

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For the fiscal year ended **DECEMBER 31, 2009**

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ TO \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number: 0-16159

**LECTEC CORPORATION**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**MINNESOTA**

(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**41-1301878**

(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**1407 South Kings Highway, Texarkana, TX**

(Address of principal executive offices)

**75501**

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:

**(903) 832-0993**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

**None**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

**Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share**  
(Title of class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted in its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (Section 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

Yes  No

As of June 30, 2009, the value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$11,865,833 based upon the last reported sale price of the Common Stock at that date by the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board.

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's Common Stock as of March 26, 2010 was 4,305,026 shares.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

None.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

### PART I

Item 1.	Business	4
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	5
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	7
Item 2.	Properties	7
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	8
Item 4.	(Removed and Reserved)	10

### PART II

Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	10
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	10
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	14
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	30
Item 9A(T)	Controls and Procedures	30
Item 9B.	Other Information	30

### PART III

Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	31
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	32
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	34
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	35
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	35

### PART IV

Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	36
	Signatures	38
	Exhibit Index	39
	Exhibit 10.20-Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release, dated December 18, 2009, by and between LecTec Corporation and Johnson & Johnson Consumer Companies, Inc.	
	Exhibit 23.01-Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	
	Exhibit 31.01-Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	
	Exhibit 31.02-Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	
	Exhibit 32.01-Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	

---

## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

From time to time, in reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (including this Form 10-K), in press releases, and in other communications to shareholders or the investment community, the Company may provide forward-looking statements concerning possible or anticipated future results of operations or business developments which are typically preceded by the words "believes," "wants," "expects," "anticipates," "intends," "will," "may," "should," or similar expressions. Such forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties which could cause results or developments to differ materially from those indicated in the forward-looking statements. Such risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, the Company's dependence on royalty payments from Novartis Consumer Health, Inc., which is selling an adult vapor patch licensed by the Company, the Company's dependence on key personnel and Board of Director members, the success or failure of any attempt by the Company to protect or enforce its patents and territories of coverage, the outcome of pending patent infringement litigation against Chattem, Inc., and Prince of Peace Enterprises, Inc., the issuance of new accounting pronouncements, the availability of opportunities for licensing agreements related to patents that the Company holds, limitations on market expansion opportunities, and other risks and uncertainties as described in "Risk Factors" included in Item 1A of this Form 10-K.

## PART I

### ITEM 1. BUSINESS

#### GENERAL

LecTec Corporation (the "Company") is an intellectual property licensing and research and development ("R&D") company holding patents and trademarks based on its hydrogel patch technology. Our primary focus is to continue R&D efforts surrounding the hand sanitizer patch, explore merger and acquisition opportunities, look for potential partners in the Company's R&D efforts to minimize future development costs, and continue to derive royalty and other income from patents that the Company owns based on its advanced skin interface technologies. The Company is also involved in litigation efforts to protect its patent portfolio. The Company earns royalties and licensing fees from licensing agreements pertaining to patents that The Company has been granted. The Company currently has one licensing agreement that provides an ongoing royalty stream ("Novartis Agreement" or "Agreement") with Novartis Consumer Health, Inc., ("Novartis"), which pays royalties to the Company from time to time, within the terms of the Agreement, based upon a percentage of Novartis' net sales of licensed products. The Company was an innovator in hydrogel-based topical delivery of therapeutic over-the-counter medications, which provide alternatives to topical creams and ointments. A hydrogel is a gel-like material having an affinity for water and similar compounds. These gels are ideal for delivering medication onto the skin. The Company holds multiple domestic and international patents and trademarks based on its hydrogel technology.

The Company was organized in 1977 as a Minnesota corporation and went public in December 1986. The Company's principal executive office is located at 1407 South Kings Highway, Texarkana, Texas 75501, its telephone number is (903) 832-0993, its fax number is (763) 559-7593, its internet website is [www.lectec.com](http://www.lectec.com), and the Company's stock trades on the Over the Counter ("OTC") Bulletin Board under the symbol LECT.OB.

#### NOVARTIS SUPPLY AND LICENSE AGREEMENT

In 2004, the Company entered into a supply and licensing agreement with Novartis. Under the Agreement, the Company granted Novartis an exclusive license (the "License") to all of the intellectual property of the Company to the extent that it is used or is useful in the production of the vapor patches that Novartis is selling under the Agreement. The License will continue in effect for the duration of the patents' life permitted under applicable law. Upon the expiration of the patents included in the licensed intellectual property, Novartis will have a non-revocable, perpetual, fully paid-up license to the intellectual property used or useful in the production of vapor patches for the pediatric and the adult cough/cold market. Novartis is required by the Agreement to pay royalties to the Company at an agreed upon percentage based on net sales of vapor patches by Novartis for each year the License is in effect.

During the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company recorded revenue of \$111,376 and \$72,711, respectively, for royalties covered under the Agreement it has with Novartis.

#### PATENT INFRINGEMENT LITIGATION

For the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company recorded \$24,800,000 in revenue related to the settlement of litigation against three defendants for patent infringement for patents the Company owns. See PART I, ITEM 3 of this Form 10-K for additional information.

#### STRATEGY AND BUSINESS PLAN

The Company's strategy is to: (1) focus on R&D efforts surrounding the hand sanitizer patch; (2) evaluate the worth and prospects related to the Company's current intellectual property portfolio; (3) explore merger and acquisition opportunities; and (4) explore partnerships with domestic and foreign manufacturers to develop and commercialize the Company's proprietary patch technology. The Company also continues to explore R&D opportunities in emerging markets. The Company continues to take steps to strengthen its primary patents for territories of use, including Europe and other countries. The Company has filed additional provisional patents (see discussion below) to enhance and expand its current patent portfolio. It is currently management's intent to fund operations with royalty income from licensing agreements or from other income derived from protection of rights pertaining to the Company's intellectual property. The Company is also considering future funding options from various sources so that it can operate and continue to move forward with its pending patent infringement litigation and R&D pursuits. In the long term, the Company's business strategy is to strengthen and rebuild its R&D function and eventually to produce patches either in its own facility or through a contract manufacturer. The provisional patent the Company filed relating to the hand sanitizing patch reflects this strategy. The Company has identified some potential strategic partners in the United States., India, and China for the development of this and other new patch technologies. In addition, effective January 1, 2010, Judd Berlin our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), and Dr. Daniel Sigg, who will serve in the capacity as Chief Scientific Officer (CSO), became full time employees of the Company to move forward with the strategy and goals the Company has set out to accomplish.

## PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

The Company's policy is to protect its proprietary position by securing U.S. and foreign patents that cover the technology, inventions and improvements important to its business. The Company has 17 U.S. and 43 international patents related to its patch technology. The Company has three U.S. patent pending applications, two international patent pending applications, and two foreign applications through the Patent Cooperation Treaty ("PCT"). The issued U.S. patents most pertinent to the Company's major products have a remaining legal duration ranging from one to 13 years. The Company also holds two registered U.S. trademarks, two allowed U.S. trademarks, two pending U.S. trademarks, one registered Canadian trademark, and one registered European trademark.

In 2008, the Company converted its two new provisional patents to PCT international applications. These applications include: (1) adding an aversive agent to our licensed patch or other patches to prevent ingestion by children or pets; and (2) a hand sanitizing patch that will kill targeted microbes. Moreover, the Company has filed an additional provisional patent application in 2010 to further expand the scope of its hand sanitizer intellectual property.

Issued patents can later be held invalid by the patent office issuing the patent or by a court. The Company cannot be certain that its patents will not be challenged, invalidated or circumvented or that the rights granted under the Company's patents will provide a competitive advantage.

The Company uses both patents and trade secrets to protect its proprietary property and information. To the extent the Company relies on confidential information to maintain its competitive position, there can be no assurance that other parties will not independently develop the same or similar information.

On July 25, 2008, the Company filed a complaint for patent infringement against five companies, alleging that those companies have infringed upon two of the Company's patents relating to its medicated patch technology. The Company has subsequently settled with three of the parties for the patents in suit during fiscal 2009. See PART I, ITEM 3 of this Form 10-K for additional information.

## EMPLOYEES

At December 31, 2009, the Company had one full time employee, a four-member Board of Directors and has contract labor personnel available to the Company on an as needed basis. Effective January 1, 2010, Judd Berlin, who is the Company's CEO and CFO, and Dr. Daniel Sigg, serving in the capacity as CSO, became full time employees of the Company.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

*The Company has one licensing agreement that provides an ongoing royalty stream.*

The Company relies in part on adequate royalty income from Novartis to help fund continuing operations. Currently, the Company has no other licensing arrangements in place that provide ongoing royalty stream. The Company currently receives royalty income pursuant to a licensing Agreement the Company has with Novartis related to the sales of an adult vapor patch. Royalties resulting from sales of the adult vapor patch are uncertain because of the acceptance of the product in the market place, severity of the cough, cold and flu season, marketing efforts by Novartis and other factors that the Company is unable to control.

*Patents and other proprietary rights provide uncertain protection of our proprietary information and our inability to protect a patent or other proprietary right may adversely affect our business.*

The patent position of companies engaged in the sale of products such as ours is uncertain and involves complex legal and factual questions. Issued patents can later be held invalid by the patent office issuing the patent or by a court. We cannot assure you that our patents will not be challenged, invalidated or circumvented, or that the rights granted thereunder will provide us a competitive advantage. In addition, many other organizations are engaged in research and development of products similar to our therapeutic consumer products. Such organizations may currently have, or may obtain in the future, legally blocking proprietary rights, including patent rights, in one or more products or methods under development or consideration by us. The Company has taken steps and incurred expenses to protect and evaluate its patent portfolio in an effort to verify and determine the validity of the Company's patent rights. The outcome of this evaluation is uncertain and could be challenged.

We also rely on trade secrets and other unpatented proprietary information related to the manufacturing of our therapeutic consumer products. To the extent we rely on confidential information to maintain our competitive position, there can be no assurance that other parties will not independently develop the same or similar information.

There has been substantial litigation regarding patent and other intellectual property rights in the consumer products industry. Litigation could result in substantial costs and a diversion of our effort, but may be necessary to enforce any patents issued to us, to protect our trade secrets or know-how, to defend against claimed infringement of the rights of others or to determine the scope and validity of the proprietary rights of others. We cannot assure you that third parties will not pursue litigation that could be costly to us. An adverse determination in any litigation could subject us to significant liabilities to third parties, require us to seek licenses from or pay royalties to third parties or prevent us from manufacturing or selling our products, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business.

***Research and development is associated with a certain amount of risk***

Investment in R&D always carries an element of risk because it involves trying out new, untested ideas. Specifically, in the case of the hand sanitizer patch R&D, the company is investing in a new product idea, and the present stage of development is at a concept stage. Aside from technical R&D risks (scientific, clinical, regulatory etc), there are also commercialization risks (sales, marketing, distribution, manufacturing, quality, etc.) even after successful development.

***Patent litigation is expensive and requires substantial amounts of management attention.***

The eventual outcome of patent litigation is uncertain and involves substantial risks. We are expending significant amounts of time, money and management resources on intellectual property litigation, which could negatively affect our results of operations.

***If licensees of our patents do not comply with regulatory requirements when marketing products which rely on our patents, our royalties could be negatively affected.***

The research, development, manufacture, labeling, distribution, marketing and advertising of products that are sold by licensees in reliance on our patents are subject to extensive regulation by governmental regulatory authorities in the United States and other countries. Failure by such licensees to comply with regulatory requirements for marketing their products could subject them to regulatory or judicial enforcement actions, including, but not limited to, product recalls or seizures, injunctions, civil penalties, criminal prosecution, refusals to approve new products and suspensions and withdrawals of existing approvals. This in turn could decrease the revenues generated by such patent licensees and thereby decrease our royalty income.

***If products relying on our patents are no longer regulated as over-the-counter products, our royalties could be negatively affected.***

Currently, many of the therapeutic consumer products that are or could be sold in reliance on our patents are regulated as over-the-counter products. We cannot assure you that the FDA will continue to regulate these products as over-the-counter products. If the FDA changed its approach to regulating such therapeutic consumer products, the licensees would be faced with significant additional costs and may be unable to sell some or all of the products. Any such change could have a negative affect on the licensee's revenues, which in turn could decrease our royalty income.

***Expiration of our patents.***

Our patents have a limited life and finite expiration period. Although we have new patents pending approval, our patents in suit will expire in 2014.

***We may need additional financing and any such financing will likely be dilutive to our existing shareholders.***

If additional funds are raised by the issuance of convertible debt or equity securities, then existing shareholders will experience dilution in their ownership interest. If additional funds are raised by the issuance of debt or certain equity instruments, such as preferred stock, we may become subject to certain operational limitations, and such securities may have rights senior to those of existing holders of common stock. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in obtaining such additional financing. Additional financing may not be available to us, may not be available on favorable terms and will likely be dilutive to existing shareholders.

***The current unprecedented volatility in the worldwide credit and equity markets may have an impact on our ability to obtain future financing.***

We do not know what impact the current unprecedented volatility in worldwide credit and equity markets may have on our ability to obtain future financing. Since September 2008, we have seen unprecedented turmoil in equity and credit markets that has resulted in record-setting losses in the stock markets, dramatic decreases of liquidity in the credit markets, bank failures, hedge fund closures and massive market intervention by the United States and foreign governments. Because of the unprecedented nature of these market events, and because the markets remain highly-volatile today, we cannot predict what effect these events will have on our ability to obtain financing in the future. If we are unable to raise additional capital, it could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and our ability to remain in business.

