UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

For the fiscal year ended **December 31, 2012**

[] TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to ____

Commission file number: 000-53605

OptimizeRx Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

<u>26-1265381</u> (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

48307

(Zip Code)

<u>Nevada</u> (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

> 400 Water Street, Ste. 200 <u>Rochester, MI</u> (Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number: 248-651-6568

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

Title of each class none

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

Title of each class Common Stock, par value of \$0.001

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes [] No [X]

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes [] No [X]

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 229.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes [] No [X]

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 232.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Yes [] No [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company.

[] Large accelerated filer	[] Accelerated filer
[] Non-accelerated filer	[X] Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes [] No [X]

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter. **\$10,991,495**

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. 14,192,496 common shares as of March 12, 2013

1

Name of each exchange on which registered <u>not applicable</u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

<u>PART I</u>

Item 1.	Business	3
Item 2.	Properties	9
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	10
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	10

<u>PART II</u>

Item 5.	<u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer</u> Purchases of Equity Securities	10
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	13
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	13
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	15
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	15
Item 9.	Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	16
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	16
Item 9B.	Other Information	16

PART III

Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	17
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	20
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related	22
	Stockholder Matters	
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	23
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	23
	<u>PART IV</u>	
Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	24

PART I

Item 1. Business

Company Overview

The 2012 year showed continued growth in our company with an 85% increase in revenues of \$2,048,699 in 2012, as compared with our prior year. Our net loss also improved from \$1,453,977 in 2011 to \$364,345 in 2012.

For the fourth quarter of 2012, we more than doubled growth in revenues - from \$325,910 in 2011 to \$750,719 in 2012. Our bottom line significantly improved with net income of \$118,993 in the fourth quarter of 2012, as compared with a net loss of \$93,143 in the fourth quarter of 2011.

Our recent success is largely attributable to leveraging our market position to further acquire more brands and electronic health platforms to integrate eCoupon support within participating physician's electronic prescribing workflow.

We stuck out this year with a solid message to the pharmaceutical industry that was threefold:

1) SampleMD can deliver promotional scale to physicians at point of prescription through interoperability with multiple disparate electronic health platforms;

2) Electronic health platforms (EMRs, eRx, Patient Portals) are the new digital frontier to reaching physicians and patients as opposed to external web sites that have been traditionally the focus of their non-rep marketing; and

3) The ability of our SampleMD solution to integrate within multiple application platforms while adhering to compliance and regulatory requirements by defining and utilizing industry standards was a powerful message to the industry.

Throughout 2012, we promoted brand support programs, including eVouchers, eCopay Coupons and Patient Education, from 20 major pharmaceutical and medical manufacturers. We have also seen our client base increase with new programs initiated with Eli Lilly, Roche Diagnostics, Pfizer, Daiichi Sankyo, Roche Pharmaceutical, Alcon Laboratories and many others. The industry acknowledged SampleMD leadership in the marketplace as we established new partnerships with DrFirst, and new working relationships with Adheris and Krames Staywell.

Additionally, we launched SampleMD's unique "dashboard reporting" portal to our clients which enables our clients and platform partners to monitor their programs in real time and evaluate performance of individual channels and overall program effectiveness.

Our SampleMD solution also went live in the Allscripts PRO EMR solution. However, automated access to the additional 25,000 Allscripts PRO based clinicians has been limited due to doctors having to actively turn the eCoupon feature on. However, we are working with Allscripts senior management to internally remedy this obstacle because of the clear benefits to the healthcare provider, its patients and the payors looking to improve overall healthcare outcomes. We anticipate Allscripts PRO moving to an "opt-out" feature to turn the eCoupon tool off as opposed to turning it on, thus automating access to these providers in the second quarter of 2013.

We have begun the integration for SampleMD into HealthTronics, the largest Urology EMR in the country, which serves over 2,200 doctors. This specialty network is very significant to some of our current coupons for brands like Cialis and Vesicare, as well as positioning us to acquire other new brands and manufacturers who seek to reach these types of specialists. SampleMD is also in direct discussion with some of the largest remaining EMRs and ePrescribing platforms, along with the largest hospital and health systems.



To further escalate our value and growth, we have formalized a partnership agreement with one of the premier and most respective brands in the industry: PDR, also known and Physicians Desk Reference. This allows both companies to leverage synergistic strengths to offer more solutions and reach to the pharmaceutical industry, as well as more value to the electronic health records (EMR/EHR) platforms. SampleMD will be PDR's eCoupon and Rep invite platform, and PDR will enable expansion of SampleMD's reach to additional EMRs and directly to physicians' offices via a PDR branded downloadable desktop application of the SampleMD solution.

Additionally, SampleMD has entered into a partnership and licensing agreement with LDM Group, a leading provider of patient support. In addition to settling the legal issues between our companies and giving us full licensing of their patents, LDM allows us to enter into a major new promotional channel: promotion at point of pharmacy. We will be LDMs exclusive eCoupon partner to offer both savings and educational support right when a patient receives their prescription fill.

In the fourth quarter of 2012, we were awarded a patent for our innovative SampleMD solution (US Patent No. 8,341,015). This award highlighted our continued research and development efforts. The awarded claims cover our ability to electronically process, display and distribute eligible prescription savings on the medications and therapies healthcare providers wish to prescribe for their patients. We have also recently submitted and will be preparing additional filings to protect our intellectual property on forthcoming solutions that will further assist and support physicians, pharmacists and patients.

In addition, we have hired Harness, Dickey & Pierce, a nationally ranked IP firm, to further expand and protect our intellectual property. Through them, we have filed two additional patents on our technology. We also used the firm to file a patent infringement lawsuit against Physicians Interactive. Management believes the current and expanding IP will allow us to continue being the leader in this rapidly growing space.

Adding strength to our organization, we have re-aligned our management team and added Mr. Shad Stastney as the new Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Stastney, 43, has served as an active member of our board since 2009 and has provided us with keen insights and guidance. Mr. Stastney is a founding partner of Vicis Capital LLC, which managed assets that peaked at \$5 billion dollars. He graduated from the University of North Dakota in 1990 with a B.A. in Political Theory and History, and from Yale Law School in 1994 with a J.D. focusing on corporate and tax law.

From 1994 to 1997, he worked as an associate at Cravath, Swaine and Moore in New York, where he worked in the tax and corporate group, focusing on derivatives. In 1997, he joined CSFB's then-combined convertible/equity derivative origination desk. From 1998 through 2001, he worked in CSFB's corporate equity derivatives origination group, eventually becoming a Director and Head of the Hedging and Monetization Group, a joint venture between derivatives and equity capital markets. In 2001, he jointly founded Vicis Capital Management, LP, and in 2004, he jointly founded Vicis Capital LLC.

Mr. Harrell became Vice-Chairman and will be responsible for developing corporate strategy and product innovation. David Lester, will continue to manage company operations as Chief Operating Officer.

In summary, we remain committed to working with top organizations to provided better affordability and access to healthcare for the patients we serve. To achieve this, we will continue to work with leading providers in partnering to provide simple to use solutions. As compliance and regulatory requirements (i.e. meaningful use) continue to surround healthcare providers, OptimizeRx continues through its partnerships and internal R&D to become the "HUB" for providing access to these ease of use solutions.

With these continued efforts, we believe that SampleMD continues to be regarded as the innovative industry leader and setting the standards within this new frontier of digital EMR solution marketing for patient care.

Table of Contents

Principal Products and Applications

Our principal products and applications can be summarized as follows:

 SampleMD - Today, almost 60% of doctors' offices ban or limit drug representatives and the samples they offer. Although samples are still valuable, many healthcare systems and doctors are looking for an easier, more effective way to increase affordable access and adherence to their prescribed branded medications which led us during the past year to develop our direct to physician solution called SampleMD.

SampleMD is a revolutionary virtual "Patient Support Center" that allows doctors and staff to access a universe of sample vouchers, co-pay coupons and the fulfillment and adjudication of claims directly from their or their EMR and/or e-Prescribe systems to search, print or electronically dispense drug samples, co-pay coupons and patient educational support through a national network of pharmacies. SampleMD eliminates the need for physicians to manage and store physical drug samples by offering a more convenient and efficient way to allocate, administer and track samples and co-pay savings provided to their patients.

OPTIMIZERx.com – Our Direct to Consumer WebSite is a portal to healthcare savings for patients to centrally review and participate in prescription
and healthcare savings and support programs. To date, we have over 2.4 million members who have registered. We strive to provide all the
information and guidance that patients undergoing long-term pharmaceutical treatments may require. Patients can search by their medication or
their condition in order to access educational information regarding their condition, information regarding their medication, coupons for instant
savings when they purchase their medications, information on free drug trials, and guidance to any other savings programs available to them.

Marketing and Sales

We continue to extend our marketing efforts to build both brand and capabilities awareness to the market. As mentioned above, we actively participated in industry and partner events such as exlPharma and the ACE – Allscripts Users Conference. During the course of the year, we also initiated and delivered successful email marketing campaigns as well as successful public relations and press release communications initiatives. we have enlisted a successful advertising campaign through Pharma Exec magazine that netted several responses and qualified leads.

We plan to continue these efforts and with our marketing partners, we intend to continue to promote OPTIMIZERx and SampleMD primarily through the following:

- Industry and Partner event Email Comapigns Internet Marketing
- Public Relations Campaigns
- Physician Offices
- Direct to Consumer Marketing
- Trade Media Advertising
- Pharmacy Partners
- Physician Organizations and Associations
- Strategic Relationships

We also work through our existing and new partners, including Dr First, Allscripts and PDR, to promote our services.

Research and Development

We are keen on the opinions and input that we gain from all stake holders by which our products and solutions cross. From the prescribing clinicians that utilize our solutions to add value to the patients they serve, to the partners we use to leverage their channels for distribution and promotion of the services, we are able to greatly assist the pharmaceutical manufacturing clients that depend on our solutions to increase their brand awareness and assist patients in need of their offerings. This "Voice of the Stake Holder" is a mantra that we leverage in analyzing industry trends and market shifts and identifying enhancements and new offerings through our SampleMDTM solution. This effort involves all of our officers and directors as part of our continual research development team while monitoring new technologies, trends, services, and partnerships that can help us provide additional services and increased value to the healthcare and pharmaceutical industries and to the patients they serve.

We have developed two new key functions involved with allowing a live chat or conversation between the health provider and product manufacturer or service, as well as a one click way to request a representative visit. This addresses major access barriers that limit doctors interaction with the manufacturer and allows the health system or provider to access needed information when they want it.

Last year we added a Director of Technology and Director of Software Development to strengthen our core technical team while further developing our core portfolio products and solutions, and begin development of new solutions offerings to expand our solutions offerings footprint. We also continue to work with the Engineering and Information Technology department of Oakland University in Rochester Michigan. As the University has opened the doors of its new medical school, it also brings highly skilled technology and application developers whom posses a solid knowledge of medical industry IT requirements.

