative route, exemption from corporate tax on undistributed income for a period of two to ten years, depending on the geographic location of the Beneficiary Enterprise within Israel, and a reduced corporate tax rate of 10% to 25% for the remainder of the benefits period, depending on the level of foreign investment in each year. Benefits may be granted for a term of seven to ten years, depending on the level of foreign investment in the company. If the company pays a dividend out of income derived from the Beneficiary Enterprise during the tax exemption period, such income will be subject to corporate tax at the applicable rate (10%-25%) in respect of the gross amount of the dividend that we may be distributed. The company is required to withhold tax at the source at a rate of 15% from any dividends distributed from income derived from the Beneficiary Enterprise; and
- A special tax route, which enables companies owning facilities in certain geographical locations in Israel to pay corporate tax at the rate of 11.5% on income of the Beneficiary Enterprise. The benefits period is ten years. Upon payment of dividends, the company is required to withhold tax at source at a rate of 15% for Israeli residents and at a rate of 4% for foreign residents.

Generally, a company that is Abundant in Foreign Investment (owned by at least 74% foreign shareholders and has undertaken to invest a minimum sum of \$20 million in the Beneficiary Enterprise as defined in the Investments Law) is entitled to an extension of the benefits period by an additional five years, depending on the rate of its income that is derived in foreign currency.

The 2005 Amendment changed the definition of “foreign investment” in the Investments Law so that the definition requires a minimal investment of NIS 5 million by foreign investors. Furthermore, such definition now also includes the purchase of shares of a company from another shareholder, provided that the company’s outstanding and paid-up share capital exceeds NIS 5 million. Such changes to the aforementioned definition will take effect retroactively from 2003.

The 2005 Amendment applies to approved enterprise programs in which the year of election under the Investments Law is 2004 or later, unless such programs received approval from the Investment Center on or prior to December 31, 2004, in which case the 2005 Amendment provides that terms and benefits included in any certificate of approval already granted will remain subject to the provisions of the law as they were on the date of such approval.

In addition, the law provides that terms and benefits included in any certificate of approval granted prior to December 31, 2004 will remain subject to the provisions of the law as they were on the date of such approval. Therefore, our existing "Approved Enterprises" will generally not be subject to the provisions of the Amendment. As a result of the Amendment, tax-exempt income generated under the provisions of the law as amended, will subject us to taxes upon distribution or liquidation and we may be required to record a deferred tax liability with respect to such tax-exempt income. We elected 2008 as "year of election" under the Investments Law after the Amendment. We expect that a substantial portion of any taxable operating income that we may realize in the future will be derived from our approved enterprise status.

Recently, new legislation amending the law was adopted. Under this new legislation, a uniform corporate tax rate will apply to all qualifying income of certain industrial companies, as opposed to the current law's incentives, which are limited to income from Approved Enterprises and Privileged Enterprises during their benefits period. Under the new law, the uniform tax rate will be 10% in areas in Israel designated as Development Zone A and 15% elsewhere in Israel during 2011-2012, 7% in Development Zone A and 12.5% elsewhere in Israel in 2013-2014, and 6% in Development Zone A and 12% elsewhere in Israel thereafter. The profits of these industrial companies will be freely distributable as dividends, subject to a 15% withholding tax (or lower, under an applicable tax treaty). AudioCodes is not located in Zone A

Under the transition provisions of the new legislation, we may decide to irrevocably implement the new law during 2011-2012 while waiving benefits provided under the current law or to remain subject to the current law.

Law for the Encouragement of Industrial Research and Development, 1984

Under the Law for the Encouragement of Industrial Research and Development, 1984 and the related regulations, or the Research Law, research, development and pre-manufacturing programs that meet specified criteria and are approved by a governmental committee (the Research Committee) of the Office of Chief Scientist (OCS) are eligible for grants of up to 50% of the expenditures on the program. Each application to the OCS is reviewed separately, and grants are based on the program approved by the Research Committee. Expenditures supported under other incentive programs of the State of Israel are not eligible for OCS grants. As a result, we cannot be sure that applications to the OCS will be approved or, if approved, that we will receive the amounts for which we apply.

Recipients of these grants are required to pay royalties on the revenues derived from the sale of product developed in accordance with the program. The royalties are payable at the rate of 3% of revenues during the first three years, 4% of revenues during the following three years, and 5% of revenues in the seventh year and thereafter, with the total royalties not to exceed 100% of the dollar value of the OCS grant.

The terms of the Israeli government participation require that products developed with OCS grants must generally be manufactured in Israel. If we receive OCS approval for any portion of this manufacturing to be performed outside of Israel, the royalty rate would be increased and the repayment schedule would be accelerated, based on the extent of the manufacturing conducted outside of Israel. Depending upon the extent of the manufacturing volume that is performed outside of Israel, the ceiling on royalties would increase to 120%, 150% or 300% of the grant. Under an amendment to the Research Law effective since 2005, the authority of the Research Committee to approve the transfer of manufacture outside of Israel was expanded.

The technology developed pursuant to the terms of these grants may not be transferred to third parties without the prior approval of the Research Committee. This approval is required only for the export of the technology, and not for the export of any products that incorporate the sponsored technology. Approval of the transfer of technology may be granted only if the recipient agrees to abide by all the provisions of the Research Law, including the restrictions on the transfer of know-how and the obligation to pay royalties in an amount that may be increased. The 2005 amendment to the Research Law granted authority to the Research Committee to approve the transfer of sponsored technology outside of Israel, subject to various conditions.

We have received grants from the OCS, and therefore we are subject to various restrictions under the Research Law on the transfer of technology or manufacturing. These restrictions do not terminate upon the full payment of royalties.

In order to meet specified conditions in connection with the grants and programs of the OCS, we have made representations to the Government of Israel about our Israeli operations. From time to time the conduct of our Israeli operations has deviated from our representations. If we fail to meet the conditions to grants, including the maintenance of a material presence in Israel, or if there is any material deviation from the representations made by us to the Israeli government, we could be required to refund the grants previously received (together with an adjustment based on the Israeli consumer price index and an interest factor) and would likely be ineligible to receive OCS grants in the future.

Tax Benefits Under the Law for the Encouragement of Industry (Taxation), 1969

According to the Law for the Encouragement of Industry (Taxation), 1969, or the Industry Encouragement Law, an “industrial company” is a company resident in Israel, that at least 90% of its income, in any tax year (determined in Israeli currency, exclusive of income from certain government loans, capital gains, interest and dividends) is derived from an industrial enterprise owned by it. An industrial enterprise is defined as an enterprise whose major activity in a given tax year is industrial production activity. We currently believe that we qualify as an industrial company within the definition of the Industry Encouragement Law. Under the Industry Encouragement Law, industrial companies are entitled to the following preferred corporate tax benefits:

- deduction of purchases of know-how and patents over an eight-year period for tax purposes;
- the right to elect, under specified conditions, to file a consolidated tax return with related Israeli industrial companies;
- accelerated depreciation rates on equipment and buildings; and
- deductions over a three-year period of expenses involved with the issuance and listing of shares on the Tel Aviv Stock Exchange or, on or after January 1, 2003, on a recognized stock market outside of Israel.

Eligibility for the benefits under the Industry Encouragement Law is not subject to receipt of prior approval from any governmental authority. The Israeli tax authorities may determine that we do not qualify as an industrial company, which would entail our loss of the benefits that relate to this status. In addition, no assurance can be given that we will continue to qualify as an industrial company, in which case the benefits described above will not be available in the future.

Israeli Transfer Pricing Regulations

On November 29, 2006, Income tax regulation (Determination of Market Terms), 2006, promulgated under Section 85A of the Israeli Tax Ordinance, came into force (the "Transfer Pricing Regulations"). Section 85A of the Israeli Tax Ordinance and the Transfer Pricing Regulations generally require that all cross-border transactions carried out between related parties will be conducted on an arm's length basis and will be taxed accordingly.

Special Provisions Relating to Measurement of Taxable Income

We elected to measure our taxable income and file our tax return under the Israeli Income Tax Regulations (Principles Regarding the Management of Books of Account of Foreign Invested Companies and Certain Partnerships and the Determination of Their Taxable Income), 1986. Accordingly, commencing taxable year 2003, results for tax purposes are measured in terms of earnings in dollars.

Capital Gains Tax

Israeli law generally imposes a capital gains tax on the sale of publicly traded securities. Pursuant to changes made to the Israeli Income Tax Ordinance in January 2006, capital gains on the sale of our ordinary shares will be subject to Israeli capital gains tax, generally at a rate of 20% on the "real capital gain" as determined under the Israeli Tax Ordinance unless the holder holds 10% or more of our voting power during the 12 months preceding the sale, in which case it will be subject to a 25% tax rate on the real capital gain. However, as of January 1, 2003, non-Israeli residents are exempt from Israeli capital gains tax on any gains derived from the sale of shares publicly traded on the TASE, provided such gains do not derive from a permanent establishment of such shareholders in Israel. Non-Israeli residents are also exempt from Israeli capital gains tax on any gains derived from the sale of shares of Israeli companies publicly traded on a recognized stock exchange or regulated market outside of Israel, provided that such capital gains are not derived from a permanent establishment in Israel and that such shareholders did not acquire their shares prior to the issuer's initial public offering. However, non-Israeli corporations will not be entitled to the exemption with respect to gains derived from the sale of shares of Israeli companies publicly traded on the TASE, if an Israeli resident (i) has a controlling interest of 25% or more in such non-Israeli corporation, or (ii) is the beneficiary or is entitled to 25% or more of the revenues or profits of such non-Israeli corporation, whether directly or indirectly.

In some instances where our shareholders may be subject to Israeli tax on the sale of their ordinary shares, the payment of the consideration may be subject to the withholding of Israeli tax at the source.

United States-Israel Tax Treaty

Pursuant to the Convention Between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of Israel with respect to Taxes on Income, as amended, or the United States-Israel Tax Treaty, the sale, exchange or disposition of ordinary shares by a person who holds the ordinary shares as a capital asset and who qualifies as a resident of the United States within the meaning of the United States- Israel Tax Treaty and who is entitled to claim the benefits afforded to such person by the United States-Israel Tax Treaty, or a Treaty United States Resident, generally will not be subject to the Israeli capital gains tax unless such Treaty United States Resident holds, directly or indirectly, shares representing 10% or more of the voting power of our company during any part of the twelve-month period preceding such sale, exchange or disposition, subject to certain conditions. A sale, exchange or disposition of shares by a Treaty United States Resident who holds, directly or indirectly, shares representing 10% or more of the voting power of our company at any time during such preceding twelve-month period would be subject to such Israeli tax, to the extent applicable; however, under the United States-Israel Tax Treaty, such Treaty United States Resident would be permitted to claim a credit for such taxes against the United States federal income tax imposed with respect to such sale, exchange or disposition, subject to the limitations in United States laws applicable to foreign tax credits. The United States-Israel Tax Treaty does not relate to state or local taxes.

Tax on Dividends

Non-residents of Israel are subject to Israeli income tax on income accrued or derived from sources in Israel or received in Israel. These sources of income include passive income such as dividends, royalties and interest, as well as non-passive income from services rendered in Israel. Generally, on distributions of dividends, other than bonus shares and stock dividends, income tax at the rate of 25% is withheld at the source (except that dividends distributed on or after January 1, 2006 to an individual who is deemed “a non-substantial shareholder” are subject to tax at the rate of 20%), unless a different rate is provided in a treaty between Israel and the shareholder’s country of residence. Under the U.S.-Israel Tax Treaty, the maximum tax on dividends paid to a holder of ordinary shares who is a Treaty United States Resident will be 25%, however that tax rate is reduced to 12.5% for dividends not generated by an approved enterprise to a corporation which holds 10% or more of the voting power of our company during a certain period preceding distribution of the dividend. Dividends derived from an approved enterprise will still be subject to 15% tax withholding.

Foreign Exchange Regulations

Dividends, if any, paid to the holders of the ordinary shares, and any amounts payable upon dissolution, liquidation or winding up, as well as the proceeds of any sale in Israel of the ordinary shares to an Israeli resident, may be paid in non-Israeli currency or, if paid in Israeli currency, may be converted into freely repatriable dollars at the rate of exchange prevailing at the time of conversion, provided that Israeli income tax has been paid or withheld on such amounts.

United States Tax Considerations

United States Federal Income Taxes

The following summary describes the material U.S. federal income tax consequences to “U.S. Holders” (as defined below) arising from the acquisition, ownership and disposition of our ordinary shares. This summary is based on the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the “Code,” the final, temporary and proposed U.S. Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder and administrative and judicial interpretations thereof, all as of the date hereof and all of which are subject to change (possibly with retroactive effect) or different interpretations. For purposes of this summary, a “U.S. Holder” will be deemed to refer only to any of the following holders of our ordinary shares:

- an individual who is either a U.S. citizen or a resident of the U.S. for U.S. federal income tax purposes;
- a corporation or other entity taxable as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes created or organized in or under the laws of the U.S. or any political subdivision thereof;
- an estate the income of which is subject to U.S. federal income tax regardless of the source of its income; and
- a trust, if (a) a U.S. court is able to exercise primary supervision over the administration of the trust and one or more U.S. persons have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust, or (b) the trust has a valid election in effect under applicable U.S. Treasury Regulations to be treated as a U.S. person.

This summary does not consider all aspects of U.S. federal income taxation that may be relevant to particular U.S. Holders by reason of their particular circumstances, including potential application of the U.S. federal alternative minimum tax, or any aspect of state, local or non-U.S. federal tax laws or U.S. federal tax laws other than U.S. federal income tax laws. In addition, this summary is directed only to U.S. Holders that hold our ordinary shares as “capital assets” within the meaning of Section 1221 of the Code and does not address the considerations that may be applicable to particular classes of U.S. Holders, including financial institutions, regulated investment companies, real estate investment trusts, pension funds, insurance companies, broker-dealers, tax-exempt organizations, grantor trusts, partnerships or other pass-through entities, partners or other equity holders in partnerships or other pass-through entities, holders whose functional currency is not the U.S. dollar, holders who have elected mark-to-market accounting, holders who acquired our ordinary shares through the exercise of options or otherwise as compensation, holders who hold our ordinary shares as part of a “straddle,” “hedge” or “conversion transaction,” holders selling our ordinary shares short, holders deemed to have sold our ordinary shares in a “constructive sale,” and holders, directly, indirectly or through attribution, of 10% or more (by vote or value) of our outstanding ordinary shares.

Each U.S. Holder should consult with its own tax advisor as to the particular tax consequences to it of the acquisition, ownership and disposition of our ordinary shares, including the effects of applicable tax treaties, state, local, foreign or other tax laws and possible changes in the tax laws.

