UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-			
[x] Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) Of the Sec		4	
	ar ended September 30, 2010		
[] Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the S			
	eriod from to		
Commission file nur			
GOOD TIMES RESTA			
(Exact name of registrant as sp			
- Nevada	84-11333	68	
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identif		nber)
601 Corporate Circle, Golden, Colorado	80401		
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Cod	e)	
Issuer's telephone number		c)	
Securities registered pursuant to S			
Title of each close	Nome of each evaluation	a an whiah r	manistanad
Title of each class Common Stock \$.001 par value, Preferred Stock \$.01 par	Name of each exchange The NASDAQ Sto		
Securities registered pursuant to Sec	_	ck Market,	LLC
Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seas		Vac []	Mo [v]
Rule 405 of the Securities Act.		Yes []	No [x]
Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.	o file reports pursuant to	Yes []	No [x]
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed	all reports required to be		
filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange		X 7	NT 53
preceding 12 months and (2) has been subject to such filing		Yes [x]	No []
90 days.	1		
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted	electronically and posted		
on its corporate Web site, if any, every interactive Data File			
and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during		Yes []	No [x]
(or for such shorter period that the registrant was required	to submit and post such		
files)			
Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers			
Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be		[x	1
registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy of information st		LA	r.J
reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to			
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large acce			
filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "			iler", "non-
accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 1			
	n-Accelerated Filer []	Smaller Rep	orting
Company[x]			
Indicate by check mark whether the registration is a shell cor Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).	inpany (as defined in	Yes []	No [x]
As of December 15, 2010, the aggregate market value of the	2.639.441 shares of common	stock held	by non
affiliates of the issuer, based on the closing sales price of the			
share as reported on the Nasdaq Capital Market, was \$1,583,		. 15, 2010	φ.σο per
As of December 15, 2010, the issuer had 8,177,989 shares of			

$\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{TABLE OF CONTENTS}\\ \textbf{DISCLOSURE REGARDING FORWRAD-LOOKING STATEMENTS}\\ \end{tabular}$

		<u>PAGE</u>
	PART I	
Item 1	Business	1 - 10
Item 1A	Risk Factors	10 - 13
Item 1B	Unresolved Staff Comments	13
Item 2	Properties	14
Item 3	Legal Proceedings	14
Item 4	Removed and Reserved	14
	PART II	
Item 5	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and	15 - 16
	Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	
Item 6	Selected Financial Data	17
Item 7	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results	18 - 27
	of Operations	
Item 7A	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	27
Item 8	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	F1 - F20
Item 9	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and	28
	Financial Disclosure	
Item 9A	Controls and Procedures	28
Item 9B	Other Information	28
	PART III	
Item 10	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	29 - 33
Item 11	Executive Compensation	34 - 36
Item 12	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and	37
	Related Stockholder Matters	
Item 13	Certain Relationships, Related Transactions, and Director Independence	38 - 39
Item 14	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	39
	PART IV	
Item 15	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	40 - 42
	Signatures	43
23.1	Consent of HEIN & ASSOCIATES LLP	
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350	
31.2	Certification of Controller pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350	
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Controller pursuant to 18	
	U.S.C. Section 1350	

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Overview: Good Times Restaurants Inc., a Nevada corporation (the "Company"), was organized in 1987. The Company is essentially a holding company for its wholly owned subsidiary, Good Times Drive Thru Inc. ("GTDT"), which is engaged in the business of developing, owning, operating and franchising hamburger-oriented drive-through restaurants under the name Good Times Burgers & Frozen Custard. Most of our restaurants are located in the front-range communities of Colorado but we also have franchised restaurants in Idaho, North Dakota and Wyoming. The terms "Good Times", "we", "us" and "our" where used herein refer to the operations of GTDT and of the Company.

Recent Developments: We experienced fairly dramatic same store sales declines immediately after the beginning of the recession in the spring of 2008 that continued through late spring of 2010 after several years of same store sales increases. Beginning in June 2010 our sales trends began to flatten out and have increased since August 2010. Beginning in August 2010 and continuing through November 2010 we have experienced monthly same store sales increases of 0.4%, 7.4%, 20.3% and 5.4%. While a portion of the sales increases have been due to very favorable weather in 2010 compared to 2009, we have experienced increases in both customer traffic and our average transaction amount as a result of the implementation of more price choice across our menu and several product initiatives. Those initiatives included the implementation of Fresh Cut Fries and Wild Fries in a new cooked-to-order platform, five \$2.89 Craver Combos, hand spun custard shakes with seasonal flavors, the introduction of Sweet Potato Fries as a limited time offer and other product promotions. As discussed below in Concept and Business Strategy, we are focusing on sustaining our same store sales momentum through improving our core value proposition for the consumer and evolving the brand away from mainstream hamburger quick service restaurants' standard fare. While we are and will remain all about burgers, fries and frozen custard, we are elevating each category's fresh, handcrafted and unique offerings.

In August 2009, the Company began exploring and evaluating strategic alternatives aimed at enhancing shareholder value. Our Board of Directors formed a Special Committee comprised of directors Geoff Bailey, Richard Stark and Alan Teran to lead these efforts. In addition, the Company hired Mastodon Ventures, Inc. to provide strategic advisory services and explore strategic alternatives that may further the long-term business prospects of the Company and provide incremental value to its shareholders.

As previously disclosed in the Company's current report on Form 8-K filed on November 3, 2010, the Company entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement (the "Purchase Agreement"), dated October 29, 2010, with Small Island Investments Limited, a Bermuda corporation ("SII"), under which the Company agreed to sell, and SII agreed to purchase, 4,200,000 shares (the "Shares") of the Company's common stock, par value \$0.001 per share (the "Common Stock"), at a purchase price of \$0.50 per share, or an aggregate of \$2,100,000 (the "SII Investment Transaction"). The Purchase Agreement was amended on December 13, 2010 to clarify the scope of SII's director designation rights following the Closing.

On December 13, 2010, following approval of the SII Investment Transaction and related matters by the Company's stockholders at a special meeting called for such purposes (the "Special Meeting"), the Company and SII completed the issuance and sale of the Shares to SII. On December 13, 2010, the Company and SII also entered into a Registration Rights Agreement, pursuant to which the Company granted SII certain registration rights with respect to resale of the Shares.

The completion of the SII Investment Transaction resulted in a change of control of the Company, with SII becoming the beneficial owner of approximately 51.4 percent of the Company's outstanding Common Stock. In addition, pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, SII designated four new members of the Company's Board of Directors to replace Richard J. Stark, Alan A. Teran, Ron Goodson and David Grissen, all of whom resigned as directors effective upon the closing of the SII Investment Transaction.

At the Special Meeting, the Company's stockholders also approved a proposal to give our Board discretion to effect a one-for-three reverse stock split of the Company's issued and outstanding Common Stock following the closing of the SII Investment Transaction. The Board subsequently adopted resolutions approving a one-for-three reverse stock split to be effective as of December 31, 2010.

On February 1, 2010, the Company and GTDT entered into a loan agreement with W Capital, Inc. ("W Capital"), John T. McDonald ("McDonald") and Golden Bridge, LLC ("Golden Bridge"), pursuant to which the lenders made loans totaling \$400,000 to be used for restaurant marketing and other working capital uses of GTDT. The loan agreement was

1

subsequently amended as of April 1, 2010 to remove Golden Bridge as a lender, replacing it with additional loans from W Capital and McDonald. The aggregate principal amount owing to W Capital and McDonald was paid out of the proceeds of the SII Investment Transaction. In addition, the accrued interest on such loans through December 13, 2010 of \$39,715 was converted into an aggregate of 79,430 shares of our Common Stock.

In connection with the loans, the Company issued warrants to W Capital and McDonald which entitle them at any time prior to December 13, 2013 to purchase up to an aggregate of 100,000 shares of our Common Stock at an exercise price of 25% less than the average price of our Common Stock during the 20 days prior to exercise, but at not less than \$0.75 per share nor more than \$1.08 per share. These warrants remain outstanding and exercisable in accordance with their terms.

Proceeds of the SII transaction were also used to repay the outstanding principal amount of \$185,000 due to Golden Bridge on a loan Golden Bridge made to the Company in 2009, to reduce our accounts payable and accrued liabilities, to pay the expenses related to the SII Investment Transaction, and to increase our working capital. The SII Investment Transaction also allowed us to renegotiate the terms and covenants of our loan with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ("Wells Fargo") and to regain compliance with certain financial loan covenants that had been in default. We had never been in any payment default under the loan and Wells Fargo agreed to accept additional building and equipment collateral in exchange for modifying the covenants of the loan without affecting our interest rate or repayment term. As a condition to the closing of the SII Investment Transaction, we entered into a new Credit Agreement and Promissory Note with Wells Fargo effective as of December 13, 2010. The prior financial defaults had caused us to show the entire balance of the loan as a short term liability; however, as of September 30, 2010, it is again largely classified as a long term note payable.

SII's affiliates have ownership interests in other full service restaurant chains that generate approximately \$75 million in annual revenues. The SII Investment Transaction may successfully position the Company to pursue a larger platform in the restaurant business through acquisitions, new investment and improved economies of scale. However, there can be no assurance that any acquisitions or additional investment will occur.

The shorter term objective for the Good Times brand will be to focus on maximizing its profitability and development in our core market of Colorado. In fiscal 2010, we closed two Company-operated restaurants and a franchisee closed one restaurant. We continue to evaluate the near term realizable asset value of each restaurant compared to its longer term cash flow value and we may choose to sell, sublease or close additional lower performing restaurants in fiscal 2011as we position the company for growth in new store development. We will require additional capital sources to develop additional company owned restaurants.

See Financing Activities under the Liquidity and Capital Resources section Part II, Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations below for further details of the transactions described above.

Concept and Business Strategy: We operate with two different formats that have evolved over the course of our history: a smaller, 880 to 1000 square foot building without indoor seating that is focused on drive thru service and limited walk up service; and a 2,400 square foot, 70 seat dining room format that has been the model for the last thirteen restaurants developed in Colorado. We are currently further refining the prototype design to reduce development costs and improve the return on investment model for future company-owned and franchised restaurant expansion with a 1,900 to 2,000 square foot, 40 seat dining room design that will carry forward all of the core design elements of our prior prototype design.

In light of the last two years' sales declines that began at the start of the current economic recession, we are primarily focused on maintaining the recent momentum in positive growth in our existing stores' sales. In addition to the upgrades in the quality and portions of our core hamburger and chicken products in 2009, in fiscal 2010 we introduced our Fresh Cut Fries that are hand cut every day in each restaurant in addition to our Wild Fries and converted our systems so that we now cook all fries to order. We have sacrificed some speed of service but we believe the quality improvements outweigh the additional 20 to 30 seconds the customer waits. We also upgraded our shakes to hand spun custard shakes, more than doubling our shake sales in the summer of 2010. In June 2010, we introduced our first lower priced menu category with our new \$2.89 Craver Combos, which now represents over 15% of customer transactions.

While our primary value proposition for the consumer is derived from the quality and taste of our products, the current competitive and consumer spending environment continues to redefine value expectations within the quick service restaurant segment and a larger number of transactions are being driven by the availability of menu items at lower price points. Our lower priced options are consistent with our brand strategy to offer fresh, real, handcrafted food with unique flavor profiles in our core menu categories of burgers, chicken, fries, frozen custard and fountain products.

We will continue to focus on elevating the attributes of our menu items that we believe give us a unique position in hamburger quick service restaurants - Fresh All Natural Angus beef that is free from hormones, antibiotics and animal byproducts in the feed; Fresh Frozen Custard made fresh every few hours in every restaurant; Fresh Grilled Honey Cured Bacon; Fresh Squeezed Lemonade; Fresh Cut Fries; 100% Breast of Chicken; and other proprietary recipes and flavors. We are working on the preparation system and packaging design for our burgers with the goal of achieving a more hot off the grill, cooked to order flavor that is more common in fast casual and casual theme concepts than in quick service restaurants.

Our core strategies have not changed and we continue to focus on the following initiatives to maintain positive sales growth and improve our profitability:

Focus on our most important drivers of success:

- Values. We strive to build and develop behaviors and expectations around what we value most throughout the company: integrity, continued improvement, customer loyalty and respect for each other.
- o People. Beginning with our Operating Partner Program, people are our strongest asset. We seek to hire high quality people throughout and provide them with comprehensive training programs to ensure that we deliver consistently superior products and service.
- o Distinctive quality. We strive to offer unique, highly distinctive tastes with the highest quality ingredients available in the quick service restaurant category.
- o Excellent systems. We strive to provide the best systems and processes in every area to free our management to focus on leading their people.

Offer high quality, unique menu items that provide exceptional value. Our restaurants feature menu items that are unique in the quick service segment, and flavor profiles that are associated more with casual theme restaurants than with fast food. Whenever possible, products support the brand umbrella of "fresh, high quality ingredients" such as fresh frozen custard made fresh throughout the day in every restaurant, 100% all natural beef, fresh squeezed lemonade, grilled honey cured bacon, sliced Bermuda onions and toppings such as real guacamole, grilled pineapple and sautéed mushrooms. Each menu category has signature recipes with fun, irreverent names that build Good Times' non-traditional personality such as Wild Fries with Wild Dippin Sauce, Big Daddy Bacon Cheeseburger, Mighty Deluxe, Burnin' Buffalo Chicken and Cappuccino Mocha Joe, Raspberry Torte and Strawberry Cheesecake Addiction Custard Spoonbenders. We continue to make significant changes to our entire menu to leverage our heritage of quality products and to position the Good Times brand for a more unique and highly differentiated consumer experience. Those product and system changes include the following:

- a. The introduction of fresh, never frozen, all natural, purebred Angus beef for all of our burgers. The beef is Certified Humanely Raised, has never had antibiotics or growth hormones, and is vegetarian fed.
- b. Introduced a line of five Craver Combos available at \$2.89 for a double cheeseburger or chicken sandwich, fresh cut or Wild fries and a 16 oz soft drink.
- c. Introduced fresh, hand spun custard shakes with rotating seasonal flavors.
- d. Introduced Sweet Potato Fries, Red Head Strawberry Lemonade, the Pawbender and other proprietary items as limited time offerings.
- e. Reworked our chicken category with 100% breast meat sandwiches and tenders with revised flavor profiles that are unique to fast food.
- f. Introduced Fresh Hand Cut Fries, made daily from whole Idaho russet potatoes & seasoned with sea salt.
- g. Refining and elevating our custard flavor of the day program and iconic Spoonbender flavors.