*We have limited staffing.*

Our success is dependent upon the efforts of our Board of Directors. As of December 31, 2009, the Company had one full-time employee whose efforts are focused on our external reporting requirements and maintaining our day-to-day operations. We are considered a small business issuer as defined under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). Current legislation related to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (“SOX”), has impacted the Company. Efforts to become compliant under the parameters of SOX have been and are expected to be costly to the Company despite the internal controls the Company has in place. If our full-time employee or members of our Board of Directors decide to depart from the Company, we could be adversely affected if suitable replacement personnel or directors are not quickly retained. The current condition of the Company may make it difficult to retain and attract, if necessary, qualified personnel.

*The price of our common stock could be highly volatile due to a number of factors.*

The trading price of our common stock may fluctuate widely as a result of a number of factors, including:

- trading of our common stock on the OTC Bulletin Board and fluctuations in price and volume due to investor speculation, internet message postings, and other factors that may not be tied to the financial performance by the Company;
- performance of products sold and advertised by licensees in the marketplace;
- regulatory developments in both the United States and foreign countries;
- market perception and customer acceptance of products sold by licensees;
- outcomes related to the Company’s efforts to protect its patent portfolio;
- increased competition;
- relationships with licensees;
- economic and other external factors; and
- period-to-period fluctuations in financial results.

*We do not meet the criteria to list our securities on an exchange such as The NASDAQ Stock Market and our common stock is illiquid and may be difficult to sell.*

Trading of our common stock is conducted on the Over-The-Counter Bulletin Board (“OTCBB”). Generally, securities that are quoted on the OTCBB lack liquidity and analyst coverage. This may result in lower prices for our common stock than might otherwise be obtained if we met the criteria to list our securities on a larger or more established exchange, such as The NASDAQ Capital Market and could also result in a larger spread between the bid and asked prices for our common stock.

In addition, there has been only limited trading activity in our common stock. The relatively small trading volume will likely make it difficult for our shareholders to sell their common stock as, and when, they choose. As a result, investors may not always be able to resell shares of our common stock publicly at the time and prices that they feel are fair or appropriate.

**ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS**

Not applicable.

**ITEM 2. PROPERTIES**

The Company currently has three leased facilities as of December 31, 2009.

In July 2008, the Company moved its corporate headquarter facilities (principal executive office) from Edina, Minnesota to Texarkana, Texas. In connection with this relocation, the Company entered into a Lease Agreement with Lockaway Storage, Inc. (the “Lessor”) on July 23, 2008 (the “Texas Lease”), pursuant to which the Company agreed to lease approximately 1,200 square feet of space located at 1407 South Kings Highway, Texarkana, Texas 75501, for a term of 6 months, beginning on August 1, 2008 and ending on February 1, 2009. The monthly lease rate was \$650 per month during the term of the Texas Lease, and the Company must also pay its pro rata share of the costs and expenses incurred by the Lessor to operate the common areas of the office and warehouse complex. In February 2009, the Company renewed its Texas Lease until February 1, 2010 at a monthly lease rate of \$700 per month and has subsequently renewed its lease until March 1, 2011 at a monthly lease rate of \$750 per month. The Texas Lease contains customary representations, warranties, and covenants on the part of the Company and the landlord.

In January 2009, the Company entered into a lease amendment (the "Lease Amendment") amending its lease dated May 23, 2003, between the Company and SMD Lincoln Investments (the "Minnesota Lease"), regarding the Company's previous headquarters located at 5610 Lincoln Drive, Edina, Minnesota (the "Leased Premises"). The Lease Amendment will continue to renew for successive one-month periods until the Minnesota Lease is terminated by the landlord upon 30 days' written notice to the Company or by the Company upon 90 days' written notice to the landlord. The Company uses the space for liquidating saleable assets and managing an orderly wind down of operations at this facility. The Company maintains approximately 3,300 square feet of space at this facility.

In July 2008, the Company opened an office in India, which is located at Level 2, Connaught Place, Bund Garden Road, Pune, India 411001, to explore research, development and manufacturing opportunities for its advanced skin interface technologies and products. The Company chose India because the Company considers it to be one of the most robust, globally competitive, and cost-efficient locations for the development and manufacturing of pharmaceutical and medical products. The Company also wants to have better access to the pool of well-educated scientific and engineering talent available in India. This lease expired on July 31, 2009. The Company gave written notification of its intent to renew this lease until July 31, 2010 and subsequently made a payment of \$1,456 in August 2009 to fulfill the Company's rental obligation for the renewal period.

### **ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

On July 25, 2008, the Company filed a complaint for patent infringement (the "Complaint") against five companies, including Chatterm, Inc. (Ticker: CHTT), Endo Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Ticker: ENDP), Johnson & Johnson Consumer Company, Inc. (Ticker: JNJ), The Mentholatum Company, Inc (Division of Rohto Pharmaceuticals, Ticker RPHCF.PK), and Prince of Peace Enterprises, Inc. (Private Company) (collectively, the "Defendants") in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas. The Complaint alleges, among other things, that the Defendants have infringed two of the Company's patents (the "Patents-In-Suit"), which relate to the Company's medicated patch technology. The Company is seeking to enjoin the Defendants from infringing the Patents-In-Suit and to recover monetary damages related to such infringement, as well as interest and litigation costs.

In October 2008, all five of the Defendants filed answers (the "Answers") in response to the Complaint denying the Company's claims therein, and asserting certain affirmative defenses and counterclaims against the Company, including assertions that the Patents-In-Suit are invalid and unenforceable, and claims for attorneys' fees and costs. On October 20, 2008, the Company filed its replies to the Answers, denying such counterclaims and affirmative defenses, including the claims that the Patents-In-Suit are invalid and unenforceable.

On December 3, 2008, the Company's counsel in the litigation, Rader, Fishman & Grauer PLLC (the "Counsel"), participated in a scheduling conference in this case. As a result of that conference, the Court scheduled a Markman hearing for May 6, 2010 and a final pretrial conference for January 3, 2011. Based on the schedule established by the Court, it is clear that pursuing the Company's claims in this litigation through trial will be a lengthy process.

In February 2009, Counsel filed with the Court a motion to preliminarily enjoin the five defendants from infringing the Patents-In Suit pending the trial.

On May 29, 2009, the Company entered into a Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release (the "Mentholatum Settlement Agreement") with the Mentholatum Company ("Mentholatum") to settle the Company's claims against Mentholatum that Mentholatum infringed the Patents-In-Suit in the Litigation. Pursuant to the Mentholatum Settlement Agreement, Mentholatum paid the Company an aggregate of \$600,000 in \$100,000 monthly installments from May through October 2009. In addition, under the Mentholatum Settlement Agreement (a) the Company agreed to dismiss the Litigation against Mentholatum with prejudice, (b) the parties agreed to mutual general releases of all claims other than their prospective obligations under the Mentholatum Settlement Agreement and claims arising after the date of the Mentholatum Settlement Agreement, (c) the Company agreed not to sue Mentholatum or Rohto Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., the parent company of Mentholatum, for any infringement of the Patents-In-Suit, any patent that claims priority, directly or indirectly, from the Patents-In-Suit, or any foreign counterparts of the Patents-In-Suit, (d) the Company agreed not to transfer any such patents unless the transferee agrees to be bound by the covenant not to sue described above in clause (c), and (e). Mentholatum and Rohto agreed not to challenge the validity or enforceability of such patents.

As of December 31, 2009, Mentholatum had paid the Company \$600,000 pursuant to the terms of the Mentholatum Settlement Agreement. The proceeds received from this settlement were reduced by the amounts due to the Rader firm per the Company's contingent fee arrangement, out of pocket expenses, and other costs incurred related to depositions of parties in the infringement lawsuits, travel expenses, and other related costs. After these expenses the Company received net cash proceeds of approximately \$350,000.



In July 2009, the presiding judge in the Eastern District of Texas granted the remaining defendants' a Joint Motion for an Extension of Time regarding the Company's Motion for Preliminary Injunction. The defendants' opposition briefs were filed by the end of August 2009. The Company's response to those briefs was filed by the end of September 2009. While some of the other scheduling order dates were modified, the Markman hearing and final pretrial trial dates remained unchanged. The Company is being diligent in moving the infringement lawsuit forward but can give no assurance as to the outcome or settlement of the suit against the remaining defendants. The Company was scheduled for a hearing in Texarkana, Texas on November 12, 2009 relating to the preliminary injunction motion filed against the defendants in the Litigation. The Company subsequently cancelled this hearing due to legal considerations after it settled with a second defendant in November 2009. The Company will continue to pursue settlement options with respect to the other defendants. The Company can not give any assurance as to the outcome of future negotiations.

On November 6, 2009, the Company and Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc. ("Endo"), executed a Term Sheet that set forth the terms of a settlement and license agreement pursuant to which the parties would settle the Company's claims against Endo that Endo infringed the Patents-In-Suit. On November 11, 2009, the Company entered into such Settlement and License Agreement (the "Endo Settlement Agreement") with Endo and issued a press release announcing its entry into the Endo Settlement Agreement. On November 12, 2009 the Company filed a Form 8-K with the Securities and Exchange Commission disclosing this event. Pursuant to the Endo Settlement Agreement, Endo will pay the Company a one-time license fee of \$23,000,000 and the Company will grant to Endo an exclusive license to the Patents-In-Suit for use in the field of prescription pain medicines and treatment. In addition, under the Endo Settlement Agreement: (a) the parties agreed to the dismissal of the Litigation with prejudice and without costs; (b) the Company agreed to release all claims against Endo that were asserted by or could have been asserted by the Company against Endo in the Litigation or that relate to, arise from or are in any manner connected to the Patents-In-Suit; (c) Endo agreed to release all claims against the Company that were asserted by or could have been asserted by Endo against the Company in the Litigation; (d) the Company agreed not to sue Endo for any infringement of any U.S. or foreign patents or patent applications owned or controlled by the Company as of November 11, 2009, any continuation, continuation-in-part or divisional of any such patent, any U.S. patent resulting from the reissue or reexamination of any such patents and any U.S. or foreign patent or patent application claiming common priority with any of such patents; and (e) the Company agreed not to transfer either of the Patents-In-Suit or any other such patent unless the transferee agrees in writing to the terms and conditions of the Endo Settlement Agreement. The Company received approximately \$16 million in net cash proceeds from this settlement in December 2009. From these proceeds, the Company replenished the trust fund it has with the Rader Firm with \$1 million dollars to fund ongoing patent litigation. The Trust fund balance at December 31, 2009 was \$931,954 compared to a balance of \$25,645 at December 31, 2008. If funds are not completely expended, then the remaining cash balance in the trust fund will revert to the Company. Additionally, the Company estimates that it will have to pay federal and state taxes of approximately \$1 million dollars related to this transaction.

On December 18, 2009, the Company entered into a Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release (the "Settlement Agreement") with Johnson & Johnson Consumer Companies, Inc. ("JJCC") to settle the Company's claims against JJCC that JJCC infringed two of the Company's patents ("Patents-In-Suit") related to the Company's medicated patch technology (the "Litigation"). Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, JJCC paid the Company a one-time sum of \$1,200,000 and the Company will grant to JJCC a fully paid-up, world-wide, non-exclusive and irrevocable license to (a) the Patents-In-Suit, (b) any patent that claims priority, directly or indirectly, from the Patents-In-Suit (the "Family Patents"), including, without limitation, U.S. Patent Nos. 6,096,333, 6,096,334 and 6,361,790, (c) any foreign counterparts of the Patents-In-Suit or any of the Family Patents to make, have made, sell, offer for sale, use, import, export or otherwise dispose of any apparatus, method, product, component, service, product by process or any device associated with JJCC or its subsidiaries, affiliates or other controlled entities, for the past, present and future until the expiration of the last patent described above and (d) any patents that the Company owns or currently has an interest in to make, have made, sell, offer for sale, use, import, export or otherwise dispose of any non-prescription, non-occlusive medicated hydrogel patch products that are used to alleviate pain (a "Patch Product") associated with JJCC (collectively, the License Grant"); provided, however, that the License Grant under clauses (a), (b) and (c) above excludes over-the-counter vapor patches which emit vapors that provide cough and cold relief when inhaled, and prescription, non-occlusive, medicated hydrogel patch products that are used to alleviate pain. As of December 31, 2009, JJCC had paid the Company \$1,200,000 pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement. The proceeds received from this settlement were reduced by the amounts due to the Rader firm per the Company's contingent fee arrangement, out of pocket expenses, and other costs incurred related to depositions of parties in the infringement lawsuits, travel expenses, and other related costs. After these expenses the Company received net cash proceeds of approximately \$720,000.

In addition, under the Settlement Agreement: (w) the Company agreed to release, acquit and discharge JJCC and its direct and indirect customers and distributors from all claims, duties, obligations and causes of action relating to any matters of any kind, including those related to JJCC's making, using, importing, selling or offering to sell Patch Products and the matters alleged in the Litigation; (x) JJCC agreed to release, acquit and discharge the Company and its direct and indirect customers and distributors from all claims, duties, obligations and causes of action relating to any matters of any kind, including any matters connected in any way with Patch Products sold by JJCC and the matters alleged in the Litigation; (y) the Company agreed not to assign or otherwise transfer the patents described above in the License Grant until the transferee agrees in writing to be bound by such licenses; and (z) JJCC agreed not to challenge or assist in any way in challenging the validity or enforceability of the Patents-In-Suit, any Family Patent or any foreign counterparts of the Patents-In-Suit or any of the Family Patents.

The foregoing description of the Settlement Agreement with JJCC does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Settlement Agreement, which is filed as Exhibit 10.20 to Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The Company is diligent in pursuing its patent infringement lawsuit against the remaining two defendants Chattem and Prince of Peace.