We continue our commitment to educate both our direct and our extended teams through an understanding of all market dynamics that have the potential to affect our business in both the short and long term. Our primary goal is to help patients better afford and access the medicines their doctors prescribe, as well as other healthcare products and services they need. Based on this goal, we continually seek better ways to meet this mission through the use of improved technology, user feedback, and working closely with the pharmaceutical industry. We are continually seeking new ways we can engage the pharmaceutical industry to provide new support programs to patients in need of their products.

Competition

SampleMD has faced some competition based on the growth in the space primarily by Physicians Interactive. As noted, we have filed a patent infringement suit against the company.

The OptimizeRx.com website continues to compete in the highly competitive pharmaceutical and healthcare advertising industry that is dominated by large well-known companies with established names, solid market niches, wide arrays of product offerings and marketing networks. Our largest competitors include a variety of healthcare website publishers and networks that provide online advertising competition to OPTIMIZERx.com, including Quality Health, WebMD, McKesson, and Drugs.com.

- Quality Health Quality Health hosts an interactive website that allows users to research information regarding medical conditions, medications, and treatments. Visitors to their website can also search for doctors or health centers in their area, both generally and specific to their condition.
- WebMD WebMD provides in-depth reference material regarding medical conditions and medicines to users. Individuals can search for a diagnosis via symptoms or research details regarding their previously diagnosed medical conditions. Online support forums are also hosted for patients with particularly challenging conditions.
- McKesson McKesson Corporation has been providing health care services in the United States for over 175 years. They act as a distributor for
 pharmaceutical companies to a network of pharmacies, and have developed online solutions for customers, third-party payors, and manufacturers.
 McKesson has significantly greater financial resources and brand recognition than we do.

Intellectual Property

In the fourth quarter of 2012, we were awarded a patent for our innovative SampleMD solution (US Patent No. 8,341,015). This award highlighted our continued research and development efforts. The awarded claims cover our ability to electronically process, display and distribute eligible prescription savings on the medications and therapies healthcare providers wish to prescribe for their patients. We have also recently submitted and will be preparing additional filings to protect our intellectual property on forthcoming solutions that will further assist and support physicians, pharmacists and patients. In addition, we have hired Harness, Dickey & Pierce, a nationally ranked IP firm, to further expand and protect our intellectual property. Through them, we have filed two additional patents on our technology. We also used the firm to file a patent infringement lawsuit against Physicians Interactive. Management believes the current and expanding IP will allow us to continue being the leader in this rapidly growing space.

Our intellectual property is developed significantly each month. Since inception, we have developed and launched OFFERx and ADHERxE, and we are further integrating these platforms to provide more robust offerings. OPTIMIZERx.com and OFFERx are patent pending.

Government Regulation

Fraud and Abuse Laws

Anti-Kickback Statutes

The federal healthcare program Anti-Kickback Statute prohibits persons from knowingly and willfully soliciting, offering, receiving or providing remuneration, directly or indirectly, in exchange for or to induce either the referral of an individual for, or the furnishing, arranging for or recommending a good or service for which payment may be made in whole or part under a federal healthcare program such as Medicare or Medicaid. The definition of remuneration has been broadly interpreted to include anything of value, including for example gifts, discounts, the furnishing of supplies or equipment, credit arrangements, payments of cash and waivers of payments. Several courts have interpreted the statute's intent requirement to mean that if any one purpose of an arrangement involving remuneration is to induce referrals or otherwise generate business involving goods or services reimbursed in whole or in part under federal healthcare programs, the statute has been violated. The law contains a few statutory exceptions, including payments to bona fide employees, certain discounts and certain payments to group purchasing organizations. Violations can result in significant penalties, imprisonment and exclusion from Medicare, Medicaid and other federal healthcare programs. Exclusion of a manufacturer would preclude any federal healthcare program from paying for its products. In addition, kickback arrangements can provide the basis for an action under the Federal False Claims Act, which is discussed in more detail below. The Anti-Kickback Statute is broad and potentially prohibits many arrangements and practices that are lawful in businesses outside of the healthcare industry. Recognizing that the Anti-Kickback Statute is broad and may technically prohibit many innocuous or beneficial arrangements, the Office of Inspector General of Health and Human Services, or OIG, issued a series of regulations, known as the safe harbors, beginning in July 1991. These safe harbors set forth provisions that, if all the applicable requirements are met, will assure healthcare providers and other parties that they will not be prosecuted under the Anti-Kickback Statute. The failure of a transaction or arrangement to fit precisely within one or more safe harbors does not necessarily mean that it is illegal or that prosecution will be pursued. However, conduct and business arrangements that do not fully satisfy each applicable safe harbor may result in increased scrutiny by government enforcement authorities such as the OIG. Arrangements that implicate the Anti-Kickback Law, and that do not fall within a safe harbor, are analyzed by the OIG on a case-by-case basis. Government officials have focused recent enforcement efforts on, among other things, the sales and marketing activities of healthcare companies, and recently have brought cases against individuals or entities with personnel who allegedly offered unlawful inducements to potential or existing customers in an attempt to procure their business. Settlements of these cases by healthcare companies have involved significant fines and/or penalties and in some instances criminal pleas. In addition to the Federal Anti-Kickback Statute, many states have their own kickback laws. Often, these laws closely follow the language of the federal law, although they do not always have the same exceptions or safe harbors. In some states, these anti-kickback laws apply with respect to all payors, including commercial health insurance companies.

False Claims Laws

Federal false claims laws prohibit any person from knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, a false claim for payment to the federal government or knowingly making, or causing to be made, a false statement to get a false claim paid. Manufacturers can be held liable under false claims laws, even if they do not submit claims to the government, if they are found to have caused submission of false claims. The Federal Civil False Claims Act also includes whistle blower provisions that allow private citizens to bring suit against an entity or individual on behalf of the United States and to recover a portion of any monetary recovery. Many of the recent highly publicized settlements in the healthcare industry related to sales and marketing practices have been cases brought under the False Claims Act. The majority of states also have statutes or regulations similar to the federal false claims laws, which apply to items and services reimbursed under Medicaid and other state programs, or, in several states, apply regardless of the payor. Sanctions under these federal and state laws may include civil monetary penalties, exclusion of a manufacturer's products from reimbursement under government programs, criminal fines and imprisonment.



Table of Contents

Privacy and Security

The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA, and the rules promulgated there under require certain entities, referred to as covered entities, to comply with established standards, including standards regarding the privacy and security of protected health information, or PHI. HIPAA further requires that covered entities enter into agreements meeting certain regulatory requirements with their business associates, as such term is defined by HIPAA, which, among other things, obligate the business associates to safeguard the covered entity's PHI against improper use and disclosure. While not directly regulated by HIPAA, our customers or distributors might face significant contractual liability pursuant to such an agreement if the business associate breaches the agreement or causes the covered entity to fail to comply with HIPAA. It is possible that HIPPA compliance could become a substantial regulatory burden and expense to our operations, although we do not believe that this will occur as a general website publisher.

Corporate History

Optimizer Systems, LLC was formed in the State of Michigan on January 31, 2006. It then became a corporation in the state of Michigan on October 22, 2007 and changed its name to OptimizeRx Corporation on October 22, 2007. On April 14, 2008, our company, known at the time as RFID Ltd., entered into a share exchange agreement with the stockholders of OptimizeRx Corporation, pursuant to which the stockholders of OptimizeRx Corporation exchanged all of the issued and outstanding capital stock of OptimizeRx Corporation for 10,664,000 shares of common stock of RFID Ltd.. As of April 30, 2008, RFID's officers and directors resigned their positions and RFID changed its business to OptimizeRx's business. As a result, the historical discussion and financial statements included in this annual report are those of OptimizeRx Corporation. On April 15, 2008, RFID Ltd's corporate name was changed to OptimizeRx Corporation. On September 4, 2008, we then completed a migratory merger, thereby changing our state of incorporation from Colorado to Nevada, resulting in the current corporate structure in which we, OptimizeRx Corporation, a Nevada corporation is the parent corporation, and OptimizeRx Corporation, a Michigan Corporation is our wholly-owned subsidiary.

Employees

As of December 31, 2012, we had 11 full-time employees and 2 part time / co-op employees in addition to 3 contracted programmers through our established a relationship with Oakland University for technical and programming resources.

Subsidiaries

We conduct our operations through our wholly-owned subsidiary, OptimizeRx Michigan.

Item 2. Properties

Currently, we do not own any real estate. Our principal executive offices are located at 400 Water Street, Suite 200, Rochester, Michigan, 48307. We have entered into a 3 year lease for this 2,886 square foot facility, with a cost of approximately\$5,049.25 per month. We believe that our properties are adequate for our current needs, but growth potential may require larger facilities due to anticipated addition of personnel. We do not have any policies regarding investments in real estate, securities or other forms of property.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Aside from the following, we are not a party to any pending legal proceeding. We are not aware of any pending legal proceeding to which any of our officers, directors, or any beneficial holders of 5% or more of our voting securities are adverse to us or have a material interest adverse to us.

On November 5, 2012, LDM Group, LLC commenced an action against us in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri, Eastern Division. The complaint alleges that we infringed on a patent issued on February 21, 2012 in favor of LDM. LDM alleges that its patent is an invention of a method for making available targeted content to a prescription medication patient while the patient is still in the physician's office. According to LDM, our Integrated SampleMD uses systems and methods that perform the elements of the LDM patent and, therefore, infringes on its patent.

We intend to vigorously defend the action brought by LDM.

On February 6, 2013, we filed a Complaint for Patent Infringement against Physicians Interactive Inc., Physicians Interactive Holdings, Inc. and Skyscape.com, in which we allege that one or more of those entities has infringed on United States Patent No. 8,341,015. As of March 11, 2013, no further action has occurred in that case.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Market Information

Our common stock is quoted under the symbol "OPRX" on the OTCBB operated by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. ("FINRA") and the OTCQB operated by OTC Markets Group, Inc. Few market makers continue to participate in the OTCBB system because of high fees charged by FINRA. Consequently, market makers that once quoted our shares on the OTCBB system may no longer be posting a quotation for our shares. As of the date of this report, however, our shares are quoted by several market makers on the OTCQB. The criteria for listing on either the OTCBB or OTCQB are similar and include that we remain current in our SEC reporting. Our reporting is presently current and, since inception, we have filed our SEC reports on time.

Only a limited market exists for our securities. There is no assurance that a regular trading market will develop, or if developed, that it will be sustained. Therefore, a shareholder may be unable to resell his securities in our company.

()

The following tables set forth the range of high and low prices for our common stock for the each of the periods indicated as reported by the OTCQB. These quotations reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission and may not necessarily represent actual transactions.

Fis	cal Year Ending December 31, 2012	2
Quarter Ended	High \$	Low \$
December 31, 2012	1.61	0.85
September 30, 2012	1.85	0.43
June 30, 2012	1.35	0.75
March 31, 2012	1.23	0.53

Fi	iscal Year Ending December 31, 2011	l
Quarter Ended	High \$	Low \$
December 31, 2011	1.37	0.25
September 30, 2011	1.44	0.21
June 30, 2011	1.08	0.45
March 31, 2011	1.09	0.60

On March 11, 2013, the last sales price per share of our common stock was \$1.25.