Establish a unique brand position in quick service restaurants. We aspire to have Good Times stand for "providing food the way it used to be. Good Times is bringing real food back to fast food with pure, wholesome food that tastes the way food used to taste." Key brand support for that will include attributes such as "Fresh", "All Natural", "Fresh Grilled", "Authentic", "Homemade", and "Fresh Squeezed" with a theme of fresh ingredients and hand crafted food.

Continually improve our fast, friendly, personal customer service. We strive to optimize and personalize the interaction between our employees and customers, particularly at the points of order and payment, to build a reputation as having the friendliest service. We manage the face to face interaction with our customers through extensive employee screening and hospitality training to ensure their experience is punctuated by attentive, friendly service. During fiscal 2009 and 2010 we introduced a new online screening and hiring system to reduce

our hourly employee turnover and hire team members that exhibit good service attitudes. Additionally, we introduced video training tools for the first time that we believe will enhance consistent execution of our quality standards. Speed of service through our drive thru lanes is important to the consumers' need for convenience, but is always secondary to delivering the highest quality product possible. We monitor each car's service time and have developed incentive programs for management and employees to maintain our quick service standards.

Build customer loyalty through a unique brand experience. In addition to fast friendly service and great tasting products, we strive to maintain clean, safe and appealing facilities with a particular emphasis on well groomed landscaping, freshly painted exteriors and merchandising that highlights the unique product attributes and flavors of our products. We believe that everything the customer sees, smells, hears and feels influences their overall impression and the reputation of Good Times and that Good Times' target customer is seeking more out of even a quick service restaurant experience.

Build awareness of the Good Times Burgers & Frozen Custard brand. We believe that Good Times has built substantial brand equity among our customers and has become known for our quality, service and signature tastes, particularly within the hamburger category. We believe there is significant opportunity to continue to build that reputation within the hamburger category by continuing to build a stronger overall value proposition and increase awareness of our frozen custard and fountain category. As capital becomes available to us to build out the Colorado market, we plan to sustain our media advertising and increase our store level communications, raising our overall awareness and building a highly differentiated brand personality.

Continually improve our employees' knowledge and proficiency of our core processes. Our customers' experience is driven by the ability of our management and employees to consistently execute clearly defined processes in every area of our business. We believe that our employees' abilities and attitudes are directly related to our ability to provide well designed service, production and operating processes and effective training that allows them to continually learn, improve and succeed. We train, test, certify and re-train all employees and management on all of our core operating and management processes to continually improve levels of proficiency.

Current Fiscal Year Initiatives:

- 1. Consistently Grow Same Store Sales: We will continue to focus on comparable restaurant sales driven by increases in guest counts and increases in the average guest check. Same store sales decreased 6.2% in fiscal 2010 compared to fiscal 2009, however, we experienced a flattening of those trends in June and July and began to see consecutive monthly same store sales increases in August through November of 2010. As of the date of this filing, we anticipate that December, 2010 same store sales will maintain that increase in consecutive monthly sales. We hope to increase guest counts throughout fiscal 2011 through a multi-faceted approach to continually improve the Good Times brand experience for our customers by:
 - · Continuing to communicate our core value proposition that is centered on the availability of high quality at lower price choices and smaller portions.
 - Shifting our marketing communications from broadcast media to more store level and trade area focus.
 - Introducing both permanent and limited time products that are only available at Good Times.
 - Improving our execution on customer service and the delivery of our brand experience through continual re-training of all of our employees on our standards and heightened expectations.
 - · Continuing to reinvest in our existing facilities with a reinvestment in our menu board systems, exterior signage and selective interior cosmetic improvements.
- 2. Reduce our Cost of Sales: In fiscal 2010 our food and packaging costs increased to 35.2% of restaurant sales from 33.6% in fiscal 2009. The increase was primarily due to an increase in commodity costs as we experienced an 11% increase in our weighted average food and packaging costs in fiscal 2010 due mainly to increases in beef, bacon and dairy products. We implemented a cumulative total menu price increase of 6.2% during fiscal 2010; however, the menu price increases were not implemented until the last four months of the fiscal year. We expect to make modest price increases in fiscal 2010.
- 3. Improve our Income from Operations by managing the profitability of incremental sales growth: In addition to reducing our cost of sales, the highest near term return on our capital investment and opportunity for profit improvement is from increasing sales in our existing restaurants. Historically, depending on the sales volume of

- each restaurant, we have experienced a 35% to 50% profit contribution on incremental sales. By managing the profitability of compounding sales increases, we believe we can improve our Income from Operations through the operating leverage on existing assets.
- 4. Pursue Strategic Alternatives: As described above, the closing of the SII Investment Transaction enables us to continue to pursue possible additional strategic alternatives to enhance shareholder value and leverage the costs related to our operation as a publicly traded entity.

Expansion strategy and site selection: Our longer term strategy of becoming a super regional brand in select contiguous markets depends on our ability to continue our same store sales trends, on the consumer spending environment and on the availability of capital, which is currently limited.

Any new development would involve our new prototype restaurant design on sites that are on or adjacent to big box or grocery store anchored shopping centers in high activity and employment areas. Our site selection for new restaurants is oriented toward slightly higher income demographic areas than many of our urban locations and most of our targeted trade areas are in relatively high growth areas of the Denver and northern Colorado markets.

We lease most of our sites. When we do purchase and develop a site, we intend to sell the developed site into the sale-leaseback market under a long term lease. Our primary site objective is to secure a suitable site, with the decision to buy or lease as a secondary objective. Our site criteria includes a mix of substantial daily traffic, density of at least 30,000 people within a three mile radius, strong daytime population and employment base, retail and entertainment traffic generators, good visibility and easy access.

<u>Restaurant locations:</u> We currently operate and franchise a total of forty-nine Good Times restaurants, of which forty-five are in Colorado, with forty in the Denver greater metropolitan area, three in Colorado Springs, one in Grand Junction and one in Silverthorne.

	Total	CO Greater Metro	Colorado, Other	Idaho	Wyoming	North Dakota
Company-owned & Codeveloped	25	23	2			
Franchised	17	14	2	1		
Dual brand company- owned	2	1	1			
Dual brand franchised	5	2			2	1
	49	40	5	1	2	1
December:		200	9	201	10	
Company-owned restaura	ints	21		20)	
Co-developed		9		7		
Franchise operated restau	rants	21		22	2	
Total resta	urants:	52	<u> </u>	49)	

In October 2009 a franchisee operating a Good Times restaurant in Thornton, Colorado terminated its franchise agreement and closed the restaurant. In March 2010 we closed and subleased one company-owned dual branded restaurant in Commerce City, Colorado. In June 2010 we closed one co-developed restaurant in Denver, Colorado. Also in June 2010 we sold our general partnership interest in one co-developed restaurant in Denver, Colorado to the limited partner who is operating the restaurant under a franchise agreement. We anticipate that we may close up to three low volume franchised restaurants during fiscal 2011.

Menu: The menu of a Good Times Burgers & Frozen Custard restaurant is limited to hamburgers, cheeseburgers, chicken sandwiches, French fries, onion rings, fresh squeezed and frozen lemonades, soft drinks and frozen custard products. Each menu item is made to order at the time the customer places the order and is not pre-prepared.

The hamburger patty is made with Meyer All Natural, All Angus beef, served on a 4" bun. Hamburgers and cheeseburgers are garnished with fresh iceberg lettuce, fresh sliced sweet red onions, mayonnaise, guacamole, fresh grilled honey cured bacon, and proprietary sauces. The chicken products include a spiced, battered whole muscle breast patty and a grilled seasoned breast patty, both served with mayonnaise, lettuce and tomatoes, and Chicken Dunkers, whole breast meat

breaded Tenders. Signature chicken products include the Burnin' Buffalo, Guacamole Bacon Chicken, and 100% whole muscle breast meat Dunkers. Equipment has been automated and equipped with compensating computers to deliver a consistent product and minimize variability in operating systems.

All natural Angus beef is raised without the use of any hormones, antibiotics or animal byproducts that are normally used in the open beef market. We believe that all natural beef delivers a better tasting product and, because of the rigorous protocols and testing that are a part of the Meyer processes, also may minimize the risk of any food-borne bacteria-related illnesses.

Fresh frozen custard is a premium ice cream (requiring in excess of 10% butterfat content and .4% egg yolks) with a proprietary vanilla blend that is prepared from highly specialized equipment that minimizes the amount of air that is added to the mix and that creates smaller ice crystals than other frozen dairy desserts. The custard is scooped similarly to hard-packed ice cream but is served at a lightly warmer temperature. The resulting product is smoother, creamier and thicker than typical soft serve or hard-packed ice cream products. Good Times serves the frozen custard as vanilla and a flavor of the day in cups and cones, specialty sundaes and "Spoonbenders", a mix of custard and toppings, and we anticipate it will continue to become a larger percentage of sales as we continue to develop custard products and awareness.

Marketing & Advertising: Our marketing strategy focuses on: 1) driving comparable restaurant sales through attracting new customers and increasing the frequency of visits by current customers; 2) communicating specific product news and attributes to build strong points of difference from competitors; and 3) communicating a unique, strong and consistent brand.

Media is an important component of building Good Times' brand awareness and distinctiveness. We spent our advertising dollars on both television and radio media during fiscal 2010. The Colorado market is an expensive media market, so most of our advertising placement is not in prime time but in early and late fringe, prime access and late news time slots. As we continue to develop more and more distinctiveness to Good Times' brand and increase penetration of the Colorado market, we anticipate we will continue to use media advertising to increase overall awareness. However, during fiscal 2011, we anticipate we will reduce our overall advertising expenditures and focus more of our marketing funds on store level and trade area level communication and activities.

Another important component of our marketing efforts is point-of-sale and on-site merchandising. We rotate new four color product point-of-purchase displays every other month and support new product introductions with extensive merchandising. Our restaurants with dining rooms have back-lit and front-lit product displays and product messaging throughout. Menu boards are kept fresh with new food photography and graphics several times throughout the year.

We plan to continue to be active in digital media in order to create more customer engagement with the Good Times brand. We anticipate leveraging our customer email database and website to create cost effective channels to target existing customers and increase their frequency.

Operations:

Restaurant Management: We have developed Operating Partners in several of our restaurants as we are able to recruit qualified candidates. We believe that this is a distinct competitive advantage that provides a higher level of service, quality control and stability over time. The objective of the Operating Partner Program is to have each partner develop a relationship with the employees, the customers and the community at their restaurant and develop an ownership mentality with commensurate rewards as sales increase over a longer period of time. The program allows an Operating Partner to earn 25% of a restaurant's improvement in cash flow over an established baseline. Each Good Times restaurant employs an operating partner or a general manager, one to two assistant managers and approximately 15 to 25 employees, most of whom work part-time during three shifts. An eight to ten week training program is utilized to train restaurant managers on all phases of the operation. Ongoing training is provided as necessary. We believe that incentive compensation of our restaurant managers is essential to the success of our business. Accordingly, in addition to a salary, managerial employees may be paid a bonus based upon proficiency in meeting financial, customer service and quality performance objectives tied to a monthly scorecard of measures. Due to our decline in sales in 2009 and 2010, we have shifted most of our Operating Partners into a more traditional bonus plan based on their performance against the monthly scorecard metrics.

Operational Systems and Processes: We believe that we have some of the best operating systems and processes in the industry. Detailed processes have been developed for hourly, daily, weekly and monthly responsibilities that drive

consistency across our system of restaurants and performance against our standards within different day parts. We utilize a labor program to determine optimal staffing needs of each restaurant based on its actual customer flow and demand. We also employ several additional operational tools to continuously monitor and improve speed of service, food waste, food quality, sanitation, financial management and employee development. We are moving toward automating and computerizing as many of these systems as possible into an integrated, digital management system.

The order system at each Good Times restaurant is equipped with an internal timing device that displays and records the time each order takes to prepare and deliver. The total transaction time for the delivery of food at the window is approximately 30 to 60 seconds during peak times.

We use several sources of customer feedback to evaluate each restaurant's service and quality performance, including an extensive, computerized secret shopper program, customer comment phone line, telephone surveys and website comments. Additionally, management uses both its own primary consumer research for product development and to determine customer usage and attitude patterns as well as third party market research that evaluates Good Times' performance ratings on several different operating attributes against key competitors.

Training: We strive to maintain quality and consistency in each of our restaurants through the careful training and supervision of all our employees at all levels and the establishment of, and adherence to, high standards relating to personnel performance, food and beverage preparation and maintenance of our restaurants. Each manager must complete an eight to ten week training program, be certified on several core processes and is then closely supervised to show both comprehension and capability before they allowed to manage autonomously. All of our training and development is based upon a "train, test, certify, re-train" cycle around standards and operating processes at all levels. We conduct a semi-annual performance with each manager to discuss prior performance and future performance goals. We have a defined weekly and monthly goal setting process around future performance goals. We have a defined weekly and monthly goal setting process around service, employee development, financial management and store maintenance goals for every restaurant. During fiscal 2009 and 2010, we implemented video training tools to drive training efficiencies and consistency.

Recruiting and Retention: We seek to hire experienced restaurant managers and Operating Partners. We support employees by offering competitive wages and benefits, including a 401(k) plan, medical insurance, stock options for regional managers and incentive plans at every level that are tied to performance against key goals and objectives. We motivate and prepare our employees by providing them with opportunities for increased responsibilities and advancement. We also provide various other incentives, including vacations, car allowances, monthly performance bonuses and monetary rewards for managers who develop future managers for our restaurants. In fiscal 2009 and 2010, we implemented an online screening and hiring tool that has proven to reduce hourly employee turnover.

