








ANNUAL REPORT

Royal Dutch Shell plc
Annual Report and Form 20-F
for the year ended December 31, 2015



CONTENTS

01 INTRODUCTION

- 01 Form 20-F
- 02 Cross reference to Form 20-F
- 04 Terms and abbreviations
- 05 About this Report

06 STRATEGIC REPORT

- 06 Chairman's message
- 07 Chief Executive Officer's review
- 08 Risk factors
- 13 Business overview
- 15 Strategy and outlook
- 16 Market overview
- 18 Summary of results
- 20 Performance indicators
- 22 Selected financial data
- 23 Upstream
- 41 Downstream
- 48 Corporate
- 49 Liquidity and capital resources
- 53 Environment and society
- 60 Our people

62 GOVERNANCE

- 62 The Board of Royal Dutch Shell plc
- 65 Senior Management
- 66 Directors' Report
- 69 Corporate governance
- 83 Audit Committee Report
- 86 Directors' Remuneration Report

106 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTS

- 106 Consolidated Financial Statements
- 153 Supplementary information – oil and gas (unaudited)
- 171 Parent Company Financial Statements
- 182 Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust Financial Statements

190 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

- 190 Shareholder information
- 197 Section 13(r) of the US Securities Exchange Act of 1934 disclosure
- 198 Non-GAAP measures reconciliations and other definitions
- 200 Exhibits

Cover images

The cover shows some of the ways that Shell helps to meet the world's diverse energy needs – from supplying gas for cooking, heating, and generating electricity for homes and businesses, to liquefied natural gas (LNG) to fuel trucks and ships. Pearl, the world's largest gas-to-liquids (GTL) plant, makes lubricants, fuels and products for plastics. Prelude, the world's largest floating LNG facility, will produce LNG off the coast of Australia.

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 20-F

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015
Commission file number 001-32575

Royal Dutch Shell plc

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

England and Wales

(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organisation)

Carel van Bylandtlaan 30, 2596 HR, The Hague, The Netherlands

Tel. no: 011 31 70 377 9111

royaldutchshell.shareholders@shell.com

(Address of principal executive offices)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Title of Each Class

American Depositary Shares representing two A ordinary shares
of the issuer with a nominal value of €0.07 each

New York Stock Exchange

American Depositary Shares representing two B ordinary shares
of the issuer with a nominal value of €0.07 each

New York Stock Exchange

Floating Rate Guaranteed Notes due 2016

New York Stock Exchange

0.9% Guaranteed Notes due 2016

New York Stock Exchange

1.125% Guaranteed Notes due 2017

New York Stock Exchange

5.2% Guaranteed Notes due 2017

New York Stock Exchange

Floating Rate Guaranteed Notes due 2017

New York Stock Exchange

1.25% Guaranteed Notes due 2017

New York Stock Exchange

1.9% Guaranteed Notes due 2018

New York Stock Exchange

2.0% Guaranteed Notes due 2018

New York Stock Exchange

Floating Rate Guaranteed Notes due 2018

New York Stock Exchange

1.625% Guaranteed Notes due 2018

New York Stock Exchange

4.3% Guaranteed Notes due 2019

New York Stock Exchange

4.375% Guaranteed Notes due 2020

New York Stock Exchange

2.125% Guaranteed Notes due 2020

New York Stock Exchange

2.25% Guaranteed Notes due 2020

New York Stock Exchange

Floating Rate Guaranteed Notes due 2020

New York Stock Exchange

2.375% Guaranteed Notes due 2022

New York Stock Exchange

2.25% Guaranteed Notes due 2023

New York Stock Exchange

3.4% Guaranteed Notes due 2023

New York Stock Exchange

3.25% Guaranteed Notes due 2025

New York Stock Exchange

4.125% Guaranteed Notes due 2035

New York Stock Exchange

6.375% Guaranteed Notes due 2038

New York Stock Exchange

5.5% Guaranteed Notes due 2040

New York Stock Exchange

3.625% Guaranteed Notes due 2042

New York Stock Exchange

4.55% Guaranteed Notes due 2043

New York Stock Exchange

4.375% Guaranteed Notes due 2045

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: none

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act: none

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report.

Outstanding as of December 31, 2015:

3,965,989,512 A ordinary shares with a nominal value of €0.07 each.

2,431,531,014 B ordinary shares with a nominal value of €0.07 each.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer.

See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark which basis of accounting the registrant has used to prepare the financial statements included in this filing:

U.S. GAAP

International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board.

Other

If "Other" has been checked in response to the previous question, indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow.

Item 17 Item 18

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Copies of notices and communications from the Securities and Exchange Commission should be sent to:

Royal Dutch Shell plc

Carel van Bylandtlaan 30

2596 HR, The Hague, The Netherlands

Attn: Michiel Brandjes

CROSS REFERENCE TO FORM 20-F

| Part I | | Pages |
|----------|--|--|
| Item 1. | Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers | N/A |
| Item 2. | Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable | N/A |
| Item 3. | Key Information | |
| | A. Selected financial data | 22, 192 |
| | B. Capitalisation and indebtedness | 50, 52 |
| | C. Reasons for the offer and use of proceeds | N/A |
| | D. Risk factors | 8-12 |
| Item 4. | Information on the Company | |
| | A. History and development of the company | 13, 15, 18, 23-32, 41-44, 52, 190, 198 |
| | B. Business overview | 8-19, 23-48, 53-59, 153-161, 169-170, 197 |
| | C. Organisational structure | 13, E2-E18 |
| | D. Property, plant and equipment | 8-10, 15, 18-19, 23-47, 53-59, 153-170 |
| Item 4A. | Unresolved Staff Comments | N/A |
| Item 5. | Operating and Financial Review and Prospects | |
| | A. Operating results | 8-11, 18-48, 142-147 |
| | B. Liquidity and capital resources | 15, 18-19, 23-24, 32, 41-42, 49-52, 124-125, 133-136, 142-147, 178 |
| | C. Research and development, patents and licences, etc. | 14 |
| | D. Trend information | 8-10, 15-21, 23-26, 41-44 |
| | E. Off-balance sheet arrangements | 52 |
| | F. Tabular disclosure of contractual obligations | 52 |
| | G. Safe harbour | 52 |
| Item 6. | Directors, Senior Management and Employees | |
| | A. Directors and senior management | 62-65, 70-73 |
| | B. Compensation | 88-97 |
| | C. Board practices | 62-64, 69-75, 83-85, 88, 97, 104 |
| | D. Employees | 60, 151 |
| | E. Share ownership | 60-61, 88-105, 147-148, 190 |
| Item 7. | Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions | |
| | A. Major shareholders | 190-191 |
| | B. Related party transactions | 67, 122, 132, 151-152, 180-181, 189 |
| | C. Interests of experts and counsel | N/A |
| Item 8. | Financial Information | |
| | A. Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information | 49-52, 106-152, 171-189 |
| | B. Significant changes | 14, 67-68, 152 |
| Item 9. | The Offer and Listing | |
| | A. Offer and listing details | 193 |
| | B. Plan of distribution | N/A |
| | C. Markets | 190 |
| | D. Selling shareholders | N/A |
| | E. Dilution | N/A |
| | F. Expenses of the issue | N/A |
| Item 10. | Additional Information | |
| | A. Share capital | 50, 60-61, 68, 93-95, 118, 147-148, 175, 178-180, 187, 190 |
| | B. Memorandum and articles of association | 75-82 |
| | C. Material contracts | N/A |
| | D. Exchange controls | 195 |
| | E. Taxation | 195-196 |
| | F. Dividends and paying agents | 66, 77-79, 190, 194, back cover |
| | G. Statement by experts | N/A |
| | H. Documents on display | 5 |
| | I. Subsidiary information | N/A |
| Item 11. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 50, 132, 142-147, 178 |
| Item 12. | Description of Securities Other than Equity Securities | 190, 194-195 |

| Part II | | Pages |
|-----------------|--|--------------------------|
| Item 13. | Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies | N/A |
| Item 14. | Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds | N/A |
| Item 15. | Controls and Procedures | 74-75, 114, 184, E19-E20 |
| Item 16. | [Reserved] | |
| Item 16A. | Audit committee financial expert | 69, 83 |
| Item 16B. | Code of Ethics | 70 |
| Item 16C. | Principal Accountant Fees and Services | 85, 152, 181, 189 |
| Item 16D. | Exemptions from the Listing Standards for Audit Committees | 69 |
| Item 16E. | Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers | 51 |
| Item 16F. | Change in Registrant's Certifying Accountant | 85 |
| Item 16G. | Corporate Governance | 69-70 |
| Item 16H. | Mine Safety Disclosure | N/A |
| Part III | | Pages |
| Item 17. | Financial Statements | N/A |
| Item 18. | Financial Statements | 106-152, 171-189 |
| Item 19. | Exhibits | 200, E1-E23 |

TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

CURRENCIES

| | |
|----|-----------|
| \$ | US dollar |
| € | euro |
| £ | sterling |

UNITS OF MEASUREMENT

| | |
|----------|--|
| acre | approximately 0.004 square kilometres |
| b(/d) | barrels (per day) |
| boe(/d) | barrels of oil equivalent (per day); natural gas volumes are converted into oil equivalent using a factor of 5,800 scf per barrel |
| kboe(/d) | thousand barrels of oil equivalent (per day); natural gas volumes are converted into oil equivalent using a factor of 5,800 scf per barrel |
| MMBtu | million British thermal units |
| mtpa | million tonnes per annum |
| per day | volumes are converted into a daily basis using a calendar year |
| scf(/d) | standard cubic feet (per day) |

PRODUCTS

| | |
|-----|-------------------------|
| GTL | gas to liquids |
| LNG | liquefied natural gas |
| LPG | liquefied petroleum gas |
| NGL | natural gas liquids |

MISCELLANEOUS

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| ADS | American Depositary Share |
| AGM | Annual General Meeting |
| API | American Petroleum Institute |
| CCS | carbon capture and storage |
| CCS earnings | earnings on a current cost of supplies basis |
| CO ₂ | carbon dioxide |
| DBP | Deferred Bonus Plan |
| EMTN | Euro medium-term note |
| EPS | earnings per share |
| GAAP | generally accepted accounting principles |
| GHG | greenhouse gas |
| HSSE | health, safety, security and environment |
| IAS | International Accounting Standard |
| IEA | International Energy Agency |
| IFRS | International Financial Reporting Standard(s) |
| IPIECA | the global oil and gas industry association for environmental and social issues |
| LTIP | Long-term Incentive Plan |
| IOGP | International Association of Oil & Gas Producers |
| OML | oil mining lease |
| OPEC | Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries |
| PSC | production-sharing contract |
| PSP | Performance Share Plan |
| REMCO | Remuneration Committee |
| SEC | US Securities and Exchange Commission |
| TRCF | total recordable case frequency |
| TSR | total shareholder return |
| WTI | West Texas Intermediate |

ABOUT THIS REPORT

The Royal Dutch Shell plc Annual Report and Form 20-F (this Report) serves as the Annual Report and Accounts in accordance with UK requirements and as the Annual Report on Form 20-F as filed with the US Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) for the year ended December 31, 2015, for Royal Dutch Shell plc (the Company) and its subsidiaries (collectively referred to as Shell). This Report presents the Consolidated Financial Statements of Shell (pages 115-152), the Parent Company Financial Statements of Shell (pages 173-181) and the Financial Statements of the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust (pages 173-181). Cross references to Form 20-F are set out on pages 02-03 of this Report.

Information in this Report in respect of Shell's performance in 2015 and position at December 31, 2015, excludes the activities of BG Group plc, which was acquired on February 15, 2016.

Financial reporting terms used in this Report are in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). The Consolidated Financial Statements comprise the financial statements of the Company and its subsidiaries. "Subsidiaries" and "Shell subsidiaries" refer to those entities over which the Company has control, either directly or indirectly. Entities and unincorporated arrangements over which Shell has joint control are generally referred to as "joint ventures" and "joint operations" respectively, and entities over which Shell has significant influence but neither control nor joint control are referred to as "associates". "Joint ventures" and "joint operations" are collectively referred to as "joint arrangements".

In addition to the term "Shell", in this Report "we", "us" and "our" are also used to refer to the Company and its subsidiaries in general or to those who work for them. These terms are also used where no useful purpose is served by identifying the particular entity or entities. The term "Shell interest" is used for convenience to indicate the direct and/or indirect ownership interest held by Shell in an entity or unincorporated joint arrangement, after exclusion of all third-party interests. The companies in which Royal Dutch Shell plc has a direct or indirect interest are separate entities.

Except as otherwise specified, the figures shown in the tables in this Report are in respect of subsidiaries only, without deduction of any non-controlling interest. However, the term "Shell share" is used for convenience to refer to the volumes of hydrocarbons that are produced, processed or sold through subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates. All of a subsidiary's production, processing or sales volumes (including the share of joint operations) are included in the Shell share, even if Shell owns less than 100% of the subsidiary. In the case of joint ventures and associates, however, Shell-share figures are limited only to Shell's entitlement. In all cases, royalty payments in kind are deducted from the Shell share.

The financial statements contained in this Report have been prepared in accordance with the provisions of the Companies Act 2006 and with IFRS as adopted by the European Union. As applied to the financial statements, there are no material differences from IFRS as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB); therefore, the financial statements have been prepared in accordance with IFRS as issued by the IASB. IFRS as defined above includes interpretations issued by the IFRS Interpretations Committee.

Except as otherwise noted, the figures shown in this Report are stated in US dollars. As used herein all references to "dollars" or "\$" are to the US currency.

This Report contains forward-looking statements (within the meaning of the US Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995) concerning the financial condition, results of operations and businesses of Shell. All statements other

than statements of historical fact are, or may be deemed to be, forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are statements of future expectations that are based on management's current expectations and assumptions and involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results, performance or events to differ materially from those expressed or implied in these statements. Forward-looking statements include, among other things, statements concerning the potential exposure of Shell to market risks and statements expressing management's expectations, beliefs, estimates, forecasts, projections and assumptions. These forward-looking statements are identified by their use of terms and phrases such as "anticipate", "believe", "could", "estimate", "expect", "goals", "intend", "may", "objectives", "outlook", "plan", "probably", "project", "risks", "schedule", "seek", "should", "target", "will" and similar terms and phrases. There are a number of factors that could affect the future operations of Shell and could cause those results to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements included in this Report, including (without limitation): (a) price fluctuations in crude oil and natural gas; (b) changes in demand for Shell's products; (c) currency fluctuations; (d) drilling and production results; (e) reserves estimates; (f) loss of market share and industry competition; (g) environmental and physical risks; (h) risks associated with the identification of suitable potential acquisition properties and targets, and successful negotiation and completion of such transactions; (i) the risk of doing business in developing countries and countries subject to international sanctions; (j) legislative, fiscal and regulatory developments including regulatory measures addressing climate change; (k) economic and financial market conditions in various countries and regions; (l) political risks, including the risks of expropriation and renegotiation of the terms of contracts with governmental entities, delays or advancements in the approval of projects and delays in the reimbursement for shared costs; and (m) changes in trading conditions. Also see "Risk factors" on pages 08-12 for additional risks and further discussion. All forward-looking statements contained in this Report are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained or referred to in this section. Readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. Each forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date of this Report. Neither the Company nor any of its subsidiaries undertake any obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement as a result of new information, future events or other information. In light of these risks, results could differ materially from those stated, implied or inferred from the forward-looking statements contained in this Report.

This Report contains references to Shell's website and to the Shell Sustainability Report. These references are for the readers' convenience only. Shell is not incorporating by reference any information posted on www.shell.com or in the Shell Sustainability Report.

DOCUMENTS ON DISPLAY

Documents concerning the Company, or its predecessors for reporting purposes, which are referred to in this Report have been filed with the SEC and may be examined and copied at the public reference facility maintained by the SEC at 100 F Street, N.E., Room 1580, Washington, DC 20549, USA. For further information on the operation of the public reference room and the copy charges, call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. All of the SEC filings made electronically by Shell are available to the public on the SEC website at www.sec.gov (commission file number 001-32575). This Report is also available, free of charge, at www.shell.com/annualreport or at the offices of Shell in The Hague, the Netherlands and London, United Kingdom. Copies of this Report also may be obtained, free of charge, by mail.

STRATEGIC REPORT

CHAIRMAN'S MESSAGE

There is no doubt that 2015 was a turbulent year, with low oil and gas prices having a far-reaching impact on the energy industry.

We have taken the opportunity to strengthen our business by reducing our operating expenses and capital investment, while continuing to divest assets that are not central to our long-term strategy.

Our acquisition of BG Group plc (BG) – one of the largest takeovers in UK corporate history – in February 2016 will help sharpen our focus on liquefied natural gas (LNG) and deep-water exploration and production. Combined, we are stronger, more competitive and better-equipped financially to continue to play an important role in meeting global energy demand for decades to come. It underscores our role as one of the largest independent oil and gas producers. Increased cash flows from our newly acquired assets will also help to support dividend payments and future investment.

A major challenge facing society is how to meet the needs of a growing global population, while limiting the amount of carbon dioxide (CO₂) in our atmosphere. This requires a mix of urgent action, realism and long-term planning by governments and industry alike. It will also require unprecedented co-operation, investment and innovation.

It was encouraging to see governments reach a global climate agreement in Paris in December. The agreement should now encourage countries to develop policies that balance environmental concerns with enabling a decent quality of life for more people.

Delivering the energy essential for economic development and the wellbeing of billions of people will require huge and sustained investment. Limiting the amount of CO₂ in our atmosphere also requires major investments in advanced technologies, such as carbon capture and storage (CCS). Oil and gas, which make up over 50% of global energy supplies today, will need to continue to provide a large part of the world's energy for decades to come.

The International Energy Agency estimates that over \$25 trillion of investment will be needed in oil and gas supply alone from 2015 to 2040. So the long-term investment case for oil and gas remains strong, despite the fall in oil prices over the last 18 months. The concern is that prices seen in late 2015 and early 2016 may be too low to spur investment in projects that are needed to ensure long-term supplies. Without sufficient investment, the risk of demand exceeding supply will increase.

We know that understanding the world's future energy needs will help us improve our competitiveness.

We have evolved over the last few decades from a company focused almost entirely on oil to one of the world's leading suppliers of gas, the cleanest-burning hydrocarbon. Gas is already playing a role in tackling carbon emissions. Switching from coal to gas for power generation is one way to reduce emissions of CO₂, while increasing energy supply to a growing global population, including more than 1 billion people who lack access to electricity today.

We are working on multiple fronts to play our part in the energy transition. For example, we are now one of the world's largest suppliers of low-carbon biofuel through our Raízen joint venture in Brazil, which produces ethanol from sugar cane. We are in the early stages of developing biofuels that could further reduce the environmental impact of the transport sector. Our high-performance lubricants can already contribute to improved energy efficiency for motorists and we are working with vehicle manufacturers to improve them further. We are also increasingly offering LNG as a transport fuel and are exploring the potential of hydrogen.

CCS is an especially important technology for reducing CO₂ emissions from a range of industries. Quest, which we opened in 2015, captures and safely stores around one-third of the annual CO₂ emissions from an oil sands bitumen processing facility in Canada. We are sharing information on its design and processes so that it can serve as a blueprint for others. Strong government support is needed to encourage many more businesses around the world to invest in CCS.

The Paris climate agreement provided a promising platform for society to develop a solution to climate change. Governments now need to implement policies that will stimulate investment in all technologies that can contribute to a lower-carbon future.

Despite some of the toughest operating conditions that our industry has seen, we are in a stronger position to weather current market volatility and play our part in the energy transition.

Let me take this opportunity to thank our shareholders for supporting the BG acquisition at a very challenging time for the industry. Your Board of Directors is committed to delivering the value from this important investment.

Chad Holliday
Chairman

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S REVIEW

It was a highly challenging year for the industry, but our integrated business and improved operational performance helped soften the impact of lower energy prices.

In these difficult economic times, our acquisition of BG Group plc (BG), which came into effect on February 15, 2016, will make us stronger.

The global portfolio we acquired is a good complement to our own. The combination will help us concentrate on more profitable pillars of our business, particularly deep water and liquefied natural gas (LNG). We are entering an exciting new era for Shell.

We continued our focus on safety. However, sadly seven people working for Shell in 2015 lost their lives. A fire at our Bukom refinery in Singapore also led to six workers being injured. Such tragic events underscore the importance of unwavering vigilance.

RESULTS

Earnings on a current cost of supplies basis attributable to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders were \$3.8 billion in 2015, compared with \$19.0 billion in 2014.

Lower oil prices and charges related to our exit from Alaska and decision to stop work on the Carmon Creek project in Canada contributed to our Upstream business making a loss in 2015. Strong performances by our Integrated Gas and Downstream businesses helped offset some of the impact of low energy prices. This is a reminder of the importance of remaining an integrated energy company.

Responding to the changing industry landscape, we reduced our operating expenses and capital investment by a combined \$12.5 billion in 2015 compared with 2014. We distributed \$12.0 billion to shareholders in dividends in 2015, including those taken as shares under our Scrip Dividend Programme.

Divestments amounted to \$5.5 billion in 2015, and to more than \$20 billion for 2014-2015. This exceeded our target of \$15 billion for the period. The asset sales are part of our ongoing strategy of reducing costs and concentrating on markets where we can be most competitive.

Our oil and gas production averaged around 3 million barrels of oil equivalent per day in 2015. We started production at a major project off the coast of Nigeria which, combined with increased output from existing projects, helped partially offset the impact on production from naturally declining fields and divestments.

RENEWED FOCUS

We continue to lower our costs and take tough decisions on projects that, in the current oil-price environment, may be uncompetitive or unaffordable. For example, we stopped construction of the Carmon Creek in-situ oil project in 2015 and exited the development of the Bab sour gas project in the United Arab Emirates in early 2016. We are also postponing final investment decisions on the Bonga South West project off the coast of Nigeria and the LNG Canada facility.

Despite the current market uncertainty, it is important that we continue to invest wisely to achieve the most competitive portfolio we can. For example, we have decided to expand capacity at our Pernis refinery in the Netherlands and embark on a major expansion at our Geismar plant in the USA, reflecting the strong growth potential in chemicals for Shell.

In 2015, we announced the final investment decision to go ahead with the Appomattox deep-water project in the Gulf of Mexico.

We are prepared to reduce investments further, if evolving market conditions call for that. But we want to protect our growth prospects in a world where long-term demand for energy will continue to rise.

Greater energy efficiency and cleaner technologies are needed to help keep pace with energy demand growth, while limiting carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions in the fight against climate change.

Meeting the energy needs of a growing world population means oil and gas are expected to continue to play vital roles in global energy supply into the latter half of the century.

Carbon capture and storage (CCS) systems that safely trap CO₂ deep underground can play an important part in the energy future. Shell started its first major CCS facility, Quest, in Canada in 2015. Government-led carbon pricing mechanisms can provide impartial and long-term incentives to invest in effective lower-carbon technologies, such as CCS.

Natural gas, the cleanest-burning hydrocarbon, can play a role in limiting emissions if more of it is used instead of coal for power generation. Gas is also making a growing contribution as a transport fuel.

As a whole, the oil and gas industry is going through a difficult period. However, our financial fortitude before the downturn and our sound strategy are helping us through the rough weather.

The acquisition of BG reinforces and reinvigorates us, and I am confident that our combined strength greatly improves our ability to thrive in a challenging business environment.

Ben van Beurden
Chief Executive Officer

RISK FACTORS

The risks discussed below could have a material adverse effect separately, or in combination, on our operational performance, earnings, cash flows and financial condition. Accordingly, investors should carefully consider these risks.

Measures that we use to manage or mitigate our various risks are set out in the relevant sections of this Report. The Board's responsibility for identifying, evaluating and managing our significant risks is discussed in "Corporate governance" on page 74.

We are exposed to fluctuating prices of crude oil, natural gas, oil products and chemicals.

The prices of crude oil, natural gas, oil products and chemicals are affected by supply and demand, both globally and regionally. Moreover, prices for oil and gas can move independently of each other. Factors that influence supply and demand include operational issues, natural disasters, weather, political instability, conflicts, economic conditions and actions by major oil and gas producing countries. Price fluctuations could have a material adverse effect on our business, including on our cash flows and earnings. For example, in a low oil and gas price environment, we would generate less revenue from our Upstream production, and, as a result, some long-term projects would become less profitable, or could incur losses. In this regard, if oil and gas prices remain at the levels observed in early 2016, there is the potential for our Upstream and Integrated Gas segments to incur a loss. Additionally, low oil and gas prices have resulted, and could continue to result, in the debooking of proved oil or gas reserves, if they become uneconomic in this type of price environment. Prolonged periods of low oil and gas prices, or rising costs, have resulted, and could continue to result, in projects being delayed or cancelled. In addition, assets have been impaired in the past, and there could be impairments in the future. Low oil and gas prices could also affect our ability to maintain our long-term capital investment programme and dividend payments. In a high oil and gas price environment, we could experience sharp increases in costs, and, under some production-sharing contracts, our entitlement to proved reserves would be reduced. Higher prices could also reduce demand for our products, which could result in lower profitability, particularly in our Downstream business. See "Market overview" on page 16.

Our ability to deliver competitive returns and pursue commercial opportunities depends in part on the robustness and, ultimately, the accuracy of our price assumptions.

We use oil and gas price assumptions, which we review on a periodic basis, to evaluate project decisions and commercial opportunities. While we believe our current long-term price assumptions are prudent, if our assumptions prove to be incorrect, it could have a material adverse effect on our earnings, cash flows and financial condition. See "Market overview" on page 17.

Our ability to achieve strategic objectives depends on how we react to competitive forces.

We face competition in each of our businesses. We seek to differentiate our products, however many of them are competing in commodity-type markets. Accordingly, failure to manage our costs as well as our operational performance could result in a material adverse effect on our earnings, cash flows and financial condition.

Increasingly, we compete with state-owned oil and gas entities, particularly in seeking access to oil and gas resources. These entities control vastly greater quantities of oil and gas resources than the major independent oil and gas companies. State-owned entities have access to significant resources and could be motivated by political or other factors in their business decisions, which could harm our competitive position or reduce our access to desirable projects. See "Strategy and outlook" on page 15.

The acquisition of BG Group plc exposes us to integration risks and other challenges.

Our future prospects will, in part, be dependent upon our ability to integrate BG Group plc (BG) successfully and completely, without disruption to our existing business. Value delivery from a number of key jurisdictions, including BG's assets in Australia and Brazil, as well as the integration of its LNG shipping and marketing business and trading activities and the successful execution of the substantial disposals that we expect to make following the acquisition are, in particular, critical to overall success. The BG acquisition was premised on a number of factors, including expected benefits from synergies, but also our expectation of future oil and gas prices. If these synergies do not materialise or oil and gas prices remain low for a prolonged period, this could result in future impairments and further pressure on our financial framework. We will face challenges when integrating the businesses, including standardisation of ways of working, policies and procedures, processes and systems. No assurance can be given that the integration process will deliver all the expected benefits within the assumed time frame or that the expected disposals will be made as planned. Unanticipated events, liabilities, tax impacts or unknown pre-existing issues could arise and result in the costs of integration being higher and the realisable benefits being lower than expected, with a material adverse effect on our operational performance, earnings, cash flows and financial condition. See "Strategy and outlook" on page 15.

Following the acquisition of BG, we seek to execute divestments in the pursuit of our strategy. We may not be able to successfully divest these assets in line with our strategy.

We may not be able to successfully divest assets at acceptable prices or within the timeline envisaged in view of market conditions or credit risk, resulting in increased pressure on our cash position. We may be held liable for past acts, failures to act or liabilities that are different from those foreseen. We may also face liabilities if a purchaser fails to honour all of its commitments. See "Strategy and outlook" on page 15.

Our future hydrocarbon production depends on the delivery of large and complex projects, as well as on our ability to replace proved oil and gas reserves.

We face numerous challenges in developing capital projects, especially those which are large and complex. Challenges include uncertain geology, frontier conditions, the existence and availability of necessary technology and engineering resources, the availability of skilled labour, the existence of transportation infrastructure, project delays, the expiration of licences and potential cost overruns, as well as technical, fiscal, regulatory, political and other conditions. These challenges are particularly relevant in certain developing and emerging-market countries, such as Iraq and Kazakhstan, in frontier areas and in deep-water fields, such as in Brazil. We may fail to assess or manage these and other risks properly. Such potential obstacles could impair our delivery of these projects, our ability to fulfil the value potential at the time of the project investment approval, and our ability to fulfil related contractual commitments. These could lead to impairments and could have a material adverse effect on our operational performance, earnings, cash flows and financial condition.

Future oil and gas production will depend on our access to new proved reserves through exploration, negotiations with governments and other owners of proved reserves and acquisitions, as well as on developing and applying new technologies and recovery processes to existing fields and mines. Failure to replace proved reserves could result in lower future production, earnings and cash flows.

See "Business overview" on page 14.

| OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION AVAILABLE FOR SALE | | MILLION BOE [A] | | |
|--|--------------|-----------------|--------------|--|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | |
| Shell subsidiaries | 880 | 895 | 850 | |
| Shell share of joint ventures and associates | 198 | 229 | 318 | |
| Total | 1,078 | 1,124 | 1,168 | |

[A] Natural gas volumes are converted into oil equivalent using a factor of 5,800 scf per barrel.

| PROVED DEVELOPED AND UNDEVELOPED OIL AND GAS RESERVES [A][B] (AT DECEMBER 31) | | MILLION BOE [C] | | |
|---|---------------|-----------------|---------------|--|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | |
| Shell subsidiaries | 9,117 | 10,181 | 10,835 | |
| Shell share of joint ventures and associates | 2,630 | 2,900 | 3,109 | |
| Total | 11,747 | 13,081 | 13,944 | |

[A] We manage our total proved reserves base without distinguishing between proved reserves from subsidiaries and those from joint ventures and associates.

[B] Includes proved reserves associated with future production that will be consumed in operations.

[C] Natural gas volumes are converted into oil equivalent using a factor of 5,800 scf per barrel.

The estimation of proved oil and gas reserves involves subjective judgements based on available information and the application of complex rules, so subsequent downward adjustments are possible.

The estimation of proved oil and gas reserves involves subjective judgements and determinations based on available geological, technical, contractual and economic information. Estimates could change because of new information from production or drilling activities, or changes in economic factors, including changes in the price of oil or gas and changes in the regulatory policies of host governments or other events. Estimates could also be altered by acquisitions and divestments, new discoveries, and extensions of existing fields and mines, as well as the application of improved recovery techniques. Published proved oil and gas reserves estimates could also be subject to correction due to errors in the application of published rules and changes in guidance. Downward adjustments could indicate lower future production volumes and could also lead to impairment of some assets. This could have a material adverse effect on our operational performance, earnings, cash flows and financial condition. See "Supplementary information – oil and gas (unaudited)" on page 153.

We operate in more than 70 countries that have differing degrees of political, legal and fiscal stability. This exposes us to a wide range of political developments that could result in changes to contractual terms, laws and regulations. In addition, we and our joint arrangements and associates face the risk of litigation and disputes worldwide.

Developments in politics, laws and regulations can and do affect our operations. Potential developments include: forced divestment of assets; expropriation of property; cancellation or forced renegotiation of contract rights; additional taxes including windfall taxes, restrictions on deductions and retroactive tax claims; trade controls; price controls; local content requirements; foreign exchange controls; changing environmental regulations; and disclosure requirements. A prolonged period of lower oil and gas prices could affect the financial, fiscal, legal, political and social stability of countries that rely significantly on oil and gas revenue. This could, in turn, have a material adverse effect on us.

From time to time, cultural and political factors play a role in unprecedented and unanticipated judicial outcomes that could adversely affect Shell. Non-compliance with policies and regulations could result in regulatory investigations, litigation and ultimately sanctions. Certain governments and regulatory bodies have, in the opinion of Shell, exceeded their constitutional authority by: attempting unilaterally to amend or cancel existing agreements or arrangements; failing to honour existing contractual commitments; and

seeking to adjudicate disputes between private litigants. Additionally, certain governments have adopted laws and regulations that could potentially force us to violate other countries' laws and regulations, therefore potentially subjecting us to both criminal and civil sanctions. Such developments and outcomes could have a material adverse effect on our operational performance, earnings, cash flows and financial condition.

See "Corporate governance" on page 74.

Our operations expose us to social instability, civil unrest, terrorism, piracy, acts of war and risks of pandemic diseases that could have a material adverse effect on our business.

As seen in recent years in Nigeria, North Africa and the Middle East, social and civil unrest, both in the countries in which we operate and elsewhere, can and do affect us. Such potential developments that could have a material adverse effect on us include: acts of political or economic terrorism; acts of maritime piracy; conflicts including war and civil unrest (including disruptions by non-governmental and political organisations); and local security concerns that threaten the safe operation of our facilities and transport of our products. Pandemic diseases, such as Ebola, can affect our operations directly and indirectly. If such risks materialise, they could result in injuries, loss of life, environmental harm and disruption to business activities. See "Environment and society" on page 59.

A further erosion of the business and operating environment in Nigeria could have a material adverse effect on us.

In our Nigerian operations, we face various risks and adverse conditions which could have a material adverse effect on our operational performance, earnings, cash flows and financial condition. These risks and conditions include: security issues surrounding the safety of our people, host communities and operations; sabotage and theft; our ability to enforce existing contractual rights; litigation; limited infrastructure; potential legislation that could increase our taxes or costs of operations; the effect of lower oil and gas prices on the government budget; and regional instability created by militant activities. In addition, the Nigerian government is contemplating new legislation to govern the petroleum industry which, if passed into law, could have a material adverse effect on our existing and future activities in that country. See "Upstream" on page 29.

Rising climate change concerns have led and could lead to additional legal and/or regulatory measures which could result in project delays or cancellations, a decrease in demand for fossil fuels and additional compliance obligations, and therefore could adversely impact our costs and/or revenue.

There is continued and increased attention to climate change from all sectors of society. This attention has led, and we expect it to continue to lead, to additional regulations designed to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and potential demand for fossil fuels. Furthermore, we expect that a growing share of our GHG emissions will be subject to regulation, resulting in increased compliance costs and operational restrictions. If our GHG emissions rise alongside our ambitions to increase the scale of our business, our regulatory burden will increase proportionally.

We also expect that GHG regulation will focus more on suppressing demand for fossil fuels. This could result in lower revenue. In addition, we expect that GHG emissions from flaring will rise where no gas-gathering systems are in place. We intend to continue to work with our partners to find ways to capture the gas that is flared. However, governmental support is fundamental to ensure the success of individual initiatives. There is no assurance that we will be able to obtain government support.

If we are unable to find economically viable, as well as publicly acceptable, solutions that reduce our GHG emissions and/or GHG intensity for new and existing projects or products, we could experience additional costs or financial penalties, delayed or cancelled projects,

RISK FACTORS CONTINUED

and/or reduced production and reduced demand for hydrocarbons, which could have a material adverse effect on our operational performance, earnings, cash flows and financial condition.

See "Environment and society" on pages 54-56.

The nature of our operations exposes us, and the communities in which we work, to a wide range of health, safety, security and environment risks.

The health, safety, security and environment (HSSE) risks to which we, and the communities in which we work, are potentially exposed cover a wide spectrum, given the geographic range, operational diversity and technical complexity of our operations. These risks include the effects of natural disasters (including weather events), earth tremors, social unrest, personal health and safety lapses, and crime. If a major HSSE risk materialises, such as an explosion or hydrocarbon spill, this could result in injuries, loss of life, environmental harm, disruption of business activities, and loss or suspension of our licence to operate or ability to bid on mineral rights. Accordingly, this would have a material adverse effect on our operational performance, earnings, cash flows and financial condition.

Our operations are subject to extensive HSSE regulatory requirements that often change and are likely to become more stringent over time. Operators could be asked to adjust their future production plans, as the government of the Netherlands has done, affecting production and costs. We could incur significant additional costs in the future due to compliance with HSSE requirements or as a result of violations of, or liabilities under, laws and regulations, such as fines, penalties, clean-up costs and third-party claims. Therefore, HSSE risks, should they materialise, could have a material adverse effect on us.

See "Environment and society" on page 53.

The operation of the Groningen asset in the Netherlands continues to expose communities to earth tremor risks.

Production from the Groningen asset has resulted in earth tremors in the past and tremors are expected to continue. This has resulted in damage to buildings and complaints from local communities. The Dutch government, local authorities and the operator are implementing measures to address the concerns of the local communities. The government has ordered a cap on production and a further reduction of production is possible. If the government decides not to develop the full field as currently planned, it could have a material adverse effect on our earnings, cash flows, proved reserves and financial condition. See "Environment and society" on pages 58-59 and "Upstream" on page 27.

Our future performance depends on the successful development and deployment of new technologies and new products.

Technology and innovation are essential to our efforts to meet the world's energy demands in a competitive way. If we do not develop the right technology and products, do not have access to it or do not deploy these effectively, there could be a material adverse effect on the delivery of our strategy and our licence to operate. We operate in environments where advanced technologies are utilised. While we take measures to ensure that such technologies and products are safe for the environment and public health based on today's knowledge, there is always the possibility of unknown or unforeseeable technological failures or environmental and health effects that could harm our reputation and licence to operate or expose us to litigation or sanctions. We seek to benefit financially from developing and deploying advanced technology. The associated costs are sometimes underestimated or delays occur. Any of these occurrences could have a material adverse effect on our operational performance, earnings, cash flows and financial condition. See "Business overview" on page 14.

We are exposed to treasury and trading risks, including liquidity risk, interest rate risk, foreign exchange risk, commodity price risk and credit risk. We are affected by the global macroeconomic environment as well as financial and commodity market conditions.

Our subsidiaries, joint arrangements and associates are subject to differing economic and financial market conditions around the world. Political or economic instability affects such markets. If the associated risks set out below materialise, they could have a material adverse effect on our earnings, cash flows and financial condition.

We use debt instruments, such as bonds and commercial paper, to raise significant amounts of capital. Should our access to debt markets become more difficult, the potential impact on our liquidity could have a material adverse effect on our operations. Our financing costs could also be affected by interest rate fluctuations or any credit rating deterioration.

We are exposed to changes in currency values and to exchange controls as a result of our substantial international operations. Our reporting currency is the dollar. However, to a material extent, we hold assets and are exposed to liabilities in other currencies. We have significant financial exposure to the eurozone and could be materially affected by a significant change in the euro's value or any structural changes to the European Union (EU) or the European Economic and Monetary Union affecting the euro. Commodity trading is an important component of our Upstream and Downstream businesses and is integrated with our supply business. While we undertake some foreign exchange and commodity hedging, we do not do so for all of our activities. Furthermore, even where hedging is in place, it may not function as expected.

We are exposed to credit risk; our counterparties could fail or could be unable to meet their payment and/or performance obligations under contractual arrangements. Although we do not have significant direct exposure to sovereign debt, it is possible that our partners and customers may have exposure which could impair their ability to meet their obligations, thereby having a material adverse effect on us. In addition, our pension funds may invest in government bonds. Therefore, a sovereign debt downgrade or other default could have a material adverse effect on us.

See "Liquidity and capital resources" on page 50.

We have substantial pension commitments, whose funding is subject to capital market risks.

Liabilities associated with defined benefit plans can be significant, as can the cash funding requirement of such plans; both depend on various assumptions. Volatility in capital markets, and the resulting consequences for investment performance and interest rates, could result in significant changes to the funding level of future liabilities, and could also increase balance sheet liabilities. We operate a number of defined benefit pension plans and, in case of a shortfall, we could be required to make substantial cash contributions (depending on the applicable local regulations) resulting in a material adverse effect on our business, earnings and financial condition. See "Liquidity and capital resources" on page 50.

We mainly self-insure our risk exposure. We could incur significant losses from different types of risks that are not covered by insurance from third-party insurers.

Our insurance subsidiaries provide hazard insurance coverage to other Shell entities and only reinsure a portion of their risk exposures. Such reinsurance would not provide any material coverage in the event of an incident like BP Deepwater Horizon. Similarly, in the event of a material environmental incident, there would be no material proceeds available from

third-party insurance companies to meet our obligations. Therefore, we may incur significant losses from different types of risks that are not covered by insurance from third-party insurers, potentially resulting in a material adverse effect on our operational performance, earnings, cash flows and financial condition. See "Corporate" on page 48.

An erosion of our business reputation could have a material adverse effect on our brand, our ability to secure new resources and our licence to operate.

Our reputation is an important asset. The Shell General Business Principles (Principles) govern how Shell and its individual companies conduct their affairs, and the Shell Code of Conduct (Code) instructs employees and contractors on how to behave in line with the Principles. Our challenge is to ensure that all employees and contractors, more than 100,000 in total, comply with these Principles and Code. Real or perceived failures of governance or regulatory compliance could harm our reputation. This could impact our licence to operate, damage our brand, reduce consumer demand for our branded products, harm our ability to secure new resources and contracts and limit our ability to access capital markets. Many other factors, including the materialisation of the risks discussed in several of the other risk factors, may impact our reputation and could have a material adverse effect on our operational performance, earnings, cash flows and financial condition. See "Corporate governance" on page 70.

Many of our major projects and operations are conducted in joint arrangements or associates. This could reduce our degree of control, as well as our ability to identify and manage risks.

In cases where we are not the operator, we have limited influence over, and control of, the behaviour, performance and costs of operation of such joint arrangements or associates. Despite not having control, we could still be exposed to the risks associated with these operations, including reputational, litigation (where joint and several liability could apply) and government sanction risks, which could have a material adverse effect on our operational performance, earnings, cash flows and financial condition. For example, our partners or members of a joint arrangement or an associate (particularly local partners in developing countries) may not be able to meet their financial or other obligations to the projects, threatening the viability of a given project. Where we are the operator of a joint arrangement, the other partner(s) could still be able to veto or block certain decisions, which could be to our overall detriment. See "Corporate governance" on page 74.

We rely heavily on information technology systems for our operations.

The operation of many of our business processes depends on information technology (IT) systems. Our IT systems are increasingly concentrated in terms of geography, number of systems, and key contractors supporting the delivery of IT services. Shell, like many other multinational companies, is the target of attempts to gain unauthorised access to our IT systems through the internet, including more sophisticated and coordinated attempts often referred to as advanced persistent threats. We seek to detect and investigate all such security incidents, aiming to prevent their recurrence. Disruption of critical IT services, or breaches of information security, could harm our reputation and have a material adverse effect on our operational performance, earnings and financial condition. See "Corporate" on page 48.

Violations of antitrust and competition laws carry fines and expose us and/or our employees to criminal sanctions and civil suits.

Antitrust and competition laws apply to Shell and its joint ventures and associates in the vast majority of countries in which we do business. Any violation of these laws could have a material adverse effect on our operational performance, earnings, cash flows and financial condition. Shell and its joint ventures and associates have been fined for violations of antitrust and competition laws. These include a number of fines in the past by the

European Commission Directorate-General for Competition (DG COMP). Due to the DG COMP's fining guidelines, any future conviction of Shell and its joint ventures or associates for violation of EU competition law could result in significantly larger fines and have a material adverse effect on us. Violation of antitrust laws is a criminal offence in many countries, and individuals can be either imprisoned or fined. Furthermore, it is now common for persons or corporations allegedly injured by antitrust violations to sue for damages. See "Corporate governance" on page 70.

Violations of anti-bribery and corruption laws and anti-money laundering laws carry fines and expose us and/or our employees to criminal sanctions and civil suits.

In 2010, we agreed to a Deferred Prosecution Agreement (DPA) with the US Department of Justice (DOJ) for violations of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA), which arose in connection with our use of the freight-forwarding firm Panalpina. In 2013, following our fulfilment of the terms of the DPA, the criminal charges filed in connection with the DPA were dismissed. Our ethics and compliance programme was enhanced during the DPA and remains in full force and effect. The authorities in various countries are investigating our investment in Nigerian oil block OPL 245 and the 2011 settlement of litigation pertaining to that block. Any violation of the FCPA or other relevant anti-bribery and corruption legislation or anti-money laundering legislation could have a material adverse effect on our operational performance, earnings, cash flows and financial condition. See "Corporate governance" on page 70.

Violations of data protection laws carry fines and expose us and/or our employees to criminal sanctions and civil suits.

Data protection laws apply to Shell and its joint ventures and associates in the vast majority of countries in which we do business. Over 100 countries have data protection laws and regulations. Additionally, the impending EU Data Privacy Regulation proposes to increase penalties up to a maximum of 5% of global annual turnover for breach of the regulation. Non-compliance with data protection laws could expose us to regulatory investigations, which could result in fines and penalties. We could also be subject to litigation from persons or corporations allegedly affected by data protection violations. Violation of data protection laws is a criminal offence in some countries, and individuals can be either imprisoned or fined. Any violation could have a material adverse effect on our operational performance, earnings, cash flows and financial condition. See "Corporate governance" on page 70.

Violations of trade controls, including sanctions, carry fines and expose us and our employees to criminal sanctions and civil suits.

We use "trade controls" as an umbrella term for various national and international laws designed to regulate the movement of items across national boundaries and restrict or prohibit trade and other dealings with certain parties. The number and breadth of trade controls which we face continues to expand. For example, the EU and the USA continue to impose restrictions and prohibitions on certain transactions involving Syria. Additional trade controls directed at defined oil and gas activities in Russia were imposed by the EU and the USA in 2014. In addition to the significant trade-control programmes administered by the EU and the USA, many other nations are also adopting such programmes. Any violation of one or more trade-control regimes could lead to significant penalties or prosecution of Shell or its employees, and could have a material adverse effect on our operational performance, earnings, cash flows and financial condition. See "Corporate governance" on page 70.

RISK FACTORS CONTINUED

Investors should also consider the following, which could limit shareholder remedies.

The Company's Articles of Association determine the jurisdiction for shareholder disputes. This could limit shareholder remedies.

Our Articles of Association generally require that all disputes between our shareholders in such capacity and the Company or our subsidiaries (or our Directors or former Directors), or between the Company and our Directors or former Directors, be exclusively resolved by arbitration in The Hague, the Netherlands, under the Rules of Arbitration of the International Chamber of Commerce. Our Articles of Association also provide that, if this provision is to be determined invalid or unenforceable for any reason, the dispute could only be brought to the courts of England and Wales. Accordingly, the ability of shareholders to obtain monetary or other relief, including in respect of securities law claims, could be determined in accordance with these provisions.

BUSINESS OVERVIEW

HISTORY

From 1907 until 2005, Royal Dutch Petroleum Company and The "Shell" Transport and Trading Company, p.l.c. were the two public parent companies of a group of companies known collectively as the "Royal Dutch/Shell Group". Operating activities were conducted through the subsidiaries of these parent companies. In 2005, Royal Dutch Shell plc became the single parent company of Royal Dutch Petroleum Company and of The "Shell" Transport and Trading Company, p.l.c., now The Shell Transport and Trading Company Limited.

Royal Dutch Shell plc (the Company) is a public limited company registered in England and Wales and headquartered in The Hague, the Netherlands.

ACTIVITIES

Shell is one of the world's largest independent oil and gas companies in terms of market capitalisation, operating cash flow and production.


We explore for crude oil and natural gas worldwide, both in conventional fields and from sources such as tight rock, shale and coal formations. We work to develop new crude oil and natural gas supplies from major fields. For example, in 2015, production began from the Bonga Phase 3 and Erha

North Phase 2 projects in Nigeria, and the Corrib gas field in Ireland. We also extract bitumen from oil sands, which we convert into synthetic crude oil.

We cool natural gas to provide liquefied natural gas (LNG) that can be safely shipped to markets around the world, and we convert gas to liquids (GTL).

Our portfolio of refineries and chemical plants enables us to capture value from the oil and gas that we produce, turning them into a range of refined and petrochemical products, which are moved and marketed around the world for domestic, industrial and transport use. The products we sell include gasoline, diesel, heating oil, aviation fuel, marine fuel, LNG for transport, lubricants, bitumen and sulphur. We also produce and sell ethanol from sugar cane in Brazil, through our Raizen joint venture.

The distinctive Shell pecten, (a trademark in use since the early part of the 20th century), and trademarks in which the word Shell appears, help raise the profile of our brand globally. A strong patent portfolio underlies the technology that we employ in our various businesses. In total, we have about 12,000 granted patents and pending patent applications.



BUSINESS OVERVIEW CONTINUED

BUSINESSES AND ORGANISATION

In 2016, the Upstream International and Upstream Americas businesses were reorganised into Integrated Gas and Upstream. Our businesses and organisations described below were in place until December 31, 2015, and are consistent with the discussion of our performance in 2015 and position at December 31, 2015, in this Report.

Upstream International

Our Upstream International business manages Shell's Upstream activities outside the Americas. It explores for and extracts crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids, transports oil and gas, and operates the upstream and midstream infrastructure necessary to deliver oil and gas to market. Upstream International also manages the LNG and GTL businesses outside the Americas, and markets and trades natural gas, including LNG, outside the Americas. It manages its operations primarily by line of business, with this structure overlaying country organisations. This organisation is supported by activities such as Exploration and New Business Development. See "Upstream" on pages 23-40.

Upstream Americas

Our Upstream Americas business manages Shell's Upstream activities in North and South America. It explores for and extracts crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids, transports oil and gas, and operates the upstream and midstream infrastructure necessary to deliver oil and gas to market. Upstream Americas also extracts bitumen from oil sands that is converted into synthetic crude oil. It manages the LNG business in the Americas, and markets and trades natural gas in the Americas. Additionally, it manages the US-based wind business. It manages its operations by line of business, supported by activities such as Exploration and New Business Development. See "Upstream" on pages 23-40.

Downstream

Our Downstream business manages Shell's Oil Products activities, comprising Refining, Trading and Supply, Pipelines and Marketing, and Chemicals activities. See "Downstream" on pages 41-47.

Projects & Technology

Our Projects & Technology organisation manages the delivery of our major projects and drives research and innovation to develop new technology solutions. It provides technical services and technology capability covering both Upstream and Downstream activities. It is also responsible for providing functional leadership across Shell in the areas of safety and environment, contracting and procurement, wells activities and CO₂ management.

Our future hydrocarbon production depends on the delivery of large and complex projects (see "Risk factors" on page 08). Systematic management of lifecycle technical and non-technical risks is in place for each opportunity, with assurance and control activities embedded throughout the project lifecycle. We focus on the cost-effective delivery of projects through quality commercial agreements, supply-chain management and construction and engineering productivity through effective planning and simplification of delivery processes. Development of our employees' project management competencies is underpinned by project principles, standards and processes. A dedicated competence framework, training, standards and processes exist for exploration and appraisal activities. In addition, we provide governance support for our non-operated ventures or projects.

SEGMENTAL REPORTING

Our reporting segments at December 31, 2015, were Upstream, Downstream and Corporate. Upstream combines the operating segments Upstream International and Upstream Americas. Upstream and Downstream earnings include their respective elements of Projects & Technology and of trading and supply activities. Corporate comprises Shell's holdings and treasury organisation, including its self-insurance activities as well as its headquarters and central functions. See Note 4 to the "Consolidated Financial Statements" on page 126.

| REVENUE BY BUSINESS SEGMENT (INCLUDING INTER-SEGMENT SALES) | | \$ MILLION | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|--|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | |
| Upstream | | | | |
| Third parties | 28,480 | 45,240 | 47,357 | |
| Inter-segment | 25,447 | 47,059 | 45,512 | |
| Total | 53,927 | 92,299 | 92,869 | |
| Downstream | | | | |
| Third parties | 236,384 | 375,752 | 403,725 | |
| Inter-segment | 1,362 | 2,294 | 702 | |
| Total | 237,746 | 378,046 | 404,427 | |
| Corporate | | | | |
| Third parties | 96 | 113 | 153 | |
| Total | 96 | 113 | 153 | |

| REVENUE BY GEOGRAPHICAL AREA (EXCLUDING INTER-SEGMENT SALES) | | \$ MILLION | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|--|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | |
| Europe | 95,223 | 154,709 | 175,584 | |
| Asia, Oceania, Africa | 95,892 | 149,869 | 157,673 | |
| USA | 50,666 | 80,133[A] | 79,581[A] | |
| Other Americas | 23,179 | 36,394[A] | 38,397[A] | |
| Total | 264,960 | 421,105 | 451,235 | |

[A] Revised following a reassessment of geographical allocation, resulting in an increase in the USA and a corresponding decrease in Other Americas of \$9,320 million in 2014 and \$7,029 million in 2013.

With effect from 2016, our reporting segments were amended to align with the reorganisation of the Upstream business and consist of Integrated Gas, Upstream, Downstream and Corporate.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

In 2015, research and development expenses were \$1,093 million, compared with \$1,222 million in 2014, and \$1,318 million in 2013. Our main technology centres are in India, the Netherlands and the USA, with other centres in Canada, China, Germany, Norway, Oman and Qatar.

Technology and innovation are essential to our efforts to meet the world's energy demands in a competitive way. If we do not develop the right technology, do not have access to it or do not deploy it effectively, this could have a material adverse effect on the delivery of our strategy and our licence to operate (see "Risk factors" on page 10). We continuously scan the external environment for technologies and innovations of potential relevance to our business. Our Chief Technology Officer oversees the development and deployment of new and differentiating technologies and innovations across Shell, seeking to align business requirements and technology requirements throughout our technology maturation process.

STRATEGY AND OUTLOOK

STRATEGY

Our strategy seeks to reinforce our position as a leader in the oil and gas industry, while helping to meet global energy demand in a responsible way. We aim to balance growth with returns, by growing our cash flow and delivering competitive returns through economic cycles, to finance a competitive dividend and fund investment for future growth. Safety and environmental and social responsibility are at the heart of our activities.

Meeting the growing demand for energy worldwide in ways that minimise environmental and social impact is a major challenge for the global energy industry. We aim to improve energy efficiency in our own operations, support customers in managing their energy demands and continue to research and develop technologies that increase efficiency and reduce emissions from liquids and natural gas production.

Intense competition exists for access to upstream resources and to new downstream markets. But we believe that our technology, project delivery capability and operational excellence will remain key differentiators for our businesses.

In April 2015, we announced a recommended cash and share offer for BG Group plc (BG), and the transaction was completed on February 15, 2016. It should add significant scale and profitability, particularly in LNG worldwide and deep-water oil and gas in Brazil. It presents an opportunity to accelerate portfolio refocusing through asset sales and reduced spending, resulting in a simpler, more focused company.

With effect from 2016, we have a new upstream organisation that reflects recent changes in our portfolio. This is the platform for integration with BG and will help speed up the streamlining of the portfolio.

In Integrated Gas, we focus on liquefying natural gas (LNG) so that it can be safely shipped to markets around the world, and we convert gas to liquids (GTL).

In Upstream, we focus on exploration for new crude oil and natural gas reserves and on developing major new projects where our technology and know-how add value to the resources holders.

In Downstream, we focus on turning crude oil into a range of refined products, which are moved and marketed around the world for domestic, industrial and transport use. In addition, we produce and sell petrochemicals for industrial use worldwide.

We focus on a series of strategic themes, each requiring distinctive technologies and risk management:

- Our Downstream businesses in Oil Products and Chemicals are strongly cash-generative with high returns. Our distinctive product offering is underpinned by a strong manufacturing base, and offers growth potential in selective markets, particularly in petrochemicals.
- Our conventional oil and gas business has strong cash flow and returns potential, typically in mature hydrocarbon provinces. We only make investments in selective growth positions and apply our distinctive technology and operating performance to extend the productive lives of our assets and to enhance their profitability.
- In deep water, we have leading positions in the Gulf of Mexico, Brazil, Nigeria and Malaysia. Our deep-water operations have significant growth potential from our large undeveloped resource base, and deployment of our technology and capabilities.
- In Integrated Gas, covering LNG worldwide, and in GTL in Qatar and Malaysia, we have leadership positions in profitable and growing markets. We are making selective investments in new LNG capacity, and continuing to develop new markets for gas.

- We have substantial positions in both heavy oil and oil and gas plays. These reserves are in production today, with substantial longer-term growth potential.
- Reflecting the long-term trend in demand growth for lower-carbon energy, we intend to make investments in large-scale and commercial forms of lower-carbon technology and energy, such as natural gas, carbon capture and storage, biofuels, wind and solar energy.

Our commitment to technology and innovation continues to be at the core of our strategy. As energy projects become more complex and more technically demanding, we believe our engineering expertise will be a deciding factor in the growth of our businesses. Our key strengths include the development and application of technology, the financial and project-management skills that allow us to deliver large field-development projects, and the management of integrated value chains.

We aim to leverage our diverse and global business portfolio and customer-focused businesses built around the strength of the Shell brand.

Our ability to achieve strategic objectives depends on how we respond to competitive forces (see "Risk factors" on page 08). We continuously assess the external environment – the markets as well as the underlying economic, political, social and environmental drivers that shape them – to anticipate changes in competitive forces and business models. We undertake regular reviews of the markets we operate in, and analyses of our competitors' strengths and weaknesses to understand our competitive position. We maintain business strategies and plans that focus on actions and capabilities to create and sustain competitive advantage.

OUTLOOK FOR 2016 AND BEYOND

We continuously seek to improve our operating performance, with an emphasis on health, safety, security and environment, asset performance and operating costs.

In 2016, we expect organic capital investment to be around \$33 billion in the current environment. We have options to further reduce capital investment, should the evolving market outlook warrant that step. We are being highly selective on new investment decisions. We are leveraging our Projects & Technology organisation's capabilities and taking opportunities presented by the downturn to reduce both our own costs and costs in the supply chain. Asset sales are a key element of our strategy, improving our capital efficiency by focusing our investments on the most attractive growth opportunities. Divestments of non-strategic assets in 2014-15 totalled over \$20 billion, successfully completing our divestment programme for that period. We expect divestments to increase to \$30 billion for 2016-2018.

In addition, we expect the combination with BG to generate pre-tax synergies of \$3.5 billion in operating and exploration expenses in 2018, with further upside potential. A transition organisation is in place to track the delivery of the integration plans, including the expected synergies, identification of further value upside, and move to standardised operating arrangements (see "Risk factors" on page 08).

The statements in this "Strategy and outlook" section, including those related to our growth strategies and our expected or potential future cash flow from operations, capital investment, divestments and production, are based on management's current expectations and certain material assumptions and, accordingly, involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results, performance or events to differ materially from those expressed or implied herein. See "About this Report" on page 05 and "Risk factors" on pages 08-12. Forward-looking information includes the impact of the BG acquisition.

MARKET OVERVIEW

We maintain a large business portfolio across a fully-integrated value chain and are therefore exposed to oil, gas and product prices as well as refining, chemicals and marketing margins (see "Risk factors" on page 08). This diversified portfolio helps us mitigate the impact of price volatility. Our annual planning cycle and periodic portfolio reviews aim to ensure that our levels of capital investment and operating expenses are affordable in the context of a volatile price environment. We test the resilience of our projects and other opportunities against a range of oil and gas prices. We also maintain a strong balance sheet to provide resilience in times of fluctuating oil and gas prices.

GLOBAL ECONOMIC GROWTH

According to the International Monetary Fund's (IMF) January 2016 *World Economic Outlook*, global economic growth was 3.1% in 2015. This fell short of the IMF's forecast of 3.5% made at the beginning of 2015. Lower than expected economic growth in the USA and China, together with recessions in Brazil and Russia, contributed to lower global economic growth than forecast.

The IMF estimated that the eurozone economy grew by 1.5% in 2015, compared with 0.9% in 2014, US economic growth was 2.5%, compared with 2.4% in 2014, while Chinese economic growth slowed from 7.3% in 2014 to 6.9%. The average economic growth rate for emerging markets and developing economies was 4.0%, compared with 4.6% in 2014.

The IMF expects global economic growth to rise to 3.4% in 2016, but that would still be less than the annual average of 3.7% for the previous 10 years.

GLOBAL OIL AND GAS DEMAND AND SUPPLY

Reflecting the combination of the economic conditions described above and of low crude oil prices during the year, global oil demand rose by 1.8% (1.7 million barrels per day (b/d)) in 2015, according to the International Energy Agency's (IEA) January 2016 *Oil Market Report*. This annual oil demand growth was the highest since 2005. Lower crude oil prices are thought to have triggered additional demand not only from end-consumers, for example in the USA, but also strategic petroleum reserves building in Asia, particularly China. Demand grew in emerging and advanced economies.

The Brent crude oil price, an international crude-oil benchmark, averaged \$52/b, the lowest level since 2005. As in 2014, oil supply continued to grow faster than demand. On the non-OPEC supply side, the US Energy Information Administration reported another year of continued supply growth albeit at a slower pace. Daily production in the USA declined in the second half of 2015, as light tight oil producers drilled fewer wells in response to lower prices. However, ongoing technical improvements and increased focus on the most productive areas helped increase recovery per well. OPEC oil production grew by 1 million b/d year-on-year driven primarily by Saudi Arabia and Iraq. At the June and December OPEC meetings, it was decided not to reduce production in support of oil prices. The market interpreted these decisions as an increased risk of oversupply: crude oil prices remained low and ended the year at around \$36/b for Brent compared with \$54/b at the start of the year.

We estimate that global gas demand grew by less than 1% in 2015, which is much lower than the average annual growth rate of about 2.3% in the past decade. A combination of mild weather and continued moderate global economic growth led to a lower rate of demand growth in most regions. We believe that most of the growth in demand was in the USA with an estimated 10% increase over 2014, driven by its power generation and industrial sectors. Asian gas demand growth weakened in the key markets of China, Japan and Korea. Chinese demand in the first nine months of 2015 grew by only 3% year-on-year, compared with the average

15% growth seen in previous years. Gas demand across the European Union is expected to have increased by about 7% in 2015 compared with 2014, according to the latest forecast from gas industry association Eurogas. The first half of 2015 saw a significant increase of approximately 9% in gas demand, compared with the same period in 2014.

CRUDE OIL AND NATURAL GAS PRICES

The following table provides an overview of the main crude oil and natural gas price markers that we are exposed to:

| OIL AND GAS AVERAGE INDUSTRY PRICES [A] | | | |
|---|------|------|------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Brent (\$/b) | 52 | 99 | 109 |
| West Texas Intermediate (\$/b) | 49 | 93 | 98 |
| Henry Hub (\$/MMBtu) | 2.6 | 4.3 | 3.7 |
| UK National Balancing Point (pence/therm) | 43 | 50 | 68 |
| Japan Customs-cleared Crude (\$/b) | 55 | 105 | 110 |

[A] Yearly average prices are based on daily spot prices. The 2015 average price for Japan Customs-cleared Crude excludes December data.

The Brent crude oil price traded in a range of \$35-67/b in 2015, ending the year at about \$36/b. Both the Brent and the West Texas Intermediate (WTI) average crude oil prices for 2015 were lower than in 2014, as a result of demand growth being outpaced by continued supply growth, which has resulted in crude oil and oil products inventory levels well above their historical five-year averages.

On a yearly average basis, WTI traded at a \$3/b discount to Brent in 2015, compared with \$6/b in 2014. The discount widened during the spring US refinery maintenance season to about \$13/b as a consequence of reduced refinery crude oil intake and therefore higher crude oil inventory levels in the landlocked Cushing, Oklahoma, trading hub. Towards the end of the year, Brent and WTI crude oil prices were nearly equal.

Looking ahead, significant price volatility can be expected in the short to medium term. Crude oil prices may strengthen if the global economy accelerates, or if supply tightens as a result of a further deceleration in non-OPEC production growth due to the current price weakness, if OPEC countries reduce their production levels, or if supply disruptions occur in major producing countries. Alternatively, crude oil prices may weaken further if economic growth slows or production continues to rise, for example from Iran after the lifting of sanctions.

Unlike crude oil pricing, which is global in nature, natural gas prices vary significantly from region to region. In the USA, the natural gas price at the Henry Hub averaged \$2.6 per million British thermal units (MMBtu) in 2015, 40% lower than in 2014, and traded in a range of \$1.5-3.3/MMBtu. The year 2015 began with normal winter weather and gas at the Henry Hub traded between \$2 and 3.3/MMBtu through the first half of the year. But robust growth in gas production and normal weather in the summer led to a gradual decline in prices during the second half as gas in storage reached a record high of some 4 trillion cubic feet by November 2015. A relatively very warm start of the 2015-2016 winter season led to a steep decline in Henry Hub prices which then remained below \$2/MMBtu for prolonged periods. In the longer term, the US market may tighten due to exports of liquefied natural gas (LNG).

In Europe, natural gas prices fell during 2015. The average natural gas price at the UK National Balancing Point was 14% lower than in 2014. At the main continental European gas trading hubs – in the Netherlands, Belgium and Germany – prices were similarly weak. Lower prices reflected ample supply which was in part driven by lower oil-indexed contract prices.

Weather, a key driver for gas demand, was mixed during the year with unusually mild temperatures in the fourth quarter.

We also produce and sell natural gas in regions where supply, demand and regulatory circumstances differ markedly from those in the USA or Europe. Long-term contracted LNG prices in Asia-Pacific generally fell in 2015 as they are predominantly indexed to the price of Japan Customs-cleared Crude (JCC), which has fallen as global crude oil prices have weakened.

CRUDE OIL AND NATURAL GAS PRICE ASSUMPTIONS

Our ability to deliver competitive returns and pursue commercial opportunities depends in part on the robustness and, ultimately, the accuracy of our price assumptions (see "Risk factors" on page 08). The range of possible future crude oil and natural gas prices used in project and portfolio evaluations is determined after a rigorous assessment of short, medium and long-term market drivers. Historical analyses, trends and statistical volatility are considered in this assessment, as are analyses of market fundamentals such as possible future economic conditions, geopolitics, actions by OPEC and other major resource holders, production costs and the balance of supply and demand. Sensitivity analyses are used to test the impact of low-price drivers, such as economic weakness, and high-price drivers, such as strong economic growth and low investment in new production capacity. Short-term events, such as relatively warm winters or cool summers, affect demand. Supply disruptions, due to weather or political instability, contribute to price volatility.

REFINING AND PETROCHEMICAL MARGINS

| REFINING MARKER AVERAGE INDUSTRY GROSS MARGINS | (\$/B) | | |
|--|--------|-------|-------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| US West Coast | 19.4 | 9.5 | 8.7 |
| US Gulf Coast Coking | 10.6 | 5.5 | 3.9 |
| Rotterdam Complex | 4.7 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| Singapore | 4.7 | (0.1) | (1.0) |

Industry gross refining margins were higher on average in 2015 than in 2014 in each of the key refining hubs in Europe, Singapore and the USA. Oil products demand growth was stronger globally, driven in part by the sustained lower crude oil price environment compared with 2014. The refining industry has seen a period of generally tightening capacity, reducing the overcapacity that has been observed for several years. However, the improved gross margins have probably delayed some further capacity rationalisation, especially in Europe.

In 2016, demand for gasoline is expected to be a key driver of gross refining margins, especially in the middle of the year, supported by demand for middle distillates. The overall outlook remains unclear because of continuing economic uncertainty, geopolitical tensions in some regions that could lead to supply disruptions, and continued overcapacity in the global refining market.

| CRACKER INDUSTRY MARGINS | (\$/TONNE) | | |
|------------------------------------|------------|------|------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| North East/South East Asia naphtha | 463 | 296 | 132 |
| Western Europe naphtha | 617 | 613 | 548 |
| US ethane | 498 | 798 | 770 |

In Chemicals, Asian naphtha cracker margins increased in 2015 compared with 2014 due to periods of reduced cracker availability. European naphtha cracker margins remained high in 2015, supported by periods of low cracker availability. US ethane cracker margins were significantly lower due to a narrower differential between crude oil prices and US natural gas prices.

The outlook for petrochemicals in 2016 will depend on economic growth, especially in Asia, and developments in relative raw material prices which will be influenced by crude oil prices.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

| KEY STATISTICS | \$ MILLION, EXCEPT WHERE OTHERWISE INDICATED | | |
|---|--|--------|--------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Earnings by segment [A] | | | |
| Upstream | (5,663) | 15,841 | 12,638 |
| Downstream | 10,243 | 3,411 | 3,869 |
| Corporate | (425) | (156) | 372 |
| Total segment earnings [A][B] | 4,155 | 19,096 | 16,879 |
| Attributable to non-controlling interest | (313) | (55) | (134) |
| Earnings on a current cost of supplies basis attributable to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders [B] | 3,842 | 19,041 | 16,745 |
| Capital investment [B] | 28,861 | 37,339 | 46,041 |
| Divestments [B] | 5,540 | 15,019 | 1,738 |
| Operating expenses [B] | 41,144 | 45,225 | 44,379 |
| Return on average capital employed [B] | 1.9% | 7.1% | 7.9% |
| Gearing at December 31 [C] | 14.0% | 12.2% | 16.1% |
| Proved oil and gas reserves at December 31 (million boe) | 11,747 | 13,081 | 13,944 |

[A] Segment earnings are presented on a current cost of supplies basis. See Note 4 to the "Consolidated Financial Statements" on pages 127-128.

[B] See "Non-GAAP measures reconciliations and other definitions" on pages 198-199.

[C] See Note 14 to the "Consolidated Financial Statements" on page 134.

EARNINGS 2015-2014

Global realised liquids prices in 2015 were 48% lower than in 2014.

Global realised natural gas prices were 27% lower than in 2014. Oil and gas production available for sale in 2015 was 2,954 thousand barrels of oil equivalent per day (boe/d) compared with 3,080 thousand boe/d in 2014. Liquids production increased by 2% and natural gas production decreased by 9% compared with 2014.

Realised refining margins were significantly higher in 2015 than in 2014, driven by stronger industry gross margins and improved availability early in 2015, which allowed our refineries to capitalise on the strong margin environment.

Earnings on a current cost of supplies basis (CCS earnings) exclude the effect of changes in the oil price on inventory valuation, as the purchase price of the volumes sold during a period is based on the current cost of supplies during the same period, after making allowance for the tax effect. Accordingly, when oil prices increase during the period, CCS earnings are likely to be lower than earnings calculated on a first-in first-out (FIFO) basis. Similarly, in a period with declining oil prices, CCS earnings are likely to be higher than earnings calculated on a FIFO basis. This explains why 2015 CCS earnings attributable to shareholders were higher than income attributable to shareholders calculated on a FIFO basis, as shown in "Non-GAAP measures and other definitions" on page 198.

CCS earnings attributable to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders were \$3,842 million in 2015 compared with \$19,041 million in 2014.

Upstream earnings in 2015 were a loss of \$5,663 million, compared with an income of \$15,841 million in 2014. Lower earnings in 2015 reflected the significant decline in oil and gas prices, charges associated with management's decision to cease Alaska drilling activities for the foreseeable future and the Carmon Creek project in Canada, higher impairment charges, lower divestment gains and the weakening of the Australian dollar and Brazilian real on deferred tax positions, partly offset by lower operating expenses and depreciation, depletion and amortisation. See "Upstream" on page 23.

Downstream earnings in 2015 were \$10,243 million compared with \$3,411 million in 2014. The increase was principally driven by lower operating expenses, as a result of favourable exchange rates and divestments, higher realised refining margins, and a lower effective tax rate,

together with lower impairment charges and higher divestment gains. See "Downstream" on pages 41-42.

Corporate earnings in 2015 were a loss of \$425 million, compared with a loss of \$156 million in 2014. See "Corporate" on page 48.

As set out in Note 4 to the "Consolidated Financial Statements" on page 127, earnings included a taxation charge of \$493 million in 2015, compared with \$15,038 million in 2014. This reduction was due to the significant tax credits associated with the impairment charges, and other charges related to ceasing activities in Alaska and the Carmon Creek project, and to the overall reduction in Upstream earnings before taxation as a result of lower oil and gas prices.

EARNINGS 2014-2013

CCS earnings attributable to shareholders in 2014 were 14% higher than in 2013.

Upstream earnings in 2014 were \$15,841 million, compared with \$12,638 million in 2013. The increase was mainly driven by increased contributions from liquids production volumes, higher divestment gains, lower exploration expenses, increased contributions from Trading and Supply and lower impairment charges. These effects were partially offset by the impact of declining oil prices and higher depreciation (excluding impairments).

Downstream earnings in 2014 were \$3,411 million compared with \$3,869 million in 2013, reflecting significantly higher charges for impairment which were partially offset by higher realised refining margins, higher earnings from Trading and Supply and lower operating expenses.

Corporate earnings in 2014 were a loss of \$156 million, compared with a gain of \$372 million in 2013.

CAPITAL INVESTMENT AND OTHER INFORMATION

Capital investment was \$28.9 billion in 2015, compared with \$37.3 billion in 2014, reflecting our decision to curtail spending. See "Upstream" on page 24 and "Downstream" on page 42.

Divestments were \$5.5 billion in 2015, compared with \$15.0 billion in 2014. See "Upstream" on page 24 and "Downstream" on page 42.

The decrease in operating expenses from \$45.2 billion in 2015 to \$41.1 billion in 2014 included favourable exchange rate effects and the impact of divestments. See "Upstream" on page 23 and "Downstream" on page 41.

Our return on average capital employed (ROACE) decreased to 1.9% compared with 7.1% in 2014, due to lower earnings. In 2015, 31% of our average capital employed was not generating any revenue, which reduced our ROACE by 1%. These assets included projects being developed and exploration acreage.

Gearing was 14.0% at the end of 2015, compared with 12.2% at the end of 2014. Debt and cash increased by \$12.8 billion and \$10.1 billion respectively, and total equity decreased by \$8.7 billion. See "Liquidity and capital resources" on page 50.

PROVED RESERVES AND PRODUCTION

Shell subsidiaries' and the Shell share of joint ventures and associates' estimated net proved oil and gas reserves are summarised in "Upstream" on pages 25-26 and set out in more detail in "Supplementary information – oil and gas (unaudited)" on pages 153-161.

In 2015, proved reserves before taking production into account decreased by 220 million boe, of which 157 million boe came from Shell subsidiaries and 63 million boe from the Shell share of joint ventures and associates, including a net reduction from sales and purchases of 84 million boe. The proved reserves changes in 2015 included an addition of 600 million boe as a result of an increased entitlement share due to the lower yearly average price applied to production-sharing contracts (PSC) and tax/variable royalty contracts.

In 2015, total oil and gas production was 1,114 million boe, of which 1,078 million boe was available for sale and 36 million boe was consumed in operations. Production available for sale from subsidiaries was 880 million boe and 27 million boe was consumed in operations. The Shell share of the production available for sale of joint ventures and associates was 198 million boe and 9 million boe was consumed in operations.

Accordingly, after taking production into account, our proved reserves decreased in 2015 by 1,334 million boe to 11,747 million boe at December 31, 2015, with a decrease of 1,064 million boe from subsidiaries and a decrease of 270 million boe from the Shell share of joint ventures and associates.

KEY ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES AND JUDGEMENTS

See Note 2 to the "Consolidated Financial Statements" on pages 120-125.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

See Note 25 to the "Consolidated Financial Statements" on page 151.

PUBLICATION OF PROFIT ESTIMATES

In our update on fourth quarter 2015 and full year 2015 unaudited results published on January 20, 2016, we made the following profit estimates for the full year 2015:

- Earnings on a CCS basis were expected to be in the region of \$10.4-10.7 billion excluding "identified items".
- Income attributable to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders was expected to be in the region of \$1.6-2.0 billion.

The actual results for the full year 2015, in respect of the above profit estimates, were within the ranges stated above, and were as follows:

- Earnings on a CCS basis were \$10,676 million, excluding a net charge in Upstream of \$7,443 million (see "Upstream" on page 23), a net gain of \$495 million in Downstream (see "Downstream" on pages 41-42) and a net gain in Corporate of \$114 million.
- Income attributable to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders was \$1,939 million. See "Non-GAAP measures reconciliations and other definitions" on page 198.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Total shareholder return

| | | | |
|------|--------|------|-------|
| 2015 | -29.9% | 2014 | -3.0% |
|------|--------|------|-------|

Total shareholder return (TSR) is the difference between the share price at the beginning of the year and the share price at the end of the year (each averaged over 30 days), plus gross dividends delivered during the calendar year (reinvested quarterly), expressed as a percentage of the share price at the beginning of the year (averaged over 30 days). The data used are a weighted average in dollars for A and B shares. The TSRs of major publicly-traded oil and gas companies can be compared directly, providing a way to determine how we are performing in relation to our industry peers.

Net cash from operating activities (\$ billion)

| | | | |
|------|----|------|----|
| 2015 | 30 | 2014 | 45 |
|------|----|------|----|

Net cash from operating activities is the total of all cash receipts and payments associated with our sales of oil, gas, chemicals and other products. The components that provide a reconciliation from income for the period are listed in the "Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows". This indicator reflects our ability to generate cash for both distributions to shareholders and investments. See "Liquidity and capital resources" on page 49.

Project delivery

| | | | |
|------|-----|------|-----|
| 2015 | 82% | 2014 | 83% |
|------|-----|------|-----|

Project delivery reflects our capability to complete major projects on time and within budget on the basis of targets set in our annual Business Plan. The set of projects consists of at least 20 Shell-operated capital projects that are in the execution phase (post final investment decision) and are reflected in the above index.

Production available for sale (thousand boe/d)

| | | | |
|------|-------|------|-------|
| 2015 | 2,954 | 2014 | 3,080 |
|------|-------|------|-------|

Production is the sum of all average daily volumes of unrefined oil and natural gas produced for sale by Shell subsidiaries and Shell's share of those produced for sale by joint ventures and associates. The unrefined oil comprises crude oil, natural gas liquids, synthetic crude oil and bitumen. The gas volume is converted into equivalent barrels of oil to make the summation possible. Changes in production have a significant impact on our cash flow. See "Upstream" on page 24.

Equity sales of liquefied natural gas (million tonnes)

| | | | |
|------|------|------|------|
| 2015 | 22.6 | 2014 | 24.0 |
|------|------|------|------|

Equity sales of liquefied natural gas (LNG) is a measure of the operational performance of our Upstream business and LNG market demand. See "Upstream" on page 24.

Refinery and chemical plant availability

| | | | |
|------|-------|------|-------|
| 2015 | 89.3% | 2014 | 92.1% |
|------|-------|------|-------|

Refinery and chemical plant availability is the weighted average of the actual uptime of plants as a percentage of their maximum possible uptime. The weighting is based on the capital employed, adjusted for cash and non-current liabilities. It excludes downtime due to uncontrollable factors, such as hurricanes. This indicator is a measure of the operational excellence of our Downstream manufacturing facilities. See "Downstream" on page 42.

Total recordable case frequency (injuries per million working hours)

| | | | |
|------|------|------|------|
| 2015 | 0.94 | 2014 | 0.99 |
|------|------|------|------|

Total recordable case frequency (TRCF) is the number of staff or contractor injuries requiring medical treatment or time off for every million hours worked. It is a standard measure of occupational safety. See "Environment and society" on pages 53-54.

ADDITIONAL PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Earnings on a current cost of supplies basis attributable to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders (\$ million)

| | | | |
|------|-------|------|--------|
| 2015 | 3,842 | 2014 | 19,041 |
|------|-------|------|--------|

Earnings per share on a current cost of supplies basis (\$)

| | | | |
|------|------|------|------|
| 2015 | 0.61 | 2014 | 3.02 |
|------|------|------|------|

Earnings on a current cost of supplies basis (CCS earnings) attributable to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders is the income for the period, adjusted for the after-tax effect of oil-price changes on inventory and non-controlling interest. See "Summary of results" on page 18.

CCS earnings per share, which is on a diluted basis above, is calculated by dividing CCS earnings attributable to shareholders by the average number of shares outstanding over the year, increased by the average number of dilutive shares related to share-based compensation plans.

Capital investment (\$ million)

| | | | |
|------|--------|------|--------|
| 2015 | 28,861 | 2014 | 37,339 |
|------|--------|------|--------|

Capital investment is a measure used to make decisions about allocating resources and assessing performance. It is defined as capital expenditure and investments in joint ventures and associates as reported in the "Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows" plus exploration expense, excluding exploration wells written off, new finance leases and other adjustments. See "Liquidity and capital resources" on page 52 and "Non-GAAP measures reconciliations and other definitions" on page 198.

Capital investment has replaced net capital investment as a performance indicator and is aligned with the basis for capital allocation in our annual Business Plan.

Return on average capital employed

| | | | |
|------|------|------|------|
| 2015 | 1.9% | 2014 | 7.1% |
|------|------|------|------|

Return on average capital employed (ROACE) is defined as annual income, adjusted for after-tax interest expense, as a percentage of average capital employed during the year. Capital employed is the sum of total equity and total debt. ROACE measures the efficiency of our utilisation of the capital that we employ and is a common measure of business performance. See "Summary of results" on page 19 and "Non-GAAP measures reconciliations and other definitions" on page 199.

Gearing

| | | | |
|------|-------|------|-------|
| 2015 | 14.0% | 2014 | 12.2% |
|------|-------|------|-------|

Gearing is defined as net debt (total debt less cash and cash equivalents) as a percentage of total capital (net debt plus total equity), at December 31. It is a measure of the degree to which our operations are financed by debt. See "Liquidity and capital resources" on page 50.

Employees (thousand)

| | | | |
|------|----|------|----|
| 2015 | 93 | 2014 | 94 |
|------|----|------|----|

The employees indicator consists of the annual average full-time employee equivalent of the total number of people on full-time or part-time employment contracts with Shell subsidiaries, including our share of employees of certain additional joint operations. See "Our people" on page 60.

Proved oil and gas reserves (million boe)

| | | | |
|------|--------|------|--------|
| 2015 | 11,747 | 2014 | 13,081 |
|------|--------|------|--------|

Proved oil and gas reserves are the total estimated quantities of oil and gas from Shell subsidiaries and Shell's share from joint ventures and associates that geoscience and engineering data demonstrate, with reasonable certainty, to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs, at December 31, under existing economic conditions, operating methods and government regulations. Gas volumes are converted into barrels of oil equivalent (boe) using a factor of 5,800 standard cubic feet per barrel. Reserves are crucial to an oil and gas company, since they constitute the source of future production. Reserves estimates are subject to change due to a wide variety of factors, some of which are unpredictable. See "Summary of results" on page 19.

Operational spills of more than 100 kilograms

| | | | |
|------|-----|------|-----|
| 2015 | 108 | 2014 | 153 |
|------|-----|------|-----|

The operational spills indicator is the number of incidents in respect of activities where we are the operator in which 100 kilograms or more of oil or oil products were spilled as a result of those activities. See "Environment and society" on page 56.

Refining Energy Intensity Index (EII™) (indexed to 2002)

| | | | |
|------|------|------|------|
| 2015 | 95.4 | 2014 | 94.9 |
|------|------|------|------|

The Energy Intensity Index (EII™), as described in *Solomon Associates Refinery Comparative Performance Analysis Methodology 2014*, is a benchmark to compare energy efficiency of fuel refineries and paraffinic base oil plants. The Solomon EII™ is defined as the energy consumed by a refinery divided by the energy standard for the specific individual refinery configuration. See "Environment and society" on page 56.

Direct greenhouse gas emissions (million tonnes of CO₂ equivalent)

| | | | |
|------|----|------|----|
| 2015 | 72 | 2014 | 76 |
|------|----|------|----|

Direct greenhouse gas emissions from facilities operated by Shell, expressed in CO₂ equivalent. See "Environment and society" on pages 55-56.

Number of operational Tier 1 process safety events

| | | | |
|------|----|------|----|
| 2015 | 51 | 2014 | 57 |
|------|----|------|----|

A Tier 1 process safety event is an unplanned or uncontrolled release of any material, including non-toxic and non-flammable materials, from a process with the greatest actual consequence resulting in harm to members of our workforce or a neighbouring community, damage to equipment, or exceeding a threshold quantity as defined by the API Recommended Practice 754 and IOGP Standard 456. See "Environment and society" on pages 53-54.

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The selected financial data set out below are derived, in part, from the "Consolidated Financial Statements". This data should be read in conjunction with the "Consolidated Financial Statements" and related Notes, as well as with this Strategic Report.

| CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME AND OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME DATA | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Revenue | 264,960 | 421,105 | 451,235 | 467,153 | 470,171 |
| Income for the period | 2,200 | 14,730 | 16,526 | 26,960 | 31,093 |
| Income/(loss) attributable to non-controlling interest | 261 | (144) | 155 | 248 | 267 |
| Income attributable to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders | 1,939 | 14,874 | 16,371 | 26,712 | 30,826 |
| Comprehensive (loss)/income attributable to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders | (811) | 2,692 | 18,243 | 24,470 | 26,250 |

| CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET DATA | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Total assets | 340,157 | 353,116 | 357,512 | 350,294 | 337,474 |
| Total debt | 58,379 | 45,540 | 44,562 | 37,754 | 37,175 |
| Share capital | 546 | 540 | 542 | 542 | 536 |
| Equity attributable to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders | 162,876 | 171,966 | 180,047 | 174,749 | 158,480 |
| Non-controlling interest | 1,245 | 820 | 1,101 | 1,433 | 1,486 |

| EARNINGS PER SHARE | | | | | \$ |
|---|------|------|------|------|------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Basic earnings per €0.07 ordinary share | 0.31 | 2.36 | 2.60 | 4.27 | 4.97 |
| Diluted earnings per €0.07 ordinary share | 0.30 | 2.36 | 2.60 | 4.26 | 4.96 |

| SHARES | | | | | MILLION |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Basic weighted average number of A and B shares | 6,320.3 | 6,311.5 | 6,291.1 | 6,261.2 | 6,212.5 |
| Diluted weighted average number of A and B shares | 6,393.8 | 6,311.6 | 6,293.4 | 6,267.8 | 6,221.7 |

| OTHER FINANCIAL DATA | | | | | \$ MILLION, EXCEPT WHERE OTHERWISE INDICATED |
|--|---------|--------|---------|--------|--|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net cash from operating activities | 29,810 | 45,044 | 40,440 | 46,140 | 36,771 |
| Dividends paid to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders | 9,370 | 9,444 | 7,198 | 7,390 | 6,877 |
| Increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 10,145 | 11,911 | (8,854) | 7,258 | (2,152) |
| Earnings by segment [A] | | | | | |
| Upstream | (5,663) | 15,841 | 12,638 | 22,244 | 24,466 |
| Downstream | 10,243 | 3,411 | 3,869 | 5,382 | 4,170 |
| Corporate | (425) | (156) | 372 | (203) | 102 |
| Total segment earnings | 4,155 | 19,096 | 16,879 | 27,423 | 28,738 |
| Attributable to non-controlling interest | (313) | (55) | (134) | (259) | (205) |
| Earnings on a current cost of supplies basis attributable to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders [A][B] | 3,842 | 19,041 | 16,745 | 27,164 | 28,533 |
| Capital investment [A][B] | 28,861 | 37,339 | 46,041 | 36,761 | 31,051 |
| Divestments [A][B] | 5,540 | 15,019 | 1,738 | 6,958 | 7,548 |
| Operating expenses [A][B] | 41,144 | 45,225 | 44,379 | 41,987 | 42,035 |
| Return on average capital employed [A][B] | 1.9% | 7.1% | 7.9% | 13.6% | 16.6% |
| Gearing at December 31 [A] | 14.0% | 12.2% | 16.1% | 9.8% | 13.9% |

[A] See "Summary of results" on pages 18-19.

[B] See "Non-GAAP measures reconciliations and other definitions" on pages 198-199. Divestments include proceeds from sale of interests in Shell Midstream Partners, L.P.

UPSTREAM

| KEY STATISTICS | \$ MILLION, EXCEPT WHERE OTHERWISE INDICATED | | |
|--|--|--------|--------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Segment earnings [A] | (5,663) | 15,841 | 12,638 |
| Including: | | | |
| Revenue (including inter-segment sales) [A] | 53,927 | 92,299 | 92,869 |
| Share of profit of joint ventures and associates [A] | 1,962 | 5,502 | 6,120 |
| Interest and other income [A] | 2,356 | 4,029 | 659 |
| Operating expenses [B] | 19,828 | 22,003 | 20,612 |
| Exploration | 5,719 | 4,224 | 5,278 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortisation [A] | 23,001 | 17,868 | 16,949 |
| Taxation charge [A] | 10 | 15,277 | 17,803 |
| Capital investment [B] | 23,527 | 31,293 | 40,303 |
| Divestments [B] | 2,747 | 10,589 | 1,086 |
| Oil and gas production available for sale (thousand boe/d) | 2,954 | 3,080 | 3,199 |
| Equity sales of LNG (million tonnes) | 22.6 | 24.0 | 19.6 |
| Proved oil and gas reserves at December 31 (million boe) | 11,747 | 13,081 | 13,944 |

[A] See Note 4 to the "Consolidated Financial Statements" on page 127.

[B] See "Non-GAAP measures reconciliations and other definitions" on pages 198-199.

OVERVIEW

Our Upstream businesses explore for and extract crude oil and natural gas, often in joint arrangements with international and state-owned oil and gas companies. We also extract bitumen from mined oil sands which we convert into synthetic crude oil. We liquefy natural gas by cooling it and transport the liquefied natural gas (LNG) to customers around the world. We also convert natural gas to liquids (GTL) to provide high-quality fuels and other products, and we market and trade crude oil and natural gas (including LNG) in support of our Upstream businesses.

BUSINESS CONDITIONS

Global oil demand rose by 1.8% (1.7 million barrels per day (b/d)) in 2015, according to the International Energy Agency's January 2016 *Oil Market Report*. The Brent crude oil price averaged \$52/b, the lowest level since 2005. It traded in a range of \$35-67/b in 2015, ending the year at about \$36/b. See "Market overview" on pages 16-17.

We estimate that global gas demand grew by less than 1% in 2015, which is much lower than the average annual growth rate of about 2.3% in the past decade. In the USA, the natural gas price at the Henry Hub averaged \$2.6 per million British thermal units (MMBtu) in 2015, 40% lower than in 2014, and traded in a range of \$1.5-3.3/MMBtu. In Europe, natural gas prices fell during 2015. The average natural gas price at the UK National Balancing Point was 14% lower than in 2014. At the main continental European gas trading hubs – in the Netherlands, Belgium and Germany – prices were similarly weak. See "Market overview" on pages 16-17.

EARNINGS 2015-2014

Segment earnings in 2015 were a loss of \$5,663 million, which included a net charge of \$7,443 million. This net charge included \$4,616 million in the third quarter related to impairments, redundancy and restructuring, and other items such as contract provisions and well write-offs, associated with management's decision in the quarter to cease Alaska drilling activities for the foreseeable future and the Carmon Creek project in Canada. Charges for Alaska were \$2,584 million, which included \$755 million associated with well write-offs, and charges for Carmon Creek were \$2,032 million. The net charge also reflected other impairment charges of some \$4,575 million, principally triggered by the downward revision of our long-term oil and gas price outlook. These charges were partly offset by net gains on divestments of around \$1,640 million and a credit of \$604 million reflecting a statutory tax rate reduction in the UK. Other net charges of

\$496 million related to the negative impact of a statutory tax rate change in Canada, redundancy and restructuring costs and the impact of fair value accounting of certain commodity derivatives and gas contracts.

Segment earnings in 2014 of \$15,841 million included a net charge of \$664 million, reflecting impairment charges of \$2,406 million and further charges of \$718 million related to an update of an Australian deferred tax asset and a deferred tax liability related to an associate company. These charges were partly offset by divestment gains of \$2,073 million, the net effect of fair value accounting of commodity derivatives and certain gas contracts and the impact of amendments to our Dutch pension plan.

Excluding the net charges as described above, segment earnings in 2015 decreased by 89% compared with 2014. Earnings were principally impacted by the significant decline in oil and gas prices (around \$15,875 million) and the effect of the weakening of the Australian dollar and Brazilian real on deferred tax positions (around \$440 million in total). Earnings benefited from lower operating expenses, including favourable exchange rate effects and divestments (around \$1,655 million in total), and decreased depreciation, depletion and amortisation (around \$515 million). Integrated Gas contributed significantly (around \$5.2 billion) to 2015 earnings. Upstream Americas incurred a loss in 2015, primarily driven by low oil and gas prices and the weakening of the Brazilian real, and partly offset by lower operating expenses and a more liquids-based production mix.

Global realised liquids prices were 48% lower than in 2014. Global realised gas prices were 27% lower than in 2014, with a 47% decrease in the Americas and a 24% decrease outside the Americas.

EARNINGS 2014-2013

Segment earnings in 2014 of \$15,841 million included a net charge of \$664 million, as described above. Segment earnings in 2013 of \$12,638 million included a net charge of \$2,479 million, primarily related to the impairment of liquids-rich shale properties in North America, partly offset by net tax credits and gains on divestments.

Excluding the net charges described above, segment earnings in 2014 increased by 9% compared with 2013, driven by increased contributions from liquids production volumes from both the start-up of new high-margin deep-water projects and improved operational performance. Earnings also

UPSTREAM CONTINUED

reflected lower exploration expenses, primarily driven by fewer well write-offs, and increased contributions from Trading and Supply. Earnings were impacted by declining oil prices, losses in Upstream Americas tight-gas and liquids-rich shale, and higher depreciation.

CAPITAL INVESTMENT AND DIVESTMENTS

Capital investment in 2015 was \$23.5 billion compared with \$31.3 billion in 2014, reflecting our decision to curtail spending by reducing the number of new investment decisions and pursuing lower-cost development solutions.

Divestments in 2015 were \$2.7 billion in 2015, compared with \$10.6 billion in 2014. Divestments in 2015 were mainly from the sale of OMLs 18, 29, 71 and 72, and the Nembe Creek Trunk Line (NCTL) in Nigeria, and of our interest in Elba Liquefaction Company, LLC (Elba Liquefaction). In 2014, divestments related to a portion of our shareholding in Woodside and our interest in Wheatstone in Australia, to part of our interest in Parque das Conchas (BC-10) in Brazil and to Haynesville and Pinedale in the USA.

PORTFOLIO AND BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

We took the following key portfolio decisions in 2015:

- In April 2015, the Boards of the Company and BG Group plc (BG) announced that they had reached agreement on the terms of a recommended cash and share offer to be made by the Company for BG. In January 2016, shareholders of both the Company and BG voted in favour of the transaction, which was completed on February 15, 2016. See "Strategy and outlook" on page 15.
- Offshore Alaska, we drilled the Burger J well to target depth as planned. The well was considered a dry hole, with minor oil and gas shows, and the result renders the Burger prospect uneconomic. This, combined with the current economic and regulatory environment, led us to cease further exploration activity offshore Alaska for the foreseeable future.
- In Canada, we announced that we will not continue construction of the 80 thousand barrels of oil equivalent per day (boe/d) Carmon Creek thermal in-situ project (Shell interest 100%). After a careful review of the project, it was determined that it does not rank in our portfolio.
- In Malaysia, the LNG Dua JVA expired and we transferred our 15% shareholding to PETRONAS, in accordance with the original JVA terms. With the expiry of the Malaysia LNG Dua production-sharing contract (PSC), we handed over the operatorship and our 50% interest to PETRONAS.
- We took one major final investment decision (FID) and postponed a number of FIDs. We decided to advance the Appomattox deep-water development (Shell interest 79%) in the Gulf of Mexico, USA. Appomattox will initially produce from the Appomattox and Vicksburg fields, with peak production estimated to be 175 thousand boe/d.

In January 2016, in the United Arab Emirates, we decided to exit the joint development of the Bab sour gas reservoirs (Shell interest 40%) with Abu Dhabi National Oil Company (ADNOC) in the emirate of Abu Dhabi, and to stop further work on the project. The development of the project no longer fits with our strategy, particularly in view of the economic climate prevailing in the energy industry.

In February 2016, we announced that we postponed the FID on the Bonga South West deep-water project in Nigeria and that, together with our partners, we elected to postpone the FID of the proposed LNG project in Canada to late 2016.

We achieved the following operational milestones in 2015:

- In Nigeria, Shell Nigeria Exploration and Production Company Ltd (SNEPCO) announced the first production from the Bonga Phase 3 project

(Shell interest 55%). Bonga Phase 3 is an expansion of the Bonga Main development, with peak production expected to be about 50 thousand boe/d. The oil will be transported through existing pipelines to the Bonga floating production, storage and offloading facility (FPSO), which has the capacity to produce more than 200 thousand barrels of oil and 150 million standard cubic feet (scf) of gas per day.

- Also in Nigeria, Erha North Phase 2 began production. Erha North Phase 2 (Shell interest 43.75%) is a deep-water subsea development situated 100 kilometres offshore, in 1,000 metres of water, 6 kilometres north of the Erha field.
- In Ireland, we achieved first production from the Corrib gas field (Shell interest 45%). At peak production, the Corrib gas field is expected to produce around 45 thousand boe/d.
- In Australia, the partners in the Browse joint arrangement agreed to enter the front-end engineering and design (FEED) phase for the proposed non-operated Browse floating liquefied natural gas (FLNG) development (Shell interest 27%), using Shell FLNG technology. The proposed development is expected to produce around 12 million tonnes per annum (mtpa) of LNG.

In Australia, production of LNG and condensate started at the Gorgon LNG project on Barrow Island, off the northwest coast, in March 2016.

We continued to divest selected assets during 2015, including the following:

- In Nigeria, we completed the sale of our 30% interest in OMLs 18 and 29 and related facilities in the Eastern Niger Delta, and the NCTL.
- Also in Nigeria, we completed the sale of our 30% interests in OMLs 71 and 72 to West African Exploration and Production Company Limited, as part of our ongoing portfolio review and optimisation. Both of these blocks were non-producing.
- In the USA, we sold our 49% interest in Elba Liquefaction to Kinder Morgan, Inc., and exited the Elba Liquefaction project as a result. We retain the rights to 100% of the liquefaction capacity through a tolling arrangement.

In New Zealand, we agreed to sell our 83.75% interest in the Maui natural gas pipeline to First State Investments for a consideration of around \$0.2 billion. The transaction is expected to be completed in 2016, subject to regulatory approval.

PRODUCTION AVAILABLE FOR SALE

In 2015, production was 2,954 thousand boe/d compared with 3,080 thousand boe/d in 2014. Liquids production increased by 2% and natural gas production decreased by 9% compared with 2014.

Production in 2015 was impacted by the divestment of a number of assets (mainly shale assets in the USA and OMLs in Nigeria), field declines, curtailment of production at Groningen in the Netherlands, licence expiries in Malaysia in 2015 and Abu Dhabi in 2014, and higher maintenance activities.

These reductions were partly offset by new field start-ups and the continued ramp-up of existing fields, in particular Cardamom and Mars B in the Gulf of Mexico and Bonga in Nigeria, which together contributed approximately 120 thousand boe/d to production in 2015. Positive PSC price effects provided further offset.

EQUITY SALES OF LNG

Equity sales of LNG of 22.6 million tonnes were 6% lower than in 2014, mainly reflecting the expiry of the Malaysia LNG Dua Joint Venture Agreement (JVA), the divestment of a portion of our shareholding in Woodside Petroleum Limited (Woodside) in Australia and increased maintenance activities.

PROVED RESERVES

Shell subsidiaries' and the Shell share of joint ventures and associates' estimated net proved oil and gas reserves are summarised later in this section on page 33 and set out in more detail in "Supplementary information – oil and gas (unaudited)" on pages 153-161.

In 2015, proved reserves before taking production into account decreased by 220 million boe, of which 157 million boe came from Shell subsidiaries and 63 million boe from the Shell share of joint ventures and associates.

In 2015, after taking production into account, our proved reserves decreased by 1,334 million boe to 11,747 million boe at December 31, 2015.

In order to illustrate the potential impact of falling commodity prices on our 2014 proved reserves base, we replaced the 2014 yearly average price with the 2015 yearly average price in the analysis below, holding all other variables, such as 2014 costs estimates, constant. Applying this methodology, 1,707 million boe of proved reserves would have been excluded from our SEC proved reserves at December 31, 2014, if the 2015 year average price had been used. This negative price effect of 1,707 million boe was the combined result of a decrease of 2,080 million boe due to earlier economic cut-off, a decrease of 279 million boe due to proved undeveloped reserves (PUD) no longer being economic, and an increase of 652 million boe due to a higher entitlement share as a result of the lower yearly average price. The 1,707 million boe negative price effect includes reductions of 446 million boe of proved reserves for Carmon Creek, and 950 million boe for Muskeg River Mine, both in Canada. Because of actions we took during 2015, our actual outcome does not reflect this significant price effect. For example, the 2014 proved reserves associated with the Muskeg River Mine remain part of our 2015 proved reserves base because we were able to obtain significant structural cost improvements in 2015 which offset the significant decline in prices.

Shell subsidiaries

Before taking production into account, Shell subsidiaries' proved reserves decreased by 157 million boe in 2015. This comprised a reduction of 211 million barrels of oil and natural gas liquids and an addition of 54 million boe (315 thousand million scf) of natural gas. The reduction of 157 million boe was the net effect of a reduction of 150 million boe from revisions and reclassifications; an addition of 4 million boe from improved recovery; an addition of 89 million boe from extensions and discoveries; and a net decrease of 100 million boe related to purchases and sales.

After taking into account production of 907 million boe (of which 27 million boe were consumed in operations), Shell subsidiaries' proved reserves decreased by 1,064 million boe to 9,117 million boe at December 31, 2015.

Shell subsidiaries' proved developed reserves decreased by 210 million boe to 6,567 million boe, and PUD decreased by 854 million boe to 2,550 million boe.

The total reduction of 157 million boe proved reserves in Shell subsidiaries before taking production into account included an increase of 595 million boe due to an increased entitlement share in production sharing and tax/variable royalty contracts due to the lower yearly average price.

SYNTHETIC CRUDE OIL

The 220 million boe reduction in total proved reserves included an addition of 230 million barrels of synthetic crude oil, largely due to a reduction in variable royalty due to the lower yearly average price. In 2015, synthetic crude oil production was 52 million barrels, of which 2 million barrels were

consumed in operations. At December 31, 2015, synthetic crude oil proved reserves were 1,941 million barrels, of which 1,405 million barrels were proved developed reserves and 536 million barrels were PUD.

