



Working Together Toward a Common Goal

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA FIVE-YEAR SUMMARY

(In thousands except for per share amounts)

Year Ended December 31,	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Interest income	\$25,104	\$25,982	\$26,828	\$27,926	\$29,283
Interest expense	828	1,137	1,648	2,919	5,641
Net interest income	24,276	24,845	25,180	25,007	23,642
Provision for loan losses	300	1,150	1,500	4,020	5,862
Non-interest income	3,280	3,149	2,751	2,770	2,641
Non-interest expense	18,660	18,249	17,394	16,776	18,218
Net income before income taxes	8,596	8,595	9,037	6,981	2,203
Provision for Income taxes	2,710	2,814	3,176	2,353	203
Net income	5,886	5,781	5,861	4,628	2,000
Preferred stock dividends & accretion	67	452	1,161	842	842
Net income available to common shareholders	\$5,819	\$5,329	\$4,700	\$3,786	\$1,158
Per common share net earnings (diluted)	\$0.74	\$0.69	\$0.61	\$0.49	\$0.15
Per common share cash dividends declared	\$0.100	-	-	-	\$0.025
Cash dividends declared	\$793	-	-	-	\$192
Weighted average common shares outstanding (diluted)	7,846,078	7,766,745	7,738,999	7,720,624	7,696,822
Year End Balance Sheet					
Total assets	\$671,853	\$660,581	\$612,172	\$552,396	\$524,722
Total earning assets	624,864	605,275	557,784	518,845	485,704
Gross loans	419,438	390,986	396,202	404,194	425,627
Cash and cash equivalents	105,191	141,335	101,085	68,937	21,649
Investment securities	117,746	103,866	89,695	53,268	50,765
Non-interest bearing deposits	\$176,964	\$163,991	\$130,143	\$102,422	\$69,647
Interest bearing deposits	425,669	423,002	406,061	374,317	359,563
Total deposits	602,633	586,993	536,204	476,739	429,210
Total stockholder's equity	64,517	69,969	70,402	64,658	60,692



Inspiring a culture of service, integrity and teamwork, Oak Valley Bancorp's Executive Team charts the course for the future.

Dear Customers, Shareholders and Friends:

We've successfully concluded another year, and like many before, it was one built on sound principles and marked by steady progress. The results reflect some exciting times and cast an optimistic light on the path before us. Few community banks operating in the region have matched Oak Valley Bancorp's financial performance since the start of the economic downturn, placing us in a fairly exclusive group. We are proud of our accomplishments, which afford us the opportunity to benchmark against many top-performing community banks.

With the recession behind us, a fading though not yet distant memory, we have emerged stronger for having persevered. The experience steeled our resolve and proved our ability to endure. More importantly, as we anticipate growing loan demand and the resurgence of borrower confidence, we recognize these years as a reminder of the importance and value of good judgment.

We would not have achieved the great strides we have made this year, or any other, without our strong commitment to promoting a culture of teamwork. It defines the

way we operate at Oak Valley and Eastern Sierra Community Bank. It means we don't let departmental lines or distractions get in the way of our common goal—serving the customer. Like any great team, we have a clear vision of our long-term targets; however, we constantly plan, prepare and perform together to ensure exceptional customer experiences and the high-quality financial results our shareholders deserve.

With that, we are pleased to again report another milestone year of earnings for the company. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, net income totaled \$5.9 million compared to \$5.8 million for 2012. After preferred stock dividends, net income available to common shareholders was \$5.8 million, or \$0.74 per diluted share, compared to \$5.3 million, or \$0.69 per diluted common share in 2012. This represented a 9.2% increase in net income available to common shareholders and marked record annual earnings for Oak Valley Bancorp.

Total assets grew to \$671.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, an increase of \$11.3 million, or 1.7% over December 31, 2012. Deposits increased to \$602.6 million, an increase of \$15.6 million, or 2.7% over the prior year. Gross loans at year end totaled \$419.4 million, reflecting an increase of \$28.5 million, or 7.3%, over 2012.

This year also marked the return of a dividend payment to shareholders.



On December 17, 2013, the Board of Directors declared the payment of a cash dividend of \$0.10 per share of common stock to its shareholders. The payment reflected the strength of the Bank's performance and our growing confidence in the Central Valley's economic recovery.

There's an old adage that the more things change, the more they stay the same, and it certainly holds true with how we view relationship banking. While we understand that consumer preferences will continue to evolve, we seek innovation to enrich the customer experience—not replace it. If our big-box competitors have more resources at their disposal, it simply reinforces our service promise and gets us to the "technology" table early. Innovation in electronic and mobile channels will continue to offer new ways to track, manage and move money, and the team at Oak Valley and Eastern Sierra Community Bank is here to support our customers' financial goals, at their convenience, any time, any place.

As we forge ahead toward the opportunities and challenges that lie before us, we know that we will do so with a commitment to making continuous improvements to the customer experience along the way, and with an ever-present eye on the growth of the Company. Thank you for your continued support, investment, and loyalty.

—Sincerely, Christopher M. Courtney

Working Together Toward a Common Goal

UNITED WE STAND, UNITED WE SERVE

As we celebrate another year at Oak Valley Community Bank, we fondly reflect upon our stable roots, the strong branches we have cultivated along the way, and the positive growth the company has enjoyed. Without a doubt, we can attribute much of our success to our dedication to building and continuously nurturing a strong team-centered approach to our business. It's reflected in everything we do, from collaborating on our strategic plans, to partnering among branches to provide the best solutions to our customers' financial needs, to working alongside each other in the community. The notion

of "team" means a commitment to each other and to fully serving all of our constituents with an integrated approach to their needs.

STRENGTH IN NUMBERS

We believe in the philosophy that a team is only as strong as its weakest link, which is why we invest in and support every member of our organization. We are proud to say that each individual is a valuable asset, and when their talents are combined, Oak Valley is a remarkable organization capable of great things. Together, we have held fast to our core values, reinforcing the tenets of service, integrity and teamwork, always working as a stable, cohesive unit while evolving and sharing new ideas focused on securing our future.

Because of our team versatility, we can rapidly adapt to a changing environment, whether it involves

succession planning in the executive suite or introducing new products to meet the needs of an evolving marketplace. It's how we weathered the economic storm several years ago and why we're now poised to capitalize on new business opportunities. Our collective skill set and dedicated, mutual support ensures that we will be successful in any endeavor.

FORGING GROWTH WITH EXCELLENCE

Recently, we expanded and strengthened our team by welcoming several new directors to our board. Each brings in-depth expertise in their field of business, contributing valuable knowledge and insights to help us continue to steer the bank in the right direction and maintain profitable growth over the long term.

Similarly, we work to leverage the talents and enrich the skills of our executives, production managers, front line staff and back office employees, who all exhibit the dedication and daily best practices that fulfill our mission of delivering personalized service to our customers. This team synergy built on individual excellence is our best-

*"Because of
our focus on
teamwork,
we are
strong and
growing
stronger."*



FAMILIAR FACES. LENDING HANDS.

Our Stanislaus County team of commercial lenders and managers have a broad range of experience and rock-solid commitment to meeting the borrowing needs of the community.





A GROWING TRADITION

Our San Joaquin and Tuolumne teams are poised to expand our footprint and dedicated to helping Central Valley & Sierra businesses grow.

“Our commitment to enhancing the customer experience begins with a team-centered approach.”

kept secret, and what sets Oak Valley apart in our communities.

POISED FOR PEAK PERFORMANCE

Through the years, we have maintained steady deposit growth, increased our customer base and produced gains in every area of our business with the exception of lending, which diminished

slightly during the recent economic downturn. However, we are pleased to report that, through renewed borrower confidence and the continued diligence of our production teams, we experienced significant loan growth in the second half of the year. With this resurgence in lending activity, we are now “firing on all cylinders,” which will enable us to continue to improve our performance.

NEXT-GENERATION BANKING WITH A PERSONAL TOUCH

As a community bank, we are still quite unique compared to other locally-based institutions in our commitment to innovation and delivering the latest products and services. We are progressive when it comes to technologies that truly make the financial lives of our

customers easier, but traditional in how we deliver our services. This perfect blend of high-tech and high-touch is a differentiating factor for the Bank, and helps us attract and maintain a loyal customer base.

ENHANCING THE CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE

Our customers know that when they make the move to a new product or technology, they can count on Oak Valley to make it a smooth, seamless

process. As we continue to optimize our mobile banking platform to fulfill the growing demand for anytime, anywhere access to funds, we will employ the highest level of security and offer the coordinated support our customers need to fully reap the benefits.

In addition to evolving our product mix for up-and-coming generations, our team works diligently on continuous process improvement so that we can efficiently develop, market and deliver products that make sense for our customers. Because we take the time to get to know them, we can provide solutions that are ideally suited to their financial needs and circumstances. This multi-channel, customized approach differs from typical “cross-selling,” in that we focus on maximizing product utilization—a more efficient and cost-effective approach to growing customer relationships.

TAKING CARE OF BUSINESS

Since the beginning, we have been firm believers that one of the most vital roles of a community bank is to promote the economic health and vitality of the communities we call



home. We strive to offer personalized financial solutions to our customers and the local business community, employing a team-lending concept, which has served the Bank, and our customers, quite well for many years.

Our coordinated team-lending concept partners loan officers with branch managers to efficiently leverage our commercial business across a wide region. Our joint calling efforts entail a highly collaborative approach, which has enabled us to grow a diverse, high-quality loan portfolio.

COMMITTED TO BUILDING STRONG COMMUNITIES

Oak Valley has demonstrated a growing commitment to building and supporting healthy, vibrant communities that not only thrive economically, but also offer a high quality of life to residents and businesses alike. Toward this goal, we support hundreds of community events, donate thousands of dollars and volunteer countless hours to charitable, cultural and business organizations that comprise the fabric of these communities.

Financial Education

The University of Finance program aims to certify young adults in

the skills necessary for success in the real world, but not typically taught in the classroom. Oak Valley Community Bank funds the program, while EverFi, Inc. provides the highly interactive software and instructional platform. Oak Valley recently launched the University of Finance financial literacy program to help educate youth in our communities at Patterson and Ripon high schools. The Bank participated in their first certification ceremony since launching the University of Finance at the beginning of the 2012/2013 school year.

Arts & Culture

We regularly sponsor local arts and cultural organizations in the San Joaquin Valley, including the Gallo Center for the Arts, the Carnegie Arts Center and the Sierra Repertory Theatre, which present diverse experiences to enrich the lives of local residents with high quality entertainment. From an economic development perspective, cultural centers such as these serve as beacons for attracting businesses to the region, providing a highly desired amenity that many employers are seeking to help recruit top-notch talent.

Health & Human Services

SONORA REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER—PROJECT HOPE Oak Valley supports the Sonora Regional Medical Center in Tuolumne County each year through contributions to services like Project HOPE (Health Outreach Education and Prevention), a mobile health clinic serving



COMMUNITY SPIRIT

Whether improving the lives of young people and the underserved in our community or promoting cultural and economic development, we are devoted to making an impact by building-up the places we call home. Pictured: Recent University of Finance students from Patterson High School display graduation certificates. Volunteers from an Oak Valley team pose in front of the Gallo Center at a Bank-sponsored event. Give Every Child A Chance shares Oak Valley's passion for the community.



individuals without medical insurance or who have high deductibles.

GIVE EVERY CHILD A CHANCE The Bank supports Give Every Child a Chance, a non-profit organization dedicated to helping children reach their highest potential through no-cost tutoring and mentoring programs. By partnering with the school districts, this largely volunteer organization provides children the opportunity to improve academically and become successful lifelong learners. Our support for Give Every Child a Chance extends past our annual financial contributions to the hands-on role of serving on their board.

Economic Development

STANISLAUS BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL We are a perennial contributor to The Stanislaus Business Development Council (also known as The Alliance) which works to build the community by attracting new employers to create new jobs, while supporting existing business growth.

“Investing in our communities with integrity and commitment builds a thriving economy.”



BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Oak Valley Bancorp's Directors make up another vital team, drawing on experience from a broad range of industries, they provide valued guidance and keep the Company on course for long-term success.

DIRECTORS

Thomas A. Haidlen
Chairman of the Board
Automobile Dealer

Michael Q. Jones
Vice Chairman of the Board
General Contracting, Land
Development and General
Real Estate

Donald L. Barton
Agribusinessman

Christopher M. Courtney
President and CEO
Oak Valley
Community Bank

James L. Gilbert
Chairman Loan Committee
Chairman Nominating
Committee
Feed and Seed Business

Daniel J. Leonard
Chairman Investment
Committee
Winery Executive

Ronald C. Martin
Retired Bank Executive

Janet S. Pelton
Certified Public Accountant

Roger M. Schrimp
Chairman Audit Committee
Chairman Compensation
Committee
Attorney and Cattle
Rancher

Danny L. Titus
Chairman CRA Committee
Real Estate and
Investments

Terrance P. Withrow
Certified Public Accountant
and Farmer

DIRECTORS EMERITUS

Richard J. Vaughan
Agribusinessman

Barry M. Jett
Real Estate Investor

In Memoriam:
Romain J. Schonhoff
CPA and Farmer

Arne J. Knudsen
Wholesale Nurseryman

OFFICERS

Christopher M. Courtney
President and CEO

Rick McCarty
Executive Vice President
Chief Administration Officer
Chief Financial Officer
Corporate Secretary

Wendy Burth
Executive Vice President
Retail Banking Group

Dave Harvey
Executive Vice President
Commercial Banking Group

Mike Rodrigues
Executive Vice President
Chief Credit Officer

Cathy Ghan
Senior Vice President
Commercial Real Estate

Janis Powers
Senior Vice President
Risk Management Officer

Russell Stahl
Senior Vice President
Information Technology

Gary Stephens
Senior Vice President
Credit Administrator

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

Moss-Adams LLP
3121 W March Ln, Ste 100
Stockton CA 95219-2303

LEGAL COUNSEL

Matteo G. Daste
Squire Sanders
275 Battery St, 26th Flr
San Francisco CA 94111

CORRESPONDENT BANK

Union Bank, N.A.
400 California St
San Francisco CA 94104

Pacific Coast Bankers' Bank
340 Pine Street, Ste 401
San Francisco CA 94104

**TRANSFER AGENT
AND REGISTRAR**

Computershare
250 Royall St
Canton MA 02021
(800) 962-4284

MARKET MAKERS

John Cavender
Raymond James &
Associates
(415) 616-8935

Joey Warmenhoven
McAdams Wright Ragen
(503) 922-4888

Debbie Armstrong
Nelson Bahler
Joseph Barlupo
Bruce Baron
Gary Barton
Tony Benites
Jennifer Bethel
David Bhakta
Dennis Bitters
Candido Borges
Roy Brown Jr.
Larry Buehner
Wendy Coddington
Hal Copp
Susan Creedon
Ron Day
Jim Devenport
Herb Dompe
John Ellsworth
Robert Fores
Paula Frago
Richard Gilton
Richard Gonzales
Anthony Guida
Dick Hagerty
Stephen Haycock
John Hooper
Don Hoy
Bob Hoyt
Gary Huff
Marge Imfeld
Trevor Irish
Mike Kline
Brad Klump
Steven Knudsen
Daniel Lee
Gary Linhares
Chaitanya Mahida
Tim Martin
David Martini
Maggie Mejia
Adan Mendoza
Jeff Mika
Carol Ornelas
Robert Ott
Ray Perez
Scott Piercy
Joel Plum
Marc Robinson
Frank Rocha
Kathy Rocha
David Rogers
Mike Ruddy Sr.

ADVISORS

Jeff Sceville
Jodi Sceville
Ward Schemper
Rick Schiltz
Collin Schut
Dave Silva
Tom Spadini
Bob Spengler
Jim Stevens
Bob Summers
Niniv Tamimi
Robbie Tani
Bruce Thompson
Phil Tilbury
Willie Traina
Tom Vermeulen
Arlon Waterson

FOUNDERS

Steve Benak, MD
Andrea Boston-Gilbert
Gordon A. and Yvonne
Brown
Robert and Beverly Brunker
William D. and Joyce
A.Compton
Hal and Chrys Copp
Betty Dallas
Ramon A. Esslinger
Donald Fagundes
Richard A. and Susan J.
Franco
Joel W. Geddes, Jr.
Harrison Gibbs
James Lawrence Gilbert
Thomas A. and Julia D.
Haidlen
Mr. and Mrs. Walter H.
Heckendorf
Barbara Heckendorf
Mrs. Beverly Haidlen
Holloway
Leonard B. and Betty M.
Jackson
Barry M. and Betty-Lynn
Jett
Henry Kamps, Jr.
Arne and Birgitta Knudsen
Soren and Sharon Knudsen
Steven Knudsen
Joe and Joyce Martin
Della Messner
Bill and Sharon Morris
James A. Morrison III
Ben and Judy Mullins
Dr. and Mrs. J. Patrick
Mulrooney
Thomas W. and Marsha
L. Orr
Willem Postma
Mike Reed
Roger M. and Delsie
Schrimp
Romain and Janette
Schonhoff
Ralph P. and Margitta R.
Sikkema, DVM
Richard D. and Ola L.
Stokes
George and Ruth Thoukis
Danny L. and Suzette Titus
DeWayne F. Titus
Lynda Vaughan
Richard J. Vaughan
Jack Watkins
Gilbert O. Wymond III





BRANCHES

OAK VALLEY COMMUNITY BANK

OAKDALE
125 N Third Avenue
Oakdale, CA 95361
[209] 848-BANK [2265]

SONORA
14580 Mono Way
Sonora, CA 95370
[209] 532-7100

MODESTO-12TH & I
1200 I Street
Modesto, CA 95354
[209] 549-BANK [2265]

MODESTO-DALE
4120 B Dale Road
Modesto, CA 95356
[209] 758-8000

MODESTO-MCHENRY
3508 McHenry Avenue
Modesto, CA 95356
[209] 579-3360

TURLOCK
2001 Geer Road
Turlock, CA 95382
[209] 633-2850

STOCKTON
2935 W March Lane
Stockton, CA 95219
[209] 320-7850

PATTERSON
20 Plaza
Patterson, CA 95363
[209] 892-5757

RIPON
150 N Wilma Avenue
Ripon, CA 95366
[209] 599-9430

ESCALON
1910 McHenry Avenue
Escalon, CA 95320
[209] 821-3070

MANTECA
191 W North Street
Manteca, CA 95336
[209] 249-7360

www.ovcb.com

EASTERN SIERRA COMMUNITY BANK

BRIDGEPORT
166 Main Street
Bridgeport, CA 93517
[760] 932-7926

MAMMOTH LAKES
307 Old Mammoth Road
Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546
[760] 924-0990

BISHOP
351 N Main Street
Bishop, CA 93514
[760] 874-BANK [2265]

www.escbank.com

ATM ONLY LOCATIONS:

Crowley Lake General Store
Crowley Lake, CA

Bishop Creek Lodge
Bishop, CA

United States Marine Corps
Marine Housing Exchange
Coleville, CA

United States Marine Corps
Mountain Warfare
Training Center
Bridgeport, CA



**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

OAK VALLEY BANCORP

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

California
(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

**125 North Third Avenue
Oakdale, California**
(Address of principal executive offices)

26-2326676
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

95361
(Zip Code)

(209) 848-2265

(Registrant's telephone number including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

<u>Title of each class</u>	<u>Name of each exchange on which registered</u>
Common Stock	The NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

(Title of class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes

No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act.

Yes

No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes

No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (232.405 of this chapter during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes

No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a
smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes

No

As of December 31, 2013, the aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based upon the closing price per share of the registrant's common stock as reported by the NASDAQ, was approximately \$50 million. As of March 24, 2014, there were 8,071,355 shares of common stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on June 17, 2014 are incorporated by reference into Part III.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I		
ITEM 1 -	BUSINESS	3
ITEM 1A -	RISK FACTORS	19
ITEM 1B -	UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS	19
ITEM 2 -	PROPERTIES	20
ITEM 3 -	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	20
ITEM 4 -	MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES	20
PART II		
ITEM 5 -	MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES	21
ITEM 6 -	SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	22
ITEM 7 -	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	23
ITEM 7A -	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	54
ITEM 8 -	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	54
ITEM 9 -	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	54
ITEM 9A -	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	54
ITEM 9B -	OTHER INFORMATION	55
PART III		
ITEM 10 -	DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	56
ITEM 11 -	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	56
ITEM 12 -	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	56
ITEM 13 -	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE	56
ITEM 14 -	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES	56
PART IV		
ITEM 15 -	EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	57
SIGNATURES		58
EXHIBIT INDEX		

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS OF OAK VALLEY BANCORP

Overview of the Business

Oak Valley Bancorp. Oak Valley Bancorp (the “Company”) was incorporated on April 1, 2008 in California for the purpose of becoming Oak Valley Community Bank’s parent bank holding company. Effective July 3, 2008, Oak Valley Bancorp acquired all of the outstanding capital stock of Oak Valley Community Bank (the “Bank”) (from time to time, the Bank and the Company may be generally referred to as “we”, “us” or “our”). The principal office of Oak Valley Bancorp is located at 125 North Third Avenue, Oakdale, California 95361 and its principal telephone is (209) 848-2265.

The Company is authorized to issue 50,000,000 shares of common stock, without par value, of which 7,929,730 are issued and outstanding at December 31, 2013, and 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, without par value, of which no shares are issued and outstanding.

The Company is the holding company of the Bank, and its only assets are the outstanding capital stock of the Bank, which the Company wholly owns, cash and income tax benefits receivable classified as other assets.

Oak Valley Community Bank. The Bank commenced operations in May 1991. The Bank is an insured bank under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act and is a member of the Federal Reserve. The Bank is subject to regulation, supervision and regular examination by the California Department of Business Oversight (DBO), the Federal Deposit Insurance Commission (FDIC) and the Federal Reserve Board (FRB). Since its formation, the Bank has provided basic banking services to individuals and business enterprises in Oakdale, California and the surrounding areas. The focus of the Bank is to offer a range of commercial banking services designed for both individuals and small to medium-sized businesses in the two main areas of service of the Bank: the Central Valley and the Eastern Sierras.

The Bank offers a complement of business checking and savings accounts for its business customers. The Bank also offers commercial and real estate loans, as well as lines of credit. Real estate loans are generally of a short-term nature for both residential and commercial lending purposes. Longer-term real estate loans are generally made with adjustable interest rates and contain customary provisions for acceleration. Traditional residential mortgages are available to Bank customers through a third party.

The Bank offers other services for both individuals and businesses including online banking, remote deposit capture, mobile banking, merchant services, night depository, extended hours, wire transfer of funds, note collection, and automated teller machines in a national network. The Bank does not currently offer international banking or trust services although the Bank may make such services available to the Bank’s customers through financial institutions with which the Bank has correspondent banking relationships. The Bank does not offer stock transfer services nor does it directly issue credit cards.

Expansion

Branch Expansion. Since opening our doors of the main Oakdale branch in 1991, our network of branches and loan production offices have been expanded geographically. As of December 31, 2013, we maintained fourteen full-service branch offices (in addition to our corporate headquarters). Beginning in October 1995, we started our geographic expansion outside of Oakdale, by opening a Loan Production Office in Sonora, California. We subsequently opened a branch in Sonora and two branches in Modesto. In September 2000, we expanded into the Eastern Sierra, opening a branch in Bridgeport, California under the name Eastern Sierra Community Bank. Since that time we have added branches in Mammoth Lakes and Bishop. During 2005 and 2006, we aggressively increased our presence in the Central Valley, by opening branches in Turlock, Stockton, Patterson, Ripon and Escalon. In March 2007, our corporate headquarters expanded by adding an adjacent historical building located in downtown Oakdale to its complex. In 2011, we opened a third branch in Modesto and a branch in Manteca. We intend to continue our growth strategy in future years through the opening of additional branches and loan production offices as our needs and resources permit.

Bank Holding Company Reorganization. Effective July 3, 2008, we entered into a bank holding company reorganization, whereby each outstanding share of common stock of the Bank was exchanged into a share of common stock of the Company. Operating our banking business within a holding company structure provides, among other things, greater operating flexibility; facilitates the potential acquisition of related businesses as opportunities may arise from time to time; improves our ability to diversify as needed; enhances our ability to remain competitive in the future with other companies in the financial services industry that are organized in a holding company structure; and improves our ability to raise capital to support growth.

Business Segments

The Bank operates in two primary business segments: Retail Banking and Commercial Banking, as described in additional detail below. These segments do not meet the quantitative thresholds for reporting as separate segments and are therefore considered one segment for financial reporting purposes:

Retail Banking. We offer a range of checking and savings accounts, including NOW accounts, money market accounts, overdraft protection, health savings accounts, certificates of deposit, and Individual Retirement Accounts (“IRA”). To satisfy the lending needs of individuals in its service area, we offer real estate and home equity financing, as well as consumer, automobile, and home improvement loans.

Commercial Banking. We offer a range of deposit and lending services to business customers. More specifically, we offer a variety of commercial loans for virtually any business, professional, or agricultural need. These include loans for short-term working capital, operating lines of credit, equipment purchases, leasehold improvements, construction, commercial real estate acquisitions or refinancing. Currently, virtually all of our business relationships are with customers located in the San Joaquin, Stanislaus, Tuolumne, Inyo and Mono Counties, of California.

Primary Market Area

We conduct business from our main office in Oakdale, a city of approximately 21,200 residents located in Stanislaus County, California. Oakdale is approximately 15 miles from Modesto and sits at the foothills of the Sierra Nevada Mountains, at the edge of the California Central Valley agricultural area. Through our branches, we serve customers in the Central Valley, from Fresno to Sacramento, and in foothill locations. We also reach into the Highway 395 corridor in the Eastern Sierras and in the towns of Bishop, Mammoth and Bridgeport. Approximately 94% of our loans and 90% of our deposits are generated from the Central Valley. The Central Valley area includes Stanislaus, San Joaquin and Tuolumne counties and has a total population of over 3 million.

Lending Activities

General. Our loan policies set forth the basic guidelines and procedures by which we conduct our lending operations. These policies address the types of loans available, underwriting and collateral requirements, loan terms, interest rate and yield considerations, compliance with laws and regulations and our internal lending limits. Our Board of Directors reviews and approves our loan policies on an annual basis. We supplement our own supervision of the loan underwriting and approval process with periodic loan audits by experienced external loan specialists who review credit quality, loan documentation and compliance with laws and regulations. We engage in a full complement of lending activities, including:

- commercial real estate loans,
- commercial business lending and trade finance,
- Small Business Administration lending, and
- consumer loans, including automobile loans, home mortgages, credit lines and other personal loans.

As part of our efforts to achieve long-term stable profitability and respond to a changing economic environment in the California Central Valley, we constantly evaluate a variety of options to augment our traditional focus by broadening the services and products we provide. Possible avenues of growth include more branch locations, expanded days and hours of operation and new types of lending.

Loan Procedures. Loan applications may be approved by the Director Loan Committee of our Board of Directors, or by our management or lending officers, to the extent of their loan authority. Our Board of Directors authorizes our lending limits. Our President and Chief Credit Officer are responsible for evaluating the authority limits for individual credit officers and recommending lending limits for all other officers to the board of directors for approval.

We grant individual lending authority to our Chief Executive Officer, President, Chief Credit Officer, Credit Administrator and to some department managers and loan officers. Our highest management lending authority is combined administrative lending authority for unsecured and secured lending of \$2,500,000, which requires the approval of our Chief Executive Officer, President, and either our Chief Credit Officer or our Credit Administrator. Loans for which direct and indirect borrower liability exceeds combined administrative lending authority or 75% of the banks legal unsecured and secured lending limits are referred to our Board of Directors Loan Committee.

At December 31, 2013, the Bank's authorized legal lending limits were \$11.0 million for unsecured loans plus an additional \$7.3 million for specific secured loans. Legal lending limits are calculated in conformance with California law, which prohibits a bank from lending to any one individual or entity or its related interests an aggregate amount which exceeds 15% of primary capital plus the allowance for loan losses on an unsecured basis, plus an additional 10% on a secured basis. The Bank's primary capital plus allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2013 totaled \$73.5 million.

We seek to mitigate the risks inherent in our loan portfolio by adhering to certain underwriting practices. The review of each loan application includes analysis of the applicant's prior credit history, income level, cash flow and financial condition, tax returns, cash flow projections, and the value of any collateral to secure the loan, based upon reports of independent appraisers and audits of accounts receivable or inventory pledged as security. In the case of real estate loans over a specified amount, the review of collateral value includes an appraisal report prepared by an independent, Bank-approved, appraiser.

Real Estate Loans. We offer commercial real estate loans to finance the acquisition of new or the refinancing of existing commercial properties, such as office buildings, industrial buildings, warehouses, hotels, shopping centers, automotive industry facilities and multiple dwellings. At December 31, 2013, real estate loans constituted 85% of our loan portfolio, of which 93% were commercial loans.

Commercial real estate loans typically have 10-year maturities with up to 25-year amortization of principal and interest and loan-to-value ratios of not more than 75% of the appraised value or purchase price, whichever is lower. We usually impose a prepayment penalty during the period within 3 to 5 years of the date of the loan.

Construction loans are comprised of loans on commercial, residential and income producing properties that generally have terms of 1 year, with options to extend for additional periods to complete construction and to accommodate the lease-up period. We usually require 15% equity capital investment by the developer and loan to value ratios of not more than 75% of anticipated completion value.

Miniperm loans finance the purchase and/or ownership of commercial properties, including owner-occupied and income producing properties. We also offer miniperm loans as take-out financing with our construction loans. Miniperm loans are generally made with an amortization schedule ranging from 20 to 25 years, with a lump sum balloon payment due in 3 to 5 years.

Equity lines of credit are revolving lines of credit collateralized by junior deeds of trust on residential real properties. They generally bear a rate of interest that floats with our base rate or the prime rate, and have maturities of 10 years.

We purchase participation interests in loans made by other financial institutions from time to time. These loans are subject to the same underwriting criteria and approval process as loans made directly by us.

Our real estate loans are typically collateralized by first or junior deeds of trust on specific commercial properties and equity lines of credit, and are subject to corporate or individual guarantees from financially capable parties, as available. The properties collateralizing real estate loans are principally located in our primary market areas of the California Central Valley and the Eastern Sierra. Real estate loans typically bear an interest rate that floats with an established index such as prime or LIBOR.

Our real estate portfolio is subject to certain risks, including (i) downturns in the California economy, (ii) interest rate increases, (iii) reduction in real estate values in the California Central Valley, (iv) increased competition in pricing and loan structure, and (v) environmental risks, including natural disasters. As a result of the high concentration of the real estate loan in our loan portfolio, the current difficulties in the real estate markets could cause significant increases in nonperforming loans, which would reduce our profits. A decline in real estate values could cause some of our mortgage loans to become inadequately collateralized, which would expose us to a greater risk of loss. Additionally, a decline in real estate values could adversely affect our portfolio of commercial real estate loans and could result in a decline in the origination of such loans. However, we strive to reduce the exposure to such risks and seek to continue to maintain high quality in our real estate loans by (a) reviewing each loan request and each loan renewal individually, (b) using a dual signature approval system for the approval of each loan request for loans over a certain dollar amount, (c) adhering to written loan policies, including, among other factors, minimum collateral requirements, maximum loan-to-value ratio requirements, cash flow requirements and personal guarantees, (d) performing secondary appraisals from time to time, (e) conducting external independent credit review, and (f) conducting environmental reviews, where appropriate. We review each loan request on the basis of our ability to recover both principal and interest in view of the inherent risks. We monitor and stress test our entire portfolio, evaluating debt coverage ratios and loan-to-value ratios, on a quarterly basis. We monitor trends and evaluate exposure derived from simulated stressed market conditions. The portfolio is stratified by owner classification (either owner occupied or non-owner occupied), product type, geography and size.

As of December 31, 2013, the aggregate loan-to-value of the entire commercial real estate portfolio was 51.5%. Historical data suggests that the Company continues to maintain strong LTV, which has served as a cushion against precipitous reductions in real

estate values. Non-owner occupied real estate comprises 47.2% of the Company's total commitments, as of December 31, 2013. The loan-to-value on the non-owner occupied segment was 46.3%, as of December 31, 2013. The highest concentration by product type is office buildings, which comprised 29.6% of total CRE loan commitments outstanding, as of December 31, 2013.

Our portfolio diversity in terms of both product types and geographic distribution, combined with strong debt coverage ratios, a low aggregate loan-to-value and a high percentage of owner-occupied properties, significantly mitigate the risks associated with excessive commercial real estate concentration. These elements contribute strength to our overall real estate portfolio despite the current weakness in the real estate market.

Commercial Business Lending. We offer commercial loans to sole proprietorships, partnerships and corporations, with an emphasis on the real estate related industry. These commercial loans include business lines of credit and commercial term loans to finance operations, to provide working capital or for specific purposes, such as to finance the purchase of assets, equipment or inventory. Since a borrower's cash flow from operations is generally the primary source of repayment, our policies provide specific guidelines regarding required debt coverage and other important financial ratios.

Lines of credit are extended to businesses or individuals based on the financial strength and integrity of the borrower and are secured primarily by real estate, accounts receivable and inventory, and have a maturity of one year or less. Such lines of credit bear an interest rate that floats with the prime rate, LIBOR or another established index.

Commercial term loans are typically made to finance the acquisition of fixed assets, refinance short-term debts or to finance the purchase of businesses. Commercial term loans generally have terms from one to five years. They may be collateralized by the asset being acquired or other available assets and bear interest rates, which either floats with the prime rate, LIBOR or another established index or is fixed for the term of the loan.

We also provide other banking services tailored to the small business market. We have focused recently on diversifying our loan portfolio, which has led to an increase in commercial real estate and commercial business loans to small and medium sized businesses.

Our portfolio of commercial loans is also subject to certain risks, including (i) downturns in the California economy, (ii) interest rate increases; and (iii) the deterioration of a borrower's or guarantor's financial capabilities. We attempt to reduce the exposure to such risks through (a) reviewing each loan request and renewal individually, (b) requiring a dual signature approval system, (c) mandating strict adherence to written loan policies, and (d) performing external independent credit review. In addition, we monitor loans based on short-term asset values on a monthly or quarterly basis. In general, during the term of the relationship, we receive and review the financial statements of our borrowing customers on an ongoing basis, and we promptly respond to any deterioration that we note.

Small Business Administration Lending Services. Small Business Administration, or SBA, lending, forms an important part of our business. Our SBA lending service places an emphasis on minority-owned businesses. Our SBA market area includes the geographic areas encompassed by our full-service banking offices in the California Central Valley and in the Eastern Sierra. As an SBA lender, we enable borrowers to obtain SBA loans in order to acquire new businesses, expand existing businesses, and acquire locations in which to do business.

Consumer Loans. Consumer loans include personal loans, auto loans, home improvement loans, home mortgage loans, revolving lines of credit and other loans typically made by banks to individual borrowers. We provide consumer loan products in an effort to diversify our product line.

Our consumer loan portfolio is subject to certain risks, including:

- amount of credit offered to consumers in the market,
- interest rate increases, and
- consumer bankruptcy laws which allow consumers to discharge certain debts.

We attempt to reduce the exposure to such risks through the direct approval of all consumer loans by:

- reviewing each loan request and renewal individually,
- using a dual signature system of approval,

- strictly adhering to written credit policies and,
- performing external independent credit review.