Franchising: Good Times has prepared prototype area rights and franchise agreements, a Uniform Franchise Disclosure Document ("UFDD") and advertising material to be utilized in soliciting prospective franchisees. We seek to attract franchisees that are experienced restaurant operators, well capitalized and have demonstrated the ability to develop one to five restaurants. We review sites selected for franchises and monitor performance of franchise units. We are not currently soliciting new franchisees and will not do so until capital becomes more available and we have regained positive same store sales momentum.

We estimate that it will cost a franchisee on average approximately \$750,000 to \$1,100,000 to open a restaurant with dining room seating, including pre-opening costs and working capital, assuming the land is leased. A franchisee typically will pay a royalty of 4% of net sales, an advertising materials fee of at least 1.5% of net sales, plus participation in regional advertising up to an additional 4% of net sales, or a higher amount approved by the advertising cooperative, and initial development and franchise fees totaling \$25,000 per restaurant. Among the services and materials which we provide to franchisees are site selection assistance, plans and specifications for construction of the Good Times Burgers and Frozen Custard restaurants, an operating manual which includes product specifications and quality control procedures, training, on-site opening supervision and advice from time to time relating to operation of the franchised restaurants.

After a franchise agreement is signed, we actively work with and monitor our franchisees to ensure successful franchise operations as well as compliance with Good Times systems and procedures. During the development phase, we assist in the selection of sites and the development of prototype and building plans, including all required changes by local municipalities and developers. We provide an opening team of trainers to assist in the opening of the restaurant and training of the employees. We advise the franchisee on menu, management training, marketing, and employee development. On an ongoing basis we conduct standards reviews of all franchise restaurants in key areas including product quality, service standards, restaurant cleanliness and sanitation, food safety and people development.

We have entered into thirteen franchise agreements in the greater Denver metropolitan area. Fourteen franchise restaurants and seven joint-venture restaurants are operating in the Denver metropolitan area media market. Good Times franchise restaurants also operate in Colorado Springs and Grand Junction, Colorado and in Boise, Idaho. Dual branded franchised restaurants operate in Gillette and Sheridan, Wyoming, Ft. Collins and Windsor, Colorado, and Bismarck, North Dakota.

Management Information Systems: Financial and management control is maintained through the use of automated data processing and centralized accounting and management information systems that we provide. Sales, labor and cash data is collected daily via a restaurant back office system which gathers data from the restaurant point-of-sale system. Management receives daily, weekly and monthly reports identifying food, labor and operating expenses and other significant indicators of restaurant performance. We believe that these reporting systems are sophisticated and enhance our ability to control and manage operations.

Food Preparation, Quality Control & Purchasing: We believe that we have some of the highest food quality standards in the quick service restaurant industry. Our systems are designed to protect our food supply throughout the preparation process. We inspect specific qualified manufacturers and work together with those manufacturers to provide specifications and quality controls. Our operations management teams are trained in a comprehensive safety and sanitation course provided by the National Restaurant Association. Minimum cook temperature requirements and line checks throughout the day ensure the safety and quality of both burgers and other items we use in our restaurants.

We currently purchase 100% of our restaurant food and paper supplies from Yancey's Food Service. We do not believe that the current reliance on this sole vendor will have any long-term material adverse effect since we believe that there are a sufficient number of other suppliers from which food and paper supplies could be purchased. We do not anticipate any difficulty in continuing to obtain an adequate quantity of food and paper supplies of acceptable quality and at acceptable prices.

Employees: At December 15, 2010, we had approximately 509 employees of which 435 are part time hourly employees and 64 are salaried employees working full time. We consider our employee relations to be good. None of our employees are covered by a collective bargaining agreement.

Competition: The restaurant industry, including the fast food segment, is highly competitive. Good Times competes with a large number of other hamburger-oriented fast food restaurants in the areas in which it operates. Many of these restaurants are owned and operated by regional and national restaurant chains, many of which have greater financial resources and experience than we do. Restaurant companies that currently compete with Good Times in the Denver market include McDonald's, Burger King, Wendy's, Carl's Jr., Sonic and Jack in the Box. Double drive-through restaurant chains such as Rally's Hamburgers and Checker's Drive-In Restaurants, which currently operate a total of over 800 double drive-through restaurants in various markets in the United States, are not currently operating in Colorado. Culver's and Freddy's are the only significant competitors offering frozen custard as a primary menu item operating in the Denver and Colorado Springs markets and both have a significant presence in the targeted Midwestern markets for expansion. Additional "fast casual" hamburger restaurants are being developed in the Colorado market, such as Smashburger and Five Guys; however, they do not have drive-through service and generate an average per person check that is approximately 50% higher than Good Times.

Our management believes that we may have a competitive advantage in terms of quality of product compared to traditional fast food hamburger chains. Early development of our double drive-through concept in Colorado has given us an advantage over other double drive-through chains that may seek to expand into Colorado because of our brand awareness and present restaurant locations. Nevertheless, we may be at a competitive disadvantage to other restaurant chains with greater name recognition and marketing capability. Furthermore, most of our competitors in the fast-food business operate more restaurants, have been established longer, and have greater financial resources and name recognition than we do. There is also active competition for management personnel, as well as for attractive commercial real estate sites suitable for restaurants.

Trademarks: Good Times has registered its mark "Good Times! Drive Thru Burgers" (SM) with the State of Colorado. We have also registered our mark "Good Times Burgers & Frozen Custard" federally and with the State of Colorado.

Good Times received approval of its federal registration of "Good Times" in 2003. In addition we own trademarks or service marks that have been registered, or for which applications are pending, with the United States Patent and Trademark Office including but not limited to: "Mighty Deluxe", "Wild Fries", "Spoonbender", "Chicken Dunkers", "Big Daddy Bacon Cheeseburger", and "Wild Dippin' Sauce". Our trademarks expire between 2010 and 2015.

Government Regulation: Each Good Times restaurant is subject to the regulations of various health, sanitation, safety and fire agencies in the jurisdiction in which the restaurant is located. Difficulties or failures in obtaining the required licenses or approvals could delay or prevent the opening of a new Good Times restaurant. Federal and state environmental regulations have not had a material effect on our operations. More stringent and varied requirements of local governmental bodies with respect to zoning, land use and environmental factors could delay or prevent development of new restaurants in particular locations. We are subject to the Fair Labor Standards Act, which governs such matters as minimum wages, overtime, and other working conditions. In addition, we are subject to the Americans With Disabilities Act, which requires restaurants and other facilities open to the public to provide for access and use of facilities by the handicapped. Management believes that we are in compliance with the Americans With Disabilities Act.

We are also subject to federal and state laws regulating franchise operations, which vary from registration and disclosure requirements in the offer and sale of franchises to the application of statutory standards regulating franchise relationships.

Available Information: Our Internet website address is www.goodtimesburgers.com. We make available free of charge through our website's investor relations information section our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to those reports filed with or furnished to the SEC under applicable securities laws as soon as reasonably practical after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC. Our website information is not part of or incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Special Note About Forward-Looking Statements: From time to time the Company makes oral and written statements that reflect the Company's current expectations regarding future results of operations, economic performance, financial condition and achievements of the Company. We try, whenever possible, to identify these forward-looking statements by using words such as "anticipate," "assume," "believe," "estimate," "expect," "intend," "plan," "project," "may," "will," "would," and similar expressions Certain forward-looking statements are included in this Form 10-K, principally in the sections captioned "Description of Business," and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations." Forward-looking statements are related to, among other things:

business objectives and strategic plans;

operating strategies;

our ability to open and operate additional restaurants profitably and the timing of such openings;

restaurant and franchise acquisitions;

anticipated price increases;

expected future revenues and earnings, comparable and non-comparable restaurant sales, results of operations, and future restaurant growth (both company-owned and franchised);

estimated costs of opening and operating new restaurants, including general and administrative, marketing, franchise development and restaurant operating costs;

anticipated selling, general and administrative expenses and restaurant operating costs, including commodity prices, labor and energy costs;

future capital expenditures;

- o our expectation that we will have adequate cash from operations and credit facility borrowings to meet all future debt service, capital expenditure and working capital requirements in fiscal year 2011;
- the sufficiency of the supply of commodities and labor pool to carry on our business;
- o success of advertising and marketing activities;
- o the absence of any material adverse impact arising out of any current litigation in which we are involved;
- o impact of the adoption of new accounting standards and our financial and accounting systems and analysis programs;
- o expectations regarding competition and our competitive advantages;
- o impact of our trademarks, service marks, and other proprietary rights; and
- o effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in our forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, such expectations may prove to be materially incorrect due to known and unknown risks and uncertainties.

In some cases, information regarding certain important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from any forward-looking statements appears together with such statement. In addition, the factors described under Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates in Part II, Item 7, and Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A, as well as other possible factors not listed, could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in forward-looking statements, including,

without limitation, the following: concentration of restaurants in certain markets and lack of market awareness in new markets; changes in disposable income; consumer spending trends and habits; increased competition in the quick service restaurant market; costs and availability of food and beverage inventory; our ability to attract qualified managers, employees, and franchisees; changes in the availability of capital or credit facility borrowings; costs and other effects of legal claims by employees, franchisees, customers, vendors, stockholders and others, including settlement of those claims; effectiveness of management strategies and decisions; weather conditions and related events in regions where our restaurants are operated; and changes in accounting standards policies and practices or related interpretations by auditors or regulatory entities.

All forward-looking statements speak only as of the date made. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us, or persons acting on our behalf, are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which it is made or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events or circumstances.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

You should consider carefully the following risk factors before making an investment decision with respect to Good Times Restaurants' securities. You are cautioned that the risk factors discussed below are not exhaustive.

We have accumulated losses. We have incurred losses in every fiscal year since inception except 1999, 2002, 2006 and 2007. As of September 30, 2010 we had an accumulated deficit of \$16,737,000. We cannot assure you that we will not have a loss for the current fiscal year ending September 30, 2011. As of September 30, 2010, we had a working capital deficit of \$1,869,000.

We must sustain same store sales increases. We must sustain same store sales increases in existing restaurants to sustain profitability and we experienced declines in our same store sales in fiscal 2008, fiscal 2009 and the first ten months of fiscal 2010. Sales increases will depend in part on the success of our advertising and promotion of new and existing menu items and consumer acceptance. We cannot assure that our advertising and promotional efforts will in fact be successful.

New restaurants, when and if opened, may not be profitable, if at all, for several months. We anticipate that our new restaurants, when and if opened, will generally take several months to reach normalized operating levels due to inefficiencies typically associated with new restaurants, including lack of market awareness, the need to hire and train a sufficient number of employees, operating costs, which are often materially greater during the first several months of operation than thereafter, pre-opening costs and other factors. In addition, restaurants opened in new markets may open at lower average weekly sales volumes than restaurants opened in existing markets, and may have higher restaurant-level operating expense ratios than in existing markets. Sales at restaurants opened in new markets may take longer to reach average annual company-owned restaurant sales, if at all, thereby affecting the profitability of these restaurants.

Our operations are susceptible to the cost of and changes in food availability which could adversely affect our operating results. Our profitability depends in part on our ability to anticipate and react to changes in food costs. Various factors beyond our control, including adverse weather conditions, governmental regulation, production, availability, recalls of food products and seasonality may affect our food costs or cause a disruption in our supply chain. We enter into annual contracts with our beef and chicken suppliers. Our contracts for chicken are fixed price contracts. Our contracts for beef are generally based on current market prices plus a processing fee. Changes in the price or availability of chicken or beef could materially adversely affect our profitability. We cannot predict whether we will be able to anticipate and react to changing food costs by adjusting our purchasing practices and menu prices, and a failure to do so could adversely affect our operating results. In addition, because we provide a "value-priced" product, we may not be able to pass along price increases to our guests.

The macroeconomic recession could affect our operating results. The current state of the economy and decreased consumer spending have adversely affected our sales over the last eighteen months and may continue to cause material negative sales trends. A continued shift in consumer purchases toward \$1 value menus in our competitive segment and a proliferation of heavy discounting by our major competitors may also continue to negatively affect our sales and operating results.

Price increases may impact guest visits. We may make price increases on selected menu items in order to offset increased operating expenses we believe will be recurring. Although we have not experienced significant consumer resistance to our past price increases, we cannot provide assurance that this or other future price increases will not deter guests from visiting our restaurants or affect their purchasing decisions.

The hamburger restaurant market is highly competitive. The hamburger restaurant market is highly competitive. Our competitors include many recognized national and regional fast-food hamburger restaurant chains such as McDonald's, Burger King, Wendy's, Carl's Jr., Sonic, Jack in the Box and Culver's. We also compete with small regional and local hamburger and other fast-food restaurants, many of which feature drive-through service. Most of our competitors have greater financial resources, marketing programs and name recognition. All of the major hamburger chains have increasingly offered selected food items and combination meals at discounted prices and have recently intensified their promotions of value priced meals. Continued discounting by competitors may adversely affect the revenues and profitability of our restaurants.

Sites may be difficult to acquire. Location of our restaurants in high-traffic and readily accessible areas is an important factor for our success. Drive-through restaurants require sites with specific characteristics and there are a limited number of suitable sites available in our geographic markets. Since suitable locations are in great demand, in the future we may not be able to obtain optimal sites at a reasonable cost. In addition, we cannot assure you that the sites we do obtain will be successful.

We will require additional financing. In order to fully develop the Denver and Colorado Springs/Pueblo markets and to expand into markets outside of Colorado, we will require additional financing. We cannot assure you that we will be able to access sufficient capital to adequately finance our operations and our planned developments or that additional financing will be available on reasonable terms. The current economic recession and status of the capital markets may adversely affect our ability to acquire additional debt or equity financing for working capital, new restaurant development, or refinancing of existing funding agreements.