BITUMEN

The 220 million boe reduction in total proved reserves included a reduction of 420 million barrels of bitumen, largely caused by the cessation of the Carmon Creek project. In 2015, bitumen crude oil production was 5 million barrels with minimal volumes consumed in operations. At December 31, 2015, bitumen crude oil proved reserves were 3 million barrels.

Shell share of joint ventures and associates

Before taking production into account, the Shell share of joint ventures and associates' proved reserves decreased by 63 million boe in 2015. This comprised a reduction of 63 million barrels of oil and natural gas liquids and a negligible reduction of natural gas (2 thousand million scf). The reduction of 63 million boe was the net effect of a reduction of 82 million boe from revisions and reclassifications, an addition of 2 million boe from extensions and discoveries, an increase of 1 million boe from improved recovery and an increase of 16 million boe from purchases.

After taking into account production of 207 million boe (of which 9 million boe were consumed in operations), the Shell share of joint ventures and associates' proved reserves decreased by 270 million boe to 2,630 million boe at December 31, 2015.

The Shell share of joint ventures and associates' proved developed reserves decreased by 151 million boe to 2,055 million boe, and PUD decreased by 119 million boe to 575 million boe.

The total reduction of 63 million boe proved reserves in joint ventures and associates before taking production into account included an increase of 5 million boe due to increased entitlement share in production sharing and tax/variable royalty contracts due to the lower yearly average price.

PROVED UNDEVELOPED RESERVES

In 2015, Shell subsidiaries' and the Shell share of joint ventures and associates' PUD decreased by 973 million boe to 3,125 million boe. A large number of Shell fields saw reductions in PUD as a result of the lower yearly average price, with the largest reductions due to the cessation of Carmon Creek (Canada), economic limit test (ELT) failure of Stones (USA); and volumes matured to proved developed reserves in Soku (Africa) and Troll and Corrib (Europe). The most significant additions to PUD occurred in Muskeg River Mine (Canada) and Caesar Tonga (USA). The 973 million boe decrease in PUD was the net effect of a reduction of 1,070 million boe from revisions and reclassifications, an addition of 96 million boe from extensions, discoveries and improved recovery; and a net increase of 1 million boe related to purchases and sales.

During 2015, a total of 463 million boe of PUD were matured to proved developed reserves from projects coming on stream. An amount of 112 million boe was matured to proved developed reserves from contingent resource as a result of project execution during the year.

PUD held for five years or more (PUD5+) at December 31, 2015, amounted to 1,432 million boe, a decrease of 168 million boe compared with the end of 2014. These PUD5+ remain undeveloped because development either: requires the installation of gas compression and the drilling of additional wells, which will be executed when required to support existing gas delivery commitments (in the Netherlands and Russia); requires gas cap blow down which is awaiting end-of-oil production (in Nigeria); or will take longer than five years because of the complexity and scale of the project (Australia and Kazakhstan).

UPSTREAM CONTINUED

The decrease in PUD5+ of 168 million boe was due to the maturation of 98 million boe PUD5+ to proved developed reserves and a net reduction of 70 million boe of PUD5+ as a result of certain projects no longer passing the ELT due to the lower yearly average price and technical downward revisions to certain PUD5+, partially offset by the ageing of a small amount of PUD that are now more than five years old. Three fields – Soku (Africa), Troll (Europe) and Malampaya (Asia) – were the main contributors to the reduction from PUD5+ to proved developed reserves from compression projects being brought on stream and PUD volumes being matured to proved developed reserves. The fields with the largest PUD5+ at December 31, 2015, were Muskeg River Mine (Canada), followed by Gorgon and Jansz-lo (Oceania), Groningen (Europe), and Kashaghan (Asia).

During 2015, we spent \$13.9 billion on development activities related to PUD maturation.

DELIVERY COMMITMENTS

We sell crude oil and natural gas from our producing operations under a variety of contractual obligations. Most contracts generally commit us to sell quantities based on production from specified properties, although some natural gas sales contracts specify delivery of fixed and determinable quantities, as discussed below.

In the past three years, with the exception of Brunei, we met all contractual delivery commitments. In the period 2016 to 2018, we are contractually committed to deliver to third parties and joint ventures and associates a total of approximately 3,700 thousand million scf of natural gas from our subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates. The sales contracts contain a mixture of fixed and variable pricing formulae that are generally referenced to the prevailing market price for crude oil, natural gas or other petroleum products at the time of delivery.

The shortfall between our delivery commitments and our proved developed reserves is estimated at 29% of our total gas delivery commitments. This shortfall is expected to be met through the development of proved undeveloped reserves as well as new projects and purchases on the spot market.

EXPLORATION

In 2015, we made six notable discoveries and appraisals, including in Australia, Brazil, the UK and the USA. Discoveries will be evaluated further in order to establish the extent of commercially producible volumes they contain.

In 2015, we participated in 148 productive exploratory wells with proved reserves allocated (Shell share: 114 wells). For further information, see "Supplementary information – oil and gas (unaudited)" on page 169.

In 2015, we participated in a further 185 wells (Shell share: 117 wells) that remained pending determination at December 31, 2015.

In total, the net undeveloped acreage in our exploration portfolio decreased by around 4.8 million acres in 2015, with the largest contributions comprising acreage relinquishment in Benin, China, Gabon, Russia, Saudi Arabia, Tunisia, Ukraine and the USA; and an acreage reduction in Canada. These effects were partially offset by acreage acquisitions in Algeria, Australia, Indonesia and Myanmar.

BUSINESS AND PROPERTY

Our subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates are involved in all aspects of upstream activities, including matters such as land tenure, entitlement to produced hydrocarbons, production rates, royalties, pricing, environmental protection, social impact, exports, taxes and foreign exchange.

The conditions of the leases, licences and contracts under which oil and gas interests are held vary from country to country. In almost all cases outside North America, the legal agreements are generally granted by, or entered into with, a government, state-owned company or government-run oil and gas company, and the exploration risk usually rests with the independent oil and gas company. In North America, these agreements may also be with private parties that own mineral rights. Of these agreements, the following are most relevant to our interests:

- Licences (or concessions), which entitle the holder to explore for hydrocarbons and exploit any commercial discoveries. Under a licence, the holder bears the risk of exploration, development and production activities, and is responsible for financing these activities. In principle, the licence holder is entitled to the totality of production less any royalties in kind. The government, state-owned company or government-run oil and gas company may sometimes enter into a joint arrangement as a participant sharing the rights and obligations of the licence but usually without sharing the exploration risk. In a few cases, the state-owned company, government-run oil and gas company or agency has an option to purchase a certain share of production.
- Lease agreements, which are typically used in North America and are usually governed by similar terms as licences. Participants may include governments or private entities, and royalties are either paid in cash or in kind.
- Production-sharing contracts (PSCs) entered into with a government, state-owned company or government-run oil and gas company. PSCs generally oblige the independent oil and gas company, as contractor, to provide all the financing and bear the risk of exploration, development and production activities in exchange for a share of the production. Usually, this share consists of a fixed or variable part that is reserved for the recovery of the contractor's cost (cost oil). The remaining production is split with the government, state-owned company or government-run oil and gas company on a fixed or volume/revenue-dependent basis. In some cases, the government, state-owned company or government-run oil and gas company will participate in the rights and obligations of the contractor and will share in the costs of development and production. Such participation can be across the venture or on a field-by-field basis. Additionally, as the price of oil or gas increases above certain predetermined levels, the independent oil and gas company's entitlement share of production normally decreases, and vice versa. Accordingly, its interest in a project may not be the same as its entitlement.

Europe

DENMARK

We have a non-operating interest in a producing concession in Denmark (Shell interest 36.8%), which was granted in 1962 and will expire in 2042. The Danish government is one of our partners with a 20% interest.

IRELAND

We are the operator of the Corrib gas project (Shell interest 45%). Corrib has the potential to supply a significant proportion of the country's gas requirements. Gas started to flow from the field, which is 83 kilometres off Ireland's northwest coast, on December 30, 2015.

ITALY

We have two non-operating interests in Italy: the Val d'Agri producing concession (Shell interest 39.23%) and the Tempa Rossa concession (Shell interest 25%). The Val d'Agri Phase 2 project is currently in FEED phase and work is being carried out to manage key non-technical risks. The Tempa Rossa field is under development and first oil is expected in 2018.

NETHERLANDS

Shell and ExxonMobil are 50:50 shareholders in Nederlandse Aardolie Maatschappij B.V. (NAM), the largest hydrocarbon producer in the

Netherlands. An important part of NAM's gas production comes from the onshore Groningen gas field, in which the Dutch government has a 40% interest and NAM a 60% interest.

In the second quarter of 2015, the Minister of Economic Affairs of the Netherlands (the Minister) announced a further reduction in the Groningen production for 2015 to 30 billion cubic metres (bcm), in an effort to diminish the potential for seismic activity, while allowing a further 3 bcm to be taken from the Norg underground storage to ensure security of supply. The State Council ("Raad van State") ruled in November 2015 that the Groningen production limit be set at 27 bcm for the gas year 2016, until the Minister takes a new resolution on NAM's production plan. The Minister is expected to approve a new development plan for Groningen no later than October 1, 2016. NAM produced 28.1 bcm from the Groningen field in 2015. While the Dutch government currently supports the full development of the Groningen gas field, any decision to change the development plan to reduce the ultimate recovery of resources would adversely affect our proved reserves. See "Risk factors" on page 10.

NAM also has a 60% interest in the Schoonebeek oil field, which has been redeveloped using enhanced oil recovery (EOR) technology. In June 2015, due to pipeline integrity issues identified, NAM decided to shut-in the Schoonebeek field. Production is expected to resume by the end of 2016. NAM also operates a significant number of other onshore gas fields and offshore gas fields in the North Sea.

NORWAY

We are a partner in 30 production licences on the Norwegian continental shelf. We are the operator in 13 of these, of which two are producing: the Ormen Lange gas field (Shell interest 17.8%) and the Draugen oil field (Shell interest 44.6%). The other producing fields are Troll, Gjøa, Kvitebjørn and Valemon. The Draugen field has an operational waterflood.

UK

We operate a significant number of our interests on the UK Continental Shelf on behalf of a 50:50 joint arrangement with ExxonMobil. Most of our UK oil and gas production comes from the North Sea. We have various interests where we are not the operator in the Atlantic Margin area, principally in the West of Shetland area (Clair, Shell interest 28%, and Schiehallion, Shell interest approximately 55%). We also have interests ranging from 20% to 49% in the Beryl area fields.

Waterfloods are operational in the Beryl, Clair and Pierce fields. The Schiehallion and Loyal fields production and water injection is closed-in as the fields are being redeveloped; the fields are currently planned to resume production by mid-2017.

REST OF EUROPE

We also have interests in Albania, Germany and Greenland.

Asia (including the Middle East and Russia)

BRUNEI

Shell and the Brunei government are 50:50 shareholders in Brunei Shell Petroleum Company Sendirian Berhad (BSP). BSP has long-term oil and gas concession rights onshore and offshore Brunei, and sells most of its gas production to Brunei LNG Sendirian Berhad (BLNG, Shell interest 25%). BLNG was the first LNG plant in Asia-Pacific and sells most of its LNG on long-term contracts to customers in Asia. Production from the Champion field is supported by water injection, and gas injection is installed in the South West Ampa field.

In addition to our interest in BSP, we are the operator for the Block A concession (Shell interest 53.9%), which is under exploration and

development, and also the operator for exploration Block Q (Shell interest 50%). We have a 35% non-operating interest in the Block B concession, where gas and condensate are produced from the Maharaja Lela field.

We also have non-operating interests in deep-water exploration Block CA-2 (Shell interest 12.5%) and in exploration Block N (Shell interest 50%), both under PSCs.

CHINA

We jointly develop and produce from the onshore Changbei tight-gas field under a PSC with China National Petroleum Corporation (CNPC). The PSC includes the development of tight gas in different geological layers of the block. In Sichuan, we have agreed with CNPC to appraise, develop and produce from tight-gas and liquids-rich shale formations in the Jinjia block under a PSC (Shell interest 49%) and have a PSC for shale-gas exploration, development and production in the Fushun Yongchuan block (Shell interest 49%).

We also have an interest in an offshore oil and gas block in the Yinggehai basin, under a PSC (Shell interest 49%).

INDONESIA

We have a 35% participating interest in the offshore Masela block where INPEX Masela is the operator. The Masela block contains the Abadi gas field. The operator has selected an FLNG concept for the field's development phase. The development plan approval process is ongoing with the government of Indonesia.

In May 2015, we signed a PSC with the Indonesian government for the exploration and potential development of acreage called Pulau Moa, offshore in eastern Indonesia.

IRAN

Shell transactions with Iran are disclosed separately. See "Section 13(r) of the US Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Disclosure" on page 197.

IRAQ

We have a 45% interest in the Majnoon oil field that we operate under a technical service contract that expires in 2030. The other shareholders in Majnoon are PETRONAS (30%) and the Iraqi government (25%), which is represented by the Missan Oil Company. Majnoon is located in southern Iraq and is one of the world's largest oil fields. Production at Majnoon averaged 211 thousand boe/d in 2014 and 206 thousand boe/d in 2015.

We also have a 20% interest in the West Qurna 1 field, which is operated by ExxonMobil.

According to the provisions of both contracts, our equity entitlement volumes will be lower than our interest implies.

We also have a 44% interest in the Basrah Gas Company, which gathers, treats and processes associated gas, produced from the Rumaila, West Qurna 1 and Zubair fields, that was previously being flared. The processed gas and associated products, such as condensate and liquefied petroleum gas (LPG), are sold primarily to the domestic market with the potential to export any surplus.

KAZAKHSTAN

We have a 16.8% interest in the North Caspian Production Sharing Agreement which covers the offshore Kashagan field, where the North Caspian Operating Company is the operator. This shallow-water field covers an area of approximately 3,400 square kilometres. Phase 1 development of the field is expected to lead to plateau production of about 300 thousand boe/d, on a 100% basis, with the possibility of increasing

UPSTREAM CONTINUED

further with additional phases of development. Following the completion of pipeline replacement and other preparation activities, the operator expects production to start around the end of 2016.

Kashagan production will be supported by gas injection.

We also have an interest of 55% in the Pearls PSC, covering an area of approximately 900 square kilometres in the Kazakh sector of the Caspian Sea. It includes two oil discoveries, Auezov and Khazar.

We also have a 5.43% interest in Caspian Pipeline Consortium, which owns an oil pipeline running from the Caspian Sea to the Black Sea across parts of Kazakhstan and Russia.

MALAYSIA

We explore for and produce oil and gas offshore Sabah and Sarawak under 18 PSCs, in which our interests range from 20% to 85%.

Offshore Sabah, we operate five producing oil fields (Shell interests ranging from 29% to 50%). These include the Gumusut-Kakap deep-water field (Shell interest 29%) where production via a dedicated floating production system commenced in 2014. We have additional interests ranging from 30% to 50% in PSCs for the exploration and development of four blocks. These include the Malikai deep-water field (Shell interest 35%) which we are developing, as the operator. We also have a 21% interest in the Siakap North-Petai deep-water field and a 30% interest in the Kebabangan field, neither of which we operate.

Offshore Sarawak, we are the operator of 12 producing gas fields (Shell interests ranging from 37.5% to 70%). Nearly all of the gas produced is supplied to Malaysia LNG in Bintulu, where we have a 15% interest in the Tiga LNG joint venture, and to our Shell MDS GTL plant in Bintulu. In May 2015, the Malaysia LNG Dua JVA expired, resulting in the transfer of our 15% shareholding to PETRONAS, in accordance with the original JVA terms. The Malaysia LNG Dua PSC expired in August 2015, at which time we handed over the operatorship and our 50% interest to PETRONAS.

Waterflood is operational in the St. Joseph field and is under installation at the Malikai field. In the Gumusut Kakap field, both gas and water injections were commissioned in 2015 and are operational.

We also have a 40% interest in the 2011 Baram Delta EOR PSC and a 50% interest in Block SK-307. Additionally, we have interests in five exploration PSCs: deep-water block 2B, SK318, SK319, SK320 and SK408.

We operate a GTL plant (Shell interest 72%) adjacent to the Malaysia LNG facilities in Bintulu. Using Shell technology, the plant converts gas into high-quality middle distillates, drilling fluids, waxes and speciality products.

OMAN

We have a 34% interest in Petroleum Development Oman (PDO); the Omani government has a 60% interest. PDO is the operator of more than 160 oil fields, mainly located in central and southern Oman over an area of 114,000 square kilometres. The concession expires in 2044. In various assets in PDO, production is supported by water injection, gas injection, steam injection or polymer flood projects.

We are also participating in the Mukhaizna oil field (Shell interest 17%) where steam flooding, an EOR method, is being applied.

We have a 30% interest in Oman LNG, which mainly supplies Asian markets under long-term contracts. We also have an 11% indirect interest in Qalhat LNG, which is part of the Oman LNG complex.

QATAR

Pearl in Qatar is the world's largest GTL plant. We operate it under a development and production-sharing contract with the government. The fully-integrated facility has capacity for production, processing and transportation of 1.6 billion scf/d of gas from Qatar's North Field. It has an installed capacity of about 140 thousand boe/d of high-quality liquid hydrocarbon products and 120 thousand boe/d of natural gas liquids (NGL) and ethane. In 2015, Pearl produced 4.1 million tonnes of GTL products.

Of Pearl's two trains, the second train will undergo planned maintenance, starting in March 2016 and continuing into the second quarter of 2016, for an estimated two-month period. The first train underwent similar planned maintenance in 2015, which was completed in April 2015.

We have a 30% interest in Qatargas 4, which comprises integrated facilities to produce about 1.4 billion scf/d of gas from Qatar's North Field, an onshore gas-processing facility and an LNG train with a collective production capacity of 7.8 mtpa of LNG and 70 thousand boe/d of condensate and NGL. The LNG is shipped mainly to China, Europe and the United Arab Emirates.

RUSSIA

We have a 27.5% interest in Sakhalin-2, an integrated oil and gas project located in a subarctic environment. In 2015, the project produced approximately 320 thousand boe/d and the output of LNG exceeded 10 million tonnes.

Our 100% interest in an exploration and production licence for the Lenzitsky block in the Yamalo Nenets Autonomous District was relinquished in 2015. We have a 100% interest in the North Vorkutinsky 1 and North Vorkutinsky 2 exploration and production licences in Komi Republic (Timan Pechora). We also have a 50% interest through Khanty-Mansiysk Petroleum Alliance (a 50:50 joint venture with Gazprom Neft) in three exploration licence blocks in western Siberia: South Lungorsky 1, Yuilsky 4 and Yuilsky 5.

We have a 50% interest in the Salym fields in western Siberia, Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous District, where production was approximately 120 thousand boe/d in 2015. In the Salym fields, production is supported by water injection.

As a result of European Union and US sanctions prohibiting certain defined oil and gas activities in Russia, we suspended our shale oil exploration activities undertaken through Salym and Khanty-Mansiysk Petroleum Alliance in 2014.

UNITED ARAB EMIRATES

In Abu Dhabi, we have a 15% interest in the licence of Abu Dhabi Gas Industries Limited (GASCO), which expires in 2028. GASCO exports propane, butane and heavier-liquid hydrocarbons, which it extracts from the wet gas associated with the oil produced by the Abu Dhabi Company for Onshore Oil Operations (ADCO).

We were working with ADNOC on the development of the Bab sour gas reservoirs in Abu Dhabi (Shell interest 40%). However, following a careful and thorough evaluation of technical challenges and costs, we have decided to exit the joint development of the Bab sour gas reservoirs with ADNOC and to stop further work on the project.

REST OF ASIA

We also have interests in Jordan, Kuwait, Myanmar, the Philippines and Turkey.

Oceania

AUSTRALIA

We have interests in offshore production and exploration licences in the North West Shelf (NWS) and Greater Gorgon areas of the Carnarvon Basin, as well as in the Browse Basin and Timor Sea. Some of these interests are held directly and others indirectly through a shareholding of about 14% in Woodside. All interests in Australian assets quoted below are direct interests.

Woodside is the operator of the Pluto LNG project. Woodside is also the operator on behalf of the joint-arrangement participants in the NWS gas, condensate and oil fields, which produced more than 500 thousand boe/d in 2015. We provide technical support for the NWS development.

We have a 50% interest in Arrow Energy Holdings Pty Limited (Arrow), a Queensland-based joint venture with PetroChina. Arrow owns coal-bed methane assets and a domestic power business.

We have a 25% interest in the Gorgon LNG project, which involves the development of some of the largest gas discoveries to date in Australia, beginning with the offshore Gorgon (Shell interest 25%) and Jansz-Lo (Shell interest 19.6%) fields. The Gorgon LNG project on Barrow Island started LNG and condensate production in March 2016.

We are the operator of a permit in the Browse Basin in which two separate gas fields were found: Prelude in 2007 and Concerto in 2009. Our development concept for these fields is based on our FLNG technology. The Prelude FLNG project (Shell interest 67.5%) is expected to produce about 110 thousand boe/d of gas and NGL, delivering 3.6 mtpa of LNG, 1.3 mtpa of condensate and 0.4 mtpa of LPG. Major milestones during 2015 were the lifting of all topsides onto the FLNG facility and the conclusion of the well drilling campaign.

We are also a partner in the Browse joint arrangement (Shell interest 27%) covering the Brecknock, Calliance and Torosa gas fields. In 2015, the Browse partners supported a FEED decision for an FLNG development.

Our other interests include a joint arrangement, with Shell as the operator, of the undeveloped Crux gas and condensate field (Shell interest 82%), and the Woodside-operated, undeveloped Sunrise gas field in the Timor Sea (Shell interest 26.6%).

We are a partner in both Shell-operated and other exploration joint arrangements where we are not the operator in multiple basins including Bonaparte, Browse, Exmouth Plateau, Greater Gorgon, Outer Canning and Outer Exmouth.

REST OF OCEANIA

We also have interests in New Zealand.

Africa

NIGERIA

Our share of production, onshore and offshore, in Nigeria was approximately 278 thousand boe/d in 2015, compared with approximately 300 thousand boe/d in 2014. Security issues and crude oil theft in the Niger Delta continued to be significant challenges in 2015.

Onshore

The Shell Petroleum Development Company of Nigeria Limited (SPDC) is the operator of a joint arrangement (Shell interest 30%) that has 17 Niger Delta onshore OMLs, which expire in 2019. Of the Nigeria onshore proved reserves, 196 million boe are expected to be produced before the expiry of

the current licences and 402 million boe beyond. To provide funding, modified carry agreements are in place for certain key projects and are being reimbursed.

SPDC supplies gas to Nigeria LNG Ltd (NLNG) mainly through its Gbaran-Ubie and Soku projects. As part of the strategic review of its interests in the eastern Niger Delta, SPDC has divested its 30% interest in OMLs 18, 29, and the NCTL. OML 25 is held for sale, subject to the resolution of pending litigation. Additional divestments may occur as a result of the strategic review.

The level of crude oil theft activities and sabotage in 2015 was significantly lower than in 2014, following the divestment of OMLs 18 and 29, and the NCTL in 2015.

In our Nigerian operations, we face various risks and adverse conditions which could have a material adverse effect on our operational performance, earnings, cash flows and financial condition (see "Risk factors" on page 09). These risks and conditions include: security issues surrounding the safety of our people, host communities and operations; sabotage and theft; our ability to enforce existing contractual rights; litigation; limited infrastructure; potential legislation that could increase our taxes or costs of operations; the effect of lower oil and gas prices on the government budget; and regional instability created by militant activities. In addition, the Nigerian government is contemplating new legislation to govern the petroleum industry which, if passed into law, could have a material adverse effect on our existing and future activities in that country. There are limitations to the extent to which we can mitigate these risks. We carry out regular portfolio assessments to remain a competitive player in Nigeria for the long term. We support the Nigerian government's efforts to improve the efficiency, functionality and domestic benefits of Nigeria's oil and gas industry, and monitor legislative developments for possible contribution. We monitor the security situation and liaise with host communities, governmental and non-governmental organisations to help promote peace and safe operations. We continue to provide transparency of spills management and reporting, along with our deployment of oil spill response capability and technology. We execute a maintenance strategy to support sustainable equipment reliability, and have implemented a multi-year programme to support sustainable reduction in the routine flaring of associated gas. See "Environment and society" on pages 55-56.

Offshore

Our main offshore deep-water activities are carried out by SNEPCO (Shell interest 100%) which has interests in four deep-water blocks, under PSC terms. SNEPCO operates OMLs 118 (including the Bonga field, Shell interest 55%) and 135 (Bolia and Doro, Shell interest 55%) and has a 43.75% interest in OML 133 (Erha), where we are not the operator, and a 50% interest in oil production lease 245 (Zabazaba, Etan). SNEPCO also has an approximate 43% interest in the Bonga South West/Aparo development via its 55% interest in OML 118. After close consultation with our partners, it is clear that the Bonga South West deep-water project requires further project cost reductions to make it economically viable in the current business environment. An FID is not expected before 2017.

First oil was produced in the third quarter of 2015 from the Bonga Phase 3 development. It is expected to contribute some 50 thousand boe/d at peak production through the existing Bonga FPSO export facility.

First oil was also achieved in the third quarter of 2015 from the Erha North Phase 2 development. The project, in which SNEPCO has a 43.75% interest, is a tie-back to the Erha FPSO. The Phase 2 development is expected to result in around 120 million recoverable barrels of oil from the field.

Production from the Bonga and Erha North fields is supported by water

UPSTREAM CONTINUED

injection. The Erha Main field production is supported by a combination of water and gas injection.

Five shallow-water licences (OMLs 71, 72, 74, 77 and 79) were renewed in December 2014 and will expire in 2034. In 2015, we sold OMLs 71 and 72, both of which were non-producing.

Liquefied natural gas

We have a 25.6% interest in NLNG, which operates six LNG trains with a total capacity of 22.0 mtpa.

REST OF AFRICA

We also have interests in Algeria, Egypt, Gabon, Namibia, South Africa and Tanzania.

North America

CANADA

We have more than 1,800 mineral leases in Canada, mainly in Alberta and British Columbia. We produce and market natural gas, NGL, synthetic crude oil and bitumen. In addition, we have significant exploration acreage offshore. Bitumen is a very heavy crude oil produced through conventional methods as well as through EOR methods. Synthetic crude oil is produced by mining bitumen-saturated sands, extracting the bitumen from the sands and transporting it to a processing facility where hydrogen is added to produce a wide range of feedstocks for refineries.

Gas and liquids-rich shale

We continued to develop fields in Alberta and British Columbia during 2015 through drilling programmes and investment in infrastructure to facilitate new production. We own and operate natural gas processing and sulphur-extraction plants in Alberta and natural gas processing plants in British Columbia. In 2014, we entered into a joint venture (Shell interest 50%) to evaluate an investment in an LNG export facility in Kitimat on the west coast of Canada. Together with our partners, we have elected to postpone the FID of the proposed LNG project to late 2016.

Synthetic crude oil

We operate the Athabasca Oil Sands Project (AOSP) in north-east Alberta as part of a joint arrangement (Shell interest 60%). The bitumen is transported by pipeline for processing at the Scotford Upgrader, which we also operate and is located in the Edmonton area.

We also have a number of other minable oil sands leases in the Athabasca region with expiry dates ranging from 2018 to 2025. By completing the Alberta Department of Energy's prescriptive development requirements prior to their expiry, leases may be extended.

Carbon capture and storage

The Quest carbon capture and storage project (Shell interest 60%), which is expected to capture and permanently store more than 1 mtpa of carbon dioxide from the Scotford Upgrader, began operations in late 2015.

Bitumen

We produce and market bitumen in the Peace River area of Alberta. We also have heavy oil resources in approximately 1,200 square kilometres in the Grosmont oil sands area, also in northern Alberta. We announced that we will not continue construction of the 80 thousand boe/d Carmon Creek thermal in-situ project (Shell interest 100%). We have retained the Carmon Creek leases and preserved some equipment while continuing to evaluate options for these assets.

Offshore

We have a 31.3% interest in the Sable Offshore Energy project, a natural-gas complex off the east coast of Canada, and other acreages in deep-water offshore Nova Scotia and Newfoundland. We have a 50% interest and operatorship in the Shelburne exploration project offshore Nova Scotia. We also have a number of exploration licences off the west coast of British Columbia and in the Mackenzie Delta in the Northwest Territories.

USA

We produce oil and gas in the Gulf of Mexico, heavy oil in California and primarily tight gas and oil from liquids-rich shales in Pennsylvania and Texas. The majority of our oil and gas production interests are acquired under leases granted by the owner of the minerals underlying the relevant acreage, including many leases for federal onshore and offshore tracts. Such leases usually run on an initial fixed term that is automatically extended by the establishment of production for as long as production continues, subject to compliance with the terms of the lease (including, in the case of federal leases, extensive regulations imposed by federal law).

Gulf of Mexico

The Gulf of Mexico is our major production area in the USA, and accounts for over 62% of our oil and gas production in the country. We have an interest in approximately 400 federal offshore production leases and our share of production averaged 253 thousand boe/d in 2015. Key producing assets are Auger, Brutus, Enchilada, Mars, Mars B, Perdido, Ram Powell and Ursa, which we operate, and Caesar Tonga and Na Kika, which we do not operate. Production from the Ursa and Perdido-Great White fields is supported by water injection. Efforts are ongoing to reinstate water injection at the Mars field.

We continued exploration, development and abandonment activities in the Gulf of Mexico in 2015, with an average contracted offshore rig fleet of seven mobile rigs and seven platform rigs. We also secured 17 blocks in the central Gulf of Mexico lease sales in 2015.

Onshore

We have significant tight-gas and liquids-rich shale acreage, centred on Pennsylvania in north-east USA and in the Delaware Permian Basin in west Texas.

California

We have a 51.8% interest in Aera Energy LLC (Aera), which operates in the San Joaquin Valley in California. Aera operates approximately 15,000 wells, producing around 130 thousand boe/d of heavy oil and gas.

Aera fields Belridge, Lost Hills, Cymric, McKittrick, Coalinga, Midway Sunset, Ventura and San Ardo are all operated under a combination of water and steam injection.

Alaska

We operated for almost 50 years off the coast of Alaska, including in the Cook Inlet, and the Beaufort and Chukchi seas, until 1998. Between 2005 and 2012, we acquired our current Alaska portfolio, which includes 339 federal leases for exploration in the Beaufort and Chukchi Seas, and 18 state leases in North Slope Beaufort coastal waters. The federal Chukchi leases expire in 2020. The vast majority of federal Beaufort leases end in 2017 and the remaining two in 2019. The state Beaufort leases end in 2022.

In September 2015, we safely drilled the Burger J well in the Chukchi Sea to a depth of 2,073 metres. The well was deemed a dry hole, and the result renders the Burger prospect uneconomic. The well was sealed and abandoned in accordance with regulations. We will not conduct further exploration offshore Alaska for the foreseeable future. This decision reflects

not only the outcome of the Burger J well, but also the high costs associated with the project, and the challenging and unpredictable federal regulatory environment for the Alaska outer continental shelf.

Subsequently, we safely demobilised all personnel and vessels from the Chukchi Sea. All operations were conducted without significant injury or environmental issues. We conveyed the results of the exploration season to stakeholders and worked closely with them in the subsequent winding down of operations.

Our leasehold in Alaska remains material and prospective, and strategies to generate value from this acreage – including lease extensions – will be developed and progressed accordingly. In October 2015, the Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement denied our request to extend expiration dates for the federal leases. We have appealed the decision.

South America

BRAZIL

Offshore

We operate several deep-water producing fields in the Campos Basin. They include the BC-10 field (Shell interest 50%), which is supported with water injection, and the Bijupirá and Salema fields (Shell interest 80%). We expect to start production from the BC-10 Phase 3 project in 2016.

In January 2015, we signed a purchase and sale agreement to divest our interest in the Bijupirá and Salema fields, pending regulatory approvals. The agreement was cancelled in February 2016 and these assets therefore remain in our portfolio.

In the Santos Basin, we have a 20% interest in a 35-year PSC to develop the Libra pre-salt oil field and operate exploration block BM-S-54 (Shell interest 80%).

In August 2015, we ceased exploration on block BM-ES-27 (Shell interest 17.5%) in the Espirito Santos basins.

Onshore

In February 2015, we returned our block in the São Francisco basin area (Shell interest 60%) to the regulator.

We have an 18% interest in Brazil Companhia de Gas de São Paulo (Comgás), a natural gas distribution company in the state of São Paulo.

REST OF SOUTH AMERICA

We also have interests in Argentina, Colombia and French Guiana. Furthermore, we have an interest in the LNG plants in Peru and Trinidad and Tobago.

Trading and Supply

We market a portion of our share of equity production of LNG and trade LNG volumes around the world through our hubs in Dubai and Singapore. We also market and trade natural gas, power, carbon-emission rights and crude oil from certain of our Upstream operations in the Americas and Europe.

UPSTREAM CONTINUED

| CAPITAL INVESTMENT IN OIL AND GAS EXPLORATION AND PRODUCTION ACTIVITIES BY GEOGRAPHICAL AREA | \$ MILLION | |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Oil and gas exploration and production activities | | |
| Europe [A] | 2,999 | 4,273 |
| Asia | 3,208 | 3,875 |
| Oceania | 3,526 | 5,068 |
| Africa | 2,312 | 2,825 |
| North America – USA | 7,409 | 8,210 |
| North America – Canada | 2,148 | 3,162 |
| South America | 666 | 1,109 |
| Total | 22,268 | 28,522 |
| Other Upstream activities [B] | 1,259 | 2,771 |
| Total Upstream [C] | 23,527 | 31,293 |

[A] Includes Greenland.

[B] Comprise LNG, GTL, trading and supply activities, and wind activities.

[C] See "Non-GAAP measures reconciliations and other definitions" on page 198.

| LOCATION OF OIL AND GAS EXPLORATION AND PRODUCTION ACTIVITIES [A] (AT DECEMBER 31, 2015) | Development and/or production | | Shell operator[B] |
|--|-------------------------------|---|-------------------|
| | Exploration | | |
| Europe | | | |
| Albania | ■ | | |
| Denmark | ■ | ■ | |
| Germany | ■ | ■ | |
| Greenland | ■ | | ■ |
| Ireland | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Italy | ■ | ■ | |
| Netherlands | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Norway | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| UK | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Asia [C] | | | |
| Brunei | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| China | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Indonesia | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Iraq | | ■ | ■ |
| Jordan | ■ | | ■ |
| Kazakhstan | ■ | ■ | |
| Malaysia | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Myanmar | ■ | | ■ |
| Oman | ■ | ■ | |
| Philippines | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Qatar | | ■ | ■ |
| Russia | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Turkey | ■ | | ■ |
| Oceania | | | |
| Australia | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| New Zealand | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Africa | | | |
| Algeria | ■ | | |
| Egypt | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Gabon | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Namibia | ■ | | ■ |
| Nigeria | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| South Africa | ■ | | ■ |
| Tanzania | ■ | | |
| North America | | | |
| USA | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Canada | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| South America | | | |
| Argentina | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Brazil | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Colombia | ■ | | ■ |
| French Guiana | ■ | | ■ |

[A] Includes joint ventures and associates. Where a joint venture or associate has properties outside its base country, those properties are not shown in this table.

[B] In several countries where "Shell operator" is indicated, Shell is the operator of some but not all exploration and/or production ventures.

[C] Shell suspended all exploration and production activities in Syria in December 2011.

PROVED OIL AND GAS RESERVES

| SUMMARY OF PROVED OIL AND GAS RESERVES OF SHELL SUBSIDIARIES AND SHELL SHARE OF JOINT VENTURES AND ASSOCIATES [A] (AT DECEMBER 31, 2015) | | | | | | BASED ON AVERAGE PRICES FOR 2015 |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Crude oil and natural gas liquids (million barrels) | Natural gas (thousand million scf) | Synthetic crude oil (million barrels) | Bitumen (million barrels) | Total all products (million boe)[B] | |
| Proved developed | | | | | | |
| Europe | 225 | 9,404 | – | – | 1,846 | |
| Asia | 1,176 | 14,221 | – | – | 3,628 | |
| Oceania | 45 | 1,654 | – | – | 330 | |
| Africa | 437 | 1,386 | – | – | 676 | |
| North America | | | | | | |
| USA | 455 | 572 | – | – | 554 | |
| Canada | 20 | 636 | 1,405 | 3 | 1,538 | |
| South America | 44 | 37 | – | – | 50 | |
| Total proved developed | 2,402 | 27,910 | 1,405 | 3 | 8,622 | |
| Proved undeveloped | | | | | | |
| Europe | 203 | 1,982 | – | – | 545 | |
| Asia | 400 | 1,834 | – | – | 716 | |
| Oceania | 93 | 4,292 | – | – | 833 | |
| Africa | 142 | 850 | – | – | 289 | |
| North America | | | | | | |
| USA | 105 | 182 | – | – | 136 | |
| Canada | 2 | 319 | 536 | – | 593 | |
| South America | 12 | 6 | – | – | 13 | |
| Total proved undeveloped | 957 | 9,465 | 536 | – | 3,125 | |
| Total proved developed and undeveloped | | | | | | |
| Europe | 428 | 11,386 | – | – | 2,391 | |
| Asia | 1,576 | 16,055 | – | – | 4,344 | |
| Oceania | 138 | 5,946 | – | – | 1,163 | |
| Africa | 579 | 2,236 | – | – | 965 | |
| North America | | | | | | |
| USA | 560 | 754 | – | – | 690 | |
| Canada | 22 | 955 | 1,941 | 3 | 2,131 | |
| South America | 56 | 43 | – | – | 63 | |
| Total | 3,359 | 37,375 | 1,941 | 3 | 11,747 | |

[A] See "Supplementary information – oil and gas (unaudited)" on pages 153-161.

[B] Natural gas volumes are converted into oil equivalent using a factor of 5,800 scf per barrel.

UPSTREAM CONTINUED

OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION (AVAILABLE FOR SALE)

| CRUDE OIL AND NATURAL GAS LIQUIDS [A] | | THOUSAND BARRELS | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--|--------------------|--|--------------------|--|
| | 2015 | | 2014 | | 2013 | |
| | Shell subsidiaries | Shell share of joint ventures and associates | Shell subsidiaries | Shell share of joint ventures and associates | Shell subsidiaries | Shell share of joint ventures and associates |
| Europe | | | | | | |
| Denmark | 17,396 | – | 18,834 | – | 20,927 | – |
| Italy | 11,179 | – | 11,792 | – | 11,997 | – |
| Norway | 14,337 | – | 14,893 | – | 14,589 | – |
| UK | 20,762 | – | 14,746 | – | 14,445 | – |
| Other [B] | 874 | 1,311 | 849 | 1,986 | 934 | 1,952 |
| Total Europe | 64,548 | 1,311 | 61,114 | 1,986 | 62,892 | 1,952 |
| Asia | | | | | | |
| Brunei | 823 | 18,663 | 648 | 18,576 | 564 | 20,011 |
| Iraq | 20,009 | – | 19,218 | – | 8,416 | – |
| Malaysia | 22,980 | – | 16,754 | – | 15,441 | – |
| Oman | 78,404 | – | 74,781 | – | 74,527 | – |
| Russia | 22,016 | 10,273 | 23,579 | 10,403 | 25,152 | 10,527 |
| United Arab Emirates | – | – | – | 2,397 | – | 58,104 |
| Other [B] | 24,480 | 7,923 | 27,165 | 8,115 | 25,202 | 8,155 |
| Total Asia | 168,712 | 36,859 | 162,145 | 39,491 | 149,302 | 96,797 |
| Total Oceania [B] | 7,858 | 3,050 | 9,191 | 3,688 | 9,371 | 4,771 |
| Africa | | | | | | |
| Gabon | 12,472 | – | 12,144 | – | 10,781 | – |
| Nigeria | 67,832 | – | 69,851 | – | 63,800 | – |
| Other [B] | 6,159 | – | 5,008 | – | 4,254 | – |
| Total Africa | 86,463 | – | 87,003 | – | 78,835 | – |
| North America | | | | | | |
| USA | 104,263 | – | 98,895 | – | 86,670 | – |
| Canada | 8,599 | – | 8,389 | – | 7,626 | – |
| Total North America | 112,862 | – | 107,284 | – | 94,296 | – |
| South America | | | | | | |
| Brazil | 13,307 | – | 16,575 | – | 7,706 | – |
| Other [B] | 576 | – | 361 | – | 273 | 3,327 |
| Total South America | 13,883 | – | 16,936 | – | 7,979 | 3,327 |
| Total | 454,326 | 41,220 | 443,673 | 45,165 | 402,675 | 106,847 |

[A] Reflects 100% of production of subsidiaries except in respect of PSCs, where the figures shown represent the entitlement of the subsidiaries concerned under those contracts.

[B] Comprises countries where 2015 production was lower than 7,300 thousand barrels or where specific disclosures are prohibited.

| SYNTHETIC CRUDE OIL | | THOUSAND BARRELS | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------|--|--------------------|--|--------------------|--|
| | 2015 | | 2014 | | 2013 | |
| | Shell subsidiaries | Shell share of joint ventures and associates | Shell subsidiaries | Shell share of joint ventures and associates | Shell subsidiaries | Shell share of joint ventures and associates |
| North America – Canada | 49,891 | – | 46,934 | – | 46,017 | – |

| BITUMEN | | THOUSAND BARRELS | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------|--|--------------------|--|--------------------|--|
| | 2015 | | 2014 | | 2013 | |
| | Shell subsidiaries | Shell share of joint ventures and associates | Shell subsidiaries | Shell share of joint ventures and associates | Shell subsidiaries | Shell share of joint ventures and associates |
| North America – Canada | 5,258 | – | 5,779 | – | 6,903 | – |

| NATURAL GAS [A] | MILLION STANDARD CUBIC FEET | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--------------------|--|--------------------|--|
| | 2015 | | 2014 | | 2013 | |
| | Shell subsidiaries | Shell share of joint ventures and associates | Shell subsidiaries | Shell share of joint ventures and associates | Shell subsidiaries | Shell share of joint ventures and associates |
| Europe | | | | | | |
| Denmark | 48,211 | – | 49,708 | – | 53,283 | – |
| Germany | 58,230 | – | 66,718 | – | 73,123 | – |
| Netherlands | – | 429,626 | – | 581,028 | – | 721,344 |
| Norway | 253,108 | – | 252,284 | – | 256,396 | – |
| UK | 101,276 | – | 104,346 | – | 109,470 | – |
| Other [B] | 15,892 | – | 15,840 | – | 15,409 | – |
| Total Europe | 476,717 | 429,626 | 488,896 | 581,028 | 507,681 | 721,344 |
| Asia | | | | | | |
| Brunei | 21,337 | 162,862 | 22,228 | 155,244 | 18,442 | 164,446 |
| China | 46,481 | – | 53,065 | – | 60,034 | – |
| Malaysia | 254,523 | – | 241,908 | – | 238,940 | – |
| Russia | 3,887 | 131,697 | 4,170 | 128,175 | 4,261 | 126,764 |
| Other [B] | 386,450 | 118,421 | 420,169 | 118,198 | 378,412 | 115,469 |
| Total Asia | 712,678 | 412,980 | 741,540 | 401,617 | 700,089 | 406,679 |
| Oceania | | | | | | |
| Australia | 132,209 | 67,382 | 132,801 | 87,830 | 125,654 | 100,707 |
| New Zealand | 55,906 | – | 69,052 | – | 61,407 | – |
| Total Oceania | 188,115 | 67,382 | 201,853 | 87,830 | 187,061 | 100,707 |
| Africa | | | | | | |
| Egypt | 65,002 | – | 54,079 | – | 46,072 | – |
| Nigeria | 195,064 | – | 234,599 | – | 201,311 | – |
| Total Africa | 260,066 | – | 288,678 | – | 247,383 | – |
| North America | | | | | | |
| USA | 264,351 | – | 360,846 | – | 394,538 | – |
| Canada | 234,055 | – | 214,756 | – | 231,897 | – |
| Total North America | 498,406 | – | 575,602 | – | 626,435 | – |
| Total South America [B] | 12,853 | – | 12,449 | – | 11,896 | 444 |
| Total | 2,148,835 | 909,988 | 2,309,018 | 1,070,475 | 2,280,545 | 1,229,174 |

[A] Reflects 100% of production of subsidiaries except in respect of PSCs, where the figures shown represent the entitlement of the companies concerned under those contracts.

[B] Comprises countries where 2015 production was lower than 41,795 million scf or where specific disclosures are prohibited.

UPSTREAM CONTINUED

AVERAGE REALISED PRICE BY GEOGRAPHICAL AREA

| CRUDE OIL AND NATURAL GAS LIQUIDS | | | | | | \$/BARREL | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--------------------|--|--------------------|--|--|
| | 2015 | | 2014 | | 2013 | | |
| | Shell subsidiaries | Shell share of joint ventures and associates | Shell subsidiaries | Shell share of joint ventures and associates | Shell subsidiaries | Shell share of joint ventures and associates | |
| Europe | 49.77 | 45.97 | 94.57 | 89.68 | 105.23 | 99.27 | |
| Asia | 47.73 | 52.21 | 89.47 | 96.85 | 96.46 | 70.34 | |
| Oceania | 43.39 | 50.01[A] | 82.26 | 88.07[A] | 90.50 | 91.91[A] | |
| Africa | 51.80 | – | 100.55 | – | 110.14 | – | |
| North America – USA | 44.99 | – | 87.90 | – | 98.10 | – | |
| North America – Canada | 25.45 | – | 59.19 | – | 63.14 | – | |
| South America | 42.38 | – | 88.68 | – | 97.17 | 94.01 | |
| Total | 47.52 | 51.82 | 91.09 | 95.87 | 99.83 | 72.69 | |

[A] Includes Shell's 14% share of Woodside from June 2014 (previously: 23% from April 2012), a publicly listed company on the Australian Securities Exchange. We have limited access to data; accordingly, the numbers are estimated.

| SYNTHETIC CRUDE OIL | | | | \$/BARREL | |
|------------------------|--------------------|--|--------------------|--|--------------------|
| | 2015 | | 2014 | | 2013 |
| | Shell subsidiaries | Shell share of joint ventures and associates | Shell subsidiaries | Shell share of joint ventures and associates | Shell subsidiaries |
| North America – Canada | 40.87 | – | 81.83 | – | 87.24 |

| BITUMEN | | | | \$/BARREL | |
|------------------------|--------------------|--|--------------------|--|--------------------|
| | 2015 | | 2014 | | 2013 |
| | Shell subsidiaries | Shell share of joint ventures and associates | Shell subsidiaries | Shell share of joint ventures and associates | Shell subsidiaries |
| North America – Canada | 30.25 | – | 70.19 | – | 67.40 |

| NATURAL GAS | | | | | | \$/THOUSAND SCF | |
|------------------------|--------------------|--|--------------------|--|--------------------|--|--|
| | 2015 | | 2014 | | 2013 | | |
| | Shell subsidiaries | Shell share of joint ventures and associates | Shell subsidiaries | Shell share of joint ventures and associates | Shell subsidiaries | Shell share of joint ventures and associates | |
| Europe | 7.10 | 6.46 | 8.58 | 8.26 | 10.29 | 9.17 | |
| Asia | 3.02 | 7.06 | 4.57 | 11.50 | 4.51 | 10.73 | |
| Oceania | 6.80 | 6.73[A] | 10.49 | 11.01[A] | 11.55 | 9.45[A] | |
| Africa | 2.10 | – | 2.71 | – | 2.84 | – | |
| North America – USA | 2.39 | – | 4.52 | – | 3.92 | – | |
| North America – Canada | 2.29 | – | 4.39 | – | 3.26 | – | |
| South America | 2.46 | – | 2.85 | – | 2.91 | 0.42 | |
| Total | 4.07 | 6.77 | 5.68 | 9.72 | 5.85 | 9.72 | |

[A] Includes Shell's 14% share of Woodside from June 2014 (previously: 23% from April 2012), a publicly listed company on the Australian Securities Exchange. We have limited access to data; accordingly, the numbers are estimated.

AVERAGE PRODUCTION COST BY GEOGRAPHICAL AREA

| CRUDE OIL, NATURAL GAS LIQUIDS AND NATURAL GAS [A] | | | | | | | \$/BOE | |
|--|--------------------|--|--------------------|--|--------------------|--|--------|--|
| | 2015 | | 2014 | | 2013 | | | |
| | Shell subsidiaries | Shell share of joint ventures and associates | Shell subsidiaries | Shell share of joint ventures and associates | Shell subsidiaries | Shell share of joint ventures and associates | | |
| Europe | 16.97 | 5.07 | 19.47 | 4.25 | 17.66 | 3.57 | | |
| Asia | 7.42 | 6.89 | 7.87 | 7.62 | 6.52 | 5.74 | | |
| Oceania | 13.43 | 14.66[B] | 13.62 | 14.44[B] | 11.55 | 13.17[B] | | |
| Africa | 11.96 | – | 14.86 | – | 14.43 | – | | |
| North America – USA | 20.28 | – | 21.35 | – | 21.57 | – | | |
| North America – Canada | 18.85 | – | 22.96 | – | 22.20 | – | | |
| South America | 21.31 | – | 25.26 | – | 37.72 | 16.96 | | |
| Total | 13.42 | 6.77 | 15.10 | 6.68 | 14.35 | 5.52 | | |

[A] Natural gas volumes are converted into oil equivalent using a factor of 5,800 scf per barrel.

[B] Includes Shell's 14% share of Woodside from June 2014 (previously: 23% from April 2012), a publicly listed company on the Australian Securities Exchange. We have limited access to data; accordingly, the numbers are estimated.

| SYNTHETIC CRUDE OIL | | | | \$/BARREL | |
|------------------------|--------------------|--|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| | 2015 | | 2014 | | 2013 |
| | Shell subsidiaries | | Shell subsidiaries | | Shell subsidiaries |
| North America – Canada | 31.50 | | 42.46 | | 41.81 |

| BITUMEN | | | | \$/BARREL | |
|------------------------|--------------------|--|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| | 2015 | | 2014 | | 2013 |
| | Shell subsidiaries | | Shell subsidiaries | | Shell subsidiaries |
| North America – Canada | 18.58 | | 23.24 | | 23.03 |

UPSTREAM CONTINUED

LNG AND GTL PLANTS AT DECEMBER 31, 2015

LNG LIQUEFACTION PLANTS IN OPERATION

| | Asset | Location | Shell interest (%) ^[A] | 100% capacity (mtpa) ^[B] |
|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Asia | | | | |
| Brunei | Brunei LNG | Lumut | 25 | 7.8 |
| Malaysia | Malaysia LNG Tiga | Bintulu | 15 | 7.7 |
| Oman | Oman LNG | Sur | 30 | 7.1 |
| | Qalhat (Oman) LNG | Sur | 11 ^[C] | 3.7 |
| Qatar | Qatargas 4 | Ras Laffan | 30 | 7.8 |
| Russia | Sakhalin LNG | Prigorodnoye | 28 | 9.6 |
| Oceania | | | | |
| Australia | Australia North West Shelf | Karratha | 19 ^[C] | 16.3 |
| | Australia Pluto 1 | Karratha | 12 ^[C] | 4.3 |
| Africa | | | | |
| Nigeria | Nigeria LNG | Bonny | 26 | 22.0 |
| South America | | | | |
| Peru | Peru LNG | Pampa Melchorita | 20 | 4.5 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | Atlantic LNG | Point Fortin | 20-25 | 14.8 |

[A] Shell interest is rounded to the nearest whole percentage point.

[B] As reported by the operator.

[C] Interest, or part of the interest, is held via indirect shareholding.

LNG LIQUEFACTION PLANTS UNDER CONSTRUCTION

| | Asset | Location | Shell interest (%) ^[A] | 100% capacity (mtpa) |
|----------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Oceania | | | | |
| Australia | Gorgon ^[B] | Barrow Island | 25 | 15.6 |
| | Prelude | Browse Basin | 68 | 3.6 |

[A] Shell interest is rounded to the nearest whole percentage point.

[B] Production of LNG and condensate started in March 2016.

GTL PLANTS IN OPERATION

| | Asset | Location | Shell interest (%) | 100% capacity (b/d) |
|-------------|-----------|------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Asia | | | | |
| Malaysia | Shell MDS | Bintulu | 72 | 14,700 |
| Qatar | Pearl | Ras Laffan | 100 | 140,000 |

EQUITY SALES OF LNG

| | MILLION TONNES | | |
|---------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Australia | 3.4 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| Brunei | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.7 |
| Malaysia | 1.8 | 2.7 | 2.6 |
| Nigeria | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.4 |
| Oman | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| Peru | 0.7 | 0.8 | – |
| Qatar | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.3 |
| Russia | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 2.9 | 3.2 | – |
| Total | 22.6 | 24.0 | 19.6 |

EARNINGS AND CASH FLOW INFORMATION

| | | | | | | | | \$ MILLION | |
|--|-----------|--------|---------|--------|---------------|---------|---------------|------------|--|
| | Europe[A] | Asia | Oceania | Africa | North America | | South America | Total | |
| | | | | | USA | Other | | | |
| Revenue | 12,721 | 22,299 | 1,858 | 5,620 | 6,384 | 4,405 | 640 | 53,927 | |
| Share of profit/(loss) of joint ventures and associates | 506 | 1,664 | (802) | 491 | (94) | 70 | 127 | 1,962 | |
| Interest and other income | (41) | 556 | (13) | 1,754 | 148 | (1) | (47) | 2,356 | |
| Total revenue and other income | 13,186 | 24,519 | 1,043 | 7,865 | 6,438 | 4,474 | 720 | 58,245 | |
| Purchases excluding taxes | 4,336 | 6,925 | 148 | 525 | 30 | 1,327 | 12 | 13,303 | |
| Production and manufacturing expenses | 2,890 | 4,725 | 772 | 1,806 | 3,870 | 3,472 | 481 | 18,016 | |
| Taxes other than income tax | 128 | 434 | 113 | 347 | 81 | – | 63 | 1,166 | |
| Selling, distribution and administrative expenses | 685 | 62 | 7 | 2 | 212 | 26 | 16 | 1,010 | |
| Research and development | 612 | 27 | – | – | 121 | 42 | – | 802 | |
| Exploration | 261 | 1,255 | 195 | 161 | 3,336 | 164 | 347 | 5,719 | |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortisation | 2,807 | 4,311 | 480 | 1,749 | 6,342 | 6,625 | 687 | 23,001 | |
| Interest expense | 328 | 100 | 54 | 130 | 194 | 48 | 27 | 881 | |
| Income before taxation | 1,139 | 6,680 | (726) | 3,145 | (7,748) | (7,230) | (913) | (5,653) | |
| Taxation charge/(credit) | 339 | 2,714 | 428 | 886 | (2,853) | (1,788) | 284 | 10 | |
| Income after taxation | 800 | 3,966 | (1,154) | 2,259 | (4,895) | (5,442) | (1,197) | (5,663) | |
| Net cash from operating activities | 1,303 | 8,882 | (76) | 2,946 | 124 | 87 | (85) | 13,181 | |
| Less: working capital movements | (382) | 430 | (1,161) | 785 | 121 | 46 | 125 | (36) | |
| Net cash from operating activities excluding working capital movements | 1,685 | 8,452 | 1,085 | 2,161 | 3 | 41 | (210) | 13,217 | |

[A] Includes Greenland.

| | | | | | | | | \$ MILLION | |
|--|-----------|---------|---------|--------|---------------|-------|---------------|------------|--|
| | Europe[A] | Asia | Oceania | Africa | North America | | South America | Total | |
| | | | | | USA | Other | | | |
| Revenue | 17,891 | 35,629 | 3,299 | 11,129 | 13,553 | 9,250 | 1,548 | 92,299 | |
| Share of profit/(loss) of joint ventures and associates | 1,128 | 3,173 | 266 | 937 | (4) | 77 | (75) | 5,502 | |
| Interest and other income | 68 | 845 | 2,292 | 503 | 327 | (71) | 65 | 4,029 | |
| Total revenue and other income | 19,087 | 39,647 | 5,857 | 12,569 | 13,876 | 9,256 | 1,538 | 101,830 | |
| Purchases excluding taxes | 5,848 | 10,113 | 344 | 1,505 | 1,909 | 3,383 | (63) | 23,039 | |
| Production and manufacturing expenses | 3,255 | 4,905 | 809 | 2,483 | 4,572 | 3,391 | 678 | 20,093 | |
| Taxes other than income tax | 264 | 948 | 211 | 836 | 201 | – | 165 | 2,625 | |
| Selling, distribution and administrative expenses | 777 | 103 | 9 | 1 | 136 | 7 | 22 | 1,055 | |
| Research and development | 642 | 28 | – | – | 134 | 51 | – | 855 | |
| Exploration | 458 | 1,331 | 232 | 307 | 1,548 | 88 | 260 | 4,224 | |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortisation | 1,815 | 4,621 | 430 | 2,054 | 6,665 | 1,808 | 475 | 17,868 | |
| Interest expense | 364 | 90 | 55 | 144 | 211 | 60 | 29 | 953 | |
| Income before taxation | 5,664 | 17,508 | 3,767 | 5,239 | (1,500) | 468 | (28) | 31,118 | |
| Taxation charge/(credit) | 3,599 | 7,542 | 2,103 | 2,416 | (626) | 78 | 165 | 15,277 | |
| Income after taxation | 2,065 | 9,966 | 1,664 | 2,823 | (874) | 390 | (193) | 15,841 | |
| Net cash from operating activities | 3,975 | 14,619 | 1,684 | 4,629 | 3,935 | 2,685 | 312 | 31,839 | |
| Less: working capital movements | 1,148 | (1,470) | (845) | 616 | (994) | 360 | (285) | (1,470) | |
| Net cash from operating activities excluding working capital movements | 2,827 | 16,089 | 2,529 | 4,013 | 4,929 | 2,325 | 597 | 33,309 | |

[A] Includes Greenland.

UPSTREAM CONTINUED

| | 2013 | | | | | | | \$ MILLION | |
|--|-----------|--------|---------|--------|---------------|-------|---------------|------------|--|
| | Europe[A] | Asia | Oceania | Africa | North America | | South America | Total | |
| | | | | | USA | Other | | | |
| Revenue | 23,144 | 35,916 | 3,414 | 11,007 | 9,762 | 8,878 | 748 | 92,869 | |
| Share of profit of joint ventures and associates | 1,469 | 3,235 | 111 | 1,162 | 1 | 55 | 87 | 6,120 | |
| Interest and other income | (123) | 572 | 172 | (14) | 20 | 52 | (20) | 659 | |
| Total revenue and other income | 24,490 | 39,723 | 3,697 | 12,155 | 9,783 | 8,985 | 815 | 99,648 | |
| Purchases excluding taxes | 9,088 | 9,761 | 290 | 1,378 | (1,175) | 2,989 | 48 | 22,379 | |
| Production and manufacturing expenses | 2,998 | 4,162 | 762 | 1,978 | 4,588 | 3,594 | 389 | 18,471 | |
| Taxes other than income tax | 328 | 1,254 | 226 | 963 | 223 | – | 85 | 3,079 | |
| Selling, distribution and administrative expenses | 993 | 85 | 7 | 1 | 47 | 26 | 35 | 1,194 | |
| Research and development | 648 | 15 | – | – | 178 | 106 | – | 947 | |
| Exploration | 627 | 1,082 | 396 | 354 | 1,790 | 312 | 717 | 5,278 | |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortisation | 1,444 | 3,114 | 434 | 1,293 | 7,954 | 2,550 | 160 | 16,949 | |
| Interest expense | 359 | 76 | 47 | 133 | 210 | 61 | 24 | 910 | |
| Income before taxation | 8,005 | 20,174 | 1,535 | 6,055 | (4,032) | (653) | (643) | 30,441 | |
| Taxation charge/(credit) | 4,883 | 10,977 | 475 | 3,100 | (1,500) | (203) | 71 | 17,803 | |
| Income after taxation | 3,122 | 9,197 | 1,060 | 2,955 | (2,532) | (450) | (714) | 12,638 | |
| Net cash from operating activities | 5,215 | 12,834 | 1,717 | 5,027 | 3,775 | 1,414 | 132 | 30,114 | |
| Less: working capital movements | 1,251 | (88) | (929) | 1,391 | (86) | (346) | 119 | 1,312 | |
| Net cash from operating activities excluding working capital movements | 3,964 | 12,922 | 2,646 | 3,636 | 3,861 | 1,760 | 13 | 28,802 | |

[A] Includes Greenland.

DOWNSTREAM

| KEY STATISTICS | \$ MILLION, EXCEPT WHERE OTHERWISE INDICATED | | |
|--|--|---------|---------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Segment earnings [A] | 10,243 | 3,411 | 3,869 |
| Including: | | | |
| Revenue (including inter-segment sales) [A] | 237,746 | 378,046 | 404,427 |
| Share of profit of joint ventures and associates [A] | 2,215 | 1,693 | 1,525 |
| Interest and other income [A] | 1,156 | 41 | 273 |
| Operating expenses [B] | 20,816 | 22,701 | 23,292 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortisation [A] | 3,667 | 6,619 | 4,421 |
| Taxation charge [A] | 1,639 | 1,085 | 1,129 |
| Capital investment [B] | 5,119 | 5,910 | 5,528 |
| Divestments [B] | 2,282 | 4,410 | 643 |
| Refinery availability (%) [C][D] | 90 | 93 | 94 |
| Chemical plant availability (%) [C] | 85 | 85 | 92 |
| Refinery processing intake (thousand b/d) | 2,805 | 2,903 | 2,915 |
| Oil products sales volumes (thousand b/d) | 6,432 | 6,365 | 6,164 |
| Chemicals sales volumes (thousand tonnes) | 17,148 | 17,008 | 17,386 |

[A] See Note 4 to the "Consolidated Financial Statements" on page 127. Segment earnings are presented on a current cost of supplies basis.

[B] See "Non-GAAP measures reconciliations and other definitions" on pages 198-199.

[C] The basis of calculation differs from that used for the "Refinery and chemical plant availability" measure in "Performance indicators" on page 20, which excludes downtime due to uncontrollable factors.

[D] With effect from 2015, refinery availability includes Shell-operated process units only. Comparative data has been restated.

OVERVIEW

Shell's Downstream organisation is made up of a number of different Oil Products and Chemicals business activities, part of an integrated value chain, that collectively turn crude oil into a range of refined products which are moved and marketed around the world for domestic, industrial and transport use. The products we sell include gasoline, diesel, heating oil, aviation fuel, marine fuel, liquefied natural gas (LNG) for transport, lubricants, bitumen and sulphur. In addition, we produce and sell petrochemicals for industrial use worldwide.

Our Oil Products activities comprise Refining, Trading and Supply, Pipelines and Marketing, referred to as classes of business. Marketing includes Retail, Lubricants, Business to Business (B2B) and Alternative Energies. In Trading and Supply, we trade crude oil, oil products and petrochemicals, to optimise feedstocks for Refining and Chemicals, to supply our Marketing businesses and third parties, and for our own profit. Chemicals has major manufacturing plants, located close to refineries, and its own marketing network.

BUSINESS CONDITIONS

Industry gross refining margins were higher on average in 2015 than in 2014 in each of the key refining hubs in Europe, Singapore and the USA. Oil products demand growth was stronger globally, driven in part by the sustained lower oil price environment compared with 2014. The refining industry has seen a period of generally tightening capacity, reducing the overcapacity that has been observed for several years. However, the improved gross margins have probably delayed some further capacity rationalisation, especially in Europe. In 2016, demand for gasoline is expected to be a key driver of gross refining margins, especially in the middle of the year, supported by demand for middle distillates. The overall outlook remains unclear because of continuing economic uncertainty, geopolitical tensions in some regions that could lead to supply disruptions, and continued overcapacity in the global refining market. See "Market overview" on page 18.

In Chemicals, Asian naphtha cracker margins increased in 2015 compared with 2014 due to periods of reduced cracker availability. European naphtha cracker margins remained high in 2015, supported by periods of low cracker availability. US ethane cracker margins were significantly lower due to a narrower differential between crude oil prices and US natural gas prices. The outlook for petrochemicals in 2016 will depend on economic

growth, especially in Asia, and developments in relative raw material prices which will be influenced by crude oil prices. See "Market overview" on page 18.

EARNINGS 2015-2014

Segment earnings are presented on a current cost of supplies basis (see "Summary of results" on page 18), which in 2015 were \$1,955 million higher than on a first-in, first-out basis (2014: \$4,366 million higher), as shown in "Non-GAAP measures reconciliations and other definitions" on page 198.

Segment earnings of \$10,243 million in 2015 were 200% higher than in 2014. Earnings in 2015 included a net gain of \$495 million compared with a net charge of \$2,854 million in 2014, described at the end of this section.

Excluding the impact of these items, earnings in 2015 were \$9,748 million compared with \$6,265 million in 2014. Oil Products earnings accounted for 83% of these 2015 earnings and Chemicals for 17%.

The earnings improvement of \$3,483 million (56%) compared with 2014 was principally driven by lower operating expenses, as a result of favourable exchange rates and divestments (45% of the improvement), higher realised refining margins, reflecting the industry environment (39% of the improvement), and other items (16% of the improvement) mainly reflecting a lower effective tax rate.

Improvements in earnings analysed by class of business were as follows:

- Refining represented 69% of the improvement. Realised refining margins were significantly higher overall and higher in all countries except Canada. The increase was driven by stronger industry margins and improved availability early in 2015 which allowed our refineries to capitalise on the stronger margin environment. Overall in 2015, refinery availability decreased to 90% from 93% in 2014. In Europe, realised margins benefited from the stronger margin environment despite lower availability. In Asia, realised margins were higher due to the stronger margin environment despite worse operational performance, particularly

DOWNSTREAM CONTINUED

at the Bukom refinery in Singapore. In Canada, realised margins were impacted by a significantly weaker margin environment. In the USA, realised margins benefited from the stronger margin environment as well as improved operational performance from lower planned and unplanned downtime. Earnings at Motiva Enterprises LLC (Motiva)'s (Shell interest 50%) Port Arthur refinery were stronger through both a stronger margin environment and improved operational performance.

- Trading and Supply represented 10% of the improvement, driven by market volatility and optimisation opportunities, and one-off tax credits.
- Pipelines represented 3% of the improvement, which was mainly due to higher margins and joint venture earnings.
- Marketing earnings were in line with 2014, despite unfavourable exchange rate effects and divestments. Higher earnings, mainly in Lubricants, were offset by lower results from Business to Business Fuels and our Raizen joint venture (Shell interest 50%) in Brazil. Raizen earnings were impacted by unfavourable exchange rate effects as the Brazilian real weakened against the dollar.
- Chemicals represented 9% of the improvement, mainly due to tight industry supply conditions and lower taxation. These industry conditions, driven by competitor outages in Asia, benefited intermediate products globally and base chemicals in Asia. Partly offsetting these benefits were weaker margins in the USA, and unit shutdowns at the Moerdijk site in the Netherlands which had a larger earnings impact in 2015 than in 2014.

Oil product sales volumes were 1% higher than in 2014, mainly due to improved Trading and Supply volumes. Marketing volumes were lower than in 2014 due to divestments. Excluding divestments, Marketing volumes were 2% higher than in 2014, benefiting from higher Retail volumes in the USA as a result of a stronger driving season.

Chemicals sales volumes were 1% higher than in 2014. The increase was mostly driven by higher demand in Asia and improved market conditions for intermediate products globally.

Depreciation, depletion and amortisation were significantly lower in 2015 compared with 2014, mainly due to impairments in 2014 described below.

Segment earnings in 2015 included a net gain of \$495 million, reflecting net gains on divestments of \$1,095 million (primarily in China, France and Norway), reported in interest and other income, partly offset by impairment charges of \$505 million (mainly related to the Westward Ho pipeline in the USA and to expenditure at the Bukom refinery in Singapore) and other net charges of \$95 million.

Segment earnings in 2014 included a net charge of \$2,854 million, primarily from impairments (mainly in respect of refineries in Asia and Europe) and also from restructuring charges, fair value accounting of commodity derivatives and a provision connected to a prior year sale obligation. Partly offsetting these charges was a gain related to Dutch pension plan amendments.

EARNINGS 2014-2013

Segment earnings in 2014 were \$3,411 million, 12% lower than 2013. Earnings in 2014 included a net charge of \$2,854 million described above, and earnings in 2013 included a net charge of \$597 million resulting primarily from impairments and deferred tax adjustments, which were partly due to a beneficial tax rate change in the UK and gains on divestments.

Excluding the impact of these items, earnings in 2014 were \$6,265 million compared with \$4,466 million in 2013. Oil Products earnings accounted for 78% of 2014 earnings and Chemicals for 22%.

The earnings improvement of \$1,799 million (40%) was the result of higher realised refining margins from improved operating performance and a

stronger industry environment (accounting for 35% of the earnings improvement); higher margins from Trading and Supply (accounting for 32% of the earnings improvement) which were due to increased price volatility and profitable short positions; lower operating expenses (accounting for 18% of the earnings improvement) mainly as a result of divestments; lower depreciation (accounting for 9% of the earnings improvement) as a result of divestments and reduced depreciation from impaired assets; and higher Marketing and Pipeline margins (accounting for 9% of earnings improvement). Lower margins from Chemicals, primarily driven by market conditions for intermediate products and shutdowns of some units at Moerdijk, offset 11% of these improvements.

REFINERY AND CHEMICAL PLANT AVAILABILITY

Refinery availability was 90% in 2015 compared with 93% in 2014 and 94% in 2013. The lower availability in 2015 reflected the impact of a fire at the Bukom refinery.

Chemical plant availability was 85% in 2015, compared with 85% in 2014 and 92% in 2013. Lower availability in 2015 and 2014 reflected unit shutdowns at the Moerdijk site in each year.

CAPITAL INVESTMENT AND DIVESTMENTS

Capital investment was \$5.1 billion in 2015 compared with \$5.9 billion in 2014. In Refining and Chemicals, it decreased by \$0.1 billion to \$3.6 billion. In Marketing, it decreased by \$0.7 billion to \$1.5 billion. In 2015, 60% of our capital investment was used to maintain the integrity and performance of our asset base, compared with 54% in 2014.

Divestments were \$2.3 billion in 2015, compared with \$4.4 billion 2014, principally from divestments in China, France, Norway and the UK, and proceeds from sale of interests in Shell Midstream Partners, L.P.

PORTFOLIO AND BUSINESS DEVELOPMENTS

We took the following key portfolio decisions in 2015:

- In Canada, we took the final investment decision (FID) for a de-bottlenecking project at the Scotford refinery, which is expected to increase hydrocracking capacity by about 20%. Completion is expected in 2016.
- In the Netherlands, we took the FID to build a major new unit at the Pernis refinery. The new solvent deasphalter unit will remove heavier fractions from crude oil, allowing the refinery to upgrade a larger proportion of its oil intake into lighter, high-grade products. Construction work is planned to start later in 2016, subject to permit approvals, with completion expected by the end of 2018.
- In the USA, we took the FID to construct a fourth alpha olefins unit, which is expected to add 425 thousand tonnes per annum (ktpa) of alpha olefins production capacity at our chemical manufacturing site in Geismar, Louisiana. This project is expected to be completed by the end of 2018 and make the site the largest alpha olefins producer in the world.

We achieved the following operational milestones in 2015:

- In China, we opened a new lubricant blending plant in Tianjin. The plant has the capacity to produce 330 million litres of finished products per annum and brings our number of blending plants in China to eight.
- Also in China, we signed a heads of agreement with China National Offshore Oil Corporation (CNOOC) to expand our joint venture at Nanhai (Shell interest 50%) in the Guangdong province. The expansion, which is pre-FID, would double the joint venture's ethylene production to over two million tonnes per annum. CNOOC has started construction work on the expansion, with completion expected by the end of 2017.

- In Germany, we handed over the Harburg refinery to Nynas in December 2015. The transaction was agreed in 2011, and a first phase to hand over the base oil plant was completed in 2014.
- In Singapore, we started up a new 140 ktpa high-purity ethylene oxide purification unit and a new 140 ktpa ethoxylates unit at Jurong Island. These production units more than double the production of both chemical products at Jurong.
- In the USA, Shell Midstream Partners, L.P. sold additional interests to public investors via the issuance of additional limited partnership units, reducing our interest in the partnership to approximately 60%, and generating proceeds of \$595 million.
- Also in the USA, we continued detailed engineering design and site preparation for the construction of a proposed petrochemicals plant (Shell interest 100%) in the Appalachian region.

We continued to review our portfolio to divest positions that fail to deliver competitive performance or no longer meet our longer-term strategic objectives. Major divestments in 2015 included:

- our 75% interest in Tongyi Lubricants in China.
- Butagaz, our liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) business in France. Butagaz constituted the majority of our LPG business. Following the sale, we only have LPG businesses in Argentina, Canada and Hong Kong.
- most of our retail, commercial fuels, and supply and distribution logistics business in Norway to ST1 Nordic Oy (ST1). The Shell brand will continue to be highly visible in Norway through a retail brand licence agreement. In addition, Shell has entered into a joint venture (Shell interest 50%) with ST1 to sell aviation fuel in Norway.
- 185 service stations across the UK to independent dealers. All service stations will retain the Shell brand and sell Shell's fuels.

In addition, we reached agreements to sell the following, with expected completion in 2016:

- our marketing business in Denmark to Couche-Tard. This includes a retail brand licence agreement under which the Shell brand will remain highly visible in Denmark.
- a 33.24% holding in Showa Shell in Japan to Idemitsu. We are retaining a 1.80% interest.
- our 51% shareholding in the Shell Refining Company in Malaysia to Malaysia Hengyuan International Ltd.

BUSINESS AND PROPERTY

Refining

We have interests in 23 refineries worldwide with the capacity to process a total of around 3.1 million barrels of crude oil per day (Shell share). Our refining capacity is 34% in Europe and Africa, 39% in the Americas and 27% in Asia and Oceania.

The Port Arthur refinery in Texas, USA, owned and operated by Motiva (a 50:50 joint venture with Saudi Refining, Inc), is the largest refinery in North America and includes one of the world's largest single-site base oil manufacturing plants.

Trading and Supply

Trading and Supply trades in physical and financial contracts, lease storage and transportation capacities, and manages shipping and wholesale commercial fuel activities globally.

With more than 100 distribution terminals and 770 supply points in around 25 countries, our supply and distribution infrastructure is well positioned to make deliveries around the world. This includes supplying feedstocks for our

refineries and chemical plants and finished products such as gasoline, diesel and aviation fuel to our Marketing businesses and customers.

Shell Wholesale Commercial Fuels provides transport, industrial and heating fuels. Our range of products, from reliable main-grade fuels to premium products, can offer tangible benefits. These include fuel economy, enhanced equipment performance, reduction in maintenance frequency and costs as well as reduced emissions.

Pipelines

Shell Pipeline Company LP (Shell interest 100%) owns and operates seven tank farms across the USA and transports more than 1.5 billion barrels of crude oil and refined products a year through about 6,000 kilometres of pipelines in the Gulf of Mexico and five US states. Our various non-operated ownership interests provide about a further 13,000 pipeline kilometres.

We carry more than 40 types of crude oil and more than 20 grades of gasoline, as well as diesel, aviation fuel, chemicals and ethylene.

Shell Midstream Partners, L.P., a Midstream Limited Partnership (MLP), was formed by Shell in 2014 to own, operate, develop and acquire pipelines and other midstream assets. Its assets consist of interests in entities that own crude oil and refined products pipeline systems and related assets that serve as key infrastructure to store onshore and offshore crude oil production and transport to refining markets and to deliver refined products to major demand centres. Shell controls the general partner and holds a majority share in the MLP.

Marketing

RETAIL

There were close to 43,000 Shell-branded retail stations operating in over 70 countries at the end of 2015. We have more than 100 years' experience in fuel development. In recent years, we have concentrated on developing fuels with special formulations designed to clean engines and improve performance. We sell such fuels under the Shell V-Power brand in more than 50 countries.

LUBRICANTS

Across approximately 100 countries, we produce, market or sell technically-advanced lubricants not only for passenger cars, motorcycles, trucks and coaches but also for industrial machinery in the manufacturing, mining, power generation, agriculture and construction sectors.

We have a global lubricants supply chain with a network of eight base oil manufacturing plants, 45 lubricant blending plants, 15 grease plants and four gas-to-liquids base oil storage hubs.

Through our marine activities, we primarily provide lubricants, but also fuels and related technical services, to the shipping and maritime sectors. Following rationalisation of our product portfolio, we now supply around 80 grades of lubricants and nine types of fuel to vessels worldwide, ranging from large ocean-going tankers to small fishing boats.

BUSINESS TO BUSINESS

Our Business-to-Business (B2B) activities encompass the sale of fuels and speciality products and services to a broad range of commercial customers.

DOWNSTREAM CONTINUED

Shell Aviation fuels more than two million aircraft a year, with a presence at more than 800 airports in around 40 countries.

We continue to pursue opportunities in the LNG for transport sector, developing projects that provide us and our customers with the best commercial value. Since October 2015, we have had access to import and storage capacity at the Gas Access to Europe (GATE) terminal in the Netherlands, enabling us to supply our own LNG to marine and road customers in northwest Europe. We will also supply LNG for our truck refuelling network in the Netherlands from the terminal.

Shell Bitumen supplies over 1,600 customers across 28 countries and provides enough bitumen to resurface 450 kilometres of road lanes every day. It also invests in technology research and development to create innovative products.

Shell Sulphur Solutions is a business which manages the complete value chain of sulphur, from refining to marketing. The business provides sulphur for industries such as mining and textiles and also develops new products which incorporate sulphur, such as fertilisers.

ALTERNATIVE ENERGIES

Raízen, our joint venture in Brazil, produces ethanol from sugar cane and manages a retail network. With an annual production capacity of more than 2 billion litres, it is one of the largest biofuel producers in the world. Raízen opened its first cellulosic ethanol plant at its Costa Pinto mill in Brazil in 2015. It is expected to produce 40 million litres a year of advanced biofuels from sugar-cane residues. We also continue to research and explore the potential of hydrogen as a fuel.

Chemicals

MANUFACTURING

Our plants produce a range of base chemicals, including ethylene, propylene and aromatics, as well as intermediate chemicals such as styrene monomer, propylene oxide, solvents, detergent alcohols, ethylene oxide and ethylene glycol. We have the capacity to produce over 6 million tonnes of ethylene a year.

MARKETING

Each year, we supply more than 17 million tonnes of petrochemicals to around 1,000 major industrial customers worldwide. Our products are used to make numerous everyday items, from clothing and cars to detergents and bicycle helmets.

DOWNSTREAM BUSINESS ACTIVITIES WITH IRAN, SUDAN AND SYRIA

Iran

Shell transactions with Iran are disclosed separately. See "Section 13(r) of the US Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Disclosure" on page 197.

Sudan

We ceased all operational activities in Sudan in 2008. However, we completed soil remediation work in 2015 related to earlier operations in the country.

Syria

We are in compliance with all European Union and US sanctions. We supply limited quantities of polyols via a Netherlands-based distributor to private sector customers in Syria. Polyols are commonly used for the production of foam in mattresses and soft furnishings.

DOWNSTREAM DATA TABLES

The tables below reflect Shell subsidiaries, the 50% Shell interest in Motiva in the USA and instances where Shell owns the crude oil or feedstocks processed by a refinery. Other joint ventures and associates are only included where explicitly stated.

| OIL PRODUCTS – COST OF CRUDE OIL PROCESSED OR CONSUMED [A] | \$ PER BARREL | | |
|--|---------------|-------|-------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Total | 40.91 | 82.76 | 90.36 |

[A] Includes Upstream margin on crude oil supplied by Shell subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates. Excludes cost of crude oil processed or consumed by Motiva.

| CRUDE DISTILLATION CAPACITY [A] | THOUSAND B/CALENDAR DAY [B] | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Europe | 1,037 | 1,033 | 1,033 |
| Asia | 816 | 810 | 810 |
| Oceania | – | 80 | 118 |
| Africa | 82 | 82 | 82 |
| Americas | 1,219 | 1,212 | 1,212 |
| Total | 3,154 | 3,217 | 3,255 |

[A] Average operating capacity for the year, excluding mothballed capacity.

[B] Calendar day capacity is the maximum sustainable capacity adjusted for normal unit downtime.

| ETHYLENE CAPACITY [A] | THOUSAND TONNES/YEAR | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Europe | 1,702 | 1,659 | 1,659 |
| Asia | 2,222 | 1,922 | 1,922 |
| Oceania | – | – | – |
| Africa | – | – | – |
| Americas | 2,235 | 2,212 | 2,212 |
| Total | 6,159 | 5,793 | 5,793 |

[A] Includes the Shell share of capacity entitlement (offtake rights) of joint ventures and associates, which may be different from nominal equity interest. Nominal capacity is quoted at December 31.

| OIL PRODUCTS – CRUDE OIL PROCESSED [A] | THOUSAND B/D | | |
|--|--------------|-------|-------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Europe | 870 | 941 | 1,010 |
| Asia | 685 | 688 | 706 |
| Oceania | – | 59 | 116 |
| Africa | 56 | 69 | 61 |
| Americas | 1,150 | 1,149 | 1,100 |
| Total | 2,761 | 2,906 | 2,993 |

[A] Includes natural gas liquids, share of joint ventures and associates and processing for others.

| REFINERY PROCESSING INTAKE [A] | THOUSAND B/D | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|-------|-------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Crude oil | 2,596 | 2,716 | 2,732 |
| Feedstocks | 209 | 187 | 183 |
| Total | 2,805 | 2,903 | 2,915 |
| Europe | 903 | 941 | 933 |
| Asia | 627 | 639 | 634 |
| Oceania | – | 64 | 105 |
| Africa | 56 | 69 | 54 |
| Americas | 1,219 | 1,190 | 1,189 |
| Total | 2,805 | 2,903 | 2,915 |

[A] Includes crude oil, natural gas liquids and feedstocks processed in crude distillation units and in secondary conversion units.

| REFINERY PROCESSING OUTTURN [A] | THOUSAND B/D | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Gasolines | 1,012 | 1,049 | 1,049 |
| Kerosines | 316 | 331 | 368 |
| Gas/Diesel oils | 972 | 1,047 | 1,014 |
| Fuel oil | 290 | 316 | 274 |
| Other | 449 | 395 | 389 |
| Total | 3,039 | 3,138 | 3,094 |

[A] Excludes own use and products acquired for blending purposes.

| CHEMICALS SALES VOLUMES [A] | THOUSAND TONNES | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Europe | | | |
| Base chemicals | 3,000 | 3,287 | 3,423 |
| Intermediates and others | 1,936 | 2,019 | 2,281 |
| Total | 4,936 | 5,306 | 5,704 |
| Asia | | | |
| Base chemicals | 2,319 | 2,220 | 2,266 |
| Intermediates and others | 3,576 | 2,901 | 2,989 |
| Total | 5,895 | 5,121 | 5,255 |
| Oceania | | | |
| Base chemicals | – | – | – |
| Intermediates and others | – | 35 | 62 |
| Total | – | 35 | 62 |
| Africa | | | |
| Base chemicals | – | – | – |
| Intermediates and others | 37 | 43 | 47 |
| Total | 37 | 43 | 47 |
| Americas | | | |
| Base chemicals | 3,036 | 3,251 | 3,218 |
| Intermediates and others | 3,244 | 3,252 | 3,100 |
| Total | 6,280 | 6,503 | 6,318 |
| Total product sales | | | |
| Base chemicals | 8,355 | 8,758 | 8,907 |
| Intermediates and others | 8,793 | 8,250 | 8,479 |
| Total | 17,148 | 17,008 | 17,386 |

[A] Excludes feedstock trading and by-products.

| OIL PRODUCT SALES VOLUMES [A][B] | THOUSAND B/D | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Europe | | | |
| Gasolines | 403 | 405 | 415 |
| Kerosines | 251 | 264 | 226 |
| Gas/Diesel oils | 779 | 841 | 962 |
| Fuel oil | 186 | 176 | 194 |
| Other products | 240 | 205 | 168 |
| Total | 1,859 | 1,891 | 1,965 |
| Asia | | | |
| Gasolines | 379 | 343 | 325 |
| Kerosines | 214 | 191 | 191 |
| Gas/Diesel oils | 533 | 515 | 483 |
| Fuel oil | 340 | 325 | 322 |
| Other products | 489 | 441 | 256 |
| Total | 1,955 | 1,815 | 1,577 |
| Oceania | | | |
| Gasolines | – | 52 | 87 |
| Kerosines | 51 | 48 | 51 |
| Gas/Diesel oils | – | 64 | 115 |
| Fuel oil | – | – | – |
| Other products | – | 10 | 19 |
| Total | 51 | 174 | 272 |
| Africa | | | |
| Gasolines | 37 | 36 | 45 |
| Kerosines | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Gas/Diesel oils | 57 | 52 | 43 |
| Fuel oil | 1 | – | 3 |
| Other products | 15 | 7 | 14 |
| Total | 119 | 104 | 114 |
| Americas | | | |
| Gasolines | 1,325 | 1,268 | 1,149 |
| Kerosines | 204 | 206 | 234 |
| Gas/Diesel oils | 584 | 583 | 519 |
| Fuel oil | 86 | 68 | 96 |
| Other products | 249 | 256 | 238 |
| Total | 2,448 | 2,381 | 2,236 |
| Total product sales [C] | | | |
| Gasolines | 2,144 | 2,104 | 2,021 |
| Kerosines | 729 | 718 | 711 |
| Gas/Diesel oils | 1,953 | 2,055 | 2,122 |
| Fuel oil | 613 | 569 | 615 |
| Other products | 993 | 919 | 695 |
| Total | 6,432 | 6,365 | 6,164 |

[A] Excludes deliveries to other companies under reciprocal sale and purchase arrangements, which are in the nature of exchanges. Sales of condensate and natural gas liquids are included.

[B] Includes the Shell share of sales volumes from Raizen.

[C] Certain contracts are held for trading purposes and reported net rather than gross. The effect in 2015 was a reduction in oil product sales of approximately 1,158,000 b/d (2014: 1,067,000 b/d; 2013: 921,000 b/d).

DOWNSTREAM CONTINUED

MANUFACTURING PLANTS AT DECEMBER 31, 2015

| | | Asset class | Shell interest (%) [A] | Thousand barrels/calendar day, 100% capacity [B] | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | | | | Crude distillation capacity | Thermal cracking/visbreaking/coking | Catalytic cracking | Hydro-cracking |
| REFINERIES IN OPERATION | | | | | | | |
| | Location | | | | | | |
| Europe | | | | | | | |
| Denmark | Fredericia | ● | 100 | 67 | 40 | – | – |
| Germany | Miro [C] | | 32 | 310 | 65 | 89 | – |
| | Rheinland | ■● | 100 | 325 | 44 | – | 80 |
| | Schwedt [C] | | 38 | 220 | 47 | 50 | – |
| Netherlands | Pernis | ■● | 100 | 404 | 45 | 48 | 83 |
| Asia | | | | | | | |
| Japan | Mizue (Toa) [C] | ●◆ | 18 | 64 | 24 | 38 | – |
| | Yamaguchi [C] | ◆ | 13 | 110 | – | 25 | – |
| | Yokkaichi [C] | ●◆ | 26 | 234 | – | 55 | – |
| Malaysia | Port Dickson [D] | ◆ | 51 | 107 | – | 39 | – |
| Pakistan | Karachi [C] | | 30 | 43 | – | – | – |
| Philippines | Tabangao | | 67 | 96 | 31 | – | – |
| Saudi Arabia | Al Jubail [C] | ●◆ | 50 | 292 | 85 | – | 45 |
| Singapore | Pulau Bukom | ■● | 100 | 468 | 70 | 34 | 55 |
| Africa | | | | | | | |
| South Africa | Durban [C] | ◆ | 38 | 165 | 23 | 34 | – |
| Americas | | | | | | | |
| Argentina | Buenos Aires | ●◆ | 100 | 100 | 18 | 20 | – |
| Canada | | | | | | | |
| Alberta | Scofield | ◆ | 100 | 92 | – | – | 62 |
| Ontario | Sarnia | ◆ | 100 | 73 | 4 | 19 | 9 |
| USA | | | | | | | |
| California | Martinez | ● | 100 | 144 | 42 | 65 | 37 |
| Louisiana | Convent [C] | ◆ | 50 | 227 | – | 82 | 45 |
| | Norco [C] | ■ | 50 | 229 | 25 | 107 | 39 |
| Texas | Deer Park | ■● | 50 | 312 | 78 | 63 | 53 |
| | Port Arthur [C] | ● | 50 | 578 | 144 | 81 | 73 |
| Washington | Puget Sound | ●◆ | 100 | 137 | 23 | 52 | – |

[A] Shell interest is rounded to nearest whole percentage point; Shell share of production capacity may differ.

[B] Calendar day capacity is the maximum sustainable capacity adjusted for normal unit downtime.

[C] Not operated by Shell.

[D] In 2015, we agreed to sell our interest in Port Dickson refinery to Malaysia Hengyuan International Ltd. The transaction is expected to be completed in 2016.

- Integrated refinery and chemical complex.
- Refinery complex with cogeneration capacity.
- ◆ Refinery complex with chemical unit(s).

MAJOR CHEMICAL PLANTS IN OPERATION [A]

| | Location | Thousand tonnes/year, Shell share capacity[B] | | | | Additional products |
|-----------------|----------------|---|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| | | Ethylene | Styrene monomer | Ethylene glycol | Higher olefins[C] | |
| Europe | | | | | | |
| Germany | Rheinland | 315 | – | – | – | A |
| Netherlands | Moerdijk [D] | 972 | 725 | 155 | – | A, I |
| UK | Mossmorran [E] | 415 | – | – | – | – |
| | Stanlow [E] | – | – | – | 330 | I |
| Asia | | | | | | |
| China | Nanhai [E] | 475 | 320 | 175 | – | A, I, P |
| Japan | Yamaguchi [E] | – | – | – | 11 | A, I |
| Saudi Arabia | Al Jubail [E] | 366 | 400 | – | – | A, O |
| Singapore | Jurong Island | 281 | 1,020 | 1,005 | – | A, I, P, O |
| | Pulau Bukom | 1,100 | – | – | – | A, I |
| Americas | | | | | | |
| Canada | Scotford | – | 485 | 520 | – | A, I |
| USA | Deer Park | 836 | – | – | – | A, I |
| | Geismar | – | – | 400 | 920 | I |
| | Norco | 1,399 | – | – | – | A |
| Total | | 6,159 | 2,950 | 2,255 | 1,261 | |

[A] Major chemical plants are large integrated chemical facilities, typically producing a range of chemical products from an array of feedstocks, and are a core part of our global Chemicals business.

[B] Shell share of capacity of subsidiaries, joint arrangements and associates (Shell and non-Shell operated), excluding capacity of the Infineum additives joint ventures.

[C] Higher olefins are linear alpha and internal olefins (products range from C6-C2024).

[D] Due to operational incidents in 2014 and 2015, not all units were fully in operation at December 31, 2015.

[E] Not operated by Shell.

A Aromatics, lower olefins.

I Intermediates.

P Polyethylene, polypropylene.

O Other.

OTHER CHEMICAL LOCATIONS [A]

| | Location | Products |
|-----------------|--------------|----------|
| Europe | | |
| Germany | Karlsruhe | A |
| | Schwedt | A |
| Netherlands | Pernis | A, I, O |
| Asia | | |
| Japan | Kawasaki | A, I |
| | Yokkaichi | A |
| Malaysia | Bintulu | I |
| | Port Dickson | A |
| Africa | | |
| South Africa | Durban | I |
| Americas | | |
| Argentina | Buenos Aires | I |
| Canada | Sarnia | A, I |
| USA | Martinez | O |
| | Mobile | A |
| | Puget Sound | I |

[A] Other chemical locations reflect locations with smaller chemical units, typically serving more local markets.

A Aromatics, lower olefins.

I Intermediates.

O Other.

CORPORATE

| EARNINGS | \$ MILLION | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|---------|---------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Segment earnings | (425) | (156) | 372 |
| Including: | | | |
| Net interest and investment expense | 995 | 913 | 832 |
| Foreign exchange losses | 731 | 263 | 189 |
| Taxation and other | (1,301) | (1,020) | (1,393) |

OVERVIEW

The Corporate segment covers the non-operating activities supporting Shell. It comprises Shell's holdings and treasury organisation, including its self-insurance activities as well as its headquarters and central functions. All finance expense and income as well as related taxes are included in the Corporate segment earnings rather than in the earnings of the business segments.

The holdings and treasury organisation manages many of the Corporate entities and is the point of contact between Shell and external capital markets. It conducts a broad range of transactions – from raising debt instruments to transacting foreign exchange. Treasury centres in London, Singapore and Rio de Janeiro support these activities.

Headquarters and central functions provide business support in the areas of communications, finance, health, human resources, information technology, legal services, real estate and security. They also provide support for the shareholder-related activities of the Company. The central functions are supported by business service centres located around the world, which process transactions, manage data and produce statutory returns, among other services. The majority of the headquarters and central-function costs are recovered from the business segments. Those costs that are not recovered are retained in Corporate.

SELF-INSURANCE

Shell mainly relies on self-insurance for many of its risk exposures and capital is set aside to meet self-insurance obligations (see "Risk factors" on pages 10-11). The capital held to support the self-insurance obligations is at a level at least equivalent to what would be held in the third-party insurance market. Periodically, surveys of key assets are undertaken that provide risk-engineering knowledge and best practices to Shell subsidiaries with the aim to reduce their exposure to hazard risks. Actions identified during these surveys are monitored to completion.

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Given our reliance on information technology systems for our operations, we continuously monitor external developments and share information on threats and security incidents. Shell employees and contractors are subject to mandatory courses and regular awareness campaigns, aimed at protecting us against cyber threats. We periodically review and adapt our disaster recovery plans and security response processes, and seek to enhance our security monitoring capability. See "Risk factors" on page 11.

EARNINGS 2015-2013

Segment earnings in 2015 were a loss of \$425 million, compared with a loss of \$156 million in 2014 and a gain of \$372 million in 2013.

Net interest and investment expense increased by \$82 million between 2014 and 2015. Interest expense was higher, mostly driven by new bond issuances 2015 (see "Liquidity and capital resources" on page 50), partly offset by an improvement in the liquidity premium associated with currency swaps, and an increase in the amount of interest capitalised. In 2014, net interest and investment expense decreased by \$81 million compared with 2013. Interest expense was higher, mostly driven by new bond issuances and additional finance leases, including those assumed as a result of the acquisition of Repsol LNG businesses. These effects were partly offset by an improvement in the liquidity premium associated with currency swaps.

Foreign exchange losses of \$731 million in 2015 (2014: \$263 million; 2013: \$189 million) were mainly due to the impact of exchange rates on non-functional currency loans and cash balances in operating units. The dollar strengthened against all major currencies to which Shell has exposure.

Taxation and other earnings increased by \$281 million in 2015 compared with 2014, mainly due to a gain on the sale of an office building in the UK, partly offset by lower tax credits. In 2014, taxation and other earnings were \$373 million lower than 2013, mainly due to lower tax credits.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

We manage our businesses to deliver strong cash flows to fund investment for profitable growth. Our aim is that, across the business cycle, "cash in" (including cash from operations and divestments) at least equals "cash out" (including capital expenditure, interest and dividends), while maintaining a strong balance sheet. Our priorities for applying our cash are the servicing and reduction of debt commitments, payment of dividends, share buybacks and capital investment.

OVERVIEW

The most significant factors affecting our operating cash flow are earnings and movements in working capital, which are mainly impacted by: realised prices for crude oil and natural gas; production levels of crude oil and natural gas; and refining and marketing margins.

Changes in realised crude oil and natural gas prices and production levels can have a significant impact on our operating cash flow. The extent of the impact from a decrease or increase in prices depends on: the extent to which contractual arrangements are tied to market prices; the dynamics of production-sharing contracts; the existence of agreements with governments or state-owned oil and gas companies that have limited sensitivity to crude oil and natural gas prices; tax impacts; and the extent to which changes in commodity prices flow through into operating costs. Changes in benchmark prices of crude oil and natural gas in any particular period therefore provide only a broad indicator of changes in our Upstream earnings in that period. In the longer term, replacement of proved oil and gas reserves will affect our ability to maintain or increase production levels, which in turn will affect our cash flows and earnings.

Changes in any one of a range of factors derived from either within the industry or the broader economic environment can influence refining and marketing margins. The precise impact of any such changes depends on how the oil markets respond to them. The market response is affected by

factors such as: whether the change affects all crude oil types or only a specific grade; regional and global crude-oil and refined-products inventories; and the collective speed of response of refiners and product marketers in adjusting their operations. As a result, margins fluctuate from region to region and from period to period.

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

Net cash from operating activities in 2015 was \$29.8 billion, a decrease from \$45.0 billion in 2014. The decrease mainly reflected lower income, which was principally a result of the significant decline in oil and gas prices. The increase in net cash from operating activities in 2014, compared with \$40.4 billion in 2013, mainly reflected a higher cash inflow from working capital movements.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$22.4 billion in 2015, an increase from \$19.7 billion in 2014. The increase was mainly the result of lower proceeds from sale of assets, which more than offset a reduction in capital expenditure. Net cash used in investing activities decreased from \$40.1 billion in 2013 to \$19.7 billion in 2014, mainly as a result of lower capital expenditure and higher proceeds from sale of assets.

Net cash from financing activities in 2015 was an inflow of \$3.8 billion compared with cash outflows of \$12.8 billion in 2014 and \$9.0 billion in 2013. This included net debt issued of \$14.9 billion (2014: \$0.4 billion; 2013: \$5.4 billion), partly offset by payment of dividends to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders of \$9.4 billion (2014: \$9.4 billion; 2013: \$7.2 billion) and interest paid of \$1.7 billion (2014: \$1.5 billion; 2013: \$1.3 billion).

Cash and cash equivalents were \$31.8 billion at December 31, 2015 (2014: \$21.6 billion; 2013: \$9.7 billion). This includes amounts held for the acquisition of BG Group plc (BG).

| CASH FLOW INFORMATION [A] | \$ BILLION | | |
|--|------------|--------|--------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Net cash from operating activities excluding working capital movements | | | |
| Upstream | 13.2 | 33.3 | 28.8 |
| Downstream | 10.6 | 4.5 | 7.5 |
| Corporate | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.2 |
| Total | 24.3 | 38.6 | 37.5 |
| Decrease in inventories | 2.8 | 8.0 | 0.6 |
| Decrease/(increase) in current receivables | 9.9 | (1.6) | 5.6 |
| Decrease in current payables | (7.2) | – | (3.3) |
| Decrease in working capital | 5.5 | 6.4 | 2.9 |
| Net cash from operating activities | 29.8 | 45.0 | 40.4 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (22.4) | (19.7) | (40.1) |
| Net cash from/(used in) financing activities | 3.8 | (12.8) | (9.0) |
| Currency translation differences relating to cash and cash equivalents | (1.0) | (0.6) | (0.2) |
| Increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 10.2 | 11.9 | (8.9) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year | 21.6 | 9.7 | 18.6 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the year | 31.8 | 21.6 | 9.7 |

[A] See the "Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows" on page 119.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES CONTINUED

FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY

Our financial position is strong. Despite the weakness in commodity prices, with an average Brent crude oil price of \$52 per barrel in 2015 (\$99 per barrel in 2014), our gearing increased by less than 2% over the year, from 12.2% at end 2014 to 14.0% at end 2015. Gearing, defined as net debt (total debt less cash and cash equivalents) as a percentage of total capital (net debt plus total equity), is a key measure of our capital structure. Across the business cycle, we aim to manage gearing within a range of 0-30%. Note 14 to the "Consolidated Financial Statements" on pages 134-135 provides information on our debt arrangements, including gearing.

We are affected by the global macroeconomic environment as well as financial and commodity market conditions. This exposes us to treasury and trading risks, including liquidity risk, market risk (interest rate risk, foreign exchange risk and commodity price risk) and credit risk. See "Risk factors" on page 10 and Note 19 to the "Consolidated Financial Statements" on pages 142-144. The size and scope of our businesses require a robust financial control framework and effective management of our various risk exposures.

Market risk and credit risk

In the normal course of business, financial instruments of various kinds are used for the purposes of managing exposure to interest rate, foreign exchange and commodity price movements. Our treasury and trading operations are highly centralised, and seek to manage credit exposures associated with our substantial cash, foreign exchange and commodity positions. Our portfolio of cash investments is diversified to avoid concentrating risk in any one instrument, country, or counterparty. We monitor our investments and adjust them in light of new market information. Exposure to failed financial and trading counterparties was not material in 2015. Treasury standards are applicable to all our subsidiaries, and each subsidiary is required to adopt a treasury policy consistent with these standards. Other than in exceptional cases, the use of external derivative instruments is confined to specialist trading and central treasury organisations that have appropriate skills, experience, supervision, control and reporting systems.

Pension commitments

We have substantial pension commitments, whose funding is subject to capital market risks (see "Risk factors" on page 10). We address key pension risks in a number of ways. Principal among these is the Pensions Forum, chaired by the Chief Financial Officer, which provides guidance on Shell's input to pension strategy, policy and operation. The forum is supported by a risk committee in reviewing the results of assurance processes with respect to pension risks. In general, local trustees manage the funded defined benefit pension plans and set the required contributions based on independent actuarial valuations in accordance with local regulations. Our total employer contributions to defined benefit pension plans were \$1.3 billion in 2015 and are estimated to be \$1.4 billion in 2016.

Liquidity

We satisfy our funding and working capital requirements from the cash generated by our operations and through the issuance of debt. Despite challenging market conditions for our industry, we have continued to have good access to the international debt capital markets. Our debt is principally financed from these markets through central debt programmes consisting of:

- a \$10 billion global commercial paper (CP) programme, exempt from registration under section 3 (a)(3) of the US Securities Act of 1933, with maturities not exceeding 270 days;

- a \$10 billion CP programme, exempt from registration under section 4(2) of the US Securities Act of 1933, with maturities not exceeding 397 days;
- an unlimited Euro medium-term note (EMTN) programme (also referred to as the Multi-currency Debt Securities Programme); and
- an unlimited US universal shelf (US shelf) registration.

