



CUTERA, INC.
2016 PROXY STATEMENT AND 2015 ANNUAL REPORT



Dear Stockholders:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Cutera, Inc. (the "Company"). The meeting will be held at our principal executive offices located at 3240 Bayshore Blvd., Brisbane, California 94005-1021 on June 15, 2016 at 10:00 a.m. Pacific Time.

The attached Notice of 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement contain details of the business to be conducted at the Annual Meeting. We have also made available a copy of our 2015 Annual Report to Stockholders with this proxy statement. We encourage you to read our Annual Report. It includes our audited financial statements and provides information about our business.

We have elected to provide access to our proxy materials over the internet under the Securities and Exchange Commission's "notice and access" rules. We are constantly focused on improving the ways people connect with information, and believe that providing our proxy materials over the internet increases the ability of our stockholders to connect with the information they need, while reducing the environmental impact of our Annual Meeting. If you need additional information about Cutera, please visit the Investor Relations section of the Company's website at www.cutera.com.

Whether or not you attend the Annual Meeting, it is important that your shares be represented and voted at the meeting. Therefore, I urge you to promptly vote and submit your proxy via the internet, by phone, or by signing, dating, and returning the proxy card provided to you. If you decide to attend the Annual Meeting, you will be able to vote in person, even if you have previously submitted your proxy.

On behalf of Cutera's Board of Directors and executive team, I would like to express our appreciation for your continued interest and confidence in our business.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Kevin Connors". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Kevin Connors,
President and Chief Executive Officer

This page intentionally left blank

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-11(c) or §240.14a-2

CUTERA

CUTERA, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.
- (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
- (5) Total fee paid:
- Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
- (1) Amount Previously Paid:
- (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
- (3) Filing Party:
- (4) Date Filed:
-

This page intentionally left blank

CUTERA

NOTICE OF 2016 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON JUNE 15, 2016

10:00 A.M. Pacific Time

To our Stockholders:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Cutera, Inc. (the “Company”). The meeting will be held at our principal executive offices located at 3240 Bayshore Blvd., Brisbane, California 94005-1021. The meeting will be held on June 15, 2016 at 10:00 a.m. Pacific Time, for the following purposes:

1. To elect three Class III directors to each serve for a three-year term that expires at the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and until their successors have been duly elected and qualified;
2. To ratify the selection of BDO USA, LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm of the Company (the “Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm”) for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2016;
3. To hold a non-binding vote on the compensation of our Named Executive Officers; and
4. To transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting, including any motion to adjourn to a later date to permit further solicitation of proxies, if necessary, or before any adjournment thereof.

The foregoing items of business are more fully described in the proxy statement accompanying this Notice of Annual Meeting.

To help conserve resources and reduce printing and distribution costs, we will be mailing a notice to our stockholders, instead of a paper copy of this proxy statement and our 2015 Annual Report, with instructions on how to access our proxy materials over the Internet, including this proxy statement, our 2015 Annual Report and a form of proxy card or voting instruction card. The notice will also contain instructions on how each of those stockholders can receive a paper copy of our proxy materials.

The meeting will begin promptly at 10:00 a.m., local time, and check-in will begin at 9:50 a.m. local time. Only holders of record of shares of our common stock (NASDAQ: CUTR) at the close of business on April 25, 2016 will be entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the meeting and any postponements or adjournments of the meeting.

For a period of at least 10 days prior to the meeting, a complete list of stockholders entitled to vote at the meeting will be available and open to the examination of any stockholder for any purpose relating to the Annual Meeting during normal business hours at our principal executive offices located at 3240 Bayshore Blvd., Brisbane, California 94005-1021.

By order of the Board of Directors,



Kevin P. Connors
President and Chief Executive Officer

Brisbane, California
April 29, 2016

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT!

REGARDLESS OF WHETHER YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE MEETING, PLEASE PROMPTLY VOTE BY TELEPHONE, OR IF AVAILABLE, ELECTRONICALLY, OR, IF YOU RECEIVED PER YOUR REQUEST A PAPER COPY OF OUR PROXY MATERIALS, COMPLETE, SIGN, DATE, AND RETURN THE ENCLOSED PROXY CARD IN THE ACCOMPANYING POSTAGE-PAID ENVELOPE. NO ADDITIONAL POSTAGE IS NECESSARY IF THE PROXY CARD IS MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES OR CANADA. YOU MAY REVOKE YOUR PROXY AT ANY TIME BEFORE IT IS VOTED AT THE MEETING.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS REGARDING THIS SOLICITATION AND VOTING AT THE ANNUAL MEETING	1
Why am I receiving these proxy materials?.....	1
Why did I receive a notice in the mail regarding the Internet availability of the proxy materials instead of a paper copy of the proxy materials?	1
What is the purpose of the Annual Meeting?	2
Who is entitled to attend the meeting?	2
Who is entitled to vote at the meeting?	2
How many shares must be present or represented to conduct business at the meeting (that is, what constitutes a quorum)?	2
What items of business will be voted on at the meeting?	2
How does the Board recommend that I vote?.....	3
What shares can I vote at the meeting?	3
What is the difference between holding shares as a stockholder of record and as a beneficial owner?	3
How can I vote my shares without attending the meeting?	3
How can I vote my shares in person at the meeting?.....	3
Can I change my vote?	3
Is my vote confidential?	4
What vote is required to approve each item and how are votes counted?	4
What is a “broker non-vote”?	5
How are “broker non-votes” counted?	5
How are abstentions counted?	5
What happens if additional matters are presented at the meeting?	5
Who will serve as inspector of election?	5
What should I do in the event that I receive more than one set of proxy/voting materials?	5
Who is soliciting my vote and who will bear the costs of this solicitation?	5
Where can I find the voting results of the meeting?	6
What is the deadline to propose actions for consideration at next year’s Annual Meeting of stockholders or to nominate individuals to serve as directors?.....	6
STOCK OWNERSHIP	7
Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	7
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance.....	8
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD MATTERS.....	8
Director Independence.....	8
Board Leadership Structure	8
Risk Oversight and Analysis	8
Committees of the Board.....	9
Meetings Attended by Directors.....	10
Director Nomination Process.....	10
Director Compensation.....	12
Code of Ethics	13
Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation	13
Family Relationships	13
Communications with the Board by Stockholders	13

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Stock Ownership Guidelines	13
REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE.....	15
PROPOSAL ONE—ELECTION OF DIRECTORS.....	16
Classes of the Board of Directors	16
Director Nominees.....	16
Board of Directors' Recommendation.....	17
Directors Whose Terms Extend Beyond the 2016 Annual Meeting.....	17
PROPOSAL TWO—RATIFICATION OF BDO USA, LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	19
Board of Directors' Recommendation.....	19
Audit and Non-Audit Services	19
PROPOSAL THREE— NON-BINDING ADVISORY VOTE ON THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	20
General	20
Summary of Our Executive Compensation Program	20
Board of Directors' Recommendation.....	22
NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	23
Compensation Discussion and Analysis.....	24
Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m) and Limitations on Executive Compensation.....	33
Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans	34
Summary Compensation Table	35
Grants of Plan-Based Awards.....	36
Equity Incentive Awards Outstanding.....	36
Options Exercised and Stock Vested.....	37
Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control	37
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT.....	40
RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS	41
Policies and Procedures for Related Party Transactions.....	41
OTHER MATTERS.....	42

CUTERA

PROXY STATEMENT FOR 2016 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON JUNE 15, 2016

The Board of Directors (“Board”) of Cutera, Inc., a Delaware corporation, is soliciting the enclosed proxy from you. The proxy will be used at our 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on Wednesday, June 15, 2016, beginning at 10:00 a.m., Pacific Time, which is the local time, at our principal executive offices located at 3240 Bayshore Blvd., Brisbane, California 94005-1021, and at any postponements or adjournments thereof. This proxy statement contains important information regarding the meeting. Specifically, it identifies the matters upon which you are being asked to vote, provides information that you may find useful in determining how to vote and describes the voting procedures.

In this proxy statement the terms “we”, “our”, “Cutera” and the “Company” each refer to Cutera, Inc.; the term “Board” means our Board of Directors; the term “proxy materials” means this proxy statement, the enclosed proxy card, and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) on March 15, 2016, and the term “Annual Meeting” means our 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

We are sending the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials on or about May 6, 2016, to all stockholders of record at the close of business on April 25, 2016 (the “Record Date”).

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS REGARDING THIS SOLICITATION AND VOTING AT THE ANNUAL MEETING

Why am I receiving these proxy materials? You are receiving these proxy materials from us because you were a stockholder of record at the close of business on the Record Date (which was April 25, 2016). As a stockholder of record, you are invited to attend the meeting and are entitled to and requested to vote on the items of business described in this proxy statement.

Why did I receive a notice in the mail regarding the Internet availability of the proxy materials instead of a paper copy of the proxy materials? Pursuant to SEC rules, we have elected to provide access to our proxy materials over the Internet. Accordingly, we are sending a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the “Notice”) to our stockholders.

All stockholders will have the ability to access the proxy materials on a website referred to in the Notice or request to receive a printed set of the proxy materials.

Instructions on how to access the proxy materials over the Internet or to request a printed copy may be found on the Notice.

In addition, stockholders may request to receive proxy materials in printed form by mail or electronically by email on an ongoing basis. Choosing to receive your future proxy materials by email will save us the cost of printing and mailing documents to you and will reduce the impact of our annual stockholders’ meetings on the environment. If you chose prior to the Record Date to receive future proxy materials by email, you should receive an email this year with instructions containing a link to those materials and a link to the proxy voting site. In connection with our upcoming Annual Meeting, if you choose to receive future proxy materials by email, you will receive an email next year with instructions containing a link to those materials and a link to the proxy voting site. Your election to receive proxy materials by email will remain in effect until you terminate it.

What is the purpose of the Annual Meeting?

At our meeting, stockholders of record will vote upon the items of business outlined in the notice of meeting (on the cover page of this proxy statement), each of which is described more fully in this proxy statement. In addition, management will report on the performance of the Company and respond to questions from stockholders.

Who is entitled to attend the meeting?

You are entitled to attend the meeting only if you owned our common stock (or were a joint holder) as of the Record Date or if you hold a valid proxy for the meeting. You should be prepared to present photo identification for admittance.

Please also note that if you are not a stockholder of record but hold shares in street name (that is, through a broker or nominee), you will need to provide proof of beneficial ownership as of the Record Date, such as your most recent brokerage account statement, a copy of the voting instruction card provided by your broker, trustee or nominee, or other similar evidence of ownership.

The meeting will begin promptly at 10:00 a.m., local time. Check-in will begin at 9:50 a.m., local time.

Who is entitled to vote at the meeting?

Only stockholders who owned our common stock at the close of business on the Record Date are entitled to notice of and to vote at the meeting, and at any postponements or adjournments thereof.

As of the Record Date, 13,080,920 shares of our common stock were outstanding. Each outstanding share of our common stock entitles the holder to one vote on each matter considered at the meeting. Accordingly, there are a maximum of 13,080,920 votes that may be cast at the meeting.

How many shares must be present or represented to conduct business at the meeting (that is, what constitutes a quorum)?

The presence at the meeting, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the shares of our common stock entitled to vote at the meeting will constitute a quorum. A quorum is required to conduct business at the meeting. The presence of the holders of our common stock representing at least 6,540,461 votes will be required to establish a quorum at the meeting. Both abstentions and broker non-votes are counted for the purpose of determining the presence of a quorum.

What items of business will be voted on at the meeting?

The items of business scheduled to be voted on at the meeting are as follows:

1. The election of three nominees to serve as Class III directors on our Board;
2. The ratification of BDO USA, LLP (“BDO”) as the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the 2016 fiscal year;
3. To hold a non-binding advisory vote on the compensation of our Named Executive Officers; and
4. To transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting, including any motion to adjourn to a later date to permit further solicitation of proxies, if necessary, or before any adjournment thereof. These proposals are described more fully below in this proxy statement. As of the date of this proxy statement, the only business that our Board intends to present or knows of that others will present at the meeting is as set forth in this proxy statement. If any other matter or matters are properly brought before the meeting, it is the intention of the persons who hold proxies to vote the shares they represent in accordance with their best judgment.

How does the Board recommend that I vote?

Our Board recommends that you vote your shares “FOR” each of the director nominees, “FOR” the ratification of BDO as the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the 2016 fiscal year, and “FOR” the approval of the non-binding advisory vote on the compensation of our Named Executive Officers.

What shares can I vote at the meeting?

You may vote all shares owned by you as of the Record Date, including (1) shares held directly in your name as the *stockholder of record*, and (2) shares held for you as the *beneficial owner* through a broker, trustee or other nominee such as a bank.

What is the difference between holding shares as a stockholder of record and as a beneficial owner?

Most of our stockholders hold their shares through a broker or other nominee rather than directly in their own name. As summarized below, there are some distinctions between shares held of record and those owned beneficially.

Stockholders of Record. If your shares are registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, Computershare Trust Company, Inc., you are considered, with respect to those shares, the *stockholder of record*, and these proxy materials are being sent directly to you by us. As the *stockholder of record*, you have the right to grant your voting proxy directly to Cutera or to vote in person at the meeting. We have enclosed a proxy card for your use.

Beneficial Owner. If your shares are held in a brokerage account or by another nominee, you are considered the *beneficial owner* of shares held in street name, and these proxy materials are being forwarded to you together with a voting instruction card. As the beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker, trustee or nominee how to vote and are also invited to attend the meeting. Please note that since a beneficial owner is not the *stockholder of record*, you may not vote these shares in person at the meeting unless you obtain a “legal proxy” from the broker, trustee or nominee that holds your shares, giving you the right to vote the shares at the meeting. Your broker, trustee or nominee has enclosed or provided voting instructions for you to use in directing the broker, trustee or nominee how to vote your shares.

How can I vote my shares without attending the meeting?

Whether you hold shares directly as the stockholder of record or beneficially in street name, you may direct how your shares are voted without attending the meeting. Stockholders of record of our common stock may submit proxies by completing, signing and dating their proxy cards and mailing them in the accompanying pre-addressed envelope. Our stockholders who hold shares beneficially in street name may vote by mail by completing, signing and dating the voting instruction cards provided by the broker, trustee or nominee and mailing them in the accompanying pre-addressed envelope.

How can I vote my shares in person at the meeting?

Shares held in your name as the stockholder of record may be voted in person at the meeting. Shares held beneficially in street name may be voted in person only if you obtain a legal proxy from the broker, trustee or nominee that holds your shares giving you the right to vote the shares. Even if you plan to attend the meeting, we recommend that you also submit your proxy card or voting instructions as described above so that your vote will be counted if you later decide not to, or are unable to, attend the meeting.

Can I change my vote?

You may change your vote at any time prior to the vote at the meeting. If you are the stockholder of record, you may change your vote by granting a new proxy bearing a later date (which automatically revokes the earlier proxy), by providing a written notice of revocation to our Secretary prior to your shares being voted, or by attending the meeting and voting in person. Attendance at the meeting will not cause your previously granted proxy to be revoked unless you specifically so request.

For shares you hold beneficially in street name, you may change your vote by submitting new voting instructions to your broker, trustee or nominee, or, if you have obtained a legal proxy from your broker, trustee or nominee giving you the right to vote your shares, by attending the meeting and voting in person.

Is my vote confidential?

Proxy instructions, ballots and voting tabulations that identify individual stockholders are handled in a manner that protects your voting privacy. Your vote will not be disclosed either within Cutera or to third parties, except: (1) as necessary to meet applicable legal requirements, (2) to allow for the tabulation of votes and certification of the vote, and (3) to facilitate a successful proxy solicitation. Occasionally, stockholders provide written comments on their proxy card, which are then forwarded to our management.

What vote is required to approve each item and how are votes counted?

The vote required to approve each item of business and the method for counting votes is set forth below:

Election of Directors. The three director nominees receiving the highest number of affirmative “FOR” votes at the meeting (a plurality of votes cast) will be elected to serve as Class III directors. You may vote either “FOR” or “WITHHOLD” your vote for the director nominees. A properly executed proxy marked “WITHHOLD” with respect to the election of one or more directors will not be voted with respect to the director or directors indicated, although it will be counted for purposes of determining whether there is a quorum.

Ratification of BDO as our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. For the ratification of BDO as our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, the affirmative “FOR” vote of a majority of the shares represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote on the item will be required for approval. You may vote “FOR,” “AGAINST” or “ABSTAIN” for this item of business. If you “ABSTAIN,” your abstention has the same effect as a vote “AGAINST.”

Non-binding Advisory Vote on the Compensation of our Named Executive Officers. For the non-binding vote on executive compensation, the affirmative “FOR” vote of a majority of the shares represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote on the item will be required for approval. You may vote “FOR,” “AGAINST” or “ABSTAIN” for this item of business. If you “ABSTAIN,” your abstention has the same effect as a vote “AGAINST.”

If you provide specific instructions with regard to certain items, your shares will be voted as you instruct on such items. If you sign your proxy card or voting instruction card without giving specific instructions, your shares will be voted in accordance with the recommendations of the Board (“FOR” all of the Company’s nominees to the Board, “FOR” ratification of BDO as our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, “FOR” the approval, by non-binding vote, of executive compensation, and in the discretion of the proxy holders on any other matters that may properly come before the meeting).

- What is a “broker non-vote”?*** A “broker non-vote” occurs when a broker expressly instructs on a proxy card that it is not voting on a matter, whether routine or non-routine. Under the rules that govern brokers who have record ownership of shares that are held in street name for their clients who are the beneficial owners of the shares, brokers have the discretion to vote such shares on routine matters, which includes ratifying the appointment of an independent registered public accounting firm but does not include the election of directors, and the non-binding vote on executive compensation. Therefore, if you do not otherwise instruct your broker, the broker may turn in a proxy card voting your shares “FOR” ratification of BDO as the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
- However, if you do not instruct your broker how to vote with respect to the election of directors and the non-binding vote on executive compensation, your broker may not vote with respect to such proposal and your shares will not be counted as voting in favor of these matters.
- How are “broker non-votes” counted?*** Broker non-votes will be counted for the purpose of determining the presence or absence of a quorum for the transaction of business, but they will not be counted in tabulating the voting result for any particular proposal.
- How are abstentions counted?*** If you return a proxy card that indicates an abstention from voting on all matters, the shares represented will be counted for the purpose of determining both the presence of a quorum and the total number of votes cast with respect to a proposal (other than the election of directors), but they will not be voted on any matter at the meeting. In the absence of controlling precedent to the contrary, we intend to treat abstentions in this manner. Accordingly, abstentions will have the same effect as a vote “*AGAINST*” a proposal.
- What happens if additional matters are presented at the meeting?*** Other than the four proposals described in this proxy statement, we are not aware of any other business to be acted upon at the meeting. If you grant a proxy, the persons named as proxy holders, Kevin P. Connors (President and Chief Executive Officer) and Ronald J. Santilli (our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer), will have the discretion to vote your shares on any additional matters that may be properly presented for a vote at the meeting. If, for any unforeseen reason, any of our nominees is not available as a candidate for director, the persons named as proxy holders will vote your proxy for such other candidate or candidates as may be nominated by our Board.
- Who will serve as inspector of election?*** We expect a representative of Computershare Trust Company, Inc., our transfer agent, to tabulate the votes, and expect Rajesh Madan, our Vice President of Finance and Legal to act as inspector of election at the meeting.
- What should I do in the event that I receive more than one set of proxy/voting materials?*** You may receive more than one set of these proxy solicitation materials, including multiple copies of this proxy statement and multiple proxy cards or voting instruction cards. For example, if you hold your shares in more than one brokerage account, you may receive a separate voting instruction card for each brokerage account in which you hold shares. In addition, if you are a stockholder of record and your shares are registered in more than one name, you may receive more than one proxy card. Please complete, sign, date and return each Cutera proxy card and voting instruction card that you receive to ensure that all your shares are voted.
- Who is soliciting my vote and who will bear the costs of this solicitation?*** Your vote is being solicited on behalf of the Board, and the Company will bear the entire cost of solicitation of proxies, including preparation, assembly, printing and mailing of this proxy statement. In addition to these mailed proxy materials, our directors and employees may also solicit proxies in person, by telephone, by electronic mail or by other means of communication. Directors and employees will not be paid any additional compensation for soliciting proxies. We may reimburse brokerage firms, banks and other agents for the cost of forwarding proxy materials to beneficial owners. We may also engage the services of a professional proxy solicitation firm to aid in the solicitation of proxies from certain brokers, bank nominees and other institutional owners. Our costs for such services, if retained, will not be material.

Where can I find the voting results of the meeting?

We intend to announce preliminary voting results at the Annual Meeting and file a Form 8-K with the SEC within four business days after the end of our Annual Meeting to report the voting results.

What is the deadline to propose actions for consideration at next year's Annual Meeting of stockholders or to nominate individuals to serve as directors?

As a stockholder, you may be entitled to present proposals for action at a future meeting of stockholders, including director nominations.

Stockholder Proposals: For a stockholder proposal to be considered for inclusion in our proxy statement for the Annual Meeting to be held in 2017, the written proposal must be received by our corporate Secretary at our principal executive offices no later than January 5, 2017, which is the date 120 calendar days before the anniversary of the mailing date of the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. If the date of next year's Annual Meeting is moved more than 30 days before or after the anniversary date of this year's Annual Meeting, the deadline for inclusion of proposals in our proxy statement is instead a reasonable time before we begin to print and mail its proxy materials. Such proposals also must comply with the requirements of Rule 14a-8 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), and any other applicable rules established by the SEC. Stockholders interested in submitting such a proposal are advised to contact knowledgeable legal counsel with regard to the detailed requirements of applicable securities laws. Proposals should be addressed to:

Secretary
Cutera, Inc.
3240 Bayshore Blvd.
Brisbane, California 94005-1021

Nomination of Director Candidates: You may propose director candidates for consideration by our Board. Any such recommendations should include the nominee's name and qualifications for Board membership and should be directed to the "Secretary" at the address of our principal executive offices set forth above. In addition, our bylaws permit stockholders to nominate directors for election at an Annual Meeting of stockholders. To nominate a director, the stockholder must provide the information required by our bylaws, as well as a statement by the nominee consenting to being named as a nominee and to serve as a director if elected. In addition, the stockholder must give timely notice to our corporate Secretary in accordance with the provisions of our bylaws, which require that the notice be received by our corporate Secretary no later than January 5, 2017.

Copy of Bylaw Provisions: Our bylaws are available on the Investor page of our website at www.cutera.com. You may also contact our corporate Secretary at our principal executive offices for a copy of the relevant bylaw provisions regarding the requirements for making stockholder proposals and nominating director candidates.

STOCK OWNERSHIP

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The following table provides information relating to the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of the Record Date, by:

- each stockholder known by us to own beneficially more than 5% of our common stock;
- each of our executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table on page 35 (including our Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”) and our Chief Financial Officer (“CFO”));
- each of our directors; and
- all of our directors and Named Executive Officers (“NEOs”) as a group.

The number of shares beneficially owned by each entity, person, director or executive officer is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC, and the information is not necessarily indicative of beneficial ownership for any other purpose. Under such rules, beneficial ownership includes any shares over which the individual has the sole or shared voting power or investment power and any shares that the individual has the right to acquire within 60 days of April 25, 2016 (the Record Date) through the exercise of any stock option or other right. The number and percentage of shares beneficially owned is computed on the basis of 13,080,920 shares of our common stock outstanding as of the Record Date. The information in the following table regarding the beneficial owners of more than 5% of our common stock is based upon information supplied by principal stockholders or Schedules 13D and 13G filed with the SEC.

Shares of our common stock that a person has the right to acquire within 60 days of the Record Date are deemed outstanding for purposes of computing the percentage ownership of the person holding such rights, but are not deemed outstanding for purposes of computing the percentage ownership of any other person, except with respect to the percentage ownership of all directors and executive officers as a group. To our knowledge, except as set forth in the footnotes to this table and subject to applicable community property laws, each person or entity named in the table has sole voting and disposition power with respect to the shares set forth opposite such person’s or entity’s name. The address for those persons for which an address is not otherwise provided is c/o Cutera, Inc., 3240 Bayshore Blvd., Brisbane, California 94005-1021.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares Outstanding	Warrants and Options Exercisable Within 60 Days	Approximate Percent Owned
Dimensional Fund Advisors LP	1,052,533	—	8.0%
Renaissance Technologies, LLC	993,831	—	7.6%
BlackRock, Inc.	923,456	—	7.1%
Voce Capital Management, LLC.....	675,986	—	5.2%
David B. Apfelberg	12,327	14,204	*
Gregory Barrett	22,050	18,204	*
Kevin P. Connors	549,595	553,889	8.1%
David A. Gollnick	112,892	4,204	*
Timothy J. O’Shea	31,954	14,204	*
J. Daniel Plants.....	— ⁽¹⁾	4,667	*(1)
Clint H. Severson	4,000	4,667	*
Ronald J. Santilli	47,493	168,350	1.6%
Jerry P. Widman	31,154	14,204	*
All directors and Named Executive Officers as a group (9 persons).....	811,465	796,593	11.6%

*Less than 1%.

(1) Mr. Plants is the Managing Partner of Voce Capital Management LLC, the holder of 675,986 shares (approximately 5.2%) of our outstanding common stock as of the Record Date. While Mr. Plants has disclaimed beneficial ownership of the shares owned by Voce Capital Management LLC, except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein, he has the sole or shared voting power of these shares.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our directors, officers and beneficial owners of more than 10% of our common stock to file reports of ownership and reports of changes in the ownership with the SEC. Such persons are required by SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

Based solely on our review of the copies of such forms received by us, or written representations from reporting persons that no Forms 3, 4 or 5 were required of such persons, we believe that during our fiscal year ended December 31, 2015 all reports were timely filed.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD MATTERS

Director Independence

Our Board currently consists of eight authorized directors. The Company's directors are David B. Apfelberg, Gregory Barrett, Kevin P. Connors, David A. Gollnick, Timothy J. O'Shea, J. Daniel Plants, Clint H. Severson, and Jerry P. Widman. Our Board has determined that each of the directors other than Kevin P. Connors, the Company's President and CEO, and David A. Gollnick, the Company's former Vice President of North American Sales and former Executive Vice President of Research and Development, satisfy the current "independent director" standards established by rules of The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC ("NASDAQ").

Board Leadership Structure

Our Board does not have a chairman but David B. Apfelberg is the Board-designated lead independent director. We believe Dr. Apfelberg's technical qualifications as a physician and Adjunct Clinical Professor of Plastic Surgery at the Stanford University Medical Center, understanding of our products, tenure with the Company and his knowledge of the aesthetics market make him suitable for this lead independent director position. Our CEO, Mr. Connors, performs many of the functions that a chairman would typically perform and works together with Dr. Apfelberg in setting the agenda for each board meeting and presiding over such meetings. At the end of each board meeting, the independent directors meet without Mr. Connors and Mr. Gollnick present. Following each meeting, Dr. Apfelberg provides feedback to Mr. Connors on his performance and the performance of other Cutera employees during the meeting and frequently recommends new agenda items for the next meeting.

As described in more detail below, the Board has four standing committees, an Audit Committee, a Compensation Committee, a Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and a Strategic Transactions Committee. The chairman and each member of these committees is an independent director. The Board delegates substantial duties and responsibilities to each committee. The committees make recommendations to the Board and report regularly to the Board on their activities and any actions they have taken. We believe that our independent board committees and their chairman are an important aspect of our board leadership structure.

Risk Oversight and Analysis

Our management is responsible for managing the risks we face in the ordinary course of operating our business. The Board oversees potential risks and our risk management activities by receiving operational and strategic presentations from management which include discussions of key risks to our business. While our Board has the ultimate responsibility for risk management and oversight, various committees of the Board also support the Board in its fulfillment of this responsibility. For example, our Audit Committee assists the Board in its risk oversight function by reviewing and discussing with management our system of disclosure controls and our internal controls over financial reporting risks associated with our cash investment policies, risks related to regulatory matters, and evaluating and advising on other matters. Our business is run conservatively and excessive risk taking has been discouraged. As a result, risk analysis has not been a significant factor for our Compensation Committee in establishing compensation. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities with respect to the management of risks associated with Board organization, membership and structure. The Strategic Transactions Committee evaluates from time-to-time, business development opportunities, as well as any risks and benefits associated with acquiring potential targets, and reports back to the full Board with their recommendations.

Committees of the Board

Our Board has four standing committees: the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Strategic Transactions Committee. From time to time, our Board may also create various ad hoc committees for special purposes. The membership during the last fiscal year, and the function of each of the committees, are described below.

Name of Director	Audit Committee	Compensation Committee	Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee	Strategic Transactions Committee
Non-Employee Directors:				
David B. Apfelberg		X	X	
Gregory Barrett		X*	X	
David A. Gollnick				
Timothy J. O’Shea	X		X*	X
J. Daniel Plants			X	X*
Clint H. Severson	X		X	
Jerry P. Widman	X*	X	X ⁽¹⁾	
Employee Director:				
Kevin P. Connors				
Number of Meetings Held During the Last Fiscal Year.....	6	6	—	— ⁽²⁾

X = Committee member

** = Chairman of Committee*

(1)= Clint H. Severson became a member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee effective April 22, 2016.

(2)= While there were no formal meetings of the Strategic Transactions Committee in 2015, there were various discussions at the full Board level relating to the evaluation of strategic transactions.

Audit Committee. The Audit Committee oversees the Company’s accounting and financial reporting processes and the audits of its financial statements. The committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board and a copy of the charter can be found on the Investor page, under the Corporate Governance section of our website at www.cutera.com. In this role, the Audit Committee monitors and oversees the integrity of the Company’s financial statements and related disclosures, the qualifications, independence, and performance of the Company’s Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, and the Company’s compliance with applicable legal requirements and its business conduct policies. Our Board has determined that each member of the Audit Committee meets the independence and financial literacy requirements of the NASDAQ rules and the independence requirements of the SEC. Our Board has determined that Jerry P. Widman continues to qualify as an “audit committee financial expert,” as defined in SEC rules. The report of the Audit Committee appears on page 15 of this proxy statement.

Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee, together with our Board, establishes compensation for our CEO and the other executive officers and administers the Company's 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (as amended) and 2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. The Compensation Committee has a written charter, which was adopted by our Board, and can be found on the Investor page, under the Corporate Governance section of our website at www.cutera.com.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee reviews and makes recommendations to the Board on matters concerning corporate governance, Board composition, identification, evaluation and nomination of director candidates, Board committees, Board compensation, and conflicts of interest. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has a written charter, which was adopted by our Board and can be found on the Investor page, under the Corporate Governance section of our website at www.cutera.com.

Strategic Transactions Committee. The Strategic Transactions Committee reviews and evaluates any potential strategic business combination transactions as the possibilities arise and other related or pertinent strategic alternatives for the Company (which may include, but are not limited to, a merger, other business combination, recapitalization, acquisition, spin-off, split-off, acquisition of a subsidiary, division or unit, or other similar transaction).

Meetings Attended by Directors

During 2015, the Board held five meetings, the Audit Committee held six meetings, the Compensation Committee held six meetings, the Strategic Transactions Committee held no meetings, and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee held no meetings. Each of the directors attended at least 80% of the meetings of the Board or committee(s) on which he served during 2015.

The directors of the Company are encouraged to attend the Company's Annual Meeting of Stockholders. In 2015, directors Mr. Connors and Mr. Plants attended the meeting in person; and the remaining six directors attended the meeting telephonically.

Director Nomination Process

Director Qualifications. While the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has not established specific minimum qualifications for director candidates and does not maintain a specific policy with respect to Board diversity, the candidates for Board membership should have the highest professional and personal ethics and values, and conduct themselves consistent with our Code of Ethics. While the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has not formalized specific minimum qualifications they believe must be met by a candidate to be recommended by the independent members, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee believes that candidates and nominees must reflect a Board that is comprised of directors who (i) have broad and relevant experience, (ii) are predominantly independent, (iii) are of high integrity, (iv) have qualifications that will increase overall Board effectiveness and enhance long-term stockholder value, and (v) meet other requirements as may be required by applicable rules, such as financial literacy or financial expertise with respect to Audit Committee members.

Stockholder Nominations and Recommendations. As described above in the Question and Answer section of this proxy statement under “What is the deadline to propose actions for consideration at next year’s Annual Meeting of Stockholders or to nominate individuals to serve as directors?,” our bylaws set forth the procedure for the proper submission of stockholder nominations for membership on our Board. In addition, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may consider properly submitted stockholder recommendations (as opposed to formal nominations) for candidates for membership on the Board. A stockholder may make such a recommendation by submitting the following information to our Secretary at 3240 Bayshore Blvd., Brisbane, California 94005-1021 no later than January 5, 2017:

- the candidate’s name;
- home and business contact information;
- detailed biographical data, relevant qualifications, professional and personal references;
- information regarding any relationships between the candidate and Cutera within the last three years; and
- evidence of ownership of Cutera stock by the recommending stockholder.

Identifying and Evaluating Director Nominees. Typically new candidates for nomination to the Board are suggested by existing directors or by our executive officers, although candidates may initially come to our attention through professional search firms, stockholders or other persons. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee carefully reviews the qualifications of any candidates who have been properly brought to its attention. Such a review may, in the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee’s discretion, include a review solely of information provided to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee or may also include discussion with persons familiar with the candidate, an interview with the candidate or other actions that the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee deems proper. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee shall consider the suitability of each candidate, including the current members of the Board, in light of the current size and composition of the Board. In evaluating the qualifications of the candidates, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers many factors, including, issues of character, judgment, independence, expertise, length of service, and other commitments. In addition, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee takes into account diversity in professional experience, skills and background in considering and evaluating candidates. However, while diversity relating to background, skill, experience and perspective is one factor considered in the nomination process, the Company does not have a formal policy relating to diversity. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee evaluates such factors, among others, and does not assign any particular weighting or priority to any of these factors. Candidates properly recommended by stockholders are evaluated by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee using the same criteria as other candidates. Candidates are not discriminated against on the basis of race, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, disability or any other basis proscribed by law.

Director Nominees at our 2016 Annual Meeting. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee recommended the director nominees for nomination to our Board.

Director Compensation

The following table sets forth a summary of the cash compensation paid and the grant date fair value of stock options and stock awards of Cutera common stock, awarded to our non-employee directors in the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015.

2015 Director Compensation Table

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash ⁽¹⁾	Stock Awards ⁽²⁾	Option Awards ⁽³⁾	All Other Compensation ⁽⁴⁾	Total
David B. Apfelberg	\$ 51,000	\$ 60,000 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 111,000
Gregory Barrett	65,000	60,000 ⁽⁶⁾	—	—	125,000
David A. Gollnick	45,000	60,000 ⁽⁷⁾	—	45,240 ⁽⁷⁾	150,240
Timothy J. O'Shea	62,500	60,000 ⁽⁸⁾	—	—	122,500
J. Daniel Plants	50,000	—	85,680	—	135,680
Clint H. Severson	52,500	—	92,260	—	144,760
Jerry P. Widman	71,000	60,000 ⁽⁹⁾	—	—	131,000

(1) The amounts reported in this column were earned in connection with serving on our Board and its committees, or as committee Chairman retainers, each as described below.

(2) The amounts reported in this column represent the aggregate grant date fair value of shares of Cutera common stock which vest over a one-year period, awarded during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015 calculated in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 718.

(3) The amounts reported in this column represent the aggregate grant date fair value of equity awards which vest over a three-year period, awarded during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015 calculated in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 718.

(4) The amounts reported in this column were earned for services provided for other than serving on our Board or its committees, each as described below.

(5) At December 31, 2015, Dr. Apfelberg held options to purchase 10,000 shares of Cutera common stock.

(6) At December 31, 2015, Mr. Barrett held options to purchase 14,000 shares of Cutera common stock.

(7) Mr. Gollnick was paid \$45,240 for consulting services provided to the Company in 2015.

(8) At December 31, 2015, Mr. O'Shea held options to purchase 10,000 shares of Cutera common stock.

(9) At December 31, 2015, Mr. Widman held options to purchase 10,000 shares of Cutera common stock.

For 2015, our non-employee directors earned an annual retainer of \$45,000 for regular Board meetings; \$6,000 for Compensation Committee meetings (for members other than the Chairman); \$7,500 for Audit Committee meetings (for members other than the Chairman); and \$5,000 for Strategic Transactions Committee meetings. Our non-employee directors did not earn an annual retainer for Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee meetings (for members other than the Chairman). The Chairman of the Audit Committee and the Compensation Committee each earned an annual retainer of \$20,000 for their services on the respective committees. The Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee earned an annual retainer of \$5,000 for his services. Our non-employee directors no longer receive meeting fees for Board and committee meetings regardless of the number of meetings held throughout the year.

Our 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (as amended) provides for the automatic grant of options to purchase shares of Cutera common stock to our non-employee directors. Each non-employee director who is appointed to the Board will receive an initial option to purchase 14,000 shares of Cutera common stock upon such appointment. Each of these stock options will have an exercise price equal to fair market value of Cutera common stock on the date of grant and a term of seven years and will become exercisable as to one-third of the shares subject to the option on each anniversary of its date of grant, provided the non-employee director remains a director on such dates. In addition, each non-employee director, who is a director on the date of each Annual Meeting of Stockholders and has been a director for at least the preceding six months, will receive an award of shares represented by the quotient of \$60,000 divided by the closing market price of Cutera common stock on the date of such Annual Meeting. These shares vest on the one-year anniversary of the grant date.

Code of Ethics

We are committed to maintaining the highest standards of business conduct and ethics. Our Code of Ethics, as amended, (the “Code”) reflects our values and the business practices and principles of behavior that support this commitment. The Code is intended to satisfy SEC rules for a “code of ethics” required by Section 406 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as well as the NASDAQ listing standards requirement for a “code of conduct.” The Code is available on the Company’s website at www.cutera.com. We will post any amendment to the Code, as well as any waivers that are required to be disclosed by the rules of the SEC or NASDAQ, on our website.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The Compensation Committee consists of the following members: David Apfelberg, Gregory Barrett and Jerry Widman. Neither any member of the Compensation Committee, nor any of our NEOs, has a relationship that would constitute an interlocking relationship with executive officers or directors of another entity. No Compensation Committee member is an officer or employee of Cutera.

Family Relationships

There are no family relationships among any of our directors or executive officers.

Communications with the Board by Stockholders

Stockholders wishing to communicate with the Board or with an individual Board member concerning the Company may do so by writing to the Board or to the particular Board member, and mailing the correspondence to: Attention: Board, c/o Secretary, Cutera, Inc., 3240 Bayshore Blvd., Brisbane, California 94005-1021. The envelope should indicate that it contains a stockholder communication. All such stockholder communications will be forwarded to the director or directors to whom the communications are addressed.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

To enhance our overall corporate governance practices and director compensation program, our Board adopted stock ownership guidelines for our non-employee directors, which the Compensation Committee intends to review annually. These guidelines are designed to align our non-employee directors’ interests with our stockholders’ long-term interests by promoting long-term ownership of Cutera common stock. These guidelines provide that, within five years of the later of the adoption of the guidelines or his or her first date of election to our Board, our non-employee directors must hold shares of Cutera common stock having a value not less than three times the value of their annual retainer for general Board service.

As of April 25, 2016, the non-employee directors' holdings and target guidelines were as follows:

Non-Employee Directors	Stock Ownership as of April 25, 2016	Minimum Stock Ownership Required
David B. Apfelberg	12,327	11,519 ⁽¹⁾
Gregory Barrett	22,050	11,519 ⁽¹⁾
David A. Gollnick	112,892	11,519 ⁽¹⁾
Timothy J. O'Shea	31,954	11,519 ⁽¹⁾
J. Daniel Plants.....	—	11,519 ⁽²⁾
Clint H. Severson	4,000	11,519 ⁽³⁾
Jerry P. Widman	31,154	11,519 ⁽¹⁾

(1) Based on the closing stock price of \$11.72 on April 25, 2016, each of these non-employee directors already held shares that exceed the minimum stock ownership required.

(2) By January 6, 2020, based on the closing stock price of \$11.72 on April 25, 2016.

(3) By January 3, 2020, based on the closing stock price of \$11.72 on April 25, 2016.

On January 6, 2015, we entered into an agreement with Voce Capital Management LLC and Mr. Plants (the "Voce Agreement"), which was filed with the SEC on January 8, 2015. The Voce Agreement states the terms and understandings concerning the nomination and election of Mr. Plants to our Board of Directors and other matters. Further, it was agreed that if, at any time Voce's ownership in our common stock (subject to adjustment for stock splits, reclassifications, combinations and similar adjustments) falls below 140,000 shares, then Mr. Plants will immediately resign from our Board.

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

In accordance with its written charter, the Audit Committee of the Board is responsible for assisting the Board to fulfill its oversight of the integrity of the Company's financial statements and internal controls, the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, the independent auditors' qualifications and independence, and the performance of the Company's internal audit function and independent auditors. It is the responsibility of the Company's management to prepare the Company's financial statements, develop and maintain adequate systems of internal accounting and financial controls, facilitating the internal audit intended to evaluate the adequacy and effectiveness of the Company's financial and operating internal control systems.

BDO USA, LLP ("BDO"), the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for 2015 (the independent auditors), was responsible for performing independent audits of the Company's consolidated financial statements and internal control over financial reporting and issuing an opinion on the conformity of those audited financial statements with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America ("GAAP") and on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. The independent auditors also review the Company's interim financial statements in accordance with applicable auditing standards.

In evaluating the independence of BDO, the Audit Committee has (i) received the written disclosures and the letter from BDO required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("PCAOB") regarding the audit firm's communications with the committee concerning independence, and (ii) discussed with BDO the firm's independence from the Company and management. The committee has concluded that BDO was independent from the Company and its management. The committee has reviewed with the independent auditors and the Company's internal auditors the overall scope and specific plans for their respective audits, and the committee regularly monitored the progress of both in assessing the Company's compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, including their findings, required resources and progress.

In 2015, the Audit Committee held six meetings. At every regular meeting, the Committee reviews the results of the independent auditor's examinations, their evaluations of the Company's internal controls, and the overall quality of the Company's accounting and financial reporting. Following the regular meeting, the committee meets separately with the independent auditors, without management present, and also meets separately with the Company's management. In addition, from time-to-time the Audit Committee met with the independent internal audit firm.

The committee has met and discussed with management and the independent auditors the fair and complete presentation of the Company's financial statements. The committee has also discussed and reviewed with the independent auditors all communications required, including those described in Auditing Standards No. 16, "Communications with Audit Committees," as adopted by the PCAOB. The committee has discussed significant accounting policies applied in the financial statements as well as alternative treatments. Management has represented that the consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with GAAP and the committee has reviewed and discussed the audited consolidated financial statements with both management and the independent auditors.