**ITEM 4. (REMOVED AND RESERVED)**

**PART II**

**ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

The Company's common stock trades on the Over the Counter ("OTC") Bulletin Board under the symbol LECT.OB.

The following table sets forth, for each of the calendar periods indicated, the quarterly high and low closing prices for the Company's common stock quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board. The prices in the table represent prices between dealers and do not include adjustments for retail mark-up, markdown or commission and may not represent actual transactions.

	Year Ended December 31, 2009		Year Ended December 31, 2008	
	High	Low	High	Low
Quarter ended March 31	\$8.00	\$2.00	\$2.30	\$ 1.75
Quarter ended June 30	4.75	2.00	3.00	1.76
Quarter ended Sept. 30	6.49	2.15	5.10	2.15
Quarter ended Dec. 31	5.90	3.30	4.50	1.25

As of March 26, 2010, the Company had 4,305,026 shares of common stock outstanding, and approximately 231 common shareholders of record, based upon information received from our stock transfer agent. However, this number does not include beneficial owners whose shares were held of record by nominees or broker dealers. The Company estimates that there are approximately less than 850 individual owners.

The Company did not declare or pay cash dividends on its common stock in 2008. At a Board of Directors meeting held on December 21, 2009, the Board declared a cash dividend of \$1.00 per share to shareholders of record at January 29, 2010 that was payable on February 12, 2010. The Company distributed \$4,298,350 on February 12, 2010 to its shareholders. The Company may pay future dividends based upon excess cash the Company may have from royalty and licensing income and litigation income exceeding operating expenses that the Company is likely to incur. However, there can be no assurance that the Company will pay any future dividends.

We did not repurchase any of our securities during the fourth quarter of 2009. We had no sales of unregistered securities during 2009 that have not been previously disclosed in a Current Report on Form 8-K or Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q.

See Item 12 of Part III of this annual report on Form 10-K for disclosure regarding our compensation plans under which our equity securities are authorized for issuance.

**ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

**OVERVIEW**

The Company's strategy is to evaluate and promote its current intellectual property portfolio for licensing purposes with domestic and foreign manufacturers to enable them to use the Company's proprietary patch technology to produce or sell topical patch products in the future. This effort will enhance the Company's options with respect to future licensing opportunities and may attract potential merger or acquisition candidates or the sale of the Company. The Company is taking steps to strengthen its patents for territories of use, including the United States, Europe and other countries. The Company is also focused on strengthening its position with respect to protection of rights related to its current intellectual property portfolio. It is currently management's intent to fund operations with royalty income from licensing agreements or from other income derived from the protection of patent rights pertaining to the Company's intellectual property.

In February 2007, the Company engaged a consulting firm to conduct an extensive market research and intellectual property analysis of its patent portfolio and technology. The Company subsequently evaluated emerging markets as a strategic growth opportunity for the Company and determined that India has significant potential. The Company has opened an office in India and is specifically evaluating R&D opportunities, strategic partnerships and potential licensing opportunities.

In April 2007, the Company was granted a re-examination certificate that expanded the Company's prior claims related to a patent the Company holds. The Company continues to take steps to evaluate its current position in light of this event, including market research studies, product testing, using other outside resources and other efforts to gather and document information to aid in the protection of the Company's patent rights.

In 2007, Novartis launched an adult vapor patch product in the United States for the cough, cold and flu season. This is a significant development for the Company in its effort to restart its revenue stream. As a result of the launch of the adult vapor patch, the Company is receiving royalty income based upon sales of these vapor patch products under the terms of the Novartis Agreement.

During 2008, the Company retained a contingency fee legal firm to enforce the Company's rights related to potential patent infringement claims by the Company. As a result, the Company has sued five patent infringers. The Company has made a motion for injunction in the Eastern District of Texas against the defendants that would prevent the defendants from selling potentially infringing products until settlement is made with the Company. During 2009, the Company has reached settlements with three patent infringers. The Company is diligent in pursuing its patent infringement lawsuit against the remaining two defendants. The Company can not give any assurance as to the outcome of the motion for the injunction filed against the remaining two defendants in the ongoing lawsuit.

The Company continues to explore opportunities with other companies that may have an interest in its technology and patents portfolio. The Company is also exploring opportunities surrounding R&D.

## **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The Company is an intellectual property licensing and holding company. The Company earns royalties and licensing fees from licensing agreements pertaining to the Company's patents. The Company has one licensing agreement with Novartis, which pays royalties to the Company from time to time within the terms of the Agreement based upon a percentage of Novartis net sales of licensed products. Previously, the Company was a contract manufacturer of hydrogel topical patches that were sold to major pharmaceutical customers until the Company ceased its manufacturing operations in December 2004. The Company holds multiple domestic and international patents based on its hydrogel technology. A hydrogel is a gel-like material having an affinity for water and similar compounds. These gels are ideal for delivering medication onto the skin.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company recorded \$24,800,000 related to settlement of litigation against three defendants for patent infringement for patents the Company owns.

## **COMPARISON OF THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009 AND 2008**

### **Results of Operations**

The Company recorded revenue of \$24.9 million and \$72,711 for the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The increase in revenue for the year ended December 31, 2009 over 2008 was primarily due to an increase in infringement revenue of \$24.8 million. Royalty income also increased \$38,665 for the year ended December 31, 2009, from the comparable period in 2008. The increase in royalty income for 2009 over the comparable period in 2008 was due to stronger sales of licensed products in Mexico. The royalty income recorded during the year ended December 31, 2009 was based on information provided by Novartis.

Operating expenses increased \$7.83 million, to \$8.96 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from operating expenses of \$1.13 million for the comparable year in 2008. The increase in operating expenses resulted primarily from the Company incurring increased legal expenses in connection with the Company's patent infringement lawsuits, including approximately \$7.9 million in litigation settlement fees, and consulting, legal, other out of pocket expenses. The increase in operating expenses for 2009 was offset by a reduction in an allowance for sales returns in our discontinued operations of \$130,000.

The Company recorded net income of \$15.0 million, or \$3.51 and \$3.49 per basic and diluted share respectively for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to a net loss of \$(1.0 million), or \$(0.24) per basic and diluted share, for the same period in 2008.

## **Income Taxes**

The provision for income tax for the year ended December 31, 2009 was \$1.04 million. The provision was principally the result of the income derived from infringement revenue. The Company also reversed its valuation allowance on the net operating loss carry forwards as they were significantly utilized in 2009. There was no income tax benefit recorded for the year ended December 31, 2008, as realization of net deferred taxes was not reasonably assured.

## **Effect of Inflation**

Inflation has not had a significant impact on the Company's operations or cash flows.

## **LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

Cash and cash equivalents increased \$15.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, to \$15.8 million, from cash and cash equivalents of \$332,848 at December 31, 2008. The increase in cash and cash equivalents resulted primarily from the Company's increased infringement revenues in 2009.

The Company had no material commitments for capital expenditures at December 31, 2009 or 2008.

The Company had working capital of \$11.0 million and a current ratio of 2.92 at December 31, 2009 compared to working capital of \$243,201 and a current ratio of 2.15 at December 31, 2008. The increase in working capital and current ratio at December 31, 2009, compared to December 31, 2008, was primarily due to net income of \$15.0 million offset by a dividend payable of \$4.3 million and other working capital changes.

Shareholders' equity increased \$10,747,982 to \$11,061,169 at December 31, 2009 from \$313,187 at December 31, 2008, primarily due to the net income the Company generated during 2009, offset by cash dividend declared of \$4,298,350.

The Company has entered into a contingency fee agreement with Rader, Fishman & Grauer PLLC, its legal counsel in the pending patent infringement litigation. See Part I, Item 3 of this Form 10-K for additional information concerning this litigation. Under this agreement, the Rader firm will receive a percentage of any recovery in the litigation or other proceeds resulting from a settlement of the litigation as its primary compensation for representing the Company in this matter. The Company is also obligated (i) to reimburse the Rader firm for its out-of-pocket expenses in connection with the litigation through an up front advance of \$50,000 and monthly advances of \$10,000, and (ii) to engage and pay for expert services needed in the litigation, provided that the Company's obligation to advance such funds and pay such expert expenses will be suspended if the Company's cash levels fall below certain thresholds. Thereafter, if the Company's cash levels exceed such thresholds, or there is a recovery in or other proceeds from the litigation, then the Rader firm will be reimbursed for any expenses it has covered while such advances and payments were suspended. To date the Company has expended approximately \$8 million (in aggregate) under the agreement for advances to the Rader firm and payments for expert services.

The Company earns interest on its available cash. Interest income earned during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$1,954 and \$16,081, respectively (1.0% average annual interest for 2009 and 2.7% average annual interest for 2008).

The Company currently estimates that it will receive \$80,000 to \$150,000 per year in royalty income based upon royalty estimates projections provided by Novartis. Royalty income is uncertain because it is subject to factors that the Company cannot control. There can be no assurance that the anticipated revenue stream or the anticipated expenses will be as planned, or that the Company will be successful in negotiating new licensing opportunities with Novartis or other companies, or in raising additional capital, due to the uncertainties and risks described in "Risk Factors" in Item 1A. on this Form 10-K.

## **CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

Management believes that the Company has not adopted any critical accounting policies, which, if changed, would result in a material change in financial estimates, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008. Critical accounting policies are as follows:

### **Revenue Recognition**

Royalty and licensing fees are recognized when earned under the terms of the Novartis Agreement, based upon sales information of licensed products provided by Novartis, and when collection is reasonably assured. Infringement income is recognized when settlement agreements have been signed and collection is reasonably assured.

## **Patent Costs**

The carrying value of patent costs is reviewed periodically or when factors indicating impairment are present. The amount of impairment loss is measured as the amount by which the carrying value of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. The Company believes that no impairment existed at December 31, 2009 or 2008.

## **Royalty Receivable**

The Company grants credit to its only customer, Novartis, in the normal course of business and under the terms contained in the Agreement. Pursuant to the Agreement, Novartis pays royalty income within the terms defined in the Agreement and management believes, based upon past payment experience, that any and all amounts outstanding are fully collectible. At December 31, 2009, the Company had a royalty receivable due to the Company of \$31,525 which was collected in January 2010. At December 31, 2008, the Company had a royalty receivable due to the Company of \$32,586, which was collected in April 2009.

## **Use of Estimates**

In preparing financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

## **Share-Based Compensation**

The Company accounts for share-based compensation in accordance with ASC Topic 718, *Compensation-Stock Compensation*, which requires that compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions (including the cost of all employee stock options) be recognized in the financial statements. That cost is measured based on the estimated fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued. The Company did not record any share-based compensation during the year ended December 31, 2009. During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company recorded compensation expense of \$455,081.

## **OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS**

The Company does not have any "off-balance sheet arrangements" (as such term is defined in Item 303 of Regulation S-K) that are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, operating results, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

**ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

**CONTENTS**

	Page
REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	15
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
BALANCE SHEETS	16
STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS	17
STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	18
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS	19
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	20

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Shareholders and  
Board of Directors of  
LecTec Corporation

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of LecTec Corporation as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of LecTec Corporation as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ LURIE BESI KOF LAPIDUS & COMPANY, LLP

Minneapolis, Minnesota  
March 31, 2010

**LecTec Corporation**  
**BALANCE SHEETS**  
**December 31, 2009 and 2008**

ASSETS	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
<b>CURRENT ASSETS:</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$15,766,107	\$ 332,848
Royalty receivable	31,525	32,586
Prepaid expenses and other	<u>975,423</u>	<u>88,823</u>
Total current assets	<u>16,773,055</u>	<u>454,257</u>
<b>FIXED ASSETS:</b>		
Office equipment	8,590	6,633
Accumulated depreciation	<u>(3,021)</u>	<u>(701)</u>
	5,569	5,932
<b>OTHER ASSETS:</b>		
Patent costs	29,811	43,775
Prepaid insurance – director and officer	<u>-</u>	<u>20,279</u>
	29,811	64,054
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b><u>\$16,808,435</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 524,243</u></b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES:</b>		
Accounts payable	\$ 84,659	\$ 26,155
Accrued expenses	322,854	54,901
Dividend payable	4,298,350	-
Income tax payable	993,403	-
Deferred tax liability	48,000	-
Discontinued operations	<u>-</u>	<u>130,000</u>
Total current liabilities	<u>5,747,266</u>	<u>211,056</u>
<b>COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES</b>		
<b>SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:</b>		
Common stock, \$.01 par value; 15,000,000 shares authorized; 4,290,026 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2009 and 2008	42,900	42,900
Additional contributed capital	12,652,219	12,652,219
Accumulated deficit	<u>(1,633,950)</u>	<u>(12,381,932)</u>
	11,061,169	313,187
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>	<b><u>\$16,808,435</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 524,243</u></b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.