Penny Stock

The SEC has adopted rules that regulate broker-dealer practices in connection with transactions in penny stocks. Penny stocks are generally equity securities with a market price of less than \$5.00, other than securities registered on certain national securities exchanges or quoted on the NASDAQ system, provided that current price and volume information with respect to transactions in such securities is provided by the exchange or system. The penny stock rules require a broker-dealer, prior to a transaction in a penny stock, to deliver a standardized risk disclosure document prepared by the SEC, that: (a) contains a description of the nature and level of risk in the market for penny stocks in both public offerings and secondary trading; (b) contains a description of the broker's or dealer's duties to the customer and of the rights and remedies available to the customer with respect to a violation of such duties or other requirements of the securities laws; (c) contains a brief, clear, narrative description of a dealer market, including bid and ask prices for penny stocks and the significance of the spread between the bid and ask price; (d) contains a toll-free telephone number for inquiries on disciplinary actions; (e) defines significant terms in the disclosure document or in the conduct of trading in penny stocks; and (f) contains such other information and is in such form, including language, type size and format, as the SEC shall require by rule or regulation.

The broker-dealer also must provide, prior to effecting any transaction in a penny stock, the customer with (a) bid and offer quotations for the penny stock; (b) the compensation of the broker-dealer and its salesperson in the transaction; (c) the number of shares to which such bid and ask prices apply, or other comparable information relating to the depth and liquidity of the market for such stock; and (d) a monthly account statement showing the market value of each penny stock held in the customer's account.

In addition, the penny stock rules require that prior to a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from those rules, the broker-dealer must make a special written determination that the penny stock is a suitable investment for the purchaser and receive the purchaser's written acknowledgment of the receipt of a risk disclosure statement, a written agreement as to transactions involving penny stocks, and a signed and dated copy of a written suitability statement.

These disclosure requirements may have the effect of reducing the trading activity for our common stock. Therefore, stockholders may have difficulty selling our securities.

Table of Contents

Holders of Our Common Stock

As of March 12, 2013, we had 14,232,496 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding, held by 310 shareholders of record, not including those held in street name.

Dividends

We currently intend to retain future earnings for the operation of our business. We have never declared or paid cash dividends on our common stock, and we do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

In the event that a dividend is declared, common stockholders on the record date are entitled to share ratably in any dividends that may be declared from time to time on the common stock by our board of directors from funds legally available.

There are no restrictions in our articles of incorporation or bylaws that restrict us from declaring dividends. The Nevada Revised Statutes, however, do prohibit us from declaring dividends where, after giving effect to the distribution of the dividend:

- 1. We would not be able to pay our debts as they become due in the usual course of business; or
- 2. Our total assets would be less than the sum of our total liabilities, plus the amount that would be needed to satisfy the rights of shareholders who have preferential rights superior to those receiving the distribution.

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans

We are currently in the process of establishing a new stock incentive plan for employees, directors and consultants that provide valuable services to our company. We expect to have the plan adopted by our board of directors in the coming months.

Equity Compensation Plans as of December 31, 2012

	А	В	С
	Number of securities to be issued		Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans
	upon exercise of outstanding	Weighted-average exercise price of	(excluding securities reflected in
Plan Category	options, warrants and rights	outstanding options, warrants and right	column (A))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	-	-	-
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	13,411,100	2.14	-
Total	13,411,100	2.14	-

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

The information set forth below describes our issuance of securities without registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, during the year ended December 31, 2012, that were not previously disclosed in a Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q or in a Current Report on Form 8-K:



On October 12, 2012, we issued a five year option to a consultant to purchase 25,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.58 per share.

These issuances were deemed to be exempt under rule 506 of Regulation D and Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, since, among other things, the transactions did not involve a public offering, the investors were accredited investors and / or qualified institutional buyers, the investors had access to information about the Company and their investment, the investors took the securities for investment and not resale, and the Company took appropriate measures to restrict the transfer of the securities.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

A smaller reporting company is not required to provide the information required by this Item.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements, other than purely historical information, including estimates, projections, statements relating to our business plans, objectives, and expected operating results, and the assumptions upon which those statements are based, are "forward-looking statements." These forward-looking statements generally are identified by the words "believes," "project," "expects," "anticipates," "estimates," "intends," "strategy," "plan," "may," "will," "would," "will be," "will continue," "will likely result," and similar expressions. Forward-looking statements are based on current expectations and assumptions that are subject to risks and uncertainties which may cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements. Our ability to predict results or the actual effect of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. Factors which could have a material adverse affect on our operations and future prospects on a consolidated basis include, but are not limited to: changes in economic conditions, legislative/regulatory changes, availability of capital, interest rates, competition, and generally accepted accounting principles. These risks and uncertainties should also be considered in evaluating forward-looking statements and undue reliance should not be placed on such statements.

Results of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

Revenues

Our total revenue reported for year ended December 31, 2012 was \$2,048,699, an increase from \$1,111,520 from the prior year.

Our increased revenue for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared with the prior year is a result of the continued viability of our SampleMD solution and the setup and integration fees for pharmaceutical manufacturers whom are participating within this offering.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses increased to \$2,413,044 for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$2,565,497 for the year ended December 31, 2011. Our major expenses for the year ended December 31, 2012 were advertising expenses of \$57,218, professional fees of \$263,396, consulting expenses of \$19,148, salaries, wages, and benefits of \$1,184,367, rent of \$62,362, depreciation and amortization of \$187,104, stock based compensation of \$285,605 and general and administrative expenses of \$353,844. In comparison, our operating expenses for the year ended December 31, 2011 were advertising expenses of \$544,071, professional fees of \$342,503, consulting expenses of \$185,174, salaries, wages, and benefits of \$864,655, rent of \$37,868, depreciation and amortization of \$145,315 and general and administrative expenses of \$445,911.

Our expenses decreased in 2012 as compared with 2011 largely as a result of decreased advertising costs, professional fees, consulting expenses and general and administrative costs.



Other Income/Expenses

Other income was \$369 for year ended December 31, 2012 an increase from other expenses of \$666,785 for same period ended 2011. We had \$958,641 in interest expenses in 2011 over only \$100 in 2012, which mostly accounted for the difference.

Net Loss

Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$363,976, compared to net loss of \$\$2,120,762 for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Notwithstanding the net loss for the year, we believe that our company is starting to show real signs of improvement. In our fourth quarter 2012, we had revenues of \$750,719, as compared to revenues of \$325,910 for our fourth quarter 2011.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of December 31, 2012, we had total current assets of \$969,219 and total assets in the amount of \$2,175,404. Our total current liabilities as of December 31, 2012 were \$679,945. We had working capital of \$289,274 as of December 31, 2012.

Operating activities used \$624,033 in cash for the year ended December 31, 2012. Our net loss of \$363,976 along with \$282,019 in accounts payable, \$60,000 in accrued expenses, \$151,851 in accounts receivable, and \$281,353 in deferred revenue were the primary components of our negative operating cash flow, offset mainly by \$180,640 in stock-based compensation, \$187,104 in depreciation and amortization and \$50,874 in prepaid expenses.

Investing activities used \$50,870 during the year ended December 31, 2012 largely as a result of website development costs.

On September 16, 2011, we entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with Vicis Capital Master Fund for sale of up to 50 shares of our Series B Preferred Stock and warrants to purchase up to 3,333,334 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$3.00 per share.

We have sold 15 shares of Series B Preferred Stock and a warrant to purchase 1,000,000 shares of our common stock at the above exercise price for \$1,500,000. This money was used to pay off a promissory note we had with Physicians Interactive and the balance is for working capital.

Our financing deal with Vicis has lapsed according to the terms of the Securities Purchase Agreement. However, we are in discussions with Vicis, who we feel is a committed financial partner, to address our capital needs as we continue to generate revenues and our business expands. There is no written agreement in place for such financing, but we will update our disclosures if and when such financing becomes available.

On January 10, 2013, we entered into a Securities Redemption Option Agreement with Vicis that provides us with an option to purchase all of the outstanding shares and derivative securities held by Vicis for total payment of nine million dollars (\$9,000,000). The shares and derivative securities include the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, and warrants to purchase shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock held by Vicis. Our option expires on December 31, 2013 and may be extinguished if Vicis sells its securities before we exercise our option.

As of December 31, 2012 with the current level of financing and cash on hand, we have sufficient cash to operate our business at the current level for the next twelve months but insufficient cash to achieve our business goals unless we: a) realize cash revenues on sales generated; and/or b) receive financing from Vicis. We are uncertain what type of financing we will need as we continue to ramp up our revenue stream. As mentioned, we are continually in contact with Vicis about our financing needs and hope to have something in place if we need more cash.

Table of Contents

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of December 31, 2012, there were no off balance sheet arrangements.

Critical Accounting Policies

In December 2001, the SEC requested that all registrants list their most "critical accounting polices" in the Management Discussion and Analysis. The SEC indicated that a "critical accounting policy" is one which is both important to the portrayal of a company's financial condition and results, and requires management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain.

Our critical accounting policies are set forth in Note 2 to the financial statements.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

The Company does not expect the adoption of recently issued accounting pronouncements to have a significant impact on the Company's results of operation, financial position or cash flow.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

A smaller reporting company is not required to provide the information required by this Item.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Index to Financial Statements Required by Article 8 of Regulation S-X:

Audited Financial Statements:

F-1	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm;
F-2	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011;
F-3	Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011;
F-4	Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity as of December 31, 2012;
F-5	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011;
	and

F-6 Consolidated Notes to Financial Statements.