Relying on the foregoing reviews and discussions, the committee recommended to the Board, and the Board approved, inclusion of the audited consolidated financial statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The foregoing report is provided by the undersigned members of the Audit Committee.

Timothy J. O'Shea
Clint H. Severson
Jerry P. Widman

(1) *The material in this report is not deemed soliciting material or filed with the SEC and is not to be incorporated by reference in any filing of the Company under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, whether made before or after the date of this Proxy Statement and irrespective of any general incorporation language in those filings.*

PROPOSAL ONE—ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Classes of the Board of Directors

Our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation provides that our Board shall be divided into three classes designated as Class I, Class II and Class III, respectively, with the classes of directors serving for staggered three-year terms. Our Board currently consists of eight directors, divided among the three classes as follows:

- three Class I directors, Kevin P. Connors, David A. Gollnick and Clint H. Severson, whose terms expire at our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held in 2017;
- two Class II directors, David B. Apfelberg and Timothy J. O’Shea, whose terms expire at our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held in 2018; and
- three Class III directors Gregory Barrett, J. Daniel Plants and Jerry P. Widman, whose terms expire at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held in 2016.

The name of each member of the Board, the class in which he serves, and his age as of the Record Date, principal occupation and length of service on the Board are as follows:

Name	Term Expires	Age	Principal Occupation	Director Since
Class I Directors				
Kevin P. Connors	2017	54	President and CEO	1998
David A. Gollnick	2017	52	Former Vice President (“VP”) of North American Sales and Former Executive Vice President (“EVP”) of Research and Development	1998
Clint H. Severson ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	2017	68	President and CEO, Abaxis, Inc.	2015
Class II Directors				
David B. Apfelberg ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	2018	74	Clinical Professor of Plastic Surgery, Stanford University Medical Center	1998
Timothy J. O’Shea ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	2018	63	Former Managing Director, Oxo Capital	2004
Class III Directors				
Gregory Barrett ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	2016	62	President and CEO, DFINE, Inc.	2011
J. Daniel Plants ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	2016	48	Managing Partner, Voce Capital Management LLC	2015
Jerry P. Widman ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	2016	73	Former CFO, Ascension Health	2004

-
- (1) Member of the Compensation Committee.
 (2) Member of the Audit Committee.
 (3) Member of Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.
 (4) Member of the Strategic Transactions Committee.

Director Nominees

The Board has nominated Gregory Barrett, J. Daniel Plants and Jerry P. Widman for re-election as Class III directors.

Gregory Barrett has served as a member of our Board since October 2011. Mr. Barrett has been the President and CEO of DFINE, Inc., a private medical device equipment company since September 2013. Mr. Barrett was the Chairman, President and CEO of BARRX Medical, Inc., a private medical device company that was acquired by Covidien, a manufacturer and distributor of products to treat gastrointestinal diseases. Prior to joining BARRX Medical in February 2004, from January 2001 through August 2003, Mr. Barrett served as President and CEO of ACMI Corporation, a developer of medical visualization and energy systems; Group Vice President at Boston Scientific Corporation; Vice President, Global Sales and Marketing at both Orthofix Corporation (formerly American Medical Electronics) and Baxter Healthcare. Mr. Barrett holds a B.A. in Marketing from the University of Texas, Austin. We believe Mr. Barrett's qualifications to serve on our Board include his more than 37 years of diverse experiences in the medical device industry, including time spent serving as president and CEO of several medical device companies. Mr. Barrett has held various Board positions with Softscope Medical, BaroSense, Monteris Medical (Currently Chairman of the Board and member of the Compensation Committee), as well as held Board positions for the companies in which he was employed.

J. Daniel Plants has served as a member of our Board since January 2015. Mr. Plants has been Managing Partner of Voce Capital Management LLC since 2009. Prior to founding Voce Capital Management, Mr. Plants held a number of positions at leading Wall Street firms, including executive roles in investment banking at Goldman Sachs and JPMorgan Chase and as a corporate attorney with Sullivan & Cromwell. Mr. Plants is also the co-founder of the Bay Area Urban Debate League, a San Francisco based charitable organization dedicated to expanding opportunities for area youth to become articulate, informed leaders. Mr. Plants served as the organization's Vice Chair from 2008 to 2012. Mr. Plants holds a Juris Doctorate degree from University of Michigan Law School and an undergraduate degree from Baylor University. We believe Mr. Plants' qualifications to serve on our Board include his substantial experience as a strategic advisor and corporate attorney, as well as his role as the founder of a successful investment management firm and status as a significant Company stockholder, which bring valuable skills and perspective to the Board in the areas of finance, capital markets, strategy and corporate governance.

Jerry P. Widman has served as a member of our Board since March 2004. From 1982 to 2001, Mr. Widman served as the Chief Financial Officer of Ascension Health, a not-for-profit multi-hospital system. Mr. Widman has served as a member of the Board of several other privately-held and publicly-held companies in the healthcare industry. Mr. Widman has accumulated over 50 years of Board experience with 14 companies. Mr. Widman holds a B.B.A. from Case Western Reserve University, an M.B.A. from the University of Denver, a J.D. from Cleveland State University, and is a Certified Public Accountant. We believe Mr. Widman's qualifications to serve on our Board include his financial expertise and prior experience as a CFO, as well as his experience serving on the boards of various public and private companies.

If elected to our Board, directors Mr. Barrett, Mr. Plants and Mr. Widman would each hold office as a Class III director until our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held in 2019, or until the earlier of their resignation, removal, or death.

Board of Directors' Recommendation

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE "FOR" EACH OF THE THREE NOMINEES FOR CLASS III DIRECTOR LISTED ABOVE.

Directors Whose Terms Extend Beyond the 2016 Annual Meeting

Kevin P. Connors has served as our President and CEO, and as a member of our Board, since our inception in August 1998. Prior to founding Cutera, from May 1996 to June 1998 Mr. Connors served as President and General Manager of Coherent Medical Group, a unit of Coherent Inc., which manufactures lasers, optics and related accessories. We believe Mr. Connors' qualifications to serve on our Board include, his knowledge of and leadership experience, in the aesthetic medical equipment industry prior to joining Cutera and the substantial understanding of the Company and its operations that he has gained while serving as President, CEO and director of the Company since its inception.

David B. Apfelberg, MD has served as a member of our Board since November 1998. Since 1980, Dr. Apfelberg has held various roles at the Stanford University Medical Center, and currently serves as an Adjunct Clinical Professor of Plastic Surgery. Since 1987, Dr. Apfelberg has also been a consultant for entrepreneurs and venture capital companies in the areas of medical devices and medicine. From June 1991 to May 2001, Dr. Apfelberg was Director of the Plastic Surgery Center in Atherton, California. Dr. Apfelberg is the author of five books on lasers in medicine and is a founding member and past president of the American Society for Lasers in Medicine and Surgery. Dr. Apfelberg holds a B.M.S., Bachelor of Medical Science, and an M.D. from Northwestern University Medical School. We believe Dr. Apfelberg's qualifications to serve on our Board include his medical expertise, understanding of our products, and his knowledge of the aesthetics market generally.

David A. Gollnick has served as a member of our Board since our inception in August 1998. From February 2014 to June 2014, he held the position of Vice President of North American Sales for the Company. From March 2009 to December 2014, Mr. Gollnick has consulted with the Company for product development, clinical, sales and marketing support as needed. Mr. Gollnick served as our EVP of Research and Development from April 2007 to March 2009 and as Vice President of Research and Development from August 1998 until April 2007. From June 1996 to July 1998, Mr. Gollnick held the position of Vice President of Research and Development at Coherent Medical Group, a unit of Coherent Inc. Mr. Gollnick holds a B.S. in Mechanical Engineering from Fresno State University. We believe Mr. Gollnick's qualifications to serve on our Board include his technical experience in researching and developing products for the aesthetic medical equipment industry and his understanding of our employees, products and operations.

Timothy J O'Shea has served as a member of our Board since April 2004. Mr. O'Shea was with OXO Capital from 2008 to 2014 serving as managing director. From 1995 to 2008, he served in a variety of management positions at Boston Scientific, including Corporate Vice President of Business Development from 2000 to 2008. Mr. O'Shea currently acts as an advisor to several medical device companies. Mr. O'Shea holds a B.A. in history from the University of Detroit. We believe Mr. O'Shea's qualifications to serve on our Board include his corporate marketing knowledge as well as his diverse experience in the medical device industry working for a large medical device company.

Clint Severson has served as a member of our Board since January 2015. He is presently the Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of Abaxis, Inc., a manufacturer of portable blood analysis systems. Mr. Severson also serves on the Boards of Directors of Response Biomedical Corporation and Trinity Biotech. From February 1989 to May 1996, Mr. Severson served as President and Chief Executive Officer of MAST Immunosystems, Inc., a privately-held medical diagnostics company. We believe Mr. Severson's qualifications to serve on our Board include his more than 40 years of experience as an executive in the medical and biotechnology industries.

PROPOSAL TWO—RATIFICATION OF BDO USA, LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee of the Board has selected BDO USA, LLP as the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm to perform the audit of the Company’s consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2016. BDO audited the Company’s consolidated financial statements for the fiscal years 2015 and 2014.

The Board is asking the stockholders to ratify the selection of BDO as the Company’s Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for 2016. Although not required by law, by rules of NASDAQ, or by the Company’s bylaws, the Board is submitting the selection of BDO to the stockholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate practice. Even if the selection is ratified, the Audit Committee in its discretion may select a different Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders.

We have requested that representatives of BDO be present at the Annual Meeting. They will have an opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so and will be available to respond to appropriate questions from the Company’s stockholders.

Board of Directors’ Recommendation

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR THE RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF BDO AS THE COMPANY’S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR 2016.

Audit and Non-Audit Services

To help ensure the independence of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, the Audit Committee has adopted a policy for the pre-approval of all audit and non-audit services to be performed for the Company by its Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. Pursuant to this policy, all audit and non-audit services to be performed by the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm must be approved in advance by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee may delegate to one or more of its members the authority to grant the required approvals, provided that any exercise of such authority is presented to the full Audit Committee at its next regularly scheduled meeting.

All of the services provided by BDO described in the table below were approved by the Audit Committee.

The aggregate fees incurred by the Company for audit and non-audit services in 2015 and 2014 were as follows:

<u>Service Category</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
BDO USA LLP:		
Audit Fees ⁽¹⁾	\$ 457,120	\$ 445,228
Audit-Related Fees	\$ —	\$ —
Tax Fees.....	\$ —	\$ —
All Other Fees.....	\$ —	\$ —
Total BDO USA LLP	<u>\$ 457,120</u>	<u>\$ 445,228</u>

(1) In accordance with the SEC’s definitions and rules, audit fees are comprised of billed and unbilled fees for professional services related to the audit of financial statements and internal control over financial reporting for the Company’s 2015 and 2014 fiscal years as included in the annual report on Form 10-K; and the review of financial statements for interim periods included in the quarterly reports on Form 10-Q within those years.

PROPOSAL THREE—NON-BINDING ADVISORY VOTE ON THE COMPENSATION OF NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

General

As required pursuant to Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Board is asking you to approve, on an advisory and non-binding basis, the executive compensation programs and policies and the resulting 2015 compensation of our Named Executive Officers listed in the 2015 Summary Compensation Table on page 35 (our “NEOs”) as described in this proxy statement.

This proposal, commonly known as a “say-on-pay” proposal, gives our stockholders the opportunity to express their views on our NEOs’ compensation as a whole. This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation or any specific NEO, but rather the overall compensation of all of our NEOs and the philosophy, policies and practices described in this proxy statement. Because the vote is advisory, the result will not be binding on our Compensation Committee and it will not affect, limit or augment any existing compensation or awards. The say-on-pay vote will, however, provide information to the Compensation Committee and our Board regarding investor sentiment about our executive compensation philosophy, policies and practices, which they will take into account when considering future compensation arrangements. Our Board and the Compensation Committee value the opinions of our stockholders and to the extent there is any significant vote against the compensation of the NEOs as disclosed in this proxy statement, they will consider our stockholders’ concerns and the Compensation Committee will evaluate whether any actions are necessary to address those concerns.

We recommend you should read the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and compensation tables and also consider the factors below in determining whether to approve this proposal.

Summary of Our Executive Compensation Program

Pay-for-Performance and Stockholder Alignment

Our Compensation Committee reviews the compensation of our NEO and strikes a balance between fixed base pay and Pay-for-Performance (“PFP”) systems that tie compensation directly to specific business goals and management objectives. The NEOs’ compensations are set-up such that it delivers competitive pay for competitive levels of performance. In order to align the NEOs’ compensation drivers to the overall Company’s goals, the Compensation Committee designed the 2015 compensation such that the majority of the compensation was that of a PFP type. For example, in 2015, 68% of the CEO’s compensation was performance-based and 31% of total pay was in the form of equity-based awards. Further, the equity-based awards were split into 50% Performance Stock Units (“PSUs”), vesting of which was contingent upon the achievement of certain pre-established Company revenue, operating loss reduction and stock price appreciation performance goals, and 50% was in Restricted Stock Units (“RSUs”). As a result, the impact of our growth in revenue, improvement of our operating results and the improvement of our stock price, all significantly impacted the compensation of our NEOs. This ensured that their interests were aligned to those of the Company’s and its stockholders.

Key Features of Our Executive Compensation Program

WHAT WE DO	WHAT WE DON'T DO
✓ We pay reasonable salaries and appropriate benefits	✗ We do not enter into multi-year employment contracts.
✓ We incent and pay for performance to align compensation with shareholder goals.	✗ We do not allow repricing of underwater stock options for our executive officers.
✓ We retain an independent compensation consultant to benchmark compensation at reasonable intervals.	✗ We do not have single-trigger equity vesting in the event of a change-in-control
✓ We consider market conditions and peer groups in establishing compensation	✗ We do not provide excessive perquisites
✓ We have stock ownership guidelines	✗ We do not provide any tax reimbursements or gross-ups on any severance or change-in-control payments or benefits.

Following is a summary of some of the key features of our 2015 executive compensation program. For a detailed discussion about our compensation philosophy, policies and practices, and other changes that we have made to our corporate governance policies, see the section titled “Executive Compensation” below beginning on page 23.

- The primary objectives of our executive compensation programs are that they be fair, objective and consistent. Further that compensation be directly and substantially linked to measurable corporate and individual performance and that compensation remains competitive so that we can attract, motivate, retain and reward the key executives whose knowledge, skills and performance are necessary for our success.
- We seek to foster a culture where individual performance is aligned with organizational objectives.
- Our NEOs are compensated with cash, equity and non-equity incentives, and other customary employee benefits.
- We evaluate and reward our NEOs based on the comparable industry specific and general market compensation for their respective positions in the Company and an evaluation of their contributions to the achievement of short-and long-term organizational goals.
- Executive compensation is reviewed annually by the Compensation Committee, and adjustments are made to reflect performance-based factors and competitive conditions.
- Our Compensation Committee engages outside compensation consultant to review our executive compensation programs, in comparison to a peer group of companies (the “Peer Group”), and recommend modifications to it.
- Our NEOs have Change of Control and Severance Agreements (“COC Agreements”) and, except for these arrangements, we do not have employment agreements with any of our NEOs.
- We have stock ownership guideline for our NEOs.

We believe that the information provided above and within the Executive Compensation section of this proxy statement demonstrates that our executive compensation program has been designed appropriately and is working to ensure our NEOs’ interests are aligned with our stockholders’ interests to support long-term value creation.

Accordingly, we ask our stockholders to vote “FOR” the following resolution at the Annual Meeting:

“RESOLVED, that the Company’s stockholders approve, on an advisory and non-binding basis, the compensation of the NEOs, as disclosed in the Company’s Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the compensation tables and the other related disclosure.”

Consistent with the preference of our stockholders, as reflected in the advisory vote on the frequency of future say-on-pay votes conducted at our 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the Board has adopted a policy providing for annual advisory votes on the compensation of the NEOs.

Board of Directors' Recommendation

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE "FOR" THE ADVISORY (NON-BINDING) VOTE APPROVING THE COMPENSATION OF THE NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS.

NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Set forth below is certain information as of the Record Date, which is April 25, 2016, concerning our NEOs.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Position(s)</u>
Kevin P. Connors	54	President, CEO and Director
Ronald J. Santilli	56	EVP and CFO

Further information regarding Kevin P. Connors is provided above under “Director Whose Terms Extend Beyond the 2016 Annual Meeting.”

Ronald J. Santilli has served as our CFO since September 2001. In addition, Mr. Santilli has performed the role of EVP since April 2007 to present and prior to that he held the position of Vice President of Finance and Administration. Prior to joining Cutera, from April 2001 to August 2001, Mr. Santilli served as Senior Director of Financial Planning and Accounting at Lumenis, a manufacturer of medical lasers. From May 1982 to March 2001, Mr. Santilli held several positions at Coherent Inc., including Sales Operations Manager, Controller of the Medical Group and, most recently, Director of Finance and Administration. Mr. Santilli holds a B.S. in Business Administration from San Jose State University and an M.B.A. in Finance from Golden Gate University.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Overview

The primary objectives of our compensation programs are that:

- They be fair, objective and consistent across the employee population;
- Compensation be directly and substantially linked to measurable corporate and individual performance; and
- Compensation remains competitive, so that we can attract, motivate, retain and reward the key employees whose knowledge, skills and performance are necessary for our success.

We seek to foster a culture where individual performance is aligned with organizational objectives. We evaluate and reward our NEOs based on the comparable industry specific and general market compensation for their respective positions in our company and an evaluation of their contributions to the achievement of short-term and long-term organizational goals. Executive compensation is reviewed and evaluated annually by the Compensation Committee and once every few years by an independent compensation consultant hired by the Compensation Committee. Based on input received from the compensation consultant, and the results of the stockholder vote on our say-on-pay, the Compensation Committee makes adjustments to the components of, as well as, the total compensation of the NEOs.

Financial Highlights

Fiscal 2015 was a year of continued improvement and one dedicated to building the foundation for achieving stronger financial performance in the future. Our research and development team delivered two new product platforms in 2014, which provided us with a strong and well diversified portfolio of products. In addition, our sales and marketing leadership teams, which we enhanced with proven and industry experienced leaders in 2014, continued their focus on revenue growth.

In 2015, the financial performance of our business improved, compared to 2014, as follows:

- **Improved revenue:** Our global revenue improved by \$16.6 million, or 21%, which was the result of an increase in our U.S. revenue by 38% and our international revenue by 8%, despite the negative impact associated with the appreciation of the U.S. Dollar against the Euro, Japanese Yen and the Australian Dollar. These revenue improvements were primarily attributable to the introduction of *enlighten* and *excel HR* products in the market, the continued growth in *excel V* sales and an increases in sales of our *truSculpt* product.
- **Increased gross margins:** Our gross margins increased from 56% in 2014 to 57% in 2015 primarily due to the improved leverage of our operations as a result of the increased revenue, as well as the implementation of several management initiatives to improve the reliability of our products and product cost reductions.
- **Improved EBITDA:** Our Earnings Before Interest Tax Depreciation and Amortization (“EBITDA”) increased by \$5.3 million.
- **Cash from operations:** Our cash generated by operations improved by \$2.9 million through the continued conservative management of our working capital and overall business.
- **Stock Repurchase:** Repurchased \$40 million of our common stock through our stock repurchase plan in 2015.

Cash and investments at the end of 2015 were \$48.4 million – with no debt. We believe our cash resources are sufficient to meet our anticipated cash needs for the next several years.

Corporate Governance Highlights

We endeavor to maintain good corporate governance standards consistent with our executive compensation policies and practices. The following policies and practices were in effect during 2015:

- The Compensation Committee is comprised solely of independent directors who have established effective means for communicating with stockholders regarding executive compensation issues and concerns.
- We have a Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee that is comprised of independent directors who review and make recommendations to the Board on matters concerning corporate governance, director composition, identification, evaluation and nomination of director candidates, Board committees, director compensation and conflicts of interest.
- The Compensation Committee conducts an annual review and approval of our compensation strategy. We ensure that our compensation practices remain current with market conditions by having them reviewed by compensation consultants from time to time. Our compensation philosophy and related corporate governance features are complemented by several elements that are designed to align our executive compensation with long-term stockholder interests, including the following:
 - We do not currently offer, nor do we have plans to provide, pension arrangements, retirement plans or nonqualified deferred compensation plans or arrangements to our executive officers, including our NEOs;
 - We provide limited perquisites to our executive officers, including our NEOs. Our executive officers participate in broad-based company-sponsored health and welfare benefits programs on the same basis as our other full-time, salaried employees;
 - Executive officers are not entitled to any tax reimbursement payments (including “gross-ups”) on any severance or change-in-control payments or benefits;
 - All change-in-control payments and benefits are based on a “double-trigger” arrangement (i.e., requiring both a change-in-control of our company plus a qualifying termination of employment before payments and benefits are paid);
 - We use performance-based short-term and long-term incentives; and
 - We adopted stock ownership guidelines for our executive officers and non-employee directors.

Role of Our Compensation Committee

Compensation Committee Charter

The Compensation Committee establishes the compensation for our NEOs, who are our CEO and CFO and administers our Equity Incentive Plans, which are currently the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (as amended) and the 2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. The Compensation Committee has a written charter, which can be found on the Investor page, under the Corporate Governance section, of our website, which is www.cutera.com.

Duties of the Compensation Committee

The responsibilities of the Compensation Committee include:

- (i) Establishing the following for our NEOs and such other executive officers as appropriate:
 - (a) annual base salary;
 - (b) annual incentive bonus, which may include the setting of specific goals and target amounts;
 - (c) equity compensation;
 - (d) agreements for employment, severance and change-of-control payments and benefits; and
 - (e) any other benefits, compensation or arrangements, other than benefits generally available to our employees.

- (ii) Reviewing and making recommendations to our Board, at such intervals as may be decided by the Compensation Committee from time to time, regarding:
 - (a) general compensation goals and guidelines for our employees and the criteria by which bonuses and stock compensation awards to our employees are determined; and,
 - (b) other policies and plans for the provision of compensation to our employees, directors and consultants.
- (iii) Acting as Administrator of our 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (as amended), 2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan and any other equity compensation plans adopted by our Board.
- (iv) Reviewing and making recommendations to our Board with respect to policies relating to the issuance of equity incentives to employees, directors and consultants.
- (v) Evaluating the compensation of the independent members of our Board.
- (vi) Preparing the report that follows this Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

Compensation Committee Members

The members of the Compensation Committee are appointed by our Board. The members of the Compensation Committee as of the Record Date were Dr. David B. Apfelberg, Mr. Jerry P. Widman and Mr. Gregory Barrett (chairman). Each member of the Compensation Committee is an “outside director” for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, a “non-employee director” for purposes of Exchange Act Rule 16b-3 and satisfies the independence requirements imposed by NASDAQ.

Role of the Compensation Committee and its Consultant in Setting Executive Compensation

The Compensation Committee establishes the compensation for our NEOs to ensure consistency with market compensation rates for similar positions, our compensation philosophy and corporate governance guidelines. Following the SEC’s reforms relating to executive compensation disclosure, the Compensation Committee assumed an active role in reviewing market data and working with a compensation consultant on executive compensation matters. Because certain components of executive compensation—such as bonus targets—are driven by operational priorities, as to which management has greater insight than our Board or the Compensation Committee, the Compensation Committee has directed management to interface with the Committee and the compensation consultant to help establish appropriate target levels.

The Compensation Committee engaged an independent compensation consultant, Compensia, in December 2011 to perform a complete study and then again in June 2014 to update the analysis with current market data. The compensation consultants performed the following activities for each of our NEOs:

- Review the components of the total compensation package;
- Evaluate and develop a group of public companies that would be suitable to use as a Peer Group;
- Gather competitive market data with respect to compensation of executive officers of the Peer Group;
- Compare our NEOs’ compensation against the Peer Group;
- Recommend any adjustments that should be considered for cash-based and equity-based compensations; and
- Recommend compensation components that would make the compensation variable, based on the performance of our company

Due to the significant cost associated with services provided by a compensation consultant, the Compensation Committee plans to not engage our compensation consultant every year but only from time to time as determined by our Compensation Committee and our CEO and CFO.

Role of our Executives in Setting Compensation

On occasion, the Compensation Committee meets with members of our management team, including our CEO and CFO, to obtain recommendations with respect to Company compensation programs, practices and packages for our executive officers, other employees and directors. Management may make recommendations to the Compensation Committee on all components of compensation. The Compensation Committee considers, but is not bound to and does not always accept, management's recommendations with respect to these matters. The Compensation Committee has the ultimate authority to make decisions with respect to the compensation of our NEOs and does not delegate any of its compensation functions to others.

Competitive Positioning

In developing, reviewing, and approving the annual compensation for our NEOs, the Compensation Committee develops and maintains a Peer Group of public companies from which to gather competitive market data. The Compensation Committee, with the assistance of an independent compensation consultant, refined its approach to reviewing market compensation data for our NEOs and approved a set of selection criteria for determining the companies to comprise the compensation Peer Group. Going forward, companies should meet the following criteria to be included in our compensation Peer Group:

- (i) U.S.-based companies with a primary focus on health care equipment and supplies;
- (ii) revenue of between 0.5x to 2.0x Cutera (approximately \$39 million and \$154 million); and
- (iii) market capitalization of between 0.5x to 2.5x Cutera (approximately \$64 million and \$320 million).

This set of selection criteria led the independent compensation consultants to revise in 2014 the then-existing Peer Group to include the following companies:

AtriCure	Derma Sciences	SurModics
Atrion Corporation	IRIDEX	Synergetics USA
BIOLASE	LeMaitre Vascular	Vascular Solutions
Cardiovascular Systems	Photomedex	Zeltiq Aesthetics
CryoLife	RTI Surgical	
Cynosure	SPECTRANETICS	

Executive Compensation Actions

In 2012, our Compensation Committee conducted a full review of our executive compensation policies and practices and engaged Compensia, an independent management consulting firm providing executive compensation advisory services, to study the design, pay mix, and pay levels of our executives; compare our program to that of our peers; and then make recommendations for changes to our policies or practices that were inconsistent with "best practices." In 2014, our Compensation Committee requested Compensia to prepare an update to the 2012 full report to reflect current market compensation data of our peers and as to any recommended changes that need to be made.

In 2015, our Compensation Committee re-evaluated the compensation of our NEO and recommended the following modifications to their compensation arrangements, which our Board approved:

- 1) **Cash Compensation** was modified effective June 1, 2015, to be as follows:
 - a) Mr. Connors' base salary was increased from \$533,000 to \$600,000 and his target bonus participation rate remained unchanged at 70%; and
 - b) Mr. Santilli's base salary was increased from \$341,000 to \$367,000 and his target bonus participation rate remained unchanged at 50%.
- 2) **Management Bonus Program ("Bonus Program")** was modified effective April 1, 2015 by reducing the revenue growth rate multiplier from 15 to 7.5.

- 3) **The ‘Annual’ Target Equity Grant Amount** was increased by approximately 40% in 2015 as compared to 2014, and the awards granted were split between 50% RSUs and 50% PSUs. In order to align the financial performance measurement criteria of PSU awards to the Company’s fiscal year with effect from January 1, 2016, instead of granting a full-years’ worth of equity awards, the Board granted half of the annual target equity grant amount in 2015. As a result, Mr. Connors and Mr. Santilli were granted approximately \$563,000 and \$295,000, respectively, of grant date fair value of equity awards in 2015.
- 4) **Established the Performance Goals for the PSUs granted.** The goals established are detailed below in the section titled ‘Equity Incentive Compensation.’
- 5) **Change of Control and Severance Agreements were:**
 - a) Renewed for both Mr. Connors and Mr. Santilli’s with a revised expiration date of December 31, 2018, which shall renew automatically for an additional one (1) year term unless either party provides the other party with written notice of non-renewal at least sixty (60) days prior to the date of automatic renewal.
 - b) Mr. Santilli’s COC Agreement was modified such that if his employment with the Company is terminated by the Company without “cause,” or by Mr. Santilli for “good reason,” and such termination occurs within three months prior to, or twelve months after a Change of Control of the Company (commonly referred to as “double trigger”), then the lump sum severance payment payable would be increased as follows:
 - i) Salary payable was increased from twelve to eighteen months.
 - ii) Target Bonus Payout: increased from 100% to 150% of the rate payable for the fiscal year in which the termination occurs or, if greater, his annual target bonus rate in effect immediately prior to the Change of Control.
 - iii) COBRA Coverage: increased from twelve to eighteen months.
 - iv) All other provisions remained unchanged.
 - c) The terms of the COC Agreement for Mr. Connors remained unchanged.

The Compensation Committee concluded that the changes to the compensation of our NEOs strengthen the alignment of their interests with those of our stockholders, should be sufficient to maintain competitiveness with the executives in comparable positions at the companies in our Peer Group, and promote retention of our NEOs. Further, the Compensation Committee also took into consideration the fact that, consistent with our compensation objectives, the equity awards granted increase our NEOs’ stake in the Company, thereby reinforcing their incentive to manage our business as owners and subject a significant portion of their total compensation to fluctuations in the market price of our common stock in alignment with stockholder interests.

Compensation Components

Our NEOs are compensated with cash, equity and non-equity incentives, and other customary employee benefits.

Cash Compensation

Cash compensation consists of base salary, participation in a Discretionary Management Bonus Program (“Bonus Program”) and participation in a profit-sharing plan. Our cash compensation goals for our NEOs are based upon the following principles:

- Total cash compensation should generally be set at or above the 50th percentile of the Peer Group;
- Base salary should be positioned to reflect each individual’s experience, performance and potential;
- A significant portion of cash compensation should be “at risk”; and
- The amount of bonuses payable for any quarter should be based on revenue growth and the improvement of the operating profit before stock-based compensation and non-operational expenses, or “adjusted operating profit,” compared with the same quarter in the prior year.

Base Salary and Total Target Cash Compensation

Total target cash compensation for each Named Executive Officer includes his annual base salary, annual target bonus opportunity (described below) and annual profit-sharing payments.

In 2015, the Compensation Committee increased Mr. Connor's annual base salary compensation to \$600,000 and maintained his target bonus percentage at 70%. In 2015, the Compensation Committee increased Mr. Santilli's annual base salary compensation to \$367,000 and maintained his target bonus percentage at 50%.

Discretionary Management Bonus Program

In addition to base salary, we provided cash bonus opportunities for our NEOs in 2015 pursuant to which cash bonuses were determined quarterly based on the Company's performance for the then-preceding quarter. Payments under the Bonus Program are made quarterly and are at the discretion of our Compensation Committee.

Effective April 1, 2015, our Board of directors, upon the recommendation of the Compensation Committee, amended the Bonus Program such that the 'Revenue Growth Rate' multiplier, compared to the same period in the prior year, was decreased from 15 to 7.5. All other terms of the program remained unchanged.

Given our Bonus Program is discretionary, the NEOs reduced the revenue growth rate factor from 15.0 to 5.0 for the first quarter of 2015 and from 7.5 to 5.25 for the third quarter of 2015.

As However, given payments per the Bonus Program are at the discretion of the Compensation Committee, is discretionary, the NEOs proposed to reduce the bonus payouts as calculated according to the terms of the Bonus Program by changing the revenue growth rate factor to 5.00 and 5.25 for the first and third quarter of 2015, respectively.

Target Bonus Opportunities

For 2015, the target cash bonuses were designed to reward our NEOs based on the Company's overall financial performance and were established based on the recommendation that the compensation consultants provided to the Compensation Committee. As in prior years, the Compensation Committee determined that the target cash bonus for each NEO should be determined as a percentage of their base salary.

In 2015, the Compensation Committee maintained the target bonus opportunity for Mr. Connors and Mr. Santilli at 70% and 50% of base salary, respectively. The target bonus opportunity is reviewed annually by the Compensation Committee and is based on several factors, including the scope of the NEOs' performance, contributions, responsibilities, experience, prior years' target cash bonus and market conditions.

Corporate Performance Measures

For 2015, the Board, based on recommendations from the Compensation Committee, maintained the corporate performance measures for determining the bonuses payable to the NEOs as follows:

- 1) Revenue Growth Rate; and
- 2) Adjusted Operating Profit Improvement.

The Board believed that these corporate performance measures continue to align the bonus payment with the achievement of the Company's annual operating goals and enhancing long-term stockholder value creation.

With effect from April 1, 2015, the Board modified the Bonus Program by reducing the Revenue Growth Rate' multiplier from 15 to 7.5. Adjusted Operating Profits was defined as operating profit less stock-based compensation expense and non-operational expenses. The Compensation Committee decided that non-operational expenses should be excluded from the operating profit amount as they were deemed unrelated to quarterly "operating" performance.

Using these measures, each fiscal quarter the Compensation Committee compared our performance against the same fiscal quarter in the prior year, and applied the associated multiplying factor to the percentage improvement for that quarter to determine our quarterly performance for that measure. If one performance measure's percentage improvement for a fiscal quarter in 2015 was negative, when compared to the same fiscal quarter for the prior year, the multiplier for that measure was set to zero.

For example, with the revenue growth factor of 7.5 and the adjusted operating profit improvement factor of 5, at 10% revenue growth and 10% adjusted operating profit improvement, an individual would be eligible to receive 125% of his or her target bonus opportunity for that quarter. At 15% revenue growth and 15% adjusted operating profit improvement, an individual would be eligible to receive 188% of his or her target bonus opportunity. Based on the actual quarterly revenue growth and adjusted operating profit improvement for each of the quarters in 2015, the NEOs earned the following bonus payout multipliers of their respective target bonus opportunity.

Fiscal Period	Revenue Growth (expressed as a percentage)	Factor	Revenue Growth Multiplier	Adjusted Operating Profit Improvement (expressed as a percentage)	Factor	Adjusted Operating Profit Multiplier	Total Payout Multiplier
First quarter*	17.80%	5.00*	89.01%	0.98%	5.00	4.90%	93.91%
Second quarter.....	27.30%	7.50	204.76%	5.28%	5.00	26.40%	231.16%
Third quarter*.....	23.27%	5.25*	122.18%	7.01%	5.00	35.05%	157.23%
Fourth quarter.....	17.82%	7.50	133.62%	12.27%	5.00	61.34%	194.96%

* According to the Bonus Program, the revenue growth rate factor for the first and third quarters of 2015 was 15 and 7.5, respectively. As payments per the Bonus Program are at the discretion of the Compensation Committee, the NEOs proposed to reduce the bonus payouts as calculated according to the terms of the Bonus Program by changing the revenue growth rate factor to 5.00 and 5.25, for the first and third quarter of 2015, respectively.

On an annual basis, the cash bonus opportunity, and the amount actually earned, for fiscal 2015 was as follows:

Named Executive Officer	Annual Cash Bonus Target⁽¹⁾	Annual Cash Bonus Paid for 2015⁽¹⁾
Mr. Connors	\$400,458	\$679,219
Mr. Santilli	\$179,115	\$303,872

(1) The Annual Cash Bonus Target and the Annual Cash Bonus Paid for each of the quarters in 2015 was based on the corporate performance measures and the target bonus percentage that the respective NEOs were entitled to, per the Bonus Plan as applicable for each of the quarters. According to the Bonus Program, the revenue growth rate factor for the first and third quarters of 2015 was 15 and 7.5 respectively. However, given the Bonus Program is discretionary, the Compensation Committee reduced the revenue growth rate factor to 5.00 and 5.25, for the first and third quarters of 2015, respectively.

Profit-Sharing Program

We have a profit sharing program for our NEOs and other employees pursuant to which quarterly cash payments are made. Target profit-sharing payments are calculated based upon half of the quarterly pre-tax Adjusted Operating Profit percentage (pre-tax Adjusted Operating Profit divided by revenue) multiplied by the NEOs' gross salary earned during that quarter.

In 2015, our CEO and our CFO earned \$7,925 and \$4,952 in profit sharing payments respectively.

Long-Term Incentive Program

We believe that equity-based compensation promotes and encourages long-term successful performance by our NEOs that is aligned with the organization's goals and the generation of stockholder value. Our equity compensation goals for our NEOs are based upon the following principles:

- Stockholder and executive officer interests should be aligned;
- Key and high-performing employees, who have a demonstrable impact on our performance and /or stockholder value, should be provided this benefit;
- The program should be structured to provide meaningful retention incentives to participants;
- The equity awards should reflect each individual's experience, performance, potential and be comparable to what the Peer Group awards for the respective position; and
- Actual awards should be tailored to reflect individual performance and attraction/retention goals.

Equity Incentive Compensation

Under our 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (as amended), we are permitted to grant stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted shares, RSU awards, performance shares and other stock-based awards. Under this Plan, we grant options to our executive officers, directors and employees to purchase shares of our common stock at an exercise price equal to the fair market value of such stock on the date of grant. The grant date for stock options to our NEOs is typically the date of a regularly scheduled Board meeting, or, for annual merit grants, on or around June 1st of each year. Our non-employee directors are granted RSUs annually on the date of our Annual Meeting of Stockholders that vest on the one-year anniversary of the grant date. We have no program, plan or practice to select option grant dates (or set board meeting and annual stockholder meeting dates) to correspond with the release of material non-public information.

In August 2015, our Board, with the approval of our non-employee directors, increased the 'annual' target equity grant amount by approximately 40%, compared to 2014. Further, the awards granted were split between 50% RSUs and 50% PSUs. In order to align the financial performance measurement criteria of PSU awards to the Company's fiscal year with effect from January 1, 2016, instead of granting a full-years' worth of equity awards, the Board granted half of the annual target equity grant amount in 2015. In granting these awards, our Board considered the recommendations of the compensation consultants hired by the Compensation Committee; individual performance and contribution to the Company's performance; its own subjective assessment of market conditions; its ability to retain the individual NEO; and the goal of increasing the value of our company, in arriving at the amounts awarded to each NEO.

<u>Names</u>	<u>Stock Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options</u>	<u>Number of Restricted Stock Unit Awards – Shares⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>Number of Performance Share Unit Awards for Target Performance-Shares⁽²⁾</u>	<u>Base Price of RSU and PSU Awards</u>	<u>Grant Date Fair Value of All Equity Award</u>
Mr. Connors	—	18,667	18,667	\$ 15.07	\$ 562,623
Mr. Santilli	—	9,800	9,800	\$ 15.07	\$ 295,372

- (1) These RSU awards vest as to one third of the shares on each of June 1, 2016, 2017 and 2018, subject to the recipient's continuing service.
- (2) The PSU awards reflect the number of shares of stock that was expected to vest on March 15, 2016 assuming 100% achievement of each of the performance targets discussed below. The actual number of shares that vested on March 15, 2016, were 14,522 for Mr. Connors and 7,624 for Mr. Santilli representing a 77.8% of achievement of each of the performance targets.

Restricted Stock Unit Awards:

The RSU awards granted to our NEOs vest as to one-third of the shares on each of June 1, 2016, 2017 and 2018, subject to the recipient's continuing service.

Performance Stock Unit Awards:

In August 2015, our Board, upon the recommendation of our Compensation Committee, granted PSUs to the NEOs and established the below mentioned performance goals. The number of PSUs awarded to the NEOs resulted in a varying number of shares of common stock that would have vested on March 15, 2016 based on the degree of achievement of three performance goals as set forth below and subject to the recipient continuing to provide service to the Company through the vesting date. The PSU awards represent the aggregate number of shares that could have been earned from achievement of the three performance goals at targets that were pre-determined by the Board.

<u>Performance Goal</u>	<u>Weighting of Goal</u>
(1) Actual revenue achievement, compared to the target established by the Company's Board	33%
(2) Degree of improvement of the Company's operating loss, compared to the target established by the Company's Board	33%
(3) Average per share price of the Company's stock for the period, compared to the target established by the Company's Board	34%

The following matrix provides an example of the number of common stock that was expected to vest on March 15, 2016, based on the performance at varying degrees of achievement of all three performance criteria:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Number of Shares of Common Stock that Would Have Vested on March 15, 2016</u>				
	<u>If Minimum Thresholds are Not Met</u>	<u>At 90% of Target Performance</u>	<u>At 100% of Target Performance</u>	<u>At 110% of Target Performance</u>	<u>At 200% of Target Performance</u>
Mr. Connors	—	14,906	18,667	22,428	56,281
Mr. Santilli	—	7,825	9,800	11,775	29,547

Benefits

We provide the following benefits to our NEOs generally on the same basis as the benefits provided to all employees. These benefits are consistent with those offered by other companies and specifically with those companies with which we compete for employees:

- Health, dental and vision insurance;
- Life insurance;
- Short-term and long-term disability insurance;
- 401(k) plan with 25% employer matching contributions, capped at 6% of total cash compensation; and
- Flexible Spending Accounts.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

We maintain a 2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan that provides eligible employees with the opportunity to purchase shares of our common stock at a 15% discounted price to the lower of the fair market value at either the beginning or the end of the applicable offering period.

Post-Employment Compensation

Except for COC Agreements, we do not have employment agreements with any of our NEOs. We have COC Agreements with each of our NEOs. The purpose of these agreements is to provide incentives to our NEOs to continue their employment with the Company and not be distracted by the possibility of loss of employment as a result of an acquisition of the Company or for other reasons. For a summary of the material terms and conditions of these COC Agreements, see Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control below.

Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m) and Limitations on Executive Compensation

Section 162(m) of the Code generally disallows public companies a tax deduction for federal income tax purposes of remuneration in excess of \$1 million paid to the chief executive officer and each of the three other most highly-compensated executive officers (other than the chief financial officer) in any taxable year. However, remuneration in excess of \$1 million may generally be deducted if it is qualified performance based compensation within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Code. In this regard, the compensation income realized upon the exercise of stock options granted under a stockholder-approved stock option plan generally will be deductible so long as the options are granted by a committee whose members are non-employee directors and certain other conditions are satisfied.

The Compensation Committee believes that, in establishing the cash and equity incentive compensation plans and arrangements for our executive officers, the potential deductibility of the compensation payable under those plans and arrangements should be only one of a number of relevant factors taken into consideration, and not the sole governing factor. For that reason, the Compensation Committee may deem it appropriate to provide one or more of our executive officers with the opportunity to earn incentive compensation, whether through cash incentive awards tied to our financial performance or equity incentive awards tied to the executive officer's continued service, which may be in excess of the amount deductible by reason of Section 162(m) or other provisions of the Code.

The Compensation Committee believes it is important to maintain cash and equity incentive compensation at the requisite level to attract and retain the individuals essential to our financial success, even if all or part of that compensation may not be deductible by reason of the Section 162(m) limitation.