**LecTec Corporation**  
**STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
**Years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008**

	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
<b>CONTINUING OPERATIONS:</b>		
REVENUE		
Infringement income	\$24,800,000	\$ -
Royalty and licensing fees	<u>111,376</u>	<u>72,711</u>
Total revenue	24,911,376	72,711
OPERATING EXPENSES		
	<u>8,955,595</u>	<u>1,129,501</u>
Operating income (loss) from continuing operations	15,955,781	(1,056,790)
INTEREST INCOME		
	<u>1,954</u>	<u>16,081</u>
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	15,957,735	(1,040,709)
INCOME TAX EXPENSE		
	<u>1,041,403</u>	<u>-</u>
Income (loss) from continuing operations	14,916,332	(1,040,709)
DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS:		
Reversal of sales returns allowance	<u>130,000</u>	<u>-</u>
NET INCOME (LOSS)	<u>\$15,046,332</u>	<u>\$(1,040,709)</u>
WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING:		
Basic	<u>4,290,026</u>	<u>4,274,455</u>
Diluted	<u>4,309,258</u>	<u>4,274,455</u>
INCOME (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE:		
Basic -		
Continuing operations	\$ 3.48	\$ (0.24)
Discontinued operations	<u>0.03</u>	<u>-</u>
	<u>\$ 3.51</u>	<u>\$ (0.24)</u>
Diluted -		
Continuing operations	\$ 3.46	\$ (0.24)
Discontinued operations	<u>0.03</u>	<u>-</u>
	<u>\$ 3.49</u>	<u>\$ (0.24)</u>
DIVIDEND DECLARED PER COMMON SHARE	<u>\$ 1.00</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

**LecTec Corporation**  
**STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY**  
**Years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008**

	Common stock		Additional contributed capital	Accumulated deficit	Total
	Shares	Amount			
Balance at December 31, 2007	4,176,048	\$ 41,760	\$ 12,198,278	\$ (11,341,223)	\$ 898,815
Stock compensation expense	-	-	455,081	-	455,081
Cashless exercise of stock warrants	113,978	1,140	(1,140)	-	-
Net loss	-	-	-	(1,040,709)	(1,040,709)
Balance at December 31, 2008	4,290,026	\$ 42,900	\$ 12,652,219	\$ (12,381,932)	\$ 313,187
Cash dividend	-	-	-	(4,298,350)	(4,298,350)
Net income	-	-	-	15,046,332	15,046,332
Balance at December 31, 2009	<u>4,290,026</u>	<u>\$ 42,900</u>	<u>\$ 12,652,219</u>	<u>\$ (1,633,950)</u>	<u>\$ 11,061,169</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

**LecTec Corporation**  
**STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
**Years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008**

	2009	2008
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income (loss)	\$ 15,046,332	\$ (1,040,709)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided (used) by operating activities:		
Reversal of sales return allowance – discontinued operations	(130,000)	-
Compensation expense related to stock options	-	455,081
Amortization of patent costs	20,689	22,423
Depreciation expense	2,320	701
Deferred tax liability	48,000	-
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Royalty receivable	1,061	67,845
Prepaid expenses and other	(866,321)	14,613
Accounts payable	58,504	12,748
Income tax payable	993,403	-
Accrued expenses	267,953	(2,866)
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	15,441,941	(470,164)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchase of office equipment	(1,957)	(6,633)
Investment in patents	(6,725)	(23,280)
Net cash used in investing activities	(8,682)	(29,913)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	15,433,259	(500,077)
Cash and cash equivalents – beginning of year	332,848	832,925
Cash and cash equivalents – end of year	\$ 15,766,107	\$ 332,848
Noncash operating and financing activities:		
Dividend payable	\$ 4,298,350	\$ -

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

**LecTec Corporation**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**December 31, 2009 and 2008**

**NOTE A – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

LecTec Corporation (the “Company”) is an intellectual property licensing and R&D (“Research and Development”) company holding patents and trademarks based on its hydrogel patch technology. LecTec Corporation’s primary focus is to continue R&D efforts surrounding the hand sanitizer patch, explore merger and acquisition opportunities, look for potential partners in the Company’s R&D efforts to minimize future development costs, and continue to derive royalty and other income from patents that the Company owns based on its advanced skin interface technologies. The Company earns royalties and licensing fees from licensing agreements pertaining to the Company’s patents. The Company currently has one licensing agreement (“Agreement”) with Novartis Consumer Health, Inc. (“Novartis”), which pays the Company royalties from time to time based upon a percentage of Novartis’ net sales as specified in the Agreement. A summary of the Company’s significant accounting policies consistently applied in the preparation of the accompanying financial statements follows:

Use of Estimates

In preparing financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Credit Risk

A significant amount of cash was deposited in one financial institution. The balance, at times, may exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses and does not believe it is exposed to any significant credit risk on cash and cash equivalents.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid temporary investments purchased with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents includes a money market account with a balance of \$15,750,880 at December 31, 2009, which is not insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Royalty Receivable

The Company grants credit to its only customer, Novartis, in the normal course of business and under the terms contained in the Agreement. Pursuant to the Agreement, Novartis pays the royalty income within the terms defined in the Agreement.

Patent Costs

Patent costs consist primarily of the cost of applying for patents and are amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of the asset, which is generally five years. Patent maintenance costs are expensed as incurred.

The carrying value of patent costs is reviewed periodically or when factors indicating impairment are present. The impairment loss is measured as the amount by which the carrying value of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. The Company believes that no impairment existed at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

Revenue Recognition

Royalty and licensing fees are recognized when earned under the terms of the Agreement with Novartis based upon sales information of licensed products provided by Novartis, and when collection is reasonably assured. Infringement income is recognized when settlement agreements have been signed and collection is reasonably assured.

## Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes are provided for temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax basis of assets and liabilities. Deferred taxes are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effects of changes in tax laws and rates on the date of the enactment.

In evaluating the ultimate realization of deferred income tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that the deferred income tax assets will be realized. Management establishes a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that all or a portion of the deferred income tax assets will not be utilized. The ultimate realization of deferred income tax assets is dependent on the generation of future taxable income, which must occur prior to the expiration of the net operating loss carryforwards.

## Income (Loss) Per Common Share

Basic income (loss) per common share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted income (loss) per common share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and common share equivalents related to stock options and warrants when dilutive.

Common stock options and warrants to purchase 264,000 shares of common stock with a weighted average exercise price of \$3.94 were outstanding at December 31, 2008. As the Company had a loss from operations in 2008, those shares were excluded from the loss per common share computations because they were antidilutive.

Diluted net income per common share for the year ended December 31, 2009 was computed as follows:

Net income for per share computation	<u>\$15,046,332</u>
Weighted-average common shares outstanding	4,290,026
Incremental shares from assumed exercise of dilutive instruments:	
Options and warrants	<u>19,232</u>
Shares outstanding - diluted	<u>4,309,258</u>

## Share-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for share-based compensation in accordance with ASC Topic 718, *Compensation-Stock Compensation*, which requires that compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions (including the cost of all employee stock options) be recognized in the financial statements. That cost is measured based on the estimated fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued. Share-based payment accounting covers a wide range of share-based compensation arrangements including share options, restricted share plans, performance-based awards, share appreciation rights, and employee share purchase plans.

## Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying value of current financial assets and liabilities approximates their fair values due to their short-term nature.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2009, the FASB issued a pronouncement which sets forth general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or available to be issued. Although there is new terminology, this pronouncement is based on the same principles as those that previously existed in the auditing standards. This pronouncement was effective for the Company beginning June 30, 2009. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In June 2009, the FASB issued a pronouncement which amends its guidance surrounding a company's analysis to determine whether any of its variable interests constitute controlling financial interests in a variable interest entity. This analysis identifies the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity as the enterprise that has both of the following characteristics: (a) the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance, and (b) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity that could potentially be significant to the variable interest entity or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the variable interest entity. Additionally, an enterprise is required to assess whether it has an implicit financial responsibility to ensure that a variable interest entity operates as designed when determining whether it has the power to direct the activities of the variable interest entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance. The new pronouncement also requires ongoing assessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity and enhanced disclosure about an enterprise's involvement with a variable interest entity. This pronouncement is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after November 15, 2009. The adoption of this standard is not anticipated to have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In October 2009, the FASB issued an update to the accounting and reporting guidance for multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements. The new accounting guidance removes the separation criterion that objective and reliable evidence of the fair value of the undelivered item must exist for the delivered items to be considered a separate unit or separate units of accounting. The FASB issued update requires an entity to determine the selling price of qualifying deliverables based on a hierarchy of evidence. In considering the hierarchy of evidence, the entity must first determine the selling prices by using vendor-specific objective evidence ("VSOE"), if it exists; otherwise, third-party evidence ("TPE") of selling price must be used. If neither VSOE nor TPE of selling price exists for a deliverable, an entity must use its best estimate of the selling price for that deliverable in allocating consideration among deliverables in an arrangement. This update is effective for arrangements entered into in the fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010, unless the vendor elects early application. The Company is evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of this update on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In January 2010, the FASB issued an update to the existing disclosure requirements related to fair value measurements which requires entities to make new disclosures about recurring or nonrecurring fair value measurements including significant transfers into and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and information on purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements on a gross basis in the reconciliation of Level 3 fair value measurements. This update is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for Level 3 reconciliation disclosures which are effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2010. The Company is evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of this update on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

#### **NOTE B - INFRINGEMENT INCOME**

On May 29, 2009, the Company entered into a Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release (the "Mentholatum Settlement Agreement") with the Mentholatum Company ("Mentholatum") to settle the Company's claims against Mentholatum that Mentholatum infringed the Patents-In-Suit in the Litigation. Pursuant to the Mentholatum Settlement Agreement, Mentholatum paid the Company an aggregate of \$600,000 in \$100,000 monthly installments from May through October 2009. In addition, under the Mentholatum Settlement Agreement (a) the Company agreed to dismiss the Litigation against Mentholatum with prejudice, (b) the parties agreed to mutual general releases of all claims other than their prospective obligations under the Mentholatum Settlement Agreement and claims arising after the date of the Mentholatum Settlement Agreement, (c) the Company agreed not to sue Mentholatum or Rohto Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., the parent company of Mentholatum, for any infringement of the Patents-In-Suit, any patent that claims priority, directly or indirectly, from the Patents-In-Suit, or any foreign counterparts of the Patents-In-Suit, (d) the Company agreed not to transfer any such patents unless the transferee agrees to be bound by the covenant not to sue described above in clause (c), and (e). Mentholatum and Rohto agreed not to challenge the validity or enforceability of such patents.

As of December 31, 2009, Mentholatum had paid the Company \$600,000 pursuant to the terms of the Mentholatum Settlement Agreement. The proceeds received from this settlement were reduced by the amounts due to the Rader firm per the Company's contingent fee arrangement, out of pocket expenses, and other costs incurred related to depositions of parties in the infringement lawsuits, travel expenses, and other related costs. After these expenses the Company received net cash proceeds of approximately \$350,000.

On November 6, 2009, the Company and Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc. (“Endo”), executed a Term Sheet that set forth the terms of a settlement and license agreement pursuant to which the parties would settle the Company’s claims against Endo that Endo infringed the Patents–In–Suit. On November 11, 2009, the Company entered into such Settlement and License Agreement (the “Endo Settlement Agreement”) with Endo and issued a press release announcing its entry into the Endo Settlement Agreement. On November 12, 2009 the Company filed a Form 8-K with the Securities and Exchange Commission disclosing this event. Pursuant to the Endo Settlement Agreement, Endo will pay the Company a one–time license fee of \$23,000,000 and the Company will grant to Endo an exclusive license to the Patents–In–Suit for use in the field of prescription pain medicines and treatment. In addition, under the Endo Settlement Agreement: (a) the parties agreed to the dismissal of the Litigation with prejudice and without costs; (b) the Company agreed to release all claims against Endo that were asserted by or could have been asserted by the Company against Endo in the Litigation or that relate to, arise from or are in any manner connected to the Patents–In–Suit; (c) Endo agreed to release all claims against the Company that were asserted by or could have been asserted by Endo against the Company in the Litigation; (d) the Company agreed not to sue Endo for any infringement of any U.S. or foreign patents or patent applications owned or controlled by the Company as of November 11, 2009, any continuation, continuation–in–part or divisional of any such patent, any U.S. patent resulting from the reissue or reexamination of any such patents and any U.S. or foreign patent or patent application claiming common priority with any of such patents; and (e) the Company agreed not to transfer either of the Patents–In–Suit or any other such patent unless the transferee agrees in writing to the terms and conditions of the Endo Settlement Agreement. The Company received approximately \$16 million in net cash proceeds from this settlement in December 2009. From these proceeds, the Company replenished the trust fund it has with the Rader Firm with \$1 million dollars to fund ongoing patent litigation. The Trust fund balance at December 31, 2009 was \$931,954 compared to a balance of \$25,645 at December 31, 2008. If funds are not completely expended, then the remaining cash balance in the trust fund will revert to the Company. Additionally, the Company estimates that it will have to pay federal and state taxes of approximately \$1 million dollars related to this transaction.

On December 18, 2009, the Company entered into a Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release (the “Settlement Agreement”) with Johnson & Johnson Consumer Companies, Inc. (“JJCC”) to settle the Company’s claims against JJCC that JJCC infringed two of the Company’s patents (“Patents–In–Suit”) related to the Company’s medicated patch technology (the “Litigation”). Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, JJCC paid the Company a one–time sum of \$1,200,000 and the Company will grant to JJCC a fully paid–up, world–wide, non–exclusive and irrevocable license to (a) the Patents–In–Suit, (b) any patent that claims priority, directly or indirectly, from the Patents–In–Suit (the “Family Patents”), including, without limitation, U.S. Patent Nos. 6,096,333, 6,096,334 and 6,361,790, (c) any foreign counterparts of the Patents–In–Suit or any of the Family Patents to make, have made, sell, offer for sale, use, import, export or otherwise dispose of any apparatus, method, product, component, service, product by process or any device associated with JJCC or its subsidiaries, affiliates or other controlled entities, for the past, present and future until the expiration of the last patent described above and (d) any patents that the Company owns or currently has an interest in to make, have made, sell, offer for sale, use, import, export or otherwise dispose of any non–prescription, non–occlusive medicated hydrogel patch products that are used to alleviate pain (a “Patch Product”) associated with JJCC (collectively, the License Grant”); provided, however, that the License Grant under clauses (a), (b) and (c) above excludes over–the–counter vapor patches which emit vapors that provide cough and cold relief when inhaled, and prescription, non–occlusive, medicated hydrogel patch products that are used to alleviate pain. As of December 31, 2009, JJCC had paid the Company \$1,200,000 pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement. The proceeds received from this settlement were reduced by the amounts due to the Rader firm per the Company’s contingent fee arrangement, out of pocket expenses, and other costs incurred related to depositions of parties in the infringement lawsuits, travel expenses, and other related costs. After these expenses the Company received net cash proceeds of approximately \$720,000.