Distributions With Respect to Our Ordinary Shares

For U.S. federal income tax purposes, the amount of a distribution with respect to our ordinary shares will equal the amount of cash distributed, the fair market value of any property distributed and the amount of any Israeli taxes withheld on such distribution as described above under “Israeli Tax Considerations – Tax on Dividends.” Other than distributions in liquidation or in redemption of our ordinary shares that are treated as exchanges, a distribution with respect to our ordinary shares to a U.S. Holder generally will be treated as a dividend to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits, as determined for U.S. federal income tax purposes. The amount of any distribution that exceeds these earnings and profits will be treated first as a non-taxable return of capital, reducing the U.S. Holder’s tax basis in its ordinary shares (but not below zero), and then generally as capital gain from a deemed sale or exchange of such ordinary shares. Corporate U.S. Holders generally will not be allowed a deduction under Section 243 of the Code for dividends received on our ordinary shares and thus will be subject to tax at the rate applicable to their taxable income. Currently, a noncorporate U.S. Holder’s “qualified dividend income” generally is subject to tax at a reduced rate of 15%, although the rate applicable to dividend income is scheduled to return to the rate applicable to ordinary income for tax years beginning after December 31, 2012. For this purpose, “qualified dividend income” generally includes dividends paid by a foreign corporation if, among other things, the noncorporate U.S. Holder meets certain minimum holding period requirements and either (a) the stock of such corporation is readily tradable on an established securities market in the U.S., including the NASDAQ Global Select Market, or (b) such corporation is eligible for the benefits of a comprehensive income tax treaty with the U.S. which includes an information exchange program and is determined to be satisfactory by the U.S. Secretary of the Treasury. The U.S. Secretary of the Treasury has indicated that the income tax treaty between the U.S. and Israel is satisfactory for this purpose. Dividends paid by us will not qualify for the 15% U.S. federal income tax rate, however, if we are treated, for the tax year in which the dividends are paid or the preceding tax year, as a “passive foreign investment company” for U.S. federal income tax purposes. See the discussion below under the heading “Passive Foreign Investment Company Status.” U.S. Holders are urged to consult their own tax advisors regarding the U.S. federal income tax consequences of their receipt of any distributions with respect to our ordinary shares.

A dividend paid by us in NIS will be included in the income of U.S. Holders at the U.S. dollar amount of the dividend, based on the “spot rate” of exchange in effect on the date of receipt or deemed receipt of the dividend, regardless of whether the payment is in fact converted into U.S. dollars. U.S. Holders will have a tax basis in the NIS for U.S. federal income tax purposes equal to that U.S. dollar value. Any gain or loss upon the subsequent conversion of the NIS into U.S. dollars or other disposition of the NIS will constitute foreign currency gain or loss taxable as ordinary income or loss and will be treated as U.S.-source income or loss for U.S. foreign tax credit purposes.

Dividends received with respect to our ordinary shares will constitute “portfolio income” for purposes of the limitation on the deductibility of passive activity losses and, therefore, generally may not be offset by passive activity losses. Dividends received with respect to our ordinary shares also generally will be treated as “investment income” for purposes of the investment interest deduction limitation contained in Section 163(d) of the Code, and as foreign-source passive income for U.S. foreign tax credit purposes or, in the case of a U.S. Holder that is a financial services entity, financial services income. Subject to certain limitations, U.S. Holders may elect to claim as a foreign tax credit against their U.S. federal income tax liability any Israeli income tax withheld from distributions with respect to our ordinary shares which constitute dividends under U.S. income tax law. A U.S. Holder that does not elect to claim a foreign tax credit may instead claim a deduction for Israeli income tax withheld, but only if the U.S. Holder elects to do so with respect to all foreign income taxes in such year. In addition, special rules may apply to the computation of foreign tax credits relating to “qualified dividend income,” as defined above. The calculation of foreign tax credits and, in the case of a U.S. Holder that elects to deduct foreign income taxes, the availability of deductions involve the application of complex rules that depend on a U.S. Holder’s particular circumstances. U.S. Holders are urged to consult their own tax advisors regarding the availability to them of foreign tax credits or deductions in respect of any Israeli tax withheld or paid with respect to any dividends which may be paid with respect to our ordinary shares.

Disposition of Our Ordinary Shares

Subject to the discussion below under “Passive Foreign Investment Company Status,” a U.S. Holder’s sale, exchange or other taxable disposition of our ordinary shares generally will result in the recognition by such U.S. Holder of capital gain or loss in an amount equal to the difference between the U.S. dollar value of the amount realized and the U.S. Holder’s tax basis in the ordinary shares disposed of (measured in U.S. dollars). This gain or loss will be long-term capital gain or loss if such ordinary shares have been held or are deemed to have been held for more than one year at the time of the disposition. Individual U.S. Holders currently are subject to a maximum tax rate of 15% on long-term capital gains recognized during tax years beginning on or before December 31, 2012. If the U.S. Holder’s holding period on the date of the taxable disposition is one year or less, such gain or loss will be a short-term capital gain or loss. Short-term capital gains generally are taxed at the same rates applicable to ordinary income. See “Israeli Tax Considerations – Capital Gains Tax” for a discussion of taxation by Israel of capital gains realized on sales of our ordinary shares. Any capital loss realized upon the taxable disposition of our ordinary shares generally will be deductible only against capital gains and not against ordinary income, except that noncorporate U.S. Holders generally may deduct annually from ordinary income up to \$3,000 of net capital losses. In general, any capital gain or loss recognized by a U.S. Holder upon the taxable disposition of our ordinary shares will be treated as U.S.-source income or loss for U.S. foreign tax credit purposes, although the tax treaty between the United States and Israel may permit gain derived from the taxable disposition of ordinary shares by a U.S. Holder to be treated as foreign-source income for U.S. foreign tax credit purposes under certain circumstances.

A U.S. Holder’s tax basis in its ordinary shares generally will be the U.S. dollar purchase price paid by such U.S. Holder to acquire such ordinary shares. The U.S. dollar cost of ordinary shares purchased with foreign currency generally will be the U.S. dollar value of the purchase price on the date of purchase or, in the case of our ordinary shares that are purchased by a cash basis U.S. Holder (or an accrual basis U.S. Holder that so elects), on the settlement date for the purchase. Such an election by an accrual basis U.S. Holder must be applied consistently from year to year and cannot be revoked without the consent of the U.S. Internal Revenue Service. The holding period of each ordinary share owned by a U.S. Holder will commence on the day following the date of the U.S. Holder’s purchase of such ordinary share and will include the day on which the ordinary share is sold by such U.S. Holder.

In the case of a U.S. Holder who uses the cash basis method of accounting and who receives NIS in connection with a taxable disposition of ordinary shares, the amount realized will be based on the “spot rate” of exchange on the settlement date of such taxable disposition. If such U.S. Holder subsequently converts NIS into U.S. dollars at a conversion rate other than the spot rate in effect on the settlement date, such U.S. Holder may have a foreign currency exchange gain or loss treated as ordinary income or loss for U.S. federal income tax purposes. A U.S. Holder who uses the accrual method of accounting may elect the same treatment required of cash method taxpayers with respect to a taxable disposition of ordinary shares, provided that the election is applied consistently from year to year. Such election may not be changed without the consent of the U.S. Internal Revenue Service. If an accrual method U.S. Holder does not elect to be treated as a cash method taxpayer (pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulations applicable to foreign currency transactions), such U.S. Holder may be deemed to have realized an immediate foreign currency gain or loss for U.S. federal income tax purposes in the event of any difference between the U.S. dollar value of the NIS on the date of the taxable disposition and the settlement date. Any such currency gain or loss generally would be treated as U.S.-source ordinary income or loss and would be subject to tax in addition to any gain or loss recognized by such U.S. Holder on the taxable disposition of ordinary shares.

Passive Foreign Investment Company Status

Generally, a foreign corporation is treated as a passive foreign investment company (“PFIC”) for U.S. federal income tax purposes for any tax year if, in such tax year, either (i) 75% or more of its gross income (including its pro rata share of the gross income of any company in which it is considered to own 25% or more of the shares by value) is passive in nature (the “Income Test”), or (ii) the average percentage of its assets during such tax year (including its pro rata share of the assets of any company in which it is considered to own 25% or more of the shares by value) which produce, or are held for the production of, passive income (determined by averaging the percentage of the fair market value of its total assets which are passive assets as of the end of each quarter of such year) is 50% or more (the “Asset Test”). Passive income for this purpose generally includes dividends, interest, rents, royalties and gains from securities and commodities transactions.

There is no definitive method prescribed in the Code, U.S. Treasury Regulations or relevant administrative or judicial interpretations for determining the value of a publicly-traded foreign corporation’s assets for purposes of the Asset Test. The legislative history of the U.S. Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997 (the “1997 Act”) indicates that for purposes of the Asset Test, “the total value of a publicly-traded foreign corporation’s assets generally will be treated as equal to the sum of the aggregate value of its outstanding stock plus its liabilities.” It is unclear, however, whether other valuation methods could be employed to determine the value of a publicly-traded foreign corporation’s assets for purposes of the Asset Test.

Based on the composition of our gross income and the composition and value of our gross assets during 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010, we do not believe that we were a PFIC during any of such tax years. It is likely, however, that under the asset valuation method described in the legislative history of the 1997 Act, we would have been classified as a PFIC in 2001, 2002 and 2003 primarily because (a) a significant portion of our assets consisted of the remaining proceeds of our two public offerings of ordinary shares in 1999, and (b) the public market valuation of our ordinary shares during such years was relatively low. There can be no assurance that we will not be deemed a PFIC in any future tax year.

If we are treated as a PFIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes for any year during a U.S. Holder's holding period of our ordinary shares and the U.S. Holder does not make a QEF Election or a "mark-to-market" election (both as described below):

- The U.S. Holder would be required to report as ordinary income any "excess distributions" (as defined below) allocated to the current tax year, pay tax on amounts allocated to each prior tax year in which we were a PFIC at the highest rate on ordinary income in effect for such prior year and pay an interest charge on the resulting tax at the rate applicable to deficiencies of U.S. federal income tax. "Excess distributions" with respect to any U.S. Holder are amounts received by such U.S. Holder with respect to our ordinary shares in any tax year that exceed 125% of the average distributions received by such U.S. Holder from us during the shorter of (i) the three previous years, or (ii) such U.S. Holder's holding period of our ordinary shares before the then-current tax year. Excess distributions must be allocated ratably to each day that a U.S. Holder has held our ordinary shares.
- The entire amount of any gain realized by the U.S. Holder upon the sale or other disposition of our ordinary shares also would be treated as an "excess distribution" subject to tax as described above.
- The tax basis in ordinary shares acquired from a decedent who was a U.S. Holder generally would not receive a step-up to fair market value as of the date of the decedent's death, but instead would be equal to the decedent's basis, if lower.

Although we generally will be treated as a PFIC as to any U.S. Holder if we are a PFIC for any year during the U.S. Holder's holding period, if we cease to be a PFIC, the U.S. Holder may avoid the consequences of PFIC classification for subsequent years by electing to recognize gain based on the unrealized appreciation in its ordinary shares through the close of the tax year in which we cease to be a PFIC.

A U.S. Holder who beneficially owns shares of a PFIC must file U.S. Internal Revenue Service Form 8621 (Return by a Shareholder of a Passive Foreign Investment Company or Qualified Electing Fund) with the U.S. Internal Revenue Service for each tax year in which such U.S. Holder recognizes gain upon a disposition of our ordinary shares, receives certain distributions from us or makes the QEF Election or mark-to-market election described below.

For any tax year in which we are treated as a PFIC, a U.S. Holder may elect to treat its ordinary shares as an interest in a qualified electing fund (a "QEF Election"), in which case the U.S. Holder would be required to include in income currently its proportionate share of our earnings and profits in years in which we are a PFIC regardless of whether distributions of our earnings and profits are actually made to the U.S. Holder. Any gain subsequently recognized by the U.S. Holder upon the sale or other disposition of its ordinary shares, however, generally would be taxed as capital gain and the denial of the basis step-up at death described above would not apply.

A U.S. Holder may make a QEF Election with respect to a PFIC for any tax year. A QEF Election is effective for the tax year in which the election is made and all subsequent tax years of the U.S. Holder. Procedures exist for both retroactive elections and the filing of protective statements. A U.S. Holder making the QEF Election must make the election on or before the due date, as extended, for the filing of its U.S. federal income tax return for the first tax year to which the election will apply. A U.S. Holder must make a QEF Election by completing U.S. Internal Revenue Service Form 8621 and attaching it to its U.S. federal income tax return, and must satisfy additional filing requirements each year the election remains in effect. Upon a U.S. Holder's request, we will provide to such U.S. Holder the information required to make a QEF Election and to make subsequent annual filings.

As an alternative to a QEF Election, a U.S. Holder generally may elect to mark its ordinary shares to market annually, recognizing ordinary income or loss (subject to certain limitations) equal to the difference, as of the close of the tax year, between the fair market value of its ordinary shares and the adjusted tax basis of such shares. If a mark-to-market election with respect to ordinary shares is in effect on the date of a U.S. Holder's death, the normally available step-up in tax basis to fair market value generally will not be available. Rather, the tax basis of ordinary shares in the hands of a U.S. Holder who acquired them from a decedent will be the lesser of the decedent's tax basis or the fair market value of the ordinary shares. Once made, a mark-to-market election generally continues unless revoked with the consent of the U.S. Internal Revenue Service.

The implementation of many aspects of the Code's PFIC rules requires the issuance of Treasury Regulations which in many instances have yet to be promulgated and which may have retroactive effect when promulgated. We cannot be sure that any of these regulations will be promulgated or, if so, what form they will take or what effect they will have on the foregoing discussion. For example, under legislation enacted by the U.S. in 2010, U.S. Holders will be required to file a special information return for each year in which we are treated as a PFIC. The U.S. Internal Revenue Service has announced that it is developing guidance for filing this annual information return, but until further guidance is issued, a U.S. Holder that was required to file Internal Revenue Service Form 8621 (Return by a Shareholder of a Passive Foreign Investment Company or a Qualified Electing Fund) before March 18, 2010 (because, for example, such U.S. Holder had recognized gain upon the disposition of our ordinary shares or had made a QEF Election) must continue to file Form 8621. A U.S. Holder that was not otherwise required to file Form 8621 annually before March 18, 2010, however, will not be required to file the new annual information return for a tax year beginning before March 18, 2010.

Due to the complexity of the PFIC rules and the uncertainty of their application in many circumstances, U.S. Holders should consult their own tax advisors regarding our status as a PFIC and, if we are treated as a PFIC, compliance with the applicable reporting requirements and the eligibility, manner and advisability of making a QEF Election or a mark-to-market election.

Information Reporting and Backup Withholding

Payments in respect of our ordinary shares that are made in the U.S. or by certain U.S.-related financial intermediaries may be subject to information reporting requirements and U.S. backup withholding tax at a rate which currently is 28% . The information reporting requirements will not apply, however, to payments to certain U.S. Holders, including corporations and tax-exempt organizations. In addition, the backup withholding tax will not apply to a U.S. Holder that furnishes a correct taxpayer identification number on U.S. Internal Revenue Service Form W-9 (or substitute form). The backup withholding tax is not an additional tax. Amounts withheld under the backup withholding tax rules may be credited against a U.S. Holder's U.S. federal income tax liability, and a U.S. Holder may obtain a refund of any excess amounts withheld under the backup withholding tax rules by filing the appropriate claim for refund with the U.S. Internal Revenue Service. U.S. Holders should consult their own tax advisors regarding their qualification for an exemption from the backup withholding tax and the procedures for obtaining such an exemption, if applicable.

The foregoing discussion of certain U.S. federal income tax considerations is a general summary only and should not be considered as income tax advice or relied upon for tax planning purposes. Accordingly, each U.S. Holder should consult with its own tax advisor regarding U.S. federal, state, local and non-U.S. income and other tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership and disposition of our ordinary shares.

F. DIVIDENDS AND PAYING AGENTS

Not applicable.

G. STATEMENT BY EXPERTS

Not applicable.

H. DOCUMENTS ON DISPLAY

We are subject to the informational requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, applicable to foreign private issuers and fulfill the obligations with respect to such requirements by filing reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC. You may read and copy any document we file, including any exhibits, with the SEC without charge at the SEC's public reference room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. Copies of such material may be obtained by mail from the Public Reference Branch of the SEC at such address, at prescribed rates. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the public reference room. Certain of our SEC filings are also available to the public at the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov>.