Stock options granted under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (as amended) are not subject to the deduction limitation; however, to preserve our ability to deduct the compensation income associated with stock options granted to such executive officers pursuant to Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, our 2004 equity plan provides that no optionee may be granted option(s) to purchase more than 500,000 shares of Cutera common stock in any one fiscal year. However, in the fiscal year in which the optionee is hired, an optionee may be granted an option to purchase up to 1,000,000 shares of Cutera common stock. In the future, the Compensation Committee may, in its judgment, authorize compensation payments that do not comply with an exemption from the deductibility limit when it believes that such payments are appropriate to attract and retain executive talent.

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

We follow Financial Accounting Standard Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718 (“ASC 718”) for our stock-based compensation awards. ASC 718 requires companies to measure the compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees and directors, including stock options, based on the grant date “fair value” of these awards. This calculation is performed for accounting purposes and reported in the compensation tables below, even though our executive officers may never realize any value from their awards. ASC Topic 718 also requires companies to recognize the compensation cost of their stock-based awards in their income statements over the period that an employee is required to render service in exchange for the award.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

Our stockholders have approved each of our equity compensation plans, which are as follows:

- 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (as amended); and
- 2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (“ESPP”).

The following table provides information regarding the shares of Cutera common stock that may be issued upon the exercise of stock options, RSUs, PSUs, and the projected ESPP contributions under our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2015.

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	2,180,541	\$ 9.33 ⁽¹⁾	2,081,666
Equity compensation plan not approved by security holders	—	—	—
Total.....	<u>2,180,541</u>	<u>\$ 9.33⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>2,081,666</u>

(1) The weighted average exercise price does not take into account outstanding RSUs or PSUs, which have no exercise price.

Other Compensation Practices and Policies

Stock Ownership Guidelines

To enhance our overall corporate governance practices and executive compensation program, our Board adopted stock ownership guidelines for our executive officers, which the Compensation Committee intends to review annually. These guidelines are designed to align our executive officers’ interests with our stockholders’ long-term interests by promoting long-term ownership of our common stock, which reduces the incentive for excessive short-term risk taking. These guidelines provide that, within five years of the later of the adoption of the guidelines or his or her first date of employment, our CEO and CFO must hold shares of our common stock having a value not less than three times and one time respectively of their annual salary.

As of April 25, 2016, the NEOs' holdings and targeted guidelines were as follows:

Named Executive Officer	Stock Ownership as of April 25, 2016	Minimum Stock Ownership Required by April 27, 2017 ⁽¹⁾
Mr. Connors	549,595	153,584
Mr. Santilli	47,493	31,314

(1) Based of the closing stock price of \$11.72 on April 25, 2016.

Insider Trading Compliance Program

According to our Insider Trading Compliance Program, no employee of the Company, including, but not limited to, our executive officers and directors, may invest in derivatives of the Company's securities. This prohibition includes, but is not limited to, trading in put or call options related to securities of the Company.

2015 Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth summary compensation information for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 for our NEOs.

Name and Principal Position	Salary	Bonus ⁽¹⁾	Option Awards ⁽²⁾	Stock Awards ⁽²⁾	All Other Compensation ⁽³⁾	Total
Kevin P. Connors, <i>President and CEO</i>						
2015	\$ 572,083	\$ 687,144	\$ —	\$ 562,623	\$ 14,003	\$ 1,835,853
2014	525,500	382,002	—	797,600	13,597	1,718,699
2013	481,667	41,914	294,307	240,579	12,435	1,070,902
Ronald J. Santilli, <i>EVP and CFO</i>						
2015	\$ 358,229	\$ 308,824	\$ —	\$ 295,372	\$ 11,894	\$ 974,319
2014	328,083	176,246	—	418,740	11,662	934,731
2013	310,000	19,156	153,039	120,285	3,825	606,305

(1) The amounts reported in this column represent the bonus earned for each of the years covered in the table in accordance with our discretionary management Bonus Plan (see section above describing our Discretionary Management Bonus Program).

(2) The amounts reported in this column represent the aggregate grant date fair value of stock awards granted during each of the fiscal years in 2015, 2014 and 2013 calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 718. See Note 6 of the Consolidated Notes to Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015 filed with the SEC on March 15, 2016 for a discussion of the valuation assumptions for stock-based compensation.

(3) Amounts represent 401(k) employer-match contributions and a non-cash benefit associated with a Company sponsored, non-business event for achieving sales targets in accordance with our commission incentive plan.

2015 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table

The following table lists grants of plan-based RSU and PSU awards made to our NEOs during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015. There were no stock option grants to our NEOs during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options	Base Price of Awards ⁽¹⁾	Grant Date Fair Value of Awards ⁽¹⁾
		Threshold	Target	Maximum				
Mr. Connors	08/03/2015	—	—	—	37,334	—	\$ 15.07	\$ 562,623
Mr. Santilli	08/03/2015	—	—	—	19,600	—	\$ 15.07	\$ 295,372

(1) The amounts reported in this column reflect the grant date fair value of equity awards calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 718. See Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015 filed with the SEC on March 15, 2016 for a discussion of the valuation assumptions for our stock-based compensation.

2015 Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End Table

The following table lists the outstanding equity incentive awards held by our NEOs as of December 31, 2015.

Name	Option Awards				Stock Awards			
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Earned Options	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options	Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock that Have Not Vested	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock that Have Not Vested	Date Awards Will be Fully Vested	
Mr. Connors	120,000	—	\$ 8.66	6/08/2016				
	120,000	—	10.24	5/14/2017				
	120,000	—	8.72	5/27/2018				
	91,000	—	6.88	7/27/2019				
	69,444	13,889 ⁽¹⁾	8.91	6/10/2020				
					18,667 ⁽²⁾	\$ 238,751 ⁽²⁾	3/15/2016 ⁽²⁾	
					26,666 ⁽⁴⁾	341,058 ⁽⁴⁾	6/01/2017 ⁽⁴⁾	
					18,667 ⁽⁵⁾	238,751 ⁽⁵⁾	6/01/2018 ⁽⁵⁾	
Mr. Santilli	80,000	—	8.72	5/27/2018				
	32,500	—	6.88	7/27/2019				
	36,111	7,222 ⁽¹⁾	8.91	6/10/2020				
						9,800 ⁽²⁾	125,342 ⁽²⁾	3/15/2016 ⁽²⁾
						2,250 ⁽³⁾	28,778 ⁽³⁾	6/01/2016 ⁽³⁾
					14,000 ⁽⁴⁾	179,060 ⁽⁴⁾	6/01/2017 ⁽⁴⁾	
					9,800 ⁽⁵⁾	125,342 ⁽⁵⁾	6/01/2018 ⁽⁵⁾	

(1) One-third of the shares underlying each of these stock options vest on the first anniversary of the vesting commencement date and 1/36th of the underlying shares vest each month thereafter.

(2) These PSU awards reflect the number of shares of stock that was expected to vest on March 15, 2016 assuming 100% achievement of each of the performance targets discussed below. The actual number of shares that vested on March 15, 2016, were 14,522 for Mr. Connors and 7,624 for Mr. Santilli representing a 77.8% of achievement of the performance targets.

- (3) One-third of the shares underlying this award vest on the first, second and third anniversary of the vesting commencement date of June 1, 2013.
- (4) One-third of the shares underlying this award vest on the first, second and third anniversary of the vesting commencement date of June 1, 2014.
- (5) One-third of the shares underlying this award vest on the first, second and third anniversary of the vesting commencement date of June 1, 2015.

2015 Options Exercised and Stock Vested Table

The following table lists the stock options exercised by, and stock awards vested to, our NEOs in the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized on Exercise	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting	Value Realized Upon Vesting ⁽¹⁾
Mr. Connors	133,300	\$ 367,527	49,819	\$ 745,587
Mr. Santilli	173,700	\$ 769,898	30,488	\$ 455,995

- (1) The amounts reported in this column represent the fair market value of the shares of our common stock on the vesting date of each Named Executive Officer's outstanding RSU awards.

Pension Benefits

We did not sponsor any defined benefit pension or other actuarial plan for our executive officers, including our NEOs, during 2015.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

We did not maintain any nonqualified defined contribution or other deferred compensation plans or arrangements for our executive officers, including our NEOs, during 2015.

Employment Agreements

We do not have employment agreements with any of our NEOs.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

Single Trigger:

We have entered into COC Agreements with each of our NEOs. These agreements provide that if a Named Executive Officer's employment with the Company is terminated by the Company without "cause" (as defined in the agreement) or by the Named Executive Officer for "good reason" (as defined in the agreement) either prior to three months before or after 12 months following a Change of Control (as defined in the agreement) of the Company but not in connection with a Change of Control (commonly referred to as "single trigger"), the Named Executive Officer will receive, subject to signing a release of claims in favor of the Company:

- a lump sum severance payment equal to 200% of the annual base salary as in effect immediately prior to such termination for our CEO and 100% of the annual base salary as in effect immediately prior to such termination for our CFO; and
- up to 24 months for our CEO and up to 12 months for our CFO of reimbursement for premiums paid for COBRA coverage.

Double Trigger

These agreements also provide that if a Named Executive Officer’s employment with the Company is terminated by the Company without “cause” or by the Named Executive Officer for “good reason” and such termination occurs within the period beginning three months before, and ending 12 months following, a Change of Control of the Company and in connection with a Change of Control (commonly referred to as “double trigger”), the Named Executive Officer will receive, subject to signing a release of claims in favor of the Company:

- A lump sum severance payment equal to 200% of the annual base salary as in effect immediately prior to such termination or, if greater, at the level in effect immediately prior to the Change of Control for our CEO and 150% of the annual base salary as in effect immediately prior to such termination or, if greater, at the level in effect immediately prior to the Change of Control for our CFO;
- A lump sum severance payment equal to 200% of the annual target bonus for the fiscal year in which the termination occurs or, if greater, his annual target bonus in effect immediately prior to the Change of Control for our CEO and 150% of the annual target bonus for the fiscal year in which the termination occurs or, if greater, his annual target bonus in effect immediately prior to the Change of Control for our CFO;
- Automatic vesting in full of all outstanding and unvested equity awards held by the Named Executive Officer as of the date of the Change of Control; and
- Reimbursement for premiums paid for COBRA coverage of up to 24 months for our CEO and up to 18 months for our CFO.

Each of these agreements were renewed in 2015 for another initial term of three years, and will extend for an additional year unless the Company or the applicable Named Executive Officer provides written notice at least 60 days prior to the third anniversary of the agreement.

For purposes of these agreements, “cause” means a Named Executive Officer’s termination of employment only upon (i) his willful failure to substantially perform his duties (subject to notice and a reasonable period to cure), other than a failure resulting from his complete or partial incapacity due to physical or mental illness or impairment; (ii) his willful act which constitutes gross misconduct and which is injurious to the Company; (iii) his willful breach of a material provision of the agreement (subject to notice and reasonable period to cure); or (iv) his knowing, material and willful violation of a federal or state law or regulation applicable to the business of the Company.

For purposes of these agreements, “good reason” means a Named Executive Officer’s termination of employment within 90 days following the expiration of any cure period following the occurrence of one or more of the following, without his consent: (i) a material reduction in his authority, duties, or responsibilities relative to duties, position or responsibilities in effect immediately prior to such reduction; (ii) a material reduction in his base salary as in effect immediately prior to such reduction; or (iii) a material change in the geographic location at which he must perform services (in other words, the relocation of the Named Executive Officer to a facility that is more than 50 miles from his then-current location).

The following table lists our NEOs and the estimated payments and benefits that each of them would have received had their employment with the Company been terminated without “cause” or had they resigned for “good reason” on December 31, 2015.

Name	Estimated Total Value of Cash Payment	Estimated Total Value of Health Coverage Continuation
Mr. Connors	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 46,856
Mr. Santilli	\$ 367,000	\$ 20,928

The following table lists our NEOs and the estimated payments and benefits that each of them would have received had their employment with the Company been terminated without “cause” or had they resigned for “good reason” in connection with a change in control of the Company on December 31, 2015.

Name	Estimated Total Value of Cash Payment	Estimated Total Value of Health Coverage Continuation	Value of Accelerated Equity⁽¹⁾
Mr. Connors	\$ 2,040,000	\$ 46,856	\$ 872,449
Mr. Santilli	\$ 825,750	\$ 31,391	\$ 486,543

(1) We estimated the value of acceleration of the outstanding and unvested stock options, RSU and PSU awards (assuming paid at 100% of target) held by each of our NEOs based on a market price of \$12.79 per share for Cutera common stock as of December 31, 2015.

Severance payments upon termination or change in control would be payable to the recipient only if the executive signs and does not revoke a release of claims with the Company (in a form reasonably acceptable to the Company) and provided that such release of claims becomes effective no later than sixty (60) days following the termination date. In addition, the executive would need to have complied with the terms of any confidential information agreement executed by executive in favor of the Company and the provisions of the severance agreements.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of SEC Regulation S-K with management. Based on such review and discussion, the Compensation Committee has recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in Cutera's proxy statement.

The foregoing report is provided by the undersigned members of the Compensation Committee.

David B. Apfelberg
Gregory Barrett
Jerry P. Widman

(1) The material in this report is not deemed soliciting material or filed with the SEC and is not to be incorporated by reference in any filing of the Company under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, whether made before or after the date of this Proxy Statement and irrespective of any general incorporation language in those filings.

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

We describe below transactions and series of similar transactions, since the beginning of our last fiscal year, to which we were a party or will be a party, in which:

- the amounts involved exceeded or will exceed \$120,000; and
- any of our directors, nominees for director, executive officers or beneficial holders of more than 5% of our outstanding common stock, or any immediate family member of, or person sharing the household with, any of these individuals or entities (each, a related party), had or will have a direct or indirect material interest.

Consulting Agreement

We have a consulting agreement with Mr. Gollnick, a director of the Company, pursuant to which he is compensated for services that he provides to us, including product development, clinical sales and marketing support. Payments to Mr. Gollnick under this agreement in fiscal year 2015 were \$45,240 plus travel expenses.

Other Transactions

We have entered into change of control severance agreements with our NEOs. See “Named Executive Officers and Executive Compensation — Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control.”

We have entered into indemnification agreements with our directors and executive officers. The indemnification agreements and our certificate of incorporation and bylaws require us to indemnify our directors and executive officers to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware law.

Policies and Procedures for Related Party Transactions

Our board of directors has adopted a written policy that our executive officers, directors, nominees for election as a director, beneficial owners of more than 5% of any class of our common stock and any members of the immediate family of any of the foregoing persons are not permitted to enter into a related person transaction with us without the prior consent of our audit committee. Any request for us to enter into a transaction with an executive officer, director, nominee for election as a director, beneficial owner of more than 5% of any class of our common stock or any member of the immediate family of any of the foregoing persons in which the amount involved exceeds \$120,000 and such person would have a direct or indirect interest must first be presented to our audit committee for review, consideration and approval. In approving or rejecting any such proposal, our audit committee is to consider the material facts of the transaction, including, but not limited to, whether the transaction is on terms no less favorable than terms generally available to an unaffiliated third-party under the same or similar circumstances and the extent of the related person’s interest in the transaction. We did not have a formal review and approval policy for related party transactions at the time of any of the transactions described above. However, all of the transactions described above were entered into after presentation, consideration and approval by our board of directors and/or our audit committee.

OTHER MATTERS**Fiscal Year 2015 Annual Report and SEC Filings**

Our financial statements for our fiscal year ended December 31, 2015 are included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, which we will make available to stockholders at the same time as this proxy statement. This proxy statement and our annual report are posted on our website and are available from the SEC at its website at www.sec.gov. A copy of our annual report may be obtained, without charge, by sending a written request to Cutera, Inc., Attention: Investor Relations, 3240 Bayshore Boulevard, Brisbane, California.

We are not aware of any other business to be presented at the meeting. As of the date of this proxy statement, no stockholder had advised us of the intent to present any business at the meeting. Accordingly, the only business that our Board intends to present at the meeting is as set forth in this proxy statement.

If any other matter or matters are properly brought before the meeting, the proxies will use their discretion to vote on such matters in accordance with their best judgment.

By order of the Board of Directors,

/s/ Ronald J. Santilli

Ronald J. Santilli, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Brisbane, California
April 29, 2016

THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF CUTERA, INC.

2016 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

The undersigned stockholder of Cutera, Inc., a Delaware corporation, hereby acknowledges receipt of the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement each dated April 29, 2016 and hereby appoints Kevin P. Connors (our President and Chief Executive Officer) and Ronald J. Santilli (our Chief Financial Officer), each as proxy and attorney-in-fact, with full power of substitution, on behalf and in the name of the undersigned to represent the undersigned at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Cutera, Inc. to be held on June 15, 2016 at 10:00 a.m., local time, at Cutera's offices located at 3240 Bayshore Blvd., Brisbane, California 94005-1021, and at any postponement or adjournment thereof, and to vote all shares of common stock which the undersigned would be entitled to vote if then and there personally present, on the matters set forth below:

SEE REVERSE SIDE

FOLD AND DETACH HERE

The Board of Directors of Cutera, Inc. recommends a vote FOR the following proposals:

Please mark your votes as indicated:

1. Election of Directors: Class III Nominees:

	FOR	WITHHOLD	2. Ratification of BDO USA, LLP as our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2016.	FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN
Gregory Barrett	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
J. Daniel Plants	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Jerry P. Widman	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				

3. Non-binding advisory vote on the compensation of our Named Executive Officers.	FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED AS DIRECTED OR, IF NO CONTRARY DIRECTION IS INDICATED, WILL BE VOTED AS FOLLOWS: (1) FOR THE ELECTION OF THE NOMINATED CLASS III DIRECTORS; (2) FOR THE RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF BDO USA, LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM; (3) FOR THE APPROVAL, BY NON-BINDING VOTE, OF EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION; AND (4) AS THE PROXY HOLDERS DEEM ADVISABLE ON SUCH OTHER MATTERS AS MAY COME BEFORE THE MEETING.

PLEASE SIGN EXACTLY AS YOUR NAME APPEARS HEREON. IF THE STOCK IS REGISTERED IN THE NAME OF TWO OR MORE PERSONS, EACH SHOULD SIGN. EXECUTORS, ADMINISTRATORS, TRUSTEES, GUARDIANS AND ATTORNEYS-IN-FACT SHOULD ADD THEIR TITLES. IF SIGNER IS A CORPORATION, PLEASE GIVE FULL CORPORATE NAME AND HAVE A DULY AUTHORIZED OFFICER SIGN, STATING TITLE. IF SIGNER IS A PARTNERSHIP, PLEASE SIGN IN PARTNERSHIP NAME BY AUTHORIZED PERSON.

PLEASE SIGN, DATE AND PROMPTLY RETURN THIS PROXY IN THE ENCLOSED RETURN ENVELOPE, WHICH IS POSTAGE PREPAID IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES.

SIGNATURE(S) _____ SIGNATURE(S) _____
 DATE: _____

NOTE: This Proxy should be marked, signed by the stockholder(s) exactly as his or her name appears hereon, and returned promptly in the enclosed envelope. Persons signing in fiduciary capacity should so indicate. If shares are held by joint tenants or as community property, both should sign.

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-K

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For fiscal year ended December 31, 2015

Commission file number: 000-50644

Cutera, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	77-0492262 (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)
3240 Bayshore Blvd. Brisbane, California 94005 (415) 657-5500 (Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant's principal executive offices)	

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, \$0.001 par value per share	The NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period than the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See the definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock, held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2015 (which is the last business day of registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter) based upon the closing price of such stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market on June 30, 2015, was approximately \$116 million. For purposes of this disclosure, shares of common stock held by entities and individuals who own 5% or more of the outstanding common stock and shares of common stock held by each officer and director have been excluded in that such persons may be deemed to be "affiliates" as that term is defined under the Rules and Regulations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. This determination of affiliate status is not necessarily conclusive.

The number of shares of Registrant's common stock issued and outstanding as of February 29, 2016 was 12,992,503.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Part III incorporates by reference certain information from the registrant's definitive proxy statement for the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

This page intentionally left blank

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
PART I	
Item 1. Business	1
Item 1A. Risk Factors	18
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	31
Item 2. Properties	31
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	31
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	31
PART II	
Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	32
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	34
Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	35
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	48
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	49
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	81
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures	81
Item 9B. Other Information	83
PART III	
Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	83
Item 11. Executive Compensation	83
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	83
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	83
Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services	83
PART IV	
Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	84

This page intentionally left blank

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

We are a global medical device company founded as a Delaware corporation in 1998, headquartered in Brisbane, California, specializing in the design, development, manufacture, marketing and servicing of laser and other energy based aesthetics systems for practitioners worldwide. We offer easy-to-use products based on the following key product platforms: *enlighten*[™], *excel HR*[™], *truSculpt*[™], *excel V*[™], and *xeo*[®]— each of which enables physicians and other qualified practitioners to perform safe and effective aesthetic procedures for their customers. Each of our laser and other energy-based platforms consists of one or more hand pieces and a console that incorporates a universal graphical user interface, a laser or other energy-based module, control system software and high voltage electronics. However, depending on the application, the laser or other energy-based module is sometimes contained in the hand piece itself.

Our trademarks include: "Cutera[®]," "CoolGlide," "enlighten," "excel HR," "excel V," "GenesisPlus," "solera," "titan," "truSculpt," and "xeo." Our logo and our other trade names, trademarks and service marks appearing in this document are our property. Other trade names, trademarks and service marks appearing in this annual report on Form 10-K are the property of their respective owners. Solely for convenience, our trademarks and trade names referred to in this annual report on Form 10-K appear without the [™] or [®] symbols, but those references are not intended to indicate, in any way, that we will not assert, to the fullest extent under applicable law, our rights, or the right of the applicable licensor to these trademarks and trade names.

A description of each of our hand pieces, and the aesthetic conditions they are designed to treat, is contained in the section below entitled "Products" and a summary of the features of our primary products is as follows:

- **enlighten**- In December 2014, we introduced our *enlighten* platform, a dual wavelength (1064 nm + 532 nm) and dual pulse duration (750 picosecond, or "ps," and 2 nanosecond, or "ns") laser system for tattoo removal and the treatment of benign pigmented lesions. In June 2015 we added a low-energy 532 nm enhancement to this platform, which significantly extended the treatment settings, enabling more effective treatment of benign pigmented lesions.
- **excel HR**- In June 2014, we introduced our *excel HR* platform, a premium hair removal solution for all skin types, combining Cutera's proven long-pulse 1064 nm Nd:YAG laser and a high-power 755 nm Alexandrite laser with sapphire contact cooling.
- **truSculpt**- In August 2012, we commenced shipments of our *truSculpt* platform with a 25cm² hand piece. *truSculpt* is a high-powered radio frequency ("RF") platform designed for deep tissue heating. This system is designed to treat all body areas and with its unique electrode design is able to achieve comfortable, uniform heating of subcutaneous tissue. In the fourth quarter of 2012, we commenced shipping a larger 40cm² hand piece that enables faster treatments of larger areas. In the third quarter of 2013, we commenced shipping a smaller 16 cm² hand piece.
- **excel V**- In February 2011, we introduced our *excel V* platform, a high-performance, vascular and benign pigmented lesion treatment platform designed specifically for the core-market of Dermatologists and Plastic Surgeons. This platform provides a combination of the 532 nanometer, or "nm" green laser with Cutera's award-winning 1064 nm Nd:YAG technology, to provide a single, compact and efficient system that treats the entire range of cosmetic vascular and benign pigmented lesion conditions, without the need for costly consumables.
- **xeo**- In 2003, we introduced the *xeo* platform, which can combine pulsed light and laser applications in a single system. The *xeo* is a multi-application platform on which a customer can purchase hand piece applications for the removal of unwanted hair, treatment of vascular lesions, and skin revitalization by treating discoloration, and treating fine lines and laxity.

Other than the above mentioned five primary systems, we continue to generate revenue from our legacy products such as *GenesisPlus*[™], *CoolGlide*[®], *solera*[®], and a third-party sourced system called *myQ*[™] for the Japanese market.

We offer our customers the ability to select the systems and applications that best fit their practice and to subsequently upgrade their systems to add new applications. This upgrade path allows our customers to cost-effectively build their aesthetic practices and provides us with a source of incremental revenue.

In addition to systems and upgrades, we generate revenue from the sale of post warranty services, Titan hand piece refills, and skincare products (Japanese market only).

The Structure of Skin and Conditions that Affect Appearance

The skin is the body's largest organ and is comprised of two layers called the epidermis and dermis. The epidermis is the outer layer, and serves as a protective barrier for the body. It contains cells that determine pigmentation, or skin color. The underlying layer of skin, the dermis, contains hair follicles and large and small blood vessels that are found at various depths below the epidermis. Collagen, also found within the dermis, provides strength and flexibility to the skin.

Many factors, including advancing age, smoking, and sun damage, can result in aesthetically unpleasant changes in the appearance of the skin. These changes can include:

- Undesirable hair growth;
- Enlargement or swelling of blood vessels due to circulatory changes that become visible at the skin's surface in the form of unsightly veins;
- Deterioration of collagen, leading to uneven texture, wrinkles and skin laxity; and
- Uneven pigmentation or sun spots due to long-term sun exposure.

In addition to these skin conditions, people seek removal of unwanted tattoos as well as removal of fat in certain body areas in order to improve their appearance and confidence.

The Market for Non-Surgical Aesthetic Procedures

The market for non-surgical aesthetic procedures has grown significantly over the past several years. Medical Insight, an independent industry research and analysis firm, estimated that in 2015 total sales of products in the global aesthetic market exceeded \$7 billion and indicates that total sales should increase 11.8% annually through 2019. For North America, the American Society of Plastic Surgeons estimates that in 2014 there were over 13.9 million minimally-invasive aesthetic procedures performed, a 4% increase over 2013 and a 154% increase over 2000.

We believe there are several factors contributing to the global growth of aesthetic treatment procedures and aesthetic laser equipment sales, including:

- **Improved Economic Environment and Expanded Physician Base-** The improvements in overall global economic conditions since the last recession has created increased demand for aesthetic procedures, which in turn has resulted in an expanding physician base to satisfy the demand.
- **Aging Demographics of Industrialized Countries-** The aging population of industrialized countries, the amount of discretionary income available to the "baby boomer" demographic segment — ages 51 to 69 in 2015 — and their desire to retain a youthful appearance, has increased the demand for aesthetic procedures. In 2015, there were approximately 75 million people in the baby boomer category, which is nearly 25%, of the U.S. population.
- **Broader Range of Safe and Effective Treatments-** Technical developments, as well as advances in treatable conditions with new product introductions, have led to safe, effective, easy-to-use and low-cost treatments with fewer side effects, resulting in broader adoption of aesthetic procedures by practitioners. In addition, technical developments have enabled practitioners to offer a broader range of treatments. These technical developments have reduced the required treatment and recovery times, which in turn have led to greater patient demand.
- **Broader Base of Customers-** Managed care and government payer reimbursement restrictions on physicians, has motivated them to establish or seek to expand their elective aesthetic practices with procedures that are paid for directly by patients. As a result, in addition to the core users such as dermatologists and plastic surgeons, many other non-core practitioners, such as gynecologists, family practitioners, primary care physicians, physicians offering aesthetic treatments in non-medical offices, and other qualified practitioners have expanded their practices and are offering aesthetic procedures.
- **Reductions in Cost per Procedure:** Due in part to increased competition in the aesthetic market, the cost per procedure has been reduced in the past few years. This has attracted a broader base of clients and patients for aesthetic procedures.
- **Wide Acceptance of Aesthetic Procedures and Increased Focus on Body Image and Appearance-** According to an ASAPS survey in 2010, 51% of Americans (including 53% of women and 49% of men) approved of cosmetic surgery, and 67% of Americans responded that they would not be embarrassed if their friends or family knew they had undergone a cosmetic procedure. Broader social acceptance of aesthetic treatments, has also driven the growth in aesthetic procedures.

Non-Surgical Aesthetic Procedures for Improving the Skin's Appearance and Their Limitations

Many alternative therapies are available for improving a person's appearance by treating specific structures within the skin. These procedures utilize injections or abrasive agents to reach different depths of the dermis and the epidermis. In addition, non-invasive and minimally-invasive treatments have been developed that employ laser and other energy-based technologies to achieve similar therapeutic results. Some of these more common therapies and their limitations are described below.

Hair Removal- Techniques for hair removal include waxing, depilatories, tweezing, shaving, electrolysis and laser and other energy-based hair removal. The only techniques that provide a long-lasting solution are electrolysis and other energy-based hair removal. Electrolysis is usually painful, time-consuming and expensive for large areas, but is the most common method for removing light-colored hair. During electrolysis, an electrologist inserts a needle directly into a hair follicle and activates an electric current in the needle. Since electrolysis only treats one hair follicle at a time, the treatment of an area as small as an upper lip may require numerous visits and many hours of treatment. In addition, electrolysis can cause blemishes and infection related to needle use.

Leg and Facial Veins- Current aesthetic treatment methods for leg and facial veins include sclerotherapy and laser and other energy-based treatments. With these treatments, patients seek to eliminate visible veins and improve overall skin appearance. Sclerotherapy requires a skilled practitioner to inject a saline or detergent-based solution into the target vein, which breaks down the vessel causing it to collapse and be absorbed into the body. The need to correctly position the needle on the inside of the vein makes it difficult to treat smaller veins, which limits the treatment of facial vessels and small leg veins. The American Society of Plastic Surgeons estimates that approximately 321,000 sclerotherapy procedures were performed in 2013.

Tattoo removal- The only effective way to remove tattoos on the body is to utilize laser systems that deliver very short pulse durations with high peak power intensity in order to break up the ink particles that tattoos are comprised of. According to a Tattoo Incidence Study published in ORC International in June 2015, up to 27% of Americans have one or more tattoos, and that 1 in 4 tattoo bearing American adults have "tattoo regret". Despite the effectiveness of lasers for tattoo removal, common complaints concerning laser tattoo removal center upon a low rate of complete clearance (sometimes no better than 50% after several treatments) as well as the high number of treatments for satisfactory clearance (often 10 or more treatments spaced 4-8 weeks apart). The latest generation of picosecond pulse duration lasers, pulses in the trillionths of a second, meaningfully improve clearance as well as a reduction in total number of treatments.

Skin Rejuvenation- Skin rejuvenation treatments include a broad range of popular alternatives, including Botox and collagen injections, chemical peels, microdermabrasions, radio frequency treatments and lasers and other energy-based treatments. With these treatments, patients hope to improve overall skin tone and texture, reduce pore size, tighten skin and remove other signs of aging, including mottled pigmentation, diffuse redness and wrinkles. All of these procedures are temporary solutions and must be repeated within several weeks or months to sustain their effect, thereby increasing the cost and inconvenience to patients. For example, the body absorbs Botox and collagen and patients require supplemental injections every three to six months to maintain the benefits of these treatments.

Some skin rejuvenation treatments, such as chemical peels and microdermabrasion, can have undesirable side effects. Chemical peels use acidic or caustic solutions to peel away the epidermis, and microdermabrasion generally utilizes sand crystals to resurface the skin. These techniques can lead to stinging, redness, irritation and scabbing. In addition, more serious complications, such as changes in skin color, can result from deeper chemical peels. Patients that undergo these deep chemical peels are also advised to avoid exposure to the sun for several months following the procedure. The American Society of Plastic Surgeons estimates that in 2014, approximately 6.7 million injections of Botulinum Toxin and 2.3 million injections of collagen and other soft-tissue fillers were administered; and 1.25 million chemical peels and 882,000 microdermabrasion procedures were performed.

In radio frequency tissue tightening, energy is applied to heat the dermis of the skin with the goal of shrinking and tightening collagen fibers. This approach may result in a more subtle and incremental change to the skin than a surgical facelift. Drawbacks to this approach may include surface irregularities that may however resolve over time, and the risk of burning the treatment area.

Laser and other energy-based non-surgical treatments for hair removal, veins, skin rejuvenation and body contouring are discussed in the following section and in the section entitled "Our Applications and Procedures" below.

Laser and Other Energy-Based Aesthetic Treatments

Laser and other energy-based aesthetic treatments can achieve therapeutic results by affecting structures within the skin. The development of safe and effective aesthetic treatments has created a well-established market for these procedures.

Ablative skin resurfacing is a method of improving the appearance of the skin by removing the outer layers of the skin. Ablative skin resurfacing procedures are considered invasive or minimally invasive, depending on how much of the epidermis is removed during a treatment. Non-ablative skin resurfacing is a method of improving the appearance of the skin by treating the underlying structure of the skin without damaging the outer layers of the skin. Practitioners can use laser and other energy-based technologies to selectively target hair follicles, veins or collagen in the dermis, as well as cells responsible for pigmentation in the epidermis, without damaging surrounding tissue. Practitioners can also use these technologies to safely remove portions of the epidermis and deliver heat to the dermis as a means of generating new collagen growth.

Safe and effective laser and energy-based treatments require an appropriate combination of the following four parameters:

- **Energy Level-** the amount of light or radio frequency emitted to heat a target;
- **Pulse Duration-** the time interval over which the energy is delivered;
- **Spot Size or Electrode Size-** the diameter of the energy beam, which affects treatment depth and area; and
- **Wavelength or Frequency-** the position in the electromagnetic spectrum which impacts the absorption and therefore the effective depth of the energy delivered.

For example, in the case of hair removal, by utilizing the correct combination of these parameters, a practitioner can use a laser or other light source to selectively target melanin within the hair follicle to absorb the laser energy and destroy the follicle, without damaging other delicate structures in the surrounding tissue. Wavelength and spot size permit the practitioner to target melanin in the base of the hair follicle, which is found in the dermis. The combination of pulse duration and energy level may vary, depending upon the thickness of the targeted hair follicle. A shorter pulse length with a high energy level is optimal to destroy fine hair, whereas coarse hair is best treated with a longer pulse length with lower energy levels. If treatment parameters are improperly set, non-targeted structures within the skin may absorb the energy thereby eliminating or reducing the therapeutic effect. In addition, improper setting of the treatment parameters or failure to protect the surface of the skin may cause burns, which can result in blistering, scabbing and skin discoloration.

Technology and Design of Our Systems

Our unique *xeo*, *GenesisPlus*, *excel V*, *truSculpt*, *excel HR* and *enlighten* platforms provide the long-lasting benefits of laser and other energy-based aesthetic treatments. Our technology allows for a combination of a wide variety of applications available in a single system. Key features of our solutions include:

- **Multiple Applications Available in a Single System-** Our platforms feature multiple-applications that enable practitioners to perform a variety of aesthetic procedures using a single device. These procedures include hair removal, vascular treatments and skin rejuvenation — including the treatment of discoloration, fine lines, and uneven texture. Because practitioners can use our systems for multiple indications, the cost of a unit may be spread across a potentially greater number of patients and procedures and therefore may be more rapidly recovered.
- **Technology and Design Leadership-** We offer innovative laser and other energy-based solutions for the aesthetic market. Our laser technology combines long wavelength, adjustable energy levels, variable spot sizes and a wide range of pulse durations, allowing practitioners to customize treatments for each patient and condition. Our proprietary pulsed light hand pieces for the treatment of discoloration, hair removal and vascular treatments optimize the wavelength used for treatments and incorporate a monitoring system to increase safety. Our *Titan* hand pieces utilize a novel light source that had not been previously used for aesthetic treatments. Our *Pearl* and *Pearl Fractional* hand pieces, with proprietary YSGG technology, represent the first application of the 2790 nm wavelength for minimally-invasive cosmetic dermatology. *excel V* is a stand-alone laser device that combines a new high power green laser with Cutera's award winning Nd:YAG technology, to provide a system that treats the entire range of cosmetic vascular conditions, without the need for costly consumables. *truSculpt* is a mono-polar radio frequency platform and has a unique electrode design that delivers high-powered energy at 1 MHz for the deep and uniform heating of the subcutaneous tissues at sustained therapeutic temperatures. This system includes real-time skin temperature sensing and a large 40cm² surface area for faster treatments over large areas of the body.
- **Upgradeable Platform-** We have designed some of our products to allow our customers to cost-effectively upgrade to our multi-application systems (*solera* and *xeo*), which provide our customers with the option to add additional applications to their existing systems and provides us with a source of incremental revenue. We believe that product

upgradeability allows our customers to take advantage of our latest product offerings and provide additional treatment options to their patients, thereby expanding the opportunities for their aesthetic practices.

- **Treatments for Broad Range of Skin Types and Conditions-** Our products remove hair safely and effectively on patients of all skin types, including harder-to-treat patients with dark or tanned skin. In addition, the wide parameter range of our systems allows practitioners to effectively treat patients with both fine and coarse hair. Practitioners may use our products to treat spider and reticular veins (unsightly small veins in the leg); facial veins; and perform skin rejuvenation procedures for discoloration, texture, fine lines, and wrinkles on any type of skin. The ability to customize treatment parameters enables practitioners to offer safe and effective therapies to a broad base of their patients.
- **Ease of Use-** We design our products to be easy to use. Our proprietary hand pieces are lightweight and ergonomic, minimizing user fatigue, and allow for clear views of the treatment area, reducing the possibility of unintended damage and increasing the speed of application. Our control console contains a universal graphical user interface with three simple, independently adjustable controls from which to select a wide range of treatment parameters to suit each patient's profile. The clinical navigation user interface on the *xeo* platform provides recommended clinical treatment parameter ranges based on patient criteria entered. And our *Pearl* and *Pearl Fractional* hand pieces include a scanner with multiple scan patterns to allow simple and fast treatments of the face. Risks involved in the use of our products include risks common to other laser and other energy-based aesthetic procedures, including the risk of burns, blistering and skin discoloration.

Strategy

Our goal is to maintain and expand our position as a leading, worldwide, provider of energy-based aesthetic devices and complementary aesthetic products by executing the following strategies:

- **Continue to Expand our Product Offering-** Though we believe that our current portfolio of products is comprehensive, our research and development group has a pipeline of potential products under development that we expect to commercialize in the future. We launched *GenesisPlus* in 2010, *excel V* in 2011, *truSculpt* in 2012, the *ProWave LX* and *truSculpt* 16 cm² hand pieces in 2013 and *excel HR* and *enlighten* in 2014. Such products will allow us to leverage our existing customer call points and provide us with new customer call points which will enhance the productivity of our distribution channels.
- **Increasing Revenue and Improving Productivity-** We believe that the market for aesthetic systems will continue to offer growth opportunities. We continue to build brand recognition, add additional products to our international distribution channel, and are focused on enhancing our global distribution network, all of which we expect will increase our revenue.
- **Increasing Focus on Practitioners with Established Medical Offices-** We believe there is growth opportunity in targeting our products to a broad customer base. We believe that our customers' success is largely dependent upon having an existing medical practice, in which our systems provide incremental revenue sources to augment their practice revenue. The success of our *excel V* platform has resulted from strong adoption by core customers in dermatology and plastic and reconstructive surgery.
- **Leveraging our Installed Base -** With the introduction of *excel V*, *truSculpt*, and now *excel HR* and *enlighten*, we are able to effectively offer additional platforms into our existing installed base. In addition, each of these platforms allows for potential future upgrades to offer additional indications or capabilities. We believe this program aligns our interest in generating revenue with our customers' interest in improving the return on their investment by expanding the range of applications that can be performed in their practice.
- **Generating Revenue from Services and Refillable Hand Pieces-** Our *Titan* and pulsed-light hand pieces are refillable products, which provide us with a source of recurring revenue from our existing customers. We offer post-warranty services to our customers either through extended service contracts to cover preventive maintenance or through direct billing for parts and labor. These post-warranty services serve as additional sources of recurring revenue.

Products

Our *CoolGlide*, *xeo*, *solera*, *GenesisPlus*, *excel V*, *truSculpt*, *myQ*, *excel HR* and *enlighten* platforms allow for the delivery of multiple laser and energy-based aesthetic applications from a single system. With our *xeo* and *solera* platforms, practitioners can purchase customized systems with a variety of our multi-technology applications.

The following table lists our currently offered products and each checked box represents the applications that were included in the product in the years noted. In the fourth quarter of 2014, we discontinued the manufacture and sale of the *VariLite* product, but continue to provide services for this product to our existing installed base of customers.

Applications:		Hair Removal:	Vascular Lesions:	Skin Rejuvenation			Non Invasive Body Contouring*:
System Platforms:	Products:	Year:	Energy Source:	Dyschromia:	Texture, Lines and Wrinkles:	Skin Laxity:	Melasma & Tattoo Removal:
<i>CoolGlide</i>	<i>CV</i>	2000	a	x			
	<i>Excel</i>	2001	a	x	x		
<i>xeo</i>	<i>Vantage</i>	2002	a	x	x		
	<i>Nd:YAG</i>	2003	a	x	x		
	<i>OPS600</i>	2003	b			x	
	<i>LP560</i>	2004	b			x	
	<i>Titan S</i>	2004	c				x
	<i>ProWave 770</i>	2005	b	x			
	<i>AcuTip 500</i>	2005	b		x		
	<i>Titan V/XL</i>	2006	c				x
	<i>LimeLight</i>	2006	b		x		
	<i>Pearl</i>	2007	d		x	x	
	<i>Pearl Fractional</i>	2008	d			x	
<i>solera</i>	<i>ProWave LX</i>	2013	b	x			
	<i>Titan S</i>	2004	c				x
	<i>ProWave 770</i>	2005	b	x			
	<i>OPS 600</i>	2005	b		x		
	<i>LP560</i>	2005	b		x		
	<i>AcuTip 500</i>	2005	b			x	
<i>GenesisPlus</i>	<i>Titan V/XL</i>	2006	c				x
	<i>LimeLight</i>	2006	b		x		
<i>ExcelPlus</i>		2010	a		x		
<i>excel V</i>		2011	e		x		
<i>myQ</i>		2011	e				x
<i>truSculpt</i>		2012	g				
<i>excel HR</i>		2014	h	x			
<i>enlighten</i>		2014	e				x

* Our CE Mark allows us to market the *truSculpt* in the European Union, Australia and certain other countries outside the U.S. for fat reduction, body shaping and body contouring. In the U.S. we have 510(k) clearance for the purpose of elevating tissue temperature for the treatment of selected medical conditions such as relief of pain, muscle spasms, increase in local circulation, and the temporary improvement in the appearance of cellulite.

Energy Source: a. 1064nm Nd:YAG laser; b. flashlamp; c. Infrared laser; d. 2790 nm YSGG laser; e. combined frequency-doubled 532 nm and 1064 nm Nd:YAG laser; f. combined frequency-doubled 532 nm and 940 nm diode laser; g. radio frequency at 1 MHz; h. combined frequency 755 nm Alexandrite laser and 1064 nm Nd:YAG laser

Each of our products consists of a control console and one or more hand pieces, depending on the model.