In addition, under the Settlement Agreement: (w) the Company agreed to release, acquit and discharge JJCC and its direct and indirect customers and distributors from all claims, duties, obligations and causes of action relating to any matters of any kind, including those related to JJCC’s making, using, importing, selling or offering to sell Patch Products and the matters alleged in the Litigation; (x) JJCC agreed to release, acquit and discharge the Company and its direct and indirect customers and distributors from all claims, duties, obligations and causes of action relating to any matters of any kind, including any matters connected in any way with Patch Products sold by JJCC and the matters alleged in the Litigation; (y) the Company agreed not to assign or otherwise transfer the patents described above in the License Grant until the transferee agrees in writing to be bound by such licenses; and (z) JJCC agreed not to challenge or assist in any way in challenging the validity or enforceability of the Patents–In–Suit, any Family Patent or any foreign counterparts of the Patents–In–Suit or any of the Family Patents.

## NOTE C - NOVARTIS SUPPLY AND LICENSE AGREEMENT

In 2004, the Company entered into a supply and licensing agreement with Novartis (the Agreement). By December 31, 2004, the supply portion of the Agreement was completed and the Company no longer manufactured any product. Under the Agreement, the Company granted Novartis an exclusive license (the License) to all of the intellectual property of the Company to the extent that it is used or useful in the production of the vapor patches that Novartis is selling under the Agreement. The License will continue in effect for the duration of the patents life permitted under applicable law. Upon the expiration of the patents included in the licensed intellectual property (approximately five years), Novartis will have a non-revocable, perpetual, fully paid-up license to the intellectual property used or useful in the production of vapor patches for the pediatric and the adult cough/cold market. Novartis is required by the Agreement to pay royalties, at an agreed upon percentage, to the Company based on net semi-annual sales of vapor patches by Novartis for each year the License is in effect.

In June 2006, Novartis issued a nationwide recall of all of its Triaminic® vapor patch products. In a press release issued by Novartis pertaining to the recall, Novartis explained that the recall was “due to the serious adverse health effects that could result if the product is ingested by a child removing the patch and chewing on it.” At the same time that Novartis announced this voluntary recall, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) issued a release warning consumers “not to use the Triaminic Vapor Patch due to reports of serious adverse events associated with accidental ingestion by children.” According to news reports, the recall resulted from an adverse event experienced by a child who suffered a seizure after chewing on a Triaminic Vapor Patch. Novartis confirmed to the Company that the patch involved in this incident was not manufactured by the Company. As a result of this recall, the Company has been proactive in assisting Novartis to resolve the FDA issues surrounding the product recall and used its resources to move the Company forward to revive its royalty income stream. The Company has met with Novartis representatives to discuss how to prevent an incident where a child or pet chews or ingests a patch.

In January 2007, the Company engaged an independent consulting firm to audit royalties due to the Company pursuant to the Agreement. In January 2008, the Company was paid \$21,946 by Novartis as settlement for underpaid royalty income and audit costs.

In July 2007, Novartis began shipping a new adult vapor patch product in the United States for the cough and cold season. Novartis has not announced whether it will re-introduce a vapor patch for the pediatric market. Novartis continues to advertise and market the adult patch via TV commercials and various stores continue to shelve and sell the adult vapor patch.

Currently, the Company continues to explore mutual opportunities with Novartis under the Agreement including partnering, merger or acquisition possibilities, and exploring opportunities relating to other patents the Company holds. The Company is also pursuing other opportunities, including research and development (R&D), in an effort to enhance and add to the Company’s revenue stream, and is evaluating licensing opportunities related to other patents the Company holds.

During the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company recorded revenue of \$111,376 and \$72,711, respectively, for royalties covered under the Agreement.

## NOTE D - PATENT COSTS

Patent costs consisted of the following:

	<u>December 31, 2009</u>		<u>December 31, 2008</u>	
	<u>Gross carrying amount</u>	<u>Accumulated amortization</u>	<u>Gross carrying amount</u>	<u>Accumulated amortization</u>
Patents costs	<u>\$ 321,927</u>	<u>\$ 292,116</u>	<u>\$ 315,202</u>	<u>\$ 271,427</u>



Amortization expense is expected to be as follows:

<b>Years ending December 31,</b>	
2010	\$ 15,001
2011	6,001
2012	6,001
2013	2,471
2014	337

In April 2007, the Company was informed that the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (the USPTO) had completed a re-examination of a patent pertinent to the Agreement and the Company was issued a re-examination certificate. The patent is entitled "Non-Occlusive Adhesive Patch for Applying Medication to the Skin" and covers the design for adhesive patches, which contain a reservoir of medication to be delivered through the inhalation of vapors.

#### **NOTE E - DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS**

The Company ceased manufacturing operations of topical patches in 2004 and reported these activities as discontinued operations. There was not any cost for assets of discontinued operations at December 31, 2009 or 2008. However, the Company has fully depreciated assets on hand that may be sold from time to time. Liabilities of discontinued operations were \$130,000 at December 31, 2008, which consisted of a reserve for sales returns and credits for sales prior to the discontinuance of operations. At December 31, 2009 the Company determined that the reserve for sales returns was no longer a liability since the Company ceased its manufacturing operations in 2004. As a result, the Company recorded a reversal of this reserve of \$130,000 in 2009.

#### **NOTE F - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

##### Leases

The Company relocated its principal executive office to a facility in Texarkana, Texas in August 2008 where it leases approximately 1,200 square feet of warehouse and office space. The lease expired in February 2010 and has been renewed for \$750 per month through March 1, 2011. The Company also secured a small office in Pune, India in July 2008. The Company continues to lease office and warehouse space in Edina, Minnesota for the purpose of liquidating saleable assets and to orderly wind down operations at that facility. The Company had sub-leased approximately 10,000 square feet of excess space to an independent lessee for \$3,800 per month during 2008. This sub-lessee vacated the space when their sub-lease expired in April 2008. The Company has since renegotiated its Edina, Minnesota lease to automatically renew on a month to month basis. The renegotiated lease can be terminated by the landlord upon 30 days written notice or upon 90 days written notice by the Company. The Company's leases require payment of a portion of taxes, common area charges, and other operating expenses. Rent expense, excluding sub-lease income of \$0 and \$15,200, was \$38,606 and \$59,738 for 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The future minimum lease commitment under the current operating leases are \$16,100 for 2010 and \$1,500 for 2011.

##### Employee Benefit Plan

The Company has a contributory 401(k) profit sharing benefit plan covering its sole employee. The Plan allows for discretionary contributions by the Company. No discretionary contributions were made for 2009 and 2008.

##### Contingency Fee Arrangement

The Company has entered into a contingency fee agreement with Rader, Fishman & Grauer PLLC, its legal counsel in the pending patent infringement litigation. Under this agreement, the Rader firm will receive a percentage of any recovery in the litigation or other proceeds resulting from a settlement of the litigation as its primary compensation for representing the Company in this matter. The Company is also obligated (i) to reimburse the Rader firm for its out-of-pocket expenses in connection with the litigation through an up front advance of \$50,000 and monthly advances of \$10,000, and (ii) to engage and pay for expert services needed in the litigation, provided that the Company's obligation to advance such funds and pay such expert expenses will be suspended if the Company's cash levels fall below certain thresholds. Thereafter, if the Company's cash levels exceed such thresholds, or there is a recovery in or other proceeds from the litigation, then the Rader firm will be reimbursed for any expenses it has covered while such advances and payments were suspended. To date the Company has expended approximately \$8 million (in aggregate) under the agreement for advances to the Rader firm and payments for expert services.

## Legal Proceedings

On July 25, 2008, the Company filed a complaint for patent infringement (the "Complaint") against five companies, including Chatterm, Inc. (Ticker: CHTT), Endo Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Ticker: ENDP), Johnson & Johnson Consumer Company, Inc. (Ticker: JNJ), The Mentholatum Company, Inc (Division of Rohto Pharmaceuticals, Ticker RPHCF.PK), and Prince of Peace Enterprises, Inc. (Private Company) (collectively, the "Defendants") in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas. The Complaint alleges, among other things, that the Defendants have infringed two of the Company's patents (the "Patents-In-Suit"), which relate to the Company's medicated patch technology. The Company is seeking to enjoin the Defendants from infringing the Patents-In-Suit and to recover monetary damages related to such infringement, as well as interest and litigation costs.

In October 2008, all five of the Defendants filed answers (the "Answers") in response to the Complaint denying the Company's claims therein, and asserting certain affirmative defenses and counterclaims against the Company, including assertions that the Patents-In-Suit are invalid and unenforceable, and claims for attorneys' fees and costs. On October 20, 2008, the Company filed its replies to the Answers, denying such counterclaims and affirmative defenses, including the claims that the Patents-In-Suit are invalid and unenforceable.

On December 3, 2008, the Company's counsel in the litigation, Rader, Fishman & Grauer PLLC (the "Counsel"), participated in a scheduling conference in this case. As a result of that conference, the Court scheduled a Markman hearing for May 6, 2010 and a final pretrial conference for January 3, 2011. Based on the schedule established by the Court, it is clear that pursuing the Company's claims in this litigation through trial will be a lengthy process.

In February 2009, Counsel filed with the Court a motion to preliminarily enjoin the five defendants from infringing the Patents-In Suit pending the trial.

In July 2009, the presiding judge in the eastern district of Texas, granted the remaining defendants' a Joint Motion for an Extension of Time regarding the Company's Motion for Preliminary Injunction. The defendants' opposition briefs were filed by the end of August 2009. The Company's response to those briefs was filed by the end of September 2009. While some of the other scheduling order dates were modified, the Markman hearing and final pretrial trial dates remained unchanged. The Company is being diligent in moving the infringement lawsuit forward but can give no assurance as to the outcome or settlement of the suit against the remaining defendants. The Company was scheduled for a hearing in Texarkana, Texas on November 12, 2009 relating to the preliminary injunction motion filed against the defendants in the Litigation. The Company subsequently cancelled this hearing due to legal considerations after it settled with a second defendant in November 2009. The Company will continue to pursue settlement options with respect to the other defendants. The Company can not give any assurance as to the outcome of future negotiations.

The Company reached settlements with Mentholatum, Endo and JJCC during 2009 (Note B).

The Company is diligent in pursuing its patent infringement lawsuit against the remaining two defendants Chatterm and Prince of Peace.

The Company's legal counsel is continuing the discovery and deposition process with the remaining defendants in the continuing lawsuit.

The Company is unable to determine based on current information available whether it will be successful in its legal pursuits against the remaining two defendants. The Company gives no assurance as to the outcome of the ongoing lawsuit or whether the Company's patents in suit and claims asserted in the related patents could be deemed invalid by a court of law.

## NOTE G - INCOME TAXES

The Company recorded net income for both book and tax purposes for the year ended December 31, 2009; accordingly, the Company recorded a provision for income taxes of \$1,041,403 at December 31, 2009. No income taxes were provided for as of December 31, 2008 as the company had a net loss for book and tax purposes. Effective tax rates differ from statutory income tax rates in the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 as follows:

	<b>Years ended December</b>	
	<b>31,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
Federal statutory income tax rate	34.0%	(34.0%)
State income taxes, net of federal effect	0.7	(1.6)
Incentive stock option compensation	-	2.2
Utilization of net operating loss carryforwards	(26.0)	-
Valuation allowance for deferred taxes	-	33.5
Utilization of prior period credits	(2.0)	-
Other	(0.2)	(0.1)
	<u>6.5%</u>	<u>-%</u>

Deferred tax asset (liability) as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 consist of the following:

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
Current assets:		
Accrued expenses	\$ (49,300)	\$ 49,000
Long-term assets (liabilities):		
Net operating loss carryforwards	292,000	4,580,500
Tax credit carryforwards	-	317,200
Nonqualified option compensation	230,000	223,500
Other	1,300	(142,500)
Net long-term assets	<u>523,300</u>	<u>4,978,700</u>
Net deferred tax assets	474,000	5,027,700
Less valuation allowance	(522,000)	(5,027,700)
Net deferred income tax liability	<u>\$ (48,000)</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

During 2009 the Company utilized all of its federal loss carryforwards to reduce income tax payable. However, at December 31, 2009 the Company had available Minnesota state net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$4,497,000. The Company was not able to utilize this Minnesota state loss carry-forward as the Company earned its taxable income from operations in the state of Texas during 2009. At December 31, 2008, the Company had available federal and state net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$12,294,000 and \$4,089,000, respectively. A valuation allowance was recorded for these net operating loss carryforwards and all other deferred tax assets, as at the time of recording, it was more likely than not that the net deferred asset would not be realized. The Company continually reviews the adequacy of the valuation allowance and recognizes those benefits only as the Company's assessment indicates that it is more likely than not that future tax benefits will be realized. The valuation allowance increased (decreased) by approximately (\$4,505,700), and \$348,900 for 2009, and 2008, respectively.