Deposit Activities and Other Sources of Funds

Our primary sources of funds are deposits and loan repayments. Scheduled loan repayments are a relatively stable source of funds, whereas deposit inflows, outflows and unscheduled loan prepayments (which are influenced significantly by general interest rate levels, interest rates available on other investments, competition, economic conditions and other factors) are not as stable. Customer deposits also remain a primary source of funds, but these balances may be influenced by adverse market changes in the industry. We may resort to other borrowings, on an as needed basis, as follows:

- on a short-term basis to compensate for reductions in deposit inflows at less than projected levels, and
- on a longer-term basis to support expanded lending activities and to match the maturity of repricing intervals of assets.

We offer a variety of accounts for depositors, which are designed to attract both short-term and long-term deposits. These accounts include certificates of deposit, or “CDs”, regular savings accounts, money market accounts, checking and negotiable order of withdrawal, or “NOW”, accounts, savings accounts, health savings accounts and individual retirement accounts, or “IRAs”. These accounts generally earn interest at rates established by management based on competitive market factors and management’s desire to increase or decrease certain types or maturities of deposits. As needs arise, we augment these customer deposits with brokered deposits. The more significant deposit accounts offered by us are described below:

Certificates of Deposit. We offer several types of CDs with a maximum maturity of five years. The substantial majority of our CDs have a maturity of one to twelve months and pay compounded interest typically credited monthly or at maturity.

Regular Savings Accounts. We offer savings accounts that allow for unlimited ATM and in-branch deposits and withdrawals. Interest is compounded daily and paid monthly.

Money Market Account. Money market accounts pay a variable interest rate that is tiered depending on the balance maintained in the account. Minimum opening balances vary. Interest is compounded daily and paid monthly.

Checking and NOW Accounts. Checking and NOW accounts are generally non-interest and interest bearing accounts, respectively, and may include service fees based on activity and balances. NOW accounts pay interest, but require a higher minimum balance to avoid service charges.

Federal Home Loan Bank Borrowings. To supplement our deposits as a source of funds for lending or investment, we borrow funds in the form of advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank. We regularly make use of Federal Home Loan Bank advances as part of our interest rate risk management, primarily to extend the duration of funding to match the longer term fixed rate loans held in the loan portfolio as part of our growth strategy.

As a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank system, we are required to invest in Federal Home Loan Bank stock based on a predetermined formula. Federal Home Loan Bank stock is a restricted investment security that can only be sold to other Federal Home Loan Bank members or redeemed by the Federal Home Loan Bank. As of December 31, 2013, we owned \$2,412,000 in FHLB stock.

Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank are typically secured by our entire real estate loan portfolio, which includes residential and commercial loans. At December 31, 2013, our borrowing limit with the Federal Home Loan Bank was approximately \$184 million.

Internet Banking

Since August 1, 2001, we have offered Internet banking service, which allows our customers to access their deposit accounts through the Internet. Customers are able to obtain transaction history and account information, transfer funds between accounts and make on-line bill payments. We intend to improve and develop our Internet banking products and delivery channels as the need arises and our resources permit.

Other Services

We also offer ATMs located at branch offices as well as seven other ATMs at various off site locations, and customer access to an ATM network.

Marketing

Our marketing relies principally upon local advertising and promotional activity and upon personal contacts by our directors, officers and shareholders to attract business and to acquaint potential customers with our personalized services. We emphasize a high degree of personalized client service in order to be able to provide for each customer's banking needs. Our marketing approach emphasizes the advantages of dealing with an independent, locally managed and state chartered bank to meet the particular needs of consumers, professionals and business customers in the community. Our management continually evaluates all of our banking services with regard to their profitability and efforts and makes determinations based on these evaluations whether to continue or modify our business plan, where appropriate.

We do not currently have any plans to develop any new lines of business, which would require a material amount of capital investment on our part.

Competition

Regional Branch Competition. We consider our primary service area to be composed of the counties of San Joaquin, Stanislaus, Tuolumne, Inyo and Mono Counties, of California. The banking business in California generally, and in our primary service area, specifically, is competitive with respect to both loans and deposits and is dominated by a relatively small number of major banks which have many offices operating over wide geographic areas. These include Wells Fargo Bank, Bank of America, JP Morgan Chase Bank and Bank of the West. We compete for deposits and loans principally with these banks, as well as with savings and loan associations, thrift and loan associations, credit unions, mortgage companies, insurance companies, offerors of money market accounts and other lending institutions.

Among the advantages of these institutions are their ability to finance extensive advertising campaigns and to allocate their investment assets to regions of highest yield and demand, their ability to offer certain services, such as international banking and trust services which are not offered directly by the Company and, the ability by virtue of their greater total capitalization, to have substantially higher lending limits than we do. In addition, as a result of increased consolidation and the passage of interstate banking legislation there is and will continue to be increased competition among banks, savings and loan associations and credit unions for the deposit and loan business of individuals and businesses.

As of June 30, 2013, our primary service areas contained one hundred seventy (170) banking offices, with approximately \$11.4 billion in total deposits. As of June 30, 2013, we had total deposits of approximately \$577 million, which represented approximately 5.0% of the total deposits in the Bank's primary service area. There can be no assurance that the Bank will maintain its competitive position against current and potential competitors, especially those with greater resources than the Bank. The deposits of the four (4) largest competing banks averaged approximately \$104 million per office as of June 30, 2013.

In order to compete with major financial institutions in our primary service areas, we use to the fullest extent the flexibility that our independent status permits. This includes an emphasis on specialized services, local promotional activity, and personal contacts by our officers, directors and employees. In the event that there are customers whose needs exceed our lending limits, we may arrange for such loans on a participation basis with other financial institutions. We also assist customers who require other services that we do not offer by obtaining such services from correspondent banks. However, no assurance can be given that our continued efforts to compete with other financial institutions will be successful.

In addition to other banks, our competitors include savings institutions, credit unions, and numerous non-banking institutions, such as finance companies, leasing companies, insurance companies, brokerage firms, and investment banking firms. In recent years, increased competition has also developed from specialized finance and non-finance companies that offer money market and mutual

funds, wholesale finance, credit card, and other consumer finance services, including on-line banking services and personal finance software. Strong competition for deposit and loan products affects the rates of those products as well as the terms on which they are offered to customers.

Other Competitive Factors. The more general competitive trends in the industry include increased consolidation and competition. Strong competitors, other than financial institutions, have entered banking markets with focused products targeted at highly profitable customer segments. Many of these competitors are able to compete across geographic boundaries and provide customers increasing access to meaningful alternatives to banking services in nearly all significant products areas. Mergers between financial institutions have placed additional pressure on banks within the industry to streamline their operations, reduce expenses, and increase revenues to remain competitive. Competition has also intensified due to the federal and state interstate banking laws, which permit banking organizations to expand geographically, and the California market has been particularly attractive to out-of-state institutions. The Financial Modernization Act, which has made it possible for full affiliations to occur between banks and securities firms, insurance companies, and other financial companies, is also expected to intensify competitive conditions.

Technological innovations have also resulted in increased competition in the financial services industry. Such innovations have, for example, made it possible for non-depository institutions to offer customers automated transfer payment services that were previously considered traditional banking products. In addition, many customers now expect a choice of several delivery systems and channels, including telephone, mail, home computer, ATMs, self-service branches and/or in-store branches.

Business Concentration. No individual or single group of related accounts is considered material in relation to our total assets or deposits, or in relation to our overall business. However, approximately 85% of our loan portfolio held for investment at December 31, 2013 consisted of real estate-related loans, including construction loans, miniperm loans, real estate mortgage loans and commercial loans secured by real estate. Moreover, our business activities are currently focused primarily in Central California, with the majority of our business concentrated in San Joaquin, Stanislaus, Tuolumne, Inyo and Mono Counties. Consequently, our results of operations and financial condition are dependent upon the general trends in the Central California economies and, in particular, the residential and commercial real estate markets. In addition, the concentration of our operations in Central California exposes us to greater risk than other banking companies with a wider geographic base in the event of catastrophes, such as earthquakes, fires and floods in this region.

Employees

As of December 31, 2013, we had 146 employees (114 full-time employees and 32 part-time employees). None of our employees are currently represented by a union or covered by a collective bargaining agreement.

Economic Conditions and Legislative and Regulatory Developments

As it is the case with financial institutions with our size and scope, our profitability primarily depends on interest rate differentials. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond our control and cannot be predicted, such as inflation, recession and unemployment, and the impact that future changes in domestic and foreign economic conditions might have on the Company. A more detailed discussion of the Company's interest rate risks and the mitigation of those risks is included in Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Our business is also influenced by the monetary and fiscal policies of the Federal government and the policies of regulatory agencies. The Federal Reserve Board implements national monetary policies (with objectives such as maintaining price stability, stimulating growth and reducing unemployment) through its open-market operations in U.S. Government securities, by adjusting the required level of reserves for depository institutions subject to its reserve requirements, and by varying the target Federal funds and discount rates applicable to borrowings by depository institutions. The actions of the Federal Reserve Board in these areas influence the growth of bank loans, investments, and deposits and also affect interest earned on interest-earning assets and interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities. The nature and impact of any future changes in monetary and fiscal policies on us cannot be predicted.

From time to time, federal and state legislation is enacted that may have the effect of materially increasing the cost of doing business, limiting or expanding permissible activities, or affecting the competitive balance between banks and other financial services providers. In response to the economic downturn and financial industry instability, legislative and regulatory initiatives were, and are expected to continue to be, introduced and implemented, which substantially intensify the regulation of the financial services industry. Moreover, in light of the economic environment over the last three to five years, bank regulatory agencies have responded to concerns and trends identified in examinations. While their response resulted in the increased issuance and continuation of enforcement actions to financial institutions towards the end of the last decade and into the beginning of this decade, the level of such actions has recently been on the decline.

Supervision and Regulation in General

The banking and financial services business in which we engage is highly regulated. Such regulation is intended, among other things, to protect depositors insured by the FDIC and the entire banking system. These regulations affect our lending practices, consumer protections, capital structure, investment practices and dividend policy.

The Company is a legal entity separate and distinct from the Bank. The Company and the Bank are each subject to supervision and regulation by a number of federal and state agencies and regulatory bodies, as outlined below.

Upon effectiveness of the bank holding company reorganization on July 2, 2008, the Company became subject to regulation under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (“BHCA”). As a bank holding company, the Company is regulated and is subject to inspection, examination and supervision by the Federal Reserve. It is also subject to the California Financial Code, as well as limited oversight by the DBO and the FDIC. Under the Federal Reserve Board’s regulations, a bank holding company is required to serve as a source of financial and managerial strength to its subsidiary banks. The BHCA regulates the activities of holding companies including acquisitions, mergers, and consolidations and, together with the Gramm-Leach Bliley Act of 1999, the scope of allowable banking activities.

As a California-state chartered bank, the Bank is subject to primary supervision, examination and regulation by the DBO and the FDIC. If, as a result of an examination of a bank, the FDIC determines that the financial condition, capital resources, asset quality, earnings prospects, management, liquidity or other aspects of its operations are unsatisfactory, or that it or its management is violating or has violated any law or regulation, various remedies are available to the FDIC. Such remedies include the power to: enjoin “unsafe or unsound” practices; require affirmative action to correct any conditions resulting from any violation or practice; issue an administrative order that can be judicially enforced; direct an increase in capital; restrict growth; assess civil monetary penalties; remove officers and directors; institute a receivership; and, ultimately terminate the bank’s deposit insurance, which would result in a revocation of its charter. The DBO separately holds many of the same remedial powers.

The commercial banking business is also influenced by the monetary and fiscal policies of the federal government and the policies of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, also known as the FRB. As a member of the Federal Reserve System, we are subject to certain regulations of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. The regulations of these agencies govern most aspects of our business, including the filing of periodic reports, and activities relating to dividends, investments, loans, borrowings, capital requirements, certain check-clearing activities, branching, mergers and acquisitions, reserves against deposits, and numerous other areas. Supervision, legal action and examination of us by the FRB is generally intended to protect depositors and is not intended for the protection of our shareholders. The Federal Reserve Board implements national monetary policies (with objectives such as curbing inflation and combating recession) by its open-market operations in United States Government securities, by adjusting the required level of reserves for financial intermediaries subject to its reserve requirements and by varying the discount rates applicable to borrowings by depository institutions. The actions of the Federal Reserve Board in these areas influence the growth of bank loans, investments and deposits and affects interest rates charged on loans and paid on deposits. Indirectly such actions may also impact the ability of non-bank financial institutions to compete with us. The nature and impact of any future changes in monetary policies cannot be predicted.

The laws, regulations and policies affecting financial services businesses are continuously under review by Congress and state legislatures and federal and state regulatory agencies. From time to time, legislation is enacted which has the effect of increasing the cost of doing business, limiting or expanding permissible activities or affecting the competitive balance between banks and other financial intermediaries. Proposals to change the laws and regulations governing the operations and taxation of banks, bank holding companies and other financial intermediaries are frequently made in Congress, in the California legislature and by various bank regulatory agencies and other professional agencies. Changes in the laws, regulations or policies that impact us cannot necessarily be predicted, but they may have a material effect on our business and earnings.

The federal and state bank regulatory agencies may respond to concerns and trends identified in examinations by issuing enforcement actions to, and entering into cease and desist orders, consent orders and memoranda of understanding with, financial institutions requiring action by management and boards of directors to address credit quality, liquidity, risk management and capital adequacy concerns, as well as other safety and soundness or compliance issues. Banks and bank holding companies are also subject to examination and potential enforcement actions by their state regulatory agencies.

Bank Holding Company and Bank Regulation

Bank holding companies and their subsidiaries are subject to significant regulation and restrictions by Federal and State laws and regulatory agencies. Federal and State laws, regulations and restrictions, which may affect the cost of doing business, limit permissible activities and expansion or impact the competitive balance between banks and other financial services providers, are intended primarily for the protection of depositors and the FDIC deposit insurance fund (“DIF”), and secondarily for the stability of the U.S. banking system. They are not intended for the benefit of shareholders of financial institutions. The following discussion of key statutes and regulations to which the Company and the Bank are subject is a summary and does not purport to be complete nor does it address all applicable statutes and regulations. This discussion is qualified in its entirety by reference to the statutes and regulations referred to in this discussion.

The wide range of requirements and restrictions contained in both Federal and State banking laws include:

- *Requirements that bank holding companies serve as a source of strength for their banking subsidiaries.* In addition, the regulatory agencies have “prompt corrective action” authority to limit activities and order an assessment of a bank holding company if the capital of a bank subsidiary falls below capital levels required by the regulators.
- *Limitations on dividends payable to shareholders.* The Company’s ability to pay dividends on both its common and preferred stock are subject to legal and regulatory restrictions. A substantial portion of the Company’s funds to pay dividends or to pay principal and interest on our debt obligations is derived from dividends paid by the Bank.
- *Limitations on dividends payable by bank subsidiaries.* These dividends are subject to various legal and regulatory restrictions. The federal banking agencies have indicated that paying dividends that deplete a depository institution’s capital base to an inadequate level would be an unsafe and unsound banking practice. Moreover, the federal agencies have issued policy statements that provide that bank holding companies and insured banks should generally only pay dividends out of current operating earnings.
- *Safety and soundness requirements.* Banks must be operated in a safe and sound manner and meet standards applicable to internal controls, information systems, internal audit, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate exposure, asset growth and compensation, as well as other operational and management standards. These safety and soundness requirements give bank regulatory agencies significant latitude in exercising their supervisory authority and their authority to initiate informal or formal enforcement action.
- *Requirements for approval of acquisitions and activities.* Prior approval or non-objection of the applicable federal regulatory agencies is required for most acquisitions and mergers and in order to engage in certain non-banking activities and activities that have been determined by the Federal Reserve to be financial in nature, incidental to financial activities, or complementary to a financial activity. Laws and regulations governing state-chartered banks contain similar provisions concerning acquisitions and activities.
- *The Community Reinvestment Act (the “CRA”).* The CRA requires that banks help meet the credit needs in their communities, including the availability of credit to low and moderate income individuals. If the Company or the Bank fails to adequately serve their communities, penalties may be imposed, including denials of applications for branches, to add subsidiaries and affiliates, or to merge with or purchase other financial institutions.
- *The Bank Secrecy Act, the USA Patriot Act, and other anti-money laundering laws.* These laws and regulations require financial institutions to assist U.S. Government agencies in detecting and preventing money laundering and other illegal acts by maintaining policies, procedures and controls designed to detect and report money laundering, terrorist financing, and other suspicious activity.
- *Limitations on the amount of loans to one borrower and its affiliates and to executive officers and directors.*
- *Limitations on transactions with affiliates.*
- *Restrictions on the nature and amount of any investments in, and ability to underwrite certain securities.*
- *Requirements for opening of branches intra- and interstate.*
- *Fair lending and truth in lending laws to ensure equal access to credit and to protect consumers in credit transactions.*

- *Provisions of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 (“GLB Act”) and other federal and state laws dealing with privacy for nonpublic personal information of customers.*

The following discussion summarizes certain significant laws, rules and regulations affecting both the Company and the Bank. The Bank addresses the many state and federal regulations it is subject to through a comprehensive compliance program that addresses the various risks associated with these issues. The following discussion is not meant to cover all applicable rules and regulations and it is qualified in its entirety by reference to such laws, rules and regulations which may change from time to time.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act

The events of the past several years have led to numerous new laws and regulatory pronouncements in the United States and internationally for financial institutions. The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (“Dodd-Frank Act”), enacted in 2010, is one of the most far reaching legislative actions affecting the financial services industry in decades and significantly restructures the financial regulatory regime in the United States.

The Dodd-Frank Act broadly affects the financial services industry by creating new resolution authorities, requiring ongoing stress testing of capital, mandating higher capital and liquidity requirements, increasing regulation of executive and incentive-based compensation and requiring numerous other provisions aimed at strengthening the sound operation of the financial services sector depending, in part, on the size of the financial institution. Among other things, the Dodd-Frank Act provides for:

- capital standards applicable to bank holding companies may be no less stringent than those applied to insured depository institutions;
- annual stress tests and early remediation or so-called living wills are required for larger banks with more than \$50 billion of assets as well risk committees of their boards of directors that include a risk expert and such requirements may have the effect of establishing new best practices standards for smaller banks;
- trust preferred securities must generally be deducted from Tier 1 capital over a three-year phase-in period ending in 2016, although depository institution holding companies with assets of less than \$15 billion as of year-end 2009 are grandfathered with respect to such securities for purposes of calculating regulatory capital;
- the assessment base for federal deposit insurance was changed to consolidated assets less tangible capital instead of the amount of insured deposits, which generally increased the insurance fees of larger banks, but had relatively less impact on smaller banks;
- repeal of the federal prohibition on the payment of interest on demand deposits, including business checking accounts, and made permanent the \$250,000 limit for federal deposit insurance;
- the establishment of the Consumer Finance Protection Bureau (the “CFPB”) with responsibility for promulgating regulations designed to protect consumers’ financial interests and prohibit unfair, deceptive and abusive acts and practices by financial institutions, and with authority to directly examine those financial institutions with \$10 billion or more in assets for compliance with the regulations promulgated by the CFPB;
- limits, or places significant burdens and compliance and other costs, on activities traditionally conducted by banking organizations, such as originating and securitizing mortgage loans and other financial assets, arranging and participating in swap and derivative transactions, proprietary trading and investing in private equity and other funds; and
- the establishment of new compensation restrictions and standards regarding the time, manner and form of compensation given to key executives and other personnel receiving incentive compensation, including documentation and governance, proxy access by stockholders, deferral and claw-back requirements.

As required by the Dodd-Frank Act, federal regulators have adopted regulations to (i) increase capital requirements on banks and bank holding companies pursuant to Basel III, and (ii) implement the so-called “Volcker Rule” of the Dodd-Frank Act, which significantly restricts certain activities by covered bank holding companies, including restrictions on proprietary trading and private equity investing.

Many of the regulations to implement the Dodd-Frank Act have not yet been published for comment or adopted in final form and/or will take effect over several years, making it difficult to anticipate the overall financial impact on the Company and the Bank, our customers or the financial industry more generally. Individually and collectively, these proposed regulations resulting from the

Dodd-Frank Act may materially and adversely affect the Company's and the Bank's business, financial condition, and results of operations. Provisions in the legislation that require revisions to the capital requirements of the Company and the Bank could require the Company and the Bank to seek additional sources of capital in the future.

Volcker Rule

The final rules adopted on December 10, 2013, to implement a part of the Dodd-Frank Act commonly referred to as the "Volcker Rule", would prohibit insured depository institutions and companies affiliated with insured depository institutions ("banking entities") from engaging in short-term proprietary trading of certain securities, derivatives, commodity futures and options on these instruments, for their own account. The final rules also impose limits on banking entities' investments in, and other relationships with, hedge funds or private equity funds. These rules will become effective on April 1, 2014. Certain collateralized debt obligations ("CDOs"), securities backed by trust preferred securities which were initially defined as covered funds subject to the investment prohibitions, have been exempted to address the concern that many community banks holding such CDOs securities may have been required to recognize significant losses on those securities.

Like the Dodd-Frank Act, the final rules provide exemptions for certain activities, including market making, underwriting, hedging, trading in government obligations, insurance company activities, and organizing and offering hedge funds or private equity funds. The final rules also clarify that certain activities are not prohibited, including acting as agent, broker, or custodian.

The compliance requirements under the final rules vary based on the size of the banking entity and the scope of activities conducted. Banking entities with significant trading operations will be required to establish a detailed compliance program and their CEOs will be required to attest that the program is reasonably designed to achieve compliance with the final rule. Independent testing and analysis of an institution's compliance program will also be required. The final rules reduce the burden on smaller, less-complex institutions by limiting their compliance and reporting requirements. Additionally, a banking entity that does not engage in covered trading activities will not need to establish a compliance program. The Company and the Bank held no investment positions at December 31, 2013 that were subject to the final rule. Therefore, while these new rules may require us to conduct certain internal analysis and reporting, we believe that they will not require any material changes in our operations or business.

Capital Adequacy Requirements

Banks and bank holding companies are subject to various capital requirements administered by state and federal banking agencies. Capital adequacy guidelines involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities and certain off-balance-sheet items calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators about components, risk weighting and other factors.

The federal banking agencies have adopted risk-based minimum capital guidelines intended to provide a measure of capital that reflects the degree of risk associated with a banking organization's operations for both transactions reported on the balance sheet as assets and transactions which are recorded as off balance sheet items. Under these guidelines, nominal dollar amounts of assets and credit equivalent amounts of off balance sheet items are multiplied by one of several risk adjustment percentages, which range from 0% for assets with low credit risk, such as federal banking agencies, to 100% for assets with relatively high credit risk. The higher the category, the more risk a bank is subject to and thus the more capital that is required.

The regulatory agencies' risk-based capital guidelines are based upon the 1988 capital accord ("Basel I") of the internal Basel Committee on Bank Supervision ("Basel Committee"), a committee of central banks and bank supervisors/regulators from the major industrialized countries that develops broad policy guidelines, which each country's supervisors can use to determine the supervisory policies they apply to their home jurisdiction. In 2004, the Basel Committee proposed a new capital accord ("Basel II") to replace Basel I that provided approaches for setting capital standards for credit risk and capital requirements for operational risk and refining the existing capital requirements for market risk exposures. U.S. banking regulators published a final rule for Basel II implementation requiring banks with over \$250 billion in consolidated total assets or on-balance sheet foreign exposure of \$10 billion ("core banks") to adopt the advanced approaches of Basel II while allowing other banks to elect to "opt in." The regulatory agencies later issued a proposed rule for larger banks that would give banking organizations that do not use the advanced approaches the option to implement a new risk-based capital framework that would adopt the standardized approach of Basel II for credit risk, the basic indicator approach of Basel II for operational risk and related disclosure requirements. A definitive rule was not issued.

In December 2010, the Basel Committee released its final framework for strengthening international capital and liquidity regulation, now officially identified as "Basel III." Basel III, when fully phased-in, would require bank holding companies and their bank subsidiaries to maintain substantially more capital than currently required, with a greater emphasis on common equity. The Basel III capital framework, among other things:

- introduces as a new capital measure, Common Equity Tier 1 (“CET1”), more commonly known in the United States as “Tier 1 Common,” and defines CET1 narrowly by requiring that most adjustments to regulatory capital measures be made to CET1 and not to the other components of capital, and expands the scope of the adjustments as compared to existing regulations;

- when fully phased in, requires banks to maintain: (i) a newly adopted international standard, a minimum ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets of at least 4.5%, plus a 2.5% “capital conservation buffer” (which is added to the 4.5% CET1 ratio as that buffer is phased in, effectively resulting in a minimum ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets of at least 7%); (ii) an additional “SIFI buffer” for those large institutions deemed to be systemically important, ranging from 1.0% to 2.5%, and up to 3.5% under certain conditions; (iii) a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 6.0%, plus the capital conservation buffer (which is added to the 6.0% Tier 1 capital ratio as that buffer is phased in, effectively resulting in a minimum Tier 1 capital ratio of 8.5% upon full implementation); (iv) a minimum ratio of Total (that is, Tier 1 plus Tier 2) capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 8.0%, plus the capital conservation buffer (which is added to the 8.0% total capital ratio as that buffer is phased in, effectively resulting in a minimum total capital ratio of 10.5% upon full implementation); and (v) as a newly adopted international standard, a minimum leverage ratio of 3%, calculated as the ratio of Tier 1 capital to balance sheet exposures plus certain off-balance sheet exposures (as the average for each quarter of the month-end ratios for the quarter); and

- an additional “countercyclical capital buffer,” generally to be imposed when national regulators determine that excess aggregate credit growth becomes associated with a buildup of systemic risk, that would be a CET1 add-on to the capital conservation buffer in the range of 0% to 2.5% when fully implemented.

In July 2013, the U.S. banking agencies approved the U.S. version of Basel III. The federal bank regulatory agencies adopted version of Basel III revises the risk-based and leverage capital requirements and the method for calculating risk-weighted assets to make them consistent with Basel III and to meet the requirements of the Dodd-Frank Act. Although many of the rules contained in these final regulations are applicable only to large, internationally active banks, some of them will apply on a phased in basis to all banking organizations, including the Company and the Bank. Among other things, the rules establish a new minimum common equity Tier 1 ratio (4.5% of risk-weighted assets), a higher minimum Tier 1 risk-based capital requirement (6.0% of risk-weighted assets) and a minimum non-risk-based leverage ratio (4.00% eliminating a 3.00% exception for higher rated banks). The new additional capital conservation buffer of 2.5% of risk weighted assets over each of the required capital ratios will be phased in from 2016 to 2019 and must be met to avoid limitations on the ability of the Bank to pay dividends, repurchase shares or pay discretionary bonuses. The additional “countercyclical capital buffer” is also required for larger and more complex institutions. The new rules assign higher risk weighting to exposures that are more than 90 days past due or are on nonaccrual status and certain commercial real estate facilities that finance the acquisition, development or construction of real property. The rules also change the permitted composition of Tier 1 capital to exclude trust preferred securities, mortgage servicing rights and certain deferred tax assets and include unrealized gains and losses on available for sale debt and equity securities (with a one-time opt out option for Standardized Banks (banks with less than \$250 billion of total consolidated assets and less than \$10 billion of foreign exposures)). The rules, including alternative requirements for smaller community financial institutions like the Company, would be phased in through 2019. The implementation of the Basel III framework is to commence January 1, 2015.

The Bank is well capitalized. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Bank’s Total Risk-Based Capital Ratio was 14.6% and 16.0%, and our Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital Ratio was 13.3% and 14.8%, respectively.

In addition to the risk-based guidelines, federal banking regulators require banking organizations to maintain a minimum amount of Tier 1 capital to total average assets, referred to as the leverage ratio. Banks that have received the highest rating of the five categories used by regulators to rate banks and are not anticipating or experiencing any significant growth must maintain a ratio of Tier 1 capital (net of all intangibles) to adjusted total assets, or “Leverage Capital Ratio”, of at least 3%. All other institutions are required to maintain a leverage ratio of at least 100 to 200 basis points above the 3% minimum, for a minimum of 4% to 5%. Pursuant to federal regulations, banks must maintain capital levels commensurate with the level of risk to which they are exposed, including the volume and severity of problem loans. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Bank’s Leverage Capital Ratios were 9.8% and 10.3%, respectively.

Federal banking regulators may set capital requirements higher than the minimums described above for financial institutions whose circumstances warrant it. For example, a financial institution experiencing or anticipating significant growth may be expected to maintain capital positions substantially above the minimum supervisory levels without significant reliance on intangible assets.

A bank may be treated as though it were in the next lower capital category if, after notice and the opportunity for a hearing, the appropriate federal agency finds an unsafe or unsound condition or practice so warrants, but no bank may be treated as “critically undercapitalized” unless its actual capital ratio warrants such treatment.

At each successively lower capital category, an insured bank is subject to increased restrictions on its operations. For example, a bank is generally prohibited from paying management fees to any controlling persons or from making capital distributions, if to do so would make the Bank “undercapitalized.” Asset growth and branching restrictions apply to undercapitalized banks, which are required to submit written capital restoration plans meeting specified requirements (including a guarantee by the parent holding company, if any). “Significantly undercapitalized” banks are subject to broad regulatory authority, including among other things, capital directives, forced mergers, restrictions on the rates of interest they may pay on deposits, restrictions on asset growth and activities, and prohibitions on paying certain bonuses without FRB approval. Even more severe restrictions apply to critically undercapitalized banks. Most importantly, except under limited circumstances, the appropriate federal banking agency is required to appoint a conservator or receiver for an insured bank not later than 90 days after the Bank becomes critically undercapitalized.

In addition to measures taken under the prompt corrective action provisions, insured banks may be subject to potential actions by federal regulators for unsafe or unsound practices in conducting their businesses or for violations of any law, rule, regulation or any condition imposed in writing by the agency or any written agreement with the agency. Enforcement actions may include the issuance of cease and desist orders, termination of insurance of deposits (in the case of a bank), the imposition of civil money penalties, the issuance of directives to increase capital, formal and informal agreements, or removal and prohibition orders against “institution-affiliated” parties.

Dividends

The payment of cash dividends by the Bank to Oak Valley Bancorp is subject to restrictions set forth in the California Financial Code (the “Code”). Prior to any distribution from the Bank to Oak Valley Bancorp, a calculation is made to ensure compliance with the provisions of the Code and to ensure that the Bank remains within capital guidelines set forth by the DBO and the FRB. In the event that the intended distribution from the Bank to Oak Valley Bancorp exceeds the restriction in the Code, advance approval from FRB is required. While advance approval may be required from the FRB for up to three years after we terminated our participation in the U.S. Treasury Capital Purchase Program in 2011, management does not believe that these regulations will limit dividends from the Bank to meet the operating requirements of Bancorp for the foreseeable future. See Note 20 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this report. Management anticipates that there will be sufficient earnings at the Bank level to provide dividends to the Company to meet its cash requirements for 2014.

Safety and Soundness Standards

Federal banking agencies have also adopted guidelines establishing safety and soundness standards for all insured depository institutions. Those guidelines relate to internal controls, information systems, internal audit systems, loan underwriting and documentation, compensation and interest rate exposure. In general, the standards are designed to assist the federal banking agencies in identifying and addressing problems at insured depository institutions before capital becomes impaired. If an institution fails to meet these standards, the appropriate federal banking agency may require the institution to submit a compliance plan and institute enforcement proceedings, if an acceptable compliance plan is not submitted.

Deposit Insurance and FDIC Insurance Assessments

Our deposits are insured by the FDIC to the maximum amount permitted by law, which is currently \$250,000 per depositor. The Dodd-Frank Act made the deposit insurance coverage permanent at the \$250,000 level retroactive to January 1, 2008.

On February 7, 2011, as required by the Dodd-Frank Act, the FDIC approved a rule that changes the FDIC insurance assessment base from adjusted domestic deposits to a bank’s average consolidated total assets minus average tangible equity, defined as Tier 1 capital. Since the new base is larger than the current base, the new rule lowers assessment rates to between 2.5 and 9 basis points on the broader base for banks in the lowest risk category, and 30 to 45 basis points for banks in the highest risk category. The change was effective beginning with the second quarter of 2011. Since we have a solid core deposit base and do not rely heavily on borrowings and brokered deposits, the benefit of the lower assessment rate (which has dropped by approximately half for us) significantly outweighed the effect of a wider assessment base.

The Dodd-Frank act also provided depositors at all FDIC-insured institutions with unlimited deposit insurance coverage on traditional checking accounts that do not pay interest and Interest on Lawyers Trust Accounts beginning December 31, 2010 through the end of 2012, when this provision expired.

During 2009 and 2010, we elected to participate in the Temporary Transaction Account Guarantee Program, which provided full deposit insurance coverage to non-interest bearing transaction accounts (including low-interest negotiable order of withdrawal accounts and interest on lawyer trust accounts), by paying a 10 basis point surcharge on the non-interest bearing transaction accounts over \$250,000 through December 31, 2009, and a 15 basis point surcharge through December 31, 2010, when the program ended.

On November 12, 2009, the FDIC finalized a Deposit Insurance Fund restoration plan that required banks to prepay, on December 30, 2009, their estimated quarterly risk-based assessments for the fourth quarter of 2009 and for all of 2010, 2011 and 2012. Under the plan, banks were assessed through 2010 according to the risk-based premium schedule adopted in April 2009.

Community Reinvestment Act

We are subject to certain requirements and reporting obligations involving the Community Reinvestment Act, or “CRA”. The CRA generally requires federal banking agencies to evaluate the record of financial institutions in meeting the credit needs of local communities, including low and moderate-income neighborhoods. The CRA further requires that a record be kept of whether a financial institution meets its community credit needs, which record will be taken into account when evaluating applications for, among other things, domestic branches, consummating mergers or acquisitions, or holding company formations. In measuring a bank’s compliance with its CRA obligations, the regulators now utilize a performance-based evaluation system, which bases CRA ratings on the Company’s actual lending service and investment performance, rather than on the extent to which the institution conducts needs assessments, documents community outreach activities or complies with other procedural requirements. In connection with its assessment of CRA performance, the FRB assigns a rating of “outstanding,” “satisfactory,” “needs to improve” or “substantial noncompliance.” Our CRA performance is evaluated by the FRB under the intermediate small bank requirements. The FRB’s last CRA performance examination was performed on us and completed in July of 2013 and we received an overall “Satisfactory” CRA Assessment Rating.

Anti-Money Laundering Regulations

A series of banking laws and regulations beginning with the Bank Secrecy Act in 1970 require banks to prevent, detect, and report illicit or illegal financial activities to the federal government to prevent money laundering, international drug trafficking, and terrorism. Under the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001, financial institutions are subject to prohibitions against specified financial transactions and account relationships as well as enhanced due diligence and “know your customer” standards in their dealings with high risk customers, foreign financial institutions, and foreign individuals and entities. We have extensive controls to comply with these requirements.