Control Console

Our control console includes a universal graphical user interface, control system software and high voltage electronics. All *CoolGlide* systems, *GenesisPlus*, *excel V* and some models of the *xeo* platform, include our laser module which consists of electronics, a visible aiming beam, a focusing lens, and an Nd:YAG and/or flashlamp laser that functions at wavelengths that permit penetration over a wide range of depths and is effective across all skin types. The interface allows the practitioner to set the appropriate laser or flashlamp parameters for each procedure through a user-friendly format. The control system software ensures that the operator's instructions are properly communicated from the graphic user interface to the other components within the system. Our high voltage electronics produce over 10,000 watts of peak laser energy, which permits therapeutic effects at short pulse durations. Our *solera* console platform comes in two configurations—*Opus* and *Titan*—both of which include a universal graphical user interface, control system software and high voltage electronics. The *solera Opus* console is designed specifically to drive our flashlamp hand pieces while the *solera Titan* console is designed specifically to drive the *Titan* hand pieces. The control system software is designed to ensure that the operator's instructions are properly communicated from the graphical user interface to the other components within the system and includes real-time calibration to control the output energy as the pulse is delivered during the treatment. Our *truSculpt* control console includes a high-powered, mono-polar RF generator at 1MHz capable of delivering up to 300 watts of energy. The *truSculpt* system dynamically adjusts current, voltage and power during treatment as needed to reach and maintain the appropriate treatment levels.

Hand Pieces

1064 nm Nd:YAG Hand Piece- Our 1064nm Nd:YAG hand piece delivers laser energy to the treatment area for hair removal, leg and facial vein treatment, and skin rejuvenation procedures to treat skin texture and fine lines. The 1064nm Nd:YAG hand piece consists of an energy-delivery component, consisting of an optical fiber and lens, and a copper cooling plate with imbedded temperature monitoring. The hand piece weighs approximately 14 ounces, which is light enough to be held with one hand. The lightweight nature and ergonomic design of the hand piece allows the operation of the device without user fatigue. Its design allows the practitioner an unobstructed view of the treatment area, which reduces the possibility of unintended damage to the skin and can increase the speed of treatment. The 1064nm Nd:YAG hand piece also incorporates our cooling system, providing integrated pre- and post-cooling of the treatment area through a temperature-controlled copper plate to protect the outer layer of the skin. The hand piece is available in either a fixed 10 millimeter spot size for our *CoolGlide CV* system, or a user-controlled variable 3, 5, 7 or 10 millimeter spot size for our *CoolGlide Excel* and *CoolGlide Vantage* systems.

excel V Hand Piece- The *excel V* system introduced in February 2011 delivers 1064 nm and 532 nm laser energy to the skin for the treatment of vascular and benign pigmented lesion. The *excel V* system supports two hand pieces, both consisting of an energy-delivery component housing an optical fiber and lens. One hand piece includes a sapphire window cooling plate with temperature monitoring. This hand piece offer a spot size range from 1.5 to 12 mm in 0.1 mm increments, and is capable of delivering either the 1064 nm or 532 nm laser energy. The second hand piece does not have a cooling plate and includes a non-contact temperature sensor to monitor the treatment area temperature. In addition, this second hand piece includes dual aiming beams that facilitate consistent treatments by maintaining the correct distance of the hand piece to the skin to ensure that the fixed 8 mm spot size is maintained.

GenesisPlus Hand Piece- Our *GenesisPlus* system launched in 2010 delivers 1064 nm laser energy to the treatment area for the temporary increase of clear nail in patients with onychomycosis and for the treatment of fine wrinkles, diffuse redness and rosacea. This lightweight 1064nm Nd:YAG hand piece consists of an energy-delivery component, housing an optical fiber and lens. The hand piece includes a non-contact temperature sensor to monitor the treatment area temperature. In addition, the hand piece includes dual, coaxial aiming beams that facilitate consistent treatments by maintaining the correct distance of the hand piece to the skin. This hand piece offers a single 5 mm spot size.

Pulsed Light Hand Piece- The *LP560*, *ProWave 770*, *ProWave LX*, *AcuTip 500*, and *LimeLight* hand pieces are designed to produce a pulse of light over a wavelength spectrum to treat discoloration such as age and sun spots and other dyschromia, hair removal, and superficial facial vessels. The hand pieces each consist of a custom flashlamp, proprietary wavelength filter, closed-loop power control and embedded temperature monitor, and weigh approximately 13 ounces. The filter in the *AcuTip 500* eliminates long and short wavelengths, transmitting only the therapeutic range required for safe and effective treatment. The filter in the *LP560*, *ProWave 770*, *ProWave LX*, and *LimeLight* eliminates short wavelengths, allowing longer wavelengths to be transmitted to the treatment area. In addition, the wavelength spectrum of the *ProWave 770* and the *LimeLight* can be shifted based on the setting of the control console. Our power control includes a monitoring system to ensure that the desired energy level is delivered. The hand pieces protect the epidermis by regulating the temperature of the

hand piece window through the embedded temperature monitor. These hand pieces are available on the *xeo* and *solera* platforms.

Titan Hand Piece- The *Titan* hand pieces are designed to produce a sustained pulse of light over a wavelength spectrum tailored to induce heating in the dermis. We are aware that some practitioners use the *Titan* hand piece to treat skin laxity (although the hand piece is cleared in the U.S. by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, only for deep dermal heating). The hand piece consists of a custom light source, proprietary wavelength filter, closed-loop power control, sapphire cooling window and embedded temperature monitor, and weighs approximately three pounds. The temperature of the epidermis is controlled by using a sapphire window to provide cooling before, during and after the delivery of energy to the treatment site. We offer two different *Titan* hand pieces—*Titan V* and *Titan XL*.

- *Titan V*- *Titan V* has a treatment tip that extends beyond the hand piece housing to provide enhanced visibility of the skin's surface to effectively treat delicate areas such as the skin around the eyes and nose.
- *Titan XL*- *Titan XL*, like the *Titan V*, has a treatment tip that extends beyond the housing for improved visibility. It also has a larger treatment spot size to treat larger body areas faster, such as the arms, abdomen and legs.

The *Titan* hand pieces can be used on the *xeo* and *solera* platforms. The *Titan* hand piece requires a periodic “refilling” process, which includes the replacement of the optical source, after a set number of pulses have been used. This provides us with a source of recurring revenue.

Pearl Hand Piece- The *Pearl* hand piece, introduced in 2007, is designed to treat fine lines, uneven texture and dyschromia through the application of proprietary YSGG laser technology. This hand piece can safely remove a small portion of the epidermis, while coagulating the remaining epidermis, leading to new collagen growth. The *Pearl* hand piece consists of a custom monolithic laser source, scanner and power monitoring electronics. The scanner includes multiple scan patterns to allow simple and fast treatments of the face. The hand piece includes an attachment for a smoke evacuator, allowing the practitioner to use one hand during treatment.

Pearl Fractional Hand Piece- The *Pearl Fractional* hand piece, introduced in 2008, also uses proprietary YSGG technology and is designed to treat wrinkles and deep dermal imperfections (although it is cleared in the U.S. by the FDA only for skin resurfacing and coagulation). This hand piece penetrates the deep dermis producing a series of micro-columns across the skin, which can result in the removal of damaged tissue and the production of new collagen. The *Pearl Fractional* hand piece consists of a custom monolithic laser source, scanner and power monitoring electronics. The scanner includes multiple scan patterns to allow simple and fast treatments of the face. The hand piece includes an attachment for a smoke evacuator, allowing the practitioner to use one hand during treatment.

truSculpt Hand Pieces- The *truSculpt* product introduced in August 2012 is used for the non-invasive heating of subcutaneous tissue. We sold three different *truSculpt* hand pieces in 2013. The original 25cm² hand piece (now discontinued), 40 cm² for larger body parts and the 16cm² for smaller parts of the body. Each of the *truSculpt* hand pieces is light weight and ergonomically designed for operator comfort, which allows for the uniform heat distribution delivered by the hand pieces. In addition, the hand pieces have a built-in, real time, temperature sensing system to monitor the temperature during the treatment.

excel HR Hand Piece- The dual wavelength *excel HR* system introduced in June 2014 delivers 1064 nm and 755 nm laser energy to the treatment area for hair removal. *excel HR*'s single hand piece consists of an energy-delivery component housing an optical fiber and lens. The hand piece features a sapphire window and peripheral cooling plate with temperature monitoring. The sapphire window allows for 30 watts of temperature regulation with user selectable settings ranging from 4 to 20 degrees centigrade and provides cooling of the skin before, during, and immediately after each laser pulse. This “pre, parallel, and post” cooling provides an anesthetic benefit that makes treatments more comfortable than systems without contact cooling, and also increases the safety profile of treatments by reducing the chances of burning skin. The hand piece has a wide spot-size range between 3 to 18 mm (5 to 18 mm, alexandrite mode).

enlighten Hand Piece- The dual wavelength and dual pulse mode *enlighten* system introduced in December 2014 delivers 532 nm and 1064 nm laser energy to treat benign pigmented lesions as well as the removal of multi-color tattoos. *enlighten*'s single hand piece consists of an energy-delivery component housing a motorized focus lens assembly connected to an articulated arm. The hand piece features spot size adjustability from 2 to 8mm, adjustable in 1 mm increments. As with all Cutera laser and light-based systems, the hand piece does not require manual power calibration through a separate calibration port. The power calibration is automatic and built into the laser system.

Upgrades

Our *excel V*, *xeo* and *solera* platforms are multi-application products that are designed to allow our customers to cost-effectively upgrade to our newest technologies, which provide our customers the option to add applications to their system and provides us with a source of additional revenue, which we treat as Product revenue.

Service

We offer post-warranty services to our customers through extended service contracts (that cover preventive maintenance and/or replacement parts and labor), or by direct billing for detachable hand piece replacements, parts and labor. These post-warranty services serve as additional sources of recurring revenue from our installed base.

Hand Piece Refills

We treat our customer's purchase of replacement *Titan* or *truSculpt* hand pieces as "refill" revenue, which provides us with a source of recurring revenue from existing customers. Following the launch of *truSculpt* product in 2012, we charged customers for hand piece refills, however, beginning in the third quarter of 2013 we now include *truSculpt* refills as part of our standard warranty and service contract product offerings.

Skincare

We distribute ZO Skin Health, Inc.'s ("ZO") physician-dispensed, topical skincare products and through the second quarter of 2014, we also distributed Merz's *Radiesse*[®] dermal filler product to physicians in the Japanese market.

Our Applications and Procedures

Our products are designed to allow the practitioner to select an appropriate combination of energy level, spot size and pulse duration for each treatment. The ability to manipulate the combinations of these parameters allows our customers to treat the broadest range of conditions available with a single energy-based system.

Hair Removal- Our laser technology allows our customers to treat all skin types and hair thicknesses. Our 1064 nm Nd:YAG and 755 nm Alexandrite lasers permits energy to safely penetrate through the epidermis of any skin type and into the dermis where the hair follicle is located. Using the universal graphic user interface on our control console, the practitioner sets parameters to deliver therapeutic energy with a large spot size and variable pulse durations, allowing the practitioner to treat fine or coarse hair. Our 1064nm Nd:YAG and 755 nm Alexandrite hand pieces allows our customers to treat all skin types, while our *ProWave 770* and *ProWave LX* hand pieces, with pulsed light technology, treat the majority of skin types quickly and effectively.

For hair removal treatments, the treatment site on the skin is first cleaned and shaved. The practitioner then applies a thin layer of gel to improve contact and aid gliding of the hand piece across the skin. If using the *CoolGlide* 1064nm Nd:YAG hand piece, the hand piece is applied directly to the skin to cool the area to be treated, then moved and a laser pulse is delivered to the pre-cooled area. To remove hair using the *excel HR*, *excel V*, *ProWave 770* and *ProWave LX* hand pieces, cooling is provided by a sapphire window placed directly on the skin, allowing the pulse of light to be applied while the treatment area is being cooled. In the case of both hand pieces, delivery of light which is converted to heat destroys the hair follicles and prevents hair re-growth. This procedure is then repeated at the next treatment site on the body, and can be done in a gliding motion to increase treatment speed. Patients receive on average three to six treatments. Each treatment can take between five minutes to one hour depending on the size of the area and the condition being treated. On average, there are six to eight weeks between treatments.

Vascular Lesions- Our laser technology allows our customers to treat the widest range of aesthetic vein conditions, including spider and reticular veins and small facial veins. Our *CoolGlide* and *xeo* 1064nm Nd:YAG hand piece's adjustable spot size of 3, 5, 7 or 10 millimeters; the *excel V* 1064 nm and 532 nm hand piece with adjustable spot sizes from 1.5 to 12 mm; and the *excel HR* 1064 nm and 755 nm hand pieces with adjustable spot sizes from 3 mm to 18 mm, allows the practitioner to control treatment depth to target different sized veins. Selection of the appropriate energy level and pulse duration ensures effective treatment of the intended target. Our *AcuTip 500* hand piece, with its 6 millimeter spot size, uses pulsed-light technology and is designed for the treatment of facial vessels.

The vein treatment procedure when using the 1064nm Nd:YAG hand piece is performed in a substantially similar manner to the laser hair removal procedure. The laser hand piece is used to cool the treatment area both before and after the laser pulse

has been applied. With the *excel V* and *excel HR* hand pieces the cooling can be performed pre, during and post-delivery of the laser pulse. With the *AcuTip 500* hand piece, the pulse of light is delivered while the treatment area is being cooled with the sapphire tip. The delivered energy damages the vein and, over time, it is absorbed by the body. Patients receive on average between one and six treatments, with six weeks or longer between treatments.

Skin Rejuvenation- Our Nd:YAG laser and other energy based technologies allow our customers to perform non-invasive and minimally-invasive treatments that reduce redness, fine lines and wrinkles, improve skin texture, and treat other aesthetic conditions.

Tattoo Removal- Our *enlighten* dual wavelength, dual pulse duration system featuring picosecond technology and our *myQ* Q-switched laser can be used for tattoo removal, for the treatment of benign pigmented lesions, and for laser skin toning.

Texture, Lines and Wrinkles- When using a 1064nm Nd:YAG laser to improve skin texture and treat fine lines, cooling is not applied and the hand piece is held directly above the skin. A large number of pulses are directed at the treatment site, repeatedly covering an area, such as the cheek. By delivering many pulses of laser light to a treatment area, a gentle heating of the dermis occurs and collagen growth is stimulated to rejuvenate the skin and reduce wrinkles. Patients typically receive four to six treatments for this procedure. The treatment typically takes less than a half hour and there are typically two to four weeks between treatments.

When treating texture and fine lines with a *Pearl* hand piece, the hand piece is held at a controlled distance from the skin and the scanner delivers a preset pattern of spots to the treatment area. Cooling is not applied to the epidermis during the treatment. The energy delivered by the hand piece ablates a portion of the epidermis while leaving a coagulated portion that will gently peel off over the course of a few days. Heat is also delivered into the dermis which can result in the production of new collagen. Treatment of the full face can usually be performed in 15 to 30 minutes. Patients receive on average between one and three treatments at monthly intervals.

When treating wrinkles and deep dermal imperfections with a *Pearl Fractional* hand piece, the hand piece is held at a controlled distance from the skin and the scanner delivers a preset pattern of spots to the treatment area. Cooling is not applied to the epidermis during the treatment. The energy delivered by the hand piece penetrates the deep dermis producing a series of micro-columns across the skin, which can result in the removal of damaged tissue and the production of new collagen. Treatment of the full face can usually be performed in less than an hour. Patients receive on average between one and three treatments at monthly intervals.

Our CE Mark allows us to market *Pearl Fractional* in the European Union, Australia and certain other countries outside the U.S. for the treatment of wrinkles and deep dermal imperfections. However, in the U.S. we have a 510(k) clearance only for skin resurfacing and coagulation.

Toenail Fungus- In addition to performing skin rejuvenation, our CE Mark allows us to market *GenesisPlus* in the European Union, Australia and certain other countries outside the U.S. for the treatment of onychomycosis (“toenail fungus”). Tiny pulses of light from an Nd:YAG laser pass through the toenail to the fungus underneath, which is irradiated without any damage to the surrounding nail or skin. The *GenesisPlus* has dual aiming beams that facilitate consistent treatments by maintaining the correct distance of the hand piece to the skin. In addition, during the treatment an integrated sensor is used to actively monitor the temperature of the treatment area. In the U.S. we have 510(k) clearance to market *GenesisPlus* for the temporary increase of clear nail in patients with onychomycosis.

Dyschromia- Our pulsed-light technologies allow our customers to safely and effectively treat red and brown dyschromia, which is skin discoloration, benign pigmented lesions, and rosacea. The practitioner delivers a narrow spectrum of light to the surface of the skin through our *LP560* or *LimeLight* hand pieces. These hand pieces include one of our proprietary wavelength filters, which reduce the energy level required for therapeutic effect and minimize the risk of skin injury.

In treating benign pigmented lesions with a pulsed-light technology, the hand piece is placed directly on the skin and then the light pulse is triggered. The cells forming the pigmented lesion absorb the light energy, darken and then flake off over the course of two to three weeks. Several treatments may be required to completely remove the lesion. The treatment takes a few minutes per area treated and there are typically three to four weeks between treatments.

The 532 nm wavelength green laser option of the *excel V* and *enlighten* systems, as well as the 755 nm infrared wavelength of the *excel HR*, can be used to treat benign pigmented lesions in substantially the same way as described above with the pulsed light devices.

Practitioners can also treat dyschromia and other skin conditions with our *Pearl* hand piece. During these treatments, the heat delivered by the *Pearl* hand piece will remove the outer layer of the epidermis while coagulating a portion of the epidermis. That coagulated portion will gently peel off over the course of a few days, revealing a new layer of skin underneath. Treatment of the full face can usually be performed in 15 to 30 minutes. Patients receive on average between one and three treatments at monthly intervals.

Skin Laxity- Our *Titan* technology allows our customers to use deep dermal heating to tighten lax skin. The practitioner delivers a spectrum of light to the skin through our *Titan* hand piece. This hand piece includes our proprietary light source and wavelength filter which tailors the delivered spectrum of light to provide heating at the desired depth in the skin.

In treating skin laxity, the hand piece is placed directly on the skin and then the light pulse is triggered. A sustained pulse causes significant heating in the dermis. This heating can cause immediate collagen contraction while also stimulating long-term collagen re-growth. Several treatments may be required to obtain the desired degree of tightening of the skin. The treatment of a full face can take over an hour and there are typically four weeks between treatments.

Our CE Mark allows us to market the *Titan* in the European Union, Australia and certain other countries outside the U.S. for the treatment of wrinkles through skin tightening. However, in the U.S. we have a 510(k) clearance for only deep dermal heating.

Non-Invasive Body Contouring- our *truSculpt* technology allows physicians to apply a hand piece directly to the skin and deliver high-powered RF energy that results in the deep and uniform heating of the subcutaneous fat tissue at sustained therapeutic temperatures. This heating can cause selective destruction of fat cells, which are eliminated from the treatment area through the body's natural wound healing processes. The treatment takes approximately 45 minutes and two or more treatments may be required to obtain the desired aesthetic results.

Our CE Mark allows us to market the *truSculpt* in the European Union, Australia and certain other countries outside the U.S. for fat reduction, body shaping and body contouring. In the U.S. we have 510(k) clearance for the purpose of elevating tissue temperature for the treatment of selected medical conditions such as relief of pain, muscle spasms, increase in local circulation, and the temporary improvement in the appearance of cellulite.

Sales and Marketing

In the U.S. we market and sell our products primarily through a direct sales organization. Generally, each direct sales employee is assigned a specific territory. As of December 31, 2015, we had a U.S. direct sales force of 34 employees. We internally manage our U.S. and Canadian sales organization as one North American sales region with 40 territories as of December 31, 2015.

International sales are generally made through a worldwide distributor network in over 40 countries, as well as a direct international sales force of 32 employees, as of December 31, 2015. As of December 31, 2015, we had direct sales offices in Australia, Belgium, Canada, France, Japan and Switzerland. Our international revenue as a percentage of total revenue represented 48% in 2015, 55% in 2014 and 58% in 2013.

We also sell certain items like *Titan* hand piece refills and marketing brochures through the internet.

Although specific customer requirements can vary depending on applications, customers generally demand quality, performance, ease of use, and high productivity in relation to the cost of ownership. We have responded to these customer demands by introducing new products focused on these requirements in the markets we serve. Specifically, we believe that we introduce new products and applications that are innovative, address the specific aesthetic procedures in demand, and are upgradeable on our customers' existing systems. In addition, we provide attractive upgrade pricing to new product families. To increase market penetration, in addition to marketing to the core specialties of plastic surgeons and dermatologists, we also market to the non-core aesthetic practices consisting of gynecologists, primary care physicians, family practitioners, physicians offering aesthetic treatments in non-medical offices, podiatrists and other qualified practitioners.

We seek to establish strong ongoing relationships with our customers through the upgradeability of our products, sales of extended service contracts, the refilling of *Titan* hand pieces, ongoing training and support, and distributing (in Japan only) skincare products. We primarily target our marketing efforts to practitioners through office visits, workshops, trade shows, webinars and trade journals. We also market to potential patients through brochures, workshops and our website. In addition, we offer clinical forums with recognized expert panelists to promote advanced treatment techniques using our products to further enhance customer loyalty and uncover new sales opportunities.

Competition

Our industry is subject to intense competition. Our products compete against conventional non-energy-based treatments, such as electrolysis, Botox and collagen injections, chemical peels, microdermabrasion and sclerotherapy. Our products also compete against laser and other energy-based products offered by public companies, such as Cynosure, Elen (in Italy), Lumenis (acquired by XIO Group in September 2015), Syneron and Zeltiq, as well as private companies, including, Alma, Sciton, and several others.

Competition among providers of laser and other energy-based devices for the aesthetic market is characterized by extensive research efforts and innovative technology. While we attempt to protect our products through patents and other intellectual property rights, there are few barriers to entry that would prevent new entrants or existing competitors from developing products that would compete directly with ours. There are many companies, both public and private, that are developing innovative devices that use both energy-based and alternative technologies. Some of these competitors have greater resources than we do or product applications for certain sub-markets in which we do not participate. Additional competitors may enter the market, and we are likely to compete with new companies in the future. To compete effectively, we have to demonstrate that our products are attractive alternatives to other devices and treatments by differentiating our products on the basis of performance, brand name, service and price. We have encountered, and expect to continue to encounter, potential customers who, due to existing relationships with our competitors, are committed to, or prefer, the products offered by these competitors. Competitive pressures may result in price reductions and reduced margins for our products.

Research and Development

Our research and development group develops new products and applications and builds clinical support to address unmet or underserved market needs. As of December 31, 2015, our research and development activities were conducted by a staff of 34 employees with a broad base of experience in lasers, optoelectronics, software and other fields. We have developed relationships with outside contract engineering and design consultants, giving our team additional technical and creative breadth. We work closely with thought leaders and customers, to understand unmet needs and emerging applications in aesthetic medicine. Research and development expenses were approximately \$10.7 million in 2015, \$10.7 million in 2014 and \$9.2 million in 2013.

Service and Support

Our products are engineered to enable quick and efficient service and support. There are several separate components of our products, each of which can easily be removed and replaced. We believe that quick and effective delivery of service is important to our customers. As of December 31, 2015, we had a 45-person global service department. Internationally, we provide direct service support through our Australia, Belgium, Canada, France, Hong Kong, Japan, Spain and Switzerland offices, and also through the network of distributors and third-party service providers in over 40 countries.

We provide a standard one-year warranty coverage for all of our systems. We provide initial warranties on our products to cover parts and service and offer extended service plans that vary by the type of product and the level of service desired. Our standard warranty on system consoles covers parts and service for a standard period of one year. From time to time, we also have promotions whereby we include a post-warranty service contract with the sale of our products. Customers are notified before their initial warranty expires and are able to purchase extended service plans covering replacement parts and labor.

In countries where we are represented by distributor partners, our customers are serviced through the distributor network. Distributors are generally provided 14 months warranty coverage for parts only, with labor being provided to the end customer by the distributor.

In the event a customer does not purchase an extended service plan, we will offer to service the customer's system and charge the customer for time and materials. With respect to the *truSculpt* and other hand pieces, if a customer's system is out of warranty, and they have not purchased an extended service contract that covers hand piece replacements, then the customer is charged for their replacement hand piece.

Our *Titan* hand pieces generally include a warranty for a set number of shots, instead of for a period of time.

Manufacturing

We manufacture our products with components and subassemblies supplied by vendors. We assemble and test each of our products at our Brisbane, California facility. Quality control, cost reduction and inventory management are top priorities of our manufacturing operations.

We purchase certain components and subassemblies from a limited number of suppliers. We have flexibility with our suppliers to adjust the number of components and subassemblies as well as the delivery schedules. The forecasts we use are based on historical demands and sales projections. Lead times for components and subassemblies may vary significantly depending on the size of the order, time required to fabricate and test the components or subassemblies, specific supplier requirements and current market demand for the components and subassemblies. We reduce the potential for disruption of supply by maintaining sufficient inventories and identifying additional suppliers. The time required to qualify new suppliers for some components, or to redesign them, could cause delays in our manufacturing. To date, we have not experienced significant delays in obtaining any of our components or subassemblies.

We use small quantities of common cleaning products in our manufacturing operations, which are lawfully disposed of through a normal waste management program. We do not forecast any material costs due to compliance with environmental laws or regulations.

We are required to manufacture our products in compliance with the FDA's Quality System Regulation, or QSR. The QSR covers the methods and documentation of the design, testing, control, manufacturing, labeling, quality assurance, packaging, storage and shipping of our products. The FDA enforces the QSR through periodic unannounced inspections. We had an FDA full quality system audit for three weeks during March 2014. There were no significant findings as a result of this audit and our responses have been accepted by the FDA. Our failure to maintain compliance with the QSR requirements could result in the shutdown of our manufacturing operations and the recall of our products, which would have a material adverse effect on business. In the event that one of our suppliers fails to maintain compliance with our quality requirements, we may have to qualify a new supplier and could experience manufacturing delays as a result. We have opted to maintain quality assurance and quality management certifications to enable us to market our products in the U.S., the member states of the European Union, the European Free Trade Association and countries which have entered into Mutual Recognition Agreements with the European Union. In January 2016, we passed our surveillance recertification audit establishing compliance with the most current requirements of EN ISO 13485:2012, CAN/CSA ISO 13485:2003, and MDD 93/42/EEC. Our manufacturing facility is ISO 13485 certified.

Patents and Proprietary Technology

We rely on a combination of patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws, and non-disclosure, confidentiality and invention assignment agreements to protect our intellectual property rights. As of December 31, 2015, we had 34 issued U.S. patents and 4 pending U.S. patent applications. In the U.S. and several foreign countries, we have registered our Company name and several of our product names as trademarks, including *Cutera*, *Acutip 500*, *CoolGlide*, *CoolGlide Excel*, *enlighten*, *Limelight*, *myQ*, *Pearl*, *ProWave 770*, *ProWave LX*, *solera*, *Titan*, *xeo* and *truSculpt*. We may have common law rights in other product names, including *excel V*, *Pearl Fractional*, *solera Titan* and *excel HR*. We intend to file for additional patents and trademarks to continue to strengthen our intellectual property rights.

We licensed certain patents from Palomar (acquired by Cynosure in 2013) and paid ongoing royalties based on sales of applicable hair-removal products. The royalty rate on these products ranged from 3.75% to 7.50% of revenue. The remaining U.S. patents expired in February 2015 and the remaining international patents expired in February 2016. As a result, all our revenue from February 2016 onwards will not be subject to royalties. Our revenue from systems that do not include hair-removal capabilities (such as our *solera Titan*, *xeo SA*, *GenesisPlus*, *myQ*, *excel V* and *enlighten*), and other revenue from service contracts, *Titan*, skincare products, were not subject to these royalties. In addition, in 2006 we capitalized \$1.2 million as an intangible asset representing the ongoing license for these patents, which was being amortized on a straight-line basis over their expected useful life of 9-10 years.

Our employees and technical consultants are required to execute confidentiality agreements in connection with their employment and consulting relationships with us. We also require them to agree to disclose and assign to us all inventions conceived in connection with the relationship. We cannot provide any assurance that employees and consultants will abide by the confidentiality or assignability terms of their agreements. Despite measures taken to protect our intellectual property, unauthorized parties may copy aspects of our products or obtain and use information that we regard as proprietary.

Government Regulation

Our products are medical devices subject to extensive and rigorous regulation by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, as well as other regulatory bodies. FDA regulations govern the following activities that we perform and will continue to perform to ensure that medical products distributed domestically or exported internationally are safe and effective for their intended uses:

- Product design and development;
- Product testing;
- Product manufacturing;
- Product safety;
- Product labeling;
- Product storage;
- Recordkeeping;
- Pre-market clearance or approval;
- Advertising and promotion;
- Production;
- Product sales and distribution; and
- Complaint Handling.

FDA's Pre-market Clearance and Approval Requirements

Unless an exemption applies, each medical device we wish to commercially distribute in the U.S. will require either prior 510(k) clearance or pre-market approval from the FDA. The FDA classifies medical devices into one of three classes. Devices deemed to pose lower risks are placed in either class I or II, which requires the manufacturer to submit to the FDA a pre-market notification requesting permission to commercially distribute the device. This process is generally known as 510(k) clearance. Some low risk devices are exempted from this requirement. Devices deemed by the FDA to pose the greatest risk, such as life-sustaining, life-supporting or implantable devices, or devices deemed not substantially equivalent to a previously cleared 510(k) device, are placed in class III, requiring pre-market approval. All of our current products are class II devices.

510(k) Clearance Pathway

When a 510(k) clearance is required, we must submit a pre-market notification demonstrating that our proposed device is substantially equivalent to a previously cleared 510(k) device or a device that was in commercial distribution before May 28, 1976 for which the FDA has not yet called for the submission of Pre-Market Approval, or PMA, applications. By regulation, the FDA is required to clear or deny a 510(k), pre-market notification within 90 days of submission of the application. As a practical matter, clearance often takes significantly longer. The FDA may require further information, including clinical data, to make a determination regarding substantial equivalence. Laser devices used for aesthetic procedures, such as hair removal, have generally qualified for clearance under 510(k) procedures.

The following table details the indications for which we received a 510(k) clearance for our products and when these clearances were received.

FDA Marketing Clearances:	Date Received:
Laser-based products:	
- treatment of vascular lesions.....	June 1999
- hair removal.....	March 2000
- permanent hair reduction.....	January 2001
- treatment of benign pigmented lesions and pseudo folliculitis barbae, commonly referred to as razor bumps, and for the reduction of red pigmentation in scars.....	June 2002
- treatment of wrinkles.....	October 2002
- treatment to increase clear nail in patients with onychomycosis.....	April 2011
- expanded spot size to 5 mm for clear nail in patients with onychomycosis.....	May 2013
- addition of Alexandrite 755 nm laser wavelength for hair removal, permanent hair reduction and the treatment of vascular and benign pigmented lesions.....	December 2013
- <i>enlighten</i> picosecond and nanosecond 532/1064 nm for the treatment of benign pigmented lesions...	August 2014
- <i>enlighten</i> picosecond and nanosecond 532/1064 nm for tattoo removal.....	November 2014
Pulsed-light technologies:	
- treatment of pigmented lesions.....	March 2003
- hair removal and vascular treatments.....	March 2005
Infrared Titan technology for deep dermal heating for the temporary relief of minor muscle and joint pain and for the temporary increase in local circulation where applied.....	February 2004
Solera tabletop console:	
- for use with the Titan hand piece.....	October 2004
- for use with our pulsed-light hand pieces.....	January 2005
Pearl product for the treatment of wrinkles.....	March 2007
Pearl Fractional product for skin resurfacing and coagulation.....	August 2008
truSculpt radio frequency (“RF”) product for deep tissue heating for the temporary relief of minor muscle and joint pain and for a temporary improvement in the appearance of cellulite	
- 16cm ² to 25cm ² hand pieces for smaller body parts.....	April 2008
- 16cm ² to 40cm ² hand pieces for larger body parts.....	November 2012
- Product labeling and technology updates for existing clearances.....	September 2014

Pre-Market Approval (“PMA”) Pathway

A PMA must be submitted to the FDA if the device cannot be cleared through the 510(k) process. A PMA must be supported by extensive data, including but not limited to, technical, preclinical, clinical trials, manufacturing and labeling to demonstrate to the FDA’s satisfaction the safety and effectiveness of the device. No device that we have developed to date has required pre-market approval, although development of future devices or indications may require pre-market approval.

Product Modifications

We have modified aspects of our products since receiving regulatory clearance, but we believe that new 510(k) clearances are not required for these modifications. After a device receives 510(k) clearance or a PMA, any modification that could significantly affect its safety or effectiveness, or that would constitute a major change in its intended use, will require a new clearance or approval. The FDA requires each manufacturer to make this determination initially, but the FDA can review any such decision and can disagree with a manufacturer's determination. If the FDA disagrees with our determination not to seek a new 510(k) clearance or PMA, the FDA may retroactively require us to seek 510(k) clearance or pre-market approval. The FDA could also require us to cease marketing and distribution and/or recall the modified device until 510(k) clearance or pre-market approval is obtained. Also, in these circumstances, we may be subject to significant regulatory fines or penalties.

Clinical Trials

When FDA approval of a class I, class II or class III device requires human clinical trials, and if the device presents a "significant risk," as defined by the FDA, to human health, the device sponsor is required to file an Investigational Device Exemption, or IDE, application with the FDA and obtain IDE approval prior to commencing the human clinical trial. If the device is considered a "non-significant" risk, IDE submission to the FDA is not required. Instead, only approval from the Institutional Review Board, or IRB, overseeing the clinical trial is required. Human clinical studies are generally required in connection with approval of class III devices and may be required for class I and II devices. The IDE application must be supported by appropriate data, such as animal and laboratory testing results, showing that it is safe to test the device in humans and that the testing protocol is scientifically sound. The IDE must be approved in advance by the FDA for a specified number of patients. Clinical trials for a significant risk device may begin once the application is reviewed and cleared by the FDA and the appropriate institutional review boards at the clinical trial sites. Future clinical trials of our products may require that we submit and obtain clearance of an IDE from the FDA prior to commencing clinical trials. The FDA, and the IRB at each institution at which a clinical trial is being performed, may suspend a clinical trial at any time for various reasons, including a belief that the subjects are being exposed to an unacceptable health risk.

Pervasive and Continuing Regulation

After a device is placed on the market, numerous regulatory requirements apply. These include:

- Quality system regulations, which require manufacturers, including third-party manufacturers, to follow stringent design, testing, control, documentation and other quality assurance procedures during all aspects of the manufacturing process;
- Labeling regulations and FDA prohibitions against the promotion of products for un-cleared, unapproved or "off-label" uses;
- Medical device reporting regulations, which require that manufacturers report to the FDA if their device may have caused or contributed to a death or serious injury or malfunctioned in a way that would likely cause or contribute to a death or serious injury if the malfunction were to recur; and
- Post-market surveillance regulations, which apply when necessary to protect the public health or to provide additional safety and effectiveness data for the device.

The FDA has broad post-market and regulatory enforcement powers. We are subject to unannounced inspections by the FDA and the Food and Drug Branch of the California Department of Health Services, or CDHS, to determine our compliance with the QSR and other regulations, and these inspections may include the manufacturing facilities of our subcontractors. In the past, our prior facility has been inspected, and observations were noted. There were no findings that involved a material violation of regulatory requirements. Our responses to these observations have been accepted by the FDA and CDHS, and we believe that we are in substantial compliance with the QSR. Our current manufacturing facility has been inspected by the FDA and the CDHS. The FDA and the CDHS noted observations, but there were no findings that involved a material violation of regulatory requirements. Our responses to those observations have been accepted by the FDA and CDHS.

We are also regulated under the Radiation Control for Health and Safety Act, which requires laser products to comply with performance standards, including design and operation requirements, and manufacturers to certify in product labeling and in reports to the FDA that their products comply with all such standards. The law also requires laser manufacturers to file new product and annual reports, maintain manufacturing, testing and sales records, and report product defects. Various warning labels must be affixed and certain protective devices installed, depending on the class of the product.

Failure to comply with applicable regulatory requirements can result in enforcement action by the FDA, which may include any of the following sanctions:

- Warning letters, fines, injunctions, consent decrees and civil penalties;
- Repair, replacement, recall or seizure of our products;
- Operating restrictions or partial suspension or total shutdown of production;
- Refusing our requests for 510(k) clearance or pre-market approval of new products, new intended uses, or modifications to existing products;
- Withdrawing 510(k) clearance or pre-market approvals that have already been granted; and
- Criminal prosecution.

The FDA also has the authority to require us to repair, replace or refund the cost of any medical device that we have manufactured or distributed. If any of these events were to occur, they could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We are also subject to a wide range of federal, state and local laws and regulations, including those related to the environment, health and safety, land use and quality assurance. We believe that compliance with these laws and regulations as currently in effect will not have a material adverse effect on our capital expenditures, earnings and competitive and financial position.

International

International sales of medical devices are subject to foreign governmental regulations, which vary substantially from country to country. The time required to obtain clearance or approval by a foreign country may be longer or shorter than that required for FDA clearance or approval, and the requirements may be different.

The primary regulatory environment in Europe is that of the European Union, which consists of a 28 countries encompassing most of the major countries in Europe. The member states of the European Free Trade Association have voluntarily adopted laws and regulations that mirror those of the European Union with respect to medical devices. Other countries, such as Switzerland, have entered into Mutual Recognition Agreements and allow the marketing of medical devices that meet European Union requirements. The European Union has adopted numerous directives and European Standardization Committees have promulgated voluntary standards regulating the design, manufacture, clinical trials, labeling and adverse event reporting for medical devices. Devices that comply with the requirements of a relevant directive will be entitled to bear CE conformity marking, indicating that the device conforms with the essential requirements of the applicable directives and, accordingly, can be commercially distributed throughout the member states of the European Union, the member states of the European Free Trade Association and countries which have entered into a Mutual Recognition Agreement. The method of assessing conformity varies depending on the type and class of the product, but normally involves a combination of self-assessment by the manufacturer and a third-party assessment by a Notified Body, an independent and neutral institution appointed by a country to conduct the conformity assessment. This third-party assessment may consist of an audit of the manufacturer's quality system and specific testing of the manufacturer's device. An assessment by a Notified Body in one member state of the European Union, the European Free Trade Association or one country which has entered into a Mutual Recognition Agreement is required in order for a manufacturer to commercially distribute the product throughout these countries. ISO 9001 and ISO 13845 certification are voluntary harmonized standards. Compliance establishes the presumption of conformity with the essential requirements for a CE Marking. In February 2000, our facility was awarded the ISO 9001 and EN 46001 certification. In March 2003, we received our ISO 9001 updated certification (ISO 9001:2000) as well as our certification for ISO 13485:1996 which replaced our EN 46001 certification. In March 2004, we received our ISO 13485:2003 certification and in March 2006, March 2010, February 2011 and January 2012 we passed ISO 13485 recertification audits. Our most recent recertification audit occurred in January 2015. We passed the audit establishing compliance with the most current requirements of EN ISO 13485:2012, CAN/CSA ISO 13485:2003, and MDD 93/42/EEC.

Employees

As of December 31, 2015, we had 262 employees, compared to 266 employees as of December 31, 2014. Of the 262 employees at December 31, 2015, 103 were in sales and marketing, 56 in manufacturing operations, 45 in technical service, 34 in research and development and 24 in general and administrative. We believe that our future success will depend in part on our continued ability to attract, hire and retain qualified personnel. None of our employees are represented by a labor union, and we believe our employee relations are good.

Available Information

We are subject to the reporting requirements under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Consequently, we are required to file reports and information with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, including reports on the following forms: annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These reports and other information concerning the company may be accessed through the SEC's website at www.sec.gov. Such filings, as well as our charters for our Audit and Compensation Committees and our Code of Ethics are available on our website at www.cutera.com. In the event that we grant a waiver under our Code of Ethics to any of our officers and directors, we will publish it on our website.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

We operate in a rapidly changing economic and technological environment that presents numerous risks, many of which are driven by factors that we cannot control or predict. Our business, financial condition and results of operations may be impacted by a number of factors. In addition to the factors discussed elsewhere in this report, the following risks and uncertainties could materially harm our business, financial condition or results of operations, including causing our actual results to differ materially from those projected in any forward-looking statements. The following list of significant risk factors is not all-inclusive or necessarily in order of importance. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us, or that we currently deem immaterial, also may materially adversely affect us in future periods. You should carefully consider these risks and uncertainties before investing in our securities.

Revenue from the U.S. represents a significant part of our total revenue. In 2015, our U.S. revenue increased by 38%, compared to 2014. Unless our U.S. revenue continues to improve, we could experience a material adverse effect on our total revenue, profitability, employee retention and stock price.

Revenue from the U.S. represented 52% of our total revenue in 2015 compared to 45% in 2014. U.S revenue increased by 38% in 2015, compared to 2014, due to several factors, including:

- In 2014 and in 2015, we continued to expand our North American direct sales force, restructured their compensation arrangements, and hired new sales management experienced in the medical equipment industry.
- Historically, following a new product introduction, we experience revenue growth, compared to the same period in the prior year. We experienced revenue growth from our new *enlighten* and *excel HR* products launched in the fourth and second quarter of 2014, respectively.

There can be no assurance that we will continue to introduce new products each year, or that the new product introductions will translate into increased revenue in the long term in the U.S., or that the new direct sales employees and management hired to replace the departed sales employees will continue to be effective and result in improved sales productivity. Further, if the current economic recovery does not continue, or there is another recession in the U.S., our future revenue would be adversely impacted and we could experience a material adverse effect on total revenue, profitability, employee retention and stock price.

In over seven years we have only had three profitable quarters and we are unable to predict whether we will return to sustained quarterly profits in the future.

Although we had a profitable fourth quarter in 2009, 2012 and 2015, we have otherwise had net quarterly losses in each quarter since the third quarter of 2008. There is no guarantee that we will be profitable in the future and you should not rely on our operating results for any prior quarterly or annual periods as an indication of our future operating performance. Any predictions about the performance of our operations in the future may not be as accurate as they could be if we had a longer history of profitability.

Revenue growth in our business is driven by several factors and one such factor is new product introductions. While our recently released products in 2014 — *enlighten- Q4'14* and *excel HR- Q2'14* — have resulted in the growth of our revenue over the last seven quarters ended December 31, 2015, sales of our *truSculpt* product introduced in 2012 have not penetrated the market to the degree we had expected.

In an effort to improve our revenue, we have invested in the restructuring and expansion of our global sales force, re-evaluated and changed the structure of their compensation arrangements, hired new senior sales management with prior experience in

the aesthetic medical device industry, and increased our marketing and promotional activities. We have also invested heavily in training our new sales employees to sell our products and in marketing efforts to generate additional revenue.