Silberstein Ungar, PLLC CPAs and Business Advisors

Phone (248) 203-0080 Fax (248) 281-0940 30600 Telegraph Road, Suite 2175 Bingham Farms, MI 48025-4586 www.sucpas.com

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors OptimizeRx Corporation Rochester, Michigan

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of OptimizeRx Corporation as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company has determined that it is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of OptimizeRx Corporation, as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and the results of their operations and cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

<u>/s/ Silberstein Ungar, PLLC</u> Silberstein Ungar, PLLC

Bingham Farms, Michigan March 8, 2013

OPTIMIZERx CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2012 AND 2011

ASSETS	December 31,			December 31,		
		2012		2011		
Current Assets						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	284,263	\$	959,166		
Accounts receivable		616,798		471,870		
Prepaid expenses		68,158		119,032		
Total Current Assets		969,219		1,550,068		
Property and equipment, net		20,685		23,931		
Other Assets						
Patent rights, net		793,236		847,941		
Web development costs, net		387,215		465,498		
Security deposit		5,049		5,049		
Total Other Assets		1,185,500		1,318,488		
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	2,175,404	\$	2,892,487		
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY						
Current Liabilities						
Accounts payable - trade	\$	54,693	\$	336,712		
Accounts payable - related party		570,000		570,000		
Accrued expenses		6,000		66,000		
Deferred revenue		49,252		330,605		
Total Liabilities		679,945		1,303,317		
Stockholders' Equity						
Common stock, \$.001 par value, 500,000,000 shares authorized, 14,232,496 and 14,192,496 shares issued and						
outstanding		14,232		14,192		
Preferred stock, \$.001 par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized,						
65 shares issued and outstanding		-0-		-0-		
Stock warrants		20,058,051		20,826,934		
Additional paid-in-capital		6,164,666		5,125,558		
Accumulated deficit		(24,741,490)		(24,377,514)		
Total Stockholders' Equity	_	1,495,459	_	1,589,170		
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$	2,175,404	\$	2,892,487		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

OPTIMIZERx CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012 AND 2011

		For the year ended December 31, 2012	For the year ended December 31, 2011		
REVENUE					
Sales	\$	2,048,699	\$ 1,111,520		
TOTAL REVENUE		2,048,699	1,111,520		
EXPENSES					
Operating expenses (See note 17)		2,413,044	2,565,497		
operating expenses (see note 17)		2,413,044	2,505,497		
TOTAL EXPENSES		2,413,044	2,565,497		
LOSS FROM OPERATIONS		(364,345)	(1,453,977)		
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)					
Interest income		469	1,290		
Other income		-0-	290,566		
Interest expense		(100)	(958,641)		
TOTAL OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)		369	(666,785)		
IOTAL OTHER INCOME (EAFENSE)		309	(000,783)		
LOSS BEFORE PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES		(363,976)	(2,120,762)		
E055 BEFORE FROMISION FOR INCOME TRAES		(505,570)	(2,120,702)		
PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES		-0-	-0-		
NET LOSS	\$	(363,976)	\$ (2,120,762)		
	-				
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES OUTSTANDING:					
BASIC AND DILUTED		14,215,884	13,921,669		
NET LOSS PER SHARE: BASIC AND DILUTED	\$	(0.03)	<u>\$</u> (0.15)		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

OPTIMIZERx CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2012

	Commo	Common Stock Prefer		erre	d Stoc	ckStock		Additional Paid-in	Accumulated	Total Stockholders'			
	Shares		Amount	Shares	_	Ar	nount	Warrants	Warrants	Warrants	Capital	Deficit	Equity
Balance, January 1, 2011	13,606,676	\$	13,607	5()	\$	-0-	\$20,281,328	\$ 3,355,615	\$(21,756,752)	\$ 1,893,798		
Outstanding share adjustment	10,000		10						(10)		-0-		
Issuance of common stock:													
for preferred dividends	475,820		475						499,525	(500,000)	-0-		
for settlement	100,000		100						114,900		115,000		
equity issuance costs									(172,500)		(172,500)		
Issuance of preferred stock and													
stock warrants				1:	5			644,540	855,460		1,500,000		
Issuance of stock options:													
for consulting									184,149		184,149		
to employees									189,485		189,485		
Cancellation of stock warrants:								(00.02.4)	00.024		0		
for settlement								(98,934)	98,934		-0-		
Net loss for the year					_					(2,120,762)	(2,120,762)		
Balance, December 31, 2011	14,192,496		14,192	6:	5			\$20,826,934	5,125,558	(24,377,514)	1,589,170		
Issuance of stock options:													
to employees									150,367		150,367		
for consulting									75,098		75,098		
Issuance of common stock:													
for services	40,000		40						44,760		44,800		
Reclassification for options previously recorded as warrants								(768,883)	768,883		-0-		
								(,	,				
Net loss for the year					_					(363,976)	(363,976)		
Balance, December 31, 2012	14,232,496	\$	14,232	6:	5	\$	-0-	\$20,058,051	\$ 6,164,666	\$(24,741,490)	\$ 1,495,459		
Summer, December 51, 2012	14,232,490	φ	14,232	0.	_	φ	-0-	\$20,036,031	φ 0,104,000	$\phi(24,741,490)$	\$ 1,495,459		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

OPTIMIZERx CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012 AND 2011

		For the year ended December 31, 2012		For the year ended December 31, 2011
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:	^		^	
Net loss for the year	\$	(363,976)	\$	(2,120,762)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used by operating				
activities:		197 104		145 215
Depreciation and amortization		187,104		145,315
Stock issued for services Stock-based compensation		49,618 180,640		83,992 189,485
Stock-based compensation Stock options issued for services		40,007		100,157
Amortization of debt discount		-0-		916,667
Bad debt expense				-0-
		6,923		-0-
Changes in: Accounts receivable		(151,851)		(245,870)
Prepaid expenses		50,874		(243,870) (38,981)
Accounts payable		(282,019)		304,303
Accounts payable Accrued interest		-0-		(15,000)
Accrued expenses		(60,000)		54,300
Deferred revenue		(281,353)		104,885
NET CASH USED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES				(521,509)
NET CASH USED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES		(624,033)	-	(521,509)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		(2.220)		(12 100)
Purchases of property and equipment		(2,230)		(13,100)
Payment of security deposit		-0-		(5,049)
Website site development costs		(48,640)		(221,770)
NET CASH USED BY INVESTING ACTIVITIES		(50,870)		(239,919)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:				
Equity issuance costs		-0-		(57,500)
Issuance of preferred stock		-0-		855,460
Issuance of warrants in connection with preferred stock		-0-		644,540
Payments on loan payable		-0-		(1,000,000)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES		-0-		442,500
NET (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS		(674,903)		(318,928)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - BEGINNING OF PERIOD		959,166		1,278,094
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - END OF PERIOD	\$	284,263	\$	959,166
SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION:				
Cash paid for interest	\$	100	\$	41,974
Cash paid for income taxes	\$		\$	
Cash paid for income taxes	\$	-0-	\$	-0-
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF NONCASH INVESTING A FINANCING ACTIVITIES:	AND			
Common stock issued to satisfy dividends related to preferred s	stock ¢	-0-	\$	500.000
				,
Common stock issued for settlement of equity issuance costs	\$	-0-	\$	115,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

NOTE 1 - NATURE OF BUSINESS

Optimizer Systems, LLC was formed in the State of Michigan on January 31, 2006. It then became a corporation in the State of Michigan on October 22, 2007 and changed its name to OptimizeRx Corporation. On April 14, 2008, RFID, Ltd., a Colorado corporation, consummated a reverse merger by entering into a share exchange agreement with the stockholders of OptimizeRx Corporation, pursuant to which the stockholders of OptimizeRx Corporation exchanged all of the issued and outstanding capital stock of OptimizeRx Corporation for 1,256,958 shares of common stock of RFID, Ltd., representing 100% of the outstanding capital stock of April 30, 2008, RFID's officers and directors resigned their positions and RFID changed its business to OptimizeRx's business. On April 15, 2008, RFID, Ltd.'s corporate name was changed to OptimizeRx Corporation. On September 4, 2008, a migratory merger was completed, thereby changing the state of incorporation from Colorado to Nevada, resulting in the current corporate structure, in which OptimizeRx Corporation, a Nevada corporation, is the parent corporation, and OptimizeRx Corporation, a Michigan corporation, is a wholly-owned subsidiary (together, "OptimizeRx" and "the Company").

The wholly-owned subsidiary, OptimizeRx Corporation, is a technology solutions company targeting the health care industry. Their objective is to bring better access to better care through connecting patients, physicians and pharmaceutical manufacturers through technology. Once defined as a marketing and advertising company through its consumer website, OptimizeRx is maturing as a technology solutions provider as it launched its direct to physician solution, SampleMD. SampleMD allows physicians to search, print and send available sample trial vouchers and/or co-pay coupons on behalf of their patients. The SampleMD solution can either sit on the doctor's desktop or can be integrated into the ePrescribing or Electronic Medical Records applications. OptimizeRx solutions provide pharmaceutical manufacturers either a direct to consumer and/or direct to physician channels for communicating and promoting their products. It provides health care providers a means to provide sampling and coupons without having to physically store samples on site, and it provides better access and affordability to the patients.

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

The financial statements of the Company have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America and are presented in US dollars.

Accounting Basis

The Company uses the accrual basis of accounting and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP" accounting). The Company has adopted a December 31 fiscal year end.

Principles of Consolidation

The financial statements reflect the consolidated results of OptimizeRx Corporation (a Nevada corporation) and its wholly owned subsidiary OptimizeRx Corporation (a Michigan corporation). All material inter-company transactions have been eliminated in the consolidation.

Reclassifications

Certain accounts and financial statement captions in the prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current period financial statements.

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the accompanying financial statements, the Company considers all highly liquid instruments with an initial maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The fair value of cash, accounts receivable, prepaid expenses, patent rights, web development costs, accounts payable, accounts payable – related party, accrued expenses and deferred revenue approximates the carrying amount of these financial instruments due to their short-term nature. The fair value of long-term debt, which approximates its carrying value, is based on current rates at which the Company could borrow funds with similar remaining maturities.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Accounts receivable are reported at realizable value, net of allowances for doubtful accounts, which is estimated and recorded in the period the related revenue is recorded. The Company has a standardized approach to estimate and review the collectability of its receivables based on a number of factors, including the period they have been outstanding. Historical collection and payer reimbursement experience is an integral part of the estimation process related to allowances for doubtful accounts. In addition, the Company regularly assesses the state of its billing operations in order to identify issues, which may impact the collectability of these receivables or reserve estimates. Bad debt expense was \$6,923 and \$0 for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The allowance for doubtful accounts was \$0 as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Property and Equipment

The capital assets are being depreciated over their estimated useful lives, three to seven years using the straight-line method of depreciation for book purposes.

Revenue Recognition

All revenue is recognized when it is earned. Revenues are generated either through the Company's website activities, in which we earn revenue from advertising and lead generation activities, or from our SampleMD activities, which include offering setup within the systems and our offers, coupons, and vouchers that enable our customers to save money on medical products and services. The Company's processes are monitored by third parties who collect revenues from clients on a per activity basis and report and forward the revenue to the Company's account.

Research and Development

The Company's key members are part of a continual research development team and monitor new technologies, trends, services and partnerships that can provide the Company with additional services, value to healthcare and pharmaceutical industries and to the patients it serves.

The Company seeks to educate team members through understanding of all market dynamics that have the potential to affect the business both short term and longer term. The primary goal is to help patients better afford and access the medicines their doctor prescribes, as well as other healthcare products and services they need. Based on this, the Company continually seeks better ways to meet this mission through technology, better user experiences and new ways to engage industries to provide new support for patients needing their products. The Company is always seeking new services and solutions to offer. At this time, the three current platforms provide robust opportunities and growth during the next five years.



NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Income Taxes

Income taxes are computed using the asset and liability method. Under the asset and liability method, deferred income tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the currently enacted tax rates and laws. A valuation allowance is provided for the amount of deferred tax assets that, based on available evidence, are not expected to be realized.