Privacy and Data Security

The Gramm-Leach Bliley Act (“GLBA”) of 1999 imposed requirements on financial institutions with respect to consumer privacy. The GLBA generally prohibits disclosure of consumer information to non-affiliated third parties unless the consumer has been given the opportunity to object and has not objected to such disclosure. Financial institutions are further required to disclose their privacy policies to consumers annually. The GLBA also directs federal regulators to prescribe standards for the security of consumer information. We are subject to such standards, as well as standards for notifying consumers in the event of a security breach. We must disclose our privacy policy to consumers and permit consumers to “opt out” of having non-public customer information disclosed to third parties. We are required to have an information security program to safeguard the confidentiality and security of customer information and to ensure proper disposal. Customers must be notified when unauthorized disclosure involves sensitive customer information that may be misused.

Other Consumer Protection Laws and Regulations

Bank regulatory agencies are increasingly focusing on compliance with consumer protection laws and regulations. Examination and enforcement has become intense, and banks have been advised to monitor compliance carefully with various consumer protection laws and their implementing regulations. For example, the federal Interagency Task Force on Fair Lending issued a policy statement on discrimination in home mortgage lending describing three methods that federal agencies will use to prove discrimination: overt evidence of discrimination, evidence of disparate treatment, and evidence of disparate impact. In addition to CRA and fair lending requirements, we are subject to numerous other federal consumer protection statutes and regulations. Due to heightened regulatory

concern related to compliance with consumer protection laws and regulations generally, we may incur additional compliance costs or be required to expend additional funds for investments in the local communities we serve.

Restriction on Transactions between Member Banks and their Affiliates

Transactions between the Company and the Bank are quantitatively and qualitatively restricted under Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act and Federal Reserve Regulation W. Section 23A places restrictions on the Bank's "covered transactions" with the Company, including loans and other extensions of credit, investments in the securities of, and purchases of assets from the Company. Section 23B requires that certain transactions, including all covered transactions, be on market terms and conditions. Federal Reserve Regulation W combines statutory restrictions on transactions between the Bank and the Company with FRB interpretations in an effort to simplify compliance with Sections 23A and 23B.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

On July 30, 2002, President Bush signed into law The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, or "Sarbanes-Oxley Act". The Sarbanes-Oxley Act addresses accounting oversight and corporate governance matters relating to the operations of public companies. During 2003, the Commission issued a number of regulations under the directive of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act significantly increasing public company governance-related obligations and filing requirements, including:

- the establishment of an independent public oversight of public company accounting firms by a board that will set auditing, quality and ethical standards for and have investigative and disciplinary powers over such accounting firms,
- the enhanced regulation of the independence, responsibilities and conduct of accounting firms which provide auditing services to public companies,
- the increase of penalties for fraud related crimes,
- the enhanced disclosure, certification, and monitoring of financial statements, internal financial controls and the audit process, and
- the enhanced and accelerated reporting of corporate disclosures and internal governance.

Furthermore, in November 2003, in response to the directives of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, Nasdaq adopted substantially expanded corporate governance criteria for the issuers of securities quoted on the Nasdaq markets. The new Nasdaq rules govern, among other things, the enhancement and regulation of corporate disclosure and internal governance of listed companies and of the authority, role and responsibilities of their boards of directors and, in particular, of "independent" members of such boards of directors, in the areas of nominations, corporate governance, compensation and the monitoring of the audit and internal financial control processes.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act, the Commission rules promulgated thereunder, and the new Nasdaq governance requirements have required the Company to review its current procedures and policies to determine whether they comply with the new legislation and its implementing regulations. Oak Valley Bancorp is primarily responsible for ensuring compliance with Sarbanes-Oxley and the Nasdaq governance rules, as applicable.

Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2009

Dramatic negative developments in the latter half of 2007 in the subprime mortgage market and the securitization markets for such loans, together with volatility in oil prices and other factors, have resulted in uncertainty in the financial markets in general and a related economic downturn, which effects continued to be felt among financial institutions through 2012.

On October 3, 2008, the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 ("EESA") was enacted to restore confidence and stabilize the volatility in the U.S. banking system and to encourage financial institutions to increase their lending to customers and to each other. Through a program initially known as the Treasury Capital Purchase Program ("TCPP") that was carved out of the Troubled Asset Relief Program ("TARP"), the EESA authorized the United States Department of the Treasury ("U.S. Treasury") to purchase from financial institutions and their holding companies up to \$700 billion in mortgage loans, mortgage-related securities and certain other financial instruments, including debt and equity securities issued by financial institutions and their holding companies. Initially, \$350 billion was made immediately available to the U.S. Treasury. On January 15, 2009, the remaining \$350 billion was released to the U.S. Treasury.

Consistent with its prudent approach and attention to liquidity during a time of general market turmoil and severe limitations in accessing the capital markets, in December 2008 the Company participated in the TCPP and issued \$13.5 million of preferred stock to the U.S. Treasury, together with a warrant to acquire 350,346 shares of common stock. Both the preferred stock and the warrant have been repurchased by the Company. However, during the period when the Company participated in the TCPP, we were subject to restrictions on executive compensation and limitations on dividends and stock repurchases, with which we complied with. The compensation restrictions generally applied to the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and the three next most highly compensated senior executive officers.

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009

On February 17, 2009, the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (“ARRA”) was signed into law by President Obama. The ARRA includes a wide variety of programs intended to stimulate the economy and provide for extensive infrastructure, energy, health, and education needs. In addition, the ARRA imposes certain new executive compensation and corporate expenditure limits on all current and future TCPP recipients, including the Company, until the institution has repaid the U.S. Treasury, which is now permitted under the ARRA without penalty and without the need to raise new capital, subject to the U.S. Treasury’s consultation with the recipient’s appropriate regulatory agency.

The ARRA executive compensation standards that went into effect on September 14, 2009 were more stringent than those in effect under the TCPP. The ARRA standards include (but are not limited to): (i) prohibitions on bonuses, retention awards and other incentive compensation, other than restricted stock grants which do not fully vest during the TCPP period up to one-third of an employee’s total annual compensation, (ii) prohibitions on golden parachute payments for departures, (iii) an expanded clawback of bonuses, retention awards, and incentive compensation if payment is based on materially inaccurate statements of earnings, revenues, gains or other criteria, (iv) prohibitions on compensation plans that encourage manipulation of reported earnings, (v) retroactive review of bonuses, retention awards and other compensation previously provided by TCPP recipients if found by the U.S. Treasury to be inconsistent with the purposes of TCPP or otherwise contrary to public interest, (vi) required establishment of a company-wide policy regarding “excessive or luxury expenditures,” and (vii) inclusion in a participant’s proxy statements for annual shareholder meetings of a nonbinding “Say on Pay” shareholder vote on the compensation of executives.

Securities Laws and Corporate Governance

The Company is subject to the disclosure and regulatory requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, both as administered by the SEC. As a company listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market, the Company is subject to NASDAQ listing standards for listed companies.

As discussed above, we are also subject to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act, and other federal and state laws and regulations which address, among other issues, required executive certification of financial presentations, corporate governance requirements for board audit committees and their members, and disclosure of controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting, auditing and accounting, executive compensation, and enhanced and timely disclosure of corporate information. NASDAQ has also adopted corporate governance rules, which are intended to allow shareholders and investors to more easily and efficiently monitor the performance of companies and their directors.

Finally, the Company is subject to the provisions of the California General Corporation Law, while the Bank is also subject to the California Financial Code provisions.

Environmental Regulations

In the course of our business, we may foreclose and take title to real estate, and could be subject to environmental liabilities with respect to these properties. We may be held liable to a governmental entity or to third parties for property damage, personal injury, investigation and clean-up costs incurred by these parties in connection with environmental contamination, or may be required to investigate or clean up hazardous or toxic substances, or chemical releases at a property. The costs associated with investigation or remediation activities could be substantial. In addition, as the owner or former owner of a contaminated site, we may be subject to common law claims by third parties based on damages and costs resulting from environmental contamination emanating from the property. If we ever become subject to significant environmental liabilities, our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

Other Pending and Proposed Legislation

Other legislative and regulatory initiatives which could affect us and the banking industry, in general, are pending and additional initiatives may be proposed or introduced before the United States Congress, the California legislature and other governmental bodies in the future. Such proposals, if enacted, may further alter the structure, regulation and competitive relationship among financial institutions, and may subject us to increased regulation, disclosure and reporting requirements. In addition, the various banking regulatory agencies often adopt new rules and regulations to implement and enforce existing legislation. We cannot predict whether, or in what form, any such legislation or regulations may be enacted or the extent to which our business would be affected thereby.

Available Information

The Company maintains an Internet website at <http://www.ovcb.com>. The Company makes available its annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to such reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and other information related to the Company free of charge, through this site as soon as reasonably practicable after it electronically files those documents with, or otherwise furnishes them to, the SEC. The Company's website also contains its Committee Charters, Code of Ethics, Code of Conduct and Corporate Governance Guidelines. The Company's internet website and the information contained therein or connected thereto are not intended to be incorporated into this annual report on Form 10-K.

In addition, copies of our filings are available by requesting them in writing or by phone from:

Corporate Secretary
Oak Valley Bancorp
125 North Third Avenue
Oakdale, California
209-844-7578

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Not applicable.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our main office is located in a complex at 125 North Third Avenue, Oakdale, CA 95361, in downtown Oakdale and houses our primary loan production, operations, and administrative offices. The building has an automated teller machine and onsite parking. The Company's Oakdale complex includes the adjacent corporate headquarter building and occupies approximately 20,000 square feet of space.

<u>Property Location and Address</u>	<u>Square Footage</u>	<u>Lease Expiration Date</u>	<u>Lease Extension Options</u>
Oakdale, 125 N. 3 rd Ave.	9,600	n/a*	n/a*
Oakdale, 338 F Street	9,860	n/a*	n/a*
Sonora, 14580 Mono Way	2,500	4/2018	two, 5-year term extensions
Modesto, 12 th & I Street	4,500	3/2016	two, 5-year term extensions
Bridgeport, 166 Main Street	2,875	n/a*	n/a*
Mammoth Lakes, 170 Mountain Blvd.	1,856	n/a*	n/a*
Bishop, 351 North Main Street	3,680	8/2019	one, 5-year term extensions
Modesto, 4120 Dale Road	4,500	3/2015	two, 5-year term extensions
Turlock, 2001 Geer Road	2,400	1/2015	two, 5-year term extensions
Patterson, 20 Plaza Circle	2,100	n/a*	n/a*
Escalon, 1910 McHenry Ave.	3,500	4/2021	two, 5-year term extensions
Ripon, 150 North Wilma Ave.	1,800	12/2015	one, 5-year term extension
Stockton, 2935 West March Lane	8,000	12/2022	two, 5-year term extensions
Modesto, 3508 McHenry Ave.	5,400	n/a*	n/a*
Manteca, 191 W. North St.	2,800	5/31/2016	two, 5-year term extensions

* The Company owns this property.

Management has determined that all of its premises are adequate for its present and anticipated level of business.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, the Company is a party to claims and legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. Our management evaluates its exposure to these claims and proceedings individually and in the aggregate and provides for potential losses on such litigation if the amount of the loss is estimable and the loss is probable.

To our knowledge, there are no material litigation matters pending at the current time. Although the results of any such litigation matters and claims cannot be predicted with certainty, we believe that the final outcome of any such claims and proceedings will not have a material adverse impact on the Company's financial position, liquidity, or results of operations.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.

Price Range of Common Stock

Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol "OVLY." The following table sets forth the high and low closing bid prices (which reflect prices between dealers and do not include retail markup, markdown or commission and may not represent actual transactions) for the two calendar years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. From time to time, during the periods indicated, trading activity in our common stock was infrequent. The source of the quotes is The Nasdaq Stock Market, LLC.

For Calendar Quarter Ended	Closing Sale Price	
	High	Low
March 31, 2012	\$ 8.20	\$ 5.80
June 30, 2012	\$ 7.93	\$ 6.17
September 30, 2012	\$ 8.25	\$ 5.02
December 31, 2012	\$ 8.15	\$ 6.75
March 31, 2013	\$ 8.88	\$ 7.33
June 30, 2013	\$ 8.24	\$ 7.37
September 30, 2013	\$ 8.80	\$ 7.59
December 31, 2013	\$ 8.37	\$ 7.85

On March 24, 2014, the closing price of our common stock was \$9.50 per share; and there were approximately 464 shareholders of record of the common stock and 8,071,355 outstanding shares of common stock.

Dividends

Our ability to pay any cash dividends will depend not only upon our earnings during a specified period, but also on our meeting certain capital requirements.

Dividends the Company declares are subject to the restrictions set forth in the California General Corporation Law (the "Corporation Law"). The Corporation Law provides that a corporation may make a distribution to its shareholders if the corporation's retained earnings equal at least the amount of the proposed distribution. The Corporation Law also provides that, in the event that sufficient retained earnings are not available for the proposed distribution, a corporation may nevertheless make a distribution to its shareholders if it meets two conditions, which generally stated are as follows: (i) the corporation's assets equal at least 1 and 1/4 times its liabilities, and (ii) the corporation's current assets equal at least its current liabilities or, if the average of the corporation's earnings before taxes on income and before interest expenses for the two preceding fiscal years was less than the average of the corporation's interest expenses for such fiscal years, then the corporation's current assets must equal at least 1 and 1/4 times its current liabilities.

Additionally, the Federal Reserve Board has authority to limit the payment of dividends by bank holding companies, such as the Company, in certain circumstances, requiring, among other things, a holding company to consult with the Federal Reserve Board prior to payment of a dividend if the company does not have sufficient recent earnings in excess of the proposed dividend.

The principal source of funds from which the Company may pay dividends is the receipt of dividends from the Bank. The availability of dividends from the Bank is limited by various statutes and regulations. The Bank is subject first to corporate restrictions on its ability to pay dividends. Further, the Bank may not pay a dividend if it would be undercapitalized after the dividend payment is made. The payment of cash dividends by the Bank is subject to restrictions set forth in the California Financial Code (the "Financial Code"). The Financial Code provides that a bank may not make a cash distribution to its shareholders in excess of the lesser of (a) bank's retained earnings; or (b) bank's net income for its last three fiscal years, less the amount of any distributions made by the bank or by any majority-owned subsidiary of the bank to the shareholders of the bank during such period. However, a bank may, with the approval of the DBO, make a distribution to its shareholders in an amount not exceeding the greatest of (a) its retained earnings; (b) its net income for its last fiscal year; or (c) its net income for its current fiscal year. In the event that the DBO determines

that the shareholders' equity of a bank is inadequate or that the making of a distribution by the bank would be unsafe or unsound, the DBO may order the bank to refrain from making a proposed distribution. The FDIC may also restrict the payment of dividends if such payment would be deemed unsafe or unsound or if after the payment of such dividends, the bank would be included in one of the "undercapitalized" categories for capital adequacy purposes pursuant to federal law.

While the Federal Reserve Board has no general restriction with respect to the payment of cash dividends by an adequately capitalized bank to its parent holding company, the Federal Reserve Board might, under certain circumstances, place restrictions on the ability of a particular bank to pay dividends based upon peer group averages and the performance and maturity of the particular bank, or object to management fees to be paid by a subsidiary bank to its holding company on the basis that such fees cannot be supported by the value of the services rendered or are not the result of an arm's length transaction.

Shareholders are entitled to receive dividends only when and if dividends are declared by our Board of Directors. Although we have paid dividends in the past, it is no guarantee that we will pay cash dividends in the future. No dividends were paid for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. A \$0.10 per common share dividend was declared in December 2013 and subsequently paid in January 2014.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2013 with respect to shares of our common stock that are issued and currently outstanding under the Company's 1998 Restated Stock Option Plan (the "1998 Restated Stock Option Plan"), and the number of shares that are authorized to be issued under the Company's 2008 Equity Plan (the "2008 Equity Plan"). Figures in the table have been retroactively adjusted to reflect three-for-two stock splits in August 2005 and 2006.

Plan Category	A	B	C
	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under 2008 Equity Plan (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column A)
Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Shareholders	200,250	\$ 9.36	1,338,620
Equity Compensation Plans Not Approved by Shareholders	0	0	0
Total	200,250	\$ 9.36	1,338,620

ITEM 6. SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

Not applicable.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION

The following discussion of financial condition as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 and results of operations for each of the years in the two-year period ended December 31, 2013 should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto, included in this report. Average balances, including balances used in calculating certain financial ratios, are generally comprised of average daily balances.

Forward-Looking Statements

This discussion of financial results includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, (the "1933 Act") and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, (the "1934 Act"). Those sections of the 1933 Act and 1934 Act provide a "safe harbor" for forward-looking statements to encourage companies to provide prospective information about their financial performance so long as they provide meaningful, cautionary statements identifying important factors that could cause actual results to differ significantly from projected results.

Our forward-looking statements include descriptions of plans or objectives of Management for future operations, products or services, and forecasts of our revenues, earnings or other measures of economic performance. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. They often include the words "believe," "expect," "intend," "estimate" or words of similar meaning, or future or conditional verbs such as "will," "would," "should," "could" or "may."

Forward-looking statements are based on Management's current expectations regarding economic, legislative, and regulatory issues that may impact our earnings in future periods. A number of factors - many of which are beyond Management's control - could cause future results to vary materially from current Management's expectations. Such factors include, but are not limited to, general economic conditions, the current financial turmoil in the United States and abroad, changes in interest rates, deposit flows, real estate values and industry competition; changes in accounting principles, policies or guidelines; changes in legislation or regulation; and other economic, competitive, governmental, regulatory and technological factors affecting our operations, pricing, products and services. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made. We do not undertake to update forward-looking statements to reflect circumstances or events that occur after the date the forward-looking statements are made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Introduction

Our continued focus on responsible community banking fundamentals and our strong customer relationships have enabled us to increase net income to common shareholders in 2013, and have led to higher core deposits, a key funding source for our steady asset growth.

As of December 31, 2013, we had approximately \$672 million in total assets, \$419 million in total gross loans, and \$603 million in total deposits.

We believe the following were key indicators of our performance for operations during 2013:

- our total assets increased to \$672 million at the end of 2013, an increase of 1.7%, from \$661 million at the end of 2012.
- our total deposits increased to \$603 million at the end of 2013, an increase of 2.7%, from \$587 million at the end of 2012.
- our total net loans increased to \$411 million at the end of 2013, an increase of 7.5%, from \$382 million at the end of 2012.
- our ratio of total non-performing loans to total loans decreased to 0.56% at December 31, 2013 from 1.77% at December 31, 2012. Management considers that the size of the ratio of non-performing assets to total loans is moderate and manageable, and reserves have been taken appropriately.
- net interest income decreased \$0.6 million or 2.3% in 2013 compared to 2012, mainly as a result of lower market interest rate on loans and investment securities.
- provision for loan losses decreased \$0.85 million or 73.9% to \$0.3 million in 2013 compared to \$1.15 million in 2012.
- total noninterest income increased to \$3.3 million in 2013, an increase of 4.2%, from \$3.1 million in 2012, which is mainly attributable to our growing deposit account base.

- total noninterest expense increased from \$18.2 million in 2012 to \$18.7 million in 2013, reflecting the increase in overhead costs associated with the growth of our product lines and services.

These items, as well as other factors, contributed to the increase in net income available to common shareholders for 2013 to \$5.82 million from \$5.33 million in 2012, which translates into \$0.74 per diluted common share in 2013 and \$0.69 per diluted common share in 2012.

Over the past several years, our network of branches and loan production offices has been expanded geographically. We currently maintain fourteen full-service offices. We intend to continue our growth strategy in future years through the opening of additional branches and loan production offices as our needs and resources permit.

2014 Outlook

As we begin our strategic business plan for 2014, we are continuing to explore opportunities for growth in our existing markets, as well as opportunities to expand into new markets through *de novo* branching. In 2014, we are continuing to focus on loan and account growth and managing our net interest margin, while attempting to control expenses and credit losses and manage our business to achieve our net income and other objectives. Efforts to attract new accounts and grow loans continue to be an important strategic initiative.

As a result of market interest rates declining to historic lows over the past few years, we recognized a decrease in our net interest income, which we expect could slightly compress further in 2014 even if interest rates begin to increase. The potential compression of net interest income and net interest margin would be a likely outcome if interest rates increase, given that our balance sheet is liability sensitive to interest rate changes primarily due to the number of loans currently at their contractual rate floors and competitive pressures to increase deposit rates. This could in turn result in a slower increase on the yield of earning assets compared to the cost of deposits and other funds. Ideally, if we experience an increase in our yield on earning assets we could then determine to increase the interest rates we pay on our deposit accounts or change our promotional or other interest rates on new deposits in marketing activation programs to attempt to achieve a certain net interest margin. In light of the current economic environment, it may not be possible to manage the interest margin in this manner, as competitive pressures may dictate that we increase deposit rates at a faster rate than the earning assets increase, thereby further compressing the net interest margin. Any increases in the rates we charge on accounts could have an effect on our efforts to attract new customers and grow loans, particularly with the continuing competition in the commercial and consumer lending industry. The economies and real estate markets in our primary market areas will continue to be significant determinants of the quality of our assets in future periods and, thus, our results of operations, liquidity and financial condition. Current economic indicators suggest that the national economy and the economies in our primary market areas will remain depressed but the length and severity of the cycle is difficult to predict.

For 2014, management remains focused on the above challenges and opportunities and other factors affecting the business similar to the factors driving 2013 results as discussed in this section.

Holding Company

Effective July 3, 2008, Oak Valley Community Bank became a subsidiary of Oak Valley Bancorp, a newly established bank holding company. Oak Valley Bancorp operates Oak Valley Community Bank as a community bank in the general commercial banking business, with our primary market encompassing the California Central Valley around Oakdale and Modesto, and the Eastern Sierras. As such, unless otherwise noted, all references are about Oak Valley Bancorp.

In the bank holding company reorganization, all outstanding shares of common stock of the Bank were exchanged for an equal number of shares of common stock of Oak Valley Bancorp, which now owns the Bank as its wholly-owned subsidiary. Management believes that operating the Bank within a holding company structure, among other things:

- provides greater operating flexibility than is currently enjoyed by us.
- facilitates the acquisition of related businesses as opportunities arise.
- improves our ability to diversify.
- enhances our ability to remain competitive in the future with other companies in the financial services industry that are organized in a holding company structure.
- enhances our ability to raise capital to support growth.

The financial statements and discussion thereof contained in this report for periods subsequent to the reorganization relate to the consolidated financial statements of Oak Valley Bancorp. Periods prior to the reorganization relate to the Bank only. The information is comparable as the sole subsidiary of Oak Valley Bancorp is the Bank.

Critical Accounting Policies

Critical accounting policies are those that are both most important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations and require management's most difficult, subjective, or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain.

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that effect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of our financial statements. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. In addition, GAAP itself may change from one previously acceptable method to another method, although the economics of our transactions would be the same.

Management has determined the following accounting policies to be critical:

Asset Impairment Judgments

Certain of our assets are carried in our consolidated balance sheets at fair value or at the lower of cost or fair value. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to recognize impairment of such assets. We periodically perform analyses to test for impairment of various assets. In addition to our impairment analyses related to loans, another significant impairment analysis relates to other than temporary declines in the value of our securities.

Loans for which it is probable that payment of interest and principal will not be made in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement are considered impaired and are carried at fair value or below. Appraisals are done periodically on impaired loans and if required an allowance is established based on the fair value of collateral less the cost related to liquidation of the collateral. In some circumstances, an impaired loan may be charged off to bring the carrying value to fair value.

Other real estate assets ("OREO") acquired through, or in lieu of, foreclosure are held-for-sale and are initially recorded at the lower of cost or fair value, less selling costs. Any write-downs to fair value at the time of transfer to OREO are charged to the allowance for loan losses, subsequent to foreclosure. Appraisals or evaluations are then done periodically thereafter charging any additional write-downs or valuation allowances to the appropriate expense accounts.

Net realizable value of the underlying collateral is the fair value of the collateral less estimated selling costs and any prior liens. Appraisals, recent comparable sales, offers and listing prices are factored in when valuing the collateral. We review and verify the qualifications and licenses of the certified general appraisers used for appraising commercial properties or certified residential appraisers for residential properties. Real estate appraisals may utilize a combination of approaches including replacement cost, sales comparison and the income approach. Comparable sales and income data are analyzed by the appraisers and adjusted to reflect differences between them and the subject property such as type, leasing status and physical condition. When the appraisals are received, Management reviews the assumptions and methodology utilized in the appraisal, as well as the overall resulting value in conjunction with independent data sources such as recent market data and industry-wide statistics. We generally use a 6% discount for selling costs which is applied to all properties, regardless of size. Appraised values may be adjusted to reflect changes in market conditions that have occurred subsequent to the appraisal date, or for revised estimates regarding the timing or cost of the property sale. These adjustments are based on qualitative judgments made by management on a case-by-case basis.

Our available for sale portfolio is carried at estimated fair value, with any unrealized gains and losses, net of taxes, reported as accumulated other comprehensive income in shareholders' equity. We conduct a periodic review and evaluation of the securities portfolio to determine if the value of any security has declined below its carrying value and whether such decline is other than temporary. If such decline is deemed other than temporary, we would adjust the carrying amount of the security by writing down the security to fair value through a charge to current period income. The fair values of our securities are significantly affected by changes in interest rates.

In general, as interest rates rise, the fair value of fixed-rate securities will decrease; as interest rates fall, the fair value of fixed-rate securities will increase. With significant changes in interest rates, we evaluate our intent and ability to hold the security for a

sufficient time to recover the recorded principal balance. Estimated fair values for securities are based on published or securities dealers' market values. Market volatility is unpredictable and may impact such values.

Allowance for Loan Losses

Credit risk is inherent in the business of lending and making commercial loans. Accounting for our allowance for loan losses involves significant judgment and assumptions by management and is based on historical data and management's view of the current economic environment. At least on a quarterly basis, our management reviews the methodology and adequacy of allowance for loan losses and reports its assessment to the Board of Directors for its review and approval.

The allowance for loan losses is an estimate of probable incurred losses with regard to our loans. Our loan loss provision for each period is dependent upon many factors, including loan growth, net charge-offs, changes in the composition of the loans, delinquencies, management's assessment of the quality of the loans, the valuation of problem loans and the general economic conditions in our market area. We base our allowance for loan losses on an estimation of probable losses inherent in our loan portfolio.

Our methodology for assessing loan loss allowances are intended to reduce the differences between estimated and actual losses and involves a detailed analysis of our loan portfolio, in three phases:

- the specific review of individual loans,
- the segmenting and review of loan pools with similar characteristics, and
- our judgmental estimate based on various subjective factors:

The first phase of our methodology involves the specific review of individual loans to identify and measure impairment. We evaluate each loan by use of a risk rating system, except for homogeneous loans, such as automobile loans and home mortgages. Specific risk rated loans are deemed impaired if all amounts, including principal and interest, will likely not be collected in accordance with the contractual terms of the related loan agreement. Impairment for commercial and real estate loans is measured either based on the present value of the loan's expected future cash flows or, if collection on the loan is collateral dependent, the estimated fair value of the collateral, less selling and holding costs.

The second phase involves the segmenting of the remainder of the risk rated loan portfolio into groups or pools of loans, together with loans with similar characteristics, for evaluation. We determine the calculated loss ratio to each loan pool based on its historical net losses and benchmark it against the levels of other peer banks.

In the third phase, we consider relevant internal and external factors that may affect the collectability of loan portfolio and each group of loan pool. The factors considered are, but are not limited to:

- concentration of credits,
- nature and volume of the loan portfolio,
- delinquency trends,
- non-accrual loan trend,
- problem loan trend,
- loss and recovery trend,
- quality of loan review,
- lending and management staff,
- lending policies and procedures,
- economic and business conditions, and

- other external factors.

Our management estimates the probable effect of such conditions based on our judgment, experience and known or anticipated trends. Such estimation may be reflected as an additional allowance to each group of loans, if necessary. Management reviews these conditions with our senior credit officers. To the extent that any of these conditions is evidenced by a specifically identifiable problem credit or portfolio segment as of the evaluation date, management's estimate of the effect of such condition may be reflected as a specific allowance applicable to such credit or portfolio segment. Where any of these conditions is not evidenced by a specific, identifiable problem credit or portfolio segment as of the evaluation date, management's evaluation of the inherent loss related to such condition is reflected in the unallocated allowance.

Central to our credit risk management and our assessment of appropriate loss allowance is our loan risk rating system. Under this system, the originating credit officer assigns borrowers an initial risk rating based on a thorough analysis of each borrower's financial capacity in conjunction with industry and economic trends. Approvals are made based upon the amount of inherent credit risk specific to the transaction and are reviewed for appropriateness by senior line and credit administration personnel. Credits are monitored by line and credit administration personnel for deterioration in a borrower's financial condition which may impact the ability of the borrower to perform under the contract. Although management has allocated a portion of the allowance to specific loans, specific loan pools, and off-balance sheet credit exposures (which are reported separately as part of other liabilities), the adequacy of the allowance is considered in its entirety.

It is the policy of management to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level adequate for risks inherent in the overall loan portfolio, however, the loan portfolio can be adversely affected if the state of California's economic conditions and its real estate market in our general market area were to further deteriorate or weaken. Additionally, further weakness of a prolonged nature in the agricultural and general economy would have a negative impact on the local market. The effect of such economic events, although uncertain and unpredictable at this time, could result in an increase in the levels of nonperforming loans and additional loan losses, which could adversely affect our future growth and profitability. No assurance of the level of predicted credit losses can be given with any certainty.

Non-Accrual Loan Policy

Interest on loans is credited to income as earned and is accrued only if deemed collectible. Accrual of interest is discontinued when a loan is over 90 days delinquent or if management believes that collection is highly uncertain. Generally, payments received on nonaccrual loans are recorded as principal reductions. Interest income is recognized after all principal has been repaid or an improvement in the condition of the loan has occurred that would warrant resumption of interest accruals.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company recognizes in the consolidated statements of income the grant-date fair value of stock options and other equity-based forms of compensation issued to employees over the employees' requisite service period (generally the vesting period). The Company uses straight-line recognition of expenses for awards with graded vesting. The Company utilizes a binomial pricing model for all stock option grants. Expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of the price of the Company's stock. The Company uses historical data to estimate option exercise and stock option forfeiture rates within the valuation model. The expected term of options granted for the binomial model is derived from applying a historical suboptimal exercise factor to the contractual term of the grant. For binomial pricing, the risk-free rate for periods is equal to the U.S. Treasury yield at the time of grant and commensurate with the contractual term of the grant. For restricted stock grants, the Company uses the market price of the stock on the grant date and expenses the market value over the vesting period.

Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes are provided for the temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of the Company's assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are reflected at currently enacted income tax rates applicable to the period in which the deferred tax assets or liabilities are expected to be realized or settled using the liability method. As changes in tax laws or rates are enacted, deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted through the provision for income taxes.

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, and the state of California. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal or state/local income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2009.

Deferred Compensations Plans

Future compensation under the Company's executive salary continuation plan and director retirement plan is earned for services rendered through retirement. The Company accrues for the salary continuation liability based on anticipated years of service and vesting schedules provided under the plans. The Company's current benefit liability is determined based on vesting and the present value of the benefits at a corresponding discount rate. The discount rate used is an equivalent rate for investment-grade bonds with lives matching those of the service periods remaining for the salary continuation contracts, which average approximately 20 years.

Fair Value Measurements

We use fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain assets and liabilities and to determine fair value disclosures. We base our fair values on the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Securities available for sale, derivatives, and loans held for sale, if any, are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Additionally, from time to time, we may be required to record certain assets at fair value on a non-recurring basis, such as certain impaired loans held for investment and securities held to maturity that are other-than-temporarily impaired. These non-recurring fair value adjustments typically involve write-downs of individual assets due to application of lower-of-cost or market accounting.

We have established and documented a process for determining fair value. We maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when developing fair value measurements. Whenever there is no readily available market data, management uses its best estimate and assumptions in determining fair value, but these estimates involve inherent uncertainties and the application of management's judgment. As a result, if other assumptions had been used, our recorded earnings or disclosures could have been materially different from those reflected in these financial statements. For detailed information on our use of fair value measurements and our related valuation methodologies, see Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-11, *Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities*. The update requires an entity to offset, and present as a single net amount, a recognized eligible asset and a recognized eligible liability when it has an unconditional and legally enforceable right of setoff and intends either to settle the asset and liability on a net basis or to realize the asset and settle the liability simultaneously. The ASU requires an entity to disclose information about offsetting and related arrangements to enable users of its financial statements to understand the effect of those arrangements on its financial position. The amendments are effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013 and did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-01, *Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities*. The Update clarifies that ASU. 2011-11 applies only to derivatives, including bifurcated embedded derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are either offset or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. Entities with other types of financial assets and financial liabilities subject to a master netting arrangement or similar agreement are no longer subject to the disclosure requirements in ASU. 2011-11. The amendments are effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013 and did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-02, *Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income*. The Update requires an entity to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component and to present either on the face of the statement where net income is presented, or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income, but only if the amount reclassified is required to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period. The amendments are effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2012 and did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-04, *Obligations Resulting from Joint and Several Liability Arrangements for Which the Total Amount of the Obligation Is Fixed at the Reporting Date*. The Update requires an entity to measure obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount of the obligation within the scope of this guidance is fixed at the reporting date, as the sum of the following: 1) The amount the reporting entity agreed to pay on the basis of its arrangement among its co-obligors, and 2) Any additional amount the reporting entity expects to pay on behalf of its co-obligors. The

guidance in this Update also requires an entity to disclose the nature and amount of the obligation as well as other information about those obligations. The amendments in this Update are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013, and are applied retrospectively to all prior periods presented for those obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements within the Update's scope that exist at the beginning of an entity's fiscal year of adoption. The adoption of ASU No. 2013-04 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-10, *Inclusion of the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate (or Overnight Index Swap Rate) as a Benchmark Interest Rate for Hedge Accounting Purposes*. ASU No. 2013-10 permits the use of the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate (OIS) to be used as a U.S. benchmark interest rate for hedge account purposes. The amendment is effective prospectively for qualifying new or redesignated hedging relationships entered into on or after July 17, 2013. The adoption of ASU No. 2013-10 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-11, *Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists*. ASU No. 2013-11 requires an entity to present an unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward, except to the extent a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward is not available at the reporting date under the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction to settle any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position or the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction does not require the entity to use, and the entity does not intend to use, the deferred tax asset for such purpose, the unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statements as a liability and should not be combined with deferred tax assets. No new recurring disclosures are required. The amendments are effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2013 and are to be applied prospectively to all unrecognized tax benefits that exist at the effective date. Retrospective application is permitted. The adoption of ASU No. 2013-11 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014 – 01, *Investments – Equity Method and Joint Ventures (Topic 323), Accounting for Investments in Qualified Affordable Housing Projects*. This Update provides guidance on accounting for investments by a reporting entity in flow-through limited liability entities that manage or invest in affordable housing projects that qualify for the low-income housing tax credit. The amendments in this Update permit reporting entities to make an accounting policy election to account for their investments in qualified affordable housing projects using the proportional amortization method if certain conditions are met. Under the proportional amortization method, an entity amortizes the initial cost of the investment in proportion to the tax credits and other tax benefits received and recognizes the net investment performance in the income statement as a component of income tax expense (benefit). The amendments in this Update are effective for public business entities for annual periods and interim reporting periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. The adoption of ASU No. 2014-01 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014 – 04, *Receivables – Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors*. This ASU provides clarification that an in substance repossession or foreclosure occurs, and a creditor is considered to have received physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan, upon either (1) the creditor obtaining legal title to the residential real estate property upon completion of a foreclosure or (2) the borrower conveying all interest in the residential real estate property to the creditor to satisfy that loan through completion of a deed in lieu of foreclosure or through a similar legal agreement. Additionally, the amendments require interim and annual disclosure of both (1) the amount of foreclosed residential real estate property held by the creditor and (2) the recorded investment in consumer mortgage loans collateralized by residential real estate property that are in the process of foreclosure according to local requirements of the applicable jurisdiction. The amendments in this ASU are effective for public business entities for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. The adoption of ASU No. 2014-04 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Results of Operations

The Company earns income from two primary sources. The first is net interest income, which is interest income generated by earning assets less interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities. The second is noninterest income, which primarily consists of deposit service charges and fees, the increase in cash surrender value of life insurance and mortgage commissions. The majority of the Company's noninterest expenses are operating costs that relate to providing a full range of banking services to our customers.