For the full-year 2015, compared to 2014, our revenue increased by \$16.6 million but our combined cost of revenue and operating expenses increased by \$10.5 million. Our ability to return to sustained profitability depends on the extent to which we can increase revenue and control our costs to be able to leverage our expenses. In addition, we need to be able to counter any unforeseen difficulties, complications, product delays or other unknown factors that may require additional expenditures. Because of the numerous risks and uncertainties associated with our growth prospects, product development, sales and marketing and other efforts, unforeseen litigation expenses, etc., we are unable to predict the extent of our future profitability or losses.

If our revenue does not continue to improve, or we do not achieve adequate growth in the future, or if we are not able to control our costs to leverage our expenses, like we had in the four quarters of 2015, then we may not be able to sustain quarterly profitability or be able to continue to generate cash in our operations in the future.

We rely heavily on our sales professionals to market and sell our products worldwide. If we are unable to hire, effectively train, manage, improve the productivity of, and retain the sales professionals, our business will be harmed, which would impair our future revenue and profitability.

Our success largely depends on our ability to hire, train, manage and improve the productivity levels of our sales professionals worldwide. Because of our focus on the non-core market in the past, several of our sales professionals do not have established relationships with the core market, consisting of dermatologists and plastic surgeons, or where those relationships exist, they are not very strong.

We have experienced direct sales employee and sales management turnover in North America for several reasons. One such reason was a change in sales leadership in 2014. Further, competition for sales professionals who are familiar and trained to sell in the aesthetic equipment market continues to be strong. As a result, we have lost some of our sales people to our competitors. However, we have also hired a record number of new sales people, including several from our competitors. Several of our sales employees and sales management have been recently hired or recently transferred into different roles, and it will take time for them to be fully trained to improve their productivity. In addition, due to the competition for sales professionals in our industry, we have recruited sales professionals from outside the industry. Sales professionals from outside the industry take longer to train and to become familiar with our products and the procedures in which they are used. As a result of a lack of industry knowledge, these sales professionals may take longer to become productive members of our sales force.

Over the past approximately fifteen months, we restructured and have been expanding our North American direct sales force and sales management. We have increased our efforts to hire industry experienced sales professionals but there can be no guarantee that we will be able to retain all of the hired sales professionals or that they will all become productive in a short period of time. Our industry is characterized by a few established companies that compete vigorously for talented sales professionals. Further, as the economy in North America has rebounded from the recent recession, some of those sales professionals have left our company for jobs that they perceive to be better opportunities, both within and outside of the aesthetic industry. We believe that the sales employee turnover, restructuring and expansion of the sales force had a negative impact on our North American productivity in 2014.

We train our existing and recently recruited sales professionals to better understand our existing and new product technologies and how they can be positioned against our competitors' products. These initiatives are intended to improve the productivity of our sales professionals and our revenue and profitability. It takes time for the sales professionals to become productive following their training and there can be no assurance that the recently recruited sales professionals will be adequately trained in a timely manner, or that our direct sales productivity will improve, or that we will not experience significant levels of attrition in the future.

Measures we implement in an effort to recruit, retain, train and manage our sales professionals, strengthen their relationships with core market physicians, and improve their productivity may not be successful and may instead contribute to instability in our operations, additional departures from our sales organization, or further reduce our revenue and harm our business. If we are not able to improve the productivity and retention of our North American and international sales professionals, then our total revenue, profitability and stock price may be adversely impacted.

If our revenue does not improve, or if our cost of revenue and/or operating expenses increase by a greater percentage than our revenue, our gross margins and operating margins may be adversely impacted, our loss from operations will increase, and our cash used in operating activities will increase, which could reduce our assets and have a material adverse effect on our stock price.

Our gross margin (revenue less cost of revenue) was 57% in 2015, compared to 56% in 2014. Our gross margin is impacted by the revenue that we generate and the costs incurred to generate the revenue. To the extent that our revenue declines, it is difficult to improve our gross margins as our fixed costs must be spread over a lower revenue base. Our future revenue may be adversely affected by a number of factors including the competitive market environment in which we operate, which may result in a decrease in the number of units sold, a decrease in the number of applications per system purchased by customers, a decrease in the average selling prices achieved for our product sales, a shift in our product mix towards products with lower average selling prices, or a shift in our product mix towards products with lower margins.

Our cost of revenue may also be adversely impacted by various factors such as obsolescence of our inventory, impairment of our intangibles, increased expenses associated with the repair of defective products covered by our warranty program, utilization of our relatively fixed manufacturing costs, and a shift in our product mix towards products that have a higher cost of manufacturing.

We have also been investing significant resources in our research and development and sales and marketing activities. We have expanded our global direct sales force, and while the increase in revenue exceeded the increase in sales and marketing expenses in 2015, the productivity of our new sales professionals may not continue to improve and be accretive to our operating income. We plan to continue making such investments in order to bring new products to market and to distribute them effectively. If these investments do not yield increased revenue, our profitability may not improve in the future.

If our revenue does not improve, or if our cost of revenue increases by a greater percentage than our revenue, or if we are not able to reduce expenses in the event of a decline in revenue, we may continue to generate losses from operations and use cash, which could reduce our assets and have a material adverse effect on our operations and stock price.

In February 2016, a lawsuit was filed against us by Kendall Jenner and Kendall Jenner Inc. (“Plaintiffs”), alleging trademark infringement, false endorsement and violation of Jenner’s right of publicity. There can be no assurance regarding the potential outcome of this litigation, or its impact upon us, at this time. The expense of defending and resolving this lawsuit may adversely impact our future earnings, cash flows and stock price.

On February 11, 2016, Kendall Jenner and Kendall Jenner Inc. (“Plaintiffs”), filed a lawsuit against the Company in the U.S. District Court, Central District of California, alleging trademark infringement, false endorsement and violation of Jenner’s right of publicity. The claims arise out of alleged advertising referring to news articles describing Jenner’s blog posting regarding her use of our Laser Genesis treatment for her acne. In their complaint, the Plaintiffs state that they are seeking “at least \$10 million” in compensatory damages and reasonable costs and attorney’s fees. We are presently investigating the matter and intend to defend the matter vigorously.

While we believe we have meritorious defenses to the claims made, there can be no assurance regarding the potential outcome of this litigation, or its impact upon us, at this time. The expense of defending and resolving this lawsuit may adversely impact our future earnings, cash flows and stock price.

The aesthetic equipment market is characterized by rapid innovation. To compete effectively, we must develop and/or acquire new products, market them successfully, and identify new markets for our technology.

We have created products to apply our technology to body contouring, hair removal, treatment of veins, tattoo removal, and skin rejuvenation, including the treatment of diffuse redness, skin laxity, fine lines, wrinkles, skin texture, pore size and benign pigmented lesions, etc. In the fourth quarter of 2014, we launched *enlighten*, a dual wavelength, dual pulse duration tattoo removal and benign pigmented lesions treatment system featuring picosecond technology. Additionally, in the second quarter of 2014 we launched *excel HR*, a premium hair removal platform for all skin types. To grow in the future, we must continue to develop and/or acquire new and innovative aesthetic products and applications, identify new markets, and successfully launch the newly acquired or developed product offerings.

To successfully expand our product offerings, we must, among other things:

- Develop and acquire new products that either add to or significantly improve our current product offerings;

- Convince our existing and prospective customers that our product offerings are an attractive revenue-generating addition to their practice;
- Sell our product offerings to a broad customer base;
- Identify new markets and alternative applications for our technology;
- Protect our existing and future products with defensible intellectual property; and
- Satisfy and maintain all regulatory requirements for commercialization.

Historically, product introductions have been a significant component of our financial performance. To be successful in the aesthetics industry, we need to continue to innovate. Our business strategy has therefore been based, in part, on our expectation that we will continue to increase our product offerings. We need to continue to devote substantial research and development resources to make new product introductions, which can be costly and time consuming to our organization.

We also believe that, to increase revenue from sales of new products, we need to continue to develop our clinical support, further expand and nurture relationships with industry thought leaders and increase market awareness of the benefits of our new products. However, even with a significant investment in research and development, we may be unable to continue to develop, acquire or effectively launch and market new products and technologies regularly, or at all. If we fail to successfully commercialize new products, our business may be harmed.

While we attempt to protect our products through patents and other intellectual property, there are few barriers to entry that would prevent new entrants or existing competitors from developing products that compete directly with ours. We expect that any competitive advantage we may enjoy from current and future innovations may diminish over time as companies successfully respond to our, or create their own, innovations. Consequently, we believe that we will have to continuously innovate and improve our products and technology to compete successfully. If we are unable to innovate successfully, our products could become obsolete and our revenue could decline as our customers and prospects purchase our competitors' products.

Demand for our products in any of our markets could be weakened by several factors, including:

- Our ability to develop and market our products to the core market specialties of dermatologists and plastic surgeons;
- Poor financial performance of market segments that try introducing aesthetic procedures to their businesses;
- The inability to differentiate our products from those of our competitors;
- Reduced patient demand for elective aesthetic procedures;
- Failure to build and maintain relationships with opinion leaders within the various market segments;
- An increase in malpractice lawsuits that result in higher insurance costs; and
- The lack of credit financing for some of our potential customers.

If we do not achieve anticipated demand for our products, there could be a material adverse effect on our total revenue, profitability, employee retention and stock price.

Macroeconomic political and market conditions, and catastrophic events may adversely affect our business, results of operations, financial condition and stock price.

Our business is influenced by a range of factors that are beyond our control, including:

- General economic and business conditions;
- The overall demand for our products by the core market specialties of dermatologists and plastic surgeons;
- Governmental budgetary constraints or shifts in government spending priorities;
- General political developments;
- Natural disasters; and
- Currency exchange rate fluctuations.

Macroeconomic developments, like the global recession and the financial crisis in the U.S. and certain countries in the European Union during 2007 to 2009, could negatively affect our business, operating results or financial condition which, in turn, could adversely affect our stock price. A general weakening of, and related declining corporate confidence in, the global economy or the curtailment in government or corporate spending could cause current or potential customers to reduce their budgets or be unable to fund product or upgrade application purchases, which could cause customers to delay, decrease or cancel purchases of our products and services or cause customers not to pay us or to delay paying us for previously purchased products and services.

In addition, political unrest in regions like the Middle East, terrorist attacks around the globe and the potential for other hostilities in various parts of the world, potential public health crises and natural disasters continue to contribute to a climate of economic and political uncertainty that could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition, including our revenue growth and profitability.

Macroeconomic declines, negative political developments, adverse market conditions and catastrophic events may cause a decline in our revenue, negatively affect our operating results, adversely affect our cash flow and could result in a decline in our stock price.

To successfully market and sell our products internationally, we must address many issues that are unique to our international business.

While our international revenue in 2015 increased by 8%, compared to 2014, it was negatively impacted by the appreciation of the U.S. Dollar versus the major currencies in which we transact. International revenue is a material component of our business strategy, and represented 48% of our total revenue in 2015, compared to 55% in 2014. We depend on third-party distributors and a direct sales force to sell our products internationally, and if they underperform, we may be unable to increase or maintain our level of international revenue. For example, our direct business in Japan declined in 2015, negatively impacting our revenue from international operations.

We have experienced significant turnover of our European sales team in the past. While we continue to have a direct sales and service organization in France, Belgium, Spain, Switzerland and the United Kingdom, a significant portion of our European revenue is generated through our network of distributors. Though we continue to evaluate and replace non-performing distributors, and have recently brought greater focus on collaborating with our distributor partners, there can be no assurance given that these initiatives will result in improved European-sourced revenue or profitability in the future.

To grow our business, we will need to improve productivity in current sales territories and expand into new territories. However, direct sales productivity may not improve and distributors may not accept our business or commit the necessary resources to market and sell our products to the level of our expectations. If we are not able to increase or maintain international revenue growth, our total revenue, profitability and stock price may be adversely impacted.

We believe, as we continue to manage our international operations and develop opportunities in additional international territories, our international revenue will be subject to a number of risks, including:

- Fluctuating foreign currency exchange rates;
- Difficulties in staffing and managing our foreign operations;
- Political and economic instability;
- Foreign certification and regulatory requirements;
- Lengthy payment cycles and difficulty in collecting accounts receivable;
- Export restrictions, trade regulations and foreign tax laws;
- Customs clearance and shipping delays;
- Lack of awareness of our brand in international markets;
- Preference for locally-produced products; and
- Reduced protection for intellectual property rights in some countries.

If one or more of these risks were realized, it could require us to dedicate significant resources to remedy the situation; and if we were unsuccessful at finding a solution, we may not be able to sell our products in a particular market and, as a result, our revenue may decline.

We are subject to fluctuations in the exchange rate of the U.S. Dollar and foreign currencies.

Foreign currency fluctuations could result in volatility of our revenue. We do not actively hedge our exposure to currency rate fluctuations. While we transact business primarily in U.S. Dollars, and a significant proportion of our revenue is denominated in U.S. Dollars, a portion of our costs and revenue is denominated in other currencies, such as the Euro, Japanese Yen, Australian Dollar and Canadian Dollar. As a result, changes in the exchange rates of these currencies to the U.S. Dollar will affect our results from operations. For example, as a result of the recent strengthening of the U.S. Dollar, relative to many other major currencies, our products priced in U.S. Dollars have become more expensive relative to products of our foreign competitors. In addition, our revenue earned in foreign currencies, such as our locally generated revenue in Japan, has been negatively impacted upon translation into U.S. Dollars. Both these factors had a negative impact on our international

revenue in 2015, compared to 2014. Future foreign currency fluctuations could adversely impact and increase the volatility of our revenue, profitability and stock price.

Our ability to effectively compete and generate additional revenue from new and existing products depends upon our ability to distinguish our company and our products from our competitors and their products, and to develop and effectively market new and existing products. Our success is dependent on many factors, including the following:

- Speed of new and innovative product development;
- Effective strategy and execution of new product launches;
- Identification and development of clinical support for new indications of our existing products;
- Product performance;
- Product pricing;
- Quality of customer support;
- Development of successful distribution channels, both domestically and internationally; and
- Intellectual property protection.

To compete effectively, we have to demonstrate that our new and existing products are attractive alternatives to other devices and treatments, by differentiating our products on the basis of such factors as innovation, performance, brand name, service, and price. This is difficult to do, especially in a crowded aesthetic market. Some of our competitors have newer or different products and more established customer relationships than we do, which could inhibit our market penetration efforts. For example, we have encountered, and expect to continue to encounter, situations where, due to pre-existing relationships, potential customers decided to purchase additional products from our competitors. Potential customers also may need to recoup the cost of products that they have already purchased from our competitors and may decide not to purchase our products, or to delay such purchases. If we are unable to increase our market penetration or compete effectively, our revenue and profitability will be adversely impacted.

We compete against companies that offer alternative solutions to our products, or have greater resources, a larger installed base of customers and broader product offerings than ours. If we are not able to effectively compete with these companies, it may harm our business.

Our industry is subject to intense competition. Our products compete against similar products offered by public companies, such as Cynosure, Elen (in Italy), Lumenis (acquired by XIO Group in September 2015), Solta (acquired by Valeant Pharmaceuticals International, Inc. in January 2014), Syneron, as well as private companies such as Alma, Sciton and several other companies. Recently, there has been consolidation in the aesthetic industry leading to companies combining their resources. For example, XIO Group acquired Lumenis in September 2015, and Valeant acquired Solta in January 2014 and Cynosure acquired Palomar in June 2013. We are likely to compete with new companies in the future. Competition with these companies could result in reduced selling prices, reduced profit margins and loss of market share, any of which would harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The energy-based aesthetic market faces competition from non-energy-based medical products, such as Botox, an injectable compound used to reduce wrinkles, and collagen injections. Other alternatives to the use of our products include electrolysis, a procedure involving the application of electric current to eliminate hair follicles, and chemical peels. We may also face competition from manufacturers of pharmaceutical and other products that have not yet been developed.

If there is not sufficient consumer demand for the procedures performed with our products, practitioner demand for our products could be inhibited, resulting in unfavorable operating results and reduced growth potential.

Continued expansion of the global market for laser and other-energy-based aesthetic procedures is a material assumption of our business strategy. Most procedures performed using our products are elective procedures not reimbursable through government or private health insurance, with the costs borne by the patient. The decision to utilize our products may therefore be influenced by a number of factors, including:

- Consumer disposable income and access to consumer credit, which as a result of the unstable economy, may have been significantly impacted;
- The cost of procedures performed using our products;
- The cost, safety and effectiveness of alternative treatments, including treatments which are not based upon laser or other energy-based technologies and treatments which use pharmaceutical products;
- The success of our sales and marketing efforts; and

- The education of our customers and patients on the benefits and uses of our products, compared to competitors' products and technologies.

If, as a result of these factors, there is not sufficient demand for the procedures performed with our products, practitioner demand for our products could be reduced, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, revenue and result of operations.

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (the "FDA"), federal and state agencies and international regulatory bodies have broad enforcement powers. If we fail to comply with applicable regulatory requirements, it could result in enforcement action by the FDA, federal and state agencies or international regulatory bodies.

The FDA, state authorities and international regulatory bodies have broad enforcement powers. If we fail to comply with any U.S. law or any of the applicable regulatory requirements of the FDA, or federal or state agencies, or one of the international regulatory bodies, it could result in enforcement action by the agencies, which may include any of the following sanctions:

- Warning letters, fines, injunctions, consent decrees and civil penalties;
- Repair, replacement, refund, recall or seizure of our products;
- Operating restrictions or partial suspension or total shutdown of production;
- Refusing our requests for 510(k) clearance or pre-market approval of new products, new intended uses, or modifications to existing products;
- Withdrawing 510(k) clearance or pre-market approvals that have already been granted; and
- Criminal prosecution.

If we fail to obtain or maintain necessary FDA clearances for our products and indications, if clearances for future products and indications are delayed or not issued, if there are federal or state level regulatory changes or if we are found to have violated applicable FDA marketing rules, our commercial operations would be harmed.

Our products are medical devices that are subject to extensive regulation in the U.S. by the FDA for manufacturing, labeling, sale, promotion, distribution and shipping. Before a new medical device, or a new use of or labeling claim for an existing product, can be marketed in the U.S., it must first receive either 510(k) clearance or pre-market approval from the FDA, unless an exemption applies. Either process can be expensive and lengthy. In the event that we do not obtain FDA clearances or approvals for our products, our ability to market and sell them in the U.S. and revenue derived from the U.S. market may be adversely affected.

Medical devices may be marketed in the U.S. only for the indications for which they are approved or cleared by the FDA. If we fail to comply with these regulations, it could result in enforcement action by the FDA which could lead to such consequences as warning letters, adverse publicity, criminal enforcement action and/or third-party civil litigation, each of which could adversely affect us.

We have obtained 510(k) clearance for the indications for which we market our products. However, our clearances can be revoked if safety or effectiveness problems develop. We also are subject to Medical Device Reporting regulations, which require us to report to the FDA if our products cause or contribute to a death or serious injury, or malfunction in a way that would likely cause or contribute to a death or serious injury. Our products are also subject to state regulations, which are, in many instances, frequently changing. Changes in state regulations may impede sales. For example, federal regulations allow our products to be sold to, or on the order of, "licensed practitioners," as determined on a state-by-state basis. As a result, in some states, non-physicians may legally purchase our products. However, a state could change its regulations at any time, thereby disallowing sales to particular types of end users. We cannot predict the impact or effect of future legislation or regulations at the federal or state levels.

Federal regulatory reforms and changes occurring at the FDA could adversely affect our ability to sell our products profitably and financial condition.

From time to time, legislation is drafted and introduced in Congress that could significantly change the statutory provisions governing the clearance or approval, manufacture and marketing of a device. It is impossible to predict whether legislative changes will be enacted or FDA regulations, guidance or interpretations changed, and what the impact of such changes, if any, may be.

In addition, FDA regulations and guidance are often revised or reinterpreted by the agency in ways that may significantly affect our business and our products. Changes in FDA regulations may lengthen the regulatory approval process for medical

devices and require additional clinical data to support regulatory clearance for the sale and marketing of our new products. In addition, it may require additional safety monitoring, labeling changes, restrictions on product distribution or use, or other measures after the introduction of our products to market. Either of these changes lengthen the duration to market, increase our costs of doing business, adversely affect the future permitted uses of approved products, or otherwise adversely affect the market for our products.

If we fail to comply with the FDA's Quality System Regulation and laser performance standards, our manufacturing operations could be halted, and our business would suffer.

We are currently required to demonstrate and maintain compliance with the FDA's Quality System Regulation (the "QSR"). The QSR is a complex regulatory scheme that covers the methods and documentation of the design, testing, control, manufacturing, labeling, quality assurance, packaging, storage and shipping of our products. Because our products involve the use of lasers, our products also are covered by a performance standard for lasers set forth in FDA regulations. The laser performance standard imposes specific record-keeping, reporting, product testing and product labeling requirements. These requirements include affixing warning labels to laser products, as well as incorporating certain safety features in the design of laser products.

The FDA enforces the QSR and laser performance standards through periodic unannounced inspections. We have had multiple quality system audits by the FDA, our Notified Body, and other foreign regulatory agencies, with the most recent inspection by the FDA occurring over three weeks in March 2014. There were no significant findings and only one observation as a result of this audit. Our response to this observation was accepted by the FDA. Failure to take satisfactory corrective action in response to an adverse QSR inspection or our failure to comply with applicable laser performance standards could result in enforcement actions, including a public warning letter, a shutdown of our manufacturing operations, a recall of our products, civil or criminal penalties, or other sanctions, such as those described in the preceding paragraph, which would cause our sales and business to suffer.

If we modify one of our FDA-approved devices, we may need to seek re-approval, which, if not granted, would prevent us from selling our modified products or cause us to redesign our products.

Any modifications to an FDA-cleared device that would significantly affect its safety or effectiveness or that would constitute a major change in its intended use would require a new 510(k) clearance or possibly a pre-market approval. We may not be able to obtain additional 510(k) clearance or pre-market approvals for new products or for modifications to, or additional indications for, our existing products in a timely fashion, or at all. Delays in obtaining future clearance would adversely affect our ability to introduce new or enhanced products in a timely manner, which in turn would harm our revenue and future profitability.

We have made modifications to our devices in the past and may make additional modifications in the future that we believe do not or will not require additional clearance or approvals. If the FDA disagrees, and requires new clearances or approvals for the modifications, we may be required to recall and to stop marketing the modified devices, which could harm our operating results and require us to redesign our products.

We may be unable to obtain or maintain international regulatory qualifications or approvals for our current or future products and indications, which could harm our business.

Sales of our products outside the U.S. are subject to foreign regulatory requirements that vary widely from country to country. In addition, exports of medical devices from the U.S. are regulated by the FDA. Complying with international regulatory requirements can be an expensive and time-consuming process and approval is not certain. The time required for obtaining clearance or approvals, if required by other countries, may be longer than that required for FDA clearance or approvals, and requirements for such clearances or approvals may significantly differ from FDA requirements. We may be unable to obtain or maintain regulatory qualifications, clearances or approvals in other countries. We may also incur significant costs in attempting to obtain and in maintaining foreign regulatory approvals or qualifications. If we experience delays in receiving necessary qualifications, clearances or approvals to market our products outside the U.S., or if we fail to receive those qualifications, clearances or approvals, we may be unable to market our products or enhancements in international markets effectively, or at all, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and growth strategy.

Any defects in the design, material or workmanship of our products may not be discovered prior to shipment to customers, which could materially increase our expenses, adversely impact profitability and harm our business.

The design of our products is complex. To manufacture them successfully, we must procure quality components and employ individuals with a significant degree of technical expertise. If our designs are defective, or the material components used in our products are subject to wearing out, or if suppliers fail to deliver components to specification, or if our employees fail to properly assemble, test and package our products, the reliability and performance of our products will be adversely impacted.

If our products contain defects that cannot be repaired easily, inexpensively, or on a timely basis, we may experience:

- Damage to our brand reputation;
- Loss of customer orders and delay in order fulfillment;
- Increased costs due to product repair or replacement;
- Inability to attract new customers;
- Diversion of resources from our manufacturing and research and development departments into our service department; and
- Legal action.

The occurrence of any one or more of the foregoing could materially increase expenses, adversely impact profitability and harm our business.

We depend on skilled and experienced personnel to operate our business effectively. If we are unable to recruit, hire, train and retain these employees, our ability to manage and expand our business will be harmed, which would impair our future revenue and profitability.

Our success largely depends on the skills, experience and efforts of our officers and other key employees. Except for Change of Control and Severance Agreements for our executive officers and a few key employees, we do not have employment contracts with any of our officers or other key employees. Any of our officers and other key employees may terminate their employment at any time. We do not have a succession plan in place for each of our officers and key employees. In addition, we do not maintain “key person” life insurance policies covering any of our employees. The loss of any of our senior management team members could weaken our management expertise and harm our business.

Our ability to retain our skilled labor force and our success in attracting and hiring new skilled employees are critical factors in determining whether we will be successful in the future. We may not be able to meet our future hiring needs or retain existing personnel. The staff we hire to perform administrative functions may become stretched due to our increased growth and they may not be able to perform their jobs effectively or efficiently as a result.

We may face particularly significant challenges and risks in hiring, training, managing and retaining engineering and sales and marketing employees. Failure to attract, train and retain personnel, particularly technical and sales and marketing personnel, would materially harm our ability to compete effectively and grow our business.

Product liability suits could be brought against us due to a defective design, material or workmanship or misuse of our products and could result in expensive and time-consuming litigation, payment of substantial damages and an increase in our insurance rates.

If our products are defectively designed, manufactured or labeled, contain defective components or are misused, we may become subject to substantial and costly litigation by our customers or their patients. Misusing our products or failing to adhere to operating guidelines could cause significant eye and skin damage, and underlying tissue damage. In addition, if our operating guidelines are found to be inadequate, we may be subject to liability. We have been involved, and may in the future be involved, in litigation related to the use of our products. Product liability claims could divert management’s attention from our core business, be expensive to defend and result in sizable damage awards against us. We may not have sufficient insurance coverage for all future claims. We may not be able to obtain insurance in amounts or scope sufficient to provide us with adequate coverage against all potential liabilities. Any product liability claims brought against us, with or without merit, could increase our product liability insurance rates or prevent us from securing continuing coverage, could harm our reputation in the industry and could reduce product sales. In addition, we historically experienced steep increases in our product liability insurance premiums as a percentage of revenue. If our premiums continue to rise, we may no longer be able to afford adequate insurance coverage.

If customers are not trained and/or our products are used by non-physicians, it could result in product misuse and adverse treatment outcomes, which could harm our reputation, result in product liability litigation, distract management, result in additional costs, all of which could harm our business.

Because we do not require training for users of our products, and sell our products at times to non-physicians, there exists an increased potential for misuse of our products, which could harm our reputation and our business. U.S. federal regulations allow us to sell our products to or on the order of “licensed practitioners.” The definition of “licensed practitioners” varies from state to state. As a result, our products may be purchased or operated by physicians with varying levels of training, and in many states, by non-physicians, including nurse practitioners, chiropractors and technicians. Outside the U.S., many jurisdictions do not require specific qualifications or training for purchasers or operators of our products. We do not supervise the procedures performed with our products, nor do we require that direct medical supervision occur. We and our distributors generally offer but do not require product training to the purchasers or operators of our products. In addition, we sometimes sell our systems to companies that rent our systems to third parties and that provide a technician to perform the procedures. The lack of training and the purchase and use of our products by non-physicians may result in product misuse and adverse treatment outcomes, which could harm our reputation and our business, and, in the event these result in product liability litigation, distract management and subject us to liability, including legal expenses.

In the past we entered into strategic alliances to distribute third party products internationally. To successfully market and sell these products, we must address many issues that are unique to these businesses and could reduce our available cash reserves and negatively impact our profitability.

In the past we entered into distribution arrangements pursuant to which we utilize our sales force and distributors to sell products manufactured by other companies. In Japan, we have a non-exclusive right to distribute a Q-switched laser product manufactured by a third party OEM. We also have an exclusive agreement with ZO to distribute certain of their proprietary skincare products, in Japan. Each of these agreements requires us to purchase annual minimum dollar amounts of their product. If we do not make these minimum purchases, we could lose distribution rights of these products to physicians in Japan. Finally, we had an agreement with Merz Aesthetics to distribute its Radiesse dermal filler product in Japan, but terminated this agreement in the second quarter of 2014.

Each of these distribution agreements presents its own unique risks and challenges. For example, to sell skincare products we need to invest in creating a sales structure that is experienced in the sale of these products and not in capital equipment. We need to commit resources to training this sales force, obtaining regulatory licenses in Japan and developing new marketing materials to promote the sale of skincare products. In addition, the minimum commitments and other costs of distributing products manufactured by these companies may exceed the incremental revenue that we derive from the sale of their products thereby negatively impacting our profitability and reducing our available cash reserves.

Adverse conditions in the global banking industry and credit markets may adversely impact the value of our marketable investments or impair our liquidity.

We invest our excess cash primarily in money market funds and in highly liquid debt instruments of the U.S. government and its agencies and U.S. municipalities, in commercial paper and high grade corporate debt. As of December 31, 2015, our balance in marketable investments was \$38 million. The longer the duration of a security, the more susceptible it is to changes in market interest rates and bond yields. As yields increase, those securities with a lower yield-at-cost show a mark-to-market unrealized loss. For example, assuming a hypothetical increase in interest rates of one percentage point, the fair value of our total investment portfolio as of December 31, 2015 would have potentially decreased by approximately \$242,000, resulting in an unrealized loss that would subsequently adversely impact our earnings. As a result, changes in the market interest rates will affect our future net income (loss).

The price of our common stock may fluctuate substantially due to several factors, some of which are discussed below. Further, we have a limited number of shares of common stock outstanding, a large portion of which is held by a small number of investors, which could result in the increase in volatility of our stock price.

As of December 31, 2015, approximately 52% of our outstanding shares of common stock were held by 10 institutional investors. As a result of our relatively small public float, our common stock may be less liquid than the stock of companies with broader public ownership. Among other things, trading of a relatively small volume of our common stock may have a greater impact on the trading price for our shares than would be the case if our public float were larger. The public market price of our common stock has in the past fluctuated substantially and, due to the current concentration of stockholders, may continue to do so in the future. The market price for our common stock could also be affected by a number of other factors, including:

- Litigation surrounding executive compensation has increased. If we are involved in a lawsuit related to compensation matters or any other matters not covered by our D&O insurance, there could be material expenses involved, fines, or remedial actions which could negatively affect our stock price;
- The general market conditions unrelated to our operating performance;
- Sales of large blocks of our common stock, including sales by our executive officers, directors and our large institutional investors;
- Quarterly variations in our, or our competitors', results of operations;
- Changes in analysts' estimates, investors' perceptions, recommendations by securities analysts or our failure to achieve analysts' estimates;
- The announcement of new products or service enhancements by us or our competitors;
- The announcement of the departure of a key employee or executive officer by us or our competitors;
- Regulatory developments or delays concerning our, or our competitors' products; and
- The initiation of any other litigation by us or against us.

Actual or perceived instability and/or volatility in our stock price could reduce demand from potential buyers of our stock, thereby causing our stock price to either remain depressed or to decline further.

Our manufacturing operations are dependent upon third-party suppliers, making us vulnerable to supply shortages and price fluctuations, which could harm our business.

Many of the components and materials that comprise our products are currently manufactured by a limited number of suppliers. A supply interruption or an increase in demand beyond our current suppliers' capabilities could harm our ability to manufacture our products until a new source of supply is identified and qualified. Our reliance on these suppliers subjects us to a number of risks that could harm our business, including:

- Interruption of supply resulting from modifications to or discontinuation of a supplier's operations;
- Delays in product shipments resulting from uncorrected defects, reliability issues or a supplier's variation in a component;
- A lack of long-term supply arrangements for key components with our suppliers;
- Inability to obtain adequate supply in a timely manner, or on reasonable terms;
- Inability to redesign one or more components in our systems in the event that a supplier discontinues manufacturing such components and we are unable to source it from other suppliers on reasonable terms;
- Difficulty locating and qualifying alternative suppliers for our components in a timely manner;
- Production delays related to the evaluation and testing of products from alternative suppliers and corresponding regulatory qualifications; and
- Delay in supplier deliveries.

Any interruption in the supply of components or materials, or our inability to obtain substitute components or materials from alternate sources at acceptable prices in a timely manner, could impair our ability to meet the demand of our customers, which would have an adverse effect on our business.

Intellectual property rights may not provide adequate protection for some or all of our products, which may permit third parties to compete against us more effectively.

We rely on patent, copyright, trade secret and trademark laws and confidentiality agreements to protect our technology and products. At December 31, 2015, we had 34 issued U.S. patents. Some of our components, such as our laser module, electronic control system and high-voltage electronics, are not, and in the future may not be, protected by patents. Additionally, our patent applications may not issue as patents or, if issued, may not issue in a form that will be advantageous to us. Any patents we obtain may be challenged, invalidated or legally circumvented by third parties. Consequently, competitors could market products and use manufacturing processes that are substantially similar to, or superior to, ours. We may not be able to prevent the unauthorized disclosure or use of our technical knowledge or other trade secrets by consultants, vendors, former employees or current employees, despite the existence generally of confidentiality agreements and other contractual restrictions. Monitoring unauthorized uses and disclosures of our intellectual property is difficult, and we do not know whether the steps we have taken to protect our intellectual property will be effective. Moreover, the laws of many foreign countries will not protect our intellectual property rights to the same extent as the laws of the U.S.

The absence of complete intellectual property protection exposes us to a greater risk of direct competition. Competitors could purchase one of our products and attempt to replicate some or all of the competitive advantages we derive from our development efforts, design around our protected technology, or develop their own competitive technologies that fall outside of our intellectual property rights. If our intellectual property is not adequately protected against competitors' products and methods, our competitive position and our business could be adversely affected.

We may be involved in future costly intellectual property litigation, which could impact our future business and financial performance.

Our competitors or other patent holders may assert that our present or future products and the methods we employ are covered by their patents. In addition, we do not know whether our competitors own or will obtain patents that they may claim prevent, limit or interfere with our ability to make, use, sell or import our products. Although we may seek to resolve any potential future claims or actions, we may not be able to do so on reasonable terms, or at all. If, following a successful third-party action for infringement, we cannot obtain a license or redesign our products, we may have to stop manufacturing and selling the applicable products and our business would suffer as a result. In addition, a court could require us to pay substantial damages, and prohibit us from using technologies essential to our products, any of which would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We may become involved in litigation not only as a result of alleged infringement of a third party's intellectual property rights but also to protect our own intellectual property. For example, we have been, and may hereafter become, involved in litigation to protect the trademark rights associated with our company name or the names of our products. Infringement and other intellectual property claims, with or without merit, can be expensive and time-consuming to litigate, and could divert management's attention from our core business.

We offer credit terms to some qualified customers and also to leasing companies to finance the purchase of our products. In the event that any of these customers default on the amounts payable to us, our earnings may be adversely affected.

While we qualify customers to whom we offer credit terms (generally net 30 to 90 days), we cannot provide any assurance that the financial position of these customers will not change adversely before we receive payment. For example, as of December 31, 2015, one distributor partner accounted for 10% of our outstanding accounts receivable balance. Our general and administrative expenses and earnings are negatively impacted by customer defaults and cause an increase in the allowance for doubtful accounts. In the event that there is a default by any customers to whom we have provided credit terms in the future, we may recognize a bad debt charge in our general and administrative expenses and this could negatively affect our earnings and results of operations.

The expense and potential unavailability of insurance coverage for our customers could adversely affect our ability to sell our products, and therefore adversely affect our financial condition.

Some of our customers and prospective customers have had difficulty procuring or maintaining liability insurance to cover their operation and use of our products. Medical malpractice carriers are withdrawing coverage in certain states or substantially increasing premiums. If this trend continues or worsens, our customers may discontinue using our products and potential customers may opt against purchasing laser based products due to the cost or inability to procure insurance coverage. The unavailability of insurance coverage for our customers and prospects could adversely affect our ability to sell our products, and that could harm our financial condition.

Healthcare reform legislation may have an adverse effect on our profitability and financial condition.

In December 2009, the President and members of Congress passed legislation relating to healthcare reform. Procedures performed by our products are not reimbursed by insurance companies or federal or state governments and as a result this legislation had a limited impact on our business. Medical device manufacturers were required to pay an excise tax of 2.3% on certain U.S. medical device revenues on sales after January 1, 2013. Though there were some exceptions to the excise tax, this excise tax did apply to all or most of our products sold within the U.S. In December 2015, President Obama signed into law the Consolidated Appropriations Act (“Appropriations Act”). The Appropriations Act includes a two-year moratorium on the medical device excise tax such that medical device revenues in 2016 and 2017 will be exempt from the excise tax. Unless there is further legislative action during that two-year period, the tax will be automatically reinstated for sales of medical devices on or after January 1, 2018 and will have an adverse effect on our operating profitability and financial conditions in 2018 and beyond.

Our ability to use net operating losses and tax credit carryforwards to offset future tax liabilities may be limited.

As of December 31, 2015, we had cumulative net operating loss carry-forwards (“NOLs”) for federal and state income tax reporting purposes of approximately \$41.8 million and \$11.2 million, respectively, and research and development tax credits (“R&D tax credits”) for federal and state income tax purposes of approximately \$4.7 million and \$5.7 million, respectively. A lack of future taxable income would adversely affect our ability to utilize these NOLs and R&D tax credit carryforwards. In addition, under Section 382 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code, or the Code, a corporation that experiences a more-than 50% ownership change over a three-year testing period is subject to limitations on its ability to utilize its pre-change NOLs and R&D tax credit carryforwards to offset future taxable income. We have not conducted a study to-date to assess whether a limitation would apply under Section 382 of the Code. In the event it is determined that we previously experienced an ownership change, or should we experience an ownership change in the future, the amount of NOLs and R&D tax credit carryovers available in any taxable year, could be limited and may expire unutilized, and any such adjustment is not reflected in the NOL and R&D tax credits balances reported.

From time to time we may become subject to income tax audits or similar proceedings, and as a result we may incur additional costs and expenses or owe additional taxes, interest and penalties that may negatively impact our operating results.

We are subject to income taxes in the United States and certain foreign jurisdictions where we operate through a subsidiary including Australia, Belgium, Canada, France, Hong Kong, Japan, Spain, Switzerland and the United Kingdom. Our determination of our tax liability is subject to review by applicable domestic and foreign tax authorities.

In July 2015, our Japan subsidiary underwent an income tax audit for the years 2012 to 2014. Although this audit resulted in a minimal adjustment, the final timing and resolution of any tax examinations are subject to significant uncertainty and could result in our having to pay amounts to the applicable tax authority in order to resolve examination of our tax positions, which could result in an increase or decrease of our current estimate of unrecognized tax benefits and may negatively impact our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Any acquisitions that we make could result in operating difficulties, dilution, and other consequences that may adversely impact our business and results of operations.

While we from time to time evaluate potential acquisitions of businesses, products and technologies, and anticipate continuing to make these evaluations, we have no present understandings, commitments or agreements with respect to any material acquisitions or collaborative projects. We may not be able to identify appropriate acquisition candidates or strategic partners, or successfully negotiate, finance or integrate any businesses, products or technologies that we acquire.

We have limited experience as a team with acquiring companies and products. Furthermore, the integration of any acquisition and management of any collaborative project may divert management’s time and resources from our core business and disrupt our operations and we may incur significant legal, accounting and banking fees in connection with such a transaction. Acquisitions could diminish our available cash balances for other uses, result in the incurrence of debt, contingent liabilities, or amortization expenses, and restructuring charges. Also, the anticipated benefits or value of our acquisitions or investments may not materialize and could result in an impairment of goodwill and/or purchased long-lived assets, similar to the \$650,000 charge we recorded in the fourth quarter of 2014 related to an acquisition completed in 2012.

Our failure to address these risks or other problems encountered in connection with our past or future acquisitions and investments could cause us to fail to realize the anticipated benefits of such acquisitions or investments, incur unanticipated liabilities, and harm our business and our financial condition or results.

Anti-takeover provisions in our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws, and Delaware law, contain provisions that could discourage a takeover.

Our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws, and Delaware law, contain provisions that might enable our management to resist a takeover, and might make it more difficult for an investor to acquire a substantial block of our common stock. These provisions include:

- A classified board of directors;
- Advance notice requirements to stockholders for matters to be brought at stockholder meetings;
- Limitations on stockholder actions by written consent; and
- The right to issue preferred stock without stockholder approval, which could be used to dilute the stock ownership of a potential hostile acquirer.

These provisions, as well as Change of Control and Severance Agreements entered into with each of our executive officers and certain key employees, might discourage, delay or prevent a change in control of our company or a change in our management. The existence of these provisions could adversely affect the voting power of holders of common stock and limit the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our corporate headquarters and U.S. operations are located in an approximately 66,000 square foot facility in Brisbane, California. We lease these premises under a non-cancelable operating lease which expires on December 31, 2017. In addition, we have leased office facilities in certain countries as follows:

<u>Country</u>	<u>Square Footage</u>	<u>Lease termination or Expiration</u>
Japan.....	Approximately 5,896	Two leases, one of which expires in March 2018 and one which expires in December 2017.
France	Approximately 2,239	One lease which expires in October 2021 but can be terminated with six months' notice prior to October 2018.

We believe that these facilities are suitable and adequate for our current and future needs for at least the next twelve months.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We were not a party to any pending litigation that we believe will have a material impact to our results of operations as of December 31, 2015.

On February 11, 2016, Kendall Jenner and Kendall Jenner Inc. (“Plaintiffs”), filed a lawsuit against the Company in the U.S. District Court, Central District of California, alleging trademark infringement, false endorsement and violation of Jenner’s right of publicity. The claims arise out of alleged advertising referring to news articles describing Jenner’s blog posting regarding her use of our Laser Genesis treatment for her acne. In their complaint, the Plaintiffs state that they are seeking “at least \$10 million” in compensatory damages and reasonable costs and attorney’s fees. We are presently investigating the matter and intend to defend the matter vigorously. While we believe we have meritorious defenses to the matter, the potential outcome of this litigation, or its impact on us, cannot be predicted at this time.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Stock Exchange Listing

Our common stock trades on The NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "CUTR." As of February 29, 2016, the closing sale price of our common stock was \$11.83 per share.

Common Stockholders

We had 9 stockholders of record as of February 29, 2016. Since many stockholders choose to hold their shares under the name of their brokerage firm, we estimate that the actual number of stockholders was over 2,000 shareholders.