Concentration of Credit Risks

The Company maintains its cash and cash equivalents in bank deposit accounts, which, at times, may exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts; however, amounts in excess of the federally insured limit may be at risk if the bank experiences financial difficulties.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Estimates and assumptions have been made in determining the depreciable lives of such assets and the allowance for doubtful accounts receivable. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Earnings Per Common and Common Equivalent Share

The computation of basic earnings per common share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year. The computation of diluted earnings per common share is based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the year plus common stock equivalents which would arise from the exercise of warrants outstanding using the treasury stock method and the average market price per share during the year. Options, warrants and convertible preferred stock which are common stock equivalents are not included in the diluted earnings per share calculation for December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, since their effect is anti-dilutive.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company continually monitors events and changes in circumstances that could indicate carrying amounts of long-lived assets may not be recoverable. When such events or changes in circumstances are present, the Company assesses the recoverability of long-lived assets by determining whether the carrying value of such assets will be recovered through undiscounted expected future cash flows. If the total of the future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of those assets, the Company recognizes an impairment loss based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the assets. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or the fair value less costs to sell.

Recently Issued Accounting Guidance

The Company does not expect the adoption of recently issued accounting pronouncements to have a significant impact on the Company's results of operations, financial position or cash flow.

NOTE 3 - PREPAID EXPENSES

Prepaid expenses consisted of the following as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

	2012	2011
Insurance	\$ 6,437	\$ 5,937
Website maintenance	0	17,500
Rent	5,049	0
Consulting	31,672	91,811
Employee advances	0	694
Advertising	0	3,090
Legal	25,000	0
Total prepaid expenses	\$ 68,158	\$ 119,032

NOTE 4 - PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

The Company owned equipment recorded at cost which consisted of the following as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

	 2012	 2011
Computer equipment	\$ 22,360	\$ 20,130
Furniture and fixtures	11,088	11,088
Subtotal	 33,448	 31,218
Accumulated depreciation	(12,763)	(7,287)
Property and equipment, net	\$ 20,685	\$ 23,931

Depreciation expense was \$5,476 and \$2,230 for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

NOTE 5 – WEB DEVELOPMENT COSTS

The Company has capitalized costs in developing their website and web-based products, which consisted of the following as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

	 2012	2011
OptimizeRx web development	\$ 154,133	\$ 154,133
SampleMD web development	602,517	553,877
Subtotal, web development costs	 756,650	708,010
Accumulated amortization	(310,352)	(183,429)
Impairment	 (59,083)	 (59,083)
Web development costs, net	\$ 387,215	\$ 465,498

Amortization is recorded using the straight-line method over a period of five years. The Company determined that the original OptimizeRx website was no longer useful so the remaining unamortized balance of \$59,083 was impaired as of December 31, 2010. Amortization expense for the web development costs was \$126,923 and \$88,379 for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

NOTE 6 - PATENT RIGHTS AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

On April 26, 2010, the Company acquired from an officer and shareholder the technical contributions and assignment of all exclusive rights to and for the SampleMD patent currently in process in exchange for 300,000 shares of common stock to be granted at the discretion of the seller in addition to 200,000 stock options valued at \$360,000. The shares were valued on the grant date at \$570,000 and have been recorded as a payable to the related party.

The Company has capitalized costs in purchasing the SampleMD patent, which consisted of the following as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

	2012		2011	
Patent rights and intangible assets	\$	930,000 \$	930,000	
Accumulated amortization		(136,764)	(82,059)	
Patent rights and intangible assets, net	\$	793,236 \$	847,941	

The Company began amortizing the patent, using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of 17 years, once it was put into service in July 2010. Amortization expense was \$54,705 and \$54,706 for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

NOTE 7 - ACCRUED EXPENSES

Accrued expenses consisted of the following as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

	2012	2011
Accrued bonuses	\$ 0	\$ 60,000
Accrued audit fees	6,000	6,000
Total accrued expenses	\$ 6,000	\$ 66,000

NOTE 8 - DEFERRED REVENUE

The Company has signed several contracts with customers for either the distribution or redemption of coupons. The payments are not taken into revenue until either the coupon is distributed to a patient or the coupon has been redeemed depending on the specific contract. The distributions and redemptions are tracked by the company's administrative tool. Additionally, customer setup contracts that have been paid in full are deferred until the Company has completed the obligations of the contacts. Deferred revenue was \$49,252 and \$330,605 as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 respectively.

NOTE 9 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company acquired from an officer and shareholder the technical contributions and assignment of all exclusive rights to and for the SampleMD patent currently in process in exchange for 300,000 shares of common stock to be granted at the discretion of the seller in addition to 200,000 stock options valued at \$360,000. The shares were valued on the grant date at \$570,000 and have been recorded as a payable to the related party.

NOTE 10 - COMMON STOCK

OptimizeRx Corporation has 500,000,000 shares of \$.001 par value common stock authorized as of December 31, 2012.

There were 14,232,496 and 14,192,496 common shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

On June 30, 2011, the Company entered into a settlement agreement with Midtown Partners. Under the settlement agreement, the Company will pay Midtown Partners \$57,500 and grant 100,000 shares of its common stock. The cost of the settlement has been recorded as equity issuance costs. As a result of the settlement, the litigation in the Eastern District of Michigan was dismissed.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company issued 475,820 shares of common stock to satisfy \$500,000 of preferred dividends. The Company has not declared any dividends in 2012.

On June 1, 2012, the Company entered into a consulting agreement with North Coast Advisors, Inc. for various services. The Company agreed to issue 40,000 shares of common stock as of the date of the contract. However, these shares were not issued until July 12, 2012. The Company also agreed to issue an additional 40,000 shares every six months in alignment with the agreement renewal up to the two years of the agreement. The first 40,000 shares were valued at the Company's common stock price as of the date of the contract, which was \$1.12/share and has been expensed. No additional shares were issued as of December 31, 2012.

NOTE 11 – PREFERRED STOCK

Series A Preferred

During the year ended December 31, 2008, 35 preferred shares were issued for \$3,500,000. Issuance costs totaled \$515,000 resulting in net proceeds of \$2,985,000. The 35 shares are convertible to 3,500,000 shares of common stock and bear a 10% cumulative dividend. In addition, there was a warrant issued to purchase 6,000,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$2 for a period of seven years.

The holders of the preferred stock are entitled to semi-annual dividends payable on the stated value of the Series A preferred stock at a rate of 10% per annum, which shall be cumulative, and accrue daily from the issuance date. The dividends may be paid in cash or shares of the Company's common stock at management's discretion. If after the conversion eligibility date, the market price for the common stock for any ten consecutive trading days in which the stock trades for over \$2 per share and trading exceeds 100,000 shares per day, the preferred shareholders can be required to convert their shares to common stock. Each share of Series A preferred stock shall also be convertible at the option of the holder into that number of shares of common stock of the Company at the stated value of such share at a \$1 conversion price.

The holder may cause this conversion at the time the shares are eligible for resale by the holder. The conversion price is subject to adjustment as hereinafter provided, at any time, or from time to time upon the terms and in the manner hereinafter set forth in the shareholder agreement. There is no conversion expiration date, however, the holder must provide 30 days notice for the registration of the conversion.

NOTE 11 - PREFERRED STOCK (CONTINUED)

Series A Preferred Continued

On May 12, 2010, the Company's Board declared and issued 236,598 common shares as payment for all cumulative and current semi-annual dividends. On November 16, 2010, the Company's Board declared and issued 173,922 common shares for its semi-annual dividend payment. On March 25, 2011, the Company's Board declared and issued 176,768 common shares for its semi-annual dividend payment. On September 21, 2011, the Company's Board declared and issued 156,306 common shares for its semi-annual dividend payment. The Company has undeclared dividends that were due in February and September 2012 totaling \$350,000 as of December 31, 2012.

Series B Preferred

During the year ended December 31, 2010, 15 preferred shares were issued for \$1,500,000. The 15 shares are convertible to 1,500,000 shares of common stock and bear a 10% cumulative dividend. In addition, there was a warrant issued to purchase 3,000,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$3 for a period of seven years.

The preferred stock was issued for \$1,500,000 less associated issuance costs of \$350,000 for net proceeds of \$1,150,000. Additionally, 3,000,000 common stock warrants were issued with the preferred stock. Based on the fair values of the preferred stock and common stock warrants on the issue date, \$341,100 was allocated to preferred stock and \$1,158,900 was allocated to the common stock warrants. Equity issuance costs of \$350,000 were allocated to the preferred stock.

During the quarter ended September 30, 2011, 15 preferred shares were issued to an investor for \$1,500,000. The 15 shares are convertible to 1,500,000 shares of common stock and bear a 10% cumulative dividend. In addition, there was a warrant issued to purchase 1,000,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$3 for a period of seven years. Based on the fair values of the preferred stock and common stock warrants on the issue date, \$855,460 was allocated to preferred stock and \$644,540 was allocated to the common stock warrants. See Note 12.

The holders of the preferred stock are entitled to semi-annual dividends payable on the stated value of the Series B preferred stock at a rate of 10% per annum, which shall be cumulative, and accrue daily from the issuance date. The dividends may be paid in cash or shares of the Company's common stock at management's discretion. If after the conversion eligibility date, the market price for the common stock for any ten consecutive trading days in which the stock trades for over \$2 per share and trading exceeds 100,000 shares per day, the preferred shareholders can be required to convert their shares to common stock. Each share of Series B preferred stock shall also be convertible at the option of the holder into that number of shares of common stock of the Company at the stated value of such share at a \$1.50 conversion price.

The holder may cause this conversion at the time the shares are eligible for resale by the holder. The conversion price is subject to adjustment as hereinafter provided, at any time, or from time to time upon the terms and in the manner hereinafter set forth in the shareholder agreement. On March 25, 2011, the Company's Board declared and issued 75,758 common shares for its semi-annual dividend payment. On September 21, 2011, the Company's Board declared and issued 66,988 common shares for its semi-annual dividend payment. The Company has undeclared dividends that were due in February and September 2012 totaling \$150,000 as of December 31, 2012.



NOTE 12 - STOCK OPTIONS AND WARRANTS

The Company accounts for employee stock-based compensation in accordance with the guidance of ASC Topic 718: Compensation - Stock Compensation, which requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the financial statements based on their fair values.

The Company follows ASC Topic 505-50, formerly EITF 96-18, "Accounting for Equity Instruments that are Issued to Other than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling Goods and Services," for stock options and warrants issued to consultants and other non-employees. In accordance with ASC Topic 505-50, these stock options and warrants issued as compensation for services provided to the Company are accounted for based upon the fair value of the services provided or the estimated fair market value of the option or warrant, whichever can be more clearly determined. The fair value of the equity instrument is charged directly to compensation expense and additional paid-in capital over the period during which services are rendered.

On October 1, 2010, the Company issued 25,000 stock options to an employee with a vesting period of one year and an exercise price of \$1.21. The options were valued on the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: dividend yield of 0%, expected volatility of 241%, risk-free interest rate of 1.26% and expected life of 60 months. The options were fully expensed as of December 31, 2011.