All CP, EMTN and US shelf issuances are undertaken by Shell International Finance B.V., the issuance company for Shell, with its debt being guaranteed by Royal Dutch Shell plc (the Company).

We also maintain a \$7.48 billion committed credit facility that was undrawn at December 31, 2015. Following the second one-year extension agreed in November 2015, the facility expires in 2020. This facility and internally available liquidity provide back-up coverage for CP. Other than certain borrowings in local subsidiaries, we do not have any other committed credit facilities.

Our total debt increased by \$12.8 billion in 2015 to \$58.4 billion at December 31, 2015, and the amount, excluding leases, will mature as follows: 10% in 2016; 11% in 2017; 15% in 2018; 8% in 2019; and 56% in 2020 and beyond. The portion of debt maturing in 2016 is expected to be repaid from a combination of cash balances, cash generated from operations, divestments and issuance of new debt.

In 2015, we issued \$15.0 billion of bonds under our US shelf registration, and \$5.3 billion of bonds under our EMTN programme, the proceeds of which were primarily used to finance the BG acquisition (see below). Periodically, for working capital purposes, we issued CP. We believe our current working capital is sufficient for present requirements.

In accordance with the UK City Code on Takeovers and Mergers, we maintained sufficient certain funds for the estimated £13.2 billion cash consideration portion of the BG acquisition from the date of announcement in April 2015 until the date of completion in February 2016. We entered into a £10.07 billion bridge credit facility on May 1, 2015, which was cancelled unused on February 10, 2016, once funds had been accumulated and the completion date was certain. We raised these funds through long-term debt issuance in 2015.

While our subsidiaries are subject to restrictions, such as foreign withholding taxes on the transfer of funds in the form of cash dividends, loans or advances, such restrictions are not expected to have a material impact on our ability to meet our cash obligations.

| CAPITALISATION TABLE | \$ MILLION | |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| | Dec 31, 2015 | Dec 31, 2014 |
| Equity attributable to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders | 162,876 | 171,966 |
| Current debt | 5,530 | 7,208 |
| Non-current debt | 52,849 | 38,332 |
| Total debt [A] | 58,379 | 45,540 |
| Total capitalisation | 221,255 | 217,506 |

[A] Of total debt, \$53.2 billion (2014: \$39.5 billion) was unsecured and \$5.2 billion (2014: \$6.0 billion) was secured. See Note 14 to the "Consolidated Financial Statements" on pages 134-135 for further disclosure on debt, including the amount guaranteed by the Company.

The consolidated ratio of earnings to fixed charges of Shell for each of five years ended December 31, 2011-2015, is as follows:

| RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES [A] | | | | | |
|--|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Ratio of earnings to fixed charges | 1.93 | 14.41 | 20.11 | 31.12 | 35.71 |

[A] See "Exhibit 7.1" on page E1 for the calculation of the ratio of earnings to fixed charges.

DIVIDENDS

Our policy is to grow the dollar dividend through time, in line with our view of our underlying earnings and cash flow. When setting the dividend, the Board of Directors looks at a range of factors, including the macro environment, the current balance sheet and future investment plans.

We returned \$12.0 billion to our shareholders through dividends in 2015. Some of those dividends were paid out as 96.3 million shares issued to shareholders who had elected to receive new shares instead of cash, under our Scrip Dividend Programme which was reintroduced in March 2015 from the first quarter 2015 interim dividend onwards.

We have announced an interim dividend in respect of the fourth quarter of 2015 of \$0.47 per share, in line with the dividend for the same quarter of 2014. See Note 23 to the "Consolidated Financial Statements" on page

150. The fourth quarter interim dividend will be payable to shareholders, including former BG shareholders, on the register at February 19, 2016. The Board expects that the first quarter 2016 interim dividend will be \$0.47 per share.

PURCHASES OF SECURITIES

At the 2015 Annual General Meeting (AGM), shareholders granted an authority, which will expire at the end of the 2016 AGM, for the Company to repurchase up to 633 million of its shares. Under a similar authority granted at the 2014 AGM, we continued a share buyback programme, repurchasing 12.7 million shares in January 2015, to offset the dilution created by the issuance of shares under our Scrip Dividend Programme. The share buyback programme was suspended in February 2015. All of the shares purchased under the buyback programme are cancelled. A resolution will be proposed at the 2016 AGM to renew authority for the Company to purchase its own share capital up to specified limits for another year. Shares are also purchased by the employee share ownership trusts and trust-like entities (see the "Directors' Report" on page 68) to meet delivery commitments under employee share plans. All share purchases are made in open-market transactions.

The table below provides information on purchases of shares in 2015 by the issuer and affiliated purchasers. Purchases in euros and sterling are converted into dollars using the exchange rate on each transaction date.

PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY ISSUER AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS IN 2015 [A]

| Purchase period | A shares | | | B shares | | A ADSs | |
|-------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| | Number purchased for employee share plans | Number purchased for cancellation[C] | Weighted average price (\$)[B] | Number purchased for employee share plans | Weighted average price (\$)[B] | Number purchased for employee share plans | Weighted average price (\$)[B] |
| January | – | 12,717,512 | 32.06 | – | – | 1,133,754 | 65.00 |
| February | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| March | 343,670 | – | 31.16 | 184,916 | 33.02 | 98,567 | 62.57 |
| April | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| May | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| June | 52,359 | – | 29.97 | 150,233 | 30.32 | – | – |
| July | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| August | – | – | – | – | – | 21,097 | 50.22 |
| September | – | – | – | 163,535 | 24.19 | – | – |
| October | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| November | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| December | – | – | – | 181,366 | 22.15 | – | – |
| Total 2015 | 396,029 | 12,717,512 | 32.03 | 680,050 | 27.40 | 1,253,418 | 64.56 |

[A] Excludes shares issued to affiliated purchasers pursuant to the Scrip Dividend Programme.

[B] Average price paid per share includes stamp duty and brokers' commission.

[C] Under the share buyback programme.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES CONTINUED

CAPITAL INVESTMENT AND DIVESTMENTS

The reduction in capital investment in 2015 compared with 2014 reflects our decision to curtail spending by reducing the number of new investment decisions and designing lower-cost development solutions.

| CAPITAL INVESTMENT [A] | \$ MILLION | |
|------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Upstream | 23,527 | 31,293 |
| Downstream | 5,119 | 5,910 |
| Corporate | 215 | 136 |
| Total | 28,861 | 37,339 |

[A] See "Non-GAAP measures reconciliations and other definitions" on page 198.

In 2015, we continued to divest assets that fail to deliver competitive performance or no longer meet our longer-term strategic objectives, including assets in China, France, Nigeria, Norway, the UK and the USA. Divestments also included the sale of interests in Shell Midstream Partners, L.P.

| DIVESTMENTS | \$ MILLION | |
|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Upstream | 2,747 | 10,589 |
| Downstream | 2,282 | 4,410 |
| Corporate | 511 | 20 |
| Divestments [A] | 5,540 | 15,019 |

[A] See "Non-GAAP measures reconciliations and other definitions" on page 198.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

The table below summarises our principal contractual obligations at December 31, 2015, by expected settlement period. The amounts presented have not been offset by any committed third-party revenue in relation to these obligations.

| CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS | \$ BILLION | | | | |
|---|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | Less than 1 year | Between 1 and 3 years | Between 3 and 5 years | 5 years and later | Total |
| Debt [A] | 5.0 | 13.2 | 9.4 | 24.3 | 51.9 |
| Finance leases [A] | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 5.5 | 10.1 |
| Operating leases [A] | 5.3 | 7.2 | 6.1 | 7.6 | 26.2 |
| Purchase obligations [B] | 89.0 | 51.3 | 32.5 | 112.5 | 285.3 |
| Other long-term contractual liabilities [C] | – | 0.4 | – | 0.7 | 1.1 |
| Total | 100.4 | 73.9 | 49.7 | 150.6 | 374.6 |

[A] See Note 14 to the "Consolidated Financial Statements" on page 135. Debt contractual obligations exclude interest, which is estimated to be \$1.5 billion payable in less than one year, \$2.7 billion between one and three years, \$1.9 billion between three and five years, and \$11.2 billion in five years and later. For this purpose, we assume that interest rates with respect to variable interest rate debt remain constant at the rates in effect at December 31, 2015, and that there is no change in the aggregate principal amount of debt other than repayment at scheduled maturity as reflected in the table. Finance lease contractual obligations include interest.

[B] A purchase obligation is an agreement to purchase goods or services that is enforceable and legally binding and specifies terms such as: fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased; fixed, minimum or variable price provisions; and the approximate timing of the transaction.

[C] Includes all obligations included in "Trade and other payables" in "Non-current liabilities" on the "Consolidated Balance Sheet" that are contractually fixed as to timing and amount. In addition to these amounts, Shell has certain obligations that are not contractually fixed as to timing and amount, including contributions to defined benefit pension plans (see Note 17 to the "Consolidated Financial Statements" on pages 139-141) and obligations associated with decommissioning and restoration (see Note 18 to the "Consolidated Financial Statements" on pages 141-142).

GUARANTEES AND OTHER OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

Guarantees at December 31, 2015, were \$0.6 billion (2014: \$3.3 billion). This includes \$0.3 billion (2014: \$1.6 billion) of guarantees of debt of joint ventures and associates.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION RELATING TO THE ROYAL DUTCH SHELL DIVIDEND ACCESS TRUST

The results of operations and financial position of the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust (the Trust) are included in the consolidated results of operations and financial position of Shell. Certain condensed financial information in respect of the Trust is given below. See "Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust Financial Statements" on pages 185-189.

For the years 2015, 2014 and 2013 the Trust recorded income before tax of £2,726 million, £2,470 million and £2,361 million respectively. In each period this reflected the amount of dividends received on the dividend access share.

At December 31, 2015, the Trust had total equity of £nil (2014: £nil; 2013: £nil), reflecting cash of £2 million (2014: £1 million; 2013: £1 million) and unclaimed dividends of £2 million (2014: £1 million; 2013: £1 million). The Trust only records a liability for an unclaimed dividend, and a corresponding amount of cash, to the extent that cheques expire, which is one year after their issuance, or to the extent that they are returned unrepresented.

ENVIRONMENT AND SOCIETY

Our success in business depends on our ability to meet a range of environmental and social challenges. We must show we can operate safely and manage the effect our activities can have on neighbouring communities and society as a whole. If we fail to do this, we may incur liabilities or sanctions, lose business opportunities, harm our reputation, or our licence to operate may be impacted (see "Risk factors" on page 10).


Data in this section are reported on a 100% basis in respect of activities where we are the operator. Reporting on this operational control basis differs from that applied for financial reporting purposes in the "Consolidated Financial Statements" on pages 115-152. Detailed data and information on our 2015 environmental and social performance will be published in the Shell Sustainability Report in April 2016.

CONTROL FRAMEWORK

The Shell General Business Principles (Principles) set out our responsibilities to shareholders, customers, employees, business partners and society. They set the standards for the way we conduct business, with integrity and respect for people, the environment and communities. All ventures that we operate must conduct their activities in line with our business principles.

We work to minimise the environmental impact of new projects and existing operations and we engage with local communities and non-governmental organisations to understand and respond to their concerns. We have standards and a clear governance structure in place to help manage potential impacts. Our standards are defined in our Health, Safety, Security, Environment and Social Performance (HSSE&SP) Control Framework, in line with our Commitment and Policy and the Shell Code of Conduct, and are supported by a number of guidance documents. They apply to every Shell entity, including all employees and contractors, and to Shell-operated ventures. The Control Framework defines standards and accountabilities at each level of the organisation, and sets out the procedures and processes people are required to follow. We manage HSSE&SP risks to "As Low As Reasonably Practicable" (ALARP), which is a business responsibility, supported by the HSSE&SP function. The process safety and HSSE&SP assurance team provides assurance on the effectiveness of HSSE&SP controls.

HSSE & SP CONTROL FRAMEWORK



Our three Golden Rules require our employees and contractors to comply with laws and regulations as well as our standards and procedures, to intervene in unsafe or non-compliant situations, and to respect our neighbours.

In ventures not operated by us, Shell-appointed representatives encourage our partners to apply standards and principles similar to our own. We support these ventures in their implementation of our Control Framework, or of a similar framework, and offer to review the effectiveness of their implementation. Even if such a review is not carried out, we periodically evaluate health, safety, security, environment and community risks faced by our ventures which we do not operate. If one of these ventures falls below expectations, plans are put in place, in agreement with our partners, to improve performance.

SAFETY


Safety is central to the responsible delivery of energy. We develop and operate our facilities with the aim of preventing any incidents that may harm our employees, contractors or nearby communities, or cause damage to our assets or adversely impact the environment. We manage safety risks across our businesses through clear standards, controls and compliance systems combined with a safety-focused culture.

Our global standards and operating procedures define the controls and physical barriers we require to prevent incidents. For example, our offshore wells are designed with at least two independent barriers to mitigate the risk of an uncontrolled release of hydrocarbons. We regularly inspect, test and maintain these barriers to ensure they meet our standards. We also routinely prepare and practise our emergency response to potential incidents such as an oil spill or a fire. This involves working closely with local services and regulatory agencies to jointly test our plans and procedures. These tests continually improve our readiness to respond. If an incident does occur, we have procedures in place to reduce the impact on people and the environment.

We continue to strengthen the safety culture among our employees and contractors. Our safety goal is to achieve no harm and no leaks across all of our operations. We refer to this as our Goal Zero ambition. We expect everyone working for us to intervene and stop work that may appear to be unsafe. In addition to our ongoing safety awareness programmes, we hold an annual global safety day to give employees and contractors time to reflect on how to prevent incidents. We expect everyone working for us to comply with our 12 mandatory Life-Saving Rules. If employees break these rules, they face disciplinary action up to and including termination of employment. If contractors break the Life-Saving Rules, they can be removed from the worksite.

Process safety involves making sure the right precautions are in place to prevent unplanned releases of hydrocarbons or chemicals. In the event of a loss of containment such as a spill or a leak, we employ independent recovery measures to prevent the release from becoming catastrophic. This system of barriers and recovery measures is known as a "bow-tie", a model that visually represents a system where process safety hazards are managed through prevention and response barriers.

RISK MANAGEMENT APPROACH



ENVIRONMENT AND SOCIETY CONTINUED

While we continually work to minimise the likelihood of incidents, some do occur. We investigate all incidents to understand the underlying causes and translate these into improvements in standards or ways of working that can be applied broadly across similar facilities in Shell. As set out in "Performance indicators" on pages 20-21, our total recordable case frequency (injuries per million working hours) was 0.94 in 2015, compared with 0.99 in 2014, and there were 51 operational Tier 1 process safety events in 2015, compared with 57 in 2014. Detailed information on our 2015 safety performance will be published in the Shell Sustainability Report in April 2016.

CLIMATE CHANGE

Our approach to climate change

We have long recognised that the use of fossil fuels contributes to climate change. In November 2015, 195 nations approved the *Paris Agreement* which must be ratified by 55 countries that account for at least 55% of global greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. We welcome the efforts made by governments to reach a global climate agreement. The *Paris Agreement* provides a framework which is intended to enable governments to implement effective measures to reduce GHG emissions. The goal of limiting the increase in global temperatures to well below 2°C will be extremely challenging.

In the future, growth in energy demand means that all sources of energy will be needed over the longer term. Therefore, all forms of GHG reduction measures must be accelerated and increased in scale, including significant growth in carbon capture and storage (CCS) and renewables, significant improvements in energy efficiency, and sustained reductions in demand. The management of GHG emissions will become increasingly important as concerns over climate change lead to tighter environmental regulations. Policies and regulations designed to limit the increase in global temperatures to well below 2°C could have a material adverse effect on us. While we support the efforts to reduce GHG emissions, governments, when adopting rules and regulations, should balance the need to limit increases in temperature with society's need for energy.

Some governments have introduced carbon pricing mechanisms, which can be an effective measure to reduce GHG emissions across the economy at lowest overall cost to society. We expect more governments to follow and governments may also require companies to apply technical measures to reduce their GHG emissions. This could result in increased investments and higher project costs for us and higher energy and product costs for consumers (see "Risk factors" on pages 09-10). Our portfolio exposure is reviewed annually against changing GHG regulatory regimes and physical conditions to identify emerging risks. We test the resilience of our portfolio against externally published, future pathways, including a low emissions pathway.

To test the resilience of new projects, we assess potential costs associated with GHG emissions when evaluating all new investments. Our approach applies a uniform project screening value (PSV) of \$40 (real terms) per tonne of carbon dioxide (CO₂) equivalent to the total GHG emissions of each investment. This PSV is generally applied when evaluating our new projects around the world to test their resilience across a range of future scenarios. The project development process features a number of checks that may require development of detailed GHG and energy management plans. High-emitting projects undergo additional sensitivity testing, including the potential for future CCS projects. Projects in the most GHG-exposed asset classes have GHG intensity targets that reflect standards sufficient to allow them to compete and prosper in a more CO₂ regulated future. These processes can lead to projects being stopped, designs being changed, and

potential GHG mitigation investments being identified, in preparation for when regulation would make these investments commercially compelling.

The International Energy Agency (IEA) has developed a *450 Scenario* that sets out an energy pathway consistent with the goal of limiting the average global temperature increase to 2°C. This is accomplished by seeking to limit the concentration of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere to around 450 parts per million of CO₂ equivalent. By the year 2030, the IEA's *450 Scenario* describes an energy sector with significant renewables penetration, marked improvement in vehicle as well as process efficiency, and widespread replacement of coal by natural gas in power generation. Under this scenario, CCS is expected by 2030 to be storing around 40 times the volume of CO₂ it does at present. The IEA has assumed oil and gas prices in 2030 of around \$97 per barrel and \$9 per MMBtu respectively, and global CO₂ equivalent costs of \$100 per tonne (all in real terms). The related impact on expected production is that global demand for oil would fall by 17% between 2015 and 2030, while demand for natural gas would grow by 8% during that period. The *450 Scenario* assumptions intensify through to 2050 and beyond to simulate the level of global GHG emission reductions needed to achieve the scenario goals.

We have evaluated our portfolio under the *450 Scenario*. The IEA's projected GHG regulation is expected to result in lower demand for some of our products and potential impairments to some of our less energy-efficient assets. However, we could also see certain benefits as a robust global CO₂ price would make some forms of energy, such as natural gas and renewables, more competitive compared with coal. A robust CO₂ price would also help encourage the development of CCS. Our preliminary view, looking at 2030, is that the aggregate impact under the IEA's *450 Scenario* would be positive overall for us compared with our own outlook. This is primarily due to the higher oil and gas prices assumed by the IEA. While the IEA assumes significant global CO₂ costs of \$100/tonne (in real terms) in 2030, our portfolio sensitivity to oil and gas prices significantly exceeds our sensitivity to CO₂ costs associated with our GHG emissions.

While the IEA assumes significant GHG regulatory costs by 2030, the net impact on us will be influenced by developments in the allocation of free allowances under CO₂ pricing regimes as well as the ability to recover the increased costs from customers. The outlook for these critical elements differs by region and asset type. We actively monitor and model such influences, using our own estimates of developments in global GHG regulation rather than the external reference point of the IEA's *450 Scenario*, to better represent country-level policy granularity.

Accordingly, we have also evaluated the resilience of our portfolio using our own business-case model that assumes an average global temperature increase of 2-3°C by 2100. This model uses our best estimates for future oil and gas prices and expected trends in GHG policies, including existing and proposed regulations. Using our model, we expect our existing portfolio to remain relatively resilient in 2030, primarily as a result of our significant gas reserves and the relative energy efficiency of certain of our portfolio assets. While our model assumes lower overall regulatory costs associated with our CO₂ emissions in 2030 than the IEA estimate of \$100/tonne, we also expect lower oil and gas prices, which projects a less positive outcome than under the IEA's *450 Scenario*.

Based on the above analysis, we believe current oil, gas and CO₂ prices are too low to stimulate the fossil fuel substitution necessary to meet the *Paris Agreement* goal of limiting the average global temperature increase to well below 2°C.

As energy demand increases and easily accessible oil and gas resources decline, we are developing resources that require more energy and advanced technologies to produce. As our production becomes more energy intensive, this could result in an associated increase in direct GHG emissions from our Upstream facilities. See “Risk factors” on pages 09-10.

We are seeking cost-effective ways to manage GHG emissions and see potential business opportunities in developing such solutions. Our main contributions to reducing global GHG emissions are in four areas: supplying more natural gas to replace coal for power generation; supplying biofuels; progressing CCS technologies; and implementing energy-efficiency measures in our operations where reasonably practical. To support this, we continue to advocate the introduction of effective government-led carbon pricing mechanisms.

According to the IEA, over 40% of global emissions in 2013 came from electricity and heat generation. For many countries, using more gas in power generation instead of coal can make the largest contribution, at the lowest cost, to meeting their GHG emission reduction objectives. We expect that, in combination with renewables and use of CCS, natural gas will be essential for significantly lower CO₂ emissions. With our leading position in liquefied natural gas (LNG), our portfolio of conventional gas assets and our technologies for recovering gas from tight rock formations, we can supply natural gas to replace coal for power generation. Natural gas can also act as a partner for intermittent renewable energy, such as solar and wind, to maintain a steady supply of electricity, because gas-fired plants can start and stop relatively quickly.

We believe that low-carbon biofuels are one of the most viable ways to reduce CO₂ from transport fuels in the coming years. Our Raizen joint venture (Shell interest 50%) in Brazil produces low-carbon biofuel from sugar cane. We are also investing in research to help develop and commercialise advanced biofuels.

CCS is a technology used for capturing CO₂ from flue gas before it is emitted into the atmosphere, transporting it through pipelines and injecting it into a deep geological formation for long-term storage. According to the IEA, CCS could contribute around 13% of the CO₂ mitigation effort required by 2050, assuming that use of CCS technology grows in accordance with the IEA scenario. In November 2015, we launched our Quest CCS project in Canada, which is designed to capture and safely store more than 1 million tonnes of CO₂ each year. We are also involved in the CCS test centre in Mongstad, Norway, the Gorgon CO₂ injection project in Australia and the Qatar Carbonates and Carbon Storage Research Centre. At the Peterhead power station in Scotland, which is operated by the British energy company SSE, we were developing the world's first full-scale CCS project for a natural gas-fired power plant. Unfortunately, in late 2015, the UK government decided not to fund the project, which meant that it could not proceed. However, our technical data and reports will be made public. We also have technology that can remove both CO₂ and sulphur dioxide from industrial flue gases. It is being used at the Boundary Dam coal-fired power plant in Canada.

We continue to work on improving energy efficiency at our oil and gas production facilities, refineries and chemical plants. Measures include our GHG and energy management programme that focuses on the efficient operation of existing equipment by using monitoring systems which give us instant information that we can use to make energy-saving changes.

In addition, we work to help our customers conserve energy and reduce their GHG emissions, including through the development and sale of advanced fuels and lubricants.

Our performance

Our direct GHG emissions decreased from 76 million tonnes of CO₂ equivalent in 2014 to 72 million in 2015. The level of flaring in our Upstream businesses fell by 8% in 2015 compared with 2014, despite an increase in flaring levels in Malaysia in line with increased oil production in 2015. Our emissions also decreased as a result of divestments (for example, in Nigeria and the Geelong refinery in Australia), a higher level of maintenance shutdowns and the start-up of Quest. These decreases were partially offset by updated Global Warming Potentials (GWPs). GWP is an index used to compare the impact of emissions from various greenhouse gases to the impact of emissions from the equivalent mass of CO₂. Our 2014 reporting was based on the GWPs from the *Second Assessment Report* published by the International Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Consistent with updated UK regulations, our 2015 reporting is based on the GWPs from the *Fourth Assessment Report*. For example, as a result, GWP for methane increased from 21 to 25.

In 2015, we signed up to the World Bank's “Zero Routine Flaring by 2030” initiative. This is an important initiative to ensure all stakeholders, including governments and companies, work together to address routine flaring. Flaring, or burning off, of gas in our Upstream businesses contributed around 17% to our overall GHG emissions in 2015. The majority of this flaring takes place at facilities where there is no infrastructure to capture the gas produced with oil, known as associated gas. Gas flaring from these operations may rise in coming years if oil production increases before the related gas-gathering equipment is in place. In October 2015, we brought a project on stream to capture gas for reinjection in Malaysia. At the end of 2015, we also brought a project on stream that captures gas from the Majnoon field in Iraq to help supply the domestic market. We expect to further reduce our flaring levels in 2016, as gas gathering facilities that started at the end of 2015 in Malaysia and Iraq reach full capacity.

In parallel, our involvement in Basrah Gas Company (BGC), a joint venture between Shell, South Gas Company and Mitsubishi Corporation in the south of Iraq, continues to reduce flaring in the country. It is the largest gas project in Iraq's history and the world's largest flaring reduction project. BGC captures associated gas that would otherwise be flared from three non-Shell operated oil fields in southern Iraq (Rumaila, West Qurna 1 and Zubair) for use in the domestic market. It reached a peak raw gas throughput of 515 million standard cubic feet per day in 2015.

Around 25% of our flaring in 2015 took place in Nigeria, where a challenging operating environment and shortfalls in funding from the government-owned Nigerian National Petroleum Company – which has the majority interest in a venture operated by The Shell Petroleum Development Company of Nigeria Limited (SPDC) – has slowed progress on projects that are intended to gather additional associated gas that is currently flared.

Despite the noted funding challenges, flaring intensity levels in SPDC decreased by about 15% in 2015 compared with 2014. Work to improve asset reliability reduced the rate of flaring and the divestment of assets in Oil Mining Leases 18, 24 and 29 further contributed to the decrease in flaring emissions.

We recognise the importance of reducing methane emissions and take our responsibilities seriously. Methane from the flaring and venting of associated gas in our Upstream oil operations was the largest contributor to our reported methane emissions in 2015. We are working to reduce methane emissions from these sources by reducing the overall level of flaring and venting. In addition, we continue to implement “Leak Detection and Repair” programmes across our sites to identify high-emission equipment, such as high-bleed pneumatic devices, and unintended losses, so they can be replaced or repaired. We continue to work to confirm that we have identified all potential methane sources and have reported our emissions from these sources in line with regulations and industry standards.

ENVIRONMENT AND SOCIETY CONTINUED

GHG emissions data are provided below in accordance with UK regulations introduced in 2013. GHG emissions comprise CO₂, methane, nitrous oxide, hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons and sulphur hexafluoride. The data are calculated using locally regulated methods where they exist. Where there is no locally regulated method, the data are calculated using the 2009 API Compendium, which is the recognised industry standard under the GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard. There are inherent limitations to the accuracy of such data. Oil and gas industry guidelines (IPIECA/API/IOGP) indicate that a number of sources of uncertainty can contribute to the overall uncertainty of a corporate emissions inventory.

| GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS | | |
|--|------|------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Emissions (million tonnes of CO₂ equivalent) | | |
| Direct [A] | 72 | 76 |
| Energy indirect [B] | 9 | 10 |
| Intensity ratios (tonne/tonne) | | |
| All facilities [C] | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| Downstream refineries [D] | 0.29 | 0.29 |
| Upstream facilities [E] | 0.14 | 0.14 |

[A] Emissions from the combustion of fuel and the operation of facilities. 2015 emissions are calculated using GWPs from the IPCC's *Fourth Assessment Report*.

[B] Emissions from the purchase of electricity, heat, steam and cooling for our own use using a market-based method.

[C] In tonnes of total direct and energy indirect GHG emissions per tonne of crude oil and feedstocks processed and petrochemicals produced in Downstream manufacturing, and oil and gas produced and gas processed by gas-to-liquid (GTL) facilities in Upstream. The regulations require the reporting of a ratio which expresses the annual emissions in relation to a quantifiable factor associated with our activities. However, oil and gas industry guidelines (IPIECA/API/IOGP) state that only presenting normalised environmental performance data separately for different business activities would generally provide meaningful information. As a result, we are also reporting the most appropriate ratio for our Downstream and Upstream businesses.

[D] In tonnes of direct and energy indirect GHG emissions per tonne of crude oil and feedstocks processed. The ratio includes chemical plants where they are integrated with refineries.

[E] In tonnes of direct and energy indirect GHG emissions per tonne of oil and gas produced. The ratio excludes GTL facilities.

As set out in "Performance indicators" on page 21, our Refining Energy Intensity Index (EIITTM) was 95.4 in 2015, compared with 94.9 in 2014. Detailed information on our 2015 environmental performance will be published in the Shell Sustainability Report in April 2016.

SPILLS

Large spills of crude oil, oil products and chemicals associated with our operations can result in major clean-up costs as well as fines and other damages. They can also affect our licence to operate and harm our reputation. We have clear requirements and procedures designed to prevent spills, and our asset integrity programmes include the design, maintenance and operation of spill containment facilities.

Our business units are responsible for organising and executing oil-spill responses in line with Shell guidelines as well as with relevant legal and regulatory requirements. All our offshore installations have plans in place to respond to spills. These plans detail response strategies and techniques, available equipment, and trained personnel and contracts. We are able to call upon significant resources such as containment booms, collection vessels and aircraft. We are also able to draw upon the contracted services of oil-spill response organisations, if required. We conduct regular exercises that seek to ensure these plans remain effective. We have further developed our capability to respond to spills to water, and maintain a Global Response Support Network to support our worldwide response capability. This is also supported by our global Oil Spill Excellence Center, which tests local capability, and maintains our capability globally to respond to a significant incident.

We are a founding member of the Marine Well Containment Company, a non-profit industry consortium providing a well-containment response system for the Gulf of Mexico. In addition, we were a founding member of the Subsea Well Response Project, an industry cooperative effort to enhance global well-containment capabilities. The additional well-containment capability developed by this project is now managed by an industry consortium via Oil Spill Response Limited.

We also maintain site-specific emergency response plans in the event of an onshore spill. Like the offshore response plans, these are designed to meet Shell guidelines as well as relevant legal and regulatory requirements. They also provide for the initial assessment of incidents and the mobilisation of resources needed to manage them.

In 2015, the number of operational spills of more than 100 kilograms decreased to 108 from 153 in 2014 (see "Performance indicators" on page 21). At the end of February 2016, there were two spills under investigation in Nigeria that may result in adjustments.

Although most oil spills in Nigeria result from sabotage and theft of crude oil, there are instances where spills occur in our operations due to operational failures, accidents or corrosion. SPDC responds to all oil spills originating in the area immediately surrounding its pipelines and other facilities, regardless of the cause. It has been working to reduce operational spills that are under its control. It maintains a public website to track the response, investigation and clean-up of every spill from its facilities due to operational failure, sabotage or theft.

Accelerating implementation of the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)'s Environmental Assessment of Ogoniland was identified as a priority in 2015 by the newly elected Buhari administration. In August 2015, an 18-month roadmap was agreed between the government, UNEP and SPDC, which included approval of a governance model and funding framework for the Ogoni Restoration Fund recommended by UNEP.

In 2015, SPDC and the Bodo community signed a memorandum of understanding to restart the clean-up of the Bodo creeks affected by two operational spills in 2008. The clean-up will be overseen by an independent project director appointed by the Bodo mediation team. Contractors for the first phase of the clean-up were deployed to the field in 2015; however, the preliminary assessment works were stopped by the community shortly afterwards. Efforts are ongoing to engage all stakeholders so that the clean-up exercise can begin.

As both UNEP and the co-chairs of the Bodo mediation team have noted, it is essential that clean-up and remediation are accompanied by concerted efforts by government, communities and the oil and gas industry to prevent re-pollution. SPDC is pursuing a range of initiatives to prevent and minimise the impact of sabotage and crude oil theft within Ogoniland, including community-based pipeline surveillance, education and alternative livelihoods programmes.

HYDRAULIC FRACTURING

Over the last decade, we have established our onshore oil and gas portfolio using advances in technology to access previously uneconomic tight-oil and tight-gas resources, including those locked in shale formations. This energy resource continues to play an important role in meeting global energy demand.

One of the key technologies applied in tight-oil and tight-gas fields is known as hydraulic fracturing, a technique that has been used since the 1950s. It involves pumping a fluid that is typically 99% water and sand and around 1% chemical additives into tight sand or shale rock at high pressure. This creates threadlike fissures, typically the diameter of a human hair, through which oil and gas can flow.

In 2011, we developed and publicly shared a set of five global principles that govern the onshore tight/shale oil and gas activities where hydraulic fracturing is used. The principles cover safety, air quality, water production and use, land use, and engagement with local communities. We support regulations consistent with these principles, which are designed to reduce risks to the environment and seek to ensure the safety of those living near our operations. As new technologies, challenges and regulatory requirements emerge, we review and update these principles. Each of our projects takes into account the local context, including the geology of the area and impacts such as noise and traffic, and we then design our activities with the aim to suit the local conditions.

Some jurisdictions are considering more stringent permitting, well-construction and other regulations relating to fracturing, as well as local bans and other land use restrictions. Such regulations could subject our operations to delays, increased costs or prohibitions. Our current standards meet or exceed the existing regulatory requirements of the jurisdictions where we operate. We believe we can safely and responsibly explore, develop and produce tight-oil and tight-gas where hydraulic fracturing technology is used – and we support regulation, as long as it is workable and effective.

Examples of topics which our principles cover include groundwater protection, chemicals used for hydraulic fracturing, water use and seismicity.

To protect and isolate potable groundwater from hydraulic-fracturing fluids in the wellbore, we line all our wells with steel casing and cement. All of our wells are expected to have two or more subsurface barriers to protect groundwater. We monitor a wellbore's integrity before, during and after hydraulic fracturing. When we acquire assets, we evaluate the assets' wells for conformity with our safety and operating principles, and put in place a plan with a timeline for rectifying any inconsistencies as far as reasonably practical.

To the extent allowed by our suppliers, we support full disclosure of the chemicals used in hydraulic-fracturing fluids for Shell-operated wells. Material Safety Data Sheet information is available on site where wells are being hydraulically fractured. We support regulation to require suppliers to release such information. The chemicals used in hydraulic fracturing will vary from well to well and from contractor to contractor, but some can be toxic. For that reason, we have stringent procedures for handling hydraulic-fracturing chemicals in accordance with the design and assurance processes described above. The formations into which these additives may be injected are typically more than a thousand metres below freshwater aquifers. Our procedures require that potable groundwater must be isolated from well completion and production activities. Moreover, we only use air, water or a water-based liquid while drilling through the potable groundwater aquifer to a depth below the aquifer. The casing and cement are then put in place before drilling is resumed and hydraulic fracturing is initiated.

We recycle or reuse as much water as we believe is reasonably practical. We store, treat or dispose of water in accordance with regulatory requirements and Shell standards, which meet or exceed those regulatory requirements.

There have been reports linking hydraulic fracturing to earth tremors. Most seismic events occur naturally due to motion along faults under stress in the earth's crust. Some areas are more seismically active than others. We analyse publicly available seismic, geologic and geophysical data to determine historical seismicity in areas where we plan to operate, and if seismic activity beyond historic levels is detected, we will investigate and review our operations. We are supportive of local regulations that are fit-for-purpose, based on local geology and surface conditions, in managing the risk of induced seismicity in our operating areas. In addition to adhering to local regulations, we have our own guidelines, which outline monitoring, mitigation and response procedures to avoid or minimise seismicity associated with hydraulic fracturing.

OIL SANDS

We are developing mineable oil sands resources in Alberta, Canada. We use an aqueous extraction method (warm water) to extract bitumen, which is a heavy oil. Tailings are the residual by-products that remain after the bitumen is separated from the mined oil sands ore. They are composed of sand, clay, water, silts, some residual bitumen and other hydrocarbons, salts and trace metals, some of which are toxic. Tailings are initially stored in an above-ground tailings facility adjacent to the mined pit until the mined-out pit area is ready for tailings materials and fluids placement. This in-pit backfilling process begins approximately eight to ten years after mining has started. This period allows for mining to progress enough to allow dykes to be built within the mined pit to provide areas for tailings containment as mining continues to advance. We take active measures to prevent wildlife from interacting with the tailings facilities, and have barriers to prevent tailings water from seeping into groundwater. We regularly monitor the local groundwater and surface water bodies to confirm that these barriers are effective at preventing contamination.

In addition, tailings facilities allow water to be recycled, minimising the amount of water intake from the river. Over 75% of the water used in our oil sands mining operations is recycled from the tailings facilities at our mines.

The tailings management areas at the Athabasca Oil Sands Project's Muskeg River and Jackpine mines covered an area of approximately 43 square kilometres at the end of 2015. We estimate that the active tailings' footprint will start to decrease between 2020 and 2025 as the Muskeg River Mine external tailings facility is reclaimed and tailings materials are deposited in a pit as part of the in-pit backfilling process.

Previously, tailings were managed under the Alberta Energy Regulator's Directive 074, which had more prescriptive targets for tailings remediation. In March 2015, the Government of Alberta replaced it with a new policy – the Tailings Management Framework (TMF) – to manage existing and new tailings pond accumulation and remediation. The TMF and associated regulation will manage tailings throughout a project life cycle and will include limits on tailings accumulation. The framework also ensures that tailings are treated and progressively reclaimed and that all fluid tailings meet the TMF's definition of "ready to reclaim" within 10 years of the end of mine life. We continue to work towards improving tailings treatment technologies to treat fluid fine tailings that have a high percentage of fine particles.

EXPLORATION IN ALASKA

We operated for almost 50 years off the coast of Alaska, including the Cook Inlet and the Beaufort and Chukchi seas, until 1998. Between 2005 and 2012, we acquired our current portfolio, which includes 339 federal leases for exploration in the Beaufort and Chukchi seas, and 18 state leases in North Slope coastal waters.

In September 2015, we safely drilled the Burger J well to a depth of 2,073 metres. The well was deemed a dry hole and was sealed and abandoned in accordance with US regulations. We will not conduct further exploration offshore Alaska for the foreseeable future. This decision reflects not only the Burger J well results, but also the high costs associated with the project, and the challenging and unpredictable federal regulatory environment for offshore Alaska.

Subsequently, we safely demobilised all personnel and vessels from the Chukchi Sea. All operations were conducted without significant injury or environmental issues. We conveyed the results of the exploration season to stakeholders and worked closely with them in the subsequent winding down of operations.

ENVIRONMENT AND SOCIETY CONTINUED

WATER

Although the availability of fresh water is a global issue of increasing importance, water constraints are mainly local, requiring local solutions. A combination of increasing demand for water resources, growing stakeholder expectations and concerns, and water-related legislation may drive actions that affect our ability to secure access to fresh water and to discharge water from our operations. We require our assets and projects to assess risks to water availability and, in areas of water scarcity, we develop water-management action plans that identify ways to use less fresh water, recycle water and closely monitor its use. We design and operate our facilities to help reduce their freshwater use.

On Pulau Bukom, a small island in southern Singapore, a country with limited water supplies, we use recycled water and convert sea water for steam generation at our refinery. We also make a conscious effort to reuse our process water. As a result, we are relying less on water from mainland Singapore, which frees up resources for use by local residents.

At our oil sands operations in Canada, we use far less than our water allocation from the Athabasca River and we seek to minimise the amount withdrawn during the winter months when the flow rate is low. We also reduce the amount of fresh water needed in operations by recycling water from the tailings ponds. Most of the water we use is recycled and we are investigating new ways to further reduce fresh water intake.

Our biofuel joint venture Raízen has been introducing a system that partially recovers water from sugar cane to be reused in mills, boilers, cooling towers and other equipment in the production line.

Our Pearl GTL plant in Qatar does not take fresh water from its arid surroundings. The water produced in the GTL manufacturing process is recycled in the operation, fulfilling all the water needs of the plant.

BIOFUELS

The international market for biofuels is growing, driven largely by the introduction of new energy policies in Europe and the USA that call for more renewable, lower-carbon fuels for transport. According to the IEA, sustainable biofuels are expected to play an increasingly important role in helping to meet customers' fuel needs and reduce CO₂ emissions.

From cultivation to use, some biofuels emit significantly less CO₂ compared with conventional gasoline. But this depends on several factors, such as how the raw materials are produced. Other challenges include concerns over land competing with food crops, labour rights, and the water used in the production process.

In 2015, we used around 9.5 billion litres of biofuel in our gasoline and diesel blends worldwide, which makes us one of the world's largest biofuel suppliers. We include our own long-established sustainability clauses in our supply contracts. These clauses are designed to prevent the sourcing of biofuels from suppliers that may not abide by human rights guidelines, or that may have cleared land rich in biodiversity. In addition, where possible, we source biofuels that have been certified against internationally recognised sustainability standards.

We are also developing our own capabilities to produce sustainable biofuel components. Raízen produces approximately 2 billion litres annually of ethanol from sugar cane. This ethanol can reduce CO₂ emissions by around 70% compared with gasoline, from cultivation of the sugar cane to using the ethanol as fuel.

The Raízen joint-venture agreement includes developing joint sustainability principles, standards and operating procedures that also apply to third-party

suppliers. We also continue to work with industry, governments and voluntary organisations towards the development of global sustainability standards for biofuels.

We continue to invest in new ways of producing biofuels from sustainable feedstocks, such as biofuels made from waste products or cellulosic biomass. These advanced biofuels could potentially emit less CO₂ in the production process than the biofuels available today.

Raízen's cellulosic ethanol plant at its Costa Pinto mill in Brazil was opened in 2015. The technology was first developed from our funding of the Iogen Energy venture, which was subsequently transferred to Raízen. It is expected to produce 40 million litres a year of advanced biofuels from sugar-cane residues.

We are working on three routes for manufacturing cellulosic biofuels and now have three pilot plants at various stages of completion. The pilot plants are designed to convert cellulosic biomass, which are non-food plants and wastes, into a range of products, including gasoline, diesel, aviation fuel and ethanol. The plant built in Houston, Texas, in 2012, continues to provide valuable data in support of improving the digestion of biomass. A second plant to test a pre-treatment process for cellulosic ethanol is now being commissioned in Houston. A third plant has been approved and is expected to be built in Bangalore, India.

ENVIRONMENTAL COSTS

We are subject to a variety of environmental laws, regulations and reporting requirements in the countries where we operate. Infringing any of these laws, regulations and requirements could result in significant costs, including clean-up costs, fines, sanctions and third-party claims, as well as harm our reputation and our ability to do business.

Our ongoing operating expenses include the costs of avoiding unauthorised discharges into the air and water, and the safe disposal and handling of waste.

We place a premium on developing effective technologies that are also safe for the environment. However, when operating at the forefront of technology, there is always the possibility that a new technology brings with it environmental impacts that have not been assessed, foreseen or determined to be harmful, when originally implemented. While we believe we take all reasonable precautions to limit these risks, we are subject to additional remedial environmental and litigation costs as a result of our operations' unknown and unforeseen impacts on the environment. Although these costs have so far not been material to us, no assurance can be given that this will always be the case.

In this regard, as oil and gas fields mature, it is possible in certain circumstances for seismic activity to increase based on the unique geology of individual fields. While earth tremors as a result of gas production have been acknowledged in the Groningen gas field in the Netherlands since 1993, an earthquake with the magnitude of 3.6 on the Richter scale in August 2012 resulted in new insights and led to increased concerns in the local community (see "Risk factors" on page 10). The field is operated by Nederlandse Aardolie Maatschappij B.V. (NAM) (Shell interest 50%) and is one of the largest onshore gas fields in Europe. An extensive study is in progress to better understand seismic risk in the area. Several universities and researchers are involved and a report is expected in 2016. Interim results from November 2015 included a fully-integrated seismic risk assessment. This risk assessment demonstrated that all the analysed production levels meet the acceptable risk boundaries set by the Ministry of Economic Affairs of the Netherlands.

The Dutch government has imposed significant gas production reduction measures since 2014. A range of actions have been taken to improve

safety, liveability and economic prospects in the region, including the cap on extraction. A long-term programme has been developed by the National Coordinator for Groningen to work with regional authorities and residents on issues such as improving the handling of claims and the resolution of disputes. NAM is working together with all relevant parties.

SECURITY

Our operations expose us to social instability, civil unrest, terrorism, piracy, acts of war and risks of pandemic diseases that could have a material adverse effect on our business (see "Risk factors" on page 09). We seek to obtain the best possible information to enable us to assess threats and risks. We conduct detailed assessments for all sites and activities, and implement appropriate risk mitigation measures to detect, deter and respond to security threats. This includes building strong and open relationships with government security agencies, the physical hardening of sites, journey management, and information risk management. We conduct training and awareness campaigns, including travel advice and medical assistance before travel. The identities of our employees and contractors and their access to our sites and activities, both physical and logical, are consistently verified and controlled. We manage and exercise crisis response and management plans.

NEIGHBOURING COMMUNITIES

Earning the trust of local communities is essential to the success of our projects and operations. We have global requirements for social performance – how we perform in our relationship with communities.

The requirements set clear rules and expectations for how we engage with and respect communities that may be impacted by our operations. Shell-operated major projects and facilities are required to have a social performance plan and an effective community feedback mechanism. This helps the business to understand the social context in which we plan to operate, identifies potential negative effects on the community and manages impacts. In addition, we have specific requirements intended to minimise our impact on indigenous peoples' traditional lifestyles and on handling involuntary resettlement.

HUMAN RIGHTS

Our Principles and Code of Conduct require our employees and contractors to respect the human rights of fellow workers and communities where we operate. Our approach is informed by the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the core conventions of the International Labour Organization and the United Nations' Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights.

We have specific policies in place in areas across our activities where respect for human rights is particularly important to the way we operate, such as communities, labour, procurement and security. We also work with other companies and non-governmental organisations to improve the way we apply these principles. Our approach to human rights helps us operate in a responsible way, aimed at delivering projects without delays and minimising the social impacts of our operations. It also enables us to better share certain benefits of our activities, such as creating new jobs and contracts that help develop local economies.

OUR PEOPLE

Our aim is to be the world's most competitive and innovative energy company. We recruit, train and recompense people according to a strategy that aims to maintain a productive organisation, deploying talent across the business effectively; accelerating development of our people; growing and strengthening our leadership capabilities; and enhancing employee performance through strong engagement.

EMPLOYEE OVERVIEW

At December 31, 2015, we employed 90,000 people, compared with 94,000 at the end of 2014. The net decrease included the impact by the end of 2015, partially offset by recruitment, of our decision to reduce the number of roles across our organisation by 7,500 in 2015-2016.

We continued to recruit externally to execute our strategy and growth plans for the future, hiring about 1,000 graduates and 1,500 experienced professionals. About 40% of our graduate recruits came from universities outside Europe and the Americas, compared with 30% in 2014, in response to increasing demand for skilled people in other regions, principally in Asia. The majority of our graduate recruits came from technical disciplines.

During 2015, we employed an average of 93,000 people, shown by geographical area in the table below.

| EMPLOYEES BY GEOGRAPHICAL AREA (AVERAGE NUMBERS) | THOUSAND | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Europe | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Asia | 29 | 28 | 27 |
| Oceania | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Africa | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| North America | 31 | 32 | 31 |
| South America | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| Total | 93 | 94 | 92 |

EMPLOYEE COMMUNICATION AND INVOLVEMENT

We strive to maintain a healthy industrial relations environment in which dialogue between management and employees – both directly and, where appropriate, through employee representative bodies – is embedded in our work practices. On a quarterly basis, management briefs employees on our operational and financial results through various channels, including team meetings, face-to-face gatherings, an email from the Chief Executive Officer, webcasts and online publications.

The Shell People Survey is one of the principal tools used to measure employee engagement: the degree of affiliation and commitment to Shell. It provides insights into employees' views and has had a consistently high response rate. The average employee engagement score in 2015 was 80% favourable, as it was in 2014.

We promote safe reporting of views about our processes and practices. In addition to local channels, the Shell Global Helpline enables employees to report potential breaches of the Shell General Business Principles and Shell Code of Conduct, confidentially and anonymously, in a choice of several languages. See "Corporate governance" on page 70.

DIVERSITY AND INCLUSION

We have a culture that embraces diversity and fosters inclusion. By embedding these principles in our operations, we have a better understanding of the needs of our varied customers, partners and stakeholders throughout the world and can benefit from a wider talent pool. We provide equal opportunity in recruitment, career development, promotion, training and rewards for all employees, including those with

disabilities. Where possible, we make reasonable adjustments in job design and provide appropriate training for employees who have become disabled.

We actively monitor representation of women and local nationals in senior leadership positions, and have talent-development processes to support us in delivering more diverse representation.

At the end of 2015, the proportion of women in senior leadership positions was 19% compared with 18% at the end of 2014. Senior leadership positions is a Shell measure based on senior salary group levels and is distinct from the term "senior manager" in the statutory disclosures set out below.

| GENDER DIVERSITY DATA (AT DECEMBER 31, 2015) | NUMBER | |
|---|---------|---------|
| | Men | Women |
| Directors of the Company | 8 73% | 3 27% |
| Senior managers [A] | 754 78% | 218 22% |
| Employees (thousand) | 63 70% | 27 30% |

[A] Senior manager is defined in section 414C(9) of the Companies Act 2006 and accordingly the number disclosed comprises the Executive Committee members who were not Directors of the Company, as well as other directors of Shell subsidiaries.

The local national coverage is the number of senior local nationals (both those working in their respective base country and those expatriated) as a percentage of the number of senior leadership positions in their base country.

| LOCAL NATIONAL COVERAGE (AT DECEMBER 31, 2015) | Number of selected key business countries | | |
|---|---|-----------|-----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Greater than 80% | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Less than 80% | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Total | 20 | 20 | 20 |

EMPLOYEE SHARE PLANS

We have a number of share plans designed to align employees' interests with our performance through share ownership. For information on the share-based compensation plans for Executive Directors, see the "Directors' Remuneration Report" on pages 98-102.

Performance Share Plan and Long-term Incentive Plan

Conditional awards of the Company's shares are made under the terms of the Performance Share Plan (PSP) to some 17,000 employees each year. From 2015, senior executives received conditional awards of the Company's shares under the terms of the Long-term Incentive Plan (LTIP) rather than under the terms of the PSP. The extent to which the awards vest under both plans is determined over a three-year performance period but the performance conditions applicable to each plan are different. Under the PSP, half of the award is linked to the key performance indicators described in "Performance indicators" on page 20, averaged over the period. The other half of the award is linked to a comparative performance condition which involves a comparison with four of our main competitors over the period, based on four relative performance measures. Under the LTIP, the award is solely linked to the comparative performance condition described above. Under both plans, all shares that vest are increased by an amount equal to the notional dividends accrued on those shares during the period from the award date to the vesting date. In certain circumstances awards may be adjusted before delivery or reclaimed after delivery. None of the awards results in beneficial ownership until the shares vest. See Note 21 to the "Consolidated Financial Statements" on pages 147-148.

Restricted Share Plan

Under the Restricted Share Plan, awards are made on a highly selective basis to senior staff. Shares are awarded subject to a three-year retention period. All shares that vest are increased by an amount equal to the notional dividends accrued on those shares during the period from the award date to the vesting date. In certain circumstances awards may be adjusted before delivery or reclaimed after delivery.

Global Employee Share Purchase Plan

Eligible employees in participating countries may participate in the Global Employee Share Purchase Plan. This plan enables them to make contributions from net pay towards the purchase of the Company's shares at a 15% discount to the market price, either at the start or at the end of an annual cycle, whichever date offers the lower market price.

UK Sharesave Scheme

Eligible employees of participating companies in the UK may participate in the UK Sharesave Scheme. Options are granted over the Company's shares at market value on the invitation date. These options are normally exercisable after completion of a three-year or five-year contractual savings period.

UK Shell All Employee Share Ownership Plan

Eligible employees of participating companies in the UK may participate in the Shell All Employee Share Ownership Plan, under which monthly contributions from gross pay are made towards the purchase of the Company's shares.

ACQUISITION OF BG GROUP PLC

We acquired BG Group plc (BG) in February 2016. See "Strategy and outlook" on page 15. BG has about 5,000 employees. As a result of the identified synergies, we expect an overall potential reduction of approximately 2,800 roles globally across the combined organisation, which is in addition to the reduction of 7,500 mentioned earlier under "Employee overview".

As a result of the acquisition, certain conditional employee share awards made in 2015 under BG's Long-Term Incentive Plan were exchanged for equivalent conditional awards over shares in the Company. Certain participants in the BG Sharesave Scheme rolled over their outstanding BG share options into options over the Company's shares.

Strategic Report signed on behalf of the Board

/s/ Michiel Brandjes

Michiel Brandjes
Company Secretary
March 9, 2016

GOVERNANCE

THE BOARD OF ROYAL DUTCH SHELL PLC

CHARLES O. HOLLIDAY Chairman

Born March 9, 1948. A US national, appointed Chairman of the Company with effect from May 2015, having previously served as a Non-executive Director since September 2010.

He was Chief Executive Officer of DuPont from 1998 to 2009, and Chairman from 1999 to 2009. He joined DuPont in 1970 after receiving a B.S. in industrial engineering from the University of Tennessee and held various manufacturing and business assignments, including a six-year, Tokyo-based posting as President of DuPont Asia/Pacific. He is Chairman of the National Academy of Engineering and is a founding member of the International Business Council. He has previously served as Chairman of the Bank of America Corporation, The Business Council, Catalyst, the Society of Chemical Industry – American Section and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development.

He is a Director of Deere & Company.

Chairman of the Nomination and Succession Committee

HANS WIJERS Deputy Chairman and Senior Independent Director

Born January 11, 1951. A Dutch national, appointed a Non-executive Director of the Company with effect from January 2009.

He was Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Management of AkzoNobel N.V. from 2003 to 2012, having become a Board member in 2002. From 1999 to 2002, he was Senior Partner at The Boston Consulting Group. He was Dutch Minister for Economic Affairs from 1994 to 1998, and was previously Managing Partner of The Boston Consulting Group. He obtained a PhD in economics from Erasmus University Rotterdam while teaching there.

He is Chairman of the Supervisory Board of Heineken N.V., a member of the Supervisory Board of HAL Holding N.V., a Non-executive Director of GlaxoSmithKline plc and a trustee of various charities.

Chairman of the Corporate and Social Responsibility Committee and member of the Nomination and Succession Committee

BEN VAN BEURDEN Chief Executive Officer

Born April 23, 1958. A Dutch national, appointed Chief Executive Officer of the Company with effect from January 2014.

He was Downstream Director from January to September 2013. Previously he was Executive Vice President Chemicals from December 2006, when he served on the boards of a number of leading industry associations, including the International Council of Chemicals Associations and the European Chemical Industry Council. Prior to this, he held a number of operational and commercial roles in both Upstream and Downstream, including Vice President Manufacturing Excellence. He joined Shell in 1983, after graduating with a Master's Degree in Chemical Engineering from Delft University of Technology, the Netherlands.

SIMON HENRY Chief Financial Officer

Born July 13, 1961. A British national, he was appointed Chief Financial Officer of the Company with effect from May 2009.

He was Chief Financial Officer for Exploration & Production from 2004 to 2009, and was Head of Group Investor Relations from 2001 to 2004. Prior to these roles, he held various finance posts including Finance Manager of Marketing in Egypt, Controller for the Upstream business in Egypt, Oil Products Finance Adviser for Asia-Pacific, Finance Director for the Mekong Cluster, and General Manager Finance for the South East Asian Retail business. He joined Shell in 1982 as an engineer at the Stanlow refinery in the UK and in 1989 qualified as a member of the Chartered Institute of Management Accountants.

He is a Non-executive Director of Lloyds Banking Group plc.

GUY ELLIOTT Non-executive Director

Born December 26, 1955. A British national, appointed a Non-executive Director of the Company with effect from September 2010.

He was Chief Financial Officer of Rio Tinto plc and Rio Tinto Limited from 2002 to April 2013, and remained Senior Executive Director of these companies until the end of 2013. Prior to joining the Rio Tinto Group, he worked in investment banking and gained an MBA at INSEAD. From 2007 to 2010, he was a Non-executive Director of Cadbury plc, serving as Chairman of its Audit Committee from 2008 to 2009 and as Senior Independent Director from 2008 to 2010.

He is a member of the UK Takeover Panel and Chairman of the Code Committee of the Panel. He is Deputy Chairman and Senior Independent Director of SABMiller plc.

Member of the Audit Committee and member of the Nomination and Succession Committee

EULEEN GOH
Non-executive Director

Born April 20, 1955. A Singaporean national, appointed a Non-executive Director of the Company with effect from September 2014.

She is a chartered accountant and also has professional qualifications in banking and taxation. She held various senior management positions with Standard Chartered Bank and was Chief Executive Officer of Standard Chartered Bank, Singapore, from 2001 until 2006.

She has also held non-executive appointments on various boards including Aviva plc, MediaCorp Pte Limited, Singapore Airlines Limited, Singapore Exchange Limited, Standard Chartered Bank Malaysia Berhad and Standard Chartered Bank Thai plc. She was previously Non-executive Chairman of the Singapore International Foundation and Chairman of International Enterprise Singapore and the Accounting Standards Council, Singapore.

She is a Non-executive Director of Capitaland Limited, DBS Bank Limited, DBS Group Holdings Limited and SATS Limited, and a Trustee of the Singapore Institute of International Affairs Endowment Fund and the Temasek Trust. She is also a Non-executive Director of Singapore Health Services Pte Limited, a not-for-profit organisation.

Chairman of the Audit Committee

GERARD KLEISTERLEE
Non-executive Director

Born September 28, 1946. A Dutch national, appointed a Non-executive Director of the Company with effect from November 2010.

He was President/Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Management of Koninklijke Philips N.V. from 2001 to 2011. Having joined Philips in 1974, he held several positions before being appointed as Chief Executive Officer of Philips' Components division in 1999 and Executive Vice-President of Philips in 2000. From 2010 to 2013, he was a member of the board of Directors of Dell Inc. and, from 2009 to April 2014, he was a member of the Supervisory Board of Daimler AG.

He is Chairman of Vodafone Group plc, a member of the Supervisory Board of ASML Holding N.V. and a Non-executive Director of IBEX Global Solutions plc. It was announced in February 2016 that Gerard Kleisterlee will be appointed Chairman of ASML Holding N.V. with effect from the close of business of its AGM on April 29, 2016.

Chairman of the Remuneration Committee and member of the Audit Committee

SIR NIGEL SHEINWALD GCMG
Non-executive Director

Born June 26, 1953. A British national, appointed a Non-executive Director of the Company with effect from July 2012.

He was a senior British diplomat who served as British Ambassador to the USA from 2007 to 2012, before retiring from the Diplomatic Service. Prior to this, he served as Foreign Policy and Defence Adviser to the Prime Minister and Head of the Cabinet Office Defence and Overseas Secretariat. He served as British Ambassador and Permanent Representative to the European Union in Brussels from 2000 to 2003. He joined the Diplomatic Service in 1976 and served in Brussels, Washington, Moscow and in a wide range of policy roles in London.

He is a Non-executive Director of the Innovia Group and Invesco Limited, a Senior Adviser to the Universal Music Group and a Visiting Professor and Council Member of King's College, London.

Member of the Corporate and Social Responsibility Committee

LINDA G. STUNTZ
Non-executive Director

Born September 11, 1954. A US national, appointed a Non-executive Director of the Company with effect from June 2011.

She is a founding partner of the law firm of Stuntz, Davis & Staffier, P.C., based in Washington, DC. Her law practice includes energy and environmental regulation, as well as matters relating to government support of technology development and transfer. She chaired the Electricity Advisory Committee to the US Department of Energy from 2008 to 2009, and was a member of the board of Directors of Schlumberger Limited from 1993 to 2010 and Raytheon Company from 2004 to 2015. From 1989 to 1993, she held senior policy positions at the US Department of Energy, including Deputy Secretary. She played a principal role in the development and enactment of the Energy Policy Act of 1992. From 1981 to 1987, she was an Associate Minority Counsel and Minority Counsel to the Energy and Commerce Committee of the US House of Representatives.

She is a member of the US Secretary of Energy Advisory Board and a Director of Edison International.

Member of the Audit Committee

THE BOARD OF ROYAL DUTCH SHELL PLC CONTINUED

PATRICIA A. WOERTZ **Non-executive Director**

Born March 17, 1953. A US national, appointed a Non-executive Director of the Company with effect from June 2014.

She is former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Archer Daniels Midland Company in the USA, which she joined in 2006. She began her career as a certified public accountant with Ernst & Ernst in Pittsburgh, USA before joining Gulf Oil Corporation in 1977 where she held various positions in refining, marketing, strategic planning and finance. Following the merger of Gulf and Chevron in 1987, she led international operations as President of Chevron Canada and, later, Chevron International Oil Company. With the merger of Chevron and Texaco in 2001, she was Executive Vice President responsible for global refining, marketing, lubricant and supply and trading operations until 2006. She served on the US President's Export Council from 2010 to 2015 and chaired the US section of the US-Brazil CEO Forum from 2013 to 2015.

She is a Director of 3M Company, The Procter & Gamble Company, UI LABS and World Business Chicago and is a member of The Business Council.

Member of the Corporate and Social Responsibility Committee and member of the Remuneration Committee

GERRIT ZALM **Non-executive Director**

Born May 6, 1952. A Dutch national, appointed a Non-executive Director of the Company with effect from January 2013.

He was an adviser to PricewaterhouseCoopers during 2007, Chairman of the trustees of the International Accounting Standards Board from 2007 to 2010, an adviser to Permira from 2007 to 2008 and Chief Economist from July 2007 to January 2008, and Chief Financial Officer from January 2008 to December 2008 of DSB Bank. He was the Minister of Finance of the Netherlands twice, from 1994 to 2002 and from 2003 to 2007. In between, he was Chairman of the parliamentary party of the VVD. Prior to 1994, he was head of the Netherlands Bureau for Economic Policy Analysis, a professor at Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam and held various positions at the Ministry of Finance and the Ministry of Economic Affairs. He studied General Economics at Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam and received an Honorary Doctorate in Economics from that university.

He is Chairman of the Managing Board of ABN AMRO Bank N.V.

Member of the Remuneration Committee

MICHIEL BRANDJES **Company Secretary**

Born December 14, 1954. A Dutch national, appointed Company Secretary and General Counsel Corporate of the Company in February 2005.

He joined Shell in 1980 as a Legal Adviser and was later appointed Head of Legal in Singapore. Following a period as Head of Legal in China, he was appointed Company Secretary of Royal Dutch Petroleum Company.

He is a Non-executive Director of Constellium N.V.

SENIOR MANAGEMENT

The Senior Management of the Company comprises the Executive Directors and those listed below. All are members of the Executive Committee (see "Corporate Governance" on page 72).

JOHN ABBOTT

Downstream Director

Born March 24, 1960. A British national, appointed Downstream Director with effect from October 2013. Previously, he was Executive Vice President Manufacturing, responsible for oil refineries and petrochemicals plants worldwide. He joined Shell in 1981, and has held a variety of management positions in refining, chemicals and upstream heavy oil, working in Canada, the Netherlands, Singapore, Thailand, the UK and the USA.

HARRY BREKELMANS

Projects & Technology Director

Born June 11, 1965. A Dutch national, appointed Projects & Technology Director with effect from October 2014. Previously, he was Executive Vice President for Upstream International Operated based in the Netherlands. He joined Shell in 1990, and has held a variety of management positions in Exploration and Production, Internal Audit, and Group Strategy and Planning. From 2011 to 2013, he was Country Chairman – Russia and Executive Vice President for Russia and the Caspian region.

ANDREW BROWN

Upstream Director

Born January 29, 1962. A British national, appointed Upstream Director with effect from January 1, 2016, having served on the Executive Committee as Upstream International Director from 2012. Previously, he was Executive Vice President for Shell's activities in Qatar and a member of the Upstream International Leadership Team. He was awarded the Order of the British Empire in 2012 for his services to British-Qatari business relations.

RONAN CASSIDY

Chief Human Resources & Corporate Officer

Born February 10, 1967. A British national, appointed Chief Human Resources & Corporate Officer with effect from January 1, 2016. Previously, he was Executive Vice President, Human Resources, Upstream International. He joined Shell in 1988 and has held a variety of human resources positions in the Upstream and Downstream businesses.

DONNY CHING

Legal Director

Born February 14, 1964. A Malaysian national, appointed Legal Director with effect from February 2014. Previously, he was General Counsel for the Project & Technology business based in the Netherlands. He joined Shell in 1988 based in Australia, moving to Hong Kong and later to London. In 2008, he was appointed Head of Legal at Shell Singapore, having served as Associate General Counsel for the Gas & Power business in Asia-Pacific.

MARVIN ODUM [A]

Unconventional Resources Director

Born December 13, 1958. A US national, appointed Unconventional Resources Director with effect from January 1, 2016, having served on the Executive Committee as Upstream Americas Director from 2009. Previously, he was Executive Vice President for the Americas for Shell Exploration & Production. In 2008, he was appointed President of Shell Oil Company, having served as Executive Vice President since 2005 with responsibility for Shell's Exploration & Production businesses in the western hemisphere.

[A] It was announced on February 24, 2016, that Marvin Odum would leave the Company on March 31, 2016, when the position of Unconventional Resources Director would cease to exist.

MAARTEN WETSELAAR

Integrated Gas Director

Born December 30, 1968. A Dutch national, appointed Integrated Gas Director with effect from January 1, 2016. Previously, he was Executive Vice President of Integrated Gas based in Singapore. He joined Shell in 1995 and has held a variety of financial, commercial and general management roles in Downstream, Trading and Upstream.

DIRECTORS' REPORT

MANAGEMENT REPORT

This Directors' Report, together with the "Strategic Report" on pages 6-61, serves as the Management Report for the purpose of Disclosure and Transparency Rule 4.1.8R.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND DIVIDENDS

The "Consolidated Statement of Income" and "Consolidated Balance Sheet" can be found on pages 116 and 117 respectively.

The table below sets out the dividends on each class of share and each class of American Depositary Share (ADS [A]). The Company announces its dividends in dollars and, at a later date, announces the euro and sterling equivalent amounts using a market exchange rate.

[A] ADSs are listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbols RDS.A and RDS.B. Each ADS represents two shares – two A shares in the case of RDS.A or two B shares in the case of RDS.B.

Dividends on Royal Dutch Shell plc A shares (A shares) are paid by default in euros, although holders are able to elect to receive dividends in sterling. Dividends on Royal Dutch Shell plc B shares (B shares) are paid by default in sterling, although holders are able to elect to receive dividends in euros. Dividends on ADSs are paid in dollars.

The Scrip Dividend Programme, which enables shareholders to increase their shareholding by choosing to receive new shares instead of cash dividends (if approved by the Board), was reintroduced with effect from the first quarter 2015 interim dividend onwards [A] [B]. More information can be found at www.shell.com/scrip.

[A] The Scrip Dividend Programme had been cancelled with effect from the second quarter 2014 interim dividend onwards.

[B] Only new A shares are issued under the programme, including to shareholders who held B shares.

The Directors have announced a fourth-quarter interim dividend as set out in the table below, payable on March 29, 2016, to shareholders on the Register of Members at close of business on February 19, 2016. The closing date for scrip and dividend currency elections was March 4, 2016 [C]. The euro and sterling equivalents announcement date is March 11, 2016.

[C] Both a different scrip and dividend currency election date may apply to shareholders holding shares in a securities account with a bank or financial institution ultimately holding through Euroclear Nederland. This may also apply to other shareholders who do not hold their shares either directly on the Register of Members or in the corporate sponsored nominee arrangement. Shareholders can contact their broker, financial intermediary, bank or financial institution for the election deadline that applies. A different scrip election date may also apply to registered and non-registered ADS holders. Registered ADS holders can contact The Bank of New York Mellon for the election deadline that applies. Non-registered ADS holders can contact their broker, financial intermediary, bank or financial institution for the election deadline that applies.

DIRECTORS' RESPONSIBILITIES IN RESPECT OF THE PREPARATION OF THE ANNUAL REPORT AND ACCOUNTS

The Directors are responsible for preparing the Annual Report including the financial statements in accordance with applicable law and regulations. Company law requires the Directors to prepare financial statements for each financial year. Under that law the Directors have prepared the Consolidated and Parent Company Financial Statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) as adopted by the European Union (EU). In preparing these financial statements, the Directors have also elected to comply with IFRS as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB). Under company law the Directors must not approve the financial statements unless they are satisfied that they give a true and fair view of the state of affairs of Shell and the Company and of the profit or loss of Shell and the Company for that period. In preparing these financial statements, the Directors are required to:

- adopt the going concern basis unless it is inappropriate to do so;
- select suitable accounting policies and then apply them consistently;
- make judgements and accounting estimates that are reasonable and prudent; and
- state whether IFRS as adopted by the EU and IFRS as issued by the IASB have been followed.

The Directors are responsible for keeping adequate accounting records that are sufficient to show and explain the transactions of Shell and the Company and disclose with reasonable accuracy, at any time, the financial position of Shell and the Company and to enable them to ensure that the financial statements comply with the Companies Act 2006 (the Act) and, as regards the Consolidated Financial Statements, with Article 4 of the IAS Regulation and therefore are in accordance with IFRS as adopted by the EU. The Directors are also responsible for safeguarding the assets of Shell and the Company and hence for taking reasonable steps for the prevention and detection of fraud and other irregularities.

Each of the Directors, whose names and functions can be found on pages 62-64, confirms that, to the best of their knowledge:

- the financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with IFRS as adopted by the EU, and with IFRS as issued by the IASB, give a true and fair view of the assets, liabilities, financial position and profit of Shell and the Company; and
- the Management Report includes a fair review of the development and performance of the business and the position of Shell, together with a description of the principal risks and uncertainties that it faces.

Furthermore, so far as each of the Directors is aware, there is no relevant audit information of which the auditors are unaware, and each of the Directors has taken all the steps that ought to have been taken in order to become aware of any relevant audit information and to establish that the auditors are aware of that information.

DIVIDENDS

2015

| | A shares | | | B shares[A] | | | A ADSs | B ADSs |
|--|----------|--------|--------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | \$ | € | pence | \$ | pence | € | \$ | \$ |
| Q1 | 0.47 | 0.4195 | 30.75 | 0.47 | 30.75 | 0.4195 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Q2 | 0.47 | 0.4227 | 30.92 | 0.47 | 30.92 | 0.4227 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Q3 | 0.47 | 0.4299 | 31.07 | 0.47 | 31.07 | 0.4299 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Q4 | 0.47 | [B] | [B] | 0.47 | [B] | [B] | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Total announced in respect of the year | 1.88 | [B] | [B] | 1.88 | [B] | [B] | 3.76 | 3.76 |
| Amount paid during the year | | 1.7050 | 123.94 | | 123.94 | 1.7050 | 3.76 | 3.76 |

[A] It is expected that holders of B shares will receive dividends through the dividend access mechanism applicable to such shares. The dividend access mechanism is described more fully on page 179.

[B] The euro and sterling equivalents announcement date is March 11, 2016, which therefore is also the date when the total announced in respect of the year can be calculated.

The Directors consider that the Annual Report including the financial statements, taken as a whole, is fair, balanced and understandable and provides the information necessary for shareholders to assess Shell's position and performance, business model and strategy.

The Directors consider it appropriate to continue to adopt the going concern basis of accounting in preparing the financial statements.

The Directors are responsible for the maintenance and integrity of the Shell website (www.shell.com). Legislation in the UK governing the preparation and dissemination of financial statements may differ from legislation in other jurisdictions.

VIABILITY STATEMENT

The "Strategic Report" includes information about Shell's strategy, financial condition, cash flows and liquidity, as well as the factors, including the principal risks, likely to affect Shell's future development. The Directors assess Shell's prospects both at an operating and a strategic level, each involving different time horizons. On an annual basis the Directors approve a detailed three-year operating plan, which forecasts Shell's cash flows and ability to service financing requirements, pay dividends and fund investing activities during the period, having taken into consideration upward and downward sensitivities. This period is considered appropriate for operating purposes because it allows for credible detailed forecasts. Taking account of Shell's position and principal risks at December 31, 2015, including the impact from the proposed acquisition of BG Group plc, the Directors have a reasonable expectation that Shell will be able to continue in operation and meet its liabilities as they fall due over its three-year operating plan period. Annually, the Directors also review Shell's strategic plan which takes account of longer-term forecasts including external environment factors and Shell's business portfolio developments and endorse any updates required. This aims to preserve Shell's long-term viability and ability to meet longer-term commitments such as debt and contractual obligations which can extend over several decades.

REPURCHASES OF SHARES

At the 2015 Annual General Meeting (AGM), shareholders granted an authority, which expires at the end of the 2016 AGM, for the Company to repurchase up to a maximum of 633 million of its shares (excluding purchases for employee share plans). Under a similar authority granted at the 2014 AGM, 12.7 million A shares with a nominal value of €0.9 million (\$1.0 million) (0.2% of the Company's total issued share capital at December 31, 2015) were purchased for cancellation in 2015 for a total cost of \$0.4 billion including expenses, at an average price of \$32.06 per A share. The purpose of the share buyback programme is to offset the dilution created by the issuance of shares for the Company's Scrip Dividend Programme [A].

[A] The Scrip Dividend Programme was cancelled with effect from the second quarter 2014 interim dividend onwards, and had been reintroduced with effect from the first quarter 2015 interim dividend onwards. More information can be found at www.shell.com/scrip.

The Board continues to regard the ability to repurchase issued shares in suitable circumstances as an important part of the financial management of the Company. A resolution will be proposed at the 2016 AGM to renew the authority for the Company to purchase its own share capital up to specified limits for a further year. More detail of this proposal is given in the Notice of Annual General Meeting.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The Directors during the year were Ben van Beurden, Guy Elliott, Euleen Goh, Simon Henry, Charles O. Holliday, Gerard Kleisterlee, Jorma Ollila (who stood down on May 19, 2015), Sir Nigel Sheinwald, Linda G. Stuntz, Hans Wijers, Patricia A. Woertz and Gerrit Zalm.

RETIREMENT AND REAPPOINTMENT OF DIRECTORS

In line with the UK Corporate Governance Code (the Code), all Directors will retire at the 2016 AGM and seek reappointment by shareholders.

The biographies of all current Directors are given on pages 62-64 and, also in the Notice of Annual General Meeting. Details of the Executive Directors' contracts can be found on page 104 and copies are available for inspection from the Company Secretary. Furthermore, a copy of the form of these contracts has been filed with the US Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit.

The terms and conditions of appointment of Non-executive Directors are set out in their letters of appointment with the Company which, in accordance with the Code, are available for inspection from the Company Secretary.

No Director is, or was, materially interested in any contract subsisting during or at the end of the year that was significant in relation to the Company's business. See also "Related party transactions" below.

DIRECTORS' INTERESTS

The interests (in shares of the Company or calculated equivalents) of the Directors in office at the end of the year, including any interests of a "connected person" (as defined in the Disclosure and Transparency Rules of the UK's Financial Conduct Authority), can be found in the "Directors' Remuneration Report" on pages 94-95.

Changes in Directors' share interests during the period from December 31, 2015, to March 9, 2016, including changes in the interests in shares awarded under the Long-term Incentive Plan and the Deferred Bonus Plan, can also be found in the "Directors' Remuneration Report" on pages 94-95.

QUALIFYING THIRD-PARTY INDEMNITIES

The Company has entered into a deed of indemnity with each Director who served during the year under identical terms. The deeds indemnify the Directors to the widest extent permitted by the applicable laws of England against all liability incurred as a Director or employee of the Company or of certain other entities.

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Other than disclosures given in Notes 9 and 27 to the "Consolidated Financial Statements" on pages 132 and 151-152 respectively, there were no transactions or proposed transactions that were material to either the Company or any related party. Nor were there any transactions with any related party that were unusual in their nature or conditions.

POLITICAL CONTRIBUTIONS

No donations were made by the Company or any of its subsidiaries to political parties or organisations during the year. Shell Oil Company administers the non-partisan Shell Oil Company Employees' Political Awareness Committee (SEPAC), a political action committee registered with the US Federal Election Commission. Eligible employees may make voluntary personal contributions to the SEPAC.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS AND POST-BALANCE SHEET EVENTS

Following approval of the cash and share offer by the Company for BG Group plc (BG) by means of a Scheme of Arrangement under Part 26 of the Act, 1,523,804,425 new Shell shares [A] were issued and admitted to the premium segment of the Official List and to trading on the main market for listed securities of the London Stock Exchange on February 15, 2016. The shares were admitted to listing by Euronext on Euronext Amsterdam and to trading on Euronext Amsterdam on February 16, 2016.

DIRECTORS' REPORT CONTINUED

Information concerning the acquisition of BG can be found in Note 29 to the "Consolidated Financial Statements" on page 152.

[A] 218,728,308 A shares and 1,305,076,117 B shares.

LIKELY FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS

Information relating to likely future developments can be found in the "Strategic Report" on pages 6-61.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

Information relating to Shell's research and development, including expenditure, can be found in "Business overview" on page 14.

DIVERSITY AND INCLUSION

Information concerning diversity and inclusion can be found in "Our people" on page 60.

EMPLOYEE COMMUNICATION AND INVOLVEMENT

Information concerning employee communication and involvement can be found in "Our people" on page 60.

CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY

A summary of Shell's approach to corporate social responsibility can be found in "Environment and society" on pages 53-59. Further details will be available in the Shell Sustainability Report 2015.

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

Information relating to greenhouse gas emissions can be found in "Environment and society" on pages 55-56.

FINANCIAL RISK MANAGEMENT, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES

Descriptions of the use of financial instruments and Shell's financial risk management objectives and policies, and exposure to market risk (including price risk), credit risk and liquidity risk can be found in Note 19 to the "Consolidated Financial Statements" on pages 142-147.

SHARE CAPITAL

The Company's issued share capital at December 31, 2015, is set out in Note 10 to the "Parent Company Financial Statements" on pages 178-180. The percentage of the total issued share capital represented by each class of share is given below.

| SHARE CAPITAL PERCENTAGE | % |
|--------------------------|------------|
| Share class | |
| A ordinary | 62.05 |
| B ordinary | 37.95 |
| Sterling deferred | de minimis |

TRANSFER OF SECURITIES

There are no significant restrictions on the transfer of securities.

SHARE OWNERSHIP TRUSTS AND TRUST-LIKE ENTITIES

Shell has three primary employee share ownership trusts and trust-like entities: a Dutch foundation (stichting) and two US Rabbi Trusts. The shares held by the Dutch foundation are voted by its Board and the shares in the US Rabbi Trusts are voted by the Voting Trustee, Evercore Trust Company, N.A. Both the Board of the Dutch foundation and the Voting Trustee are independent of Shell.

The UK Shell All Employee Share Ownership Plan has a separate related share ownership trust. Shares held by the trust are voted by its trustee, Computershare Trustees Limited, as directed by the participants.

SIGNIFICANT SHAREHOLDINGS

Information concerning significant shareholdings can be found on page 191.

ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION

Information concerning the Articles of Association can be found on pages 75-82.

LISTING RULE INFORMATION [A]

Information concerning the amount of interest capitalised by Shell can be found in Note 6 to the "Consolidated Financial Statements" on page 129.

[A] This information is given in accordance with Listing Rule 9.8.4R.

AUDITOR

A resolution relating to the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as auditor for the financial year 2016 will be proposed at the 2016 AGM. This follows an extensive competitive tender in 2015, further details of which can be found on pages 84-85.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The Company's statement on corporate governance is included in the "Corporate governance" report on pages 69-83 and is incorporated in this Directors' Report by way of reference.

ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING

The AGM will be held on May 24, 2016, at the Circustheater, Circusstraat 4, 2586 CW, The Hague, The Netherlands. Details of the business to be put to shareholders at the AGM can be found in the Notice of Annual General Meeting.

Signed on behalf of the Board

/s/ Michiel Brandjes

Michiel Brandjes
Company Secretary
March 9, 2016

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Dear Shareholders,

I am pleased to introduce this report, which is my first since becoming Chairman of Royal Dutch Shell plc (the Company) at last year's Annual General Meeting (AGM). My predecessor, Jorma Ollila, took his responsibility for ensuring that we met the highest standards of corporate governance very seriously, and I will continue to do so throughout my tenure.

During the year we have again applied the main principles and relevant provisions of the Financial Reporting Council's UK Corporate Governance Code (the Code), and I hope this report gives you a good understanding of the systems of governance and control which continue to operate within the Company.

As you might expect, the Board was very busy during 2015 dealing with the acquisition of BG Group plc (BG), which I am pleased to say finally completed in February 2016. Such a major acquisition carried a significant responsibility for the Board, and I am proud of the high corporate governance standards maintained throughout the process.

The acquisition of BG of course means we now have many new shareholders and I would like to welcome you to Shell. Since my appointment, I have spoken with many shareholders, and indeed other stakeholders, in the Netherlands, the UK and the USA, and I intend to continue such engagements during my time as Chairman. Indeed, I hope to see as many shareholders as possible at our AGM in The Hague, and at our Shareholders' Presentation in London, both of which are to be held in May.

In accordance with the Code, we conducted an evaluation of our performance during the year, along with that of the Board committees and individual directors. This was led by the Nomination and Succession Committee and was conducted in-house, rather than being externally facilitated. However, we intend to conduct the evaluation in 2016 with the assistance of an external facilitator. Unlike our previous evaluations, this evaluation will not be questionnaire based. Instead, it will be based upon personal observations by an experienced practitioner in the area of Board evaluation. The aim of the evaluation will be to look forward, focusing on how to improve Board effectiveness, rather than looking back at past practice.

Finally, I would like to thank my fellow Directors for their support since my appointment. Together, I believe we can continue to maintain the highest standards of corporate governance, which I believe are important for the long-term success of the Company.

Chad Holliday
Chairman
March 9, 2016

STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE

The Board confirms that throughout the year the Company has applied the main principles and complied with the relevant provisions set out in the Code issued by the Financial Reporting Council in September 2014. In addition to complying with applicable corporate governance requirements in the UK, the Company must follow the rules of Euronext Amsterdam as well as Dutch securities laws because of its listing on that exchange. The Company must likewise follow US securities laws and the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) rules and regulations because its securities are registered in the USA and listed on the NYSE.

NYSE GOVERNANCE STANDARDS

In accordance with the NYSE rules for foreign private issuers, the Company follows home-country practice in relation to corporate governance. However, foreign private issuers are required to have an audit committee that satisfies the requirements of the US Securities and Exchange Commission's (SEC) Rule 10A-3. The Company's Audit Committee satisfies such requirements. The NYSE also requires a foreign private issuer to provide certain written affirmations and notices to the NYSE, as well as a summary of the significant ways in which its corporate governance practices differ from those followed by domestic US companies under NYSE listing standards (see Section 303A.11 of the NYSE Listed Company Manual). The Company's summary of its corporate governance differences is given below and on the following page and can be found at www.shell.com/investor.

Non-executive Director independence

The Board follows the provisions of the Code in determining Non-executive Director independence, which states that at least half of the Board, excluding the Chairman, should comprise Non-executive Directors determined by the Board to be independent. In the case of the Company, the Board has determined that all the Non-executive Directors at the end of 2015 are wholly independent.

Nominating/corporate governance committee and compensation committee

The NYSE listing standards require that a listed company maintain a nominating/corporate governance committee and a compensation committee, both composed entirely of independent directors and with certain specific responsibilities. The Company's Nomination and Succession Committee and Remuneration Committee both comply with these requirements, except that the terms of reference of the Nomination and Succession Committee require only a majority of the committee members to be independent.

Audit Committee

As required by NYSE listing standards, the Company maintains an Audit Committee for the purpose of assisting the Board's oversight of its financial statements, its internal audit function and its independent auditors. The Company's Audit Committee is in full compliance with the SEC's Rule 10A-3 and Section 303A.06 of the NYSE Listed Company Manual. However, in accordance with English law, the Company's Audit Committee makes recommendations to the Board for it to put to shareholders for approval in general meeting regarding the appointment, reappointment and removal of independent auditors. Consequently, the Company's Audit Committee is not directly responsible for the appointment of independent auditors.

Shareholder approval of share-based compensation plans

The Company complies with the listing rules of the UK Listing Authority (UKLA), which require shareholder approval for the adoption of share-based compensation plans which are either long-term incentive plans in which one or more Directors can participate or plans which involve or may involve the issue of new shares or the transfer of treasury shares. Under the UKLA rules,

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE CONTINUED

such plans cannot be changed to the advantage of participants without shareholder approval, except for certain minor amendments, for example to benefit the administration of the plan or to take account of tax benefits. The rules on the requirements to seek shareholder approval for share-based compensation plans, including those in respect of material revisions to such plans, may deviate from the NYSE listing standards.

Code of business conduct and ethics

The NYSE listing standards require that listed companies adopt a code of business conduct and ethics for all directors, officers and employees and promptly disclose any waivers of the code for directors or executive officers. The Company has adopted the Shell General Business Principles (see below), which satisfy the NYSE requirements. The Company also has internal procedures in place by which any employee can raise in confidence accounting, internal accounting controls and auditing concerns. Additionally, any employee can report concerns to management by telephone or over the internet without jeopardising their position (see below).

SHELL GENERAL BUSINESS PRINCIPLES

The Shell General Business Principles define how Shell subsidiaries are expected to conduct their affairs. These principles include, among other things, Shell's commitment to support fundamental human rights in line with the legitimate role of business and to contribute to sustainable development. They are designed to mitigate the risk of damage to our business reputation and to prevent violations of local and international legislation. They can be found at www.shell.com/sgbp. See "Risk factors" on page 11.

SHELL CODE OF CONDUCT

Directors and employees are required to comply with the Shell Code of Conduct, which is intended to help them put Shell's business principles into practice. This code clarifies the basic rules and standards they are expected to follow and the behaviour expected of them. All Shell employees and contractors follow mandatory training on Shell's Code of Conduct. Designated individuals are required to follow mandatory training on antitrust and competition laws, anti-bribery and corruption laws, anti-money laundering laws, data protection laws and trade controls requirements (see "Risk factors" on page 11). The Shell Code of Conduct can be found at www.shell.com/codeofconduct.

CODE OF ETHICS

Executive Directors and Senior Financial Officers of Shell must also comply with a Code of Ethics. This code is specifically intended to meet the requirements of Section 406 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the listing requirements of the NYSE (see above). It can be found at www.shell.com/codeofethics.

SHELL GLOBAL HELPLINE

Employees, contractors, third parties with whom Shell has a business relationship (such as customers, suppliers and agents), and any member of the public (including shareholders) may raise ethics and compliance concerns through the Shell Global Helpline. This is a worldwide confidential reporting mechanism, operated by an external third party, which is available 24 hours a day, seven days a week by telephone and at www.shell.com or <https://shell.alertline.eu>

BOARD STRUCTURE AND COMPOSITION

During 2015, the Board comprised the Chairman; two Executive Directors, namely the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and the Chief Financial Officer (CFO); and eight Non-executive Directors, including the Deputy Chairman and Senior Independent Director [A], except for the period from January 1, 2015 to May 19, 2015, when there were nine Non-executive Directors [A].

A list of current Directors, including their biographies, can be found on pages 62-64.

The Board recognises its collective responsibility for the long-term success of the Company. Generally it meets eight times a year [B] and has a formal schedule of matters reserved to it. This includes: overall strategy and management; corporate structure and capital structure; financial reporting and control, including approval of the Annual Report and Form 20-F, and interim dividends; oversight and review of risk management and internal control; significant contracts; and succession planning and new Board appointments. The full list of matters reserved to the Board for decision can be found at www.shell.com/investor.

[A] Jorma Ollila stood down as Chairman on May 19, 2015 and was succeeded by Charles O. Holliday, a Non-executive Director.

[B] See page 71 for the number of meetings held in 2015.

ROLE OF DIRECTORS

The roles of the Chairman, a non-executive role, and the CEO are separate, and the Board has agreed their respective responsibilities.

The Chairman is responsible for the leadership and management of the Board and for ensuring that the Board and its committees function effectively. One way in which this is achieved is by ensuring Directors receive accurate, timely and clear information. He is also responsible for agreeing and regularly reviewing the training and development needs of each Director (see "Induction and training" on page 71) which he does with the assistance of the Company Secretary.

The CEO bears overall responsibility for the implementation of the strategy agreed by the Board, the operational management of the Company and the business enterprises connected with it. He is supported in this by the Executive Committee which he chairs (see page 72).

NON-EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS

Non-executive Directors are appointed by the Board or by shareholders at general meetings and, in accordance with the Code, must seek re-election by shareholders on an annual basis. Their letter of appointment refers to a specific term of office, such term being subject to the provisions of the Code and the Company's Articles of Association (the Articles). Upon appointment, Non-executive Directors confirm they are able to allocate sufficient time to meet the expectations of the role. Appointments are subject to three months' notice, and there is no compensation provision for early termination.

The Non-executive Directors bring a wide range and balance of skills and international business experience to Shell. Through their contribution to Board meetings and to Board committee meetings, they are expected to challenge constructively and help develop proposals on strategy and bring independent judgement on issues of performance and risk. Generally, prior to each meeting of the Board, the Chairman and the Non-executive Directors meet without the Executive Directors to discuss, among other things, the performance of individual Executive Directors. A number of Non-executive Directors also meet major shareholders from time to time.

The role of the Senior Independent Director is to provide a sounding board for the Chairman and to serve as an intermediary for the other Directors when necessary. The Senior Independent Director is available to shareholders if they have concerns which contact through the normal channels of Chairman, CEO or CFO has failed to resolve or for which such contact is inappropriate.

All the Non-executive Directors are considered by the Board to be wholly independent.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Certain statutory duties with respect to directors' conflicts of interest are in force under the Companies Act 2006 (the Act). In accordance with the Act and the Articles, the Board may authorise any matter that otherwise may involve any of the Directors breaching his or her duty to avoid conflicts of interest. The Board has adopted a procedure to address these requirements. It includes the Directors completing detailed conflict of interest questionnaires. The matters disclosed in the questionnaires are reviewed by the Board and, if considered appropriate, authorised in accordance with the Act and the Articles. Conflicts of interest as well as any gifts and hospitality received by and provided by Directors are kept under review by the Board. Further information relating to conflicts of interest can be found on pages 76-77.

SIGNIFICANT COMMITMENTS OF THE CHAIRMAN

The Chairman's other significant commitments are given in his biography on page 62.

INDEPENDENT PROFESSIONAL ADVICE

All Directors may seek independent professional advice in connection with their role as a Director. All Directors have access to the advice and services of the Company Secretary. The Company has provided both indemnities and directors' and officers' insurance to the Directors in connection with the performance of their responsibilities. Copies of these indemnities and the directors' and officers' insurance policies are open to inspection. Copies of these indemnities have been previously filed with the SEC and are incorporated by reference as an exhibit to this Report.

BOARD ACTIVITIES DURING THE YEAR

The Board met 12 times during the year. The meetings were held in The Hague, the Netherlands, except for one meeting which was held in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. The Board typically meets eight times a year, however in 2015 there were an additional four ad-hoc meetings to discuss matters related to the acquisition of BG.

In relation to the scheduled meetings, the agenda included a number of regular items, including reports from the CEO, the CFO and other members of the Executive Committee, from each of the Board committees and from the various functions, including finance (which includes investor relations), health and security, human resources, and legal (which includes the Company Secretary). The Board also considered and approved the quarterly, half-year and full-year financial results and dividend announcements and, at most meetings, considered a number of investment, divestment and financing proposals.

In June, it held a full-day session on strategy. This included a high-level review of the Company's vision of the future, its portfolio and its winning capabilities. As part of the review, particular consideration was given to how heavy oil, alternative energy and development of the Arctic would fit into the planned portfolio.

During the year, the Board also received reports and presentations on some of our activities, including those in China, the Gulf of Mexico, Japan, Nigeria, the UK and USA (including Alaska), and on asset integrity and process safety, litigation, risk management, safety and environmental performance, and senior management succession. In addition, it received reports on other matters of interest, including the global energy market, litigation themes and corporate governance developments.

As mentioned above, the acquisition of BG was a major corporate event and carried significant corporate governance responsibilities for the Directors. In addition to the ad-hoc meetings of the Board, a special Board sub-committee was established in early 2015 to supervise the acquisition process more regularly. Initially the committee, which met over 20 times prior to completion, comprised Jorma Ollila, Guy Elliott and Charles Holliday. However, following the 2015 AGM at which Jorma Ollila stood down as Chairman and a Director of the Company, the committee comprised Charles Holliday, Guy Elliott and Hans Wijers. The Board also sought appropriate advice from professional advisors throughout the process, to ensure compliance with all responsibilities and duties owed by the Directors.

INDUCTION AND TRAINING

Following appointment to the Board, Directors receive a comprehensive induction tailored to their individual needs. This includes site visits and meetings with senior management to enable them to build up a detailed understanding of Shell's business and strategy, and the key risks and issues which they face.

Throughout the year, regular updates on developments in legal matters, governance and accounting are provided to Directors. The Board regards site visits as an integral part of ongoing Director training. Additional training is available so that Directors can update their skills and knowledge as appropriate.

ATTENDANCE AT BOARD AND BOARD COMMITTEE MEETINGS

Attendance during 2015 for all Board and Board committee meetings is given in the table below.

ATTENDANCE AT BOARD AND BOARD COMMITTEE MEETINGS [A]

| | Board | Audit Committee | Corporate and Social Responsibility Committee | Nomination and Succession Committee | Remuneration Committee |
|---------------------|-------|-----------------|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Ben van Beurden | 12/12 | | | | |
| Guy Elliott | 12/12 | 6/6 | | 7/7 | |
| Euleen Goh | 12/12 | 6/6 | | | |
| Simon Henry | 12/12 | | | | |
| Charles O. Holliday | 11/12 | | 2/2 | 5/5 | 2/2 |
| Gerard Kleisterlee | 11/12 | 5/6 | | | 5/5 |
| Jorma Ollila | 5/5 | | | 2/2 | |
| Sir Nigel Sheinwald | 12/12 | | 5/5 | | |
| Linda G. Stuntz | 12/12 | 6/6 | | | |
| Hans Wijers | 12/12 | | 3/3 | 7/7 | |
| Patricia A. Woertz | 11/12 | | 4/5 | | 1/3 |
| Gerrit Zalm | 9/12 | | 4/5 | | 5/5 |

[A] The first figure represents attendance and the second figure the possible number of meetings. For example, 12/12 signifies attendance at twelve out of twelve possible meetings. Where a Director stood down from the Board or a Board committee during the year, or was appointed during the year, only meetings before standing down or after the date of appointment are shown.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE CONTINUED

BOARD EVALUATION

During the year, the Board carried out a performance evaluation of itself, and evaluations were also conducted in respect of the Chairman and the Board committees. The performance evaluation of the Board was led by the Nomination and Succession Committee and was conducted in-house, without an external facilitator. In accordance with the Code, it is the intention that the evaluation process will be externally facilitated every three years and hence an external evaluation will be conducted in 2016.

The 2015 Board performance evaluation process consisted of Directors being asked to complete a questionnaire in relation to such matters as the functioning and effectiveness of the Board, the relationship and interaction with the Executive Committee, and the major issues and challenges for 2016 and beyond. Directors were asked to return the questionnaire to the Company Secretary, who summarised the responses and presented a report to the Nomination and Succession Committee.

In February 2016, the Chairman presented the report to the full Board and the Directors discussed the observations and conclusions. A major focus of the discussions was in relation to the major issues and challenges identified for 2016 and beyond, and included such matters as the integration of BG, oil price volatility, project delivery and energy transition. Directors also focused on how to ensure maximum benefit was derived from the strategy sessions held each year.

The Deputy Chairman conducted a separate review of the Chairman's performance and involved each Director completing a questionnaire specifically related to this matter. The Deputy Chairman reported the outcome of this review to the full Board, including that Directors had commented favourably on the Chairman's open style and level of engagement. A review of each Board committee was undertaken by the respective committee chairman and also reported back to the Board.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

The Executive Committee operates under the direction of the CEO in support of his responsibility for the overall management of the Company's business. The CEO has final authority in all matters of management that are not within the duties and authorities of the Board or of the shareholders' general meeting.

The current composition of the Executive Committee is as follows:

| EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE | |
|---------------------|--|
| Ben van Beurden | CEO [A][B] |
| Simon Henry | CFO [A][B] |
| John Abbott | Downstream Director [B] |
| Harry Brekelmans | Projects & Technology Director [B] |
| Andrew Brown | Upstream Director [B][C] |
| Ronan Cassidy | Chief Human Resources & Corporate Officer [B][D] |
| Donny Ching | Legal Director [B] |
| Marvin Odum | Unconventional Resources Director [B][E] |
| Maarten Wetselaar | Integrated Gas Director [B][F] |

[A] Director of the Company.

[B] Designated an Executive Officer pursuant to US Exchange Act Rule 3b-7. Beneficially owns less than 1% of outstanding classes of securities.

[C] Andrew Brown was appointed Upstream Director with effect from January 1, 2016. He was previously Upstream International Director.

[D] Ronan Cassidy was appointed Chief Human Resources & Corporate Officer in succession to Hugh Mitchell with effect from January 1, 2016.

[E] Marvin Odum was appointed Unconventional Resources Director with effect from January 1, 2016. He was previously Upstream Americas Director. It was announced on February 24, 2016, that he would leave the Company on March 31, 2016, when the position of Unconventional Resources Director would cease to exist.

[F] Maarten Wetselaar was appointed Integrated Gas Director with effect from January 1, 2016.

BOARD COMMITTEES

There are four Board committees made up of Non-executive Directors. These are the:

- Audit Committee;
- Corporate and Social Responsibility Committee;
- Nomination and Succession Committee; and
- Remuneration Committee.

Each of these Board committees has produced a report which has been approved by the relevant chairman. A copy of each committee's terms of reference is available from the Company Secretary and can be found at www.shell.com/investor.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee report which sets out the composition and work of the Audit Committee is on pages 83-85.

Corporate and Social Responsibility Committee

The current members of the Corporate and Social Responsibility Committee are Hans Wijers (Chairman of the Committee with effect from May 20, 2015), Sir Nigel Sheinwald and Patricia A. Woertz. Charles O. Holliday stood down as Chairman of the Committee and Gerrit Zalm stood down as a member of the Committee on May 19, 2015, and December 31, 2015, respectively. The Committee met five times during the year; the Committee members' attendances are shown on page 71.

The Committee has a mandate to maintain a comprehensive overview of the policies and performance of the subsidiaries of the Company with respect to the Shell General Business Principles and the Shell Code of Conduct, as well as major issues of public concern. Conclusions and recommendations made by the Committee are reported directly to executive management and the Board.

The Committee fulfils its responsibilities by reviewing the management of health, safety, security, environmental and social impacts of projects and operations. It does this through a series of reviews of performance, audit findings and other specific areas, such as maritime and process safety. It also monitors major issues of public concern and Shell's strategy to address them, especially in respect of environmental and social issues. In addition, it provides input into the Shell Sustainability Report and reviews a draft of the report before publication.

The key topics discussed by the Committee in 2015 were climate change and the energy transition, however the Committee also reviewed a number of other topical issues including Alaska, Nigeria and seismic activity in Groningen, the Netherlands.

In addition to holding regular formal meetings, the Committee visits Shell locations and meets with local staff and external stakeholders to hear their perspectives and observe how Shell's standards regarding health, safety, security, the environment and social performance are being implemented. In 2015, the Committee visited Shell deep-water operations in the Gulf of Mexico and the Raizen biofuels business in Brazil. During each visit they met with local stakeholders, including staff and governmental and non-governmental representatives. In addition, individual Committee members visited the Peterhead carbon capture and storage (CCS) project in the UK, and the Moerdijk chemical plant and Nederlandse Aardolie Maatschappij (NAM) in the Netherlands.

Nomination and Succession Committee

The members of the Nomination and Succession Committee are Charles O. Holliday (Chairman of the Committee with effect from May 20, 2015), Guy Elliott and Hans Wijers. Jorma Ollila stood down as Chairman

of the Committee on May 19, 2015. The Committee met seven times during the year; the Committee members' attendances are shown on page 71.

The Committee keeps under review the leadership needs of the Company and identifies and nominates suitable candidates for the Board's approval to fill vacancies when they arise. In addition, it makes recommendations on who should be appointed Chairman of the Audit Committee, the Corporate and Social Responsibility Committee and the Remuneration Committee and, in consultation with the relevant chairman, recommends who should sit on the Board committees. It also makes recommendations on corporate governance guidelines, monitors compliance with corporate governance requirements and makes recommendations on disclosures connected with corporate governance of its appointment processes.

The Board did not make any new Non-executive Director appointments during the year, however the Committee continued its ongoing programme of succession planning. The Board takes the issue of boardroom diversity very seriously and believes that maintaining an appropriate balance of skills, knowledge, experience and backgrounds is key to its effective performance. It believes gender diversity is an important element of this mix, and indeed the Board meets the recommendation of the Davies Report, published in 2011, that at least 25% of the Directors be women [A].

[A] In October 2015, Lord Davies published his final report on improving the gender balance on listed company Boards, and makes a number of further recommendations including increasing the voluntary target for at least 33% of the Directors to be women by 2020.

As part of its role in identifying and nominating suitable candidates for the Board's approval, the Committee will continue to review candidates from a variety of backgrounds and will seek to produce a list of candidates that fully reflects the Board's goal of becoming more diverse. In this regard, the Committee maintains contact with leading global search firms, including Egon Zehnder and Spencer Stuart, to identify and consider suitable candidates [B].

[B] Neither Egon Zehnder nor Spencer Stuart have any connection with the Company other than that of search consultants.

During the year, the Committee also considered the Executive Committee talent pipeline, Board committee membership, and a request from an Executive Director to accept an external appointment. It also led the Board evaluation process, considered any potential conflicts of interest and the independence of the Non-executive Directors, and reviewed its terms of reference.