If our franchisees cannot develop or finance new restaurants, build them on suitable sites or open them on schedule, our growth and success may be impeded. Under our current form of area development agreement, some franchisees must develop a predetermined number of restaurants according to a schedule that lasts for the term of their development agreement. Franchisees may not have access to the financial or management resources that they need to open the restaurants required by their development schedules, or may be unable to find suitable sites on which to develop them. Franchisees may not be able to negotiate acceptable lease or purchase terms for the sites, obtain the necessary permits and government approvals or meet construction schedules. From time to time in the past, we have agreed to extend or modify development schedules and we may do so in the future. Any of these problems could slow our growth and reduce our franchise revenues.

Additionally, our franchisees depend upon financing from banks and other financial institutions in order to construct and open new restaurants. Difficulty in obtaining adequate financing would adversely affect the number and rate of new restaurant openings by our franchisees and adversely affect our future franchise revenues.

Our franchisees could take actions that could harm our business. Franchisees are independent contractors and are not our employees. We provide training and support to franchisees; however, franchisees operate their restaurants as independent businesses. Consequently, the quality of franchised restaurant operations may be diminished by any number of factors beyond our control. Moreover, franchisees may not successfully operate restaurants in a manner consistent with our standards and requirements, or may not hire and train qualified managers and other restaurant personnel. Our image and reputation, and the image and reputation of other franchisees, may suffer materially, and system-wide sales could significantly decline, if our franchisees do not operate successfully.

We depend on key management employees. We believe our current operations and future success depend largely on the continued services of our management employees, in particular Boyd E. Hoback, our president and chief executive officer, and Scott Lefever, our vice president of operations. Although we have entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Hoback, he may voluntarily terminate his employment with us at any time. In addition, we do not maintain key-person insurance on Messrs. Hoback's or Lefever's life. The loss of Messrs. Hoback's or Lefever's services, or other key management personnel, could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Labor shortages could slow our growth or harm our business. Our success depends in part upon our ability to attract, motivate and retain a sufficient number of qualified, high-energy employees. Qualified individuals needed to fill these positions are in short supply in some areas. The inability to recruit and retain these individuals may delay the planned openings of new restaurants or result in high employee turnover in existing restaurants, which could harm our business. Additionally, competition for qualified employees could require us to pay higher wages to attract sufficient employees, which could result in higher labor costs. Most of our employees are paid on an hourly basis. The employees are paid in accordance with applicable minimum wage regulations. Accordingly, any increase in the minimum wage, whether state or federal, could have a material adverse impact on our business.

Nevada law and our articles of incorporation and bylaws have provisions that discourage corporate takeovers and could prevent stockholders from realizing a premium on their investment. We are subject to anti-takeover laws for Nevada corporations. These anti-takeover laws prevent a Nevada corporation from engaging in a business combination with any stockholder, including all affiliates and associates of the stockholder, who owns 10% or more of the corporation's outstanding voting stock, for three years following the date that the stockholder acquired 10% or more of the corporation's voting stock, unless specified conditions are met.

Our articles of incorporation and our bylaws contain a number of provisions that may deter or impede takeovers or changes of control or management. These provisions:

authorize our Board of Directors to establish one or more series of preferred stock, the terms of which can be determined by the Board of Directors at the time of issuance;

do not allow for cumulative voting in the election of directors unless required by applicable law. Under cumulative voting, a minority stockholder holding a sufficient percentage of a class of shares may be able to ensure the election of one or more directors;

state that special meetings of our stockholders may be called only by the chairman of the board, the president or any two directors, and must be called by the president upon the written request of the holders of ten percent of the outstanding shares of capital stock entitled to vote at such special meeting; and

provide that the authorized number of directors is currently set at seven.

These provisions, alone or in combination with each other, may discourage transactions involving actual or potential changes of control, including transactions that otherwise could involve payment of a premium over prevailing market prices to stockholders for their common stock.

We have a controlling stockholder. As a result of the SII Investment Transaction, SII beneficially owns approximately 51.4% of our outstanding common stock. In addition, SII has the right, for so long as it owns more than 50% of our outstanding common stock, to designate a majority of our Board of Directors. SII therefore has the ability to alter the strategic direction and/or capital structure of the Company.

Future changes in financial accounting standards may cause adverse unexpected operating results and affect our reported results of operations. Changes in accounting standards can have a significant effect on our reported results and may affect our reporting of transactions completed before the change is effective. As an example, in 2006, we adopted the change that requires us to record compensation expense in the statement of operations for employee stock options using the fair value method. See Note 1 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion. New pronouncements and varying interpretations of pronouncements have occurred and may occur in the future. Changes to existing rules or differing interpretations with respect to our current practices may adversely affect our reported financial results.

Our NASDAQ Listing Is Important. Our Common Stock is currently listed for trading on the NASDAQ Capital Market. The NASDAQ maintenance rules require, among other things, that our common stock price remains above \$1.00 per share and that we have minimum stockholders' equity of \$2.5 million. During fiscal 2010, the Company received notices of non-compliance with both the minimum bid price and minimum stockholders' equity continued listing requirements. The completion of the SII Investment Transaction has helped the Company to regain compliance with the minimum stockholders' equity requirement. In addition, our Board of Directors, with the prior approval of our stockholders, has approved a one-for-three reverse stock split to take effect on December 31, 2010, which will allow us to regain compliance with the minimum bid price requirement.

We are subject to extensive government regulation that may adversely hinder or impact our ability to govern various aspects of our business including our ability to expand and develop our restaurants. The restaurant industry is subject to various federal, state and local government regulations, including those relating to the sale of food. While in

the past we have been able to obtain and maintain the necessary governmental licenses, permits and approvals, our failure to maintain these licenses, permits and approvals, including food licenses, could adversely affect our operating results. Difficulties or failures in obtaining the required licenses and approvals could delay or result in our decision to cancel the opening of new restaurants. Local authorities may suspend or deny renewal of our food licenses if they determine that our conduct does not meet applicable standards or if there are changes in regulations.

Various federal and state labor laws govern our relationship with our employees and affect operating costs. These laws govern minimum wage requirements, such as those to be imposed by recently enacted legislation in Colorado, overtime pay, meal and rest breaks, unemployment tax rates, workers' compensation rates, citizenship or residency requirements, child labor regulations and sales taxes. Additional government-imposed increases in minimum wages, overtime pay, paid leaves of absence and mandated health benefits may increase our operating costs.

The federal Americans with Disabilities Act prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability in public accommodations and employment. Although our restaurants are designed to be accessible to the disabled, we could be required to make modifications to our restaurants to provide service to, or make reasonable accommodations for, disabled persons.

We are also subject to federal and state laws that regulate the offer and sale of franchises and aspects of the licensor-licensee relationship. Many state franchise laws impose restrictions on the franchise agreement, including limitations on non-competition provisions and the termination or non-renewal of a franchise. Some states require that franchise materials be registered before franchises can be offered or sold in the state.

Compliance with changing regulation of corporate governance and public disclosure may result in additional expenses. Keeping abreast of, and in compliance with, changing laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, new SEC regulations and The NASDAQ Market rules, has required an increased amount of management attention and expense. We remain committed to maintaining high standards of corporate governance and public disclosure. As a result, we intend to invest all reasonably necessary resources to comply with evolving standards, and this investment has resulted in and will continue to result in increased general and administrative expenses and a diversion of management time and attention from revenue-generating activities to compliance activities.

Risks related to internal controls. Public companies in the United States are required to review their internal controls as set forth in the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. It should be noted that any system of controls, however well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, and not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the system are met. In addition, the design of any control system is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events. Because of these and other inherent limitations of control systems, there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions, regardless of how remote. If the internal controls put in place by us are not adequate or in conformity with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, and the rules and regulations promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission, we may be forced to restate our financial statements and take other actions which will take significant financial and managerial resources, as well as be subject to fines and other government enforcement actions.

Health concerns relating to the consumption of beef, chicken or other food products could affect consumer preferences and could negatively impact our results of operations. Like other restaurant chains, consumer preferences could be affected by health concerns about the avian influenza, also known as bird flu, or the consumption of beef, the key ingredient in many of our menu items, or negative publicity concerning food quality, illness and injury generally, such as negative publicity concerning E. coli, "mad cow" or "foot-and-mouth" disease, publication of government or industry findings concerning food products served by us, or other health concerns or operating issues stemming from one restaurant or a limited number of restaurants. This negative publicity may adversely affect demand for our food and could result in a decrease in guest traffic to our restaurants. If we react to the negative publicity by changing our concept or our menu we may lose guests who do not prefer the new concept or menu, and may not be able to attract a sufficient new guest base to produce the revenue needed to make our restaurants profitable. In addition, we may have different or additional competitors for our intended guests as a result of a concept change and may not be able to compete successfully against those competitors. A decrease in guest traffic to our restaurants as a result of these health concerns or negative publicity or as a result

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

of a change in our menu or concept could materially harm our business.

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We currently lease approximately 3,700 square feet of space for our executive offices in Golden, Colorado for approximately \$55,000 per year under a lease agreement which expired in September 2009. We are currently leasing the space on a month to month basis. The space is leased from The Bailey Company, a significant stockholder in the Company, at their corporate headquarters.

As of December 15, 2010, Good Times has an ownership interest in twenty-seven Good Times units, all of which are located in Colorado. Seven of these restaurants are held in a joint venture limited partnership of which Good Times is the general partner. Good Times has a 50% interest in six of the partnership restaurants and a 78% interest in one restaurant. In June 2010 we sold our 51% interest in one restaurant that was held in a joint venture limited partnership to our limited partner, and the restaurant is now operated under a franchise agreement. There are twenty Good Times units that are wholly owned by Good Times.

Most of our existing Good Times restaurants are a combination of free-standing structures containing approximately 880 to 1,000 square feet for the double drive thru format and approximately 2,400 square feet for our prototype building with a 70 seat dining room. In addition, we have several restaurants that are conversions from other concepts in various sizes ranging from 1,700 square feet to 3,500 square feet. The buildings are situated on lots of approximately 18,000 to 50,000 square feet. Certain restaurants serve as collateral for the underlying debt financing arrangements as discussed in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this report. We intend to acquire new sites both through ground leases and purchase agreements supported by mortgage and leasehold financing arrangements and through sale-leaseback agreements.

All of the restaurants are regularly maintained by our repair and maintenance staff as well as by outside contractors, when necessary. We believe that all of our properties are in good condition and that there will be a need for periodic capital expenditures to maintain the operational and aesthetic integrity of our properties for the foreseeable future, including recurring maintenance and periodic capital improvements. All of our properties are covered up to replacement cost under our property and casualty insurance policies and in the opinion of management are adequately covered by insurance.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are not involved in any material legal proceedings. We are subject, from time to time, to various lawsuits in the normal course of business. These lawsuits are not expected to have a material impact.

ITEM 4. (REMOVED AND RESERVED)

14

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Shares of our Common Stock are listed for trading on the NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol "GTIM". The following table presents the quarterly high and low bid prices for our Common Stock as reported by the NASDAQ Capital Market for each quarter within the last two fiscal years. The quotations reflect interdealer prices, without retail mark-ups, mark-downs or commissions and may not represent actual transactions.

_ 2009			_	_ 2010		
QUARTER ENDED	HIGH	LOW	QUARTER ENDED	HIGH	LOW	
December 31, 2008	\$2.95	\$0.76	December 31, 2009	\$1.37	\$1.05	
March 31, 2009	\$1.57	\$0.75	March 31, 2010	\$1.32	\$1.03	
June 30, 2009	\$2.50	\$1.00	June 30, 2010	\$1.25	\$0.92	
September 30, 2009	\$1.65	\$1.08	September 30, 2010	\$1.01	\$0.30	

As of December 15, 2010 there were approximately 225 holders of record of Common Stock. However, management estimates that there are not fewer than 1,390 beneficial owners of our Common Stock.

Dividend Policy: We have never paid dividends on our Common Stock and do not anticipate paying dividends in the foreseeable future. In addition, we have obtained financing under loan agreements that restrict the payment of dividends. Our ability to pay future dividends will necessarily depend on our earnings and financial condition. However, since restaurant development is capital intensive, we currently intend to retain any earnings for that purpose.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities:

Bridge Financing Note and Warrant

During the fiscal year the Company issued a Secured Convertible Promissory Note in the amount of up to \$400,000 to certain lenders in a bridge financing transaction, together with warrants to purchase up to 100,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock. The bridge lenders initially included Golden Bridge, W Capital and McDonald; however, as of April 1, 2010, the bridge loan agreement was amended to remove Golden Bridge as a lender, replacing it with additional loans from W Capital and McDonald on the same terms, and the note and warrants were adjusted accordingly. The note was convertible into shares of Common Stock at any time prior to repayment at a conversion price of 25% less than the average price of the Company's common stock during the 20 days prior to the conversion date, but not below \$0.75 per share nor above \$1.08 per share. However, the aggregate principal amount owing to W Capital and McDonald was paid out of the proceeds of the SII Investment Transaction. In addition, and with the agreement of the lenders, the accrued interest on such loans through December 13, 2010 of \$39,715 was converted into an aggregate of 79,430 shares of our Common Stock at a conversion price of \$0.50 per share. The warrants remain outstanding and exercisable at any time prior to December 13, 2013 at an exercise price of 25% less than the average price of our Common Stock during the 20 days prior to exercise, but at not less than \$0.75 per share nor more than \$1.08 per share.

The Company relied on the exemption from registration requirements of the Securities Act set forth in Section 4(2) thereof and the rules and regulations promulgated there under for the issuance of the note and the warrants in the bridge financing and for the issuance of shares of Common Stock upon conversion of accrued interest under the note.

SII Investment Transaction

As previously disclosed in the Company's current report on Form 8-K filed on November 3, 2010, the Company entered into the Purchase Agreement with SII on October 29, 2010, pursuant to which the Company agreed to sell, and SII agreed to purchase, 4,200,000 Shares at a purchase price of \$0.50 per share, or an aggregate of \$2,100,000. The Purchase Agreement was amended on December 13, 2010 to clarify the scope of SII's director designation rights following the Closing. On December 13, 2010, the Company and SII completed the issuance and sale of the Shares to the SII. The Company received gross proceeds of \$2,100,000, which will be used to pay off the interim working capital loans, reduce the Company's current liabilities and provide working capital for fiscal 2011 and beyond pursuant to its business strategy.