As a foreign private issuer, we are exempt from the rules under the Exchange Act prescribing the furnishing and content of proxy statements, and our officers, directors and principal shareholders are exempt from the reporting and “short-swing” profit recovery provisions contained in Section 16 of the Exchange Act. In addition, we are not required under the Exchange Act to file periodic reports and financial statements with the SEC as frequently or as promptly as United States companies whose securities are registered under the Exchange Act. However, we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission an annual report on Form 20-F containing consolidated financial statements audited by an independent accounting firm. We also furnish reports on Form 6-K containing unaudited financial information after the end of each of the first three quarters. We intend to post our Annual Report on Form 20-F on our website (www.audiocodes.com) promptly following the filing of our Annual Report with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

I. SUBSIDIARY INFORMATION

Not applicable.

ITEM 11. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to financial market risk associated with changes in foreign currency exchange rates. To mitigate these risks, we use derivative financial instruments. The majority of our revenues and expenses are generated in U.S. dollars. A portion of our expenses, however, is denominated in NIS. In order to protect ourselves against the volatility of future cash flows caused by changes in foreign exchange rates, we use currency forward contracts and currency options. We hedge the part of our forecasted expenses denominated in NIS. If our currency forward contracts and currency options meet the definition of a hedge, and are so designated, changes in the fair value of the contracts will be offset against changes in the fair value of the hedged assets or liabilities through earnings. For derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments, the gain or loss is recognized in current earnings during the period of change. Our hedging program reduces, but does not eliminate, the impact of foreign currency rate movements and due to the general economic slowdown along with the devaluation of the dollar, our results of operations may be adversely affected. Without taking into account the mitigating effect of our hedging activity, a 10% decrease in the U.S. dollar exchange rates in effect for the year ended December 31, 2010 would cause a decrease in net income of approximately \$4 million.

We are subject to market risk from exposure to changes in interest rates relating to borrowings under our loan agreements. The interest rate on these borrowings is based on LIBOR. Based on our the scheduled amount of these borrowings to be outstanding in 2011, we estimate that each 100 basis point increase in our borrowing rates would result in additional interest expense to us of approximately \$150,000.

ITEM 12. DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES OTHER THAN EQUITY SECURITIES

Not applicable.

PART II

ITEM 13. DEFAULTS, DIVIDEND ARREARAGES AND DELINQUENCIES

Not applicable.

ITEM 14. MATERIAL MODIFICATIONS TO THE RIGHTS OF SECURITY HOLDERS AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Not applicable.

ITEM 15. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act) as of December 31, 2010. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures were (i) designed to ensure that material information relating to us, including our consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, by others within those entities, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure, particularly during the period in which this report was being prepared and (ii) effective, in that they provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management, under the supervision of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over our financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act. Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance to our management and board of directors regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Internal control over financial reporting includes policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of our records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect our transactions and asset dispositions;
- provide reasonable assurance that our transactions are recorded as necessary to permit the preparation of our financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- provide reasonable assurance that our receipts and expenditures are made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and board of directors (as appropriate); and

· provide reasonable assurance regarding the prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements.

Due to its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. In addition, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010 based on the framework for Internal Control - Integrated Framework set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on our assessment under that framework and the criteria established therein, our management concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting were effective as of December 31, 2010.

Attestation Report of the Registered Public Accounting Firm

This annual report includes an attestation report of our registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting on page F-3 of our audited consolidated financial statements set forth in "Item 18 - Financial Statements", and is incorporated herein by reference.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting identified with the evaluation thereof that occurred during the period covered by this annual report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting

ITEM 16. [RESERVED]

ITEM 16A. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT

Our Board of Directors has determined that Joseph Tenne is an "audit committee financial expert" as defined in Item 16A of Form 20-F and is "independent" as defined in the applicable regulations.

ITEM 16B. CODE OF ETHICS

We have adopted a Code of Conduct and Business Ethics that applies to our chief executive officer, chief financial officer and other senior financial officers. This Code has been posted on our website, www.audiocodes.com.

ITEM 16C. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Kost Forer Gabbay & Kasierer, a member of Ernst & Young Global, has served as our independent public accountants for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010. The following table presents the aggregate fees for professional audit services and other services rendered by Kost Forer Gabbay & Kasierer in 2009 and 2010.

	Year Ended December 31 (Amounts in thousands)	
	2009	2010
Audit Fees	\$ 320	\$ 340
Audit Related Fees	45	40
Tax Fees	32	52
Total	<u>\$ 397</u>	<u>\$ 432</u>

Audit Fees consist of fees billed for the annual audit of the company's consolidated financial statements and the statutory financial statements of the company. They also include fees billed for other audit services, which are those services that only the external auditor reasonably can provide, and include services rendered for the integrated audit over internal controls as required under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act applicable in 2009 and 2010, the provision of consents and the review of documents filed with the SEC.

Audit Related Fees consist of fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the company's financial statements and include operational effectiveness of systems.

Tax Fees include fees billed for tax compliance services, including the preparation of tax returns and claims for refund; tax consultations, such as assistance and representation in connection with tax audits and appeals, transfer pricing, and requests for rulings or technical advice from taxing authorities; tax planning services; and expatriate tax compliance, consultation and planning services.

Audit Committee Pre-approval Policies and Procedures

The Audit Committee of AudioCodes' Board of Directors is responsible, among other matters, for the oversight of the external auditor subject to the requirements of Israeli law. The Audit Committee has adopted a policy regarding pre-approval of audit and permissible non-audit services provided by our independent auditors (the "Policy").

Under the Policy, proposed services either (i) may be pre-approved by the Audit Committee without consideration of specific case-by-case services as "general pre-approval"; or (ii) require the specific pre-approval of the Audit Committee as "specific pre-approval". The Audit Committee may delegate either type of pre-approval authority to one or more of its members. The appendices to the Policy set out the audit, audit-related, tax and other services that have received the general pre-approval of the Audit Committee, including those described in the footnotes to the table, above; these services are subject to annual review by the Audit Committee. All other audit, audit-related, tax and other services must receive a specific pre-approval from the Audit Committee.

The Audit Committee pre-approves fee levels annually for the audit services. Non-audit services are pre-approved as required. The Chairman of the Audit Committee may approve non-audit services of up to \$25,000 and then request the Audit Committee to ratify his decision.

During 2010, no services provided to AudioCodes by Kost Forer Gabbay & Kasierer were approved by the Audit Committee pursuant to the de minimis exception to the pre-approval requirement provided by paragraph (c)(7)(i)(C) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X.

ITEM 16D. EXEMPTIONS FROM THE LISTING STANDARDS FOR AUDIT COMMITTEES

Not applicable.

ITEM 16E. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY THE ISSUER AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS

Not applicable.

ITEM 16F. CHANGE IN REGISTRANT'S CERTIFIED ACCOUNTANT

Not applicable.

ITEM 16G. CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

As a foreign private issuer whose shares are listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market, we are permitted to follow certain home country corporate governance practices instead of certain requirements of the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules.

We do not comply with the NASDAQ requirement that we obtain shareholder approval for certain dilutive events, such as for the establishment or amendment of certain equity based compensation plans. Instead, we follow Israeli law and practice which permits the establishment or amendment of certain equity based compensation plans approved by our board of directors without the need for a shareholder vote, unless such arrangements are for the compensation of directors, in which case they also require audit committee and shareholder approval.

We may elect in the future to follow Israeli practice with regard to, among other things, executive officer compensation, director nomination, composition of the board of directors and quorum at shareholders' meetings. In addition, we may follow Israeli law, instead of the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules, which require that we obtain shareholder approval for an issuance that will result in a change of control of the company, certain transactions other than a public offering involving issuances of a 20% or more interest in the company and certain acquisitions of the stock or assets of another company.

A foreign private issuer that elects to follow a home country practice instead of NASDAQ requirements, must submit to NASDAQ in advance a written statement from an independent counsel in its home country certifying that its practices are not prohibited by the home country's laws. In addition, a foreign private issuer must disclose in its annual reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission or on its website each such requirement that it does not follow and describe the home country practice followed by the issuer instead of any such requirement. Accordingly, our shareholders may not be afforded the same protection as provided under NASDAQ's corporate governance rules.

For a discussion of the requirements of Israeli law with respect to these matters, see Item 6.C. "Directors, Senior Management and Employees – Board Practices," and Item 10.B. "Additional Information – Memorandum and Articles of Association."

PART III

ITEM 17. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Not applicable.

ITEM 18. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Reference is made to pages F-1 to F-47 hereto.

ITEM 19. EXHIBITS

The following exhibits are filed as part of this Annual Report:

Exhibit No.	Exhibit
1.1	Memorandum of Association of Registrant. (1) †
1.2	Articles of Association of Registrant, as amended. (3)
2.1	Indenture, dated November 9, 2004, between AudioCodes Ltd. and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee, with respect to the 2.00% Senior Convertible Notes due 2024. (5)
4.1	AudioCodes Ltd. 1997 Key Employee Option Plan (C). (1)
4.2	AudioCodes Ltd. 1997 Key Employee Option Plan, Qualified Stock Option Plan—U.S. Employees (D). (1)
4.3	Founder's Agreement between Shabtai Adlersberg and Leon Bialik, dated January 1, 1993. (1) †
4.4	License Agreement between AudioCodes Ltd. and DSP Group, Inc., dated as of May 6, 1999. (1) †

Exhibit No.	Exhibit
4.5	Lease Agreement between AudioCodes Inc. and Spieker Properties, L.P., dated January 26, 2000. (3)
4.6	Shareholders Agreement by and among DSP Group, Inc., Shabtai Adlersberg, Leon Bialik, Genesis Partners I, L.P., Genesis Partners I (Cayman) L.P., Polaris Fund II (Tax Exempt Investors) L.L.C., Polaris Fund II L.L.C., Polaris Fund II L.P., DS Polaris Trust Company (Foreign Residents) (1997) Ltd., DS Polaris Ltd., Dovrat, Shrem Trust Company (Foreign Funds) Ltd., Dovrat Shrem-Skies 92 Fund L.P. and Chase Equity Securities CEA, dated as of May 6, 1999. (1)
4.7	AudioCodes Ltd. 1997 Key Employee Option Plan (D). (1)
4.8	AudioCodes Ltd. 1997 Key Employee Option Plan (E). (1)
4.9	AudioCodes Ltd. 1999 Key Employee Option Plan (F), as amended. (4)
4.10	AudioCodes Ltd. 1997 Key Employee Option Plan, Qualified Stock Option Plan—U.S. Employees (E). (1)
4.11	AudioCodes Ltd. 1999 Key Employee Option Plan, Qualified Stock Option Plan—U.S. Employees (F). (4)
4.12	AudioCodes Ltd. 2001 Employee Stock Purchase Plan—Global Non U.S., as amended. (2)
4.13	AudioCodes Ltd. 2001 U.S. Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended. (2)
4.13a	AudioCodes Ltd. 2007 U.S. Employee Stock Purchase Plan. (10)
4.15	Sublease Agreement between AudioCodes USA, Inc. and Continental Resources, Inc., dated December 30, 2003. (6)
4.16	Employment Agreement between AudioCodes Ltd. and Shabtai Adlersberg. (13)
4.17	OEM Purchase and Sale Agreement No. 011449 between AudioCodes Ltd and Nortel Networks Ltd., dated as of April 28, 2003. (6)§
4.18	Amendment No. 1 to OEM Purchase and Sale No. 011449 between AudioCodes Ltd and Nortel Networks Ltd., dated as of May 1, 2003. (6)§
4.19	Purchase and Sale Agreement by and among Nortel Networks, Ltd., AudioCodes Inc. and AudioCodes Ltd., dated as of April 7, 2003. (6)
4.20	Amendment No. 2 to OEM Purchase and Sale No. 011449 between AudioCodes Ltd and Nortel Networks Ltd., dated as of January 1, 2005. (7) §

Exhibit No.	Exhibit
4.21	Amendment No. 3 to OEM Purchase and Sale No. 011449 between AudioCodes Ltd and Nortel Networks Ltd., dated as of February 15, 2005. (7) §
4.22	Amendment No. 5 to OEM Purchase and Sale No. 011449 between AudioCodes Ltd and Nortel Networks Ltd., dated as of January 1, 2005. (7) §
4.23	Amendment No. 6 to OEM Purchase and Sale No. 011449 between AudioCodes Ltd and Nortel Networks Ltd., dated as of April 1, 2005. (7)
4.24	Amendment No. 4 to OEM Purchase and Sale No. 011449 between AudioCodes Ltd and Nortel Networks Ltd., dated as of April 28, 2005. (8) §
4.26	Amendment No. 7 to OEM Purchase and Sale No. 011449 between AudioCodes Ltd. and Nortel Networks Ltd., dated as of December 15, 2006. (9)
4.27	Endorsement and Transfer of Rights Agreement, dated March 29, 2007, by and between Nortel Networks (Sales and Marketing) Ltd. Israel and AudioCodes Ltd. (9)†
4.28	Amendment No. 9 to OEM Purchase and Sale No. 011449 between AudioCodes Ltd. and Nortel Networks Ltd., dated as of October 30, 2007. (11) §
4.29	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of May 16, 2006, among AudioCodes Ltd., AudioCodes, Inc., Green Acquisition Corp., Nuera Communications, Inc. and Robert Wadsworth, as Sellers' Representative. (8)
4.30	Building and Tenancy Lease Agreement, dated May 11, 2007, by and between Airport City Ltd. and AudioCodes Ltd. (9) †
4.31	Letter Agreements, dated April 30, 2008 between First International Bank of Israel, as lender, and AudioCodes Ltd., as borrower. (11) †
4.32	Waiver dated November 24, 2008 to Letter Agreement, dated April 30, 2008, between First International Bank of Israel, as lender, and AudioCodes Ltd., as borrower. (12) †
4.33	Amendment dated February 16, 2009 to Letter Agreements, dated April 30, 2008, between First International Bank of Israel, as lender, and AudioCodes Ltd., as borrower. (12) †
4.34	Letter Agreements, dated July 14, 2008, between Bank Mizrahi Tefahot Ltd., as lender, and AudioCodes Ltd., as borrower. (12) †

Exhibit No.	Exhibit
4.35	Amendment dated November 2, 2008 to Letter Agreement, dated July 14, 2008, between Bank Mizrahi Tefahot Ltd., as lender, and AudioCodes Ltd., as borrower. (12) †
4.36	Amendment dated April 1, 2009 to Letter Agreement, dated July 14, 2008, between Bank Mizrahi Tefahot Ltd., as lender, and AudioCodes Ltd., as borrower. (12) †
4.37	AudioCodes Ltd. 2008 Equity Incentive Plan. (12)
4.38	Amendment to AudioCodes Ltd. 2008 Equity Incentive Plan. (14)
8.1	Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
12.1	Certification of Shabtai Adlersberg, President and Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
12.2	Certification of Guy Avidan, Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes –Oxley Act of 2002
13.1	Certification by Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
13.2	Certification by Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
15.1	Consent of Kost Forer Gabbay & Kasierer, a member of Ernst & Young Global.

† English summary of Hebrew original.

§ Confidential treatment has been granted for certain portions of the indicated document. The confidential portions have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission as required by Rule 24b-2 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

(1) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant’s Registration Statement on Form F-1 (File No. 333-10352).