Remuneration Committee

The Directors' Remuneration Report, which sets out the composition and work of the Remuneration Committee, the Directors' remuneration for 2015 and the Directors' Remuneration Policy which was approved by shareholders at the 2014 AGM, is on pages 86-105.

SHAREHOLDER COMMUNICATIONS

The Board recognises the importance of two-way communication with the Company's shareholders. The Chairman, the Deputy Chairman and Senior Independent Director, the CEO, the CFO and the Executive Vice President Investor Relations each meet regularly with major shareholders and report the views of such shareholders to the Board. As well as the Company giving a balanced report of results and progress at each AGM, all shareholders have an opportunity to ask questions in person. Shareholders are also free

to contact the Company directly at any time of the year via dedicated shareholder email addresses or via dedicated shareholder telephone numbers as given on the inside back cover of this Report. Shell's website at www.shell.com/investor has information for institutional and retail shareholders alike.

The Company's Registrar, Equiniti, operates an internet access facility for registered shareholders, providing details of their shareholdings at www.shareview.co.uk. Facilities are also provided for shareholders to lodge proxy appointments electronically. The Company's Corporate Nominee provides a facility for investors to hold their shares in the Company in a paperless form.

RESULTS PRESENTATIONS AND ANALYSTS' MEETINGS

The quarterly, half-yearly and annual results presentations as well as all major analysts' meetings are announced in advance on the Shell website and through a regulatory release. These presentations are broadcast live via webcast and teleconference. Other meetings with analysts or investors are not normally announced in advance, nor can they be followed remotely by webcast or any other means. Procedures are in place to ensure that discussions in such meetings are always limited to non-material information or information already in the public domain.

Results and meeting presentations can be found at www.shell.com. This is in line with the requirement to ensure that all shareholders and other parties in the financial market have equal and simultaneous access to information that may influence the price of the Company's securities.

NOTIFICATION OF MAJOR SHAREHOLDINGS

Information concerning notifications of major shareholdings can be found on page 191.

RESPONSIBILITY FOR PREPARING THE ANNUAL REPORT AND ACCOUNTS

Information concerning the responsibility for preparing the Annual Report and Accounts can be found on page 66.

CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Board is responsible for maintaining a sound system of risk management and internal control, and for regularly reviewing its effectiveness. It has delegated authority to the Audit Committee to assist it in fulfilling its responsibilities in relation to internal control and financial reporting (see "Audit Committee Report" on pages 83-85).


A single overall control framework is in place for the Company and its subsidiaries that is designed to manage rather than eliminate the risk of failure to achieve business objectives. It therefore only provides a reasonable and not an absolute assurance against material misstatement or loss.

The diagram on the following page illustrates the control framework's key components: "Foundations", "Management Processes" and "Organisation". "Foundations" comprises the objectives, principles and rules that underpin and establish boundaries for Shell's activities. "Management Processes" refers to the more material management processes, including how strategy, planning and appraisal are used to improve performance and how risks are to be managed through effective controls and assurance. "Organisation"

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE CONTINUED

sets out how the various legal entities relate to each other and how their business activities are organised and managed, and how authority is delegated.

CONTROL FRAMEWORK



The system of risk management and internal control over financial reporting is an integral part of the control framework. Regular reviews are performed to identify the significant risks to financial reporting and the key controls designed to address them. These controls are documented, responsibility is assigned, and they are monitored for design and operating effectiveness. Controls found not to be effective are remediated. The principal risks faced by Shell are set out in "Risk factors" on pages 08-12.

The Board has conducted its annual review of the effectiveness of Shell's system of risk management and internal control, including financial, operational and compliance controls.

Shell has a variety of processes for obtaining assurance on the adequacy of risk management and internal control and implements a broad array of measures to manage its various risks which are set out in the relevant sections of this Report. There are also risks that Shell accepts or does not seek to fully mitigate. The Executive Committee and the Board regularly consider group-level risks and associated control mechanisms.

Many of our major projects and operations are conducted in joint arrangements or associates, which may reduce the degree of control and ability to identify and manage risks (see "Risk factors" on page 11). In each case, Shell appoints a representative to manage its interests who seeks to ensure that such projects operate under equivalent standards to Shell.

We operate in more than 70 countries that have differing degrees of political, legal and fiscal stability. This exposes us to a wide range of political developments that could result in changes to contractual terms, laws and regulations. In addition, we and our joint arrangements and associates face the risk of litigation and disputes worldwide (see "Risk factors" on page 09). We continuously monitor geopolitical developments and societal issues relevant to our interests. Employees who engage with government officials are subject to specific training programmes, procedures and

regular communications, in addition to Shell General Business Principles and Shell Code of Conduct compliance. We are prepared to exit countries if we think we can no longer operate in them in accordance with our standards, and we have done so in the past.

The Board confirms that there is a robust process for identifying, evaluating and managing the principal risks to the achievement of Shell's objectives. This has been in place throughout 2015 and up to the date of this Report and is regularly reviewed by the Board and accords with the Internal Control: Guidance to Directors (formerly known as the Turnbull Guidance).

Management's evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures of Shell

As indicated in the certifications in Exhibits 12.1 and 12.2 of this Report, Shell's CEO and CFO have evaluated the effectiveness of Shell's disclosure controls and procedures at December 31, 2015. On the basis of that evaluation, these officers have concluded that Shell's disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

Management's report on internal control over financial reporting of Shell

Management, including the CEO and CFO, is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over Shell's financial reporting and the production of the "Consolidated Financial Statements". It conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of Shell's internal control over financial reporting and the production of the "Consolidated Financial Statements" based on the Internal Control – Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. On the basis of this evaluation, management concluded that, at December 31, 2015, the Company's internal control over Shell's financial reporting and the production of the "Consolidated Financial Statements" was effective.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited the financial statements, has issued an attestation report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting, as stated in its report on page 114.

The Trustee's and management's evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures for the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust

The Trustee of the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust (the Trustee) and Shell's CEO and CFO have evaluated the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures in respect of the Dividend Access Trust (the Trust) at December 31, 2015. On the basis of this evaluation, these officers have concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures of the Trust are effective.

The Trustee's and management's report on internal control over financial reporting of the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust

The Trustee is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over the Trust's financial reporting. The Trustee and the Company's management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting based on the Internal Control – Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. On the basis of this evaluation, the Trustee and management concluded that, at December 31, 2015, the Trust's internal control over financial reporting was effective.

PricewaterhouseCoopers CI LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited the financial statements, has issued an attestation report on the Trustee's and management's internal control over financial reporting, as stated in its report on page 184.

Changes in internal control over financial reporting

There has not been any change in the internal control over financial reporting of Shell or the Trust that occurred during the period covered by this Report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the internal control over financial reporting. Material financial information of the Trust is included in the "Consolidated Financial Statements" and is therefore subject to the same disclosure controls and procedures as Shell. See the "Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust Financial Statements" on pages 185-189 for additional information.

ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION

The following summarises certain provisions of the Articles [A] and of the applicable legislation (the legislation). This summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Articles and the Act.

[A] Copies of the Articles have been previously filed with the SEC and are incorporated by reference as exhibits to this Report. They can be found at www.shell.com.

Management and Directors

The Company has a single tier Board of Directors headed by a Chairman, with management led by a CEO. See "Board structure and composition" on page 70.

NUMBER OF DIRECTORS

The Articles provide that the Company must have a minimum of three and can have a maximum of 20 Directors (disregarding alternate directors), but these restrictions can be changed by the Board.

DIRECTORS' SHAREHOLDING QUALIFICATION

The Directors are not required to hold any shares in the Company.

APPOINTMENT OF DIRECTORS

The Company can, by passing an ordinary resolution, appoint any willing person to be a Director.

The Board can appoint any willing person to be a Director. Any Director appointed in this way must retire from office at the first AGM after his appointment. A Director who retires in this way is then eligible for reappointment.

At the general meeting at which a Director retires, shareholders can pass an ordinary resolution to reappoint the Director or to appoint some other eligible person in their place.

The only people who can be appointed as Directors at a general meeting are the following: (i) Directors retiring at the meeting; (ii) anyone recommended by a resolution of the Board; and (iii) anyone nominated by a shareholder (not being a person to be nominated), where the shareholder is entitled to vote at the meeting and delivers to the Company's registered office, not less than six but not more than 21 days before the day of the meeting, a letter stating that he intends to nominate another person for appointment as a Director and written confirmation from that person that he is willing to be appointed.

RETIREMENT OF DIRECTORS

Under the Articles, at every AGM, the following Directors must retire from office: (i) any Director who has been appointed by the Board since the last AGM, (ii) any Director who held office at the time of the two preceding AGMs and who did not retire at either of them, and (iii) any Director who has been in office, other than as a Director holding an executive position, for a continuous period of nine years or more at the date of the meeting.

Notwithstanding the Articles, the Company complies with the Code which contains, among other matters, provisions regarding the composition of the Board and re-election of the Directors. As a result, the Company's current policy is that Directors are subject to annual re-election by shareholders.

Any Director who retires at an AGM may offer himself for reappointment by the shareholders.

REMOVAL OF DIRECTORS

In addition to any power to remove Directors conferred by the legislation, the Company can pass a special resolution to remove a Director from office, even though his time in office has not ended, and can appoint a person to replace a Director who has been removed in this way by passing an ordinary resolution.

VACATION OF OFFICE BY DIRECTORS

Any Director automatically stops being a Director if: (i) he gives the Company a written notice of resignation; (ii) he gives the Company a written notice in which he offers to resign and the Board decides to accept this offer; (iii) all of the other Directors (who must comprise at least three people) pass a resolution or sign a written notice requiring the Director to resign; (iv) he is or has been suffering from mental or physical ill-health and the Board passes a resolution removing the Director from office; (v) he has missed Directors' meetings (whether or not an alternate director appointed by him attends those meetings) for a continuous period of six months without permission from the Board and the Board passes a resolution removing the Director from office; (vi) a bankruptcy order is made against him or he makes any arrangement or composition with his creditors generally; (vii) he is prohibited from being a Director under the legislation; or (viii) he ceases to be a Director under the legislation or he is removed from office under the Articles. If a Director stops being a Director for any reason, he will also automatically cease to be a member of any committee or sub-committee of the Board.

ALTERNATE DIRECTORS

Any Director can appoint any person (including another Director) to act in his place as an alternate director. That appointment requires the approval of the Board, unless previously approved by the Board or unless the appointee is another Director.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD

Meetings of the Board will usually be held in the Netherlands but the Board may decide in each case when and where to have meetings and how they will be conducted. The Board can also adjourn its meetings. If no other quorum is fixed by the Board, two Directors are a quorum. A Directors' meeting at which a quorum is present can exercise all the powers and discretions of the Board.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE CONTINUED

All or any of the Directors can take part in a meeting of the Directors by way of a conference telephone or any communication equipment which allows everybody to take part in the meeting by being able to hear each of the other people at the meeting and by being able to speak to all of them at the same time. A person taking part in this way will be treated as being present at the meeting and will be entitled to vote and be counted in the quorum. Any such meeting will be deemed to take place where the largest group of Directors participating is assembled or, if there is no such group, where the chairman of the meeting then is.

The Board can appoint any Director as chairman or as deputy chairman and can remove him from that office at any time. Matters to be decided at a Directors' meeting will be decided by a majority vote. If votes are equal, the chairman of the meeting has a second, casting vote.

The Board will manage the Company's business. It can use all the Company's powers except where the Articles or the legislation say that powers can only be used by shareholders voting to do so at a general meeting. The Board is, however, subject to the provisions of the legislation, the requirements of the Articles and any regulations laid down by the shareholders by passing a special resolution at a general meeting.

The Board can exercise the Company's powers: (i) to borrow money; (ii) to guarantee; (iii) to indemnify; (iv) to mortgage or charge all or any of the Company's undertaking, property and assets (present and future) and uncalled capital; (v) to issue debentures and other securities; and (vi) to give security, either outright or as collateral security, for any debt, liability or obligation of the Company or of any third party. The Board must limit the borrowings of the Company and exercise all voting and other rights or powers of control exercisable by the Company in relation to its subsidiary undertakings so as to ensure that no money is borrowed if the total amount of the group's borrowings (as defined in the Articles) then exceeds, or would as a result of such borrowing exceed, two times the Company's adjusted capital and reserves (as defined in the Articles). Shareholders may pass an ordinary resolution allowing borrowings to exceed such limit.

The Board can delegate any of its powers or discretions to committees of one or more persons. Any committee must comply with any regulations laid down by the Board. These regulations can require or allow people who are not Directors to be members of the committee, and can give voting rights to such people but there must be more Directors on a committee than persons who are not Directors and a resolution of the committee is only effective if a majority of the members of the committee present at the time of the resolution were Directors.

FEES

The total fees paid to all of the Directors (excluding any payments made under any other provision of the Articles) must not exceed €4,000,000 a year or any higher sum decided on by an ordinary resolution at a general meeting. It is for the Board to decide how much to pay each Director by way of fees.

The Board, or any committee authorised by the Board, can award extra fees to any Director who, in its view, performs any special or extra services for the Company. The extra fees can take the form of salary, commission, profit-sharing or other benefits (and can be paid partly in one way and partly in another).

The Company can pay the reasonable travel, hotel and incidental expenses of each Director incurred in attending and returning from general meetings, meetings of the Board or committees of the Board or any other meetings

which, as a Director, he is entitled to attend. The Company will pay all other expenses properly and reasonably incurred by each Director in connection the Company's business or in the performance of his duties as a Director. The Company can also fund a Director's or former Director's expenditure and that of a Director or former Director of any holding company of the Company for the purposes permitted by the legislation and can do anything to enable a Director or former Director of the Company or any holding company of the Company to avoid incurring such expenditure all as provided in the legislation.

PENSIONS AND GRATUITIES

The Board or any committee authorised by the Board can decide whether to provide pensions, annual payments or other benefits to any Director or former Director, or any relation or dependant of, or person connected to, such a person. The Board can also decide to contribute to a scheme or fund or to pay premiums to a third party for these purposes. The Company can only provide pensions and other benefits to people who are or were Directors but who have not been employed by or held an office or executive position in the Company or any of its subsidiary undertakings or former subsidiary undertakings or any predecessor in business of the Company or any such other company or to relations or dependants of, or persons connected to, these Directors or former Directors if the shareholders approve this by passing an ordinary resolution.

DIRECTORS' INTERESTS

Conflicts of interest requiring authorisation by Directors

The Board may, subject to the relevant quorum and voting requirements, authorise any matter which would otherwise involve a Director breaching his duty under the legislation to avoid conflicts of interest. A Director seeking authorisation in respect of such a conflict of interest must tell the Board the nature and extent of his interest in the conflict of interest as soon as possible. The Director must give the Board sufficient details of the relevant matter to enable it to decide how to address the conflict of interest, together with any additional information which it may request.

Any Director (including the relevant Director) may propose that the relevant Director be authorised in relation to any matter which is the subject of such a conflict of interest. Such proposal and any authority given by the Board shall be effected in the same way as any other matter may be proposed to and resolved upon by the Board except that: (i) the relevant Director and any other Director with a similar interest will not count in the quorum and will not vote on a resolution giving such authority; and (ii) the conflicted Director and any other Director with a similar interest may, if the other members of the Board so decide, be excluded from any meeting of the Board while the conflict of interest is under consideration.

Where the Board gives authority in relation to a conflict of interest or where any of the situations described in (i) to (v) of "Other conflicts of interest" on the following page applies in relation to a Director: (i) the Board may (whether at the relevant time or subsequently) (a) require that the relevant Director is excluded from the receipt of information, the participation in discussion and/or the making of decisions related to the conflict or the situation and (b) impose upon the relevant Director such other terms for the purpose of dealing with the conflict or situation as they think fit; (ii) the relevant Director will be obliged to conduct himself in accordance with any terms imposed by the Board in relation to the conflict or situation; (iii) the Board may also provide that, where the relevant Director obtains (other than through his position as a Director of the Company) information that is confidential to a third party, the Director will not be obliged to disclose that information to the Company, or to use or apply the information in relation to

the Company's affairs, where to do so would amount to a breach of that confidence; (iv) the terms of the authority shall be recorded in writing (but the authority shall be effective whether or not the terms are so recorded); and (v) the Board may revoke or vary such authority at any time but this will not affect anything done by the relevant Director prior to such revocation in accordance with the terms of such authority.

Other conflicts of interest

If a Director knows that he is in any way directly or indirectly interested in a proposed contract with the Company or a contract that has been entered into by the Company, he must tell the other Directors of the nature and extent of that interest in accordance with the legislation. If he has so disclosed the nature and extent of his interest, a Director can do one or more of the following: (i) have any kind of interest in a contract with or involving the Company or another company in which the Company has an interest; (ii) hold any other office or place of profit with the Company (except that of auditor) in conjunction with his office of Director for such period and upon such terms, including as to remuneration, as the Board may decide; (iii) alone, or through a firm with which he is associated, do paid professional work for the Company or another company in which the Company has an interest (other than as auditor); (iv) be or become a Director or other officer of, or employed by or otherwise be interested in, any holding company or subsidiary company of the Company or any other company in which the Company has an interest; and (v) be or become a Director of any other company in which the Company does not have an interest and which cannot reasonably be regarded as giving rise to a conflict of interest at the time of his appointment as a Director of that other company.

Benefits

A Director does not have to hand over to the Company or its shareholders any benefit he receives or profit that he makes as a result of any matter which would otherwise involve a direct breach of his duty under the legislation to avoid conflicts of interest but which has been authorised or anything allowed under (i) to (v) of "Other conflicts of interest" above, nor is any type of contract so authorised or so allowed liable to be avoided.

Quorum and voting requirements

Subject to certain exceptions, a Director cannot vote or be counted in the quorum on a resolution of the Board relating to appointing that Director to a position with the Company or a company in which the Company has an interest or the terms or the termination of the appointment and a Director cannot vote or be counted in the quorum on a resolution of the Board about a contract in which he has an interest and, if he does vote, his vote will not be counted.

The Company can, by ordinary resolution, suspend or relax the provisions of the relevant article in the Articles to any extent or ratify any contract which has not been properly authorised in accordance with that relevant article.

DIRECTORS' INDEMNITIES

As far as the legislation allows this, the Company can indemnify any Director or former Director of the Company, of any associated company or of any affiliate against any liability and can purchase and maintain insurance against any liability for any Director or former Director of the Company, of any associated company or of any affiliate. A Director or former Director of the Company, of any associated company or of any affiliate will not be accountable to the Company or the shareholders for any benefit so provided. Anyone receiving such a benefit will not be disqualified from being or becoming a Director of the Company.

Rights attaching to shares

The Company can issue shares with any rights or restrictions attached to them as long as this is not restricted by any rights attached to existing shares. These rights or restrictions can be decided either by an ordinary resolution passed by the shareholders or by the Board as long as there is no conflict with any resolution passed by the shareholders.

DIVIDENDS

Currently, only A shares and B shares are entitled to a dividend.

Under the legislation, dividends are payable only out of profits available for distribution, as determined in accordance with the Act and under IFRS.

Subject to the Act, if the Directors consider that the Company's financial position justifies the payment of a dividend, the Company can pay a fixed or other dividend on any class of shares on the dates prescribed for the payments of those dividends and pay interim dividends on shares of any class of any amounts and on any dates and for any periods which it decides. Shareholders can declare dividends in accordance with the rights of shareholders by passing an ordinary resolution, although such dividends cannot exceed the amount recommended by the Board.

Dividends are payable to persons registered as the holder(s) of shares, or to anyone entitled in any other way, at a particular time on a particular day selected by the Board. All dividends will be declared and paid in proportions based on the amounts paid up on the relevant shares during any period for which that dividend is paid.

Any dividend or other money payable in cash relating to a share can be paid by sending a cheque, warrant or similar financial instrument payable to the shareholder entitled to the dividend by post to the shareholder's registered address. Alternatively, it can be made payable to someone else named in a written instruction from the shareholder (or all joint shareholders) and sent by post to the address specified in that instruction. A dividend can also be paid by inter-bank transfer or by other electronic means (including payment through CREST) directly to an account with a bank or other financial institution (or another organisation operating deposit accounts if allowed by the Company) named in a written instruction from the person entitled to receive the payment under the Articles. Such an account must be held at an institution based in the UK, unless the share on which the payment is to be made is held by Euroclear Nederland and is subject to the Dutch Securities Giro Act ("Wet giraal effectenverkeer"). Alternatively, a dividend can be paid in some other way if requested in writing by a shareholder (or all joint shareholders) and agreed with the Company. The Company will not be responsible for a payment which is lost or delayed. Unless the rights attached to any shares, the terms of any shares or the Articles say otherwise, a dividend or any other money payable in respect of a share can be declared and paid in whatever currency or currencies the Board decides using an exchange rate or exchange rates selected by the Board for any currency conversions required. The Board can also decide how any costs relating to the choice of currency will be met. The Board can offer shareholders the choice to receive dividends and other money payable in respect of their shares in alternative currencies on such terms and conditions as the Board may prescribe from time to time. Where any dividends or other amounts payable on a share have not been claimed, the Board can invest them or use them in any other way for the Company's benefit until they are claimed. The Company will not be a trustee of the money and will not be liable to pay interest on it. If a dividend or other money has not been claimed for 12 years after being declared or becoming due for payment, it will be forfeited and go back to the Company, unless the Board decides otherwise.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE CONTINUED

The Company expects that dividends in respect of B shares will be paid under the dividend access mechanism described below. Currently, the Articles provide that if any amount paid by way of dividend by a subsidiary of the Company is received by the dividend access trustee on behalf of any holder of B shares and paid by the dividend access trustee to such holder, the entitlement of such holder of B shares to be paid any dividend declared pursuant to the Articles will be reduced by the corresponding amount that has been paid by the dividend access trustee to such holder. If a dividend is declared pursuant to the Articles and the entitlement of any holder of B shares to be paid his pro rata share of such dividend is not fully extinguished on the relevant payment date by virtue of a payment made by the dividend access trustee, the Company has a full and unconditional obligation to make payment in respect of the outstanding part of such dividend entitlement immediately. Where amounts are paid by the dividend access trustee in one currency and a dividend is declared by the Company in another currency, the amounts so paid by the dividend access trustee will, for the purposes of the comparison required by the two immediately preceding sentences, be converted into the currency in which the Company has declared the dividend at such rate as the Board shall consider appropriate. For the purposes of the provisions referred to in this paragraph, the amount that the dividend access trustee has paid to any holder of B shares in respect of any particular dividend paid by a subsidiary of the Company (a "specified dividend") will be deemed to include: (i) any amount that the dividend access trustee may be compelled by law to withhold; (ii) a pro rata share of any tax that the subsidiary paying the specified dividend is obliged to withhold or to deduct from the same; and (iii) a pro rata share of any tax that is payable by the dividend access trustee in respect of the specified dividend.

DIVIDEND ACCESS MECHANISM FOR B SHARES

General

A and B shares are identical, except for the dividend access mechanism, which will only apply to B shares. Dividends paid on A shares have a Dutch source for tax purposes and are subject to Dutch withholding tax.

It is the expectation and the intention, although there can be no certainty, that holders of B shares will receive dividends through the dividend access mechanism. Any dividends paid on the dividend access share will have a UK source for UK and Dutch tax purposes. There will be no Dutch withholding tax on such dividends and certain holders (not including US holders of B shares or B American Depositary Shares (ADSs)) will be entitled to a UK tax credit in respect of their proportional shares of such dividends. For further details regarding the tax treatment of dividends paid on the A and B shares and ADSs, refer to "Taxation" on pages 195-196.

Description of dividend access mechanism

A dividend access share has been issued by The Shell Transport and Trading Company Limited (Shell Transport) and, with effect from completion of the Company's acquisition of BG (the Acquisition), a dividend access share has been issued by BG to Computershare Trustees (Jersey) Limited as Trustee. Pursuant to a declaration of trust, the Trustee will hold any dividends paid in respect of the dividend access shares on trust for the holders of B shares and will arrange for prompt disbursement of such dividends to holders of B shares. Interest and other income earned on unclaimed dividends will be for the account of Shell Transport and BG and any dividends which are unclaimed after 12 years will revert to Shell Transport and BG (as applicable). Holders of B shares will not have any interest in either dividend access share and will not have any rights against Shell Transport and BG as issuers of the dividend access shares. The only assets held on trust for the benefit of the holders of B shares will be dividends paid to the Trustee in respect of the dividend access shares.

The declaration and payment of dividends on the dividend access shares will require board action by Shell Transport and BG (as applicable) and will be subject to any applicable limitations in law or in the Shell Transport or BG (as appropriate) articles of association in effect. In no event will the aggregate amount of the dividend paid by Shell Transport and BG under the dividend access mechanism for a particular period exceed the aggregate of the dividend announced by the Board of the Company on B shares in respect of the same period (after giving effect to currency conversions).

In particular, under their respective articles of association, Shell Transport and BG are each only able to pay a dividend on their respective dividend access shares which represents a proportional amount of the aggregate of any dividend announced by the Company on the B shares in respect of the relevant period, where such proportions are calculated by reference to, in the case of Shell Transport, the number of B shares in existence prior to completion of the Acquisition and, in the case of BG, the number of B shares issued as part of the Acquisition, in each case as against the total number of B shares in issue immediately following completion of the Acquisition.

Operation of the dividend access mechanism

If, in connection with the announcement of a dividend by the Company on B shares, the Board of Shell Transport and/or the Board of BG elects to declare and pay a dividend on their respective dividend access shares to the Trustee, the holders of B shares will be beneficially entitled to receive their share of those dividends pursuant to the declaration of trust (and arrangements will be made to ensure that the dividend is paid in the same currency in which they would have received a dividend from the Company).

If any amount is paid by Shell Transport or BG by way of a dividend on the dividend access shares and paid by the Trustee to any holder of B shares, the dividend which the Company would otherwise pay on B shares will be reduced by an amount equal to the amount paid to such holders of B shares by the Trustee.

The Company will have a full and unconditional obligation, in the event that the Trustee does not pay an amount to holders of B shares on a cash dividend payment date (even if that amount has been paid to the Trustee), to pay immediately the dividend announced on B shares. The right of holders of B shares to receive distributions from the Trustee will be reduced by an amount equal to the amount of any payment actually made by the Company on account of any dividend on B shares.

If for any reason no dividend is paid on the dividend access shares, holders of B shares will only receive dividends from the Company directly. Any payment by the Company will be subject to Dutch withholding tax (unless an exemption is obtained under Dutch law or under the provisions of an applicable tax treaty).

The dividend access mechanism has been approved by the Dutch Revenue Service pursuant to an agreement ("vaststellingsovereenkomst") with the Company and N.V. Koninklijke Nederlandsche Petroleum Maatschappij (Royal Dutch Petroleum Company) dated October 26, 2004, as supplemented and amended by an agreement between the same parties dated April 25, 2005, and a final settlement agreement in connection with the Company's acquisition of BG dated November 9, 2015. The agreements state, among other things, that dividend distributions on the dividend access shares by Shell Transport and/or BG will not be subject to Dutch withholding tax provided that the dividend access mechanism is structured and operated substantially as set out above.

The Company may not extend the dividend access mechanism to any future issuances of B shares without the approval of the Dutch Revenue Service.

Accordingly, the Company would not expect to issue additional B shares unless that approval were obtained or the Company were to determine that the continued operation of the dividend access mechanism was unnecessary. Any further issue of B shares is subject to advance consultation with the Dutch Revenue Service.

The dividend access mechanism may be suspended or terminated at any time by the Company's Directors or the Directors of Shell Transport or BG, for any reason and without financial recompense. This might, for instance, occur in response to changes in relevant tax legislation.

The daily operations of the Trust are administered on behalf of Shell by the Trustee. Material financial information of the Trust is included in the "Consolidated Financial Statements" and is therefore subject to the same disclosure controls and procedures as Shell.

PRE-EMPTION RIGHTS

Subject to the Act and the Listing Rules, any equity securities allotted by the Company for cash must first be offered to shareholders in proportion to their holdings. The Act and the Listing Rules allow for the disapplication of pre-emption rights which may be waived by a special resolution of the shareholders, either generally or specifically.

VOTING

Currently, only the A and B shares have voting rights.

CHANGING THE RIGHTS ATTACHED TO THE SHARES

The Act provides that the Articles can be amended by a special resolution.

The Articles provide that, if the legislation allows this, the rights attached to any class of shares can be changed if this is approved either in writing by shareholders holding at least three-quarters of the issued shares of that class by amount (excluding any shares of that class held as treasury shares) or by a special resolution passed at a separate meeting of the relevant shareholders. At each such separate meeting, all of the provisions of the Articles relating to proceedings at a general meeting apply, except that: (i) a quorum will be present if at least one shareholder who is entitled to vote is present in person or by proxy who owns at least one-third in amount of the issued shares of the relevant class; (ii) any shareholder who is present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote can demand a poll; and (iii) at an adjourned meeting, one person entitled to vote and who holds shares of the class, or his proxy, will be a quorum. These provisions are not more restrictive than required by law in England.

If new shares are created or issued which rank equally with any other existing shares, the rights of the existing shares will not be regarded as changed or abrogated unless the terms of the existing shares expressly say otherwise.

REDEMPTION PROVISIONS

The Company's shares are not subject to any redemption provisions.

RIGHTS ATTACHING TO THE STERLING DEFERRED SHARES

The sterling deferred shares are (unlike the A and B shares) not ordinary shares and, therefore, they have different rights and restrictions.

The sterling deferred shares have the following rights and restrictions: (i) on a distribution of assets of the Company among its shareholders on a winding-up, the holders of the sterling deferred shares will be entitled (such entitlement ranking in priority to the rights of holders of ordinary shares) to receive an amount equal to the aggregate of the capital paid up or

credited as paid up on each sterling deferred share; (ii) save as provided in (i), the holders of the sterling deferred shares will not be entitled to any participation in the profits or assets of Shell; (iii) the holders of sterling deferred shares will not be entitled to receive notice of or to attend and/or speak or vote (whether on a show of hands or on a poll) at general meetings of the Company; (iv) the written consent of the holders of three-quarters in nominal value of the issued sterling deferred shares or the sanction of a special resolution passed at a separate general meeting of the holders of the sterling deferred shares is required if the special rights and privileges attaching to the sterling deferred shares are to be abrogated, or adversely varied or otherwise directly adversely affected in any way (the creation, allotment or issue of shares or securities which rank in priority to or equally with the sterling deferred shares (or of any right to call for the allotment or issue of such shares or securities) is for these purposes deemed not to be an abrogation or variation or to have an effect on the rights and privileges attaching to sterling deferred shares); (v) all provisions of the Articles relating to general meetings of the Company will apply, with necessary modifications, to every general meeting of the holders of the sterling deferred shares; (vi) subject to the legislation, the Company will have the right at any time to redeem any such sterling deferred shares (provided that it is credited as fully paid) at a price not exceeding £1 for all the sterling deferred shares redeemed at any one time (to be paid on such date as the Board shall select as the date of redemption to such one of the holders (if more than one) as may be selected by lot) without the requirement to give notice to the holder(s) of the sterling deferred shares; (vii) if any holder of a sterling deferred share to be redeemed fails or refuses to surrender the share certificate(s) or indemnity for such sterling deferred share or if the holder selected by lot to receive the redemption monies fails or refuses to accept the redemption monies payable in respect of it, such sterling deferred share will, notwithstanding the foregoing, be redeemed and cancelled by the Company and, in the event of a failure or refusal to accept the redemption monies, the Company will retain such money and hold it on trust for the selected holder without interest, and, in each case, the Company will have no further obligation whatsoever to the holder of such sterling deferred share; and (viii) no sterling deferred share will be redeemed otherwise than out of distributable profits or the proceeds of a fresh issue of shares made for the purposes of the redemption or out of capital to the extent permitted by the legislation.

CALLS ON SHARES

The Board can call on shareholders to pay any money which has not yet been paid to the Company for their shares. This includes the nominal value of the shares and any premium which may be payable on those shares. The Board can also make calls on people who are entitled to shares by law.

WINDING-UP OF SHELL

If the Company is voluntarily wound up, the liquidator can distribute to shareholders any assets remaining after the liquidator's fees and expenses have been paid and all sums due to prior ranking creditors (as defined under the laws of England) have been paid.

SINKING FUND PROVISIONS

The shares are not subject to any sinking fund provision under the Articles or as a matter of the laws of England.

DISCRIMINATING PROVISIONS

There are no provisions in the Articles discriminating against a shareholder because of his ownership of a particular number of shares.

LIMITATIONS ON RIGHTS TO OWN SHARES

There are no limitations imposed by the Articles or the legislation on the rights to own shares, including the right of non-residents or foreign persons to hold or vote shares, other than limitations that would generally apply to all shareholders.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE CONTINUED

TRANSFER OF SHARES

There are no significant restrictions on the transfer of shares.

Except as set out below, any shareholder can transfer some or all of his certificated shares to another person. A transfer of certificated shares must be made in writing and either in the usual standard form or in any other form approved by the Board.

Except as set out below, any shareholder can transfer some or all of his CREST shares to another person. A transfer of CREST shares must be made through CREST and must comply with the uncertificated securities rules.

The Board can refuse to register the transfer of any shares which are not fully paid. Further rights to decline registration are as follows:

Certificated shares

A share transfer form cannot be used to transfer more than one class of share. Each class needs a separate form. Transfers cannot be in favour of more than four joint holders. The share transfer form must be properly stamped to show payment of any applicable stamp duty or certified or otherwise shown to the satisfaction of the Board to be exempt from stamp duty and must be delivered to the Company's registered office, or any other place decided on by the Board. The transfer form must be accompanied by the share certificate relating to the share being transferred, unless the transfer is being made by a person to whom the Company was not required to, and did not send, a certificate. The Board can also ask (acting reasonably) for any other evidence to show that the person wishing to transfer the share is entitled to do so and, if the share transfer form is signed by another person on behalf of the person making the transfer, evidence of the authority of that person to do so.

CREST shares

Registration of a transfer of CREST shares can be refused in the circumstances set out in the uncertificated securities rules. Transfers cannot be in favour of more than four joint holders.

Where a share has not yet been entered on the register, the Board can recognise a renunciation by that person of his right to the share in favour of some other person. Such renunciation will be treated as a transfer and the Board has the same powers of refusing to give effect to such a renunciation as if it were a transfer.

PARTLY PAID SHARES

The Articles provide that, if a shareholder fails to pay the Company any amount due on his partly paid shares, the Board can enforce the Company's lien by selling all or any of the partly paid shares in any way they decide (subject to certain conditions).

CHANGE OF CONTROL

There are no provisions in the Articles that would delay, defer or prevent a change of control.

CAPITAL CHANGES

The conditions imposed by the Articles for changes in capital are not more stringent than those required by the applicable laws of England

DISPUTES BETWEEN A SHAREHOLDER OR AMERICAN DEPOSITARY SHARE HOLDER AND ROYAL DUTCH SHELL PLC, ANY SUBSIDIARY, DIRECTOR OR PROFESSIONAL SERVICE PROVIDER

The Articles generally require that, except as noted below, all disputes: (i) between a shareholder in such capacity and the Company and/or its Directors, arising out of or in connection with the Articles or otherwise; (ii) so far as permitted by law, between the Company and any of its Directors in

their capacities as such or as the Company's employees, including all claims made by the Company or on behalf of the Company against any or all of its Directors; (iii) between a shareholder in such capacity and the Company's professional service providers (which could include the Company's auditors, legal counsel, bankers and ADS depositaries); and/or (iv) between the Company and its professional service providers arising in connection with any claim within the scope of (iii) above, shall be exclusively and finally resolved by arbitration under the Rules of Arbitration of the International Chamber of Commerce (ICC), as amended from time to time. This would include all disputes arising under UK, Dutch or US law (including securities laws), or under any other law, between parties covered by the arbitration provision. Accordingly, the ability of shareholders to obtain monetary or other relief, including in respect of securities law claims, may be determined in accordance with these provisions, and the ability of shareholders to obtain monetary or other relief may therefore be limited and their cost of seeking and obtaining recoveries in a dispute may be higher than otherwise would be the case.

The tribunal shall consist of three arbitrators to be appointed in accordance with the ICC rules. The chairman of the tribunal must have at least 20 years' experience as a lawyer qualified to practise in a common-law jurisdiction which is within the Commonwealth (as constituted on May 12, 2005) and each other arbitrator must have at least 20 years' experience as a qualified lawyer. The place of arbitration must be The Hague, the Netherlands; and the language of the arbitration must be English.

Pursuant to the exclusive jurisdiction provision in the Articles, if a court or other competent authority in any jurisdiction determines that the arbitration requirement described above is invalid or unenforceable in relation to any particular dispute in that jurisdiction, then that dispute may only be brought in the courts of England and Wales, as is the case with any derivative claim brought under the Act. The governing law of the Articles is the substantive law of England.

Disputes relating to the Company's failure or alleged failure to pay all or part of a dividend which has been announced and which has fallen due for payment will not be subject to the arbitration and exclusive jurisdiction provisions of the Articles. Any derivative claim brought under the Act will not be subject to the arbitration provisions of the Articles.

Pursuant to the relevant depositary agreement, each holder of ADSs is bound by the arbitration and exclusive jurisdiction provisions of the Articles as described in this section as if that holder were a shareholder.

General meetings

Under the applicable laws of England, the Company is required in each year to hold an AGM of shareholders in addition to any other meeting of shareholders that may be held. Each AGM must be held in the period six months from the date following the Company's accounting reference date. Additionally, shareholders may submit resolutions in accordance with Section 338 of the Act.

Directors have the power to convene a general meeting of shareholders at any time. In addition, Directors are required to call a general meeting once requests to do so have been received by the Company from shareholders representing at least 5% of such paid-up capital of the Company as carries voting rights at general meetings of the Company (excluding any paid-up capital held as treasury shares) pursuant to Section 303 of the Act. A request for a general meeting must state the general nature of the business to be dealt with at the meeting and must be authenticated by the requesting shareholders. If Directors fail to call such a meeting within 21 days from receipt of such requests, and on a date not more than 28 days after the date of the notice convening the meeting, the shareholders that requested

the general meeting, or any of them representing more than half of the total voting rights of all shareholders that requested the meeting, may themselves convene a general meeting which must be called for a date not more than three months after the date upon which the Directors became subject to the requirement to call a general meeting. Any such meeting must be convened in the same manner, as nearly as possible, as that in which meetings are required to be convened by the Directors of the Company.

Under the Act, the Company is required to give at least 21 clear days' notice of any AGM or, except where the conditions in Section 307A of the Act apply, any other general meeting of the Company. In addition, the Company complies with the Code which currently states that notices of AGMs should be sent to shareholders at least 20 working days before the meeting.

The Articles require that in addition to any requirements under the legislation, the notice for any general meeting must state where the meeting is to be held (the principal meeting place) and the location of any satellite meeting place, which shall be identified as such in the notice as well as details of any arrangements made for those persons not entitled to attend a general meeting to be able to view and hear the proceedings (making it clear that participation in those arrangements will not amount to attendance at the meeting to which the notice relates). At the same time that notice is given for any general meeting, an announcement of the date, time and place of that meeting will, if practical, be published in a national newspaper in the Netherlands.

A shareholder is entitled to appoint a proxy (who is not required to be another shareholder) to represent and vote on behalf of the shareholder at any general meeting of shareholders, including the AGM, if a duly completed form of proxy has been received by the Company within the relevant deadlines (in general, where a poll is not demanded, 48 hours (or such shorter time as the Board decides) before the meeting).

Before a general meeting starts to do business, there must be a quorum present. Save as in relation to adjourned meetings, a quorum for all purposes is two people who are entitled to vote. They can be shareholders who are personally present, proxies for shareholders, or a combination of both. If a quorum is not present, a chairman of the meeting can still be chosen and this will not be treated as part of the business of the meeting.

If a quorum is not present within five minutes of the time fixed for a general meeting to start or within any longer period not exceeding one hour which the chairman of the meeting can decide, or if a quorum ceases to be present during a general meeting: (i) if the meeting was called by shareholders, it will be cancelled; (ii) any other meeting will be adjourned to a day (being not less than 10 days later, excluding the day on which it is adjourned and the day for which it is reconvened) with the time and place decided upon by the chairman of the meeting; and (iii) one shareholder present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote will constitute a quorum at any such adjourned general meeting and any notice of such an adjourned meeting will say this.

Notice of cancellation of a proxy's right to vote must be received at the Company's registered office (or other place specified by the Company for receipt) not later than the last time at which a proxy form should have been received to be valid for use at the meeting or on the holding of the poll at which the vote was given or the poll taken.

Deemed delivery of documents

Under the Articles, if any notice, document or other information is given, sent or supplied by the Company by inland post, it is treated as being received the day after it was posted if first class post (or a service similar to first class post) was used or 72 hours after it was posted if first class post (or

a service similar to first class post) was not used. If a notice or document is sent by the Company by airmail, it is treated as being received 72 hours after it was posted. Any notice, document or other information left at a shareholder's registered address or a postal address notified to the Company in accordance with the Articles by a shareholder or a person entitled to a share by law is treated as being received on the day on which it was left.

Threshold for disclosure of share ownership

The Disclosure and Transparency Rules of the UK's Financial Conduct Authority impose an obligation on persons [A] to notify the Company of the percentage of voting rights held as a shareholder, or through the direct or indirect holding of financial instruments, if the percentage of voting rights held in the Company reaches, exceeds or falls below 3% or any 1% threshold above 3%.

[A] For this purpose "persons" includes companies, natural persons, legal persons and partnerships.

As noted in the Articles, Section 793 of the Act governs the Company's right to investigate who has an interest in its shares. Under that section, a public company may give notice to any person it knows or has reasonable cause to believe is, or was at any time in the preceding three years, interested in its shares in order to obtain certain information about that interest.

The Articles provide that when a person receives a statutory notice, he has 14 days to comply with it. If he does not do so or if he makes a statement in response to the notice which is false or inadequate in some important way, the Company can decide to restrict the rights relating to the identified shares and send out a further notice to the shareholder, known as a restriction notice, which will take effect when delivered. The restriction notice will state that the identified shares no longer give the shareholder any right to attend or vote either personally or by proxy at a shareholders' meeting or to exercise any right in relation to shareholders' meetings. Where the identified shares make up 0.25% or more (in amount or in number) of the existing shares of a class at the date of delivery of the restriction notice, the restriction notice can also contain the following further restrictions: (i) the Board can withhold any dividend or part of a dividend (including scrip dividend) or other money which would otherwise be payable in respect of the identified shares without any liability to pay interest when such money is finally paid to the shareholder; and (ii) the Board can refuse to register a transfer of any of the identified shares which are certificated shares unless the Board is satisfied that they have been sold outright to an independent third party (as specified in the Articles). Once a restriction notice has been given, the Board is free to cancel it or exclude any shares from it at any time the Board thinks fit. In addition, the Board must cancel the restriction notice within seven days of being satisfied that all of the information requested in the statutory notice has been given. Also, where any of the identified shares are sold and the Board are satisfied that they were sold outright to an independent third party, it must cancel the restriction notice within seven days of receipt of notification of the sale. The Articles do not restrict in any way the provision of the legislation which applies to failures to comply with notices under the legislation.

The UK City Code on Takeovers and Mergers (the Takeover Code) imposes disclosure obligations on parties subject to the Takeover Code's disclosure regime. The Takeover Code requires that an opening position disclosure be made after the commencement of an offer period and, if later, after the announcement that first identifies an offeror. An opening position disclosure must be made by any person that is interested in 1% or more of any class of relevant securities of any party to the offer. The Takeover Code also requires any person who is, or becomes, interested in 1% or more of any class of relevant securities of an offeree company or any securities exchange offeror to make a dealing disclosure if the person deals in any relevant securities of the offeree company or any securities exchange offeror during an offer period. Where two or more persons act together pursuant to an agreement

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE CONTINUED

or understanding, whether formal or informal, to acquire or control an interest in relevant securities, they will normally be deemed to be a single person for the purpose of the relevant provisions of the Takeover Code.

Rule 13d-1 of the US Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires that a person or group that acquires beneficial ownership of more than 5% of equity securities registered under the US Securities Exchange Act, and that is not eligible to file a short-form report, disclose such information to the SEC within 10 days after the acquisition.

FURTHER INFORMATION

The following information can be found at www.shell.com/investor:

- the terms of reference of the Audit Committee, Corporate and Social Responsibility Committee, Nomination and Succession Committee and Remuneration Committee (these documents explain the Committees' roles and the authority the Board delegates to them);
- the full list of matters reserved to the Board for decision;
- Shell General Business Principles;
- Shell Code of Conduct;
- Code of Ethics for Executive Directors and Senior Financial Officers; and
- Articles of Association.

Signed on behalf of the Board

/s/ Michiel Brandjes

Michiel Brandjes

Company Secretary

March 9, 2016

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

Dear Shareholders,

I am pleased to present our annual Audit Committee Report, having assumed chairmanship of the Audit Committee (AC) when Guy Elliott stepped down in January 2016. I would like to take this opportunity to thank Guy for his outstanding chairmanship since 2011. I am pleased that he has agreed to remain a member of the AC, allowing us to continue to benefit from his extensive knowledge and experience.

I trust that this report will provide you with insights into our work and the issues we considered during 2015. As the AC, we assist the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities in areas such as the integrity of financial reporting, the effectiveness of the risk management and internal control system and related governance and compliance matters. We are also responsible for making a recommendation to the Board on the appointment or reappointment of the external auditor. In 2015, we held six AC meetings where we were briefed on and discussed a variety of topics including cyber-risk and tax transparency reporting. We also paid specific attention to oil price developments as well as the related impairment charges, and charges related to Alaska exploration activities and the cessation of the Carmon Creek project. We received several briefings on the status of the recommended cash and share offer for BG Group plc (BG) and in 2016 we will be monitoring the integration of BG into our accounting and reporting processes as well as within the Shell Control Framework.

The tender for the appointment of the external auditor continued to be high on our agenda in 2015. In this respect, we held three additional sessions in relation to the outcome of the tender process and the recommended appointment of Ernst & Young LLP (EY) for the financial year 2016, with our discussions addressing auditor independence and the process for a smooth auditor transition in particular. You will find more details in this report.

The report further explains those issues we considered to be significant in relation to Shell's 2015 Consolidated Financial Statements, and how we addressed them. We have advised the Board that the 2015 Annual Report including the financial statements, taken as a whole, is fair, balanced and understandable, and provides the information necessary for shareholders to assess Shell's position and performance, business model and strategy. We also explain how we arrived at this conclusion.

In accordance with new best practice from the UK Corporate Governance Code (the Code) effective from 2015, we further reviewed Shell's prospects over the three-year period selected by the Board and supported the "viability statement" made in the Directors' Report on page 67. We also reviewed and supported the disclosure of how the principal risks facing Shell are being managed or mitigated.

Finally, we conducted our annual performance evaluation and concluded that the AC was effective and able to fulfil its role in accordance with its terms of reference, which can be found at www.shell.com/investor.

Euleen Goh
Chairman of the Audit Committee
March 9, 2016

COMPOSITION OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The current members of the AC are Euleen Goh (Chairman of the AC), Guy Elliott, Gerard Kleisterlee and Linda G. Stuntz, all of whom are financially literate, independent, Non-executive Directors. Guy Elliott stepped down as Chairman of the AC with effect from January 1, 2016, with Euleen Goh succeeding him as of this date. For the purposes of the Code and in respect of the year ended December 31, 2015, Guy Elliott qualifies as a person with "recent and relevant financial experience" and for the purposes of US securities laws is an "audit committee financial expert". The AC met six times during the year; the AC members' attendances are shown on page 71. In addition, the AC held three sessions in relation to the audit tender process and auditor transition.

RESPONSIBILITIES

The key responsibilities of the AC are to assist the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities in relation to: financial reporting; the effectiveness of the system of risk management and internal control; compliance with applicable external legal and regulatory requirements; monitoring the qualifications, expertise, resources and independence of both the internal and external auditors; and assessing the internal and external auditors' performance and effectiveness each year. The AC keeps the Board informed of the AC's activities and recommendations. Where the AC is not satisfied with, or wherever it considers that action or improvement is required concerning any aspect of financial reporting, risk management and internal control, compliance or audit-related activities, it promptly reports these concerns to the Board.

ACTIVITIES

The AC covers a variety of topics in its meetings. These include both standing items that the AC considers as a matter of course, typically in relation to the quarterly results announcements, control issues, accounting policies and judgements and reporting matters, and a range of specific topics relevant to Shell's overall control framework. The AC invites the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, the Legal Director, the Chief Internal Auditor, the Executive Vice President Controller, the Vice President Accounting and Reporting and the external auditor to attend each meeting. Other members of management attend when requested. At every meeting, the AC holds private sessions separately with the external auditor and the Chief Internal Auditor without members of management being present.

During 2015, the AC received comprehensive reports from management and the internal and external auditors. In particular, it discussed with the Chief Financial Officer, the Executive Vice President Controller, the Vice President Accounting and Reporting and the external auditor issues that arose on accounting policies, practices and reporting, and reviewed aggregated whistle-blowing reports, internal audit reports and analyses of financial reporting matters. The AC further assessed the robustness of information and risk management and security measures, and discussed the annual report of the Chief Ethics and Compliance Officer. The AC also discussed the Company's Annual Report and Accounts, half-year report and quarterly unaudited financial statements with management and the external auditor, and it reviewed the Internal Audit Department's annual audit plan as well as its five-year strategic plan and assessed the performance of the Internal Audit function as effective. The AC also requested reports on such matters that it deemed appropriate, for example: data privacy; cyber security; new and impending regulatory requirements; and financial reporting and accounting issues relating to the recommended cash and share offer for BG.

As requested by the Board, the AC has advised the Board of its view that the Annual Report including the financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2015, taken as a whole, is fair, balanced and understandable and provides the information necessary for shareholders to

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT CONTINUED

assess Shell's position and performance, business model and strategy (see the "Directors' Report" on page 67). To arrive at this conclusion, the AC critically assessed drafts of the Annual Report including the financial statements and discussed with management the process undertaken to ensure that it was fair, balanced and understandable. This process included: requesting confirmation from the owners of each section of the Annual Report that the content of their respective section is fair and balanced; ensuring that consistent materiality thresholds are applied; taking into account comments from the external auditor; and receiving affirmation from the Executive Committee. The AC further reviewed and considered the Directors' half-year and full-year statements with respect to the going concern basis of accounting. In respect of the "viability statement", the AC supported an assessment period of three years and endorsed the statement in the "Directors' Report" on page 67.

SYSTEM OF RISK MANAGEMENT AND INTERNAL CONTROL

In 2015, the AC reviewed and discussed regular reports on risks, controls and assurance, including the annual assessment of the system of risk management and internal control, in order to monitor the effectiveness of the procedures for internal control over financial reporting, compliance and operational matters. This included the Company's evaluation of the internal control system as required under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

The AC assessed the following significant accounting and reporting issues that arose in relation to Shell's 2015 Consolidated Financial Statements. The AC was satisfied with how each of these issues was resolved. As part of this assessment, the AC received reports, requested and received clarification from management, and sought assurance and received input from the external auditor.

Impairments

Following the revision of Shell's oil and gas price outlook, relevant assets were identified and tested for impairment in the third and fourth quarters of 2015. The testing, which reflected the lower oil and gas price assumptions, resulted in the recognition of impairment charges in respect of North America shale gas properties and certain other Upstream assets. The AC reviewed this process and agreed with the conclusions. The AC also reviewed other impairments during the year, considered management's reviews and critically assessed the appropriateness of the impairment charges in the global portfolio. See Notes 2 and 8 to the "Consolidated Financial Statements" on pages 120-125 and 130-131.

Exploration activities in Alaska and the Carmon Creek project

The AC scrutinised the impact on the Consolidated Financial Statements of the decisions by management to cease exploration activities in Alaska, USA, and the construction of the Carmon Creek project in Canada. With respect to Alaska, the impact included exploration well write-offs and related decommissioning and restoration costs, impairment charges related to leases and other assets, and provisions arising from the contractual commitments associated with the exploration drilling. With respect to the Carmon Creek project, the impact included impairment charges for past capitalised expenditure, and provisions for the cancellation of drilling and commercial contracts, staff severance costs and related decommissioning and restoration costs. See Notes 2 and 8 to the "Consolidated Financial Statements" on pages 120-125 and 130-131.

Disposals

The AC examined the accounting for assets subject to a binding sales agreement and consequential disposals, including Upstream assets in Malaysia (licence expiry), Nigeria, the UK and the USA and Downstream assets in China, France, Norway and the UK. Particular attention was given

to the accounting for any retained obligations, the assumptions used in determining any resulting charges, and the tax treatment.

Discount rate for non-current provisions

The AC was briefed on management's decision to reduce the discount rate applied to non-current provisions, mainly in respect of decommissioning and restoration costs, in light of movements in long-term US Treasury bond yields. As a result, non-current provisions and related property, plant and equipment increased. See Notes 2, 8 and 18 to the "Consolidated Financial Statements" on pages 120-125, 130-131 and 141-142.

NAM provisions

Nederlandse Aardolie Maatschappij B.V. (NAM) is a joint venture (Shell interest 50%). The AC discussed and supported management's approach in respect of NAM's earth tremor related provisions taking into account the outcome of the "Hazard and Risk Assessment for induced Seismicity in Groningen" study compiled by NAM.

Taxation

The AC reviewed management updates and external auditor assessments on certain tax matters, in particular the recoverability of deferred tax assets.

EXTERNAL AUDITOR

Following evaluation and due consideration over the financial year 2014, the AC recommended to the Board that PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PwC) be reappointed as external auditor for the year ended December 31, 2015, at the 2015 Annual General Meeting (AGM). There were no contractual obligations that restricted the AC's ability to make such a recommendation.

During 2015, the AC considered the outcome of the Financial Reporting Council's Audit Quality Inspection Annual Report 2014/15 on PwC. The AC evaluated the effectiveness of PwC and the external audit process, taking into account the results of Shell management's internal survey relating to PwC's performance over the financial year 2015 as well as management's review and recommendations and its own experiences with the external auditor. Key criteria of the evaluation included: professionalism in areas including competence, integrity and objectivity; efficiency, covering aspects such as service level and cost efficiency; thought leadership and value added; and compliance with relevant legislative, regulatory and professional requirements. The AC concluded that PwC had performed effectively.

As required under UK and US auditing standards, the AC received a letter from PwC confirming its independence.

PwC presented its views on the Annual Report including the financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2015, to the AC and to the Board.

As the last competitive audit tender was in 2005 when PwC was appointed the Company's auditor, the Company announced the intention in the 2013 Annual Report to commence a tender process for the appointment of the external auditor for the financial year 2016. Following a market assessment in mid-2014, a request was sent out in November 2014 to suitable, appropriately experienced candidates to participate in the tender and to submit their proposal by March 2, 2015. The tender advisory committee, led by the then Chairman of the AC, oversaw the process and provided advice and reports to the AC to enable it to make a recommendation to the Board. On March 27, the advisory committee concluded that EY was the preferred firm to conduct the Royal Dutch Shell plc audit engagement, judged against the selection criteria including quality of the proposed team, experience with the oil and gas industry, and available resources and organisation. Following the announcement of the recommended cash and

share offer for BG in April, a further assessment was performed, as EY was the external auditor of BG and the proposed lead audit partner for the Company was at that time serving as lead audit partner for BG. The AC carefully assessed the outcome of the tender, including related recommendations and assurances on auditor independence and the establishment of a conflict of interest and independence protocol with EY, and endorsed the conclusion of the advisory committee that EY was the preferred firm to conduct the Company's audit engagement. The AC recommended to the Board that it propose to the 2016 AGM the appointment of EY as the external auditor of the Company for the financial year 2016. The tender was carried out in compliance with The Statutory Audit Services for Large Companies Market Investigation (Mandatory Use of Competitive Tender Processes and Audit Committee Responsibilities) Order 2014 effective January 1, 2015, as issued by the Competition & Markets Authority in the UK.

In October, Shell published a disclosure on its website providing a detailed overview of the audit tender process, which can be found on www.shell.com/investor. The transition activities began on October 1, 2015, when EY started shadowing PwC in its audit engagement with the Company. The AC is monitoring the transition process.

ITEM 16F CHANGE IN REGISTRANT'S CERTIFYING ACCOUNTANT

Following the tender process described above, PwC are dismissed with effect from the date of their resignation, which is expected on April 11, 2016. PwC will not stand for reappointment at our 2016 AGM. In respect of fiscal years 2014 and 2015:

- PwC has not issued any report on the financial statements or on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting of the Company or any of its subsidiaries, or the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust, that contained an adverse opinion or a disclaimer of opinion. The relevant PwC auditor's reports were not qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles.
- there has not been any disagreement with PwC over any matter of accounting principle or practice, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedures, which disagreement, if not resolved to PwC's satisfaction, would have caused PwC to make reference to the subject matter of the disagreement in connection with its auditor's reports, or any reportable event as described in Item 16F(a)(1)(v) of Form 20-F.

Shell has provided PwC with a copy of the foregoing disclosure and has requested that they furnish the Company with a letter addressed to the US Securities and Exchange Commission stating whether it agrees with such disclosure and, if not, stating the respects in which it does not agree. Copies of PwC's letters dated March 9, 2016, in which they stated that they agree with such disclosure, are filed as Exhibit 16.1 and 16.2.

During fiscal years 2014 and 2015 and through March 9, 2016, the Company did not consult with EY regarding: (i) the application of accounting principles to any specified transaction, either completed or proposed, or the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on the financial statements of the Company or any of its subsidiaries, or the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust; or (ii) any matter that was either the subject of a disagreement or reportable event as discussed in Item 16F(a)(1) of Form 20-F. EY's proposed appointment will be presented for shareholder vote at the AGM on May 24, 2016.

NON-AUDIT SERVICES

The AC has adopted a policy on the engagement of the external auditor to supply non-audit services. This policy, designed to safeguard auditor objectivity and independence, includes guidelines on permitted and non-permitted services, and on services requiring specific approval by the AC.

Examples of non-permitted services are actuarial services, bookkeeping services, valuation services (unless the services are unrelated to financial reporting), management or recruitment services, legal services and expert services unrelated to the audit, tax advice and broker or dealer, investment adviser or banking services.

For other services, because of their knowledge, experience and/or for reasons of confidentiality, it can be more efficient or prudent to engage the external auditor rather than another party. Under the policy, permitted services must not present a conflict of interest. The AC reviews quarterly reports from management on the extent of the permitted non-audit services provided in accordance with the policy or for which specific approval is being sought. Non-audit services in the following categories can be contracted without further individual prior approval provided the fee value for each contract does not exceed \$500,000:

- tax compliance work that is part of the assurance process for the audit of the Consolidated or Parent Company Financial Statements or the accounts of subsidiaries;
- regulatory compliance audits; and
- verification of non-financial data for public disclosure.

Any other non-audit services must be specifically approved by the AC before the external auditor is contracted.

The scope of the permitted non-audit services contracted with the external auditor in 2015 consisted mainly of tax compliance work and the associated compensation amounted to 0.1% of total auditor's remuneration.

FEES

Note 28 to the "Consolidated Financial Statements" on page 152 provides a specification of the auditor's remuneration.

DIRECTORS' REMUNERATION REPORT

Principles

The principles underpinning the Remuneration Committee's (REMCO) approach to executive remuneration remain unchanged. They serve as the foundation for everything we do, and are listed below.

- Alignment with Shell's strategy: the Executive Directors' compensation package should be strongly linked to the achievement of stretch targets that are seen as indicators of the execution of Shell's strategy.
- Pay for performance: the majority of the Executive Directors' compensation (excluding benefits and pension) should be linked directly to Shell's performance through variable pay instruments.
- Competitiveness: remuneration levels should be determined by reference to companies of comparable size, complexity and global scope.
- Long-term creation of shareholder value: Executive Directors should align their interests with those of shareholders by holding shares in Royal Dutch Shell plc (the Company).
- Consistency: the remuneration structure for Executive Directors should generally be consistent with the remuneration structure for the Senior Management of Shell. This consistency builds a culture of alignment with Shell's purpose and a common approach to sharing in Shell's success.
- Compliance: decisions should be made in the context of the Shell General Business Principles and REMCO should ensure compliance with applicable laws and corporate governance requirements when designing and implementing policies and plans.
- Risk assessment: the remuneration structures and rewards should meet risk-assessment tests to ensure that shareholder interests are safeguarded and that inappropriate actions are avoided.

STATEMENT BY THE CHAIRMAN OF THE REMUNERATION COMMITTEE

Dear Shareholders,

As Chairman of the Remuneration Committee, I am pleased to present Shell's 2015 Directors' Remuneration Report. It sets out how, for this year, we have implemented the policy that was approved by shareholders at the 2014 Annual General Meeting (AGM), in accordance with the Principles outlined on the left. Our last year's report, with 96.2% voting in favour, received strong shareholder support, which was confirmed during the year in the many shareholder engagements we had. I hope that also this year we have been able to meet the high standards set.

PERFORMANCE CONTEXT

2015 was a very challenging year for the whole organisation because of the operational pressures in a low oil price environment where cash generation and preservation are of prime importance. Even more so for the Board, the Senior Management and specific parts of the organisation who, in addition to their normal operational tasks, had to deal with all the extra work concerning the BG Group plc (BG) acquisition.

PERFORMANCE

In this environment, the organisation delivered an above target operational performance.

Operational cash flow was above target, driven by higher Downstream earnings, lower working capital and strong operational performance, which partly offset the impact of lower oil and gas prices.

Project delivery was again strong, but has to be seen in the context of the decision to stop work on the Carmon Creek project in Canada.

Production was ahead of target despite the impact of the Groningen curtailment and unplanned downtime in certain assets. Liquefied natural gas (LNG) sales were also above target mainly due to better plant uptime and feed-gas availability. Downstream refinery and chemical plant availability was just above target at 89.3%, despite incidents at Bukom and Rheinland triggering unplanned downtime.

All sustainable development measures were above target, reflecting a sustained focus and commitment to improve performance in these areas.

While total recordable case frequency (TRCF) saw further improvement, remaining below one injury per million working hours, sadly, seven people lost their lives while working for Shell in 2015. These tragic events occurred in Shell-operated ventures in Nigeria and are a reminder of the need to focus on safety at all times.

DECISIONS MADE

Against this background, REMCO made the following decisions regarding the remuneration of the Executive Directors.

The Committee decided to increase the Directors' base salaries in line with the market as applicable for the broader workforce.

When determining the bonus outcome for the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and the Chief Financial Officer (CFO), REMCO considered the strong operational performance outlined above, but was also very mindful of the tragic loss of life and certain other safety incidents for which senior leaders are accountable. Having further considered the impact of the decision to stop the Carmon Creek project, REMCO determined to use its discretion to reduce the mathematical outcome of the bonus scorecard from 1.55 down to 1.4.

Having considered the very strong leadership and individual performance contribution of both the CEO and the CFO, REMCO determined to award them an individual performance modifier of 1.2.

Although I am satisfied that the building blocks for future success are in place and the acquisition of BG puts us in a strong position, to deliver on our strategy, we have underperformed our competitors over the three-year period 2013-2015 on the KPIs that determine the vesting of our Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP), resulting in an outcome of only 16% of the target opportunity.

Overall this resulted in the variable part of remuneration (annual bonus plus LTIP vesting) paying out well below target, in line with our pay for performance policy, which balances operational performance and strategic steps with long-term competitive outcomes and alignment with shareholders.

LOOKING AHEAD

We are reviewing the Remuneration Policy during 2016, prior to putting it to a new binding vote at the 2017 AGM.

Ahead of this review, the Committee consulted two advisers in 2015 to assess the risk profile of the Directors' remuneration policy and the alignment of our policy with Shell's long-term strategy, and to provide some insight into market practice and the advisers' views of shareholders' expectations.

As part of our regular engagement with shareholders, we held meetings with a number of shareholders in April and December 2015 during which we shared updates on remuneration developments in 2015 and sought initial views on our Policy ahead of the 2016 review. I thank shareholders for their time and input, which provide useful ongoing challenges for the Committee's reflection (for example, on further simplification of Executive Directors' pay).

I will continue to engage with shareholders and consider their views in our Policy review.

Following the successful completion of the BG acquisition, we will be focusing on further driving performance of the enlarged business. While we are not amending the Policy for 2016, annual bonus scorecard measures and targets will be updated to reflect this enlargement. As we have done in the past, we will disclose targets in the 2016 remuneration report.

As always, I welcome your feedback and look forward to meeting you at our AGM on May 24, 2016.

Finally, I want to thank Hugh Mitchell for the way in which he has supported REMCO over the past years and wish him all the best.

THIS REPORT

This Directors' Remuneration Report for 2015 has been prepared in accordance with relevant UK corporate governance and legal requirements, in particular Schedule 8 of The Large and Medium-sized Companies and Groups (Accounts and Reports) Regulations 2008 (as amended). The Board has approved this report.

This report consists of two further sections:

- the Annual Report on Remuneration, describing 2015 remuneration as well as implementation of the policy in 2016, which will be subject to an advisory vote at the 2016 AGM; and
- the Directors' Remuneration Policy which was approved by shareholders at the 2014 AGM and is included for reference.

Gerard Kleisterlee

Chairman of REMCO

March 9, 2016

ANNUAL REPORT ON REMUNERATION

The Annual Report on Remuneration sets out:

- REMCO and its responsibilities and activities;
- a summary of our policy in place in 2015, and planned implementation in 2016;
- the statement of implementation of the approved policy in 2015; and
- Directors' remuneration for 2015.

The base currency in this Annual Report on Remuneration is the euro, as this is the currency of the base salary and country of employment of the Executive Directors. Where amounts are shown in other currencies, an average exchange rate for the relevant year is used, unless a specific date is stated, in which case the average exchange rate for the specific date is used.

REMUNERATION COMMITTEE

The following Directors were members of REMCO during 2015:

- Gerard Kleisterlee (Chairman of REMCO);
- Patricia A. Woertz with effect from May 19, 2015;
- Gerrit Zalm; and
- Charles O. Holliday until he stood down on May 19, 2015.

Their biographies are given on pages 62-64; REMCO meeting attendance is given on page 71.

REMCO's key responsibilities in respect of Executive Directors include:

- setting the remuneration policy;
- agreeing performance frameworks, setting targets and reviewing performance;
- determining actual remuneration and benefits; and
- determining contractual terms.

In addition, REMCO has the responsibility for the Chairman of the Board's remuneration and for recommending and monitoring the level and structure of remuneration for Senior Management.

REMCO operates within its terms of reference, which are reviewed regularly and updated whenever necessary. They were last updated on January 28, 2015, and are available at www.shell.com. Alternatively, copies can be obtained from the Company Secretary.

Advice from within Shell on various subjects, including the Executive Directors' annual bonus scorecard and the remuneration of Senior Management, was provided by:

- Ben van Beurden, CEO;
- Hugh Mitchell, Chief Human Resources & Corporate Officer and Secretary to REMCO during 2015, who was succeeded by Ronan Cassidy with effect from January 1, 2016; and
- Stephanie Boyde, Executive Vice President Remuneration, Benefits & Services.

The Chairman of the Board and the CEO were consulted on remuneration proposals affecting the CFO.

REMCO consulted Deloitte and Towers Watson on a one-off basis to provide independent external perspectives on executive remuneration market practice. Both are founding members and signatories to the Code of Conduct for Remuneration Consultants, and REMCO was satisfied that their advice was objective and independent. The fees were £58,000 for Deloitte and £50,000 for Towers Watson. Deloitte also provided other services to Shell during the year, including tax services, and Towers Watson also provided other services to Shell during the year, including pay benchmarking. Deloitte and Towers Watson did not provide advice on Executive Directors' remuneration matters other than for REMCO.

During 2015, REMCO met five times and its activities included:

- approving the 2014 Directors' Remuneration Report;
- consulting with major shareholders in April and December;
- setting annual bonus performance measures and targets;
- deciding on base salaries for the CEO and the CFO;
- determining the 2014 annual bonus outcomes;
- determining vesting of the 2012 LTIP and Deferred Bonus Plan (DBP) awards for the CFO and Performance Share Plan (PSP) award for the CEO;
- reviewing the alignment between Shell's strategy and remuneration;
- tracking external developments and assessing their impact on Shell's remuneration policy; and
- reviewing REMCO's operation.

REMUNERATION STRUCTURE

| Short term | Medium term | Long term |
|---|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Base salary. ■ Annual bonus based on scorecard of short-term strategic targets and individual achievement. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ 50% of bonus deferred into shares for three years. ■ LTIP based on relative performance over three years. Shares to be retained for two years after vesting. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Shareholding requirement for tenure in role. ■ Bonus and LTIP subject to malus and clawback provisions. |

REMUNERATION POLICY AND PRACTICE AT A GLANCE

| Summary of the shareholder-approved policy (effective January 1, 2015). The full policy is set out on pages 98-105. | Policy implementation in 2015 | Policy implementation in 2016 |
|--|--|---|
| Base salary and pensionable salary <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Reviewed annually after considering a range of factors including market positioning, tenure and experience, planned increases for other employees, Shell's and individual performance. Maximum: €2,000,000. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ CEO base salary and pensionable salary: €1,430,000 (+2.1%). ■ CFO base salary: €1,030,000 (+2.0%); pensionable salary: £765,000 (+2.0%). | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ CEO base salary and pensionable salary: €1,460,000 (+2.1%). ■ CFO base salary: €1,040,000 (+1.0%); pensionable salary: £780,000 (+2.0%). |
| Benefits <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Typically include car allowance, transport between home and office, medical insurance. Mobility policies and tax equalisation related to expatriate employment before Board appointment or to offset double taxation may also apply. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ CEO and CFO received standard benefits. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ CEO and CFO will receive standard benefits. |
| Annual bonus <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Target bonus as a % of base salary: CEO 150%; CFO 120%. ■ Maximum: CEO 250%; CFO 240%. ■ Calculated as base salary x target bonus % x scorecard result (0–2) against short-term strategic targets, adjusted for individual performance with a 0–1.2 multiplier. ■ 50% delivered in cash, 50% deferred into shares and released after three years, together with dividend shares accrued over the deferral period. ■ Subject to malus and clawback provisions. ■ Scorecard measures: operational cash flow (30%); operational excellence (50%); and sustainable development (20%). See further details on page 100. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Scorecard mathematical outcome: 1.55. After REMCO's discretion: 1.40. Individual performance multiplier: 1.2. ■ Bonus paid in respect of 2015: CEO 245% of base salary, CFO 199% of base salary. This represents about 165% of their respective target bonuses. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Same bonus opportunities as in 2015. ■ Scorecard measures and targets updated to reflect the execution of the BG integration. |
| LTIP <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Maximum award: 400% of base salary. Between 0% and 200% of the initial award may vest, depending on relative performance over a three-year period. ■ Vesting capped at 50% of the maximum payout if there is no vesting on the total shareholder return (TSR) element. ■ Additional shares are released representing the value of dividends payable on vested shares. ■ Vested shares must be held for a further two years. ■ Subject to malus and clawback provisions. ■ Performance measures: TSR (30%), earnings per share (EPS) (30%), return on average capital employed (ROACE) (20%) and net cash from operating activities (20%). | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Award as a % of base salary: CEO 340%; CFO 270%. ■ Vesting of 2013 award: 16% of target (8% of maximum). The performance measures of the 2013 award were the same as those applying to the 2015 award except for production, which was used instead of ROACE. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Same award opportunities as in 2015. ■ Same performance measures as in 2015. |
| Pension <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Retirement benefits maintained in base country pension arrangements. ■ CEO: Dutch defined benefit pension plan. ■ CFO: UK defined benefit pension plans. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Maximum pensionable salary for future defined benefit accruals of €89,900. Net pay defined contribution pension plan (employer contribution: 24% of salary in excess of €89,900). | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ CEO and CFO: no change. |
| Shareholding <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Requirement as a % of base salary: CEO: 700%; CFO: 400%. ■ Expected to be reached through retention of vested shares, within five years of appointment and maintained for the full period of appointment. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Actual holding at year end: CEO: 98% of base salary; CFO: 822% of base salary. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ No change in shareholding requirements. |

ANNUAL REPORT ON REMUNERATION CONTINUED

STATEMENT OF 2016 POLICY IMPLEMENTATION

Comparator group

The 2016 benchmarking comparator group is unchanged from 2015 and consists of BP, Chevron, ExxonMobil and Total ("the other oil majors") as well as a selection of major Europe-based companies. When reviewing Executive Directors' pay for 2016, data excluding banks and BG was also considered. A review of the comparator group will be conducted in 2016 as part of the broader policy review.

| 2016 EUROPEAN COMPARATOR GROUP | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Allianz | BHP Billiton | Rio Tinto |
| Anglo American | Deutsche Bank | Roche |
| AstraZeneca | Diageo | SABMiller |
| Barclays | GlaxoSmithKline | Siemens |
| BAT | HSBC | Unilever |
| Bayer | Novartis | Vodafone |
| BG Group | Philips | |

Executive Directors

Salaries

Effective from January 1, 2016, the base salary and pensionable salary were set at €1,460,000 (+2.1%) for Ben van Beurden, CEO. The base salary for Simon Henry, the CFO, was set at €1,040,000 (+1.0%) and his pensionable base salary was increased by 2.0% to £780,000 in line with the broader Shell employee population in the UK.

When determining base salaries, REMCO considered: the market positioning of the Executive Directors' compensation packages; the planned average increases for 2016 for other employees across three major countries (the Netherlands, the UK and the USA); the impact of the increase on other elements of the package; Shell's performance and Executive Directors' individual performance during 2015; and the conservative positioning of the CEO's base salary on appointment (his 2016 salary remains below that of his predecessor on appointment in 2009).

Annual bonus

The 2016 performance measures will remain aligned with a number of our performance indicators set out on pages 20-21 and continue to comprise operational cash flow, operational excellence and sustainable development measures. Measures and targets will be updated to reflect the execution of the BG integration.

Annual bonus scorecard targets are not disclosed prospectively because to do so in a meaningful manner would require the disclosure of commercially sensitive information. As in previous years, scorecard targets will be disclosed in a future Directors' Remuneration Report when they are no longer deemed to be commercially sensitive. Disclosure of detailed personal targets is inappropriate as these are deemed commercially sensitive. However, the basis for the determination of the individual multiplier will be disclosed.

50% of the annual bonus awarded will be deferred into shares to be retained for three years.

Long-term incentive awards

LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PLAN

On February 5, 2016, a conditional award of performance shares under the LTIP was made to the Executive Directors. The award had a face value of 340% of the base salary for the CEO and 270% of the base salary for the CFO, resulting in the following shares being awarded conditionally: 236,302 Royal Dutch Shell plc A shares (A shares) to Ben van Beurden, and 141,465 Royal Dutch Shell plc B shares (B shares) to Simon Henry.

As in 2015, for LTIP awards made in 2016, performance is assessed over a three-year period based on relative performance compared with the other oil majors on the following measures and vested shares are subject to a two-year holding period post vesting:

- TSR, calculated in dollars using a 90-day averaging period around the start and end of the performance period (30%);
- diluted EPS growth on a current cost of supplies basis (30%);
- net cash growth from operating activities (20%); and
- ROACE growth (20%). For this purpose, in order to facilitate the comparison, the calculation of ROACE differs from that described in "Performance indicators" on page 21 as there is no adjustment for after-tax interest expense.

The vesting schedule and holding period are unchanged from 2015.

Adjustment (malus) and recovery (clawback)

Bonus, DBP and LTIP are subject to adjustment (malus) and recovery (clawback) provisions, which may apply in case of direct responsibility or supervisory accountability.

REMCO may adjust an award, for example by lapsing part or all of it, reducing the number of shares by which it would otherwise vest, by imposing additional conditions on it, or imposing a new holding period. Award adjustments may be made as a result of: Shell restating the relevant year(s) financial statements due to material non-compliance with any financial reporting requirement; an individual's misconduct or misconduct through the individual's direction or non-direction, which influenced the metrics and outcomes used in determining the individual's annual bonus or LTIP outcome; any material breach of health and safety or environment regulations; serious reputational damage to Shell; material failure of risk management; and other exceptional events at the discretion of REMCO.

Adjustment may also apply after employment ends if the individual: (a) breaches any provision of his/her employment contract which applies after cessation of employment or any provision of an agreement entered into on termination of employment; (b) is found to have committed fraud or dishonesty with respect to Shell; (c) wilfully damaged the assets of or engaged in misconduct which, in any material respect, is or was injurious to Shell; (d) wrongfully disclosed or used any proprietary or confidential information which is related to the business, properties or affairs of Shell and the release of which is detrimental, in any material respect, to the competitive position or goodwill of Shell; (e) engaged in any activity which, in any material respect, reasonably constituted a conflict with the interests of Shell; or (f) breached any business principle or a term of any code of conduct applicable to employees or former employees of Shell.

Clawback applies in case of restatement of financial statements due to material non-compliance with any financial reporting requirement or as a result of the individual's misconduct or misconduct through the individual's direction or non-direction, which influenced the metrics and outcomes used in determining his/her annual bonus or LTIP outcome.

Pension

There are no changes to the pension plans in which the CEO and CFO participate.

Non-executive Directors' fees

NON-EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS' FEES 2016

| | € | Other fees |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------------------|
| Chairman of the Board | 850,000 | Non-executive |
| Non-executive Director | 130,000 | Directors receive |
| Senior Independent Director | 55,000 | an additional fee |
| Audit Committee | | of €5,000 for any |
| Chairman [A] | 55,000 | Board meeting |
| Member | 25,000 | involving |
| Corporate and Social Responsibility | | intercontinental |
| Committee | | travel – except |
| Chairman [A] | 35,000 | for one meeting |
| Member | 17,250 | a year held in a |
| Nomination and Succession Committee | | location other than |
| Chairman [A] | 25,000 | The Hague |
| Member | 12,000 | |
| Remuneration Committee | | |
| Chairman [A] | 35,000 | |
| Member | 17,250 | |

[A] The chairman of a committee does not receive an additional fee for membership of that committee.

The Chairman's fee is determined by REMCO and the annual fee for Charles O. Holliday, with effect from May 20, 2015, has been set at €850,000.

A Non-executive Director receives a basic fee, and there are additional fees for the Senior Independent Director, a Board committee chairmanship or a Board committee membership for each committee. Non-executive Directors receive an additional fee of €5,000 for any Board meeting involving intercontinental travel, except for one meeting a year held in a location other than The Hague. Business expenses (including transport between home and office and occasional business-required spouse travel) and associated tax are paid or reimbursed by Shell. The Chairman has use of a Shell-provided accommodation in The Hague.

The Board reviews Non-executive Directors' fees periodically to ensure that they are aligned with those of other major listed companies. A review was carried out in 2014, which resulted in an increase in the basic fee from €125,000 to €130,000 and an increase in the Audit Committee Chairman fee from €45,000 to €55,000, effective January 1, 2015.

Annual fees for 2016 are indicated in the "Non-executive Directors' fees 2016" table.

DIRECTORS' REMUNERATION FOR 2015

Non-executive Directors' remuneration for 2015

| | SINGLE TOTAL FIGURE OF REMUNERATION FOR NON-EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS (AUDITED) | | | | | | € THOUSAND |
|-------------------------|---|------|------|------|---------------------|------|------------|
| | | | Fees | | Taxable benefits[A] | | Total |
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | |
| Guy Elliott | 197 | 177 | – | 1 | 197 | 178 | |
| Euleen Goh [B] | 190 | 60 | – | – | 190 | 60 | |
| Charles O. Holliday [C] | 616 | 207 | 121 | 15 | 737 | 222 | |
| Gerard Kleisterlee | 190 | 171 | – | – | 190 | 171 | |
| Jorma Ollila [C] | 315 | 825 | 82 | 133 | 397 | 958 | |
| Sir Nigel Sheinwald | 147 | 142 | 1 | – | 148 | 142 | |
| Linda G. Stuntz | 190 | 180 | – | – | 190 | 180 | |
| Hans Wijers | 219 | 206 | – | – | 219 | 206 | |
| Patricia A. Woertz [B] | 183 | 93 | 19 | – | 202 | 93 | |
| Gerrit Zalm | 165 | 153 | – | – | 165 | 153 | |

[A] UK regulations require the inclusion of benefits where these would be taxable in the UK, on the assumption that Directors are tax residents in the UK. On this premise, the taxable benefits include the cost of Non-executive Director's occasional business-required spouse travel. Shell also pays for travel between home and the head office in The Hague, where Board and committee meetings are typically held, as well as related hotel and subsistence costs. For consistency, these business expenses are not reported as taxable benefits as for most Non-executive Directors this is international travel and hence would not be taxable in the UK.

[B] Euleen Goh was appointed with effect from September 1, 2014. Patricia A. Woertz was appointed with effect from June 1, 2014.

[C] Jorma Ollila stood down on May 19, 2015, and was succeeded as Chairman by Charles O. Holliday. Their taxable benefits include company-provided transport (2015: €58,235 for Jorma Ollila) and the use of an apartment (2015: €93,467 for Charles O. Holliday and €18,065 for Jorma Ollila).

ANNUAL REPORT ON REMUNERATION CONTINUED

Executive Directors' remuneration for 2015

| SINGLE TOTAL FIGURE OF REMUNERATION FOR EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS (AUDITED) | | | | € THOUSAND |
|---|-----------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Ben van Beurden | | Simon Henry | |
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Salaries | 1,430 | 1,400 | 1,030 | 1,010 |
| Taxable benefits | 42 | 35 | 24 | 32 |
| Total fixed remuneration | 1,472 | 1,435 | 1,054 | 1,042 |
| Annual bonus [A] | 3,500 | 3,300 | 2,050 | 1,900 |
| LTIP and DBP [B] | 163 | 863 | 427 | 2,857 |
| Total variable remuneration | 3,663 | 4,163 | 2,477 | 4,757 |
| Total direct remuneration | 5,135 | 5,598 | 3,531 | 5,799 |
| Pension [C] [D] | 441 | 10,695 | 428 | 442 |
| Tax equalisation [D] [E] | – | 7,905 | 408 | 244 |
| Total remuneration including pension and tax equalisation | 5,576 | 24,198 | 4,367 | 6,485 |
| in dollars | 6,190 | 32,158 | 4,848 | 8,619 |
| in sterling | 4,049 | 19,510 | 3,171 | 5,229 |

[A] The full value of the bonus, comprising both the non-deferred and deferred value. For 2015, 50% is deferred into the DBP. For 2015, the market price of A and B shares on February 5, 2016 (€20.12 and £15.37 respectively), was used to determine the number of deferred bonus shares, resulting in 86,978 A shares for Ben van Beurden and 51,393 B shares for Simon Henry.

[B] Remuneration for performance periods of more than one year, comprising the value of released LTIP awards and DBP performance matching shares. The amounts reported for 2015 relate to the 2013 awards, which vested on March 1, 2016, at the market price of €21.21 and £16.39 for A and B shares respectively. The value in respect of the LTIP and DBP is calculated as the product of: the gross number of shares of the original award in the case of the LTIP plus accrued dividend shares; the vesting percentage; and the closing market price of A or B shares at the vesting date. The market price of B shares is converted into euros using the exchange rate on the respective date. The original deferred bonus share awards, which are those represented by the deferred bonus and dividend shares accrued on these shares, are not considered as long-term remuneration, as they relate to the short-term annual bonus value.

[C] The accrual for the period (net of inflation) multiplied by 20 in accordance with UK reporting regulations.

[D] As explained in the 2014 report, the pension and tax equalisation figures for Ben van Beurden for 2014 relate to his promotion to CEO and prior assignment to the UK and application of the standard policies.

[E] As Simon Henry spent over 10 years in the Netherlands, tax relief on employee and employer contributions to the Shell Overseas Contributory Pension Fund under the terms of the UK/Netherlands double tax agreement ceased on May 1, 2014. Tax equalisation of the pension contributions for Simon Henry has applied since then.

Notes to the single total figure of remuneration for Executive Directors table (audited)

SALARIES

As disclosed in the 2014 Directors' Remuneration Report, REMCO set Ben van Beurden's base salary and pensionable salary for 2015 at €1,430,000 (+2.1%) and Simon Henry's base salary at €1,030,000 (+2.0%) and pensionable salary at £765,000 (+2.0%), effective from January 1, 2015.

TAXABLE BENEFITS

Executive Directors received car allowances or lease cars, transport between home and office, occasional business-required spouse travel, as well as employer contributions to life and medical insurance plans. Where appropriate, tax equalisation and relocation support policies were applied.

ANNUAL BONUS

The business scorecard contains independent business measures grouped in three sections: operational cash flow, operational excellence and sustainable development. At the beginning of the year, REMCO sets a target range and weighting for each scorecard measure. The actual outcome for each measure results in a score of between zero and two, with a score of one representing "At target". These scores are multiplied by the respective weighting of each measure and aggregated, resulting in a mathematical scorecard outcome of between zero and two. REMCO may then make an adjustment to the overall scorecard outcome in view of the wider business performance for the year.

An Executive Director's individual performance is also taken into account in determining his annual bonus through the application of a multiplier between zero and 1.2. Individual performance is assessed against personal targets. Retrospective disclosure of detailed personal targets is inappropriate as these are deemed to be commercially sensitive.

50% of the annual bonus is deferred into shares, which are to be retained for three years.

Determination of the 2015 annual bonus

The mathematical scorecard outcome for 2015 was 1.55. REMCO noted that, while many parts of Shell reported best-ever safety records, there were seven fatalities in 2015 and there were several process safety incidents (such as the fire at Bukom). REMCO also noted the termination of the Carmon Creek project. Consequently, it was determined that the outcome should be reduced to 1.4.

The CEO provided strong leadership both strategically and operationally. He adapted the structure and leadership of the organisation to the envisaged future with a strong focus on deep water and integrated gas, and showed visible hands-on leadership in the whole process of the BG acquisition, accelerating the benefits of the new organisation. He also significantly reduced capital investment with bold decisions such as exiting Alaska and stopping work on the Carmon Creek project in Canada.

REMCO determined an individual performance factor of 1.2 for the CEO and determined a final bonus outcome of €3,500,000.

The CFO showed strong personal leadership in managing down both capital investment and operating expenses, leading to cash flows above plan despite decreasing oil prices. He also personally managed the coordination and implementation of all M&A activities around the acquisition of BG, leading a large team of internal specialists and outside expert support to identify the deal value drivers, and working closely with the Board and its sub-committee to realise the combination accordingly.

REMCO determined an individual performance factor of 1.2 for the CFO and determined a final bonus outcome of €2,050,000.

2015 ANNUAL BONUS OUTCOME (AUDITED)

| Measures | Weight (% of scorecard) | Target set | Result achieved | Score (0-2) | Bonus as a % of base salary | | | |
|--|----------------------------|---------------|--------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| | | | | | Ben van Beurden | | Simon Henry | |
| | | | | | Target | Achieved | Target | Achieved |
| Operational cash flow (\$ billion) [A] | 30% | 28.0 | 30.7 | 1.45 | 45% | 65% | 36% | 52% |
| Operational excellence | 50% | | | 1.59 | 75% | 119% | 60% | 95% |
| Project delivery: identified projects on time and budget (%) | 20% | 75% | 82% | 1.35 | | | | |
| Production (kboe/d) | 12% | 2,814 | 2,954 | 2.00 | | | | |
| LNG sales (mtpa) | 6% | 21.9 | 22.6 | 2.00 | | | | |
| Refinery and chemical plant availability (%) | 12% | 88.5 | 89.3 | 1.39 | | | | |
| Sustainable development | 20% | | | 1.59 | 30% | 48% | 24% | 38% |
| Total recordable case frequency (injuries/million hours) | 5% | 1.13 | 0.94 | 1.63 | | | | |
| Operational Tier 1 process safety events (number) | 5% | 65 | 51 | 1.93 | | | | |
| Volume of operational spills (thousand tonnes) | 4% | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.67 | | | | |
| Refining Energy Intensity Index (EII™) (indexed to 2002) | 4% | 96.3 | 95.4 | 1.19 | | | | |
| Fresh water intensity (cubic metres per tonne of production) oil sands | 2% | 1.80 | 1.66 | 1.31 | | | | |
| | 100% | | | | 150% | | 120% | |
| Mathematical scorecard outcome | | | | 1.55 | | | | |
| Scorecard outcome after REMCO adjustment | | | | 1.4 | | | | |
| Final 2015 bonus [B] | | | | | € (% of base salary) €3,500,000 | (245%) | €2,050,000 | (199%) |

[A] Excluding tax on divestments.

[B] Annual bonus = (base salary x target bonus % x scorecard result), adjusted for individual performance by a factor of 1.2.

LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PLAN VESTING

In 2013, Ben van Beurden and Simon Henry were each granted a conditional award of performance shares under the LTIP. This award was a fixed number of shares for Ben van Beurden being a member of the Executive Committee, but not an Executive Director, at the time. For Simon Henry, this award was based on 240% of his base salary, with a maximum vesting of 480%. The terms of these awards are the same as those applying to the 2015 LTIP awards, other than hydrocarbon production growth being a relative performance measure in the 2013 award, replaced by ROACE growth with effect from the 2014 awards.

At the end of the performance period (January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2015), Shell was ranked fifth among its peer group in terms of TSR (30% weight), fourth in terms of diluted EPS growth on a current cost of supplies basis (30% weight), fifth in terms of hydrocarbon production growth (20% weight) and third in terms of growth in net cash from operating activities (20% weight). REMCO also considered the underlying financial performance of Shell and decided to vest 16% of shares under the LTIP, using no discretion, resulting in 7,670 A shares for Ben van Beurden and 17,557 B shares for Simon Henry. At vesting, these shares (including accrued dividend shares) had a value of €162,681 and €368,967 respectively. The vested shares from the LTIP are subject to a further two-year holding period.

DEFERRED BONUS PLAN VESTING

Simon Henry was granted performance matching shares under the DBP. The performance period was January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2015. Given that the performance condition of the DBP is the same as for the 2013 LTIP, REMCO decided to vest 16% of the performance matching shares under the DBP, resulting in 2,785 B shares for Simon Henry. At vesting, these shares (including accrued dividend shares) had a value of €58,528.

PENSION

The CEO's pension arrangements comprise a defined benefit plan with a maximum pensionable salary of €89,900, and a net pay defined contribution pension plan with an employer contribution of 24% of salary in excess of €89,900, with the option to take cash as an alternative to pension contributions (in either case subject to income tax). The CEO has elected to take his benefit in the form of contributions throughout 2015.

The CFO's pension is in the form of defined benefit plans. See further details on pension arrangements on page 97.

ANNUAL REPORT ON REMUNERATION CONTINUED

SCHEME INTERESTS AWARDED TO EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS IN 2015 (AUDITED)

€

| Scheme interest type | Type of interest awarded | End of performance period | Target award[A] | Potential amount vesting | |
|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | Minimum performance (% of shares awarded)[B] | Maximum performance (% of shares of the target award)[C] |
| LTIP | Performance shares | December 31, 2017 | Ben van Beurden: 180,575 A shares, equivalent to 3.4 x base salary or €4,862,000. Simon Henry: 99,451 B shares, equivalent to 2.7 x base salary or €2,781,000. | 0% | Maximum number of shares vesting is 200% of the number of shares awarded, equivalent to €9,724,000 for Ben van Beurden and €5,562,000 for Simon Henry. |

[A] Awards based on a market price at January 30, 2015 (close of the award date), for A and B shares of €26.93 and £21.05 respectively.

[B] Minimum performance relates to the lowest level of achievement, for which no reward is given.

[C] The equivalent values exclude share price movements and accrued dividend shares.

To determine the appropriate award, REMCO considers the award value to be a market competitive reward for medium-term to long-term outperformance of the business relative to the other oil majors on measures considered key indicators of the delivery of the strategy in the medium to long term.

The measures and weightings applying to LTIP awards made in 2015 were: TSR growth (30%); diluted EPS growth on a current cost of supplies basis (30%); net cash growth from operating activities (20%); and ROACE (20%).

The LTIP will vest on the basis of the relative performance rankings as indicated in the table below.

RELATIVE PERFORMANCE RANKINGS

| Shell's rank against peers on each of the four performance measures | Number of conditional performance shares ultimately awarded, taking into account the weightings of the four performance measures |
|---|--|
| 1st | 2 x initial LTIP award |
| 2nd | 1.5 x initial LTIP award |
| 3rd | 0.8 x initial LTIP award |
| 4th or 5th | Nil |

If the TSR ranking is fourth or fifth, the level of the award that can vest on the basis of the three other measures will be capped at 50% of the maximum.

REMCO retains discretion to adjust the calculated vesting outcome if it believes that this is distorted by circumstances which are unrelated to performance, for example, reporting changes, ranking clustering, mathematical anomalies or corporate events in the comparator group. REMCO will consider using discretion to ensure that remuneration appropriately reflects Shell's performance and may adjust the final vesting outcome of the LTIP.

"At target" performance is equivalent to ranking positions which would, in line with the vesting schedule and TSR underpin, lead to a total of 100% vesting of the initial LTIP award. At maximum performance, 200% of the number of LTIP shares awarded will vest.

To deliver the shares under the LTIP, market-purchased shares are used rather than the issuing of new shares.

STATEMENT OF DIRECTORS' SHAREHOLDING AND SHARE INTERESTS (AUDITED)

REMCO believes that Executive Directors should align their interests with those of shareholders by holding shares in the Company. In a business where it can take many years to reach a final investment decision on a project, and many additional years of development before a facility comes on stream, long-term shareholding properly aligns interests of Executive Directors with those of shareholders.

Shareholding guidelines

The CEO is expected to build a shareholding with a value of 700% of base salary, and other Executive Directors 400% of base salary. Only unfettered shares count. The bonus deferred into shares under the DBP (net of tax) and the vested LTIP (subject to holding requirements) count towards the guidelines. Ben van Beurden has not yet met the required shareholding level. Simon Henry has done so. There are no shareholding guidelines for Non-executive Directors.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS' SHAREHOLDING (AUDITED)

| | Shareholding guideline (% of base salary) | Value of shares counting towards guideline (% of base at December 31, 2015)[A] |
|-----------------|---|--|
| Ben van Beurden | 700% | 98% |
| Simon Henry | 400% | 822% |

[A] Representing the value of Directors' share interests and the estimated after-tax value of DBP shares (not subject to performance conditions).

Directors' scheme interests

The table below shows the aggregate position for Directors' interests under share schemes at December 31. For Ben van Beurden, these relate to awards made to him prior to being appointed a Director. These are A shares for Ben van Beurden and B shares for Simon Henry. During the period from December 31, 2015, to March 9, 2016, scheme interests have changed as a result of the vesting of the 2013 LTIP and DBP awards on March 1, 2016, and the 2016 LTIP and DBP awards made on February 5, 2016, as described on pages 93 and 94 respectively.

| | Share plan interests[A] | | | | | | | | Total | |
|-----------------|---|---------|--|--------|--|--------|--|--------|---------|---------|
| | LTIP subject to performance conditions[B] | | DBP not subject to performance conditions[C] | | DBP subject to performance conditions[D] | | PSP subject to performance conditions[E] | | 2015 | 2014 |
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | | |
| Ben van Beurden | 425,817 | 216,062 | 79,839 | 13,087 | 7,025 | 6,544 | – | 30,289 | 512,681 | 265,982 |
| Simon Henry | 315,122 | 288,957 | 89,291 | 80,734 | 26,420 | 40,367 | – | – | 430,833 | 410,058 |

[A] Includes unvested long-term incentive awards and national dividend shares accrued at December 31. Interests are shown on the basis of the original awards. The shares subject to performance conditions can vest at between 0% and 200%. Dividend shares accumulate each year on an assumed national LTIP/DBP award. Such dividend shares are disclosed and recorded on the basis of the number of shares conditionally awarded but, when an award vests, dividend shares will be awarded only in relation to vested shares as if the vested shares were held from the award date. Shares released during the year are included in the "Directors' share interests" table.

[B] Total number of unvested LTIP shares at December 31, including dividend shares accrued on the original LTIP award.

[C] The number of shares deferred from the bonus (original DBP award) and the dividend shares accrued on these at December 31. Delivery of the original DBP award and the related accrued dividend shares is not subject to performance conditions.

[D] The target number of performance matching shares, which corresponds to the original DBP award. In accordance with the operation of the DBP until 2015, half of the shares from the bonus deferral are matchable with performance matching shares. The actual number of performance matching shares will be determined at vesting on the same basis as the LTIP vesting. DBP no longer attract matching shares with effect from 2015 awards.

[E] Total number of unvested PSP shares at December 31, including dividend shares accrued on the original award.

DIRECTORS' SHARE INTERESTS [A] (AUDITED)

| | January 1, 2015 | | December 31, 2015 | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|
| | A shares | B shares | A shares | B shares |
| Ben van Beurden | 12,289 | – | 28,062 | – |
| Guy Elliott | – | 5,677 | – | 5,777 |
| Euleen Goh | – | – | – | 5,000 |
| Simon Henry | 9,175 | 245,644 | 54,368 | 306,844 |
| Charles O. Holliday | – | 30,000[B] | – | 50,000[B] |
| Gerard Kleisterlee | 5,000 | – | 5,254 | – |
| Jorma Ollila | 25,000 | – | 25,000[C] | – |
| Sir Nigel Sheinwald | – | 1,000 | – | 1,000 |
| Linda G. Stuntz | – | 8,400[D] | – | 12,400[D] |
| Hans Wijers | 5,251 | – | 5,251 | – |
| Patricia A. Woertz | – | 6,000[E] | – | 6,000[E] |
| Gerrit Zalm | 2,026 | – | 2,026 | – |

[A] Includes vested LTIP awards subject to holding conditions. Excludes unvested interests in shares awarded under the LTIP, DBP and PSP.

[B] Held as 15,000 ADSs (RDS.B ADS) at January 1, 2015, and as 25,000 ADSs (RDS.B ADS) at December 31, 2015. Each RDS.B ADS represents two B shares.

[C] Interests at May 19, 2015, when he stood down as a Director.

[D] Held as 4,200 ADSs (RDS.B ADS) at January 1, 2015 and as 6,200 ADSs (RDS.B ADS) at December 31, 2015. Each RDS.B ADS represents two B shares.

[E] Held as 3,000 ADSs (RDS.B ADS). Each RDS.B ADS represents two B shares.

Directors' share interests

The interests (in shares of the Company or calculated equivalents) of the Directors in office during the year, including any interests of their connected persons, are set out in the "Directors' share interests" table.

There were no changes in Directors' share interests during the period from December 31, 2015, to March 9, 2016, except in the case of Ben van Beurden whose interests increased by 3,681 A shares and Simon Henry whose interests increased by 26,935 B shares, resulting from the release of the 2013 LTIP and DBP awards, which vested on March 1, 2016, Guy Elliott whose interests increased by 48 B shares, Euleen Goh whose interests increased by 7,895 B shares and Sir Nigel Sheinwald whose interests increased by 124 B shares.

At March 9, 2016, the Directors and Senior Management (pages 62-65) of the Company beneficially owned, individually and in aggregate (including shares under option), less than 1% of the total shares of each class of the Company shares outstanding.

DILUTION

In any 10-year period, no more than 5% of the issued Ordinary share capital of the Company may be issued or issuable under executive (discretionary) share plans adopted by the Company. To date, no shareholder dilution has resulted from these plans, although it is permitted under the rules of the plans subject to these limits.

PAYMENTS TO PAST DIRECTORS (AUDITED)

On March 1, 2016, Peter Voser's 2013 LTIP and DBP awards vested at 16%. The value at vesting of the LTIP shares was €529,720, and the value at vesting of the performance matching DBP shares was €133,241.

Payments below €5,000 are not reported as they are considered de minimis below this level.

ANNUAL REPORT ON REMUNERATION CONTINUED


TSR PERFORMANCE AND CEO PAY

Performance graphs

The graphs below compare the TSR performance of the Company over the past seven financial years with that of the companies comprising the Euronext 100 and the FTSE 100 share indices. The Board regards these indices as appropriate broad market equity indices for comparison, as they are the leading market indices in the Company's home markets.


HISTORICAL TSR PERFORMANCE (RDSA)

growth in the value of a hypothetical €100 holding over seven years
Euronext 100 comparison based on 30 trading day average values



HISTORICAL TSR PERFORMANCE (RDSB)

growth in the value of a hypothetical £100 holding over seven years
FTSE 100 comparison based on 30 trading day average values



CEO pay outcomes

The following table sets out the single total figure of remuneration, and the annual bonus payout and long-term incentive (LTI) vesting rates compared with the respective maximum opportunity, for the CEO for the last seven years.

CEO PAY OUTCOMES

| Year | CEO | Single total figure of remuneration (€000) | Annual bonus payout against maximum opportunity | LTI vesting rates against maximum opportunity |
|------|---------------------|--|---|---|
| 2015 | Ben van Beurden | 5,576 | 98% | 8% |
| 2014 | Ben van Beurden | 24,198 | 94% | 49% |
| 2013 | Peter Voser | 8,456 | 44% | 30% |
| 2012 | Peter Voser | 18,246 | 83% | 88% |
| 2011 | Peter Voser | 9,941 | 90% | 30% |
| 2010 | Peter Voser | 10,611 | 100% | 75% |
| 2009 | Peter Voser | 6,228 | 50% | 0% |
| 2009 | Jeroen van der Veer | 3,748 | 66% | 0% |

Peter Voser stood down on December 31, 2013, and was succeeded by Ben van Beurden. Ben van Beurden's single figure for 2014 was impacted by the increase in pension accrual calculated under the UK reporting regulations and tax equalisation as a result of his promotion and prior assignment to the UK. Jeroen van der Veer stood down on July 1, 2009, and Peter Voser took over from that date. Only remuneration relating to their position as CEO is included.