Overview

We recorded net income available to common shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2013 of \$5,819,000 or \$0.74 per diluted common share compared to \$5,329,000 or \$0.69 per diluted common share for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase in net income available to common shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2013 was primarily due to a decrease of \$850,000 in provision for loan losses, an increase in non-interest income of \$131,000 and a decrease in income tax provision of \$104,000. Partially offsetting these factors was a decrease in net interest income of \$569,000 and an increase of \$411,000 in non-interest expense associated with growth of our product lines and services.

Highlights of the financial results are presented in the following table:

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)	As of and for the years ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
For the period:			
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 5,819	\$ 5,329	\$ 4,700
Net income per common share:			
Basic	\$ 0.75	\$ 0.69	\$ 0.61
Diluted	\$ 0.74	\$ 0.69	\$ 0.61
Return on average common equity	9.07 %	8.80 %	8.67 %
Return on average assets	0.90 %	0.95 %	1.02 %
Common stock dividend payout ratio	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
Efficiency ratio	65.65 %	63.83 %	61.28 %
At period end:			
Book value per common share	\$ 8.14	\$ 7.99	\$ 7.37
Total assets	\$ 671,853	\$ 660,581	\$ 612,172
Total gross loans	\$ 419,438	\$ 390,986	\$ 396,202
Total deposits	\$ 602,633	\$ 586,993	\$ 536,204
Net loan-to-deposit ratio	68.23 %	65.15 %	72.17 %

Net Interest Income and Net Interest Margin

Our primary source of revenue is net interest income, which is the difference between interest and fees derived from earning assets and interest paid on liabilities obtained to fund those assets. Our net interest income is affected by changes in the level and mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, referred to as volume changes. Our net interest income is also affected by changes in the yields earned on assets and rates paid on liabilities, referred to as rate changes. Interest rates charged on our loans are affected principally by the demand for such loans, the supply of money available for lending purposes and competitive factors. Those factors are, in turn, affected by general economic conditions and other factors beyond our control, such as federal economic policies, the general supply of money in the economy, legislative tax policies, the governmental budgetary matters, and the actions of the Federal Reserve Board.

For a detailed analysis of interest income and interest expense, see the “Average Balance Sheets” and the “Rate/Volume Analysis” below.

Distribution, Yield and Rate Analysis of Net Income

For the Years Ended December 31,

(Dollars in Thousands)	2013			2012		
	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Avg Rate/ Yield	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Avg Rate/ Yield
Assets:						
Earning assets:						
Gross loans (1) (2)	\$ 396,953	\$ 21,413	5.39%	\$ 390,856	\$ 22,459	5.75%
Securities of U.S. government agencies	12,635	201	1.59%	3,749	46	1.23%
Other investment securities (2)	101,723	3,861	3.80%	95,405	3,720	3.90%
Federal funds sold	10,793	25	0.23%	12,339	29	0.24%
Interest-earning deposits	79,716	211	0.26%	54,676	135	0.25%
Total interest-earning assets	601,820	25,711	4.27%	557,025	26,389	4.74%
Total noninterest earning assets	49,553			52,996		
Total Assets	<u>\$ 651,373</u>			<u>\$ 610,021</u>		
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity:						
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Business Interest DDA	12,600	13	0.10%	3,010	5	0.17%
Money market deposits	238,956	324	0.14%	249,652	513	0.21%
NOW deposits	83,268	83	0.10%	68,454	103	0.15%
Savings deposits	35,162	52	0.15%	26,238	57	0.22%
Time certificates of \$100,000 or more	35,873	263	0.73%	37,150	322	0.87%
Other time deposits	19,499	93	0.48%	21,822	132	0.60%
Other borrowings	1	0	0.27%	467	4	0.86%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	425,359	828	0.19%	406,793	1,136	0.28%
Noninterest-bearing liabilities:						
Noninterest-bearing deposits	156,629			130,664		
Other liabilities	3,892			3,154		
Total noninterest-bearing liabilities	160,521			133,818		
Shareholders' equity	65,493			69,410		
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	<u>\$ 651,373</u>			<u>\$ 610,021</u>		
Net interest income		<u>\$ 24,883</u>			<u>\$ 25,253</u>	
Net interest spread (3)			4.08%			4.46%
Net interest margin (4)			4.13%			4.53%

(1) Loan fees have been included in the calculation of interest income.

(2) Yields on municipal securities and loans have been adjusted to their fully-taxable equivalents (FTE), based on a federal marginal tax rate of 34.0%.

(3) Represents the average rate earned on interest-earning assets less the average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities.

(4) Represents net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets.

Net interest income, on a fully tax equivalent basis (FTE), decreased \$0.4 million or 1.5% to \$24.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$25.3 million in 2012. Net interest spread and net interest margin were 4.08% and 4.13%, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 4.46% and 4.53%, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease in the net interest margin in 2013 was primarily attributable to the increased average federal funds sold and interest earning deposits in bank balances of \$23.5 million which are earning 0.25% and thus driving down the overall yield on earning assets. Additionally, the average balance of our investment portfolio increased by \$15.2 million and the yield decreased by 25 basis points to 3.55% in 2013 compared to 2012.

The current low market interest rate environment has had a positive impact on net interest income in previous years because the Company's consolidated balance sheet is liability sensitive which typically results in our average cost of funds decreasing faster than the average yield on interest earning assets in a declining rate environment. In 2013, we have not recognized this benefit to the same degree, as deposit interest rates are at historic lows and have essentially reached a threshold in which they cannot reasonably be further reduced to keep pace with the reduction of our asset yield. However, the total cost of funds did decrease 9 basis points in 2013 compared to 2012, due to moderate rate reductions across all deposit products. In addition, average non-interest-bearing demand deposit balances increased by \$26.0 million in 2013 compared to 2012, further reducing our cost of funds.

Compared to cost of funds, the decrease in earning asset yield was more significant at 47 basis points in 2013 compared to 2012. The investment securities portfolio recognized a decrease of 25 basis points in 2013, mainly because of the Company deploying cash into investment security purchases, which have historically low yields. The yield on loans recognized a reduction of 36 basis points for 2013 compared to 2012, which was minimized due to the significant portion of our loans that are at their contractual rate floors. In addition, the large majority of our variable loans are tied to the U.S. Treasury Constant Maturity Indices with repricing intervals between one and five years.

Changes in volume resulted in an increase in net interest income (FTE) of \$756,000 for the year of 2013 compared to the year 2012, and changes in interest rates and the mix resulted in a decrease in net interest income (FTE) of \$1,126,000 for the year 2013 versus the year 2012. Management closely monitors both total net interest income and the net interest margin.

Market rates are in part based on the Federal Reserve Open Market Committee ("FOMC") target Federal funds interest rate (the interest rate banks charge each other for short-term borrowings). The change in the Federal funds sold and purchased rates is the result of target rate changes implemented by the FOMC. In 2008, there were seven downward adjustments to the target rate totaling 325 basis points, bringing the target interest rate to a historic low with a range of 0% to 0.25% where it remained as of December 2013.

Rate/Volume Analysis

The following table below sets forth certain information regarding changes in interest income and interest expense of the Company for the periods indicated. For each category of earning assets and interest bearing liabilities, information is provided on changes attributable to (i) changes in volume (change in average volume multiplied by old rate); and (ii) changes in rates (change in rate multiplied by old average volume). Changes in rate/volume (change in rate multiplied by the change in volume) have been allocated to the changes due to volume and rate in proportion to the absolute value of the changes due to volume and rate prior to the allocation.

(Dollars in Thousands)	Rate/Volume Analysis of Net Interest Income					
	For the Year Ended December 31, 2013 vs. 2012			For the Year Ended December 31, 2012 vs. 2011		
	Increases (Decreases) Due to Change In			Increases (Decreases) Due to Change In		
	Volume	Rate	Total	Volume	Rate	Total
Interest income:						
Net loans (1)	\$ 350	\$ (1,396)	\$ (1,046)	\$ (196)	\$ (964)	\$ (1,160)
Securities of U.S. government agencies	109	46	155	(19)	(25)	(44)
Other Investment securities	246	(105)	141	1,219	(773)	446
Federal funds sold	(4)	0	(4)	(13)	0	(13)
Interest-earning deposits	62	14	76	34	1	35
Total interest income	763	(1,441)	(678)	1,025	(1,761)	(736)
Interest expense:						
Business Interest DDA	\$ 16	\$ (8)	\$ 8	\$ -	\$ 5	\$ 5
Money market deposits	(22)	(167)	(189)	12	(266)	(254)
NOW deposits	22	(42)	(20)	5	(35)	(30)
Savings deposits	20	(25)	(5)	27	(34)	(7)
Time certificates of \$100,000 or more	(11)	(48)	(59)	20	(54)	(34)
Other time deposits	(14)	(25)	(39)	(63)	(65)	(128)
Other borrowings	(4)	0	(4)	(63)	(1)	(64)
Total interest expense	7	(315)	(308)	(62)	(450)	(512)
Change in net interest income	\$ 756	\$ (1,126)	\$ (370)	\$ 1,087	\$ (1,311)	\$ (224)

(1) Loan fees have been included in the calculation of interest income.

Provision for Loan Losses

Credit risk is inherent in the business of making loans. The Company establishes an allowance for loan losses through charges to earnings, which are shown in the consolidated statements of income as the provision for loan losses. Specifically identifiable and quantifiable losses are promptly charged off against the allowance. The Company maintains the allowance for loan losses at a level that it considers to be adequate to provide for credit losses inherent in its loan portfolio. Management determines the level of the allowance by performing a quarterly analysis that considers concentrations of credit, past loss experience, current economic conditions, the amount and composition of the loan portfolio (including nonperforming and potential problem loans), estimated fair value of underlying collateral, and other information relevant to assessing the risk of loss inherent in the loan portfolio such as for example loan growth, net charge-offs, changes in the composition of the loan portfolio, and delinquencies. As a result of management's analysis, a range of the potential amount of the allowance for loan losses is determined.

The provision for loan losses was \$300,000 for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$1,150,000 for the year end December 31, 2012. Nonperforming loans were \$2.34 million at December 31, 2013 and \$6.92 million at December 31, 2012, or 0.56% and 1.77%, respectively, of total loans. Nonperforming loans are primarily in nonperforming real estate construction and development loans. The allowance for loan losses was \$7.66 million and \$7.97 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, or 1.83% and 2.04%, respectively, of total loans. Net charge-offs were \$616,000 in 2013 compared to \$1,784,000 in 2012. The reduction of the net

charge-offs for 2013 compared to 2012 is indicative of the economic recovery and the resulting credit quality improvement of our loan portfolio.

The Company will continue to monitor the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses and make additions to the allowance in accordance with the analysis referred to above. Because of uncertainties inherent in estimating the appropriate level of the allowance for loan losses, actual results may differ from management's estimate of credit losses and the related allowance.

Noninterest Income

Noninterest income was \$3.28 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$3.15 million for the year 2012. In 2013, other income increased by \$131,000, which was attributable to increases in investment service fee income and debit card interchange fee income of \$64,000 and \$106,000, respectively, compared to 2012. Mortgage commissions have decreased by \$11,000 or 4.5% for the year 2013, as compared to 2012 but are still at elevated levels compared to 2011 and prior years, as a result of the escalated demand for home purchases and refinancing due in part to the current low interest rate environment. Service charge income increased to \$1.24 million for the year 2013 compared to \$1.17 million for the year 2012, as a result of the increase in the aggregate number of deposit accounts of 4.3% to 19,901 at December 31, 2013, as compared to 19,077 accounts as of December 31, 2012. The Company continues to evaluate its deposit product offerings with the intention of continuing to expand its offerings to the consumer and business depositors.

Noninterest Income (Dollars in thousands)

	For the Years Ended December 31,			
	2013		2012	
	(Amount)	(%)	(Amount)	(%)
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$ 1,237	37.7 %	\$ 1,173	37.3 %
Earnings on cash surrender value of life insurance	404	12.3 %	424	13.5 %
Mortgage commissions	229	7.0 %	240	7.6 %
Gains on called securities	60	1.8 %	92	2.9 %
Other income	1,350	41.2 %	1,220	38.8 %
Total	\$ 3,280	100.00 %	\$ 3,149	100.00 %
Average assets	\$ 651,373		\$ 610,021	
Noninterest income as a % of average assets		0.5 %		0.5 %

Noninterest Expense

The following table sets forth a summary of noninterest expenses for the periods indicated:

Noninterest Expense (Dollars in thousands)

	For the Years Ended December 31,			
	2013		2012	
	(Amount)	(%)	(Amount)	(%)
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 9,978	53.4 %	\$ 10,009	54.8 %
Occupancy expenses	2,924	15.7 %	2,948	16.2 %
Data processing fees	1,307	7.0 %	1,128	6.2 %
Regulatory assessments (FDIC & DBO)	480	2.6 %	461	2.5 %
Other operating expenses	3,971	21.3 %	3,702	20.3 %
Total	\$ 18,660	100.0 %	\$ 18,248	100.0 %
Average assets	\$ 651,373		\$ 610,021	
Noninterest expenses as a % of average assets		2.9 %		3.0 %

Noninterest expense was \$18,660,000 for the year ended December 31, 2013, an increase of \$412,000 or 2.3% compared to \$18,248,000 for the year ended 2012.

Data processing costs increased in 2013 over 2012 by \$179,000, reflecting the additional costs related to the increased number of deposit accounts and the expansion of our products and services.

Other expenses recognized an increase in 2013 of \$269,000 compared to 2012, due to a variety of costs necessary for the expansion of our products and services, such as software licensing, auditing fees, legal fees and advertising.

FDIC and DBO (California Department of Business Oversight) regulatory assessments increased by \$19,000 to \$480,000 in 2013 compared to \$461,000 in 2012. The initial base assessment rate for financial institutions varies based on the overall risk profile of the institution as defined by the FDIC. The increase in 2013 is solely due to a higher level of deposit balances compared to 2012, as the FDIC assessment rates are applied to average quarterly total liabilities as the primary basis. Our assessment rate decreased slightly in 2013 as a result of our overall improved risk profile.

Salaries and employee benefits decreased by \$31,000 in 2013 to \$9,978,000 compared to the prior year. To support our emphasis on superior customer service, we increased our full-time equivalent staff by 6 as of December 31, 2013 compared to last year, which resulted in increased salary expense and group medical insurance benefits. The salary and benefit increase was offset in part by an increase in deferred loan costs of \$315,000, stemming from strong loan production during 2013. Occupancy expense realized a modest decrease of \$24,000 in 2013 compared to the prior year, primarily from run-off of fixed asset depreciation from assets that had become fully depreciated.

Management anticipates that noninterest expense will continue to increase as we continue to grow, even though management also estimates that the Company's administration as currently set up may be scalable to handle a larger deposit base of up to around \$1B in deposits. However, management remains committed to cost-control and efficiency, and we expect to keep these increases to a minimum relative to growth.

Provision for Income Taxes

We reported a provision for income taxes of \$2,710,000 and \$2,814,000 for the years 2013 and 2012, respectively. The effective income tax rate on income from continuing operations was 31.5% for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to 32.7% for the year 2012. These provisions reflect accruals for taxes at the applicable rates for federal income tax and California franchise tax based upon reported pre-tax income, and adjusted for the effects of all permanent differences between income for tax and financial reporting purposes (such as earnings on qualified municipal securities, BOLI and certain tax-exempt loans). The disparity between the effective tax rates in 2013 as compared to 2012 is primarily due to tax credits from California Enterprise Zones and low income housing projects as well as tax free-income on loans within these enterprise zones and municipal securities and loans that comprise a larger proportion of pre-tax income in 2013 as compared to 2012. We have not been subject to an alternative minimum tax ("AMT") during these periods.

Financial Condition

The Company's total assets were \$671.9 million at December 31, 2013 compared to \$660.6 million at December 31, 2012, an increase of \$11.3 million or 1.7%. Net loans increased \$28.7 million, investments increased \$13.9 million, bank premises and equipment increased \$0.5 million and interest receivable and other assets increased \$3.4 million, while cash and cash equivalents decreased \$36.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to December 31, 2012.

Loans gross of the allowance for loan losses and deferred fees were \$419.4 million at December 31, 2013, compared to \$391.0 million at December 31, 2012, an increase of \$28.4 million or 7.3%. The increase was primarily due to an increase of \$16.8 million or 5.3% in commercial real estate loans and an increase of \$12.3 million or 33.6% in commercial and industrial loans. This was offset by decreases of \$0.4 million in agriculture loans, and of \$0.2 million in consumer loans and consumer residential loans. The composition of the loan portfolio categories remained relatively unchanged as a percentage of total loans, except for commercial and industrial loans which recognized the highest change from 9.3% at December 31, 2012 to 11.6% at December 31, 2013. This increase was offset by moderate decreases in all other loan categories.

Deposits increased \$15.6 million or 2.7% to \$602.6 million at December 31, 2013 compared to \$587.0 million at December 31, 2012. Time deposits and Money Market deposits decreased by \$5.4 million and \$2.5 million, respectively, while Demand, NOW and

Savings increased by \$12.0 million, \$6.9 million and \$4.6 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2013 as compared to December 31, 2012.

Short-term borrowings were fully paid off during 2012 to leave no outstanding balances at December 31, 2012 and 2013. There was no long-term debt outstanding at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The growth in deposits allowed us to pay off matured FHLB advances in 2012, thus reducing our cost of funds and lowering our liquidity ratio, which has been running at a surplus in recent years. The Company uses short-term borrowings, primarily short-term FHLB advances, to fund short-term liquidity needs and manage net interest margin.

Equity decreased \$5.5 million or 7.8% to \$64.5 million at December 31, 2013, compared to \$70.0 million at December 31, 2012. The Company was selected to participate in the U.S. Treasury Capital Purchase Program (“TCPP”) which resulted in the issuance of \$13.5 million in preferred stock in December 2008. In August 2011, the Company repurchased these Series A preferred stock shares and simultaneously issued \$13,500,000 in Series B Preferred Stock to the U.S. Treasury under the Small Business Lending Funding (“SBLF”) program. Subsequently, the Company fully redeemed a warrant to purchase 350,346 shares of its Common Stock, at the exercise price of \$5.78 per share that the Company had granted to the U.S. Treasury pursuant to the TCPP, for a purchase price of \$560,000, which settled in September 2011. In May 2012, the Company repurchased from the U.S. Treasury 6,750 shares of Series B Preferred Stock for aggregate consideration of \$6.75 million. In March 2013, the Company repurchased from the U.S. Treasury the remaining 6,750 shares of Series B Preferred Stock for aggregate consideration of \$6.8 million, reflecting \$6.75 million paid for the repurchase, and \$67,500 paid for accrued dividends. The securities issued to the Treasury were accounted for as components of regulatory Tier 1 capital. See Notes 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this report for further discussion regarding our participation in the TCPP and SBLF.

Investment Activities

Investments are a key source of interest income. Management of our investment portfolio is set in accordance with strategies developed and overseen by our Investment Committee. Investment balances, including cash equivalents and interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions, are subject to change over time based on our asset/liability funding needs and interest rate risk management objectives. Our liquidity levels take into consideration anticipated future cash flows and all available sources of credits and are maintained at levels management believes are appropriate to assure future flexibility in meeting anticipated funding needs.

Cash Equivalents and Interest-bearing Deposits in other Financial Institutions

The Company holds federal funds sold, unpledged available-for-sale securities and salable government guaranteed loans to help meet liquidity requirements and provide temporary holdings until the funds can be otherwise deployed or invested. As of December 31, 2013, and 2012, we had \$5.1 million and \$10.5 million, respectively, in federal funds sold.

Investment Securities

Management of our investment securities portfolio focuses on providing an adequate level of liquidity and establishing an interest rate-sensitive position, while earning an adequate level of investment income without taking undue risk. Investment securities that we intend to hold until maturity are classified as held-to-maturity securities, and all other investment securities are classified as available-for-sale. Currently, all of our investment securities are classified as available-for-sale. The carrying values of available-for-sale investment securities are adjusted for unrealized gains or losses as a valuation allowance and any gain or loss is reported on an after-tax basis as a component of other comprehensive income.

Our investment securities holdings increased by \$13.8 million, or 13.4%, to \$117.7 million at December 31, 2013, compared to holdings of \$103.9 million at December 31, 2012. Total investment securities as a percentage of total assets increased to 17.5% as of December 31, 2013 compared to 15.7% at December 31, 2012. As of December 31, 2013, \$72.4 million of the investment securities were pledged to secure public deposits.

As of December 31, 2013, the total unrealized loss on securities that were in a loss position for less than 12 continuous months was \$4.0 million with an aggregate fair value of \$56.4 million. The total unrealized loss on securities that were in a loss position for greater than 12 continuous months was \$0.5 million with an aggregate fair value of \$4.9 million.

The following table summarizes the book value and market value and distribution of our investment securities as of the dates indicated:

Investment Securities Portfolio

(Dollars in Thousands)	December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Amortized Cost	Market Value	Amortized Cost	Market Value	Amortized Cost	Market Value
Available-for-Sale:						
U.S. agencies	\$ 52,540	\$ 53,116	\$ 52,608	\$ 55,518	\$ 52,102	\$ 54,809
Collateralized mortgage obligations	9,580	9,781	11,698	12,604	11,366	12,095
Municipal securities	42,304	40,269	25,323	26,992	15,660	16,972
SBA Pools	1,088	1,081	1,178	1,178	1,236	1,237
Corporate debt	4,697	4,825	4669	4706	2,000	1,814
Asset backed securities	5,858	5,856	0	0	0	0
Mutual fund	2,975	2,818	2,875	2,868	2,759	2,768
Total investment securities	\$ 119,042	\$ 117,746	\$ 98,351	\$ 103,866	\$ 85,123	\$ 89,695

At December, 2013, there was one U.S. agency, five municipalities, two asset backed securities, and one SBA pool that comprised the total securities in an unrealized loss position for greater than 12 months and 13 agencies, 31 municipalities, one collateralized mortgage obligation, one mutual fund and one SBA pool that make up the total securities in a loss position for less than 12 months. Management periodically evaluates each available-for-sale investment security in an unrealized loss position to determine if the impairment is temporary or other than temporary. This evaluation encompasses various factors including, the nature of the investment, the cause of the impairment, the severity and duration of the impairment, credit ratings and other credit related factors such as third party guarantees and volatility of the security's fair value. Management has determined that no investment security is other than temporarily impaired. The unrealized losses are due primarily to interest rate changes and the Bank does not intend to sell the securities and it is not likely that we will be required to sell the securities before the earlier of the forecasted recovery or the maturity of the underlying investment security. As of December 31, 2013, we did not have any investment securities that constituted 10% or more of the stockholders' equity of any third party issuer.

The following table summarizes the maturity and repricing schedule of our investment securities at their amortized cost and their weighted average yields at December 31, 2013:

Investment Maturities and Repricing Schedule

(Dollars in Thousands)

	Within One Year		After One But		After Five But		After Ten Years		Total	
			Within Five Year		Within Ten Years					
	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield
Available-for-sale:										
U.S. agencies	\$ 14,609	1.02 %	\$ 6,920	4.10 %	\$ 7,674	4.32 %	\$ 23,337	3.26 %	\$ 52,540	2.90 %
Collateralized mortgage obligations	0	0.00 %	0	0.00 %	0	0.00 %	9,580	3.44 %	9,580	3.44 %
Municipalities	280	5.91 %	9,709	3.92 %	30,462	2.94 %	1,853	3.52 %	42,304	3.21 %
SBA Pools	0	0.00 %	0	0.00 %	0	0.00 %	1,088	0.58 %	1,088	0.58 %
Corporate debt	0	0.00 %	4,697	2.25 %	0	0.00 %	0	0.00 %	4,697	2.25 %
Asset Backed Securities	0	0.00 %	1,935	1.54 %	2,925	1.49 %	998	2.10 %	5,858	1.61 %
Mutual Fund	0	0.00 %	0	0.00 %	0	0.00 %	2,975	0.00 %	2,975	0.00 %
Total Investment Securities	\$ 14,889	1.11 %	\$ 23,261	3.44 %	\$ 41,061	2.99 %	\$ 39,831	2.97 %	\$ 119,042	2.84 %

Yields in the above table have not been adjusted to a fully tax equivalent basis. Securities are reported at the earliest possible call, repricing or maturity date.

Loans

The following table sets forth the amount of total loans outstanding (excluding unearned income) and the percentage distributions in each category, as of the dates indicated.

(Dollars in Thousands)	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Commercial real estate	\$ 332,874	\$ 316,075	\$ 330,045	\$ 336,730	\$ 353,171
Commercial and Industrial	48,787	36,529	32,018	30,756	38,160
Consumer	883	1,096	1,213	1,242	1,351
Consumer residential	25,623	25,659	23,871	21,844	20,117
Agriculture	11,272	11,628	9,056	13,622	12,828
Unearned income	(624)	(600)	(634)	(733)	(811)
Total Loans, net of unearned income	\$ 418,815	\$ 390,387	\$ 395,569	\$ 403,461	\$ 424,816
Participation loans sold and serviced by the Bank	11,733	8,045	7,929	9,283	14,907
Commercial real estate	79.5%	80.9%	83.5%	83.5%	83.1%
Commercial and Industrial	11.6%	9.4%	8.1%	7.6%	9.0%
Consumer	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%
Consumer residential	6.1%	6.6%	6.0%	5.4%	4.7%
Agriculture	2.7%	3.0%	2.3%	3.4%	3.0%
Unearned income	-0.1%	-0.2%	-0.2%	-0.2%	-0.2%
Total Loans, net of unearned income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Commercial real estate loans increased \$16.8 million in 2013 as compared to 2012, as a result of the increased demand by qualified borrowers in our serving area. Of the commercial real estate loans at December 31, 2013, 65.3% are non-owner occupied and 34.7% are owner occupied. Our commercial real estate loan portfolio is weighted towards term loans for which the primary source of repayment is cash flow from net operating income of the real estate property.

Commercial and industrial loans increased \$12.3 million in 2013 as compared to 2012, as a result of our reassessment of the commercial and industrial lending market, specifically asset-based lines of credit. We have historically targeted well-established local businesses with strong guarantors that have proven to be resilient in periods of economic stress.

Our residential loan portfolio includes no sub-prime loans, nor is it our normal practice to underwrite loans commonly referred to as "Alt-A mortgages", the characteristics of which are loans lacking full documentation, borrowers having low FICO scores or collateral compositions reflecting high loan-to-value ratios. Substantially all of our residential loans are indexed to Treasury Constant Maturity Rates and have provisions to reset five years after their origination dates.

The following table summarizes our commercial real estate loan portfolio by the geographic location in which the property is located as of December 31, 2013 and 2012:

Commercial Real Estate Loans Outstanding by Geographic Location

(Dollars in Thousands)

Commercial real estate loans by geographic location (County)	December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	Amount	% of Commercial Real Estate Loans	Amount	% of Commercial Real Estate Loans
Stanislaus	\$ 127,890	38.4%	\$ 127,310	40.4%
San Joaquin	60,069	18.0%	61,007	19.3%
Tuolumne	22,823	6.9%	21,910	6.9%
Alameda	19,694	5.9%	14,054	4.4%
Fresno	15,084	4.5%	7,894	2.5%
Mono	11,531	3.5%	13,333	4.2%
Merced	9,636	2.9%	9,246	2.9%
San Bernardino	7,884	2.4%	0	0.0%
Madera	7,566	2.3%	7,623	2.4%
Marin	6,891	2.1%	4,830	1.5%
Contra Costa	5,542	1.7%	5,031	1.6%
Sacramento	4,498	1.4%	10,518	3.3%
Sonoma	4,403	1.3%	0	0.0%
Calaveras	4,014	1.2%	5,923	1.9%
Inyo	3,765	1.1%	4,222	1.3%
Solano	3,419	1.0%	3,500	1.1%
Santa Clara	3,174	1.0%	3,432	1.1%
Tulare	3,031	0.9%	3,125	1.0%
Los Angeles	14	0.0%	18	0.0%
Other	11,946	3.5%	13,099	4.2%
Total	\$ 332,874	100.0%	\$ 316,075	100.0%

Construction and land loans are classified as commercial real estate loans and increased \$5.9 million in 2013 as compared to 2012, primarily due elevated construction activity in non-owner occupied projects in the government sector. The table below shows an analysis of construction loans by type and location. Non-owner-occupied land loans of \$11.2 million at December 31, 2013 included loans for lands specified for commercial development of \$5.1 million and for residential development of \$6.1 million, the majority of which are located in Stanislaus County.

Construction Loans Outstanding by Type and Geographic Location

(Dollars in Thousands)

Construction loans by type	December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	Amount	% of Construction Loans	Amount	% of Construction Loans
Single family non-owner-occupied	\$ 0	0.0%	\$ 738	3.5%
Single family owner-occupied	456	1.7%	263	1.3%
Commercial non-owner-occupied	15,099	56.5%	2,114	10.1%
Commercial owner-occupied	0	0.0%	3,467	16.6%
Land non-owner-occupied	11,157	41.8%	14,269	68.5%
Total	\$ 26,712	100.0%	\$ 20,851	100.0%

Construction loans by geographic location (County)	December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	Amount	% of Construction Loans	Amount	% of Construction Loans
San Bernardino	\$ 7,884	29.5%	\$ 0	0.0%
Stanislaus	7,675	28.7%	9,526	45.7%
Fresno	5,739	21.5%	0	0.0%
Mono	2,894	10.8%	3,141	15.1%
San Joaquin	962	3.6%	3,820	18.3%
Contra Costa	658	2.5%	663	3.2%
Madera	515	1.9%	476	2.3%
Inyo	355	1.3%	1,076	5.2%
Tuolumne	17	0.1%	20	0.1%
Merced	0	0.0%	1,788	8.6%
Calaveras	0	0.0%	263	1.2%
Other	13	0.1%	78	0.3%
Total	\$ 26,712	100.0%	\$ 20,851	100.0%

Loan Maturities

The following table shows the contractual maturity distribution and repricing intervals of the outstanding loans in our portfolio, as of December 31, 2013. In addition, the table shows the distribution of such loans between those with variable or floating interest rates and those with fixed or predetermined interest rates. The large majority of the variable rate loans are tied to independent indices (such as the Wall Street Journal prime rate or a Treasury Constant Maturity Rate). Substantially all loans with an original term of more than five years have provisions for the fixed rates to reset, or convert to a variable rate, after one, three or five years.

Loan Maturities and Repricing Schedule At December 31, 2013

(Dollars in thousands)	Within One Year	After One But Within Five Years	After Five Years	Total
Commercial real estate	\$ 73,748	\$ 191,750	\$ 67,376	\$ 332,874
Commercial & Industrial	33,423	12,350	3,014	48,787
Consumer	357	477	49	883
Consumer Residential	6,221	10,368	9,034	25,623
Agriculture	9,548	1,085	639	11,272
Unearned income	(183)	(321)	(120)	(624)
Total loans, net of unearned income	<u>\$ 123,114</u>	<u>\$ 215,709</u>	<u>\$ 79,992</u>	<u>\$ 418,815</u>
Loans with variable (floating) interest rates	\$ 108,911	\$ 180,879	\$ 34,729	\$ 324,519
Loans with predetermined (fixed) interest rates	\$ 14,203	\$ 34,830	\$ 45,263	\$ 94,296

The majority of the properties taken as collateral are located in Northern California. We employ strict guidelines regarding the use of collateral located in less familiar market areas. The recent decline in Northern California real estate value is offset by the low loan-to-value ratios in our commercial real estate portfolio and high percentage of owner-occupied properties.

Nonperforming Assets

Financial institutions generally have a certain level of exposure to credit quality risk, and could potentially receive less than a full return of principal and interest if a debtor becomes unable or unwilling to repay. Since loans are the most significant assets of the Company and generate the largest portion of its revenues, the Company's management of credit quality risk is focused primarily on loan quality. Banks have generally suffered their most severe earnings declines as a result of customers' inability to generate sufficient cash flow to service their debts and/or downturns in national and regional economies which have brought about declines in overall property values. In addition, certain debt securities that the Company may purchase have the potential of declining in value if the obligor's financial capacity to repay deteriorates.

Nonperforming assets consist of loans on non-accrual status, loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing interest, loans restructured, where the terms of repayment have been renegotiated resulting in a reduction or deferral of interest or principal and other real estate owned ("OREO").

Loans are generally placed on non-accrual status when they become 90 days past due, unless management believes the loan is adequately collateralized and in the process of collection. The past due loans may or may not be adequately collateralized, but collection efforts are continuously pursued. Loans may be restructured by management when a borrower has experienced some changes in financial status, causing an inability to meet the original repayment terms, and where we believe the borrower will eventually overcome those circumstances and repay the loan in full. OREO consists of properties acquired by foreclosure or similar means and which management intends to offer for sale.

The Company had nonperforming loans of \$2.34 million at December 31, 2013, as compared to \$6.92 at December 31, 2012, \$7.23 million at December 31, 2011, \$11.48 million at December 31, 2010 and \$14.42 million at December 31, 2009. The ratio

of nonperforming loans over total loans was 0.56%, 1.77%, 1.83%, 2.84% and 3.39% at December 31, 2013, 2012, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

In addition, the Company held three OREO properties with outstanding balances of approximately \$916,000 as of December 31, 2013. The Company held one OREO property as of December 31, 2012, which consisted of residential land acquired through foreclosure that was written down to a zero balance because the public utilities have not been obtainable rendering these land lots unmarketable at this time. The Company still holds this property as of December 31, 2013. The Company held two properties with a market value of \$0.2 million as of December 31, 2011 as compared to three OREO properties with a market value of \$0.8 million as of December 31, 2010 and six properties with a market value of \$2.1 million as of December 31, 2009.

Management believes that the reserve provided for nonperforming loans, together with the tangible collateral, were adequate as of December 31, 2013. See "Allowance for Loan Losses" below for further discussion. Except as disclosed above, as of December 31, 2013, management was not aware of any material credit problems of borrowers that would cause it to have serious doubts about the ability of a borrower to comply with the present loan payment terms. However, no assurance can be given that credit problems may exist that may not have been brought to the attention of management, or that credit problems may arise.