(2) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant’s Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-144823)

(3) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant’s Form 20-F for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000 and Exhibit 1 to the Registrant’s Report on Form 6-K filed with the Commission on September 1, 2005.

(4) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant’s Form 20-F for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002.

(5) Incorporated by reference herein to Registrant’s Registration Statement on Form F-3 (File No. 333-123859).

- (6) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Form 20-F for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003.
- (7) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Form 20-F for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004.
- (8) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Form 20-F for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.
- (9) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Form 20-F for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006.
- (10) Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-144825).
- (11) Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 20-F for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.
- (12) Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 20-F for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008.
- (13) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1 to Registrant's Form 6-K filed on November 12, 2009.
- (14) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-170676).

SIGNATURES

The registrant hereby certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 20-F and that it has duly caused and authorized the undersigned to sign this Annual Report on Form 20-F on its behalf.

AUDIOCODES LTD.

By: /s/ SHABTAI ADLERSBERG
Shabtai Adlersberg
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: March 10, 2011

AUDIOCODES LTD.

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010

IN U.S. DOLLARS

INDEX

	<u>Page</u>
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	2 – 4
Consolidated Balance Sheets	5 – 6
Consolidated Statements of Operations	7
Statements of Changes in Equity	8
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	9 – 10
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	11 - 47



Kost Forer Gabbay & Kasierer
3 Aminadav St.

Tel-Aviv 67067, Israel
Tel: 972 (3)6232525
Fax: 972 (3)5622555
www.ey.com/il

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of

AUDIOCODES LTD.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of AudioCodes Ltd. ("AudioCodes" or "the Company") and its subsidiaries as of December 31, 2009 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of the Company and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2009 and 2010, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

As discussed in Note 2z to the consolidated financial statements, effective January 1 2009 the Company changed its manner of accounting for the acquisition of non-controlling interest, due to the adoption of ASC 810 (formerly FAS 160, "Non-controlling Interest in Consolidation Financial Statements").

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company and subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 10, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

Tel-Aviv, Israel
March 10, 2011

KOST FORER GABBAY & KASIERER
A Member of Ernst & Young Global



Kost Forer Gabbay & Kasierer
3 Aminadav St.

Tel-Aviv 67067, Israel
Tel: 972 (3)6232525
Fax: 972 (3)5622555
www.ey.com/il

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of

AUDIOCODES LTD.

We have audited AudioCodes Ltd's ("AudioCodes" or "the Company") internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). AudioCodes' management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A Company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.



Kost Forer Gabbay & Kasierer
3 Aminadav St.

Tel-Aviv 67067, Israel
Tel: 972 (3)6232525
Fax: 972 (3)5622555
www.ey.com/il

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, AudioCodes maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of AudioCodes and its subsidiaries as of December 31, 2009 and 2010 and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010 and our report dated March 10, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

Tel-Aviv, Israel
March 10, 2011

KOST FORER GABBAY & KASIERER
A Member of Ernst & Young Global

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

U.S. dollars in thousands

	December 31,	
	2009	2010
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 38,969	\$ 50,311
Short-term bank deposits	13,902	13,825
Trade receivables (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$ 723 and \$ 918 at December 31, 2009 and 2010, respectively)	18,522	25,881
Other receivables and prepaid expenses	2,754	3,646
Deferred tax assets	1,053	2,287
Inventories	13,516	18,043
Total current assets	88,716	113,993
LONG-TERM ASSETS:		
Investment in affiliated company	1,510	1,317
Deferred tax assets	1,174	2,261
Severance pay funds	12,235	15,039
Total long-term assets	14,919	18,617
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, NET	4,956	3,703
INTANGIBLE ASSETS AND DEFERRED CHARGES, NET	6,847	5,310
GOODWILL	32,095	32,095
Total assets	\$ 147,533	\$ 173,718

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data

	December 31,	
	2009	2010
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Current maturities of long-term bank loans	\$ 6,000	\$ 6,000
Trade payables	8,609	13,519
Other payables and accrued expenses	17,586	24,168
Deferred revenues	1,964	3,769
Total current liabilities	34,159	47,456
LONG-TERM LIABILITIES:		
Accrued severance pay	13,336	15,821
Senior convertible notes	403	353
Long-term banks loans	15,750	9,750
Other liabilities	-	1,038
Deferred revenues	-	120
Total long-term liabilities	29,489	27,082
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES		
EQUITY:		
AudioCodes equity:		
Share capital -		
Ordinary shares of NIS 0.01 par value -		
Authorized: 100,000,000 shares at December 31, 2009 and 2010; Issued: 47,661,550 shares at December 31, 2009 and 48,595,373 shares at December 31, 2010; Outstanding: 40,269,194 shares at December 31, 2009 and 41,203,017 shares at December 31, 2010	125	128
Additional paid-in capital	189,079	191,277
Treasury stock – 7,392,356 shares as of December 31, 2010 and 2009	(25,057)	(25,057)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	98	822
Accumulated deficit	(80,116)	(67,990)
	84,129	99,180
Non-controlling interest	(244)	-
Total equity	83,885	99,180
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 147,533	\$ 173,718

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

U.S. dollars in thousands, except per share data

	Year ended December 31,		
	2008	2009	2010
Revenues	\$ 174,744	\$ 125,894	\$ 150,040
Cost of revenues	77,455	56,194	66,138
Gross profit	97,289	69,700	83,902
Operating expenses:			
Research and development, net	37,833	29,952	30,189
Selling and marketing	44,657	32,111	35,024
General and administrative	9,219	7,821	8,252
Impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets	85,015	-	-
Total operating expenses	176,724	69,884	73,465
Operating income (loss)	(79,435)	(184)	10,437
Financial expenses, net	3,268	2,744	94
Income (loss) before taxes on income	(82,703)	(2,928)	10,343
Income tax expense (benefit), net	505	290	(1,885)
Equity in losses of affiliated companies, net	2,582	76	213
Net income (loss)	(85,790)	(3,294)	12,015
Net loss attributable to non-controlling interest	-	472	111
Net income (loss) attributable to AudioCodes' shareholders	\$ (85,790)	\$ (2,822)	\$ 12,126
Basic and diluted net earnings (loss) per share attributable to AudioCodes shareholders	\$ (2.08)	\$ (0.07)	\$ 0.30

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

U.S. dollars in thousands

	Share capital	Additional paid-in capital	Treasury stock	Accumulated other comprehensive income	Retained earnings (accumulated deficit)	Non-controlling interests	Total comprehensive income (loss)	Total equity
Balance as of January 1, 2008	\$ 133	\$ 182,221	\$ (11,320)	\$ 1,047	\$ 8,496	\$ -		\$ 180,577
Purchase of treasury stock	(10)	-	(13,737)	-	-	-		(13,747)
Issuance of shares upon exercise of options and employee stock purchase plan	2	1,545	-	-	-	-		1,547
Stock compensation related to options granted to employees	-	4,341	-	-	-	-		4,341
Early redemption of Senior Convertible Note	-	(1,109)	-	-	-	-		(1,109)
Acquisition of NSC	-	-	-	-	-	228		228
Comprehensive loss, net:								
Unrealized losses on foreign currency cash flow hedges	-	-	-	(1,959)	-	-	\$ (1,959)	(1,959)
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(85,790)	-	(85,790)	(85,790)
Total comprehensive loss, net							\$ (87,749)	
Balance as of December 31, 2008	125	186,998	(25,057)	(912)	(77,294)	228		84,088
Issuance of shares upon exercise of options	-	90	-	-	-	-		90
Stock compensation related to options granted to employees	-	1,991	-	-	-	-		1,991
Comprehensive loss, net:								
Unrealized profit on foreign currency cash flow hedges	-	-	-	1,010	-	-	\$ 1,010	1,010
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(2,822)	(472)	(3,294)	(3,294)
Total comprehensive loss, net							\$ (2,284)	
Balance as of December 31, 2009	125	189,079	(25,057)	98	(80,116)	(244)		83,885
Issuance of shares upon exercise of options	3	2,553	-	-	-	-		2,556
Stock compensation related to options granted to employees	-	1,370	-	-	-	-		1,370
Acquisition of NSC non-controlling interest	-	(1,725)	-	-	-	355		(1,370)
Comprehensive loss, net:								
Unrealized profit on foreign currency cash flow hedges	-	-	-	724	-	-	\$ 724	724
Net income (loss)	-	-	-	-	12,126	(111)	12,015	12,015
Total comprehensive income, net							\$ 12,739	
Balance as of December 31, 2010	\$ 128	\$ 191,277	\$ (25,057)	\$ 822	\$ (67,990)	\$ -		\$ 99,180

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

U.S. dollars in thousands

	Year ended December 31,		
	2008	2009	2010
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income (loss)	\$ (85,790)	\$ (3,294)	\$ 12,015
Adjustments required to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	7,441	4,969	4,359
Impairment of goodwill, other intangible assets and investment in affiliate	86,111	-	-
Amortization of marketable securities premiums and accretion of discounts, net	112	252	-
Equity in losses of affiliated companies, net	1,486	76	213
Stock-based compensation expenses	4,341	1,991	1,370
Amortization of senior convertible notes discount and deferred charges and gain from redemption	4,592	2,930	-
Decrease (increase) in accrued interest on loans, marketable securities, bank deposits and structured notes	125	2,312	(20)
Increase in deferred tax assets, net	(169)	-	(2,321)
Decrease (increase) in trade receivables, net	(3,960)	11,042	(7,359)
Decrease (increase) in other receivables and prepaid expenses	450	908	(168)
Decrease (increase) in inventories	(1,840)	7,107	(4,527)
Increase (decrease) in trade payables	627	(3,052)	4,910
Increase (decrease) in other payables and accrued expenses and other liabilities	333	(1,760)	6,324
Increase (decrease) in deferred revenues	2,101	(1,731)	1,925
Increase (decrease) in accrued severance pay, net	451	(776)	(319)
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>16,411</u>	<u>20,974</u>	<u>16,402</u>
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Investments in affiliated companies	(6,330)	(341)	-
Purchase of property and equipment	(3,158)	(1,271)	(1,569)
Purchase of marketable securities	(16,795)	-	-
Investment in short-term and long-term bank deposits	(100,864)	(49,318)	(57,879)
Proceeds from short-term bank deposits	90,142	95,203	57,956
Proceeds from redemption of marketable securities upon maturity	17,000	16,000	-
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	<u>(20,005)</u>	<u>60,273</u>	<u>(1,492)</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

U.S. dollars in thousands

	Year ended December 31,		
	2008	2009	2010
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Purchase of treasury stock	(13,747)	-	-
Redemption of senior convertible notes	(50,240)	(73,147)	(50)
Proceeds from long-term bank loans	30,000	-	-
Repayment of long-term bank loans	(2,250)	(6,000)	(6,000)
Payment for acquisition of NSC non controlling interest	-	-	(74)
Proceeds from issuance of shares upon exercise of options and employee stock purchase plan	1,547	90	2,556
Net cash used in financing activities	<u>(34,690)</u>	<u>(79,057)</u>	<u>(3,568)</u>
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(38,284)	2,190	11,342
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year	<u>75,063</u>	<u>36,779</u>	<u>38,969</u>
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the year	<u>\$ 36,779</u>	<u>\$ 38,969</u>	<u>\$ 50,311</u>
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow activities:			
Cash paid during the year for income taxes	<u>\$ 646</u>	<u>\$ 363</u>	<u>\$ 261</u>
Cash paid during the year for interest	<u>\$ 2,455</u>	<u>\$ 2,238</u>	<u>\$ 317</u>
Supplemental disclosures of non cash operational, financing and investing activities			
Net change in profit on foreign currency cash flow hedges	<u>\$ (1,959)</u>	<u>\$ 1,010</u>	<u>\$ 724</u>
Conversion of loan to affiliated company into additional equity investment	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 588</u>
Total commitment for future payments for NSC acquisition which reduced the Company's shareholders' equity	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 1,296</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data**

NOTE 1:- GENERAL

a. Business overview:

AudioCodes Ltd. ("the Company") and its subsidiaries (together "the Group") design, develop and market products for voice, data and video over IP networks to service providers and channels (such as distributors), OEMs, network equipment providers and systems integrators.

The Company operates through its wholly-owned subsidiaries in the United States, Europe, Asia, Latin America and Israel.

During 2008, the Group faced an adverse change in its business as a result of the global economic slowdown and credit crisis. In the fourth quarter of 2008, the Company recorded a non-cash impairment charge with respect to goodwill and intangible assets as follows:

Goodwill - \$ 79,117 (see also Note 2k).

Intangible assets – \$ 5,898 (see also Notes 2j and 6).

b. Acquisition of Natural Speech Communication Ltd.:

Through December 31, 2009, the Company had invested an aggregate of \$ 8,418 in Natural Speech Communication Ltd. ("NSC"), a privately-held company engaged in speech recognition. As of December 31, 2009, the Group owned 59.74% of the outstanding share capital of NSC, which had been consolidated into the financial results of AudioCodes since December 2008.

In January 2010, the Company entered into an agreement to acquire all of the outstanding equity of NSC that did not own as of December 31, 2009. The closing of the transaction occurred in May 2010. Pursuant to the agreement, the Company purchased the remaining 40.26% of the shares from NSC's non-controlling shareholders for a maximum total consideration of \$ 1,733, which also includes payments to employees, who were also former NSC's shareholders, that exceeded the fair value of NSC's shares. As a result, the payments in excess of fair value were treated as payroll expenses. The payment of the total consideration, is in any combination of cash and the Company's shares at the Company's option, of which \$ 224 was paid in cash in 2010 and \$ 1,009 is payable in three annual installments commencing in March 2011. Additional consideration of up to \$ 500 is payable in 2013, if certain aggregate revenue milestones are met for 2010, 2011 and 2012. The obligation to pay the total consideration to the former NSC's shareholders is recorded as a liability.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data**

NOTE 1:- GENERAL (Cont.)

The liability is comprised of two components: (1) The contingent payments for which the Company recorded a contingent consideration liability of \$ 329 in its estimated fair value as of the closing of the transaction, which was estimated by utilizing an income approach, taking into account the potential cash payments based on expectation as to NSC's future revenues in each of the years 2010-2012, and was discounted to arrive at a present value amount. The discount rate was based on the market interest rate and NSC's estimated operational capitalization rate. The contingent consideration liability is marked to market at fair value at each reporting date based on the Company's policy with subsequent changes in the value of the liability recorded in the statement of operations in finance expenses, and (2) A liability with respect to the commitment for future payments was recorded at present value which amounted to \$ 967. Such obligation is not re-measured at subsequent periods and only adjusted to changes in time value.

As of December 31, 2010 the contingent consideration liability estimated fair value amounted to \$ 355 and the liability with regards to the commitment for future payments amounted to \$ 958. An amount of the total liability equal to \$ 1,038 was classified as long term liability. As this was an equity transaction between AudioCodes and NSC's non-controlling shareholders, the Company reduced its shareholders' equity by \$ 1,370 for the excess costs over book value related to the minority interest in NSC, as required in accordance with ASC 810, "Consolidation".