On April 27, 2011, the Company issued 100,000 stock options to an individual at an exercise price of 0.73. The options were valued on the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: dividend yield of 0%, expected volatility of 221%, risk-free interest rate of 2.06% and expected life of 60 months. The agreement is for a period of six months. The Company recognized expenses of \$83,992 during the year ended December 31, 2011.

On May 31, 2011, the Company issued 285,000 stock options to 3 employees at an exercise price of \$1.00. The options were valued on the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: dividend yield of 0%, expected volatility of 218%, risk-free interest rate of 1.68% and expected life of 60 months. The total value of the options was \$320,585. The options vest over one year. The Company recognized share-based compensation expense of \$187,005 during the year ended December 31, 2011. The remaining balance of \$133,580 was recognized over the first five months of 2012.

During the quarter ended September 30, 2011, there was a warrant issued to purchase 1,000,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$3 for a period of seven years. In addition, 15 preferred shares were issued to an investor for \$1,500,000. The 15 shares are convertible to 1,500,000 shares of common stock and bear a 10% cumulative dividend. Based on the fair values of the preferred stock and common stock warrants on the issue date, \$855,460 was allocated to preferred stock and \$644,540 was allocated to the common stock warrants. See Note 11.

During the quarter ended December 31, 2011, the Company issued 20,000 stock options to 2 employees at an exercise price of \$1.00. The options were valued on the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: dividend yield of 0%, expected volatility of 204-205%, risk-free interest rate of 0.88-0.93% and expected life of 60 months. The total value of the options was \$19,270. The options vest over one year. The Company recognized share-based compensation expense of \$2,480 and \$16,790 of expense during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

NOTE 12 - STOCK OPTIONS AND WARRANTS (CONTINUED)

On November 21, 2011, the Company issued 100,000 stock options to an individual at an exercise price of \$0.73. The options were valued on the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: dividend yield of 0%, expected volatility of 205%, risk-free interest rate of 0.92% and expected life of 60 months. The Company recognized expenses of \$8,346 during the year ended December 31, 2011 and \$91,811 during the year ended December 31, 2012.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2012, the Company issued 50,000 stock options to 4 non-employees at an exercise price of \$0.89. The options were valued on the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: dividend yield of 0%, expected volatility of 198%, risk-free interest rate of 0.65% and expected life of 48 months. The total value of the options was \$35,091. The options vest over 4 months. The Company recognized share-based compensation expense of \$35,091 during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

During the quarter ended December 31, 2012, the Company issued 25,000 stock options to a non-employee at an exercise price of \$1.58. The options were valued on the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: dividend yield of 0%, expected volatility of 200%, risk-free interest rate of 0.67% and expected life of 60 months. The total value of the options was \$40,007. The options vest over 1 year. The Company recognized share-based compensation expense of \$8,335 during the three months ended December 31, 2012. The remaining balance will be recognized in the following year.

The Company had the following options outstanding for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

	Number of Options	Weighted average exercise price
Outstanding, January 1, 2011	0	\$ 0.00
Granted - 2011	505,000	1.01
Exercised - 2011	0	0
Expired - 2011	(100,000)	(1.00)
Balance, December 31, 2011	405,000	1.01
Granted - 2012	75,000	1.17
Exercised - 2012	0	0
Expired - 2012	(455,000)	(.98)
Balance, December 31, 2012	25,000	\$ 1.58

The Company had the following warrants outstanding for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

	Number of Warrants	Weighted average exercise price
Outstanding, January 1, 2011	11,111,100	\$ 2.26
Granted - 2011	3,333,334	3.00
Exercised - 2011	0	0
Expired - 2011	(100,000)	(2.50)
Balance, December 31, 2011	14,344,434	2.41
Granted - 2012	0	0
Exercised - 2012	0	0
Expired - 2012	0	0
Balance, December 31, 2012	14,344,434	\$ 2.41

NOTE 13 - OPERATING LEASES

The Company signed a lease for new office space on December 1, 2011 at an approximate rent of \$5,000 per month. The new offices are in Rochester, Michigan. The lease is for three years with an option to renew for an additional two years at approximately \$5,200 per month with six months advance notice to exercise the option.

Minimum annual rent is as follows for the initial term of the lease:

Year ended December 31, 2013	\$ 60,591
2014	55,542
2015	0
Total lease commitment	\$ 116,133

NOTE 14 - MAJOR CUSTOMERS

The Company had two major customers that accounted for 52% and two major customers that accounted for 50% of the Company's revenues for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Company expects to continue to maintain these relationships with the customers.

NOTE 15 – INCOME TAXES

For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company incurred a net loss of approximately \$364,000 and therefore has no tax liability. The Company began operations in 2007 and has previous net operating loss carry-forwards of \$13,479,000 through December 31, 2011. The cumulative loss of \$13,843,000 will be carried forward and can be used through the year 2032 to offset future taxable income. In the future, the cumulative net operating loss carry-forward for income tax purposes may differ from the cumulative financial statement loss due to timing differences between book and tax reporting.

The provision for Federal income tax consists of the following for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

	2012	2011	
Federal income tax benefit attributable to:			
Current operations	\$ 123,000 \$	721,000	
Valuation allowance	(123,000)	(721,000)	
Net provision for federal income tax	\$ 0 \$	0	

The cumulative tax effect at the expected rate of 34% of significant items comprising our net deferred tax amount is as follows as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	2012	2011	
Deferred tax asset attributable to:			
Net operating loss carryover	\$ 4,706,000 \$	4,583,000	
Valuation allowance	(4,706,000)	(4,583,000)	
Net deferred tax asset	\$ 0 \$	0	

Due to the change in ownership provisions of the Tax Reform Act of 1986, net operating loss carry forwards of approximately \$13,843,000 for Federal income tax reporting purposes are subject to annual limitations. Should a change in ownership occur, net operating loss carry forwards may be limited as to use in future years.



NOTE 16 - OPERATING EXPENSES

Operating expenses consisted of the following for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively:

	 2012	2011
Advertising	\$ 57,218	\$ 544,071
Professional fees	263,396	342,503
Consulting	19,148	185,174
Salaries, wages and benefits	1,184,367	864,655
Rent	62,362	37,868
Depreciation and amortization	187,104	145,315
Stock-based compensation	285,605	0
General and administrative	353,844	445,911
Total Operating Expenses	\$ 2,413,044	\$ 2,565,497

NOTE 17 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On January 14, 2013, the Company hired a new CEO. The employment agreement requires annual compensation of \$175,000 that will be accrued and deferred until at least January 1, 2014. Additionally, the agreement requires the issuance of 2,000,000 options with an exercise price of \$1.00 for a term of five years. The options are not exercisable until at least January 1, 2014.

In accordance with ASC 855-10, the Company has analyzed its operations subsequent to December 31, 2012 through the date these financial statements were issued and has determined that it does not have any material subsequent events to disclose in these financial statements other than the events described above.

Item 9. Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

No events occurred requiring disclosure under Item 304 of Regulation S-K during the fiscal year ending December 31, 2012.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in company reports filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in company reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including our chief executive officer and treasurer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

As required by Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15 under the Exchange Act, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2012. Based on their evaluation, they concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over our financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act). Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer and effected by our board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of our financial reporting and the preparation of our financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Internal control over financial reporting includes policies and procedures that pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets; provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of our financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with the authorization of our board of directors and management; and provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our chief executive officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO"). Based on this evaluation under the criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2012.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of our registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by our registered public accounting firm pursuant to an exemption for non-accelerated filers set forth in Section 989G of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act.

During the most recently completed fiscal quarter, there has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None



PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The following information sets forth the names, ages, and positions of our current directors and executive officers as of December 31, 2012.

Name	Age	Position(s) and Office(s) Held
Shad Stastney	44	Chairman, President, Chief Executive Officer
		and Director
David Lester	55	Chief Operating Officer, Secretary, Treasurer and Director
David A. Harrell	47	Vice Chairman, Chief Strategic Officer and
David A. Hallell	- 7	Director
Terence J. Hamilton	47	Director and VP of Sales

Set forth below is a brief description of the background and business experience of each of our current executive officers and directors.

Shad Stastney

Mr. Stastney is a member of and the chief operating officer for Vicis Capital, LLC, a company he jointly founded in 2004. Mr. Stastney also jointly founded Victus Capital Management LLC in 2001. From 1998 through 2001, Mr. Stastney worked with the corporate equity derivatives origination group of Credit Suisse First Boston, eventually becoming a Director and Head of the Hedging and Monetization Group, a joint venture between derivatives and equity capital markets. In 1997, he joined Credit Suisse First Boston's then-combined convertible/equity derivative origination desk. From 1994 to 1997, he was an associate at the law firm of Cravath, Swaine and Moore in New York, in their tax and corporate groups, focusing on derivatives. He graduated from the University of North Dakota in 1990 with a B.A. in Political Theory and History, and from the Yale Law School in 1994 with a J.D. degree focusing on corporate and tax law.

David Lester

Mr. Lester is a business veteran whom has accumulated over thirty years of executive experience in the areas of business, marketing, sales, operations, technology, and leadership. Prior to accepting his new role with us, Mr. Lester held the title of Director, Consumer & Industrial Products Marketing for Deloitte LLP. During his tenure at Deloitte, he established Deloitte as a leader through innovative programs and strategic partnerships. Prior to Deloitte, he worked with Sun Microsystems as Director, Industry Strategy & Marketing, and Manufacturing Industries.

David Lester has worked with Governor Tommy Thompson, former Secretary of Health & Human Services, on health care reform and cost control; partnered with Governor Tom Ridge, former head of Homeland Security on defending cyber security initiatives; and as a active participant within the National Association of Manufacturers and the Manufacturing Institute worked with former Michigan Governor John Engler, now President of the National Association of Manufacturers, on challenges inhibiting the competitiveness of manufacturers like health care reform, trade policy, renewable energy, business tax reform, and sustainability.

David A. Harrell

Mr. Harrell founded the Company in January of 2006 and has served as our President and Chief Executive Officer. He became a director when the Company changed from a limited liability to a corporation in 2007. Mr. Harrell was the Vice President of Development for Meridian Incorporated from 2003-2005 and, prior to that, had been Vice President of Sales and Marketing since 1999 at Advance Graphic Systems. Mr. Harrell has spent two decades leading sales, marketing and business development units within the pharmaceutical and national retail industries. Prior to his work at Advance Graphic Systems, Mr. Harrell served for ten years at SmithKline Beecham, specializing in the managed markets healthcare segment. As part of the Integrated Health Division, Mr. Harrell was responsible for contracting and achieving regional revenue growth for SmithKline Beecham's four business units: Pharmaceuticals, Consumer Health, Clinical Labs and Diversified Pharmaceutical Services (PBM). During his tenure with SmithKline Beecham, he was a recipient of numerous national awards and served as a member of the Division's Strategic Planning Committee. Mr. Harrell graduated from Oakland University with a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration.