The Shares sold to SII were not registered under the Securities Act or state securities laws, and may not be resold in the United States in the absence of an effective registration statement filed with the SEC or an available exemption from the applicable federal and state registration requirements. In the Purchase Agreement, SII represented to the Company that: (a) it is an accredited investor, as such term is defined in Rule 501 of Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act; (b) it acquired the Shares as principal for its own account for investment purposes only and not with a view to or for distributing or reselling the Shares or any part thereof; and (c) it is knowledgeable, sophisticated and experience in making, and qualified to make, decisions with respect to investments in securities representing an investment decision similar to that involved in the purchase of the Shares. The Company has relied on the exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act set forth in Section 4(2) thereof and the rules and regulations promulgated there under for the purposes of the SII Investment Transaction.

Disclosure with Respect to the Company's Equity Compensation Plans: We maintain the 2008 Omnibus Equity Incentive Compensation Plan, pursuant to which we may grant equity awards to eligible persons, and have outstanding stock options granted under our 2001Good Times Restaurants Stock Option Plan, 1992 Incentive Stock Option Plan and 1992 Non-Statutory Stock Option Plan. For additional information, see Note 13, Stockholders' Equity, in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this report. The following table gives information about equity awards under our plans as of September 30, 2010.

(c)

Equity	Compensation	Plan	Information
--------	--------------	------	-------------

(a) (b)

	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants	Weighted- average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants &	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in
Plan category Equity compensation plans approved by security holders- options	& rights 390,086	rights \$3.30	column (a)) 161,986
Total	390,086	\$3.30	161,986

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA.

The selected financial data on the following pages are derived from our historical financial statements and is qualified in its entirety by such financial statements which are included in Item 8 hereof.

The following presents certain historical financial information of the Company. This financial information includes the combined operations of the Company and its subsidiary for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2006 to 2010. Certain prior year balances have been reclassified to conform to the current year's presentation. Such reclassifications had no effect on the net income or loss.

	September				
	30,				
perating Data:	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
staurant sales	\$ 20,390,000	\$ 22,079,000		\$ 24,215,000	\$ 20,329,000
anchise fees and royalties	473,000	536,000	638,000	740,000	606,000
Total Net Revenues	20,863,000	22,615,000	25,882,000	24,955,000	20,935,000
staurant Operating Costs:	- 101 000				
Food and packaging costs	7,181,000	7,423,000	8,002,000	7,589,000	6,338,000
Payroll and other employee	7,359,000	7,663,000	8,780,000	8,063,000	6,584,000
benefit costs	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,
Occupancy and other	4,331,000	4,529,000	4,881,000	4,393,000	3,797,000
operating costs					
New store pre-opening costs	-	15,000	38,000	118,000	182,000
Depreciation and amortization	943,000	1,172,000	1,283,000	1,223,000	997,000
Total restaurant operating					
costs	19,814,000	20,802,000	22,984,000	21,386,000	17,898,000
Selling, General &					
Administrative costs	2,638,000	2,814,000	3,567,000	3,226,000	2,752,000
Franchise costs	124,000	161,000	312,000	160,000	166,000
Loss (Gain) on restaurant					
assets	199,000	(28,000)	(35,000)	(17,000)	(57,000)
come (Loss) from Operations	(\$1,912,000)	(\$1,134,000)	(\$946,000)	\$ 200,000	\$ 176,000
her Income and (expenses)	(+-,,,,	(+-, ,,)	(+>,)	,	7,
Unrealized gain (loss) on					
interest rate swap	3,000	(87,000)			
Interest face swap Interest income (expense),			_	_	_
net	(598,000)	(261,000)	(13,000)	40,000	87,000
Total other income (expense)	(595,000)	(348,000)	(13,000)	40,000	87,000
et Income (Loss) from					
continuing operations	(\$2,507,000)	(\$1,482,000)	(\$959,000)	\$ 240,000	\$ 263,000
Loss from discontinued	- 00000				
operations	590,000	218,000	-	-	-
et Income (Loss)	(\$3,097,000)	(\$1,700,000)	(\$959,000)	\$ 240,000	\$ 263,000
Income (Expense) from non-	(+-,,	(+-,,)	(+, -, ,,,,,,,,		,,
controlling interest	165,000	54,000	(113,000)	(211,000)	(246,000)
Income tax expense	-	-	4,000	-	-
et Income (Loss) available to					
Common Shareholders	(\$2,932,000)	(\$1,646,000)	(\$1,076,000)	\$ 29,000	\$ 17,000
sic and Diluted Earnings	(\$.75)	(\$.42)	(\$.28)	\$.01	\$.01
(Loss) Per Share	(\$.73)	(\$.42)	(\$.26)	\$.01	\$.01
lance Sheet Data:					
orking Capital (Deficit)	(\$1,869,000)	(\$1,200,000)	(\$2,082,000)	. ,	\$ 1,547,000
tal assets	8,318,000	10,254,000	11,920,000	11,544,000	10,693,000
on-controlling interest in	274,000	428,000	584,000	751,000	795,000
partnerships		,	,		
ng-term debt	3,005,000	2,478,000	846,000	970,000	1,293,000
ockholders' equity	\$ 1,694,000	\$ 4,378,000	\$ 5,993,000	\$ 7,084,000	\$ 6,877,000

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Results of Operations

Net Revenues: Net revenues for fiscal 2010 decreased \$1,752,000 (7.7%) to \$20,863,000 from \$22,615,000 for fiscal 2009. Same store restaurant sales decreased \$1,146,000 (6.1%) during fiscal 2010. Restaurants are included in same store sales after they have been open a full fifteen months and only Good Times restaurants are included while dual branded restaurants are excluded. Restaurant sales decreased \$77,000 due to one non-traditional company-owned restaurant not included in same store sales and decreased \$94,000 due to two dual branded company-owned restaurants. Restaurant sales decreased \$372,000 due to one co-developed restaurant sold to a franchisee in June 2010. Net revenues decreased \$63,000 in fiscal 2010 due to a decrease in franchise royalties of \$66,000 offset by an increase in franchise fees of \$3,000.

Our same store restaurant sales decline of 6.1% reflects the adverse impact the macroeconomic environment has had on consumers' discretionary spending, the heavy promotion of \$1 value menus and discounting by competitors and the proliferation of fast casual hamburger themed restaurants in Colorado. We had shown same store sales growth in sixteen consecutive quarters leading into the third quarter of fiscal 2008 but then experienced declines through May of 2010, when the declines moderated significantly and then sales growth trends turned positive in August 2010 and have remained positive through the date of this report. Our outlook for fiscal 2011 is more optimistic based on the last six months' sales trends, however our sales trends are influenced by many factors and the macroeconomic environment remains challenging for smaller restaurant chains. Our average transaction has increased in August through November 2010 compared to 2009 and we are continuing to manage our marketing communications to balance growth in customer traffic and their average expenditure.

Average restaurant sales for company-owned and co-developed restaurants (including double drive thru restaurants and restaurants with dining rooms but excluding dual brand restaurants) for fiscal 2009 and 2010 were as follows:

	Fiscal 2010	Fiscal 2009	
Company operated	\$734,000	\$783,000	

For factors which may affect future results of operations, please refer to the section entitled "Current Fiscal Year Initiatives" in Item 1 on pages 4 - 5 of this report and a related discussion of planned product and system changes discussed in the section entitled "Concept and Business Strategy" in Item 1 on pages 2 - 4 of this report.

Restaurant Operating Costs: Restaurant operating costs as a percent of restaurant sales were 97.2% for fiscal 2010 compared to 94.2% in fiscal 2009.

The changes in restaurant-level costs are explained as follows:

5	
Restaurant-level costs for the period ended	94.2%
September 30, 2009	
Increase in food and packaging costs	1.6%
Increase in payroll and other employee benefit	1.4%
costs	
Increase in occupancy and other operating costs	.8%
Decrease in pre-open costs	(.1%)
Decrease in depreciation and amortization costs	(.7%)
Restaurant-level costs for the period ended	97.2%
September 30, 2010	

Food and Packaging Costs: Food and packaging costs for fiscal 2010 decreased \$242,000 from \$7,423,000 (33.6% of restaurant sales) in fiscal 2009 to \$7,181,000 (35.2% of restaurant sales). In fiscal 2009 we saw a moderation in food and packaging cost increases. We experienced dramatic increases in commodity costs including beef, bacon, soft drinks and dairy costs in fiscal 2010.

In fiscal 2009 and 2010 our weighted food and packaging costs increased approximately 2% and 11%, respectively. The cumulative weighted menu price increases taken during fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2010 were approximately 2.2% and 1.7%, respectively. Most of the 2010 menu price increases were taken in the last four months of fiscal 2010 aggregating a 7.1% cumulative increase. The introduction of the \$2.89 Craver combo meals in May 2010 negatively impacted our cost of sales by an estimated 1% of restaurant sales. We anticipate limited price increases in fiscal 2011 with continued cost pressure on several core commodities, however we anticipate our food and packaging costs as a percentage of sales will decrease in fiscal 2011 from a combination of price increases, product sales mix changes and recipe modifications.

Payroll and Other Employee Benefit Costs: For fiscal 2010, payroll and other employee benefit costs decreased \$304,000 from \$7,663,000 (34.7% of restaurant sales) in fiscal 2009 to \$7,359,000 (36.1% of restaurant sales).

The decrease in payroll and other employee benefit costs for fiscal 2010 is primarily due to a decrease in restaurant sales. Payroll and benefit costs are semi-variable and therefore increase or decrease as sales fluctuate and increased as a percentage of sales in fiscal 2010. Additionally, in July 2010 we eliminated approximately \$80,000 of annual payroll costs through salary reductions and salary eliminations. The three dual branded restaurants also have a higher labor cost as a percent of sales than Good Times single brand restaurants. We anticipate payroll and other employee benefit costs will decrease as a percentage of sales in fiscal 2011 due to the operating leverage on increasing sales.

Occupancy and Other Costs: For fiscal 2010, occupancy and other costs decreased \$198,000 from \$4,529,000 (20.5% of restaurant sales) in fiscal 2009 to \$4,331,000 (21.3% of restaurant sales). The \$198,000 decrease in occupancy and other costs is primarily attributable to:

Decrease in building rent of \$40,000 due to negotiated rent reductions at several locations.

Decrease in our accretion for deferred rent of \$168,000 due to negotiated rent reductions at several locations.

Decrease of \$89,000 in various other restaurant operating costs due to decreased restaurant sales and planned expense reductions.

The decreases above were offset by the following cost increases:

Increase in property taxes of \$12,000 related to valuation increases at existing restaurants.

Increase in restaurant repairs of \$36,000 primarily due to the aging of our restaurants.

Increase in utility costs of \$62,000 related to utility rate increases and increased usage related to the implementation of our fresh cut fry product.

Occupancy costs may increase as a percent of sales as new company-owned restaurants are developed due to higher rent associated with sale-leaseback operating leases, as well as increased property taxes on those locations, however occupancy costs will decrease as same store sales increase. We are pursuing additional rent concessions and lease restructuring with several of our landlords and anticipate additional reductions to our occupancy costs in fiscal 2011, however we are unable to estimate the amount of those reductions.

New Store Pre-opening Costs: For fiscal 2010, new store pre-opening costs decreased \$15,000 from \$15,000 in fiscal 2009 to \$0. New store pre-opening costs in fiscal 2009 were related to one new company-owned restaurant that opened in October 2008.

Depreciation and Amortization Costs: For fiscal 2010, depreciation and amortization costs decreased \$229,000 from \$1,172,000 in fiscal 2009 to \$943,000. Depreciation costs decreased due to declining depreciation expense in our aging company-owned and joint-venture restaurants.

Selling, General and Administrative Costs: For fiscal 2010, selling, general and administrative costs decreased \$176,000 from \$2,814,000 (12.7% of restaurant sales) in fiscal 2009 to \$2,638,000 (12.9% of restaurant sales). The decrease in selling, general and administrative costs are partially attributable to decreased advertising costs, which decreased to \$1,156,000 (5.7% of restaurant sales) for fiscal 2010 from \$1,246,000 (5.6% of restaurant sales) for fiscal 2009, and a decrease in general and administrative costs, which decreased to \$1,482,000 (7.3% of restaurant sales) for fiscal 2010 from \$1,568,000 (7.1% of restaurant sales) for fiscal 2009 as explained below.

The decrease in advertising costs is due to the decrease in restaurant sales, as contributions are made to the advertising materials fund and regional advertising cooperative based on a percentage of sales.

We anticipate that fiscal 2011 advertising expense will decrease from fiscal 2010 and will consist primarily of radio advertising and on-site and point-of-purchase merchandising totaling approximately 4% of restaurant sales. We anticipate that general and administrative expenses will be relatively stable, with the exception of reinstating certain salaries that were reduced in fiscal 2009 and 2010.

The \$86,000 decrease in general and administrative expenses in fiscal 2010 is primarily attributable to:

Reductions in payroll and employee benefit costs of \$147,000.

Reductions in training and recruiting expenses of \$9,000.

Reduction in professional services of \$47,000.

Net reductions in various other fixed expenses of \$8,000.

The decreases above were offset by the following cost increases and additional costs:

A decrease of \$22,000 in miscellaneous income related to the elimination by the state and local taxing authorities of sales tax collection fees.

Expense of \$85,000 to write off an uncollectable franchise note receivable.

Increase in vacant land costs of \$18,000

Franchise Costs: For fiscal 2010 franchise costs decreased \$37,000 from \$161,000 (.7% of total revenues) in fiscal 2009 to \$124,000 (.6% of total revenues).

The decrease in franchise costs is primarily attributable to a reduction in legal fees and reductions in various other costs compared to the prior year. In fiscal 2009 we wrote off \$20,000 in legal costs related to the Good Times/Taco John's Dual Brand franchise agreement.