- c. The Group is dependent upon sole source suppliers for certain key components used in its products, including certain digital signal processing chips. Although there are a limited number of manufacturers of these particular components, management believes that other suppliers could provide similar components at comparable terms. A change in suppliers, however, could cause a delay in manufacturing and a possible loss of sales, which could adversely affect the operating results of the Group and its financial position.
- d. The Group's major customer in 2008 and 2009, accounting for 14.4% and 15.6%, respectively, of the Group's revenues in those years filed for bankruptcy in January 2009. Such customer accounted for 3.9% of the Group's revenues in 2010. No other customer accounted for more than 10% of the Group's revenues in 2008, 2009 or 2010. See also Note 11e.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data**

NOTE 2:- SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States ("U.S. GAAP").

a. Use of estimates:

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. The Company's management believes that the estimates, judgment and assumptions used are reasonable based upon information available at the time they are made. As applicable to these consolidated financial statements, the most significant estimates and assumptions relate to revenue recognition and allowance for sales returns, allowance for doubtful accounts, inventories, intangible assets, goodwill, income taxes and valuation allowance: stock-based compensation and contingent liabilities. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

b. Financial statements in U.S. dollars:

A majority of the Group's revenues is generated in U.S. dollars. In addition, most of the Group's costs are denominated and determined in U.S. dollars and in new Israeli shekels. The Company's management believes that the U.S. dollar is the currency in the primary economic environment in which the Group operates. Thus, the functional and reporting currency of the Group is the U.S. dollar.

Accordingly, monetary accounts maintained in currencies other than the dollar are remeasured into U.S. dollars in accordance with ASC 830, "Foreign Currency Matters". All transaction gains and losses of the remeasured monetary balance sheet items are reflected in the statements of operations as financial income or expenses, as appropriate.

c. Principles of consolidation:

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. Intercompany transactions and balances, including profits from intercompany sales not yet realized outside the Group, have been eliminated upon consolidation.

d. Cash equivalents:

Cash equivalents are short-term highly liquid investments that are readily convertible into cash with original maturities of three months or less, at the date acquired.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data**

NOTE 2:- SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)

e. Short-term bank deposits:

Short-term bank deposits are deposits with maturities of more than three months but less than one year. The deposits are mainly in U.S. dollars and bear interest at an average rate of 0.35% and 1.01% for 2009 and 2010, respectively. Short-term deposits are presented at their cost, including accrued interest. The banks have a lien on the Company's assets and the Company is required to maintain \$ 7,000 of compensating balances with the banks which are included in short-term bank deposits (see also Note 10).

f. Inventories:

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market value. Cost is determined as follows:

Raw materials - using the "weighted average cost" method.

Finished products - using the "weighted average cost" method with the addition of direct manufacturing costs.

The Group periodically evaluates the quantities on hand relative to current and historical selling prices and historical and projected sales volume and technological obsolescence. Based on these evaluations, inventory write-offs are taken based on slow moving items, technological obsolescence, excess inventories, discontinuation of products lines and for market prices lower than cost.

g. Investment in affiliated company:

The Company accounts for investment in affiliated company in which it has the ability to exercise significant influence over the operating and financial policies using the equity method of accounting in accordance with the requirements of ASC 323.

Investment in affiliated company represents investment in ordinary shares, preferred shares and convertible loans. According to ASC 323, additional losses of such company in excess of the carrying amount of the equity investment are recognized based on the seniority level (priority in liquidation) of the particular type of investment held by the Company.

The Company's investment is reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the investment may not be recoverable in accordance with ASC 323. As of December 31, 2009 and 2010, no impairment losses had been identified. During 2008, based on management's most recent analysis, the Company recognized an impairment loss of \$ 1,096 relating to its investment in NSC, which was accounted under the equity method prior to December 2008.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data****NOTE 2:- SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)**

h. Property and equipment:

Property and equipment are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is calculated by the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, at the following annual rates:

	%
Computers and peripheral equipment	33
Office furniture and equipment	6 - 20 (mainly 15%)
Leasehold improvements	Over the shorter of the term of the lease or the life of the asset

i. Deferred charges:

Costs incurred in respect of issuance of senior convertible notes are deferred and amortized using the effective interest method and classified as a component of interest expense over the five-year-period from issuance to the expected maturity which occurred in November 2009, in accordance ASC 470. See also Note 2r, Note 6 and Note 9.

j. Impairment of long-lived assets:

The Group's long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment in accordance with ASC 360-10-35, "Property, Plant and Equipment - Subsequent Measurement", whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to the future undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset if such assets are considered to be impaired. The impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. The loss is allocated to the long-lived assets of the Group on a pro rata basis using the relative carrying amounts of those assets, except that the loss allocated to an individual long-lived asset of the Group will not reduce the carrying amount of that asset below its fair value whenever that fair value is determinable. As of December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, no impairment losses had been identified for property and equipment since the fair value of those assets was higher than its carrying amounts.

Intangible assets are comprised of acquired technology, customer relations, trade names, existing contracts for maintenance and backlog.

Intangible assets that are not considered to have an indefinite useful life are amortized using the straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives, which range from one to ten years. Recoverability of these assets is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of the asset to the undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the assets. If the assets are considered to be impaired, the amount of any impairment is measured as the difference between the carrying value and the fair value of the impaired assets.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data**

NOTE 2:- SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)

During 2009 and 2010, no impairment charges were identified. During 2008, the Company recorded an impairment charge for intangible assets in the amount of \$ 5,898 (see also Note 1).

k. Goodwill:

Goodwill and certain other purchased intangible assets have been recorded in the Company's financial statements as a result of acquisitions. Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price in a business combination over the fair value of net tangible and intangible assets acquired under ASC 350, "Intangible, Goodwill and Other", Goodwill is not amortized, but rather is subject to an annual impairment test. ASC 350 requires goodwill to be tested for impairment at least annually or between annual tests in certain circumstances, and written down when impaired.

The Company performs annual impairment analysis of goodwill at December 31 of each year, or more often as applicable. The provisions of ASC 350 require that a two-step impairment test be performed on goodwill at the level of the reporting units. In the first step, the Company compares the fair value of each reporting unit to its carrying value. If the fair value exceeds the carrying value of the net assets, goodwill is considered not impaired, and no further testing is required to be performed. If the carrying value of the net assets exceeds the fair value, then the Company must perform the second step of the impairment test in order to determine the implied fair value of goodwill. If the carrying value of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value, then the Company would record an impairment loss equal to the difference.

The Company believes that its business activity and management structure meet the criterion of being a single reporting unit for accounting purposes. The Company performed an annual impairment analysis as of December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010 using discounted cash flows, market multiples and market capitalization. Significant estimates used in the methodologies include estimates of future cash-flows, future short-term and long-term growth rates, weighted average cost of capital and market multiples for the reporting unit.

During 2009 and 2010, no impairment charges were identified. In 2008, as the fair value of the net assets of the reporting unit was lower than the carrying value as of the valuation date, the goodwill was deemed to be impaired and step 2 analysis was required. During 2008, an impairment charge to goodwill in the amount of \$ 79,117 was recorded. See also Note 1.

l. Revenue recognition:

The Group generates its revenues primarily from the sale of products through a direct sales force and sales representatives. The Group's products are delivered to its customers, which include original equipment manufacturers, network equipment providers, systems integrators and distributors in the telecommunications and networking industries, all of whom are considered end-users.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data**

NOTE 2:- SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)

Revenues from products are recognized in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") No. 104, "Revenue Recognition", when the following criteria are met: persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery of the product has occurred, the fee is fixed or determinable, and collectability is probable. The Group has no remaining obligation to customers after the date on which products are delivered other than pursuant to warranty obligations and right of return.

The Group accounts for multiple element arrangements in accordance with ASC 605-25, "Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables", to determine if those deliverables constitute separate units. Revenue on arrangements that include multiple elements is allocated to each element based on the residual method. Each element's allocated revenue is recognized when the revenue recognition criteria for that element have been met. Fair value is generally determined by vendor specific objective evidence ("VSOE"), which is based on the price charged when each element is sold separately. If the Company cannot objectively determine the fair value of any undelivered element included in a multiple-element arrangement, the Company defers revenue until all elements are delivered and services have been performed, or until fair value can objectively be determined for any remaining undelivered elements.

The Group grants to certain customers a right of return or the ability to exchange a specific percentage of the total price paid for products they have purchased over a limited period for other products. The Group maintains a provision for product returns and exchanges and other incentives based on its experience with historical sales returns, analysis of credit memo data and other known factors, in accordance with SAB 104. The provision was deducted from revenues and amounted to \$ 754, \$ 656 and \$ 1,387 as of December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, respectively.

Revenues from the sale of products which were not yet determined to be final sales due to market acceptance were deferred and included in deferred revenues. In cases where collectability is not probable, revenues are deferred and recognized upon collection.

m. Warranty costs:

The Group generally provides a warranty period of 12 months at no extra charge. The Group estimates the costs that may be incurred under its basic limited warranty and records a liability in the amount of such costs at the time product revenue is recognized. Factors that affect the Group's warranty liability include the number of installed units, historical and anticipated rates of warranty claims, and cost per claim. The Group periodically assesses the adequacy of its recorded warranty liability and adjusts the amount as necessary. As of December 31, 2009 and 2010 the provision for warranty amounted to \$ 753 and \$ 870, respectively.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data**

NOTE 2:- SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)

n. Research and development costs:

Research and development costs, net of government grants received, are charged to the statement of operations as incurred.

o. Income taxes:

The Group accounts for income taxes in accordance with ASC 740, "Income Taxes". ASC 740 prescribes the use of the liability method whereby deferred tax asset and liability account balances are determined based on differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and for carryforward losses. Deferred taxes are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. The Group provides a valuation allowance, if necessary, to reduce deferred tax assets to their estimated realizable value if it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized.

In addition, ASC 740 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The first step is to evaluate the tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. This is done by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that, on an evaluation of the technical merits, the tax position will be sustained on audit, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes. The second step is to measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more than 50% likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement.

The Group accrues interest and penalties, if any, related to unrecognized tax benefits in tax expenses.

p. Comprehensive income (loss):

The Group accounts for comprehensive income (loss) in accordance with ASC 220 "Comprehensive Income". ASC 220 establishes standards for the reporting and display of comprehensive income and its components in a full set of general purpose financial statements. Comprehensive income generally represents all changes in shareholders' equity during the period except those resulting from investments by, or distributions to, shareholders. The Group determined that its items of comprehensive income (loss) relates to gains and losses on hedging derivatives instruments.

q. Concentrations of credit risk:

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Group to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and cash equivalents, bank deposits, trade receivables and foreign currency derivative contracts.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data**

NOTE 2:- SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)

The majority of the Group's cash and cash equivalents and bank deposits are invested in U.S. dollar instruments with major banks in Israel and the United States. Such investments in the United States may be in excess of insured limits and are not insured in other jurisdictions. Management believes that the financial institutions that hold the Group's investments are in corporations with high credit standing. Accordingly, management believes that low credit risk exists with respect to these financial investments.

The trade receivables of the Group are derived from sales to customers located primarily in the Americas, the Far East, Israel and Europe. However, under certain circumstances, the Group may require letters of credit, other collateral, additional guarantees or advance payments. Regarding certain credit balances, the Group is covered by foreign trade risk insurance. The Group performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and establishes an allowance for doubtful accounts based upon a specific review. Allowance for doubtful accounts amounted to \$ 723 and \$ 918 as of December 31, 2009 and 2010, respectively

r. Senior convertible notes:

Effective January 1, 2009, the Company adopted an amendment to ASC 470-20, "Debt with Conversion and Other Options". ASC 470-20 specifies that issuers of such instruments should separately account for the liability and equity components on the issuance day in a manner that will reflect the entity's nonconvertible debt borrowing rate when interest cost is recognized in subsequent periods. The Company applied this amendment retrospectively to all periods presented and comparative figures have been adjusted accordingly. See also Note 9.

The Company presents the outstanding principal amount of its senior convertible notes as a long-term liability, in accordance with ASC 210-10-45 (based on its expected redemption, taking into consideration redemption options of the holders). The debt is classified as a long-term liability until the date of conversion on which it would be reclassified to equity, or within one year of the first contractual redemption date, on which it would be reclassified as a short-term liability. Accrued interest on the senior convertible notes is included in "other payables and accrued expenses".

The initial purchasers discount on the debt is amortized according to the interest method over the expected five-year term of the senior convertible notes in accordance with ASC 470-20. This five-years-period ended in November 2009. See also Note 9.

According to ASC 470-20, if an instrument within its scope is repurchased, an issuer shall allocate the consideration transferred and related transaction costs incurred, to the extinguishment of the liability component and the reacquisition of the equity component. See Note 9 for further details.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data**

NOTE 2:- SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)

s. Basic and diluted net earnings per share:

Basic net earnings per share are computed based on the weighted average number of ordinary shares outstanding during each year. Diluted net earnings per share are computed based on the weighted average number of ordinary shares outstanding during each year, plus potential dilutive ordinary shares considered outstanding during the year, in accordance with ASC 260, "Earnings Per Share".

Senior convertible notes and certain outstanding stock options and warrants have been excluded from the calculation of the diluted net earnings per ordinary share since such securities are anti-dilutive for all years presented. The total weighted average number of shares related to the senior convertible notes and outstanding options and warrants that have been excluded from the calculations of diluted net income per share was 12,156,728, 8,768,909 and 3,848,284 for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, respectively.

t. Accounting for stock-based compensation:

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation in accordance with ASC 718, "Compensation-Stock Compensation". ASC 718 requires companies to estimate the fair value of equity-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model. The value of the portion of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as an expense over the requisite service periods in the Company's consolidated statement of operations.

The Company recognizes compensation expenses for the value of its awards based on the accelerated method over the requisite service period of each of the awards, net of estimated forfeitures. ASC 718 requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. Estimated forfeitures are based on actual historical pre-vesting forfeitures.

The Company applies ASC 718 and ASC 505-50, "Equity-Based Payments to Non-Employees" with respect to options and warrants issued to non-employees. Accordingly, the Company uses option valuation models to measure the fair value of the options and warrants at the measurement date as defined in ASC 505-50.

During the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2009, the Company extended the exercise period of certain options granted to employees by a period of 1-2 years and modified the exercise price with respect to certain employees' awards.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data

NOTE 2:- SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)

The Company accounted for these changes as modification in accordance with ASC 718. A modification to the terms of an award should be treated as an exchange of the original award for a new award with total compensation cost equal to the grant-date fair value of the original award plus the incremental value measured at the same date. Under ASC 718, the calculation of the incremental value is based on the excess of the fair value of the new (modified) award based on current circumstances over the fair value of the original award measured immediately before its terms are modified based on current circumstances.