Stock Prices

The following table sets forth quarterly high and low closing sales prices of our common stock for the indicated fiscal periods:

	Common Stock			
	2015		2014	
	High	Low	High	Low
4th Quarter	\$ 14.52	\$ 11.99	\$ 11.04	\$ 9.66
3rd Quarter	15.60	13.07	10.75	9.27
2nd Quarter	15.98	12.87	11.73	9.25
1st Quarter	14.26	10.86	11.24	9.00

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table summarizes the activity related to stock repurchases for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 (in thousands except per share data):

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
January 1-December 31, 2014.....	—	\$ —	—	\$ 10,000
As of December 31, 2014.....	—	\$ —	—	\$ 10,000
Additional amount approved February 18, 2015.....	—	\$ —	—	\$ 40,000
February 18-28, 2015	56	\$ 12.85	56	\$ 39,276
March 1-31, 2015	330	\$ 13.55	330	\$ 34,806
April 1-30, 2015	284	\$ 13.57	284	\$ 30,946
May 1-31, 2015	296	\$ 14.38	296	\$ 26,693
June 1-30, 2015	298	\$ 14.75	298	\$ 22,294
July 1-31, 2015.....	95	\$ 14.94	95	\$ 20,878
August 1-31, 2015	1,040	\$ 14.41	1,040	\$ 5,898
September 1-30, 2015	210	\$ 14.44	210	\$ 2,860
October 1-31, 2015.....	209	\$ 13.70	209	\$ —
As of December 31, 2015	2,818	\$ 14.19	2,818	\$ —

As of January 1, 2014, there was \$10.0 million authorized for the repurchase of our common stock under the Company's Stock Repurchase Program. There were no repurchases of common stock in 2014. On February 18, 2015, our Board of Directors approved the expansion of our Stock Repurchase Program from \$10 million to \$40 million, under which we were

authorized to repurchase shares of our common stock. In the year ended December 31, 2015, we repurchased 2,818,038 shares of our common stock for approximately \$40.0 million.

On February 8, 2016, our Board of Directors approved the expansion of our Stock Repurchase Program by an additional \$10 million. We plan to make the repurchases from time to time through open market transactions at prevailing prices and/or through privately-negotiated transactions, and/or through a pre-arranged Rule 10b5-1 trading plan.

Sales of Unregistered Securities

We did not sell any unregistered securities during the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans


The information required by this Item regarding equity compensation plans is incorporated by reference to the information set forth in Part III Item 12 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Performance Graph

Below is a graph showing the cumulative total return to our stockholders during the period from December 31, 2010 through December 31, 2015 in comparison to the cumulative return on the NASDAQ Composite Index (U.S.) and the NASDAQ Medical Equipment Index during that same period.

COMPARISON OF 5 YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN*

Among Cutera, Inc., the NASDAQ Composite Index and the NASDAQ Medical Equipment Index



*\$100 invested on 12/31/10 in stock or index, including reinvestment of dividends. Fiscal year ending December 31.

The information under “Performance Graph” is not deemed filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is not to be incorporated by reference in any of our filings under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, whether made before or after the date of this 10-K and irrespective of any general incorporation language in those filings.

Dividend Policy

We have never paid a cash dividend and have no present intention to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future. We intend to retain any future earnings for use in our business.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The table set forth below contains certain consolidated financial data for each of our last five fiscal years. The following selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with Item 7 “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and our consolidated financial statements and the related notes appearing in Item 8 “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data” of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Consolidated Statements of Operations Data (in thousands, except per share data):	Year Ended December 31,				
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Net revenue	\$ 94,761	\$ 78,138	\$ 74,594	\$ 77,277	\$ 60,290
Cost of revenue	40,478	34,765	32,712	35,737	25,978
Gross profit.....	54,283	43,373	41,882	41,540	34,312
Operating expenses:					
Sales and marketing.....	35,942	32,246	27,984	28,664	25,499
Research and development	10,733	10,543	9,216	8,427	9,141
General and administrative.....	12,129	11,203	9,938	11,276	10,104
Total operating expenses.....	58,804	53,992	47,138	48,367	44,744
Loss from operations.....	(4,521)	(10,619)	(5,256)	(6,827)	(10,432)
Interest and other income, net	293	226	455	497	614
Loss before income taxes	(4,228)	(10,393)	(4,801)	(6,330)	(9,818)
Income tax (benefit) provision	212	219	(54)	218	243
Net loss.....	\$ (4,440)	\$ (10,612)	\$ (4,747)	\$ (6,548)	\$ (10,061)
Net loss per share:					
Basic and diluted	\$ (0.32)	\$ (0.74)	\$ (0.33)	\$ (0.46)	\$ (0.73)
Weighted-average number of shares used in per share calculations:					
Basic and diluted	13,960	14,254	14,421	14,089	13,807

Consolidated Balance Sheet Data (in thousands):	As of December 31,				
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Cash, cash equivalents and marketable investments	\$ 48,407	\$ 81,146	\$ 83,073	\$ 85,572	\$ 88,686
Long-term investments.....	—	—	—	—	3,027
Working capital (current assets less current liabilities).....	49,398	81,900	84,654	88,788	89,075
Total assets.....	77,518	108,913	108,669	112,794	111,353
Retained earnings (accumulated deficit)	(29,672)	(25,232)	(14,620)	(9,873)	(3,325)
Total stockholders’ equity	50,034	80,508	84,265	90,774	91,567

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our audited financial statements and notes thereto for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015. This Annual Report on Form 10-K, including the following sections, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Throughout this Report, and particularly in this Item 7, the forward-looking statements are based upon our current expectations, estimates and projections and that reflect our beliefs and assumptions based upon information available to us at the date of this Report. In some cases, you can identify these statements by words such as "may," "might," "will," "should," "expects," "plans," "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "predicts," "potential" or "continue," and other similar terms. These forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to risks, uncertainties, and assumptions that are difficult to predict. Our actual results, performance or achievements could differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements relating to our future financial performance, the ability to grow our business, increase our revenue, manage expenses, generate additional cash, achieve and maintain profitability, develop and commercialize existing and new products and applications, improve the performance of our worldwide sales and distribution network, and to the outlook regarding long term prospects. We caution you not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which reflect management's analysis only as of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. We undertake no obligation to update forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of this Form 10-K.

Some of the important factors that could cause our results to differ materially from those in our forward-looking statements, and a discussion of other risks and uncertainties, are discussed in Item 1A—Risk Factors commencing on page 18. We encourage you to read that section carefully as well as other risks detailed from time to time in our filings with the SEC.

Introduction

The Management's Discussion and Analysis, or MD&A, is organized as follows:

- *Executive Summary.* This section provides a general description and history of our business, a brief discussion of our product lines and the opportunities, trends, challenges and risks we focus on in the operation of our business.
- *Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates.* This section describes the key accounting policies that are affected by critical accounting estimates.
- *Recent Accounting Guidance.* This section describes the issuance and effect of new accounting pronouncements that are or may be applicable to us.
- *Results of Operations.* This section provides our analysis and outlook for the significant line items on our Consolidated Statements of Operations.
- *Liquidity and Capital Resources.* This section provides an analysis of our liquidity and cash flows, as well as a discussion of our commitments that existed as of December 31, 2015.

Executive Summary

Company Description.

We are a leading medical device company specializing in the research, development, manufacture, marketing and servicing of laser and other energy-based aesthetics systems for practitioners worldwide. We offer easy-to-use products which enable physicians and other qualified practitioners to perform safe and effective aesthetic procedures, including treatment of vascular conditions and removal of benign pigmented lesions, hair-removal, skin rejuvenation, body contouring, skin resurfacing, tattoo removal and toenail fungus. Our platforms are designed to be easily upgraded to add additional applications and hand pieces, which provide flexibility for our customers as they expand their practices. In addition to systems and upgrade revenue, we generate revenue from the sale of post warranty service contracts, providing services for products that are out of warranty, hand piece refills, and third-party manufactured skincare products. In the second quarter of 2014, we terminated our agreement with Merz for the distribution of its *Radiesse* dermal filler product.

Our corporate headquarters and U.S. operations are located in Brisbane, California, from where we conduct our manufacturing, warehousing, research and development, regulatory, sales and marketing, service, and administrative activities. We market, sell and service our products through direct sales and service employees in the U.S., Australia, Belgium, Canada, France, Hong Kong, Japan, Spain and Switzerland. Sales and Service outside of these direct markets are made through a worldwide distributor network in over 40 countries. As of December 31, 2015, we had a U.S. direct sales force of 34 employees and a direct international sales force of 32 employees.

Products Revenue.

Our Products revenue is derived from the sale of Products, Hand piece refills, and Skincare products. Product revenue represents the sale of a system. A system consists of a console that incorporates a universal graphic user interface, a laser and/or other energy based module, control system software and high voltage electronics; as well as one or more hand pieces. However, depending on the application, the laser or other energy based module is sometimes contained in the hand piece such as with our Pearl and Pearl Fractional applications instead of within the console.

We offer our customers the ability to select the system that best fits their practice at the time of purchase and then to cost-effectively add applications to their system as their practice grows. This provides customers the flexibility to upgrade their systems whenever they want and provides us with a source of additional revenue, which we treat as Product revenue.

For our Titan hand pieces, after a set number of treatments have been performed, the customer is required to send the hand piece back to the factory for refurbishment, which we refer to as "refilling" the hand piece and is classified as Hand piece revenue.

Skincare revenue relates to the distribution of ZO's skincare products in Japan, and through the second quarter of 2014, also included Merz Pharma GmbH's ("Merz") *Radiesse* dermal filler product.

Service Revenue.

Service revenue relates to amortization of prepaid service contracts, direct billings for detachable hand piece replacements and revenue for parts and labor on out-of-warranty products.

Significant Business Trends. We believe that our ability to grow revenue will be primarily dependent on the following:

- Continuing to expand our product offerings — both through internal development and sourcing from other vendors.
- Ongoing investment in our global sales and marketing infrastructure.
- Use of clinical results to support new aesthetic products and applications.
- Enhanced luminary development and reference selling efforts (to develop a location where our products can be displayed and used to assist in selling efforts).
- Customer demand for our products.
- Strengthening against the U.S. dollar of key international currencies in which we transact (Australian Dollar, Japanese Yen, Euro, and British Pound).
- Consumer demand for the application of our products.
- Marketing to physicians in the core dermatology and plastic surgeon specialties, as well as outside those specialties.
- Generating ongoing revenue from our growing installed base of customers through the sale of Service, system upgrades, Hand piece refills, and Skincare products.

For a detailed discussion of the significant business trends impacting our business, please see "Results of Operations" below.

Factors that May Impact Future Performance

Our industry is impacted by numerous competitive, regulatory and other significant factors. Our industry is highly competitive and our future performance depends on our ability to compete successfully. Additionally, our future performance is dependent upon our ability to continue to expand our product offerings with innovative technologies, obtain regulatory clearances for our products, protect the proprietary technology of our products and our manufacturing processes, manufacture our products cost-effectively, and successfully market and distribute our products in a profitable manner. If we fail to execute on the aforementioned initiatives, our business would be adversely affected. A detailed discussion of these and other factors that could impact our future performance are provided in Part I, Item 1A "Risk Factors."

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of our Consolidated Financial Statements and related disclosures in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the U.S. ("GAAP") requires us to make estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses. These estimates, judgments and assumptions are based on historical experience and on various other factors that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances. We periodically review our estimates and make adjustments when facts and circumstances dictate. To the extent that there are material differences between these estimates and actual results, our financial condition or results of operations will be affected.

Critical accounting estimates, as defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”), are those that are most important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations and require our management’s most difficult and subjective judgments and estimates of matters that are inherently uncertain. Our critical accounting estimates are as follows:

Revenue Recognition

We earn revenue from the sale of Products, Hand piece refills, Skincare products and Service. We recognize revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, transfer of title to the customer has occurred, the sales price is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. We defer revenue in the event that any of these revenue recognition criteria is not met.

- *Persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists:* We use customer purchase agreements or contracts, or customer purchase orders to determine the existence of an arrangement;
- *Transfer of title:* Our standard terms generally specify that title transfers upon shipment to the customer. We generally use third party shipping documents and/or signed customer acknowledgements to verify that title has transferred. For service revenue, we use the date that services have been rendered;
- *Sales price is fixed or determinable:* We assess whether the sales price is fixed or determinable at the time of the transaction. Sales prices are documented in the customer purchase agreement or purchase order received prior to shipment. Our standard terms do not allow for trial or evaluation periods, rights of return or refund, payments contingent upon the customer obtaining financing or other terms that could impact the customer's obligation; and
- *Collectability is reasonably assured:* We assess whether collection is reasonably assured based on a number of factors, including receipt of cash or credit card payment, customer's past transaction history, credit worthiness, or the receipt of an irrevocable letter of credit.

Multiple-Element Arrangements

For Product revenue, all of the tangible products, including the embedded software, are delivered to the customer at the time of sale. In some circumstances, in conjunction with the purchase of a system or upgrade, customers purchase service contracts for one or more years to cover their products. For these transactions, the following multiple-element arrangement exists: a tangible product delivered to the customer at the inception of the revenue arrangement; and a service contract for delivery of services to the customer over a contractually stated period of time defined in the service contract.

For multiple-element arrangements, judgments are required as to the allocation of the proceeds received from an arrangement to the multiple elements of the arrangement. For multiple element arrangements entered into on or after January 1, 2010, we allocate revenue to all deliverables based on their relative selling prices. Because we have neither vendor-specific objective evidence (“VSOE”) nor third-party evidence of selling price (“TPE”) for our systems, the allocation of revenue has been based on our best estimate of selling prices (“BESP”). The objective of BESP is to determine the price at which we would transact a sale if the product or service was sold on a stand-alone basis. We determine BESP for our deliverables by considering multiple factors including, but not limited to, features and functionality of the system, geographies, type of customer and market conditions.

Revenue under service contracts is recognized on a straight-line basis over the period of the applicable service contract. Service revenue, not under a service contract, is recognized as the services are provided.

Hand Piece Refills

When customers purchase a hand piece refill, we ship a previously refurbished unit and recognize revenue upon shipment. With respect to our *truSculpt* product, prior to the third quarter of 2013, we sold the system and hand piece and then charged the customer an incremental fee for any future refills and we treated the refills as a separate deliverable under FASB ASC 605-25. In addition, we also provided promotions that included an unlimited number of “free” hand piece replacements during a stated trial period of 3 months or 12 months. We determined that these free refills were an undelivered element under FASB ASC 605-25 in the original revenue transaction. As such, we deferred the relative fair value related to the estimated number of hand piece replacements to be delivered during the promotional period and recognized that deferred revenue over the free refills promotion period. Commencing with the third quarter of 2013, we included unlimited hand piece replacements in the *truSculpt* standard warranty contract and concluded that this no longer was a separate deliverable under the multiple-element arrangement revenue guidance. Following this change, we recognized the revenue under the warranty model, in which the revenue for the system sale was recognized up-front along with an estimate of the costs which will be incurred under the warranty obligation recorded in cost of revenue.

Shipping and Handling Costs

We expense shipping and handling costs as incurred and include them in cost of revenue. In those cases where we bill shipping and handling costs to customers, we classify the amounts billed as revenue.

Stock-based Compensation Expense

Stock Options

We account for stock-based compensation in accordance with the fair value recognition provisions of U.S. GAAP. To value options, we use the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, which requires the input of highly subjective assumptions. These assumptions include:

- Estimating the length of time employees will retain their vested stock options before exercising them (“expected term”);
- Estimated volatility of our common stock price over the expected term;
- Number of options that will ultimately not complete their vesting requirements (“forfeiture rate”); and
- Expected risk-free interest rate and dividend rate over the expected term.

The assumptions for expected volatility and expected term are the two assumptions that significantly affect the grant date fair value.

The expected term represents the weighted-average period that our stock options are expected to be outstanding. The expected term is based on the observed and expected time to post-vesting exercise of options by employees. We use historical exercise patterns of previously granted options in relation to stock price movements to derive an employee behavioral pattern used to forecast expected exercise patterns.

We estimate volatility based on historical volatility and we also consider implied volatility when there is sufficient volume of freely traded options with comparable terms and exercise prices in the open market.

Changes in expected risk-free interest rate and dividend rate do not significantly impact the calculation of fair value, and determining this input is not highly subjective.

Changes in the subjective assumptions of expected term, volatility and forfeiture rate can materially affect the estimate of fair value of stock-based compensation and, consequently, the related amount recognized on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Restricted Stock Units

We grant restricted stock unit (“RSU”) awards to our management employees, officers and directors. RSUs are measured based on the fair market values of the underlying stock on the dates of grant and the stock-based compensation expense is recognized over the vesting period. Shares are issued on the vesting dates net of the minimum statutory tax withholding requirements to be paid by us on behalf of our employees. As a result, the actual number of shares issued will be fewer than the actual number of RSUs outstanding. Furthermore, we record the liability for withholding amounts to be paid by us as a reduction to additional paid-in capital.

Performance Stock Units

Performance stock unit (“PSU”) awards are granted to our officers and other members of management. The final number of shares of common stock issuable at the end of the performance measurement period, subject to the recipient’s continued service through that date, is determined based on the degree of achievement of the performance goals. The fair value of PSUs that have operational goals is measured based on the market price of our stock on the date of grant, whereas PSUs with market-based measurement goals are measured using a Monte-Carlo simulation option-pricing model. The Monte-Carlo simulation option-pricing model uses the same input assumptions as the Black-Scholes model; however, it also further incorporates into the fair-value determination, the possibility that the market condition may not be satisfied.

Stock-based compensation expense for PSUs with operational goals is recognized based on the expected degree of achievement of the performance goals over the vesting period. However, stock-based compensation expense for market-

based PSU awards are recognized regardless of whether the market condition is satisfied, provided that the requisite service has been provided.

On the vesting date of PSU awards, we issue fully-paid up common stock, net of the minimum statutory tax withholding requirements to be paid by us and record the liability for withholding amounts as a reduction to additional paid-in capital.

Forfeiture Rates

In accounting for share-based compensation expenses, we are required to develop an estimate of the number of share-based awards that will be forfeited due to employee turnover. Adjustments in the estimated forfeiture rates can have a significant effect on our reported share-based compensation, as we recognize the cumulative effect of the rate adjustments for all expense amortization in the period the estimated forfeiture rates were adjusted. We estimate and adjust forfeiture rates based on a periodic review of recent forfeiture activity and expected future employee turnover. If a revised forfeiture rate is higher than previously estimated forfeiture rate, we may make an adjustment that will result in a decrease to the expense recognized in the financial statements during the period when the rate was changed. Adjustments in the estimated forfeiture rates could also cause changes in the amount of expense that we recognize in future periods.

Intangible Assets

Our intangible assets include identifiable intangibles and goodwill. Identifiable intangibles include sub-licenses, rights acquired from a former distributor and those acquired in conjunction with an acquisition in 2012. All of our identifiable intangibles have finite lives.

In February 2012, we acquired the global aesthetic business unit of IRIDEX Corporation, which included various laser systems (such as the *VariLite* and *Gemini*) and an installed base of customers, whose products are being serviced by us. This acquisition was considered a business combination for accounting purposes, and as such, in addition to valuing all the assets, we recorded goodwill associated with the expected synergies from leveraging the customer relationships and integrating new product offerings into our business. The fair values of the assets acquired were determined to be \$4.8 million of net tangible and intangible assets and \$1.3 million of goodwill.

Identifiable intangible assets with finite lives are subject to impairment testing and are reviewed for impairment when events or circumstances indicate that such assets may not be recoverable at their carrying value. We evaluate the recoverability of the carrying value of these identifiable intangibles based on estimated undiscounted cash flows to be generated from such assets. If the cash flow estimates or the significant operating assumptions upon which they are based change in the future, we may be required to record additional impairment charges. When events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of long-lived assets may not be recoverable, we recognize such impairment in the event the net book value of such assets exceeds the future undiscounted cash flows attributable to such assets.

The valuation and classification of intangible assets and goodwill and the assignment of useful amortization lives for the intangible assets involves judgments and the use of estimates. The evaluation of these intangibles and goodwill for impairment under established accounting guidelines is required on a recurring basis. Changes in business conditions could potentially require future adjustments to asset valuations. If we determine that the remaining useful lives of assets are shorter than we had originally estimated, we accelerate the rate of amortization over the assets' new, shorter useful lives. A considerable amount of judgment is required in assessing impairment, which includes financial forecasts. Should conditions be different from management's current estimates, material write-downs of long-lived assets may be required, which would adversely affect our operating results.

As of December 31, 2015 we determined that there was no impairment to our long-lived assets. As of December 31, 2014, we evaluated the recoverability of our long-lived assets. Relating to the purchased intangible assets associated with the Iridex acquisition in 2012, due to the discontinuation of the manufacture and sale of all products acquired, reduction in projected future service revenue, and reduction in projected revenue expected from the distributor relationships acquired, we determined based on an undiscounted cash flow model that the remaining carrying value of these assets was impaired. Based on a discounted cash flow model, we measured the impairment of the purchased intangible assets and recorded an impairment charge of \$650,000 in cost of revenue in the year ended December 31, 2014. There were no impairment charges or accelerated amortization recorded in the year ended December 31, 2013. Our valuation model relied on unobservable inputs, referred to as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy, that are supported by little or no market activity and reflect the use of significant management judgment and included expected future cash flow streams as well as a market discount rate. Our valuation model is subject to uncertainties that are difficult to predict.

Valuation of Inventories

We state our inventories at the lower of cost or market, computed on a standard cost basis, which approximates actual cost on a first-in, first-out basis and market being determined as the lower of replacement cost or net realizable value. Standard costs are monitored and updated quarterly or as necessary, to reflect changes in raw material costs, labor to manufacture the product and overhead rates. We provide for excess and obsolete inventories when conditions indicate that the selling price could be less than cost due to physical deterioration, usage, obsolescence, reductions in estimated future demand and reductions in selling prices. Inventory provisions are measured as the difference between the cost of inventory and estimated market value and charged to cost of revenue to establish a lower cost basis for the inventories. We balance the need to maintain strategic inventory levels with the risk of obsolescence due to changing technology, timing of new product introductions and customer demand levels. Unfavorable changes in market conditions may result in a need for additional inventory provisions that could adversely impact our gross margins. Conversely, favorable changes in demand could result in higher gross margins when product that had previously been written down is sold.

Warranty Obligations

We provide a one-year standard warranty on all systems. For direct sales to end customers, warranty coverage provided is for labor and parts necessary to repair the systems during the warranty period. For sales to distributors, we provide a 14-month warranty for parts only. The distributor provides the labor to their end customer. Commencing with the third quarter of 2013, for sales of our *truSculpt* product, we included free hand piece refills during the warranty period.

We provide for the estimated future costs of warranty obligations in cost of revenue when the related revenue is recognized. The accrued warranty costs represent our best estimate at the time of sale, and as reviewed and updated quarterly, of the total costs that we expect to incur during the warranty period to repair or replace product parts that fail, including the refurbishment of any *truSculpt* refills included as part of the original sale. Accrued warranty costs include costs of material, technical support, labor and associated overhead. The amount of accrued estimated warranty costs obligation for established products is primarily based on historical experience as to product failures adjusted for current information on repair costs. Actual warranty costs could differ from the estimated amounts. On a quarterly basis, we review the accrued balances of our warranty obligations and update based on historical warranty cost trends. If we were required to accrue additional warranty cost in the future due to actual product failure rates, material usage, service delivery costs or overhead costs differing from our estimates, revisions to the estimated warranty liability would be required, which would negatively impact our operating results.

Provision for Income Taxes

We are subject to taxes on earnings in both the U.S. and various foreign jurisdictions. As a global taxpayer, significant judgments and estimates are required in evaluating our uncertain tax positions and determining our provision for income taxes on earnings. We perform a two-step approach to recognizing and measuring uncertain tax positions. The first step is to evaluate the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on audit, including resolution of related appeals or litigation processes, if any. The second step is to measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more than 50% likely of being realized upon settlement. Although we believe we have adequately reserved for our uncertain tax positions, no assurance can be given that the final tax outcome of these matters will not be different. We adjust these reserves in light of changing facts and circumstances, such as the closing of a tax audit or the refinement of an estimate. To the extent that the final tax outcome of these matters is different than the amounts recorded, such differences will impact the provision for income taxes in the period in which such determination is made. The provision for income taxes includes the impact of reserve provisions and changes to reserves that are considered appropriate, as well as the related net interest.

Our effective tax rates have differed from the statutory rate primarily due to changes in the valuation allowance, foreign operations, research and development tax credits, state taxes, and certain benefits realized related to stock option activity. Our current effective tax rate does not assume U.S. taxes on undistributed profits of foreign subsidiaries. These earnings could become subject to incremental foreign withholding or U.S. federal and state taxes, should they either be deemed or actually remitted to the U.S. The effective tax rate in 2015, 2014 and 2013 was approximately (5)%, (2)%, and 1%, respectively. Our future effective tax rates could be adversely affected by earnings being lower in countries where we have lower statutory rates and being higher in countries where we have higher statutory rates, or by changes in tax laws, accounting principles, interpretations thereof, net operating loss carryback, research and development tax credits, and due to changes in the valuation allowance of our U.S. deferred tax assets. In addition, we are subject to the examination of our income tax returns by the Internal Revenue Service and other tax authorities. We regularly assess the likelihood of adverse outcomes resulting from these examinations to determine the adequacy of our provision for income taxes.

At December 31, 2015, we had an aggregate of approximately \$2.8 million of unremitted earnings of foreign subsidiaries that have been, or are intended to be, indefinitely reinvested for continued use in foreign operations. Depending on the timing and nature of the distribution, if the total undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries were remitted while the Company is able to utilize its net operating losses, it is likely there would be no material additional tax resulting from the distribution.

Our deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities, and for operating losses and tax credit carryforwards. A valuation allowance reduces deferred tax assets to estimated realizable value, which assumes that it is more likely than not that we will be able to generate sufficient future taxable income in certain tax jurisdictions to realize the net carrying value. We have fully reserved our U.S. federal and state deferred tax assets due to our history of operating losses.

Litigation

We have been, and may in the future become, subject to legal proceedings related to securities litigation, intellectual property, product liability claims, contractual disputes, trademark and copyright, and other matters. Based on all available information at the balance sheet dates, we assess the likelihood of any adverse judgments or outcomes for these matters, as well as potential ranges of probable loss. If losses are probable and reasonably estimable, we record an estimated liability.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth selected consolidated financial data expressed as a percentage of net revenue.

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Net revenue	100%	100%	100%
Cost of revenue	43%	44%	44%
Gross profit	57%	56%	56%
Operating expenses:			
Sales and marketing	38%	41%	38%
Research and development	11%	14%	12%
General and administrative	13%	14%	13%
Total operating expenses.....	62%	69%	63%
Loss from operations.....	(5)%	(13)%	(7)%
Interest and other income, net.....	—%	—%	1%
Loss before income taxes	(5)%	(13)%	(6)%
Income tax (benefit) provision.....	—%	—%	—%
Net loss.....	(5)%	(13)%	(6)%

Net Revenue

The following table sets forth selected consolidated revenue by major geographic area and product category with changes thereof.

(Dollars in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,				
	2015	% Change	2014	% Change	2013
Revenue mix by geography:					
United States	\$ 48,916	38%	\$ 35,494	13%	\$ 31,487
<i>Percent of total</i>	52%		45%		42%
Japan.....	\$ 11,504	(14)%	\$ 13,328	(6)%	\$ 14,205
Asia, excluding Japan.....	15,596	41%	11,023	(2)%	11,263
Europe	7,728	(1)%	7,792	6%	7,358
Rest of the world	11,017	5%	10,501	2%	10,281
Total international revenue	45,845	8%	42,644	(1)%	43,107
<i>Percent of total</i>	48%		55%		58%
Total consolidated revenue	\$ 94,761	21%	\$ 78,138	5%	\$ 74,594
Revenue mix by product category:					
Product – North America	\$ 40,528	49%	\$ 27,122	16%	\$ 23,414
Product – Rest of World	30,695	18%	25,984	4%	24,960
Total Product	71,223	34%	53,106	10%	48,374
Hand Piece Refills.....	2,910	(22)%	3,714	(13)%	4,267
Skincare.....	2,889	(17)%	3,479	(18)%	4,264
Service.....	17,739	(1)%	17,839	1%	17,689
Total consolidated revenue	\$ 94,761	21%	\$ 78,138	5%	\$ 74,594

Revenue by Geography:

Our U.S. revenue increased by 38% in 2015, compared to 2014. The increase in U.S. revenue was primarily a result of revenue generated by our most recently introduced *enlighten* and *excel HR* products, continued growth of our *excel V*, *xeo* and *truSculpt* products, partially offset by a declines in revenue from other legacy products.

Our U.S. revenue increased by 13% in 2014, compared to 2013. The increase in U.S. revenue was primarily a result of revenue generated by our newly introduced *enlighten* and *excel HR* products, continued growth of *excel V* product revenue, partially offset by reduced productivity of our U.S. sales force, caused in part by field sales and management turnover, and a decline in revenue from our *xeo*, *GenesisPlus* and *truSculpt* products.

Our total international revenue increased by 8% in 2015, compared to 2014, and represented 48% of our total revenue. The increase in international revenue was primarily a result of increases in our distributor business in Asia Pacific and Europe as well as our direct business in Australia. This was partially offset by a decline in our direct business in Japan and the negative impact associated with the appreciation of the U.S. Dollar against the Euro, Japanese Yen and the Australian Dollar.

Our total international revenue decreased by 1% in 2014, compared to 2013, and represented 55% of our total revenue. The decrease in international revenue was primarily a result of decreased revenue from Canada, the decline in 2014 of the Japanese Yen versus the U.S. Dollar compared to 2013, partially offset by growth in revenue from Australia and the Benelux region.

Revenue by Product Category:

Our Product revenue increased by 34% in 2015, compared to 2014. This increase in Product revenue was primarily attributable to revenue generated by our most recently introduced *enlighten* and *excel HR* products, the continued growth in *excel V* sales and increases in sales of *truSculpt*, partially offset by declines in our legacy products.

Our Product revenue increased by 10% in 2014, compared to 2013. This increase in Product revenue was primarily attributable to revenue generated by our newly introduced *enlighten* and *excel HR* products and the continued growth in *excel V* sales, partially offset by declines in *xeo*, *GenesisPlus* and *truSculpt* sales.

Our Hand Piece Refills revenue decreased by 22% and 13% in 2015 and 2014, compared to the respective prior year periods. These decreases were due primarily to declines in *Titan* hand piece refill revenue caused by reduced utilization.

Our Skincare business decreased by 17% and 18% in 2015 and 2014, compared to the respective prior year periods. These decreases were primarily a result of the discontinuation of the distribution of the Merz Radiesse filler product in Japan in the second quarter of 2014. In addition, the continued devaluation of the Japanese Yen versus the U.S. Dollar by approximately 14% in 2015 and 9% in 2014, compared to the respective prior year periods, had an adverse impact on our revenue.

Our Service revenue decreased by 1% in 2015 and increased by 1% in 2014, compared to the respective prior year periods. The ratable recognition of service contract fees is the primary component of our Service revenue.

Gross Profit

(Dollars in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,				
	2015	% Change	2014	% Change	2013
Gross Profit	\$ 54,283	25%	\$ 43,373	4%	\$ 41,882
<i>As a percentage of total revenue</i>	57%		56%		56%

Our cost of revenue consists primarily of materials, personnel expenses, royalty expense, warranty and manufacturing overhead expenses. Gross margin as a percentage of net revenue improved to 57% in 2015, compared to 2014, which was primarily attributable to the following:

- A \$16.6 million increase in total revenue, which improved the leverage of our manufacturing department expenses; and
- A one-time impairment charge in 2014 of \$650,000 for purchased intangibles related to a previous acquisition, which did not reoccur in 2015; partially offset
- A partial shift in product mix towards lower margin products, primarily as a result of our newly introduced *excel HR* and *enlighten* products in 2014, which have a high initial cost structure.

Gross margin as a percentage of net revenue was flat at 56% in 2014, compared to 2013, which was primarily attributable to the following:

- A \$3.5 million increase in total revenue, which improved the leverage of our manufacturing department expenses; offset by
- A one-time impairment charge of \$650,000 for purchased intangibles related to a previous acquisition; and
- A partial shift in product mix towards lower margin products, primarily as a result of our newly introduced *excel HR* and *enlighten* products in 2014 that had a high initial cost structure.

Sales and Marketing

(Dollars in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,				
	2015	% Change	2014	% Change	2013
Sales and marketing	\$ 35,942	11%	\$ 32,246	15%	\$ 27,984
<i>As a percentage of total revenue</i>	38%		41%		38%

Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of personnel expenses, expenses associated with customer-attended workshops and trade shows, post-marketing studies and advertising. Sales and marketing expenses increased by \$3.7 million in 2015, compared to 2014, which was primarily attributable to the following:

- \$2.6 million increase in personnel related expenses in North America, due primarily to higher commissions as a result of increased North American revenue and an increase in severance costs;
- \$1.1 million increase in non-Japan international spending, primarily as a result of higher international sales headcount as well as the expansion of our international operations;
- \$713,000 of increased promotional spending, primarily in North America; partially offset by
- \$1.1 million of decreased Japan expenses resulting primarily from the continued devaluation of the Japanese Yen versus the U.S. Dollar.

Sales and marketing expenses increased by \$4.3 million in 2014, compared to 2013, which was primarily attributable to the following:

- \$2.6 million increase in personnel related expenses in North American, driven primarily by the expansion of our sales force;
- \$1.4 million increase in non-Japan international spending, primarily as a result of higher international sales headcount as well as the expansion of our international operations;
- \$752,000 of increased promotional spending, primarily in North America;
- \$541,000 of increased North American travel and entertainment expenses due to increased sales and management travel activity; partially offset by
- \$941,000 of decreased Japan expenses resulting primarily from the continued devaluation of the Japanese Yen versus the U.S. Dollar.

Sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of net revenue, decreased to 38% in 2015, compare to 41% in 2014. This decrease was attributable to an increase in revenue greater than the increase in expenses, resulting in the leverage of our sales and marketing expenses. Sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of net revenue, increased to 41% in 2014, compared to 38% in 2013. This increase was due to a larger increase in expenses, compared to the increase in revenue.

Research and Development (“R&D”)

(Dollars in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,				
	2015	% Change	2014	% Change	2013
Research and development.....	\$ 10,733	2%	\$ 10,543	14%	\$ 9,216
<i>As a percentage of total revenue</i>	<i>11%</i>		<i>14%</i>		<i>12%</i>

Research and development expenses consist primarily of personnel, clinical and regulatory expenses, as well as material costs. R&D expenses increased \$190,000 in 2015, compared to 2014, which was primarily attributable to:

- \$739,000 of higher personnel expenses;
- \$262,000 increase in expensed tools and equipment spending; partially offset by
- A decrease of \$900,000 in material spending.

R&D expenses increased \$1.3 million in 2014, compared to 2013, which was primarily attributable to:

- \$1.0 million higher personnel expenses as a result of increased headcount;
- \$398,000 increase in material spending due to product development efforts related to two new launched products, *enlighten* and *excel HR*; partially offset by
- A decrease of \$110,000 in expensed tools and equipment spending.

General and Administrative (“G&A”)

(Dollars in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,				
	2015	% Change	2014	% Change	2013
General and administrative.....	\$ 12,129	8%	\$ 11,203	13%	\$ 9,938
<i>As a percentage of total revenue</i>	<i>13%</i>		<i>14%</i>		<i>13%</i>

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of: personnel expenses, legal fees, accounting, audit and tax consulting fees, and other general and administrative expenses. G&A expenses increased by \$926,000 in 2015, compared to 2014, which was primarily attributable to:

- \$1.2 million of increased personnel related expenses;
- \$182,000 of increased excise tax, due to increased sales in the U.S.; partially offset by
- \$304,000 of decreased legal fees and costs of settlements; and
- A reduction of \$200,000 in fees resulting from the conclusion of a management consulting engagement in 2014 that did not reoccur in 2015.

G&A expenses increased by \$1.3 million in 2014, compared to 2013, which was primarily attributable to:

- \$1.3 million of increased personnel related expenses;
- \$407,000 of increased legal fees and costs of settlements; partially offset by
- A reduction of \$600,000 in fees resulting from the conclusion of a management consulting engagement in 2014 that commenced in 2013.

Interest and Other Income, Net

The components of “Interest and Other Income, Net” are as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,				
	2015	% Change	2014	% Change	2013
Interest income	\$ 330	(19)%	\$ 406	(4)%	\$ 421
Other income (expense), net.....	(37)	(79)%	(180)	(629)%	34
Total interest and other income, net	<u>\$ 293</u>	<u>30%</u>	<u>\$ 226</u>	<u>(50)%</u>	<u>\$ 455</u>

Interest income decreased 19% in 2015, compared to 2014, and decreased 4% in 2014, compared to 2013. These decreases were primarily attributable to decreases in our cash, cash equivalents and marketable investments balances and decreased yields on our investments. Our cash, cash equivalents and marketable investments at December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 were \$48.4 million, \$81.1 million and \$83.1 million, respectively. The large decrease in the investment balance in 2015 was attributable to \$40 million of share repurchases of the Company’s stock.

Income Tax (Benefit) Provision

(Dollars in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,				
	2015	\$ Change	2014	\$ Change	2013
Loss before income taxes	\$ (4,228)	\$ 6,165	\$ (10,393)	\$ (5,592)	\$ (4,801)
Income tax (benefit) provision	212	(7)	219	273	(54)
<i>Effective tax rate</i>	(5)%		(2)%		1%

In 2015, 2014 and 2013, we recorded an income tax provision of \$212,000, and \$219,000 and an income tax benefit of \$54,000, respectively. Our tax provisions for both 2015 and 2014 are primarily related to foreign tax expenses. Our tax benefit for 2013 was primarily related to releases of reserves for Uncertain Tax Positions due to lapses in the applicable statutes of limitations, offset by foreign tax expenses. A full valuation allowance was applied against all U.S. federal and state deferred tax assets arising during each of these years.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is the measurement of our ability to meet potential cash requirements, fund the planned expansion of our operations and acquire businesses. Our sources of cash include operations, stock option exercises, and employee stock purchases. We actively manage our cash usage and investment of liquid cash to ensure the maintenance of sufficient funds to meet our daily needs. The majority of our cash and investments are held in U.S. banks and our foreign subsidiaries maintain a limited amount of cash in their local banks to cover their short-term operating expenses. The following table summarizes our cash and cash equivalents and marketable investments (in thousands):

(Dollars in thousands)	Year ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	Change
Cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 10,868	\$ 9,803	\$ 1,065
Marketable investments.....	37,539	71,343	(33,804)
Total	<u>\$ 48,407</u>	<u>\$ 81,146</u>	<u>\$ (32,739)</u>

Cash Flows

In summary, our cash flows were as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Year ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Cash flows provided by (used in):			
Operating activities.....	\$ (1,359)	\$ (4,286)	\$ 3,513
Investing activities.....	32,646	(5,611)	(5,848)
Financing activities.....	<u>(30,222)</u>	<u>3,458</u>	<u>(4,969)</u>
Net increase (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents.....	<u>\$ 1,065</u>	<u>\$ (6,439)</u>	<u>\$ (7,304)</u>

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

We used net cash of \$1.4 million in operating activities during 2015, which was primarily attributable to:

- \$1.1 million provided by operations based on a net loss of \$4.4 million after adjusting for non-cash related items of \$5.5 million, consisting primarily of stock-based compensation expense of \$4.1 million and depreciation and amortization expense of \$1.2 million;
- \$2.7 million generated from an increase in accrued liabilities, primarily associated with personnel costs; offset by
- \$2.3 million used as a result of a decrease in deferred revenue due primarily from the amortization of service contracts from previous years;
- \$1.1 million used to pay down a high accounts payable balance as of December 31, 2014; and
- \$1.1 million used to increase raw material and finished goods inventories due to an expanded product line.

We used net cash of \$4.3 million in operating activities during 2014, which was primarily attributable to:

- \$5.1 million used for operations based on a net loss of \$10.6 million after adjusting for non-cash related items of \$5.5 million, consisting primarily of stock-based compensation expense of \$3.3 million, depreciation and amortization expense of \$1.3 million and \$0.7 million of an impairment of intangible assets;
- \$2.0 million used to increase inventories for the addition of the new product line in 2014;
- \$1.5 million used as a result of an increase in accounts receivable that resulted from increased product sales in the three-month period ended December 31, 2014, compared to the same period in 2013; partially offset by
- \$1.7 million generated from an increase in accrued liabilities;
- \$1.4 million generated from an increase in deferred revenue due primarily to a “two years-for the price of one” service contract pricing promotion; and
- \$1.3 million generated from an increase in accounts payable resulting from the higher purchases of inventories relating to the new product lines added in 2014.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

We generated net cash of \$32.6 million in investing activities in 2015, which was primarily attributable to:

- \$33.4 million in proceeds from the sales and maturities, net of purchases, of marketable investments for financing our stock repurchase and operations; partially offset by
- \$0.7 million of cash used to purchase property and equipment.

We used net cash of \$5.6 million in investing activities in 2014, which was primarily attributable to:

- \$4.9 million of cash used to purchase, net of proceeds from the sales and maturities of marketable investments; and
- \$0.7 million of cash used to purchase property and equipment.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities in 2015 was \$30.2 million, which was primarily due to:

- the repurchase of common stock for \$40.1 million; partially offset by
- proceeds of \$10.1 million from the issuance of common stock due to employees exercising their stock options and purchasing stock through the Employee Stock Purchase Plan (or “ESPP”) program.

Net cash provided by financing activities in 2014 was \$3.5 million, which resulted primarily from employees exercising their stock options and purchasing stock through the ESPP program.

Adequacy of cash resources to meet future needs

We had cash, cash equivalents and marketable investments of \$48.4 million as of December 31, 2015. We believe that our existing cash resources are sufficient to meet our anticipated cash needs for working capital and capital expenditures for at least the next several years, as well as for financing the \$10 million Stock Repurchase Program approved by our Board in February 2016.

Contractual Obligations

The following are our contractual obligations, consisting of future minimum lease commitments related to facility and vehicle leases as of December 31, 2015:

Contractual Obligations	Payments Due by Period (\$'000's)				
	Total	Less Than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More Than 5 Years
Operating leases	\$ 3,913	\$ 1,882	\$ 2,027	\$ 4	\$ —
Capital leases	505	271	234	—	—
Total leases.....	<u>\$ 4,418</u>	<u>\$ 2,153</u>	<u>\$ 2,261</u>	<u>\$ 4</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

Purchase Commitments

We maintain certain open inventory purchase commitments with our suppliers to ensure a smooth and continuous supply for key components. Our liability in these purchase commitments is generally restricted to a forecasted time-horizon as agreed between the parties. These forecasted time-horizons can vary among different suppliers. Our open inventory purchase commitments were not material at December 31, 2015. As a result, this amount is not included in the contractual obligations table above.