Terence J. Hamilton

Mr. Hamilton joined the Company as a Director and VP of Sales in February 2008. Prior to that, Mr. Hamilton was Manager at MedImmune since 2005 and was Senior National Account Manager for Glaxo SmithKline pharmaceuticals for 13 years prior to that. Mr. Hamilton has spent the last 19 years working in the pharmaceutical and biotech arenas within various sales, marketing and managed markets management positions. He also has held many positions within the pharmaceutical and biotech industries, including District Manager, Brand Manager, Managed Market Specialist, Contract Manager, Government Account Manager.

Directors

Our bylaws authorize two (2) directors unless changed by the Board of Directors. The board has since changed the number of directors authorized, and we currently have four (4) Directors.

Term of Office

Our Directors are appointed for a one-year term to hold office until the next annual general meeting of our shareholders or until removed from office in accordance with our bylaws. Our officers are appointed by our board of directors and hold office until removed by the board.

Significant Employees

We have no significant employees other than our officers and directors.

Family Relationships

There are no family relationships between or among the directors, executive officers or persons nominated or chosen by us to become directors or executive officers.

Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings

To the best of our knowledge, during the past ten years, none of the following occurred with respect to a present or former director, executive officer, or employee: (1) any bankruptcy petition filed by or against any business of which such person was a general partner or executive officer either at the time of the bankruptcy or within two years prior to that time; (2) any conviction in a criminal proceeding or being subject to a pending criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations and other minor offenses); (3) being subject to any order, judgment or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any court of competent jurisdiction, permanently or temporarily enjoining, barring, suspending or otherwise limiting his or her involvement in any type of business, securities or banking activities; and (4) being found by a court of competent jurisdiction (in a civil action), the SEC or the Commodities Futures Trading Commission to have violated a federal or state securities or commodities law, and the judgment has not been reversed, suspended or vacated.



Audit Committee

We do not have a separately-designated standing audit committee. The entire board of directors performs the functions of an audit committee, but no written charter governs the actions of the board of directors when performing the functions of that would generally be performed by an audit committee. The board of directors approves the selection of our independent accountants and meets and interacts with the independent accountants to discuss issues related to financial reporting. In addition, the board of directors reviews the scope and results of the audit with the independent accountants, reviews with management and the independent accountants our annual operating results, considers the adequacy of our internal accounting procedures and considers other auditing and accounting matters including fees to be paid to the independent auditor and the performance of the independent auditor.

We do not have an audit committee financial expert because of the size of our company and our board of directors at this time. We believe that we do not require an audit committee financial expert at this time because we retain outside consultants who possess these attributes as needed.

For the fiscal year ending December 31, 2012, the board of directors:

- 1. Reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements with management, and
- 2. Reviewed and discussed the written disclosures and the letter from our independent auditors on the matters relating to the auditor's independence.

Based upon the board of directors' review and discussion of the matters above, the board of directors authorized inclusion of the audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2012 to be included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our directors and executive officers and persons who beneficially own more than ten percent of a registered class of the Company's equity securities to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of common stock and other equity securities of the Company. Officers, directors and greater than ten percent beneficial shareholders are required by SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. To the best of our knowledge based solely on a review of Forms 3, 4, and 5 (and any amendments thereof) received by us, the following persons have failed to file, on a timely basis, the identified reports required by Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act during fiscal year ended December 31, 2011:

Name and principal position	Number of late reports	Transactions not timely reported	Known failures to file a required form
Shad Stastney	0	0	0
Chairman, President, CEO and Director			
David Lester	0	0	0
COO, Secretary, Treasurer and Director			
David A. Harrell	0	0	0
Vice Chairman, Chief Strategic Officer			
and Director			
Terence J. Hamilton	0	0	0
VP of Sales and Director			
Richard Kraniak	0	0	0
10% Holder			

Code of Ethics

As of December 31, 2012, we had not adopted a Code of Ethics for Financial Executives, which would include our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The table below summarizes all compensation awarded to, earned by, or paid to our former or current executive officers for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

SUMMADY COMDENSATION TABLE

		501	VINIARY	COMPE	NSATION	IABLE			
Name						Non-Equity	Nonqualified		
and				Stock	Option	Incentive Plan	Deferred	All Other	
principal			Bonus	Awards	Awards	Compensation	Compensation	Compensation	Total
position	Year	Salary (\$)	(\$)	(\$)	$(\$)^{(2)}$	(\$)	Earnings (\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Shad Stastney	2012	0							0
Chairman, President, CEO and	$2011^{(1)}$	7,200							7,200
Director									
David Lester	2012	157,500	10,000						167,500
COO, Secretary, Treasurer and Director	2011	157,500			205,287				362,787
David A. Harrell	2012	166,698	50,000						216,698
Vice Chairman, Chief Strategic Officer	2011	163,390	20,500		177,165				361,055
and Director									
Terence J. Hamilton	2012	157,500	7,000						164,500
VP of Sales and Director	2011	157,500	15,000		143,320			31,487	347,407

⁽¹⁾ Only for his services as a director.

⁽²⁾ This amount reflects the dollar amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes for the fiscal year indicated in accordance with ASC Topic 718 – Stock Compensation, Share Based Payments of awards of restricted stock and stock options, as applicable.

Narrative Disclosure to the Summary Compensation Table

On January 14, 2013, we entered into a written Employment Agreement with Shad Stastney. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Employment Agreement:

• Mr. Stastney will serve as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of our company for a period of twelve (12) months;

• Mr. Stastney will earn a base salary of \$175,000;

• We will issue to Mr. Stastney an option to acquire two million (2,000,000) shares of our common stock at an exercise price per share of \$1.00 with a term of 5 years; and

• Mr. Stastney will be entitled to participate in any employee benefit plans, as established by our board of directors.

Mr. Stastney also agreed to keep certain information confidential and not compete with or solicit from our company for a period of time.

On April 6, 2009, we entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Lester to serve as our Chief Executive Officer. The agreement was amended on January 14, 2013 to account for his new positions as COO, Secretary and Treasurer. Under the agreement, we agreed to compensate Mr. Lester \$150,000 annually and we granted him options to purchase 500,000 shares of our common stock, with 25% vesting immediately and 25% vesting after the completion of each quarter of hire. Mr. Lester is also eligible for additional quarterly and annual bonus compensation, stock options, and stock grants based on performance metrics outlined by our board of directors. He is entitled to vacation and sick days, and other benefits included in the agreement.

On August 1, 2008, we entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Hamilton to serve as our VP of Sales. Under the agreement, we agreed to compensate Mr. Hamilton \$120,000 annually and we granted him options to purchase 150,000 shares of our common stock in 2009. Mr. Hamilton is also eligible for additional quarterly and annual bonus compensation, stock options, and stock grants based on performance metrics outlined by our board of directors. He is entitled to vacation and sick days, and other benefits included in the agreement. On March 18, 2010, we entered into an addendum to the employment agreement to increase his compensation to \$150,000 annually.

On June 1, 2008, we entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Harrell to serve as our CEO. The agreement was amended on January 14, 2013 to account for his new positions as CSO and Vice Chairman. The terms of his compensation, which is still in effect, are an annual salary of \$144,000 with a 5% cost of living increase on each 12 month anniversary. Mr. Harrell is also eligible for additional quarterly and annual bonus compensation, stock options, and stock grants based on performance metrics outlined by our board of directors. He is entitled to vacation and sick days, and other benefits included in the agreement. On March 18, 2010, we entered into an addendum to the employment agreement to increase his compensation to \$152,004 annually.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The table below summarizes all unexercised options, stock that has not vested, and equity incentive plan awards for each named executive officers as of December 31, 2012.

		OUTSTANDIN	NG EQUITY AV	WARDS AT	FISCAL YEA	R-END			
OPTION AWARDS					STOCK AWARDS				
Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Uneamed Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Uneamed Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)
David Lester	375,000 125,000 55,000			\$0.35 ⁽¹⁾ \$0.35 ⁽¹⁾ \$1.00	10/1/14 12/22/14 5/31/16				
David Harrell	100,000 200,000 102,500			\$1.00 \$1.81 \$1.00	3/5/14 4/26/15 5/31/16				
Terence J. Hamilton	150,000 127,500			\$1.00 \$1.00	3/5/14 5/31/16				

⁽¹⁾ These are warrants that were revalued on October 1, 2009 to \$0.35 per share.



Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related

The following table sets forth certain information known to us with respect to the beneficial ownership of our Common Stock as of March 12, 2013, by (1) all persons who are beneficial owners of 5% or more of our voting securities, (2) each director, (3) each executive officer, and (4) all directors and executive officers as a group. The information regarding beneficial ownership of our common stock has been presented in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Under these rules, a person may be deemed to beneficially own any shares of capital stock as to which such person, directly or indirectly, has or shares voting power or investment power, and to beneficially own any shares of our capital stock as to which such person has the right to acquire voting or investment power, and to beneficially own any shares of our capital stock as to which such person has the right to acquire voting or investment power within 60 days through the exercise of any stock option or other right. The percentage of beneficial ownership as to any person as of a particular date is calculated by dividing (a) (i) the number of shares beneficially owned by such person plus (ii) the number of shares as to which such person plus (ii) the number of shares as to which such person has the right to acquire voting or investment power within 60 days. Including those shares in the tables does not, however, constitute an admission that the named stockholder is a direct or indirect beneficial owner of those shares. Unless otherwise indicated, each person or entity named in the table has sole voting power and investment power (or shares that power with that person's spouse) with respect to all shares of capital stock listed as owned by that person or entity.

Except as otherwise indicated, all shares are owned directly and the percentage shown is based on 14,192,496 shares of common stock issued and outstanding on March 13, 2013. Except as otherwise indicated, the address of each person named in this table is c/o OptimizeRx Corporation, 400 Water Street, Ste. 200, Rochester, MI 48307.

		Amount of beneficial	
Title of class	Name and address of beneficial owner	<u>ownership</u>	Percent of class
Executive Office	rs & Directors:		
Common	David Lester ⁽¹⁾	573,000 shares	3.88%
Common	David A. Harrell ⁽²⁾	3,538,750 shares	24.24%
Common	Terence J. Hamilton ⁽³⁾	747,000 shares	5.16%
Common	Shad Stastney	0 shares	0%
Total of All Dire	ctors and Executive Officers:	4,858,750 shares	31.49%
More Than 5% I	Beneficial Owners:		
Common	Cypress Trust ⁽⁴⁾	740,990 shares	5.2%
Common	Vicis Capital Master Fund ⁽⁵⁾	886,340 shares	6.2%

(1) Includes 18,000 shares held in his name and warrants to purchase 555,000 shares of common stock at a price of \$0.35 per share.

(2) Includes 3,136,250 shares held in his name, options to purchase 202,500 shares of common stock at a price of \$1.00 per share, and options to purchase 200,000 shares of common stock at \$1.81 per share.