The weighted-average estimated fair value of employee stock options granted during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, was \$ 1.89, \$ 1.22 and \$ 1.96 per share, respectively, using the Black-Scholes option pricing formula. Fair values were estimated using the following weighted-average assumptions (annualized percentages):

	Year ended		
	December 31,		
	2008	2009	2010
Dividend yield	0%	0%	0%
Expected volatility	52.0%	48.7%	50.8%
Risk-free interest	2.6%	2.3%	1.9%
Expected life	4.8 years	5.0 years	5.1 years
Forfeiture rate	11.0%	7.0%	10.0%

The Company used its historical volatility in accordance with ASC 718. The computation of volatility uses historical volatility derived from the Company's exchange traded shares. The expected term of options granted is estimated based on historical experience and represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The risk free interest rate assumption is the implied yield currently available on United States treasury zero-coupon issues with a remaining term equal to the expected life of the Company's options. The dividend yield assumption is based on the Company's historical experience and expectation of no future dividend payouts and may be subject to substantial change in the future. The Company has historically not paid cash dividends and has no foreseeable plans to pay cash dividends in the future.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data

NOTE 2:- SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)

The total equity-based compensation expense relating to all of the Company's equity-based awards recognized for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010 was included in items of the consolidated statements of income as follows:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2008	2009	2010
Cost of revenues	\$ 318	\$ 117	\$ 62
Research and development, net	1,467	642	393
Selling and marketing expenses	2,026	913	1,180
General and administrative expenses	530	319	453
Total equity-based compensation expenses	<u>\$ 4,341</u>	<u>\$ 1,991</u>	<u>\$ *) 2,088</u>

*) Includes also equity-based compensation that was classified as a liability.

u. Treasury stock:

The Company has repurchased its ordinary shares from time to time in the open market and holds such shares as treasury stock. The Company presents the cost to repurchase treasury stock as a reduction of shareholders' equity.

v. Severance pay:

The liability for severance pay for Israeli employees is calculated pursuant to Israel's Severance Pay Law, based on the most recent salary of the employees multiplied by the number of years of employment as of the balance sheet date for all employees in Israel. Employees are entitled to one month's salary for each year of employment, or a portion thereof. The Group's liability for all of its Israeli employees is fully provided for by monthly deposits with severance pay funds, insurance policies and by an accrual. The value of these deposits is recorded as an asset in the Company's balance sheet.

The deposited funds include profits accumulated up to the balance sheet date. The deposited funds may be withdrawn only upon the fulfillment of the obligation pursuant to Israel's Severance Pay Law or labor agreements.

Severance pay expenses for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, amounted to approximately \$ 2,701, \$ 1,136 and \$ 1,733, respectively.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data**

NOTE 2:- SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)

w. Employee benefit plan:

The Group has 401(k) defined contribution plans covering employees in the U.S. All eligible employees may elect to contribute a portion of their annual compensation to the plan through salary deferrals, subject to the IRS limit of \$ 16.5 during 2010 (\$ 22 including catch-up contributions for participants age 50 or over). The Group matches employee contributions to the plan up to a limit of 3% of their eligible compensation, subject to IRS limits. In 2008, 2009 and 2010, the Group matched contributions in the amount of \$ 380, \$ 280 and \$ 240, respectively.

x. Advertising expenses:

Advertising expenses are charged to the statements of operations as incurred. Advertising expenses for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010 amounted to \$ 407, \$ 139 and \$ 374, respectively.

y. Fair value of financial instruments:

The estimated fair value of financial instruments has been determined by the Group using available market information and valuation methodologies. Considerable judgment is required in estimating fair values. Accordingly, the estimates may not be indicative of the amounts the Company could realize in a current market exchange.

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Group in estimating its fair value disclosures for financial instruments:

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, short-term bank deposits, trade receivables and trade payables approximate their fair value due to the short-term maturity of such instruments. The fair value of long-term bank loans and senior convertible loans also approximates their carrying value, since they bear interest at rates close to the prevailing market rates.

The fair value of foreign currency contracts (used for hedging purposes) is estimated by obtaining current quotes from banks and market observable data of similar instruments.

Fair value is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. As such, fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or a liability. As a basis for considering such assumptions, ASC 820 establishes a three-tier value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in the valuation methodologies in measuring fair value:

Level 1 - Observable inputs that reflect quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data**

NOTE 2:- SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)

- Level 2 - Observable inputs, other than quoted prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data
- Level 3 - Unobservable inputs which are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets and liabilities. This includes certain pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies and similar techniques that use significant unobservable inputs

The fair value hierarchy also requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. See also Note 7.

The Group adopted the provisions of ASC 820-10, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures", with respect to non-financial assets and liabilities effective January 1, 2009. The adoption with respect to non-financial assets and liabilities did not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the Group adopted an updated guidance to ASC 820-10 related to fair value measurements and disclosures, which provides additional guidance for estimating fair value when the volume and level of activity for an assets or liability have significantly decreased. The amended ASC 820-10 also includes guidance on identifying circumstances that indicate a transaction is not orderly. The adoption of this update did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB updated the "Fair Value Measurements Disclosures". More specifically, this update requires (a) an entity to disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and 2 fair value measurements and to describe the reasons for the transfers; and (b) information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements to be presented separately (i.e. present the activity on a gross basis rather than net) in the reconciliation for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3 inputs). This update clarifies existing disclosure requirements for the level of disaggregation used for classes of assets and liabilities measured at fair value, and requires disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements using Level 2 and Level 3 inputs.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data**

NOTE 2:- SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)

z. Consolidation:

On January 1, 2009, the Company adopted an amendment to ASC 810, "Consolidation". According to the amendment, non-controlling interest in a subsidiary is an ownership interest in the consolidated entity that should be reported as a separate component of equity in the consolidated financial statements. As such, changes in the parent's ownership interest with no change of control are treated as equity transactions, rather than step acquisitions or dilution gains or losses. The amendment clarifies that losses of partially owned consolidated subsidiaries will continue to be allocated to the non-controlling interests even when their investment has already been reduced to zero.

The amendment applies prospectively, except for the presentation and disclosure requirements, which are applied retrospectively to all periods presented.

According to the Company's policy, contingent consideration is presented at fair value in subsequent periods and changes in fair value of the liability will be recorded as financial income/ expense.

aa. Variable interest entities:

ASC 810-10, "Consolidation" provides a framework for identifying Variable Interest Entities ("VIEs") and determining when a company should include the assets, liabilities, non-controlling interests and results of activities of a VIE in its consolidated financial statements.

The Company's assessment of whether an entity is a VIE and the determination of the primary beneficiary is judgmental in nature and involves the use of estimates and assumptions. The assumptions include, among others, forecasted cash flows, their respective probabilities and the economic value of certain preference rights. In addition, such assessment also involves estimates of whether a group entity can finance its current activities, until it reaches profitability, without additional subordinated financial support.

Effective January 1, 2010, the Company adopted an updated guidance for the consolidation of variable interest entities. This new guidance replaces the prior quantitative approach for identifying which enterprise should consolidate a variable interest entity, which was based on which enterprise was exposed to a majority of the risks and rewards, with a qualitative approach, based on which enterprise has both (1) the power to direct the economically significant activities of the entity and (2) the obligation to absorb losses of, or the right to receive benefits from, the entity that could potentially be significant to the variable interest entity. Determination about whether an enterprise should consolidate a variable interest entity is required to be evaluated continuously as changes to existing relationships or future transactions occur. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data**

NOTE 2:- SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)

ab. Derivatives and hedging:

The Group accounts for derivatives and hedging based on ASC 815, "Derivatives and Hedging".

The Group accounts for its derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities and carries them at fair value. Derivative instruments that are not designated and qualified as hedging instruments must be adjusted to fair value through earnings. The changes in fair value of such instruments are included as earnings in "Financial income (expenses)" at each reporting period.

For derivative instruments that hedge the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows that are designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative instrument is reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in equity and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings and is classified as payroll and rent expenses. The ineffective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative instrument is recognized in current earnings and classified as financial other income or expenses. To receive hedge accounting treatment, cash flow hedges must be highly effective in offsetting changes to expected future cash flows on hedged transactions.

During 2010, the Group recorded accumulated other comprehensive income in the amount of \$ 724 from its forward exchange contracts with respect to payroll and rent expenses expected to be incurred during 2011. Such amount will be reclassified into earnings during 2011. See also Note 17.

ac. Impact of recently issued accounting pronouncements:

- (1) In October 2009, the FASB issued an update to ASC No. 605-25, "Revenue recognition - Multiple-Element Arrangements", that provides amendments to the criteria for separating consideration in multiple-deliverable arrangements to:
 - a) Provide updated guidance on whether multiple deliverables exist, how the deliverables in an arrangement should be separated, and how the consideration should be allocated;
 - b) Require an entity to allocate revenue in an arrangement using estimated selling prices ("ESP") of deliverables if a vendor does not have vendor-specific objective evidence of selling price ("VSOE") or third-party evidence of selling price ("TPE");
 - c) Eliminate the use of the residual method and require an entity to allocate revenue using the relative selling price method.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data

NOTE 2:- SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)

- d) Require expanded disclosures of qualitative and quantitative information regarding application of the multiple-deliverable revenue arrangement guidance.

The Group adopted the standard on January 1, 2011 and will apply the provision of this accounting standard on a prospective basis to all revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified subsequent to January 1, 2011. The Group is currently evaluating the impact of this update on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

NOTE 3:- INVENTORIES

	December 31,	
	2009	2010
Raw materials	\$ 5,923	\$ 8,122
Finished products	7,593	9,921
	<u>\$ 13,516</u>	<u>\$ 18,043</u>

In the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, the Group wrote-off inventories in a total amount of \$ 2,356, \$ 3,421 and \$1,113, respectively.

NOTE 4:- INVESTMENT IN AFFILIATED COMPANY

As of December 31, 2009 and 2010, the Company owned 20.21% and 25.61% of Mailvision's outstanding share capital, respectively.

In November 2010, the Company converted \$588 of loans made to MailVision into equity and its holding increased to 25.6%.

	December 31,	
	2009	2010
Invested in equity	\$ 993	\$ 1,581
Loans	642	74
Accumulated net loss	<u>(125)</u>	<u>(338)</u>
Total investment	<u>\$ 1,510</u>	<u>\$ 1,317</u>

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data

NOTE 5:- PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

	December 31,	
	2009	2010
Cost:		
Computers and peripheral equipment	\$ 19,852	\$ 20,424
Office furniture and equipment	9,458	10,151
Leasehold improvements	2,354	2,291
	<u>31,664</u>	<u>32,866</u>
Accumulated depreciation:		
Computers and peripheral equipment	17,359	19,213
Office furniture and equipment	8,276	8,665
Leasehold improvements	1,073	1,285
	<u>26,708</u>	<u>29,163</u>
Depreciated cost	<u>\$ 4,956</u>	<u>\$ 3,703</u>

Depreciation expenses amounted to \$ 3,602, \$ 3,159 and \$2,822 for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, respectively.

NOTE 6:- INTANGIBLE ASSETS, DEFERRED CHARGES

	Useful life (years)	December 31,	
		2009	2010
a. Impaired Cost:			
Acquired technology	5-10	\$ 15,517	\$ 15,517
Customer relationship	9	4,172	4,172
Trade name	3	415	415
Existing contracts for maintenance	3	181	181
		<u>20,285</u>	<u>20,285</u>
Accumulated amortization:			
Acquired technology		10,321	11,554
Customer relationship		2,521	2,825
Trade name		415	415
Existing contracts for maintenance		181	181
		<u>13,438</u>	<u>14,975</u>
Amortized cost		<u>\$ 6,847</u>	<u>\$ 5,310</u>

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data

NOTE 6:- INTANGIBLE ASSETS, DEFERRED CHARGES (Cont.)

- b. Amortization expenses related to intangible assets amounted to \$ 3,839, \$ 1,810 and \$ 1,537 for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, respectively.
- c. Amortization expenses related to deferred charges amounted to \$ 94, \$ 49 and \$ 0 for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, respectively.
- d. Expected amortization expenses are as follows:

Year ending December 31,

2011	\$ 1,327
2012	\$ 1,124
2013	\$ 933
2014	\$ 869
2015	\$ 717
2016 and thereafter	\$ 340

NOTE 7:- FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

In accordance with ASC No. 820, the Group measures its foreign currency derivative instruments, the contingent consideration to NSC's former shareholders and the liability related to equity based compensation at fair value. Investments in foreign currency derivative instruments are classified within Level 2 value hierarchy. This is because these assets are valued using alternative pricing sources and models utilizing market observable inputs. The contingent consideration to NSC's former shareholders and the liability related to equity based compensation are classified within Level 3 value hierarchy because these liabilities are based on present value calculations and an externally valuation models whose inputs include market interest rates, estimated operational capitalization rates, volatilities and illiquidity. Unobservable inputs used in these models are significant to the fair value of the investments.

The Group's financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, consisted of the following types of instruments as of the following dates:

	December 31, 2009		
	Fair value measurements using input type		
	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Foreign currency derivative contracts	\$ 98	\$ -	\$ 98
Total financials assets	\$ 98	\$ -	\$ 98

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data

NOTE 7:- FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Cont.)

	December 31, 2010		
	Fair value measurements using input type		
	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Foreign currency derivative contracts	\$ 822	\$ -	\$ 822
Contingent consideration related to NSC's former shareholders	-	355	355
Liability related to equity based compensation	-	718	718
Total financial assets	\$ 822	\$ 1,073	\$ 1,895

NOTE 8:- OTHER PAYABLES AND ACCRUED EXPENSES

	December 31,	
	2009	2010
Employees and payroll accruals	\$ 6,947	\$ 9,670
Royalties provision	696	596
Government authorities	1,301	1,153
Accrued expenses	8,172	12,241
Others	470	508
	\$ 17,586	\$ 24,168

NOTE 9:- SENIOR CONVERTIBLE NOTES

In November 2004, the Company issued an aggregate of \$ 125,000 (including the exercise of the option as described below) principal amount of its 2% Senior Convertible Notes due November 9, 2024 ("the Notes"). The Company is obligated to pay interest on the Notes semi-annually on May 9 and November 9 of each year.

The Notes are convertible, at the option of the holders at any time before the maturity date, into ordinary shares of the Company at a conversion rate of 53.4474 ordinary shares per \$ 1 principal amount of Notes, representing a conversion price of approximately \$ 18.71 per share. Upon such conversion in lieu of the delivering of ordinary shares, the Company may elect to pay the holders cash or a combination of cash and ordinary shares. The Notes are subject to redemption at any time on or after November 9, 2009, in whole or in part, at the option of the Company, at a redemption price of 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest. The Notes are subject to repurchase, at the holders' option, on November 9, 2009, November 9, 2014 or November 9, 2019, at a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, on such repurchase date. The Company may choose to settle in cash upon conversion.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data

NOTE 9:- SENIOR CONVERTIBLE NOTES (Cont.)

Effective January 1, 2009, the Company adopted the amendment to ASC 470-20 "Debt with Conversion and Other Options". The amendment specifies that issuers of such instruments should separately account for the liability and equity components in a manner that will reflect the entity's nonconvertible debt borrowing rate when interest cost is recognized in subsequent periods. As a result, the Company recorded in additional \$ 2,775 interest expense in 2009.

The cumulative effect of the change in accounting principle in the amount of \$ 9,329 was recognized, as an offsetting adjustment to the retained earnings as of January 1, 2007. In addition, an increase of \$ 20,251 was recorded to additional paid in capital as of January 1, 2007.

During 2008, 2009 and 2010, the Company repurchased \$ 51,500, \$73,100 and \$ 50, respectively, in principal amount of the notes for a total cost, including accrued interest, of \$ 50,200 \$ 73,147 and \$ 50, respectively. Based on the amended ASC-470-20 and as a result of the repurchase, the Company recorded a gain in the amount of \$ 372 related to the liability component and a decrease of additional paid-in capital in the amount of \$ 1,109 related to the equity component in its 2008 statements of operations. There was no gain or loss in connection with the repurchase in 2009 and 2010. As of December 31, 2010, there are \$ 353 in principal amount of the notes outstanding.