It is the Company's practice to recognize penalties and/or interest related to income tax matters in interest and penalties expense. As of December 31, 2009, the amount of accrued interest and penalties is not material.

The Company is subject to income taxes in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various states and local jurisdictions. Tax regulations within each jurisdiction are subject to the interpretation of the related tax laws and regulations and require significant judgment to apply. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal, state, or local income tax examinations by tax authorities for the years before 2006. The Company is not currently under examination by any taxing jurisdiction.

## NOTE H - EQUITY TRANSACTIONS

### Stock Options

The Company has stock option plans ("Plans") for the benefit of officers, employees, and directors of the Company. A total of 659,279 shares of common stock are available for grants under the Plans at December 31, 2009. Options under the Company's Plans are granted at fair market value on the date of grant and generally expire ten years from the grant date. Options given to directors, officers, and employees are exercisable at such times as set forth in their individual option agreements. All options that have been granted and outstanding are fully vested and exercisable as of December 31, 2009.

Stock option activity for fiscal 2009 is as follows:

	<u>Number of Options</u>	<u>Weighted Average Exercise Price per Share</u>	<u>Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term ( in years)</u>
Outstanding on December 31, 2008	264,000	\$ 3.94	
Granted	-	-	
Exercised	-	-	
Canceled	-	-	
Outstanding on December 31, 2009	<u>264,000</u>	<u>\$ 3.94</u>	<u>8.7 years</u>

There were no options granted or exercised during fiscal 2009. Options granted during fiscal 2008 had a weighted-average fair value of \$3.99 per option.

On September 26, 2008, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company granted stock options to each of the three members of the Board of Directors of the Company, as well as to its sole employee. The terms of the options granted to the four individuals were identical except that the options granted to Mr. William Johnson, the Company's only employee, qualified as incentive stock options under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, while each of the three Directors of the Company was granted non-qualified stock options. Mr. William Johnson, Mr. C. Andrew Rollwagen, and Dr. Daniel Sigg each received an option to purchase 16,000 shares of the Company's common stock at \$4.00 per share and Mr. Judd Berlin received an option to purchase 66,000 shares of the Company's common stock at \$4.00 per share. All of the options are fully vested and exercisable as of the date of grant and will expire on September 26, 2018. All of the options were granted under plans previously approved by the Company's shareholders and the exercise price for the options were issued at a price equal to the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. All of the options provide that termination of service as a Director or employee of the Company for any reason other than for cause will not affect the terms of the option or cause the option to terminate.

The Company did not record any share-based compensation expense for the year ended December 31, 2009 as no options were granted. For the year ended December 31, 2008 the Company recorded share-based compensation expense of \$455,081, using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model with the following assumptions:

Risk-free interest rate	3.05%
Expected dividend yield	0.00%
Expected stock price volatility <sup>(1)</sup>	192.00%
Expected life of options in years <sup>(2)</sup>	5

<sup>(1)</sup> Volatility was based on the historical volatility of the Company's common stock.

<sup>(2)</sup> Expected life of options was based on expected turnover and other averaging methods.

In January 2010, 15,000 shares of stock options were exercised.

#### Warrants

In connection with the sale of the Company's corporate facility during 2003, the Company issued warrants to an outside party to purchase 200,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The warrants were exercisable, and may be exercised on a cashless basis and entitled the holder to purchase common stock at \$0.90 per share until February 25, 2008.

On February 21, 2008, the warrant holder exercised, on a cashless basis, the warrant. Accordingly, the warrant holder forfeited a number of shares underlying the warrant with a "fair market value" (calculated pursuant to the warrant agreement) and received 113,978 shares of the Company's common stock upon exercise of the warrant. As a result of the cashless exercise, the Company did not receive any cash proceeds from the exercise. As of the filing date of this Form 10-K, the Company has no outstanding warrants.

#### Cash Dividends

On December 21, 2009, the Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$1.00 per share to shareholders of record at January 29, 2010 that was payable on February 12, 2010. The Company distributed \$4,298,350 on February 12, 2010 to its shareholders.

**ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**

None.

**ITEM 9A(T). CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

**EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

The Company maintains “disclosure controls and procedures” as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, (the “Exchange Act”), that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, and Board of Directors, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that disclosure controls and procedures, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired objectives, and we necessarily are required to apply our judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible disclosure controls and procedures.

Our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2009 and concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2009.

**MANAGEMENT’S ANNUAL REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING**

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act. The Company’s internal control system is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Because of inherent limitations, a system of internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate due to change in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting using the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework. Based on its evaluation, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2009.

This Form 10-K does not include an attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the SEC that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

**CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING**

During the quarter ended December 31, 2009, there were no changes in the Company’s internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company’s internal control over financial reporting.

**ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION**

None.

### PART III

#### **ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE.**

##### *Executive Officers and Directors*

<b>Name</b>	<b>Age</b>	<b>Title</b>
Judd A. Berlin	53	Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chairman of the Board of Directors
C. Andrew Rollwagen	54	Director
Daniel C. Sigg, M.D. PhD	45	Chief Scientific Officer, Director
Sanford M. Brink	70	Director
Ramanathan Periakaruppan	66	Director

Judd A. Berlin has been a member of our Board of Directors since May 2003 and has been our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and Chairman of our Board of Directors since November 2006. Mr. Berlin became a full time employee of the Company effective January 1, 2010. Mr. Berlin is a multinational entrepreneur and founder of Hello Corporation, an Asian-based company operating call centers for Fortune 100 companies. Mr. Berlin has also founded companies in Europe, the Middle East, and Asia in food distribution, broadcasting, and entertainment production. Mr. Berlin has an MBA from St. Thomas University in St. Paul, Minnesota. As our Chief Executive Officer and person with significant knowledge regarding our operations, Mr. Berlin is well-suited to serve as a member of our Board of Directors.

C. Andrew Rollwagen has been a member of our Board of Directors since January 2005. Mr. Rollwagen has more than 30 years experience in banking and finance. He holds a Master of Business Administration degree from the University of St. Thomas in Minneapolis, Minnesota and has served as Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of First State Bank and Trust, a locally owned community bank serving the greater St. Croix Valley area in Minnesota, since January 2007. Mr. Rollwagen began serving as a director of First State Bank and Trust in March 2008. Mr. Rollwagen served as Vice President of Business Banking at First State Bank and Trust from November 1998 to January 2007. Mr. Rollwagen's extensive experience in finance makes him well-suited to serve as a member of our Board of Directors.

Daniel C. Sigg M.D. PhD has been a member of our Board of Directors since November 2006 and became a full time employee of the Company as Chief Scientific Officer effective January 1, 2010. Dr. Sigg, a Swiss national, served as Senior Manager in the R&D Division of Cardiac Rhythm Disease Management at Medtronic, Inc., a leading medical device and technology company, from 2001 to 2009. Dr. Sigg is a board-certified anesthesiologist and has over 12 years of experience in research and development, the management of regulatory and medical affairs, the development of intellectual property, and has led research and development efforts in the United States, Europe and Asia. Dr. Sigg is the co-inventor of five issued patents and twenty pending patent applications. Dr. Sigg obtained his Medical Degree from the University of Basel, Switzerland, his PhD degree in Integrative and Cellular Physiology from the University of Minnesota and is an adjunct assistant professor at the University of Minnesota Medical School where he teaches regularly. Dr. Sigg is the son-in-law of Sanford M. Brink, who is a current member of our Board of Directors. Dr. Sigg's clinical experience as a physician, his research and development experience, his experience managing regulatory and medical affairs, his experience developing intellectual property, his experience as a senior manager at a large medical device manufacturer and his research and writing experience regarding pharmacology and local drug delivery makes Dr. Sigg well-suited to serve as a member of our Board of Directors.

Sanford M. Brink has been a member of our Board of Directors since July 2009. Mr. Brink is currently the president of New Dimensions in Stone, an investment and real estate development company that Mr. Brink has owned for the past 15 years. Prior to his tenure at New Dimensions in Stone, Mr. Brink spent 15 years as a stockbroker specializing in the health care area. Mr. Brink has been an active investor and venture capitalist since the early 1960s. Mr. Brink is the father-in-law of Daniel C. Sigg, M.D., PhD, who is our Chief Scientific Officer and a member of our Board of Directors. As an experienced executive and an experienced investor, specifically investing in the health care area, Mr. Brink is well-suited to serve as a member of our Board of Directors.

Ramanathan Periakaruppan has been a member of our Board of Directors since February 2010. Mr. Periakaruppan retired in 2006 after completing a 37 year career in manufacturing, during which Mr. Periakaruppan developed an extensive background in product development, project management and process development. Mr. Periakaruppan worked in product development and project management at Boston Scientific Corporation from 2001 to 2006, in product development and project management at Honeywell International Inc. from 1987 to 2001 and in process development at Graco Inc. from 1968 to 1987. Mr. Periakaruppan earned a bachelor of science degree in mechanical engineering from the University of Madras. As an experienced leader of product development, project management and process development at major corporations, Mr. Periakaruppan is well-suited to serve as a member of our Board of Directors.

#### ***Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance***

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our executive officers and directors and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of our common stock to file initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Such executive officers, directors, and greater than 10% beneficial owners are required by the regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) reports they file.

Based solely on a review of the copies of such reports furnished to us and representations from the executive officers and directors, we believe that all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to our executive officers, directors and greater than 10% beneficial owners during 2009 have been satisfied, except that Larry C. Hopfenspirger, one of our greater than 10% beneficial owners, filed a late Form 3 report on April 3, 2009.

#### ***Code of Ethics***

We have adopted a Code of Business Ethics applicable to all of our employees, including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, and principal accounting officer. Our Code of Business Ethics is an incorporated part of the LecTec Employee Handbook and is required to be read and signed upon the commencement of employment with the Company. A copy of our Code of Business Ethics is available free of charge from the acting Secretary of the Company.

#### ***Audit Committee***

Judd A. Berlin (Chairman) and C. Andrew Rollwagen comprise the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors pursuant to the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Due to our size, financial condition, and prospects, our Board of Directors has not sought to add a Board member who would qualify as an "audit committee financial expert" under the definition promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission. Based on the size and complexity of our financial statements, the Board does not believe that the absence of an audit committee financial expert materially undermines the ability of our Audit Committee to fulfill its obligations.

### **ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.**

#### ***Executive Compensation***

The following table sets forth the cash and non-cash compensation for the last three fiscal years awarded to or earned by our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. No other individual served as an executive officer of LecTec during 2009.



### Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(1)	Option Awards (\$)(1)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Judd A. Berlin	2009	-	200,000(2)	-	-	-	200,000
<i>Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Director</i>	2008	-	-	-	263,467	-	263,467

- (1) The amounts in this column are calculated based on the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 718. There were no option grants made to Mr. Berlin during 2009. The amount of option awards for the year ended December 31, 2008 were calculated based on ASC Topic 718 and equal the financial statement compensation expense for stock option awards as reported in our statements of operations. The recorded expense is based on the fair value of the stock option grants as estimated using the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model. The assumptions used to arrive at the Black-Scholes-Merton value are disclosed in Note H to our financial statements included in this Form 10-K. The full grant date ASC Topic 718 value of the option awards granted in 2008 to Mr. Berlin was \$263,467.
- (2) On December 21, 2009, our Board of Directors granted to Mr. Berlin a one-time payment of \$200,000 in recognition of Mr. Berlin's long service to the Company without compensation on our recent settlement of the patent litigation with certain defendants.

The following table summarizes the unexercised stock options and unvested restricted stock held at the end of fiscal year 2009 by the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table.

### Outstanding Equity Awards At Fiscal Year-End Table

Name	Option Grant Date	Option Awards		Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Stock Awards	
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable			Stock Award Grant Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)
Judd A. Berlin	September 26, 2008	66,000	-	\$4.00	September 26, 2018	-	-

- (1) On September 26, 2008, Mr. Berlin, in his capacity as a non-employee Director of the Company, received an option to purchase 66,000 shares of the Company's common stock at \$4.00 per share. These options were outstanding as of December 31, 2009. All of the options are fully vested and exercisable as of the date of grant and will expire on September 26, 2018. All of the options were granted under plans previously approved by the Company's shareholders and the exercise price for the options were issued at a price equal to the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. All of the options provide that termination of service as a Director of the Company for any reason other than for cause will not affect the terms of the option or cause the option to terminate.

### Director Compensation

Our Board of Directors has established a policy that each of our non-employee directors (other than Mr. Berlin who has waived any cash compensation as a non-employee Director) receives an annual cash payment of \$17,500 for annual services to LecTec, as illustrated in the table below. This cash payment is paid in advance in quarterly installments of \$4,375 before the beginning of each of the quarters in which services will be performed. On December 21, 2009, our Board of Directors authorized the payment of a \$10,000 bonus to Mr. Brink, a \$100,000 bonus to Mr. Rollwagen, and a \$60,000 bonus to Dr. Sigg, each of whom was a non-employee, non-executive director of the Company at December 31, 2009.

The following table shows the compensation of the members of our Board of Directors during 2009.

### Director Compensation Table

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)(1)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Total (\$)
Judd A. Berlin	-	-	-	-
C. Andrew Rollwagen	117,500	-	-	117,500
Daniel C. Sigg M.D. PhD	77,500	-	-	77,500
Sanford M. Brink	17,911	-	-	17,911

- (1) Sanford M. Brink's director fees were prorated since he became a director on July 19<sup>th</sup> 2009. None of our directors held any shares of restricted stock as of December 31, 2009.

**ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

***Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans***

The following table summarizes, with respect to the Company's equity compensation plans, the number of shares of the Company's common stock to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and other rights to acquire shares, the weighted-average exercise price of these outstanding options, warrants and rights and the number of shares remaining available for future issuance under the Company's equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2009.