CHANGE IN REMUNERATION OF CEO AND EMPLOYEES FROM 2014 TO 2015

The CEO data compares the remuneration of Ben van Beurden for 2015 with 2014. The comparator group consists of local employees in the Netherlands, the UK and the USA. This is considered to be a suitable employee comparator group, because: these are countries with a significant Shell employee base; a large proportion of senior managers come from these countries; and REMCO considers remuneration levels in these countries when setting base salaries for Executive Directors.

Taxable benefits are those that align with the definition of taxable benefits applying in the respective country. In line with the "Single total figure of remuneration for Executive Directors" table, the annual bonus is included in the year in which it was earned.

CHANGE IN REMUNERATION OF CEO AND EMPLOYEES

| | CEO | Employees |
|------------------|-------|-----------|
| Salaries | 2.1% | 3.7% |
| Taxable benefits | 18.5% | 5.7% |
| Annual bonus | 6.1% | -1.7% |

RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF SPEND ON PAY

Distributions to shareholders by way of dividends and share buybacks and remuneration paid to or receivable by employees for the last five years are set out below, together with annual percentage changes.

| Year | Dividends and share buybacks[A] | | Spend on pay (all employees)[B] | |
|------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| | \$ billion | Annual change | \$ billion | Annual change |
| 2015 | 12.0 | -18% | 17.1 | 5% |
| 2014 | 14.6 | -14% | 16.4 | 0% |
| 2013 | 17.1 | 35% | 16.4 | 9% |
| 2012 | 12.7 | 9% | 15.1 | 3% |
| 2011 | 11.6 | 14% | 14.6 | 4% |

[A] Dividends paid, which includes the dividends settled in shares via our Scrip Dividend Programme, and repurchases of shares as reported in the "Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity".

[B] Employee expense as reported in Note 26 to the "Consolidated Financial Statements".

Spend on pay can be compared with the major costs associated with generating income by referring to the "Consolidated Statement of Income". Over the last five years, the average spend on pay was 4% of the major costs of generating income. These costs are considered to be the sum of: purchases; production and manufacturing expenses; selling, distribution and administrative expenses; research and development; exploration; and depreciation, depletion and amortisation.

TOTAL PENSION ENTITLEMENTS (AUDITED)

During 2015, Ben van Beurden and Simon Henry accrued retirement benefits under defined benefit plans. The pension accrued under these plans at December 31, 2015, is set out below. The exchange rates used for conversion into euros and dollars are at December 31, 2015.

| ACCRUED PENSION (AUDITED) | THOUSAND | | |
|---------------------------|----------|--------|---------|
| | Local | € | \$ |
| Ben van Beurden | €1,174 | €1,174 | \$1,282 |
| Simon Henry | £472 | €641 | \$700 |

The age at which Ben van Beurden and Simon Henry can receive any pension benefit without actuarial reduction is 67 and 60 respectively. Any pension benefits on early retirement are reduced using actuarial factors to reflect early payment. No payments were made in 2015 regarding early retirement or in lieu of retirement benefits.

Ben van Beurden

Ben van Beurden is a member of the "Stichting Shell Pensioen Fonds", the pension plan for Shell employees in the Netherlands who joined before July 2013 that provides benefits in defined benefit form. Ben van Beurden is also a member of the Shell net defined contribution pension plan in the Netherlands with effect from January 1, 2015.

Simon Henry

Simon Henry is a member of the Shell Overseas Contributory Pension Fund (SOCPF) and the Shell Contributory Pension Fund (SCPF), with both these funded pension plans providing benefits in defined benefit form. The SOCPF provides benefits in respect of his periods of employment outside the UK, while the SCPF provides benefits in respect of his periods of employment in the UK. Simon Henry has elected to have his benefits from the SCPF restricted to the UK lifetime allowance with any excess provided from an unfunded arrangement, the Shell Supplementary Pension Plan.

EXTERNAL APPOINTMENTS

The Board considers external appointments to be valuable in broadening Executive Directors' knowledge and experience. The number of outside directorships is generally limited to one. The Board must explicitly approve such appointments. Executive Directors are allowed to retain any cash or share-based compensation they receive from such external board directorships.

Simon Henry was appointed a Non-executive Director of Lloyds Banking Group plc with effect from June 2014. His fee in 2015 was £105,000.

STATEMENT OF VOTING AT 2015 AGM

The Company's 2015 AGM was held on May 19, 2015, in the Netherlands. The results of the polls in respect of Directors' remuneration were as follows:

| APPROVAL OF DIRECTORS' REMUNERATION REPORT | | |
|--|------------------|------------|
| Votes | Number | Percentage |
| For | 3,298,794,355 | 96.16% |
| Against | 131,759,717 | 3.84% |
| Total cast | 3,430,554,072[A] | 100.00% |
| Withheld [B] | 44,251,500 | |

[A] Representing 54.15% of issued share capital.

[B] A vote "withheld" is not a vote under English law and is not counted in the calculation of the proportion of the votes "for" and "against" a resolution.

The results of the polls in respect of the Directors' Remuneration Policy approved at the 2014 AGM were as follows:

| APPROVAL OF DIRECTORS' REMUNERATION POLICY | | |
|--|------------------|------------|
| Votes | Number | Percentage |
| For | 3,167,299,751 | 92.90% |
| Against | 242,225,203 | 7.10% |
| Total cast | 3,409,524,954[A] | 100.00% |
| Withheld [B] | 63,756,314 | |

[A] Representing 53.47% of issued share capital.

[B] A vote "withheld" is not a vote under English law and is not counted in the calculation of the proportion of the votes "for" and "against" a resolution.

DIRECTORS' CONTRACTS AND LETTERS OF APPOINTMENT

Executive Directors have employment contracts for an indefinite period. Non-executive Directors, including the Chairman, do not have an employment contract but letters of appointment. Details of Executive Directors' employment contracts can be found in the Directors' Remuneration Policy on page 104. Further details of Non-executive Director terms of appointment can be found in the "Directors' Report" on page 67 and the "Corporate governance" report on page 70.

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS AND SENIOR MANAGEMENT

During the year ended December 31, 2015, Shell paid and/or accrued compensation totalling \$44 million (2014: \$71 million) to Directors and Senior Management for services in all capacities while serving as a Director or member of Senior Management, including \$4 million (2014: \$4 million) accrued to provide pension, retirement and similar benefits. The total for 2014 includes costs incurred in respect of additional employee levies in the Netherlands and termination and related amounts. The amounts stated are those recognised in Shell's income on an IFRS basis. Personal loans or guarantees were not provided to Directors or Senior Management. See Note 27 to the "Consolidated Financial Statements".

DIRECTORS' REMUNERATION POLICY

This section describes the Directors' Remuneration Policy as published in the 2013 Directors' Remuneration Report which, following shareholder approval at the 2014 AGM, is effective from January 1, 2015, and will remain effective until the 2017 AGM, unless a further policy is proposed by the Company and approved by shareholders in the meantime.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS

EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS' REMUNERATION POLICY TABLE

| Element | Purpose and link to strategy | Maximum opportunity | Operation and performance measurement |
|--|---|--|---|
| Base salary and pensionable base salary | <p>Rewards day-to-day leadership and strategic direction.</p> <p>Competitively positioned recognising the scope and complexity of the role to attract and retain Executive Directors.</p> | <p>As it is required to state a maximum base salary, we have set a maximum of €2,000,000, for both base salary and pensionable base salary, in the context of current peer group base salary levels. Within this limit, increases will be assessed annually based on suitable competitive pay positioning.</p> | <p>Base salary and pensionable base salary are reviewed annually with salary adjustments effective from January 1 each year.</p> <p>In making salary determinations, the Remuneration Committee (REMCO) will consider:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ the market positioning of the Executive Directors' compensation packages; ■ the different tenure and experience each Executive Director has in their role; ■ changes in the scope and responsibility of the Executive Director's role; ■ the planned average salary increase for other employees across three major countries – the Netherlands, the UK and the USA; ■ the impact of salary increases on pension benefits and other elements of the package; and ■ Shell's performance and the Executive Directors' individual performance. |
| Benefits | <p>Provides market-competitive benefits in order to attract and retain international candidates for the Executive Director roles, enabling them to focus on delivering performance.</p> | <p>The maximum opportunity is the cost to the Company of providing the relevant benefit as specified in the relevant local or global Company policies. These costs can vary.</p> | <p>Benefits that Executive Directors typically receive include car allowances and transport to and from home and office, risk benefits (for example ill-health, disability or death-in-service), as well as employer contributions to insurance plans (such as medical). Precise benefits will depend on the Executive Director's specific circumstances such as nationality, country of residence, length of service, and family status. Mobility policies for relocation and children's education may apply, as may tax equalisation related to expatriate employment prior to Board appointment, or in other limited circumstances to offset double taxation. REMCO may adjust the range and scope of the benefits offered in the context of developments in relevant countries.</p> <p>In relation to the maximum opportunity, and by way of example, maximum relocation and tax equalisation settlement benefits will be the grossed-up cost of meeting the specific Executive Director's actual liability incurred as a result of appointment and any associated relocation, and will depend on a variety of factors such as length of service, salary increase on appointment and the tax regime in place at the time.</p> |

EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS' REMUNERATION POLICY TABLE (CONTINUED)

| Element | Purpose and link to strategy | Maximum opportunity | Operation and performance measurement |
|---|--|---|--|
| Annual bonus and Deferred Bonus Plan (DBP) | <p>Rewards performance against a scorecard of short-term strategic targets and individual achievement.</p> <p>To reinforce alignment with shareholder interests, 50% is deferred and the other 50% is delivered in cash. The deferred bonus is released in the form of shares after a deferral period of three years, as well as dividend shares accrued over the deferral period. Apart from dividend shares, no additional DBP shares are awarded.</p> | <p>Target levels (as a percentage of base salary):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Chief Executive Officer: 150% ■ Other Executive Directors: 120% <p>Maximum bonus (as a percentage of base salary):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Chief Executive Officer: 250% ■ Other Executive Directors: 240% | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ The bonus is determined by reference to performance from January 1 to December 31 each year. ■ Annual bonus = base salary x target bonus % x scorecard result (0–2); adjusted for individual performance with a 0–1.2 multiplier. ■ Taking the Shell Business Plan into consideration, each year the Board agrees the scorecard targets and weightings which support the delivery of the strategy. Measures are related to financial performance, operational excellence and sustainable development. Indicative weightings are 30%, 50% and 20% respectively. REMCO retains the ability to adjust performance measure targets and weightings year by year within the overall target and maximum payouts approved in the policy. Additionally, stretching individual targets are set. ■ Scorecard targets will be disclosed retrospectively, with the timing of any disclosure dependent on the commercial sensitivity of the target. ■ Individual performance is reflected by adjusting the bonus outcome. Upward adjustment is capped at 20% and subject to the overall maximum bonus cap. There is no limit to downward adjustment. ■ For the portion of the bonus deferred into shares, additional shares may be released representing the value of dividends payable on the vested shares, as if these had been owned from award date ("dividend shares"). ■ The annual bonus and DBP have malus and clawback provisions. ■ There are no prescribed thresholds or minimum levels of performance that equate to a prescribed payment under the policy and this structure can result in no bonus payment being made. |
| Long-term Incentive Plan (LTIP) | <p>Rewards medium- to long-term outperformance of the business relative to other oil majors on measures which are selected because they are seen as key outcomes of the delivery of the strategy.</p> | <p>Awards may be made up to a value of 400% of base salary.</p> <p>Awards may vest at up to 200% of the shares originally awarded, plus dividends.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Award levels are determined annually by REMCO and are set within the maximum approved in the policy. ■ Awards may vest on the basis described in the notes below, between 0% and 200% of the initial award level depending on Shell's performance against a comparator group. ■ Although it is possible for no LTIP shares to vest, on current measures and weightings, 16% of the initial LTIP award would vest if there was a positive vesting outcome in respect of the lowest-weighted measure. ■ Performance is assessed over a three-year period based on relative growth of the following: total shareholder return (TSR)(30%), earnings per share (EPS) on a current cost of supplies basis (30%), return on average capital employed (ROACE)(20%) and net cash from operating activities (20%). Each measure can vest independently, but if the TSR measure does not result in vesting, then the total vesting level will be capped at 50% of the maximum payout. ■ REMCO may adjust or change the LTIP measures, targets and weightings to ensure continued alignment with Shell's strategy. ■ Additional shares are released representing the value of dividends payable on the vested shares, as if these had been owned from the award date. ■ Following payment of taxes, vested shares from LTIP awards must be held for a further two years to align with the strategic focus. ■ LTIP shares are subject to malus and clawback. |

DIRECTORS' REMUNERATION POLICY CONTINUED

EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS' REMUNERATION POLICY TABLE (CONTINUED)

| Element | Purpose and link to strategy | Maximum opportunity | Operation and performance measurement |
|---------------------|--|---|--|
| Pensions | <p>Provides a competitive retirement provision in line with the individual's base country benefits policy, to attract and retain Executive Directors.</p> <p>Pensions provide a stable income after Shell employment, allowing Executive Directors to focus on delivering performance.</p> | <p>By reference to pensionable base salary, pension accrual and contribution rates and other pensionable elements, as determined by the rules of the base country pension plan of which the Executive Director is a member.</p> | <p>Executive Directors' retirement benefits are maintained in their base country pension arrangements. Only base salary is pensionable, unless country plan regulations specify otherwise. The rules of the relevant plans detail the pension benefits which members can receive on retirement (including on ill-health), death or leaving service. REMCO retains the right to amend the form of any Executive Director's pension arrangements in response to changes in legislation, so as to ensure that the original objective of this element of remuneration is preserved.</p> <p>Pensionable base salaries are reviewed annually. For Executive Directors employed outside of their base country, euro base salaries are translated into their home currencies for pension plan purposes. Once their salaries are denominated in base country currency, they are maintained in line with euro base salary increases taking into account exchange rate fluctuations and other factors as determined by REMCO.</p> |
| Shareholding | <p>Aligns interests of Executive Directors with those of shareholders.</p> | <p>Shareholding (% of base salary):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Chief Executive Officer: 700% ■ Other Executive Directors: 400% | <p>Executive Directors are expected to build up their shareholding to the required level over a period of five years from appointment and, once reached, to maintain this level for the full period of their appointment. The intention is for the shareholding guideline to be reached through retention of vested shares from share plans. REMCO will monitor individual progress and retains the ability to adjust the guideline in special circumstances on an individual basis.</p> |

Notes to the Executive Directors' remuneration policy table

BENEFITS

Executive Directors are eligible to receive the standard benefits and allowances provided to employees in their country of employment. Personal loans or guarantees are not provided to Executive Directors. Those working outside of their base country are also eligible to receive specific benefits such as relocation support and school fees, consistent with Shell's mobility policies. Tax gross-up/tax equalisation may also apply in limited circumstances to offset double taxation. Where the Executive Director was on an international assignment prior to board appointment, there may be payments on behalf of the Executive Director in subsequent years in the form of tax equalisation settlements. Post-retirement benefits may be applicable in certain countries.

Apart from the benefits described above, Executive Directors and Senior Management have access to a chauffeured car, the provision of home security, and occasional business-required spouse travel, which are generally considered legitimate business expenses rather than components of remuneration. Where these would be taxable in the UK, their values are included as taxable benefits in the single total figure of remuneration table.

ANNUAL BONUS AND DEFERRED BONUS PLAN

REMCO uses the annual bonus to focus on short-term scorecard targets that the Board agrees each year as part of Shell's Business Plan, and on individual performance against personal targets. Shell considers upfront disclosure of these targets in a meaningful manner to be commercially sensitive. The scorecard targets will be retrospectively disclosed in a future Directors' Remuneration Report, when no longer deemed to be commercially sensitive.

A scorecard with financial performance, operational excellence and sustainable development targets represents the link to business results. For 2015, the scorecard measures will consist of cash flow (30% weight), operational excellence (50% weight) and sustainable development (20% weight). Annual targets and weightings for each metric are set and approved by REMCO each year. The scorecard targets are stretching but realistic.

2015 ANNUAL BONUS SCORECARD MEASURES FOR EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS

| 30% weight | 50% weight |
|--|---|
| <p>Cash flow Cash generated from operations that factors in the impact of commodity price fluctuations as well as business performance so that the Executive Directors, like shareholders, share the effects of both.</p> | <p>Operational excellence</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Project delivery: indicator of Shell's ability to deliver projects on-stream, on time, and on budget. ■ Upstream and Downstream indicators of the full and effective use of resources – both facilities and people. |
| <p>20% weight</p> | |
| <p>Sustainable development Equally weighted indicators of safety and environmental performance.</p> | |

For years following 2015, the framework for the annual bonus scorecard, including metrics and weightings, will be reviewed and determined by REMCO and can be adjusted accordingly.


Performance is assessed over each calendar year. The outcome is usually known early in the following year, and REMCO translates this into a score of between zero and two. REMCO retains the right to exercise its judgement to adjust the mathematical bonus scorecard outcome to ensure that the final bonus scorecard outcome for Executive Directors reflects other aspects of Shell's performance which REMCO deems appropriate for the reported year.

REMCO strengthens the Executive Directors' individual accountability by increasing or decreasing their annual bonuses to take account of how well they have delivered against their individual performance targets. These targets typically relate to qualitative differentiators not already covered by the scorecard; for example, stakeholder management, portfolio development, organisational leadership and brand value. A positive individual adjustment corresponds with personal impact beyond expectations, and a negative adjustment would mean expectations were not completely met. Upward adjustment for individual performance is

capped at a multiple of 1.2 of the bonus, subject to the overall bonus maximum. There is no limit to the downward adjustment.

To reinforce alignment with shareholder interests, 50% of the annual bonus is deferred into the DBP and the other 50% is delivered in cash. The deferred bonus is released in the form of shares after a deferral period of three years along with dividend shares accrued over the deferral period.

TIMELINE FOR DEFERRED BONUS PLAN



LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PLAN

The LTIP focuses on performance relative to other oil majors.

To recognise the removal of the performance matching shares from the DBP, REMCO currently envisages 2015 LTIP awards will have a target value of 340% and 270% of base salary for the Chief Executive Officer and other Executive Directors respectively. This is within the policy limit which allows REMCO to make awards up to 400% of base salary.

The LTIP grants share-based awards which may vest by reference to Shell's performance against predefined measures over a three-year performance period. For 2015, these measures will consist of TSR, EPS growth on a current cost of supplies (CCS) basis, ROACE growth and net cash growth from operating activities. REMCO will regularly review the performance metrics and weightings. REMCO retains the right to adjust the metrics and/or weightings, so as to ensure that the LTIP continues to serve its intended purpose.

2015 LONG-TERM INCENTIVE MEASURES FOR EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS

| | |
|--|--|
| 30% weight | 20% weight |
| TSR Assessment of actual wealth created for shareholders. | Return on average capital employed (ROACE) growth Indicator of capital discipline. |
| 30% weight | 20% weight |
| EPS growth (on a CCS basis) [A] Indicator of the quality of revenue growth and cost management that underpins TSR. | Net cash growth from operating activities Source of dividends and capital expenditure commitments which support sustainable growth based on portfolio and cost management. |

[A] Earnings per share on a CCS basis takes into account the changes in the cost of supplies and thereby enables a consistent comparison with other oil majors.

For simplicity, we measure and rank growth based on the data points at the beginning of the three-year performance period relative to the data points at the end of the period, using publicly reported data.

When comparing performance against four peer companies, the relative performance ranking is as indicated in the table below. The LTIP comparator group currently consists of BP, Chevron, ExxonMobil and Total. REMCO retains the right to adjust the comparator group, to ensure that it remains appropriate. If this leads to a change in the number of companies,

the ranking framework (as set out below) may be adjusted to ensure that the LTIP continues to meet its intended purpose and level of challenge.

RELATIVE PERFORMANCE RANKINGS

| Shell's rank against peers on each of the four performance measures | Number of conditional performance shares ultimately awarded, taking into account the weightings of the four performance measures |
|---|--|
| 1st | 2 x initial LTIP award |
| 2nd | 1.5 x initial LTIP award |
| 3rd | 0.8 x initial LTIP award |
| 4th or 5th | Nil |

TSR underpin


If the TSR ranking is fourth or fifth, the level of the award that can vest on the basis of the three other measures will be capped at 50% of the maximum payout for the LTIP.

Vesting

REMCO retains discretion to adjust the calculated vesting outcome if it believes that this is distorted by circumstances which are unrelated to performance, for example, reporting changes, ranking clustering, mathematical anomalies or corporate events in the comparator group. Upward adjustment would only be considered after consultation with major shareholders. An explanation of any such adjustment would be set out in the relevant Directors' Remuneration Report.

Performance is assessed over a three-year period. Vested shares from the LTIP are subject to a further two-year holding period post-vesting.

TIMELINE FOR LTIP SHARE AWARDS



TREATMENT OF OUTSTANDING AWARDS

Incentive awards granted prior to the approval and implementation of this policy and/or prior to an individual becoming an Executive Director will continue to vest and be delivered in accordance with the terms of the original award even if this is not consistent with the terms of this policy.

At March 12, 2014, this applies to Executive Directors Ben van Beurden and Simon Henry, and to former Directors Peter Voser, Malcolm Brinded and Jeroen van der Veer. Jeroen van der Veer and Peter Voser have share options which can be exercised until May 6, 2014, and November 4, 2014, respectively. Ben van Beurden has outstanding awards under the Performance Share Plan (PSP), LTIP and DBP. Simon Henry, Peter Voser and Malcolm Brinded have outstanding awards under the LTIP and DBP. Some PSP performance measures and their relative weightings differ from those applicable to the LTIP and DBP. In line with the terms of the DBP awards granted between 2012 and 2014, performance matching shares may vest.

PENSIONS

Executive Directors' pensions are maintained in their base country, as are those of other employees working internationally.

Pension accruals are determined by the plan rules of the base country pension plan of which the Executive Director is a member. These are not subject to performance conditions.

DIRECTORS' REMUNERATION POLICY CONTINUED

SHAREHOLDING

The Chief Executive Officer is expected to build up a shareholding of seven times his base salary over five years from appointment. Other Executive Directors are expected to build up a shareholding of four times their base salary over the same period. In the event of an increase to the guideline multiple of salary, for every additional multiple of salary required, the director will have one extra year to reach the increased guideline, subject to a maximum of five years from the date of the change.

The shareholding levels are expected to be achieved through retention of vested LTIP and DBP shares. Executive Directors are expected to maintain the shareholding level for the full period of their appointment.

Differences for Executive Directors from other employees

The salary for each Executive Director is determined based on the indicators in the "Executive Directors' remuneration policy table", which reflect the international nature of the Executive Directors' labour market. The salary for other employees is normally set on a country basis.

Executive Directors are eligible to receive the standard benefits and allowances provided to staff in their country of employment. The provisions which are not generally available for other employees are described in the second paragraph of "Benefits".

The methodology used for determining the annual bonus for Executive Directors is broadly consistent with the approach to determining annual bonuses for Shell employees generally. However, the scorecard used for the majority of Shell staff differs in the make-up and weighting of the metrics used. Like Executive Directors, members of Senior Management are required to defer part of their annual bonus in DBP shares.

Executive Directors are not eligible to receive new awards under other employee share plans although awards previously granted will continue to vest in accordance with the terms of the original award. Selected employees participate in the PSP. The operation of the PSP is similar to the LTIP, but currently differs, for example, in some performance measures and their relative weightings.

There are no special pension arrangements exclusive to Executive Directors.

Illustration of potential remuneration outcomes

The scenario charts below represent estimates under three scenarios ("Minimum", "At target", and "Maximum") of the potential remuneration

outcomes for each Executive Director resulting from the application of 2014 base salaries to awards, anticipated to be made in 2015 in accordance with the policy.

The scenario charts are based on future policy award levels effective January 1, 2015, combined with projected single total figures of remuneration for Ben van Beurden and Simon Henry. The pay scenarios are forward-looking and only serve to illustrate the future policy. The scenarios are based on current incumbents and thus depict the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO) roles.

These scenarios have been prepared on the following basis:

FIXED PAY

In all three scenarios fixed pay includes base salaries effective January 1, 2014, as no determinations have yet been made as to any 2015 salary increase. As the new Chief Executive Officer is not included in the table showing the 2012 and 2013 single total figure of remuneration for Executive Directors, Ben van Beurden's benefits and pension values are estimates for a typical year. For the Chief Financial Officer pay scenarios, benefits and pension have been valued as per the values included in that table.

ANNUAL INCENTIVE


The "Minimum" scenario assumes that Shell's performance is such that no bonus is payable. The "At target" scenario assumes Shell's performance results in a bonus equal to the target bonus of 150% of base salary for the Chief Executive Officer and 120% for the Chief Financial Officer. The "Maximum" scenario assumes Shell's performance results in the maximum bonus payment of 250% of base salary for the Chief Executive Officer and 240% for the Chief Financial Officer. For the portion of the bonus deferred in DBP shares, the scenarios assume no share price movement and exclude dividend accrual, although dividend accrual during the deferral period is a feature of the DBP.

LONG-TERM INCENTIVE

The "Minimum" scenario assumes that no LTIP awards vest. The "At target" scenario assumes the LTIP awards vest at target performance. For 2015, the target LTIP awards are illustrated as 340% of base salary for the Chief Executive Officer and 270% for the Chief Financial Officer. The "Maximum" scenario for both assumes that the LTIP awards vest at the maximum, which for the 2015 awards is 200% of the shares originally awarded. The scenarios assume no share price movement and exclude dividend accrual, although dividend accrual during the performance period is a feature of the LTIP.


CEO PAY SCENARIOS

€ million



CFO PAY SCENARIOS

€ million



NON-EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS

NON-EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS' REMUNERATION POLICY TABLE

| Fee structure | Approach to setting fees | Other remuneration |
|---|---|--|
| <p>Non-executive Directors (NEDs) receive a fixed annual fee for their directorship. The size of the fee will differ based on the position on the Board: Chairman of the Board fee or standard Non-executive Director fee.</p> <p>Additional annual fee(s) are payable to any director who serves as Senior Independent Director, a Board committee chairman, or a Board committee member.</p> <p>A NED receives either a chairman or member fee for each committee. This means that a chairman of a committee does not receive both fees.</p> <p>NEDs receive an additional fee of €5,000 for any Board meeting involving intercontinental travel – except for one meeting a year held in a location other than The Hague.</p> | <p>The Chairman's fee is determined by REMCO. The Board determines the fees payable to NEDs. The maximum aggregate annual fees will be within the limit specified by the Articles of Association and in accordance with the NEDs' responsibilities and time commitments.</p> <p>The Board reviews NED fees periodically to ensure that they are aligned with those of other major listed companies.</p> | <p>Business expenses incurred in respect of the performance of their duties as a NED will be paid or reimbursed by Shell. Such expenses could include transport between home and office and occasional business-required spouse travel. Where required, the Chairman is offered Shell-provided accommodation in The Hague. REMCO has the discretion to offer other benefits to the Chairman as appropriate to his circumstances. Where business expenses or benefits create a personal tax liability to the director, Shell may cover the associated tax.</p> <p>The Chairman and the other NEDs cannot receive awards under any incentive or performance-based remuneration plans, and personal loans or guarantees are not granted to them.</p> <p>NEDs do not accrue any retirement benefits as a result of their non-executive directorships with Shell.</p> |

MALUS AND CLAWBACK

Incentive awards may be made subject to adjustment events. At the discretion of REMCO, such an award may be adjusted before delivery (malus) or reclaimed after delivery (clawback) if an adjustment event occurs. Adjustment events will be specified in incentive award documentation and it is intended that they will, for example, relate to restatement of financial results due to: non-compliance with a financial reporting requirement; or misconduct by an Executive Director or misconduct through his direction or non-direction. REMCO retains the right to alter the list of adjustment events in respect of future awards.

In addition, REMCO will retain discretion in assuring itself that there is satisfactory underlying performance before releasing any variable pay to Executive Directors and may withhold all or some of the bonus or shares awarded if it considers that the underlying performance (financial, environmental, safety or other) of Shell is inadequate.

RECRUITMENT

Executive Directors

REMCO determines the remuneration package for a new Executive Director appointment. This appointment may involve external or internal recruitment or reflect a change in role of a current Executive Director. The remuneration package will include: salary, benefits, annual bonus, long-term incentives and pension benefits. If considered appropriate, it may also include compensation for the forfeiture of awards or other entitlements from a previous employer as well as recruitment incentives.

When determining remuneration packages for new Executive Directors, REMCO will seek a balanced outcome which allows Shell to:

- attract and motivate candidates of the right quality;
- take into account the individual's current remuneration package and other contractual entitlements;
- seek a competitive pay position relative to our comparator group, without overpaying;
- provide an incentive to join Shell and encourage relocation if required; and
- honour entitlements (for example, variable remuneration) of internal candidates before their promotion to the Board.

REMCO will follow the approach set out below when determining the remuneration package for a new Executive Director.

ONGOING REMUNERATION

The salary, benefits, annual bonus, long-term incentives and pension benefits will be positioned and delivered within the framework of the Executive Directors' remuneration policy and its stated maximum award and vesting levels.

COMPENSATION FOR THE FORFEIT OF ANY AWARDS UNDER VARIABLE REMUNERATION ARRANGEMENTS

To facilitate external recruitment, one-off compensation in consideration for forfeited awards under variable remuneration arrangements entered into with a previous employer may be required in addition to the ongoing annual remuneration package. REMCO will use its judgement to determine the appropriate level of compensation by matching the value of any lost awards under variable remuneration arrangements with the candidate's previous employer. This compensation may take the form of a one-off cash payment or an additional award under the LTIP. The compensation can alternatively be based on a newly created long-term incentive plan arrangement where the only participant is the new director. The maximum for any such award is an amount equal to the value of the forfeited variable remuneration awards, as assessed by REMCO. Consideration will be given to appropriate performance conditions and clawback arrangements.

REPLACEMENT OF FORFEITED ENTITLEMENTS OTHER THAN VARIABLE REMUNERATION

There may also be a need to compensate a new Executive Director in respect of forfeited entitlements other than variable remuneration. This could include, for example, pension or contractual entitlements, or other benefits. On recruitment, these entitlements may be replicated within the Executive Directors' remuneration policy or valued by REMCO and compensated in cash. In cases of internal promotion to the Board, any commitments made which cannot be effectively replaced under the policy may, at REMCO's discretion, continue to be honoured.

DIRECTORS' REMUNERATION POLICY CONTINUED

EXCEPTIONAL RECRUITMENT INCENTIVE

Apart from the ongoing annual package and any compensation in respect of the replacement of forfeited entitlements, there may be circumstances in which REMCO needs to offer a one-off recruitment incentive to ensure the right external candidate is attracted. The necessity and level of this incentive will depend on the individual's circumstances.

The maximum available for this incentive would be one times the LTIP award level, subject to the limits set out in the "Executive Directors' remuneration policy table".

Non-executive Directors

REMCO's approach to setting the remuneration package for NEDs is to offer fee levels and specific benefits (where appropriate) in line with the "Non-executive Directors' remuneration policy table" and subject to the Articles of Association. NEDs are not offered variable remuneration or retention awards.

When determining the benefits for a new Chairman, the individual circumstances of the future Chairman will be taken into account.

SERVICE CONTRACTS

Executive Directors have employment contracts for an indefinite period. Non-executive Directors, including the Chairman, do not have an employment contract but letters of appointment. Executive Directors' employment contracts and NEDs' letters of appointment are available for inspection at the Annual General Meeting (AGM) or on request. For further details on appointment and re-appointment of Directors, refer to the "Directors' Report".

END OF EMPLOYMENT

Executive Directors

NOTICE PERIODS

Executive Directors' employment contracts are governed by Dutch employment law. This choice was made because mandatory provisions of Dutch employment law apply even if a foreign law has been specified to govern a contract. Employment terms are consistent with those of other Shell staff with Dutch employment contracts. The contracts can end by notice of either party (one month for an employee and up to a maximum of four months for the employer) or automatically at retirement. Under Dutch law, termination payments are not linked to the contract's notice period.

OUTSTANDING ENTITLEMENTS

In cases of resignation or dismissal, fixed remuneration (base salary, benefits, and employer pension contributions) will cease on the last day of employment, variable remuneration elements will generally lapse and the director is not eligible for loss-of-office compensation.

The information below generally applies to termination of employment by Shell giving notice, by mutual agreement, or in situations where the employment terminates because of retirement with Shell consent at a date other than the normal retirement date, redundancy or in other similar circumstances at REMCO's discretion.

For Executive Directors appointed prior to 2011, REMCO may offer compensation for losses resulting from termination of employment of up to one times annual pay (base salary plus target bonus). For Executive

Directors appointed from 2011 onwards, the employment contracts include a cap on any payments resulting from loss of employment of one times annual pay (base salary plus target bonus) and include a reference to the Executive Directors' duty to seek alternative employment and thereby mitigate their loss. For mitigation purposes, the delivery of compensation for loss of office payments could be phased. This level of termination payments was part of a number of policy changes agreed with shareholders in 2010, and seeks to balance governance expectations and end-of-employment practice in the Netherlands.

REMCO may adjust the termination payment for any situation where a full payment is inappropriate, taking into consideration applicable law, corporate governance provisions and the best interests of the Company and shareholders as a whole.

Base salary, benefits and employer pension contributions will cease to accrue on the last day of employment. Any annual bonus in the year of departure is prorated for service. Dependant on the timing of the departure, REMCO may in determining the final bonus payment consider the latest business scorecard position or defer payment until the full-year scorecard result is known. Outstanding long-term incentive awards will generally survive the end of employment and will remain subject to the same vesting performance conditions, and malus and clawback provisions, as if the director had remained in employment. If the participant dies before the end of the performance period, the award will vest at the target level on the date of death. In case of death after the end of the performance period, the award will continue to vest as described by this Directors' Remuneration Policy.

Because DBP shares represent the bonus which a participant has already earned and carry no further performance conditions, DBP shares will be released at the conclusion of the normal three-year deferral period and no proration will apply.

LTIP shares awarded from 2015 onwards will be prorated on a monthly basis, by reference to the Director's service within the performance period. Outstanding LTIP awards made before 2015 are prorated on an annual basis. The prorated awards may vest subject to the satisfaction of performance conditions.

Non-executive Directors

No payments for loss of office will be made to NEDs.

CONSIDERATION OF OVERALL PAY AND EMPLOYMENT CONDITIONS

When setting the remuneration policy for Directors' remuneration, no specific employee groups were consulted nor were any remuneration comparison measurements used to compare overall pay and employment conditions of all Shell employees with those of the Directors. However, pay and employment conditions of the wider Shell employee population were taken into account by adhering to the same performance, rewards and benefits philosophy for the Directors, as well as overall benchmarking principles. Furthermore, any potential differences from other employees (see "Differences for Executive Directors from other employees") were taken into account when providing REMCO with advice in the formation of this Directors' Remuneration Policy. Dialogue between management and staff is important, with the annual Shell People Survey being one of the principal means of gathering employee views on a range of matters.

The Shell People Survey includes questions inviting employees' views on their pay and benefit arrangements.

REMCO is kept informed by the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Human Resources & Corporate Officer and the Executive Vice President Remuneration, Benefits & Services on the Group Scorecard and any relevant remuneration matters affecting Senior Management and other senior executives, extending to multiple levels below the Board.

CONSIDERATION OF SHAREHOLDER VIEWS

REMCO engages with major shareholders on a regular basis throughout the year and this allows it to test proposals when developing the Directors' Remuneration Policy. Specific examples of REMCO responding to shareholder views include the increase to the shareholding guidelines, the update to the LTIP performance conditions to include a relative ROACE growth measure, and the removal of matching share awards from the DBP.

ADDITIONAL POLICY STATEMENT

REMCO reserves the right to make payments outside the policy in limited exceptional circumstances, such as for regulatory, tax or administrative purposes or to take account of a change in legislation or exchange controls, and only where REMCO considers such payments are necessary to give effect to the intent of the policy.

Signed on behalf of the Board

/s/ Michiel Brandjes

Michiel Brandjes
Company Secretary
March 9, 2016

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTS

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT TO THE MEMBERS OF ROYAL DUTCH SHELL PLC

REPORT ON THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Our opinion

In our opinion, the Consolidated Financial Statements of Royal Dutch Shell plc (the Company) and its subsidiaries (collectively Shell):

- give a true and fair view of the state of Shell's affairs as at December 31, 2015, and of its income and cash flows for the year then ended;
- have been properly prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) as adopted by the European Union; and
- have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Companies Act 2006 and Article 4 of the IAS Regulation.

Separate opinion in relation to IFRS as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB)

As explained in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Shell, in addition to complying with its legal obligation to apply IFRS as adopted by the European Union, has also applied IFRS as issued by the IASB.

In our opinion, the Consolidated Financial Statements comply with IFRS as issued by the IASB.

What we have audited

The Consolidated Financial Statements, included within the Annual Report and Form 20-F (the Annual Report), comprise:

- the Consolidated Statement of Income and Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income for the year ended December 31, 2015;
- the Consolidated Balance Sheet as at December 31, 2015;
- the Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity for the year ended December 31, 2015;
- the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the year ended December 31, 2015; and
- the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, which include a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information.

Certain required disclosures have been presented elsewhere in the Annual Report rather than in the Consolidated Financial Statements. These are cross-referenced from the Consolidated Financial Statements and are identified as audited.

The financial reporting framework that has been applied in the preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements is applicable law and IFRS as adopted by the European Union.

OVERVIEW


Materiality

- We set the overall materiality level at \$1,200 million (2014: \$1,415 million), which represents 5% of income before taxation, adjusted for certain exceptional non-recurring items, averaged over a three-year period. By way of reference, this represents 0.8% of revenue, 0.6% of total assets, and 5.6% of net cash from operating activities. This overall materiality is allocated to local audit teams, such that significantly lower materiality levels are applied when performing work at the reporting units.

Scoping


- The scope of our audit is designed to ensure that we perform enough work to be able to give an opinion on the Consolidated Financial Statements as a whole. We perform work both at the consolidated level and the local reporting unit level.
- The following charts illustrate the relative proportion of work performed locally at the reporting units and at the consolidated level, for revenue and total assets:

REVENUE



■ Full scope audit
■ Consolidated level work, including work at shared service centres

TOTAL ASSETS



■ Full scope audit
■ Directed audit procedures
■ Consolidated level work, including work at shared service centres

- Our audit considers 100% of revenue and total assets. Procedures performed on local reporting units, which cover 67% of revenue and 71% of total assets, are complemented by work performed at the consolidated level.
- The consolidated level procedures include: analytical review; tests of financial systems; processes and controls at the Shell business service centres which are pervasive to the Consolidated Financial Statements and testing of specific transactions and balances.

OVERVIEW (CONTINUED)

| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Areas of focus | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Recoverability of the carrying amount of Upstream assets ■ BG acquisition cash flow hedge accounting ■ Estimation of decommissioning and restoration provisions ■ Recognition of deferred tax assets and estimation in respect of uncertain tax positions ■ Accounting for disposals ■ Accuracy of the recognition of unrealised trading revenue |
| Changes from prior years | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Our overall materiality level is 15% lower than in 2014 and 28% lower than in 2013. The benchmark used for determining our materiality has been revised to reflect the commodity price environment in 2015, as explained further below. ■ In a period of more robust margins for the refining business, we have lowered our assessment of the risk related to the recoverability of the carrying amount of Downstream assets. We have, however, continued to test management's impairment trigger assessment process. ■ The allocation of the purchase price in respect of the Repsol acquisition related to a 2014 transaction and, therefore, no longer remained an area of focus in 2015. |

OUR AUDIT APPROACH

We conducted our audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland) (ISAs (UK and Ireland)).

We designed our audit by determining materiality and assessing the risks of material misstatement in the Consolidated Financial Statements. In particular, we looked at where the Directors made subjective judgements that involved making assumptions and considering future events that are inherently uncertain. As in all of our audits, we addressed the risk of management override of internal controls, including evaluating whether there was evidence of bias by the Directors that represented a risk of material misstatement due to fraud.

MATERIALITY

We set certain quantitative thresholds for materiality which, together with qualitative considerations, helped us to determine the scope of our audit and the nature, timing and extent of our audit procedures on the individual financial statement line items and disclosures, and in evaluating the effect of misstatements, both individually and on the Consolidated Financial Statements as a whole.

Based on our judgement, we determined materiality for the Consolidated Financial Statements as a whole as follows:

MATERIALITY

| | |
|---|---|
| Overall materiality | \$1,200 million (2014: \$1,415 million; 2013: \$1,675 million). |
| How we determined it for 2015 | 5% of the three-year average income before taxation, adjusted for certain exceptional non-recurring items. |
| Rationale for benchmark applied and explanation for change in benchmark used | <p>Each year, we are required to make a judgement with regard to materiality taking into account the levels of activity in the business and the associated financial performance. While the underlying business and level of operating activities across Shell, including production volumes and transactions processed, are broadly consistent with prior years, Shell's income before taxation has been materially impacted both by the reduction in oil and gas prices and the significant asset impairments and other charges. Auditing standards specifically acknowledge that an alternative approach to determining materiality may be more appropriate where revenue and income are volatile and not representative of underlying or sustained business performance. Given the source of volatility in Shell's results is a combination of the effects of the reduced oil and gas prices and exceptional impairments and other charges in the period, we have considered other approaches to establishing materiality and, as a result, an alternative basis has been used in 2015.</p> <p>We determined that our materiality should be based on an average of income before taxation for 2013, 2014 and 2015, excluding certain exceptional, non-recurring items. This is consistent with the approach adopted for many large, international groups and moderates the effect of the current lower oil and gas prices. Similarly, in prior years when oil and gas prices were rising, we also limited the impact of the higher prices on our materiality level.</p> <p>In identifying items to be excluded, we reviewed all identified items reported by Shell in its quarterly earnings releases, considering the magnitude and nature of the items and the impact they have on the underlying business activities. On that basis, we excluded the following items from the 2015 income before taxation amount used in our determination of materiality (there were no equivalent items in 2014 or 2013):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Impairment and onerous contract provisions relating to the exit from Alaska: \$4.0 billion; and 2) Impairment and provisions relating to the termination of the Carmon Creek project: \$2.8 billion. <p>We consider these to be exceptional and non-recurring in nature. We did not adjust for impairment charges taken for other reasons, in particular the reduction in Shell's long-term price outlook (discussed further in the areas of focus section), as these are not considered to be highly unusual. We did not identify any positive items that would be considered equivalent in nature and magnitude. We also note that all exceptional items, including impairments, are subject to specific audit procedures with a materiality level well below overall materiality.</p> |

MATERIALITY (CONTINUED)

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Reporting unit materiality | Materiality is either allocated as a proportion of the overall materiality, or determined in the context of local reporting requirements, generally local statutory accounts. In all cases the allocated or locally determined reporting unit materiality is significantly less than the overall materiality and in the range of \$30 million to \$230 million for operating units. |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Consistent with previous periods, we agreed with the Audit Committee that we would report to them misstatements identified during our audit above \$75 million (2014: \$75 million; 2013: \$75 million) as well as misstatements below that amount that, in our view, warranted reporting for qualitative reasons.

DETERMINING THE SCOPE OF OUR AUDIT WORK

The scope of our audit is designed to ensure that we perform enough work to be able to give an opinion on the Consolidated Financial Statements as a whole, taking into account the structure of Shell, the financial reporting systems, processes and controls, and the industry in which it operates.

The Consolidated Financial Statements include Shell's operating businesses and centralised functions. During the year ended December 31, 2015, these have been reported through three segments: Upstream, Downstream and Corporate.

In establishing the scope of our audit work, we determined the nature and extent of work to be performed both at the reporting units and at the consolidated level. Where the work is performed by the local reporting unit auditors, we perform consolidated level oversight and review procedures to ensure sufficient evidence has been obtained to support our opinion on the Consolidated Financial Statements taken as a whole. All local reporting unit audits are undertaken by PwC network firms.

Our approach to determining the scope of the audit of Shell is a three-step process whereby reporting units are deemed to be within scope for audit testing based on meeting one or more of the following criteria:

- 1) Significant contribution, greater than 15%, to income before taxation, revenue or total assets. These reporting units are subject to full scope audits;
- 2) The presence of a significant risk, either at the reporting unit as a whole or relating to a specific financial statement line item. This includes financial statement line items impacted by the risks of material misstatement identified in our planning and reported on in our areas of focus below; or
- 3) The most significant other reporting units that enable us to satisfy our coverage criteria on each financial statement line item and to add elements of unpredictability in our scope.

Based on this process, we identified 42 reporting units in nine countries that, in our view, required a full scope audit due to their size or risk characteristics. An additional 39 reporting units in a further eight countries were identified for directed audit procedures over specific financial statement line items. Together, these reporting units accounted for 67% of revenue and 71% of total assets.

As a result of its structure and size, Shell also has a large number of small reporting units that are individually immaterial but, in aggregate, make up a material portion of its income before taxation, revenue and total assets. These are covered by the work that we perform at the consolidated level, which includes three main components:

- 1) Overall analytical review procedures: A significant proportion of the remaining reporting units not selected for local procedures were subject to analysis of year-on-year movements at the consolidated level, with a focus on higher risk balances and unusual movements. Those not subject to overall analytical review procedures were individually, and in the aggregate, immaterial.
- 2) Tests of financial systems, processes and controls: We test pervasive controls applied at the consolidated level. Additionally, Shell has five business service centres, with responsibility for processing transactions and operating the controls over those transactions. Our audit work, in which we test the design and operating effectiveness of systems and controls at these locations is led, on a global basis, by our group audit team. The testing of these pervasive controls, systems and transaction specific controls also covers many locations not included in group audit scope, and provides evidence on the control environment. The results from this testing are reviewed throughout the year and considered in our continuous update of group audit scope.
- 3) Testing of specific transactions: In addition, at the consolidated level we performed specific transaction testing, including on impairments, acquisitions and disposals.

The Senior Statutory Auditor and other group audit partners visited 10 locations in 2015: Germany; the Netherlands; Nigeria; United Arab Emirates; and the USA (six times); and each of Shell's five business service centres (Chennai, Glasgow, Krakow, Kuala Lumpur, and Manila). During these visits members of the group audit team met with local management and reporting unit auditors. Members of the group audit team also performed on-site reviews of audit working papers for certain locations including the USA and hosted a planning workshop in November 2015 for audit partners responsible for key reporting units. The onsite visits and planning workshop were further supplemented by ongoing communications between the Senior Statutory Auditor and reporting unit team members including, but not limited to, specific meetings to review audit plans and interim testing results.

OUR AREAS OF FOCUS

The risks of material misstatement that had the greatest effect on our audit, including the allocation of our resources and effort, are identified as areas of focus in the table below. We have also set out how we tailored our audit to address these specific areas in order to provide an opinion on the Consolidated Financial Statements as a whole, and any comments we make on the results of our procedures should be read in this context. This is not a complete list of all risks identified by our audit.

OUR AREAS OF FOCUS

| Area of focus | How our audit addressed the area of focus |
|---|---|
| <p>Recoverability of the carrying amount of Upstream assets</p> <p>During 2015, the spot price of Brent crude oil has remained low, averaging \$52/b (2014: \$99/b). Similarly the spot price for Henry Hub gas averaged \$2.6/mmbtu (2014: \$4.3/mmbtu). The carrying amount of property, plant and equipment at December 31, 2015, was \$183 billion.</p> <p>The recoverability of the carrying amount of Upstream assets is dependent upon the future cash flows of the business. Bearing in mind the generally long-lived nature of Shell's assets, the most critical assumption is management's view on the long-term oil and gas price outlook, beyond the next three to four years.</p> <p>During the third quarter of 2015, management concluded that a downward revision was required to Shell's long-term price outlook for both oil and gas. Additional impairment triggers were also identified as a result of strategic decisions made by management, notably the exit from Alaska and the termination of the Carmon Creek project in Canada.</p> <p>The exit from Alaska and termination of the Carmon Creek project also triggered the review of all related contracts and arrangements which represent continuing obligations for which there is no longer an associated benefit.</p> <p>The update to the price outlook in the third quarter and these strategic decisions triggered impairment testing for assets in Australia, Brazil, Brunei, Canada, Ireland, Russia, the UK and the USA. This testing was performed by management for the relevant cash generating units (CGUs) during the third quarter.</p> <p>During the fourth quarter, management also analysed certain assets for sensitivity to short-term price movements. The impairment testing in both the third and fourth quarters showed the fair value of the respective assets to be lower than the carrying amount, resulting in the impairment charges disclosed in Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.</p> | <p>We first considered the appropriateness of management's defined cash-generating units (CGUs) within the Upstream business. We were satisfied that there are no factors requiring management to change their classification since the prior reporting period. We also tested management's process for identifying CGUs that required impairment testing in line with IFRS and determined that all assets requiring impairment testing were identified.</p> <p>We assessed management's macroeconomic assumptions, which include both short-term and long-term views on commodity prices, inflation rates and discount rates. The price assumptions underlying management's impairment testing models represent a critical judgement in the process. We compared the short-term price assumptions used by management to the market forward curves. We also compared the short and long-term assumptions to views published by brokers, economists, consultancies and respected industry bodies such as the International Energy Agency, which provided a range of relevant third-party data points.</p> <p>Our analysis of third-party data sources with regard to the long-term price outlook for oil and gas confirmed that management's revised assumptions at September 30, 2015, were within the range expressed by third-party sources. This position remained unchanged at December 31, 2015.</p> <p>We performed detailed procedures for the assets where the need for an impairment review was identified by management. For those assets expected to be retained in the portfolio, we checked the reasonableness of key assumptions relating to the ongoing operation of the asset, including price, cost and reserves data. We also confirmed the mathematical accuracy of the value-in-use model prepared by management, and agreed the reserves incorporated into the model to the estimates prepared by reservoir engineers. We assessed the reasonableness of the probability weightings assigned to potential valuation scenarios, along with the associated risk adjustments required under IFRS. Based on our work, we are satisfied with the accuracy and completeness of the impairment provisions recognised.</p> <p>We evaluated management's short-term price sensitivity analyses performed in response to the movement in fourth quarter prices. We have completed independent sensitivity analyses on certain additional inputs to assess the variances that may reasonably arise.</p> |

OUR AREAS OF FOCUS (CONTINUED)

| Area of focus | How our audit addressed the area of focus |
|---|--|
| <p>Recoverability of the carrying amount of Upstream assets (continued)</p> | <p>Specifically in relation to the exit from Alaska and termination of the Carmon Creek project, we performed the following procedures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ We test management's controls in relation to the capitalisation and allocation of costs to projects annually. We agreed the total charge recognised to the carrying amount at the date of termination; ■ We assessed the adequacy of management's process relating to the review of the supply and service contracts associated with the projects. We are satisfied that provisions for termination or fulfilment of these contracts are appropriate; and ■ We tested the current decommissioning and restoration models, including the timing of the expected cash flows, to ensure compliance with legal requirements, along with the updated cost, inflation and discount rate assumptions. We are satisfied that these are appropriate. |
| <p>BG acquisition cash flow hedge accounting</p> <p>In the course of 2015, Shell purchased sterling cash and forward contracts to hedge the exchange rate exposure of the cash element of the BG acquisition. Management has chosen to apply cash flow hedge accounting using the sterling cash and forward contracts as the hedging instruments. In order to apply hedge accounting, management are required to demonstrate that the BG acquisition is considered to be a highly probable transaction, that the hedges are highly effective and maintain appropriate hedge documentation. Management judgement is required to make the assessment of highly probable as defined by IFRS.</p> <p>The impact of this specific hedge accounting programme is disclosed in Note 19 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, specifically the deferral of \$537 million of hedging losses in other comprehensive income at December 31, 2015, until the transaction completed in 2016. At that time the hedging losses were included as a component of consideration (Note 29).</p> | <p>Our audit procedures focussed on the assessment of whether the BG acquisition was considered a highly probable transaction at the date the first hedging relationships were designated. This included an analysis of the likelihood of regulatory and shareholder approvals being obtained and management's ability to take the actions required to fund and complete the transaction. We have also assessed whether the cash and forward contracts designated as hedging relationships met the criteria for hedging instruments and confirmed the appropriateness of management's hedge documentation.</p> <p>We are satisfied that all criteria to apply hedge accounting had been met on the date of the initial hedge designation and continued to be met through December 31, 2015.</p> <p>Management's assessment of "highly probable" has been confirmed following the deal completion on February 15, 2016.</p> |
| <p>Estimation of decommissioning and restoration provisions</p> <p>Provisions associated with decommissioning and restoration are disclosed in Note 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements; a description of the accounting policy and key judgements and estimates is included in Note 2.</p> <p>The calculation of decommissioning and restoration provisions requires significant management judgement because of the inherent complexity in estimating future costs. The decommissioning of offshore infrastructure is a relatively immature activity and consequently there is limited historical precedent against which to benchmark estimates of future costs. These factors increase the complexity involved in determining accurate accounting provisions that are material to Shell's balance sheet.</p> <p>Management reviews decommissioning and restoration provisions on an annual basis. This review incorporates the effects of any changes in local regulations, management's expected approach to decommissioning, cost estimates, discount rates, and the effects of changes in exchange rates.</p> | <p>We critically assessed management's annual review of provisions recorded. In particular, we focused on those assets where changes to the cost estimate directly impact the income statement rather than being recognised as an asset. Testing involved understanding the mandatory or constructive obligations with respect to the decommissioning of each asset based on the contractual arrangements and relevant local regulation to validate the appropriateness of the cost estimate. As part of our testing we considered the competence and objectivity of the experts who produced the cost estimates.</p> <p>Of particular note, we performed procedures on the decommissioning and restoration provisions for Alaska and Carmon Creek, as described in the recoverability of the carrying amount of Upstream assets section above, along with the Brent provision in the UK given its relative size and field maturity.</p> <p>Our procedures confirmed that management's estimate of future decommissioning and restoration costs are appropriate.</p> |

OUR AREAS OF FOCUS (CONTINUED)

| Area of focus | How our audit addressed the area of focus |
|---|---|
| <p>Recognition of deferred tax assets and estimation in respect of uncertain tax positions</p> <p>Where deferred tax assets arise, management judgement is required to assess the recoverability of the balance, in particular by reference to forecast future taxable income. The periods over which the deferred tax assets are expected to be recovered can be extensive.</p> <p>Management is required to exercise considerable judgement when determining the appropriate amount to provide in respect of uncertain tax positions, which are generally associated with acquisitions, disposals and other activities in countries where the tax regime is uncertain, immature or changing.</p> | <p>In our consideration of deferred tax balances, we have challenged management over the recoverability of their deferred tax asset balances, particularly in light of the depressed oil and gas price environment, and the downward revision of the long-term price outlook, as described in the recoverability of the carrying amount of Upstream assets section of this report. We have found that support for the recognition of these deferred tax assets was consistent with the long-term business plans used by management to manage and monitor the performance of the business.</p> <p>We performed detailed testing over the tax positions in the significant tax jurisdictions in which Shell has operations, including utilising PwC's local tax expertise. Procedures included testing the rates applied to calculate provisions and deferred tax balances, and ensuring the correct taxation treatment for the significant impairments that have been recognised in 2015. We have also completed a probability assessment of the potential outcomes where uncertain tax positions exist, based on communications received from the relevant tax authorities and applying our local knowledge and experience.</p> |
| <p>Accounting for disposals</p> <p>Shell generated proceeds of \$5 billion from disposals during the year; a description of the accounting policy is included in Note 2.</p> <p>Disposals trigger the review of all associated agreements, including leases, for which there may be potential future obligations or contingent liabilities. Disposals included the sale of the LPG business in France, the partial disposal of an office building in London, Shell's interest in certain oil mining leases and a pipeline in Nigeria Upstream, and the Norwegian Downstream business.</p> | <p>During the year we tested those disposals which had a substantial balance sheet, income statement or cash flow impact. We assessed in detail the contract for sale of those assets in France, Nigeria and Norway. We have also considered the gains recognised on the sale of these assets, and are satisfied that the disposal has been correctly recorded.</p> <p>We have compared the sale contract and subsequent lease of the London office building with the accounting treatment used by management, and concurred with management's view that this represented a sale and lease back transaction and that the lease back to Shell should be accounted for as an operating lease.</p> |
| <p>Accuracy of the recognition of unrealised trading revenue</p> <p>Unrealised revenue arises on Shell's trading activities because of the requirement under IFRS to fair value derivative contracts. These contracts principally relate to commodity supply arrangements with derivatives embedded in the contractual terms.</p> <p>Management are required to exercise judgement in valuing these derivative contracts, particularly where the life of the contract is beyond the liquid market period requiring bespoke models and giving rise to a risk of material misstatement. Particular focus has been placed on the valuation of derivative contracts at the balance sheet date.</p> | <p>We assessed the overall commodity trading process, including internal risk management procedures and the system and controls around origination and maintenance of complete and accurate information relating to derivative contracts. We found the controls in place to be operating effectively and therefore placed reliance on these in our testing.</p> <p>In addition, we tested the valuation of derivative contracts at December 31, 2015, which require the use of valuation models. Our audit procedures focused on the integrity of these valuation models and the incorporation of the contract terms and the key assumptions, including future price assumptions and discount rates, in the models. Our testing confirmed that models used to value contracts are appropriate for the purposes of the valuations.</p> |

GOING CONCERN

As noted in the Directors' statement, the Directors have concluded that it is appropriate to adopt the going-concern basis in preparing the Consolidated Financial Statements. The going-concern basis presumes that Shell has adequate resources to remain in operation, and that the Directors intend it to do so, for at least one year from the date the Consolidated Financial Statements were signed. As part of our audit, we have concluded that the Directors' use of the going-concern basis is appropriate.

Under the Listing Rules, we are required to review the Directors' statement, set out on page 67, in relation to going concern. We have nothing to report having performed our review.

Under ISAs (UK & Ireland) we are also required to report to you if we have anything material to add or to draw attention to in relation to the Directors' statement about whether they considered it appropriate to adopt the going concern basis in preparing the financial statements. We have nothing material to add or to draw attention to.

However, because not all future events or conditions can be predicted, these going concern statements are not a guarantee as to Shell's ability to continue as a going concern.

OTHER REQUIRED REPORTING

Consistency of other information

COMPANIES ACT 2006 OPINION

In our opinion, the information given in the Strategic Report and the Directors' Report for the financial year for which the Consolidated Financial Statements are prepared is consistent with the Consolidated Financial Statements.

ISAs (UK & IRELAND) REPORTING

Under ISAs (UK & Ireland) we are required to report to you if, in our opinion:

| | |
|--|----------------------------------|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ information in the Annual Report is: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ materially inconsistent with the information in the audited Consolidated Financial Statements; or ■ apparently materially incorrect based on, or materially inconsistent with, our knowledge of Shell acquired in the course of performing our audit; or ■ otherwise misleading. | We have no exceptions to report. |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ the statement given by the Directors on page 67, in accordance with provision C.1.1 of the UK Corporate Governance Code (the Code), that they consider the Annual Report including the financial statements taken as a whole, to be fair, balanced and understandable and provides the information necessary for members to assess Shell's position and performance, business model and strategy, is materially inconsistent with our knowledge of Shell acquired in the course of performing our audit. | We have no exceptions to report. |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ the section of the Annual Report on pages 83-85, as required by provision C.3.8 of the Code, describing the work of the Audit Committee does not appropriately address matters communicated by us to the Audit Committee. | We have no exceptions to report. |

The Directors' assessment of the prospects of Shell and of the principal risks that would threaten the solvency or liquidity of Shell

Under ISAs (UK & Ireland) we are required to report to you if we have anything material to add or to draw attention to in relation to:

| | |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ the Directors' confirmation in the Annual Report, in accordance with provision C.2.1 of the Code, that they have carried out a robust assessment of the principal risks facing Shell, including those that would threaten its business model, future performance, solvency or liquidity. | We have nothing material to add or to draw attention to. |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ the disclosures in the Annual Report that describe those risks and explain how they are being managed or mitigated. | We have nothing material to add or to draw attention to. |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ the Directors' explanation in the Annual Report, in accordance with provision C.2.2 of the Code, as to how they have assessed the prospects of Shell, over what period they have done so and why they consider that period to be appropriate, and their statement as to whether they have a reasonable expectation that Shell will be able to continue in operation and meet its liabilities as they fall due over the period of their assessment, including any related disclosures drawing attention to any necessary qualifications or assumptions. | We have nothing material to add or to draw attention to. |

Under the Listing Rules we are required to review the Directors' statement that they have carried out a robust assessment of the principal risks facing Shell and the Directors' statement in relation to the longer-term viability of Shell, set out on page 67. Our review was substantially less in scope than an audit and only consisted of making inquiries and considering the Directors' process supporting their statements; checking that the statements are in alignment with the relevant provisions of the Code; and considering whether the statements are consistent with the knowledge acquired by us in the course of performing our audit. We have nothing to report having performed our review.

Adequacy of information and explanations received

Under the Companies Act 2006 we are required to report to you if, in our opinion, we have not received all the information and explanations we require for our audit. We have no exceptions to report arising from this responsibility.

Directors' remuneration

Under the Companies Act 2006 we are required to report to you if, in our opinion, certain disclosures of Directors' remuneration specified by law are not made. We have no exceptions to report arising from this responsibility.

Corporate governance statement

Under the Listing Rules we are required to review the part of the corporate governance statement relating to 10 further provisions of the Code. We have nothing to report having performed our review.

RESPONSIBILITIES FOR THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND THE AUDIT

Our responsibilities and those of the Directors

As explained more fully in the Directors' responsibilities in respect of the preparation of the annual report and accounts set out on page 66, the Directors are responsible for the preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements and for being satisfied that they give a true and fair view.

Our responsibility is to audit and express an opinion on the Consolidated Financial Statements in accordance with applicable law and ISAs (UK and Ireland). Those standards require us to comply with the Auditing Practices Board's Ethical Standards for Auditors.

This report, including the opinions, has been prepared for and only for the Company's members as a body in accordance with Chapter 3 of Part 16 of the Companies Act 2006 and for no other purpose. We do not, in giving these opinions, accept or assume responsibility for any other purpose or to any other person to whom this report is shown or into whose hands it may come save where expressly agreed by our prior consent in writing.

What an audit of Consolidated Financial Statements involves

An audit involves obtaining evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the Consolidated Financial Statements sufficient to give reasonable assurance that the Consolidated Financial Statements are free from material misstatement, whether caused by fraud or error.

This includes an assessment of:

- whether the accounting policies are appropriate to Shell's circumstances and have been consistently applied and adequately disclosed;
- the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by the Directors; and
- the overall presentation of the Consolidated Financial Statements.

We primarily focus our work in these areas by assessing the Directors' judgements against available evidence, forming our own judgements, and evaluating the disclosures in the Consolidated Financial Statements.

We test and examine information, using sampling and other auditing techniques, to the extent we consider necessary to provide a reasonable basis for us to draw conclusions. We obtain audit evidence through testing the effectiveness of controls, substantive procedures or a combination of both.

In addition, we read all the financial and non-financial information in the Annual Report to identify material inconsistencies with the audited Consolidated Financial Statements and to identify any information that is apparently materially incorrect based on, or materially inconsistent with, the knowledge acquired by us in the course of performing the audit. If we become aware of any apparent material misstatements or inconsistencies we consider the implications for our report.

OTHER MATTER

We have reported separately on the Parent Company Financial Statements of Royal Dutch Shell plc for the year ended December 31, 2015, and on the information in the Directors' Remuneration Report that is described as having been audited.

Ross Hunter (Senior Statutory Auditor)

for and on behalf of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
Chartered Accountants and Statutory Auditors
London, United Kingdom
March 9, 2016

Note that the report set out above is included for the purposes of Royal Dutch Shell plc's Annual Report and Accounts for 2015 only and does not form part of Royal Dutch Shell plc's Annual Report on Form 20-F for 2015.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND ROYAL DUTCH SHELL PLC SHAREHOLDERS

In our opinion, the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Income, the Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income, the Consolidated Balance Sheet, the Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity, the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows and the related Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Royal Dutch Shell plc (the Company) and its subsidiaries (collectively Shell) at December 31, 2015, and December 31, 2014, and the results of their operations and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015, in conformity with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board and in conformity with International Financial Reporting Standards as adopted by the European Union. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these Consolidated Financial Statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting of Shell set out on pages 73-75. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these Consolidated Financial Statements and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Consolidated Financial Statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the Consolidated Financial Statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the Consolidated Financial Statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists,

and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that: (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorisations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorised acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
London, United Kingdom
March 9, 2016

Note that the report set out above is included for the purposes of Royal Dutch Shell plc's Annual Report on Form 20-F for 2015 only and does not form part of Royal Dutch Shell plc's Annual Report and Accounts for 2015.

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | |
|-----|---|
| 116 | Consolidated Statement of Income |
| 116 | Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income |
| 117 | Consolidated Balance Sheet |
| 118 | Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity |
| 119 | Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows |
| 120 | Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements |
| 120 | Note 1 Basis of preparation |
| 120 | Note 2 Key accounting policies, judgements and estimates |
| 126 | Note 3 Changes to IFRS not yet adopted |
| 126 | Note 4 Segment information |
| 128 | Note 5 Interest and other income |
| 129 | Note 6 Interest expense |
| 129 | Note 7 Intangible assets |
| 130 | Note 8 Property, plant and equipment |
| 132 | Note 9 Joint ventures and associates |
| 132 | Note 10 Investments in securities |
| 133 | Note 11 Trade and other receivables |
| 133 | Note 12 Inventories |
| 133 | Note 13 Cash and cash equivalents |
| 134 | Note 14 Debt and lease arrangements |
| 136 | Note 15 Trade and other payables |
| 137 | Note 16 Taxation |
| 139 | Note 17 Retirement benefits |
| 141 | Note 18 Decommissioning and other provisions |
| 142 | Note 19 Financial instruments and other derivative contracts |
| 147 | Note 20 Share capital |
| 147 | Note 21 Share-based compensation plans and shares held in trust |
| 148 | Note 22 Other reserves |
| 150 | Note 23 Dividends |
| 150 | Note 24 Earnings per share |
| 151 | Note 25 Legal proceedings and other contingencies |
| 151 | Note 26 Employees |
| 151 | Note 27 Directors and Senior Management |
| 152 | Note 28 Auditor's remuneration |
| 152 | Note 29 Acquisition of BG Group plc |

| CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME | | \$ MILLION | | |
|---|-------|------------|---------|---------|
| | NOTES | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Revenue | 4 | 264,960 | 421,105 | 451,235 |
| Share of profit of joint ventures and associates | 9 | 3,527 | 6,116 | 7,275 |
| Interest and other income | 5 | 3,669 | 4,123 | 1,089 |
| Total revenue and other income | | 272,156 | 431,344 | 459,599 |
| Purchases | | 194,644 | 327,278 | 353,199 |
| Production and manufacturing expenses | | 28,095 | 30,038 | 28,386 |
| Selling, distribution and administrative expenses | | 11,956 | 13,965 | 14,675 |
| Research and development | | 1,093 | 1,222 | 1,318 |
| Exploration | | 5,719 | 4,224 | 5,278 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortisation | 4 | 26,714 | 24,499 | 21,509 |
| Interest expense | 6 | 1,888 | 1,804 | 1,642 |
| Total expenditure | | 270,109 | 403,030 | 426,007 |
| Income before taxation | | 2,047 | 28,314 | 33,592 |
| Taxation (credit)/charge | 16 | (153) | 13,584 | 17,066 |
| Income for the period | 4 | 2,200 | 14,730 | 16,526 |
| Income/(loss) attributable to non-controlling interest | | 261 | (144) | 155 |
| Income attributable to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders | | 1,939 | 14,874 | 16,371 |
| Basic earnings per share (\$) | 24 | 0.31 | 2.36 | 2.60 |
| Diluted earnings per share (\$) | 24 | 0.30 | 2.36 | 2.60 |

| CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME | | \$ MILLION | | |
|--|-------|------------|----------|---------|
| | NOTES | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Income for the period | | 2,200 | 14,730 | 16,526 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax | 22 | | | |
| Items that may be reclassified to income in later periods: | | | | |
| Currency translation differences | | (7,121) | (5,321) | (1,938) |
| Unrealised losses on securities | | (707) | (797) | (166) |
| Cash flow hedging gains | | 61 | 528 | 178 |
| Share of other comprehensive loss of joint ventures and associates | 9 | (40) | (156) | (167) |
| Total | | (7,807) | (5,746) | (2,093) |
| Items that are not reclassified to income in later periods: | | | | |
| Retirement benefits remeasurements | | 4,951 | (6,482) | 3,833 |
| Other comprehensive (loss)/income for the period | | (2,856) | (12,228) | 1,740 |
| Comprehensive (loss)/income for the period | | (656) | 2,502 | 18,266 |
| Comprehensive income/(loss) attributable to non-controlling interest | | 155 | (190) | 23 |
| Comprehensive (loss)/income attributable to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders | | (811) | 2,692 | 18,243 |

| CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET | | \$ MILLION | |
|---|-------|-------------------|----------------|
| | NOTES | Dec 31, 2015 | Dec 31, 2014 |
| Assets | | | |
| Non-current assets | | | |
| Intangible assets | 7 | 6,283 | 7,076 |
| Property, plant and equipment | 8 | 182,838 | 192,472 |
| Joint ventures and associates | 9 | 30,150 | 31,558 |
| Investments in securities | 10 | 3,416 | 4,115 |
| Deferred tax | 16 | 11,033 | 8,131 |
| Retirement benefits | 17 | 4,362 | 1,682 |
| Trade and other receivables | 11 | 8,717 | 8,304 |
| | | 246,799 | 253,338 |
| Current assets | | | |
| Inventories | 12 | 15,822 | 19,701 |
| Trade and other receivables | 11 | 45,784 | 58,470 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 13 | 31,752 | 21,607 |
| | | 93,358 | 99,778 |
| Total assets | | 340,157 | 353,116 |
| Liabilities | | | |
| Non-current liabilities | | | |
| Debt | 14 | 52,849 | 38,332 |
| Trade and other payables | 15 | 4,528 | 3,582 |
| Deferred tax | 16 | 8,976 | 12,052 |
| Retirement benefits | 17 | 12,587 | 16,318 |
| Decommissioning and other provisions | 18 | 26,148 | 23,834 |
| | | 105,088 | 94,118 |
| Current liabilities | | | |
| Debt | 14 | 5,530 | 7,208 |
| Trade and other payables | 15 | 52,770 | 64,864 |
| Taxes payable | 16 | 8,233 | 9,797 |
| Retirement benefits | 17 | 350 | 377 |
| Decommissioning and other provisions | 18 | 4,065 | 3,966 |
| | | 70,948 | 86,212 |
| Total liabilities | | 176,036 | 180,330 |
| Equity | | | |
| Share capital | 20 | 546 | 540 |
| Shares held in trust | 21 | (584) | (1,190) |
| Other reserves | 22 | (17,186) | (14,365) |
| Retained earnings | | 180,100 | 186,981 |
| Equity attributable to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders | | 162,876 | 171,966 |
| Non-controlling interest | | 1,245 | 820 |
| Total equity | | 164,121 | 172,786 |
| Total liabilities and equity | | 340,157 | 353,116 |

Signed on behalf of the Board

/s/ Simon Henry

Simon Henry
Chief Financial Officer
March 9, 2016

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

\$ MILLION

| | Equity attributable to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| | Share capital (see Note 20) | Shares held in trust (see Note 21) | Other reserves (see Note 22) | Retained earnings | Total | Non- controlling interest | Total equity |
| At January 1, 2015 | 540 | (1,190) | (14,365) | 186,981 | 171,966 | 820 | 172,786 |
| Comprehensive (loss)/income for the period | – | – | (2,750) | 1,939 | (811) | 155 | (656) |
| Dividends paid (see Note 23) | – | – | – | (11,972) | (11,972) | (117) | (12,089) |
| Scrip dividends (see Note 23) | 7 | – | (7) | 2,602 | 2,602 | – | 2,602 |
| Repurchases of shares | (1) | – | 1 | 1 | 1 | – | 1 |
| Shares held in trust: net sales and dividends received | – | 606 | – | 70 | 676 | – | 676 |
| Capital contributions from, and other changes in, non-controlling interest [A] | – | – | – | 501 | 501 | 387 | 888 |
| Share-based compensation | – | – | (65) | (22) | (87) | – | (87) |
| At December 31, 2015 | 546 | (584) | (17,186) | 180,100 | 162,876 | 1,245 | 164,121 |
| At January 1, 2014 | 542 | (1,932) | (2,037) | 183,474 | 180,047 | 1,101 | 181,148 |
| Comprehensive income for the period | – | – | (12,182) | 14,874 | 2,692 | (190) | 2,502 |
| Dividends paid (see Note 23) | – | – | – | (11,843) | (11,843) | (116) | (11,959) |
| Scrip dividends (see Note 23) | 6 | – | (6) | 2,399 | 2,399 | – | 2,399 |
| Repurchases of shares | (8) | – | 8 | (2,787) | (2,787) | – | (2,787) |
| Shares held in trust: net sales and dividends received | – | 742 | – | 107 | 849 | – | 849 |
| Capital contributions from, and other changes in, non-controlling interest [A] | – | – | – | 727 | 727 | 25 | 752 |
| Share-based compensation | – | – | (148) | 30 | (118) | – | (118) |
| At December 31, 2014 | 540 | (1,190) | (14,365) | 186,981 | 171,966 | 820 | 172,786 |
| At January 1, 2013 | 542 | (2,287) | (3,752) | 180,246 | 174,749 | 1,433 | 176,182 |
| Comprehensive income for the period | – | – | 1,872 | 16,371 | 18,243 | 23 | 18,266 |
| Dividends paid (see Note 23) | – | – | – | (11,338) | (11,338) | (252) | (11,590) |
| Scrip dividends (see Note 23) | 12 | – | (12) | 4,140 | 4,140 | – | 4,140 |
| Repurchases of shares | (12) | – | 12 | (5,757) | (5,757) | – | (5,757) |
| Shares held in trust: net sales and dividends received | – | 355 | – | 126 | 481 | – | 481 |
| Capital contributions from, and other changes in, non-controlling interest | – | – | – | 18 | 18 | (103) | (85) |
| Share-based compensation | – | – | (157) | (332) | (489) | – | (489) |
| At December 31, 2013 | 542 | (1,932) | (2,037) | 183,474 | 180,047 | 1,101 | 181,148 |

[A] Mainly relates to public offerings of limited partner units in Shell Midstream Partners, L.P. The difference between the proceeds after tax and the increase in non-controlling interest, measured by reference to the carrying amount of the entity's net assets at the date of the transaction, was recognised in retained earnings.

| CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS | | \$ MILLION | | |
|--|-------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | NOTES | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Cash flow from operating activities | | | | |
| Income for the period | | 2,200 | 14,730 | 16,526 |
| Adjustment for: | | | | |
| Current tax | | 7,058 | 13,757 | 18,582 |
| Interest expense (net) | | 1,529 | 1,598 | 1,448 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortisation | | 26,714 | 24,499 | 21,509 |
| Net gains on sale of non-current assets and businesses | | (3,460) | (3,212) | (382) |
| Decrease in inventories | | 2,827 | 7,958 | 608 |
| Decrease/(increase) in current receivables | | 9,852 | (1,541) | 5,648 |
| Decrease in current payables | | (7,158) | (12) | (3,268) |
| Share of profit of joint ventures and associates | | (3,527) | (6,116) | (7,275) |
| Dividends received from joint ventures and associates | | 4,627 | 6,902 | 7,117 |
| Deferred tax, retirement benefits, decommissioning and other provisions | | (5,827) | (1,720) | (2,701) |
| Other | | 2,648 | 2,500 | 2,937 |
| Net cash from operating activities (pre-tax) | | 37,483 | 59,343 | 60,749 |
| Tax paid | | (7,673) | (14,299) | (20,309) |
| Net cash from operating activities | | 29,810 | 45,044 | 40,440 |
| Cash flow from investing activities | | | | |
| Capital expenditure [A] | | (26,131) | (31,676)[B] | (39,975)[B] |
| Investments in joint ventures and associates | | (896) | (1,426) | (1,538) |
| Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment and businesses | | 4,720 | 9,873 | 1,212 |
| Proceeds from sale of joint ventures and associates | | 276 | 4,163 | 538 |
| Interest received | | 288 | 174 | 175 |
| Other [A] | | (664) | (765) | (558) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | | (22,407) | (19,657) | (40,146) |
| Cash flow from financing activities | | | | |
| Net (decrease)/increase in debt with maturity period within three months | | (586) | (3,332) | 3,126 |
| Other debt: | | | | |
| New borrowings | | 21,500 | 7,778 | 9,146 |
| Repayments | | (6,023) | (4,089) | (6,877) |
| Interest paid | | (1,742) | (1,480) | (1,307) |
| Change in non-controlling interest | | 598 | 989 | (51) |
| Cash dividends paid to: | | | | |
| Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders | 23 | (9,370) | (9,444) | (7,198) |
| Non-controlling interest | | (117) | (116) | (252) |
| Repurchases of shares | | (409) | (3,328) | (5,000) |
| Shares held in trust: net (purchases)/sales and dividends received | | (39) | 232 | (565) |
| Net cash from/(used in) financing activities | | 3,812 | (12,790) | (8,978) |
| Currency translation differences relating to cash and cash equivalents | | (1,070) | (686) | (170) |
| Increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | | 10,145 | 11,911 | (8,854) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at January 1 | | 21,607 | 9,696 | 18,550 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at December 31 | 13 | 31,752 | 21,607 | 9,696 |

[A] Reflects a minor change to the definition with effect from 2015 which has no overall impact on net cash from investing activities. Comparative information has been reclassified.

[B] Includes consideration paid for the acquisition on January 1, 2014, of Repsol liquefied natural gas (LNG) businesses outside North America (2014: \$730 million; 2013: \$3,385 million)

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1 BASIS OF PREPARATION

The Consolidated Financial Statements of Royal Dutch Shell plc (the Company) and its subsidiaries (collectively referred to as Shell) have been prepared in accordance with the provisions of the Companies Act 2006 (the Act) and Article 4 of the International Accounting Standards (IAS) Regulation, and therefore in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) as adopted by the European Union. As applied to Shell, there are no material differences from IFRS as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB); therefore, the Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with IFRS as issued by the IASB.

As described in the accounting policies in Note 2, the Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared under the historical cost convention except for certain items measured at fair value. Those accounting policies have been applied consistently in all periods.

The Consolidated Financial Statements were approved and authorised for issue by the Board of Directors on March 9, 2016.

2 KEY ACCOUNTING POLICIES, JUDGEMENTS AND ESTIMATES

Nature of the Consolidated Financial Statements

The Consolidated Financial Statements are presented in US dollars (dollars) and comprise the financial statements of the Company and its subsidiaries, being those entities over which the Company has control, either directly or indirectly, through exposure or rights to their variable returns and the ability to affect those returns through its power over the entities. Information about subsidiaries at December 31, 2015, is set out in Exhibit 8.

Subsidiaries are consolidated from the date on which control is obtained until the date that such control ceases, using consistent accounting policies. All inter-company balances and transactions, including unrealised profits arising from such transactions, are eliminated. Unrealised losses are also eliminated unless the transaction provides evidence of an impairment of the asset transferred. Non-controlling interest represents the proportion of income, other comprehensive income and net assets in subsidiaries that is not attributable to the Company's shareholders.

Currency translation

Foreign currency transactions are translated using the exchange rate at the dates of the transactions or valuation where items are re-measured. Foreign exchange gains and losses resulting from the settlement of such transactions and from the translation at quarter-end exchange rates of monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies (including those in respect of inter-company balances unless related to loans of a long-term investment nature) are recognised in income, except when recognised in other comprehensive income in respect of cash flow hedges, and presented within interest and other income or within purchases where not related to financing. Share capital issued in currencies other than the dollar is translated at the exchange rate at the date of issue.

On consolidation, assets and liabilities of non-dollar entities are translated to dollars at year-end rates of exchange, while their statements of income, other comprehensive income and cash flows are translated at quarterly average rates. The resulting translation differences are recognised as currency translation differences within other comprehensive income. Upon disposal of all or part of an interest in, or upon liquidation of, an entity, the appropriate portion of cumulative currency translation differences related to that entity are generally recognised in income.

Revenue recognition

Revenue from sales of oil, natural gas, chemicals and other products is recognised at the fair value of consideration received or receivable, after deducting sales taxes, excise duties and similar levies, when the significant risks and rewards of ownership have been transferred, which is when title passes to the customer. For sales by Upstream operations, this generally occurs when product is physically transferred into a vessel, pipe or other delivery mechanism; for sales by refining operations it is either when product is placed onboard a vessel or offloaded from the vessel, depending on the contractually agreed terms; and for wholesale sales of oil products and chemicals it is either at the point of delivery or the point of receipt, depending on contractual conditions.

Revenue resulting from hydrocarbon production from properties in which Shell has an interest with partners in joint arrangements is recognised on the basis of Shell's working interest (entitlement method). Revenue resulting from the production of oil and natural gas under production-sharing contracts (PSCs) is recognised for those amounts relating to Shell's cost recoveries and Shell's share of the remaining production. Gains and losses on derivative contracts and the revenue and costs associated with other contracts that are classified as held for trading purposes are reported on a net basis in the Consolidated Statement of Income. Purchases and sales of hydrocarbons under exchange contracts that are necessary to obtain or reposition feedstocks for refinery operations are presented net in the Consolidated Statement of Income.

Research and development

Development costs that are expected to generate probable future economic benefits are capitalised as intangible assets. All other research and development expenditure is recognised in income as incurred.

Exploration costs

Hydrocarbon exploration costs are accounted for under the successful efforts method: exploration costs are recognised in income when incurred, except that exploratory drilling costs are included in property, plant and equipment pending determination of proved reserves. Exploration costs capitalised in respect of exploration wells that are more than 12 months old are written off unless: (a) proved reserves are booked; or (b) (i) they have found commercially producible quantities of reserves and (ii) they are subject to further exploration or appraisal activity in that either drilling of additional exploratory wells is underway or firmly planned for the near future or other activities are being undertaken to sufficiently progress the assessing of reserves and the economic and operating viability of the project.

Property, plant and equipment and intangible assets

RECOGNITION

Property, plant and equipment comprise assets owned by Shell, assets held by Shell under finance leases and assets operated by Shell as contractor in PSCs. They include rights and concessions in respect of properties with proved reserves (proved properties) and with no proved reserves (unproved properties). Property, plant and equipment, including expenditure on major inspections, and intangible assets are initially recognised in the Consolidated Balance Sheet at cost where it is probable that they will generate future economic benefits. This includes capitalisation of decommissioning and restoration costs associated with provisions for asset retirement (see "Provisions"), certain development costs (see "Research and development") and the effects of associated cash flow hedges (see "Financial instruments and other derivative contracts") as applicable. The accounting for exploration costs is described separately (see "Exploration costs"). Intangible assets include goodwill, LNG off-take and sales contracts obtained through acquisition, software costs and trademarks. Interest is capitalised, as an increase in property, plant and equipment, on major capital projects during construction.

Property, plant and equipment and intangible assets are subsequently carried at cost less accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortisation (including any impairment). Gains and losses on disposals are determined by comparing the proceeds with the carrying amounts of assets sold and are recognised in income, within interest and other income.

DEPRECIATION, DEPLETION AND AMORTISATION

Property, plant and equipment related to hydrocarbon production activities generally are depreciated on a unit-of-production basis over the proved developed reserves of the field concerned. Assets whose useful lives differ from the lifetime of the field are depreciated applying the straight-line method. Rights and concessions in respect of proved properties are depleted on the unit-of-production basis over the total proved reserves of the relevant area. Where individually insignificant, unproved properties may be grouped and depreciated based on factors such as the average concession term and past experience of recognising proved reserves.

Property, plant and equipment held under finance leases and capitalised LNG off-take and sales contracts are depreciated or amortised over the term of the respective contract. Other property, plant and equipment and intangible assets are depreciated or amortised on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives, except for goodwill, which is not amortised. They include major inspection costs, which are depreciated over the estimated period before the next planned major inspection (three to five years), and the following:

| ASSET TYPE | USEFUL LIFE |
|--------------------------------|-------------|
| Property, plant and equipment | |
| Refineries and chemical plants | 20 years |
| Retail service stations | 15 years |
| Upgraders | 30 years |
| Intangible assets | |
| Software | 5 years |
| Trademarks | 40 years |

Estimates of the useful lives and residual values of property, plant and equipment and intangible assets are reviewed annually and adjusted if appropriate.

IMPAIRMENT

The carrying amount of goodwill is tested for impairment annually; in addition, assets other than unproved properties (see "Exploration costs") are tested for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts for those assets may not be recoverable. If assets are determined to be impaired, the carrying amounts of those assets are written down to their recoverable amount, which is the higher of fair value less costs to sell (see "Fair value measurements") and value in use.

Value in use is determined as the amount of estimated risk-adjusted discounted future cash flows. For this purpose, assets are grouped into cash-generating units based on separately identifiable and largely independent cash inflows. Estimates of future cash flows used in the evaluation of impairment of assets are made using management's forecasts of commodity prices, market supply and demand, product margins and, in the case of exploration and production assets, expected production volumes. The latter takes into account assessments of field and reservoir performance and includes expectations about both proved reserves and volumes that are expected to constitute proved reserves in the future (unproved volumes), which are risk-weighted utilising geological, production, recovery and economic projections. Cash flow estimates are risk-adjusted to reflect local conditions as appropriate and discounted at a rate based on Shell's marginal cost of debt.

Impairments, except those related to goodwill, are reversed as applicable to the extent that the events or circumstances that triggered the original impairment have changed.

Impairment charges and reversals are reported within depreciation, depletion and amortisation.

On reclassification as held for sale, the carrying amounts of intangible assets and property, plant and equipment are also reviewed and, where appropriate, written down to their fair value less costs to sell. No further provision for depreciation, depletion or amortisation is charged.

[Note 2 continued]

KEY ACCOUNTING JUDGEMENTS AND ESTIMATES

Proved oil and gas reserves

Unit-of-production depreciation, depletion and amortisation charges are principally measured based on management's estimates of proved developed oil and gas reserves. Also, exploration drilling costs are capitalised pending the results of further exploration or appraisal activity, which may take several years to complete and before any related proved reserves can be booked.

Proved reserves are estimated by reference to available geological and engineering data and only include volumes for which access to market is assured with reasonable certainty. Estimates of proved reserves are inherently imprecise, require the application of judgement and are subject to regular revision, either upward or downward, based on new information such as from the drilling of additional wells, observation of long-term reservoir performance under producing conditions and changes in economic factors, including product prices, contract terms or development plans.

Changes to estimates of proved developed reserves affect prospectively the amounts of depreciation, depletion and amortisation charged and, consequently, the carrying amounts of exploration and production assets. It is expected, however, that in the normal course of business the diversity of the asset portfolio will limit the effect of such revisions. The outcome of, or assessment of plans for, exploration or appraisal activity may result in the related capitalised exploration drilling costs being recognised in income in that period.

Information about the carrying amounts of exploration and production assets and the amounts charged to income, including depreciation, depletion and amortisation, is presented in Note 8.

Impairment

For the purposes of determining whether impairment of assets has occurred, and the extent of any impairment or its reversal, the key assumptions management uses in estimating risk-adjusted future cash flows for value-in-use measures are future oil and gas prices, expected production volumes and refining margins appropriate to the local circumstances and environment. These assumptions and the judgements of management that are based on them are subject to change as new information becomes available. Changes in economic conditions can also affect the rate used to discount future cash flow estimates.

Future price assumptions tend to be stable because management does not consider short-term increases or decreases in prices as being indicative of long-term levels, but they are nonetheless subject to change. Expected production volumes, which comprise proved reserves and unproved volumes, are used for impairment testing because management believes this to be the most appropriate indicator of expected future cash flows. As discussed in "Proved oil and gas reserves" above, reserves estimates are inherently imprecise. Furthermore, projections about unproved volumes are based on information that is necessarily less robust than that available for mature reservoirs. Due to the nature and geographical spread of the business activity in which those assets are used, it is typically not practicable to estimate the likelihood or extent of impairments under different sets of assumptions. The discount rate applied is reviewed annually.

Changes in assumptions could affect the carrying amounts of assets, and impairment charges and reversals will affect income.

Information about the carrying amounts of assets and impairments is presented in Notes 7 and 8.

Leases

Agreements under which payments are made to owners in return for the right to use an asset for a period are accounted for as leases. Leases that transfer substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership are recognised at the commencement of the lease term as finance leases within property, plant and equipment and debt at the fair value of the leased asset or, if lower, at the present value of the minimum lease payments. Finance lease payments are apportioned between interest expense and repayments of debt. All other leases are classified as operating leases and the cost is recognised in income on a straight-line basis.

Joint arrangements and associates

Arrangements under which Shell has contractually agreed to share control (see "Nature of the Consolidated Financial Statements") with another party or parties are joint ventures where the parties have rights to the net assets of the arrangement, or joint operations where the parties have rights to the assets and obligations for the liabilities relating to the arrangement. Investments in entities over which Shell has the right to exercise significant influence but neither control nor joint control are classified as associates. Information about incorporated joint arrangements and associates at December 31, 2015, is set out in Exhibit 8.

Investments in joint ventures and associates are accounted for using the equity method, under which the investment is initially recognised at cost and subsequently adjusted for the Shell share of post-acquisition income less dividends received and the Shell share of other comprehensive income and other movements in equity, together with any loans of a long-term investment nature. Where necessary, adjustments are made to the financial statements of joint ventures and associates to bring the accounting policies used into line with those of Shell. In an exchange of assets and liabilities for an interest in a joint venture, the non-Shell share of any excess of the fair value of the assets and liabilities transferred over the pre-exchange carrying amounts is recognised in income. Unrealised gains on other transactions between Shell and its joint ventures and associates are eliminated to the extent of Shell's interest in them; unrealised losses are treated similarly but may also result in an assessment of whether the asset transferred is impaired.

Shell recognises its assets and liabilities relating to its interests in joint operations, including its share of assets held jointly and liabilities incurred jointly with other partners.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at cost or net realisable value, whichever is lower. Cost comprises direct purchase costs (including transportation), and associated costs incurred in bringing inventories to their present condition and location, and is determined using the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method for oil and chemicals and by the weighted average cost method for materials.

Taxation

The charge for current tax is calculated based on the income reported by the Company and its subsidiaries, as adjusted for items that are non-taxable or disallowed and using rates that have been enacted or substantively enacted by the balance sheet date.

Deferred tax is determined, using the liability method, on temporary differences arising between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their carrying amounts in the Consolidated Balance Sheet and on unused tax losses and credits carried forward.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are calculated using the enacted or substantively enacted rates that are expected to apply when the asset or liability is recovered. They are not recognised where they arise on the initial recognition of goodwill or of an asset or liability in a transaction (other than in a business combination) that, at the time of the transaction, affects neither accounting nor taxable profit, or in respect of taxable temporary differences associated with subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates where the reversal of the respective temporary difference can be controlled by Shell and it is probable that it will not reverse in the foreseeable future.

Deferred tax assets are recognised to the extent that it is probable that future taxable profits will be available against which the deductible temporary differences, unused tax losses and credits carried forward can be utilised.

Income taxes are recognised in income except when they relate to items recognised in other comprehensive income, in which case the tax is recognised in other comprehensive income. Income tax assets and liabilities are presented separately in the Consolidated Balance Sheet except where there is a right of set-off within fiscal jurisdictions and an intention to settle such balances on a net basis.

KEY ACCOUNTING JUDGEMENTS AND ESTIMATES

Tax liabilities are recognised when it is considered probable that there will be a future outflow of funds to a taxing authority. In such cases, provision is made for the amount that is expected to be settled, where this can be reasonably estimated. This requires the application of judgement as to the ultimate outcome, which can change over time depending on facts and circumstances. A change in estimate of the likelihood of a future outflow and/or in the expected amount to be settled would be recognised in income in the period in which the change occurs.

Deferred tax assets are recognised only to the extent it is considered probable that those assets will be recoverable. This involves an assessment of when those assets are likely to reverse, and a judgement as to whether or not there will be sufficient taxable profits available to offset the assets when they do reverse. This requires assumptions regarding future profitability and is therefore inherently uncertain. To the extent assumptions regarding future profitability change, there can be an increase or decrease in the amounts recognised in respect of deferred tax assets as well as in the amounts recognised in income in the period in which the change occurs.

Taxation information, including charges and deferred tax assets and liabilities, is presented in Note 16.

Retirement benefits

Benefits in the form of retirement pensions and healthcare and life insurance are provided to certain employees and retirees under defined benefit and defined contribution plans.

Obligations under defined benefit plans are calculated annually by independent actuaries using the projected unit credit method, which takes into account employees' years of service and, for pensions, average or final pensionable remuneration, and are discounted to their present value using interest rates of high-quality corporate bonds denominated in the currency in which the benefits will be paid and of a duration consistent with the plan obligations. Where plans are funded, payments are made to independently managed trusts; assets held by those trusts are measured at fair value.

The amounts recognised in income in respect of defined benefit plans mainly comprise service cost and net interest. Service cost comprises principally the increase in the present value of the obligation for benefits resulting from employee service during the period (current service cost) and also amounts relating to past service and settlements or amendments of plans. Plan amendments are changes to benefits and are generally recognised when all legal and regulatory approvals have been received and the effects have been communicated to members. Net interest is calculated using the net defined benefit liability or asset matched against the discount rate yield curve at the beginning of each year for each plan. Remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability or asset resulting from actuarial gains and losses and the return on plan assets excluding the amount recognised in income are recognised in other comprehensive income.

For defined contribution plans, pension expense represents the amount of employer contributions payable for the period.

KEY ACCOUNTING JUDGEMENTS AND ESTIMATES

Defined benefit obligations and plan assets, and the resulting liabilities and assets that are recognised, are subject to significant volatility as actuarial assumptions regarding future outcomes and market values change. Substantial judgement is required in determining the actuarial assumptions, which vary for the different plans to reflect local conditions but are determined under a common process in consultation with independent actuaries. The assumptions applied in respect of each plan are reviewed annually and adjusted where necessary to reflect changes in experience and actuarial recommendations.

[Note 2 continued]

Information about the amounts reported in respect of defined benefit pension plans, assumptions applicable to the principal plans and their sensitivity to changes are presented in Note 17.

Provisions

Provisions are recognised at the balance sheet date at management's best estimate of the expenditure required to settle the present obligation. Non-current amounts are discounted at a rate intended to reflect the time value of money. Specific details for decommissioning and restoration costs are described below. The carrying amounts of provisions are regularly reviewed and adjusted for new facts or changes in law or technology.

Provisions for decommissioning and restoration costs, which arise principally in connection with hydrocarbon production facilities and pipelines, are measured on the basis of current requirements, technology and price levels; the present value is calculated using amounts discounted over the useful economic life of the assets. The liability is recognised (together with a corresponding amount as part of the related property, plant and equipment) once an obligation crystallises in the period when a reasonable estimate can be made. The effects of changes resulting from revisions to the timing or the amount of the original estimate of the provision are reflected on a prospective basis, generally by adjustment to the carrying amount of the related property, plant and equipment.

Other provisions are recognised in income in the period in which an obligation arises and the amount can be reasonably estimated. Provisions are measured based on current legal requirements and existing technology where applicable. Recognition of any joint and several liability is based on management's best estimate of the final pro-rata share of the liability. Provisions are determined independently of expected insurance recoveries. Recoveries are recognised when virtually certain of realisation.

KEY ACCOUNTING JUDGEMENTS AND ESTIMATES

Provisions are recognised for the future decommissioning and restoration of hydrocarbon production facilities and pipelines at the end of their economic lives. The estimated cost is recognised in income over the life of the proved developed reserves on a unit-of-production basis or on a straight-line basis, as applicable. Changes in the estimates of costs to be incurred, proved developed reserves or in the rate of production will therefore impact income, generally over the remaining economic life of the related assets.

Estimates of the amounts of provisions recognised are based on current legal and constructive requirements, technology and price levels. Because actual outflows can differ from estimates due to changes in laws, regulations, public expectations, technology, prices and conditions, and can take place many years in the future, the carrying amounts of provisions are regularly reviewed and adjusted to take account of such changes. The discount rate applied is reviewed annually.

Information about decommissioning and restoration provisions is presented in Note 18.

Financial instruments and other derivative contracts

Financial assets and liabilities are presented separately in the Consolidated Balance Sheet except where there is a legally enforceable right of offset and net settlement is regularly applied.

FINANCIAL ASSETS

Investments in securities

Investments in securities (also referred to as "securities") comprise equity and debt securities classified on initial recognition as available-for-sale and are carried at fair value, except where their fair value cannot be measured reliably, in which case they are carried at cost, less any impairment. Unrealised holding gains and losses other than impairments are recognised in other comprehensive income, except for translation differences arising on foreign currency debt securities, which are recognised in income. On maturity or disposal, net gains and losses previously deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income are recognised in income.

Interest income on debt securities is recognised in income using the effective interest method. Dividends on equity securities are recognised in income when receivable.

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents comprise cash at bank and in hand, including offsetting bank overdrafts, short-term bank deposits, money market funds, reverse repos and similar instruments that have a maturity of three months or less at the date of acquisition.

Trade receivables

Trade receivables are recognised initially at fair value based on amounts exchanged and subsequently at amortised cost less any impairment.

FINANCIAL LIABILITIES

Debt and trade payables are recognised initially at fair value based on amounts exchanged, net of transaction costs, and subsequently at amortised cost, except for fixed rate debt subject to fair value hedging which is remeasured for the hedged risk (see next page).

Interest expense on debt is accounted for using the effective interest method and, other than interest capitalised, is recognised in income.

DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS

Derivative contracts are used in the management of interest rate risk, foreign exchange risk and commodity price risk, and in the management of foreign currency cash balances. These contracts are recognised at fair value.

Those derivative contracts qualifying and designated as hedges are either: (i) a "fair value" hedge of the change in fair value of a recognised asset or liability or an unrecognised firm commitment; or (ii) a "cash flow" hedge of the change in cash flows to be received or paid relating to a recognised asset or liability or a highly probable forecast transaction.

A change in the fair value of a hedging instrument designated as a fair value hedge is recognised in income, together with the consequential adjustment to the carrying amount of the hedged item. The effective portion of a change in fair value of a derivative contract designated as a cash flow hedge is recognised in other comprehensive income until the hedged transaction occurs; any ineffective portion is recognised in income. Where the hedged item is a non-financial asset or liability, the amount in accumulated other comprehensive income is transferred to the initial carrying amount of the asset or liability; for other hedged items, the amount in accumulated other comprehensive income is recognised in income when the hedged transaction affects income.

All relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items are documented, as well as risk management objectives and strategies for undertaking hedge transactions. The effectiveness of hedges is also continually assessed and hedge accounting is discontinued when a hedge ceases to be highly effective.

Gains and losses on derivative contracts not qualifying and designated as hedges, including forward sale and purchase contracts for commodities in trading operations that may be settled by the physical delivery or receipt of the commodity, are recognised in income.

Unless designated as hedging instruments, contracts to sell or purchase non-financial items that can be settled net as if the contracts were financial instruments and that do not meet expected own use requirements (typically, forward sale and purchase contracts for commodities in trading operations), and contracts that are or contain written options, are recognised at fair value; associated gains and losses are recognised in income.

Derivatives embedded within contracts that are not already required to be recognised at fair value, and that are not closely related to the host contract in terms of economic characteristics and risks, are separated from their host contract and recognised at fair value; associated gains and losses are recognised in income.

Fair value measurements

Fair value measurements are estimates of the amounts for which assets or liabilities could be transferred at the measurement date, based on the assumption that such transfers take place between participants in principal markets and, where applicable, taking highest and best use into account. Where available, fair value measurements are derived from prices quoted in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. In the absence of such information, other observable inputs are used to estimate fair value. Inputs derived from external sources are corroborated or otherwise verified, as appropriate. In the absence of publicly available information, fair value is determined using estimation techniques that take into account market perspectives relevant to the asset or liability, in as far as they can reasonably be ascertained, based on predominantly unobservable inputs. For derivative contracts where publicly available information is not available, fair value estimations are generally determined using models and other valuation methods, the key inputs for which include future prices, volatility, price correlation, counterparty credit risk and market liquidity, as appropriate; for other assets and liabilities, fair value estimations are generally based on the net present value of expected future cash flows.

Share-based compensation plans

The fair value of share-based compensation expense arising from the Performance Share Plan (PSP) and the Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) – Shell's main equity-settled plans – is estimated using a Monte Carlo option pricing model and is recognised in income from the date of grant over the vesting period with a corresponding increase directly in equity. The model projects and averages the results for a range of potential outcomes for the vesting conditions, the principal assumptions for which are the share price volatility and dividend yields for Shell and four of its main competitors over the last three years and the last 10 years. Changes in the fair value of share-based compensation for cash-settled plans are recognised in income with a corresponding change in liabilities.

Shares held in trust

Shares in the Company, which are held by employee share ownership trusts and trust-like entities, are not included in assets but are reflected at cost as a deduction from equity as shares held in trust.

Acquisitions and disposals of interests in a business

Assets acquired and liabilities assumed when control is obtained over a business are recognised at their fair value at the date of the acquisition; the amount of the purchase consideration above this value is recognised as goodwill, with any non-controlling interest recognised as the proportionate share of the identifiable net assets. The acquisition of a non-controlling interest in a subsidiary and the disposal of an interest while retaining control are accounted for as transactions within equity. The difference between the purchase consideration or disposal proceeds after tax and the relevant proportion of the non-controlling interest, measured by reference to the carrying amount of the interest's net assets at the date of acquisition or disposal, is recognised in retained earnings as a movement in equity attributable to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders.

Consolidated Statement of Income presentation

Purchases reflect all costs related to the acquisition of inventories and the effects of the changes therein, and include associated costs incurred in conversion into finished or intermediate products. Production and manufacturing expenses are the costs of operating, maintaining and managing production and manufacturing assets. Selling, distribution and administrative expenses include direct and indirect costs of marketing and selling products.