(3) Includes 469,500 shares held in his name and options to purchase 277,500 shares of common stock at a price of \$1.00 per share.

(4) Linwood C. Meehan III has voting and dispositive control over the shares held by Cypress Trust, which is located at 13750 W. Colonial Dr., Ste. 250-317, Winter Garden, Florida 34787.

(5) As reported on the Schedule 13D filed by the reporting person.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Except as follows, none of our directors or executive officers, nor any proposed nominee for election as a director, nor any person who beneficially owns, directly or indirectly, shares carrying more than 5% of the voting rights attached to all of our outstanding shares, nor any members of the immediate family (including spouse, parents, children, siblings, and in-laws) of any of the foregoing persons has any material interest, direct or indirect, in any transaction since the beginning of our last fiscal year on January 1, 2012 or in any presently proposed transaction which, in either case, has or will materially affect us.

Please refer to the section titled Executive Compensation.

Our Chief Executive Officer and Director, Shad Stastney, is a partner of Vicis Capital, LLC, which is the investment manager of Vicis Capital Master Fund, our financial partner for some years. Mr. Stastney also owns a minority position in Vicis Capital Master Fund.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

Below is the table of Audit Fees (amounts in US\$) billed by our auditor in connection with the audit of the Company's annual financial statements for the years ended:

Financial Statements for the Year Ended December 31	Au	dit Services	Audit Rela	ted Fees	Tax Fees	Other Fees	
2011	\$	24,850	\$	0 \$	2,400	\$	0
2012	\$	26,800	\$	0 \$	2,800	\$	0
		23	3				

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statements Schedules

(a) Financial Statements and Schedules

The following financial statements and schedules listed below are included in this Form 10-K. Financial Statements (See Item 8)

Exhibits *(b)*

<u>Exhibit</u>

<u>Exhibit</u>	
<u>Number</u>	Description
3.1	Articles of Incorporation of OptimizeRx Corporation (the "Company") ¹
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company ¹
3.3	Certificate of Designation, filed on September 5, 2008, with the Secretary of State of the State of Nevada by the $Company^1$
10.1	Termination Agreement and Release, dated September 16, 2011 ²
10.2	Securities Purchase Agreement, dated September 16, 2011 ²
10.3	Amended and Restated Guarantee Agreement, dated September 16, 2011 ²
10.4	Second Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement, dated September 16, 2011 ²
10.5	Third Amended and Restated Security Agreement, dated September 16, 2011 ²
10.6	Third Amended and Restated Guarantor Security Agreement, dated September 16, 2011 ²
10.7	Employment Agreement, dated January 14, 2013 ³
10.8	Securities Redemption Option Agreement, dated January 10, 2013 ⁴
10.9	Employment Agreement, dated January 14, 2013 ⁵
10.10	Patent Assignment, dated February 6, 2013
10.11	Assignment, dated February 6, 2013
21.1	List of Subsidiaries ¹
31.1	<u>Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted</u> pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted
	pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted
	pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

¹ Incorporated by reference to the Form S-1, filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 12, 2008.

² Incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K, filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 21, 2011.

³ Incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K, filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 18, 2013.

⁴ Incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K, filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 11, 2013.

⁵ Incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K, filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 18, 2013.



By:

By:

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

OptimizeRx Corporation

<u>/s/ Shad Stastney</u> Shad Stastney Chief Executive Officer and Principal Executive Officer

March 12, 2013

OptimizeRx Corporation

<u>/s/ David Lester</u> David Lester Chief Financial Officer, Principal Financial Officer, Principal Accounting Officer and Director

March 12, 2013

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By:	<u>/s/ David Harrell</u>
	David Harrell
Title:	Director
Date:	March 12, 2013
D	/a/ Darvid I antan
By:	/s/ David Lester
Ву:	David Lester
By: Title:	
5	David Lester

PATENT ASSIGNMENT

WHEREAS, OptimizeRx Corporation, a Nevada Corporation, with a place of business at 407 Sixth Street, Rochester, Michigan, (hereinafter "ASSIGNOR") is the owner of U.S. Provisional Application No. 611277,161, for "Method of Distributing and Tracking Prescription Drug Samples and Saving Coupons for Prescriptions Via the Internet";

WHEREAS, ASSIGNOR desires to assign all right, title and interest in and to U.S. Patent Provisional Application No. 61 /277,161 to OptimizeRx Corporation, a Michigan Corporation, with a place of business at 407 Sixth Street, Rochester, Michigan (hereinafter "ASSIGNEE"); and

WHEREAS, ASSIGNEE is desirous of acquiring the entire right, title and interest in and to U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/277,161;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the sum of One Dollar (\$1.00) and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and adequacy of all of which are hereby acknowledged, ASSIGNOR agrees to assign, and hereby does assign, effective February 6, 2013, all right, title, and interest in and to U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/277,161, together with any utility applications that claim priority, continuations, continuations in part, divisionals, reissues, reexaminations, and extensions thereof, including the right to claim priority under the laws of the United States, the Paris Convention, and any foreign countries, and further, including the right to sue for and to recover for past infringements thereof, unto said ASSIGNEE, its successors and assigns.

ASSIGNOR represents that at the time of execution of this Patent Assignment, ASSIGNOR is the owner of all right, title, and interest in and to U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/277,161, and that ASSIGNOR has not assigned, transferred, licensed or encumbered ASSIGNOR's rights therein, and that ASSIGNOR will not hereafter assign or attempt to assign any rights therein, or take any other action inconsistent with this Patent Assignment.

ASSIGNOR agrees to execute such further documents and take such acts as reasonably required by ASSIGNEE or ASSIGNEE'S successor to secure and enforce ASSIGNEE's rights in U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/277,161, including but not limited to further assignments.

OPTIMIZERx CORPORATION, a Nevada Corporation

<u>/s/ Shad Stastney</u> By: Shad Stastney Title: CEO-OptimizeRx Corporation Date: 2/6/2013



STATE OF NEW YORK: COUNTY OF NEW YORK:

On this 6th day of February, 2013, before me, a Notary Public, within and for the County of New York, State of New York, personally appeared Shad Stastney, to me known to be the person described in and who acknowledged executing the forgoing instrument as a free act and deed, and who also represented that he is authorized to execute the same.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal the date and year last above written.

<u>/s/ Andrew Comito</u> Notary Public

My Commission Expires: Sept 15, 2016

ASSIGNMENT

WHEREAS, David A. Harrell, a citizen of the State of Michigan, residing at Shelby Township, Michigan (hereinafter referred to as "ASSIGNOR') is the owner of U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/273,960 and U.S. Patent Application No. 12/655,558 (now U.S. Patent No. 8,341,015);

WHEREAS, ASSIGNOR desires to assign all right, title and interest in and to U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/273,960 and U.S. Patent Application No. 12/655,558 (now U.S. Patent No. 8,341,015) to OptimizeRx Corporation, a Michigan Corporation, with a place of business at 407 Sixth Street, Rochester, Michigan, (hereinafter "ASSIGNEE"); and

WHEREAS, ASSIGNEE, is desirous of acquiring the entire right, title and interest in and to U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/273,960 and US. Patent Application No. 12/655,558 (now U.S. Patent No. 8,341,015);

NOW, THEREFOR, in consideration of the sum of One Dollar (\$1 .00) and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and adequacy of which ASSIGNOR hereby acknowledges, ASSIGNOR hereby confirms any prior assignment to ASSIGNEE, and to the extent that ASSIGNOR has not already done so, agrees to assign, and hereby do, sell, assign and transfer unto ASSIGNEE and its successors in interest, the full and exclusive right, title and interest in the United States of America and throughout the world, including the right to claim priority under the laws of the United States, the Paris Convention, and any foreign countries, to the inventions as described in the above-identified applications, to the above-identified applications, continuations-in-part, or other applications claiming priority directly or indirectly from the above-identified applications, and any United States or foreign Letters Patent, utility model, or other similar rights which may be granted thereon , including reissues, reexaminations and extensions thereof, and all copyright rights throughout the world in the aforesaid applications and the subject matter disclosed therein , these rights, title and interest to be held and enjoyed by ASSIGNEE to the full end of the term for which the Letters Patent, utility model, or other similar rights are granted and any extensions thereof as fully and entirely as the same would have been held by ASSIGNOR had this assignment and sale not been made, and the right to sue for, and recover for past infringements of, or liabilities for, any of the rights relating to any of the applications, patents, utility models, or other similar rights, resulting therefrom , and the copyright rights.

ASSIGNOR hereby covenants and agrees to execute all instruments or documents required or requested for the making and prosecution of any applications of any type for patent, utility model, or other similar rights, and for copyright, in the United States and in all foreign countries including, but not limited to, any provisional, continuation , continuation-in-part, divisional, renewal or substitute thereof, any derivation proceedings relating thereto, and as to Letters Patent any supplemental examination, derivation proceeding, opposition, post grant review, reissue, re-examination , inter partes review, or extension thereof, and for litigation regarding , or for the purpose of protecting title and to the said invention, the United States application for patent, or Letters Patent therefor, and to testify in support thereof, for the benefit of ASSIGNEE without further or other compensation than that above set forth.

ASSIGNOR hereby authorizes and requests the Commissions of Patents and Trademarks of the United States and any official of any country foreign to the United States whose duty it is to issue patents, to issue Letters Patent, Utility Model Registration or other similar right, including any reissues and extensions thereof to said ASSIGNEE in accordance with this Assignment.

ASSIGNOR hereby represents and warrants that ASSIGNOR has the full right to convey the entire interest of said applications herein assigned and has not granted any rights inconsistent with the rights granted herein.

<u>/s/ David Harrell</u> By: David Harrell Title: Vice Chairman Date: 2/6/13

STATE OF MICHIGAN: COUNTY OF _____:

On this ______ day of February, 2013, before me, a Notary Public, within and for the County of _______, State of Michigan, personally appeared David Harrell, to me known to be the person described in and who acknowledged executing the forgoing instrument as a free act and deed, and who also represented that he is authorized to execute the same.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal the date and year last above written.

Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

CERTIFICATIONS

I, Shad Stastney, certify that;

- 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 of OPTIMIZERx Corp (the "registrant");
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 12, 2013

<u>/s/ Shad Stastney</u> By: Shad Stastney Title: Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATIONS

I, David Lester, certify that;

- 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 of OPTIMIZERx Corp (the "registrant");
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 12, 2013

<u>/s/ David Lester</u> By: David Lester Title: Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the annual Report of OPTIMIZERx Corp (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Report"), I, Shad Stastney, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and I, David Lester, Chief Financial Officer, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- 1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the consolidated financial condition of the Company as of the dates presented and the consolidated result of operations of the Company for the periods presented.

By:	/s/ Shad Stastney
Name:	Shad Stastney
Title:	Principal Executive Officer
Date:	March 12, 2013

By:	/s/ David Lester
Name:	David Lester
Title:	Principal Financial Officer and Director
Date:	March 12, 2013

This certification has been furnished solely pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.