<b>Plan Category</b>	<b>Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights</b>	<b>Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (\$)</b>	<b>Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in the First Column)</b>
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	264,000	3.94	–
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	–	–	659,279
<b>Total</b>	<b>264,000</b>	<b>3.94</b>	<b>659,279</b>

***LecTec Corporation 2001 Stock Option Plan***

The LecTec Corporation 2001 Stock Option Plan (the "Plan") was designed (i) to aid in maintaining and developing personnel capable of assuring the future success of the Company and to offer such personnel additional incentives to put forth maximum efforts for the success of the business, and (ii) to afford such personnel an opportunity to acquire a proprietary interest in the Company through stock options. An aggregate of 750,000 shares are authorized for issuance under the Plan pursuant to the grant of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units or other stock grants ("Awards"). The Plan became effective on July 1, 2001 and terminates on July 1, 2011.

The Plan authorizes the grant of Awards to any employee, consultant, or independent contractor providing services to the Company or any affiliate of the Company, except that officers and directors of the Company or the Company's affiliates are not eligible to participate in the Plan. A committee of directors designated by the Company's Board of Directors (the "Committee") is responsible for administering the Plan.

The exercise price, option term, and time and method of exercise of the stock options granted under the Plan are determined by the Committee. Subject to the terms of the Plan and any applicable agreement, the grant price, term, method of exercise, date of exercise, method of settlement and any other term and condition of any stock appreciation rights are determined by the Committee. The Committee may impose such conditions or restrictions on the exercise of any stock appreciation right as it may deem appropriate. Shares of restricted stock and restricted stock units are subject to such restrictions as the Committee may impose (including, without limitation, a waiver by participants of the right to vote or to receive any dividend or other right or property with respect thereto), which restrictions may lapse separately or in combination at such time or times, in such installments or otherwise as the Committee may deem appropriate. Any restricted stock granted under the Plan is evidenced by issuance of a stock certificate or certificates, which certificate or certificates are held by the Company. Except as otherwise determined by the Committee, upon a participant's termination of employment during the applicable restriction period, all shares of restricted stock and all restricted stock units held by the participant at such time are forfeited and reacquired by the Company. The Committee may, when it finds that a waiver would be in the best interest of the Company, waive in whole or in part any or all remaining restrictions with respect to shares of restricted stock or restricted stock units. Finally, the Committee is authorized, subject to the terms of the Plan and any applicable award agreement, to grant to eligible persons shares of common stock without restrictions thereon as are deemed by the Committee to be consistent with the purpose of the Plan.

## Table of Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of March 29, 2010, by each person, or group of affiliated persons, who is known by us to beneficially own more than 5% of our common stock, each of our directors, each of our executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table above and all of our directors and executive officers as a group.

Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. In computing the number of shares beneficially owned by a person and the percentage ownership of that person, shares of common stock under options held by that person that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of, March 29, 2010 are considered outstanding. Each shareholder named in the table has sole voting and investment power for the shares shown as beneficially owned by them, and such shares are not subject to any pledge. Percentage of ownership is based on 4,305,026 shares of common stock outstanding on March 29, 2010.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned	Number of Shares Underlying Options Beneficially Owned	Percent of Shares Outstanding (%)
Larry C. Hopfenspirger(1) 2025 Nicollet Ave. S., #203 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402	439,325	-	9.8
Estate of Lee M. Berlin c/o Helen Berlin, personal representative 4417 White Oak Drive Janesville, Wisconsin 53546	405,759	-	9.0
Judd A. Berlin	203,145	66,000	4.5
Sanford M. Brink(2) 1102 120th Street Roberts, Wisconsin 54023	345,280	-	7.7
Ramanathan Periakaruppan(3)	219,363	-	4.9
C. Andrew Rollwagen	66,000	66,000	1.5
Daniel C. Sigg M.D. PhD	66,000	66,000	1.5
All directors and executive officers as a group (5 persons)	899,788	198,000	20.0

- (1) Based on a Schedule 13D filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 3, 2009, by Mr. Hopfenspirger, he has sole voting and dispositive power over 406,066 shares and shared voting and dispositive power over 33,259 shares held by Mr. Hopfenspirger's wife and children.
- (2) Based on a Schedule 13D jointly filed July 7, 2009, Sanford M. Brink and Linda K. Brink, Mr. Brink has sole voting and dispositive power over 55,700 shares and Ms. Brink has sole voting and dispositive power over 6,085 shares. They have shared voting and dispositive over 283,495 shares.
- (3) Includes 199,283 shares held directly by Mr. Periakaruppan and 20,080 shares held by Mr. Periakaruppan's wife.

## ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

We are not a listed issuer and so are not subject to the director independence requirements of any exchange or inter-dealer quotation system. Nevertheless, in determining whether our directors and director nominees are independent, we use the definition of independence provided in Rule 4200(a) (15) of The NASDAQ Stock Market's Marketplace Rules. Under this definition of independence, directors Ramanathan Periakaruppan and C. Andrew Rollwagen would be considered independent directors. Judd A. Berlin, a member of our Board of Directors, would not be considered independent because he serves as our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. Sanford M. Brink, a member of our Board of Directors, would not be considered independent because he is the father-in-law of Dr. Daniel C. Sigg, who serves as our Chief Scientific Officer. Dr. Daniel C. Sigg, who is a member of our Board of Directors, would not be considered independent because he serves as our Chief Scientific Officer.

## ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

### Audit Fees

Fees billed or expected to be billed to us for audit services by our independent registered public accounting firm, Lurie Besikof Lapidus & Company, LLP ("Lurie Besikof") for the audit of our annual financial statements and for reviews of our financial statements included in our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 were \$46,500 and \$42,750, respectively.

***Audit-Related Fees***

No fees were billed or are expected to be billed to us by Lurie Besikof for audit-related services provided during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008.

***Tax Fees***

Fees billed or expected to be billed to us by Lurie Besikof for tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2009 or 2008 were \$10,350 and \$4,200, respectively.

***All Other Fees***

No fees were billed or are expected to be billed to us by Lurie Besikof for other services not included above during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2009 or 2008.

***Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures***

Because of our size, complexity, financial condition, and prospects, the Audit Committee is apprised of and pre-approves all fees for services provided by our independent registered public accounting firm. All fees paid to our independent registered public accounting firm for 2009 and 2008 were approved by our Audit Committee. The Audit Committee has considered whether non-audit services provided by our independent registered public accounting firm during 2009 and 2008 were compatible with maintaining the accounting firm's independence.

**PART IV**

**ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

***(a) Documents filed as part of this Report***

(1) The following financial statements are filed herewith in Item 8 in Part II:

- (i) Balance Sheets
- (ii) Statement of Operations
- (iii) Statements of Shareholders' Equity
- (iv) Statements of Cash Flows
- (v) Notes to Financial Statements

(3) Exhibits

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
3.01	Articles of Incorporation of LecTec Corporation, as amended (Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Form S-18 Registration Statement (file number 33-9774C) filed on October 31, 1986 and amended on December 12, 1986)
3.02	Bylaws of LecTec Corporation (Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Form S-18 Registration Statement (file number 33-9774C) filed on October 31, 1986 and amended on December 12, 1986)
**10.03	LecTec Corporation 1998 Stock Option Plan (Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (file number 333-72569) filed on February 18, 1999)
**10.04	LecTec Corporation 1998 Directors' Stock Option Plan (Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (file number 333-72569) filed on February 18, 1999)

- \*\*10.05 LecTec Corporation 2001 Stock Option Plan (Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (file number 333-68920) filed on September 4, 2001)
- 10.14 Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement under the LecTec Corporation 1998 Directors' Stock Option Plan (Incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 26, 2007)
- 10.15 Form of Employee Incentive Stock Option Agreement (Incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 26, 2007)
- 10.16 Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release, dated May 29, 2009, by and between LecTec Corporation and The Mentholatum Company (Incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form on Form 8-K filed on June 6, 2009)
- \*10.17 Supply and License Agreement, entered into as of January 1, 2004, by and between Novartis Consumer Health, Inc. and LecTec Corporation (Incorporated by reference to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2009)
- 10.18 Term Sheet between Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc. and LecTec Corporation (Incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form on Form 8-K filed on November 12, 2009)
- 10.19 Settlement and License Agreement, dated November 11, 2009, by and between LecTec Corporation and Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc. (Incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form on Form 8-K filed on November 12, 2009)
- +10.20 Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release, dated December 18, 2009, by and between LecTec Corporation and Johnson & Johnson Consumer Companies, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form on Form 8-K filed on December 22, 2009)
- +23.01 Consent of Lurie Besikof Lapidus & Company, LLP
- ++24.01 Power of Attorney
- +31.01 Certification of Principal Executive Officer
- +31.02 Certification of Principal Financial Officer
- +32.01 Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- +99.01 Cautionary Statements

Notes to Exhibits

- \* Confidential treatment has been granted for portions of this Exhibit pursuant to Rule 24b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as amended. The confidential portions have been deleted and filed separately with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.
- \*\* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit to this Form 10-K.
- + Filed herewith.
- ++ Included on signature page.

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

LECTEC CORPORATION

/s/ Judd A. Berlin

Judd A. Berlin

Chief Executive Officer

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Judd A. Berlin (with full power to act alone), as his or her true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent, with full powers of substitution and re-substitution, for him or her and in his or her name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign any and all amendments to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of LecTec Corporation, and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto said attorney-in-fact and agent full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite or necessary to be done in and about the premises, as fully to all intents and purposes as he or she might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorney-in-fact and agent, or their substitute or substitutes, lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

March 31, 2010

/s/ Judd A. Berlin

Judd A. Berlin

Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and Director

(Principal Executive Officer)

(Principal Financial Officer)

(Principal Accounting Officer)

/s/ Sanford M. Brink

Sanford M. Brink

Director

March 31, 2010

/s/ Ramanathan Periakaruppan

Ramanathan Periakaruppan

Director

March 31, 2010

/s/ C. Andrew Rollwagen

C. Andrew Rollwagen

Director

March 31, 2010

/s/ Daniel C. Sigg, M.D.

Daniel C. Sigg, M.D.

Director

March 31, 2010

## EXHIBIT INDEX

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
3.01	Articles of Incorporation of LecTec Corporation, as amended (Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Form S-18 Registration Statement (file number 33-9774C) filed on October 31, 1986 and amended on December 12, 1986)
3.02	Bylaws of LecTec Corporation (Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Form S-18 Registration Statement (file number 33-9774C) filed on October 31, 1986 and amended on December 12, 1986)
**10.03	LecTec Corporation 1998 Stock Option Plan (Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (file number 333-72569) filed on February 18, 1999)
**10.04	LecTec Corporation 1998 Directors' Stock Option Plan (Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (file number 333-72569) filed on February 18, 1999)
**10.05	LecTec Corporation 2001 Stock Option Plan (Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (file number 333-68920) filed on September 4, 2001)
10.14	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement under the LecTec Corporation 1998 Directors' Stock Option Plan (Incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 26, 2007)
10.15	Form of Employee Incentive Stock Option Agreement (Incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 26, 2007)
10.16	Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release, dated May 29, 2009, by and between LecTec Corporation and The Mentholatum Company (Incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form on Form 8-K filed on June 6, 2009)
*10.17	Supply and License Agreement, entered into as of January 1, 2004, by and between Novartis Consumer Health, Inc. and LecTec Corporation (Incorporated by reference to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2009)
10.18	Term Sheet between Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc. and LecTec Corporation (Incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form on Form 8-K filed on November 12, 2009)
10.19	Settlement and License Agreement, dated November 11, 2009, by and between LecTec Corporation and Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc. (Incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form on Form 8-K filed on November 12, 2009)
+10.20	Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release, dated December 18, 2009, by and between LecTec Corporation and Johnson & Johnson Consumer Companies, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form on Form 8-K filed on December 22, 2009)
+23.01	Consent of Lurie Besikof Lapidus & Company, LLP
++24.01	Power of Attorney
+31.01	Certification of Principal Executive Officer
+31.02	Certification of Principal Financial Officer
+32.01	Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
+99.01	Cautionary Statements

Notes to Exhibits

- \* Confidential treatment has been granted for portions of this Exhibit pursuant to Rule 24b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as amended. The confidential portions have been deleted and filed separately with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.
- \*\* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit to this Form 10-K.
- + Filed herewith.
- ++ Included on signature page.





**SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND MUTUAL RELEASE**

This Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release ("Agreement"), effective as of the date of the last signature appearing below ("Effective Date"), is by and between LecTec Corporation, a Minnesota corporation, having a principal place of business at 1407 South Kings Highway, Texarkana Texas 75501 ("LecTec"), and Johnson & Johnson Consumer Companies, Inc., a New Jersey corporation with its principal place of business at 199 Grandview Road, Skillman, New Jersey 08558 ("JJCC"). LecTec and JJCC are sometimes referred to herein individually as a "Party" and collectively as "Parties."