The following table provides information with respect to the components of our nonperforming assets as of the dates indicated. (The figures in the table are net of the portion guaranteed by the U.S. Government):

(Dollars in Thousands)	Nonperforming Assets				
	At December 31,				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Nonaccrual loans(1)					
Commercial real estate	\$ 2,322	\$ 5,891	\$ 7,129	\$ 11,253	\$ 12,701
Commercial and industrial	18	21	104	222	488
Consumer	0	0	0	0	0
Consumer residential	0	1011	0	0	0
Agriculture	0	0	0	0	1,229
Total	\$ 2,340	\$ 6,923	\$ 7,233	\$ 11,475	\$ 14,418
Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing (as to principal or interest):					
Commercial real estate	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Commercial and industrial	0	0	0	0	0
Consumer	0	0	0	0	0
Consumer residential	0	0	0	0	0
Agriculture	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
Total nonperforming loans	2,340	6,923	7,233	11,475	14,418
Other real estate owned	916	0	244	778	2,150
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 3,256	\$ 6,923	\$ 7,477	\$ 12,253	\$ 16,568
Accruing restructured loans (2)					
Commercial real estate	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Commercial and industrial	0	0	0	0	0
Consumer	0	0	0	0	0
Consumer residential	0	0	0	0	0
Agriculture	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
Total impaired loans	\$ 2,340	\$ 6,923	\$ 7,233	\$ 11,475	\$ 14,418
Nonperforming loans as a percentage of total loans	0.56%	1.77%	1.83%	2.84%	3.39%
Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total loans and other real estate owned	0.77%	1.77%	1.89%	3.03%	3.88%
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of nonperforming loans	327.37%	115.19%	119.03%	71.94%	48.69%

(1) During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, no interest income related to these loans was included in net income while on nonaccrual status. Additional interest income of approximately \$583,000 and \$696,000 would have been recorded during the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, if these loans had been paid in accordance with their original terms.

(2) A "restructured loan" is one the terms of which were renegotiated to provide a concession because of deterioration in the financial position of the borrower.

Allowance for Loan Losses

In anticipation of credit risk inherent in our lending business, we set aside allowances through charges to earnings. Such charges are not only made for the outstanding loan portfolio, but also for off-balance sheet items, such as commitments to extend credits or letters of credit. The charges made for the outstanding loan portfolio are credited to the allowance for loan losses, whereas charges for off-balance sheet items are credited to the reserve for off-balance sheet items, which is presented as a component of other liabilities. The provision for loan losses is discussed in the section entitled "Provision for Loan Losses" above.

The balance of our allowance for loan losses is Management's best estimate of the remaining losses inherent in the portfolio. The ultimate adequacy of the allowance is dependent upon a variety of factors beyond our control, including the real estate market, changes in interest rate and economic and political environments.

Historically, over the past five years, due to the economic downturn's effect on the financial stability of certain borrowers, we set aside more reserves for probable loan losses. However, in 2013, amid signs of credit quality improvement, the allowance for loan losses decreased by 4.0%, or \$316,000, to \$7.66 million at December 31, 2013 as compared with \$7.98 million at December 31, 2012. Such allowances were \$8.61 million, \$8.25 million and \$7.02 million at December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. In 2013, the allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans decreased corresponding to our improved credit quality and lower non-accrual loan totals, as reflected in the ratios of 1.83%, 2.04%, 2.17%, 2.04% and 1.65%, at the end of 2013, 2012, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Based on the current conditions of the loan portfolio, management believes that the \$7.66 million allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2013 is adequate to absorb losses inherent in our loan portfolio. No assurance can be given, however, that adverse economic conditions or other circumstances will not result in increased losses in the portfolio.

Diversification, low loan-to-values, strong credit quality and enhanced credit monitoring contribute to a reduction in the portfolio's overall risk, and help to offset the economic risk. The impact of the stagnant economic environment will continue to be monitored, and adjustments to the provision for loan loss will be made accordingly. The weak business climate adversely impacted the financial conditions of some of our clients and resulted in net loan charge-offs of \$616,000, \$1,784,000, \$1,146,000, \$2,785,000, and \$4,411,000 in 2013, 2012, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Management reviews these conditions with our senior credit officers. To the extent that any of these conditions is evidenced by a specifically identifiable problem credit or portfolio segment as of the evaluation date, management's estimate of the effect of such condition may be reflected as a specific allowance applicable to such credit or portfolio segment. Where any of these conditions is not evidenced by a specific, identifiable problem credit or portfolio segment as of the evaluation date, management's evaluation of the inherent loss related to such condition is reflected in the unallocated allowance. Although management has allocated a portion of the allowance to specific loan categories, the adequacy of the allowance is considered in its entirety.

Although management believes the allowance at December 31, 2013 was adequate to absorb losses from any known and inherent risks in the portfolio, no assurance can be given that economic conditions which adversely affect our service areas or other variables will not result in increased losses in the loan portfolio in the future.

As of December 31, 2013, our allowance for loan losses consisted of amounts allocated to three phases of our methodology for assessing loan loss allowances, as follows (see details of methodology for assessing allowance for loan losses in the section entitled "Critical Accounting Policies"):

Phase of Methodology (Dollars in Thousands)	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Specific review of individual loans	\$ 392	\$ 549	\$ 551
Review of pools of loans with similar characteristics	5,362	5,521	6,091
Judgmental estimate based on various subjective factors	1,905	1,905	1,967
	<u>\$ 7,659</u>	<u>\$ 7,975</u>	<u>\$ 8,609</u>

The Components of the Allowance for Loan Losses

As stated previously in "Critical Accounting Policies," the overall allowance consists of a specific allowance for individually identified impaired loans, an allowance factor for categories of credits with similar characteristics and trends, and an allowance for changing environmental factors.

The first component, the specific allowance, results from the analysis of identified problem credits and the evaluation of sources of repayment including collateral, as applicable. Through Management's ongoing loan grading process, individual loans are identified that have conditions that indicate the borrower may be unable to pay all amounts due under the contractual terms. These loans are evaluated individually by Management and specified allowances for loan losses are established when the discounted cash flows of future payments or collateral value of collateral-dependent loans are lower than the recorded investment in the loan. Generally with problem credits that are collateral-dependent, we obtain appraisals of the collateral at least annually. We may obtain appraisals more frequently if we believe the collateral value is subject to market volatility, if a specific event has occurred to the collateral (e.g. tentative map has been filed), or if we believe foreclosure is imminent. Impaired loan balances decreased from \$6.9 million at December 31, 2012 to \$2.3 million at December 31, 2013. The specific allowance totaled \$392,000 and \$549,000 at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, as we charge off substantially all of our estimated losses related to specifically identified impaired loans as the losses are identified.

The second component, the allowance factor, is an estimate of the probable inherent losses in each loan pool stratified by major categories or loans with similar characteristics in our loan portfolio. This analysis encompasses segmenting and reviewing historical losses, loan grades by pool and current general economic and business conditions. Confirmation of the quality of our grading process is obtained by independent reviews conducted by consultants specifically hired for this purpose and by various bank regulatory agencies. This analysis covers our entire loan portfolio but excludes any loans that were analyzed individually for specific allowances as discussed above. There are limitations to any credit risk grading process. The number of loans makes it impractical to review every loan every quarter. Therefore, it is possible that in the future some currently performing loans not recently graded will not be as strong as their last grading and an insufficient portion of the allowance will have been allocated to them. Grading and loan review often must be done without knowing whether all relevant facts are at hand. Troubled borrowers may deliberately or inadvertently omit important information from reports or conversations with lending officers regarding their financial condition and the diminished strength of repayment sources.

The total amount allocated for the second component is determined by applying loss estimation factors to outstanding loans. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the allowance allocated by categories of credits totaled \$5.4 million and \$5.5 million, respectively. The increase mainly related to increased allowance factors for land loans related to the construction of residential subdivisions, commercial quick-qualifier loans and manufactured home loans, recognizing increased risk for these types of loans, as well as loan growth.

The third component of the allowance for loan losses is an economic and qualitative component that is intended to absorb losses caused by portfolio trends, concentration of credit, growth, and economic trends, as stated previously in "Critical Accounting Policies". At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the general valuation allowance, including the economic component, totaled \$1.9 million and \$1.9 million, respectively. Starting in late 2008, we witnessed financial difficulties experienced by borrowers in our market, where real estate sale prices have declined and holding periods have increased. The U.S. economy is still experiencing significantly reduced business activity as a result of, among other factors, disruptions in the financial system, dramatic declines in the housing prices, and an increasing unemployment rate. There have been significant reductions in spending by consumers and businesses. In response to this, we have been proactive in evaluating reserve percentages for economic and other qualitative loss factors used to determine the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. The increase to the third component of the allowance for loan losses reflected such evaluation.

The table below summarizes, for the periods indicated, loan balances at the end of each period, the daily averages during the period, changes in the allowance for loan losses arising from loans charged off, recoveries on loans previously charged off, additions to the allowance and certain ratios related to the allowance for loan losses:

Allowance for Loan Losses
(in thousands)

	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Balances:					
Average total loans outstanding during period	\$ 396,953	\$ 390,856	\$ 394,130	\$ 411,590	\$ 426,748
Total loans outstanding at end of period	\$ 419,438	\$ 390,986	\$ 396,202	\$ 404,194	\$ 425,627
Allowance for loan losses:					
Balances at beginning of period	\$ 7,975	\$ 8,609	\$ 8,255	\$ 7,020	\$ 5,569
Actual charge-offs:					
Commercial real estate	436	1,663	1,108	2,696	3,524
Commercial and Industrial	0	0	44	52	871
Consumer	22	26	7	1	0
Consumer Residential	178	150	38	43	24
Agriculture	0	0	0	0	0
Total charge-offs	636	1,839	1,197	2,792	4,419
Recoveries on loans previously charged off:					
Commercial real estate	8	35	30	0	0
Commercial and Industrial	0	1	14	2	0
Consumer	3	4	6	5	0
Consumer Residential	9	15	1	0	8
Agriculture	0	0	0	0	0
Total recoveries	20	55	51	7	8
Net loan charge-offs	616	1,784	1,146	2,785	4,411
Provision for loan losses	300	1,150	1,500	4,020	5,862
Balance at end of period	<u>\$ 7,659</u>	<u>\$ 7,975</u>	<u>\$ 8,609</u>	<u>\$ 8,255</u>	<u>\$ 7,020</u>
Ratios:					
Net loan charge-offs to average total loans	0.16%	0.46%	0.29%	0.68%	1.03%
Allowance for loan losses to total loans at end of period	1.83%	2.04%	2.17%	2.04%	1.65%
Net loan charge-offs to allowance for loan losses at end of period	8.04%	22.37%	13.31%	33.74%	62.83%
Net loan charge-offs to provision for loan losses	205.33%	155.13%	76.40%	69.28%	75.25%

The table below summarizes the allowance for loan loss balance by type of loan balance at the end of each period (See “Loan Portfolio” above for a description of each type of loan balance):

Allocation of the Allowance for Loan Losses

(Dollars in Thousands)	Amount Outstanding as of December 31,				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Applicable to:					
Commercial real estate	\$ 6,248	\$ 6,571	\$ 6,969	\$ 6,577	\$ 5,845
Commercial and Industrial	663	474	606	686	649
Consumer	47	50	65	61	44
Consumer Residential	440	384	348	375	202
Agriculture	217	286	363	153	142
Unallocated	44	210	258	403	138
Total Allowance	\$ 7,659	\$ 7,975	\$ 8,609	\$ 8,255	\$ 7,020

Other Earning Assets

For various business purposes, we make investments in earning assets other than the interest-earning securities discussed above. Before 2007, the only other earning assets held by us were insignificant amounts of Federal Home Loan Bank stock, Federal Reserve Bank stock and the cash surrender value on the Company Owned Life Insurances (“BOLI”).

During 2007, we invested in a low-income housing tax credit funds (“LIHTCF”) to promote our participation in CRA activities. We committed to invest \$1 million over a three year period, which was fully funded by the year 2009. We receive the return in the form of tax credits and tax deductions which began in 2007 and are expected to continue through the year 2022. The \$1 million contribution is being amortized to other expenses over a term of 15 years, commensurate with the benefits received.

The balances of other earning assets as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows:

Dollars in Thousands	December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012	
BOLI	\$	12,083	\$	11,680
LIHTCF	\$	515	\$	575
Federal Reserve Bank Stock	\$	758	\$	758
Federal Home Loan Bank Stock	\$	2,412	\$	2,372

Deposits and Other Sources of Funds

Deposits

Total deposits at December 31, 2013 and 2012 were \$602.6 million and \$587.0 million, respectively, representing an increase of \$15.6 million or 2.7% in 2013. The average deposits for the year ended December 31, 2013 increased \$45.0 million or 8.4% to \$582.0 million compared to \$537.0 million at December 31, 2012.

Deposits are the Company’s primary source of funds. Due to strategic emphasis by management, core deposits (based on definition provided by FDIC’s Uniform Bank Performance Report) increased by 2.9% in 2013 to \$591.2 million at December 31, 2013. The percentage of core deposits to total deposits remained flat at 98.1% at December 31, 2013 as compared to 97.9% at December 31, 2012. The average rate paid on time deposits in denominations of \$100,000 or more was 0.73% and 0.87% for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The composition and cost of the Company's deposit base are important

components in analyzing the Company's net interest margin and balance sheet liquidity characteristics, both of which are discussed in greater detail in other sections herein. See "Net Interest Income and Net Interest Margin" for further discussion.

The Company's liquidity is impacted by the volatility of deposits or other funding instruments or, in other words, by the propensity of that money to leave the institution for rate-related or other reasons. Deposits can be adversely affected if economic conditions in California and the Company's market area in particular, continue to weaken. Potentially, the most volatile deposits in a financial institution are jumbo certificates of deposit, meaning time deposits with balances that equal or exceed \$100,000, as customers with balances of that magnitude are typically more rate-sensitive than customers with smaller balances

The following tables summarize the distribution of average daily deposits and the average daily rates paid for the periods indicated:

(Dollars in Thousands)	Distribution of Average Daily Deposits					
	2013		2012		2011	
	Average Balance	Average Rate	Average Balance	Average Rate	Average Balance	Average Rate
Demand	\$ 169,229	0.00%	\$ 133,674	0.00%	\$ 101,599	0.00%
Money market	238,956	0.14%	249,652	0.21%	245,815	0.31%
NOW	83,268	0.10%	68,454	0.15%	66,157	0.20%
Savings	35,162	0.15%	26,238	0.22%	18,389	0.35%
Time certificates of deposit of \$100,000 or more	35,873	0.73%	37,150	0.87%	35,172	1.01%
Other time deposits	19,499	0.48%	21,822	0.60%	28,755	0.90%
Total deposits	<u>\$ 581,987</u>	<u>0.14%</u>	<u>\$ 536,990</u>	<u>0.21%</u>	<u>\$ 495,887</u>	<u>0.32%</u>

The scheduled maturities of our time deposits in denominations of \$100,000 or greater at December 31, 2013 are, as follows:

Maturities of Time Deposits of \$100,000 or More (Dollars in Thousands)	
Three months or less	\$ 7,481
Over three months through six months	4,330
Over six months through twelve months	8,315
Over twelve months	13,891
Total	<u>\$ 34,017</u>

Because our client base is comprised primarily of commercial and industrial accounts, individual account balances are generally higher than those of consumer-oriented banks. Five of our clients carry deposit balances of more than 1% of our total deposits, two of which had a deposit balance of more than 3% of total deposits at December 31, 2013.

The Company had \$1.9 million and \$2.0 million in brokered deposits as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The only brokered deposits the Company holds are from CDARS and ICS, a certificate of deposit and money market account program, respectively, that exchanges funds with other network banks to offer full FDIC insurance coverage to the customer.

FHLB Borrowings

Although deposits are the primary source of funds for our lending and investment activities and for general business purposes, we may obtain advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco (“FHLB”) as an alternative to retail deposit funds. Our outstanding FHLB advances were fully paid off during 2012, leaving no outstanding balances as of December 31, 2012 and 2013. See “Liquidity Management” below for the details on the FHLB borrowings program.

The following table is a summary of FHLB borrowings for fiscal years 2013 and 2012:

Dollars in Thousands	2013		2012	
Balance at year-end	\$	0	\$	0
Average balance during the year	\$	0	\$	467
Maximum amount outstanding at any month-end	\$	0	\$	3,000
Average interest rate during the year		N/A		0.99%
Average interest rate at year-end		N/A		0.00%

Return on Equity and Assets

The following table sets forth certain information regarding our return on equity and assets for the periods indicated:

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Return on average assets	0.90%	0.95%
Return on average common equity	9.07%	8.80%
Dividend payout ratio	0.00%	0.00%
Equity to assets ratio	9.72%	10.59%

Deferred Compensation Obligations

We maintain a nonqualified, unfunded deferred compensation plan for certain key management personnel. Under this plan, participating employees may defer compensation, which will entitle them to receive certain payments upon retirement, death, or disability. The plan provides for payments commencing upon retirement and reduced benefits upon early retirement, disability, or termination of employment. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, our aggregate payment obligations under this plan totaled \$7.4 million and \$7.4 million, respectively.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

During the ordinary course of business, we provide various forms of credit lines to meet the financing needs of our customers. These commitments, which represent a credit risk to us, are not represented in any form on our balance sheets.

As of December 31, 2013, and 2012, we had commitments to extend credit of \$52.6 million and \$42.2 million, respectively. Obligations under standby letters of credit were \$0.3 million and \$0.5 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and there were no obligations under commercial letters of credit for either period.

The effect on our revenues, expenses, cash flows and liquidity from the unused portion of the commitments to provide credit cannot be reasonably predicted because there is no guarantee that the lines of credit will be used. For more information regarding our off balance sheet arrangements, see Note 15- Commitments and Other Contingencies- to our 2013 year-end consolidated financial statements located elsewhere in this report.

Contractual Obligations

The following chart summarizes certain contractual obligations of the Company as of December 31, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

Contractual Obligations	Less than 1 Year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years	Total
Operating lease obligations	\$ 926	\$ 1,397	\$ 927	\$ 1,331	\$ 4,581
Supplemental retirement plans	60	146	286	1,529	2,021
Time deposit maturities	35,809	16,000	1,168	0	52,977
Total	<u>\$ 36,795</u>	<u>\$ 17,543</u>	<u>\$ 2,381</u>	<u>\$ 2,860</u>	<u>\$ 59,579</u>

As permitted or required under California law and to the maximum extent allowable under that law, we have certain obligations to indemnify our current and former officers and directors for certain events or occurrences while the officer or director is, or was serving, at our request in such capacity. These indemnification obligations are valid as long as the director or officer acted in good faith and in a manner the person reasonably believed to be in, or not opposed to, our best interests, and with respect to any criminal action or proceeding, had no reasonable cause to believe his or her conduct was unlawful. The maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make under these indemnification obligations is unlimited; however, we have a director and officer insurance policy that mitigates our exposure and enables us to recover a portion of any future amounts paid. We believe the estimated fair value of these indemnification obligations is minimal.

Liquidity and Asset/Liability Management

Management seeks to ascertain optimum and stable utilization of available assets and liabilities as a vehicle to attain our overall business plans and objectives. In this regard, management focuses on measurement and control of liquidity risk, interest rate risk and market risk, capital adequacy, operation risk and credit risk.

Liquidity

Liquidity to meet borrowers' credit and depositors' withdrawal demands is provided by maturing assets, short-term liquid assets that can be converted to cash and the ability to attract funds from depositors. Additional sources of liquidity may include institutional deposits, advances from the FHLB and other short-term borrowings, such as federal funds purchased.

Since our deposit growth strategy emphasizes core deposit growth we have avoided relying on brokered deposits as a consistent source of funds. The only brokered deposit the Company holds are from CDARS and ICS, a certificate of deposit and money market program, respectively, that exchanges funds with other network banks to offer full FDIC insurance coverage to the customer. The Company had \$1.9 million and \$2.0 million in brokered deposits as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

As a secondary source of liquidity, we rely on advances from the FHLB to supplement our supply of lendable funds and to meet deposit withdrawal requirements. Advances from the FHLB are typically secured by a portion of our loan portfolio and stock issued by the FHLB. The FHLB determines limitations on the amount of advances by assigning a percentage to each eligible loan category that will count towards the borrowing capacity. At December 31, 2012 and 2013, the Company had no FHLB advances outstanding and had sufficient collateral to borrow an additional \$168.0 million and \$163.4 million, respectively. In addition, the Company had lines of credit with its correspondent banks to purchase overnight federal funds totaling \$25 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012. No advances were made on these lines of credit as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

The Company's liquidity depends primarily on dividends paid to it as sole shareholder of the Bank. The Bank's ability to pay dividends to the Company will depend on whether the Bank will be in a position to pay dividends based on regulatory requirements and the performance of the Bank.

Maintenance of adequate liquidity requires that sufficient resources be available at all time to meet our cash flow requirements. Liquidity in a banking institution is required primarily to provide for deposit withdrawals and the credit needs of its customers and to take advantage of investment opportunities as they arise. Liquidity management involves our ability to convert assets into cash or cash equivalents without incurring significant loss, and to raise cash or maintain funds without incurring excessive additional cost. For this purpose, we maintain a portion of our funds in cash and cash equivalents, loans and securities available for sale. Our liquid assets at December 31, 2013 and 2012 totaled approximately \$183.3 million and \$200.1 million, respectively. Our

liquidity level measured as the percentage of liquid assets to total assets was 27.3% and 30.3% at December 31, 2013, and 2012, respectively.

Capital Resources and Capital Adequacy Requirements

In the past two years, our primary source of capital has been internally generated operating income through retained earnings. At December 31, 2013, total shareholders' equity decreased to \$64.5 million, representing a decrease of \$4.7 million from December 31, 2012. The decrease was due to the \$6.75 million redemption of SBLF preferred stock as described below and a comprehensive loss of \$4.0 million due to the adverse effect that rising treasury yields had on the unrealized market value adjustment of our available for sale investment portfolio. These decreases were offset by the increase in retained earnings of \$5.8 million.

In December 2008, the Company was selected to participate in the U.S. Treasury Capital Purchase Program which demonstrated the confidence the U.S. Treasury Department has in the stability of the Company. The Company issued \$13.5 million in Series A preferred stock. In August 2011, the Company repurchased these Series A preferred stock shares and simultaneously issued \$13,500,000 in Series B Preferred Stock to the U.S. Treasury under the Small Business Lending Funding ("SBLF") program. Subsequently, the Company fully redeemed a warrant to purchase 350,346 shares of its Common Stock, at the exercise price of \$5.78 per share that the Company had granted to the U.S. Treasury pursuant to the TCPP, for a purchase price of \$560,000, which settled in September 2011. In May 2012, the Company repurchased from the U.S. Treasury 6,750 shares of Series B Preferred Stock for aggregate consideration of \$6.75 million. In March 2013, the Company repurchased from the U.S. Treasury the remaining 6,750 shares of Series B Preferred Stock for aggregate consideration of \$6,817,500, reflecting \$6,750,000 paid for the repurchase, and \$67,500 paid for accrued dividends. The securities issued to the Treasury were accounted for as components of regulatory Tier 1 capital. See Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this report for further discussion regarding our participation in the TCPP and SBLF.

As of December 31, 2013, we had no material commitments for capital expenditures other than the shareholder dividend of \$0.10 per share that was declared in December 2013 and paid in January 2014 totaling \$793,000.

We are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can trigger regulatory actions that could have a material adverse effect on our financial statements and operations. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, we must meet specific capital guidelines that rely on quantitative measures of our assets, liabilities and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Our capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors. (See "Description of Business-Regulation and Supervision-Capital Adequacy Requirements" herein for exact definitions and regulatory capital requirements.)

As of December 31, 2013, we were qualified as a "well capitalized institution" under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. The following table presents the regulatory standards for well-capitalized institutions, compared to the Bank's capital ratios as of the dates specified:

	Regulatory Well- Capitalized Standards	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Total capital to risk-weighted assets	10.0%	14.6%	16.0%
Tier I capital to risk-weighted assets	6.0%	13.3%	14.8%
Tier I capital to average assets	5.0%	9.8%	10.3%

Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of loss of future earnings, fair values, or future cash flows that may result from changes in the price of a financial instrument. The value of a financial instrument may change as a result of changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices, equity prices and other market changes that affect market risk sensitive instruments. Market risk is attributed to all market risk sensitive financial instruments, including securities, loans, deposits and borrowings, as well as the Company's role as a financial intermediary in customer-related transactions. The objective of market risk management is to avoid excessive exposure of the Company's earnings and equity to loss and to reduce the volatility inherent in certain financial instruments.

Interest Rate Management

Market risk arises from changes in interest rates, exchange rates, commodity prices and equity prices. The Company's market risk exposure is primarily that of interest rate risk, and it has established policies and procedures to monitor and limit earnings and balance sheet exposure to changes in interest rates. The Company does not engage in the trading of financial instruments, nor does the Company have exposure to currency exchange rates.

The principal objective of interest rate risk management (often referred to as "asset/liability management") is to manage the financial components of the Company in a manner that will optimize the risk/reward equation for earnings and capital in relation to changing interest rates. The Company's exposure to market risk is reviewed on a regular basis by the Asset/Liability Committee. Interest rate risk is the potential of economic losses due to future interest rate changes. These economic losses can be reflected as a loss of future net interest income and/or a loss of current fair market values. The objective is to measure the effect on net interest income and to adjust the balance sheet to minimize the inherent risk while at the same time maximizing income. Management realizes certain risks are inherent, and that the goal is to identify and manage the risks. Management uses two methodologies to manage interest rate risk: (i) a standard GAP analysis; and (ii) an interest rate shock simulation model.

The planning of asset and liability maturities is an integral part of the management of an institution's net interest margin. To the extent maturities of assets and liabilities do not match in a changing interest rate environment, the net interest margin may change over time. Even with perfectly matched repricing of assets and liabilities, risks remain in the form of prepayment of loans or securities or in the form of delays in the adjustment of rates of interest applying to either earning assets with floating rates or to interest bearing liabilities. The Company has generally been able to control its exposure to changing interest rates by maintaining primarily floating interest rate loans and a majority of its time certificates with relatively short maturities.

Interest rate changes do not affect all categories of assets and liabilities equally or at the same time. Varying interest rate environments can create unexpected changes in prepayment levels of assets and liabilities, which may have a significant effect on the net interest margin and are not reflected in the interest sensitivity analysis table. Because of these factors, an interest sensitivity gap report may not provide a complete assessment of the exposure to changes in interest rates.

The Company uses modeling software for asset/liability management in order to simulate the effects of potential interest rate changes on the Company's net interest margin, and to calculate the estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments under different interest rate scenarios. The program imports current balances, interest rates, maturity dates and repricing information for individual financial instruments, and incorporates assumptions on the characteristics of embedded options along with pricing and duration for new volumes to project the effects of a given interest rate change on the Company's interest income and interest expense. Rate scenarios consisting of key rate and yield curve projections are run against the Company's investment, loan, deposit and borrowed funds portfolios. These rate projections can be shocked (an immediate and parallel change in all base rates, up or down) and ramped (an incremental increase or decrease in rates over a specified time period), based on current trends and econometric models or stable economic conditions (unchanged from current actual levels).

The Company applies a market value ("MV") methodology to gauge its interest rate risk exposure as derived from its simulation model. Generally, MV is the discounted present value of the difference between incoming cash flows on interest-earning assets and other investments and outgoing cash flows on interest-bearing liabilities and other liabilities. The application of the methodology attempts to quantify interest rate risk as the change in the MV which would result from a theoretical 200 basis point (1 basis point equals 0.01%) change in market interest rates. Both a 200 basis point increase and a 200 basis point decrease in market rates are considered for the purpose of the table below. Additionally, management evaluates shocked and ramped scenarios for interest rate changes up to 400 basis points.

At December 31, 2013, it was estimated that the Company's MV would decrease 19.01% in the event of an immediate 200 basis point increase in market interest rates. The Company's MV at the same date would decrease 2.79% in the event of an immediate 200 basis point decrease in applicable interest rates.

Presented below, as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, is an analysis of the Company's interest rate risk as measured by changes in MV for instantaneous and sustained parallel shifts of applicable interest rates:

(Dollars in Thousands)	December 31, 2013				December 31, 2012			
	\$ Change in Market Value	% Change in Market Value	Market Value as a % of Present Value of Assets		\$ Change in Market Value	% Change in Market Value	Market Value as a % of Present Value of Assets	
			MV Ratio	Change (bp)			MV Ratio	Change (bp)
Shock Scenario								
+200 bp	\$ (6,282)	(6.77) %	13.13 %	(36)	\$ (13,843)	(19.01) %	9.18 %	(159)
+100 bp	\$ (1,854)	(2.00) %	13.51 %	2	\$ (7,963)	(10.93) %	9.86 %	(91)
0 bp	\$ 0	0.00 %	13.49 %	0	\$ 0	0.00 %	10.77 %	0
-100 bp	\$ 4,064	4.38 %	13.83 %	34	\$ 6,845	9.40 %	11.51 %	74
-200 bp	\$ 3,529	3.81 %	13.66 %	17	\$ (2,034)	(2.79) %	10.28 %	(49)

Management believes that the MV methodology overcomes three shortcomings of the typical maturity gap methodology. First, it does not use arbitrary repricing intervals and accounts for all expected future cash flows. Second, because the MV method projects cash flows of each financial instrument under different interest rate environments, it can incorporate the effect of embedded options on an institution's interest rate risk exposure. Third, it allows interest rates on different instruments to change by varying amounts in response to a change in market interest rates, resulting in more accurate estimates of cash flows.

However, as with any method of gauging interest rate risk, there are certain shortcomings inherent to the MV methodology. The model assumes interest rate changes are instantaneous parallel shifts in the yield curve. In reality, rate changes are rarely instantaneous. The use of the simplifying assumption that short-term and long-term rates change by the same degree may also misstate historic rate patterns, which rarely show parallel yield curve shifts. Further, the model assumes that certain assets and liabilities of similar maturity or period to repricing will react in the same way to changes in rates. In reality, certain types of financial instruments may react in advance of changes in market rates, while the reaction of other types of financial instruments may lag behind the change in general market rates. When interest rates change, actual loan prepayments and actual early withdrawals from certificates may deviate significantly from the assumptions used in the model. Finally, this methodology does not measure or reflect the impact that higher rates may have on adjustable-rate loan clients' ability to service their debt. All of these factors are considered in monitoring the Company's exposure to interest rate risk.

Impact of Inflation; Seasonality

Inflation primarily impacts us by its effect on interest rates. Our primary source of income is net interest income, which is affected by changes in interest rates. We attempt to limit the impact of inflation on our net interest margin through management of rate-sensitive assets and liabilities and the analysis of interest rate sensitivity. The effect of inflation on premises and equipment as well as noninterest expenses has not been significant for the periods covered in this report. Our business is generally not seasonal.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

Not required.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Our consolidated financial statements and the Independent Auditors' Report appear on pages F-1 through F-44 of this Report and are incorporated into this Item 8 by reference.

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
MANAGEMENT'S ASSESSMENT OF INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING	F-1
REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	F-2
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Balance sheets	F-3
Statements of income	F-4
Statements of comprehensive income	F-5
Statements of shareholders' equity	F-6
Statements of cash flows	F-7
Notes to financial statements	F-9

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We conducted an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, or persons performing similar functions, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act")) as of December 31, 2013. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2013.

The term disclosure controls and procedures means controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Act (15 U.S.C. 78a et seq.) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Act is accumulated and communicated to our Management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There are inherent limitations to the effectiveness of any system of disclosure controls and procedures. These limitations include the possibility of human error, the circumvention or overriding of the controls and procedures and reasonable resource constraints. In addition, because we have designed our system of controls based on certain assumptions, which we believe are reasonable, about the likelihood of future events, our system of controls may not achieve its desired purpose under all possible future

conditions. Accordingly, our disclosure controls and procedures provide reasonable assurance, but not absolute assurance, of achieving their objectives.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our Management's report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting is set forth in Item 8 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance regarding the financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. There are inherent limitations to the effectiveness of any system of internal control over financial reporting. These limitations include the possibility of human error, the circumvention of overriding of the system and reasonable resource constraints. Because of its inherent limitations, our internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of controls effectiveness to future periods are subject to risks. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm pursuant to rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2013 that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference from our Proxy Statement to be filed prior to the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The Company and the Company have adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to all staff including the Chief Executive Officer, and the Chief Financial Officer. A copy of the Code of Ethics will be provided to any person, without charge, upon written request to Corporate Secretary, Oak Valley Bancorp, 125 North Third Avenue, Oakdale, CA 95361.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires the Company's officers and directors, and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of the Company's equity securities, to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the FDIC. Officers, directors and greater than 10% shareholders are required by SEC regulations to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

Based solely on its review of the copies of such forms received by it, or written representations from certain reporting persons that no Forms 4 and 5 were required for those persons, the Company believes that for the 2013 fiscal year the officers and directors of the Company complied with all applicable filing requirements, except for the late filings for the directors in the table below:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Form</u>	<u>Transaction Type</u>	<u>Transaction Date</u>	<u># of Shares</u>
Danny Titus	4	Gift to Grandson	10/3/2013	(1,250)
Thomas Haidlen	4	Purchase through automatic reinvestment of cash dividend	9/12/2008	223
Thomas Haidlen	4	Purchase through automatic reinvestment of cash dividend	12/3/2008	149
Thomas Haidlen	4	Purchase through automatic reinvestment of cash dividend	3/3/2009	188
Thomas Haidlen	4	Dispositon due to title transfer (1)	12/21/2012	(2,266)
Thomas Haidlen	4	Dispositon due to title transfer (1)	5/13/2013	(7,932)
Ronald Martin	4	401k Distribution	6/13/2013	43,647
Danny Titus	3/A	Restate shares owned in Table I	8/7/2008	227,651
Michael Jones	3/A	Restate Outstanding Stock Options in Table II	8/8/2008	4,500

(1) Title transfer to remove Mr. Haidlen as Custodian for shares held in his daughter's name

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference from our Proxy Statement to be filed prior to the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference from our Proxy Statement to be filed prior to the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference from our Proxy Statement to be filed prior to the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference from our Proxy Statement to be filed prior to the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

PART IV

ITEM 15 — EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

Documents Filed as Part of this Report:

(a)(1) Financial Statements

The Financial Statements of the Company and the Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm are set forth on pages F-1 through F-44.

(a)(2) Financial Statement Schedules

All schedules to the Financial Statements are omitted because of the absence of the conditions under which they are required or because the required information is included in the Financial Statements or accompanying notes.

(a)(3) Exhibits

The exhibit list required by this Item is incorporated by reference to the Exhibit Index included in this report. The warranties, representations and covenants contained in any of the agreements included herein or which appear as exhibits hereto should not be relied upon by buyers, sellers or holders of the Company's securities and are not intended as warranties, representations or covenants to any individual or entity except as specifically set forth in such agreement

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in Oakdale, California on March 28, 2014.