Income Tax Liability

We have included in our Consolidated Balance Sheet a \$78,000 long-term income tax liability for unrecognized tax benefits and accrued interest as of December 31, 2015. At this time, we are unable to make a reasonably reliable estimate of the timing of payments in individual years beyond 12 months due to uncertainties in the timing of tax audit outcomes. As a result, this amount is not included in the contractual obligations table above.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not participate in transactions that generate relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance, variable interest or special purpose entities, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. As of December 31, 2015, we were not involved in any unconsolidated transactions.

Other

In the normal course of business, we enter into agreements that contain a variety of representations, warranties, and indemnification obligations. For example, we have entered into indemnification agreements with each of our directors and executive officers. Our exposure under the various indemnification obligations is unknown and not reasonably estimable as they involve future claims that may be made against us. As such, we have not accrued any amounts for such obligations.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Interest Rate Sensitivity

Our exposure to interest rate risk relates primarily to our investment portfolio. Fixed rate securities may have their fair market value adversely impacted due to fluctuations in interest rates, while floating rate securities may produce less income than expected if interest rates fall. Due in part to these factors, our future investment income may fall short of expectation due to changes in interest rates or we may suffer losses in principal if forced to sell securities which have declined in market value due to changes in interest rates. The primary objective of our investment activities is to preserve principal while at the same time maximizing yields without significantly increasing risk. To achieve this objective, we invest in debt instruments of the U.S. Government and its agencies and municipal bonds, and, by policy, restrict our exposure to any single type of investment or issuer by imposing concentration limits. To minimize the exposure due to adverse shifts in interest rates, we maintain investments at a weighted average maturity of generally less than eighteen months. Based on discounted cash flow modeling with respect to our total investment portfolio as of December 31, 2015, assuming a hypothetical increase in interest rates of one percentage point, the fair value of our total investment portfolio would potentially decline by approximately \$242,000.

Foreign Currency Exchange

In 2015 and 2014, our international revenue was approximately 48% and 55%, respectively, of our total revenue. Approximately 49% and 48%, of our international revenue was denominated in U.S. Dollars. All of the remaining revenue was denominated in Japanese Yen, Euros, Australian Dollars and Swiss Francs. Our Japanese Yen denominated revenue represents the majority of our foreign currency denominated revenue. In 2015 and 2014, the Japanese Yen, compared to the U.S. Dollar, devalued by approximately 14% and 9%, respectively, which had a significant adverse foreign exchange impact on our revenue – both from a re-measurement loss upon the conversion of our Japanese Yen denominated revenue as well as the additional negative revenue impact due to the effective price increase for the local customers importing our U.S. Dollar denominated systems into Japan. In addition, the Japanese Yen devaluation had a favorable foreign currency translation impact on our local cost of sales and operating expenses.

We have historically not engaged in hedging activities relating to our foreign currency denominated transactions, given we have a natural hedge resulting from our foreign cash receipts being utilized to fund our respective local currency expenses.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

CUTERA, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES

ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following Consolidated Financial Statements of the Registrant and its subsidiaries are required to be included in Item 8:

	<u>Page</u>
Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms	50
Consolidated Balance Sheets	52
Consolidated Statements of Operations	53
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss	54
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity	55
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	56
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	57

The following Consolidated Financial Statement Schedule of the Registrant and its subsidiaries for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 is filed as a part of this Report as required to be included in Item 15(a) and should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Registrant and its subsidiaries:

<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Page</u>
II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts.....	80

All other required schedules are omitted because of the absence of conditions under which they are required or because the required information is given in the Consolidated Financial Statements or the Notes thereto.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Stockholders
Cutera, Inc.
Brisbane, California

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Cutera, Inc. as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive loss, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2015. In connection with our audits of the financial statements, we have also audited the financial statement schedule listed in the accompanying index. These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements and schedule. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Cutera, Inc. at December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the two years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Cutera Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated March 15, 2016 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ BDO USA, LLP
San Jose, California
March 15, 2016

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Cutera, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive loss, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2013 of Cutera, Inc. Our audit also included the financial statement schedule at Item 15(a) for the year ended December 31, 2013. These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated results of operations, comprehensive loss, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with US generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP
Redwood City, California
March 17, 2014

CUTERA, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in thousands, except share and per share data)

	December 31,	
	2015	2014
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 10,868	\$ 9,803
Marketable investments	37,539	71,343
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$4 and \$0, respectively	11,669	11,137
Inventories	12,078	10,988
Deferred tax assets	—	26
Other current assets and prepaid expenses	1,675	1,591
Total current assets	73,829	104,888
Property and equipment, net	1,473	1,461
Deferred tax assets, net of current portion	350	269
Intangibles, net	143	595
Goodwill	1,339	1,339
Other long-term assets	384	361
Total assets	\$ 77,518	\$ 108,913
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 1,959	\$ 3,083
Accrued liabilities	13,834	11,007
Deferred revenue	8,638	8,898
Total current liabilities	24,431	22,988
Deferred revenue, net of current portion	2,287	4,346
Income tax liability	182	145
Other long-term liabilities	584	926
Total liabilities	27,484	28,405
Commitments and contingencies (Note 11)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Convertible preferred stock, \$0.001 par value:		
Authorized: 5,000,000 shares; Issued and outstanding: none	—	—
Common stock, \$0.001 par value:		
Authorized: 50,000,000 shares; Issued and outstanding: 12,980,807 and 14,446,950 shares at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively	13	14
Additional paid-in capital	79,782	105,721
Accumulated deficit	(29,672)	(25,232)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	(89)	5
Total stockholders' equity	50,034	80,508
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 77,518	\$ 108,913

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CUTERA, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(in thousands, except per share data)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Net revenue:			
Products	\$ 77,022	\$ 60,299	\$ 56,905
Service	17,739	17,839	17,689
Total net revenue	94,761	78,138	74,594
Cost of revenue:			
Products	32,402	26,796	24,179
Service	8,076	7,969	8,533
Total cost of revenue	40,478	34,765	32,712
Gross profit	54,283	43,373	41,882
Operating expenses:			
Sales and marketing	35,942	32,246	27,984
Research and development	10,733	10,543	9,216
General and administrative	12,129	11,203	9,938
Total operating expenses	58,804	53,992	47,138
Loss from operations	(4,521)	(10,619)	(5,256)
Interest and other income, net	293	226	455
Loss before income taxes	(4,228)	(10,393)	(4,801)
Income tax (benefit) provision	212	219	(54)
Net loss	\$ (4,440)	\$ (10,612)	\$ (4,747)
Net loss per share:			
Basic and diluted	\$ (0.32)	\$ (0.74)	\$ (0.33)
Weighted-average number of shares used in per share calculations:			
Basic and diluted	13,960	14,254	14,421

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CUTERA, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS
(in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Net loss.....	\$ (4,440)	\$ (10,612)	\$ (4,747)
Other comprehensive loss:			
Available-for-sale investments			
Net change in unrealized loss on available-for-sale investments	(87)	(42)	(21)
Less: Reclassification adjustment for net gains on investments recognized during the year	(7)	(4)	(9)
Net change in unrealized loss on available-for-sale investments	(94)	(46)	(30)
Tax provision (benefit).....	—	—	—
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax.....	(94)	(46)	(30)
Comprehensive loss	\$ (4,534)	\$ (10,658)	\$ (4,777)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CUTERA, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
(in thousands, except share amounts)

	<u>Common Stock</u>		<u>Additional Paid-in Capital</u>	<u>Retained Earnings (Accumulated Deficit)</u>	<u>Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (loss)</u>	<u>Total Stockholders' Equity</u>
	<u>Shares</u>	<u>Amount</u>				
Balance at December 31, 2012.....	14,233,476	\$ 14	\$ 100,552	\$ (9,873)	\$ 81	\$ 90,774
Issuance of common stock for employee purchase plan.....	51,338	—	362	—	—	362
Exercise of stock options.....	612,210	1	5,048	—	—	5,049
Issuance of common stock in settlement of restricted stock units, net of shares withheld for employee taxes, and stock awards.....	95,256	—	(222)	—	—	(222)
Repurchase of common stock	(1,060,447)	(1)	(10,030)	—	—	(10,031)
Stock-based compensation expense	—	—	3,110	—	—	3,110
Net loss.....	—	—	—	(4,747)	—	(4,747)
Net change in unrealized loss on available-for-sale investments ...	—	—	—	—	(30)	(30)
Balance at December 31, 2013.....	13,931,833	14	98,820	(14,620)	51	84,265
Issuance of common stock for employee purchase plan.....	52,759	—	451	—	—	451
Exercise of stock options.....	396,970	—	3,307	—	—	3,307
Issuance of common stock in settlement of restricted and performance stock units, net of shares withheld for employee taxes, and stock awards.....	65,388	—	(156)	—	—	(156)
Stock-based compensation expense	—	—	3,299	—	—	3,299
Net loss.....	—	—	—	(10,612)	—	(10,612)
Net change in unrealized loss on available-for-sale investments ...	—	—	—	—	(46)	(46)
Balance at December 31, 2014.....	14,446,950	14	105,721	(25,232)	5	80,508
Issuance of common stock for employee purchase plan.....	55,872	—	577	—	—	577
Exercise of stock options.....	1,141,904	2	10,500	—	—	10,502
Issuance of common stock in settlement of restricted and performance stock units, net of shares withheld for employee taxes, and stock awards.....	154,119	—	(1,018)	—	—	(1,018)
Repurchase of common stock	(2,818,038)	(3)	(40,082)	—	—	(40,085)
Stock-based compensation expense	—	—	4,084	—	—	4,084
Net loss.....	—	—	—	(4,440)	—	(4,440)
Net change in unrealized loss on available-for-sale investments ...	—	—	—	—	(94)	(94)
Balance at December 31, 2015.....	<u>12,980,807</u>	<u>\$ 13</u>	<u>\$ 79,782</u>	<u>\$ (29,672)</u>	<u>\$ (89)</u>	<u>\$ 50,034</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CUTERA, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net loss	\$ (4,440)	\$ (10,612)	\$ (4,747)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Stock-based compensation	4,084	3,299	3,110
Depreciation and amortization	1,186	1,336	1,304
Impairment of intangible assets	—	650	—
Other	227	206	243
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	(536)	(1,460)	(857)
Inventories	(1,090)	(1,982)	2,108
Other current assets and prepaid expenses	241	239	345
Other long-term assets	(23)	(37)	73
Accounts payable	(1,124)	1,263	(287)
Accrued liabilities	2,687	1,650	(371)
Other long-term liabilities	(289)	(285)	(218)
Deferred revenue	(2,319)	1,410	3,114
Income tax liability	37	37	(304)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	<u>(1,359)</u>	<u>(4,286)</u>	<u>3,513</u>
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Acquisition of property, equipment and software	(746)	(734)	(517)
Acquisition of intangible asset	—	—	(155)
Disposal of property and equipment	—	—	63
Proceeds from sales of marketable investments	21,171	12,354	15,578
Proceeds from maturities of marketable investments	35,918	26,915	36,030
Purchase of marketable investments	(23,697)	(44,146)	(56,847)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	<u>32,646</u>	<u>(5,611)</u>	<u>(5,848)</u>
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Repurchase of common stock	(40,085)	—	(10,031)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options and employee stock purchase plan	10,061	3,602	5,189
Payments on capital lease obligation	(198)	(144)	(127)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	<u>(30,222)</u>	<u>3,458</u>	<u>(4,969)</u>
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	1,065	(6,439)	(7,304)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	9,803	16,242	23,546
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	<u>\$ 10,868</u>	<u>\$ 9,803</u>	<u>\$ 16,242</u>
Supplemental cash flow information:			
Cash paid for interest	\$ 20	\$ 26	\$ 19
Cash paid for income taxes	<u>\$ 160</u>	<u>\$ 225</u>	<u>\$ 337</u>
Supplemental non-cash investing and financing activities:			
Assets acquired under capital lease	<u>\$ 285</u>	<u>\$ 70</u>	<u>\$ 577</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CUTERA, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1—SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Description of Operations and Principles of Consolidation

Cutera, Inc. (“Cutera” or the “Company”) is a global provider of laser and other energy-based aesthetic systems for practitioners worldwide. The Company designs, develops, manufactures, and markets laser and other energy-based product platforms for use by physicians and other qualified practitioners which enable them to offer safe and effective aesthetic treatments to their customers. The Company currently markets the following key product platforms: *CoolGlide*[®], *xeo*, *solera*[®], *GenesisPlus*, *excel V*, *truSculpt*, *excel HR* and *enlighten*. The Company’s products offer multiple hand pieces and applications, which allow customers to upgrade their systems. The sales of systems, upgrades, hand pieces, hand piece refills (*Titan*[®] and *truSculpt*) and the distribution of third party manufactured skincare products are classified as “Products” revenue. In the second quarter of 2014, the Company terminated its agreement with Merz Pharma GmbH (“Merz”) for the distribution of its *Radiesse* dermal filler product. In addition to Products revenue, the Company generates revenue from the sale of post-warranty service contracts, parts, detachable hand piece replacements (except for *Titan* and *truSculpt*) and service labor for the repair and maintenance of products that are out of warranty, all of which is classified as “Service” revenue.

Headquartered in Brisbane, California, the Company has wholly-owned subsidiaries that are currently operational in Australia, Belgium, Canada, France, Hong Kong, Japan, Spain and Switzerland, that market, sell and service its products outside of the United States. The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries. All inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (“GAAP”) requires the Company’s management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported and disclosed in the financial statements and the accompanying notes. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates. On an ongoing basis, the Company evaluates their estimates, including those related to warranty obligation, sales commission, accounts receivable and sales allowances, valuation of inventories, fair values of acquired intangible assets, useful lives of intangible assets and property and equipment, fair values of options to purchase the Company’s common stock and other share based awards, recoverability of deferred tax assets, and effective income tax rates, among others. Management bases their estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities.

Cash, Cash Equivalents, and Marketable Investments

The Company invests its cash primarily in money market funds and in highly liquid debt instruments of U.S. federal and municipal governments and their agencies, commercial paper and corporate debt securities. All highly liquid investments with stated maturities of three months or less from date of purchase are classified as cash equivalents; all highly liquid investments with stated maturities of greater than three months are classified as marketable investments. The majority of the Company’s cash and investments are held in U.S. banks and its foreign subsidiaries maintain a limited amount of cash in their local banks to cover their short term operating expenses.

The Company determines the appropriate classification of its investments in marketable securities at the time of purchase and re-evaluates such designation at each balance sheet date. The Company’s marketable securities have been classified and accounted for as available-for-sale. Investments with remaining maturities more than one year are viewed by the Company as available to support current operations, and are classified as current assets under the caption marketable investments in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. Investments in marketable securities are carried at fair value, with the unrealized gains and losses reported as a component of stockholders’ equity. Any realized gains or losses on the sale of marketable securities are determined on a specific identification method, and such gains and losses are reflected as a component of interest and other income, net.

Fair Value Measurements

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. In determining fair value, the Company utilizes valuation techniques that

maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs to the extent possible as well as considers counterparty credit risk in its assessment of fair value. Carrying amounts of the Company's financial instruments, including cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, approximate their fair values as of the balance sheet dates because of their generally short maturities.

The fair value hierarchy distinguishes between (1) market participant assumptions developed based on market data obtained from independent sources (observable inputs) and (2) an entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions developed based on the best information available in the circumstances (unobservable inputs). The fair value hierarchy consists of three broad levels, which gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

- Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for assets or liabilities.
- Level 2: Directly or indirectly observable inputs as of the reporting date through correlation with market data, including quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets and quoted prices in markets that are not active. Level 2 also includes assets and liabilities that are valued using models or other pricing methodologies that do not require significant judgment since the input assumptions used in the models, such as interest rates and volatility factors, are corroborated by readily observable data from actively quoted markets for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.
- Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and reflect the use of significant management judgment. These values are generally determined using pricing models for which the assumptions utilize management's estimates of market participant assumptions.

Impairment of Marketable Investments

After determining the fair value of available-for-sales debt instruments, gains or losses on these securities are recorded to other comprehensive income, until either the security is sold or the Company determines that the decline in value is other-than-temporary. The primary differentiating factors that the Company considers in classifying impairments as either temporary or other-than-temporary impairments are the Company's intent and ability to retain the investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value or the maturity of the investment, the length of the time and the extent to which the market value of the investment has been less than cost and the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer. There were no other-than-temporary impairments in the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013.

Allowance for Sales Returns and Doubtful Accounts

The allowance for sales returns is based on the Company's estimates of potential future product returns and other allowances related to current period product revenue. The Company analyzes historical returns, current economic trends and changes in customer demand and acceptance of our products.

The allowance for doubtful accounts is based on the Company's assessment of the collectability of customer accounts. The Company regularly reviews the allowance by considering factors such as historical experience, credit quality, the age of the accounts receivable balances, and current economic conditions that may affect a customer's ability to pay.

Concentration of Credit Risk and Other Risks and Uncertainties

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of risk consist principally of cash, cash equivalents, marketable investments and accounts receivable. The Company's cash and cash equivalents are primarily invested in deposits and money market accounts with three major financial institutions in the U.S. In addition, the Company has operating cash balances in banks in each of the international locations in which it operates. Deposits in these banks may exceed the amount of insurance provided on such deposits, if any. Management believes that these financial institutions are financially sound and, accordingly, believes that minimal credit risk exists. The Company has not experienced any losses on its deposits of cash and cash equivalents.

The Company invests in debt instruments, including bonds of the U.S. Government, its agencies and municipalities. The Company has also invested in other high grade investments such as commercial paper and corporate bonds. By policy, the Company restricts its exposure to any single issuer by imposing concentration limits. To minimize the exposure due to adverse shifts in interest rates, the Company maintains investments at an average maturity of generally less than eighteen months.

Accounts receivable are typically unsecured and are derived from revenue earned from worldwide customers. The Company performs credit evaluations of its customers and maintains reserves for potential credit losses. As of December 31, 2015, there was one customer who represented more than 10% of the Company's net accounts receivable. No single customer represented more than 10% of net accounts receivable as of December 31, 2014.

During the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013, domestic revenue accounted for 52%, 45%, and 42%, respectively, of total revenue, while international revenue accounted for 48%, 55%, and 58%, respectively, of total revenue. No single customer represented more than 10% of total revenue for any of the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013.

The Company is also subject to risks common to companies in the medical device industry, including, but not limited to, new technology innovations, dependence on key personnel, dependence on key suppliers, protection of proprietary technology, product liability, Food and Drug Administration and/or international regulatory approvals required for new products and compliance with government regulations.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, cost being determined on a standard cost basis, which approximates actual cost on a first-in, first-out basis, and market being determined as the lower of replacement cost or net realizable value.

The Company includes demonstration units within inventories. Demonstration units are carried at cost and amortized over an estimated economic life of two years. Amortization expense related to demonstration units is recorded in Products cost of revenue or in the respective operating expense line based on which function and purpose for which the demonstration units are being used. Proceeds from the sale of demonstration units are recorded as revenue and all costs incurred to refurbish the systems prior to sale are charged to cost of revenue.

As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, demonstration inventories, net of accumulated depreciation, included in Finished goods inventory balance was \$2.3 million.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation. Depreciation recognized is on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets, generally as follows:

	<u>Useful Lives (years)</u>
Leasehold improvements	Lesser of useful life or term of lease
Office equipment and furniture	3
Machinery and equipment.....	3

Upon sale or retirement of property and equipment, the costs and related accumulated depreciation and amortization are removed from the balance sheet and the resulting gain or loss is reflected in operating expenses. Maintenance and repairs are charged to operations as incurred.

Depreciation expense related to property and equipment for 2015, 2014 and 2013, was \$734,000, \$562,000 and \$602,000 respectively. Amortization expense for vehicles leased under capital leases is included in depreciation expense.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill, which represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of net tangible and identifiable intangible assets, is not subject to amortization, but is subject to at least an annual assessment for impairment, applying a fair-value based test.

The Company's intangible assets are comprised of purchased technology sub-licenses, acquired customer relationships, and those assets acquired in conjunction with an asset acquisition in February 2012 including, existing customer relationships, product portfolio and a manufacturing process for the products acquired. All identifiable intangibles have finite lives and are carried at cost, net of accumulated amortization. Amortization was recorded using the straight-line method, except for a portion of the purchased intangibles which are being amortized on a declining-balance basis, over their respective useful lives, which range from approximately 11 months to 10 years.

Impairment of Long-lived Assets

Goodwill is not amortized, but is tested for impairment at least annually or as circumstances indicate their value may no longer be recoverable. The goodwill impairment test is generally performed annually during the fourth fiscal quarter (or earlier if impairment indicators arise). The Company continues to operate in one segment, which is considered to be the sole reporting unit and therefore, goodwill was tested for impairment at the enterprise level. As of December 31, 2015, there has been no impairment of goodwill.

The Company evaluates the recoverability of its long-lived assets, which include amortizable intangible and tangible assets. Acquired intangible assets with definite useful lives are amortized over their useful lives. The Company evaluates long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of long-lived assets may not be recoverable. The Company recognizes such impairment in the event the net book value of such assets exceeds the future undiscounted cash flows attributable to such assets. In 2014, the Company's impairment review indicated that certain purchased long-lived assets associated with the Iridex acquisition were impaired and an impairment charge of \$650,000 was recognized. No other impairment losses were incurred in the periods presented.

Warranty Obligations

The Company provides a standard one-year warranty on all systems sold to end-customers. Warranty coverage provided is for labor and parts necessary to repair the systems during the warranty period. For sales to distributors, the Company generally provides a 14-month warranty for parts only, with labor being provided to the end customer by the distributor.

The Company accounts for the estimated warranty cost of the standard warranty coverage as a charge to costs of revenue when revenue is recognized. The estimated warranty cost is based on historical product performance. To determine the estimated warranty reserve, the Company utilizes actual service records to calculate the average service expense per system and applies this to the equivalent number of units exposed under warranty. The Company updates these estimated charges every quarter.

Revenue Recognition

Products revenue is recognized when title and risk of ownership has been transferred, provided that:

- Persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists;
- The price is fixed or determinable;
- Delivery has occurred or services have been rendered; and
- Collectability is reasonably assured.

Transfer of title and risk of ownership occurs when the product is shipped to the customer or when the customer receives the product, depending on the nature of the arrangement. Revenue is recorded net of customer and distributor discounts. When collectability is not reasonably assured, the Company recognizes revenue upon receipt of cash payment. Sales to customers and distributors do not include any return or exchange rights. In addition, the Company's distributor agreements obligate the distributor to pay the Company for the sale regardless of whether the distributor is able to resell the product. Shipping and handling charges are invoiced to customers based on the amount of products sold. Shipping and handling fees are recorded as revenue and the related expense as a component of Products cost of revenue.

Multiple-element arrangements

A multiple-element arrangement includes the sale of one or more tangible product offerings with one or more associated services offerings, each of which are individually considered separate units of accounting. The Company determined that its multiple-element arrangements are generally comprised of the following elements that are recognized as separate units of accounting: Product and service contracts.

For multiple-element arrangements revenue is allocated to each element based on their relative selling prices. Relative selling prices are based on vendor specified objective evidence ("VSOE"), if available, third-party evidence of selling price ("TPE") when VSOE does not exist, and on best estimate of selling price ("BESP") if VSOE and TPE do not exist. Because the Company has neither VSOE nor TPE for its systems and service contracts, the allocation of revenue is based on the Company's BESP for each element. The objective of BESP is to determine the price at which the Company would transact a sale if the product or service was sold on a stand-alone basis. The Company determines BESP for its products or services by considering multiple factors including, prices charged for stand-alone sales, features and functionality of the products and services, geographies, type of customer, and market conditions. Revenue allocated to each element is then recognized when the other revenue recognition criteria are met for the element.

In the first and second quarter of 2013, with respect to the sale of its truSculpt product, the Company provided promotions that included an unlimited number of “free” hand piece replacements during a stated trial period of 3 months or 12 months. These free refills were treated as an undelivered element under FASB ASC 605-25 in the original revenue transaction. The Company deferred the relative fair value related to the estimated number of hand piece replacements to be delivered during the promotional period and recognized that deferred revenue over the free refills promotion period. Commencing in the third quarter of 2013, the Company now includes unlimited refills as part of the truSculpt standard warranty and the Company no longer accounts for the *truSculpt* warranty as a separate deliverable under the multiple-element arrangement revenue guidance. Upon a *truSculpt* sale, the Company recognizes the estimated costs which will be incurred under the warranty obligation in Products cost of revenue.

The Company also offers customers extended service contracts. Revenue under service contracts is recognized on a straight-line basis over the period of the applicable service contract. Service revenue billed on a time and material basis, from customers whose systems are not under a service contract, is recognized as the services are provided. Service revenue for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013 was \$17.7 million, \$17.8 million, and \$17.7 million, respectively.

Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue consists primarily of material, finished and semi-finished products purchased from third-party manufacturers, labor, stock-based compensation expenses, overhead involved in our internal manufacturing processes, technology license amortization and royalties, costs associated with product warranties and any inventory or intangible write-downs.

The Company's system sales include a control console, universal graphic user interface, control system software, high voltage electronics and a combination of applications (referred to as hand pieces). Hand pieces are programmed to have a limited number of uses to ensure the safety of the device to patients. The Company sells refurbished hand pieces, or "refills," of its Titan product and provides for refurbishment of other hand pieces under warranty or service contracts. When customers purchase a replacement hand piece (or “refill”) or are provided a replacement hand piece under a warranty or service contract, Cutera ships the customer a previously refurbished unit. Upon the receipt of the expended hand piece from the customer the Company capitalizes the expended hand piece as inventory at the estimated fair value. Cost of revenue includes the costs incurred to refurbish hand pieces.

Research and Development Expenditures

Costs related to research, design, development and testing of products are charged to research and development expense as incurred. Expenses incurred primarily relate to employees, facilities, material, third party contractors and clinical and regulatory fees.

Advertising Costs

Advertising costs are included as part of sales and marketing expense and are expensed as incurred. Advertising expenses for 2015, 2014 and 2013 were \$1.2 million, \$1.6 million and \$1.6 million, respectively.

Stock-based Compensation

The Company accounts for its employee stock options under the fair value method of accounting using a Black-Scholes valuation model to measure stock option expense at the date of grant. The fair value of Restricted Stock Units (“RSUs”) is measured at the market price of the Company’s stock on the date of grant. The fair value of Performance Stock Units (“PSUs”) that have operational measurement goals, are measured at the market price of the Company’s stock on the date of grant. PSUs with market-based measurement goals are valued using the Monte-Carlo simulation option-pricing model. The Monte-Carlo simulation option-pricing model uses the same input assumptions as the Black-Scholes model, however, it further incorporates into the fair-value determination the possibility that the market condition may not be satisfied. Stock-based compensation expense for market-based PSU awards is recognized regardless of whether the market condition is satisfied, provided that the requisite service has been provided.

Stock-based compensation expense, net of estimated forfeitures, is recognized over the requisite service period.

For RSUs and PSUs, the Company issues shares on the vesting dates, net of the minimum tax withholding requirements to be paid by the Company on behalf of its employees. As a result, the actual number of shares issued will be fewer than the actual number of RSUs and PSUs that vest. The Company records the liability for withholding amounts to be paid by the Company as a reduction to additional paid-in capital when the shares are issued.

Cash flows resulting from the tax benefits due to tax deductions in excess of the compensation cost recognized for stock-based awards for options exercised and for RSUs and PSUs vested during the period (excess tax benefits), are classified as financing cash flows.

Income Taxes

The Company recognizes income taxes under the liability method. The Company recognizes deferred income taxes for differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities at enacted statutory tax rates in effect for the years in which differences are expected to reverse. The Company recognizes the effect on deferred taxes of a change in tax rates in income in the period that includes the enactment date. For deferred tax assets which are not subject to a valuation allowance, the Company has determined that its future taxable income will be sufficient to recover all of the deferred tax assets. However, should there be a change in the recoverability of the deferred tax assets, the Company could be required to record a valuation allowance against the net carrying value of its deferred tax assets. This would result in an increase to the Company's tax provision in the period in which they determined that the recovery was not probable.

The measurement of deferred taxes often involves an exercise of judgment related to the computation and realization of tax basis. The deferred tax assets and liabilities reflect management's assessment that tax positions taken, and the resulting tax basis, are more likely than not to be sustained if they are audited by taxing authorities. Also, assessing tax rates that the Company expects to apply and determining the years when the temporary differences are expected to affect taxable income requires judgment about the future apportionment of the Company's income among the states in which the Company operates. These matters, and others, involve the exercise of significant judgment. Any changes in the Company's practices or judgments involved in the measurement of deferred tax assets and liabilities could materially impact the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred income tax assets to amounts that the Company believes are more likely than not to be recovered. The Company evaluates its deferred tax assets quarterly to determine whether adjustments to the Company's valuation allowance are appropriate. In making this evaluation, the Company relies on its recent history of pre-tax earnings, estimated timing of future deductions and benefits represented by the deferred tax assets, and its forecasts of future earnings, the latter two of which involve the exercise of significant judgment. The Company maintains a full valuation allowance against its U.S. federal and state deferred tax asset due to a history of operating losses.

The Company establishes reserves for uncertain tax positions in accordance with the Income Taxes subtopic of ASC 740. The subtopic prescribes the minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. Additionally, the subtopic provides guidance on de-recognition, measurement, classification, interest and penalties, and transition of uncertain tax positions. The impact of an uncertain income tax position on income tax expense must be recognized at the largest amount that is more-likely-than-not to be sustained. An uncertain income tax position will not be recognized if it has less than a 50% likelihood of being sustained. The Company has provided taxes and related interest and penalties due for potential adjustments that may result from examinations of open U.S. Federal, state and foreign tax years. The Company will reverse the liability and recognize a tax benefit during the period in which the Company makes the determination that the tax position is effectively settled through examination, negotiation, or litigation, or the statute of limitations for the relevant taxing authority to examine and challenge the tax position has expired. The Company will record an additional charge in the Company's provision for taxes in the period in which the Company determines that the recorded tax liability is less than the Company expects the ultimate assessment to be.

Computation of Net Loss per Share

Basic net income per share is computed using the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share is computed using the weighted-average number of shares and dilutive potential shares outstanding during the period. Dilutive potential shares primarily consist of employee stock options. Diluted earnings per share is the same as basic earnings per share for the periods presented because the inclusion of outstanding common stock equivalents would be anti-dilutive.

U.S. GAAP requires that employee equity share options, non-vested shares and similar equity instruments granted by the Company be treated as potential common shares outstanding in computing diluted earnings per share. In periods of net income, diluted shares outstanding include the dilutive effect of in-the-money options, which is calculated based on the average share price for each fiscal period using the treasury stock method. Under the treasury stock method, the amount the employee must pay for exercising stock options, the amount of compensation cost for future service that the Company has not yet recognized, and the amount of tax benefits that would be recorded in additional-paid-in-capital when the award becomes deductible are all assumed to be used to repurchase shares.

Comprehensive Loss

Comprehensive loss includes all changes in stockholders' equity except those resulting from investments or contributions by stockholders. For the periods presented, the accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) consisted solely of the unrealized gains or losses on the Company's available-for-sale investments, net of tax.

Foreign Currency

The U.S. Dollar is the functional currency of the Company's subsidiaries. Monetary assets and liabilities are re-measured into U.S. Dollars at the applicable period end exchange rate. Sales and operating expenses are re-measured at average exchange rates in effect during each period. Gains or losses resulting from foreign currency transactions are included in net income (loss) and are insignificant for each of the three years ended December 31, 2015. The effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents was insignificant for each of the three years presented in the period ended December 31, 2015.

Segments

The Company operates in one segment. Management uses one measurement of profitability and does not segregate its business for internal reporting. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, 68% and 71%, respectively, of all long-lived assets were maintained in the U.S. See Note 10 for details relating to revenue by geography.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Updates ("ASU") No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers, requiring an entity to recognize the amount of revenue to which it expects to be entitled to for the transfer of promised goods or services to customers. The updated standard will replace most existing revenue recognition guidance in U.S. GAAP when it becomes effective and permits the use of either the retrospective or cumulative effect transition method. Early adoption is not permitted. The updated standard becomes effective for the Company in the first quarter of fiscal year 2018. The Company has not yet selected a transition method and is currently evaluating the effect that the updated standard will have on the Consolidated Financial Statements and related disclosures.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-05, Customer's Accounting of Fees Paid in Cloud Computing Arrangement, guidance on accounting for fees paid in cloud computing arrangements. If a cloud computing arrangement includes a software license, then the customer should account for the software license element of the arrangement consistent with the acquisition of other software licenses. If a cloud computing arrangement does not include a software license, the customer should account for the arrangement as a services contract. All software licenses recognized under this guidance will be accounted for consistent with other licenses of intangible assets. The guidance becomes effective for the Company for the first quarter of fiscal 2016. The guidance is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

In July 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-11, Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory. Currently, an entity is required to measure its inventory at the lower of cost or market, whereby market can be replacement cost, net realizable value, or net realizable value less an approximately normal profit margin. The changes require that inventory be measured at the lower of cost and net realizable value, thereby eliminating the use of the other two market methodologies. Net realizable value is defined as the estimated selling prices in the ordinary course of business less reasonably predictable costs of completion, disposal, and transportation. These changes do not apply to inventories measured using LIFO (last-in, first-out) or the retail inventory method. These changes become effective on January 1, 2017. Management is currently evaluating the effect that the updated standard will have on the Consolidated Financial Statements and related disclosures.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, Leases. This guidance requires that lease arrangements longer than twelve months result in a lessee recognizing a lease asset and liability. Leases will be classified as either finance or operating, with classification affecting the pattern of expense recognition in the income statement. The updated guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2018, and early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the updated guidance on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

In November 2015, FASB issued ASU No. 2015-17, Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes, requiring all deferred tax assets and liabilities, and any related valuation allowance, to be classified as non-current on the balance sheet. The classification change for all deferred taxes as non-current simplifies entities' processes as it eliminates the need to separately identify the net current and net non-current deferred tax asset or liability in each jurisdiction and allocate valuation allowances. The Company

elected to prospectively adopt this accounting standard in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2015. No prior periods were retrospectively adjusted and the adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements and related disclosures.

NOTE 2—INVESTMENT SECURITIES

The following tables summarize cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2015	2014
Cash and cash equivalents:		
Cash	\$ 9,830	\$ 7,761
Cash equivalents:		
Money market funds	1,000	242
Commercial paper	38	1,800
Total cash and cash equivalents	<u>10,868</u>	<u>9,803</u>
Marketable securities:		
U.S. government notes	7,779	18,361
U.S. government agencies	12,608	19,800
Municipal securities	4,346	3,607
Commercial paper	4,040	10,695
Corporate debt securities	8,766	18,880
Total marketable securities	<u>37,539</u>	<u>71,343</u>
Total cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities	<u>\$ 48,407</u>	<u>\$ 81,146</u>

The following table summarizes unrealized gains and losses related to the Company's marketable investments (in thousands):

December 31, 2015	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Market Value
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 10,868	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 10,868
Marketable investments				
U.S. government notes	7,780	1	(2)	7,779
U.S. government agencies	12,630	3	(25)	12,608
Municipal securities	4,344	2	—	4,346
Commercial paper	4,041	1	(2)	4,040
Corporate debt securities	8,783	—	(17)	8,766
Total marketable securities	<u>37,578</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>(46)</u>	<u>37,539</u>
Total cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities	<u>\$ 48,446</u>	<u>\$ 7</u>	<u>\$ (46)</u>	<u>\$ 48,407</u>

December 31, 2014	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Market Value
Cash and cash equivalents.....	\$ 9,803	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 9,803
Marketable investments				
U.S. government notes.....	18,345	17	(1)	18,361
U.S. government agencies.....	19,768	33	(1)	19,800
Municipal securities.....	3,607	3	(3)	3,607
Commercial paper.....	10,693	2	—	10,695
Corporate debt securities.....	18,875	13	(8)	18,880
Total marketable securities.....	<u>71,288</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>(13)</u>	<u>71,343</u>
Total cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities.....	<u>\$ 81,091</u>	<u>\$ 68</u>	<u>\$ (13)</u>	<u>\$ 81,146</u>

No investments were in a continuous unrealized loss position for longer than 12 months as of December 31, 2015 and 2014.

The following table summarizes the estimated fair value of the Company's marketable investments classified by the contractual maturity date of the security as of December 31, 2015 (in thousands):

	Amount
Due in less than one year (fiscal year 2016).....	\$ 25,558
Due in 1 to 3 years (fiscal year 2017-2018).....	11,981
Total marketable securities.....	<u>\$ 37,539</u>

Fair Value Measurements

The following table summarizes financial assets measured and recognized at fair value on a recurring basis and classified under the appropriate level of the fair value hierarchy as described above (in thousands):

December 31, 2015	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Cash equivalents:				
Money market funds.....	\$ 1,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1,000
Commercial paper.....	—	38	—	38
Short term marketable investments:				
Available-for-sale securities.....	—	37,539	—	37,539
Total assets at fair value.....	<u>\$ 1,000</u>	<u>\$ 37,577</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 38,577</u>
December 31, 2014	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Cash equivalents:				
Money market funds.....	\$ 242	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 242
Commercial paper.....	—	1,800	—	1,800
Short term marketable investments:				
Available-for-sale securities.....	—	71,343	—	71,343
Total assets at fair value.....	<u>\$ 242</u>	<u>\$ 73,143</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 73,385</u>

The Company's Level 1 financial assets are money market funds with fair values that are based on quoted market prices. The Company's Level 2 investments include U.S. government-backed securities and corporate securities that are valued based upon observable inputs that may include benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes, issuer spreads, two-sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers and reference data including market research publications. The average remaining maturity of the Company's Level 2 investments as of December 31, 2015 is less than 36 months and all of these investments are rated by S&P and Moody's at A or better.

At December 31, 2014, the Company evaluated the fair values of its intangible assets, which are classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. With respect to the purchased intangible assets associated with the Iridex acquisition in 2012, the Company determined that there was impairment in the value of these intangible assets based on an undiscounted cash flow model. The recorded impairment charge of the purchased intangibles was estimated using a discounted cash flow model. This model relied

on Level 3 inputs that included expected future cash flow streams as well as a market discount rate that are subject to uncertainties that are difficult to predict.

NOTE 3—ACQUISITION

On February 2, 2012, Cutera acquired certain assets and liabilities of Iridex’s global aesthetics business unit for \$5.1 million in cash. This business is engaged in developing, manufacturing, marketing and servicing laser-based medical systems and delivery devices. The business purpose of this transaction was to acquire access to an expanded installed base of customers, add to Cutera’s product offerings and acquire a recurring stream of service revenue. This acquisition was considered a business combination for accounting purposes, and as such, in addition to valuing all the assets, the Company recorded goodwill associated with the expected synergies from leveraging the customer relationships and integrating new product offerings into the Company’s business.

The fair values of the assets acquired were determined to be \$4.8 million of net tangible and intangible assets and \$1.3 million of goodwill. The customer relationship intangible assets were being amortized over 5 years on a straight-line basis. Other intangible assets were being amortized over 11 months to 5 years from the date of acquisition on a straight-line basis.

As of December 31, 2014, the Company evaluated the recoverability of the purchased intangible assets, due to the discontinuation of the manufacture and sale of all products acquired, lower than projected future service revenue, and lower than projected revenue expected from the distributor relationships acquired. As a result, the Company recorded an impairment charge of \$650,000 in cost of revenue. The unamortized purchased intangibles are being amortized on a declining-balance basis over the remaining useful economic life of 5 years from the date of acquisition.

The following table summarizes the fair value as of February 2, 2012 of the net assets acquired (*in thousands*):

Purchase price paid	<u>\$ 5,091</u>
Assets (liabilities acquired):	
Inventory	1,552
Customer relationship intangible assets.....	2,510
Other identified intangible assets	780
Goodwill.....	1,339
Deferred service revenue	(780)
Accrued warranty liability.....	(310)
Total.....	<u>\$ 5,091</u>

The identifiable intangible assets and goodwill identified above shall be deductible for income taxes over a useful economic life of 15 years.

NOTE 4—BALANCE SHEET DETAIL

Inventories

Inventories consist of the following (in thousands):

	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
Raw materials.....	\$ 7,982	\$ 7,185
Finished goods	4,096	3,803
Total.....	<u>\$ 12,078</u>	<u>\$ 10,988</u>

Property and Equipment, net

Property and equipment, net, consists of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2015	2014
Leasehold improvements.....	\$ 822	\$ 641
Office equipment and furniture	2,970	2,964
Machinery and equipment.....	4,662	4,140
	<u>8,454</u>	<u>7,745</u>
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(6,981)	(6,284)
Property and equipment, net.....	<u>\$ 1,473</u>	<u>\$ 1,461</u>

Included in machinery and equipment are financed vehicles used by the Company's North American sales employees. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the gross capitalized value of the leased vehicles was \$862,000 and \$647,000 and the related accumulated depreciation was \$374,000 and \$253,000, respectively.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill and other intangible assets comprise a patent sublicense acquired from Palomar in 2006, intangible assets and goodwill related to the acquisition of Iridex's aesthetic business unit, and, customer relationships in the Benelux countries acquired from a former distributor in 2013. The components of intangible assets at December 31, 2015 and 2014 were as follows (in thousands):

	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization & Impairment Amount	Net Amount
<u>December 31, 2015</u>			
Patent sublicense	\$ 1,218	\$ 1,218	\$ —
Customer relationship intangible related to acquisition	2,510	2,367	143
Other identified intangible assets related to acquisition	780	780	—
Other intangible.....	155	155	—
Goodwill.....	1,339	—	1,339
Total	<u>\$ 6,002</u>	<u>\$ 4,520</u>	<u>\$ 1,482</u>
<u>December 31, 2014</u>			
Patent sublicense	\$ 1,218	\$ 1,206	\$ 12
Customer relationship intangible related to acquisition	2,510	1,998	512
Other identified intangible assets related to acquisition	780	780	—
Other intangible.....	155	84	71
Goodwill.....	1,339	—	1,339
Total	<u>\$ 6,002</u>	<u>\$ 4,068</u>	<u>\$ 1,934</u>

As of December 31, 2014, the Company evaluated the recoverability of its long-lived assets. Relating to the purchased intangible assets associated with the Iridex acquisition in 2012, due to the discontinuation of the manufacture and sale of all products acquired, lower than projected future service revenue, and lower than projected revenue expected from the distributor relationships acquired, the Company concluded based on future undiscounted cash flows that the remaining carrying value of these assets was impaired. As a result, the Company recorded an impairment charge of \$650,000 in cost of revenue.

Amortization expense (excluding the impairment charge described above) in the 2015, 2014, and 2013 fiscal years for intangible assets was \$452,000, \$773,000, and \$702,000, respectively.