The table below shows the components of the net carrying amount of the liability component and the equity component of the Notes at December 31, 2009 and 2010:

	December 31,	
	2009	2010
Net carrying amount of liability component	\$ 403	\$ 353
Equity component	\$ 19,142	\$ 19,142

The following represents the components of interest expense and effective interest rates relating to the Notes:

	Year ended		
	December 31,		
	2008	2009	2010
Contractual interest expense	\$ 2,308	\$ 1,260	\$ 17
Amortization of discount	4,868	2,828	-
Total interest expense	\$ 7,176	\$ 4,088	\$ 17
Effective interest rate	3.35%	3.35%	2.00%

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data

NOTE 10:- LONG-TERM BANK LOANS

In April and July 2008, the Company entered into loan agreements with Israeli commercial banks and was provided with loans in the total amount of \$ 30,000. The loans bear interest at LIBOR plus 1.3%-1.5% with respect to \$ 23,000 of the loans and LIBOR plus 0.5%-0.65% with respect to the remaining \$ 7,000. The principal amount borrowed is repayable in 20 equal quarterly payments through July 2013. The banks have a lien of the Company's assets and the Company is required to maintain \$ 7,000 of compensating balances with the banks which are included in short term bank deposits. The agreement requires the Company, among other things, to maintain equity at specified levels and to achieve certain levels of operating income. The agreement also restricts the Company from paying dividends. As of December 31, 2009 and 2010, the Company was in compliance with its covenants to the banks.

NOTE 11:- COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

a. Lease commitments:

The Group's facilities are rented under several lease agreements in Israel, Europe and the U.S. for periods ending in 2016.

Future minimum rental commitments under non-cancelable operating leases, are as follows:

Year ending December 31,

2011	\$	4,775
2012		4,081
2013		544
2014		559
2015		39
2016 and thereafter		39
Total minimum lease payments *)	\$	<u>10,037</u>

*) Minimum payments have been reduced by minimum sublease rental of \$ 1,933 due in the future under non-cancelable subleases.

In connection with the Company's offices lease agreement in Israel, the lessor has a lien on \$ 3,500 which is included in short term bank deposits.

Rent expenses for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, were approximately \$ 6,432, \$ 4,558 and \$ 4,790, respectively.

b. Inventory commitments:

The Group is obligated under certain agreements with its suppliers to purchase specified items of excess inventory which is expected to be utilized in 2011. Non-cancelable obligations as of December 31, 2010, were approximately \$ 930.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data

NOTE 11:- COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES (Cont.)

- c. Royalty commitment to the Office of the Chief Scientist of Israel ("OCS"):

Under the research and development agreements of the Company and its Israeli subsidiaries with the OCS and pursuant to applicable laws, the Company is required to pay royalties at the rate of 3%-4.5% of sales of products developed with funds provided by the OCS, up to an amount equal to 100% of the OCS research and development grants received, linked to the U.S. dollar plus interest on the unpaid amount received based on the 12-month LIBOR rate applicable to dollar deposits. The Company is obligated to repay the Israeli Government for the grants received only to the extent that there are sales of the funded products.

As of December 31, 2009 and 2010, the Company has a contingent obligation to pay royalties in the amount of approximately \$ 8,715 and \$ 12,463 respectively. The Israeli subsidiaries of the Company have a contingent obligation to pay royalties in the amount of approximately \$ 10,252 and \$ 10,894 as of December 31, 2009 and 2010, respectively

As of December 31, 2010, the Company has paid or accrued royalties to the OCS in the amount of \$ 416, which was recorded to cost of revenues. The Israeli subsidiaries of the Company has paid or accrued royalties to OCS in the amount of \$ 465, which was recorded to cost of revenues.

- d. Royalty commitments to third parties:

The Group has entered into technology licensing fee agreements with third parties. Under the agreements, the Group agreed to pay the third parties royalties, based on sales of relevant products. See Note 8.

- e. Legal proceedings:

The Group's major customer in 2008 and 2009 has asserted that the Group received approximately \$2.6 million in payments from them during the ninety day period prior to their bankruptcy filing in January 2009 that constitute avoidable preferential transfers. The Group has entered into an agreement with the customer that tolls the statute of limitations with respect to these claims until April 14, 2011. The Group expects to engage in discussions with the customer with respect to these claims. Management believes that the Group has valid defenses to these claims. However, it is unable to estimate the likelihood of a settlement with the customer being reached, or to estimate the range of loss if such a settlement is reached. In addition, if a settlement with the customer is not reached, the Group is unable to estimate the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome if the customer commences a formal proceeding, nor is it able to estimate the range of loss if the outcome of such formal proceeding is unfavorable.

In May 2007, the Company entered into an agreement with respect to property adjacent to its headquarters in Israel, pursuant to which a building of approximately 145,000 square feet has been erected and was expected to be leased to the Company for a period of eleven years.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data

NOTE 11:- COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES (Cont.)

This new building was substantially completed on a structural level in May 2010. The landlord claimed that the Company should have taken delivery of the building at that time and started paying rent. The Company disagreed with the landlord's interpretation of the relevant agreement. As a result, the landlord terminated the agreement and leased the property to a third party. This dispute has been referred to arbitration where the Company claims that due to the landlord's failure the Company lost significant potential revenues. The landlord counterclaimed alleging that it sustained losses equal to approximately one year's rent and management fees in the amount of approximately NIS 14 million (approximately \$ 3.9 million). The claim is at an early stage and it is not possible at this stage to predict the outcome of these proceedings. The Company believes that it has valid defenses to the counterclaim.

In September 2009, Network Gateway Solutions LLC filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware against the Company and 19 other defendants alleging the infringement of certain patents owned by Network Gateway. The Company agreed to defend Patton Electronics, a customer of the Company who was also a defendant in this litigation. The Company settled the proceedings against Patton and itself in 2010 and the litigation has been dismissed.

NOTE 12:- EQUITY

a. Treasury stock:

In January 2008, the Company's Board of Directors approved a share repurchase plan pursuant to which the Company was authorized to purchase up to an aggregate amount of 4,000,000 of its outstanding ordinary shares. During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company purchased 3,450,217 of its outstanding ordinary shares under the new share repurchase plan, at a weighted average price per share of \$ 3.98.

b. Warrants issued to nonemployees:

Warrants to purchase 10,000 shares at a weighted average exercise price of \$ 4.82 per share were granted in the year ended December 31, 2008 and warrants to purchase 25,000 shares at a weighted average exercise price of \$ 2.92 per share were granted in the year ended December 31, 2010, in each case expiring seven years from the date of grant. The Company recorded immaterial compensation expenses in accordance with ASC 505. As of December 31, 2010, 30,000 warrants issued to consultants are outstanding and exercisable.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data

NOTE 12:- EQUITY (Cont.)

c. Employee Stock Purchase Plan:

In May 2001, the Company's Board of Directors adopted the Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP" or "the Purchase Plan"), which was amended, in July 2007. The Purchase Plan, as amended, provides for the issuance of up to 6,500,000 ordinary shares. During 2008, the Company's Board of Directors decided to suspend operation of the Purchase Plan. In the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company's Board of Directors decided to reinstate the Purchase Plan.

As of December 31, 2010, 4,004,683 shares were available for future issuance under the Purchase Plan. Eligible employees can have up to 10% of their wages, up to certain maximums, used to purchase ordinary shares. The Purchase Plan is implemented with purchases every six months. The price of the ordinary shares purchased under the Purchase Plan is equal to 85% of the lower of the fair market value of the ordinary shares on the commencement date of each offering period or on the semi-annual purchase date. The Purchase Plan is considered a compensatory plan. Therefore, the Company records compensation expense in accordance with ASC 718, "Compensation - Stock Compensation", with respect to purchase under the Purchase Plan.

d. Employee Stock Option Plans:

In the year ended December 31, 2008, the Board of Directors approved the 2008 Equity Incentive Plan that became effective in January 2009. As of December 31, 2010, the total number of shares authorized for grant under this Plan is 2,028,746.

Stock options granted under the abovementioned plans are exercisable at the fair market value of the ordinary shares at the date of grant and usually expire seven or ten years from the date of grant. The options generally vest over four years from the date of grant. Any options that are forfeited or cancelled before expiration become available for future grants.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data

NOTE 12:- EQUITY (Cont.)

The following is a summary of the Group's stock option activity and related information for the year ended December 31, 2010:

	<u>Amount of options</u>	<u>Weighted average exercise price</u>	<u>Weighted average remaining contractual term (in years)</u>	<u>Aggregate intrinsic value</u>
Outstanding at beginning of year	*) 6,165,867	\$ 6.93		
Changes during the year:				
Granted	990,924	\$ 3.35		
Exercised	(934,823)	\$ 2.74		
Forfeited	(568,750)	\$ 7.26		
Expired	(868,332)	\$ 6.38		
Options outstanding at end of year	<u>**) 4,784,886</u>	<u>\$ 7.06</u>	<u>3.7</u>	<u>\$ 6,174</u>
Vested and expected to vest	<u>4,306,397</u>	<u>\$ 7.06</u>	<u>3.7</u>	<u>\$ 5,557</u>
Options exercisable at end of year	<u>2,920,925</u>	<u>\$ 9.52</u>	<u>2.23</u>	<u>\$ 1,004</u>

*) Including 40,269 restricted share units ("RSU's") granted in 2009.

***) Including 30,202 and 142,152 restricted share units ("RSU's") granted in 2009 and 2010, respectively.

As of December 31, 2010, the Company recorded a liability based on its fair value in the amount of \$ 500 relating to commitment to grant RSU's that were granted in January 2011. In addition, the Company recorded a liability in its fair value in the amount of \$ 160 relating to commitment to grant RSU's subject to the Company's share price in the period in between the grant date and January 1, 2013.

The weighted-average grant-date fair value of options granted during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010 was \$ 1.80, \$ 1.22 and \$ 2.00, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total intrinsic value (the difference between the Company's closing stock price on the last trading day of the fiscal year and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money options) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on the last trading day of the fiscal year. This amount changes based on the fair market value of the Company's shares.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data

NOTE 12:- EQUITY (Cont.)

Total intrinsic value of options exercised for the twelve months ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010 was \$ 124, \$ 130 and \$ 2,946, respectively. As of December 31, 2010, there was \$ 2,949 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Company's stock option plans. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.13 years.

The options outstanding as of December 31, 2010, have been separated into ranges of exercise prices, as follows:

Range of exercise price	Options outstanding as of December 31, 2010	Weighted average remaining contractual life (Years)	Weighted average exercise price	Options exercisable as of December 31, 2010	Weighted average exercise price of exercisable options
\$ 0.00-1.10	213,172	6.70	\$ 0.00	-	\$ 0.00
\$ 1.50-2.51	673,300	5.55	\$ 2.08	174,925	\$ 2.06
\$ 2.57-4.00	569,558	6.05	\$ 3.05	68,570	\$ 2.91
\$ 4.08-6.49	1,055,956	5.06	\$ 5.38	443,405	\$ 5.82
\$ 6.51-9.24	109,000	3.31	\$ 6.85	81,750	\$ 6.85
\$ 9.32-14.76	2,138,900	1.54	\$ 11.14	2,127,275	\$ 11.15
\$ 15.94	25,000	0.99	\$ 15.94	25,000	\$ 15.94
	<u>4,784,886</u>	3.7	<u>\$ 7.06</u>	<u>2,920,925</u>	<u>\$ 9.52</u>

- e. During 2008 and 2009, the Company extended the exercise period of 895,138 and 231,400 options, respectively, granted to employees by a period of 1-2 years and re-priced the exercise price to certain employees. Total options that were re-priced in 2008 and 2009, were 100,000 and 50,000, respectively. The exercise price was adjusted in 2008 from a range of 5.7-6.7 to 4.17 and in 2009 from a range 4.17-14.76 to 0.

The Company accounted for these changes as modifications in accordance with ASC 718. The Company calculated the incremental value of these modifications and recorded compensation cost in a total amount of \$ 402, \$ 208 and \$ 14 for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, respectively.

- f. Dividends:

In the event that cash dividends are declared in the future, such dividends will be paid in NIS. The Company does not intend to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future. (See also Note 13a.)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data

NOTE 13:- TAXES ON INCOME

a. Israeli taxation:

1. Measurement of taxable income:

The Company has elected to measure its taxable income and file its tax return under the Israeli Income Tax Regulations (Principles Regarding the Management of Books of Account of Foreign Invested Companies and Certain Partnerships and the Determination of Their Taxable Income), 1986. Accordingly, results for tax purposes are measured in terms of earnings in dollars.

2. Tax benefits under the Israeli Law for the Encouragement of Capital Investments, 1959 ("the Investment Law"):

The Company's production facilities in Israel have been granted the status of an "Approved Enterprise" in accordance with the Investment Law under four separate investment programs. According to the provisions of such Israeli Investment Law, the Company has been granted the "Alternative Benefit Plan", under which the main benefits are tax exemptions and reduced tax rates.

Therefore, the Company's income derived from the Approved Enterprise will be entitled to a tax exemption for a period of two years and to an additional period of five to eight years of reduced tax rates of 10% - 25% (based on the percentage of foreign ownership). The duration of tax benefits of reduced tax rates is subject to a limitation of the earlier of 12 years from commencement of production, or 14 years from the approval date. The Company utilized tax benefits from the first program in 1998 and has been no longer eligible for benefits since 2007. Tax benefits from the remaining programs are scheduled to gradually expire through 2013.

As of December 31, 2010, retained earnings included approximately \$ 540 in tax-exempt income earned by the Company's "Approved Enterprise". The Company's Board of Directors has decided not to declare dividends out of such tax-exempt income. Accordingly, no deferred income taxes have been provided on income attributable to the Company's "Approved Enterprise".

Tax-exempt income attributable to the "Approved Enterprise" cannot be distributed to shareholders without subjecting the Company to taxes except upon complete liquidation of the Company. If such retained tax-exempt income is distributed in a manner other than upon the complete liquidation of the Company, it would be taxed at the corporate tax rate applicable to such profits as if the Company had not elected the alternative tax benefits (currently between 10% - 25%) and an income tax liability of approximately up to \$ 135 would be incurred by the Company.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data

NOTE 13:- TAXES ON INCOME (Cont.)

The entitlement to the above benefits is conditional upon the Company fulfilling the conditions stipulated by the above Investment Law, regulations published thereunder and the letters of approval for the specific investments in "Approved Enterprises". In the event of failure to comply with these conditions, the benefits may be canceled and the Company may be required to refund the amount of the benefits, in whole or in part, including interest. As of December 31, 2010, management believes that the Company is in compliance with all of the aforementioned conditions.

Income from sources other than the "Approved Enterprise" during the benefit period will be subject to tax at the regular tax rate prevailing at that time.

On April 1, 2005, an amendment to the Investment Law came into effect ("the Amendment") that significantly changed the provisions of the Investment Law. The Amendment limits the scope of enterprises that may be approved by the Investment Center by setting criteria for the approval of a facility as a Beneficiary Enterprise including a provision generally requiring that at least 25% of the Beneficiary Enterprise's income will be derived from export. Additionally, the Amendment enacted major changes in the manner in which tax benefits are awarded under the Investment Law so that companies no longer require Investment Center approval in order to qualify for tax benefits.

However, the Investment Law provides that terms and benefits included in any certificate of approval already granted will remain subject to the provisions of the Investment Law as they were on the date of such approval. Therefore, the Company's existing "Approved Enterprises" will generally not be subject to the provisions of the Amendment. As a result of the Amendment, tax-exempt income generated under the provisions of the Investment Law, as amended, will subject the Company to taxes upon distribution or liquidation and the Company may be required to record a deferred tax liability with respect to such tax-exempt income. As of December 31, 2010, there was no taxable income attributable to the Beneficiary Enterprise.