3 CHANGES TO IFRS NOT YET ADOPTED

The final version of IFRS 9 *Financial Instruments* was issued in 2014 and sets out the requirements for recognising and measuring financial assets, financial liabilities and certain contracts to buy or sell non-financial items. It replaces IAS 39 *Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement*. IFRS 9 is required to be adopted by 2018. The impact for Shell is under review and IFRS 9 may facilitate further use of hedge accounting and also could result in different income recognition, or timing of recognition, in respect of certain investments in securities.

IFRS 11 *Joint Arrangements* was amended in 2014 to clarify the accounting for the acquisition of an interest or an additional interest in a joint operation where the joint operation itself meets the IFRS definition of a business. The principles of business combination accounting, with some exceptions, should be applied to such transactions which take place on or after the implementation date. This differs from Shell's current practice which is to account for these as asset acquisitions and therefore the amendment may result in further use of fair value measurements and recognition of goodwill. The amendment will be adopted with effect from January 1, 2016, and the impact on Shell will depend on future transactions.

IFRS 15 *Revenue from Contracts with Customers* was issued in 2014 and replaces IAS 18 *Revenue*. It is required to be adopted by 2018 and is not expected to have a significant effect on Shell's accounting or disclosures.

IFRS 16 *Leases* was issued in January 2016 to replace IAS 17 *Leases* and is required to be adopted by 2019. Under the new standard all lease contracts, with limited exceptions, are recognised in financial statements by way of right of use assets and corresponding lease liabilities. Compared with the existing accounting for operating leases, it will also impact the classification and timing of expenses and consequently the classification between cash flow from operating activities and cash flow from financing activities. Key aspects being considered in Shell's review of the new standard are the application of the new definition of a lease, the adoption date and whether to apply any transitional options such as prospective application.

IFRS 10 *Consolidated Financial Statements* and IAS 28 *Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures* were amended in 2014 with an effective date of January 1, 2016, to clarify the accounting for the contribution of a business to a joint venture or an associate in exchange for an equity interest in that joint venture or associate. A full gain or loss on sale should be recognised for such transactions which take place on or after the implementation date, which differs from Shell's current practice. In 2015 the effective date of the amendment was postponed to a date yet to be determined. It is not intended to early adopt the amendment.

4 SEGMENT INFORMATION

Shell is engaged in the principal aspects of the oil and gas industry in more than 70 countries and reports its business through three segments. Upstream combines the operating segments Upstream International and Upstream Americas, which are engaged in the exploration for and extraction of crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids; the extraction of bitumen from oil sands that is converted into synthetic crude oil; the transportation of oil; the liquefaction and transportation of gas; the conversion of natural gas to liquids to provide fuels and other products; and wind energy. These operating segments have similar economic characteristics because their earnings are significantly dependent on crude oil and natural gas prices and production volumes, and because their projects generally require significant investment, are complex and generate revenues for many years. Downstream is engaged in refining, pipelines and marketing activities for oil products and chemicals and in alternative energy (excluding wind). Corporate represents the key support functions, comprising Shell's holdings and treasury organisation, including its self-insurance activities as well as its headquarters and central functions. Integrated within the Upstream and Downstream segments are Shell's trading activities, technical services and technology capability, and functions such as safety and environment, and CO₂ management. Sales between segments are based on prices generally equivalent to commercially available prices.

Segment earnings are presented on a current cost of supplies basis (CCS earnings), which is the earnings measure used by the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) for the purposes of making decisions about allocating resources and assessing performance. On this basis, the purchase price of volumes sold during the period is based on the current cost of supplies during the same period after making allowance for the tax effect. CCS earnings therefore exclude the effect of changes in the oil price on inventory carrying amounts.

Information by segment on a current cost of supplies basis is as follows:

| 2015 | | | | \$ MILLION |
|--|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | Upstream | Downstream | Corporate | Total |
| CCS earnings | (5,663) | 10,243 | (425) | 4,155 |
| Revenue and other income | | | | |
| Revenue | | | | |
| Third party | 28,480 | 236,384 | 96 | 264,960 |
| Inter-segment | 25,447 | 1,362 | – | |
| Share of profit/(loss) of joint ventures and associates | 1,962 | 2,215 | (327) | 3,850 |
| Interest and other income | 2,356 | 1,156 | 157 | 3,669 |
| Total | | | | 272,479 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortisation charge, of which: | 23,001 | 3,667 | 46 | 26,714 |
| Impairment losses | 8,746 | 556 | 27 | 9,329 |
| Impairment reversals | – | 3 | – | 3 |
| Interest expense | 881 | 51 | 956 | 1,888 |
| Taxation charge/(credit) | 10 | 1,639 | (1,156) | 493 |
| 2014 | | | | \$ MILLION |
| | Upstream | Downstream | Corporate | Total |
| CCS earnings | 15,841 | 3,411 | (156) | 19,096 |
| Revenue and other income | | | | |
| Revenue | | | | |
| Third party | 45,240 | 375,752 | 113 | 421,105 |
| Inter-segment | 47,059 | 2,294 | – | |
| Share of profit/(loss) of joint ventures and associates | 5,502 | 1,693 | (346) | 6,849 |
| Interest and other income | 4,029 | 41 | 53 | 4,123 |
| Total | | | | 432,077 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortisation charge, of which: | 17,868 | 6,619 | 12 | 24,499 |
| Impairment losses | 3,587 | 3,396 | – | 6,983 |
| Impairment reversals | 100 | 251 | – | 351 |
| Interest expense | 953 | 86 | 765 | 1,804 |
| Taxation charge/(credit) | 15,277 | 1,085 | (1,324) | 15,038 |
| 2013 | | | | \$ MILLION |
| | Upstream | Downstream | Corporate | Total |
| CCS earnings | 12,638 | 3,869 | 372 | 16,879 |
| Revenue and other income | | | | |
| Revenue | | | | |
| Third party | 47,357 | 403,725 | 153 | 451,235 |
| Inter-segment | 45,512 | 702 | – | |
| Share of profit/(loss) of joint ventures and associates | 6,120 | 1,525 | (388) | 7,257 |
| Interest and other income | 659 | 273 | 157 | 1,089 |
| Total | | | | 459,581 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortisation charge, of which: | 16,949 | 4,421 | 139 | 21,509 |
| Impairment losses | 4,678 | 749 | – | 5,427 |
| Impairment reversals | 17 | – | – | 17 |
| Interest expense | 910 | 83 | 649 | 1,642 |
| Taxation charge/(credit) | 17,803 | 1,129 | (1,712) | 17,220 |

[Note 4 continued]

| RECONCILIATION OF CCS EARNINGS TO INCOME FOR THE PERIOD | | \$ MILLION | | |
|---|---------|------------|--------|--|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | |
| CCS earnings | 4,155 | 19,096 | 16,879 | |
| Current cost of supplies adjustment: | | | | |
| Purchases | (2,278) | (5,087) | (525) | |
| Taxation | 646 | 1,454 | 154 | |
| Share of (loss)/profit of joint ventures and associates | (323) | (733) | 18 | |
| Income for the period | 2,200 | 14,730 | 16,526 | |

Information by geographical area is as follows:

| 2015 | | \$ MILLION | | | |
|---|--------|-----------------------------|--------|-------------------|---------|
| | Europe | Asia, Oceania, Africa | USA | Other Americas | Total |
| Third-party revenue, by origin | 95,223 | 95,892 | 50,666 | 23,179 | 264,960 |
| Intangible assets, property, plant and equipment, joint ventures and associates at December 31 | 33,439 | 104,949 | 51,269 | 29,614 | 219,271 |

| 2014 | | \$ MILLION | | | |
|---|---------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------------------|---------|
| | Europe | Asia, Oceania, Africa | USA | Other Americas | Total |
| Third-party revenue, by origin | 154,709 | 149,869 | 80,133[A] | 36,394[A] | 421,105 |
| Intangible assets, property, plant and equipment, joint ventures and associates at December 31 | 35,220 | 105,226 | 51,124 | 39,536 | 231,106 |

| 2013 | | \$ MILLION | | | |
|---|---------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------------------|---------|
| | Europe | Asia, Oceania, Africa | USA | Other Americas | Total |
| Third-party revenue, by origin | 175,584 | 157,673 | 79,581[A] | 38,397[A] | 451,235 |
| Intangible assets, property, plant and equipment, joint ventures and associates at December 31 | 35,919 | 101,003 | 51,626 | 42,356 | 230,904 |

[A] Revised following a reassessment of geographical allocation, resulting in an increase in the USA and a corresponding decrease in Other Americas of \$9,320 million in 2014 and \$7,029 million in 2013.

5 INTEREST AND OTHER INCOME

| | | \$ MILLION | | |
|--|-------|------------|-------|--|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | |
| Interest income | 359 | 206 | 194 | |
| Dividend income (from investments in securities) | 456 | 888 | 615 | |
| Net gains on sale of non-current assets and businesses | 3,460 | 3,212 | 382 | |
| Foreign exchange losses on financing activities | (649) | (195) | (184) | |
| Other | 43 | 12 | 82 | |
| Total | 3,669 | 4,123 | 1,089 | |

Net gains on sale of non-current assets and businesses in 2015 arose mainly in respect of interests in Nigeria (Upstream), interests in France and Norway (Downstream) and an office building in the UK (Corporate). In 2014, they arose mainly in respect of Upstream interests in Australia, Nigeria and the USA.

Other net foreign exchange losses of \$197 million in 2015 (2014: \$122 million losses; 2013: \$17 million gains) were included in purchases.

6 INTEREST EXPENSE

| | \$ MILLION | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Interest incurred and similar charges | 1,832 | 1,517 | 1,330 |
| Less: interest capitalised | (839) | (757) | (762) |
| Other net (gains)/losses on fair value hedges of debt | (37) | 5 | 82 |
| Accretion expense (see Note 18) | 932 | 1,039 | 992 |
| Total | 1,888 | 1,804 | 1,642 |

The rate applied in determining the amount of interest capitalised in 2015 was 3% (2014: 3%; 2013: 3%).

7 INTANGIBLE ASSETS

| 2015 | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|---------------|------------|
| | LNG off-take and sales contracts | Goodwill | Software and other | Total | |
| Cost | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 3,271 | 2,712 | 4,562 | 10,545 | |
| Additions | – | – | 277 | 277 | |
| Sales, retirements and other movements | – | – | (174) | (174) | |
| Currency translation differences | – | (108) | (192) | (300) | |
| At December 31 | 3,271 | 2,604 | 4,473 | 10,348 | |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortisation, including impairments | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 278 | 316 | 2,875 | 3,469 | |
| Charge for the year | 278 | 315 | 335 | 928 | |
| Sales, retirements and other movements | – | – | (156) | (156) | |
| Currency translation differences | – | (37) | (139) | (176) | |
| At December 31 | 556 | 594 | 2,915 | 4,065 | |
| Carrying amount at December 31 | 2,715 | 2,010 | 1,558 | 6,283 | |

| 2014 | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|---------------|------------|
| | LNG off-take and sales contracts | Goodwill | Software and other | Total | |
| Cost | | | | | |
| At January 1 | – | 2,948 | 4,585 | 7,533 | |
| Additions | 3,271 | – | 162 | 3,433 | |
| Sales, retirements and other movements | – | (170) | 9 | (161) | |
| Currency translation differences | – | (66) | (194) | (260) | |
| At December 31 | 3,271 | 2,712 | 4,562 | 10,545 | |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortisation, including impairments | | | | | |
| At January 1 | – | 385 | 2,754 | 3,139 | |
| Charge for the year | 278 | – | 386 | 664 | |
| Sales, retirements and other movements | – | (59) | (128) | (187) | |
| Currency translation differences | – | (10) | (137) | (147) | |
| At December 31 | 278 | 316 | 2,875 | 3,469 | |
| Carrying amount at December 31 | 2,993 | 2,396 | 1,687 | 7,076 | |

Additions in 2014 mainly comprise assets acquired as a result of the acquisition of Repsol LNG businesses outside North America.

Goodwill at December 31, 2015 and 2014, principally relates to Pennzoil-Quaker State Company, a lubricants business in the Downstream segment based largely in North America. For impairment testing purposes, cash flow projections for this business reflected long-term growth rates that were assumed to be equal to the average expected inflation rate for the USA (2015: 2%; 2014: 2%) and were adjusted for a variety of risks, in particular volume and margin deterioration. The nominal pre-tax discount rate applied was 6% (2014: 6%).

8 PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

| 2015 | | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------|--|---------|----------|------------|
| | Exploration and production assets | | Manufacturing, supply and distribution | Other | Total | |
| | Exploration and evaluation | Production | | | | |
| Cost | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 29,922 | 234,725 | 75,681 | 23,871 | 364,199 | |
| Additions | 3,523 | 17,425 | 4,148 | 1,458 | 26,554 | |
| Sales, retirements and other movements | (4,467) | (442) | (2,975) | (2,357) | (10,241) | |
| Currency translation differences | (1,250) | (12,149) | (3,206) | (1,984) | (18,589) | |
| At December 31 | 27,728 | 239,559 | 73,648 | 20,988 | 361,923 | |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortisation, including impairments | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 3,810 | 116,476 | 39,347 | 12,094 | 171,727 | |
| Charge for the year | 4,968 | 16,229 | 3,654 | 935 | 25,786 | |
| Sales, retirements and other movements | (427) | (3,912) | (2,792) | (1,748) | (8,879) | |
| Currency translation differences | (256) | (6,207) | (2,051) | (1,035) | (9,549) | |
| At December 31 | 8,095 | 122,586 | 38,158 | 10,246 | 179,085 | |
| Carrying amount at December 31 | 19,633 | 116,973 | 35,490 | 10,742 | 182,838 | |

| 2014 | | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------|--|---------|----------|------------|
| | Exploration and production assets | | Manufacturing, supply and distribution | Other | Total | |
| | Exploration and evaluation | Production | | | | |
| Cost | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 34,102 | 233,180 | 76,624 | 27,209 | 371,115 | |
| Additions | 5,457 | 21,958 | 5,633 | 2,042 | 35,090 | |
| Sales, retirements and other movements | (8,907) | (11,821) | (3,544) | (3,274) | (27,546) | |
| Currency translation differences | (730) | (8,592) | (3,032) | (2,106) | (14,460) | |
| At December 31 | 29,922 | 234,725 | 75,681 | 23,871 | 364,199 | |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortisation, including impairments | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 4,978 | 121,714 | 38,421 | 14,105 | 179,218 | |
| Charge for the year | 2,587 | 13,633 | 6,234 | 1,381 | 23,835 | |
| Sales, retirements and other movements | (3,679) | (14,353) | (3,323) | (2,295) | (23,650) | |
| Currency translation differences | (76) | (4,518) | (1,985) | (1,097) | (7,676) | |
| At December 31 | 3,810 | 116,476 | 39,347 | 12,094 | 171,727 | |
| Carrying amount at December 31 | 26,112 | 118,249 | 36,334 | 11,777 | 192,472 | |

The carrying amount at December 31, 2015, includes \$45,701 million (2014: \$46,193 million) of assets under construction. This amount excludes exploration and evaluation assets. The carrying amount at December 31, 2015, also includes \$1,161 million of assets classified as held for sale (2014: \$1,412 million).

Exploration and production assets at December 31, 2015, include rights and concessions in respect of proved and unproved properties of \$17,204 million (2014: \$24,152 million). Exploration and evaluation assets principally comprise rights and concessions in respect of unproved properties and capitalised exploration drilling costs.

Contractual commitments for the acquisition of property, plant and equipment at December 31, 2015, amounted to \$3,062 million (2014: \$4,565 million).

| CARRYING AMOUNT OF PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT HELD UNDER FINANCE LEASES | | | \$ MILLION |
|--|--------------|--------------|------------|
| | Dec 31, 2015 | Dec 31, 2014 | |
| Exploration and production assets | 2,080 | 2,686 | |
| Manufacturing, supply and distribution | 1,856 | 2,069 | |
| Other | 324 | 293 | |
| Total | 4,260 | 5,048 | |

| IMPAIRMENTS | \$ MILLION | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Impairment losses [A] | | | |
| Exploration and production assets | 8,387 | 3,585 | 4,528 |
| Manufacturing, supply and distribution | 458 | 3,099 | 305 |
| Other | 165 | 299 | 532 |
| Total | 9,010 | 6,983 | 5,365 |
| Impairment reversals [A] | | | |
| Exploration and production assets | – | 100 | 17 |
| Other | 3 | 244 | – |
| Total | 3 | 344 | 17 |

[A] Presented by segment in Note 4, together with impairment losses and reversals in respect of intangible assets.

Following the revisions to Shell's long-term oil and gas price outlook in 2015, relevant assets were identified for an impairment review resulting in impairment charges in 2015 of \$4.4 billion, principally related to Upstream North American shale properties. In the calculation of the value in use, cash flows were adjusted for risks specific to the related assets and the nominal pre-tax discount rate applied was 6%. Further future downward revisions to Shell's oil and gas price outlook by 10% or more would lead to further impairments which, in aggregate, are likely to be material. Also in Upstream in 2015, Shell ceased Alaska drilling activities for the foreseeable future and the Carmon Creek project in Canada, resulting in impairment charges of \$1.8 billion and \$2.2 billion respectively.

In response to changes to future capital expenditure plans, an impairment review of tight-gas properties in North America was carried out in 2014, resulting in impairment charges of \$2.7 billion in Upstream in respect of a number of US properties. Also in 2014, an impairment review of the refining portfolio was carried out in response to the continuation of weak refining margins across the industry, resulting in impairment charges of \$2.8 billion in Downstream. Impairment losses in 2013 arose principally in Upstream in respect of the US tight-gas and liquids-rich shale portfolio.

| CAPITALISED EXPLORATION DRILLING COSTS | \$ MILLION | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| At January 1 | 8,465 | 8,377 | 7,886 |
| Additions pending determination of proved reserves | 3,276 | 4,370 | 5,978 |
| Amounts charged to expense | (2,771) | (1,881) | (2,742) |
| Reclassifications to productive wells on determination of proved reserves | (991) | (2,116) | (2,231) |
| Other movements | (144) | (285) | (514) |
| At December 31 | 7,835 | 8,465 | 8,377 |

Exploration drilling costs capitalised for periods greater than one year at December 31, 2015, analysed according to the most recent year of activity, are presented in the table below. They comprise \$869 million relating to 15 projects where drilling activities were underway or firmly planned for the future and \$3,852 million relating to 38 projects awaiting development concepts.

| | Projects | | Wells | |
|-------------------------|-----------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| | Number | \$ MILLION | Number | \$ MILLION |
| Between 1 and 5 years | 42 | 4,364 | 237 | 3,554 |
| Between 6 and 10 years | 10 | 332 | 44 | 1,050 |
| Between 11 and 15 years | 1 | 25 | 6 | 117 |
| Total | 53 | 4,721 | 287 | 4,721 |

9 JOINT VENTURES AND ASSOCIATES

| SHELL SHARE OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME OF JOINT VENTURES AND ASSOCIATES | | | | | | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|--|----------------|------------|-------|----------------|------------|-------|----------------|------------|-------|------------|
| | 2015 | | | 2014 | | | 2013 | | | |
| | Joint ventures | Associates | Total | Joint ventures | Associates | Total | Joint ventures | Associates | Total | |
| Income for the period | 908[A] | 2,619 | 3,527 | 1,813 | 4,303 | 6,116 | 2,541 | 4,734 | 7,275 | |
| Other comprehensive (loss)/income for the period | (73) | 33 | (40) | (90) | (66) | (156) | 24 | (191) | (167) | |
| Comprehensive income for the period | 835 | 2,652 | 3,487 | 1,723 | 4,237 | 5,960 | 2,565 | 4,543 | 7,108 | |

[A] Includes an impairment loss of \$837 million as a result of changes in the outlook in respect of a joint venture in the Oceania region.

| CARRYING AMOUNT OF INTERESTS IN JOINT VENTURES AND ASSOCIATES | | | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|---|----------------|------------|--------|----------------|------------|--------|------------|
| | Dec 31, 2015 | | | Dec 31, 2014 | | | |
| | Joint ventures | Associates | Total | Joint ventures | Associates | Total | |
| Net assets | 19,065 | 11,085 | 30,150 | 20,387 | 11,171 | 31,558 | |

| TRANSACTIONS WITH JOINT VENTURES AND ASSOCIATES | | | | \$ MILLION |
|--|--------|--------|--------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | |
| Sales and charges to joint ventures and associates | 36,548 | 48,379 | 52,003 | |
| Purchases and charges from joint ventures and associates | 26,440 | 36,567 | 35,941 | |

These transactions principally comprise sales and purchases of goods and services in the ordinary course of business. Related balances outstanding at December 31, 2015 and 2014, are presented in Notes 11 and 15.

| OTHER ARRANGEMENTS IN RESPECT OF JOINT VENTURES AND ASSOCIATES | | | \$ MILLION |
|--|--------------|--------------|------------|
| | Dec 31, 2015 | Dec 31, 2014 | |
| Commitments to make purchases from joint ventures and associates | 86,442 | 131,165 | |
| Commitments to provide debt or equity funding to joint ventures and associates | 2,711 | 3,699 | |
| Amounts guaranteed in respect of joint ventures and associates | 289 | 1,636 | |

10 INVESTMENTS IN SECURITIES

Investments in securities at December 31, 2015, comprise equity and debt securities. Equity securities principally comprise a 15% interest in Malaysia LNG Tiga Sendirian Berhad (2014: a 15% interest in each of Malaysia LNG Dua Sendirian Berhad and Malaysia LNG Tiga Sendirian Berhad). Debt securities comprise a portfolio required to be held by Shell's insurance entities as security for their activities.

Equity and debt securities carried at fair value totalled \$3,052 million at December 31, 2015 (2014: \$3,776 million), with the remainder carried at cost. Of those held at fair value, \$1,427 million (2014: \$1,383 million) are measured by reference to prices in active markets for identical assets, and \$1,625 million (2014: \$2,393 million) are measured by reference to predominantly unobservable inputs. Assets in the latter category, all of which are equity securities, are measured based on expected dividend flows, adjusted for country and other risks as appropriate and discounted to their present value. In the case of the Malaysia LNG investment referred to above, were the oil price assumption used in its valuation to be decreased by \$10 per barrel with no change in other measurement inputs, its carrying amount would decrease by \$149 million (2014: \$212 million).

| INVESTMENTS IN SECURITIES MEASURED USING PREDOMINANTLY UNOBSERVABLE INPUTS | | | \$ MILLION |
|--|-------|-------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | |
| At January 1 | 2,393 | 3,166 | |
| Losses recognised in other comprehensive loss | (733) | (776) | |
| Other movements | (35) | 3 | |
| At December 31 | 1,625 | 2,393 | |

11 TRADE AND OTHER RECEIVABLES

| | \$ MILLION | | | |
|--|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| | Dec 31, 2015 | | Dec 31, 2014 | |
| | Current | Non-current | Current | Non-current |
| Trade receivables | 20,607 | – | 28,393 | – |
| Other receivables | 6,694 | 4,018 | 8,968 | 3,738 |
| Amounts due from joint ventures and associates | 2,107 | 2,260 | 3,173 | 2,212 |
| Derivative contracts (see Note 19) | 13,114 | 744 | 14,037 | 703 |
| Prepayments and deferred charges | 3,262 | 1,695 | 3,899 | 1,651 |
| Total | 45,784 | 8,717 | 58,470 | 8,304 |

The fair value of financial assets included above approximates the carrying amount and, other than the fair value of certain derivative contracts, is determined from predominantly unobservable inputs.

Other receivables principally comprise income tax recoverable (see Note 16), other taxes recoverable and balances due from joint arrangement partners.

Provisions for impairments deducted from trade and other receivables amounted to \$456 million at December 31, 2015 (2014: \$533 million).

| | \$ MILLION | |
|----------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Dec 31, 2015 | Dec 31, 2014 |
| Overdue 1–30 days | 569 | 753 |
| Overdue 31–180 days | 480 | 415 |
| Overdue more than 180 days | 224 | 476 |
| Total | 1,273 | 1,644 |

Information about offsetting, collateral and credit risk is presented in Note 19.

12 INVENTORIES

| | \$ MILLION | |
|-------------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Dec 31, 2015 | Dec 31, 2014 |
| Oil and chemicals | 14,077 | 17,842 |
| Materials | 1,745 | 1,859 |
| Total | 15,822 | 19,701 |

Inventories at December 31, 2015, include write-downs to net realisable value of \$1,134 million (2014: \$1,659 million).

13 CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

| | \$ MILLION | |
|--|-----------------|---------------|
| | Dec 31, 2015[A] | Dec 31, 2014 |
| Cash | 3,237 | 5,095 |
| Short-term bank deposits | 7,442 | 7,707 |
| Money market funds, reverse repos and other cash equivalents | 21,073 | 8,805 |
| Total | 31,752 | 21,607 |

[A] See Note 19 in respect of cash flow hedges.

Included in cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2015, are amounts totalling \$524 million (2014: \$626 million) that are subject to currency controls or other legal restrictions. Information about credit risk is presented in Note 19.

14 DEBT AND LEASE ARRANGEMENTS

| DEBT | \$ MILLION | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------|--|---------------------------------|--------|
| | Dec 31, 2015 | | | Dec 31, 2014 | | |
| | Debt (excluding finance lease liabilities) | Finance lease liabilities | Total | Debt (excluding finance lease liabilities) | Finance lease liabilities | Total |
| Short-term debt | 899 | – | 899 | 1,590 | – | 1,590 |
| Long-term debt due within 1 year | 4,100 | 531 | 4,631 | 5,104 | 514 | 5,618 |
| Current debt | 4,999 | 531 | 5,530 | 6,694 | 514 | 7,208 |
| Non-current debt | 47,195 | 5,654 | 52,849 | 32,144 | 6,188 | 38,332 |
| Total | 52,194 | 6,185 | 58,379 | 38,838 | 6,702 | 45,540 |

| NET DEBT | \$ MILLION | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---|----------|
| | Current debt | Non-current debt | Cash and cash equivalents (see Note 13) | Net debt |
| At January 1, 2015 | (7,208) | (38,332) | 21,607 | (23,933) |
| Cash flow | 5,327 | (20,218) | 11,215 | (3,676) |
| Other movements | (3,849) | 5,436 | – | 1,587 |
| Currency translation differences | 200 | 265 | (1,070) | (605) |
| At December 31, 2015 | (5,530) | (52,849) | 31,752 | (26,627) |
| At January 1, 2014 | (8,344) | (36,218) | 9,696 | (34,866) |
| Cash flow | 6,518 | (6,875) | 12,597 | 12,240 |
| Other movements | (5,472) | 4,634 | – | (838) |
| Currency translation differences | 90 | 127 | (686) | (469) |
| At December 31, 2014 | (7,208) | (38,332) | 21,607 | (23,933) |

Shell management's financial strategy is to manage Shell's assets and liabilities with the aim that, across the business cycle, "cash in" at least equals "cash out" while maintaining a strong balance sheet.

Gearing, calculated as net debt (total debt less cash and cash equivalents) as a percentage of total capital (net debt plus total equity), is a key measure of Shell's capital structure. Across the business cycle management aims to manage gearing within a range of 0-30%. At December 31, 2015, gearing was 14.0% (2014: 12.2%).

| GEARING | \$ MILLION, EXCEPT WHERE OTHERWISE INDICATED | |
|---------------|--|--------------|
| | Dec 31, 2015 | Dec 31, 2014 |
| Net debt | 26,627 | 23,933 |
| Total equity | 164,121 | 172,786 |
| Total capital | 190,748 | 196,719 |
| Gearing | 14.0% | 12.2% |

Our priorities for applying our cash are the servicing and reduction of debt commitments, payment of dividends, share buybacks and capital investment. Management's policy is to grow the dollar dividend through time in line with its view of Shell's underlying earnings and cash flow.

Shell has access to international debt capital markets via two commercial paper (CP) programmes, a euro medium-term note (EMTN) programme and a US universal shelf (US shelf) registration. Issuances under the CP programmes are supported by a committed credit facility and cash.

| BORROWING FACILITIES AND AMOUNTS UNDRAWN | \$ MILLION | | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| | Facility | | Amount undrawn | |
| | Dec 31, 2015 | Dec 31, 2014 | Dec 31, 2015 | Dec 31, 2014 |
| CP programmes | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| EMTN programme | unlimited | 25,000 | n/a | 12,117 |
| US shelf registration | unlimited | unlimited | n/a | n/a |
| Committed credit facility | 7,480 | 7,480 | 7,480 | 7,480 |
| Bridge credit facility | 14,932 | n/a | 14,932 | n/a |

Under the CP programmes, Shell can issue debt of up to \$10 billion with maturities not exceeding 270 days and \$10 billion with maturities not exceeding 397 days. The EMTN programme is updated each year, most recently in August 2015, when the \$25 billion upper limit was removed. \$5,285 million was issued under this programme in 2015 (2014: \$6,394 million). The US shelf registration provides Shell with the flexibility to issue debt securities, ordinary shares, preferred shares and warrants. The registration is updated every three years and was last updated in October 2014. Debt totalling \$15,000 million was issued under this registration in 2015 (2014: \$nil). The committed credit facility is available on same-day terms, at pre-agreed margins, and expires in 2020. The terms and availability are not conditional on Shell's financial ratios or its financial credit ratings. The bridge credit facility was entered into in 2015 in advance of the acquisition of BG Group plc (BG) and was cancelled unused on February 10, 2016.

In addition, other subsidiaries have access to short-term bank facilities totalling \$4,652 million at December 31, 2015 (2014: \$3,760 million).

Interest rate swaps were entered into against certain of the fixed rate debt due to mature after more than one year, affecting the effective interest rate on these balances (see Note 19).

The following tables compare contractual cash flows for debt excluding finance lease liabilities at December 31, with the carrying amount in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Contractual amounts reflect the effects of changes in foreign exchange rates; differences from carrying amounts reflect the effects of discounting, premiums and, where hedge accounting is applied, fair value adjustments. Interest is estimated assuming interest rates applicable to variable rate debt remain constant and there is no change in aggregate principal amounts of debt other than repayment at scheduled maturity, as reflected in the table.

| 2015 | | | | | | | | \$ MILLION | |
|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| | Contractual payments | | | | | | | Difference from carrying amount | Carrying amount |
| | Less than 1 year | Between 1 and 2 years | Between 2 and 3 years | Between 3 and 4 years | Between 4 and 5 years | 5 years and later | Total | | |
| EMTN programme | 1,365 | 1,639 | 2,731 | 2,052 | – | 8,438 | 16,225 | 445 | 16,670 |
| US shelf registration | 2,000 | 3,750 | 4,500 | 2,000 | 5,250 | 15,750 | 33,250 | (121) | 33,129 |
| Bank and other borrowings | 1,634 | 137 | 475 | 49 | 27 | 73 | 2,395 | – | 2,395 |
| Total (excluding interest) | 4,999 | 5,526 | 7,706 | 4,101 | 5,277 | 24,261 | 51,870 | 324 | 52,194 |
| Interest | 1,500 | 1,394 | 1,264 | 1,052 | 883 | 11,205 | 17,298 | | |

| 2014 | | | | | | | | \$ MILLION | |
|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| | Contractual payments | | | | | | | Difference from carrying amount | Carrying amount |
| | Less than 1 year | Between 1 and 2 years | Between 2 and 3 years | Between 3 and 4 years | Between 4 and 5 years | 5 years and later | Total | | |
| EMTN programme | – | 1,519 | 1,823 | 3,039 | 779 | 5,166 | 12,326 | 752 | 13,078 |
| US shelf registration | 4,250 | 2,000 | 1,750 | 2,750 | 2,000 | 9,750 | 22,500 | 5 | 22,505 |
| Bank and other borrowings | 2,421 | 262 | 319 | 130 | 49 | 74 | 3,255 | – | 3,255 |
| Total (excluding interest) | 6,671 | 3,781 | 3,892 | 5,919 | 2,828 | 14,990 | 38,081 | 757 | 38,838 |
| Interest | 1,107 | 1,076 | 955 | 833 | 644 | 7,050 | 11,665 | | |

Debt is issued under the EMTN programme and US shelf registration by Shell International Finance B.V., a 100%-owned subsidiary of the Company, and is underwritten by guarantees issued by the Company. Bank and other borrowings are raised by other subsidiaries with no recourse beyond the immediate borrower and/or the local assets.

The fair value of debt excluding finance lease liabilities at December 31, 2015, was \$53,480 million (2014: \$41,120 million) determined from the prices quoted for those securities.

Lease arrangements are entered into, as lessee, for: in Upstream, principally drilling and ancillary equipment, service vessels, obligations under certain power generation contracts ("tolling agreements") and LNG vessels; in Downstream, principally tankers, storage capacity and retail sites; and in Corporate, principally land and buildings.

[Note 14 continued]

The future minimum lease payments for finance and operating leases and the present value of future minimum finance lease payments at December 31, by payment date are as follows:

| | \$ MILLION | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|--|-------------------------------|
| | | | Finance leases | Operating leases |
| 2015 | Future minimum lease payments | Interest | Present value of future minimum lease payments | Future minimum lease payments |
| Less than 1 year | 1,122 | 591 | 531 | 5,332 |
| Between 1 and 5 years | 3,462 | 1,475 | 1,987 | 13,293 |
| 5 years and later | 5,466 | 1,799 | 3,667 | 7,578 |
| Total | 10,050 | 3,865 | 6,185 | 26,203 |

| | \$ MILLION | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|--|----------------------------------|
| | | | Finance leases | Operating leases |
| 2014 | Future minimum lease payments | Interest | Present value of future minimum lease payments | Future minimum lease payments[A] |
| Less than 1 year | 1,068 | 554 | 514 | 5,099 |
| Between 1 and 5 years | 3,636 | 1,637 | 1,999 | 13,509 |
| 5 years and later | 6,254 | 2,065 | 4,189 | 9,663 |
| Total | 10,958 | 4,256 | 6,702 | 28,271 |

[A] Revised following reassessment of contracts.

Finance lease liabilities are secured on the leased assets and include obligations under tolling agreements. The present value of the future minimum lease payments under these agreements was \$1,576 million at December 31, 2015 (2014: \$1,778 million). The agreements mature between 2021 and 2024 and the average interest rate is 8%.

The net present value of future minimum lease payments for operating leases at December 31, 2015, was \$23,640 million (2014: \$26,044 million, as revised), discounting the payments at 2.6% (2014: 2.0%). This should not be considered as a specific indication of the increase in both assets and liabilities that would result from application of IFRS 16 *Leases* at the respective date (see Note 3), which will depend on various factors including Shell's choice of adoption method.

Future minimum lease payments at December 31, 2015, are stated before deduction of expected rental income from non-cancellable sub-leases of \$485 million (2014: \$551 million) in respect of finance leases and \$169 million (2014: \$172 million) in respect of operating leases.

Operating lease expense in 2015 was \$4,751 million (2014: \$4,572 million; 2013: \$4,056 million).

15 TRADE AND OTHER PAYABLES

| | \$ MILLION | | | |
|--|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| | Dec 31, 2015 | | Dec 31, 2014 | |
| | Current | Non-current | Current | Non-current |
| Trade payables | 23,795 | – | 32,131 | – |
| Other payables | 4,406 | 2,062 | 5,832 | 2,046 |
| Amounts due to joint ventures and associates | 2,503 | 24 | 2,702 | 21 |
| Derivative contracts (see Note 19) | 10,757 | 1,687 | 11,554 | 520 |
| Accruals and deferred income | 11,309 | 755 | 12,645 | 995 |
| Total | 52,770 | 4,528 | 64,864 | 3,582 |

The fair value of financial liabilities included above approximates the carrying amount and, other than the fair value of certain derivative contracts, is determined from predominantly unobservable inputs.

Other payables include balances due to joint arrangement partners and commitments for share repurchases undertaken on the Company's behalf under irrevocable, non-discretionary arrangements.

Information about offsetting, collateral and liquidity risk is presented in Note 19.

16 TAXATION

| TAXATION (CREDIT)/CHARGE | \$ MILLION | | |
|---|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Current tax | | | |
| Charge in respect of current period | 6,886 | 14,044 | 18,316 |
| Adjustments in respect of prior periods | 172 | (287) | 266 |
| Total | 7,058 | 13,757 | 18,582 |
| Deferred tax | | | |
| Relating to the origination and reversal of temporary differences, tax losses and credits | (6,833) | (318) | (1,064) |
| Relating to changes in tax rates | (526) | 19 | (108) |
| Adjustments in respect of prior periods | 148 | 126 | (344) |
| Total | (7,211) | (173) | (1,516) |
| Total taxation (credit)/charge | (153) | 13,584 | 17,066 |

The adjustments in respect of prior periods relate to events in the current period and reflect the effects of changes in rules, facts or other factors compared with those used in establishing the current tax position or deferred tax balance in prior periods.

The deferred tax net credit relating to temporary differences, tax losses and credits in 2015 is mainly due to impairment charges, additional provisions, operating losses and disposals.

| RECONCILIATION OF APPLICABLE TAX CHARGE AT STATUTORY TAX RATES TO TAXATION (CREDIT)/CHARGE | \$ MILLION | | |
|--|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Income before taxation | 2,047 | 28,314 | 33,592 |
| Less: share of profit of joint ventures and associates | (3,527) | (6,116) | (7,275) |
| (Loss)/income before taxation and share of profit of joint ventures and associates | (1,480) | 22,198 | 26,317 |
| Applicable tax charge at statutory tax rates | 930 | 11,206 | 16,463 |
| Adjustments in respect of prior periods | 320 | (161) | (78) |
| Tax effects of: | | | |
| Income not subject to tax at statutory rates | (2,597) | (1,864) | (1,077) |
| Expenses not deductible for tax purposes | 1,452 | 2,271 | 1,134 |
| Deductible items not expensed | (418) | (401) | (545) |
| Taxable income not recognised | 384 | 526 | 263 |
| Derecognition of deferred tax assets | 108 | 1,015 | 321 |
| Other | (332) | 992 | 585 |
| Taxation (credit)/charge | (153) | 13,584 | 17,066 |

The weighted average of statutory tax rates was (62.8)% in 2015 (2014: 50.5%; 2013: 62.6%). The negative rate in 2015 (tax charge on a pre-tax loss) was mainly due to impairment charges, and other charges related to ceasing activities in Alaska and the Carmon Creek project. These resulted in significant losses in jurisdictions with relatively low tax rates compared with Shell's typical weighted statutory average. Excluding these items, the weighted average of statutory tax rates for 2015 was comparable with 2014.

The taxation charge includes taxes at higher rates levied on income from certain Upstream activities and various other taxes to which these activities are subjected.

| TAXES PAYABLE | \$ MILLION | |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| | Dec 31, 2015 | Dec 31, 2014 |
| Income taxes | 5,653 | 6,396 |
| Sales taxes, excise duties and similar levies | 2,580 | 3,401 |
| Total | 8,233 | 9,797 |

Included in other receivables at December 31, 2015 (see Note 11), is income tax receivable of \$1,244 million (2014: \$1,091 million).

[Note 16 continued]

| DEFERRED TAX | | \$ MILLION | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-------|----------|
| | Decommissioning and other provisions | Losses carried forward | Property, plant and equipment | Retirement benefits | Other | Total |
| At January 1, 2015 | | | | | | |
| Deferred tax assets | 3,721 | 6,006 | (7,194) | 3,787 | 1,811 | 8,131 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | 5,167 | 3,310 | (21,041) | 973 | (461) | (12,052) |
| | 8,888 | 9,316 | (28,235) | 4,760 | 1,350 | (3,921) |
| Recognised in the year | | | | | | |
| Credited to income | 430 | 2,888 | 2,860 | 295 | 738 | 7,211 |
| Other movements | 15 | (270) | (290) | (967) | 82 | (1,430) |
| Currency translation differences | (352) | (440) | 1,350 | (318) | (43) | 197 |
| | 93 | 2,178 | 3,920 | (990) | 777 | 5,978 |
| At December 31, 2015 | | | | | | |
| Deferred tax assets | 3,674 | 7,688 | (6,651) | 3,461 | 2,861 | 11,033 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | 5,307 | 3,806 | (17,664) | 309 | (734) | (8,976) |
| | 8,981 | 11,494 | (24,315) | 3,770 | 2,127 | 2,057 |
| At January 1, 2014 | | | | | | |
| Deferred tax assets | 2,007 | 3,087 | (1,551) | 1,338 | 904 | 5,785 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | 6,221 | 5,358 | (25,582) | 1,725 | 335 | (11,943) |
| | 8,228 | 8,445 | (27,133) | 3,063 | 1,239 | (6,158) |
| Recognised in the year | | | | | | |
| Credited/(charged) to income | 952 | 1,726 | (2,759) | (224) | 478 | 173 |
| Other movements | 30 | (536) | 499 | 2,203 | (386) | 1,810 |
| Currency translation differences | (322) | (319) | 1,158 | (282) | 19 | 254 |
| | 660 | 871 | (1,102) | 1,697 | 111 | 2,237 |
| At December 31, 2014 | | | | | | |
| Deferred tax assets | 3,721 | 6,006 | (7,194) | 3,787 | 1,811 | 8,131 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | 5,167 | 3,310 | (21,041) | 973 | (461) | (12,052) |
| | 8,888 | 9,316 | (28,235) | 4,760 | 1,350 | (3,921) |

The above deferred tax information takes into consideration offsetting balances within the same tax jurisdiction.

The increase in deferred tax assets and decrease in deferred tax liabilities in 2015 was mainly the result of impairment charges, additional provisions, operating losses and disposals.

Other movements in deferred tax assets and liabilities principally relate to acquisitions, disposals and amounts recognised in other comprehensive income and directly in equity (see Note 22).

Deferred tax assets that are dependent on future taxable profits, not arising from the reversal of deferred tax liabilities, are only recognised to the extent that it is considered probable based on business forecasts that such profits will be available. Recognised losses carried forward amounted to \$41,967 million at December 31, 2015 (2014: \$37,388 million).

Unrecognised deductible temporary differences, unused tax losses and credits carried forward amounted to \$27,660 million at December 31, 2015 (2014: \$25,145 million) including amounts of \$21,978 million (2014: \$21,344 million) that are subject to time limits for utilisation of five years or later or are not time limited.

Retained earnings of subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates amounted to \$206,135 million at December 31, 2015 (2014: \$201,960 million). Provision has been made for withholding and other taxes that would become payable on the distribution of these earnings only to the extent that either Shell does not control the relevant entity or it is expected that these earnings will be remitted in the foreseeable future.

17 RETIREMENT BENEFITS

Retirement benefits are provided through a number of funded and unfunded defined benefit plans and defined contribution plans, the most significant of which are in the Netherlands, UK and USA. Benefits comprise principally pensions; retirement healthcare and life insurance are also provided in some countries.

| RETIREMENT BENEFIT EXPENSE | | \$ MILLION | | |
|---|--------------|-------------------|--------------|--|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | |
| Defined benefit plans: | | | | |
| Current service cost, net of plan participants' contributions | 1,855 | 1,844 | 1,895 | |
| Interest expense on obligations | 2,944 | 3,821 | 3,574 | |
| Interest income on plan assets | (2,495) | (3,524) | (3,030) | |
| Other | 207 | (1,073) | (6) | |
| Total | 2,511 | 1,068 | 2,433 | |
| Defined contribution plans | 473 | 448 | 416 | |
| Total retirement benefit expense | 2,984 | 1,516 | 2,849 | |

Other in 2014 mainly comprises the impact of amendments to the Dutch pension plan following regulatory changes in the Netherlands, which is reflected in other movements in defined benefit obligations.

Retirement benefit expense is presented principally within production and manufacturing expenses and selling, distribution and administrative expenses in the Consolidated Statement of Income. Interest income on plan assets is calculated using the rate applied to the related defined benefit obligations for each plan.

| REMEASUREMENTS | | \$ MILLION | | |
|---|--------------|-------------------|--------------|--|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | |
| Actuarial gains/(losses) on obligations: | | | | |
| Due to changes in demographic assumptions | (517) | (663) | (261) | |
| Due to changes in financial assumptions [A] | 6,381 | (14,313) | 1,446 | |
| Due to experience adjustments | 121 | 135 | (111) | |
| Total | 5,985 | (14,841) | 1,074 | |
| Return on plan assets in excess of interest income | 298 | 6,139 | 4,567 | |
| Other movements | 55 | (18) | (284) | |
| Total remeasurements | 6,338 | (8,720) | 5,357 | |

[A] Mainly in the discount rates applied.

Experience adjustments arise from differences between the actuarial assumptions made in respect of the year and actual outcomes.

| DEFINED BENEFIT PLANS | | \$ MILLION | |
|---|----------------|-------------------|--|
| | Dec 31, 2015 | Dec 31, 2014 | |
| Obligations | (89,426) | (101,331) | |
| Plan assets | 80,851 | 86,318 | |
| Net liability | (8,575) | (15,013) | |
| Retirement benefits in the Consolidated Balance Sheet: | | | |
| Non-current assets | 4,362 | 1,682 | |
| Non-current liabilities | (12,587) | (16,318) | |
| Current liabilities | (350) | (377) | |
| Total | (8,575) | (15,013) | |

[Note 17 continued]

| DEFINED BENEFIT OBLIGATIONS | \$ MILLION, EXCEPT WHERE OTHERWISE INDICATED | |
|------------------------------------|---|-------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| At January 1 | 101,331 | 93,533 |
| Current service cost | 1,855 | 1,844 |
| Interest expense | 2,944 | 3,821 |
| Actuarial (gains)/losses | (5,985) | 14,841 |
| Benefit payments | (3,508) | (3,730) |
| Other movements | (427) | (1,315) |
| Currency translation differences | (6,784) | (7,663) |
| At December 31 | 89,426 | 101,331 |
| Comprising: | | |
| Funded pension plans | 80,603 | 91,800 |
| Weighted average duration | 17 years | 18 years |
| Unfunded pension plans | 4,496 | 5,016 |
| Weighted average duration | 12 years | 12 years |
| Other unfunded plans | 4,327 | 4,515 |
| Weighted average duration | 14 years | 15 years |

| DEFINED BENEFIT PLAN ASSETS | \$ MILLION, EXCEPT WHERE OTHERWISE INDICATED | |
|--|---|-------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| At January 1 | 86,318 | 85,543 |
| Return on plan assets (in excess of interest income) | 298 | 6,139 |
| Interest income | 2,495 | 3,524 |
| Employer contributions | 1,296 | 1,833 |
| Plan participants' contributions | 64 | 95 |
| Benefit payments | (3,254) | (3,487) |
| Other movements | (515) | (344) |
| Currency translation differences | (5,851) | (6,985) |
| At December 31 | 80,851 | 86,318 |
| Comprising: | | |
| Quoted in active markets: | | |
| Equities | 34% | 42% |
| Debt securities | 47% | 40% |
| Investment funds | 1% | – |
| Other | 1% | 1% |
| Other: | | |
| Equities | 6% | 6% |
| Debt securities | 2% | 2% |
| Real estate | 5% | 4% |
| Investment funds | 2% | 3% |
| Other | 2% | 1% |
| Cash | – | 1% |

Long-term investment strategies of plans are generally determined by the relevant pension plan trustees using a structured asset liability modelling approach to define the asset mix that best meets the objectives of optimising returns within agreed risk levels while maintaining adequate funding levels.

Employer contributions to defined benefit pension plans are set by local trustees based on actuarial valuations in accordance with local regulations and are estimated to be \$1.4 billion in 2016.

The principal assumptions applied in determining the present value of defined benefit obligations and their bases were as follows:

- rates of increase in pensionable remuneration, pensions in payment and healthcare costs: historical experience and management's long-term expectation;
- discount rates: prevailing long-term AA corporate bond yields, chosen to match the currency and duration of the relevant obligation; and
- mortality rates: published standard mortality tables for the individual countries concerned adjusted for Shell experience where statistically significant.

The weighted averages for those assumptions and related sensitivity information at December 31 are presented below. Sensitivity information indicates by how much the defined benefit obligations would increase or decrease if a given assumption were to increase or decrease with no change in other assumptions.

| \$ MILLION, EXCEPT WHERE OTHERWISE INDICATED | | | | | |
|--|------------------|----------|---|--|--------------------|
| | Assumptions used | | Effect of using alternative assumptions | | |
| | | | Range of assumptions | Increase/(decrease) in defined benefit obligations | |
| | 2015 | 2014 | | 2015 | 2014 |
| Rate of increase in pensionable remuneration | 5% | 5% | -1% to +1% | (2,015) to 2,557 | (2,633) to 3,250 |
| Rate of increase in pensions in payment | 2% | 2% | -1% to +1% | (7,666) to 9,639 | (9,173) to 11,495 |
| Rate of increase in healthcare costs | 7% | 7% | -1% to +1% | (451) to 552 | (480) to 591 |
| Discount rate for pension plans | 4% | 3% | -1% to +1% | 14,679 to (11,568) | 17,816 to (13,674) |
| Discount rate for healthcare plans | 4% | 4% | -1% to +1% | 651 to (518) | 723 to (571) |
| Expected age at death for persons aged 60: | | | | | |
| Men | 87 years | 87 years | -1 year to +1 year | (1,497) to 1,527 | (2,018) to 2,048 |
| Women | 89 years | 89 years | -1 year to +1 year | (1,207) to 1,228 | (1,258) to 1,297 |

18 DECOMMISSIONING AND OTHER PROVISIONS

| \$ MILLION | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | Decommissioning and restoration | Other | Total |
| At January 1, 2015 | | | |
| Current | 1,275 | 2,691 | 3,966 |
| Non-current | 20,612 | 3,222 | 23,834 |
| | 21,887 | 5,913 | 27,800 |
| Additions | 522 | 2,999 | 3,521 |
| Amounts charged against provisions | (913) | (2,410) | (3,323) |
| Accretion expense | 881 | 51 | 932 |
| Remeasurements and other movements | 2,863 | (305) | 2,558 |
| Currency translation differences | (993) | (282) | (1,275) |
| | 2,360 | 53 | 2,413 |
| At December 31, 2015 | | | |
| Current | 1,239 | 2,826 | 4,065 |
| Non-current | 23,008 | 3,140 | 26,148 |
| | 24,247 | 5,966 | 30,213 |
| At January 1, 2014 | | | |
| Current | 1,340 | 1,907 | 3,247 |
| Non-current | 17,085 | 2,613 | 19,698 |
| | 18,425 | 4,520 | 22,945 |
| Additions | 312 | 2,946 | 3,258 |
| Amounts charged against provisions | (1,175) | (1,177) | (2,352) |
| Accretion expense | 971 | 68 | 1,039 |
| Remeasurements and other movements | 4,093 | (208) | 3,885 |
| Currency translation differences | (739) | (236) | (975) |
| | 3,462 | 1,393 | 4,855 |
| At December 31, 2014 | | | |
| Current | 1,275 | 2,691 | 3,966 |
| Non-current | 20,612 | 3,222 | 23,834 |
| | 21,887 | 5,913 | 27,800 |

The amount and timing of settlement in respect of these provisions are uncertain and dependent on various factors that are not always within management's control. Additions to provisions are stated net of reversals of provisions recognised in prior periods.

Of the decommissioning and restoration provision at December 31, 2015, an estimated \$6,165 million is expected to be utilised within one to five years, \$6,199 million within six to 10 years, and the remainder in later periods.

[Note 18 continued]

Reviews of estimated decommissioning and restoration costs and the discount rate applied are carried out annually. The review of cost estimates and a decrease in the discount rate applied resulted in an increase of \$3,620 million (2014: \$4,827 million increase) in both the provision, reported within remeasurements and other movements, and the corresponding property, plant and equipment assets reported within sales, retirements and other movements in Note 8.

Other provisions principally comprise amounts recognised in respect of environmental costs (\$1,545 million at December 31, 2015; 2014 \$1,364 million), litigation costs, employee in- and end-of-service benefits, onerous contracts related to the cessation of certain activities and redundancy costs.

19 FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND OTHER DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS

Financial instruments and other derivative contracts in the Consolidated Balance Sheet comprise investments in securities (see Note 10), cash and cash equivalents (see Note 13), debt (see Note 14) and certain amounts (including derivative contracts) reported within trade and other receivables (see Note 11) and trade and other payables (see Note 15).

Risks

In the normal course of business, financial instruments of various kinds are used for the purposes of managing exposure to interest rate, foreign exchange and commodity price movements.

Treasury standards are applicable to all subsidiaries and each subsidiary is required to adopt a treasury policy consistent with these standards. These policies cover: financing structure; interest rate and foreign exchange risk management; insurance; counterparty risk management; and use of derivative contracts. Wherever possible, treasury operations are carried out through specialist regional organisations without removing from each subsidiary the responsibility to formulate and implement appropriate treasury policies.

Apart from forward foreign exchange contracts to meet known commitments, the use of derivative contracts by most subsidiaries is not permitted by their treasury policy.

Other than in exceptional cases, the use of external derivative contracts is confined to specialist trading and central treasury organisations that have appropriate skills, experience, supervision, control and reporting systems.

Shell's operations expose it to market, credit and liquidity risk, as described below.

MARKET RISK

Market risk is the possibility that changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates or the prices of crude oil, natural gas, LNG, refined products, chemical feedstocks, power and environmental products will adversely affect the value of assets, liabilities or expected future cash flows.

Interest rate risk

Most debt is raised from central borrowing programmes. Shell's policy continues to be to have debt principally denominated in dollars and to maintain a largely floating interest rate exposure profile; however, Shell has issued a significant amount of fixed rate debt in recent years, taking advantage of historically low interest rates available in US debt markets. As a result, a substantial portion of the debt portfolio at December 31, 2015, is at fixed rates and this reduces Shell's exposure to the dollar LIBOR interest rate.

The financing of most subsidiaries is structured on a floating-rate basis and, except in special cases, further interest rate risk management is discouraged.

On the basis of the floating rate net debt position at December 31, 2015, (both issued and hedged), and assuming other factors (principally foreign exchange rates and commodity prices) remained constant and that no further interest rate management action was taken, an increase in interest rates of 1% would have increased 2015 pre-tax income by \$36 million (2014: \$17 million, based on the net debt position at December 31, 2014).

The carrying amounts and maturities of debt and borrowing facilities are presented in Note 14. Interest expense is presented in Note 6.

Foreign exchange risk

Many of the markets in which Shell operates are priced, directly or indirectly, in dollars. As a result, the functional currency of most Upstream entities and those with significant cross-border business is the dollar. For Downstream entities, the functional currency is typically the local currency. Consequently, Shell is exposed to varying levels of foreign exchange risk when an entity enters into transactions that are not denominated in its functional currency, when foreign currency monetary assets and liabilities are translated at the balance sheet date and as a result of holding net investments in operations that are not dollar-functional. Each entity has treasury policies in place that are designed to measure and manage its foreign exchange exposures by reference to its functional currency.

Exchange rate gains and losses arise in the normal course of business from the recognition of receivables and payables and other monetary items in currencies other than an entity's functional currency. Foreign exchange risk may also arise in connection with capital expenditure. For major projects, an assessment is made at the final investment decision stage whether to hedge any resulting exposure.

Hedging of net investments in foreign operations or of income that arises in foreign operations that are non-dollar functional is not undertaken.

Assuming other factors (principally interest rates and commodity prices) remained constant and that no further foreign exchange risk management action were taken, a 10% appreciation against the dollar at December 31 of the main currencies to which Shell is exposed would have the following pre-tax effects:

| | \$ MILLION | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------|------------------------|-------|
| | Increase/(decrease) in income | | Increase in net assets | |
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| 10% appreciation against the dollar of: | | | | |
| Canadian dollar | (99) | (111) | 1,701 | 1,709 |
| Euro | 63 | 103 | 1,185 | 917 |
| Sterling | 35 | (103) | 2,951 | 887 |
| Malaysian ringgit | 187 | 288 | 160 | 263 |

The above sensitivity information is calculated by reference to carrying amounts of assets and liabilities at December 31 only. The pre-tax effect on income arises in connection with monetary balances denominated in currencies other than an entity's functional currency; the pre-tax effect on net assets arises principally from the translation of assets and liabilities of entities that are not dollar-functional.

Foreign exchange gains and losses included in income are presented in Note 5.

Commodity price risk

Certain subsidiaries have a mandate to trade crude oil, natural gas, LNG, refined products, chemical feedstocks, power and environmental products, and to use commodity derivative contracts (forwards, futures, swaps and options) as a means of managing price and timing risks arising from this trading. In effecting these transactions, the entities concerned operate within procedures and policies designed to ensure that risks, including those relating to the default of counterparties, are managed within authorised limits.

Risk management systems are used for recording and valuing instruments. Commodity price risk exposure is monitored, and the acceptable level of exposure determined, by a market risk committee. There is regular reviewing of mandated trading limits by senior management, daily monitoring of market risk exposure using value-at-risk (VAR) techniques, daily monitoring of trading positions against limits, marking-to-fair value of trading exposures with a department independent of traders reviewing the market values applied. Although trading losses can and do occur, the nature of the trading portfolio and its management are considered adequate mitigants against the risk of significant losses.

VAR techniques based on variance/covariance or Monte Carlo simulation models are used to make a statistical assessment of the market risk arising from possible future changes in market values over a 24-hour period and within a 95% confidence level. The calculation of the range of potential changes in fair value takes into account positions, the history of price movements and the correlation of these price movements. Each of the models is regularly back-tested against actual fair value movements to ensure model integrity is maintained. All VAR ranges and year-end positions in respect of commodities traded in active markets, which are presented in the table below, are calculated on a diversified basis in order to reflect the effect of offsetting risk within combined portfolios.

| VALUE-AT-RISK (PRE-TAX) | \$ MILLION | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------|-----|---------|----------|------|-----|---------|----------|
| | 2015 | | | | 2014 | | | |
| | High | Low | Average | Year-end | High | Low | Average | Year-end |
| Global oil | 39 | 10 | 18 | 26 | 23 | 9 | 15 | 14 |
| North America gas and power | 18 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 16 | 2 | 7 | 13 |
| Europe gas and power | 4 | - | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 3 |

CREDIT RISK

Policies are in place to ensure that wholesale sales of products are made to customers with appropriate creditworthiness. These policies include detailed credit analysis and monitoring of trading partners and restricting large-volume trading activities to the highest-rated counterparties. Credit information is regularly shared between business and finance functions, with dedicated teams in place to quickly identify and respond to cases of credit deterioration. Mitigation measures are defined and implemented for high-risk business partners and customers, and include shortened payment terms, collateral or other security posting and vigorous collections. In addition, policies limit the amount of credit exposure to any individual financial institution. There are no material concentrations of credit risk, with individual customers or geographically, and there has been no significant level of counterparty default in recent years.

Surplus cash is invested in a range of short-dated, secure and liquid instruments including short-term bank deposits, money market funds, reverse repos and similar instruments. The portfolio of these investments is diversified to avoid concentrating risk in any one instrument, country or counterparty. Management monitors the investments regularly and adjusts the investment portfolio in light of new market information where necessary to ensure credit risk is effectively diversified.

[Note 19 continued]

In commodity trading, counterparty credit risk is managed within a framework of credit limits with utilisation being regularly reviewed. Credit risk exposure is monitored and the acceptable level is determined by a credit committee. Credit checks are performed by a department independent of traders, and are undertaken before contractual commitment. Where appropriate, netting arrangements, credit insurance, prepayments and collateral are used to manage specific risks.

Shell routinely enters into offsetting, master netting and similar arrangements with trading and other counterparties to manage credit risk. Where there is a legally enforceable right of offset under such arrangements and net settlement is regularly applied, the net asset or liability is recognised in the Consolidated Balance Sheet, otherwise assets and liabilities are presented gross. These amounts, as presented net and gross within trade and other receivables and trade and other payables in the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, were as follows:

| | 2015 | | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|------------|
| | | | Amounts offset | Amounts not offset | | Net amounts | |
| | Gross amounts before offset | Amounts offset | Net amounts as presented | Cash collateral received/pledged | Other offsetting instruments | | |
| Assets: | | | | | | | |
| Within trade receivables | 9,629 | 6,252 | 3,377 | 1 | 209 | 3,167 | |
| Within derivative contracts | 32,330 | 22,165 | 10,165 | 162 | 7,562 | 2,441 | |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | | |
| Within trade payables | 8,861 | 6,137 | 2,724 | – | 210 | 2,514 | |
| Within derivative contracts | 30,213 | 20,505 | 9,708 | 98 | 7,538 | 2,072 | |

| | 2014 | | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|------------|
| | | | Amounts offset | Amounts not offset | | Net amounts | |
| | Gross amounts before offset | Amounts offset | Net amounts as presented | Cash collateral received/pledged | Other offsetting instruments | | |
| Assets: | | | | | | | |
| Within trade receivables | 13,964 | 8,982 | 4,982 | 6 | 119 | 4,857 | |
| Within derivative contracts | 38,151 | 27,437 | 10,714 | 209 | 7,065 | 3,440 | |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | | |
| Within trade payables | 12,290 | 8,941 | 3,349 | – | 124 | 3,225 | |
| Within derivative contracts | 35,623 | 26,577 | 9,046 | 158 | 7,036 | 1,852 | |

Amounts not offset principally relate to contracts where the intention to settle on a net basis was not clearly established at December 31.

The carrying amount of financial assets pledged as collateral for liabilities or contingent liabilities at December 31, 2015, presented within trade and other receivables, was \$1,824 million (2014: \$1,726 million). The carrying amount of collateral held at December 31, 2015, presented within trade and other payables, was \$541 million (2014: \$771 million). Collateral mainly relates to initial margins held with commodity exchanges and over-the-counter counterparty variation margins.

LIQUIDITY RISK

Liquidity risk is the risk that suitable sources of funding for Shell's business activities may not be available. Management believes that it has access to sufficient debt funding sources (capital markets), and to undrawn committed borrowing facilities to meet foreseeable requirements. Information about borrowing facilities is presented in Note 14.

Derivative contracts

Derivative contracts are used principally as hedging instruments, however, because hedge accounting is not always applied, movements in the carrying amounts of derivative contracts that are recognised in income are not always matched in the same period by the recognition of the income effects of the related hedged items.

CARRYING AMOUNTS, MATURITIES AND HEDGING

The carrying amounts of derivative contracts at December 31 (see Notes 11 and 15), designated and not designated as hedging instruments for hedge accounting purposes, were as follows:

| 2015 | | | | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|------------------------------------|------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|------------|
| | Assets | | | Liabilities | | | Net | |
| | Designated | Not designated | Total | Designated | Not designated | Total | | |
| Interest rate swaps | 51 | – | 51 | 35 | – | 35 | 16 | |
| Forward foreign exchange contracts | – | 508 | 508 | 136 | 236 | 372 | 136 | |
| Currency swaps and options | 16 | 361 | 377 | 1,637 | 51 | 1,688 | (1,311) | |
| Commodity derivatives | – | 12,611 | 12,611 | – | 10,210 | 10,210 | 2,401 | |
| Other contracts | – | 311 | 311 | – | 139 | 139 | 172 | |
| Total | 67 | 13,791 | 13,858 | 1,808 | 10,636 | 12,444 | 1,414 | |

| 2014 | | | | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|------------------------------------|------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|------------|
| | Assets | | | Liabilities | | | Net | |
| | Designated | Not designated | Total | Designated | Not designated | Total | | |
| Interest rate swaps | 113 | – | 113 | – | – | – | 113 | |
| Forward foreign exchange contracts | 3 | 523 | 526 | 152 | 229 | 381 | 145 | |
| Currency swaps | 116 | 186 | 302 | 199 | – | 199 | 103 | |
| Commodity derivatives | – | 13,463 | 13,463 | – | 11,110 | 11,110 | 2,353 | |
| Other contracts | – | 336 | 336 | – | 384 | 384 | (48) | |
| Total | 232 | 14,508 | 14,740 | 351 | 11,723 | 12,074 | 2,666 | |

Net gains before tax on derivative contracts, excluding realised commodity contracts and those accounted for as hedges, were \$4,107 million in 2015 (2014: \$6,053 million; 2013: \$1,083 million).

Certain contracts entered into to hedge price risk relating to forecast commodity transactions and foreign exchange risk relating to forecast capital expenditure and disposals were designated in cash flow hedging relationships. Net gains of \$1,235 million (2014: \$606 million net gains; 2013: \$47 million net losses) arising on these contracts, the majority of which mature in 2016, were recognised in other comprehensive income in 2015; a further \$1 million net gains (2014: \$13 million net gains; 2013: \$6 million net losses) were recognised in income. The net asset carrying amount of commodity derivative contracts designated as cash flow hedging instruments of \$1,050 million at December 31, 2015 (2014: \$618 million), is presented after the offset of related margin balances maintained with exchanges.

In addition, in 2015 certain cash and cash equivalents and forward foreign exchange contracts were designated as cash flow hedges of a significant portion of the forecast cash consideration for the acquisition of BG (see Note 29). The total cash and cash equivalents and amounts receivable under the forward foreign exchange contracts at December 31, 2015 was \$19,912 million and related losses of \$537 million were recognised in other comprehensive income in 2015.

Certain interest rate and currency swaps were designated in fair value hedges, principally in respect of debt for which the carrying amount of the related derivative contracts, net of accrued interest, at December 31, 2015, was a net liability of \$1,847 million (2014: net liability of \$203 million).

In the course of trading operations, certain contracts are entered into for delivery of commodities that are accounted for as derivatives. The resulting price exposures are managed by entering into related derivative contracts. These contracts are managed on a fair value basis and the maximum exposure to liquidity risk is the undiscounted fair value of derivative liabilities.

For a minority of commodity derivative contracts, carrying amounts cannot be derived from quoted market prices or other observable inputs, in which case fair value is estimated using valuation techniques such as Black-Scholes, option spread models and extrapolation using quoted spreads with assumptions developed internally based on observable market activity.

Other contracts include certain contracts that are held to sell or purchase commodities and others containing embedded derivatives, which are required to be recognised at fair value because of pricing or delivery conditions, even though they were entered into to meet operational requirements. These contracts are expected to mature between 2016 and 2025, with certain contracts having early termination rights (for either party). Valuations are derived from quoted market prices for the next six years and, thereafter, from forward gas price formulae used in similar contracts. Future gas price assumptions are the most significant input to this model, and a decrease at December 31, 2015, of 10% in the projected gas price would, assuming other inputs remained unchanged, increase pre-tax income by \$59 million (2014: \$83 million).

[Note 19 continued]

The contractual maturities of derivative liabilities at December 31 compare with their carrying amounts in the Consolidated Balance Sheet as follows:

| 2015 | | | | | | | \$ MILLION | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | Contractual maturities | | | | | | Total | Discounting | Carrying amount |
| | Less than 1 year | Between 1 and 2 years | Between 2 and 3 years | Between 3 and 4 years | Between 4 and 5 years | 5 years and later | | | |
| Forward foreign exchange contracts | 334 | 75 | 12 | 8 | – | – | 429 | (57) | 372 |
| Currency swaps | 162 | 443 | 713 | 292 | 188 | 1,771 | 3,569 | (1,881) | 1,688 |
| Commodity derivatives | 8,770 | 1,215 | 230 | 150 | 32 | 102 | 10,499 | (289) | 10,210 |
| Other contracts | 32 | 58 | 65 | 35 | 11 | – | 201 | (27) | 174 |
| Total | 9,298 | 1,791 | 1,020 | 485 | 231 | 1,873 | 14,698 | (2,254) | 12,444 |

| 2014 | | | | | | | \$ MILLION | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | Contractual maturities | | | | | | Total | Discounting | Carrying amount |
| | Less than 1 year | Between 1 and 2 years | Between 2 and 3 years | Between 3 and 4 years | Between 4 and 5 years | 5 years and later | | | |
| Forward foreign exchange contracts | 362 | 34 | 4 | – | – | – | 400 | (19) | 381 |
| Currency swaps | (6) | 20 | 71 | 97 | 129 | 877 | 1,188 | (989) | 199 |
| Commodity derivatives | 9,332 | 1,215 | 321 | 106 | 58 | 126 | 11,158 | (48) | 11,110 |
| Other contracts | 99 | 105 | 105 | 104 | 59 | – | 472 | (88) | 384 |
| Total | 9,787 | 1,374 | 501 | 307 | 246 | 1,003 | 13,218 | (1,144) | 12,074 |

FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The net carrying amounts of derivative contracts held at December 31, categorised according to the predominant source and nature of inputs used in determining the fair value of each contract, were as follows:

| 2015 | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------|------------|
| | Prices in active markets for identical assets/liabilities | Other observable inputs | Unobservable inputs | Total | |
| Interest rate swaps | – | 16 | – | 16 | |
| Forward foreign exchange contracts | – | 136 | – | 136 | |
| Currency swaps and options | – | (1,311) | – | (1,311) | |
| Commodity derivatives | 10 | 2,070 | 321 | 2,401 | |
| Other contracts | 5 | (119) | 286 | 172 | |
| Total | 15 | 792 | 607 | 1,414 | |

| 2014 | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------|------------|
| | Prices in active markets for identical assets/liabilities | Other observable inputs | Unobservable inputs | Total | |
| Interest rate swaps | – | 113 | – | 113 | |
| Forward foreign exchange contracts | – | 145 | – | 145 | |
| Currency swaps | – | 103 | – | 103 | |
| Commodity derivatives | (6) | 2,410 | (51) | 2,353 | |
| Other contracts | 6 | (359) | 305 | (48) | |
| Total | – | 2,412 | 254 | 2,666 | |

NET CARRYING AMOUNTS OF DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS MEASURED USING PREDOMINANTLY UNOBSERVABLE INPUTS

| | \$ MILLION | |
|--|------------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| At January 1 | 254 | (276) |
| Net gains/(losses) recognised in revenue | 291 | (76) |
| Purchases | (129) | (313) |
| Sales | 142 | 264 |
| Recategorisations (net) | 72 | 687 |
| Currency translation differences | (23) | (32) |
| At December 31 | 607 | 254 |

Included in net gains recognised in revenue for 2015 are unrealised net losses totalling \$490 million relating to assets and liabilities held at December 31, 2015 (2014: \$158 million unrealised net losses included in recognised net losses).

20 SHARE CAPITAL

| | ISSUED AND FULLY PAID | | NUMBER OF SHARES |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Ordinary shares of €0.07 each | | Sterling deferred shares of £1 each |
| | A | B | |
| At January 1, 2015 | 3,907,302,393 | 2,440,410,614 | 50,000 |
| Scrip dividends | 96,336,688 | – | – |
| Repurchases of shares | (12,717,512) | – | – |
| At December 31, 2015 | 3,990,921,569 | 2,440,410,614 | 50,000 |
| At January 1, 2014 | 3,898,011,213 | 2,472,839,187 | 50,000 |
| Scrip dividends | 64,568,758 | – | – |
| Repurchases of shares | (55,277,578) | (32,428,573) | – |
| At December 31, 2014 | 3,907,302,393 | 2,440,410,614 | 50,000 |

| | NOMINAL VALUE | | \$ MILLION |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-----|------------|
| | Ordinary shares of €0.07 each | | Total |
| | A | B | |
| At January 1, 2015 | 334 | 206 | 540 |
| Scrip dividends | 7 | – | 7 |
| Repurchases of shares | (1) | – | (1) |
| At December 31, 2015 | 340 | 206 | 546 |
| At January 1, 2014 | 333 | 209 | 542 |
| Scrip dividends | 6 | – | 6 |
| Repurchases of shares | (5) | (3) | (8) |
| At December 31, 2014 | 334 | 206 | 540 |

The total nominal value of sterling deferred shares is less than \$1 million.

At the Company's Annual General Meeting (AGM) on May 19, 2015, the Board was authorised to allot ordinary shares in the Company, and to grant rights to subscribe for or to convert any security into ordinary shares in the Company, up to an aggregate nominal amount of €147 million (representing 2,100 million ordinary shares of €0.07 each), and to list such shares or rights on any stock exchange. This authority expires at the earlier of the close of business on August 19, 2016, and the end of the AGM to be held in 2016, unless previously renewed, revoked or varied by the Company in a general meeting.

21 SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS AND SHARES HELD IN TRUST

| | SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION EXPENSE | | | \$ MILLION |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|------|------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | |
| Equity-settled plans | 621 | 517 | 549 | |
| Cash-settled plans | 129 | 287 | 23 | |
| Total | 750 | 804 | 572 | |

The principal share-based employee compensation plans are the PSP and LTIP. Awards of shares and American Depositary Shares (ADSs) of the Company under the PSP and LTIP are granted upon certain conditions to eligible employees. The actual amount of shares that may vest ranges from 0% to 200% of the awards, depending on the outcomes of prescribed performance conditions over a three-year period beginning on January 1 of the award year. Shares and ADSs vest for nil consideration.

[Note 21 continued]

SHARE AWARDS UNDER THE PSP AND LTIP

| | Number of A shares (million) | Number of B shares (million) | Number of A ADSs (million) | Weighted average remaining contractual life (years) |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| At January 1, 2015 | 33 | 11 | 9 | 1.0 |
| Granted | 13 | 5 | 4 | |
| Vested | (10) | (4) | (3) | |
| At December 31, 2015 | 36 | 12 | 10 | 1.0 |
| At January 1, 2014 | 30 | 11 | 9 | 1.0 |
| Granted | 12 | 4 | 3 | |
| Vested | (9) | (4) | (3) | |
| At December 31, 2014 | 33 | 11 | 9 | 1.0 |

Other plans offer employees opportunities to acquire shares and ADSs of the Company or receive cash benefits measured by reference to the Company's share price. Prior to the introduction in 2005 of the PSP, plans were operated under which options over shares and ADSs of the Company were awarded to eligible employees. The options have a range of expiry dates until 2016 and no additional expense to Shell arises in connection with them.

Shell employee share ownership trusts and trust-like entities purchase the Company's shares in the open market to meet delivery commitments under employee share plans. At December 31, 2015, they held 12.7 million A shares (2014: 23.4 million), 8.9 million B shares (2014: 12.7 million) and 6.1 million A ADSs (2014: 8.3 million).

22 OTHER RESERVES

| | \$ MILLION | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---|----------|
| | Merger reserve | Share premium reserve | Capital redemption reserve | Share plan reserve | Accumulated other comprehensive income | Total |
| At January 1, 2015 | 3,405 | 154 | 83 | 1,723 | (19,730) | (14,365) |
| Other comprehensive loss attributable to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders | - | - | - | - | (2,750) | (2,750) |
| Scrip dividends | (7) | - | - | - | - | (7) |
| Repurchases of shares | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Share-based compensation | - | - | - | (65) | - | (65) |
| At December 31, 2015 | 3,398 | 154 | 84 | 1,658 | (22,480) | (17,186) |
| At January 1, 2014 | 3,411 | 154 | 75 | 1,871 | (7,548) | (2,037) |
| Other comprehensive loss attributable to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders | - | - | - | - | (12,182) | (12,182) |
| Scrip dividends | (6) | - | - | - | - | (6) |
| Repurchases of shares | - | - | 8 | - | - | 8 |
| Share-based compensation | - | - | - | (148) | - | (148) |
| At December 31, 2014 | 3,405 | 154 | 83 | 1,723 | (19,730) | (14,365) |
| At January 1, 2013 | 3,423 | 154 | 63 | 2,028 | (9,420) | (3,752) |
| Other comprehensive income attributable to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders | - | - | - | - | 1,872 | 1,872 |
| Scrip dividends | (12) | - | - | - | - | (12) |
| Repurchases of shares | - | - | 12 | - | - | 12 |
| Share-based compensation | - | - | - | (157) | - | (157) |
| At December 31, 2013 | 3,411 | 154 | 75 | 1,871 | (7,548) | (2,037) |

The merger reserve and share premium reserve were established as a consequence of the Company becoming the single parent company of Royal Dutch Petroleum Company and The "Shell" Transport and Trading Company, p.l.c., now The Shell Transport and Trading Company Limited, in 2005. The capital redemption reserve was established in connection with repurchases of shares of the Company. The share plan reserve is in respect of equity-settled share-based compensation plans (see Note 21), and the movement in 2015 is after deduction of tax of \$nil (2014: \$5 million reversal; 2013: \$5 million deduction).

Accumulated other comprehensive income comprises the following:

| 2015 | | | | | | | | \$ MILLION | |
|---|----------|---------|---------|-----------|--|--------------------------|--|------------|--|
| | Jan 1 | Pre-tax | Tax | After tax | Share of joint ventures and associates | Non-controlling interest | Attributable to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders | Dec 31 | |
| Currency translation differences | | | | | | | | | |
| Recognised in other comprehensive income | | (7,170) | 2 | (7,168) | | | | | |
| Reclassified to income | | 47 | – | 47 | | | | | |
| Net currency translation differences | (5,931) | (7,123) | 2 | (7,121) | 2 | 110 | (7,009) | (12,940) | |
| Unrealised gains/(losses) on securities | | | | | | | | | |
| Recognised in other comprehensive income | | (650) | 4 | (646) | | | | | |
| Reclassified to income | | (61) | – | (61) | | | | | |
| Net unrealised gains/(losses) on securities | 2,112 | (711) | 4 | (707) | 4 | – | (703) | 1,409 | |
| Cash flow hedging gains/(losses) | | | | | | | | | |
| Recognised in other comprehensive income | | 698 | (37) | 661 | | | | | |
| Reclassified to income | | (610) | 10 | (600) | | | | | |
| Net cash flow hedging gains/(losses) | 458 | 88 | (27) | 61 | (46) | – | 15 | 473 | |
| Retirement benefits remeasurements | (16,369) | 6,338 | (1,387) | 4,951 | – | (4) | 4,947 | (11,422) | |
| Total | (19,730) | (1,408) | (1,408) | (2,816) | (40) | 106 | (2,750) | (22,480) | |

| 2014 | | | | | | | | \$ MILLION | |
|---|---------|----------|-------|-----------|--|--------------------------|--|------------|--|
| | Jan 1 | Pre-tax | Tax | After tax | Share of joint ventures and associates | Non-controlling interest | Attributable to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders | Dec 31 | |
| Currency translation differences | | | | | | | | | |
| Recognised in other comprehensive income | | (4,832) | (5) | (4,837) | | | | | |
| Reclassified to income | | (484) | – | (484) | | | | | |
| Net currency translation differences | (551) | (5,316) | (5) | (5,321) | (112) | 53 | (5,380) | (5,931) | |
| Unrealised gains/(losses) on securities | | | | | | | | | |
| Recognised in other comprehensive income | | (741) | (12) | (753) | | | | | |
| Reclassified to income | | (44) | – | (44) | | | | | |
| Net unrealised gains/(losses) on securities | 2,929 | (785) | (12) | (797) | (20) | – | (817) | 2,112 | |
| Cash flow hedging gains/(losses) | | | | | | | | | |
| Recognised in other comprehensive income | | 606 | (23) | 583 | | | | | |
| Reclassified to income | | (56) | 1 | (55) | | | | | |
| Net cash flow hedging gains/(losses) | (46) | 550 | (22) | 528 | (24) | – | 504 | 458 | |
| Retirement benefits remeasurements | (9,880) | (8,720) | 2,238 | (6,482) | – | (7) | (6,489) | (16,369) | |
| Total | (7,548) | (14,271) | 2,199 | (12,072) | (156) | 46 | (12,182) | (19,730) | |

[Note 22 continued]

| 2013 | | | | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|---|----------|---------|---------|-----------|--|--------------------------|--|------------|
| | Jan 1 | Pre-tax | Tax | After tax | Share of joint ventures and associates | Non-controlling interest | Attributable to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders | Dec 31 |
| Currency translation differences | | | | | | | | |
| Recognised in other comprehensive income | | (2,031) | 123 | (1,908) | | | | |
| Reclassified to income | | (30) | – | (30) | | | | |
| Net currency translation differences | 1,466 | (2,061) | 123 | (1,938) | (210) | 131 | (2,017) | (551) |
| Unrealised gains/(losses) on securities | | | | | | | | |
| Recognised in other comprehensive income | | (123) | 3 | (120) | | | | |
| Reclassified to income | | (46) | – | (46) | | | | |
| Net unrealised gains/(losses) on securities | 3,075 | (169) | 3 | (166) | 19 | 1 | (146) | 2,929 |
| Cash flow hedging gains/(losses) | | | | | | | | |
| Recognised in other comprehensive income | | (47) | 5 | (42) | | | | |
| Reclassified to income | | 227 | (7) | 220 | | | | |
| Net cash flow hedging gains/(losses) | (248) | 180 | (2) | 178 | 24 | – | 202 | (46) |
| Retirement benefits remeasurements | (13,713) | 5,357 | (1,524) | 3,833 | – | – | 3,833 | (9,880) |
| Total | (9,420) | 3,307 | (1,400) | 1,907 | (167) | 132 | 1,872 | (7,548) |

23 DIVIDENDS

| INTERIM DIVIDENDS | | | | \$ MILLION |
|--|--------|--------|--------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | |
| A shares | | | | |
| Cash: \$1.88 per share (2014: \$1.86; 2013: \$1.78) | 5,203 | 5,413 | 3,505 | |
| Scrip: \$1.88 per share (2014: \$1.86; 2013: \$1.78) | 2,154 | 1,866 | 3,282 | |
| Total – A shares | 7,357 | 7,279 | 6,787 | |
| B shares | | | | |
| Cash: \$1.88 per share (2014: \$1.86; 2013: \$1.78) | 4,167 | 4,031 | 3,693 | |
| Scrip: \$1.88 per share (2014: \$1.86; 2013: \$1.78) | 448 | 533 | 858 | |
| Total – B shares | 4,615 | 4,564 | 4,551 | |
| Total | 11,972 | 11,843 | 11,338 | |

In addition, on February 4, 2016, the Directors announced a further interim dividend in respect of 2015 of \$0.47 per A share and \$0.47 per B share. The total dividend is estimated to be \$3,739 million and is payable on March 29, 2016, to shareholders, including former BG shareholders, on the registrar at February 19, 2016. Under the Scrip Dividend Programme, shareholders can elect to receive dividends in the form of A shares.

Dividends on A shares are by default paid in euros, although holders may elect to receive dividends in sterling. Dividends on B shares are by default paid in sterling, although holders may elect to receive dividends in euros. Dividends on ADSs are paid in dollars.

24 EARNINGS PER SHARE

| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|
| Income attributable to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders (\$ million) | 1,939 | 14,874 | 16,371 |
| Weighted average number of A and B shares used as the basis for determining: | | | |
| Basic earnings per share (million) | 6,320.3 | 6,311.5 | 6,291.1 |
| Diluted earnings per share (million) | 6,393.8 | 6,311.6 | 6,293.4 |

Basic earnings per share are calculated by dividing the income attributable to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders for the year by the weighted average number of A and B shares outstanding during the year. The weighted average number of shares outstanding excludes shares held in trust.

Diluted earnings per share are based on the same income figures. The weighted average number of shares outstanding during the year is increased by dilutive shares related to share-based compensation plans.

Earnings per share are identical for A and B shares.

25 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS AND OTHER CONTINGENCIES

Pesticide litigation

Shell Oil Company (SOC), along with other agricultural chemical pesticide manufacturers and distributors, has been sued by public and quasi-public water purveyors alleging responsibility for groundwater contamination caused by applications of chemical pesticides. Most of these law suits assert various theories of strict liability and seek to recover actual damages, including water well treatment and remediation costs. All of the suits assert claims for punitive damages. There are approximately 30 such cases pending. Based on the claims asserted and SOC's track record with regard to amounts paid to resolve varying claims, management does not expect that the outcome of these suits pending at December 31, 2015, will have a material impact on Shell, although no assurance can be provided.

Nigerian litigation

Shell subsidiaries and associates operating in Nigeria are parties to various environmental and contractual disputes brought in the courts of Nigeria, England and the Netherlands. These disputes are at different stages in litigation, including at the appellate stage, where judgments have been rendered against Shell entities. If taken at face value, the aggregate amount of these judgments could be seen as material. Management, however, believes that the outcomes of these matters will ultimately be resolved in a manner favourable to Shell. While these matters are not expected to have a material impact on Shell, no assurance can be provided.

Other

In the ordinary course of business, Shell subsidiaries are subject to a number of other loss contingencies arising from litigation and claims brought by governmental and private parties. The operations and earnings of Shell subsidiaries continue, from time to time, to be affected to varying degrees by political, legislative, fiscal and regulatory developments, including those relating to the protection of the environment and indigenous groups in the countries in which they operate. The industries in which Shell subsidiaries are engaged are also subject to physical risks of various types. The nature and frequency of these developments and events, as well as their effect on future operations and earnings, are unpredictable. While these matters are not expected to have a material impact on Shell, no assurance can be provided.

26 EMPLOYEES

| EMPLOYEE EXPENSE | | | \$ MILLION |
|--|--------|--------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Remuneration | 12,558 | 13,092 | 12,047 |
| Social security contributions | 830 | 944 | 907 |
| Retirement benefits (see Note 17) | 2,984 | 1,516 | 2,849 |
| Share-based compensation (see Note 21) | 750 | 804 | 572 |
| Total | 17,122 | 16,356 | 16,375 |

| AVERAGE EMPLOYEE NUMBERS | | | THOUSAND |
|--------------------------|------|------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Upstream | 35 | 33 | 31 |
| Downstream | 43 | 47 | 48 |
| Corporate | 15 | 14 | 13 |
| Total | 93 | 94 | 92 |

Employees working in business service centres are included in the Corporate segment.

27 DIRECTORS AND SENIOR MANAGEMENT

| REMUNERATION OF DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY | | | \$ MILLION |
|--|------|------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Emoluments | 12 | 24 | 11 |
| Value of released awards under long-term incentive plans | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| Employer contributions to pension plans | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Emoluments comprise salaries and fees, annual bonuses (for the period for which performance is assessed) and other benefits. Emoluments in 2014 included \$11 million for tax equalisation which arose mainly as a result of the promotion of the CEO. The value of released awards under long-term incentive plans for the period is in respect of the performance period ending in that year. In 2015, retirement benefits were accrued in respect of qualifying services under defined benefit plans by two Directors.

Further information on the remuneration of the Directors can be found in the Directors' Remuneration Report on pages 86-97.

[Note 27 continued]

| DIRECTORS AND SENIOR MANAGEMENT EXPENSE | | | \$ MILLION |
|---|-----------|-----------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Short-term benefits | 21 | 43 | 24 |
| Retirement benefits | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| Share-based compensation | 19 | 18 | 23 |
| Termination and related amounts | – | 5 | – |
| Total | 44 | 70 | 52 |

Directors and Senior Management comprise members of the Executive Committee and the Non-executive Directors of the Company.

Short-term benefits comprise salaries and fees, annual bonuses delivered in cash (for the period for which performance is assessed), other benefits and employer social security contributions. Short-term benefits in 2014 included tax equalisation as described on the previous page. In addition, costs of \$1 million were incurred in 2014 (2013: \$6 million) in respect of additional employee levies in the Netherlands.

28 AUDITOR'S REMUNERATION

| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Fees in respect of the audit of the Consolidated and Parent Company Financial Statements, including audit of consolidation returns | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Other audit fees, principally in respect of audits of accounts of subsidiaries | 46 | 45 | 41 |
| Total audit fees | 51 | 50 | 46 |
| Audit-related fees (for other services provided pursuant to legislation) | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Fees in respect of non-audit services (principally for tax compliance) | – | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 53 | 53 | 48 |

In addition, PricewaterhouseCoopers provides audit services to retirement benefit plans for employees of subsidiaries. Remuneration amounted to \$1 million in 2015 (2014: \$1 million; 2013: \$1 million).

29 ACQUISITION OF BG GROUP PLC

On February 15, 2016, the Company acquired all the voting rights in BG by means of a Scheme of Arrangement under Part 26 of the Act for a purchase consideration of \$54.0 billion. This comprised cash of £13.1 billion (\$19.0 billion) and the fair value (\$34.1 billion) of 218.7 million A shares and 1,305.1 million B shares issued in exchange for all BG shares, together with \$0.9 billion reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income representing the loss that arose on cash flow hedges in respect of the forecast cash consideration. The fair value of the shares issued was calculated using the market price of the Company's A and B shares of 1,545.0 and 1,538.5 pence, respectively, on the London Stock Exchange at its opening of business on February 15, 2016.

BG's activities mainly comprise exploration, development, production, liquefaction and marketing of hydrocarbons, the development and use of LNG import facilities, and the purchase, shipping and sale of LNG and regasified natural gas. The acquisition is expected to accelerate Shell's growth strategy in global LNG and deep water. It is expected to add material proved oil and gas reserves and production volumes, and provides Shell with enhanced positions in competitive new oil and gas projects, particularly in Australia LNG and Brazil deep water.

The fair value of the net assets acquired and any resultant goodwill to be recognised as a result of the acquisition have not yet been determined. Access to information required to assess the market participant value to be assigned to individual assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition was necessarily limited in the period prior to the signing of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION – OIL AND GAS (UNAUDITED)

The information set out on pages 153-170 is referred to as “unaudited” as a means of clarifying that it is not covered by the audit opinion of the independent registered public accounting firm that has audited and reported on the “Consolidated Financial Statements”.

PROVED RESERVES

Proved reserves estimates are calculated pursuant to the US Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Rules and the Financial Accounting Standard Board’s Topic 932. Proved reserves can be either developed or undeveloped. The definitions used are in accordance with the SEC Rule 4-10 (a) of Regulation S-X. We include proved reserves associated with future production that will be consumed in operations.

Proved reserves shown are net of any quantities of crude oil or natural gas that are expected to be (or could be) taken as royalties in kind. Proved reserves outside North America include quantities that will be settled as royalties in cash. Proved reserves include certain quantities of crude oil or natural gas that will be produced under arrangements that involve Shell subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates in risks and rewards but do not transfer title of the product to those entities.

The impact of the reclassification of certain entities, consistent with the change in their accounting treatment as a result of the adoption of IFRS 11 *Joint Arrangements* with effect from January 1, 2013, resulted in a decrease in the Shell share of joint ventures and associates’ proved reserves during 2013 and a corresponding increase in Shell subsidiaries’ proved reserves. These effects are referred to as “IFRS 11 reclassification” on pages 157, 161 and 164.

Subsidiaries’ proved reserves at December 31, 2015, were divided into 72% developed and 28% undeveloped on a barrel of oil equivalent basis. For the Shell share of joint ventures and associates, the proved reserves at December 31, 2015, were divided into 78% developed and 22% undeveloped on a barrel of oil equivalent basis.

Proved reserves are recognised under various forms of contractual agreements. Shell’s proved reserves volumes at December 31, 2015, present in agreements such as production-sharing contracts, tax/variable royalty contracts or other forms of economic entitlement contracts, where the Shell share of reserves can vary with commodity prices, were 3,169 million barrels of crude oil and natural gas liquids, and 13,474 thousand million standard cubic feet (scf) of natural gas.

Proved reserves cannot be measured exactly because estimation of reserves involves subjective judgement (see “Risk factors” on page 09 and our “Proved reserves assurance process” below). These estimates remain subject to revision and are unaudited supplementary information.

PROVED RESERVES ASSURANCE PROCESS

A central group of reserves experts, who on average have around 28 years’ experience in the oil and gas industry, undertake the primary assurance of the proved reserves bookings. This group of experts is part of the Resources Assurance and Reporting (RAR) organisation within Shell. A Vice President with 34 years’ experience in the oil and gas industry currently heads the RAR organisation. He is a member of the Society of Petroleum Engineers and holds a diploma of Ingénieur Civil des Ponts et Chaussées de France. The RAR organisation reports directly to an Executive Vice President of Finance, who is a member of the Upstream Reserves Committee (URC). The URC is a multidisciplinary committee consisting of senior representatives from the Finance, Legal, Projects & Technology and Upstream organisations. The URC reviews and endorses all major (larger than 20 million barrels of oil equivalent) proved reserves bookings and endorses the total aggregated proved reserves. Final approval of all proved reserves bookings remains with Shell’s Executive Committee. The Internal Audit function also provides secondary assurance through audits of the control framework.

CRUDE OIL, NATURAL GAS LIQUIDS, SYNTHETIC CRUDE OIL AND BITUMEN

Shell subsidiaries' estimated net proved reserves of crude oil, natural gas liquids, synthetic crude oil and bitumen at the end of the year; their share of the net proved reserves of joint ventures and associates at the end of the year; and the changes in such reserves during the year are set out on pages 155-157.

Significant changes in proved developed and undeveloped reserves of crude oil, natural gas liquids, synthetic crude oil and bitumen are discussed below.

Proved reserves 2015-2014

SHELL SUBSIDIARIES

Europe

The net decrease of 97 million barrels in revisions and reclassifications resulted from field performance studies and development activities in Italy and the UK.

Asia

The net increase of 149 million barrels in revisions and reclassifications resulted mainly from increased PSC entitlement share in Iraq and Qatar due to the lower yearly average price.

Africa

The net increase of 50 million barrels in revisions and reclassifications resulted from field performance updates, development activities and increased PSC entitlement share due to the lower yearly average price. The decrease of 76 million barrels from sales of minerals in place resulted from divestment of assets in Nigeria.

USA

The net decrease of 61 million barrels in revisions and reclassifications resulted from field performance updates, development activities, and the lower yearly average price (early economic truncation and de-booking of uneconomic prior year proved undeveloped reserves).

Canada

The net increase of 204 million barrels in synthetic crude oil revisions and reclassifications resulted from reductions in variable royalties due to the lower yearly average price. The net decrease of 420 million barrels in bitumen revisions and reclassifications resulted from the cessation of the Carmon Creek project.

Proved reserves 2014-2013

SHELL SUBSIDIARIES

Europe

The net decrease of 129 million barrels in revisions and reclassifications resulted from field performance studies and development activities. The reservoir performance analyses and updates in fields resulted in a worse performance than previously estimated in the UK and a better performance than historically predicted in Norway.

Asia

The net increase of 120 million barrels in revisions and reclassifications resulted from field performance studies and development activities. The reservoir performance analyses and updates in fields resulted in many relatively small contributions from fields in Iraq, Malaysia, Oman and Russia.

Africa

The net increase of 126 million barrels in revisions and reclassifications resulted from field performance studies and development activities. The reservoir performance analyses and updates in fields resulted in reserves volumes increases in our operations in Egypt, Gabon and Nigeria.

USA

The net decrease of 169 million barrels in revisions and reclassifications resulted from field performance studies and development activities. Reservoir performance analyses and updates in fields resulted in reserves volume decreases for the Mars, Stones and Ursa deep-water fields in the Gulf of Mexico and for the Permian project. The Na Kika Coulomb deep-water field in the Gulf of Mexico benefited from a better than predicted reservoir performance.

Canada

The net increase of 81 million barrels in synthetic crude oil revisions and reclassifications resulted from field performance studies and development activities at the Athabasca Oil Sands Project's Muskeg River and Jackpine mines.

| PROVED DEVELOPED AND UNDEVELOPED RESERVES 2015 | | | | | | | | | | | | | MILLION BARRELS | |
|---|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| | Europe | Asia | Oceania | Africa | North America | | | | South America | Total | | | | |
| | | | | | USA | Canada | | All products | | | | | | |
| | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Synthetic crude oil | | Bitumen | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Synthetic crude oil | Bitumen | |
| Shell subsidiaries | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 579 | 1,306 | 128 | 691 | 711 | 44 | 1,763 | 428 | 63 | 3,522 | 1,763 | 428 | 5,713 | |
| Revisions and reclassifications | (97) | 149 | 6 | 50 | (61) | (25) | 204 | (420) | 7 | 29 | 204 | (420) | (187) | |
| Improved recovery | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | 4 | |
| Extensions and discoveries | - | - | - | - | 10 | 12 | 26 | - | - | 22 | 26 | - | 48 | |
| Purchases of minerals in place | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Sales of minerals in place | - | - | - | (76) | - | - | - | - | - | (76) | - | - | (76) | |
| Production [A] | (65) | (169) | (8) | (86) | (104) | (9) | (52) | (5) | (14) | (455) | (52) | (5) | (512) | |
| At December 31 | 417 | 1,286 | 126 | 579 | 560 | 22 | 1,941 | 3 | 56 | 3,046 | 1,941 | 3 | 4,990 | |
| Shell share of joint ventures and associates [B] | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 29 | 376 | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 417 | - | - | 417 | |
| Revisions and reclassifications | (17) | (49) | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | (65) | - | - | (65) | |
| Improved recovery | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Extensions and discoveries | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Purchases of minerals in place | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | |
| Sales of minerals in place | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Production | (1) | (37) | (3) | - | - | - | - | - | - | (41) | - | - | (41) | |
| At December 31 | 11 | 290 | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 313 | - | - | 313 | |
| Total | 428 | 1,576 | 138 | 579 | 560 | 22 | 1,941 | 3 | 56 | 3,359 | 1,941 | 3 | 5,303 | |
| Reserves attributable to non-controlling interest in Shell subsidiaries at December 31 | - | - | - | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | - | 7 | |

[A] Includes 2 million barrels consumed in operations for synthetic crude oil.

[B] Oceania includes Shell's 14% share of Woodside Petroleum Limited (Woodside), a publicly listed company on the Australian Securities Exchange. We have no direct access to data from Woodside, consequently the proved reserves are based on our best assessment.

| PROVED DEVELOPED RESERVES 2015 | | | | | | | | | | | | | MILLION BARRELS | |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| | Europe | Asia | Oceania | Africa | North America | | | | South America | Total | | | | |
| | | | | | USA | Canada | | All products | | | | | | |
| | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Synthetic crude oil | | Bitumen | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Synthetic crude oil | Bitumen | |
| Shell subsidiaries | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 350 | 947 | 41 | 534 | 494 | 26 | 1,273 | 9 | 51 | 2,443 | 1,273 | 9 | 3,725 | |
| At December 31 | 220 | 972 | 36 | 437 | 455 | 20 | 1,405 | 3 | 44 | 2,184 | 1,405 | 3 | 3,592 | |
| Shell share of joint ventures and associates [A] | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 22 | 222 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 254 | - | - | 254 | |
| At December 31 | 5 | 204 | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 218 | - | - | 218 | |

[A] Oceania includes Shell's 14% share of Woodside, a publicly listed company on the Australian Securities Exchange. We have no direct access to data from Woodside, consequently the proved reserves are based on our best assessment.

| PROVED UNDEVELOPED RESERVES 2015 | | | | | | | | | | | | | MILLION BARRELS | |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| | Europe | Asia | Oceania | Africa | North America | | | | South America | Total | | | | |
| | | | | | USA | Canada | | All products | | | | | | |
| | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Synthetic crude oil | | Bitumen | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Synthetic crude oil | Bitumen | |
| Shell subsidiaries | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 229 | 359 | 87 | 157 | 217 | 18 | 490 | 419 | 12 | 1,079 | 490 | 419 | 1,988 | |
| At December 31 | 197 | 314 | 90 | 142 | 105 | 2 | 536 | - | 12 | 862 | 536 | - | 1,398 | |
| Shell share of joint ventures and associates [A] | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 7 | 154 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 163 | - | - | 163 | |
| At December 31 | 6 | 86 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 95 | - | - | 95 | |

[A] Oceania includes Shell's 14% share of Woodside, a publicly listed company on the Australian Securities Exchange. We have no direct access to data from Woodside, consequently the proved reserves are based on our best assessment.

[Crude oil, natural gas liquids, synthetic crude oil and bitumen continued]

| PROVED DEVELOPED AND UNDEVELOPED RESERVES 2014 | | | | | | | | | | | | | MILLION BARRELS | |
|---|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------------------------|------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| | Europe | Asia | Oceania | Africa | North America | | | | South America | Total | | | | |
| | | | | | USA | Canada | | Oil and Synthetic NGL crude oil | | Bitumen | Oil and Synthetic NGL crude oil | Bitumen | All products | |
| Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Synthetic crude oil | Bitumen | | Oil and NGL | | | | | Oil and Synthetic NGL crude oil |
| Shell subsidiaries | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 769 | 1,343 | 139 | 651 | 991 | 29 | 1,731 | 422 | 95 | 4,017 | 1,731 | 422 | 6,170 | |
| Revisions and reclassifications | (129) | 120 | 2 | 126 | (169) | 3 | 81 | 17 | (7) | (54) | 81 | 17 | 44 | |
| Improved recovery | – | – | – | 9 | – | – | – | – | – | 9 | – | – | 9 | |
| Extensions and discoveries | – | 5 | 1 | 8 | 18 | 21 | – | 1 | 13 | 66 | – | 1 | 67 | |
| Purchases of minerals in place | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | |
| Sales of minerals in place | – | – | (5) | (15) | (30) | (1) | – | (6) | (21) | (72) | – | (6) | (78) | |
| Production [A] | (61) | (162) | (9) | (88) | (99) | (8) | (49) | (6) | (17) | (444) | (49) | (6) | (499) | |
| At December 31 | 579 | 1,306 | 128 | 691 | 711 | 44 | 1,763 | 428 | 63 | 3,522 | 1,763 | 428 | 5,713 | |
| Shell share of joint ventures and associates [B] | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 29 | 381 | 24 | – | – | – | – | – | 17 | 451 | – | – | 451 | |
| Revisions and reclassifications | 2 | 33 | – | – | – | – | – | – | (17) | 18 | – | – | 18 | |
| Improved recovery | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | |
| Extensions and discoveries | – | 1 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | 1 | – | – | 1 | |
| Purchases of minerals in place | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | |
| Sales of minerals in place | – | – | (8) | – | – | – | – | – | – | (8) | – | – | (8) | |
| Production | (2) | (39) | (4) | – | – | – | – | – | – | (45) | – | – | (45) | |
| At December 31 | 29 | 376 | 12 | – | – | – | – | – | – | 417 | – | – | 417 | |
| Total | 608 | 1,682 | 140 | 691 | 711 | 44 | 1,763 | 428 | 63 | 3,939 | 1,763 | 428 | 6,130 | |
| Reserves attributable to non-controlling interest in Shell subsidiaries at December 31 | – | – | – | 9 | – | – | – | – | – | 9 | – | – | 9 | |

[A] Includes 2 million barrels consumed in operations for synthetic crude oil.