OAK VALLEY BANCORP

a California corporation

By: /s/ CHRISTOPHER M. COURTNEY
Christopher M. Courtney, *President and Chief Executive Officer*

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that each of the undersigned officers and directors of the registrant hereby constitutes and appoints Christopher M. Courtney and Richard A. McCarty, and each of them, as lawful attorney-in-fact and agent for each of the undersigned (with full power of substitution and resubstitution, for and in the name, place and stead of each of the undersigned officers and directors), to sign and file with the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, any and all amendments, supplements and exhibits to this report and any and all other documents in connection therewith, hereby granting unto said attorneys-in-fact, and each of them, full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing necessary or desirable to be done in order to effectuate the same as fully and to all intents and purposes as each of the undersigned might or could do if personally present, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact and agents, or any of them, or any of their substitutes, may do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
<u>/s/ DONALD L. BARTON</u> Donald Barton	Director	March 26, 2014
<u>/s/ CHRISTOPHER M. COURTNEY</u> Christopher M. Courtney	Director	March 26, 2014
<u>/s/ JAMES L. GILBERT</u> James L. Gilbert	Director	March 26, 2014
<u>/s/ THOMAS A. HAIDLEN</u> Thomas A. Haidlen	Director	March 26, 2014
<u>/s/ MICHAEL Q. JONES</u> Michael Q. Jones	Director	March 26, 2014
<u>/s/ DANIEL J. LEONARD</u> Daniel J. Leonard	Director	March 26, 2014
<u>/s/ RONALD C. MARTIN</u> Ronald C. Martin	Director	March 26, 2014
<u>/s/ JANET S. PELTON</u> Janet S. Pelton	Director	March 26, 2014
<u>/s/ ROGER M. SCHRIMP</u> Roger M. Schrimp	Director	March 26, 2014

/s/ DANNY L. TITUS

Danny L. Titus

Director

March 26, 2014

/s/ TERRANCE P. WITHROW

Terrance P. Withrow

Director

March 26, 2014

MANAGEMENT’S ASSESSMENT OF INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of the Company’s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the Company’s financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

As of December 31, 2013, management assessed the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting based on the criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and guidance issued by the Securities and Exchange Commission. Based on the assessment, management determined that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on those criteria.

The Company’s management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that its disclosure controls and procedures, or its internal controls will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and the benefit of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected.

/s/ CHRISTOPHER M. COURTNEY
Christopher M. Courtney, *President and Chief Executive Officer*

/s/ RICHARD A. MCCARTY
Richard A. McCarty, *Chief Financial Officer*

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders
Oak Valley Bancorp

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Oak Valley Bancorp and subsidiary (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, shareholders’ equity, and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Oak Valley Bancorp and subsidiary as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Moss Adams LLP

Stockton, California
March 27, 2014

OAK VALLEY BANCORP
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

ASSETS	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Cash and due from banks	\$ 100,095,560	\$ 130,799,998
Federal funds sold	5,095,000	10,535,000
Cash and cash equivalents	105,190,560	141,334,998
Securities available for sale	117,745,653	103,865,881
Loans, net of allowance for loan loss of \$7,659,158 and \$7,974,975 at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively	411,155,607	382,411,361
Bank premises and equipment, net	13,684,161	13,182,451
Other real estate owned	916,205	0
Interest receivable and other assets	23,160,356	19,786,065
	<u>\$ 671,852,542</u>	<u>\$ 660,580,756</u>
 LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Deposits	\$ 602,633,432	\$ 586,992,650
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	0	0
Interest payable and other liabilities	4,702,181	3,619,382
Total liabilities	607,335,613	590,612,032
 Commitments and contingencies (Note 15)		
Shareholders' equity		
Series B Preferred stock, no par value; \$1,000 per share liquidation preference, 10,000,000 shares authorized, 6,750 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2012	0	6,750,000
Common stock, no par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized, 7,929,730 and 7,907,780 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively	23,758,210	23,673,210
Additional paid-in capital	2,537,208	2,341,814
Retained earnings	38,984,458	33,958,737
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax	(762,947)	3,244,963
Total shareholders' equity	64,516,929	69,968,724
	<u>\$ 671,852,542</u>	<u>\$ 660,580,756</u>

See accompanying notes

OAK VALLEY BANCORP
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,	
	2013	2012
INTEREST INCOME		
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 21,406,009	\$ 22,449,274
Interest on securities available for sale	3,462,052	3,368,924
Interest on federal funds sold	25,101	28,565
Interest on deposits with banks	210,792	135,260
Total interest income	25,103,954	25,982,023
INTEREST EXPENSE		
Deposits	827,747	1,132,513
FHLB advances	1	4,707
Total interest expense	827,748	1,137,220
Net interest income	24,276,206	24,844,803
PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES	300,000	1,150,000
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	23,976,206	23,694,803
OTHER INCOME		
Service charges on deposits	1,236,864	1,173,088
Earnings on cash surrender value of life insurance	403,812	423,757
Mortgage commissions	228,850	239,538
Gains on called securities	60,356	91,700
Other	1,350,257	1,220,594
Total non-interest income	3,280,139	3,148,677
OTHER EXPENSES		
Salaries and employee benefits	9,977,871	10,008,829
Occupancy expenses	2,923,364	2,947,769
Data processing fees	1,307,220	1,128,377
Regulatory assessments (FDIC & DBO)	480,000	461,000
Other operating expenses	3,971,249	3,702,494
Total non-interest expense	18,659,704	18,248,469
Net income before provision for income taxes	8,596,641	8,595,011
PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES	2,710,447	2,814,156
NET INCOME	\$ 5,886,194	\$ 5,780,855
Preferred stock dividends	67,500	451,875
NET INCOME AVAILABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS	\$ 5,818,694	\$ 5,328,980
NET INCOME PER COMMON SHARE	\$ 0.75	\$ 0.69
NET INCOME PER DILUTED COMMON SHARE	\$ 0.74	\$ 0.69

See accompanying notes

OAK VALLEY BANCORP
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,	
	2013	2012
Net income	\$ 5,886,194	\$ 5,780,855
Available for sale securities:		
Unrealized holding (losses) gains on securities arising during the current period, net of tax effect of (\$2,777,636) and \$425,710 for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively	(3,972,390)	608,822
Reclassification adjustment due to net gains realized on calls of securities, net of tax effect of (\$24,836) and (\$37,735) for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively	(35,520)	(53,965)
Other comprehensive (loss) gain	(4,007,910)	554,857
Comprehensive income	\$ 1,878,284	\$ 6,335,712

See accompanying notes

**OAK VALLEY BANCORP
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY**

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012 AND 2013										
	Common Stock		Preferred Stock		Additional Paid-in Capital		Retained Earnings		Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)		Total Shareholders' Equity
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount							
Balances, January 1, 2012	7,718,469	\$ 23,453,443	13,500	\$ 13,500,000	\$ 2,128,700	\$ 28,629,757	\$ 2,690,106	\$ 70,402,006			
Stock options exercised	54,436	219,767						219,767			
Tax benefit on stock options exercised					37,218			37,218			
Restricted stock issued	134,875										
Repurchase of Series B preferred stock			(6,750)	(6,750,000)				(6,750,000)			
Preferred stock dividend payments						(451,875)		(451,875)			
Stock based compensation					175,896			175,896			
Other comprehensive income							554,857	554,857			
Net income						5,780,855		5,780,855			
Balances, December 31, 2012	7,907,780	\$ 23,673,210	6,750	\$ 6,750,000	\$ 2,341,814	\$ 33,958,737	\$ 3,244,963	\$ 69,968,724			
Stock options exercised	11,250	85,000						85,000			
Restricted stock issued	15,000										
Restricted stock cancelled	(4,300)										
Repurchase of Series B preferred stock			(6,750)	(6,750,000)				(6,750,000)			
Preferred stock dividend payments						(67,500)		(67,500)			
Common stock dividend declared						(792,973)		(792,973)			
Stock based compensation					195,394			195,394			
Other comprehensive loss							(4,007,910)	(4,007,910)			
Net income						5,886,194		5,886,194			
Balances, December 31, 2013	7,929,730	\$ 23,758,210	0	\$ 0	\$ 2,537,208	\$ 38,984,458	\$ (762,947)	\$ 64,516,929			

See accompanying notes

OAK VALLEY BANCORP
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,	
	2013	2012
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income	\$ 5,886,194	\$ 5,780,855
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash from operating activities:		
Provision for loan losses	300,000	1,150,000
Increase (decrease) in deferred fees/costs, net	23,819	(34,582)
Depreciation	1,159,691	1,138,185
Amortization of investment securities, net	237,273	241,862
Stock based compensation	195,394	175,896
Excess tax benefits from stock-based payment arrangements	0	(37,218)
Loss (gain) on sale of premises and equipment	31,650	(22,498)
Gain on sale of OREO properties	(16,629)	(3,548)
Gain on called available for sale securities	(60,356)	(91,700)
Earnings on cash surrender value of life insurance	(403,812)	(423,757)
Decrease (increase) in deferred tax asset	207,098	(100,825)
Increase in interest payable and other liabilities	289,826	1,053,733
(Increase) decrease in interest receivable	(356,610)	48,983
Decrease in other assets	22,005	165,015
Net cash from operating activities	<u>7,515,543</u>	<u>9,040,401</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Purchases of available for sale securities	(36,081,746)	(43,742,857)
Proceeds from maturities, calls, and principal paydowns of securities available for sale	15,214,675	30,364,505
Net (increase) decrease in loans	(32,199,695)	3,431,297
Purchase of FRB Stock	0	(1,450)
Redemption of FRB Stock	0	405,000
Purchase of FHLB Stock	(40,500)	0
Redemption of FHLB stock	0	460,500
Proceeds from sale of OREO	982,054	247,923
Proceeds from sales of premises and equipment	5,625	22,498
Net purchases of premises and equipment	(448,676)	(821,351)
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(52,568,263)</u>	<u>(9,633,935)</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
FHLB payments	0	(3,000,000)
Preferred stock dividend payment	(67,500)	(451,875)
Repurchase of Series B preferred stock	(6,750,000)	(6,750,000)
Net increase in demand deposits and savings accounts	21,029,227	52,690,752
Net decrease in time deposits	(5,388,445)	(1,902,105)
Excess tax benefits from stock-based payment arrangements	0	37,218
Proceeds from sale of common stock and exercise of stock options	85,000	219,767
Net cash from financing activities	<u>8,908,282</u>	<u>40,843,757</u>
NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	<u>(36,144,438)</u>	<u>40,250,223</u>
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period	<u>141,334,998</u>	<u>101,084,775</u>
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period	<u>\$ 105,190,560</u>	<u>\$ 141,334,998</u>

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:

Cash paid during the period for:			
Interest	\$	835,472	\$ 1,198,534
Income taxes	\$	2,345,000	\$ 1,745,000

NON-CASH INVESTING ACTIVITIES:

Real estate acquired through foreclosure	\$	1,881,630	\$ 0
Corporate headquarter premises acquired through foreclosure	\$	1,250,000	\$ 0
Common stock dividend declared	\$	792,973	\$ 0
Change in unrealized (loss)/gain on available-for-sale securities	\$	(6,810,382)	\$ 942,832

See accompanying notes

OAK VALLEY BANCORP
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 — SUMMARY OF ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Introductory Explanation

On July 3, 2008 (the “Effective Date”), a bank holding company reorganization was completed whereby Oak Valley Bancorp (“Bancorp”) became the parent holding company for Oak Valley Community Bank (the “Bank”). On the Effective Date, a tax-free exchange was completed whereby each outstanding share of the Company was converted into one share of Bancorp and the Company became the sole wholly-owned subsidiary of the holding company.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Bancorp and its wholly-owned bank subsidiary. All material intercompany transactions have been eliminated. In the opinion of Management, the consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations, changes in shareholders’ equity and cash flows. All adjustments are of a normal, recurring nature.

Oak Valley Community Bank is a California State chartered bank. The Company was incorporated under the laws of the state of California on May 31, 1990, and began operations in Oakdale on May 28, 1991. The Company operates branches in Oakdale, Sonora, Bridgeport, Bishop, Mammoth Lakes, Modesto, Manteca, Patterson, Turlock, Ripon, Stockton, and Escalon, California. The Bridgeport, Mammoth Lakes, and Bishop branches operate as a separate division, Eastern Sierra Community Bank. The Company’s primary source of revenue is providing loans to customers who are predominantly middle-market businesses.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Significant accounting estimates reflected in the Company’s consolidated financial statements include the allowance for loan losses, accounting for income taxes, other-than-temporary impairment of investment securities, the fair value of stock options, the fair value measurements, deferred compensation plans, and the determination, recognition and measurement of impaired loans. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

A summary of the significant accounting policies applied in the preparation of the accompanying consolidated financial statements follows.

Cash and cash equivalents — The Company has defined cash and cash equivalents to include cash, due from banks, certificates of deposit with maturities of three months or less, and federal funds sold. Generally, federal funds are sold for one-day periods. At times throughout the year, balances can exceed FDIC insurance limits. Management believes the risk of loss is remote as these amounts are held by major financial institutions and management monitors their financial condition.

Securities available for sale — Available-for-sale securities consist of bonds, notes, and debentures not classified as trading securities or held-to-maturity securities. Available-for-sale securities with unrealized holding gains and losses, net of tax, are reported as a net amount in a separate component of shareholders’ equity, accumulated other comprehensive income, until realized. Gains and losses on the sale of available-for-sale securities are determined using the specific identification method. The amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts are recognized as adjustments to interest income over the period to maturity.

Investments with fair values that are less than amortized cost are considered impaired. Impairment may result from either a decline in the financial condition of the issuing entity or, in the case of fixed interest rate investments, from rising interest rates. At each consolidated financial statement date, management assesses each investment to determine if impaired investments are temporarily impaired or if the impairment is other than temporary. This assessment includes a determination of whether the Company intends to sell the security, or if it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis less any current-period credit losses. For debt securities that are considered other than temporarily impaired and that the Company does not intend to sell and will not be required to sell prior to recovery of the amortized cost basis, the amount of impairment is separated into the amount that is credit related (credit loss component) and the amount due to all other factors. The credit loss component is recognized in earnings and is calculated as the difference between the security’s amortized cost basis and the present value of its expected future cash flows. The remaining difference between the security’s fair value and the present value of the future expected cash flows is deemed to be due to factors that are not credit related and is recognized in other comprehensive income. If the Company sold an impaired security, both the credit loss component and amount due to other factors would be recognized through earnings as described above.

Other real estate owned — Real estate properties acquired through, or in lieu of, loan foreclosure are to be sold and are initially recorded at fair value of the property at the date of foreclosure less estimated selling costs. Subsequent to foreclosure, valuations are periodically performed and any subject revisions in the estimate of fair value are reported as adjustment to the carrying value of the real estate, provided the adjusted carrying amount does not exceed the original amount at foreclosure. Revenues and expenses from operations and changes in the valuation allowance are included in other operating expenses.

Loans and allowance for loan losses — Loans are reported at the principal amount outstanding, net of unearned income, deferred loan fees, and the allowance for loan losses. Unearned discounts on installment loans are recognized as income over the terms of the loans. Interest on other loans is calculated by using the simple interest method on the daily balance of the principal amount outstanding.

Loan fees net of certain direct costs of origination are deferred and amortized, as an adjustment to interest yield, over the estimated life of the loan.

Loans on which the accrual of interest has been discontinued are designated as non-accrual loans. Accrual of interest on loans is discontinued either when reasonable doubt exists as to the full and timely collection of interest or principal or when a loan becomes contractually past due by ninety days or more with respect to interest or principal. When a loan is placed on non-accrual status, all interest previously accrued, but not collected, is reversed against current period interest income. Income on such loans is then recognized only to the extent that cash is received and where the future collection of principal is probable. Interest accruals are resumed on such loans only when they are brought fully current with respect to interest and principal and when, in the judgment of management, the loans are estimated to be fully collectible as to both principal and interest.

The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses charged to operations. Loans are charged against the allowance for loan losses when management believes that the collectability of the principal is unlikely. Subsequent recoveries of previously charged off amounts, if any, are credited to the allowance.

The allowance for loan losses is evaluated on a regular basis by management and is based on management's periodic review of the collectability of the loans in light of historical experience, the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral, and prevailing economic conditions. This evaluation is inherently subjective, as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available.

In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Company's allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require the Company to recognize additional allowance based on their judgment about information available to them at the time of their examination.

The allowance consists of specific, general, and unallocated components. The specific component relates to loans that are classified as impaired. Impaired loans, as defined, are measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. The general component relates to non-impaired loans and is based on historical loss experience adjusted for qualitative factors. An unallocated component is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management's estimate of probable losses. The unallocated component of the allowance reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio.

The Company considers a loan impaired when it is probable that all amounts of principal and interest due, according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement, will not be collected. Interest income is recognized on impaired loans in the same manner as non-accrual loans. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed.

The method for calculating the allowance for unfunded loan commitments is based on an allowance percentage which is less than other outstanding loan types because they are at a lower risk level. This allowance percentage is evaluated by management periodically and is applied to the total undisbursed loan commitment balance to calculate the allowance for off-balance-sheet commitments.

The Company considers a loan to be a troubled debt restructure (“TDR”) when the Company has granted a concession and the borrower is experiencing financial difficulty. In order to determine whether a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty, an evaluation is performed of the probability that the borrower will be in payment default on any of its debt in the foreseeable future without the modification. This evaluation is performed under the Company’s internal underwriting policy. A TDR loan is kept on non-accrual status until the borrower has paid for six consecutive months with no payment defaults, at which time the TDR is placed back on accrual status. If a TDR loan is impaired, a specific valuation allowance is allocated, if necessary, so that the TDR loan is reported net, at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the TDR loan’s existing rate or at the fair value of collateral if repayment is expected solely from the collateral.

Premises and equipment — Premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization are provided for in amounts sufficient to relate the cost of depreciable assets to operations over their estimated service lives using the straight-line basis. The estimated lives used in determining depreciation are:

Building	31.5	years
Equipment	3 – 12	years
Furniture and fixtures	3 – 7	years
Leasehold improvements	5 – 15	years
Automobiles	3 – 5	years

Leasehold improvements are amortized over the lesser of the useful life of the asset or the remaining term of the lease. The straight-line method of depreciation is followed for all assets for financial reporting purposes, but accelerated methods are used for tax purposes. Deferred income taxes have been provided for the resulting temporary differences.

Income taxes — Deferred income taxes are provided for the temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of the Company’s assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are reflected at currently enacted income tax rates applicable to the period in which the deferred tax assets or liabilities are expected to be realized or settled using the liability method. As changes in tax laws or rates are enacted, deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted through the provision for income taxes.

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, and the state of California. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal or state/local income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2009.

Transfers of financial assets — Transfers of financial assets are accounted for as sales when control over the assets has been surrendered. Control over transferred assets is deemed to be surrendered when: (1) the assets have been isolated from the Company, (2) the transferee obtains the right (free of conditions that contain it from taking advantage of that right) to pledge or exchange the transferred assets, and (3) the Company does not maintain effective control over the transferred assets through an agreement to repurchase them before their maturity.

Advertising costs — The Company expenses marketing costs as they are incurred. Advertising expense was \$198,000 and \$166,000 for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Comprehensive income — Comprehensive income is comprised of net income and other comprehensive income. Other comprehensive income includes items previously recorded directly to equity, such as unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale. Comprehensive income is presented in the statements of comprehensive income and as a component of shareholders’ equity. For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, \$36,000 and \$54,000 net of tax, respectively, was reclassified from comprehensive income into net income related to gains on called available for sale securities.

Investment in limited partnership — During 2007 the Company acquired limited interests in a private limited partnership that acquires affordable housing properties in California that generate Low Income Housing Tax Credits under Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. The Company’s limited partnership investment is accounted for under the equity method. The Company’s noninterest expense associated with the utilization of these tax credits for the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$60,000 and \$64,000, respectively. The limited partnership investment is expected to generate a total tax benefit of approximately \$1.16 million over the life of the investment for the combination of the tax credits and deductions on noninterest expense. The tax credits expire between 2014 and 2022. In 2012, a tax benefit of \$90,000 was utilized for income tax purposes and an estimated amount of \$89,000 will be utilized in 2013. The recorded investment in limited partnerships totaled \$515,000 and \$575,000 at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and is reflected as a component of interest receivable and other assets on the consolidated balance sheets.

Federal Reserve Bank Stock — Federal Reserve Bank stock represents the Company’s investment in the stock of the Federal Reserve Bank (“FRB”) and is carried at par value, which reasonably approximates its fair value. While technically these are considered equity securities, there is no market for the FRB stock. Therefore, the shares are considered as restricted investment securities. Management periodically evaluates FRB stock for other-than-temporary impairment. Management’s determination of whether these investments are impaired is based on its assessment of the ultimate recoverability of cost rather than by recognizing temporary declines in value. The determination of whether a decline affects the ultimate recoverability of cost is influenced by criteria such as (1) the significance of any decline in net assets of the FRB as compared to the capital stock amount for the FRB and the length of time this situation has persisted, (2) commitments by the FRB to make payments required by law or regulation and the level of such payments in relation to the operating performance of the FRB, (3) the impact of legislative and regulatory changes on institutions and, accordingly, the customer base of the FRB, and (4) the liquidity position of the FRB. This investment is reflected as a component of interest receivable and other assets on the consolidated balance sheets.

Federal Home Loan Bank Stock — Federal Home Loan Bank stock represents the Company’s investment in the stock of the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco (“FHLB”) and is carried at par value, which reasonably approximates its fair value. While technically these are considered equity securities, there is no market for the FHLB stock. Therefore, the shares are considered as restricted investment securities. Management periodically evaluates FHLB stock for other-than-temporary impairment. Management’s determination of whether these investments are impaired is based on its assessment of the ultimate recoverability of cost rather than by recognizing temporary declines in value. The determination of whether a decline affects the ultimate recoverability of cost is influenced by criteria such as (1) the significance of any decline in net assets of the FHLB as compared to the capital stock amount for the FHLB and the length of time this situation has persisted, (2) commitments by the FHLB to make payments required by law or regulation and the level of such payments in relation to the operating performance of the FHLB, (3) the impact of legislative and regulatory changes on institutions and, accordingly, the customer base of the FHLB, and (4) the liquidity position of the FHLB. This investment is reflected as a component of interest receivable and other assets on the consolidated balance sheets.

Earnings per share (“EPS”) — EPS is based upon the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each year. The table in footnote 14 shows: (1) weighted average basic shares, (2) effect of dilutive securities related to stock options and non-vested restricted stock, and (3) weighted average diluted shares. Net income available to common shareholders is calculated as net income reduced by dividends accumulated on preferred stock. Basic EPS are calculated by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period, excluding unvested restricted stock awards. Diluted EPS are calculated using the weighted average diluted shares. The total dilutive shares included in annual diluted EPS is a year-to-date weighted average of the total dilutive shares included in each quarterly diluted EPS computation under the treasury stock method. We have two forms of outstanding common stock: common stock and unvested restricted stock awards. Holders of restricted stock awards receive non-forfeitable dividends at the same rate as common stockholders and they both share equally in undistributed earnings.

Stock based compensation — The Company recognizes in the consolidated statements of income the grant-date fair value of stock options and other equity-based forms of compensation issued to employees over the employees’ requisite service period (generally the vesting period). The Company uses the straight-line recognition of expenses for awards with graded vesting.

The fair value of each option grant is estimated as of the grant date using a binomial option-pricing model for all grants. Expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of the price of the Company’s stock. The Company uses historical data to estimate option exercise and stock option forfeiture rates within the valuation model. The expected term of options granted for the binomial model is derived from applying a historical suboptimal exercise factor to the contractual term of the grant. For binomial pricing, the risk-free rate for periods is equal to the U.S. Treasury yield at the time of grant and commensurate with the contractual term of the grant. There were no stock options granted in 2013 or 2012.

The fair value of restricted stock awards is based on the price of the Company’s stock at the date of grant. There were 15,000 and 139,375 shares of restricted stock granted during 2013 and 2012, respectively. Stock based compensation recorded during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 totaled approximately \$195,000 and \$176,000, respectively.

Fair values of financial instruments — The consolidated financial statements include various estimated fair value information as of December 31, 2013 and 2012. Such information, which pertains to the Company’s financial instruments, does not purport to represent the aggregate net fair value of the Company. Further, the fair value estimates are based on various assumptions, methodologies, and subjective considerations, which vary widely among different financial institutions and which are subject to change.

Fair Value Measurements — The Company uses fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain assets and liabilities and to determine fair value disclosures. The Company bases the fair values on the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Securities available for sale, derivatives, and loans held for sale, if any, are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Additionally, from time to time, the Company may be required to record certain assets at fair value on a non-recurring basis, such as certain impaired loans held for

investment and securities held to maturity that are other-than-temporarily impaired. These non-recurring fair value adjustments typically involve write-downs of individual assets due to application of lower-of-cost or market accounting.

The Company has established and documented a process for determining fair value. The Company maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs when developing fair value measurements. Whenever there is no readily available market data, Management uses its best estimate and assumptions in determining fair value, but these estimates involve inherent uncertainties and the application of Management's judgment. As a result, if other assumptions had been used, our recorded earnings or disclosures could have been materially different from those reflected in these financial consolidated statements.

Deferred compensations plans — Future compensation under the Company's executive salary continuation plan and director retirement plan is earned for services rendered through retirement. The Company accrues for the salary continuation liability based on anticipated years of service and vesting schedules provided under the plans. The Company's current benefit liability is determined based on vesting and the present value of the benefits at a corresponding discount rate. The discount rate used is an equivalent rate for investment-grade bonds with lives matching those of the service periods remaining for the salary continuation contracts, which average approximately 20 years.

Reclassifications — Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. There was no effect on net income or shareholders' equity as a result of reclassifications.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards —

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-11, *Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities*. The update requires an entity to offset, and present as a single net amount, a recognized eligible asset and a recognized eligible liability when it has an unconditional and legally enforceable right of setoff and intends either to settle the asset and liability on a net basis or to realize the asset and settle the liability simultaneously. The ASU requires an entity to disclose information about offsetting and related arrangements to enable users of its financial statements to understand the effect of those arrangements on its financial position. The amendments are effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013 and did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-01, *Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities*. The Update clarifies that ASU. 2011-11 applies only to derivatives, including bifurcated embedded derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are either offset or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. Entities with other types of financial assets and financial liabilities subject to a master netting arrangement or similar agreement are no longer subject to the disclosure requirements in ASU. 2011-11. The amendments are effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013 and did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-02, *Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income*. The Update requires an entity to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component and to present either on the face of the statement where net income is presented, or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income, but only if the amount reclassified is required to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period. The amendments are effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2012 and did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-04, *Obligations Resulting from Joint and Several Liability Arrangements for Which the Total Amount of the Obligation Is Fixed at the Reporting Date*. The Update requires an entity to measure obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount of the obligation within the scope of this guidance is fixed at the reporting date, as the sum of the following: 1) The amount the reporting entity agreed to pay on the basis of its arrangement among its co-obligors, and 2) Any additional amount the reporting entity expects to pay on behalf of its co-obligors. The guidance in this Update also requires an entity to disclose the nature and amount of the obligation as well as other information about those obligations. The amendments in this Update are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013, and are applied retrospectively to all prior periods presented for those obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements within the Update's scope that exist at the beginning of an entity's fiscal year of adoption. The adoption of ASU No. 2013-04 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-10, *Inclusion of the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate (or Overnight Index Swap Rate) as a Benchmark Interest Rate for Hedge Accounting Purposes*. ASU No. 2013-10 permits the use of the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate (OIS) to be used as a U.S. benchmark interest rate for hedge account purposes. The amendment is effective prospectively

for qualifying new or redesignated hedging relationships entered into on or after July 17, 2013. The adoption of ASU No. 2013-10 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-11, *Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists*. ASU No. 2013-11 requires an entity to present an unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward, except to the extent a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward is not available at the reporting date under the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction to settle any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position or the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction does not require the entity to use, and the entity does not intend to use, the deferred tax asset for such purpose, the unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statements as a liability and should not be combined with deferred tax assets. No new recurring disclosures are required. The amendments are effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2013 and are to be applied prospectively to all unrecognized tax benefits that exist at the effective date. Retrospective application is permitted. The adoption of ASU No. 2013-11 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014 – 01, *Investments – Equity Method and Joint Ventures (Topic 323), Accounting for Investments in Qualified Affordable Housing Projects*. This Update provides guidance on accounting for investments by a reporting entity in flow-through limited liability entities that manage or invest in affordable housing projects that qualify for the low-income housing tax credit. The amendments in this Update permit reporting entities to make an accounting policy election to account for their investments in qualified affordable housing projects using the proportional amortization method if certain conditions are met. Under the proportional amortization method, an entity amortizes the initial cost of the investment in proportion to the tax credits and other tax benefits received and recognizes the net investment performance in the income statement as a component of income tax expense (benefit). The amendments in this Update are effective for public business entities for annual periods and interim reporting periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. The adoption of ASU No. 2014-01 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014 – 04, *Receivables – Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors*. This ASU provides clarification that an in substance repossession or foreclosure occurs, and a creditor is considered to have received physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan, upon either (1) the creditor obtaining legal title to the residential real estate property upon completion of a foreclosure or (2) the borrower conveying all interest in the residential real estate property to the creditor to satisfy that loan through completion of a deed in lieu of foreclosure or through a similar legal agreement. Additionally, the amendments require interim and annual disclosure of both (1) the amount of foreclosed residential real estate property held by the creditor and (2) the recorded investment in consumer mortgage loans collateralized by residential real estate property that are in the process of foreclosure according to local requirements of the applicable jurisdiction. The amendments in this ASU are effective for public business entities for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. The adoption of ASU No. 2014-04 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 2 — CASH AND DUE FROM BANKS

Cash and due from banks includes balances with the Federal Reserve Bank and other correspondent banks. The Company is required to maintain specified reserves by the Federal Reserve Bank. The average reserve requirements are based on a percentage of the Company's deposit liabilities. In addition, the Federal Reserve Bank requires the Company to maintain a certain minimum balance at all times. As of December 31, 2013 the Company had a balance of \$56,235,000 which is more than adequate to satisfy the reserve requirement.

NOTE 3 – PREFERRED STOCK REPURCHASE AND WARRANT REDEMPTION

In August 2011, the Company repurchased the \$13,500,000 of Series A Preferred Stock originally issued to the U.S. Treasury in December 2008 in connection with the Company's participation in the Capital Purchase Program ("CPP"). The Company simultaneously issued \$13,500,000 in Series B Preferred Stock to the U.S. Treasury under the Small Business Lending Funding ("SBLF") program. Subsequently, the Company fully redeemed a warrant to purchase 350,346 shares of its Common Stock, at the exercise price of \$5.78 per share that the Company had granted to the U.S. Treasury pursuant to the CPP, for a purchase price of \$560,000, which settled in September 2011.

In May 2012, the Company repurchased from the U.S. Treasury 6,750 shares of Series B Preferred Stock for aggregate consideration of \$6.75 million. In March 2013, the Company repurchased the remaining 6,750 shares of Series B Preferred Stock for aggregate

consideration of \$6.75 million plus \$67,500 for accrued dividends. As of September 30, 2013, there are no outstanding shares of Series B Preferred Stock.

NOTE 4 — SECURITIES

The amortized cost and estimated fair values of debt securities as of December 31, 2013, are as follows:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Market Value
Available-for-sale securities:				
U.S. agencies	\$ 52,539,479	\$ 1,844,104	\$ (1,267,890)	\$ 53,115,693
Collateralized mortgage obligations	9,580,443	247,763	(46,717)	9,781,489
Municipalities	42,303,684	953,039	(2,987,755)	40,268,968
SBA Pools	1,087,995	-	(7,480)	1,080,515
Corporate debt	4,697,220	127,558	-	4,824,778
Asset Backed Securities	5,857,500	27,678	(28,757)	5,856,421
Mutual Fund	2,975,285	-	(157,496)	2,817,789
	<u>\$ 119,041,606</u>	<u>\$ 3,200,142</u>	<u>\$ (4,496,095)</u>	<u>\$ 117,745,653</u>

The following tables detail the gross unrealized losses and fair values aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at December 31, 2013.

Description of Securities	Less than 12 months		12 months or more		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss
U.S. agencies	\$ 21,700,343	\$ (1,011,733)	\$ 1,739,994	\$ (256,157)	\$ 23,440,337	\$ (1,267,890)
Collateralized mortgage obligations	1,641,929	(46,717)	—	—	1,641,929	(46,717)
Municipalities	25,501,929	(2,761,277)	2,879,010	(226,478)	28,380,939	(2,987,755)
SBA Pools	829,375	(5,726)	246,390	(1,754)	1,075,765	(7,480)
Corporate debt	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asset Backed Securities	3,893,801	(28,757)	—	—	3,893,801	(28,757)
Mutual Fund	2,817,790	(157,496)	—	—	2,817,790	(157,496)
Total temporarily impaired securities	<u>\$ 56,385,167</u>	<u>\$ (4,011,706)</u>	<u>\$ 4,865,394</u>	<u>\$ (484,389)</u>	<u>\$ 61,250,561</u>	<u>\$ (4,496,095)</u>

At December, 2013, there was one U.S. agency, five municipalities, two asset backed securities, and one SBA pool that comprised the total securities in an unrealized loss position for greater than 12 months and 13 agencies, 31 municipalities, one collateralized mortgage obligation, one mutual fund and one SBA pool that make up the total securities in a loss position for less than 12 months. Management periodically evaluates each available-for-sale investment security in an unrealized loss position to determine if the impairment is temporary or other than temporary. This evaluation encompasses various factors including, the nature of the investment, the cause of the impairment, the severity and duration of the impairment, credit ratings and other credit related factors such as third party guarantees and volatility of the security's fair value. Based on this evaluation, management has determined that no investment security is other than temporarily impaired. The unrealized losses are due primarily to interest rate changes and the Bank does not intend to sell the securities and it is not likely that we will be required to sell the securities before the earlier of the forecasted recovery or the maturity of the underlying investment security.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of debt securities at December 31, 2013, by contractual maturity or call date, are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	<u>Amortized Cost</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>
Available-for-sale securities:		
Due in one year or less	\$ 14,888,838	\$ 13,882,215
Due after one year through five years	23,260,844	24,334,745
Due after five years through ten years	41,060,606	39,467,640
Due after ten years	39,831,318	40,061,053
	<u>\$ 119,041,606</u>	<u>\$ 117,745,653</u>

The amortized cost and estimated fair values of debt securities as of December 31, 2012, are as follows:

	<u>Amortized Cost</u>	<u>Gross Unrealized Gains</u>	<u>Gross Unrealized Losses</u>	<u>Fair Market Value</u>
Available-for-sale securities:				
U.S. agencies	\$ 52,607,537	\$ 2,949,355	\$ (39,833)	\$ 55,517,059
Collateralized mortgage obligations	11,698,399	905,985	-	12,604,384
Municipalities	25,323,157	1,727,206	(58,075)	26,992,288
SBA Pools	1,178,242	86	(20)	1,178,308
Corporate debt	4,669,390	37,048	(836)	4,705,602
Mutual Fund	2,874,727	-	(6,487)	2,868,240
	<u>\$ 98,351,452</u>	<u>\$ 5,619,680</u>	<u>\$ (105,251)</u>	<u>\$ 103,865,881</u>

The following tables detail the gross unrealized losses and fair values aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at December 31, 2012.