Loss (Gain) on Restaurant Assets: For fiscal 2010 the loss on restaurant assets increased \$227,000 to a \$199,000 loss from a \$28,000 gain in fiscal 2009. The \$227,000 loss on restaurant assets in fiscal 2010 is primarily related to a \$163,000 write down to fair market value of a piece of land that was sold on December 3, 2010. Additionally we recognized a \$64,000 loss related to a co-developed restaurant sold to a franchisee in June 2010.

Income (Loss) from Operations: The loss from operations was \$1,912,000 in fiscal 2010 compared to a loss from operations of \$1,134,000 in fiscal 2009. The increase in loss from operations for the fiscal year is due primarily to the decrease in net revenues offset by other matters discussed in the "Restaurant Operating Costs", "General and Administrative Costs", "Franchise Costs" and "Loss on Restaurant Assets" sections above.

Loss from Continuing Operations: The loss from continuing operations was \$2,507,000 for fiscal 2010 compared to a loss of \$1,482,000 in fiscal 2009. The change from fiscal 2009 to fiscal 2010 was primarily attributable to the matters discussed in the "Net Revenues", "Food and Packaging Costs", "Selling, General and Administrative Costs" and "Franchise Costs" sections of Item 6, as well as 1) an increase in net interest expense of \$337,000 compared to the same prior year period; and 2) a reduction in the unrealized loss related to our interest rate swap liability of \$90,000 in fiscal 2010 compared to fiscal 2009. Net interest expense for fiscal 2010 includes non-cash amortization of debt issuance costs of \$259,000 related to: 1) warrants related to the extension of the PFGI II loan in January, 2010; 2) beneficial conversion rights and warrants related to the loan agreement with W Capital and John T. MacDonald entered into in February 2010; and 3) warrants related to the loan agreement with Golden Bridge LLC entered into in April, 2009. (See "Financing" below). We anticipate that interest expense will be reduced significantly in fiscal 2011 due to the pay off of the W Capital, MacDonald and Golden Bridge loans and the reduction of the PFGI II loan discussed below.

Loss from Discontinued Operations: The loss from discontinued operations for fiscal 2010 and 2009 includes results attributable to our Commerce City, Colorado dual-branded restaurant that was closed in March 2010 and one Denver, Colorado co-developed restaurant that was closed in June 2010. The fiscal 2010 costs of \$590,000 includes the results of operations of \$153,000, the fair value of all future lease obligations of \$143,000 and impairment charges to write down the fixed assets to book value and other costs of \$294,000. The fiscal 2009 costs of \$218,000 represent the results of operations related to the two closed restaurants.

Income from Non-controlling Interests: For fiscal 2010 the income from non-controlling interests increased \$111,000 to \$165,000 from \$54,000 in fiscal 2009. The non-controlling interests represents the limited partner's share of income or loss in the co-developed restaurants. The \$111,000 increase was attributable to the decreased profitability of the co-developed restaurants. We anticipate that income from non-controlling interests will decrease as the limited partner's share of income improves.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash and Working Capital: As of September 30, 2010, we had \$429,000 in cash and cash equivalents on hand. We currently plan to use the cash balance, any cash generated from operations and net proceeds received in December 2010 from the SII Investment Transaction described below to reduce our accounts payable, accrued liabilities and short term notes payable and for our working capital needs. We believe that we will have sufficient capital to meet our working capital, long term debt obligations and recurring capital expenditure needs in fiscal 2011 and beyond. Additionally, we may sell or sublease select underperforming company operated restaurants if we believe the realizable asset value is greater than the long term cash flow value or if the asset does not fit our longer term distribution and location of restaurants.

As of September 30, 2010, we had a working capital deficit of \$1,869,000 substantially due to notes payable of \$585,000 which are due on December 31, 2010 and accrued property taxes for 2009 of approximately \$200,000 which are currently due. Additionally, we have recorded an \$84,000 current liability related to the unrealized loss on our interest rate swap, as described in Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8. Net proceeds of approximately \$1,820,000 received on December, 13 2010 from the SII Investment Transaction discussed in Item 1 were used to reduce our accounts payable, accrued liabilities and short term notes payable and for our working capital needs in fiscal 2011. After the completion of the SII Investment Transaction on December 13, 2010, our working capital deficit decreased to approximately \$45,000.

In December, 2009 we entered into an agreement to extend the maturity of the PFGI II, LLC loan of \$2,500,000 to December 31, 2012 and modified the terms of the loan to include a 25 year amortization period with a balloon payment for the remaining principal balance on December 31, 2012. As a result, the majority of the PFGI II LLC loan is shown as a long term liability as of September 30, 2010. On December 3, 2010 we sold the vacant land that was partially collateralizing the PFGI II loan and used the net proceeds of \$812,000 to reduce the loan. We anticipate selling the land and building for an operating restaurant we own that collateralizes the remaining PFGI II loan in a sale leaseback transaction and using the proceeds to reduce the loan. If that transpires and the PFGI II loan is paid in full, the additional collateral under the PFGI II loan will be released.

Financing:

Wells Fargo Bank N.A.

In May 2007 we borrowed \$1,100,000 from Wells Fargo Bank under a note payable with an eight year term with a floating interest rate at .50% below prime. We simultaneously entered into an interest rate swap transaction with Wells Fargo Bank for the full \$1,100,000 with a fixed interest rate of 7.77% for the full eight year term coinciding with the note payable. As previously reported, we were in default of certain financial loan covenants and had been operating under a forbearance and reservation of rights agreement with Wells Fargo. As previously disclosed in the Company's current report on Form 8-K filed December 17, 2010, we entered into a new Credit and Loan Agreement that modified the loan covenants and provided additional collateral to Wells Fargo. The loan covenants were modified to require minimum Tangible Net Worth of \$2,500,000, Total Liabilities Divided by Tangible Net Worth not greater than 3.0 to 1.0 and an EBITDA Coverage Ratio not less than 0.9 to 1.0 as of the end of the first fiscal quarter of 2012, not less than 1.2 to 1.0 as of the end of the second fiscal quarter of 2012 and not less than 1.5 to 1.0 thereafter.

PFGI II, LLC

In July 2008, we entered into a \$2,500,000 promissory note with an unrelated third party (PFGI II, LLC) and amended that note on April 20, 2009 extending the maturity to July 10, 2010. Effective January 2, 2010, the Company entered into an agreement to amend its loan with PFGI II LLC. The maturity date was extended to December 31, 2012, the interest rate was increased to 8.65% and monthly payments of principal and interest are payable beginning January 31, 2010, based upon a 25 year amortization prior to maturity. In connection with the agreement, the Company issued a three-year warrant dated January 2, 2010 to PFGI II, LLC which provides that PFGI II, LLC may at any time from January 2, 2010 until December 31, 2012 purchase up to 112,612 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$1.11 per share. The number of shares purchasable upon exercise of the warrant and the exercise price are subject to customary anti-dilution adjustments upon the occurrence of any stock dividends, stock splits, reverse stock splits, recapitalizations, reclassifications, stock combinations or similar events. The fair value of the warrant issued to PFGI II, LLC was determined to be \$79,000 with the following assumptions; 1) risk free interest rate of 1.7%, 2) an expected life of 3 years, and 3) an expected dividend yield of zero. The fair value of \$79,000 was charged to the note discount and credited to Additional Paid in Capital. The note discount will be amortized over the term of thirty six months and charged to interest expense.

The promissory note originally constituted a revolving line-of-credit for the development of new restaurants which was advanced and repaid on a monthly basis from time to time. The promissory note now constitutes a term loan with monthly payments of principal and interest. The loan is secured by separate leasehold deeds of trust and security agreements related to six company-owned restaurants and first deeds of trust on two real properties funded by the line of credit. The total outstanding balance on the promissory note was \$2,481,000 at September 30, 2010. Of the \$2,481,000 outstanding balance, \$1,595,000 is related to the construction of one company-owned restaurant in Firestone, Colorado that opened in October 2008. The fully developed restaurant is currently being marketed in the sale-leaseback market. The remaining balance is related to the purchase, entitlement and other development fees on a parcel of land in Aurora, Colorado that was sold on December 3, 2010 reducing the loan by \$812,000 in net proceeds from the sale.

Golden Bridge, LLC

On April 20, 2009 as reported on Form 8-K, the Company entered into a loan agreement with Golden Bridge, LLC ("Golden Bridge"), pursuant to which Golden Bridge made a loan of \$185,000 (the "Golden Bridge Loan") to GTDT to be used for restaurant marketing and other working capital costs. Eric Reinhard, Ron Goodson, David Grissen, Richard Stark, and Alan Teran, who were all members of the Company's Board of Directors at the time of the transaction and stockholders of the Company, are the sole members of Golden Bridge. Subsequent to the fiscal year end, the loan was repaid in full on December 13, 2010 from the proceeds of the SII Investment Transaction (see "SII Investment Transaction" below).

The Golden Bridge Loan was evidenced by a promissory note dated April 20, 2009 made by the Company and GTDT, as co-makers, and bearing interest at a rate of 10% per annum on the unpaid principal balance. The note provided for monthly interest payments and was to mature and be due and payable in full on December 31, 2010. The commitment fee for the Golden Bridge Loan was \$3,700.

In connection with the Golden Bridge Loan, the Company issued a three-year warrant dated April 20, 2009 to Golden Bridge which provides that Golden Bridge may at any time from April 20, 2009 until April 20, 2012 purchase up to 92,500 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$1.15 per share. The number of shares purchasable upon exercise of the warrant and the exercise price are subject to customary anti-dilution adjustments upon the occurrence of any stock dividends, stock splits, recapitalizations, reclassifications, stock combinations or similar events. The fair value of the warrant issued to Golden Bridge was determined to be \$42,000 with the following assumptions: 1) risk free interest rate of 1.27%, 2) an expected life of 3 years, and 3) an expected dividend yield of zero. The fair value of \$42,000 was charged to the note discount and credited to Additional Paid in Capital. The note discount will be amortized over fourteen months and charged to interest expense.

W. Capital and John T. McDonald

On February 1, 2010, the Company entered into a loan agreement with W Capital, Inc. ("W Capital"), John T. McDonald ("McDonald") and Golden Bridge, pursuant to which the lenders made loans totaling \$200,000, with up to an additional \$200,000 available through April 30, 2010, to be used for restaurant marketing and other working capital uses of GTDT. As set forth below, the loan agreement was subsequently amended as of April 1, 2010 to remove Golden Bridge as a lender and to replace it with additional loans from W Capital and McDonald. At September 30, 2010 the entire \$400,000 had been advanced to the Company pursuant to the loan agreement (the "Bridge Loans"). On December 13, 2010, the outstanding principal amount of the Bridge Loans was paid in full from the proceeds of the SII Investment Transaction, and accrued interest on the Bridge Loans was converted into 79 430 shares of Common Stock

The Bridge Loans were evidenced by a Secured Convertible Promissory Note dated April 1, 2010 made by the Company and bearing interest at a rate of 12% per annum on the unpaid principal balance through August 1, 2010 and at a rate of 14% per annum from and after August 1, 2010 until the maturity date of December 31, 2010. The note provided that the outstanding principal balance and accrued interest would be convertible into shares of Common Stock of the Company at any time prior to repayment at a conversion price of 25% less than the average price of the Company's common stock during the 20 days prior to the conversion date, but not below \$0.75 per share nor above \$1.08 per share. However, in connection with the SII Investment Transaction, W Capital and McDonald agreed to convert the accrued interest payable on the Bridge Loans into shares of Common Stock at a conversion price of \$0.50 per share and entered into an agreement with the Company to amend the conversion provisions of the note accordingly.

In connection with the Bridge Loans, the Company issued warrants dated February 1, 2010 to W Capital and McDonald which provide that the lenders may at any time from February 1, 2010 until two years from the date of repayment or conversion of the Bridge Loans purchase up to an aggregate of 50,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock at an exercise price of 25% less than the average price of the Company's common stock during the 20 days prior to the exercise

date, provided, however, that the exercise price shall not be below \$0.75 per share nor above \$1.08 per share. Pursuant to the terms of the loan agreement, because the Bridge Loans were not repaid prior to August 1, 2010, the Company issued warrants to W Capital and McDonald for the purchase of 50,000 additional shares of the Company's Common Stock upon the same terms as the initial warrants. The number of shares purchasable upon exercise of the warrants issued to W Capital and McDonald and the exercise price are subject to customary anti-dilution adjustments upon the occurrence of any stock dividends, stock splits, reverse stock splits, recapitalizations, reclassifications, stock combinations or similar events. Following the repayment and conversion of the Bridge Loans on December 13, 2010, the warrants will expire on December 12, 2012.

The fair value of the warrants issued February 1, 2010 was determined to be \$38,000 with the following assumptions: 1) risk free interest rate of 1.41%, 2) an expected life of 2.5 years, and 3) an expected dividend yield of zero. The fair value of \$38,000 was charged to the note discount and credited to Additional Paid in Capital. The note discount was amortized over the term of seven months and charged to interest expense.

The intrinsic value of the embedded beneficial conversion feature of the Bridge Loans was determined to be \$161,000. The intrinsic value of \$161,000 was charged to the note discount and credited to Additional Paid in Capital. The note discount was amortized over the term of seven months and charged to interest expense.

The fair value of the warrants issued August 1, 2010 was determined to be \$36,000 with the following assumptions: 1) risk free interest rate of .70%, 2) an expected life of 2.4 years, and 3) an expected dividend yield of zero. The fair value of \$36,000 was charged to the note discount and credited to Additional Paid in Capital. The note discount will be amortized over the term of five months and charged to interest expense.

Additional commitments for the development of new restaurants in fiscal 2011 will depend on the Company's sales trends, cash generated from operations and our access to capital including in the sale-leaseback markets.

SII Investment Transaction

On October 29, 2010, the Company and SII entered into the Purchase Agreement, pursuant to which the Company agreed to sell, and SII agreed to purchase, 4,200,000 Shares of Common Stock at a purchase price of \$0.50 per share, or an aggregate purchase price of \$2,100,000. The Purchase Agreement was amended on December 13, 2010. On December 13, 2010, the Company and SII completed the SII Investment Transaction through the issuance and sale of the Shares to SII. On December 13, 2010, the Company and SII also entered into a Registration Rights Agreement, pursuant to which the Company granted SII certain registration rights with respect to resale of the Shares. As a result of the completion of the SII Investment Transaction, SII became the beneficial owner of approximately 51.4 percent of the Company's outstanding Common Stock.