[B] Oceania includes Shell's 14% share of Woodside from June 2014 (previously 23%) a publicly listed company on the Australian Securities Exchange. We have no direct access to data from Woodside, consequently the proved reserves are based on our best assessment.

| PROVED DEVELOPED RESERVES 2014 | | | | | | | | | | | | | MILLION BARRELS | |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|---------------------|---------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------|---------------------------------|---------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| | Europe | Asia | Oceania | Africa | North America | | | | South America | Total | | | | |
| | | | | | USA | Canada | | Oil and Synthetic NGL crude oil | | Bitumen | Oil and Synthetic NGL crude oil | Bitumen | All products | |
| Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Synthetic crude oil | Bitumen | | Oil and NGL | | | | | Oil and Synthetic NGL crude oil |
| Shell subsidiaries | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 396 | 942 | 48 | 453 | 440 | 21 | 1,299 | 13 | 59 | 2,359 | 1,299 | 13 | 3,671 | |
| At December 31 | 350 | 947 | 41 | 534 | 494 | 26 | 1,273 | 9 | 51 | 2,443 | 1,273 | 9 | 3,725 | |
| Shell share of joint ventures and associates [A] | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 22 | 316 | 23 | – | – | – | – | – | 15 | 376 | – | – | 376 | |
| At December 31 | 22 | 222 | 10 | – | – | – | – | – | – | 254 | – | – | 254 | |

[A] Oceania includes Shell's 14% share of Woodside from June 2014 (previously: 23%), a publicly listed company on the Australian Securities Exchange. We have no direct access to data from Woodside, consequently the proved reserves are based on our best assessment.

| PROVED UNDEVELOPED RESERVES 2014 | | | | | | | | | | | | | MILLION BARRELS | |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|---------------------|---------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------|---------------------------------|---------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| | Europe | Asia | Oceania | Africa | North America | | | | South America | Total | | | | |
| | | | | | USA | Canada | | Oil and Synthetic NGL crude oil | | Bitumen | Oil and Synthetic NGL crude oil | Bitumen | All products | |
| Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Synthetic crude oil | Bitumen | | Oil and NGL | | | | | Oil and Synthetic NGL crude oil |
| Shell subsidiaries | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 373 | 401 | 91 | 198 | 551 | 8 | 432 | 409 | 36 | 1,658 | 432 | 409 | 2,499 | |
| At December 31 | 229 | 359 | 87 | 157 | 217 | 18 | 490 | 419 | 12 | 1,079 | 490 | 419 | 1,988 | |
| Shell share of joint ventures and associates [A] | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 7 | 65 | 1 | – | – | – | – | – | 2 | 75 | – | – | 75 | |
| At December 31 | 7 | 154 | 2 | – | – | – | – | – | – | 163 | – | – | 163 | |

[A] Oceania includes Shell's 14% share of Woodside from June 2014 (previously: 23%), a publicly listed company on the Australian Securities Exchange. We have no direct access to data from Woodside, consequently the proved reserves are based on our best assessment.

| PROVED DEVELOPED AND UNDEVELOPED RESERVES 2013 | | | | | | | | | | | | | MILLION BARRELS | |
|---|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| | Europe | Asia | Oceania | Africa | North America | | | | South America | Total | | | | |
| | | | | | USA | Canada | | All products | | | | | | |
| | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Synthetic crude oil | | Bitumen | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Synthetic crude oil | Bitumen | |
| Shell subsidiaries | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 769 | 1,191 | 146 | 688 | 609 | 33 | 1,763 | 49 | 69 | 3,505 | 1,763 | 49 | 5,317 | |
| IFRS 11 reclassification | - | 84 | - | - | 294 | - | - | - | - | 378 | - | - | 378 | |
| Revisions and reclassifications | 39 | 205 | 6 | 38 | 14 | (2) | 16 | (30) | 8 | 308 | 16 | (30) | 294 | |
| Improved recovery | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 410 | - | 2 | - | - | 412 | |
| Extensions and discoveries | - | 11 | - | 4 | 158 | 6 | - | - | 3 | 182 | - | - | 182 | |
| Purchases of minerals in place | 24 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 23 | 48 | - | - | 48 | |
| Sales of minerals in place | - | - | (4) | - | - | - | - | - | - | (4) | - | - | (4) | |
| Production [A] | (63) | (149) | (9) | (79) | (86) | (8) | (48) | (7) | (8) | (402) | (48) | (7) | (457) | |
| At December 31 | 769 | 1,343 | 139 | 651 | 991 | 29 | 1,731 | 422 | 95 | 4,017 | 1,731 | 422 | 6,170 | |
| Shell share of joint ventures and associates [B] | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 24 | 515 | 28 | - | 294 | - | - | - | 18 | 879 | - | - | 879 | |
| IFRS 11 reclassification | - | (84) | - | - | (294) | - | - | - | - | (378) | - | - | (378) | |
| Revisions and reclassifications | 7 | 47 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 57 | - | - | 57 | |
| Improved recovery | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Extensions and discoveries | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Purchases of minerals in place | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Sales of minerals in place | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Production | (2) | (97) | (5) | - | - | - | - | - | (3) | (107) | - | - | (107) | |
| At December 31 | 29 | 381 | 24 | - | - | - | - | - | 17 | 451 | - | - | 451 | |
| Total | 798 | 1,724 | 163 | 651 | 991 | 29 | 1,731 | 422 | 112 | 4,468 | 1,731 | 422 | 6,621 | |
| Reserves attributable to non-controlling interest in Shell subsidiaries at December 31 | - | - | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | - | - | 10 | |

[A] Includes 2 million barrels consumed in operations for synthetic crude oil.

[B] Oceania includes Shell's 23% share of Woodside, a publicly listed company on the Australian Securities Exchange. We have limited access to data from Woodside, consequently the proved reserves are based on our best assessment.

| PROVED DEVELOPED RESERVES 2013 | | | | | | | | | | | | | MILLION BARRELS | |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| | Europe | Asia[A] | Oceania | Africa | North America | | | | South America | Total | | | | |
| | | | | | USA[A] | Canada | | All products | | | | | | |
| | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Synthetic crude oil | | Bitumen | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Synthetic crude oil | Bitumen | |
| Shell subsidiaries | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 425 | 817 | 34 | 496 | 283 | 28 | 1,271 | 18 | 31 | 2,114 | 1,271 | 18 | 3,403 | |
| At December 31 | 396 | 942 | 48 | 453 | 440 | 21 | 1,299 | 13 | 59 | 2,359 | 1,299 | 13 | 3,671 | |
| Shell share of joint ventures and associates [B] | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 23 | 460 | 19 | - | 217 | - | - | - | 17 | 736 | - | - | 736 | |
| At December 31 | 22 | 316 | 23 | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 376 | - | - | 376 | |

[A] As a result of the adoption of IFRS 11 *Joint Arrangements* with effect from January 1, 2013, proved developed reserves of 81 million barrels in Asia and 217 million barrels in the USA were reclassified during 2013 from the Shell share of joint ventures and associates' proved developed reserves to Shell subsidiaries' proved developed reserves.

[B] Oceania includes Shell's 23% share of Woodside, a publicly listed company on the Australian Securities Exchange. We have limited access to data from Woodside, consequently the proved reserves are based on our best assessment.

| PROVED UNDEVELOPED RESERVES 2013 | | | | | | | | | | | | | MILLION BARRELS | |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| | Europe | Asia[A] | Oceania | Africa | North America | | | | South America | Total | | | | |
| | | | | | USA[A] | Canada | | All products | | | | | | |
| | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Synthetic crude oil | | Bitumen | Oil and NGL | Oil and NGL | Synthetic crude oil | Bitumen | |
| Shell subsidiaries | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 344 | 374 | 112 | 192 | 326 | 5 | 492 | 31 | 38 | 1,391 | 492 | 31 | 1,914 | |
| At December 31 | 373 | 401 | 91 | 198 | 551 | 8 | 432 | 409 | 36 | 1,658 | 432 | 409 | 2,499 | |
| Shell share of joint ventures and associates [B] | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 1 | 55 | 9 | - | 77 | - | - | - | 1 | 143 | - | - | 143 | |
| At December 31 | 7 | 65 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 75 | - | - | 75 | |

[A] As a result of the adoption of IFRS 11 *Joint Arrangements* with effect from January 1, 2013, proved undeveloped reserves of 3 million barrels in Asia and 77 million barrels in the USA were reclassified during 2013 from the Shell share of joint ventures and associates' proved undeveloped reserves to Shell subsidiaries' proved undeveloped reserves.

[B] Oceania includes Shell's 23% share of Woodside, a publicly listed company on the Australian Securities Exchange. We have limited access to data from Woodside, consequently the proved reserves are based on our best assessment.

NATURAL GAS

Shell subsidiaries' estimated net proved reserves of natural gas at the end of the year; their share of the net proved reserves of joint ventures and associates at the end of the year; and the changes in such reserves during the year are set out on pages 159-161. Volumes are not adjusted to standard heat content. Apart from integrated projects, volumes of gas are reported on an "as-sold" basis. The price used to calculate future revenue and cash flows from proved gas reserves is the contract price or the 12-month average on "as-sold" volumes. Volumes associated with integrated projects are those measured at a designated transfer point between the Upstream and Downstream portions of the integrated project. Natural gas volumes are converted into oil equivalent using a factor of 5,800 scf per barrel. Significant changes in natural gas proved developed and undeveloped reserves are discussed below.

Proved reserves 2015-2014

SHELL SUBSIDIARIES

Asia

The net increase of 1,385 thousand million scf in revisions and reclassifications resulted mainly from increased PSC entitlement share in Qatar due to the lower yearly average price.

USA

The net decrease of 587 thousand million scf in revisions and reclassifications was mainly the result of early economic field cut-off and previously booked proved undeveloped reserves no longer meeting the economic limit test due to the lower yearly average price.

Canada

The net decrease of 581 thousand million scf in revisions and reclassifications was mainly the result of early economic field cut-off and previously booked proved undeveloped reserves no longer meeting the economic limit test due to the lower yearly average price.

SHELL SHARE OF JOINT VENTURES AND ASSOCIATES

Asia

The net decrease of 214 thousand million scf in revisions and reclassifications resulted mainly from field performance updates and development activities in Brunei.

Proved reserves 2014-2013

SHELL SUBSIDIARIES

Asia

The net increase of 630 thousand million scf in revisions and reclassifications resulted from field performance studies and development activities. The reservoir performance analyses and updates in multiple fields supported continuing better performance than historically predicted in Malaysia, Brunei and other countries.

Oceania

The sales of minerals in place of 325 thousand million scf resulted from the divestment of Wheatstone-lago.

Africa

The net increase of 621 thousand million scf in revisions and reclassifications resulted from field performance studies and development activities. The reservoir performance analyses and updates in multiple fields supported continuing better performance than historically predicted in Nigeria and Gabon.

USA

The purchase of minerals in place of 287 thousand million scf resulted from the acquisition of properties in Appalachia from Ultra Petroleum. Sales of minerals in place of 578 thousand million scf resulted from the sale of our interests in Pinedale, Eagle Ford and Haynesville.

Canada

The increase of 449 thousand million scf in extensions and discoveries resulted predominantly from extensions in tight-gas operations in Groundbirch, Fox Creek and Deep Basin East.

SHELL SHARE OF JOINT VENTURES AND ASSOCIATES

Asia

The net increase of 455 thousand million scf in revisions and reclassifications resulted from field performance studies and development activities. The reservoir performance analyses and updates in multiple fields supported continuing better performance than historically predicted in Russia, Brunei and other countries.

Oceania

The sales of minerals in place of 354 thousand million scf resulted from the reduction in our shareholding in Woodside from 23% to 14%.

| | PROVED DEVELOPED AND UNDEVELOPED RESERVES 2015 | | | | | | | | THOUSAND MILLION STANDARD CUBIC FEET | | | |
|---|--|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|--------|--|--|
| | Europe | Asia | Oceania | Africa | North America | | South America | Total | USA | Canada | | |
| Shell subsidiaries | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 4,430 | 10,071 | 5,575 | 2,621 | 1,561 | 1,611 | 48 | 25,917 | | | | |
| Revisions and reclassifications | (61) | 1,385 | 41 | 5 | (587) | (581) | 11 | 213 | | | | |
| Improved recovery | – | – | – | – | 1 | – | – | 1 | | | | |
| Extensions and discoveries | – | – | – | 4 | 59 | 175 | – | 238 | | | | |
| Purchases of minerals in place | – | – | – | – | – | 2 | – | 2 | | | | |
| Sales of minerals in place | (19) | – | – | (115) | (5) | – | – | (139) | | | | |
| Production [A] | (502) | (764) | (205) | (279) | (275) | (252) | (16) | (2,293) | | | | |
| At December 31 | 3,848 | 10,692 | 5,411 | 2,236 | 754 | 955 | 43 | 23,939 | | | | |
| Shell share of joint ventures and associates [B] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 7,866 | 6,030 | 503 | – | – | – | – | 14,399 | | | | |
| Revisions and reclassifications | 92 | (214) | 23 | – | – | – | – | (99) | | | | |
| Improved recovery | 6 | – | – | – | – | – | – | 6 | | | | |
| Extensions and discoveries | 11 | – | – | – | – | – | – | 11 | | | | |
| Purchases of minerals in place | – | – | 84 | – | – | – | – | 84 | | | | |
| Sales of minerals in place | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | | | | |
| Production [C] | (437) | (453) | (75) | – | – | – | – | (965) | | | | |
| At December 31 | 7,538 | 5,363 | 535 | – | – | – | – | 13,436 | | | | |
| Total | 11,386 | 16,055 | 5,946 | 2,236 | 754 | 955 | 43 | 37,375 | | | | |
| Reserves attributable to non-controlling interest in Shell subsidiaries at December 31 | – | 2 | – | 3 | – | – | – | 5 | | | | |

[A] Includes 145 thousand million standard cubic feet consumed in operations.

[B] Oceania includes Shell's 14% share of Woodside, a publicly listed company on the Australian Securities Exchange. We have no direct access to data from Woodside, consequently the proved reserves are based on our best assessment.

[C] Includes 55 thousand million standard cubic feet consumed in operations.

| | PROVED DEVELOPED RESERVES 2015 | | | | | | | | THOUSAND MILLION STANDARD CUBIC FEET | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------|---------|--------|---------------|-----|---------------|--------|--------------------------------------|--------|--|--|
| | Europe | Asia | Oceania | Africa | North America | | South America | Total | USA | Canada | | |
| Shell subsidiaries | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 3,774 | 9,114 | 1,398 | 1,162 | 1,275 | 939 | 42 | 17,704 | | | | |
| At December 31 | 3,471 | 9,920 | 1,234 | 1,386 | 572 | 636 | 37 | 17,256 | | | | |
| Shell share of joint ventures and associates [A] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 6,386 | 4,501 | 433 | – | – | – | – | 11,320 | | | | |
| At December 31 | 5,933 | 4,301 | 420 | – | – | – | – | 10,654 | | | | |

[A] Oceania includes Shell's 14% share of Woodside, a publicly listed company on the Australian Securities Exchange. We have no direct access to data from Woodside, consequently the proved reserves are based on our best assessment.

| | PROVED UNDEVELOPED RESERVES 2015 | | | | | | | | THOUSAND MILLION STANDARD CUBIC FEET | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|-------|---------|--------|---------------|-----|---------------|-------|--------------------------------------|--------|--|--|
| | Europe | Asia | Oceania | Africa | North America | | South America | Total | USA | Canada | | |
| Shell subsidiaries | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 656 | 957 | 4,177 | 1,459 | 286 | 672 | 6 | 8,213 | | | | |
| At December 31 | 377 | 772 | 4,177 | 850 | 182 | 319 | 6 | 6,683 | | | | |
| Shell share of joint ventures and associates [A] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 1,480 | 1,529 | 70 | – | – | – | – | 3,079 | | | | |
| At December 31 | 1,605 | 1,062 | 115 | – | – | – | – | 2,782 | | | | |

[A] Oceania includes Shell's 14% share of Woodside, a publicly listed company on the Australian Securities Exchange. We have no direct access to data from Woodside, consequently the proved reserves are based on our best assessment.

[Natural gas continued]

| | THOUSAND MILLION STANDARD CUBIC FEET | | | | | | | Total |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Europe | Asia | Oceania | Africa | North America | | South America | |
| | | | | | USA | Canada | | |
| PROVED DEVELOPED AND UNDEVELOPED RESERVES 2014 | | | | | | | | |
| Shell subsidiaries | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 4,767 | 10,170 | 6,092 | 2,257 | 2,199 | 1,500 | 74 | 27,059 |
| Revisions and reclassifications | 175 | 630 | (20) | 621 | (46) | (5) | (11) | 1,344 |
| Improved recovery | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Extensions and discoveries | – | 82 | 46 | 61 | 73 | 449 | 8 | 719 |
| Purchases of minerals in place | – | – | – | – | 287 | – | – | 287 |
| Sales of minerals in place | – | – | (325) | (10) | (578) | (100) | (8) | (1,021) |
| Production [A] | (512) | (811) | (218) | (308) | (374) | (233) | (15) | (2,471) |
| At December 31 | 4,430 | 10,071 | 5,575 | 2,621 | 1,561 | 1,611 | 48 | 25,917 |
| Shell share of joint ventures and associates [B] | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 8,508 | 5,991 | 909 | – | – | – | 6 | 15,414 |
| Revisions and reclassifications | (60) | 455 | 34 | – | – | – | (6) | 423 |
| Improved recovery | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Extensions and discoveries | 6 | 26 | 11 | – | – | – | – | 43 |
| Purchases of minerals in place | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Sales of minerals in place | – | – | (354) | – | – | – | – | (354) |
| Production [C] | (588) | (442) | (97) | – | – | – | – | (1,127) |
| At December 31 | 7,866 | 6,030 | 503 | – | – | – | – | 14,399 |
| Total | 12,296 | 16,101 | 6,078 | 2,621 | 1,561 | 1,611 | 48 | 40,316 |
| Reserves attributable to non-controlling interest in Shell subsidiaries at December 31 | – | 3 | – | 6 | – | – | – | 9 |

[A] Includes 162 thousand million standard cubic feet consumed in operations.

[B] Oceania includes Shell's 14% share of Woodside from June 2014 (previously: 23%), a publicly listed company on the Australian Securities Exchange. We have no direct access to data from Woodside, consequently the proved reserves are based on our best assessment.

[C] Includes 58 thousand million standard cubic feet consumed in operations.

| | THOUSAND MILLION STANDARD CUBIC FEET | | | | | | | Total |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------|---------|--------|---------------|--------|---------------|--------|
| | Europe | Asia | Oceania | Africa | North America | | South America | |
| | | | | | USA | Canada | | |
| PROVED DEVELOPED RESERVES 2014 | | | | | | | | |
| Shell subsidiaries | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 3,942 | 9,132 | 1,621 | 946 | 1,492 | 908 | 48 | 18,089 |
| At December 31 | 3,774 | 9,114 | 1,398 | 1,162 | 1,275 | 939 | 42 | 17,704 |
| Shell share of joint ventures and associates [A] | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 6,856 | 4,894 | 806 | – | – | – | 4 | 12,560 |
| At December 31 | 6,386 | 4,501 | 433 | – | – | – | – | 11,320 |

[A] Oceania includes Shell's 14% share of Woodside from June 2014 (previously: 23%), a publicly listed company on the Australian Securities Exchange. We have no direct access to data from Woodside, consequently the proved reserves are based on our best assessment.

| | THOUSAND MILLION STANDARD CUBIC FEET | | | | | | | Total |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------|---------|--------|---------------|--------|---------------|-------|
| | Europe | Asia | Oceania | Africa | North America | | South America | |
| | | | | | USA | Canada | | |
| PROVED UNDEVELOPED RESERVES 2014 | | | | | | | | |
| Shell subsidiaries | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 825 | 1,038 | 4,471 | 1,311 | 707 | 592 | 26 | 8,970 |
| At December 31 | 656 | 957 | 4,177 | 1,459 | 286 | 672 | 6 | 8,213 |
| Shell share of joint ventures and associates [A] | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 1,652 | 1,097 | 103 | – | – | – | 2 | 2,854 |
| At December 31 | 1,480 | 1,529 | 70 | – | – | – | – | 3,079 |

[A] Oceania includes Shell's 14% share of Woodside from June 2014 (previously: 23%), a publicly listed company on the Australian Securities Exchange. We have no direct access to data from Woodside, consequently the proved reserves are based on our best assessment.

| | THOUSAND MILLION STANDARD CUBIC FEET | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Europe | Asia | Oceania | Africa | North America | | South America | Total |
| | | | | | USA | Canada | | |
| PROVED DEVELOPED AND UNDEVELOPED RESERVES 2013 | | | | | | | | |
| Shell subsidiaries | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 5,021 | 10,220 | 5,571 | 2,241 | 2,265 | 1,011 | 95 | 26,424 |
| IFRS 11 reclassification | – | 15 | – | – | 87 | – | – | 102 |
| Revisions and reclassifications | 229 | 695 | 778 | 197 | (4) | 236 | (26) | 2,105 |
| Improved recovery | – | – | – | – | – | 160 | – | 160 |
| Extensions and discoveries | 13 | 5 | – | 86 | 250 | 344 | 11 | 709 |
| Purchases of minerals in place | 38 | – | – | – | 8 | – | 8 | 54 |
| Sales of minerals in place | – | – | (55) | – | – | – | – | (55) |
| Production [A] | (534) | (765) | (202) | (267) | (407) | (251) | (14) | (2,440) |
| At December 31 | 4,767 | 10,170 | 6,092 | 2,257 | 2,199 | 1,500 | 74 | 27,059 |
| Shell share of joint ventures and associates [B] | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 9,147 | 6,091 | 1,039 | – | 87 | – | 4 | 16,368 |
| IFRS 11 reclassification | – | (15) | – | – | (87) | – | – | (102) |
| Revisions and reclassifications | 92 | 350 | (20) | – | – | – | 3 | 425 |
| Improved recovery | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Extensions and discoveries | – | 12 | – | – | – | – | – | 12 |
| Purchases of minerals in place | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Sales of minerals in place | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Production [C] | (731) | (447) | (110) | – | – | – | (1) | (1,289) |
| At December 31 | 8,508 | 5,991 | 909 | – | – | – | 6 | 15,414 |
| Total | 13,275 | 16,161 | 7,001 | 2,257 | 2,199 | 1,500 | 80 | 42,473 |
| Reserves attributable to non-controlling interest in Shell subsidiaries at December 31 | – | 6 | – | 6 | – | – | – | 12 |

[A] Includes 153 thousand million standard cubic feet consumed in operations.

[B] Oceania includes Shell's 23% share of Woodside, a publicly listed company on the Australian Securities Exchange. We have limited access to data from Woodside, consequently the proved reserves are based on our best assessment.

[C] Includes 63 thousand million standard cubic feet consumed in operations.

| | THOUSAND MILLION STANDARD CUBIC FEET | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|--------|---------------|--------|---------------|--------|
| | Europe | Asia[A] | Oceania | Africa | North America | | South America | Total |
| | | | | | USA[A] | Canada | | |
| PROVED DEVELOPED RESERVES 2013 | | | | | | | | |
| Shell subsidiaries | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 4,192 | 9,366 | 843 | 1,012 | 1,607 | 872 | 81 | 17,973 |
| At December 31 | 3,942 | 9,132 | 1,621 | 946 | 1,492 | 908 | 48 | 18,089 |
| Shell share of joint ventures and associates [B] | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 7,407 | 5,088 | 581 | – | 67 | – | 3 | 13,146 |
| At December 31 | 6,856 | 4,894 | 806 | – | – | – | 4 | 12,560 |

[A] As a result of the adoption of IFRS 11 *Joint Arrangements* with effect from January 1, 2013, proved developed reserves of 14 thousand million standard cubic feet in Asia and 67 thousand million standard cubic feet in the USA were reclassified during 2013 from the Shell share of joint ventures and associates' proved developed reserves to Shell subsidiaries' proved developed reserves.

[B] Oceania includes Shell's 23% share of Woodside, a publicly listed company on the Australian Securities Exchange. We have limited access to data from Woodside, consequently the proved reserves are based on our best assessment.

| | THOUSAND MILLION STANDARD CUBIC FEET | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|--------|---------------|--------|---------------|-------|
| | Europe | Asia[A] | Oceania | Africa | North America | | South America | Total |
| | | | | | USA[A] | Canada | | |
| PROVED UNDEVELOPED RESERVES 2013 | | | | | | | | |
| Shell subsidiaries | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 829 | 854 | 4,728 | 1,229 | 658 | 139 | 14 | 8,451 |
| At December 31 | 825 | 1,038 | 4,471 | 1,311 | 707 | 592 | 26 | 8,970 |
| Shell share of joint ventures and associates [B] | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 1,740 | 1,003 | 458 | – | 20 | – | 1 | 3,222 |
| At December 31 | 1,652 | 1,097 | 103 | – | – | – | 2 | 2,854 |

[A] As a result of the adoption of IFRS 11 *Joint Arrangements* with effect from January 1, 2013, proved undeveloped reserves of 1 thousand million standard cubic feet in Asia and 20 thousand million standard cubic feet in the USA were reclassified during 2013 from the Shell share of joint ventures and associates' proved undeveloped reserves to Shell subsidiaries' proved undeveloped reserves.

[B] Oceania includes Shell's 23% share of Woodside, a publicly listed company on the Australian Securities Exchange. We have limited access to data from Woodside, consequently the proved reserves are based on our best assessment.

STANDARDISED MEASURE OF DISCOUNTED FUTURE CASH FLOWS

The SEC Form 20-F requires the disclosure of a standardised measure of discounted future net cash flows, relating to estimated net proved reserves quantities and based on a 12-month unweighted arithmetic average sales price, calculated on a first-day-of-the-month basis, with cost factors based on those at the end of each year, currently enacted tax rates and a 10% annual discount factor. In our view, the information so calculated does not provide a reliable measure of future cash flows from proved reserves, nor does it permit a realistic comparison to be made of one entity with another because the assumptions used cannot reflect the varying circumstances within each entity. In addition, a substantial but unknown proportion of future real cash flows from oil and gas production activities is expected to derive from reserves which have already been discovered, but which cannot yet be regarded as proved.

Standardised measure of discounted future cash flows relating to proved reserves at December 31

| 2015 – SHELL SUBSIDIARIES | | | | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|--|--------|--------|---------|----------|---------------|--------|---------------|------------|
| | Europe | Asia | Oceania | Africa | North America | | South America | Total |
| | | | | | USA | Canada | | |
| Future cash inflows | 46,910 | 83,549 | 36,644 | 35,856 | 28,755 | 81,957 | 2,264 | 315,935 |
| Future production costs | 21,526 | 25,494 | 11,690 | 17,470 | 21,480 | 60,449 | 1,728 | 159,837 |
| Future development costs | 12,003 | 12,730 | 12,987 | 6,344 | 10,930 | 17,983 | 898 | 73,875 |
| Future tax expenses | 7,660 | 15,926 | 1,407 | 6,357 | 864 | 1,099 | 86 | 33,399 |
| Future net cash flows | 5,721 | 29,399 | 10,560 | 5,685 | (4,519) | 2,426 | (448) | 48,824 |
| Effect of discounting cash flows at 10% | 1,870 | 14,181 | 5,894 | 1,372 | (2,394) | 2,241 | (221) | 22,943 |
| Standardised measure of discounted future net cash flows | 3,851 | 15,218 | 4,666 | 4,313 | (2,125)[A] | 185 | (227)[A] | 25,881 |
| Non-controlling interest included | – | (1) | – | (149)[A] | – | – | – | (150) |

[A] While proved reserves are economically producible at the 2015 yearly average price, the standardised measure of discounted future net cash flows is negative for those proved reserves at December 31, 2015, due to addition of overhead, tax and abandonment costs.

| 2015 – SHELL SHARE OF JOINT VENTURES AND ASSOCIATES | | | | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|--|--------|--------|------------|--------|---------------|--------|---------------|------------|
| | Europe | Asia | Oceania[A] | Africa | North America | | South America | Total |
| | | | | | USA | Canada | | |
| Future cash inflows | 45,488 | 43,271 | 5,261 | – | – | – | – | 94,020 |
| Future production costs | 27,279 | 19,566 | 1,055 | – | – | – | – | 47,900 |
| Future development costs | 1,513 | 7,449 | 492 | – | – | – | – | 9,454 |
| Future tax expenses | 4,121 | 6,384 | 1,121 | – | – | – | – | 11,626 |
| Future net cash flows | 12,575 | 9,872 | 2,593 | – | – | – | – | 25,040 |
| Effect of discounting cash flows at 10% | 9,597 | 3,393 | 1,087 | – | – | – | – | 14,077 |
| Standardised measure of discounted future net cash flows | 2,978 | 6,479 | 1,506 | – | – | – | – | 10,963 |

[A] Includes Shell's 14% share of Woodside, a publicly listed company on the Australian Securities Exchange. We have no direct access to data from Woodside, consequently the proved reserves are based on our best assessment.

| 2014 – SHELL SUBSIDIARIES | | | | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|--|--------|---------|---------|--------|---------------|---------|---------------|------------|
| | Europe | Asia | Oceania | Africa | North America | | South America | Total |
| | | | | | USA | Canada | | |
| Future cash inflows | 94,201 | 154,314 | 59,407 | 77,122 | 72,537 | 190,183 | 5,573 | 653,337 |
| Future production costs | 37,786 | 36,742 | 14,296 | 29,978 | 42,784 | 100,074 | 3,173 | 264,833 |
| Future development costs | 14,154 | 15,729 | 12,629 | 7,214 | 15,584 | 33,495 | 1,450 | 100,255 |
| Future tax expenses | 25,692 | 43,303 | 6,607 | 25,207 | 5,299 | 14,730 | 778 | 121,616 |
| Future net cash flows | 16,569 | 58,540 | 25,875 | 14,723 | 8,870 | 41,884 | 172 | 166,633 |
| Effect of discounting cash flows at 10% | 5,493 | 27,974 | 14,997 | 4,825 | 1,583 | 33,365 | (231) | 88,006 |
| Standardised measure of discounted future net cash flows | 11,076 | 30,566 | 10,878 | 9,898 | 7,287 | 8,519 | 403 | 78,627 |
| Non-controlling interest included | – | (5) | – | 59 | – | – | – | 54 |

| 2014 – SHELL SHARE OF JOINT VENTURES AND ASSOCIATES | | | | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|--|--------|--------|------------|--------|---------------|--------|---------------|------------|
| | Europe | Asia | Oceania[A] | Africa | North America | | South America | Total |
| | | | | | USA | Canada | | |
| Future cash inflows | 60,397 | 92,756 | 11,370 | – | – | – | – | 164,523 |
| Future production costs | 42,656 | 37,961 | 3,021 | – | – | – | – | 83,638 |
| Future development costs | 1,631 | 10,089 | 2,580 | – | – | – | – | 14,300 |
| Future tax expenses | 6,005 | 16,368 | 1,708 | – | – | – | – | 24,081 |
| Future net cash flows | 10,105 | 28,338 | 4,061 | – | – | – | – | 42,504 |
| Effect of discounting cash flows at 10% | 4,953 | 12,218 | 1,989 | – | – | – | – | 19,160 |
| Standardised measure of discounted future net cash flows | 5,152 | 16,120 | 2,072 | – | – | – | – | 23,344 |

[A] Includes Shell's 14% share of Woodside from June 2014 (previously: 23%), a publicly listed company on the Australian Securities Exchange. We have no direct access to data from Woodside, consequently the proved reserves are based on our best assessment.

| 2013 – SHELL SUBSIDIARIES | | | | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|--|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------------|---------|---------------|------------|
| | Europe | Asia | Oceania | Africa | North America | | South America | Total |
| | | | | | USA | Canada | | |
| Future cash inflows | 129,740 | 162,149 | 67,288 | 77,958 | 103,657 | 180,827 | 9,025 | 730,644 |
| Future production costs | 45,084 | 35,001 | 15,304 | 27,452 | 56,457 | 93,964 | 4,698 | 277,960 |
| Future development costs | 17,186 | 14,259 | 17,863 | 8,473 | 24,653 | 27,806 | 1,285 | 111,525 |
| Future tax expenses | 42,490 | 46,960 | 7,271 | 25,774 | 8,397 | 15,214 | 1,291 | 147,397 |
| Future net cash flows | 24,980 | 65,929 | 26,850 | 16,259 | 14,150 | 43,843 | 1,751 | 193,762 |
| Effect of discounting cash flows at 10% | 9,145 | 30,238 | 18,838 | 5,460 | 4,768 | 34,056 | (42) | 102,463 |
| Standardised measure of discounted future net cash flows | 15,835 | 35,691 | 8,012 | 10,799 | 9,382 | 9,787 | 1,793 | 91,299 |
| Non-controlling interest included | – | 5 | – | 188 | – | – | – | 193 |

| 2013 – SHELL SHARE OF JOINT VENTURES AND ASSOCIATES | | | | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|--|--------|--------|------------|--------|---------------|--------|---------------|------------|
| | Europe | Asia | Oceania[A] | Africa | North America | | South America | Total |
| | | | | | USA | Canada | | |
| Future cash inflows | 73,876 | 94,675 | 13,572 | – | – | – | 1,682 | 183,805 |
| Future production costs | 55,680 | 41,504 | 3,040 | – | – | – | 696 | 100,920 |
| Future development costs | 1,751 | 8,517 | 3,744 | – | – | – | 55 | 14,067 |
| Future tax expenses | 6,203 | 17,286 | 2,004 | – | – | – | 494 | 25,987 |
| Future net cash flows | 10,242 | 27,368 | 4,784 | – | – | – | 437 | 42,831 |
| Effect of discounting cash flows at 10% | 4,097 | 11,669 | 1,753 | – | – | – | 132 | 17,651 |
| Standardised measure of discounted future net cash flows | 6,145 | 15,699 | 3,031 | – | – | – | 305 | 25,180 |

[A] Includes Shell's 23% share of Woodside, a publicly listed company on the Australian Securities Exchange. We have limited access to data; accordingly, the numbers are estimated.

[Standardised measure of discounted future cash flows continued]

Change in standardised measure of discounted future net cash flows relating to proved reserves

| 2015 | | \$ MILLION | |
|---|-----------------------|--|-----------|
| | Shell subsidiaries | Shell share of joint ventures and associates | Total |
| At January 1 | 78,627 | 23,344 | 101,971 |
| Net changes in prices and production costs | (123,966) | (19,098) | (143,064) |
| Revisions of previous reserves estimates | 7,672 | (1,255) | 6,417 |
| Extensions, discoveries and improved recovery | 297 | 7 | 304 |
| Purchases and sales of minerals in place | (1,706) | 218 | (1,488) |
| Development cost related to future production | 4,329 | 927 | 5,256 |
| Sales and transfers of oil and gas, net of production costs | (18,930) | (4,383) | (23,313) |
| Development cost incurred during the year | 17,818 | 1,463 | 19,281 |
| Accretion of discount | 13,837 | 3,188 | 17,025 |
| Net change in income tax | 47,903 | 6,552 | 54,455 |
| At December 31 | 25,881 | 10,963 | 36,844 |

| 2014 | | \$ MILLION | |
|---|-----------------------|--|----------|
| | Shell subsidiaries | Shell share of joint ventures and associates | Total |
| At January 1 | 91,299 | 25,180 | 116,479 |
| Net changes in prices and production costs | (22,475) | 1,025 | (21,450) |
| Revisions of previous reserves estimates | 6,451 | (28) | 6,423 |
| Extensions, discoveries and improved recovery | 2,837 | 191 | 3,028 |
| Purchases and sales of minerals in place | (2,551) | (1,497) | (4,048) |
| Development cost related to future production | (9,372) | (1,362) | (10,734) |
| Sales and transfers of oil and gas, net of production costs | (40,495) | (7,401) | (47,896) |
| Development cost incurred during the year | 22,619 | 1,350 | 23,969 |
| Accretion of discount | 16,367 | 3,670 | 20,037 |
| Net change in income tax | 13,947 | 2,216 | 16,163 |
| At December 31 | 78,627 | 23,344 | 101,971 |

| 2013 | | \$ MILLION | |
|---|-----------------------|--|----------|
| | Shell subsidiaries | Shell share of joint ventures and associates | Total |
| At January 1 | 85,078 | 33,846 | 118,924 |
| IFRS 11 reclassification | 6,884 | (6,884) | – |
| Net changes in prices and production costs | (7,375) | 1,636 | (5,739) |
| Revisions of previous reserves estimates | 11,142 | 1,984 | 13,126 |
| Extensions, discoveries and improved recovery | 8,744 | – | 8,744 |
| Purchases and sales of minerals in place | 1,145 | – | 1,145 |
| Development cost related to future production | (24,747) | (1,275) | (26,022) |
| Sales and transfers of oil and gas, net of production costs | (40,244) | (13,891) | (54,135) |
| Development cost incurred during the year | 24,816 | 1,620 | 26,436 |
| Accretion of discount | 17,273 | 3,883 | 21,156 |
| Net change in income tax | 8,583 | 4,261 | 12,844 |
| At December 31 | 91,299 | 25,180 | 116,479 |

OIL AND GAS EXPLORATION AND PRODUCTION ACTIVITIES CAPITALISED COSTS

The aggregate amount of property, plant and equipment and intangible assets relating to oil and gas exploration and production activities, and the aggregate amount of the related depreciation, depletion and amortisation at December 31, are shown in the tables below.

Shell subsidiaries

| | \$ MILLION | |
|---|------------|---------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Cost | | |
| Proved properties [A] | 231,768 | 228,532 |
| Unproved properties | 27,928 | 30,161 |
| Support equipment and facilities | 5,717 | 6,325 |
| | 265,413 | 265,018 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortisation | | |
| Proved properties [A] | 118,575 | 113,943 |
| Unproved properties | 8,295 | 3,867 |
| Support equipment and facilities | 3,000 | 2,741 |
| | 129,870 | 120,551 |
| Net capitalised costs | 135,543 | 144,467 |

[A] Includes capitalised asset decommissioning and restoration costs and related depreciation.

Shell share of joint ventures and associates

| | \$ MILLION | |
|---|------------|--------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Cost | | |
| Proved properties [A] | 44,003 | 42,524 |
| Unproved properties | 3,698 | 3,504 |
| Support equipment and facilities | 3,724 | 3,596 |
| | 51,425 | 49,624 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortisation | | |
| Proved properties [A] | 25,014 | 22,916 |
| Unproved properties | 156 | 78 |
| Support equipment and facilities | 2,124 | 1,834 |
| | 27,294 | 24,828 |
| Net capitalised costs | 24,131 | 24,796 |

[A] Includes capitalised asset decommissioning and restoration costs and related depreciation.

OIL AND GAS EXPLORATION AND PRODUCTION ACTIVITIES COSTS INCURRED

Costs incurred during the year in oil and gas property acquisition, exploration and development activities, whether capitalised or charged to income currently, are shown in the tables below. Development costs include capitalised asset decommissioning and restoration costs and exclude costs of acquiring support equipment and facilities, but include depreciation thereon.

Shell subsidiaries

| 2015 | | | | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|---------------------------|-----------|-------|---------|--------|---------------|----------|---------------|------------|
| | Europe[A] | Asia | Oceania | Africa | North America | | South America | Total |
| | | | | | USA | Other[B] | | |
| Acquisition of properties | | | | | | | | |
| Proved | 2 | 3 | – | – | 2 | 86 | – | 93 |
| Unproved | 1 | 1 | – | – | 135 | 30 | 10 | 177 |
| Exploration | 360 | 822 | 198 | 376 | 3,433 | 554 | 542 | 6,285 |
| Development | 3,777 | 2,703 | 3,760 | 2,829 | 5,720 | 1,747 | 80 | 20,616 |

[A] Includes Greenland.

[B] Comprises Canada and Mexico.

| 2014 | | | | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|---------------------------|-----------|-------|---------|--------|---------------|--------|---------------|------------|
| | Europe[A] | Asia | Oceania | Africa | North America | | South America | Total |
| | | | | | USA | Canada | | |
| Acquisition of properties | | | | | | | | |
| Proved | 2 | 57 | – | 132 | 36 | – | 10 | 237 |
| Unproved | – | 97 | – | 221 | 401 | 37 | 136 | 892 |
| Exploration | 680 | 1,339 | 415 | 254 | 2,546 | 851 | 717 | 6,802 |
| Development | 5,139 | 3,189 | 5,111 | 2,717 | 6,482 | 2,437 | 409 | 25,484 |

[A] Includes Greenland.

| 2013 | | | | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|---------------------------|-----------|-------|---------|--------|---------------|--------|---------------|------------|
| | Europe[A] | Asia | Oceania | Africa | North America | | South America | Total |
| | | | | | USA | Canada | | |
| Acquisition of properties | | | | | | | | |
| Proved | 290 | 20 | – | 1 | 51 | – | 684 | 1,046 |
| Unproved | 29 | 168 | 9 | 62 | 416 | 293 | 1,364 | 2,341 |
| Exploration | 752 | 1,493 | 448 | 504 | 3,496 | 1,400 | 592 | 8,685 |
| Development | 4,309 | 3,225 | 5,720 | 2,293 | 5,314 | 1,742 | 830 | 23,433 |

[A] Includes Greenland.

Shell share of joint ventures and associates

Joint ventures and associates did not incur costs in the acquisition of oil and gas properties in 2015, 2014 or 2013.

| 2015 | | | | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|-------------|--------|-------|---------|--------|---------------|--------|---------------|------------|
| | Europe | Asia | Oceania | Africa | North America | | South America | Total |
| | | | | | USA | Canada | | |
| Exploration | 40 | 132 | 125 | – | – | – | – | 297 |
| Development | 254 | 2,434 | 854 | – | – | – | – | 3,542 |

| 2014 | | | | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|-------------|--------|-------|---------|--------|---------------|--------|---------------|------------|
| | Europe | Asia | Oceania | Africa | North America | | South America | Total |
| | | | | | USA | Canada | | |
| Exploration | 18 | 181 | 162 | – | – | – | – | 361 |
| Development | 220 | 3,430 | 143 | – | – | – | – | 3,793 |

| 2013 | | | | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|-------------|--------|-------|---------|--------|---------------|--------|---------------|------------|
| | Europe | Asia | Oceania | Africa | North America | | South America | Total |
| | | | | | USA | Canada | | |
| Exploration | 42 | 272 | 321 | – | – | – | 13 | 648 |
| Development | 169 | 2,545 | 293 | – | – | – | 23 | 3,030 |

OIL AND GAS EXPLORATION AND PRODUCTION ACTIVITIES EARNINGS

The results of operations for oil and gas producing activities are shown in the tables below.

Shell subsidiaries

| 2015 | | | | | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|--|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|
| | Europe[A] | Asia | Oceania | Africa | North America | | South America | Total | |
| | | | | | USA | Other[B] | | | |
| Revenue | | | | | | | | | |
| Third parties | 1,866 | 2,577 | 1,202 | 1,174 | 567 | 53 | 85 | 7,524 | |
| Sales between businesses | 5,707 | 8,040 | 418 | 3,737 | 4,941 | 4,045 | 535 | 27,423 | |
| Total | 7,573 | 10,617 | 1,620 | 4,911 | 5,508 | 4,098 | 620 | 34,947 | |
| Production costs excluding taxes | 2,490 | 2,163 | 541 | 1,570 | 3,039 | 2,612 | 343 | 12,758 | |
| Taxes other than income tax [C] | 128 | 435 | 115 | 347 | 79 | – | 63 | 1,167 | |
| Exploration | 261 | 1,255 | 195 | 161 | 3,336 | 164 | 347 | 5,719 | |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortisation | 2,769 | 3,047 | 478 | 1,733 | 6,259 | 6,570 | 687 | 21,543 | |
| Other costs/(income) | 779 | 1,465 | 226 | (1,441) | 668 | 2,172 | 232 | 4,101 | |
| Earnings before taxation | 1,146 | 2,252 | 65 | 2,541 | (7,873) | (7,420) | (1,052) | (10,341) | |
| Taxation charge/(credit) | 418 | 2,516 | 429 | 866 | (2,907) | (1,815) | 278 | (215) | |
| Earnings after taxation | 728 | (264) | (364) | 1,675 | (4,966) | (5,605) | (1,330) | (10,126) | |

[A] Includes Greenland.

[B] Comprises Canada and Mexico.

[C] Includes cash-paid royalties to governments outside North America.

| 2014 | | | | | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|--|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|------------|
| | Europe[A] | Asia | Oceania | Africa | North America | | South America | Total | |
| | | | | | USA | Canada | | | |
| Revenue | | | | | | | | | |
| Third parties | 2,808 | 4,914 | 1,867 | 3,004 | 1,078 | 202 | 126 | 13,999 | |
| Sales between businesses | 7,869 | 13,973 | 990 | 6,516 | 9,903 | 7,399 | 1,376 | 48,026 | |
| Total | 10,677 | 18,887 | 2,857 | 9,520 | 10,981 | 7,601 | 1,502 | 62,025 | |
| Production costs excluding taxes | 2,831 | 2,282 | 599 | 2,032 | 3,440 | 3,367 | 482 | 15,033 | |
| Taxes other than income tax [B] | 264 | 948 | 216 | 836 | 198 | – | 165 | 2,627 | |
| Exploration | 457 | 1,331 | 232 | 307 | 1,549 | 88 | 260 | 4,224 | |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortisation | 1,772 | 3,341 | 427 | 2,037 | 6,576 | 1,709 | 475 | 16,337 | |
| Other costs/(income) | 766 | 2,058 | (2,123) | 129 | 845 | 2,137 | 78 | 3,890 | |
| Earnings before taxation | 4,587 | 8,927 | 3,506 | 4,179 | (1,627) | 300 | 42 | 19,914 | |
| Taxation charge/(credit) | 3,362 | 6,800 | 2,113 | 2,404 | (654) | 60 | 157 | 14,242 | |
| Earnings after taxation | 1,225 | 2,127 | 1,393 | 1,775 | (973) | 240 | (115) | 5,672 | |

[A] Includes Greenland.

[B] Includes cash-paid royalties to governments outside North America.

| 2013 | | | | | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|--|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|------------|
| | Europe[A] | Asia | Oceania | Africa | North America | | South America | Total | |
| | | | | | USA | Canada | | | |
| Revenue | | | | | | | | | |
| Third parties | 4,116 | 5,535 | 1,982 | 2,690 | 3,416 | 52 | 64 | 17,855 | |
| Sales between businesses | 8,420 | 17,538 | 1,038 | 6,873 | 7,232 | 7,354 | 684 | 49,139 | |
| Total | 12,536 | 23,073 | 3,020 | 9,563 | 10,648 | 7,406 | 748 | 66,994 | |
| Production costs excluding taxes | 2,656 | 1,762 | 481 | 1,753 | 3,336 | 3,303 | 378 | 13,669 | |
| Taxes other than income tax [B] | 328 | 1,254 | 231 | 963 | 223 | – | 85 | 3,084 | |
| Exploration | 627 | 1,082 | 396 | 354 | 1,790 | 312 | 717 | 5,278 | |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortisation | 1,400 | 2,268 | 423 | 1,276 | 7,858 | 2,366 | 160 | 15,751 | |
| Other costs | 1,052 | 3,713 | 40 | 419 | 1,395 | 2,129 | 124 | 8,872 | |
| Earnings before taxation | 6,473 | 12,994 | 1,449 | 4,798 | (3,954) | (704) | (716) | 20,340 | |
| Taxation charge/(credit) | 4,843 | 10,251 | 486 | 3,093 | (1,461) | (231) | 71 | 17,052 | |
| Earnings after taxation | 1,630 | 2,743 | 963 | 1,705 | (2,493) | (473) | (787) | 3,288 | |

[A] Includes Greenland.

[B] Includes cash-paid royalties to governments outside North America.

[Oil and gas exploration and production activities earnings continued]

Shell share of joint ventures and associates

| | 2015 | | | | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|--|--------|-------|------------|--------|---------------|--------|---------------|-------|------------|
| | Europe | Asia | Oceania[A] | Africa | North America | | South America | Total | |
| | | | | | USA | Canada | | | |
| Third party revenue | 2,764 | 5,177 | 632 | – | – | – | – | – | 8,573 |
| Total | 2,764 | 5,177 | 632 | – | – | – | – | – | 8,573 |
| Production costs excluding taxes | 382 | 745 | 215 | – | – | – | – | – | 1,342 |
| Taxes other than income tax [B] | 1,253 | 877 | 31 | – | – | – | – | – | 2,161 |
| Exploration | 21 | 20 | 42 | – | – | – | – | – | 83 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortisation | 196 | 1,463 | 1,114 | – | – | – | – | – | 2,773 |
| Other costs | 221 | 580 | 11 | – | – | – | – | – | 812 |
| Earnings before taxation | 691 | 1,492 | (781) | – | – | – | – | – | 1,402 |
| Taxation charge | 237 | 242 | 19 | – | – | – | – | – | 498 |
| Earnings after taxation | 454 | 1,250 | (800) | – | – | – | – | – | 904 |

[A] Includes Shell's 14% share of Woodside, a publicly listed company on the Australian Securities Exchange. We have limited access to data; accordingly, the numbers are estimated.

[B] Includes cash-paid royalties to governments outside North America.

| | 2014 | | | | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|--|--------|-------|------------|--------|---------------|--------|---------------|-------|------------|
| | Europe | Asia | Oceania[A] | Africa | North America | | South America | Total | |
| | | | | | USA | Canada | | | |
| Third party revenue | 4,966 | 8,811 | 1,292 | – | – | – | – | – | 15,069 |
| Total | 4,966 | 8,811 | 1,292 | – | – | – | – | – | 15,069 |
| Production costs excluding taxes | 434 | 829 | 272 | – | – | – | – | – | 1,535 |
| Taxes other than income tax [B] | 2,634 | 2,518 | 24 | – | – | – | – | – | 5,176 |
| Exploration | 22 | 83 | 66 | – | – | – | 18 | – | 189 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortisation | 198 | 1,117 | 373 | – | – | – | – | – | 1,688 |
| Other costs/(income) | (6) | 643 | 96 | – | – | – | 258 | – | 991 |
| Earnings before taxation | 1,684 | 3,621 | 461 | – | – | – | (276) | – | 5,490 |
| Taxation charge | 608 | 1,256 | 190 | – | – | – | – | – | 2,054 |
| Earnings after taxation | 1,076 | 2,365 | 271 | – | – | – | (276) | – | 3,436 |

[A] Includes Shell's 14% share of Woodside from June 2014 (previously: 23%), a publicly listed company on the Australian Securities Exchange. We have limited access to data; accordingly, the numbers are estimated.

[B] Includes cash-paid royalties to governments outside North America.

| | 2013 | | | | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|--|--------|--------|------------|--------|---------------|--------|---------------|-------|------------|
| | Europe | Asia | Oceania[A] | Africa | North America | | South America | Total | |
| | | | | | USA | Canada | | | |
| Third party revenue | 6,825 | 11,040 | 1,334 | – | – | – | 313 | – | 19,512 |
| Total | 6,825 | 11,040 | 1,334 | – | – | – | 313 | – | 19,512 |
| Production costs excluding taxes | 451 | 958 | 291 | – | – | – | 58 | – | 1,758 |
| Taxes other than income tax [B] | 3,989 | 3,907 | 58 | – | – | – | 86 | – | 8,040 |
| Exploration | 16 | 162 | 165 | – | – | – | 13 | – | 356 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortisation | 188 | 1,335 | 578 | – | – | – | 37 | – | 2,138 |
| Other costs/(income) | (151) | 694 | 310 | – | – | – | 40 | – | 893 |
| Earnings before taxation | 2,332 | 3,984 | (68) | – | – | – | 79 | – | 6,327 |
| Taxation charge/(credit) | 879 | 1,655 | (185) | – | – | – | 93 | – | 2,442 |
| Earnings after taxation | 1,453 | 2,329 | 117 | – | – | – | (14) | – | 3,885 |

[A] Includes Shell's 23% share of Woodside, a publicly listed company on the Australian Securities Exchange. We have limited access to data; accordingly, the numbers are estimated.

[B] Includes cash-paid royalties to governments outside North America.

ACREAGE AND WELLS

The tables below reflect acreage and wells of Shell subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates. The term “gross” refers to the total activity in which Shell subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates have an interest. The term “net” refers to the sum of the fractional interests owned by Shell subsidiaries plus the Shell share of joint ventures and associates’ fractional interests. Net data below are rounded to the nearest whole number.

| OIL AND GAS ACREAGE (AT DECEMBER 31) | | | | | | | | | | | | THOUSAND ACRES | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|--|
| | 2015 | | | | 2014 | | | | 2013 | | | | |
| | Developed | | Undeveloped | | Developed | | Undeveloped | | Developed | | Undeveloped | | |
| | Gross | Net | Gross | Net | Gross | Net | Gross | Net | Gross | Net | Gross | Net | |
| Europe [A] | 7,152 | 2,194 | 14,623 | 7,732 | 9,603 | 2,693 | 16,161 | 8,563 | 9,614 | 2,698 | 15,978 | 6,225 | |
| Asia | 25,581 | 9,181 | 36,658 | 22,995 | 25,724 | 9,252 | 46,487 | 25,155 | 26,349 | 9,275 | 56,373 | 27,791 | |
| Oceania | 1,657 | 434 | 70,509 | 26,312 | 1,657 | 433 | 71,941 | 25,992 | 1,659 | 466 | 74,055 | 29,811 | |
| Africa | 4,650 | 2,071 | 40,435 | 27,058 | 5,174 | 2,232 | 39,297 | 26,409 | 5,217 | 2,245 | 37,811 | 24,553 | |
| North America – USA | 1,659 | 1,158 | 5,033 | 4,262 | 1,635 | 1,131 | 6,133 | 5,047 | 1,901 | 1,213 | 8,432 | 6,613 | |
| North America – Canada | 1,227 | 745 | 32,706 | 25,716 | 1,132 | 748 | 33,094 | 27,223 | 1,259 | 832 | 33,307 | 28,677 | |
| South America | 100 | 52 | 7,851 | 3,621 | 100 | 52 | 8,637 | 4,081 | 162 | 89 | 15,116 | 7,210 | |
| Total | 42,026 | 15,835 | 207,815 | 117,696 | 45,025 | 16,541 | 221,750 | 122,470 | 46,161 | 16,818 | 241,072 | 130,880 | |

[A] Includes Greenland.

| NUMBER OF PRODUCTIVE WELLS [A] (AT DECEMBER 31) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2015 | | | | 2014 | | | | 2013 | | | |
| | Oil | | Gas | | Oil | | Gas | | Oil | | Gas | |
| | Gross | Net | Gross | Net | Gross | Net | Gross | Net | Gross | Net | Gross | Net |
| Europe | 1,272 | 344 | 1,229 | 392 | 1,256[B] | 332[B] | 1,209[D] | 333[D] | 1,315 | 349 | 1,193[F] | 334[F] |
| Asia | 8,271 | 2,853 | 334 | 190 | 7,529 | 2,643 | 353 | 198 | 8,187 | 2,578 | 340 | 192 |
| Oceania | – | – | 624 | 234 | 44 | 3 | 625 | 235 | 44 | 5 | 655 | 244 |
| Africa | 812 | 362 | 129 | 87 | 887[C] | 349[C] | 116 | 79 | 921[E] | 350[E] | 109 | 71 |
| North America – USA | 15,331 | 7,893 | 2,522 | 2,403 | 15,313 | 7,760 | 2,555 | 1,849 | 15,347 | 8,150 | 4,316 | 2,878 |
| North America – Canada | 286 | 286 | 1,209 | 1,059 | 325 | 320 | 1,125 | 878 | 337 | 331 | 1,238 | 949 |
| South America | 25 | 15 | 7 | 2 | 25 | 15 | 7 | 2 | 74 | 31 | 7 | 2 |
| Total | 25,997 | 11,753 | 6,054 | 4,367 | 25,379 | 11,422 | 5,990 | 3,574 | 26,225 | 11,794 | 7,858 | 4,670 |

[A] The number of productive wells with multiple completions (more than one formation producing into the same well bore) at December 31, 2015, was 1,733 gross (727 net); 2014: 1,802 gross (corrected from 1,815) and 762 net (corrected from 763); 2013: 2,200 gross (805 net).

[B] Corrected from 1,269 gross (333 net).

[C] Corrected from 891 gross (352 net).

[D] Corrected from 1,311 gross (410 net).

[E] Corrected from 920 gross (351 net).

[F] Corrected from 1,295 gross (411 net).

| NUMBER OF NET PRODUCTIVE WELLS AND DRY HOLES DRILLED | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----------|------------|---------------|------------|-----------|--|
| | 2015 | | 2014 | | 2013 | | |
| | Productive | Dry | Productive | Dry | Productive | Dry | |
| Exploratory [A] | | | | | | | |
| Europe | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | |
| Asia | – | 11 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 9 | |
| Oceania | – | 3 | – | 1 | – | 1 | |
| Africa | 5 | – | 4 | 4 | 6 | 3 | |
| North America – USA | 35 | 8 | 53 | 89 | 173 | 33 | |
| North America – Canada | 73 | 5 | 39 | 2 | 17 | 2 | |
| South America | – | 1 | – | 1 | – | 5 | |
| Total | 114 | 30 | 99 | 109[B] | 199 | 56 | |
| Development | | | | | | | |
| Europe | 10 | – | 8 | 1 | 6 | 2 | |
| Asia | 252 | 2 | 243 | 9 | 218 | 6 | |
| Oceania | 2 | – | 6 | 1 | 12 | – | |
| Africa | 27 | – | 23[C] | 2 | 24[C] | – | |
| North America – USA | 433 | – | 392 | 3 | 447 | 2 | |
| North America – Canada | 20 | 2 | 22 | – | 57 | 1 | |
| South America | 3 | 1 | 3 | – | 4 | – | |
| Total | 747 | 5 | 697 | 16 | 768 | 11 | |

[A] Productive wells are wells with proved reserves allocated. Exploratory wells in the process of drilling are excluded and presented separately on page 170.

[B] Includes 50 net exploratory wells sold in North and South America.

[C] Corrected from 25.

[Acreage and wells continued]

| NUMBER OF WELLS IN THE PROCESS OF EXPLORATORY DRILLING [A] | | | | | | | | | | 2015 |
|--|--------------|------------|---|--------------|---|-------------|---|-----------|----------------|------------|
| | At January 1 | | Wells in the process of drilling at January 1 and allocated proved reserves during the year | | Wells in the process of drilling at January 1 and determined as dry during the year | | New wells in the process of drilling at December 31 | | At December 31 | |
| | Gross | Net | Gross | Net | Gross | Net | Gross | Net | Gross | Net |
| Europe | 24[B] | 8 | (4) | (1) | (3) | (1) | 2 | 1 | 19 | 7 |
| Asia | 122 | 55[C] | (9) | (3) | (24) | (15) | 17 | 6 | 106 | 43 |
| Oceania | 461[D] | 201[D] | – | – | (4) | (3) | 6 | 3 | 463 | 201 |
| Africa | 27 | 13 | (2) | (1) | (4) | (1) | 6 | 5 | 27 | 16 |
| North America – USA | 155[E] | 121[E] | (40) | (26) | (11) | (9) | 77 | 43 | 181 | 129 |
| North America – Canada | 200[F] | 184[F] | (85) | (78) | (21) | (18) | 23 | 19 | 117 | 107 |
| South America | 23[G] | 15[G] | – | – | (2) | (1) | 11 | 5 | 32 | 19 |
| Total | 1,012 | 597 | (140) | (109) | (69) | (48) | 142 | 82 | 945 | 522 |

[A] Wells in the process of drilling includes exploratory wells temporarily suspended.

[B] Corrected from 27.

[C] Corrected from 63.

[D] Corrected from 548 gross (192 net).

[E] Corrected from 143 gross (113 net).

[F] Corrected from 187 gross (170 net).

[G] Corrected from 21 gross (14 net).

| NUMBER OF WELLS IN THE PROCESS OF DEVELOPMENT DRILLING [A] | | | | | | | | | | 2015 |
|--|--------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|--------------|-----|----------------|-----|-------|------|
| | At January 1 | | At December 31 | | At January 1 | | At December 31 | | | |
| | Gross | Net | Gross | Net | Gross | Net | Gross | Net | Gross | Net |
| Europe | 11[B] | 2[B] | 13 | 3 | | | | | | |
| Asia | 60 | 18 | 80 | 24 | | | | | | |
| Oceania | 19 | 9 | 7 | 3 | | | | | | |
| Africa | 11[C] | 6[C] | 12 | 5 | | | | | | |
| North America – USA | 47 | 37 | 37 | 26 | | | | | | |
| North America – Canada | 11 | 11 | 36 | 33 | | | | | | |
| South America | – | – | – | – | | | | | | |
| Total | 159 | 83 | 185 | 94 | | | | | | |

[A] In addition to the present activities mentioned above, Shell has ongoing activities related to the installation of water flood projects in Europe, Asia and Africa. Activities related to steam floods are in progress in Europe, Asia and North America, and gas compression is being installed in Europe and Asia.

[B] Corrected from 13 gross (3 net).

[C] Corrected from 10 gross (5 net).

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT TO THE MEMBERS OF ROYAL DUTCH SHELL PLC

REPORT ON THE PARENT COMPANY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Our opinion

In our opinion, the Parent Company Financial Statements of Royal Dutch Shell plc (the Company):

- give a true and fair view of the state of the Company's affairs as at December 31, 2015, and of its income and cash flows for the year then ended;
- have been properly prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) as adopted by the European Union; and
- have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Companies Act 2006.

Separate opinion in relation to IFRS as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB)

As explained in Note 1 to the Parent Company Financial Statements, the Company, in addition to complying with its legal obligation to apply IFRS as adopted by the European Union, has also applied IFRS as issued by the IASB.

In our opinion, the Parent Company Financial Statements comply with IFRS as issued by the IASB.

What we have audited

The Parent Company Financial Statements comprise:

- the Parent Company Statement of Income and Parent Company Statement of Comprehensive Income for the year ended December 31, 2015;
- the Parent Company Balance Sheet as at December 31, 2015;
- the Parent Company Statement of Changes in Equity for the year ended December 31, 2015;
- the Parent Company Statement of Cash Flows for the year ended December 31, 2015; and
- the Notes to the Parent Company Financial Statements, which include a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information.

Certain required disclosures have been presented elsewhere in the Annual Report and Form 20-F (the Annual Report), rather than in the Parent Company Financial Statements. These are cross-referenced from the Parent Company Financial Statements and are identified as audited.

The financial reporting framework that has been applied in the preparation of the Parent Company Financial Statements is applicable law and IFRS as adopted by the European Union.

OTHER REQUIRED REPORTING

Consistency of other information

COMPANIES ACT 2006 OPINION

In our opinion, the information given in the Strategic Report and the Directors' Report for the financial year for which the Parent Company Financial Statements are prepared is consistent with the Parent Company Financial Statements.

ISAS (UK & IRELAND) REPORTING

Under International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland) (ISAs (UK & Ireland)) we are required to report to you if, in our opinion, information in the Annual Report is:

- materially inconsistent with the information in the audited Parent Company Financial Statements; or
- apparently materially incorrect based on, or materially inconsistent with, our knowledge of the Company acquired in the course of performing our audit; or
- otherwise misleading.

We have no exceptions to report arising from this responsibility.

Adequacy of accounting records and information and explanations received

Under the Companies Act 2006 we are required to report to you if, in our opinion:

- we have not received all the information and explanations we require for our audit; or
- adequate accounting records have not been kept by the Company, or returns adequate for our audit have not been received from branches not visited by us; or
- the Parent Company Financial Statements and the part of the Directors' Remuneration Report to be audited are not in agreement with the accounting records and returns.

We have no exceptions to report arising from this responsibility.

Directors' remuneration

DIRECTORS' REMUNERATION REPORT – COMPANIES ACT 2006 OPINION

In our opinion, the part of the Directors' Remuneration Report to be audited has been properly prepared in accordance with the Companies Act 2006.

OTHER COMPANIES ACT 2006 REPORTING

Under the Companies Act 2006 we are required to report to you if, in our opinion, certain disclosures of Directors' remuneration specified by law are not made. We have no exceptions to report arising from this responsibility.

RESPONSIBILITIES FOR THE PARENT COMPANY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND THE AUDIT

Our responsibilities and those of the Directors

As explained more fully in the Directors' responsibilities in respect of the preparation of the annual report and accounts set out on pages 66-67, the Directors are responsible for the preparation of the Parent Company Financial Statements and for being satisfied that they give a true and fair view.

Our responsibility is to audit and express an opinion on the Parent Company Financial Statements in accordance with applicable law and ISAs (UK & Ireland). Those standards require us to comply with the Auditing Practices Board's Ethical Standards for Auditors.

This report, including the opinions, has been prepared for and only for the Company's members as a body in accordance with Chapter 3 of Part 16 of the Companies Act 2006 and for no other purpose. We do not, in giving these opinions, accept or assume responsibility for any other purpose or to any other person to whom this report is shown or into whose hands it may come save where expressly agreed by our prior consent in writing.

What an audit of Parent Company Financial Statements involves

We conducted our audit in accordance with ISAs (UK & Ireland). An audit involves obtaining evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the Parent Company Financial Statements sufficient to give reasonable assurance that the Parent Company Financial Statements are free from material misstatement, whether caused by fraud or error.

This includes an assessment of:

- whether the accounting policies are appropriate to the Company's circumstances and have been consistently applied and adequately disclosed;
- the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by the Directors; and
- the overall presentation of the Parent Company Financial Statements.

We primarily focus our work in these areas by assessing the Directors' judgements against available evidence, forming our own judgements, and evaluating the disclosures in the Parent Company Financial Statements.

We test and examine information, using sampling and other auditing techniques, to the extent we consider necessary to provide a reasonable basis for us to draw conclusions. We obtain audit evidence through testing the effectiveness of controls, substantive procedures or a combination of both.

In addition, we read all the financial and non-financial information in the Annual Report to identify material inconsistencies with the audited Parent Company Financial Statements and to identify any information that is apparently materially incorrect based on, or materially inconsistent with, the knowledge acquired by us in the course of performing the audit. If we become aware of any apparent material misstatements or inconsistencies we consider the implications for our report.

OTHER MATTER

We have reported separately on the Consolidated Financial Statements of Royal Dutch Shell plc for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Ross Hunter (Senior Statutory Auditor)

for and on behalf of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
Chartered Accountants and Statutory Auditors
London, United Kingdom
March 9, 2016

Note that the report set out above is included for the purposes of Royal Dutch Shell plc's Annual Report and Accounts for 2015 only and does not form part of Royal Dutch Shell plc's Annual Report on Form 20-F for 2015.

PARENT COMPANY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Parent Company Financial Statements have not been audited in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States).

| | |
|-----|---|
| 174 | Statement of Income |
| 174 | Statement of Comprehensive Income |
| 174 | Balance Sheet |
| 175 | Statement of Changes in Equity |
| 175 | Statement of Cash Flows |
| 176 | Notes to the Parent Company Financial Statements |
| 176 | Note 1 Basis of preparation |
| 176 | Note 2 Key accounting policies |
| 177 | Note 3 Finance income and expense |
| 177 | Note 4 Investments in subsidiaries |
| 177 | Note 5 Accounts receivable |
| 177 | Note 6 Cash and cash equivalents |
| 177 | Note 7 Accounts payable and accrued liabilities |
| 178 | Note 8 Taxation |
| 178 | Note 9 Financial instruments |
| 178 | Note 10 Share capital |
| 180 | Note 11 Other reserves |
| 180 | Note 12 Dividends |
| 180 | Note 13 Legal proceedings and other contingencies |
| 180 | Note 14 Directors and Senior Management |
| 180 | Note 15 Related parties |
| 181 | Note 16 Auditor's remuneration |
| 181 | Note 17 Acquisition of BG Group plc |

| STATEMENT OF INCOME | | \$ MILLION | |
|----------------------------|-------|-------------------|---------|
| | NOTES | 2015 | 2014 |
| Dividend income | | 8,167 | 18,031 |
| Finance income | 3 | 5 | 49 |
| Administrative expenses | | (113) | (57) |
| Finance expense | 3 | (2,029) | (1,956) |
| Income before taxation | | 6,030 | 16,067 |
| Taxation (charge)/credit | 8 | (1) | 12 |
| Income for the period | | 6,029 | 16,079 |

| STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME | | \$ MILLION | |
|--|--|-------------------|--------|
| | | 2015 | 2014 |
| Income for the period | | 6,029 | 16,079 |
| Comprehensive income for the period | | 6,029 | 16,079 |

| BALANCE SHEET | | \$ MILLION | |
|--|-------|-------------------|----------------|
| | NOTES | Dec 31, 2015 | Dec 31, 2014 |
| Assets | | | |
| Non-current assets | | | |
| Investments in subsidiaries | 4 | 203,066 | 202,791 |
| Deferred tax | 8 | 438 | 493 |
| | | 203,504 | 203,284 |
| Current assets | | | |
| Accounts receivable | 5 | 19,006 | 20,652 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 6 | 465 | 168 |
| | | 19,471 | 20,820 |
| Total assets | | 222,975 | 224,104 |
| Liabilities | | | |
| Non-current liabilities | | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 7 | 245 | 260 |
| | | 245 | 260 |
| Current liabilities | | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 7 | 4,465 | 2,856 |
| | | 4,465 | 2,856 |
| Total liabilities | | 4,710 | 3,116 |
| Equity | | | |
| Share capital | 10 | 546 | 540 |
| Other reserves | 11 | 201,674 | 201,745 |
| Retained earnings | | 16,045 | 18,703 |
| Total equity | | 218,265 | 220,988 |
| Total liabilities and equity | | 222,975 | 224,104 |

Signed on behalf of the Board

/s/ Simon Henry

Simon Henry
Chief Financial Officer
March 9, 2016

| STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|-------------------------------------|-------|---------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | NOTES | Share capital | Other reserves | Retained earnings | Total equity |
| At January 1, 2015 | | 540 | 201,745 | 18,703 | 220,988 |
| Comprehensive income for the period | | – | – | 6,029 | 6,029 |
| Dividends paid | 12 | – | – | (11,972) | (11,972) |
| Scrip dividends | 12 | 7 | (7) | 2,602 | 2,602 |
| Repurchases of shares | 11 | (1) | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Share-based compensation | 11 | – | (65) | 682 | 617 |
| At December 31, 2015 | | 546 | 201,674 | 16,045 | 218,265 |
| At January 1, 2014 | | 542 | 201,898 | 14,183 | 216,623 |
| Comprehensive income for the period | | – | – | 16,079 | 16,079 |
| Dividends paid | 12 | – | – | (11,843) | (11,843) |
| Scrip dividends | 12 | 6 | (6) | 2,399 | 2,399 |
| Repurchases of shares | 11 | (8) | 8 | (2,787) | (2,787) |
| Share-based compensation | 11 | – | (155) | 672 | 517 |
| At December 31, 2014 | | 540 | 201,745 | 18,703 | 220,988 |

| STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS | | | | \$ MILLION |
|--|-------|---------|----------|------------|
| | NOTES | 2015 | 2014 | |
| Cash flow from operating activities | | | | |
| Income for the period | | 6,029 | 16,079 | |
| Adjustment for: | | | | |
| Dividend income | | (8,167) | (18,031) | |
| Tax | | 1 | (12) | |
| Interest income | | (5) | (7) | |
| Interest and other expense | | 41 | 14 | |
| Share-based compensation | | 32 | 27 | |
| Decrease/(increase) in working capital | | 3,607 | (3,771) | |
| Net cash from/(used in) operating activities | | 1,538 | (5,701) | |
| Cash flow from investing activities | | | | |
| Dividends received | | 8,167 | 18,031 | |
| Interest received | | 5 | 7 | |
| Share-based compensation | | 407 | 402 | |
| Net cash from investing activities | | 8,579 | 18,440 | |
| Cash flow from financing activities | | | | |
| Cash dividends paid | 12 | (9,370) | (9,444) | |
| Repurchases of shares | | (409) | (3,328) | |
| Interest and other expense paid | | (41) | (14) | |
| Net cash used in financing activities | | (9,820) | (12,786) | |
| Increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | | 297 | (47) | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at January 1 | | 168 | 215 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at December 31 | 6 | 465 | 168 | |

NOTES TO THE PARENT COMPANY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1 BASIS OF PREPARATION

The Financial Statements of Royal Dutch Shell plc (the Company) have been prepared in accordance with the provisions of the Companies Act 2006 (the Act) and with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) as adopted by the European Union. As applied to the Company, there are no material differences from IFRS as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB); therefore, the Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with IFRS as issued by the IASB.

As described in the accounting policies in Note 2, the Financial Statements have been prepared under the historical cost convention except for certain items measured at fair value. Those accounting policies have been applied consistently in all periods presented.

The Financial Statements were approved and authorised for issue by the Board of Directors on March 9, 2016.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with IFRS requires the use of certain accounting estimates. It also requires management to exercise its judgement in the process of applying the Company's accounting policies. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

The financial results of the Company are included in the Consolidated Financial Statements on pages 115-152. The financial results of the Company incorporate the results of the Dividend Access Trust (the Trust), the financial statements for which are presented on pages 185-189.

The Company's principal activity is being the parent company for Shell, as described in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

2 KEY ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Company's accounting policies generally follow those of Shell as set out in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The following are Company-specific policies.

Presentation currency

The Company's presentation and functional currency is US dollars (dollars).

Investments

Investments in subsidiaries are stated at cost, net of any impairment. Key accounting estimates and judgements affecting the assessment and measurement of impairment follow those set out in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The original cost of the Company's investment in Royal Dutch Petroleum Company (Royal Dutch) was based on the fair value of the shares transferred to the Company by the former shareholders of Royal Dutch in exchange for A shares in the Company during the public exchange offer in 2005. The original cost of the Company's investment in The "Shell" Transport and Trading Company, p.l.c., now The Shell Transport and Trading Company Limited (Shell Transport), was the fair value of the shares held by the former shareholders of The "Shell" Transport and Trading Company, p.l.c. transferred in consideration for the issuance of B shares as part of the Scheme of Arrangement in 2005. The Company's investments in Royal Dutch and Shell Transport now represent an investment in Shell Petroleum N.V. (Shell Petroleum); this change had no impact on the cost of investments in subsidiaries.

Dividend income

Interim dividends are recognised on a paid basis unless the dividend has been confirmed by a general meeting of Shell Transport or of Shell Petroleum, in which case income is recognised on the date at which receipt is deemed virtually certain.

Share-based compensation plans

The fair value of share-based compensation for equity-settled plans granted to employees of subsidiaries under the Company's plans is recognised as an investment in subsidiaries from the date of grant over the vesting period with a corresponding increase in equity. Changes in the fair value of share-based compensation for cash-settled plans relating to employees of subsidiaries are recognised as an investment in subsidiaries with a corresponding change in liabilities. In the year of vesting of a plan, the costs for the actual deliveries are charged to the relevant employing subsidiaries. This is recognised as a realisation of the investment originally booked. If the actual vesting costs are higher than the cumulatively recognised share-based compensation charge, the difference is recognised in income.

Refer to Note 21 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for information on the Company's principal plan.

Taxation

The Company is tax-resident in the Netherlands. For the assessment of corporate income tax in the Netherlands, the Company and certain of its subsidiaries form a fiscal unit, in respect of which the Company recognises any current tax receivable or payable or (or deferred tax asset or liability) for the fiscal unit as a whole.

The Company's tax charge or credit recognised in income is calculated at the statutory tax rate prevailing in the Netherlands.

3 FINANCE INCOME AND EXPENSE

| | \$ MILLION | |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Finance income | | |
| Interest income | 5 | 7 |
| Other income | – | 42 |
| Total | 5 | 49 |
| Finance expense | | |
| Interest expense | (28) | (14) |
| Other expense | (13) | – |
| Foreign exchange losses | (1,988) | (1,942) |
| Total | (2,029) | (1,956) |

4 INVESTMENTS IN SUBSIDIARIES

| | \$ MILLION | |
|---|----------------|----------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| At January 1 | 202,791 | 202,458 |
| Share-based compensation | 715 | 753 |
| Recovery of vested share-based compensation | (440) | (420) |
| At December 31 | 203,066 | 202,791 |

5 ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

| | \$ MILLION | |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| | Dec 31, 2015 | Dec 31, 2014 |
| Amounts due from subsidiaries (see Note 15) | 19,006 | 20,652 |
| Total | 19,006 | 20,652 |

6 CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Cash and cash equivalents comprise call deposits in euros, sterling and dollars with Shell Treasury Centre Limited, a subsidiary.

7 ACCOUNTS PAYABLE AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES

| | \$ MILLION | | | |
|---|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| | Dec 31, 2015 | | Dec 31, 2014 | |
| | Current | Non-current | Current | Non-current |
| Amounts due to subsidiaries (see Note 15) | 4,178 | – | 2,179 | – |
| Withholding tax payable | 145 | – | 249 | – |
| Accruals and other liabilities | 139 | 245 | 426 | 260 |
| Unclaimed dividends | 3 | – | 2 | – |
| Total | 4,465 | 245 | 2,856 | 260 |

Accruals and other liabilities are principally in respect of cash-settled share-based compensation and commitments for share repurchases undertaken on the Company's behalf under irrevocable, non-discretionary arrangements.

8 TAXATION

| TAXATION CHARGE/(CREDIT) | \$ MILLION | |
|---|------------|-------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Deferred tax | | |
| Relating to the origination and reversal of temporary differences | 1 | (6) |
| Adjustments in respect of prior periods | – | (6) |
| Total taxation charge/(credit) | 1 | (12) |

| RECONCILIATION OF APPLICABLE TAX CHARGE AT STATUTORY RATE TO TAXATION CHARGE/(CREDIT) | \$ MILLION | |
|---|------------|-------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Income before taxation | 6,030 | 16,067 |
| Applicable tax charge at the statutory tax rate of 25.0% (2014: 25.0%) | 1,508 | 4,017 |
| Adjustments in respect of prior periods | – | (6) |
| Tax effects of: | | |
| Income not subject to tax | (1,538) | (4,038) |
| Expenses not deductible for tax purposes | 8 | 7 |
| Other | 23 | 8 |
| Taxation charge/(credit) | 1 | (12) |

Taxes payable are reported within accounts payable and accrued liabilities (see Note 7).

| DEFERRED TAX ASSETS | \$ MILLION | |
|-----------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| At January 1 | 493 | 565 |
| Recognised in income | (1) | 12 |
| Other movements | (54) | (84) |
| At December 31 | 438 | 493 |

Deferred tax assets are recognised principally in respect of tax losses, which are available for relief against future taxable profits for up to nine years from the year in which the losses were incurred.

9 FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Financial assets and liabilities in the Company's Balance Sheet comprise cash and cash equivalents (see Note 6), accounts receivable (see Note 5) and certain amounts reported within accounts payable and accrued liabilities (see Note 7). The fair value of financial assets and liabilities at December 31, 2015, and 2014, approximates their carrying amount.

Information on financial risk management is presented in Note 19 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Foreign currency derivatives are used by the Company to manage foreign exchange risk. Foreign exchange risk arises when certain transactions are denominated in a currency that is not the Company's functional currency. There were no derivative financial instruments held at December 31, 2015, or December 31, 2014.

10 SHARE CAPITAL

| ISSUED AND FULLY PAID | NUMBER OF SHARES | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Ordinary shares of €0.07 each | | Sterling deferred shares of £1 each |
| | A | B | |
| At January 1, 2015 | 3,907,302,393 | 2,440,410,614 | 50,000 |
| Scrip dividends | 96,336,688 | – | – |
| Repurchases of shares | (12,717,512) | – | – |
| At December 31, 2015 | 3,990,921,569 | 2,440,410,614 | 50,000 |
| At January 1, 2014 | 3,898,011,213 | 2,472,839,187 | 50,000 |
| Scrip dividends | 64,568,758 | – | – |
| Repurchases of shares | (55,277,578) | (32,428,573) | – |
| At December 31, 2014 | 3,907,302,393 | 2,440,410,614 | 50,000 |

| NOMINAL VALUE | Ordinary shares of €0.07 each | | \$ MILLION |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-----|------------|
| | A | B | |
| At January 1, 2015 | 334 | 206 | 540 |
| Scrip dividends | 7 | – | 7 |
| Repurchases of shares | (1) | – | (1) |
| At December 31, 2015 | 340 | 206 | 546 |
| At January 1, 2014 | 333 | 209 | 542 |
| Scrip dividends | 6 | – | 6 |
| Repurchases of shares | (5) | (3) | (8) |
| At December 31, 2014 | 334 | 206 | 540 |

The total nominal value of sterling deferred shares is less than \$1 million.

A and B shares repurchased in 2015 and 2014 under the Company's share buyback programme were all cancelled.

At the Company's Annual General Meeting (AGM) on May 19, 2015, the Board was authorised to allot ordinary shares in the Company, and to grant rights to subscribe for or to convert any security into ordinary shares in the Company, up to an aggregate nominal amount of €147 million (representing 2,100 million ordinary shares of €0.07 each), and to list such shares or rights on any stock exchange. This authority expires at the earlier of the close of business on August 19, 2016, and the end of the AGM to be held in 2016, unless previously renewed, revoked or varied by the Company in a general meeting.

B shares rank equally in all respects with A shares except for the dividend access mechanism described below. The Company and Shell Transport can procure the termination of the dividend access mechanism at any time. Upon such termination, B shares will form one class with A shares ranking equally in all respects and A and B shares will be known as ordinary shares without further distinction.

The sterling deferred shares are redeemable only at the discretion of the Company for £1 each and carry no voting rights. There are no further rights to participate in profits or assets, including the right to receive dividends. Upon winding up or liquidation, the shares carry a right to repayment of paid-up nominal value, ranking ahead of A and B shares.

For information on the number of shares in the Company held by Shell employee share ownership trusts and trust-like entities to meet delivery commitments under employee share plans, refer to Note 21 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Dividend access mechanism for B shares

GENERAL

Dividends paid on A shares have a Dutch source for tax purposes and are subject to Dutch withholding tax.

It is the expectation and the intention, although there can be no certainty, that holders of B shares will receive dividends via the dividend access mechanism. Any dividends paid on the dividend access share will have a UK source for Dutch and UK tax purposes; there will be no UK or Dutch withholding tax on such dividends and certain holders (not including US holders) of B shares or B American Depositary Shares (ADSs) will be entitled to a UK tax credit in respect of their proportional share of such dividends.

DESCRIPTION OF DIVIDEND ACCESS MECHANISM DURING THE YEAR

A dividend access share has been issued by Shell Transport to Computershare Trustees (Jersey) Limited as dividend access trustee (the Trustee). Pursuant to a declaration of trust, the Trustee will hold any dividends paid in respect of the dividend access share on trust for the holders of B shares from time to time and will arrange for prompt disbursement of such dividends to holders of B shares. Interest and other income earned on unclaimed dividends will be for the account of Shell Transport and any dividends that are unclaimed after 12 years will revert to Shell Transport. Holders of B shares will not have any interest in the dividend access share and will not have any rights against Shell Transport as issuer of the dividend access share. The only assets held on trust for the benefit of the holders of B shares will be dividends paid to the Trustee in respect of the dividend access share.

The declaration and payment of dividends on the dividend access share will require Board action by Shell Transport and will be subject to any applicable legal or articles limitations in effect from time to time. In no event will the aggregate amount of the dividend paid by Shell Transport under the dividend access mechanism for a particular period exceed the aggregate amount of the dividend declared by the Company's Board on B shares in respect of the same period.

OPERATION OF THE DIVIDEND ACCESS MECHANISM DURING THE YEAR

If, in connection with the declaration of a dividend by the Company on B shares, the Board of Shell Transport elects to declare and pay a dividend on the dividend access share to the Trustee, the holders of B shares will be beneficially entitled to receive their share of that dividend pursuant to the declaration of trust (and arrangements will be made to ensure that the dividend is paid in the same currency in which they would have received a dividend from the Company).

[Note 10 continued]

If any amount is paid by Shell Transport by way of a dividend on the dividend access share and paid by the Trustee to any holder of B shares, the dividend which the Company would otherwise pay on B shares will be reduced by an amount equal to the amount paid to such holders of B shares by the Trustee.

The Company will have a full and unconditional obligation, in the event that the Trustee does not pay an amount to holders of B shares on a cash dividend payment date (even if that amount has been paid to the Trustee), to pay immediately the dividend declared on B shares. The right of holders of B shares to receive distributions from the Trustee will be reduced by an amount equal to the amount of any payment actually made by the Company on account of any dividend on B shares.

The dividend access mechanism may be suspended or terminated at any time by the Company's Directors or the Directors of Shell Transport, for any reason and without financial recompense. This might, for instance, occur in response to changes in relevant tax legislation.

11 OTHER RESERVES

| | \$ MILLION | | | | |
|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| | Merger Reserve | Share premium reserve | Capital redemption reserve | Share plan reserve | Total |
| At January 1, 2015 | 200,338 | 154 | 83 | 1,170 | 201,745 |
| Scrip dividends | (7) | – | – | – | (7) |
| Repurchases of shares | – | – | 1 | – | 1 |
| Share-based compensation | – | – | – | (65) | (65) |
| At December 31, 2015 | 200,331 | 154 | 84 | 1,105 | 201,674 |
| At January 1, 2014 | 200,344 | 154 | 75 | 1,325 | 201,898 |
| Scrip dividends | (6) | – | – | – | (6) |
| Repurchases of shares | – | – | 8 | – | 8 |
| Share-based compensation | – | – | – | (155) | (155) |
| At December 31, 2014 | 200,338 | 154 | 83 | 1,170 | 201,745 |

The merger reserve was established as a consequence of the Company becoming the single parent company of Royal Dutch and Shell Transport and represented the difference between the cost of the investment in those companies and the nominal value of shares issued in exchange for those investments as required by the prevailing legislation at that time, section 131 of the Companies Act 1985.

On January 6, 2006, loan notes were converted into 4,827,974 A shares. The difference between the carrying value of the loan notes and the nominal value of the new shares issued was credited to the share premium reserve. The capital redemption reserve was established in connection with repurchases of shares of the Company. The share plan reserve is in respect of equity-settled share-based compensation plans (refer to Note 21 to the Consolidated Financial Statements).

12 DIVIDENDS

Refer to Note 23 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

13 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS AND OTHER CONTINGENCIES

Refer to Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

14 DIRECTORS AND SENIOR MANAGEMENT

Refer to Note 27 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the remuneration of Directors of the Company. In 2015, the Company recognised \$25 million (2014: \$25 million) in administrative expenses for the compensation of Directors and Senior Management.

15 RELATED PARTIES

Information about the Company's subsidiaries, and whether held directly or indirectly, and other related undertakings (all of which are held indirectly) at December 31, 2015, is set out in Exhibit 8.

| | \$ MILLION | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--------|---|-------|
| | Amounts due from subsidiaries (See Note 5) | | Amounts due to subsidiaries (See Note 7) | |
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Shell Petroleum | 19,002 | 20,650 | 425 | 455 |
| Shell Treasury Luxembourg Sarl | – | – | 3,738 | 1,724 |
| Other | 4 | 2 | 15 | – |
| Total | 19,006 | 20,652 | 4,178 | 2,179 |

The amount due from Shell Petroleum, which is denominated in dollars, is repayable on demand. Interest is calculated at US LIBOR less 0.103% and interest income was \$5 million in 2015 (2014: less than \$1 million).

The net amount due to Shell Treasury Luxembourg Sarl at December 31, 2015, comprises an interest-bearing receivable of €14,278 million (2014: €15,537 million) and an interest-bearing payable of \$19,334 million (2014: \$20,610 million). Interest on euro balances is calculated at Euro OverNight Index Average (EONIA) less 0.1% (2014: EONIA less 0.1%) and on dollar balances at US LIBOR (2014: US LIBOR). Net interest expense on these balances in 2015 was \$28 million (2014: \$7 million).

Other transactions and balances

The Company enters into forward and spot foreign currency contracts with Treasury companies, which are subsidiaries. There were no open foreign currency contracts at December 31, 2015, or December 31, 2014.

The Company settles general and administrative expenses of the Trust, including the auditor's remuneration.

The Company has guaranteed contractual payments totalling \$49,475 million at December 31, 2015 (2014: \$34,826 million), and related interest in respect of listed debt issued by Shell International Finance B.V.

16 AUDITOR'S REMUNERATION

Refer to Note 28 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

17 ACQUISITION OF BG GROUP PLC

On February 15, 2016, the Company acquired all the voting rights in BG Group plc by means of a Scheme of Arrangement under Part 26 of the Act in exchange for cash of £13.1 billion (\$19.0 billion) and 218.7 million A shares and 1,305.1 million B shares issued with a total fair value of \$34.1 billion. The fair value of the shares issued was calculated using the market price of the Company's A and B shares of 1,545.0 and 1,538.5 pence, respectively, on the London Stock Exchange at its opening of business on February 15, 2016.

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT TO COMPUTERSHARE TRUSTEES (JERSEY) LIMITED AS TRUSTEE OF THE ROYAL DUTCH SHELL DIVIDEND ACCESS TRUST

REPORT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Our opinion

In our opinion the Financial Statements of the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust (the Trust):

- give a true and fair view of the state of the Trust's affairs as at December 31, 2015, and of its income and cash flows for the year then ended; and
- have been properly prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) as adopted by the European Union.

Separate opinion in relation to IFRS as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB)

As explained in Note 2 to the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust Financial Statements, the Trust, in addition to complying with its legal obligation to apply IFRS as adopted by the European Union, has also applied IFRS as issued by the IASB.

In our opinion the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust Financial Statements comply with IFRS as issued by the IASB.

What we have audited

The Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust Financial Statements comprise:

- the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust Statement of Income and the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust Statement of Comprehensive Income for the year ended December 31, 2015;
- the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust Balance Sheet as at December 31, 2015;
- the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust Statement of Changes in Equity for the year ended December 31, 2015;
- the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust Statement of Cash Flows for the year ended December 31, 2015; and
- the Notes to the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust Financial Statements, which include a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information.

Certain required disclosures have been presented elsewhere in the Royal Dutch Shell plc Annual Report and Form 20-F (the Annual Report), rather than in the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust Financial Statements. These are cross-referenced from the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust Financial Statements and are identified as audited.

The financial reporting framework that has been applied in the preparation of the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust Financial Statements is applicable law and IFRS as adopted by the European Union.

What an audit of Dividend Access Trust financial statements involves

We conducted our audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland) (ISAs (UK and Ireland)). An audit involves obtaining evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust Financial Statements sufficient to give reasonable assurance that the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust Financial Statements are free from material misstatement, whether caused by fraud or error. This includes an assessment of:

- whether the accounting policies are appropriate to the Trust's circumstances and have been consistently applied and adequately disclosed;

- the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by the Trustee; and
- the overall presentation of the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust Financial Statements.

We primarily focus our work in these areas by assessing the Trustees' judgements against available evidence, forming our own judgements, and evaluating the disclosures in the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust Financial Statements.

We test and examine information, using sampling and other auditing techniques, to the extent we consider necessary to provide a reasonable basis for us to draw conclusions. We obtain audit evidence through testing the effectiveness of controls, substantive procedures or a combination of both.

In addition, we read all the financial and non-financial information in the Annual Report to identify material inconsistencies with the audited Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust Financial Statements and to identify any information that is apparently materially incorrect based on, or materially inconsistent with, the knowledge acquired by us in the course of performing the audit. If we become aware of any apparent material misstatements or inconsistencies we consider the implications for our report.

OPINION ON OTHER MATTER

In our opinion the information given in the Annual Report for the financial year for which the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust Financial Statements are prepared is consistent with the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust Financial Statements.

MATTERS ON WHICH WE HAVE AGREED TO REPORT BY EXCEPTION

We have agreed to report to you if, in our opinion:

- we have not received all the information and explanations we require for our audit; or
- adequate accounting records have not been kept; or
- the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust Financial Statements are not in agreement with the accounting records.

We have no exceptions to report arising from this responsibility.

RESPONSIBILITIES FOR THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND THE AUDIT

Our responsibilities and those of the Trustee

The Trustee is responsible for the preparation of the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust Financial Statements and for being satisfied that they give a true and fair view.

Our responsibility is to audit and express an opinion on the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust Financial Statements in accordance with applicable law and ISAs (UK and Ireland). Those standards require us to comply with the Auditing Practices Board's Ethical Standards for Auditors.

This report, including the opinions, has been prepared for and only for the Trustee as a body and for no other purpose. We do not, in giving these opinions, accept or assume responsibility for any other purpose or to any other person to whom this report is shown or into whose hands it may come save where expressly agreed by our prior consent in writing.

PricewaterhouseCoopers CI LLP

Chartered Accountants
Jersey, Channel Islands
March 9, 2016

Note that the report set out above is included for the purposes of Royal Dutch Shell plc's Annual Report and Accounts for 2015 only and does not form part of Royal Dutch Shell plc's Annual Report on Form 20-F for 2015.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

TO COMPUTERSHARE TRUSTEES (JERSEY) LIMITED AS TRUSTEE OF THE ROYAL DUTCH SHELL DIVIDEND ACCESS TRUST AND THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS OF ROYAL DUTCH SHELL PLC.

In our opinion, the accompanying Statement of Income, the Statement of Comprehensive Income, the Balance Sheet, the Statement of Changes in Equity, the Statement of Cash Flows, and the related Notes to the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust Financial Statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust (the Trust) at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015 in conformity with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board and in conformity with International Financial Reporting Standards as adopted by the European Union. Also in our opinion, the Trust maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Trustee and the management of Royal Dutch Shell plc are responsible for these Financial Statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the Trustee's and Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting of the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust set out on pages 185-189. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these Financial Statements and on the Trust's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Financial Statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the Financial Statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the Financial Statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorisations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorised acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

PricewaterhouseCoopers CI LLP

Jersey, Channel Islands

March 9, 2016

Note that the report set out above is included for the purposes of Royal Dutch Shell plc's Annual Report on Form 20-F for 2015 only and does not form part of Royal Dutch Shell plc's Annual Report and Accounts for 2015.

ROYAL DUTCH SHELL DIVIDEND ACCESS TRUST FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | |
|-----|---|
| 186 | Statement of Income |
| 186 | Statement of Comprehensive Income |
| 186 | Balance Sheet |
| 187 | Statement of Changes in Equity |
| 187 | Statement of Cash Flows |
| 188 | Notes to the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust Financial Statements |
| 188 | Note 1 The Trust |
| 188 | Note 2 Basis of preparation |
| 188 | Note 3 Accounting policies |
| 188 | Note 4 Unclaimed dividends |
| 188 | Note 5 Capital account |
| 188 | Note 6 Distributions made |
| 189 | Note 7 Related parties |
| 189 | Note 8 Auditor's remuneration |

| STATEMENT OF INCOME | | £ MILLION | | |
|---|-------|------------------|-------|--|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | |
| Dividend income | 2,726 | 2,470 | 2,361 | |
| Income before taxation and for the period | 2,726 | 2,470 | 2,361 | |

| STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME | | £ MILLION | | |
|--|-------|------------------|-------|--|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | |
| Income for the period | 2,726 | 2,470 | 2,361 | |
| Comprehensive income for the period | 2,726 | 2,470 | 2,361 | |

| BALANCE SHEET | | £ MILLION | | |
|------------------------------|-------|------------------|--------------|--|
| | NOTES | Dec 31, 2015 | Dec 31, 2014 | |
| Assets | | | | |
| Current assets | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | 2 | 1 | |
| Total assets | | 2 | 1 | |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Current liabilities | | | | |
| Unclaimed dividends | 4 | 2 | 1 | |
| Total liabilities | | 2 | 1 | |
| Equity | | | | |
| Capital account | 5 | – | – | |
| Revenue account | | – | – | |
| Total equity | | – | – | |
| Total liabilities and equity | | 2 | 1 | |

Signed on behalf of Computershare Trustees (Jersey) Limited
as Trustee of the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust

/s/ Karen Kurys

Karen Kurys
March 9, 2016

/s/ Martin Fish

Martin Fish

| STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY | | | | £ MILLION |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|
| | NOTES | Capital account | Revenue account | Total equity |
| At January 1, 2015 | | – | – | – |
| Comprehensive income for the period | | – | 2,726 | 2,726 |
| Distributions made | 6 | – | (2,726) | (2,726) |
| At December 31, 2015 | | – | – | – |
| At January 1, 2014 | | – | – | – |
| Comprehensive income for the period | | – | 2,470 | 2,470 |
| Distributions made | 6 | – | (2,470) | (2,470) |
| At December 31, 2014 | | – | – | – |
| At January 1, 2013 | | – | – | – |
| Comprehensive income for the period | | – | 2,361 | 2,361 |
| Distributions made | 6 | – | (2,361) | (2,361) |
| At December 31, 2013 | | – | – | – |

| STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS | | | | £ MILLION |
|--|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | |
| Cash flow from operating activities | | | | |
| Income for the period | 2,726 | 2,470 | 2,361 | |
| Adjustment for: | | | | |
| Dividends received | (2,726) | (2,470) | (2,361) | |
| Net cash from operating activities | – | – | – | |
| Cash flow from investing activities | | | | |
| Dividends received | 2,726 | 2,470 | 2,361 | |
| Net cash from investing activities | 2,726 | 2,470 | 2,361 | |
| Cash flow from financing activities | | | | |
| Cash distributions made | (2,725) | (2,470) | (2,361) | |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (2,725) | (2,470) | (2,361) | |
| Change in cash and cash equivalents | 1 | – | – | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at January 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at December 31 | 2 | 1 | 1 | |

NOTES TO THE ROYAL DUTCH SHELL DIVIDEND ACCESS TRUST FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1 THE TRUST

The Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust (the Trust) was established on May 19, 2005, by The "Shell" Transport and Trading Company, p.l.c., now The Shell Transport and Trading Company Limited (Shell Transport), and Royal Dutch Shell plc (the Company). The Trust is governed by the applicable laws of England and Wales and is resident and domiciled in Jersey. The Trust is not subject to taxation. The Trustee of the Trust is Computershare Trustees (Jersey) Limited, registration number 92182 (the Trustee), Queensway House, Hilgrove Street, St Helier, Jersey, JE1 1ES. The Trust was established as part of a dividend access mechanism.

A dividend access share has been issued by Shell Transport to the Trustee. Following the announcement of a dividend by the Company on the B shares, Shell Transport may declare a dividend on the dividend access share.

The primary purposes of the Trust are to receive, on behalf of the B shareholders of the Company and in accordance with their respective holdings of B shares in the Company, any amounts paid by way of dividend on the dividend access share and to pay such amounts to the B shareholders on the same pro rata basis. The Trust is not subject to significant market risk, credit risk or liquidity risk.

The Trust shall not endure for a period in excess of 80 years from May 19, 2005, being the date on which the Trust Deed was executed.

2 BASIS OF PREPARATION

The Financial Statements of the Trust have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) as adopted by the European Union. As applied to the Trust, there are no material differences from IFRS as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB); therefore, the Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with IFRS as issued by the IASB.

The Financial Statements have been prepared under the historical cost convention. The accounting policies described in Note 3 have been applied consistently in all periods presented.

The Financial Statements were approved and authorised for issue by the Trustee on March 9, 2016.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with IFRS requires the use of certain accounting estimates. It also requires management to exercise its judgement in the process of applying the Trust's accounting policies. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

The financial results of the Trust are included in the Consolidated and Parent Company Financial Statements on pages 115-152 and pages 173-181 respectively.

3 ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Trust's accounting policies generally follow those of Shell as set out in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The following are Trust-specific policies.

Presentation currency

The Trust's presentation and functional currency is sterling. The Trust's dividend income and dividends paid are principally in sterling.

Dividend income

Interim dividends on the dividend access share are recognised on a paid basis unless the dividend has been confirmed by a general meeting of Shell Transport, in which case income is recognised on the date on which receipt is deemed virtually certain.

Distributions made

Amounts are recorded as distributed once a wire transfer or cheque is issued. To the extent that cheques expire or are returned unrepresented, the Trust records a liability for unclaimed dividends and a corresponding amount of cash.

4 UNCLAIMED DIVIDENDS

Unclaimed dividends of £1,725,047 (2014: £1,497,815) include any dividend cheque payments that have expired or have been returned unrepresented.

5 CAPITAL ACCOUNT

The capital account is represented by the dividend access share of 25 pence settled in the Trust by Shell Transport, which also represents an asset in the Trust.

6 DISTRIBUTIONS MADE

Distributions are made to the B shareholders of the Company in accordance with the Trust Deed. Refer to Note 23 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for information about dividends per share. All cheques are valid for one year from the date of issue. Any wire transfers that are not completed are replaced by cheques.

7 RELATED PARTIES

The Trust received dividend income of £2,726 million (2014: £2,470 million; 2013: £2,361 million) in respect of the dividend access share. The Trust made distributions of £2,726 million (2014: £2,470 million; 2013: £2,361 million) to the B shareholders of the Company.

The Company pays the general and administrative expenses of the Trust, including the auditor's remuneration.

8 AUDITOR'S REMUNERATION

Auditor's remuneration for 2015 audit services was £33,750 (2014: £33,750; 2013: £33,750).

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

SHAREHOLDER INFORMATION

Royal Dutch Shell plc (the Company) was incorporated in England and Wales on February 5, 2002, as a private company under the Companies Act 1985, as amended. On October 27, 2004, the Company was re-registered as a public company limited by shares and changed its name from Forthdeal Limited to Royal Dutch Shell plc. The Company is registered at Companies House, Cardiff, under company number 4366849, and at the Chamber of Commerce, The Hague, under company number 34179503. The business address for the Directors and Senior Management is: Carel van Bylandtlaan 30, 2596 HR, The Hague, The Netherlands.