<u>Description of Securities</u>	<u>Less than 12 months</u>		<u>12 months or more</u>		<u>Total</u>	
	<u>Fair Value</u>	<u>Unrealized Loss</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>	<u>Unrealized Loss</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>	<u>Unrealized Loss</u>
U.S. agencies	\$ 1,954,005	\$ (39,833)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1,954,005	\$ (39,833)
Collateralized mortgage obligations	—	—	—	—	—	—
Municipalities	3,088,970	(58,075)	—	—	3,088,970	(58,075)
SBA Pools	—	—	294,889	(20)	294,889	(20)
Corporate debt	749,164	(836)	—	—	749,164	(836)
Mutual Fund	2,493,512	(6,487)	—	—	2,493,512	(6,487)
Total temporarily impaired securities	<u>\$ 8,285,651</u>	<u>\$ (105,231)</u>	<u>\$ 294,889</u>	<u>\$ (20)</u>	<u>\$ 8,580,540</u>	<u>\$ (105,251)</u>

Gross realized gains on called available-for-sale securities during 2013 and 2012 totaled \$60,000 and \$92,000, respectively. There were no losses on called available-for-sale securities realized during 2013 and 2012. There were no sales of available-for-sale securities during 2013 and 2012.

Securities carried at \$72,371,000 and \$56,484,000 at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, were pledged to secure deposits of public funds.

NOTE 5 — LOANS

The Company's customers are primarily located in Stanislaus, San Joaquin, Tuolumne, Inyo, and Mono Counties. As of December 31, 2013, approximately 79% of the Company's loans are commercial real estate loans which includes construction loans. Approximately 12% of the Company's loans are for general commercial uses including professional, retail, and small business. Additionally, 6% of the Company's loans are for residential real estate and other consumer loans. The remaining 3% are agriculture loans.

Loan totals were as follows:

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,	
	2013	2012
Commercial real estate:		
Commercial real estate- construction	\$ 15,555,298	\$ 6,581,854
Commercial real estate- mortgages	285,839,700	278,766,279
Land	11,157,027	14,269,477
Farmland	20,321,572	16,456,921
Commercial and industrial	48,786,586	36,528,505
Consumer	882,667	1,095,801
Consumer residential	25,623,482	25,659,090
Agriculture	11,272,103	11,628,260
Total loans	419,438,435	390,986,187
Less:		
Deferred loan fees and costs, net	(623,670)	(599,851)
Allowance for loan losses	(7,659,158)	(7,974,975)
Net loans	\$ 411,155,607	\$ 382,411,361

Loan Origination/Risk Management. The Company has certain lending policies and procedures in place that are designed to maximize loan income within an acceptable level of risk. Management reviews and approves these policies and procedures on a regular basis. A reporting system supplements the review process by providing management with frequent reports related to loan production, loan quality, concentrations of credit, loan delinquencies and non-performing and potential problem loans. Diversification in the loan portfolio is a means of managing risk associated with fluctuations in economic conditions.

Commercial and industrial loans are underwritten after evaluating and understanding the borrower's ability to operate profitably and prudently expand its business. Underwriting standards are designed to promote relationship banking rather than transactional banking. Once it is determined that the borrower's management possesses sound ethics and solid business acumen, the Company's management examines current and projected cash flows to determine the ability of the borrower to repay their obligations as agreed. Commercial and industrial loans are primarily made based on the identified cash flows of the borrower and secondarily on the underlying collateral provided by the borrower. The cash flows of borrowers, however, may not be as expected and the collateral securing these loans may fluctuate in value. Most commercial and industrial loans are secured by the assets being financed or other business assets such as accounts receivable or inventory and may incorporate a personal guarantee; however, some short-term loans

may be made on an unsecured basis. In the case of loans secured by accounts receivable, the availability of funds for the repayment of these loans may be substantially dependent on the ability of the borrower to collect amounts due from its customers.

Commercial real estate loans are subject to underwriting standards and processes similar to commercial and industrial loans, in addition to those of real estate loans. These loans are viewed primarily as cash flow loans and secondarily as loans secured by real estate. Commercial real estate lending typically involves higher loan principal amounts and the repayment of these loans is generally largely dependent on the successful operation of the property securing the loan or the business conducted on the property securing the loan. Commercial real estate loans may be more adversely affected by conditions in the real estate markets or in the general economy. The properties securing the Company's commercial real estate portfolio are diverse in terms of type and geographic location. This diversity helps reduce the Company's exposure to adverse economic events that affect any single market or industry. Management monitors and evaluates commercial real estate loans based on collateral, geography and risk grade criteria. As a general rule, the Company avoids financing single-purpose projects unless other underwriting factors are present to help mitigate risk. The Company also utilizes third-party experts to provide insight and guidance about economic conditions and trends affecting market areas it serves. In addition, management tracks the level of owner-occupied commercial real estate loans versus non-owner occupied loans. At December 31, 2013, approximately 34.7% of the outstanding principal balance of the Company's commercial real estate loans were secured by owner-occupied properties.

With respect to loans to developers and builders that are secured by non-owner occupied properties that the Company may originate from time to time, the Company generally requires the borrower to have had an existing relationship with the Company and have a proven record of success. Construction loans are underwritten utilizing feasibility studies, independent appraisal reviews, sensitivity analysis of absorption and lease rates and financial analysis of the developers and property owners. Construction loans are generally based upon estimates of costs and value associated with the complete project. These estimates may be inaccurate. Construction loans often involve the disbursement of substantial funds with repayment substantially dependent on the success of the ultimate project. Sources of repayment for these types of loans may be pre-committed permanent loans from approved long-term lenders, sales of developed property or an interim loan commitment from the Company until permanent financing is obtained. These loans are closely monitored by on-site inspections and are considered to have higher risks than other real estate loans due to their ultimate repayment being sensitive to interest rate changes, governmental regulation of real property, general economic conditions and the availability of long-term financing.

The Company originates consumer loans utilizing a computer-based credit scoring analysis to supplement the underwriting process. To monitor and manage consumer loan risk, policies and procedures are developed and modified, as needed, jointly by line and staff personnel. This activity, coupled with relatively small loan amounts that are spread across many individual borrowers, minimizes risk. Additionally, trend and outlook reports are reviewed by management on a regular basis. Underwriting standards for home equity loans follow bank policy, which include, but are not limited to, a maximum loan-to-value percentage of 80%, a maximum housing and total debt ratio of 36% and 42%, respectively and other specified credit and documentation requirements.

The Company maintains an independent loan review department that reviews and validates the credit risk program on a periodic basis. Results of these reviews are presented to management. The loan review process complements and reinforces the risk identification and assessment decisions made by lenders and credit personnel, as well as the Company's policies and procedures.

Non-Accrual and Past Due Loans. Loans are considered past due if the required principal and interest payments have not been received as of the date such payments were due. Loans are placed on non-accrual status when, in management's opinion, the borrower may be unable to meet payment obligations as they become due, as well as when required by regulatory provisions. Loans may be placed on non-accrual status regardless of whether or not such loans are considered past due. When interest accrual is discontinued, all unpaid accrued interest is reversed. Interest income is subsequently recognized only to the extent cash payments are received in excess of principal due. Loans are returned to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured.

Year-end non-accrual loans, segregated by class of loans, were as follows:

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,	
	2013	2012
Commercial real estate:		
Commercial real estate- construction	\$ 0	\$ 126,427
Commercial real estate- mortgages	1,046,483	3,345,098
Land	1,182,904	2,419,223
Farmland	92,354	0
Commercial and industrial	17,839	21,311
Consumer	0	0
Consumer residential	0	1,010,998
Agriculture	0	0
Total non-accrual loans	\$ 2,339,580	\$ 6,923,057

Had non-accrual loans performed in accordance with their original contract terms, the Company would have recognized additional interest income of approximately \$583,000 in 2013 and \$696,000 in 2012.

The following table analyzes past due loans including the non-accrual loans in the above table, segregated by class of loans, as of December 31, 2013:

December 31, 2013	<u>30-59 Days Past Due</u>	<u>60-89 Days Past Due</u>	<u>Greater Than 90 Days Past Due</u>	<u>Total Past Due</u>	<u>Current</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Greater Than 90 Days Past Due and Still Accruing</u>
Commercial real estate:							
Commercial R.E. - construction	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 15,555,298	\$ 15,555,298	\$ 0
Commercial R.E. - mortgages	1,347,779	0	1,046,483	2,394,262	283,445,438	285,839,700	0
Land	0	2,651,530	658,232	3,309,762	7,847,265	11,157,027	0
Farmland	0	0	92,354	92,354	20,229,218	20,321,572	0
Commercial and industrial	0	1,406,859	0	1,406,859	47,379,727	48,786,586	0
Consumer	0	0	0	0	882,667	882,667	0
Consumer residential	0	0	0	0	25,623,482	25,623,482	0
Agriculture	0	0	0	0	11,272,103	11,272,103	0
Total	\$ 1,347,779	\$ 4,058,389	\$ 1,797,069	\$ 7,203,237	\$ 412,235,198	\$ 419,438,435	\$ 0

The following table analyzes past due loans including the non-accrual loans in the above table, segregated by class of loans, as of December 31, 2012:

December 31, 2012	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	Greater Than 90 Days Past Due	Total Past Due	Current	Total	Greater Than 90 Days Past Due and Still Accruing
Commercial real estate:							
Commercial R.E. - construction	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 126,427	\$ 126,427	\$ 6,455,427	\$ 6,581,854	\$ 0
Commercial R.E. - mortgages	55,089	623,118	2,386,688	3,064,895	275,701,384	278,766,279	0
Land	0	54,427	2,364,797	2,419,224	11,850,253	14,269,477	0
Farmland	0	0	0	0	16,456,921	16,456,921	0
Commercial and industrial	16,138	0	0	16,138	36,512,367	36,528,505	0
Consumer	0	0	0	0	1,095,801	1,095,801	0
Consumer residential	0	0	1,010,998	1,010,998	24,648,092	25,659,090	0
Agriculture	0	0	0	0	11,628,260	11,628,260	0
Total	\$ 71,227	\$ 677,545	\$ 5,888,910	\$ 6,637,682	\$ 384,348,505	\$ 390,986,187	\$ 0

Impaired Loans. Loans are considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due in accordance with the original contractual terms of the loan agreement, including scheduled principal and interest payments. Impairment is evaluated in total for smaller-balance loans of a similar nature and on an individual loan basis for other loans. If a loan is impaired, a specific valuation allowance is allocated, if necessary, so that the loan is reported net, at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's existing rate or at the fair value of collateral if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Interest payments on impaired loans are typically applied to principal unless collectability of the principal amount is reasonably assured, in which case interest is recognized on a cash basis. Impaired loans, or portions thereof, are charged off when deemed uncollectible.

Impaired loans by class as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 are set forth in the following tables. No interest income was recognized on impaired loans subsequent to their classification as impaired during 2013 and 2012.

December 31, 2013	Unpaid Contractual Principal Balance	Recorded Investment With No Allowance	Recorded Investment With Allowance	Total Recorded Investment	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment
Commercial real estate:						
Commercial R.E. - construction	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 51,452
Commercial R.E. - mortgages	3,049,170	1,046,483	0	1,046,483	0	1,979,847
Land	1,319,519	0	1,182,904	1,182,904	392,432	1,635,686
Farmland	95,286	92,354	0	92,354	0	61,530
Commercial and Industrial	27,240	17,839	0	17,839	0	19,744
Consumer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Consumer residential	0	0	0	0	0	354,124
Agriculture	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	\$ 4,491,215	\$ 1,156,676	\$ 1,182,904	\$ 2,339,580	\$ 392,432	\$ 4,102,383

	Unpaid Contractual Principal Balance	Recorded Investment With No Allowance	Recorded Investment With Allowance	Total Recorded Investment	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment
December 31, 2012						
Commercial real estate:						
Commercial R.E. - construction	\$ 193,027	\$ 0	\$ 126,427	\$ 126,427	\$ 2,872	\$ 222,757
Commercial R.E. - mortgages	5,728,716	1,875,320	1,469,777	3,345,097	136,015	3,093,523
Land	6,866,869	663,232	1,755,991	2,419,223	409,656	2,833,250
Farmland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Commercial and Industrial	27,812	21,311	0	21,311	0	52,822
Consumer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Consumer residential	1,034,884	1,010,999	0	1,010,999	0	534,578
Agriculture	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	\$ 13,851,308	\$ 3,570,862	\$ 3,352,195	\$ 6,923,057	\$ 548,543	\$ 6,736,930

Troubled Debt Restructurings – In order to determine whether a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty, an evaluation is performed of the probability that the borrower will be in payment default on any of its debt in the foreseeable future without the modification. This evaluation is performed under the Company’s internal underwriting policy.

At December 31, 2013, there were 3 loans and leases that were considered to be troubled debt restructurings, all of which are considered non-accrual totaling \$1,201,000. At December 31, 2012, there were 6 loans and leases that were considered to be troubled debt restructurings, all of which are considered non-accrual totaling \$2,567,000. The decrease of three TDR loans during 2013 is due in part to a foreclosure on a loan totaling \$54,000 that was subsequently sold. At December 31, 2012 there were unfunded commitments of \$1,697,000, respectively, on one loan classified as a troubled debt restructure because of an agreement with a borrower to continue advancing funds and covering overhead costs on a residential development project. This loan and one other loan made to the same borrower totaling \$1,303,000 were paid off during the second quarter of 2013. There were no unfunded commitments on TDR loans at December 31, 2013. We have allocated \$392,000 and \$413,000 of specific reserves to loans whose terms have been modified in troubled debt restructurings as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

During the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the terms of two loans were modified as troubled debt restructurings. The modification of the terms of such loans included one or a combination of the following: a reduction of the stated interest rate of the loan; an extension of the maturity date; or a temporary payment modification in which the payment amount allocated towards principal was reduced. In some cases, a permanent reduction of the accrued interest on the loan was conceded.

The following table presents loans by class modified as troubled debt restructurings that occurred during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2013			2012		
	Number of Loans	Pre- Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post- Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Number of Loans	Pre- Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post- Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment
Commercial real estate:						
Commercial R.E. - construction	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Commercial R.E. - mortgages	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land	1	541,594	541,594	1	58,261	58,261
Farmland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Commercial and Industrial	1	27,240	27,240	1	23,111	23,111
Consumer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Consumer residential	0	0	0	0	0	0
Agriculture	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	2	\$ 568,834	\$ 568,834	2	\$ 81,372	\$ 81,372

The troubled debt restructurings during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 did not increase the allowance for loan losses as a result of the loan modification and there were no charge offs as a result of the loan modifications.

There were no loans modified as troubled debt restructurings during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 that had payment defaults during each respective year.

A loan is considered to be in payment default once it is ninety days contractually past due under the modified terms.

Quality ratings (Risk Grades) are assigned to all commitments and stand-alone notes. Risk grades define the basic characteristics of commitments or stand-alone note in relation to their risk. All loans are graded using a system that maximizes the loan quality information contained in loan review grades, while ensuring that the system is compatible with the grades used by bank examiners. We grade loans using the following letter system:

- 1 Exceptional Loan
- 2 Quality Loan
- 3A Better Than Acceptable Loan
- 3B Acceptable Loan
- 3C Marginally Acceptable Loan
- 4 (W) Watch Acceptable Loan
- 5 Other Loans Especially Mentioned
- 6 Substandard Loan
- 7 Doubtful Loan
- 8 Loss

1. Exceptional Loan - Loans with A+ credits that contain very little, if any, risk. Grade 1 loans are considered Pass. To qualify for this rating, the following characteristics must be present:

- A high level of liquidity and whose debt-servicing capacity exceeds expected obligations by a substantial margin.
- Where leverage is below average for the industry and earnings are consistent or growing without severe vulnerability to economic cycles.

-Also included in this rating (but not mandatory unless one or more of the preceding characteristics are missing) are loans that are fully secured and properly margined by our own time instruments or U.S. blue chip securities. To be properly margined cash collateral must be equal to, or greater than, 110% of the loan amount.

2. Quality Loan - Loans with excellent sources of repayment that conform in all respects to bank policy and regulatory requirements. These are also loans for which little repayment risk has been identified. No credit or collateral exceptions. Grade 2 loans are considered Pass. Other factors include:

- Unquestionable debt-servicing capacity to cover all obligations in the ordinary course of business from well-defined primary and secondary sources.
- Consistent strong earnings.
- A solid equity base.

3A. Better than Acceptable Loan - In the interest of better delineating the loan portfolio's true credit risk for reserve allocation, further granularity has been sought by splitting the grade 3 category into three classifications. The distinction between the three are bank-defined guidelines and represent a further refinement of the regulatory definition of a pass, or grade 3 loan. Grade 3A is the stronger third of the pass category, but is not strong enough to be a grade 2 and is characterized by:

- Strong earnings with no loss in last three years and ample cash flow to service all debt well above policy guidelines.
- Long term experienced management with depth and defined management succession.
- The loan has no exceptions to policy.
- Loan-to-value on real estate secured transactions is 10% to 20% less than policy guidelines.
- Very liquid balance sheet that may have cash available to pay off our loan completely.
- Little to no debt on balance sheet.

3B. Acceptable Loan - 3B loans are simply defined as all loans that are less qualified than 3A loans and are stronger than 3C loans. These loans are characterized by acceptable sources of repayment that conform to bank policy and regulatory requirements. Repayment risks are acceptable for these loans. Credit or collateral exceptions are minimal, are in the process of correction, and do not represent repayment risk. These loans:

- Are those where the borrower has average financial strengths, a history of profitable operations and experienced management.
- Are those where the borrower can be expected to handle normal credit needs in a satisfactory manner.

3C. Marginally Acceptable - 3C loans have similar characteristics as that of 3Bs with the following additional characteristics: Requires collateral. A credit facility where the borrower has average financial strengths, but usually lacks reliable secondary sources of repayment other than the subject collateral. Other common characteristics can include some or all of the following: minimal background experience of management, lacking continuity of management, a start-up operation, erratic historical profitability (acceptable reasons-well identified), lack of or marginal sponsorship of guarantor, and government guaranteed loans.

4W Watch Acceptable - Watch grade will be assigned to any credit that is adequately secured and performing but monitored for a number of indicators. These characteristics may include any unexpected short-term adverse financial performance from budgeted projections or prior period's results (i.e., declining profits, sales, margins, cash flow, or increased reliance on leverage, including adverse balance sheet ratios, trade debt issues, etc.). Additionally, any managerial or personal problems of company management, decline in the entire industry or local economic conditions failure to provide financial information or other documentation as requested; issues regarding delinquency, overdrafts, or renewals; and any other issues that cause concern for the company. Loans to individuals or loans supported by guarantors with marginal net worth and/or marginal collateral. Weakness identified in a Watch credit is short-term in nature. Loans in this category are usually accounts the Company would want to retain providing a positive turnaround can be expected within a reasonable time frame. Grade 4 loans are considered Pass.

5 Other Loans Especially Mentioned (Special Mention) - A special mention extension of credit is defined as having potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may, at some future date result in the deterioration of the repayment prospects for the credit or the institution's credit position. Extensions of credit that might be detailed in this category include the following:

- The lending officer may be unable to properly supervise the credit because of an inadequate loan or credit agreement.
- Questions exist regarding the condition of and/or control over collateral.
- Economic or market conditions may unfavorably affect the obligor in the future.
- A declining trend in the obligor's operations or an imbalanced position in the balance sheet exists, but not to the point that repayment is jeopardized.

6 Substandard Loan - A "substandard" extension of credit is inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Extensions of credit so classified must have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss if

the deficiencies are not corrected. Loss potential, while existing in the aggregate amount of substandard credits, does not have to exist in individual extensions of credit classified substandard.

7 Doubtful Loan - An extension of credit classified “doubtful” has all the weaknesses inherent in one classified substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable. The possibility of loss is extremely high but because of certain important and reasonably specific pending factors that may work to the advantage of and strengthen the credit, its classification as an estimated loss is deferred until its more exact status may be determined. Pending factors may include a proposed merger or acquisition, liquidation proceedings, capital injection, perfecting liens on additional collateral or refinancing plans. The entire loan need not be classified doubtful when collection of a specific portion appears highly probable. An example of proper use of the doubtful category is the case of a company being liquidated, with the trustee-in-bankruptcy indicating a minimum disbursement of 40 percent and a maximum of 65 percent to unsecured creditors, including the Company. In this situation, estimates are based on liquidation value appraisals with actual values yet to be realized. By definition, the only portion of the credit that is doubtful is the 25 percent difference between 40 and 65 percent. A proper classification of such a credit would show 40 percent substandard, 25 percent doubtful, and 35 percent loss. A credit classified as doubtful should be resolved within a ‘reasonable’ period of time. Reasonable is generally defined as the period between examinations. In other words, a credit classified doubtful at an examination should be cleared up before the next exam. However, there may be situations that warrant continuation of the doubtful classification a while longer.

8. Loss - Extensions of credit classified “loss” are considered uncollectible and of such little value that their continuance as bankable assets is not warranted. This classification does not mean that the credit has absolutely no recovery or salvage value, but rather that it is not practical or desirable to defer writing off, even though partial recovery may be affected in the future. It should not be the Company’s practice to attempt long-term recoveries while the credit remains on the books. Losses should be taken in the period in which they surface as uncollectible.

The following table presents weighted average risk grades of our loan portfolio.

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
	Weighted Average Risk Grade	Weighted Average Risk Grade
Commercial real estate:		
Commercial real estate - construction	3.84	3.23
Commercial real estate - mortgages	3.14	3.22
Land	4.50	4.56
Farmland	3.04	3.04
Commercial and Industrial	3.13	3.09
Consumer	2.31	2.55
Consumer residential	3.05	3.17
Agriculture	3.27	3.50
Total gross loans	<u>3.20</u>	<u>3.25</u>

The following table presents risk grade totals by class of loans as of December 31, 2013 and 2012. Risk grades 1 through 4 have been aggregated in the “Pass” line.

Dollars in thousands	Commercial R.E. Construction	Commercial R.E. Mortgages	Land	Farmland	Commercial and Industrial	Consumer	Consumer Residential	Agriculture	Total
December 31, 2013									
Pass	\$ 15,555,298	\$ 278,532,924	\$ 7,322,593	\$ 20,229,218	\$ 46,712,369	\$ 866,490	\$ 25,200,497	\$ 11,272,103	\$ 405,691,492
Special mention	-	3,757,796	-	-	252,706	-	-	-	4,010,502
Substandard	-	3,548,980	3,834,434	92,354	1,821,511	16,177	422,985	-	9,736,441
Doubtful	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total loans	\$ 15,555,298	\$ 285,839,700	\$ 11,157,027	\$ 20,321,572	\$ 48,786,586	\$ 882,667	\$ 25,623,482	\$ 11,272,103	\$ 419,438,435
December 31, 2012									
Pass	\$ 6,455,427	\$ 263,567,665	\$ 8,974,864	\$ 16,456,921	\$ 35,435,491	\$ 1,079,583	\$ 24,257,465	\$ 10,291,678	\$ 366,519,094
Special mention	-	7,832,840	-	-	280,631	-	-	1,336,582	9,450,053
Substandard	126,427	7,365,774	5,294,613	-	812,383	16,218	1,401,625	-	15,017,040
Doubtful	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total loans	\$ 6,581,854	\$ 278,766,279	\$ 14,269,477	\$ 16,456,921	\$ 36,528,505	\$ 1,095,801	\$ 25,659,090	\$ 11,628,260	\$ 390,986,187

Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses is a reserve established through a provision for loan losses charged to expense, which represents management’s best estimate of probable losses that have been incurred within the existing portfolio of loans. The allowance, in the judgment of management, is necessary to reserve for estimated loan losses and risks inherent in the loan portfolio. The Company’s allowance for loan loss methodology includes allowance allocations calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 310, “Receivables” and allowance allocations calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 450, “Contingencies.” Accordingly, the methodology is based on historical loss experience by type of credit and internal risk grade, specific homogeneous risk pools and specific loss allocations, with adjustments for current events and conditions. The Company’s process for determining the appropriate level of the allowance for loan losses is designed to account for credit deterioration as it occurs. The provision for loan losses reflects loan quality trends, including the levels of and trends related to non-accrual loans, past due loans, potential problem loans, criticized loans and net charge-offs or recoveries, among other factors. The provision for loan losses also reflects the totality of actions taken on all loans for a particular period. In other words, the amount of the provision reflects not only the necessary increases in the allowance for loan losses related to newly identified criticized loans, but it also reflects actions taken related to other loans including, among other things, any necessary increases or decreases in required allowances for specific loans or loan pools.

The level of the allowance reflects management’s continuing evaluation of industry concentrations, specific credit risks, loan loss experience, current loan portfolio quality, present economic, political and regulatory conditions and unidentified losses inherent in the current loan portfolio. Portions of the allowance may be allocated for specific credits; however, the entire allowance is available for any credit that, in management’s judgment, should be charged off. While management utilizes its best judgment and information available, the ultimate adequacy of the allowance is dependent upon a variety of factors beyond the Company’s control, including, among other things, the performance of the Company’s loan portfolio, the economy, changes in interest rates and the view of the regulatory authorities toward loan classifications.

The Company’s allowance for loan losses consists of three elements: (i) specific valuation allowances determined in accordance with ASC Topic 310 based on probable losses on specific loans; (ii) historical valuation allowances determined in accordance with ASC Topic 450 based on historical loan loss experience for similar loans with similar characteristics and trends, adjusted, as necessary, to reflect the impact of current conditions; and (iii) general valuation allowances determined in accordance with ASC Topic 450 based on general economic conditions and other qualitative risk factors both internal and external to the Company.

The allowances established for probable losses on specific loans are based on a regular analysis and evaluation of problem loans. Loans are classified based on an internal credit risk grading process that evaluates, among other things: (i) the obligor’s ability to repay; (ii) the underlying collateral, if any; and (iii) the economic environment and industry in which the borrower operates. This analysis is performed at the relationship manager level for all commercial loans. When a loan has a calculated grade of 5 or higher, a special assets officer analyzes the loan to determine whether the loan is impaired and, if impaired, the need to specifically allocate a portion of the allowance for loan losses to the loan. Specific valuation allowances are determined by analyzing the borrower’s ability

to repay amounts owed, collateral deficiencies, the relative risk grade of the loan and economic conditions affecting the borrower's industry, among other things.

Historical valuation allowances are calculated based on the historical loss experience of specific types of loans and the internal risk grade of such loans at the time they were charged-off. The Company calculates historical loss ratios for pools of similar loans with similar characteristics based on the proportion of actual charge-offs experienced to the total population of loans in the pool. The historical loss ratios are periodically updated based on actual charge-off experience. A historical valuation allowance is established for each pool of similar loans based upon the product of the historical loss ratio and the total dollar amount of the loans in the pool. The Company's pools of similar loans include similarly risk-graded groups of commercial and industrial loans, commercial real estate loans, consumer real estate loans and consumer and other loans.

General valuation allowances are based on general economic conditions and other qualitative risk factors both internal and external to the Company. In general, such valuation allowances are determined by evaluating, among other things: (i) the experience, ability and effectiveness of the Company's lending management and staff; (ii) the effectiveness of the Company's loan policies, procedures and internal controls; (iii) changes in asset quality; (iv) changes in loan portfolio volume; (v) the composition and concentrations of credit; (vi) the impact of competition on loan structuring and pricing; (vii) the effectiveness of the internal loan review function; (viii) the impact of environmental risks on portfolio risks; and (ix) the impact of rising interest rates on portfolio risk. Management evaluates the degree of risk that each one of these components has on the quality of the loan portfolio on a quarterly basis. Each component is determined to have either a high, moderate or low degree of risk. The results are then input into a "general allocation matrix" to determine an appropriate general valuation allowance.

Included in the general valuation allowances are allocations for groups of similar loans with risk characteristics that exceed certain concentration limits established by management. Concentration risk limits have been established, among other things, for certain industry concentrations, large balance and highly leveraged credit relationships that exceed specified risk grades, and loans originated with policy exceptions that exceed specified risk grades.

Loans identified as losses by management, internal loan review and/or bank examiners are charged-off. Furthermore, consumer loan accounts are charged-off automatically based on regulatory requirements.

The following table details activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. Allocation of a portion of the allowance to one category of loans does not preclude its availability to absorb losses in other categories.

Allowance for Loan Losses							
For the Year Ended December 31, 2013 and 2012							
	Commercial	Commercial		Consumer			
	Real Estate	and Industrial	Consumer	Residential	Agriculture	Unallocated	Total
Year Ended December 31, 2013							
Beginning balance	\$ 6,571,290	\$ 473,727	\$ 50,062	\$ 383,653	\$ 285,734	\$ 210,509	\$ 7,974,975
Charge-offs	(436,036)	0	(21,685)	(178,090)	0	0	(635,811)
Recoveries	8,279	0	3,381	8,334	0	0	19,994
Provision	104,070	188,898	15,601	226,152	(68,926)	(165,795)	300,000
Ending balance	\$ 6,247,603	\$ 662,625	\$ 47,359	\$ 440,049	\$ 216,808	\$ 44,714	\$ 7,659,158
Year Ended December 31, 2012							
Beginning balance	\$ 6,969,004	\$ 606,307	\$ 65,060	\$ 347,905	\$ 363,174	\$ 257,724	\$ 8,609,174
Charge-offs	(1,663,314)	0	(26,171)	(149,897)	0	0	(1,839,382)
Recoveries	35,407	926	3,840	15,010	0	0	55,183
Provision	1,230,193	(133,506)	7,333	170,635	(77,440)	(47,215)	1,150,000
Ending balance	\$ 6,571,290	\$ 473,727	\$ 50,062	\$ 383,653	\$ 285,734	\$ 210,509	\$ 7,974,975

The following table details the allowance for loan losses and ending gross loan balances as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, summarized by collective and individual evaluation methods of impairment.

	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial and Industrial	Consumer	Consumer Residential	Agriculture	Unallocated	Total
December 31, 2013							
Allowance for loan losses for loans:							
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 392,432	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 392,432
Collectively evaluated for impairment	5,855,171	662,625	47,359	440,049	216,808	44,714	7,266,726
	<u>\$ 6,247,603</u>	<u>\$ 662,625</u>	<u>\$ 47,359</u>	<u>\$ 440,049</u>	<u>\$ 216,808</u>	<u>\$ 44,714</u>	<u>\$ 7,659,158</u>
Ending gross loan balances:							
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 2,321,741	\$ 17,839	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 2,339,580
Collectively evaluated for impairment	330,551,856	48,768,747	882,667	25,623,482	11,272,103	0	417,098,855
	<u>\$ 332,873,597</u>	<u>\$ 48,786,586</u>	<u>\$ 882,667</u>	<u>\$ 25,623,482</u>	<u>\$ 11,272,103</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 419,438,435</u>
December 31, 2012							
Allowance for loan losses for loans:							
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 548,543	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 548,543
Collectively evaluated for impairment	6,022,747	473,727	50,062	383,653	285,734	210,509	7,426,432
	<u>\$ 6,571,290</u>	<u>\$ 473,727</u>	<u>\$ 50,062</u>	<u>\$ 383,653</u>	<u>\$ 285,734</u>	<u>\$ 210,509</u>	<u>\$ 7,974,975</u>
Ending balances of loans:							
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 5,890,748	\$ 21,311	\$ 0	\$ 1,010,998	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 6,923,057
Collectively evaluated for impairment	310,183,783	36,507,194	1,095,801	24,648,092	11,628,260	0	384,063,130
	<u>\$ 316,074,531</u>	<u>\$ 36,528,505</u>	<u>\$ 1,095,801</u>	<u>\$ 25,659,090</u>	<u>\$ 11,628,260</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 390,986,187</u>

Changes in the allowance off-balance-sheet commitments were as follows:

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,	
	2013	2012
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 108,209	\$ 119,202
Provision Charged (Reversed) to Operations for Off Balance Sheet	25,556	(10,993)
Balance, end of year	<u>\$ 133,765</u>	<u>\$ 108,209</u>

The method for calculating the reserve for off-balance-sheet loan commitments is based on a reserve percentage which is less than other outstanding loan types because they are at a lower risk level. This reserve percentage, based on many factors including historical losses and existing economic conditions, is evaluated by management periodically and is applied to the total undisbursed loan commitment balance to calculate the reserve for off-balance-sheet commitments. Reserves for off-balance-sheet commitments are recorded in interest payable and other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, loans carried at \$419,438,000 and \$390,986,000, respectively, were pledged as collateral on advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank.

NOTE 6 — PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT

Major classifications of premises and equipment are summarized as follows:

	DECEMBER 31,	
	2013	2012
Land	\$ 4,754,703	\$ 4,698,703
Building	8,094,253	5,871,177
Leasehold improvements	3,145,299	4,239,294
Furniture, fixtures, and equipment	6,040,430	8,081,698
	<u>22,034,685</u>	<u>22,890,872</u>
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(8,350,524)	(9,708,421)
	<u>\$ 13,684,161</u>	<u>\$ 13,182,451</u>

Depreciation expense was \$1,160,000 and \$1,138,000 for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

In November 2013, the company acquired its corporate headquarter building located at 338 East F street in Oakdale, California by foreclosing on the loan made to the owner of the building. The company recorded the building in premises and equipment at the loan carrying value of \$1,250,000 which was determined to be less than fair market value at the time of acquisition. The book value of existing leasehold improvement assets associated with the headquarter building were also transferred to the building account.

NOTE 7 — INTEREST RECEIVABLE AND OTHER ASSETS

Other assets are summarized as follows:

	DECEMBER 31,	
	2013	2012
Interest income receivable on loans	\$ 1,313,187	\$ 1,202,181
Interest income receivable on investments	697,899	452,293
Net deferred tax asset	4,265,664	1,670,290
Federal Reserve Bank stock	758,150	758,150
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	2,412,100	2,371,600
Cash surrender value of life insurance	12,083,445	11,679,634
Investment in limited partnership	515,456	575,090
Prepaid expenses and other	1,114,455	1,076,827
	<u>\$ 23,160,356</u>	<u>\$ 19,786,065</u>

NOTE 8 — DEPOSITS

Deposit totals were as follows:

	DECEMBER 31,	
	2013	2012
Demand	\$ 187,576,839	\$ 175,588,439
NOW accounts	90,758,621	83,861,123
Money market deposit accounts	236,546,854	238,996,864
Savings	34,774,017	30,180,677
Time, under \$100,000	18,722,539	20,420,931
Time, \$100,000 and over	34,254,563	37,944,616
Total deposits	<u>\$ 602,633,432</u>	<u>\$ 586,992,650</u>

Certificates of deposit issued and their remaining maturities at December 31, 2013, are as follows:

Year ending December 31,	
2014	\$ 35,809,340
2015	11,159,358
2016	4,840,538
2017	1,165,330
2018	2,536
	<u>\$ 52,977,102</u>

NOTE 9 — FHLB ADVANCES

At December 31, 2013, the Company had no outstanding advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank (“FHLB”). Unused and available advances totaled \$167,957,000 at December 31, 2013. Loans carried at \$419,438,000 as of December 31, 2013, were pledged as collateral on advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank.

At December 31, 2012, the Company had no outstanding advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank (“FHLB”). Unused and available advances totaled \$163,406,000 at December 31, 2012. Loans carried at \$390,986,000 as of December 31, 2012, were pledged as collateral on advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank.