The Purchase Agreement provides for so long as SII holds more than 50 percent of our outstanding common stock, (i) our Board of Directors shall consist of seven members, and (ii) SII will have the right to designate four members of our Board. In addition, the Purchase Agreement provides that for a period of three years following the Closing, as long as SII continues to own at least 80 percent of the Shares, SII will have a right of first refusal to purchase additional securities which are offered and sold by the Company for the purpose of maintaining its percentage interest in the Company.

The proceeds from the SII Investment Transaction were used to pay approximately \$280,000 of expenses related to the transaction, repay \$585,000 in short term loans, reduce accrued liabilities by \$200,000, reduce accounts payable by approximately \$150,000 and the balance going to increase the Company's working capital.

Cash Flows: Net cash used in operating activities was \$737,000 for fiscal 2010 compared to cash provided by operating activities of \$595,000 in fiscal 2009. The increase in net cash used in operating activities from continuing operations for fiscal 2010 was the result of a net loss from continuing operations of \$2,507,000 and non-cash reconciling items totaling \$1,910,000 (comprised principally of depreciation and amortization of \$943,000, amortization of debt issuance costs of \$259,000, \$88,000 of stock option compensation expense, a \$166,000 increase in accrued liabilities related to property taxes, a \$361,000 increase in our trade accounts payable and net increases in operating assets and liabilities totaling \$93,000). In addition, net cash used in operating activities from discontinued operations for fiscal 2010 was \$140,000 compared to \$128,000 for fiscal 2009.

Net cash provided by investing activities in fiscal 2010 was \$54,000 compared to net cash used of \$284,000 in fiscal 2009. The fiscal 2010 activity reflects payments for the purchase of property and equipment of \$61,000, payments received on loans to franchisees of \$15,000 and proceeds from the sales of fixed assets of \$100,000.

Net cash provided by financing activities in fiscal 2010 was \$297,000 compared to \$280,000 in fiscal 2009. The fiscal 2010 activity includes principal payments on notes payable and long term debt of \$144,000, borrowings on notes payable of \$400,000 and receivables from non-controlling interests in partnerships of \$41,000.

Contingencies and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements: We remain contingently liable on various land leases underlying restaurants that were previously sold to franchisees. We have never experienced any losses related to these contingent lease liabilities, however if a franchisee defaults on the payments under the leases, we would be liable for the lease payments as the assignor or sublessor of the lease. Currently we have not been notified nor are we aware of any leases in default under which we are contingently liable, however there can be no assurance that there will not be in the future, which could have a material adverse effect on our future operating results.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates: We follow accounting standards set by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, commonly referred to as the "FASB." The FASB sets generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) that we follow to ensure we consistently report our financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows. Over the years, the FASB and other designated GAAP-setting bodies, have issued standards in the form of FASB Statements, Interpretations, FASB Staff Positions, EITF consensuses, AICPA Statements of Position, etc.

The FASB recognized the complexity of its standard-setting process and embarked on a revised process in 2004 that culminated in the release on July 1, 2009, of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification, Standards Codifica

Notes Receivable: We evaluate the collectability of our note receivables from franchisees annually. The aggregate notes receivable on the consolidated balance sheet at September 30, 2010 were \$19,000.

Discontinued Operations: Discontinued operations are presented in accordance with FASB ASC 205-20, Presentation of Financial Statements. During fiscal 2010 we closed two locations, one dual-branded restaurant in Commerce City, Colorado in March 2010 and one co-developed restaurant in Denver, Colorado in June 2010. The loss from discontinued operations includes both the current and historical results of operations, the fair value of all future lease obligations and an impairment charge to write down the fixed assets to book value. Fixed assets of \$1,164,000 and \$1,164,000 of associated accumulated depreciation related to discontinued operations are included in the property and equipment of our condensed consolidated balance sheet. Current and long-term liabilities related to discontinued operations relate to the future estimated lease obligations.

With respect to the closed locations, we have continuing lease obligations of \$786,000. We have subleased the Commerce City location for \$618,000. We have terminated the lease on the Denver location effective February 1, 2011. We have recorded an estimated liability of \$143,000 related to these locations.

Non-controlling Interests: The Company adopted the provisions of FASB ASC 810, Consolidation, effective October 1, 2009. FASB ASC 810 requires non-controlling interests, previously called minority interests, to be presented as a separate item in the equity section of the consolidated balance sheet. It also requires the amount of consolidated net income or loss attributable to non-controlling interests to be clearly presented on the face of the consolidated income statement. Additionally, Topic 810 clarifies that changes in a parent's ownership interest in a subsidiary that do not result in deconsolidation are equity transactions, and that deconsolidation of a subsidiary requires gain or loss recognition in net income based on the fair value on the deconsolidation date. Topic 810 was applied prospectively with the exception of presentation and disclosure requirements, which were applied retrospectively for all periods presented, and did not significantly change the presentation of our consolidated financial statements.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets: We review our long-lived assets for impairment in accordance with the guidance of FASB ASC 360-10, Property, Plant, and Equipment, including land, property and equipment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the capitalized costs of the assets to the future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the assets and the expected cash flows are based on recent historical cash flows at the restaurant level (the lowest level that cash flows can be determined).

An analysis was performed on a restaurant by restaurant basis at September 30, 2010. Assumptions used in preparing expected cash flows were as follows:

• Sales projections are as follows: Fiscal 2011 sales are projected to increase 3% to 5% with respect to fiscal 2010, for fiscal years 2012 to 2024 we have used annual increases of 2% to 3%. We believe the 2% to 3% increase in the years beyond 2011 is a reasonable expectation of growth and that it would be unreasonable to expect no growth in our sales. These increases include menu price increases in addition to any real growth. Historically our weighted menu prices have increased 1.5% to 6%.

Our variable and semi-variable restaurant operating costs are projected to increase proportionately with the sales increases as well as increasing an additional 1.5% per year consistent with inflation.

Our other fixed restaurant operating costs are projected to increase 1.5% to 2% per year.

Food and packaging costs are projected to remain flat in relation to our current fiscal 2010 food and packaging costs as a percentage of sales.

Salvage value has been estimated on a restaurant by restaurant basis considering each restaurant's particular equipment package and building size.

Given the results of our impairment analysis at September 30, 2010 there are no restaurants which have potential impairment as their projected undiscounted cash flows show recoverability of their asset values.

Our impairment analysis included a sensitivity analysis with regard to the cash flow projections that determine the recoverability of each restaurant's assets. The results indicate that even with a 20% decline in our projected cash flows we would still not have any potential impairment issues. We have experienced higher than normal food and packaging costs as a percentage of restaurant sales in recent years and we do not believe these costs will remain at these levels in future years. However for purposes of our cash flow projections in the asset impairment analysis we have assumed our food and packaging costs will remain at these higher levels.

Each time we conduct an impairment analysis in the future we will compare actual results to our projections and assumptions, and to the extent our actual results do not meet expectations, we will revise our assumptions and this could result in impairment charges being recognized.

All of the judgments and assumptions made in preparing the cash flow projections are consistent with our other financial statement calculations and disclosures. The assumptions used in the cash flow projections are consistent with other forward-looking information prepared by the Company, such as those used for internal budgets, discussions with third parties, and/or reporting to management or the Board of Directors.

In fiscal 2010 we closed two company-operated restaurants resulting in total charges of \$396,000. Projecting the cash flows for the impairment analysis involves significant estimates with regard to the performance of each restaurant, and it is reasonably possible that the estimates of cash flows may change in the near term resulting in the need to write down operating assets to fair value. If the assets are determined to be impaired, the amount of impairment recognized is the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds their fair value. Fair value would be determined using forecasted cash flows discounted using an estimated average cost of capital and the impairment charge would be recognized in income from operations.

Income Taxes: We account for income taxes in accordance with FASB ASC 740, Income Taxes. FASB ASC 740 prescribes the use of the liability method whereby deferred tax asset and liability account balances are determined based on differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. The Company provides a valuation allowance, if necessary, to reduce deferred tax assets to their estimated realizable value. The deferred tax assets are reviewed periodically for recoverability, and valuation allowances are adjusted as necessary. We believe it is more likely than not that the recorded deferred tax assets will be realized.

Variable Interest Entities: We analyze any potential Variable Interest or Special-Purpose Entities in accordance with the guidance of FASB ASC 810-10, Consolidation of Variable Interest and Special-Purpose Entities. Once an entity is determined to be a Variable Interest Entity (VIE), the party with the controlling financial interest, the primary beneficiary, is required to consolidate it. We have two franchisees with notes payable to the Company and after analysis we have determined that, while these franchisees are VIE's as defined by FASB ASC 810-10, we are not the primary beneficiary of the entities, and therefore they are not required to be consolidated.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments: We adopted the provisions of FASB ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, effective October 1, 2008. FASB ASC 820 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under GAAP and enhances disclosure about fair value measurements. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on either our financial position or results of operations.

New Accounting Pronouncements: In January 2010, the FASB issued an update regarding guidance over the disclosure requirements of fair value measurements. This update adds new requirements for disclosure about transfers into and out of Levels One and Two and also adds additional disclosure requirements about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements relating to Level Three measurements. The guidance is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009 for the disclosure requirements around Levels One and Two measurements, and is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2010 for the disclosure requirements around Level Three. This new guidance currently has no impact on the fair value disclosures of the Company, as there have been no transfers out of Levels One or Two.

In June 2009, the FASB issued FASB ASC 105, Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, which establishes the FASB Accounting Standards Codification as the sole source of authoritative generally accepted accounting principles. Pursuant to the provisions of FASB ASC 105, the Company has updated references to GAAP in its financial statements issued for the period ended September 30, 2010. The adoption of FASB ASC 105 did not impact the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In June 2008, the FASB issued FASB ASC 815-40, Derivatives and Hedging, that provides guidance on how to determine if certain instruments (or embedded features) are considered indexed to a company's own stock, including instruments similar to warrants to purchase the company's stock. FASB ASC 815-40 requires companies to use a two-step approach to evaluate an instrument's contingent exercise provisions and settlement provisions in determining whether the instrument is considered to be indexed to its own stock and therefore exempt from the application of FASB ASC 815. Although FASB ASC 815-40 was effective for our fiscal year beginning October 1, 2009, any outstanding instrument at the date of adoption requires a retrospective application of the accounting through a cumulative effect adjustment to retained earnings upon adoption. The adoption of FASB ASC 815-40 did not impact the Company's financial position or results of operations. The requirements of FASB ASC 815-40 will only impact future derivative or hedging transactions into which we may enter

In December 2007, the FASB issued FASB ASC 805, Business Combinations, which establishes principles and requirements for how an acquiring entity in a business combination recognizes and measures the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the transaction; establishes the acquisition-date fair value as the measurement objective for all assets acquired and liabilities assumed; and sets the disclosure requirements regarding the information needed to evaluate and understand the nature and financial effect of the business combination. This accounting pronouncement was effective for our fiscal year beginning October 1, 2009. The adoption of this guidance did not have any impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations. The requirements of FASB ASC 805 will only impact future business combination transactions into which we may enter.

Subsequent Events:

Subsequent events have been evaluated through the date the consolidated financial statements were available to be issued.

Pre-approval of non-audit services: On October 25, 2010, the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of Good Times Restaurants Inc. approved in advance certain non-audit services to be performed by Hein & Associates, Good Times' independent auditor. These non-audit services are to consist primarily of corporate income tax compliance services.

Completion of SII Investment Transaction and Related Events: On December 13, 2010, the Company completed the SII Investment Transaction pursuant to which the Company issued 4,200,000 Shares to SII and received gross proceeds of \$2,100,000, some of which were used to repay the Company's interim working capital loans from Golden Bridge, W Capital and McDonald, accompanied by the conversion of accrued interest on the W Capital and McDonald loans into

79,430 shares of Common Stock. In addition, the SII Investment Transaction also allowed the Company to renegotiate the terms and covenants of its loan with Wells Fargo and to regain compliance of certain financial loan covenants that had previously been in default. See Financing Activities under the Liquidity and Capital Resources section above for further details regarding the SII Investment Transaction and related events.

See the "Financing" section above for discussion of the partial pay-down of the PFGI II loan in December 2010.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITIATIVE AND QUALITIATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

Not applicable.

27

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

INDEA TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
	<u>PAGE</u>
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets - September 30, 2010 and 2009	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations - For the Years Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Loss - For the Period from	F-5
October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2010	
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - For the Years Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009	F-6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F7 - F20

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Stockholders and

Board of Directors

Good Times Restaurants, Inc.