Based on intangible assets recorded at December 31, 2015, and assuming no subsequent additions to, or impairment of the underlying assets, the remaining annual amortization expense will be as follows (in thousands):

<u>Year ending December 31,</u>	<u>Amount</u>
2016.....	\$ 142
2017.....	1
Total	<u>\$ 143</u>

Accrued Liabilities

Accrued liabilities consist of the following (in thousands):

	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
Accrued payroll and related expenses	\$ 7,726	\$ 5,533
Accrued sales tax.....	1,935	1,789
Warranty liability	1,819	1,167
Other accrued liabilities	2,354	2,518
Total	<u>\$ 13,834</u>	<u>\$ 11,007</u>

NOTE 5—WARRANTY AND SERVICE CONTRACTS

The Company has a direct field service organization in the U.S. Internationally, the Company provides direct service support through its wholly-owned subsidiaries in Australia, Belgium, Canada, France Hong Kong, Spain, Japan and Switzerland, as well as through a network of distributors and third-party service providers in several other countries where it does not have a direct presence. The Company provides a warranty with its products, depending on the type of product. After the original warranty period, maintenance and support are offered on a service contract basis or on a time and materials basis. The Company currently provides for the estimated cost to repair or replace products under warranty at the time of sale.

Warranty Accrual (in thousands)

	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
Balance at beginning of year.....	\$ 1,167	\$ 1,202
Add: Accruals for warranties issued during the year	4,134	2,497
Less: Settlements made during the year	(3,482)	(2,532)
Balance at end of year	<u>\$ 1,819</u>	<u>\$ 1,167</u>

Deferred Service Contract Revenue (in thousands)

	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
Balance at beginning of year.....	\$ 12,949	\$ 11,637
Add: Payments received.....	10,378	13,913
Less: Revenue recognized.....	(12,858)	(12,601)
Balance at end of year	<u>\$ 10,469</u>	<u>\$ 12,949</u>

Costs incurred under service contracts in 2015, 2014 and 2013 amounted to \$6.2 million, \$6.6 million, and \$6.9 million, respectively, and are recognized as incurred.

NOTE 6—STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY, STOCK PLANS AND STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION EXPENSE

As of December 31, 2015, the Company had the following stock-based employee compensation plans:

2004 Equity Incentive Plan and 1998 Stock Plan

In 1998, the Company adopted the 1998 Stock Plan, or 1998 Plan, under which 4,650,000 shares of the Company's common stock were reserved for issuance to employees, directors and consultants.

On January 12, 2004, the Board of Directors adopted the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan. A total of 1,750,000 shares of common stock were originally reserved for issuance pursuant to the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan. In addition, the shares reserved for issuance under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan included shares reserved but un-issued under the 1998 Plan and shares returned to the 1998 Plan as the result of termination of options or the repurchase of shares. In 2012 the stockholders approved a "fungible share" provision whereby each full-value award issued under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan results in a requirement to subtract 2.12 shares from the shares reserved under the Plan.

Options granted under the 1998 Plan and 2004 Equity Incentive Plan may be incentive stock options or non-statutory stock options. Stock purchase rights may also be granted under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan. Incentive stock options may only be granted to employees. The Board of Directors determines the period over which options become exercisable. Options granted under the Plan to employees generally vest over a four year term from the vesting commencement date and become exercisable 25% on the first anniversary of the vesting commencement date and an additional 1/48th on the last day of each calendar month until all of the shares have become exercisable. During 2013 and 2012 the officers of the Company were granted options that vest over a three year term at the rate of 1/3rd on the one year anniversary of the vesting commencement date and 1/36th thereafter. In 2014 the officers of the Company were granted RSUs and PSUs but were not granted any options. The contractual term of the options granted in 2013 and 2012 was seven years.

In accordance with the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan, prior to 2012, the Company's non-employee directors were granted \$60,000 of grant date fair value, fully vested, stock awards annually on the date of the Company's Annual Meeting of stockholders. Commencing with 2012, the Company's non-employee directors get \$60,000 of RSUs annually that cliff-vest on the one year anniversary of the grant date. In the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, the Company issued 21,020, 38,688 and 40,674 RSUs to its non-employee directors, respectively.

In the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 the Company's Board of Directors granted 107,417, 211,250 and 148,004 respectively, of RSUs to its executive officers and certain members of the Company's management. The RSUs granted to the employees vest at the rate of one-fourth on the one-year anniversary of the grant date, and one-fourth in each of the subsequent three years. The RSUs granted to the executive officers vest at the rate of one-third on the one-year anniversary of the grant date, and one-third in each of the subsequent two years. The Company measured the fair market values of the underlying stock on the dates of grant and recognizes the stock-based compensation expense over the vesting period.

In the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 the Company's Board of Directors granted its executive officers and certain senior management employees 74,667, 105,000 and 33,751 of PSUs. The PSUs vest over a period of 8.5 months, 12 months and 12 months, respectively, subject to the recipient's continued service and achievement of the pre-established operational goals related to revenue and operating income improvement. For the 2015 PSU awards, in addition to operational goals, there was a market-based goal as well. At the vest date, the Company issues fully-paid up common stock, based on the degree of achievement of the pre-established targets.

2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan

On January 12, 2004, the Board of Directors adopted the 2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. Under the 2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, or 2004 ESPP, eligible employees are permitted to purchase common stock at a discount through payroll deductions. The 2004 ESPP offering and purchase periods are for approximately six months. The 2004 ESPP has an evergreen provision based on which shares of common stock eligible for purchase are increased on the first day of each fiscal year by an amount equal to the lesser of:

- i. 600,000 shares;
- ii. 2.0% of the outstanding shares of common stock on such date; or
- iii. an amount as determined by the Board of Directors.

The Company's Board of Directors did not increase the shares available for future grant on January 1, 2015, 2014 and 2013. The price of the common stock purchased is the lower of 85% of the fair market value of the common stock at the beginning or end of a six month offering period. In the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, under the 2004 ESPP, the Company issued 55,872, 52,579 and 51,338 shares, respectively. At December 31, 2015, 849,985 shares remained available for future issuance.

Option Activity

Activity under the 1998 Plan and 2004 Equity Incentive Plan is summarized as follows:

	Shares Available For Grant	Number of Shares	Options Outstanding		
			Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in \$ millions) ⁽¹⁾
Balances as of December 31, 2012	1,644,356	3,788,239	\$ 9.44	4.3	\$ 2.6
Options granted	(1,007,166)	1,007,166	\$ 8.97		
Options exercised.....	—	(612,210)	\$ 8.16		
Options cancelled (expired or forfeited)	391,033	(391,033)	\$ 10.37		
Stock awards granted	(399,997)	—	—		
Stock awards cancelled (expired or forfeited).....	81,257	—	—		
Balances as of December 31, 2013	709,483	3,792,162	\$ 9.42	4.2	\$ 5.1
Additional shares reserved ⁽²⁾	200,000	—	—		
Options granted	(486,300)	486,300	\$ 9.78		
Options exercised.....	—	(396,970)	\$ 8.33		
Options cancelled (expired or forfeited)	418,925	(418,925)	\$ 11.15		
Stock awards granted	(764,394)	—	—		
Stock awards cancelled (expired or forfeited).....	52,046	—	—		
Balances as of December 31, 2014	129,760	3,462,567	\$ 9.39	3.4	\$ 5.7
Additional shares reserved ⁽³⁾	1,300,000	—	—		
Options granted	(129,000)	129,000	\$ 13.26		
Options exercised.....	—	(1,141,904)	\$ 9.20		
Options cancelled (expired or forfeited)	300,866	(300,866)	\$ 12.37		
Stock awards granted	(430,580)	—	—		
Stock awards cancelled (expired or forfeited).....	92,379	—	—		
Balances as of December 31, 2015	1,263,425	2,148,797	\$ 9.31	3.4	\$ 7.9
Exercisable as of December 31, 2015		1,561,916	\$ 9.05	2.8	\$ 6.1
Expected to vest, net of estimated forfeitures, as of December 31, 2015		505,631	\$ 9.92	4.91	\$ 1.5

(1) Based on the closing stock price of the Company's stock of \$12.79 on December 31, 2015, \$10.68 on December 31, 2014, \$10.18 on December 30, 2013 and \$9.00 on December 31, 2012.

(2) Approved by Board of Directors in 2014, approved by stockholders in 2015.

(3) Approved by stockholders in 2015.

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value (the aggregate difference between the Company's closing stock price on the last trading day of the fiscal year and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money options) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on December 31, 2015. The aggregate intrinsic amount changes based on the fair market value of the Company's common stock. Total intrinsic value of options exercised in 2015, 2014 and 2013 was \$5.1 million, \$824,000, and \$2.1 million, respectively. The options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2015 were in the following exercise price ranges:

Range of Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding		Options Exercisable	
	Number Outstanding	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Number Outstanding	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
\$6.88	299,090	3.51	259,407	\$ 6.88
\$7.11 – \$8.66.....	239,152	0.85	236,110	8.51
\$8.72	345,057	2.35	345,057	8.72
\$8.80	325,116	4.30	158,434	8.80
\$8.91 – \$9.63.....	225,345	4.61	157,506	9.11
\$9.65 – \$10.03.....	251,399	5.56	85,671	9.82
\$10.24	250,034	1.34	250,034	10.24
\$10.32 – \$14.04.....	175,604	5.49	39,697	11.18
\$15.32	8,000	6.56	—	—
\$21.84	30,000	0.47	30,000	21.84
\$6.88 – \$21.84.....	<u>2,148,797</u>	3.38	<u>1,561,916</u>	<u>\$ 9.05</u>

As of December 31, 2014 there were 2,330,762 options that were exercisable at a weighted average exercise price of \$9.62.

Stock Awards (RSU and PSU) Activity Table

Information with respect to restricted stock units' and performance stock units' activity is as follows (in thousands):

	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value	Aggregate Fair Value ⁽¹⁾ (in thousands)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value ⁽²⁾ (in thousands)
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	148,709	\$ 6.99		\$ 1,338
Granted.....	188,678	\$ 8.94		
Vested ⁽³⁾	(119,505)	\$ 7.68	\$ 1,091 ⁽⁴⁾	
Forfeited.....	(38,417)	\$ 8.11		
Outstanding at December 31, 2013	179,465	\$ 8.34		\$ 1,827
Granted.....	360,563	\$ 9.72		
Vested ⁽³⁾	(81,157)	\$ 8.62	\$ 777 ⁽⁵⁾	
Forfeited.....	(24,550)	\$ 8.14		
Outstanding at December 31, 2014	434,321	\$ 9.31		\$ 4,639
Granted.....	203,104	\$ 14.81		
Vested ⁽³⁾	(222,220)	\$ 11.79	\$ 3,285 ⁽⁶⁾	
Forfeited.....	(43,575)	\$ 9.09		
Outstanding at December 31, 2015	<u>371,630</u>	\$ 12.39		\$ 4,753

(1) Represents the value of the Company's stock on the date that the restricted stock units vest.

(2) Based on the closing stock price of the Company's stock of \$12.79 on December 31, 2015, \$10.68 on December 31, 2014, \$10.18 on December 30, 2013 and \$9.00 on December 31, 2012.

(3) The number of restricted stock units vested includes shares that the Company withheld on behalf of the employees to satisfy the statutory tax withholding requirements.

(4) On the grant date, the fair value for these vested awards was \$917,000.

(5) On the grant date, the fair value for these vested awards was \$699,000.

(6) On the grant date, the fair value for these vested awards was \$2.6 million.

Stock-Based Compensation

Stock-based compensation expense for stock options, restricted stock units, stock awards and ESPP shares for the year ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 was as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Stock options.....	\$ 1,438	\$ 1,811	\$ 2,201
RSUs	1,297	875	631
PSUs.....	1,167	455	162
ESPP	182	158	116
Total stock-based compensation expense.....	<u>\$ 4,084</u>	<u>\$ 3,299</u>	<u>\$ 3,110</u>

As of December 31, 2015, the unrecognized compensation cost, net of expected forfeitures, was \$4.2 million for stock options and stock awards, which will be recognized over an estimated weighted-average remaining amortization period of 1.78 years. For the ESPP, the unrecognized compensation cost, net of expected forfeitures, was \$82,000, which will be recognized over an estimated weighted-average amortization period 0.33 years.

The Company issues new shares of common stock upon the exercise of stock options, vesting of RSUs and PSUs, and the issuance of ESPP shares. The amount of cash received from these issuances, net of taxes withheld and paid, in 2015, 2014 and 2013 was \$10.1 million, \$3.6 million and \$5.2 million. There was no direct tax benefit (deficit) in 2015, 2014 or 2013. The Company elected to account for the indirect effects of stock-based awards, primarily the research and development tax credit, through the Statement of Operations.

Total stock-based compensation expense recognized during the year ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 was recorded in the Statement of Operations as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Cost of revenue	\$ 447	\$ 560	\$ 638
Sales and marketing	1,054	641	744
Research and development.....	662	581	397
General and administrative.....	1,921	1,517	1,331
Total stock-based compensation expense	<u>\$ 4,084</u>	<u>\$ 3,299</u>	<u>\$ 3,110</u>

Valuation Assumptions and Fair Value of Stock Options and ESPP Grants

The Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the fair value of options granted under its equity incentive plans and rights to acquire stock granted under its employee stock purchase plan. The Company based the weighted average estimated values of employee stock option grants and rights granted under the employee stock purchase plan, as well as the weighted average assumptions used in calculating these values, on estimates at the date of grant, as follows:

	Stock Options			Stock Purchase Plan		
	2015	2014	2013	2015	2014	2013
Expected term (in years) ⁽¹⁾	3.24	4.18	4.30	0.50	0.50	0.50
Risk-free interest rate ⁽²⁾	0.90%	1.31%	1.13%	0.17%	0.06%	0.08%
Volatility ⁽³⁾	30%	41%	43%	36%	37%	44%
Dividend yield ⁽⁴⁾	—%	—%	—%	—%	—%	—%
Weighted average estimated fair value at grant date.....	<u>\$ 4.78</u>	<u>\$ 3.36</u>	<u>\$ 3.22</u>	<u>\$ 3.51</u>	<u>\$ 2.65</u>	<u>\$ 2.84</u>

- (1) *The expected term represents the period during which the Company's stock-based awards are expected to be outstanding. The estimated term is based on historical experience of similar awards, giving consideration to the contractual terms of the awards, vesting requirements, and expectation of future employee behavior, including post-vesting terminations.*

- (2) *The risk-free interest rate is based on U.S. Treasury debt securities with maturities close to the expected term of the option as of the date of grant.*
- (3) *Estimated volatility is based on historical volatility. The Company also considers implied volatility when there is sufficient volume of freely traded options with comparable terms and exercise prices in the open market.*
- (4) *The Company has not historically issued any dividends and does not expect to do so in the foreseeable future.*

The Company periodically estimates forfeiture rates based on its historical experience within separate groups of employees and adjusts the stock-based payment expense accordingly. The forfeiture rates used in 2015 ranged from 0% to 16%.

Stock Awards Withholdings

For Stock Awards granted to employees, the number of shares issued on the date the Stock Awards vest is net of the tax withholding requirements paid on behalf of the employees. In 2015, 2014 and 2013, the Company withheld 68,101, 15,769, and 24,249 shares of common stock, respectively, to satisfy its employees' tax obligations of \$1.0 million, \$156,000, and \$222,000, respectively. The Company paid this amount in cash to the appropriate taxing authorities. Although shares withheld are not issued, they are treated as common stock repurchases for accounting and disclosure purposes, as they reduce the number of shares that would have been issued upon vesting.

Stock Repurchase Program

On August 5, 2013, the Company's Board of Directors modified Cutera, Inc.'s Stock Repurchase Program, originally adopted in November 2012, to permit an additional \$10 million of its issued and outstanding common shares to be repurchased. As modified, the Stock Repurchase Program permitted the Company to purchase an aggregate of \$20 million of its common stock through a 10b5-1 program based on predetermined pricing and volume as well as open-market purchases that are subject to management discretion and regulatory restrictions

In the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company repurchased 1,060,447 shares of its common stock at an average price of \$9.43 per share, for approximately \$10.0 million. The Company did not repurchase any shares of its common stock in the year ended December 31, 2014. As of December 31, 2014, there remained \$10.0 million available under the modified Stock Repurchase Program to repurchase the Company's common stock.

On February 18, 2015, the Company's Board of Directors approved the expansion of its stock repurchase program from \$10 million to \$40 million. In the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company repurchased 2,818,038 shares of its common stock at an average price of \$14.19 per share, for approximately \$40.0 million.

NOTE 7—INCOME TAXES

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal and various state and local jurisdictions and foreign jurisdictions. The Company's loss before provision for income taxes consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
U.S.	\$ (4,588)	\$ (10,592)	\$ (4,919)
Foreign	360	199	118
Loss before income taxes	<u>\$ (4,228)</u>	<u>\$ (10,393)</u>	<u>\$ (4,801)</u>

The components of the provision for income taxes are as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Current:			
Federal	\$ (7)	\$ (7)	\$ (329)
State	23	19	7
Foreign	218	110	159
Total Current	<u>234</u>	<u>122</u>	<u>(163)</u>
Deferred:			
Federal	33	32	33
State	—	—	—
Foreign	(55)	65	76
Total Deferred	<u>(22)</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>109</u>
Tax provision (benefit)	<u>\$ 212</u>	<u>\$ 219</u>	<u>\$ (54)</u>

The Company's deferred tax asset consists of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2015	2014
Net operating loss	\$ 14,231	\$ 12,138
Stock-based compensation	2,462	3,884
Other accruals and reserves	4,679	4,735
Credits	4,477	3,808
Foreign	350	295
Accrued warranty	657	417
Depreciation and amortization	1,105	998
Other	5	66
Deferred tax asset before valuation allowance	27,966	26,341
Valuation allowance	(27,616)	(26,046)
Deferred tax asset after valuation allowance	350	295
Deferred tax liability on goodwill	(103)	(71)
Net deferred tax asset	<u>\$ 247</u>	<u>\$ 224</u>

The Company's deferred tax asset balance is reported in the following captions in the Consolidated Balance Sheets (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2015	2014
Deferred tax asset (current portion)	\$ —	\$ 26
Deferred tax asset, net of current portion	350	269
Accrued liabilities (non-current deferred tax liability)	(103)	(71)
Net deferred tax asset after valuation allowance	<u>\$ 247</u>	<u>\$ 224</u>

The differences between the U.S. federal statutory income tax rates to the Company's effective tax rate are as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
U.S. federal statutory income tax rate	34.00%	34.00%	35.00%
State tax rate, net of federal benefit	1.94	1.62	1.57
Benefit for research and development credit	15.92	7.24	19.91
Income tax refund	0.18	0.08	0.19
Foreign rate differential	(1.47)	(1.04)	(4.53)
Changes in unrecognized tax benefits	(1.15)	(0.53)	2.60
Meals and entertainment	(3.23)	(1.11)	(2.10)
Stock-based compensation	(19.19)	(5.56)	(34.33)
Valuation allowance	(31.63)	(36.58)	(17.82)
Other	(0.38)	(0.22)	0.63
Effective tax rate	<u>(5.01)%</u>	<u>(2.10)%</u>	<u>1.12%</u>

The Company recognizes deferred tax assets for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities, and for operating losses and tax credit carryforwards. The Company records a valuation allowance to reduce the deferred tax assets to their estimated realizable value, when it is more likely than not that it will not be able to generate sufficient future taxable income to realize the net carrying value. The Company has recorded a full valuation allowance against its U.S. federal and state deferred tax assets due to its history of operating losses. In the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, there was a net increase in the valuation allowance of \$1.6 million, \$3.3 million, and \$0.9 million, respectively.

As of December 31, 2015, the Company had cumulative net operating loss carry-forwards for federal and state income tax reporting purposes of approximately \$41.8 million and \$11.2 million, respectively. The federal net operating loss carry-forwards if not utilized will begin to expire beginning in 2029 through the year 2035 and the state net operating loss carry-forwards if not utilized will expire beginning in 2029 through the year 2035. The Company maintained a valuation allowance against these net operating loss carry-forwards as of December 31, 2015.

As of December 31, 2015, the Company had research and development tax credits for federal and state income tax purposes of approximately \$4.7 million and \$5.7 million, respectively. The federal research and development tax credits if not utilized will expire beginning in 2024 through the year 2035. The state research and development credits can be carried forward indefinitely, except for \$284,000, which will expire at various dates through the year 2020. The Company maintained a valuation allowance against these tax credits as of December 31, 2015.

Included in the net operating loss and research and development tax credit carryforwards are approximately \$5.2 million of excess tax benefits from employee stock option exercises, for which the Company has not recorded a deferred tax asset. When such excess tax benefits are ultimately realized, the Company will record the deferred tax asset and the credit to additional paid in capital.

Utilization of U.S. net operating losses and tax credit carryforwards may be limited by "ownership change" rules, as defined in Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code. Similar rules may apply under state tax laws. The Company has not conducted a study to-date to assess whether a limitation would apply under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code as and when it starts utilizing its net operating losses and tax credits. The Company will continue to monitor activities in the future. In the event the Company previously experienced an ownership change, or should experience an ownership change in the future, the amount of net operating losses and research and development credit carryovers available in any taxable year could be limited and may expire unutilized.

Undistributed earnings of the Company's foreign subsidiaries at December 31, 2015 and 2014 were approximately \$2.8 million and \$2.6 million, respectively, and are considered to be indefinitely reinvested and, accordingly, no provision for federal and state income taxes has been provided thereon. If these foreign earnings were to be repatriated in the future, the related U.S. tax liability would be reduced by any foreign income taxes previously paid on these earnings. Because of the availability of U.S. foreign tax credits, the determination of the unrecognized deferred tax liability on these earnings is not practicable.

Uncertain Tax Positions

The Company establishes reserves for uncertain tax positions based on the largest amount that is more-likely-than-not to be sustained. An uncertain income tax position will not be recognized if it has less than a 50% likelihood of being sustained. The Company has provided taxes and related interest and penalties due for potential adjustments that may result from examinations of open U.S. federal, state and foreign tax years. If the Company ultimately determines that payment of these amounts are not more-likely-than-not, the Company will reverse the liability and recognize a tax benefit during the period in which the Company makes the determination. The Company will record an additional charge in the Company's provision for taxes in the period in which the Company determines that the recorded tax liability is less than the Company expects the ultimate assessment to be. The Company's policy is to include interest and penalties related to gross unrecognized tax benefits within the provision for income taxes.

The Company files U.S., state, and foreign income tax returns in jurisdictions with varying statutes of limitations. The 2005 through 2015 tax years generally remain subject to examination by U.S., federal and California state tax authorities due to the Company's net operating loss and credit carryforwards. For significant foreign jurisdictions, the 2010 through 2015 tax years generally remain subject to examination by their respective tax authorities.

The following table summarizes the activity related to the Company's gross unrecognized tax benefits in December 31, 2013 to December 31, 2015 (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Balance at beginning of year.....	\$ 597	\$ 535	\$ 536
Increases related to prior year tax positions.....	—	—	36
Increases related to current year tax positions.....	54	62	116
Decreases related to lapsing of statute of limitations.....	—	—	(153)
Balance at end of year.....	<u>\$ 651</u>	<u>\$ 597</u>	<u>\$ 535</u>

The Company's total unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect its effective tax rate at December 31, 2015 and 2014, were approximately \$33,000. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company had accrued approximately \$45,000 and \$41,000 for payment of interest, respectively. Interest included in the provision for income taxes was not significant in all the periods presented. The Company has not accrued any penalties related to its uncertain tax positions as it believes that it is more likely than not that there will not be any assessment of penalties. The Company expects that the amount of unrecognized tax benefits will not materially change within the next 12 months.

NOTE 8—NET LOSS PER SHARE

Diluted earnings per share is the same as basic earnings per share for the periods presented because the inclusion of outstanding common stock equivalents would be anti-dilutive. The following number of weighted shares outstanding, prior to the application of the treasury stock method, were excluded from the computation of diluted net loss per common share for the years presented because including them would have had an anti-dilutive effect (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Options to purchase common stock.....	2,575	3,489	3,830
Restricted stock units.....	296	213	173
Employee stock purchase plan shares.....	93	86	72
Performance stock units.....	24	37	34
Total.....	<u>2,988</u>	<u>3,825</u>	<u>4,109</u>

NOTE 9—DEFINED CONTRIBUTION PLAN

In the U.S., the Company has an employee savings plan ("401(k) Plan") that qualifies as a deferred salary arrangement under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. Eligible employees may make voluntary contributions to the 401(k) Plan up to 100% of their annual compensation, subject to statutory annual limitations. In 2015, 2014 and 2013, the Company made discretionary contributions under the 401(k) Plan of \$244,000, \$211,000 and \$184,000, respectively.

For the Company's Japanese subsidiary, a discretionary employee retirement plan has been established. In addition, for some of the Company's other foreign subsidiaries, the Company deposits funds with insurance companies, third-party trustees, or into

government-managed accounts consistent with the requirements of local laws. The Company has fully funded or accrued for its obligations as of December 31, 2015, and the related expense for each of the three years then ended was not significant.

NOTE 10—SEGMENT INFORMATION AND REVENUE BY GEOGRAPY AND PRODUCTS

Operating segments are identified as components of an enterprise about which separate discrete financial information is available for evaluation by the chief operating decision maker, or decision-making group, in making decisions how to allocate resources and assess performance. The Company's chief decision maker, as defined under the FASB's ASC 280 guidance, is a combination of the Chief Executive Officer and the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. To date, the Company's chief decision maker has viewed its operations, managed its business, and used one measurement of profitability for the one operating segment – which sells aesthetic medical equipment and services, and distributes skincare products, to qualified medical practitioners. Substantially all of the Company's long-lived assets are located in the U.S.

The following table summarizes revenue by geographic region, based on the location of the customer, and by product category (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Revenue mix by geography:			
United States.....	\$ 48,916	\$ 35,494	\$ 31,487
Japan.....	11,504	13,328	14,205
Asia, excluding Japan.....	15,596	11,023	11,263
Europe.....	7,728	7,792	7,358
Rest of the world.....	11,017	10,501	10,281
Consolidated total.....	<u>\$ 94,761</u>	<u>\$ 78,138</u>	<u>\$ 74,594</u>
Revenue mix by product category:			
Products.....	\$ 71,223	\$ 53,106	\$ 48,374
Hand Piece Refills.....	2,910	3,714	4,267
Skincare.....	2,889	3,479	4,264
Total product revenue.....	<u>77,022</u>	<u>60,299</u>	<u>56,905</u>
Service.....	17,739	17,839	17,689
Consolidated total.....	<u>\$ 94,761</u>	<u>\$ 78,138</u>	<u>\$ 74,594</u>

NOTE 11—COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Facility Leases

As of December 31, 2015, the Company was committed to minimum lease payments for facilities and other leased assets under long-term non-cancelable operating leases as follows (in thousands):

Year Ending December 31,	Amount
2016.....	\$ 1,882
2017.....	1,857
2018.....	154
2019.....	16
2020.....	4
Future minimum rental payments.....	<u>\$ 3,913</u>

Gross rent expense recognized in the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 was \$1.5 million, \$1.5 million and \$1.6 million, respectively.

Vehicle Leases

As of December 31, 2015, the Company was committed to minimum lease payments for vehicles leased under long-term non-cancelable capital leases as follows (in thousands):

Year Ending December 31,	Amount
2016.....	\$ 271
2017.....	102
2018.....	113
2019.....	19
Future minimum lease payments.....	<u>\$ 505</u>

Purchase Commitments

The Company maintains certain open inventory purchase commitments with its suppliers to ensure a smooth and continuous supply for key components. The Company's liability in these purchase commitments is generally restricted to a forecasted time-horizon as agreed between the parties. These forecasted time-horizons can vary among different suppliers. The Company's open inventory purchase commitments with its suppliers were not significant at December 31, 2015.

Indemnifications

In the normal course of business, the Company enters into agreements that contain a variety of representations, warranties, and indemnification obligations. For example, the Company has entered into indemnification agreements with each of its directors and executive officers and certain key employees. The Company's exposure under its various indemnification obligations is unknown and not reasonably estimable as they involve future claims that may be made against the Company. As such, the Company has not accrued any amounts for such obligations.

Litigation and Litigation Settlements

The Company is named from time to time as a party to product liability and contractual lawsuits in the normal course of business. The Company routinely assesses the likelihood of any adverse judgments or outcomes related to legal matters and claims, as well as ranges of probable losses. A determination of the amount of the reserves required, if any, for these contingencies is made after analysis of each known issue, historical experience, whether it is more likely than not that the Company shall incur a loss, and whether the loss is estimable. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company had accrued \$110,000 and \$74,000, respectively, related to pending product liability and contractual lawsuits.

NOTE 12—SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On February 8, 2016, the Company announced that its Board of Directors approved the expansion of its Stock Repurchase Program by \$10 million, under which the Company is authorized to repurchase shares of its common stock.

On February 11, 2016, Kendall Jenner and Kendall Jenner Inc. ("Plaintiffs"), filed a lawsuit against the Company in the U.S. District Court, Central District of California, alleging trademark infringement, false endorsement and violation of Jenner's right of publicity. The claims arise out of alleged advertising referring to news articles describing Jenner's blog posting regarding her use of Cutera's Laser Genesis treatment for her acne. In their complaint, the Plaintiffs state that they are seeking "at least \$10 million" in compensatory damages and reasonable costs and attorney's fees. The Company is presently investigating the matter and intends to defend the matter vigorously. While the Company believes it has meritorious defenses to the matter, the potential outcome of this litigation, or its impact upon the Company, cannot be predicted at this time.

SUPPLEMENTARY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)
(In thousands, except per share amounts)

Quarter ended:	Dec. 31, 2015	Sept. 30, 2015	June 30, 2015	March 31, 2015	Dec. 31, 2014	Sept. 30, 2014	June 30, 2014	March 31, 2014
Net revenue	\$ 30,042	\$ 23,085	\$ 22,563	\$ 19,071	\$ 25,499	\$ 18,726	\$ 17,724	\$ 16,189
Cost of revenue	12,145	9,594	9,687	9,052	11,679	7,935	7,848	7,303
Gross profit.....	<u>17,897</u>	<u>13,491</u>	<u>12,876</u>	<u>10,019</u>	<u>13,820</u>	<u>10,791</u>	<u>9,876</u>	<u>8,886</u>
Operating expenses:								
Sales and marketing	9,899	8,790	9,066	8,187	9,356	7,805	7,754	7,331
Research and development.....	2,812	2,748	2,728	2,445	2,649	2,628	2,622	2,644
General and administrative	3,189	2,937	3,014	2,989	3,407	2,897	2,335	2,564
Total operating expenses.....	<u>15,900</u>	<u>14,475</u>	<u>14,808</u>	<u>13,621</u>	<u>15,412</u>	<u>13,330</u>	<u>12,711</u>	<u>12,539</u>
Income (loss) from operations	1,997	(984)	(1,932)	(3,602)	(1,592)	(2,539)	(2,835)	(3,653)
Interest and other income, net.	105	84	96	8	8	—	138	80
Income (loss) before income taxes	2,102	(900)	(1,836)	(3,594)	(1,584)	(2,539)	(2,697)	(3,573)
Income tax provision.....	52	57	53	50	41	97	44	37
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ 2,050</u>	<u>\$ (957)</u>	<u>\$ (1,889)</u>	<u>\$ (3,644)</u>	<u>\$ (1,625)</u>	<u>\$ (2,636)</u>	<u>\$ (2,741)</u>	<u>\$ (3,610)</u>
Net income (loss) per share—								
basic	<u>\$ 0.16</u>	<u>\$ (0.07)</u>	<u>\$ (0.13)</u>	<u>\$ (0.25)</u>	<u>\$ (0.11)</u>	<u>\$ (0.18)</u>	<u>\$ (0.19)</u>	<u>\$ (0.26)</u>
Net income (loss) per share—								
diluted	<u>\$ 0.15</u>	<u>\$ (0.07)</u>	<u>\$ (0.13)</u>	<u>\$ (0.25)</u>	<u>\$ (0.11)</u>	<u>\$ (0.18)</u>	<u>\$ (0.19)</u>	<u>\$ (0.26)</u>
Weighted average number of shares used in per share calculations:								
Basic	<u>12,978</u>	<u>13,827</u>	<u>14,441</u>	<u>14,611</u>	<u>14,425</u>	<u>14,334</u>	<u>14,231</u>	<u>14,021</u>
Diluted	<u>13,591</u>	<u>13,827</u>	<u>14,441</u>	<u>14,611</u>	<u>14,425</u>	<u>14,334</u>	<u>14,231</u>	<u>14,021</u>

SCHEDULE II

CUTERA, INC.

VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

(in thousands)

For the Years Ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013

	Balance at Beginning of Year	Additions	Deductions	Balance at End of Year
Deferred tax assets valuation allowance				
Year ended December 31, 2015	\$ 26,046	\$ 3,327	\$ 1,757	\$ 27,616
Year ended December 31, 2014	\$ 22,762	\$ 3,780	\$ 496	\$ 26,046
Year ended December 31, 2013	\$ 21,907	\$ 3,437	\$ 2,582	\$ 22,762

	Balance at Beginning of Year	Additions	Deductions	Balance at End of Year
Allowance for doubtful accounts receivable				
Year ended December 31, 2015	\$ —	\$ 4	\$ —	\$ 4
Year ended December 31, 2014	\$ 19	\$ 4	\$ 23	\$ —
Year ended December 31, 2013	\$ —	\$ 19	\$ —	\$ 19

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Attached as exhibits to this Annual Report are certifications of the Company's Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"), which are required in accordance with Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act). This Controls and Procedures section includes the information concerning the controls evaluation referred to in the certifications, and it should be read in conjunction with the certifications for a more complete understanding of the topics presented.

The Company conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in the Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) (Disclosure Controls) as of the end of the period covered by this Report required by Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(b) or 15d-15(b). The controls evaluation was conducted under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the CEO and CFO. Based on this evaluation, the CEO and our CFO have concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this report the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective at a reasonable assurance level.

Definition of Disclosure Controls

Disclosure Controls are controls and procedures designed to reasonably assure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's reports filed under the Exchange Act, such as this Report, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure Controls are also designed to reasonably assure that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including the CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. The Company's Disclosure Controls include components of its internal control over financial reporting, which consists of control processes designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of its financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the U.S. To the extent that components of the Company's internal control over financial reporting are included within its Disclosure Controls, they are included in the scope of the Company's annual controls evaluation.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the CEO and CFO, the Company conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting based on criteria established in the framework in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO"). Based on this evaluation, the Company's management concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2015. The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015 has been audited by BDO USA LLP, an Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, as stated in their report, which is included herein.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

The Company's management, including the CEO and CFO, does not expect that the Company's disclosure controls or internal control over financial reporting will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed

in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Stockholders of Cutera, Inc.

We have audited Cutera, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Cutera, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying "Item 9A, Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting". Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Cutera, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of Cutera, Inc.' as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive loss, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 and our report dated March 15, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ BDO USA, LLP

San Jose, California
March 15, 2016

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

The Company has established that the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders will be held at its principal executive offices located at 3240 Bayshore Blvd., Brisbane, CA 94005-1021 on June 15, 2016 at 10:00 a.m. and the record date for the purposes of voting in that meeting shall be April 19, 2016.

PART III

Certain information required by Part III is omitted from this Annual Report on Form 10-K because we will file a Definitive Proxy Statement (the "Proxy Statement") for our 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2015.

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the Proxy Statement.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the Proxy Statement.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the Proxy Statement.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the Proxy Statement.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the Proxy Statement.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

- (1) The financial statements required by Item 15(a) are filed as Item 8 of this Annual Report.
- (2) The financial statement schedule required by Item 15(a) filed as Item 8 of this Annual Report.
- (3) Exhibits.

Exhibit No.	Description
--------------------	--------------------

3.2 ⁽¹⁾	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant (Delaware).
3.4 ⁽¹⁾	Bylaws of the Registrant.
4.1 ⁽⁴⁾	Specimen Common Stock certificate of the Registrant.
10.1 ⁽¹⁾	Form of Indemnification Agreement for directors and executive officers.
10.2 ⁽¹⁾	1998 Stock Plan.
10.4 ⁽⁵⁾	2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan.
10.6 ⁽¹⁾	Brisbane Technology Park Lease dated August 5, 2003 by and between the Registrant and Gal-Brisbane, L.P. for office space located at 3240 Bayshore Boulevard, Brisbane, California.
10.10 ⁽²⁾	Settlement Agreement and Non-Exclusive Patent License, each between the Registrant and Palomar Medical Technologies, Inc. dated June 2, 2006.
10.11 ⁽³⁾	Form of Performance Unit Award Agreement.
10.14 ⁽⁶⁾	2004 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended by its Board of Directors on April 27, 2012.
10.19 ⁽⁷⁾	First Amendment to Brisbane Technology Park Lease dated August 11, 2010 by and between the Company and BMR-Bayshore Boulevard LLC, as successor-in-interest to Gal-Brisbane, L.P., the original landlord, for office space located at 3240 Bayshore Boulevard.
16.1 ⁽⁸⁾	Letter regarding change in certifying accountants.
23.1 ⁽⁹⁾	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
23.2 ⁽⁹⁾	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
24.1	Power of Attorney.
31.1 ⁽⁹⁾	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2 ⁽⁹⁾	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1 ⁽⁹⁾	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101 ⁽⁹⁾	The following materials from Cutera Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Income, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss, (iv) Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity, (v) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (vi) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged at Level I through IV.

- ⁽¹⁾ *Incorporated by reference from our Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-111928) which was declared effective on March 30, 2004.*
- ⁽²⁾ *Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 2, 2006.*
- ⁽³⁾ *Incorporated by reference from our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on November 14, 2005.*
- ⁽⁴⁾ *Incorporated by reference from our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on November 8, 2006.*
- ⁽⁵⁾ *Incorporated by reference from our 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 16, 2007.*
- ⁽⁶⁾ *Incorporated by reference from our Definitive Proxy Statement on Form 14A filed with the SEC on April 30, 2012.*
- ⁽⁷⁾ *Incorporated by reference from our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on November 1, 2010.*
- ⁽⁸⁾ *Incorporated by reference from Current Report on Form 8-K filed April, 2, 2014.*
- ⁽⁹⁾ *Filed herewith.*

**CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
PURSUANT TO 15 U.S.C. SECTION 7241, AS
ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Ronald J. Santilli, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Cutera, Inc.:
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 15, 2016

/s/ RONALD J. SANTILLI

Ronald J. Santilli
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

**CERTIFICATION OF
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the annual report on Form 10-K of Cutera, Inc. a Delaware corporation, for the period ended December 31, 2015, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, each of the undersigned officers of Cutera, Inc. certifies pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to his respective knowledge:

- (1) the annual report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (2) the information contained in the annual report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Cutera, Inc. for the periods presented therein.

Date: March 15, 2016

/s/ KEVIN P. CONNORS

Kevin P. Connors
President, Chief Executive Officer and Director
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: March 15, 2016

/s/ RONALD J. SANTILLI

Ronald J. Santilli
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Corporate Information (as of April 29, 2016)

ABOUT US

Brisbane, California-based Cutera is a leading provider of laser, light and other energy-based aesthetic systems for practitioners worldwide. Since 1998, we have been developing innovative, easy-to-use products that enable physicians and other qualified practitioners to offer safe and effective aesthetic treatments to their patients. For more information, call 1-888-4CUTERA or visit www.cutera.com.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Kevin P. Connors, President and Chief Executive Officer, Cutera, Inc.

David B. Apfelberg, MD^{3,5}, Adjunct Clinical Professor of Plastic Surgery, Stanford University Medical Center

Gregory Barrett^{4,5}, President and Chief Executive Officer, DFINE, Inc.

David A. Gollnick, Former Vice President of North American Sales and Former Executive Vice President of Research and Development, Cutera, Inc.

Timothy J. O'Shea^{1,6,7}, Former Managing Director, Oxo Capital

J. Daniel Plants^{5,8}, Managing Partner, Voce Capital Management LLC

Clint H. Severson¹, President and Chief Executive Officer, Abaxis, Inc.

Jerry P. Widman^{2,3,5}, Former Chief Financial Officer, Ascension Health

1- Audit Committee member

2- Chairman of Audit Committee

3- Compensation Committee member

4- Chairman of Compensation Committee

5- Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee member

6- Chairman of Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

7- Strategic Transactions Committee member

8- Chairman of Strategic Transactions Committee

MANAGEMENT TEAM

Kevin P. Connors, President, Chief Executive Officer and Director

Ronald J. Santilli, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Larry E. Laber, Executive Vice President, Sales, North America

Miguel A. Pardos, Executive Vice President, International

Jonathan T. Pearson, Executive Vice President, Marketing and Clinical Development

Lukas Hunziker, Vice President, Research and Development

Rajesh Madan, Vice President, Finance and Legal

Bradley J. Renton, Vice President, Regulatory and Medical Affairs & Compliance Officer

Stephen M. Singer, Vice President, Manufacturing and Service

ANNUAL MEETING

Annual meeting of stockholders will be held on June 15, 2016, 10:00 a.m. (PDT) at: 3240 Bayshore Blvd., Brisbane, California 94005.

TRANSFER AGENT

Computershare Trust Company, Inc.
350 Indiana St., Suite 800
Golden, Colorado 80401
303-262-0600

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC

ACCOUNTING FIRM

2014 and 2015: BDO USA, LLP,
San Jose, California

2013: Ernst & Young LLP,
Redwood City, California

CORPORATE LEGAL COUNSEL

Wilson, Sonsini, Goodrich & Rosati, P.C.,
Palo Alto, California

CORPORATE/STOCKHOLDER INFORMATION

Our Form 10-K was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 15, 2016. For additional copies of this report, Form 10-K, or other financial information, without charge, please visit the Investor Relations page on our website at: www.cutera.com or write to ir@cutera.com.

STOCK LISTING AND MARKET DATA


Our common stock is traded on The NASDAQ Global market under the symbol "CUTR." We have not declared or paid any cash dividends on our capital stock since our inception. We currently expect to retain future earnings, if any, for use in the operation and expansion of our business and do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. As of April 29, 2016, we had approximately 1,900 holders of record of our common stock.

The following table sets forth quarterly high and low closing sales prices per share of our common stock as reported on The NASDAQ Global Market for the periods indicated.

	Common Stock			
	2015		2014	
	High	Low	High	Low
4th Qtr.	\$ 14.52	\$ 11.99	\$ 11.04	\$ 9.66
3rd Qtr.	15.60	13.07	10.75	9.27
2nd Qtr.	15.98	12.87	11.73	9.25
1st Qtr.	14.26	10.86	11.24	9.00

COMPARISON OF 5 YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN*

Among Cutera, Inc., the NASDAQ Composite Index and the NASDAQ Medical Equipment Index



*\$100 invested on 12/31/10 in stock or index, including reinvestment of dividends. Fiscal year ending December 31.