NOTE 10 — INTEREST ON DEPOSITS

Interest on deposits was comprised of the following:

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,	
	2013	2012
Savings and other deposits	\$ 470,944	\$ 678,558
Time deposits \$100,000 and over	263,402	321,832
Other time deposits	93,401	132,123
	<u>\$ 827,747</u>	<u>\$ 1,132,513</u>

NOTE 11 — INCOME TAXES

The provision for income taxes consists of the following:

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,	
	2013	2012
Current		
Federal	\$ 2,065,426	\$ 2,389,351
State	437,922	525,630
	<u>2,503,348</u>	<u>2,914,981</u>
Deferred		
Federal	151,245	(87,789)
State	55,854	(13,036)
	<u>207,098</u>	<u>(100,825)</u>
	<u>\$ 2,710,447</u>	<u>\$ 2,814,156</u>

The components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities (included in accrued interest and other assets on the consolidated balance sheets, is shown below:

	DECEMBER 31,	
	2013	2012
Deferred tax assets:		
Deferred loan fees	\$ 60	\$ 92
Allowance for loan losses	3,152,081	3,282,053
Restricted stock expense	22,630	63,416
Accrued vacation	50,593	47,867
Accrued salary continuation liability	831,753	740,983
Deferred compensation	105,608	102,166
Nonaccrual loans	195,701	360,025
Reserve for undisbursed commitments	55,050	44,533
OREO expenses	240,687	240,687
Checking cash rewards	36,688	35,134
Investment in limited partnership	264	(290)
State income tax	166,957	178,714
Holding company organization fees	37,642	41,711
Unrealized loss on securities available for sale	533,285	0
	<u>5,428,999</u>	<u>5,137,091</u>
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Prepaid expenses	(85,936)	(99,455)
FHLB dividends	(220,188)	(220,188)
Accumulated depreciation	(638,582)	(722,309)
Deferred loan costs	(217,931)	(153,027)
Accrued bonus	(698)	(2,634)
Unrealized gain on securities available for sale	0	(2,269,188)
	<u>(1,163,335)</u>	<u>(3,466,801)</u>
Net deferred income tax asset	<u>\$ 4,265,664</u>	<u>\$ 1,670,290</u>

Management has assessed the realizability of deferred tax assets and believes it is more likely than not that all deferred tax assets will be realized in the normal course of operations. Accordingly, these assets have not been reduced by a valuation allowance.

The Company periodically reviews its income tax positions based on tax laws and regulations and financial reporting considerations, and records adjustments as appropriate. This review takes into consideration the status of current taxing authorities' examinations of the Company's tax returns, recent positions taken by the taxing authorities on similar transactions, if any, and the overall tax environment.

As of December 31, 2013, the Company had a liability for unrecognized tax benefits of \$302,000 associated with the California Franchise Tax Board's ("FTB") potential exam of our 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 tax returns, approximately \$33,000 of which relates to interest. The Company believes the \$302,000 accrued liability is an adequate reserve for the potential of an exam for the 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 tax returns. If recognized, the unrecognized tax benefit would have impacted the 2013 annual effective tax rate by 0.8%.

As of December 31, 2012, the Company had a liability for unrecognized tax benefits of \$230,000 associated with the California Franchise Tax Board's ("FTB") potential exam of our 2010, 2011 and 2012 tax returns, approximately \$18,000 of which relates to interest. The Company believes the \$230,000 accrued liability is an adequate reserve for the potential of an exam for the 2010, 2011 and 2012 tax returns. If recognized, the unrecognized tax benefit would have impacted the 2012 annual effective tax rate by 0.8%. During 2012, the Company agreed to the settlement terms and made a payment of \$190,000 for the 2008/2009 exam, for which the final assessment notice from FTB is pending as of December 31, 2013.

Detailed below is a reconciliation of the Company's unrecognized tax benefits, gross of any related tax benefits, for the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	<u>Years Ended December 31,</u>	
	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Beginning balance	\$ 230,000	\$ 307,000
Payments made to State taxing authorities, net of federal deduction	0	(135,000)
Additions for current year tax positions	72,000	58,000
Ending balance	<u>\$ 302,000</u>	<u>\$ 230,000</u>

The effective tax rate for 2013 and 2012 differs from the current Federal statutory income tax rate as follows:

	<u>YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,</u>	
	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Federal statutory income tax rate	34.0%	34.0%
State taxes, net of federal tax benefit	7.2%	7.2%
Tax exempt interest on municipal securities and loans	(4.7)%	(3.2)%
Tax exempt earnings on bank owned life insurance	(1.9)%	(2.0)%
Stock based compensation	(0.2)%	0.1%
Low income housing tax credit	(0.7)%	(0.7)%
California enterprise zone tax credits and deductions	(2.7)%	(2.8)%
Other	0.5%	0.1%
Effective tax rate	<u>31.5%</u>	<u>32.7%</u>

Oak Valley Bancorp files a consolidated return in the U.S. Federal tax jurisdiction and a combined report in the State of California tax jurisdiction. Prior to the formation of Bancorp in 2008, the Company filed in the U.S. Federal and California jurisdictions on a stand-alone basis. None of the entities are subject to examination by taxing authorities for years before 2010 for U.S. Federal or for years before 2009 for California.

NOTE 12 — STOCK OPTION PLAN

The Company currently has two equity based incentive plans, the Oak Valley Community Bank 1998 Restated Stock Option Plan and the Oak Valley Bancorp 2008 Stock Plan. The 2008 Stock Plan provides for awards in the form of incentive stocks, non-statutory stock options, Stock appreciation rights and restrictive stocks. Under the 2008 Plan, the Company is authorized to issue 1,500,000 shares of its common stock to key employees and directors as incentive and non-qualified stock options, respectively, at a price equal to the fair value on the date of grant. The Plan provides that the options are exercisable in equal increments over a five-year period from the date of grant or over any other schedule approved by the Board of Directors. All incentive stock options expire no later than ten years from the date of grant. Future grants are not permitted under the 1998 Stock Plan and will all be issued from the 2008 Stock Plan.

A summary of the status of the Company's fixed stock option plan and changes during the year are presented below.

	<u>DECEMBER 31, 2013</u>	
	Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at beginning of year	227,187	\$ 9.15
Granted	0	\$ 0.00
Exercised	(11,250)	\$ 7.56
Forfeited	(15,687)	\$ 7.53
Outstanding at end of year	<u>200,250</u>	<u>\$ 9.36</u>

A summary of the status of the Company's restricted stock and changes during the year are presented below.

	<u>DECEMBER 31, 2013</u>	
	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value
Unvested at beginning of year	145,519	\$ 6.67
Granted	15,000	\$ 7.66
Vested	(29,736)	\$ 6.65
Cancelled	(4,300)	\$ 6.73
Unvested at end of year	<u>126,483</u>	<u>\$ 6.79</u>

	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Weighted-average fair value of options granted during the year	N/A	N/A
Intrinsic value of options exercised	\$ 10,626	\$ 164,164
Options exercisable at year end:	199,750	226,487
Weighted average exercise price	\$ 9.39	\$ 9.16
Intrinsic Value	\$ 116,576	\$ 22,420
Weighted average remaining contractual life	0.94 years	1.90 years
Options outstanding at year end:	200,250	227,187
Weighted average exercise price	\$ 9.36	\$ 9.15
Intrinsic Value	\$ 117,264	\$ 22,623
Weighted average remaining contractual life	0.95 years	1.91 years

There were no tax benefits recorded in the consolidated statements of income during 2013 and 2012 related to the vesting of non-qualified stock options. As of December 31, 2013, there was no unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company received proceeds of approximately \$85,000 from the exercise of stock options and received income tax benefits of approximately \$4,000 related to disqualifying dispositions in the exercise of incentive stock options. During 2013, the Company recorded \$1,000 in the consolidated statements of income related to stock option compensation expense.

The Company granted 15,000 shares of restricted stock in 2013 with a weighted average fair value of \$7.66 per share. For the year ended December 31, 2013, total compensation expense recorded in the consolidated statements of income related to restricted stock awards was \$195,000, with an offsetting tax benefit of \$84,000, as this expense is deductible for income tax purposes. As of December 31, 2013, there was \$687,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock awards which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.25 years. During 2013, shares of restricted stock awards totaling 29,736 with a fair value of \$241,000 were vested and became unrestricted.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company received proceeds of approximately \$220,000 from the exercise of stock options and received income tax benefits of approximately \$37,000 related to disqualifying dispositions in the exercise of incentive stock options. During 2012, the Company recorded \$16,000 in the consolidated statements of income related to stock option compensation expense.

The Company granted 139,375 shares of restricted stock in 2012 with a weighted average fair value of \$6.74 per share. For the year ended December 31, 2012, total compensation expense recorded in the consolidated statements of income related to restricted stock awards was \$160,000, with an offsetting tax benefit of \$66,000, as this expense is deductible for income tax purposes. As of December 31, 2012, there was \$765,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock awards which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 4.09 years. During 2012, shares of restricted stock awards totaling 2,661 with a fair value of \$18,000 were vested and became unrestricted.

NOTE 13 — TREASURY CAPITAL PURCHASE PROGRAM

In response to the stresses in the credit markets and to protect and recapitalize the U.S. financial system, on October 3, 2008, the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (“EESA”) was signed into law. EESA includes the Treasury Capital Purchase Program (the “TCPP”), which was intended to inject liquidity into, and stabilize the financial industry. On December 1, 2008, we received preliminary approval from the United States Department of the Treasury (the “U.S. Treasury”) to participate in the TCPP. On December 5, 2008, the Company issued to the U.S. Treasury 13,500 shares of senior preferred stock with a zero par value and a \$1,000 per share liquidation preference, along with warrants to purchase 350,346 shares of common stock at a per share exercise price of \$5.78, in exchange for aggregate consideration of \$13.5 million. The attached warrants were immediately exercisable and expired 10 years after the issuance date. We were required to comply with restrictions on executive compensation during the period that the U.S. Treasury held an equity position in us through the TCPP.

The proceeds of \$13.5 million were allocated between the preferred stock and the warrants with \$12.7 million allocated to preferred stock and \$833 thousand allocated to the warrants, based on their relative fair value at the time of issuance. The fair value of the preferred stock was estimated using discounted cash flows with a discount rate of 9%. The fair value of the warrants was estimated using the Binomial option pricing model with the following assumptions: 1) risk-free interest rate of 2.66% (the Treasury 10-year yield rate as of warrant issuance date); 2) estimated life of ten years (contractual term of the warrants); 3) volatility of 37.4%; and 4) dividend yield of 1.67%. The discount on the preferred stock (i.e., difference between the initial carrying amount and the liquidation amount) was scheduled to be amortized over a five-year period, using effective yield method.

See Note 3 above for information regarding the Company’s repurchase of the Series A preferred shares from the TCPP, issuance of Series B preferred stock under the SBLF, redemption of warrants in August 2011 and repurchase of Series B preferred stock in 2012 and 2013.

NOTE 14 — EARNINGS PER SHARE

Earnings per share (“EPS”) are based upon the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each year. The following table shows: (1) weighted average basic shares, (2) effect of dilutive securities related to stock options and non-vested restricted stock, and (3) weighted average diluted shares. Net income available to common stockholders is calculated as net income reduced by dividends accumulated on preferred stock. Basic EPS are calculated by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period, excluding unvested restricted stock awards. Diluted EPS are calculated using the weighted average diluted shares. The total dilutive shares included in annual diluted EPS is a year-to-date weighted average of the total dilutive shares included in each quarterly diluted EPS computation under the treasury stock method. We have two forms of outstanding common stock: common stock and unvested restricted stock awards. Holders of restricted stock awards receive non-forfeitable dividends at the same rate as common stockholders and they both share equally in undistributed earnings.

The Company’s calculation of earnings per share (“EPS”) including basic EPS, which does not consider the effect of common stock equivalents and diluted EPS, which considers all dilutive common stock equivalents is as follows:

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013		
	Income (Numerator)	Shares (Denominator)	Per-Share Amount
Basic EPS:			
Net earnings available to common shareholders	\$ 5,818,694	7,796,659	\$ 0.75
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Stock options	—	10,395	
Non-vested restricted stock	—	39,024	
Total dilutive shares		49,419	
Diluted EPS:			
Net earnings available to common shareholders plus assumed conversions	\$ 5,818,694	7,846,078	\$ 0.74

Anti-dilutive options to purchase 70,856 shares of common stock in prices ranging from \$8.25 to \$15.67 were outstanding during 2013. They were not included in the computation of diluted EPS because the options’ exercise price was greater than the average market price of the common shares. These options begin to expire in 2015.

During 2013, there were no anti-dilutive shares from non-vested restricted stock grants because the fair value of each grant was lower than the average market price of the common shares.

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012		
	Income (Numerator)	Shares (Denominator)	Per-Share Amount
Basic EPS:			
Net earnings available to common shareholders	\$ 5,328,980	7,740,990	\$ 0.69
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Stock options	—	9,647	
Non-vested restricted stock	—	16,108	
Total dilutive shares		25,755	
Diluted EPS:			
Net earnings available to common shareholders plus assumed conversions	\$ 5,328,980	7,766,745	\$ 0.69

Anti-dilutive options to purchase 208,375 shares of common stock in prices ranging from \$7.20 to \$15.67 were outstanding during 2012. They were not included in the computation of diluted EPS because the options’ exercise price was greater than the average market price of the common shares. These options begin to expire in 2015.

During 2012, anti-dilutive non-vested restricted stock grants of 740 weighted average shares of common stock were outstanding with a grant date fair value price of \$7.20. These shares were anti-dilutive because the fair value of the grant was higher than the average market price of the common shares.

NOTE 15 — COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company is obligated for rental payments under certain operating lease agreements, some of which contain renewal options and escalation clauses that provide for increased rentals. Total rental expense for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, was \$863,000 and \$890,000, respectively.

At December 31, 2013, the future minimum commitments under these operating leases are as follows:

Year ending December 31,	
2014	\$ 926,185
2015	775,971
2016	621,371
2017	494,851
2018	432,278
Thereafter	1,331,321
	<u>\$ 4,581,977</u>

The Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit in the form of loans or through standby letters of credit. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest-rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the balance sheet. The contract amounts of those instruments reflect the extent of involvement the Company has in particular classes of financial instruments.

The Company's exposure to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance-sheet instruments.

Financial instruments at December 31, 2013 whose contract amounts represent credit risk:

	Contract Amount
Undisbursed loan commitments	\$ 40,969,631
Checking reserve	1,355,434
Equity lines	9,759,760
Standby letters of credit	473,722
	<u>\$ 52,558,547</u>

Commitments to extend credit, including undisbursed loan commitments and equity lines, are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Company evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Company upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation. Collateral held varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property, plant, equipment and income-producing commercial properties.

Checking reserves are lines of credit associated consumer deposit accounts that meet qualification standards for extension of credit if the deposit account were to become overdraft.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers.

NOTE 16 — FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Fair values of financial instruments — The consolidated financial statements include various estimated fair value information as of December 31, 2013 and 2012. Such information, which pertains to the Company’s financial instruments, does not purport to represent the aggregate net fair value of the Company. Further, the fair value estimates are based on various assumptions, methodologies, and subjective considerations, which vary widely among different financial institutions and which are subject to change. The following methods and assumptions are used by the Company.

Cash and cash equivalents — The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents approximate their fair value.

Restricted Equity Securities — The carrying amounts of the stock the Company’s owns in FRB and FHLB approximate their fair value and are considered a level 2 valuation.

Securities (including mortgage-backed securities) — Fair values for securities are based on quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable instruments. See Note 17 for additional disclosure regarding fair values of securities.

Loans receivable — For variable-rate loans that reprice frequently and have no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values. The fair values for other loans (e.g., real estate construction and mortgage, commercial, and installment loans) are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality. The allowance for loan losses is considered to be a reasonable estimate of loan discount due to credit risks.

Deposit liabilities — The fair values estimated for demand deposits (interest and non-interest checking, savings, and certain types of money market accounts) are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e. their carrying amounts). The carrying amounts for variable-rate, fixed-term money market accounts and certificates of deposit approximate their fair values at the reporting date. Fair values for fixed-rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on certificates to a schedule of the aggregate expected monthly maturities on time deposits.

Interest receivable and payable — The carrying amounts of accrued interest approximate their fair value.

Off-balance-sheet instruments — Fair values for the Company’s off-balance-sheet lending commitments are based on fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the credit standing of the counterparties.

The estimated fair values of the Company’s financial instruments at December 31, 2013 were as follows:

	<u>Carrying Amount</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>	<u>Hierarchy Valuation Level</u>
Financial assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 105,190,560	\$ 105,190,560	1
Restricted equity securities	3,170,250	3,170,250	2
Loans, net	411,155,607	426,433,358	3
Interest receivable	2,011,086	2,011,086	2
Financial liabilities:			
Deposits	(602,633,432)	(590,494,886)	3
Interest payable	(60,234)	(60,234)	2
Off-balance-sheet assets (liabilities):			
Commitments and standby letters of credit		(525,585)	3

The estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments at December 31, 2012 were as follows:

	<u>Carrying Amount</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>	<u>Hierarchy Valuation Level</u>
Financial assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 141,334,998	\$ 141,334,998	1
Restricted equity securities	3,129,750	3,129,750	2
Loans, net	382,411,361	398,029,908	3
Interest receivable	1,654,474	1,654,474	2
Financial liabilities:			
Deposits	(586,992,650)	(587,430,712)	3
Interest payable	(67,958)	(67,958)	2
Off-balance-sheet assets (liabilities):			
Commitments and standby letters of credit		(422,036)	3

NOTE 17 – FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements, defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, establishes a three-level valuation hierarchy for disclosure of fair value measurement and enhances disclosure requirements for fair value measurements. The valuation hierarchy is based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date. The three levels are defined as follow:

- Level 1: Inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.
- Level 2: Inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.
- Level 3: Inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.

In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls has been determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability. Transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy are recognized on the actual date of the event or circumstance that caused the transfer, which generally corresponds with the Company's quarterly valuation process.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 are summarized below:

Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2013 Using

	December 31, 2013	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets and liabilities measured on a recurring basis:				
Available-for-sale securities				
U.S. agencies	\$ 53,115,693	\$ 0	\$ 53,115,693	\$ 0
Collateralized mortgage obligations	9,781,489	0	9,781,489	0
Municipalities	40,268,968	0	40,268,968	0
SBA pools	1,080,515	0	1,080,515	0
Corporate debt	4,824,778	0	4,824,778	0
Asset backed securities	5,856,421	0	5,856,421	0
Mutual fund	2,817,789	2,817,789	0	0
Assets and liabilities measured on a non-recurring basis:				
Impaired loans	\$ 790,472	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 790,472
Other real estate owned	\$ 916,205	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 916,205

Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2012 Using

	December 31, 2012	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets and liabilities measured on a recurring basis:				
Available-for-sale securities				
U.S. agencies	\$ 55,517,059	\$ 0	\$ 55,517,059	\$ 0
Collateralized mortgage obligations	12,604,384	0	12,604,384	0
Municipalities	26,992,288	0	26,992,288	0
SBA pools	1,178,308	0	1,178,308	0
Corporate debt	4,705,602	0	4,705,602	0
Mutual fund	2,868,240	2,868,240	0	0
Assets and liabilities measured on a non-recurring basis:				
Impaired loans	\$ 4,980,341	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 4,980,341

Following is a description of valuation methodologies used for assets and liabilities recorded at fair value.

Available-for-sale securities - Investment securities available-for-sale are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Fair value measurement is based upon quoted market prices, if available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are measured using independent pricing models or other model-based valuation techniques such as the present value of future cash flows, adjusted for the security's credit rating, prepayment assumptions, and other factors such as credit loss assumptions. Level 1 securities include those traded on an active exchange, such as the New York Stock Exchange, U.S. Treasury securities that are traded by dealers or brokers in active over-the-counter markets and money market funds. Level 2 securities include mortgage-backed securities issued by government sponsored entities, municipal bonds and corporate debt securities. Securities classified as Level 3 include asset-backed securities in less liquid markets.

Impaired loans - ASC Topic 820 applies to loans measured for impairment using the practical expedients permitted by ASC Topic 310, *Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan*. The Company does not record loans at fair value on a recurring basis. However, from time to time, a loan is considered impaired and an allowance for loan losses is established. Loans for which it is probable that payment of interest and principal will not be made in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement are considered impaired. Impaired loans where an allowance is established based on the fair value of collateral less the cost related to liquidation of the collateral require classification in the fair value hierarchy. When the fair value of the collateral is based on an observable market price or a current appraised value, the Company records the impaired loan as non-recurring Level 3. Likewise, when an appraised value is not available or management determines the fair value of the collateral is further impaired below the appraised value and there is no observable market price, the Company records the impaired loan as non-recurring Level 3.

Other Real Estate Owned - Other real estate assets (“OREO”) acquired through, or in lieu of, foreclosure are held-for-sale and are initially recorded at the lower of cost or fair value, less selling costs. Any write-downs to fair value at the time of transfer to OREO are charged to the allowance for loan losses, subsequent to foreclosure. Appraisals or evaluations are then done periodically thereafter charging any additional write-downs or valuation allowances to the appropriate expense accounts. Values are derived from appraisals of underlying collateral and discounted cash flow analysis. OREO is classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy.

Net realizable value of the underlying collateral is the fair value of the collateral less estimated selling costs and any prior liens. Appraisals, recent comparable sales, offers and listing prices are factored in when valuing the collateral. We review and verify the qualifications and licenses of the certified general appraisers used for appraising commercial properties or certified residential appraisers for residential properties. Real estate appraisals may utilize a combination of approaches including replacement cost, sales comparison and the income approach. Comparable sales and income data are analyzed by the appraisers and adjusted to reflect differences between them and the subject property such as type, leasing status and physical condition. When the appraisals are received, Management reviews the assumptions and methodology utilized in the appraisal, as well as the overall resulting value in conjunction with independent data sources such as recent market data and industry-wide statistics. We generally use a 6% discount for selling costs which is applied to all properties, regardless of size. Appraised values may be adjusted to reflect changes in market conditions that have occurred subsequent to the appraisal date, or for revised estimates regarding the timing or cost of the property sale. These adjustments are based on qualitative judgments made by management on a case-by-case basis.

NOTE 18 — RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company, in the normal course of business, makes loans and receives deposits from its directors, officers, principal shareholders, and their associates. In management’s opinion, these transactions are on substantially the same terms as comparable transactions with other customers of the Company. Loans to directors, officers, shareholders, and affiliates are summarized below:

	<u>YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,</u>	
	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Aggregate amount outstanding, beginning of year	\$ 8,375,741	\$ 6,178,238
New loans or advances during year	15,717,337	5,354,389
Repayments during year	<u>(10,408,622)</u>	<u>(3,156,886)</u>
Aggregate amount outstanding, end of year	<u>\$ 13,684,456</u>	<u>\$ 8,375,741</u>

Related party deposits totaled \$69,800,705 and \$59,025,000 at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

NOTE 19 — PROFIT SHARING PLAN

The profit sharing plan to which both the Company and eligible employees contribute was established in 1995. Bank contributions are voluntary and at the discretion of the Board of Directors. Contributions were approximately \$337,000 and \$335,000 for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

NOTE 20 — RESTRICTIONS ON DIVIDENDS

Under current California State banking laws, the Bank may not pay cash dividends in an amount that exceeds the lesser of retained earnings of the Bank or the Bank's net earnings for its last three fiscal years (less the amount of any distributions to shareholders made during that period). If the above requirements are not met, cash dividends may only be paid with the prior approval of the Commissioner of the Department of Business Oversight, in an amount not exceeding the Bank's net earnings for its last fiscal year or the amount of its net earnings for its current fiscal year. Accordingly, the future payment of cash dividends will depend on the Bank's earnings and its ability to meet its capital requirements.

NOTE 21 — OTHER POST-RETIREMENT BENEFIT PLANS

The Company has awarded certain officers a salary continuation plan (the "Plan"). Under the Plan, the participants will be provided with a fixed annual retirement benefit for 20 years after retirement. The Company is also responsible for certain pre-retirement death benefits under the Plan. In connection with the implementation of the Plan, the Company purchased single premium life insurance policies on the life of each of the officers covered under the Plan. The Company is the owner and partial beneficiary of these life insurance policies. The assets of the Plan, under Internal Revenue Service regulations, are owned by the Company and are available to satisfy the Company's general creditors.

During December 2001, the Company awarded its directors a director retirement plan ("DRP"). Under the DRP, the participants will be provided with a fixed annual retirement benefit for ten years after retirement. The Company is also responsible for certain pre-retirement death benefits under the DRP. In connection with the implementation of the DRP, the Company purchased single premium life insurance policies on the life of each director covered under the DRP. The Company is the owner and partial beneficiary of these life insurance policies. The assets of the DRP, under Internal Revenue Service regulations, are the property of the Company and are available to satisfy the Company's general creditors.

Future compensation under both plans is earned for services rendered through retirement. The Company accrues for the salary continuation liability based on anticipated years of service and vesting schedules provided under the plans. The Company's current benefit liability is determined based on vesting and the present value of the benefits at a corresponding discount rate. The discount rate used is an equivalent rate for investment-grade bonds with lives matching those of the service periods remaining for the salary continuation contracts, which average approximately 20 years. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, \$2,021,000 and \$1,800,000, respectively, has been accrued to date, and is included in other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets.

The Company entered into split-dollar life insurance agreements with certain officers. In connection with the implementation of the split-dollar agreements, the Company purchased single premium life insurance policies on the life of each of the officers covered by the split-dollar life insurance agreements. The Company is the owner of the policies and the partial beneficiary in an amount equal to the cash surrender value of the policies.

The combined cash surrender value of all Bank-owned life insurance policies was \$12,083,000 and \$11,680,000 at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The cash surrender value of the life insurance policies is included in other assets on the consolidated balance sheets (Note 7).

NOTE 22 — REGULATORY MATTERS

The Bank and the Company are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by federal and state banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory — and possibly additional discretionary — actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Company's assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Company's capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table on the next page) of total and Tier I capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined), and of Tier I capital (as defined) to average assets (as defined). Management believes, as of December 31, 2013, that the Bank and Company meets all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

As of December 31, 2013, the most recent notification from the Federal Reserve Bank categorized the Bank as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized, the Bank must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier I risk-based, and Tier I leverage ratios as set forth in the following table. There are no conditions or events since notification that management believes have changed the Bank's category.

The Company and Bank's actual capital amounts and ratios at December 31, 2013 and 2012, are presented in the following table.

Capital ratios for Bank:	Actual		For capital adequacy purposes		To be well capitalized under prompt corrective action provisions	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
As of December 31, 2013						
Total capital (to Risk- Weighted Assets)	\$ 71,876,000	14.6%	\$ 39,492,000	≥8.0%	\$ 49,365,000	≥10.0%
Tier I capital (to Risk- Weighted Assets)	\$ 65,685,000	13.3%	\$ 19,746,000	≥4.0%	\$ 29,619,000	≥6.0%
Tier I capital (to Average Assets)	\$ 65,685,000	9.8%	\$ 26,780,000	≥4.0%	\$ 33,475,000	≥5.0%
As of December 31, 2012						
Total capital (to Risk- Weighted Assets)	\$ 72,230,000	16.0%	\$ 36,028,000	≥8.0%	\$ 45,035,000	≥10.0%
Tier I capital (to Risk- Weighted Assets)	\$ 66,570,000	14.8%	\$ 18,014,000	≥4.0%	\$ 27,021,000	≥6.0%
Tier I capital (to Average Assets)	\$ 66,570,000	10.3%	\$ 25,848,000	≥4.0%	\$ 32,310,000	≥5.0%

Capital ratios for Bancorp:

As of December 31, 2013						
Total capital (to Risk- Weighted Assets)	\$ 71,313,000	14.5%	\$ 39,494,000	≥8.0%	N/A	N/A
Tier I capital (to Risk- Weighted Assets)	\$ 65,122,000	13.2%	\$ 19,747,000	>4.0%	N/A	N/A
Tier I capital (to Average Assets)	\$ 65,122,000	9.7%	\$ 26,782,000	≥4.0%	N/A	N/A
As of December 31, 2012						
Total capital (to Risk- Weighted Assets)	\$ 72,376,000	16.1%	\$ 36,030,000	≥8.0%	N/A	N/A
Tier I capital (to Risk- Weighted Assets)	\$ 66,716,000	14.8%	\$ 18,015,000	>4.0%	N/A	N/A
Tier I capital (to Average Assets)	\$ 66,716,000	10.3%	\$ 25,850,000	≥4.0%	N/A	N/A

OAK VALLEY BANCORP
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

23. PARENT ONLY CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS

ASSETS	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Cash	\$ 206,960	\$ 202,934
Investment in bank subsidiary	65,079,540	69,821,699
Other assets	<u>23,402</u>	<u>28,466</u>
Total Assets	<u>\$ 65,309,902</u>	<u>\$ 70,053,099</u>
 LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Other liabilities	<u>\$ 792,973</u>	<u>\$ 84,375</u>
Total liabilities	<u>\$ 792,973</u>	<u>\$ 84,375</u>
Shareholders' equity		
Series B Preferred stock, no par value; \$1,000 per share liquidation preference, 10,000,000 shares authorized, 6,750 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2012	-	6,750,000
Common stock, no par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized, 7,929,730 and 7,907,780 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively	23,758,210	23,673,210
Additional paid-in capital	2,537,208	2,341,814
Retained earnings	38,984,458	33,958,737
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax	<u>(762,947)</u>	<u>3,244,963</u>
Total shareholders' equity	<u>64,516,929</u>	<u>69,968,724</u>
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	<u>\$ 65,309,902</u>	<u>\$ 70,053,099</u>

OAK VALLEY BANCORP
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

23. PARENT ONLY CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
INCOME		
Dividends declared by subsidiary	\$ 6,901,875	\$ 7,286,250
Total income	<u>6,901,875</u>	<u>7,286,250</u>
EXPENSES		
Salary expense	71,000	71,000
Employee benefit expense	205,925	175,896
Legal expense	96,675	43,632
Other operating expenses	129,019	119,255
Total non-interest expense	<u>502,619</u>	<u>409,783</u>
Income before equity in undistributed income of subsidiary	<u>6,399,256</u>	<u>6,876,467</u>
Equity in undistributed net loss of subsidiary	(734,249)	(1,257,823)
Income before income tax benefit	<u>5,665,007</u>	<u>5,618,644</u>
Income tax benefit	<u>221,187</u>	<u>162,211</u>
Net income	<u>\$ 5,886,194</u>	<u>\$ 5,780,855</u>
Preferred stock dividends	<u>67,500</u>	<u>451,875</u>
Net income available to common shareholders	<u>\$ 5,818,694</u>	<u>\$ 5,328,980</u>

OAK VALLEY BANCORP
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

23. PARENT ONLY CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASHFLOWS

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,	
	2013	2012
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income	\$ 5,886,194	\$ 5,780,855
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash from operating activities:		
Undistributed net loss of subsidiary	734,249	1,257,823
Stock based compensation	195,394	175,896
Excess tax benefits from stock-based payment arrangements	0	(37,218)
Decrease in other liabilities	(84,375)	(84,375)
Decrease in other assets	5,064	34,317
Net cash from operating activities	<u>6,736,526</u>	<u>7,127,298</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Repurchase of Series B Preferred Stock	(6,750,000)	(6,750,000)
Preferred stock dividend payment	(67,500)	(451,875)
Shareholder cash dividends paid	0	0
Proceeds from sale of common stock and exercise of stock options	85,000	219,767
Excess tax benefits from stock-based payment arrangements	0	37,218
Net cash used in financing activities	<u>(6,732,500)</u>	<u>(6,944,890)</u>
NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	4,026	182,408
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period	<u>202,934</u>	<u>20,526</u>
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period	\$ <u>206,960</u>	\$ <u>202,934</u>
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:		
Cash paid during the year for:		
Income taxes	\$ 2,345,000	\$ 1,745,000

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Description</u>
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger between the Registrant, Interim Oak Valley Bancorp, Inc. and Oak Valley Community Bank*
3.1	Articles of Incorporation of Oak Valley Bancorp, Inc.*
3.2	First Amendment to Articles of Incorporation of Oak Valley Bancorp, Inc.*
3.3	Bylaws of Oak Valley Bancorp, Inc.*
3.4	First Amended and Restated Bylaws of Oak Valley Bancorp, Inc.**
3.5	Certificate of Determination of Series A Preferred Stock of Oak Valley Bancorp, Inc.**
3.6	Letter Agreement between the United States Department of the Treasury and Oak Valley Bancorp dated December 5, 2008**
3.7	Certificate of Amendment of Bylaws dated effective as of August 11, 2011*****
3.8	Amendment of Bylaws incorporated by reference from the Form 8-K filed on July 22, 2013.
4.1	Certificate of Determination dated December 2, 2008 filed with the California Secretary of State for Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A**
4.2	Warrant to Purchase Common Stock dated December 5, 2008**
4.3	Certificate of Determination dated August 11, 2011 and filed with the California Secretary of State for Senior Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series B*****
10.1	Oak Valley Community Bank 1998 Restated Stock Option Plan*
10.2	Oak Valley Community Bank Form of Director Retirement Agreement*
10.3	Oak Valley Community Bank Form of Salary Continuation Agreement*
10.4	Securities Purchase Agreement between Oak Valley Bancorp and the U.S. Treasury effective December 4, 2008**
10.5	Securities Purchase Agreement dated August 11, 2011 between the Company and the Secretary of the U.S. Treasury, with respect to the issuance and sale of Senior Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series B.*****
10.6	Warrant Redemption Letter Agreement dated September 28, 2011 between the Company and the U.S. Treasury, with respect to the redemption of the Warrant to Purchase Common Stock dated December 5, 2008.*****
14	Code of Ethics***
21	Subsidiaries of the Issuer*
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Accounting Firm
24	Power of Attorney (included on the signature page of this report)
31.01	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

- 31.02 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.01 Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

101.01 XBLR Interactive Data File*****

* Incorporated by reference from the Form 10 filed on July 31, 2008

** Incorporated by reference from the Form 8-A filed on January 14, 2009

*** Incorporated by reference from the Form 10-K filed on March 31, 2009

**** Incorporated by reference from the Form 10-Q filed on November 14, 2011

***** As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this information is furnished and not filed for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statement No. 333-158201 on Form S-8 of our report dated March 27, 2014, relating to the consolidated financial statements appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Oak Valley Bancorp for the year ended December 31, 2013.

/s/ Moss Adams LLP

Stockton, California
March 27, 2014

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE
SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Christopher M. Courtney, President and Chief Executive Officer, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Oak Valley Bancorp (the Registrant);
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the Registrant and have:
 - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of Registrant's Board of Directors:
 - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting, which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves Management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: March 26, 2014

/s/ Christopher M. Courtney

Christopher M. Courtney

President and Chief Executive Officer

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE
SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Richard A. McCarty, Chief Financial Officer, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Oak Valley Bancorp (the Registrant);
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the Registrant and have:
 - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of Registrant's Board of Directors:
 - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting, which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves Management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: March 26, 2014

/s/ Richard A. McCarty
Richard A. McCarty
Chief Financial Officer

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350 AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE
SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the annual report on Form 10-K of Oak Valley Bancorp (the Registrant) for the year ended December 31, 2013, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, the undersigned hereby certify pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- 1) such Form 10-K fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2) the information contained in such Form 10-K fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Registrant.

Dated: March 26, 2014

/s/ Christopher M. Courtney

Christopher M. Courtney
President and Chief Executive Officer

Dated: March 26, 2014

/s/ Richard A. McCarty

Richard A. McCarty
Chief Financial Officer

This certification accompanies each report pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 and shall not, except to the extent required by the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002, be deemed filed by the Registrant for purposes of section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.