**RECITALS**

WHEREAS LecTec filed suit against JJCC and four other defendants in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas ("the Court"), Civil Action Number 5:08-CV-00130-DF (the "Litigation") alleging, among other things, that the sale of certain Ben Gay® brand patches constituted infringement of LecTec's United States Patent Nos. 5,536,263 C-1 and 5,741,510 C-1 ("the '263 patent" and "the '510 patent," respectively; collectively, the "Patents-In-Suit");

WHEREAS LecTec is willing to settle the Litigation against JJCC for an amount that is less than what LecTec would consider to be a reasonable royalty in exchange for JJCC willingness to settle the Litigation at a relatively early stage of the process;

WHEREAS JJCC is willing to settle the Litigation for more than it believes it would be obligated to pay to LecTec in order to avoid the anticipated cost of continued litigation;

\*\* *The appearance of a double asterisk denotes confidential information that has been omitted from the exhibit and filed separately, accompanied by a confidential treatment request, with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Rule 24b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.*

WHEREAS LecTec and JJCC now desire to resolve the Litigation, under the terms and conditions hereof, without acknowledgement of liability by any Party; and  
\*\*

**AGREEMENTS**

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual promises and covenants contained herein, and for such other and further consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the Parties agree as follows:

**1. Payment to LecTec.** JJCC shall pay LecTec the sum of \$1,200,000 within three (3) business days of the Effective Date. The amount shall be due and owing irrespective of any further developments in the Litigation, including any possible determination that either of the Patents-In-Suit is invalid or unenforceable. JJCC shall make payment under this Section 1 by wire transfer to:

Receiving Bank Name: \*\*

Receiving Bank ABA# \*\*

Beneficiary Account Name: \*\*

Beneficiary Account Number: \*\*

Swift: \*\*

**2. Termination of the Litigation.** Promptly upon LecTec's receipt of an original counterpart of this Agreement, LecTec and JJCC shall cause their representatives to file with the Court an Order of Dismissal to terminate the Litigation against JJCC with prejudice. The Parties thereafter shall cooperate fully to ensure entry by the Court.

**3. Mutual General Release.**

**3.1** LecTec, on behalf of itself and its officers, directors, employees, investors, shareholders, administrators, predecessor and successor corporations, attorneys, affiliates, agents, and assigns, hereby fully and forever releases, acquits and discharges JJCC, its officers, directors, employees, investors, shareholders, administrators, attorneys, predecessor and successor corporations, affiliates, agents, and assigns, of and from any claim, duty, obligation or cause of action relating to any matters of any kind, whether presently known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising from the beginning of time to the date of this Agreement, including but not limited to all claims related to the matters alleged in the Litigation, and any other matters connected in any way with the making, using, importing, selling or offering to sell Patch Products, as defined herein. For the avoidance of doubt, "Patch Products," as used in this Agreement shall mean any non-prescription, non-occlusive medicated hydrogel patch product for application to the body to alleviate pain, sold by JJCC or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates anywhere in the world. LecTec further releases and forever discharges the direct and indirect customers and distributors of JJCC, and any subsidiaries or affiliates of either of them, of and from any claim, duty, obligation or cause of action relating to any matters of any kind, whether presently known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising from the beginning of time to the date of this Agreement, related to any matters connected in any way with Patch Products sold by JJCC or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates anywhere in the world. The foregoing release does not extend to any prospective obligations incurred under this Agreement. Further, the foregoing release does not extend to any acts committed by future successor corporations, assigns, subsidiaries or affiliates of JJCC that were in the business of making or selling Patch Products before becoming a successor corporation, assignee, subsidiary or affiliate, including without limitation any of the other defendants in the Litigation.

**3.2** JJCC, on behalf of itself and its officers, directors, employees, investors, administrators, predecessor and successor corporations, attorneys, agents, and assigns, hereby fully and forever release, acquit and discharge LecTec, its officers, directors, employees, investors, shareholders, administrators, attorneys, predecessor and successor corporations, affiliates, agents, and assigns, of and from any claim, duty, obligation or cause of action relating to any matters of any kind, whether presently known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising from the beginning of time to the date of this Agreement, including but not limited to all claims related to the matters alleged in the Litigation and any other matters connected in any way with Patch Products sold by JJCC. JJCC further releases and forever discharges the direct and indirect customers and distributors of LecTec, and any of its subsidiaries or affiliates, of and from any claim, duty, obligation or cause of action relating to any matters of any kind, whether presently known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising from the beginning of time to the date of this Agreement, related to any matters connected in any way with Patch Products sold by LecTec or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates anywhere in the world. The foregoing release does not extend to any prospective obligations incurred under this Agreement. Further, the foregoing release does not extend to any acts committed by future successor corporations, assigns, subsidiaries or affiliates of LecTec that were in the business of making or selling Patch Products before becoming a successor corporation, assignee, subsidiary or affiliate of LecTec.

**4. License Grant by LecTec to JJCC.**

**4.1** Limited by the exceptions set forth in 4.1a, LecTec hereby grants to JJCC, or any of its subsidiaries, affiliates, controlled entities, subcontractors, manufacturers, importers, direct or indirect customers, distributors or any third party that is involved in the development or manufacture of any product on behalf of JJCC, a fully paid-up, world-wide, non-exclusive and irrevocable license under the (a) the Patents-In-Suit, (b) any patent that claims priority, directly or indirectly, from the Patents-In-Suit (the "Family Patents"), including without limitation U.S. Patent Nos. 6,096,333; 6,096,334, and 6,361,790, or (c) any foreign counterparts of the Patents-In-Suit or any of the Family Patents to make, have made, sell, offer for sale, use, import, export, or otherwise dispose of any apparatus, method, product, component, service, product by process or device associated with JJCC or its subsidiaries, affiliates or other controlled entities, for the past, present and future until the expiration of the last patent described above. Without limiting the foregoing license in any way, the Parties agree that the foregoing license shall apply to any and all products and processes now or hereafter sold or used by JJCC or its subsidiaries, affiliates or other controlled entities.

**4.1a.** The License Grant set forth in paragraph 4.1 excludes (i) "Vapor Patches," over-the-counter patches which emit vapors which, when inhaled, provide relief of cough and cold, and (ii) prescription, non-occlusive, medicated hydrogel patch products for application to the body of a human or animal to alleviate pain and which includes prescription pain medicine in any dosage form.

**4.2** LecTec further grants to JJCC or any of its subsidiaries, affiliates, controlled entities, subcontractors, manufacturers, importers, direct or indirect customers, distributors or any third party that is involved in the development or manufacture of Patch Products on behalf of JJCC, a fully paid-up, world-wide, non-exclusive and irrevocable license under any patents with an effective filing date prior to the date of this Agreement that LecTec currently owns or has an interest in or obtains ownership or an interest in in the future to make, have made, sell, offer for sale, use, import, export, or otherwise dispose of any Patch Product associated with JJCC or its subsidiaries, affiliates or other controlled entities.

**4.3** The foregoing licenses shall not apply to sales by JJCC customers of products sold to them by parties other than JJCC, its subsidiaries, affiliates or other controlled entities. The foregoing license shall not apply to future acquired subsidiaries, affiliates or other entities controlled by JJCC that were in the business of making or selling Patch Products before becoming a subsidiary, affiliate or other entity controlled by JJCC, including without limitation any of the other defendants in the Litigation. The foregoing license also shall not apply to products sold to non-retail customers by JJCC that are branded or re-branded for re-sale by the non-retail customer; the foregoing license shall apply to "private label" products sold by JJCC, its subsidiaries, affiliates or other controlled entities to direct retailers.

**5. Agreement Regarding Transfer of Patents.** LecTec agrees that it will not assign or otherwise transfer the patents referred to in the License Grant set forth in Paragraph 4 unless and until the transferee agrees in writing to be bound by said licenses and such writing is provided to JJCC.

**6. Agreement Not To Challenge Patents.** JJCC covenants not to challenge or assist in any way in challenging the validity or enforceability of the Patents-In-Suit, any Family Patents or any foreign counterparts of the Patents-In-Suit or any of the Family Patents, so long as none of these patents is asserted against JJCC or any of their subsidiaries, affiliates, or direct or indirect customers or distributors.

**7. Costs.** Each Party shall bear its own costs, expert fees, attorneys' fees and other fees incurred in connection with the Litigation and this Agreement.

**8. Representations and Warranties.** Each Party represents and warrants that (a) it has the full right and power to enter into this Agreement and to grant the covenants and releases referred to herein, (b) there are no outstanding agreements, assignments, options, liens or encumbrances inconsistent with the provisions of this Agreement; and (c) the undersigned has the authority to act on its behalf and on behalf of all who may claim through it to the terms and conditions of this Agreement.

9. **Severability.** In the event that any provision hereof becomes or is declared by a court of competent jurisdiction to be illegal, unenforceable or void, this Agreement shall continue in full force and effect without said provision.

10. **Entire Understanding.** This Agreement represents the entire agreement and understanding between the Parties and supersedes and replaces any and all prior agreements and understandings relating to these matters.

11. **Amendments.** This Agreement may only be amended by a written agreement signed by both Parties.

12. **Confidentiality.** The Parties agree that the terms of this Agreement (\*\*\*) will be described in a Form 8-K to be filed by LecTec with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) within four business days after the Effective Date. The Parties also agree that this Agreement (\*\*\*) will be filed with the SEC in March 2010 as an exhibit to LecTec's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, and that LecTec will submit to the SEC at that time a request for confidential treatment of \*\*\* which may or may not ultimately be granted by the SEC. In connection with that request, LecTec will submit a redacted version of the Agreement from which reference to \*\*\* has been redacted from the Agreement. If the SEC does not grant LecTec's request for confidential treatment, LecTec will promptly inform JJCC of that decision and the Parties will cooperate to petition the SEC for reconsideration. This Agreement shall be confidential between the Parties. The Parties hereto agree that, except as provided for in this Section, each will not make, issue or release any public announcement, press release, statement or acknowledgment of the existence of, or reveal publicly the terms, conditions and status of, the transactions contemplated herein, \*\*\*, without the prior written consent of the other Party (which shall not be unreasonably withheld) as to the content and time of release and the media in which such statement or announcement is to be made; provided, however, that in the case of announcements, statements, acknowledgments or revelations which either Party is required to make, issue or release by law or by regulatory requirements or by the regulations of national stock exchanges, or by *bona fide* contractual requirements, the making, issuing or releasing of any such announcement, statement, acknowledgment or revelation by the party so required shall not constitute a breach of this Agreement if (i) the disclosure is no broader than necessary to achieve compliance; and (ii) the disclosing Party shall have given sufficient prior notice (but not less than five (5) business days) to the other Party, to enable the other party to review and comment on the scope and content of the disclosure, and to intervene to protect the confidentiality of the disclosure in such other party's discretion. LecTec shall not use the name of JJCC, its parent or any of its affiliates, subsidiaries or other controlled entities for advertising or promotional purposes without the prior written consent of JJCC.

**13. Governing Law and Jurisdiction.** This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Texas, without giving effect to any choice of law provisions thereof. In the event of any dispute arising under this Agreement, LecTec and JJCC agree to submit themselves to the exclusive jurisdiction of the state or federal courts located in the Eastern District of Texas, and waive any objection on the grounds of lack of personal jurisdiction or venue (forum non conveniens or otherwise) to the exercise of such jurisdiction over either of them by these courts. Each party waives the right to a trial by jury.

**14. Binding Effect.** This Agreement shall be binding upon any party to whom any of the patents subject to the covenant not to sue set forth in Section 4 may be assigned, licensed, or otherwise transferred.

**15. No Assignment or Sublicense by JJCC.** JJCC may not assign or transfer this Agreement, including the rights and obligations thereunder, to any third-party, except in connection with a sale to a third-party of substantially all of JJCC's assets or the sale of JJCC's Patch Products business, in which case this Agreement may be assigned to the third-party purchaser, but the provisions of Paragraph 4 (license grant) shall only apply to Patch Products offered for sale by JJCC at the time of the said sale of substantially all of their assets or JJCC's Patch Products business, and not to any other products sold by the purchaser of JJCC's Patch Product business. Except as set forth in Paragraph 4, JJCC may not sublicense the license rights granted under Paragraph 4 of this Agreement to any third-party.

**16. Counterparts.** This Agreement shall be executed in two (2) counterparts, whereby LecTec and JJCC shall each execute a duplicate original thereof, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as an original and shall constitute an effective, binding agreement on the part of each of the undersigned.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, LecTec and JJCC have caused this Agreement to be executed by their duly authorized representatives, whose signatures appear below.

**Johnson & Johnson Consumer Companies, Inc.**

By: /s/ Hugh G. Dineen  
Hugh G. Dineen

Date: December 18, 2009

**LecTec Corporation**

By: /s/ Judd Berlin  
Judd Berlin, Chief Executive Officer

Date: December 18, 2009

\*\*





**CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statements of LecTec Corporation on Form S-3 (File No. 333-40183, effective November 17, 1997) and Forms S-8 (File No. 33-121780, effective April 21, 1987, File No. 33-45931, effective February 21, 1992, File No. 333-46283, effective February 13, 1998, File No. 333-46289, effective February 13, 1998, File No. 333-72569, effective February 18, 1999, File No. 333-72571, effective February 18, 1999 and File No. 333-68920, effective September 4, 2001) of our report dated March 31, 2010, appearing in this annual report on form 10-K of LecTec Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2009.

/s/ LURIE BESI KOF LAPIDUS & COMPANY, LLP

Minneapolis, Minnesota  
March 31, 2010



**CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Judd A. Berlin, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of LecTec Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principals;
  - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 31, 2010

s/ Judd A. Berlin  
Judd A. Berlin  
Chief Executive Officer

---



**CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT  
OF 2002**

I, Judd A. Berlin, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of LecTec Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principals;
  - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 31, 2010

s/ Judd A. Berlin  
Judd A. Berlin  
Chief Executive Officer

---



**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES – OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report of LecTec Corporation (the “Company”) on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Report”), I, Judd A. Berlin, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, to the best of my knowledge that:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Judd A. Berlin  
Judd A. Berlin  
Chief Executive Officer and  
Chief Financial Officer  
March 31, 2010

---