The Company is resident in the Netherlands for Dutch and UK tax purposes and its primary objective is to carry on the business of a holding company. It is not directly or indirectly owned or controlled by another corporation or by any government and does not know of any arrangements that may result in a change of control of the Company.

NATURE OF TRADING MARKET

The Company has two classes of ordinary shares: A and B shares. The principal trading market for A shares is Euronext Amsterdam and the principal trading market for B shares is the London Stock Exchange. Ordinary shares are traded in registered form.

A and B American Depositary Shares (ADSs) are listed on the New York Stock Exchange [A]. A depositary receipt is a certificate that evidences ADSs. Depositary receipts are issued, cancelled and exchanged at the office of The Bank of New York Mellon, 101 Barclay Street, New York, NY 10286, USA, as depositary (the Depositary) under a deposit agreement between the Company, the Depositary and the holders of ADSs. Each ADS represents two €0.07 shares of Royal Dutch Shell plc deposited under the agreement. More information relating to ADSs is given on page 194.

[A] At February 12, 2016, 452,302,640 A ADSs and 186,894,163 B ADSs were outstanding, representing 23% and 15% of the respective share capital class, held by 6,360 and 867 holders of record with an address in the USA, respectively. In addition to holders of ADSs, at February 12, 2016, 70,267 A shares and 639,157 B shares of €0.07 each were outstanding, representing 0.002% and 0.026% of the respective share capital class, held by 128 and 804 holders of record registered with an address in the USA, respectively.

LISTING INFORMATION

| | A shares | B shares |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Ticker symbol London | RDSA | RDSB |
| Ticker symbol Amsterdam | RDSA | RDSB |
| Ticker symbol New York (ADS [A]) | RDS.A | RDS.B |
| ISIN Code | GB00B03MLX29 | GB00B03MM408 |
| CUSIP | G7690A100 | G7690A118 |
| SEDOL Number London | B03MLX2 | B03MM40 |
| SEDOL Number Euronext | B09CBL4 | B09CBN6 |
| Weighting on FTSE at 31/12/15 | 3.73% | 2.33% |
| Weighting on AEX at 31/12/15 | 12.64% | not included |

[A] Each A ADS represents two A shares of €0.07 each and each B ADS represents two B shares of €0.07 each.

SHARE CAPITAL

The issued and fully paid share capital of the Company at February 12, 2016, was as follows:

| | Issued and fully paid | |
|--|-----------------------|---------------|
| | Number | Nominal value |
| Ordinary shares of €0.07 each | | |
| A shares | 3,990,921,569 | €279,364,510 |
| B shares | 2,440,410,614 | €170,828,743 |
| Sterling deferred shares of £1 each | 50,000 | £50,000 |

The Directors may only allot new ordinary shares if they have authority from shareholders to do so. The Company seeks to renew this authority annually at its Annual General Meeting (AGM). Under the resolution passed at the Company's 2015 AGM, the Directors were granted authority to allot ordinary shares up to an aggregate nominal amount equivalent to approximately one-third of the issued ordinary share capital of the Company (in line with the guidelines issued by institutional investors).

The following is a summary of the material terms of the Company's ordinary shares, including brief descriptions of the provisions contained in the Articles of Association (the Articles) and applicable laws of England and Wales in effect on the date of this document. This summary does not purport to include complete statements of these provisions:

- upon issuance, A and B shares are fully paid and free from all liens, equities, charges, encumbrances and other interest of the Company and not subject to calls of any kind;
- all A and B shares rank equally for all dividends and distributions on ordinary share capital; and
- A and B shares are admitted to the Official List of the UK Listing Authority and to trading on the market for listed securities of the London Stock Exchange. A and B shares are also admitted to trading on Euronext Amsterdam. A and B ADSs are listed on the New York Stock Exchange.

At December 31, 2015, trusts and trust-like entities holding shares for the benefit of employee share plans of Shell held (directly and indirectly) 33.8 million shares of the Company with an aggregate market value of \$775 million and an aggregate nominal value of €2.4 million.

SIGNIFICANT SHAREHOLDINGS

The Company's A and B shares have identical voting rights, and accordingly the Company's major shareholders do not have different voting rights.

Significant direct shareholdings

Direct holdings of 3% or more of A and B shares combined held by registered members representing the interests of underlying investors at December 31, 2015, are given below.

| | A shares | | B shares | | Total | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|-------|-------------|-------|---------------|-------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| Euroclear Nederland | 1,846,211,701 | 46.26 | 14,219,008 | 0.58 | 1,860,430,709 | 28.93 |
| BNY (Nominees) Limited | 725,432,962 | 18.18 | 348,545,326 | 14.28 | 1,073,978,288 | 16.70 |
| Chase Nominees Limited | 54,043,249 | 1.35 | 165,989,952 | 6.80 | 220,033,201 | 3.42 |
| State Street Nominees Limited (OM02) | 100,320,754 | 2.51 | 105,993,280 | 4.34 | 206,314,034 | 3.21 |

Significant indirect shareholdings

Interests of investors with 3% or more of A and B shares combined at December 31, 2015, are given below.

| | A shares | | B shares | | Total | |
|---|-------------|------|-------------|------|-------------|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| Blackrock, Inc. | 268,988,292 | 6.74 | 207,391,590 | 8.50 | 476,379,882 | 7.41 |
| The Capital Group Companies, Inc. | 65,117,539 | 1.63 | 188,025,528 | 7.70 | 253,143,067 | 3.94 |
| The Vanguard Group Inc. | 137,755,309 | 3.45 | 81,750,203 | 3.35 | 219,505,512 | 3.41 |
| Legal And General Investment Management Limited | 124,940,639 | 3.13 | 80,170,180 | 3.29 | 205,110,819 | 3.19 |

NOTIFICATION OF MAJOR SHAREHOLDINGS

During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company was notified by the following investor of its interests in the Company's shares pursuant to Disclosure and Transparency Rule 5.

| INVESTOR | Date of notification | A shares | | B shares | | Total | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|------------|------|-------------|------|-------------|------|
| | | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| The Capital Group Companies, Inc. | January 13, 2015 | 66,253,448 | 1.70 | 187,698,386 | 7.69 | 253,951,834 | 4.00 |
| The Capital Group Companies, Inc. | September 10, 2015 | 65,400,844 | 1.67 | 188,324,131 | 7.72 | 253,724,975 | 3.99 |

The Company did not receive any further notifications pursuant to Disclosure and Transparency Rule 5 in the period from December 31, 2015, to February 12, 2016 (being a date not more than one month prior to the date of the Company's Notice of Annual General Meeting).

SHAREHOLDER INFORMATION CONTINUED

DIVIDENDS

The following tables show the dividends on each class of share and each class of ADS for the years 2011-2015.

| A AND B SHARES | | | | | \$ |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Q1 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.45 | 0.43 | 0.42 |
| Q2 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.45 | 0.43 | 0.42 |
| Q3 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.45 | 0.43 | 0.42 |
| Q4 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.45 | 0.43 | 0.42 |
| Total announced in respect of the year | 1.88 | 1.88 | 1.80 | 1.72 | 1.68 |

| A SHARES | | | | | € [A] |
|--|------|------|------|------|-------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Q1 | 0.42 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.29 |
| Q2 | 0.42 | 0.36 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.29 |
| Q3 | 0.43 | 0.38 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.32 |
| Q4 | [B] | 0.43 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.32 |
| Total announced in respect of the year | [B] | 1.53 | 1.34 | 1.35 | 1.22 |
| Amount paid during the year | 1.71 | 1.42 | 1.34 | 1.34 | 1.20 |

[A] Euro equivalent, rounded to the nearest euro cent.

[B] The euro equivalent announcement date is March 11, 2016, which therefore is also the date when the total announced in respect of the year can be calculated.

| B SHARES | | | | | PENCE [A] |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Q1 | 30.75 | 28.03 | 28.99 | 27.92 | 25.71 |
| Q2 | 30.92 | 29.09 | 28.67 | 27.08 | 25.77 |
| Q3 | 31.07 | 30.16 | 27.51 | 26.86 | 27.11 |
| Q4 | [B] | 31.20 | 26.88 | 28.79 | 26.74 |
| Total announced in respect of the year | [B] | 118.48 | 112.05 | 110.65 | 105.33 |
| Amount paid during the year | 123.94 | 114.16 | 113.96 | 108.60 | 104.41 |

[A] Sterling equivalent.

[B] The sterling equivalent announcement date is March 11, 2016, which therefore is also the date when the total announced in respect of the year can be calculated.

| A AND B ADSs | | | | | \$ |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Q1 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.90 | 0.86 | 0.84 |
| Q2 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.90 | 0.86 | 0.84 |
| Q3 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.90 | 0.86 | 0.84 |
| Q4 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.90 | 0.86 | 0.84 |
| Total announced in respect of the year | 3.76 | 3.76 | 3.60 | 3.44 | 3.36 |
| Amount paid during the year | 3.76 | 3.72 | 3.56 | 3.42 | 3.36 |

HIGH, LOW AND YEAR-END SHARE PRICES

The following tables show the high, low and year-end prices, taken directly from the respective securities exchange, of the Company's registered ordinary shares:

- of €0.07 nominal value on the London Stock Exchange;
- of €0.07 nominal value on Euronext Amsterdam; and
- in the form of ADSs on the New York Stock Exchange (ADSs do not have a nominal value).

| ANNUAL SHARE PRICES | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|-------|------------|-----------------------------------|--------|-------------|
| | Euronext Amsterdam A shares | | | New York Stock Exchange A ADSs | | |
| | High € | Low € | Year-end € | High \$ | Low \$ | Year-end \$ |
| 2011 | 28.40 | 20.12 | 28.15 | 77.96 | 57.97 | 73.09 |
| 2012 | 29.18 | 24.30 | 25.98 | 74.51 | 60.62 | 68.95 |
| 2013 | 27.06 | 23.40 | 25.91 | 73.00 | 62.65 | 71.27 |
| 2014 | 31.13 | 24.30 | 27.66 | 83.42 | 60.84 | 66.95 |
| 2015 | 29.59 | 19.58 | 21.10 | 67.16 | 43.26 | 45.79 |

| | London Stock Exchange B shares | | | New York Stock Exchange B ADSs | | |
|------|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------------------------|--------|-------------|
| | High pence | Low pence | Year-end pence | High \$ | Low \$ | Year-end \$ |
| 2011 | 2,476 | 1,768 | 2,454 | 78.75 | 58.42 | 76.01 |
| 2012 | 2,499 | 2,020 | 2,175 | 77.52 | 63.05 | 70.89 |
| 2013 | 2,375 | 2,070 | 2,280 | 75.18 | 65.02 | 75.11 |
| 2014 | 2,614 | 1,985 | 2,233 | 88.13 | 62.11 | 69.56 |
| 2015 | 2,315 | 1,423 | 1,543 | 70.15 | 43.51 | 46.04 |

| QUARTERLY SHARE PRICES | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|--------|
| | Euronext Amsterdam A shares | | London Stock Exchange B shares | | New York Stock Exchange A ADSs | | New York Stock Exchange B ADSs | |
| | High € | Low € | High pence | Low pence | High \$ | Low \$ | High \$ | Low \$ |
| 2014 | | | | | | | | |
| Q1 | 26.96 | 25.01 | 2,385 | 2,183 | 74.17 | 67.75 | 80.07 | 71.42 |
| Q2 | 30.65 | 26.36 | 2,614 | 2,327 | 82.86 | 72.94 | 87.59 | 77.51 |
| Q3 | 31.13 | 29.43 | 2,599 | 2,407 | 83.42 | 75.77 | 88.13 | 78.77 |
| Q4 | 30.24 | 24.30 | 2,436 | 1,985 | 75.77 | 60.84 | 78.76 | 62.11 |
| 2015 | | | | | | | | |
| Q1 | 29.59 | 25.75 | 2,315 | 2,004 | 67.16 | 56.82 | 70.15 | 59.33 |
| Q2 | 29.50 | 25.37 | 2,210 | 1,807 | 64.46 | 56.50 | 65.98 | 56.85 |
| Q3 | 27.14 | 20.27 | 1,920 | 1,503 | 59.16 | 45.81 | 59.52 | 45.92 |
| Q4 | 25.51 | 19.58 | 1,864 | 1,423 | 56.41 | 43.26 | 57.28 | 43.51 |

| MONTHLY SHARE PRICES | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|--------|
| | Euronext Amsterdam A shares | | London Stock Exchange B shares | | New York Stock Exchange A ADSs | | New York Stock Exchange B ADSs | |
| | High € | Low € | High pence | Low pence | High \$ | Low \$ | High \$ | Low \$ |
| 2015 | | | | | | | | |
| September | 23.66 | 20.27 | 1,728 | 1,503 | 52.26 | 45.81 | 52.31 | 45.92 |
| October | 25.11 | 21.46 | 1,864 | 1,574 | 56.41 | 47.88 | 57.28 | 48.15 |
| November | 25.51 | 22.23 | 1,803 | 1,571 | 55.22 | 47.79 | 55.23 | 47.98 |
| December | 24.01 | 19.58 | 1,703 | 1,423 | 50.73 | 43.26 | 51.20 | 43.51 |
| 2016 | | | | | | | | |
| January | 21.39 | 16.53 | 1,573 | 1,261 | 46.14 | 35.80 | 46.41 | 35.96 |
| February | 21.21 | 18.04 | 1,660 | 1,407 | 46.50 | 41.05 | 46.49 | 41.13 |

SHAREHOLDER INFORMATION CONTINUED

METHOD OF HOLDING SHARES OR AN INTEREST IN SHARES

There are several ways in which Royal Dutch Shell plc registered shares or an interest in these shares can be held, including:

- directly as registered shares either in uncertificated form or in certificated form in a shareholder's own name;
- indirectly through Euroclear Nederland (in respect of which the Dutch Securities Giro Act ("Wet giraal effectenverkeer") is applicable);
- through the Royal Dutch Shell Corporate Nominee; and
- as a direct or indirect holder of either an A or a B ADS with the Depository.

AMERICAN DEPOSITARY SHARES

The Depository is the registered shareholder of the shares underlying the A or B ADSs and enjoys the rights of a shareholder under the Articles. Holders of ADSs will not have shareholder rights. The rights of the holder of an A or a B ADS are specified in the respective Depository agreements with the Depository and are summarised below.

The Depository will receive all cash dividends and other cash distributions made on the deposited shares underlying the ADSs and, where possible and on a reasonable basis, will distribute such dividends and distributions to holders of ADSs. Rights to purchase additional shares will also be made available to the Depository who may make such rights available to holders of ADSs. All other distributions made on the Company's shares will be distributed by the Depository in any means that the Depository thinks is equitable and practical. The Depository may deduct its fees and expenses and the amount of any taxes owed from any payments to holders and it may sell a holder's deposited shares to pay any taxes owed. The Depository is not responsible if it decides that it is unlawful or impractical to make a distribution available to holders of ADSs.

The Depository will notify holders of ADSs of shareholders' meetings of the Company and will arrange to deliver voting materials to such holders of ADSs if requested by the Company. Upon request by a holder, the Depository will endeavour to appoint such holder as proxy in respect of such holder's deposited shares entitling such holder to attend and vote at shareholders' meetings. Holders of ADSs may also instruct the Depository to vote their deposited securities and the Depository will try, as far as practical and lawful, to vote deposited shares in accordance with such instructions. The Company cannot ensure that holders will receive voting materials or otherwise learn of an upcoming shareholders' meeting in time to ensure that holders can instruct the Depository to vote their shares.

Upon payment of appropriate fees, expenses and taxes: (i) shareholders may deposit their shares with the Depository and receive the corresponding class and amount of ADSs; and (ii) holders of ADSs may surrender their ADSs to the Depository and have the corresponding class and amount of shares credited to their account.

Further, subject to certain limitations, holders may, at any time, cancel ADSs and withdraw their underlying shares or have the corresponding class and amount of shares credited to their account. The Depository may also deliver ADSs prior to deposit of the underlying securities subject to certain conditions, including, without limitation, that such pre-released ADSs are fully collateralised and that the underlying securities are assigned to and held for the account of the Depository.

Fees paid by holders of ADSs

The Depository collects its fees for delivery and surrender of ADSs directly from investors depositing shares or surrendering ADSs for the purpose of withdrawal or from intermediaries acting for them. The Depository collects fees for making distributions to investors by deducting those fees from the amounts distributed or by selling a portion of distributable property to pay the fees. The Depository may generally refuse to provide fee-attracting services until its fees for those services are paid. (See page 195.)

Reimbursements to the Company

The Bank of New York Mellon, as Depository, has agreed to reimburse the Company for expenses it incurs that are related maintenance expenses of the ADS programme. The Depository has agreed to reimburse the Company for its continuing annual stock exchange listing fees. The Depository has also agreed to pay certain legal expenses and the standard out-of-pocket maintenance costs for the ADSs, which consist of the expenses of postage and envelopes for mailing annual and interim financial reports, printing and distributing dividend cheques, electronic filing of US federal tax information, mailing required tax forms, stationery, postage, facsimile and telephone calls. It has also agreed to reimburse the Company annually for certain costs associated with the AGM, investor relationship programmes and special investor relations promotional activities. There are limits on the amount of expenses for which the Depository will reimburse the Company, but the amount of reimbursement available to the Company is not necessarily tied to the amount of fees the Depository collects from investors. From January 1, 2015, to February 12, 2016, the Company received \$7,337,285 from the Depository.

SCRIP DIVIDEND PROGRAMME

The Scrip Dividend Programme, which enables shareholders to increase their shareholding by choosing to receive new shares instead of cash dividends (if approved by the Board), was reintroduced with effect from the first quarter 2015 interim dividend onwards [A] [B]. More information can be found at www.shell.com/scrip.

[A] The Scrip Dividend Programme had been cancelled with effect from the second quarter 2014 interim dividend onwards.

[B] Only new A shares are issued under the programme, including to shareholders who held B shares.

| Persons depositing or withdrawing shares must pay: | For: |
|---|--|
| \$5.00 or less per 100 ADSs (or portion of 100 ADSs) | Issuance of ADSs, including those resulting from a distribution of shares, rights or other property; Cancellation of ADSs for the purpose of their withdrawal, including if the deposit agreement terminates; and Distribution of securities to holders of deposited securities by the Depositary to ADS registered holders. |
| Registration and transfer fees | Registration and transfer of shares on the share register to or from the name of the Depositary or its agent when they deposit or withdraw shares. |
| Expenses of the Depositary | Cable, telex and facsimile transmissions (when expressly provided in the deposit agreement); and Converting foreign currency into dollars. |
| Taxes and other governmental charges the Depositary or the custodian has to pay on any ADS or share underlying an ADS, for example, share transfer taxes, stamp duty or withholding taxes | As necessary. |

EXCHANGE CONTROLS AND OTHER LIMITATIONS AFFECTING SECURITY HOLDERS

Other than those individuals and entities that are subject to European Union sanctions, for example, regarding Syria, there is no legislative or other legal provision currently in force in the UK, the Netherlands or arising under the Articles restricting remittances to non-resident holders of the Company's ordinary shares or affecting the import or export of capital for use by the Company.

TAXATION

General

The Company is incorporated in England and Wales and tax-resident in the Netherlands. As a tax resident of the Netherlands, it is generally required by Dutch law to withhold tax at a rate of 15% on dividends on its ordinary shares and ADSs, subject to the provisions of any applicable tax convention or domestic law. The following sets forth the operation of the provisions on dividends on the Company's various ordinary shares and ADSs to UK and US holders, as well as certain other tax rules pertinent to holders. Holders should consult their tax adviser for more details.

Dividends paid on the dividend access share

There is no Dutch withholding tax on dividends on B shares or B ADSs provided that such dividends are paid on the dividend access share pursuant to the dividend access mechanism (see "Dividend access mechanism for B shares" on pages 78-79). Dividends paid on the dividend access share are treated as UK-source for tax purposes and there is no UK withholding tax on them. Also, under UK law, individual shareholders resident in the UK are entitled to a UK tax credit with dividends paid on the dividend access share. The amount of the UK tax credit is 10/90ths of the cash dividend; it is not repayable when it exceeds the individual's UK tax liability. In 2015, all dividends with respect to B shares and B ADSs were paid on the dividend access share pursuant to the dividend access mechanism.

Dutch withholding tax

When Dutch withholding tax applies on dividends paid to a US holder (that is, dividends on A shares or A ADSs, or on B shares or B ADSs that are not paid on the dividend access share pursuant to the dividend access mechanism), the US holder will be subject to Dutch withholding tax at the rate of 15%. A US holder who is entitled to the benefits of the 1992 Double Taxation Convention (the Convention) between the USA and the Netherlands as amended by the protocol signed on March 8, 2004, will

be entitled to a reduction in the Dutch withholding tax, either by way of a full or a partial exemption at source or by way of a partial refund or a credit as follows:

- if the US holder is an exempt pension trust as described in article 35 of the Convention, or an exempt organisation as described in article 36 thereof, the US holder will be exempt from Dutch withholding tax; or
- if the US holder is a company that holds directly at least 10% of the voting power in the Company, the US holder will be subject to Dutch withholding tax at a rate not exceeding 5%.

In general, the entire dividend (including any amount withheld) will be dividend income to the US holder and the withholding tax will be treated as a foreign income tax that is eligible for credit against the US holder's income tax liability or a deduction subject to certain limitations. A "US holder" includes, but is not limited to, a citizen or resident of the USA, or a corporation or other entity organised under the laws of the USA or any of its political subdivisions.

When Dutch withholding tax applies on dividends paid to UK tax-resident holders (that is, dividends on A shares or A ADSs, or on B shares or B ADSs that are not paid on the dividend access share pursuant to the dividend access mechanism), the dividend will typically be subject to withholding tax at a rate of 15%. Such UK tax-resident holder will be entitled to a credit (not repayable) for withholding tax against their UK tax liability. However, certain corporate shareholders are, subject to conditions, exempt from UK tax on dividends. Withholding tax suffered cannot be offset against such exempt dividends. Pension plans meeting certain defined criteria can, however, claim a full refund of the dividend tax withheld. Also, UK tax-resident corporate shareholders holding at least a 5% shareholding and meeting other defined criteria are exempted at source from dividend tax.

For shareholders who are tax-resident in any other country, the availability of a whole or partial exemption or refund of Dutch withholding tax is governed by Dutch tax law and/or the tax convention, if any, between the Netherlands and the country of the shareholder's residence.

Scrip Dividend Programme

The Scrip Dividend Programme enables shareholders to increase their shareholding by choosing to receive new shares instead of cash dividends (if approved by the Board). Only new A shares are issued under the programme, including to shareholders who held B shares. The tax consequences of electing to receive new A shares in place of a cash dividend depends on individual circumstances.

SHAREHOLDER INFORMATION CONTINUED

More information about the programme, including the taxation consequences, can be found at www.shell.com/scrip.

Dutch capital gains taxation

Capital gains on the sale of shares of a Dutch tax-resident company by a US holder are generally not subject to taxation by the Netherlands unless the US shareholder has a permanent establishment therein and the capital gain is derived from the sale of shares that are part of the business property of the permanent establishment.

Dutch succession duty and gift taxes

Shares of a Dutch tax-resident company held by an individual who is not a resident or a deemed resident of the Netherlands will generally not be subject to succession duty in the Netherlands on the individual's death.

A gift of shares of a Dutch tax-resident company by an individual who is not a resident or a deemed resident of the Netherlands is generally not subject to Dutch gift tax.

UK stamp duty and stamp duty reserve tax

Sales or transfers of the Company's ordinary shares within a clearance service (such as Euroclear Nederland) or of the Company's ADSs within the ADS depository receipts system will not give rise to a stamp duty reserve tax (SDRT) liability and should not in practice require the payment of UK stamp duty.

The transfer of the Company's ordinary shares to a clearance service (such as Euroclear Nederland) or to an issuer of depository shares (such as ADSs) will generally give rise to a UK stamp duty or SDRT liability at the rate of 1.5% of consideration given or, if none, of the value of the shares. A sale of the Company's ordinary shares that are not held within a clearance service (for example, settled through the UK's CREST system of paperless transfers) will generally be subject to UK stamp duty or SDRT at the rate of 0.5% of the amount of the consideration, normally paid by the purchaser.

Capital gains tax

For the purposes of UK capital gains tax, the market values [A] of the shares of the former public parent companies of the Royal Dutch/Shell Group at the relevant dates were:

| | £ | |
|---|----------------|----------------|
| | March 31, 1982 | July 20, 2005 |
| Royal Dutch Petroleum Company (N.V. Koninklijke Nederlandsche Petroleum Maatschappij) which ceased to exist on December 21, 2005 | 1.1349 | 17.6625 |
| The "Shell" Transport and Trading Company, p.l.c. which delisted on July 19, 2005 | 1.4502 | Not applicable |

[A] Restated where applicable to reflect all capitalisation issues since the relevant date. This includes the change in the capital structure in 2005, when Royal Dutch Shell plc became the single parent company of Royal Dutch Petroleum Company and of The "Shell" Transport and Trading Company, p.l.c., now The Shell Transport and Trading Company Limited, and one share in Royal Dutch Petroleum Company was exchanged for two Royal Dutch Shell plc A shares and one share in The "Shell" Transport and Trading Company, p.l.c. was exchanged for 0.287333066 Royal Dutch Shell plc B shares.

SECTION 13(R) OF THE US SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 DISCLOSURE

In accordance with our General Business Principles and Code of Conduct, Shell seeks to comply with all applicable international trade laws including applicable sanctions and embargoes.

The activities listed below have been conducted outside the USA by non-US Shell subsidiaries. None of the payments disclosed below were made in US dollars, however, for disclosure purposes, all have been converted into US dollars at the appropriate exchange rate. We do not believe that any of the transactions or activities listed below violated US sanctions.

In 2010, we ceased all of our Upstream commercial activities in Iran and suspended new business development, as a direct consequence of the international sanctions imposed on the country. In 2013, we closed our small representative office in Iran.

In 2015, we paid \$170,432 to the Iranian Ministry of Finance, consisting of a final settlement of corporate income tax related to the financial year ended December 31, 2013.

We paid \$6,113 to the Iranian Ministry of Finance, consisting of a final settlement of salary and withholding taxes related to the financial year ended December 31, 2013 and withholding taxes related to the first quarter of 2015.

We paid \$102 in stamp duties to the Iranian Ministry of Finance.

We paid \$7,866 to the Iranian Ministry of Finance for VAT claims related to the financial year ended December 31, 2009.

These payments were made through our Iranian accountant Bayat Rayan. We paid \$58,778 to our Iranian accountant Bayat Rayan for accounting and tax related services. These payments were made through cheques guaranteed by Bank Karafarin.

These transactions did not generate gross revenue or net profit. We expect to make additional payments in support of the liquidation process of our legal entities in Iran. However, as a result of the suspension of US and European Union (EU) sanctions, we are currently considering potential opportunities in Iran, which may lead us to suspend or stop the liquidation process in the future.

We maintain accounts with Bank Karafarin where our cash deposits (balance of \$3.0 million at December 31, 2015) generated non-taxable interest income of \$0.5 million in 2015. We paid \$2 in bank charges to Bank Karafarin.

Payments to the Iranian Civil Aviation Authority for the clearance of overflight permits for Shell aircraft over Iranian airspace amounted to \$10,278 in 2015. There was no gross revenue or net profit associated with these transactions. On occasion, our aircraft may be routed over Iran and therefore these payments may continue in the future.

In Downstream, through our subsidiary Deheza S.A.I.C.F.el., we provided retail services in December 2015 to the Iranian Embassy in Argentina. This transaction generated a gross revenue of \$42 and an estimated net profit of \$8. We have no contractual agreement with this embassy.

After the suspension of US and EU sanctions, we made a series of payments in February and March 2016, totalling €1,770 million (\$1,942 million), to settle the payable amount for oil cargoes purchased from the National Iranian Oil Company (NIOC) prior to EU sanctions.

At March 9, 2016, we have the following amounts outstanding with NIOC: a net payable of \$0.4 million in respect of demurrage and a receivable of \$10.5 million associated with our previous Upstream activities conducted prior to the EU sanctions. We intend to resolve these outstanding balances in the near future.

During 2015, Shell officials met Iranian officials in Tehran. They discussed Shell's then outstanding debt to NIOC and potential areas for cooperation should sanctions be lifted. Shell officials also attended a conference in Iran, for which a conference fee of \$4,518 will be paid to IICIC (Iranian Inc for Contemporary International Conferences & Fairs).

In order to obtain visas for these officials, an amount of \$699 was paid to the Iranian Embassy in the Netherlands, an amount of \$408 was paid to the Iran Consulate in Dubai, United Arab Emirates, and \$59 was paid to the Iranian Consulate in Pakistan. There was no gross revenue or net profit associated with these transactions. We expect to continue discussions with Iranian officials and therefore similar payments may continue in the future.

NON-GAAP MEASURES RECONCILIATIONS AND OTHER DEFINITIONS

EARNINGS ON A CURRENT COST OF SUPPLIES BASIS

Segment earnings are presented on a current cost of supplies basis (CCS earnings), which is the earnings measure used by the Chief Executive Officer for the purposes of making decisions about allocating resources and assessing performance. On this basis, the purchase price of volumes sold during the period is based on the current cost of supplies during the same period after making allowance for the tax effect. CCS earnings therefore exclude the effect of changes in the oil price on inventory carrying amounts. The current cost of supplies adjustment does not impact our net cash from operating activities in the "Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows".

| RECONCILIATION OF CCS EARNINGS TO INCOME FOR THE PERIOD | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|---|---------|---------|--------|--------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Earnings on a current cost of supplies basis (CCS earnings) | 4,155 | 19,096 | 16,879 | 27,423 | 28,738 |
| Attributable to non-controlling interest | (313) | (55) | (134) | (259) | (205) |
| Earnings on a current cost of supplies basis attributable to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders | 3,842 | 19,041 | 16,745 | 27,164 | 28,533 |
| Current cost of supplies adjustment | (1,955) | (4,366) | (353) | (463) | 2,355 |
| Non-controlling interest | 52 | 199 | (21) | 11 | (62) |
| Income attributable to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders | 1,939 | 14,874 | 16,371 | 26,712 | 30,826 |
| Non-controlling interest | 261 | (144) | 155 | 248 | 267 |
| Income for the period | 2,200 | 14,730 | 16,526 | 26,960 | 31,093 |

CAPITAL INVESTMENT

Capital investment is a measure used to make decisions about allocating resources and assessing performance.

| RECONCILIATION OF CAPITAL INVESTMENT TO CAPITAL EXPENDITURE | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Capital investment | | | | | |
| Upstream | 23,527 | 31,293 | 40,303 | 31,179 | 23,363 |
| Downstream | 5,119 | 5,910 | 5,528 | 5,454 | 7,548 |
| Corporate | 215 | 136 | 210 | 128 | 140 |
| Total | 28,861 | 37,339 | 46,041 | 36,761 | 31,051 |
| Investments in joint ventures and associates | (896) | (1,426) | (1,538) | (3,028) | (1,886) |
| Exploration expense, excluding exploration wells written off | (2,948) | (2,244) | (2,506) | (2,114) | (1,462) |
| Finance leases and other [A] | 1,114 | (1,993) | (2,022) | 1,565 | (1,612) |
| Capital expenditure [A] | 26,131 | 31,676 | 39,975 | 33,184 | 26,091 |

[A] Reflects a minor change to the definition with effect from 2015 which has no overall impact on net cash used in investing activities in the "Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows". Comparative information has been reclassified.

DIVESTMENTS

"Divestments" is a measure used to monitor the progress of our divestment programme. This measure comprises proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment and businesses, joint ventures and associates, and other Upstream and Downstream investments, adjusted onto an accruals basis, and proceeds from sale of interests in Shell Midstream Partners, L.P.

| DIVESTMENTS | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|--|-------|--------|-------|-------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment and businesses [A] | 4,720 | 9,873 | 1,212 | 6,346 | 6,990 |
| Proceeds from sale of joint ventures and associates [A] | 276 | 4,163 | 538 | 698 | 468 |
| Other [A] | (664) | (765) | (558) | 522 | (120) |
| Proceeds from sale of interests in Shell Midstream Partners, L.P. [B] | 595 | 1,012 | - | - | - |
| Other [C] | 613 | 736 | 546 | (608) | 210 |
| Total | 5,540 | 15,019 | 1,738 | 6,958 | 7,548 |
| Of which | | | | | |
| Upstream | 2,747 | 10,589 | 1,086 | 5,859 | 4,280 |
| Downstream | 2,282 | 4,410 | 643 | 1,179 | 3,206 |
| Corporate | 511 | 20 | 9 | (80) | 62 |

[A] Included within Cash flow from investing activities in the "Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows".

[B] Included within "Change in non-controlling interest" in Cash flow from financing activities in the "Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows".

[C] Mainly changes in non-current receivables included within Other (above), which are not considered to be divestments.

OPERATING EXPENSES

| OPERATING EXPENSES | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Production and manufacturing expenses | 28,095 | 30,038 | 28,386 | 26,215 | 26,553 |
| Selling, distribution and administrative expenses | 11,956 | 13,965 | 14,675 | 14,465 | 14,359 |
| Research and development | 1,093 | 1,222 | 1,318 | 1,307 | 1,123 |
| Total | 41,144 | 45,225 | 44,379 | 41,987 | 42,035 |
| Of which | | | | | |
| Upstream | 19,828 | 22,003 | 20,612 | 18,434 | 17,539 |
| Downstream | 20,816 | 22,701 | 23,292 | 22,837 | 24,052 |
| Corporate | 500 | 521 | 475 | 716 | 444 |

RETURN ON AVERAGE CAPITAL EMPLOYED

Return on average capital employed (ROACE) measures the efficiency of our utilisation of the capital that we employ. In this calculation, ROACE is defined as income for the period adjusted for after-tax interest expense as a percentage of the average capital employed for the period. Capital employed consists of total equity, current debt and non-current debt.

| CALCULATION OF RETURN ON AVERAGE CAPITAL EMPLOYED | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Income for the period | 2,200 | 14,730 | 16,526 | 26,960 | 31,093 |
| Interest expense after tax | 2,030 | 938 | 808 | 938 | 769 |
| Income before interest expense | 4,230 | 15,668 | 17,334 | 27,898 | 31,862 |
| Capital employed – opening | 218,326 | 225,710 | 213,936 | 197,141 | 186,552 |
| Capital employed – closing | 222,500 | 218,326 | 225,710 | 213,936 | 197,141 |
| Capital employed – average | 220,413 | 222,018 | 219,823 | 205,539 | 191,847 |
| ROACE | 1.9% | 7.1% | 7.9% | 13.6% | 16.6% |

INDEX TO THE EXHIBITS

| Exhibit No. | Description | Page |
|-------------|--|------|
| 1.1 | Memorandum of Association of Royal Dutch Shell plc, together with a special resolution of Royal Dutch Shell plc dated May 18, 2010, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.12 to the Registration Statement on Form F-3 (No. 333-177588) of Royal Dutch Shell plc filed with the US Securities and Exchange Commission on October 28, 2011). | |
| 1.2 | Articles of Association of Royal Dutch Shell plc, together with a special resolution of Royal Dutch Shell plc dated May 18, 2010, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.11 to the Registration Statement on Form F-3 (No. 333-177588) of Royal Dutch Shell plc filed with the US Securities and Exchange Commission on October 28, 2011). | |
| 2 | Amended and Restated Dividend Access Trust Deed. | |
| 4.1 | Shell Provident Fund Regulations and Trust Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.7 to the Post-Effective Amendment to Registration Statement on Form S-8 (No. 333-126715) of Royal Dutch Shell plc filed with the US Securities and Exchange Commission on June 18, 2007). | |
| 4.2 | Form of Director Indemnity Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Annual Report for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, on Form 20-F (File No. 001-32575) of Royal Dutch Shell plc filed with the US Securities and Exchange Commission on March 13, 2006). | |
| 4.3 | Senior Debt Securities Indenture dated June 27, 2006, among Shell International Finance B.V., as issuer, Royal Dutch Shell plc, as guarantor, and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Registration Statement on Form F-3 (No. 333-126726) of Royal Dutch Shell plc filed with the US Securities and Exchange Commission on July 20, 2005, amended from then to be dated as of June 27, 2006, and with the parties signatures). | |
| 4.4 | Form of contract of employment for Executive Directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to the Annual Report for fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F (File No. 001-32575) of Royal Dutch Shell plc filed with the US Securities and Exchange Commission on March 13, 2014). | |
| 4.5 | Form of Letter of appointments for Non-executive Directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.11 to the Annual Report for fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, on Form 20-F (File No. 001-32575) of Royal Dutch Shell plc filed with the US Securities and Exchange Commission on March 13, 2007). | |
| 7.1 | Calculation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges. | E1 |
| 7.2 | Calculation of Return on Average Capital Employed (ROACE) (incorporated by reference to page 199 herein). | |
| 7.3 | Calculation of gearing (incorporated by reference to page 21 and Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements on page 134 herein). | |
| 8 | Significant Shell subsidiaries at December 31, 2015. | E2 |
| 12.1 | Section 302 Certification of Royal Dutch Shell plc. | E19 |
| 12.2 | Section 302 Certification of Royal Dutch Shell plc. | E20 |
| 13.1 | Section 906 Certification of Royal Dutch Shell plc. | E21 |
| 16.1 | Letter from PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, London. | |
| 16.2 | Letter from PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, Jersey, Channel Islands, relating to the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust. | |
| 99.1 | Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, London. | E22 |
| 99.2 | Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers CI LLP, Jersey, Channel Islands, relating to the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust. | E23 |

SIGNATURES

The registrant hereby certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 20-F and that it has duly caused and authorised the undersigned to sign the Annual Report on Form 20-F on its behalf.

Royal Dutch Shell plc

/s/ Ben van Beurden

Ben van Beurden

Chief Executive Officer

March 9, 2016

EXHIBIT 7.1

| CALCULATION OF RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES | | | | | \$ MILLION |
|--|---------|--------|--------|--------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Pre-tax income from continuing operations before income from equity investees | (1,480) | 22,198 | 26,317 | 41,564 | 46,806 |
| Total fixed charges | 2,495 | 2,113 | 1,710 | 1,712 | 1,608 |
| Distributed income from equity investees | 4,627 | 6,902 | 7,117 | 10,573 | 9,681 |
| Interest capitalised | (839) | (757) | (762) | (567) | (674) |
| Total earnings | 4,803 | 30,456 | 34,382 | 53,282 | 57,421 |
| Interest expensed and capitalised | 1,795 | 1,522 | 1,412 | 1,461 | 1,209 |
| Interest within rental expense | 700 | 591 | 298 | 251 | 399 |
| Total fixed charges | 2,495 | 2,113 | 1,710 | 1,712 | 1,608 |
| Ratio of earnings to fixed charges | 1.93 | 14.41 | 20.11 | 31.12 | 35.71 |

For the purposes of the table above, earnings consist of pre-tax income from continuing operations (before adjustment for non-controlling interest) plus fixed charges (excluding capitalised interest) less undistributed income of joint ventures and associates. Fixed charges consist of expensed and capitalised interest (excluding accretion expense) plus interest within rental expenses (for operating leases).

EXHIBIT 8

SIGNIFICANT SUBSIDIARIES AND OTHER RELATED UNDERTAKINGS (AUDITED)

Significant subsidiaries and other related undertakings at December 31, 2015, are set out below. Significant subsidiaries each meet a threshold of 1% of the average yearly income attributable to Royal Dutch Shell plc shareholders in 2011-2015 and/or 1% of Shell's total assets at December 31, 2015. Shell's percentage of share capital is shown to the nearest whole number. All subsidiaries have been included in the "Consolidated Financial Statements" on pages 115-152. Those held directly by the Company are marked with an asterisk(*). A number of the entities listed are dormant or not yet operational.

SIGNIFICANT SUBSIDIARIES

| Country of incorporation | Company name | % | Class of shares held |
|--------------------------|--|-----|----------------------|
| Argentina | Shell Compania Argentina De Petroleo S.A. | 100 | Nominative (Voting) |
| Australia | Shell Australia Pty Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Australia | Shell Energy Holdings Australia Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Barbados | Shell Western Supply & Trading Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | Qatar Shell GTL Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | Shell International Trading Middle East Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | Shell Oman Trading Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | Solen Insurance Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | Tacoma Company Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Brazil | Shell Brasil Petroleo Ltda | 100 | Quotas (Voting) |
| Canada | Shell Canada Energy | 100 | Ordinary |
| Canada | Shell Canada Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Canada | Shell Canada Products | 100 | Ordinary |
| Gabon | Shell Gabon SA | 75 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Deutsche Shell GmbH | 100 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Deutsche Shell Holding GmbH | 100 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Shell Deutschland Oil GmbH | 100 | Ordinary |
| Italy | Shell Italia E&P SpA | 100 | Ordinary |
| Luxembourg | Shell Finance Luxembourg Sarl | 100 | Ordinary |
| Luxembourg | Shell Luxembourgeoise Sarl | 100 | Ordinary |
| Luxembourg | Shell Treasury Luxembourg Sarl | 100 | Ordinary |
| Malaysia | Sarawak Shell Berhad | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | B.V. Dordtsche Petroleum Maatschappij | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Brazil Holding B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Finance (Netherlands) B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Gas B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell International Finance B.V.* | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Kazakhstan Development B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Nederland Raffinaderij B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Olie – Og Gasudvinding Danmark B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Overseas Investments B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Petroleum N.V.* | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Philippines Exploration B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Nigeria | Shell Nigeria Exploration and Production Company Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Nigeria | The Shell Petroleum Development Company of Nigeria Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Norway | A/S Norske Shell | 100 | Ordinary |
| Singapore | Shell Eastern Petroleum (Pte) Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Singapore | Shell Eastern Trading (Pte) Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Singapore | Shell Treasury Centre East (Pte) Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Switzerland | Shell Finance Switzerland AG | 100 | Ordinary |
| Switzerland | Solen Versicherungen AG | 100 | Registered (Voting) |
| UK | Enterprise Oil Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell China Exploration and Production Company Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Energy Europe Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Energy Investments Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Holdings (U.K.) Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Overseas Holdings Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Trading International Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Treasury Centre Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Treasury Dollar Company Limited | 100 | Ordinary |

EXHIBIT 8 CONTINUED

| Country of incorporation | Company name | % | Class of shares held |
|--------------------------|---|-----|----------------------|
| UK | Shell Treasury UK Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell U.K. Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | The Shell Petroleum Company Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | The Shell Transport and Trading Company Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Enterprise Oil North America Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Equilon Enterprises LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | SCOGI, G.P. | 100 | Partnership Capital |
| USA | Shell Chemical LP | 100 | Partnership Capital |
| USA | Shell Energy North America (US), L.P. | 100 | Partnership Capital |
| USA | Shell Gulf of Mexico Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Offshore Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Oil Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Petroleum Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Trading (US) Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell US E&P Investments LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | SOI Finance Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | SOPC Holdings East LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | SOPC Holdings West LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | SWEPI LP | 100 | Partnership Capital |
| USA | TMR Company | 100 | Ordinary |

OTHER RELATED UNDERTAKINGS

| Country of incorporation | Company name | % | Class of shares held |
|--------------------------|--|-----|-------------------------------|
| Argentina | Deheza S.A.I.C.F.Ei. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Argentina | Energina Compania Argentina De Petroleo S.A | 100 | Ordinary, Nominative (Voting) |
| Argentina | Estacion Lima S.A. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Argentina | O & G Developments Ltd S.A. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Argentina | Shell Gas S.A | 100 | Ordinary |
| Australia | Arrow Energy Holdings Pty Ltd | 50 | Ordinary |
| Australia | Austen and Butta Pty Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Australia | Cairns Airport Refuelling Service Pty Ltd | 25 | Ordinary |
| Australia | Fuelink Pty Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Australia | Monash Energy Pty Ltd | 50 | Ordinary |
| Australia | North West Shelf LNG Pty Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Australia | Provident & Pensions Holdings Proprietary Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Australia | Sasf Pty Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Australia | Shell Australia Lubricants Production Pty.Ltd. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Australia | Shell Australia Services Company Pty Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Australia | Shell Aviation Australia Pty.Ltd. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Australia | Shell Custodian Pty Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Australia | Shell Development (Psc19) Pty Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Australia | Shell Development (Psc20) Pty Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Australia | Shell Eastern Australia Pty Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Australia | Shell Energy Investments Australia Pty Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Australia | Shell Finance (Australia) Pty Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Australia | Shell Global Solutions Australia Pty Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Australia | Shell Tankers Australia Pty Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Australia | Sirius Well Manufacturing Services Australia Pty Ltd | 50 | Ordinary |
| Australia | Trident LNG Shipping Services Pty Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Australia | Trident Shipping Services Pty Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Australia | Woodside Petroleum Ltd | 14 | Ordinary |
| Australia | Zip Airport Services Pty Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Austria | Salzburg Fuelling GmbH | 33 | Ordinary |
| Austria | Shell Austria Gesellschaft M.B.H. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Austria | Shell Brazil Holding GmbH | 100 | Ordinary |
| Austria | Shell China Holding GmbH | 100 | Ordinary |

| Country of incorporation | Company name | % | Class of shares held |
|--------------------------|--|-----|----------------------|
| Austria | TBG Tanklager Betriebsgesellschaft mbH | 50 | Ordinary |
| Austria | Transalpine Oelleitung In Osterreich Gesellschaft M.B.H. | 19 | Ordinary |
| Bahamas | Shell E & P Ireland Offshore Inc | 100 | Ordinary |
| Belgium | Belgian Shell S.A. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Belgium | Cri Catalyst Company Belgium N.V | 100 | Ordinary |
| Belgium | Ethyleen Pijpleiding Maatschappij (Belgie) N.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Belgium | New Market Belgium | 100 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | Gas Investments & Services Company Ltd | 85 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | Kuwait Shell Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | Pecten Middle East Services Company Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | Pecten Somalia Company Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | Sakhalin Energy Investment Company Ltd. | 28 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | Shell Australia Natural Gas Shipping Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | Shell Bermuda (Overseas) Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | Shell Caribbean & Central America Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | Shell Cuiaba Holdings Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | Shell Deepwater Borneo Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | Shell EP International Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | Shell Exploration and Production Guyana Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | Shell Gabon Holdings Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | Shell Holdings (Bermuda) Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | Shell Markets (Middle East) Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | Shell Mexico Exploration and Production Investment Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | Shell Offshore Central Gabon Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | Shell Overseas Holdings (Oman) Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | Shell Petroleum (Malaysia) Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | Shell Saudi Arabia (Refining) Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | Shell South Syria Exploration Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | Shell Trading (M.E.) Private Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | Shell Trust (Bermuda) Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | Shell Trust (U.K. Property) Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Bermuda | South Rub AlKhali Company Ltd | 50 | Ordinary |
| Brazil | Comgas – Companhia De Gas De Sao Paulo | 22 | Ordinary |
| Brazil | Fusus Comercio E Participacoes Ltda. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Brazil | Icolub – Industria De Lubrificantes S.A. | 100 | Quotas (Voting) |
| Brazil | Pecten Do Brasil Servicos De Petroleo Ltda | 100 | Quotas (Voting) |
| Brazil | Raizen Combustiveis S/A | 50 | Ordinary |
| Brazil | Raizen Energia S.A | 50 | Ordinary |
| Brazil | Raizen S/A | 50 | Equity (Voting) |
| Brazil | Seapos Ltda | 100 | Quotas (Voting) |
| Brunei | Brunei LNG Sendirian Berhad | 25 | Ordinary |
| Brunei | Brunei Shell Marketing Company Sendirian Berhad | 50 | Ordinary |
| Brunei | Brunei Shell Petroleum Company Sendirian Berhad | 50 | Ordinary |
| Brunei | Brunei Shell Tankers Sendirian Berhad | 25 | Ordinary |
| Brunei | Shell Borneo Sendirian Berhad | 100 | Ordinary |
| Bulgaria | Shell Bulgaria Ead | 100 | Ordinary |
| Cambodia | Angkor Resources Co Ltd | 49 | Ordinary |
| Canada | 3095381 Nova Scotia Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| Canada | 6581528 Canada Ltd. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Canada | 7026609 Canada Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Canada | Alberta Products Pipe Line Ltd. | 20 | Ordinary |
| Canada | Albian Sands Energy Inc. | 60 | Ordinary |
| Canada | Blackrock Ventures Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Canada | BR Oil Sands Corporation | 100 | Ordinary |
| Canada | Cansolv Technologies Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Canada | Coral Cibola Canada Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Canada | Criterion Catalysts & Technologies Canada, Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Canada | FP Solutions Corporation | 33 | Ordinary |

EXHIBIT 8 CONTINUED

| Country of incorporation | Company name | % | Class of shares held |
|--------------------------|---|-----|----------------------|
| Canada | Jackpine Mine Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Canada | LNG Canada Development Inc. | 50 | Ordinary |
| Canada | Pennzoil-Quaker State Canadian Holdings Ltd. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Canada | Sable Offshore Energy Inc. | 33 | Ordinary |
| Canada | SCL Pipeline Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Canada | SFJ Inc. | 50 | Ordinary |
| Canada | Shell Americas Funding (Canada) Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Canada | Shell Canada Exploration | 100 | Membership Interest |
| Canada | Shell Canada Op Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Canada | Shell Canada Resources | 100 | Membership Interest |
| Canada | Shell Canada Services Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Canada | Shell Chemicals Canada | 100 | Membership Interest |
| Canada | Shell Energy North America (Canada) Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Canada | Shell Global Solutions Canada Inc | 100 | Ordinary |
| Canada | Shell Quebec Limitee | 100 | Ordinary |
| Canada | Shell Trading Canada | 100 | Membership Interest |
| Canada | Sirius Well Manufacturing Services Canada Ltd | 50 | Ordinary |
| Canada | Sun-Canadian Pipeline Company Limited | 45 | Ordinary |
| Canada | Trans-Northern Pipelines Inc. | 20 | Ordinary |
| Cayman Islands | Beryl North Sea Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Cayman Islands | Schiehallion Oil & Gas Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Cayman Islands | Shell North Sea Holdings Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Cayman Islands | Shell Upstream Gabon Cayman Holdings No. 1 | 100 | Ordinary |
| Cayman Islands | Shell Upstream Gabon Cayman Holdings No. 2 | 100 | Ordinary |
| Cayman Islands | Shell Upstream Gabon Cayman Holdings No. 3 | 100 | Ordinary |
| Channel Islands | Morzine Limited | 33 | Ordinary |
| Channel Islands | Shell Service Station Properties Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| China | Beijing Shell Petroleum Company Ltd | 49 | Ordinary |
| China | Cansolv Technologies (Beijing) Company Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| China | Chongqing Doyen Shell Petroleum and Chemical Co. Ltd. | 49 | Ordinary |
| China | CNOOC and Shell Petrochemicals Company Limited | 50 | Ordinary |
| China | Guangdong Gsz Shell Service Stations Company Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| China | Hangzhou Natural Gas Company Limited | 25 | Ordinary |
| China | Shell (China) Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| China | Shell (China) Projects & Technology Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| China | Shell (Shanghai) Technology Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| China | Shell (Tianjin) Lubricants Company Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| China | Shell (Tianjin) Oil and Petrochemical Company Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| China | Shell (Zhuhai) Lubricants Company Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| China | Shell Energy (China) Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| China | Shell North China Petroleum Group Co., Ltd. | 49 | Ordinary |
| China | Shell Road Solutions (Ezhou) Co. Ltd. | 69 | Ordinary |
| China | Shell Road Solutions (Luzhou) Co. Ltd. | 100 | Ordinary |
| China | Shell Road Solutions (Xi'An) Co. Ltd. | 100 | Ordinary |
| China | Shell Road Solutions (Zhenjiang) Co. Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| China | Shell Road Solutions Xinyue (Foshan) Co. Ltd. | 60 | Ordinary |
| China | Sinopec and Shell (Jiangsu) Petroleum Marketing Company Limited | 40 | Ordinary |
| China | Sirius Well Manufacturing Services (China) Co. Ltd. | 50 | Ordinary |
| China | Suzhou Liyuan Retail Site Management Co., Ltd. | 50 | Ordinary |
| China | Yanchang and Shell (Guangdong) Petroleum Co., Ltd. | 49 | Ordinary |
| China | Yanchang and Shell (Sichuan) Petroleum Company Limited | 45 | Ordinary |
| China | Yanchang and Shell Petroleum Company Limited | 45 | Ordinary |
| China | Yueyang Sinopec and Shell Coal Gasification Company Limited | 50 | Equity (Voting) |
| China | Zhejiang Shell Oil and Petrochemical Company Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Colombia | C.I. Shell Comercializadora Colombia, S.A.S | 100 | Ordinary |
| Colombia | Shell Colombia S.A. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Colombia | Shell Exploration and Production Colombia GmbH Sucursal Colo | 100 | Ordinary |

| Country of incorporation | Company name | % | Class of shares held |
|--------------------------|---|-----|--------------------------|
| Colombia | Union Temporal Bloque Sin Off 7 | 65 | Ordinary |
| Cook Islands | Branstone (International) Limited | 100 | Ordinary, Redeemable |
| Cyprus | Rosneft-Shell Caspian Ventures Ltd | 49 | Ordinary, Non-redeemable |
| Czech Republic | Shell Czech Republic A.S. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Denmark | A/S Dansk Shell | 100 | Ordinary |
| Denmark | Dansk Fuels A/S | 100 | Ordinary |
| Denmark | Shell – Statoil Refuelling (Billund) I/S | 50 | Ordinary |
| Denmark | Shell EP Holdingselskab Danmark ApS | 100 | Ordinary |
| Denmark | Shell Olie-Og Gasudvinding Danmark Pipelines ApS | 100 | Ordinary |
| Denmark | Shell/Statoil Total I/S | 33 | Ordinary |
| Egypt | Alam El Shawish Petroleum Company | 20 | Ordinary |
| Egypt | Badr Petroleum Company | 50 | Ordinary |
| Egypt | Obaiyed Petroleum Company | 50 | Ordinary |
| Egypt | Shell Egypt Trading | 100 | Ordinary |
| Egypt | Shell Lubricants Egypt | 100 | Ordinary |
| Egypt | Sitra Petroleum Company | 50 | Ordinary |
| Egypt | Tiba Petroleum Company | 26 | Ordinary |
| Egypt | West Sitra Petroleum Company | 50 | Ordinary |
| Finland | Shell Aviation Finland Oy | 100 | Ordinary |
| France | Avitair SAS | 100 | Ordinary |
| France | Geogaz Lavera SA | 28 | Ordinary |
| France | Geovexin SA | 20 | Ordinary |
| France | Groupement Petrolier Aviation (G.I.E.) | 33 | Ordinary |
| France | Infineum France | 50 | Ordinary |
| France | Service Aviation Paris (G.I.E.) | 33 | Ordinary |
| France | Shell Exploration and Production France SAS | 100 | Ordinary |
| France | Shell Retraites SAS | 100 | Ordinary |
| France | Soc. De. Part. Dans "Spitp" Sarl | 53 | Ordinary |
| France | Societe De Gestion Mobiliere Et Immobiliere SA | 100 | Ordinary |
| France | Societe Des Lubrifiants De Nanterre | 100 | Ordinary |
| France | Societe Des Petroles Shell SAS | 100 | Ordinary |
| France | Societe Provencale Des Bitumes (S.A.S.) | 100 | Ordinary |
| France | Ste Du Pipeline Sud European SA | 21 | Ordinary |
| Gabon | Shell Upstream Gabon SA | 100 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Ages Maut System GmbH & Co KG | 20 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Beb Beteiligungs GmbH | 50 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Beb Erdgas Und Erdoel GmbH & Co. KG | 50 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Beb Holding GmbH | 50 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Carissa Einzelhandel-Und Tankstellenservice GmbH & Co. KG | 100 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Carissa Verwaltungsgesellschaft mbH | 100 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Cri Catalyst Leuna GmbH | 100 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Cri Deutschland GmbH | 100 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Deutsche Transalpine Oelleitung GmbH | 19 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Erdoel-Raffinerie Deurag-Nerag GmbH | 50 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Euroshell Deutschland GmbH & Co.KG | 100 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Euroshell Deutschland Verwaltungsgesellschaft mbH | 100 | Ordinary |
| Germany | FBG Ferngasbeteiligungsgesellschaft mbH | 100 | Ordinary |
| Germany | H2 Mobility Deutschland GmbH and Co KG | 28 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Hprds Und Spnv Deutschland Oil GmbH & Co. KG | 100 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Hprds Und Spnv Deutschland Verwaltungsges. mbH | 90 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Min. Oelraff. Oberrh. Verw. GmbH | 32 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Nord-West Oelleitung GmbH | 20 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Oberrhein. Mineraloelwerke GmbH | 42 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Pck Raffinerie GmbH | 38 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Reg Raffinerie-Energie GmbH & Co. OHG | 20 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Rheinland Kraftstoff GmbH | 100 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Rhein-Main-Rohrleitungstransportgesellschaft mbH | 63 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Shell Algeria Zerafa GmbH | 100 | Ordinary |

EXHIBIT 8 CONTINUED

| Country of incorporation | Company name | % | Class of shares held |
|--------------------------|---|-----|----------------------|
| Germany | Shell Energy Deutschland GmbH | 100 | Equity (Voting) |
| Germany | Shell Erdgas Beteiligungsgesellschaft mbH | 100 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Shell Erdgas Marketing GmbH & Co. KG | 50 | Equity (Voting) |
| Germany | Shell Erdoel Und Erdgas Exploration GmbH | 100 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Shell Erneuerbare Energien GmbH | 100 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Shell Exploration and Development Libya GmbH I | 100 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Shell Exploration and Production Colombia GmbH | 100 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Shell Exploration and Production Libya GmbH | 100 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Shell Exploration Et Production Du Maroc GmbH | 100 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Shell Exploration New Ventures One GmbH | 100 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Shell Exploration and Produktion Deutschland GmbH | 100 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Shell Global Solutions Deutschland GmbH | 100 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Shell Grundstücksgesellschaft Wesseling GmbH & Co KG | 100 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Shell Hydrogen Deutschland GmbH | 100 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Shell Tunisia El Jem GmbH | 100 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Shell Tunisia Kairouan GmbH | 100 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Shell Tunisia Offshore GmbH | 100 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Shell Verwaltungsgesellschaft Fur Erdgasbeteiligungen mbH | 100 | Ordinary |
| Germany | SPNV Deutschland Beteiligungsges. mbH | 100 | Ordinary |
| Germany | Zeller & Cie S.A.R.L. | 50 | Ordinary |
| Greece | Attiki Gas Supply Company S.A. | 49 | Ordinary |
| Greece | Shell & MOH Aviation Fuels A.E. | 51 | Nominative (Voting) |
| Greenland | Shell Greenland A/S | 100 | Ordinary |
| Guam | Shell Guam Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Hong Kong | AFSC Management Limited | 11 | Ordinary |
| Hong Kong | AFSC Operations Limited | 11 | Ordinary |
| Hong Kong | AFSC Refuelling Limited | 11 | Ordinary |
| Hong Kong | Aviation Fuel Supply Company-Partnership | 11 | Ordinary |
| Hong Kong | Branstone Company Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Hong Kong | Fulmart Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Hong Kong | Hong Kong Response Limited | 25 | Ordinary |
| Hong Kong | Ocean Century Tf Limited | 100 | Ordinary, Redeemable |
| Hong Kong | Shell Bitumen China Holdings Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Hong Kong | Shell Developments (HK) Limited | 100 | Ordinary, Redeemable |
| Hong Kong | Shell Hong Kong Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Hong Kong | Shell Korea Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Hong Kong | Shell Macau Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Hungary | Shell Hungary Kereskedelmi Ctd. | 100 | Ordinary |
| India | Andhra LNG Private Limited | 100 | Equity (Voting) |
| India | Hazira LNG Private Limited | 74 | Equity (Voting) |
| India | Hazira Port Private Limited | 74 | Equity (Voting) |
| India | Pennzoil Quaker State India Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| India | Shell India Markets Private Limited | 100 | Equity (Voting) |
| India | Shell Mrpl Aviation Fuels and Services Limited | 50 | Ordinary |
| Indonesia | PT. Gresik Distribution Terminal | 100 | Ordinary |
| Indonesia | PT. Shell Indonesia | 100 | Ordinary |
| Indonesia | PT. Shell Manufacturing Indonesia | 100 | Ordinary |
| Indonesia | PT. Shell Solar Indonesia | 100 | Ordinary |
| Indonesia | Shell Upstream Indonesia Services Rep Office | 100 | Ordinary |
| Iraq | Basrah Gas Company | 44 | Ordinary |
| Ireland | Asiatic Petroleum Company (Dublin) Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Ireland | Irish Shell Trust Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Ireland | Shell and Topaz Aviation Ireland Limited | 50 | Ordinary |
| Ireland | Shell Bitumen Ireland Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Ireland | Shell E&P Ireland Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Isle of Man | Petrolon Europe Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Isle of Man | Petrolon International Limited | 100 | Ordinary |

| Country of incorporation | Company name | % | Class of shares held |
|--------------------------|--|-----|----------------------------|
| Isle of Man | Shell Marine Personnel (I.O.M.) Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Isle of Man | Shell Ship Management Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Italy | Alle Srl | 100 | Quotas (Voting) |
| Italy | Aquila S.P.A. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Italy | Infineum Italia Srl | 50 | Quotas (Voting) |
| Italy | Shell Energy Italia S.R.L | 100 | Quotas (Voting) |
| Italy | Shell Italia Holding SpA | 100 | Ordinary |
| Italy | Shell Italia Oil Products S.R.L | 100 | Quotas (Voting) |
| Italy | Societa Italiana Per L'Oleodotto Transalpino S.P.A. | 19 | Ordinary |
| Italy | Societa' Oleodotti Meridionali SpA | 30 | Ordinary |
| Japan | Brunei Energy Services Company, Ltd. | 25 | Ordinary |
| Japan | Japan Chemtech Ltd | 30 | Ordinary |
| Japan | Sakhalin LNG Services Company Ltd. | 50 | Ordinary |
| Japan | Shell Chemicals Japan Ltd. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Japan | Shell Japan Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Japan | Shell Japan Trading Ltd. | 68 | Ordinary |
| Japan | Showa Shell Sekiyu K.K. | 35 | Ordinary |
| Luxembourg | Bully 2 (Luxembourg) S.À R.L. | 50 | Ordinary |
| Luxembourg | Tank Reinsurance SA | 21 | Ordinary |
| Macau | Shell Gas (LPG) Macau Limited | 100 | Quotas (Voting) |
| Malaysia | Bonuskad Loyalty Sdn Bhd | 33 | Ordinary, Redeemable |
| Malaysia | IOT Management Sdn. Bhd. | 7 | Ordinary |
| Malaysia | Kebabangan Petroleum Operating Company Sdn. Bhd. | 30 | Ordinary |
| Malaysia | P S Pipeline Sdn Bhd | 50 | Ordinary |
| Malaysia | P S Terminal Sdn Bhd | 35 | Ordinary |
| Malaysia | Pertini Vista Sdn. Bhd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Malaysia | Provista Ventures Sdn Bhd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Malaysia | Shell Business Service Centre Sdn. Bhd. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Malaysia | Shell Global Solutions (Malaysia) Sdn Bhd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Malaysia | Shell Malaysia Trading Sendirian Berhad | 100 | Ordinary |
| Malaysia | Shell Mds (Malaysia) Sendirian Berhad | 72 | Ordinary |
| Malaysia | Shell New Ventures Malaysia Sdn. Bhd. | 100 | Ordinary, Redeemable |
| Malaysia | Shell People Services Asia Sdn Bhd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Malaysia | Shell Refining Company (Federation of Malaya) Berhad | 51 | Ordinary |
| Malaysia | Shell Sabah Selatan Sdn. Bhd. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Malaysia | Shell Timur Sdn Bhd | 70 | Ordinary |
| Malaysia | Shell Treasury Malaysia (I) Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Malaysia | Tanjung Manis Oil Terminal Management Sdn. Bhd. | 14 | Ordinary |
| Mauritius | Pennzoil Products International Company | 100 | Equity (Voting) |
| Mexico | Gas Del Litoral, S. De R.L. De C.V. | 75 | Ordinary |
| Mexico | Shell Exploracion Y Extraccion De Mexico, S.A. De C.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Mexico | Shell Mexico Gas Natural, S De Rl De CV | 100 | Ordinary |
| Mexico | Shell Mexico,S.A. De C.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Mexico | Shell Servicios Mexico, S.A. De C.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Mexico | Shell Trading Mexico, S. De R.L. De C.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Amsterdam Schiphol Pijpleiding Beheer B.V | 40 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Atiki Gas B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | B.R.E. B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | B.V. Petroleum Assurantie Maatschappij | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | BJS Oil Operations B.V. | 80 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | BJS Exploration and Production B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Bogstone Holding BV | 51 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Caspi Meruert Operating Company B.V. | 40 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Chosun Shell B.V. | 100 | Redeemable, Non-redeemable |
| Netherlands | Cicerone Holding BV | 51 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Ellba BV | 50 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Ellba CV | 50 | Ordinary |

EXHIBIT 8 CONTINUED

| Country of incorporation | Company name | % | Class of shares held |
|--------------------------|--|-----|----------------------------|
| Netherlands | Euroshell Cards B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Gasterra B.V. | 25 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Infineum Holdings BV | 50 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Integral Investments B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Jordan Oil Shale Company B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Libra Oil& Gas B.V. | 20 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | LNG Shipping Operation Services Netherlands B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Loyalty Management Netherlands B.V. | 33 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Maasvlakte Olie Terminal C.V. | 22 | Partnership Capital |
| Netherlands | Multi Tank Card B.V. | 30 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | N.V. Rotterdam-Rijn Pijpleiding Maatschappij | 56 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Nederlandse Aardolie Maatschappij B.V. | 50 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Netherlands Alng Holding Company B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Noordzeewind B.V. | 50 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Noordzeewind CV | 50 | Membership Interest |
| Netherlands | Paqell BV | 50 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Pernis Refining Company B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Raffinaderij Shell Mersin N.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Resco B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Rub' Al-Khali Gas Development B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Salym Petroleum Development N.V. | 50 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Salym Petroleum Services B.V. | 50 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Abu Dhabi B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Additives Holdings (I) BV | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Additives Holdings (II) BV | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell and Vivo Lubricants B.V. | 50 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Asset Management Company B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Bab Gas Development B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Business Development Central Asia B.V. | 100 | Non-redeemable |
| Netherlands | Shell Caspian B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Caspian Pipeline Holdings B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Chemicals Europe B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Chemicals Ventures B.V. | 100 | Redeemable, Non-redeemable |
| Netherlands | Shell China B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell China Holdings B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Deepwater Tanzania B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Development Iran B.V. | 100 | Non-redeemable |
| Netherlands | Shell Development Kashagan B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Downstream Services International B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell E & P Investment Holdings B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell E and P Offshore Services B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Egypt N.V. | 100 | Non-redeemable |
| Netherlands | Shell Energy Europe B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell EP Holdings (EE&ME) B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell EP Middle East Holdings B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell EP Russia Investments (III) B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell EP Russia Investments (V) B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell EP Somalia B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell EP Wells Equipment Services B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration and Production (XI) B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration and Production (II) B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration and Production (LVII) B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration and Production (LIX) B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration and Production (LX) B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration and Production (LXI) B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration and Production (LXII) B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration and Production (LXIII) B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |

| Country of incorporation | Company name | % | Class of shares held |
|--------------------------|---|-----|----------------------------|
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration and Production (LXIV) B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration and Production (LXV) N.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration and Production (LXVI) B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration and Production (LXVII) B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration and Production (LXIX) B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration and Production (LXX) B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration and Production (LXXI) B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration and Production (LXXIV) B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration and Production (LXXV) B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration and Production Holdings B.V. | 100 | Redeemable, Non-redeemable |
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration and Production Investments B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration and Production Services (RF) B.V. | 100 | Non-redeemable |
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration and Production Ukraine I B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration and Production Ukraine Investments (I) B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration and Production Ukraine Investments (II) B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration and Production Ukraine Investments (III) B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration and Production Ukraine Investments (IV) B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration Company (RF) B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration Company (West) B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration Company B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Exploration Venture Services B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Gas & Power Developments B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Gas (LPG) Holdings B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Gas Iraq B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Gas Nigeria B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Gas Venezuela B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Generating (Holding) B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Global Solutions (Eastern Europe) B.V. | 100 | Registered (Voting) |
| Netherlands | Shell Global Solutions International B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Global Solutions Services B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Information Technology International B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell International B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell International Exploration and Production B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Internationale Research Maatschappij B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Internet Ventures B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Iraq B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Iraq Petroleum Development B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Korea Exploration and Production B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Kuwait Exploration and Production B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell LNG Port Spain BV | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Lubricants Supply Company B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Manufacturing Services B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Montell Holding I B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Mozambique B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Mspo 2 Holding B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Namibia Upstream B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Nanhai B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Nederland B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Nederland Chemie B.V. | 100 | Ordinary, Redeemable |
| Netherlands | Shell Nederland Verkoopmaatschappij B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Nusantara Trading B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Offshore (Personnel) Services B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Offshore North Gabon B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Offshore Services B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Oklng Holdings B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Olie Og Gas Holding B.V. | 100 | Redeemable, Non-redeemable |
| Netherlands | Shell Pensioenbureau Nederland B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |

EXHIBIT 8 CONTINUED

| Country of incorporation | Company name | % | Class of shares held |
|--------------------------|--|-----|--------------------------------------|
| Netherlands | Shell Pernis Holding B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Pipeline Company B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Project Development (VIII) B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell RDS Holding B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Sakhalin Holdings B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Sakhalin Services B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Salym Development B.V. | 100 | Non-redeemable |
| Netherlands | Shell Services Oman B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Shared Services (Asia) B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell South Africa Upstream B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Technology Ventures B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Technology Ventures Fund 1 B.V. | 52 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Technology Ventures Investments B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Trademark Management B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Trading Rotterdam B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Trading Russia B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Upstream Albania B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Upstream Development B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Upstream Indonesia Services B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Upstream Spain B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Upstream Turkey B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Western LNG B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Windenergy Netherlands B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Shell Windenergy NZW I B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Sirius Well Manufacturing Services B.V. | 50 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Snijdersolie BV | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Stichting Pernis Refining Company Administratiekantoor | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Syria Shell Petroleum Development B.V. | 65 | Ordinary, Redeemable, Non-redeemable |
| Netherlands | Tamba B.V. | 50 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Tankstation Exploitatie Maatschappij Holding B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Vivo Energy Holding BV | 20 | Ordinary |
| Netherlands | Waalbrug Exploitatie Maatschappij B.V. | 100 | Ordinary |
| New Zealand | Energy Finance NZ Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| New Zealand | Energy Holdings Offshore Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| New Zealand | Energy Infrastructure Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| New Zealand | Energy Petroleum Holdings Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| New Zealand | Energy Petroleum Investments Limited | 100 | Ordinary, Redeemable |
| New Zealand | Energy Petroleum Taranaki Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| New Zealand | Maui Development Limited | 84 | Ordinary |
| New Zealand | Shell (Petroleum Mining) Company Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| New Zealand | Shell Energy Asia Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| New Zealand | Shell Exploration NZ Ltd | 100 | Ordinary, Redeemable |
| New Zealand | Shell GSB Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| New Zealand | Shell Investments NZ Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| New Zealand | Shell New Zealand (2011) Limited | 100 | Ordinary, Redeemable |
| New Zealand | Shell New Zealand Pensions Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| New Zealand | Shell Todd Oil Services Limited | 50 | Ordinary |
| New Zealand | Southern Petroleum No Liability | 100 | Ordinary |
| New Zealand | Taranaki Offshore Petroleum Company Of NZ | 100 | Ordinary |
| Nicaragua | Compania Quimica Nicaraguense S.A. | 100 | Nominative (Voting) |
| Nigeria | Delta Business Development Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Nigeria | Nigeria LNG Limited | 26 | Ordinary |
| Nigeria | Shell Exploration and Production Africa Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Nigeria | Shell Nig. Closed Pension Fund Administrator Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Nigeria | Shell Nigeria Exploration and Production Delta Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Nigeria | Shell Nigeria Exploration and Production Echo Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Nigeria | Shell Nigeria Exploration Properties Alpha Limited | 100 | Ordinary |

| Country of incorporation | Company name | % | Class of shares held |
|--------------------------|---|-----|----------------------|
| Nigeria | Shell Nigeria Exploration Properties Beta Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Nigeria | Shell Nigeria Exploration Properties Charlie Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Nigeria | Shell Nigeria Gas Ltd (SNG) | 100 | Ordinary |
| Nigeria | Shell Nigeria Infrastructure Development Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Nigeria | Shell Nigeria Offshore Prospecting Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Nigeria | Shell Nigeria Oil Products Limited (SNOP) | 100 | Ordinary |
| Nigeria | Shell Nigeria Ultra Deep Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Nigeria | Shell Nigeria Upstream Ventures Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Nigeria | Shell Thrift & Loan Fund Trustees Nig Ltd | 99 | Ordinary |
| Norway | Aviation Fuelling Services Norway AS | 50 | Ordinary |
| Norway | CO ₂ Technology Centre Mongstad DA | 2 | Ordinary |
| Norway | Energiparken Eiendom AS | 100 | Ordinary |
| Norway | Gasnor AS | 100 | Ordinary |
| Norway | Ormen Lange Eiendom DA | 17 | Ordinary |
| Norway | Shell Marine Products AS | 100 | Ordinary |
| Norway | Shell Technology Norway AS | 100 | Ordinary |
| Norway | Vestprosess DA | 8 | Ordinary |
| Oman | Oman LNG LLC | 30 | Ordinary |
| Oman | Petroleum Development Oman LLC | 34 | Ordinary |
| Oman | Shell Development Oman LLC | 100 | Ordinary |
| Oman | Shell Oman Marketing Company SAOG | 49 | Ordinary |
| Pakistan | Pak Arab Pipeline Company Limited | 20 | Ordinary |
| Pakistan | Pakistan Refinery Limited | 30 | Ordinary |
| Pakistan | Shell Pakistan Limited | 76 | Ordinary |
| Peru | Peru LNG Srl | 20 | Ordinary |
| Peru | Shell Gnl Peru S.A.C. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Peru | Shell Operaciones Peru SAC | 100 | Ordinary |
| Philippines | Bonifacio Gas Corporation | 30 | Ordinary |
| Philippines | First Philippine Industrial Corporation | 40 | Ordinary |
| Philippines | Kamayan Realty Corporation | 27 | Ordinary |
| Philippines | Pandacan Depots Services, Inc. | 23 | Ordinary |
| Philippines | Pilipinas Shell Petroleum Corporation | 68 | Ordinary |
| Philippines | SCCP Land, Inc. | 40 | Ordinary |
| Philippines | Shell Chemicals Philippines, Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Philippines | Shell Gas and Energy Philippines Corporation | 100 | Ordinary |
| Philippines | Tabangao Realty, Inc. | 40 | Ordinary |
| Poland | Shell Polska Sp. Z O.O. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Portugal | Shell Madeira Praia Formosa | 100 | Ordinary |
| Puerto Rico | Station Managers of Puerto Rico, Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Qatar | Qatar Liquefied Gas Company Limited (4) | 30 | Ordinary |
| Qatar | Qatar Shell Research & Technology Centre QSTP-LLC | 100 | Ordinary |
| Qatar | Qatar Shell Service Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| Russia | AO Shell Aerofuels | 50 | Ordinary |
| Russia | Khanty-Mansiysk Petroleum Alliance Closed Joint Stock Company | 50 | Ordinary |
| Russia | Limited Liability Company "Shell Neftegaz Development (I)" | 100 | Equity (Voting) |
| Russia | Limited Liability Company "Shell Neftegaz Development (II)" | 100 | Equity (Voting) |
| Russia | Limited Liability Company "Shell Neftegaz Development (III)" | 100 | Equity (Voting) |
| Russia | Limited Liability Company "Shell Neftegaz Development (IV)" | 100 | Equity (Voting) |
| Russia | Limited Liability Company "Shell Neftegaz Development (V)" | 100 | Equity (Voting) |
| Russia | Limited Liability Company "Shell Neftegaz Development (VI)" | 100 | Equity (Voting) |
| Russia | Limited Liability Company "Shell Neftegaz Development (VII)" | 100 | Equity (Voting) |
| Russia | Limited Liability Company "Shell Neftegaz Development (VIII)" | 100 | Equity (Voting) |
| Russia | Limited Liability Company "Shell Neftegaz Development (IX)" | 100 | Equity (Voting) |
| Russia | Limited Liability Company "Shell Neftegaz Development (X)" | 100 | Equity (Voting) |
| Russia | LLC "Shell Neft" | 100 | Equity (Voting) |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | Shell Oil & Gas (Malaysia) LLC | 90 | Equity (Voting) |
| Saint Lucia | Shell JPT Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Saudi Arabia | Al Jomaih and Shell Lubricating Oil Co.Ltd. | 50 | Ordinary |

EXHIBIT 8 CONTINUED

| Country of incorporation | Company name | % | Class of shares held |
|--------------------------|---|-----|----------------------|
| Saudi Arabia | Peninsular Aviation Services Company Limited | 25 | Ordinary |
| Saudi Arabia | Saudi Aramco Shell Refinery Company | 50 | Ordinary |
| Saudi Arabia | Saudi Petrochemical Company | 50 | Ordinary |
| Saudi Arabia | Shell Global Solutions Saudi Arabia LLC | 100 | Equity (Voting) |
| Singapore | Cri/Criterion Marketing Asia Pacific Pte Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Singapore | Ellba Eastern (Pte) Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Singapore | Infineum Singapore Pte Ltd | 50 | Ordinary |
| Singapore | Petrochemical Corporation of Singapore (Private) Limited | 26 | Ordinary |
| Singapore | QPI and Shell Petrochemicals (Singapore) Pte Ltd | 51 | Ordinary |
| Singapore | Shell Chemicals Seraya Pte. Ltd. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Singapore | Shell India Ventures Pte. Ltd. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Singapore | Shell International Shipping Services (Pte) Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Singapore | Shell Myanmar Energy Pte Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Singapore | Shell Myanmar Petroleum Pte. Ltd. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Singapore | Shell Pulau Maa Pte Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Singapore | Shell Seraya Pioneer (Pte) Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Singapore | Shell Singapore Trustees (Pte) Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Singapore | Shell Tankers (Singapore) Private Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Singapore | Singapore Lube Park Pte. Ltd. | 45 | Ordinary |
| Singapore | Sirius Well Manufacturing Services Pte. Ltd. | 50 | Ordinary |
| Singapore | The Polyolefin Company (Singapore) Pte. Ltd. | 15 | Ordinary |
| Slovakia | Shell Slovakia S.R.O. | 100 | Equity (Voting) |
| Slovenia | Shell Adria D.O.O. | 100 | Ordinary |
| South Africa | Bituguard Southern Africa (Pty) Ltd | 36 | Ordinary |
| South Africa | Blendcor (Pty) Ltd. | 36 | Ordinary |
| South Africa | Sekelo Oil Trading (Pty) Limited | 43 | Ordinary |
| South Africa | Shell & BP South African Petroleum Refineries (Pty) Limited | 36 | Ordinary |
| South Africa | Shell Downstream South Africa (Pty) Ltd | 72 | Ordinary |
| South Africa | Shell Global Customer Services Centre CA | 100 | Ordinary |
| South Africa | Shell South Africa Energy (Pty) Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| South Africa | Shell South Africa Exploration (Pty) Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| South Africa | Shell South Africa Holdings (Pty) Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| South Africa | Stisa (Pty) Limited | 72 | Ordinary |
| South Korea | Hankook Shell Oil Company | 54 | Ordinary |
| South Korea | Hyundai and Shell Base Oil Co., Ltd | 40 | Ordinary |
| Spain | Shell & Disa Aviation España, S.L. | 50 | Ordinary |
| Spain | Shell Espana S.A. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Spain | Shell Spain LNG S.A.U. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Sudan | Shell (Sudan) Petroleum Development Company Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Sweden | A Flygbranslehantering Aktiebolag | 25 | Ordinary |
| Sweden | Gothenburgh Fuelling Company AB | 33 | Ordinary |
| Sweden | Malmoe Fuelling Services AB | 33 | Ordinary |
| Sweden | Shell Aviation Sweden AB | 100 | Ordinary |
| Sweden | Stockholm Fuelling Services AB | 33 | Ordinary |
| Switzerland | Aree Di Servizio Autostradali Bellinzona S.A. | 50 | Registered (Voting) |
| Switzerland | Bully 1 (Switzerland) GmbH | 50 | Ordinary |
| Switzerland | Bully 2 (Switzerland) GmbH | 50 | Ordinary |
| Switzerland | Saraco SA, Geneva | 20 | Registered (Voting) |
| Switzerland | Shell (Switzerland) AG | 100 | Registered (Voting) |
| Switzerland | Shell Brands International AG | 100 | Registered (Voting) |
| Switzerland | Shell Lubricants Switzerland AG | 100 | Registered (Voting) |
| Switzerland | Shell Trading Switzerland AG | 100 | Registered (Voting) |
| Switzerland | Sogep Societe Genevoise Des Petroles | 34 | Registered (Voting) |
| Switzerland | Ubag Unterflurbetankungsanlage Flughafen, Zurich | 30 | Registered (Voting) |
| Syria | Al Badiah Petroleum Company | 22 | Ordinary |
| Syria | Al Furat Petroleum Company | 20 | Ordinary |
| Taiwan | CPC Shell Lubricants Co. Ltd | 51 | Ordinary |

| Country of incorporation | Company name | % | Class of shares held |
|--------------------------|---|-----|----------------------|
| Taiwan | Shell Taiwan Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Tanzania | Shell Tanzania Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Thailand | Pattanaadhorn Company Limited | 41 | Ordinary |
| Thailand | Pattanakij Chemical Company Limited | 70 | Ordinary |
| Thailand | Sahapanichkijphun Company Limited | 41 | Ordinary |
| Thailand | Shell Global Solutions (Thailand) Limited | 48 | Ordinary |
| Thailand | Shell Global Solutions Holdings (Thailand) Limited | 49 | Ordinary |
| Thailand | Unitas Company Limited | 41 | Ordinary |
| Togo | Complexe Petrolier De Lome S.A. | 60 | Ordinary |
| Togo | Societe Togolaise De Stockage De Lome S.A. | 64 | Ordinary |
| Togo | Togo Et Shell S.A. | 80 | Ordinary |
| Trinidad and Tobago | Atlantic LNG 2/3 Company of Trinidad and Tobago | 25 | Ordinary |
| Trinidad and Tobago | Atlantic LNG 4 Company of Trinidad and Tobago | 22 | Ordinary |
| Trinidad and Tobago | Atlantic LNG Company of Trinidad and Tobago | 20 | Ordinary |
| Trinidad and Tobago | Shell LNG T&T Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Trinidad and Tobago | Shell Lubricants Caribbean Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| Trinidad and Tobago | Shell Trinidad Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| Turkey | Ambarli Depolama Hizmetleri Ltd Sti. | 35 | Ordinary |
| Turkey | Atas Anadolu Tasfiyehanesi A.S. | 27 | Ordinary |
| Turkey | Cekisan Depolama Hizmetleri Limited Sirketi | 35 | Ordinary |
| Turkey | Marmara Depoculuk Hizmetleri AS | 32 | Ordinary |
| Turkey | Samsun Akaryakit Depolama A.S. | 35 | Ordinary |
| Turkey | Shell & Turcas Petrol A.S. | 70 | Ordinary |
| Turkey | Shell Enerji Anonim Sirketi | 100 | Ordinary |
| Turkey | Shell Petrol A.S. | 70 | Ordinary |
| Ukraine | Alliance Holding LLC | 51 | Partnership Capital |
| Ukraine | Invest Region LLC | 51 | Partnership Capital |
| Ukraine | Shell Cards Ukraine LLC | 51 | Partnership Capital |
| Ukraine | Shell Energy Ukraine LLC | 100 | Equity (Voting) |
| Ukraine | Shell Oil Products Ukraine | 100 | Membership Interest |
| Ukraine | Shell Ukraine Exploration and Production 1 LLC | 100 | Ordinary |
| Ukraine | Shell Ukraine Exploration and Production 4 LLC | 100 | Ordinary |
| United Arab Emirates | Abu Dhabi Gas Industries Limited (GASCO) | 15 | Ordinary |
| United Arab Emirates | Emdad Aviation Fuel Storage FZCO | 32 | Ordinary |
| United Arab Emirates | Sharjah Fuelling Services Company Ltd. | 49 | Ordinary |
| UK | Abu Dhabi Petroleum Company Limited | 24 | Ordinary |
| UK | Angkor Shell Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Autogas Limited | 50 | Ordinary |
| UK | British Pipeline Agency Limited | 50 | Ordinary |
| UK | Cri Catalyst Company Europe Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Cri/Criterion Catalyst Company Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Eastham Refinery Limited | 50 | Ordinary |
| UK | Enterprise Oil Middle East Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Enterprise Oil Norge Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Enterprise Oil Operations Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Enterprise Oil U.K. Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Framecroft Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Gainrace Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Gatwick Airport Storage And Hydrant Company Limited | 13 | Ordinary |
| UK | Glossop Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | GOGB Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Heathrow Airport Fuel Company Limited | 17 | Ordinary |
| UK | Heathrow Hydrant Operating Company Limited | 10 | Ordinary |
| UK | Holaw (619) Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | International Inland Waterways, Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Khmer Shell Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Lensbury Limited | 100 | Ordinary |

EXHIBIT 8 CONTINUED

| Country of incorporation | Company name | % | Class of shares held |
|--------------------------|--|-----|----------------------|
| UK | Manchester Airport Storage and Hydrant Company Limited | 25 | Ordinary |
| UK | Meteor Lead Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Murphy Schiehallion Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Mytilus Insurance Company Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Octane Holdings Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Octane Properties Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Peterhead Carbon Capture and Storage Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Private Oil Holdings Oman Limited | 85 | Ordinary |
| UK | Sabah Shell Petroleum Company Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Saxon Oil Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Saxon Oil Miller Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Selap Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Aircraft Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Arabia Car Service Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Aviation Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Benin Upstream Ltd | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Business Development Middle East Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Caribbean Investments Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Chemical Company of Eastern Africa Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Chemicals (Hellas) Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Chemicals Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Chemicals Support Services Asia Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Chemicals U.K. Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Clair UK Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Club Corringham Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Company (Hellas), Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Company (Pacific Islands) Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Corporate Director Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Corporate Secretary Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Direct (U.K.) Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Distributor (Holdings) Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell East Europe Company Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Employee Benefits Trustee Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell EP Offshore Ventures Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Exploration and Production Oman Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Gas Holdings (Malaysia) Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Information Technology International Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell International Gas Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell International Holdings Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell International Investments Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell International Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell International Petroleum Company Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell International Trading and Shipping Company Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Malaysia Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Marine Products Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Overseas Services Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Pension Reserve Company (SIPF) Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Pension Reserve Company (SOCPF) Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Pension Reserve Company (UK) Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Pensions Trust Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Property Company Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Research Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Response Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Saudi Ventures Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Shared Service Centre – Glasgow Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Subsidiary Distributors Pension Trustee Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Supplementary Pension Plan Trustees Limited | 100 | Ordinary |

| Country of incorporation | Company name | % | Class of shares held |
|--------------------------|---|-----|----------------------|
| UK | Shell Tankers (U.K.) Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Thailand Manufacturing Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Treasury Euro Company Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Trustee Solutions Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell U.K. North Atlantic Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell U.K. Oil Products Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Upstream Overseas Services (I) Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Ventures New Zealand Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Ventures U.K. Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell Windenergy Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Shell/Mex and B.P.Limited | 60 | Ordinary |
| UK | Stansted Fuelling Company Limited | 14 | Ordinary |
| UK | STT (Das Beneficiary) Limited* | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Synthetic Chemicals (Northern) Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Telegraph Service Stations Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | The Anglo-Saxon Petroleum Company Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | The Asiatic Petroleum Company Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | The Consolidated Petroleum Company Limited | 50 | Ordinary |
| UK | The Consolidated Petroleum Supply Company Limited | 50 | Ordinary |
| UK | The Mexican Eagle Oil Company Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | The Shell Company (W.I.) Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | The Shell Company of Hong Kong Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | The Shell Company of India Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | The Shell Company of Nigeria Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | The Shell Company of Thailand Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | The Shell Company of The Philippines Limited | 75 | Ordinary |
| UK | The Shell Company of Turkey Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | The Shell Company of West Africa Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | The Shell Marketing Company of Borneo Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | Thermocomfort Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | UK Shell Pension Plan Trust Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| UK | United Kingdom Oil Pipelines Limited | 48 | Ordinary |
| UK | Walton-Gatwick Pipeline Company Limited | 52 | Ordinary |
| UK | West London Pipeline and Storage Limited | 38 | Ordinary |
| UK | Woodlea Limited | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Aera Energy LLC | 52 | Ordinary |
| USA | Aera Energy Services Company | 50 | Ordinary |
| USA | Amberjack Pipeline Company | 63 | Ordinary |
| USA | Atlantic 1 Holdings LLC | 20 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Atlantic 2/3 Holdings LLC | 25 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Atlantic 4 Holdings LLC | 22 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Au Energy, LLC | 50 | Equity (Voting) |
| USA | Bacanton Power LLC | 35 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Bengal Pipeline Company LLC | 58 | Equity (Voting) |
| USA | Brazos Wind Ventures, LLC | 50 | Ordinary |
| USA | Bully 1 (US) Corporation | 50 | Ordinary |
| USA | Colonial Pipeline Company | 16 | Equity (Voting) |
| USA | Colorado Wind Ventures, LLC | 50 | Equity (Voting) |
| USA | Concha Chemical Pipeline LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Cri Catalyst Company LP | 100 | Partnership Capital |
| USA | Cri Sales and Services Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Cri U.S. LP | 100 | Partnership Capital |
| USA | Cri Zeolites Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Cri/Criterion Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Criterion Catalyst Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Criterion Catalysts & Technologies L.P. | 100 | Equity (Voting) |
| USA | Deer Park Refining Limited Partnership | 50 | Membership Interest |

EXHIBIT 8 CONTINUED

| Country of incorporation | Company name | % | Class of shares held |
|--------------------------|---|-----|----------------------|
| USA | Explorer Pipeline Company | 36 | Ordinary |
| USA | Gaviota Terminal Company | 20 | Partnership Capital |
| USA | Gulf Coast Gil LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Infineum USA Inc. | 50 | Ordinary |
| USA | Infineum USA L.P. | 50 | Ordinary |
| USA | Jiffy Lube International, Inc | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | LOCAP LLC | 41 | Equity (Voting) |
| USA | Loop LLC | 46 | Equity (Voting) |
| USA | Maple Power Holdings LLC | 68 | Ordinary |
| USA | Mars Oil Pipeline Company | 72 | Partnership Capital |
| USA | Mattox Pipeline Company LLC | 79 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Mertvyi Kultuk LLC | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Motiva Company | 50 | Ordinary |
| USA | Motiva Enterprises LLC | 50 | Equity (Voting) |
| USA | Nedpower Mount Storm LLC | 50 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Noble Assurance Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Northern Pipeline Company | 55 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Odyssey Pipeline L.L.C. | 71 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Oryx Caspian Pipeline, L.L.C. | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Pacwest Energy, LLC. | 50 | Equity (Voting) |
| USA | Pecten Arabian Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Pecten Brazil Exploration Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Pecten Midstream LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Pecten Orient Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Pecten Orient Company LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Pecten Producing Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Pecten Trading Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Pecten Victoria Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Pecten Yemen Masila Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Pelican Transmission, LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Pennzoil-Quaker State Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Pennzoil-Quaker State International Corporation | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Pennzoil-Quaker State Nominee Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Peru LNG Company LLC | 20 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Poseidon Oil Pipeline Company, L.L.C. | 36 | Equity (Voting) |
| USA | Power Limited Partnership | 100 | Partnership Capital |
| USA | Quaker State Investment Corporation | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | RDK Ventures, LLC | 50 | Equity (Voting) |
| USA | Rilette Springs, LLC | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | RK Caspian Shipping Company, LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | S T Exchange, Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | San Pablo Bay Pipeline Company LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Shell (US) Gas & Power M&T Holdings, Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Broadwater Holdings LLC | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell California Pipeline Company LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Shell Catalysts Ventures Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Chemical Appalachia LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Shell Chemical Capital Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Chemicals Arabia LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Shell Communications Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Deepwater Royalties Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Downstream Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Energy Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Energy Holding GP LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Shell Energy Resources Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell EP Holdings Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Expatriate Employment US Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |

| Country of incorporation | Company name | % | Class of shares held |
|--------------------------|--|-----|----------------------|
| USA | Shell Exploration & Production Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Exploration Company Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Frontier Oil & Gas Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Gas Gathering Corp. #2 | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Global Solutions (US) Inc | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Gom Pipeline Company LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Shell Information Technology International Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell International Exploration and Production Inc | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Leasing Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Marine Products (US) Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Midstream LP Holdings LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Shell Midstream Operating LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Shell Midstream Partners GP LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Shell Midstream Partners, L.P. | 60 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Na Gas & Power Holding Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Na LNG LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Shell North America Gas & Power Services Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Offshore and Chemical Investments Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Offshore Response Company LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Shell Oil Products Company LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Shell Onshore Ventures Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Pipeline Company LP | 100 | Partnership Capital |
| USA | Shell Pipeline Gp LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Shell Rail Operations Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell RSC Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Technology Ventures LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Shell Trademark Management Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Trading North America Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Trading Risk Management, LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Shell Trading Services Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Transportation Holdings LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Shell Treasury Center (West) Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell US Clean Coal Energy, Inc | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell US Gas & Power LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Shell US Hosting Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Windenergy Inc | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Shell Windenergy Services Inc. | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Ship Shoal Pipeline Company | 43 | Partnership Capital |
| USA | Tejas Coral GP, LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Tejas Coral Holding, LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Tejas Power Generation, LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Texas Petroleum Group LLC | 50 | Equity (Voting) |
| USA | Texas-New Mexico Pipe Line Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | The Valley Camp Coal Company | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | Three Wind Holdings LLC | 50 | Ordinary |
| USA | Top Deer Wind Ventures LLC | 50 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Triton Diagnostics Inc | 100 | Ordinary |
| USA | True North Energy LLC | 50 | Equity (Voting) |
| USA | Ursa Oil Pipeline Company LLC | 45 | Membership Interest |
| USA | Zeolyst International | 50 | Equity (Voting) |
| USA | Zydeco Pipeline Company LLC | 100 | Membership Interest |
| Venezuela | Petroregional Del Lago, S.A. | 40 | Ordinary |
| Venezuela | Shell Venezuela Productos C.A. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Venezuela | Shell Venezuela S.A. | 100 | Ordinary |
| Venezuela | Sucre Gas S.A | 30 | Ordinary |
| Vietnam | Shell Vietnam Ltd | 100 | Equity (Voting) |
| Zimbabwe | Central African Petroleum Refineries (Private) Limited | 21 | Ordinary |

EXHIBIT 12.1

I, **Ben van Beurden**, certify that:

1. I have reviewed the Annual Report on Form 20-F of Royal Dutch Shell plc (the Company);
2. Based on my knowledge, the report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by the report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in the report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Company as of, and for, the periods presented in the report;
4. The Company's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the Company and have:
 - designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which the report is being prepared;
 - designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in the report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by the report based on such evaluation; and
 - disclosed in the report any change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by the annual report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.
5. The Company's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Company's auditors and the audit committee of the Company's Board of Directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Company's ability to record, process, summarise and report financial information; and
 - any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Ben van Beurden

Ben van Beurden
Chief Executive Officer
March 9, 2016

EXHIBIT 12.2

I, Simon Henry, certify that:

1. I have reviewed the Annual Report on Form 20-F of Royal Dutch Shell plc (the Company);
2. Based on my knowledge, the report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by the report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in the report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Company as of, and for, the periods presented in the report;
4. The Company's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the Company and have:
 - designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which the report is being prepared;
 - designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in the report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by the report based on such evaluation; and
 - disclosed in the report any change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by the annual report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.
5. The Company's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Company's auditors and the audit committee of the Company's Board of Directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Company's ability to record, process, summarise and report financial information; and
 - any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Simon Henry

Simon Henry
Chief Financial Officer
March 9, 2016

EXHIBIT 13.1

In connection with the Annual Report on Form 20-F of Royal Dutch Shell plc (the Company) for the year ended December 31, 2015, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the Report), each of the undersigned officers of the Company certify pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, to such officer's knowledge, that:

1. The Report fully complies, in all material respects, with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company as of, and for, the periods presented in the Report.

The foregoing certification is provided solely for purposes of complying with the provisions of Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and is not intended to be used or relied upon for any other purpose.

/s/ Ben van Beurden

Ben van Beurden
Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Simon Henry

Simon Henry
Chief Financial Officer
March 9, 2016

EXHIBIT 99.1

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statement on Form F-3 (No. 333-199736) and the Registration Statements on Form S-8 (No. 333-126715, 333-141397, 333-171206, 333-192821 and 333-200953) of Royal Dutch Shell plc of our report dated March 9, 2016, relating to the Consolidated Financial Statements and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, which appears in this Annual Report on Form 20-F.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

London

March 9, 2016

EXHIBIT 99.2

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statement on Form F-3 (No. 333-199736) and the Registration Statements on Form S-8 (No. 333-126715, 333-141397, 333-171206, 333-192821 and 333-200953) of the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust of our report dated March 9, 2016, relating to the Royal Dutch Shell Dividend Access Trust Financial Statements, and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, which appears in this Annual Report on Form 20-F.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers CI LLP

PricewaterhouseCoopers CI LLP

Jersey, Channel Islands

March 9, 2016

FINANCIAL CALENDAR IN 2016

The Annual General Meeting will be held on May 24, 2016.

| | 2015 Fourth quarter [A] | 2016 First quarter [B] | 2016 Second quarter [B] | 2016 Third quarter [B] |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Results announcements | February 4 | May 4 | July 28 | October 27 |
| Interim dividend timetable | | | | |
| Announcement date | February 4 [C] | May 4 | July 28 | October 27 |
| Ex-dividend date A and B ADSs [D] | February 17 | May 18 | August 10 | November 8 |
| Ex-dividend date A and B shares [D] | February 18 | May 19 | August 11 | November 10 |
| Record date | February 19 | May 20 | August 12 | November 11 |
| Scrip reference share price announcement date | February 25 | May 26 | August 18 | November 17 |
| Closing date for scrip election and currency election [E] | March 4 | June 6 | August 26 | November 25 |
| Euro and sterling equivalents announcement date | March 11 | June 13 | September 5 | December 2 |
| Payment date | March 29 | June 27 | September 19 | December 16 |

[A] In respect of the financial year ended December 31, 2015.

[B] In respect of the financial year ended December 31, 2016.

[C] The Directors do not propose to recommend any further distribution in respect of 2015.

[D] The London Stock Exchange and Euronext Amsterdam, with effect from October 6, 2014, reduced the standard settlement cycle in accordance with the Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on improving securities settlement in the European Union (EU) and on Central Securities Depositories (CSDs) and amending Directive 98/26/EC (the CSD Regulation). The CSD Regulation aims to harmonise EU securities settlement cycles towards a T + 2 cycle. As a result, the ex-dividend dates for A and B shares traded on these markets are one trading day later than A and B ADSs traded in the USA. Record dates are not affected.

[E] Both a different scrip and dividend currency election date may apply to shareholders holding shares in a securities account with a bank or financial institution ultimately through Euroclear Nederland. This may also apply to other shareholders who do not hold their shares either directly on the Register of Members or in the corporate sponsored nominee arrangement. Shareholders can contact their broker, financial intermediary, bank or financial institution for the election deadline that applies. A different scrip election date may also apply to registered and non-registered ADS holders. Registered ADS holders can contact The Bank of New York Mellon for the election deadline that applies. Non-registered ADS holders can contact their broker, financial intermediary, bank or financial institution for the election deadline that applies.

REGISTERED OFFICE

Royal Dutch Shell plc
Shell Centre
London SE1 7NA
United Kingdom

Registered in England and Wales
Company number 4366849
Registered with the Dutch Trade Register
under number 34179503

HEADQUARTERS

Royal Dutch Shell plc
Carel van Bylandtlaan 30
2596 HR The Hague
The Netherlands

SHAREHOLDER RELATIONS

Royal Dutch Shell plc
Carel van Bylandtlaan 30
2596 HR The Hague
The Netherlands
+31 (0)70 377 1365
+31 (0)70 377 4088
or
Royal Dutch Shell plc
Shell Centre
London SE1 7NA
United Kingdom
+44 (0)20 7934 3363

royaldutchshell.shareholders@shell.com
www.shell.com/shareholder

INVESTOR RELATIONS

Royal Dutch Shell plc
PO Box 162
2501 AN The Hague
The Netherlands
+31 (0)70 377 4540
or
Shell Oil Company
Investor Relations
150 N Dairy Ashford
Houston, TX 77079
USA
+1 832 337 2034

ir-europe@shell.com
ir-usa@shell.com
www.shell.com/investor

SHARE REGISTRATION

Equiniti
Aspect House
Spencer Road
Lancing
West Sussex BN99 6DA
United Kingdom
0800 169 1679 (UK)
+44 (0)121 415 7073

For online information about your holding
and to change the way you receive your
company documents:
www.shareview.co.uk

AMERICAN DEPOSITORY SHARES (ADSs)

BNY Mellon Shareowner Services
PO Box 30170
College Station, TX 77842-3170
USA

Overnight correspondence to:
BNY Mellon Shareowner Services
211 Quality Circle, Suite 210
College Station, TX 77845
USA
+1 888 737 2377 (USA)
+1 201 680 6825 (international)

shrrelations@cpushareownerservices.com
www.mybnymdr.com

REPORT ORDERING

order@shell.com

Annual Report/20-F service for US residents
+1 888 301 0504

All our reports are available at
<http://reports.shell.com>



- Comprehensive financial information on our activities throughout 2015
- Detailed operational information including maps
- Report on our progress in contributing to sustainable development

Download our apps at
www.shell.com/mobile_and_apps



- Company news
- Service-station locations

Check our latest news
[@Shell](https://twitter.com/Shell)



- Follow @Shell on Twitter
- www.facebook.com/shell