In December 2010, the "Knesset" (Israeli Parliament) passed the Law for Economic Policy for 2011 and 2012 (Amended Legislation), 2011, which prescribes, among others, amendments in the Investment Law. The amendment became effective as of January 1, 2011. According to the amendment, the benefit tracks in the Investment Law were modified and a flat tax rate applies to the Company's entire preferred income. The Company will be able to opt to apply (the waiver is non-recourse) the amendment and from then on it will be subject to the amended tax rates that are: 2011 and 2012 - 15% (in development area A - 10%), 2013 and 2014 - 12.5% (in development area A - 7%) and in 2015 and thereafter - 12% (in development area A - 6%).

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data

NOTE 13:- TAXES ON INCOME (Cont.)

The Company is not in a development area A.

The Company is examining the possible effect of the amendment on the financial statements, if at all, and at this time has not yet decided whether to apply the amendment.

3. Net operating loss carryforward:

As of December 31, 2010, the Company has cumulative losses for tax purposes in the amount of approximately \$ 27,000, which can be carried forward and offset against taxable income in the future for an indefinite period. As of December 31, 2010, the Company recorded a deferred tax asset of \$ 3,213 in respect of such carryforward tax losses.

As of December 31, 2010, the Company's Israeli subsidiaries have estimated total available carry forward tax losses of approximately \$ 66,000.

4. Tax benefits under the law for the Encouragement of Industry (taxes), 1969 ("the Encouragement Law"):

The Encouragement Law, provides several tax benefits for industrial companies. An industrial company is defined as a company resident in Israel, at least 90% of the income of which in a given tax year exclusive of income from specified Government loans, capital gains, interest and dividends, is derived from an industrial enterprise owned by it. An industrial enterprise is defined as an enterprise whose major activity in a given tax year is industrial production activity.

Management believes that the Company is currently qualified as an "industrial company" under the Encouragement Law and as such, enjoys tax benefits, including: (1) Deduction of purchase of know-how and patents and/or right to use a patent over an eight-year period; (2) The right to elect, under specified conditions, to file a consolidated tax return with additional related Israeli industrial companies and an industrial holding company; (3) Accelerated depreciation rates on equipment and buildings; and (4) Expenses related to a public offering on the Tel-Aviv Stock Exchange and on recognized stock markets outside of Israel, are deductible in equal amounts over three years.

Eligibility for benefits under the Encouragement Law is not subject to receipt of prior approval from any Governmental authority. No assurance can be given that the Israeli tax authorities will agree that the Company qualifies, or, if the Company qualifies, then the Company will continue to qualify as an industrial company or that the benefits described above will be available to the Company in the future.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data

NOTE 13:- TAXES ON INCOME (Cont.)

5. Tax rates:

Taxable income of Israeli companies is subject to tax at the rate of 27% in 2008, 26% in 2009, and 25% in 2010 and thereafter. In July 2009, the "Knesset" (Israeli Parliament) passed the Economic Efficiency Law (Amended Legislation for Implementing the Economic Plan for 2009 and 2010), 2009, which prescribes, among other things, an additional gradual reduction in the Israeli corporate tax rate and real capital gains tax rate starting from 2011 to the following tax rates: 2011 - 24%, 2012 - 23%, 2013 - 22%, 2014 - 21%, 2015 - 20%, 2016 and thereafter - 18%. However, the effective tax rate payable by a company which is taxed under the Investment Law may be considerably lower (see also Note 13 a2).

b. Income (loss) before taxes on income is comprised as follows:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2008	2009	2010
Domestic	\$ (2,811)	\$ (5,963)	\$ 9,277
Foreign	(79,892)	3,035	1,066
	<u>\$ (82,703)</u>	<u>\$ (2,928)</u>	<u>\$ 10,343</u>

c. Taxes on income are comprised as follows:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2008	2009	2010
Current taxes	\$ 674	\$ 290	\$ 436
Deferred taxes	(169)	-	(2,321)
	<u>\$ 505</u>	<u>\$ 290</u>	<u>\$ (1,885)</u>
Domestic	\$ (1,365)	\$ 484	\$ (1,617)
Foreign	1,870	(194)	(268)
	<u>\$ 505</u>	<u>\$ 290</u>	<u>\$ (1,885)</u>

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data

NOTE 13:- TAXES ON INCOME (Cont.)

d. Deferred income taxes:

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of the Group's deferred tax liabilities and assets are as follows:

	December 31,	
	2009	2010
Deferred tax assets:		
Net operating loss carry forward	\$ 53,748	\$ 50,826
Reserves and allowances	8,291	6,798
Net deferred tax assets before valuation allowance	62,039	57,624
Valuation allowance	(59,812)	(53,076)
Deferred tax asset	<u>\$ 2,227</u>	<u>\$ 4,548</u>
Domestic:		
Short-term deferred tax asset	\$ 678	\$ 1,860
Long-term deferred tax asset	765	1,353
	<u>\$ 1,443</u>	<u>\$ 3,213</u>
Foreign:		
Short-term deferred tax asset	\$ 375	\$ 427
Long-term deferred tax asset	409	908
	<u>\$ 784</u>	<u>\$ 1,335</u>

The Company's U.S. subsidiary has estimated total available carry forward tax losses of approximately \$ 82,000 to offset against future taxable income that expire between 2020 and 2029. As of December 31, 2010, the Company's U.S subsidiary recorded a deferred tax asset of \$ 1,335 relating to the available net carry forward tax losses.

Utilization of U.S. net operating losses may be subject to substantial annual limitations due to the "change in ownership" provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and similar state provisions. The annual limitation may result in the expiration of net operating losses before utilization.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data

NOTE 13:- TAXES ON INCOME (Cont.)

- e. Reconciliation of the theoretical tax expenses:

A reconciliation between the theoretical tax expense, assuming all income is taxed at the statutory tax rate applicable to income of the Company, and the actual tax expense (benefit) as reported in the statement of operations is as follows:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2008	2009	2010
Income (loss) before taxes, as reported in the consolidated statements of operations	\$ (82,703)	\$ (2,928)	\$ 10,343
Statutory tax rate	27%	26%	25%
Theoretical tax benefits on the above amount at the Israeli statutory tax rate	\$ (22,330)	\$ (761)	\$ 2,586
Income tax at rate other than the Israeli statutory tax rate	139	337	327
Non-deductible expenses including equity based compensation expenses	2,172	1,425	646
Non-deductible expenses which results from Impairment of goodwill, other intangible assets and investment in affiliate	23,250	-	-
Deferred taxes on losses for which a valuation allowance was provided	75	633	(2,914)
Utilization of operating losses carry forward	(3,231)	(1,469)	(2,846)
Taxes in respect to prior years	87	90	41
State and Federal taxes	177	21	90
Inter-company charges	57	-	-
Other individually immaterial income tax item	109	14	185
Actual tax expense (benefit)	\$ 505	\$ 290	\$ (1,885)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data

NOTE 13:- TAXES ON INCOME (Cont.)

f. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

Gross unrecognized tax benefits as of January 1, 2010	\$	158
Increase in tax position for current year		<u>-</u>
Gross unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2010	\$	<u>158</u>

The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in tax expenses. The liability for unrecognized tax benefits does not include accrued interest and penalties of \$ 164 and \$ 180 at December 31, 2009 and 2010, respectively.

The Company has received final tax assessment through the year 2005.

NOTE 14:- BASIC AND DILUTED NET EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE

	Year ended December 31,		
	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>
Numerator:			
Net income (loss) attributed to Audiocodes shareholders	\$ (85,790)	\$ (2,822)	\$ 12,126
Denominator:			
Denominator for basic earnings per share - weighted average number of ordinary shares, net of treasury stock	41,200,523	40,207,923	40,559,759
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Employee stock options and ESPP	*) -	*) -	401,240
Senior convertible notes	<u>*) -</u>	<u>*) -</u>	<u>*) -</u>
Denominator for diluted net earnings per share - adjusted weighted average number of shares	<u>41,200,523</u>	<u>40,207,923</u>	<u>40,960,999</u>

*) Antidilutive.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data

NOTE 15:- FINANCIAL EXPENSES, NET

	Year ended December 31,		
	2008	2009	2010
Financial expenses:			
Interest	\$ (6,807)	\$ (4,739)	\$ (301)
Amortization of marketable securities premiums and accretion of discounts, net	(110)	(253)	-
Others	(131)	(232)	(393)
	<u>(7,048)</u>	<u>(5,224)</u>	<u>(694)</u>
Financial income:			
Interest and others	3,780	2,480	600
	<u>\$ (3,268)</u>	<u>\$ (2,744)</u>	<u>\$ (94)</u>

NOTE 16:- GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

- a. Summary information about geographic areas:

The Group manages its business on a basis of one reportable segment (see Note 1 for a brief description of the Group's business). The data is presented in accordance with ASC 280, "Segment Reporting". Revenues in the table below are attributed to geographical areas based on the location of the end customers.

The following presents total revenues for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010 and long-lived assets as of December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010.

	2008		2009		2010	
	Total revenues	Long-lived assets	Total revenues	Long-lived assets	Total revenues	Long-lived assets
Israel	\$ 13,597	\$ 21,599	\$ 10,410	\$ 20,938	\$ 19,223	\$ 19,867
Americas	91,640	26,250	69,960	22,799	71,538	21,128
Europe	40,854	118	27,101	87	32,566	66
Far East	28,653	56	18,423	74	26,713	47
	<u>\$ 174,744</u>	<u>\$ 48,023</u>	<u>\$ 125,894</u>	<u>\$ 43,898</u>	<u>\$ 150,040</u>	<u>\$ 41,108</u>

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data

NOTE 16:- GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION (Cont.)

b. Product lines:

Total revenues from external customers divided on the basis of the Company's product lines are as follows:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2008	2009	2010
Technology	\$ 58,484	\$ 34,995	\$ 45,266
Networking	116,260	90,899	104,774
	<u>\$ 174,744</u>	<u>\$ 125,894</u>	<u>\$ 150,040</u>

NOTE 17:- DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

The Group enters into hedge transactions with a major financial institution, using derivative instruments, primarily forward contracts and options to purchase and sell foreign currencies, in order to reduce the net currency exposure associated with anticipated expenses (primarily salaries and rent expenses) in currencies other than U.S. dollar. The Company currently hedges such future exposures for a maximum period of one year. However, the Company may choose not to hedge certain foreign currency exchange exposures for a variety of reasons, including but not limited to immateriality, accounting considerations and the prohibitive economic cost of hedging particular exposures. There can be no assurance the hedges will offset more than a portion of the financial impact resulting from movements in foreign currency exchange rates.

The Group records all derivatives in the consolidated balance sheet at fair value. The effective portions of cash flow hedges are recorded in other comprehensive income until the hedged item is recognized in earnings. The ineffective portions of cash flow hedges are adjusted to fair value through earnings in financial other income or expense. The Company does not enter into derivative transactions for trading purposes.

The Group had a net deferred gain associated with cash flow hedges of \$ 98 and \$ 822 recorded in other comprehensive income as of December 31, 2009 and 2010, respectively. As of December 31, 2010, the hedged transactions are expected to occur within twelve months.

The Group entered into forward contracts to hedge the fair value of assets denominated in New Israeli Shekels that did not meet the requirement for hedge accounting. The Company measured the fair value of the contracts in accordance with ASC 820 at level 2. The net losses (gains) recognized in "financial and other expenses, net" during 2009 and 2010 were \$ 81 and \$ (200), respectively.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share data

NOTE 17:- DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS (Cont.)

As of December 31, 2009 and 2010, the Group had outstanding forward contracts in the amount of \$ 10,500 and \$ 13,125, respectively.

The fair value of the Group's outstanding derivative instruments and the effect of derivative instruments in cash flow hedging relationship on other comprehensive income for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010, are summarized below:

Foreign exchange forward and options contracts	Balance sheet	December 31,	
		2009	2010
Fair value of foreign exchange forward contracts	"Other receivables and prepaid expenses"	\$ 98	\$ 822
Gains recognized in OCI (effective portion)	"Other comprehensive income"	\$ 1,010	\$ 724

The effect of derivative instruments in cash flow hedging relationship on income for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010 is summarized below:

Foreign exchange forward and options contracts	Statements of operations	Year ended December 31,	
		2009	2010
Gain on derivatives recognized in OCI	"Operating expenses"	\$ 398	\$ 1,316
Gain (loss) recognized in income on derivatives (effective portion)	"Operating expenses"	\$ (612)	\$ 592

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Exhibit
8.1	Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
12.1	Certification of Shabtai Adlersberg, President and Chief Executive Officer , pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
12.2	Certification of Guy Avidan, Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
13.1	Certification by Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
13.2	Certification by Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
15.1	Consent of Kost Forer Gabbay & Kasierer, a member of Ernst & Young Global.

Subsidiaries of AudioCodes Ltd.

AudioCodes Inc. (incorporated in the US)

AudioCodes National Inc. (incorporated in the US)

Nuera Communications Singapore Pte Ltd. (incorporated in Singapore)

AudioCodes Singapore Pte Ltd (incorporated in Singapore)

AudioCodes Europe Ltd. (incorporated in the UK)

AudioCodes Brasil Equipamentos de Voz sobre IP Ltda (incorporated in Brazil)

AudioCodes Korea Co. Ltd. (incorporated in Korea)

AudioCodes Germany GmbH (incorporated in Germany)

AudioCodes Argentina SA (incorporated in Argentina)

AudioCodes India Private Ltd. (incorporated in India)

AudioCodes Russ Ltd. (incorporated in Russia)

AudioCodes France SAS (incorporated in France)

AudioCodes Mexico S.A. DE C.V. (incorporated in Mexico)

AudioCodes Hong Kong Limited (incorporated in Hong Kong)

AudioCodes Italy S.r.l (incorporated in Italy)

Nuera communications Inc. (incorporated in the US)

CTI Squared Ltd. (incorporated in Israel)

Natural Speech Communication Ltd. (incorporated in Israel)

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
SECTION 302(A) OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Shabtai Adlersberg, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 20-F of AudioCodes Ltd.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the company as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The company's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the company and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the company's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by the annual report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the company's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The company's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the company's auditors and the audit committee of company's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the company's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the company's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 10, 2011

/s/ SHABTAI ADLERSBERG

Shabtai Adlersberg
Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
SECTION 302(A) OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Guy Avidan, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 20-F of AudioCodes Ltd.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the company as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The company's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the company and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the company's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by the annual report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the company's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The company's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the company's auditors and the audit committee of company's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the company's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the company's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 10, 2011

/s/ GUY AVIDAN

Guy Avidan
Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of AudioCodes Ltd. (the "Company") on Form 20-F for the period ending December 31, 2010 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Shabtai Adlersberg, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

Date: March 10, 2011

/s/ SHABTAI ADLERSBERG

Shabtai Adlersberg
Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of AudioCodes Ltd. (the "Company") on Form 20-F for the period ending December 31, 2010 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Guy Avidan, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

Date: March 10, 2011

/s/ GUY AVIDAN

Guy Avidan
Chief Financial Officer

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statements on Form S-8 (Nos. 333-11894, 333-13268, 333-13378, 333-105473, 333-144823, 333-144825, 333-160330 and 333-170676) and Form F-3 (No. 333-172268) of our reports dated March 10, 2011, with respect to the consolidated financial statements of AudioCodes Ltd. for the year ended December 31, 2010, and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting of AudioCodes Ltd. included in this Annual Report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2010, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Tel Aviv, Israel

/s/ KOST, FORER, GABBAY AND KASIERER

KOST, FORER, GABBAY AND KASIERER

March 10, 2011

A member of Ernst & Young Global