Golden, Colorado

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Good Times Restaurants, Inc. and subsidiary as of September 30, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and comprehensive loss, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Good Times Restaurants, Inc. and subsidiary as of September 30, 2010 and 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

HEIN & ASSOCIATES LLP

Denver, Colorado

December 19, 2010

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS September 30,

		picinoc	
	<u>2010</u>		<u>2009</u>
<u>ASSETS</u>			
CURRENT ASSETS:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 429,000	\$	815,000
Receivables, net of allowance for doubtful	, ,,,,,,,,		,
accounts of \$0	157,000		122,000
·			
Prepaid expenses and other	38,000		32,000
Inventories	201,000		220,000
Notes receivable	<u>9,000</u>	_	<u>36,000</u>
Total current assets	834,000		1,225,000
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT			
Land and building	5,653,000		6,596,000
Leasehold improvements	3,821,000		4,107,000
Fixtures and equipment	8,229,000		8,438,000
1 ixtures and equipment		-	19,141,000
T 1 / 1 1 / 2 1 / 2 /	17,703,000		
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(12,828,000)		(11,853,000)
	4,875,000		7,288,000
Assets held for sale	2,445,000		1,595,000
OTHER ASSETS:			
Notes receivable, net of current portion	10,000		82,000
Deposits and other assets	154,000		64,000
Deposits and other assets	164,000		
TOTAL AGGETG			146,000
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 8,318,000	\$	10,254,000
<u>LIABI</u>	LITIES AND STO	CKHOLD	ERS' EQUITY
	_		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:			
Current maturities of long-term debt, net of	\$ 702,000	\$	1,027,000
discount of \$48,000 and \$27,000, respectively	,		, ,
Accounts payable	716,000		355,000
Deferred income	89,000		113,000
			113,000
Liabilities related to discontinued operations	20,000		-
Other accrued liabilities	1,176,000		930,000
Total current liabilities	2,703,000		2,425,000
LONG-TERM LIABILITIES:			
Debt, net of current portion and net of discount of			
\$33,000 and \$0, respectively	3,005,000		2,478,000
Liabilities related to discontinued operations	123,000		_,,
Deferred liabilities	793,000		973,000
Total long-term liabilities	3,921,000		3,451,000
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Notes 5 and			
7)			
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:			
Non-controlling interest in Partnerships	274,000		428,000
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value;	_, ,,,,,,		1=0,000
5,000,000 shares authorized, none issued			
and outstanding as of September 30, 2009 and			
2010	-		-
Common stock, \$.001 par value; 50,000,000 shares			
Authorized, 3,898,559 shares issued and			
outstanding as of September 30, 2009 and 2010	4,000		4,000
Capital contributed in excess of par value	18,153,000		17,751,000
Accumulated deficit	(16,737,000)		(13,805,000)
Total stockholders' equity	1,694,000	Φ.	4,378,000
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$ 8,318,000	\$	10,254,000

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS For the Years Ended September 30,

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
JET REVENUES:		
lestaurant sales	\$ 20,390,00	0 \$ 22,079,000
rea development and franchise fees	16,000	13,000
ranchise royalties	457,000	<u>523,000</u>
'otal net revenues	20,863,000	22,615,000
ESTAURANT OPERATING COSTS:		
ood and packaging costs	7,181,000	7,423,000
ayroll and other employee benefit costs	7,359,000	7,663,000
lestaurant occupancy costs	3,528,000	3,486,000
accretion of deferred rent	(167,000	1,000
Other restaurant operating costs	970,000	1,042,000
lew store pre-opening costs		- 15,000
Depreciation and amortization	943,000	
'otal restaurant operating costs	19,814,000	20,802,000
leneral and administrative costs	1,482,000	1,568,000
dvertising costs	1,156,000	1,246,000
ranchise costs	124,000	
oss (Gain) on restaurant assets	<u>199,000</u>	<u>(28,000)</u>
oss From Operations	(1,912,000	0) (1,134,000)
Other Income (Expenses):		
nterest income	1,000	
nterest expense	(599,000	
Inrealized income (loss) on interest rate swap	3,000	
'otal other expenses, net	(595,000	
OSS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	(\$2,507,000	
oss from discontinued operations	(590,000	
JET LOSS	(\$3,097,000	
oss from non-controlling interests	165,000	54,000
JET LOSS APPLICABLE TO COMMON		
TOCKHOLDERS	(\$2,932,000	<u>(\$1,646,000)</u>
ASIC AND DILUTED LOSS PER SHARE:		
Continuing operations	(\$.64	
Discontinued operations	(\$.13	5) (\$.06)
let loss applicable to common stockholders	(\$.75	5) (\$.42)
VEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES		
UTSTANDING		
asic and Diluted	3,898,559	9 3,898,559

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

FOR THE PERIOD FROM OCTOBER 1, 2008 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

	Prefe Sto		Common	Stock							
	Issued	Par	Issued	Par	Capital Contributed in Excess of	Non- controlling interest in	Accumulated	C	mulated Other prehensive	· Comprehensive	
	Shares	Value	Shares	Value	Par Value	Partnerships	Deficit	I	LOSS	Income (Loss)	Total
NCES, r 1, 2008 option isation		<u>0</u>	3,898,559	\$ 4,000	\$ 17,632,000	\$ 584,000	\$ (12,159,000)	\$	(68,000)	-	5,993,000
of					77,000						77,000
ts issued bt introlling					42,000						42,000
ships Net Loss Deferred						(156,000)	(1,646,000)			(1,646,000)	(156,000) (1,646,000)
g losses									68,000	-	68,000
ehensive NCES,								_			
iber 30,		<u> </u>	3,898,559	\$ 4,000	\$ 17,751,000	\$428,000	\$(13,805,000)	\$	<u>0</u>	-	4,378,000
nsation of					88,000						88,000
ts issued bt of ial					153,000						153,000
sion					161,000						161,000
in ships ss and hensive						(154,000)				(2,932,000)	(154,000)
							(2,932,000)				(2,932,000)
g losses NCES, iber 30,									-	-	0
וטכו שטי,		\$ <u>0</u>	3,898,559	\$ <u>4,000</u>	\$ 18,153,000	\$274,000	\$(16,737,000)	\$	<u>0</u>		1,694,000

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE YEARS
ENDED
September 30,
2010 2009

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
ash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Net Loss	\$ (3,097,000)	\$ (1,700,000)
Loss from discontinued operations	(590,000)	(218,000)
Net loss from continuing operations	(2,507,000)	(1,482,000)
djustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by		
perating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	943,000	1,172,000
Amortization of debt issuance costs	259,000	15,000
Accretion of deferred rent	(167,000)	1,000
Write off of note receivable	85,000	-
Loss (gain) on disposal of property, restaurants and equipment	199,000	(28,000)
Stock option compensation cost	88,000	77,000
Unrealized loss (income) on interest rate swap agreement	(3,000)	87,000
nanges in operating assets and liabilities:		
(Increase) decrease in:		
Receivables	22,000	38,000
Inventories	10,000	20,000
Prepaid expenses and other	(6,000)	47,000
Deposits and other assets	(47,000)	16,000
(Decrease) increase in:		
Accounts payable	361,000	(273,000)
Accrued and other liabilities	166,000	(157,000)
et cash used in operating activities from continuing operations	(597,000)	(467,000)
et cash used in operating activities from discontinued operations	(140,000)	(128,000)
Net cash used in operating activities	(737,000)	(595,000)
ash Flows From Investing Activities:		
Payments for the purchase of property and equipment	(61,000)	(284,000)
Proceeds from the sale of assets	100,000	-
Loans made to franchisees and to others	-	(31,000)
Payments received on loans to franchisees and to others	<u>15,000</u> _	31,000
et cash provided by (used in) investing activities	<u>54,000</u>	(284,000)
ash Flows From Financing Activities:		
Principal payments on notes payable, capital leases, and long-term		
bt	(144,000)	(123,000)
Borrowings on notes payable and long-term debt	400,000	185,000
Net proceeds on line-of-credit	-	320,000
Receivable (distributions) from minority interest partner	41,000	(102,000)
et cash provided by financing activities	<u>297,000</u> _	280,000
et Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(386,000)	(599,000)
ash and Cash Equivalents, beginning of year	815,000	<u>1,414,000</u>
ash and Cash Equivalents, end of year	\$ <u>429,000</u> _	\$ <u>815,000</u>
ipplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information:		
ash paid for interest	\$ <u>282,000</u> _	\$ <u>299,000</u>
on-cash fair value of warrants and beneficial conversion feature	\$ <u>313,000</u> _	\$ <u>42,000</u>

Notes to Consolidated Financial statements

Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies :

Organization - Good Times Restaurants Inc. (Good Times or the Company) is a Nevada corporation. The Company operates through its wholly owned subsidiary Good Times Drive Thru Inc. (Drive Thru).

Drive Thru commenced operations in 1986 and, as of September 30, 2010, operates twenty seven company-owned and joint venture drive-thru fast food hamburger restaurants. The Company's restaurants are located in Colorado. In addition, Drive Thru has twenty-two franchises, eighteen operating in Colorado, two in Wyoming, one in Idaho and one in North Dakota, and is offering franchises for development of additional Drive Thru restaurants.

We follow accounting standards set by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, commonly referred to as the "FASB". The FASB sets generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) that we follow to ensure we consistently report our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. References to GAAP issued by the FASB in these footnotes are to the *FASB Accounting Standards Codification*TM, sometimes referred to as the Codification or ASC. The FASB finalized the Codification effective for periods ending on or after September 15, 2009. For further discussion of the Codification see "FASB Codification Discussion" in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (commonly referred to as MD&A) elsewhere in this report.

Principles of Consolidation - The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Good Times, its subsidiary and one limited partnership, in which the Company exercises control as general partner. In June 2010 the Company sold its interest in one limited partnership to the limited partner and then entered into a franchise agreement with the limited partner who now operates the restaurant as a franchisee. The Company owns an approximate 51% interest in the remaining partnership, is the sole general partner and receives a management fee prior to any distributions to the limited partners. Because the Company owns an approximate 51% interest in the partnership and exercises complete management control over all decisions for the partnership, except for certain veto rights, the financial statements of the partnership are consolidated into the Company's financial statements. The equity interest of the unrelated limited partner is shown on the accompanying consolidated balance sheet in the stockholders' equity section as a non-controlling interest, and the limited partner's share of the net income or loss in the partnership is shown as non-controlling interest income or expense in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations. All intercompany accounts and transactions are eliminated.

Accounting Estimates - The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in these consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Reclassification - Certain prior year balances have been reclassified to conform to the current year's presentation. Such reclassifications had no effect on the net income or loss.

Cash and Cash Equivalents - The Company considers all highly liquid debt instruments purchased with an initial maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Accounts Receivable - Accounts receivable include uncollateralized receivables from our franchisees and our advertising fund, due in the normal course of business, generally requiring payment within thirty days of the invoice date. On a periodic basis the Company monitors all accounts for delinquency and provides for estimated losses of uncollectible accounts. Currently and historically there have been no allowances for unrecoverable accounts receivable.

Inventories - Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, determined by the first-in first-out method, and consist of restaurant food items and related packaging supplies.

Property and Equipment - Depreciation is recognized using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets or the lives of the related leases, if shorter, as follows:

Buildings 15 years Leasehold improvements 7-15 years Fixtures and equipment 3-8 years Maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred, and expenditures for major improvements are capitalized. When assets are retired, or otherwise disposed of, the property accounts are relieved of costs and accumulated depreciation with any resulting gain or loss credited or charged to income.

We have classified \$2,445,000 as assets held for sale in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. These costs are related to two sites, one in Firestone, Colorado which has been fully developed and one in Aurora, Colorado that was sold to a third party in December 2010 (see Note 2 below). The proceeds of the sale leaseback transaction and the land sale, when consummated, are required to be used for the reduction of the line of note payable to PFGI II, LLC. The effect on our operating cash flow is not material as the interest expense on the note payable is approximately equal to the proposed rental rate on a sale and leaseback transaction.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets - We review our long-lived assets for impairment in accordance with the guidance of FASB ASC 360-10, Property, Plant, and Equipment, including land, property and equipment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the capitalized costs of the assets to the future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the assets and the expected cash flows are based on recent historical cash flows at the restaurant level (the lowest level that cash flows can be determined).

An analysis was performed on a restaurant by restaurant basis at September 30, 2010. Assumptions used in preparing expected cash flows were as follows:

- Sales projections are as follows: Fiscal 2011 sales are projected to increase 3% to 5% with respect to fiscal 2010, for fiscal years 2012 to 2024 we have used annual increases of 2% to 3%. We believe the 2% to 3% increase in the years beyond 2011 is a reasonable expectation of growth and that it would be unreasonable to expect no growth in our sales. These increases include menu price increases in addition to any real growth. Historically our weighted menu prices have increased 1.5% to 6%.
- Our variable and semi-variable restaurant operating costs are projected to increase proportionately with the sales increases as well as increasing an additional 1.5% per year consistent with inflation
- Our other fixed restaurant operating costs are projected to increase 1.5% to 2% per year.
- Food and packaging costs are projected to remain flat in relation to our current fiscal 2010 food and packaging costs as a percentage of sales.
- Salvage value has been estimated on a restaurant by restaurant basis considering each restaurant's particular equipment package and building size.

Given the results of our impairment analysis at September 30, 2010 there are no restaurants which are impaired as their projected undiscounted cash flows show recoverability of their asset values.

Our impairment analysis included a sensitivity analysis with regard to the cash flow projections that determine the recoverability of each restaurant's assets. The results indicate that even with a 15% decline in our projected cash flows we would still not have any potential impairment issues. However if we elect to sublease, close or otherwise exit a restaurant location impairment could be required. We have experienced higher than normal food and packaging costs as a percentage of restaurant sales in recent years and we do not believe these costs will remain at these levels in future years. However for purposes of our cash flow projections in the asset impairment analysis we have assumed our food and packaging costs will remain at these higher levels.

Each time we conduct an impairment analysis in the future we will compare actual results to our projections and assumptions, and to the extent our actual results do not meet expectations, we will revise our assumptions and this could result in impairment charges being recognized.

All of the judgments and assumptions made in preparing the cash flow projections are consistent with our other financial statement calculations and disclosures. The assumptions used in the cash flow projections are consistent with other forward-looking information prepared by the company, such as those used for internal budgets, discussions with third parties, and/or reporting to management or the board of directors.

In fiscal 2010 we closed two company operated restaurants resulting in total charges of \$396,000. Projecting the cash flows for the impairment analysis involves significant estimates with regard to the performance of each restaurant, and it is reasonably possible that the estimates of cash flows may change in the near term resulting in the need to write down

operating assets to fair value. If the assets are determined to be impaired, the amount of impairment recognized is the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds their fair value. Fair value would be determined using forecasted cash flows discounted using an estimated average cost of capital and the impairment charge would be recognized in income from operations.

Sales of Restaurants and Restaurant Equity Interests - Sales of restaurants or non-controlling equity interests in restaurants developed by the Company are recorded under either the full accrual method or the installment method of accounting. Under the full accrual method, a gain is not recognized until the collectability of the sales price is reasonably assured and the earnings process is virtually complete without further contingencies. When a sale does not meet the requirements for income recognition, the related gain is deferred until those requirements are met. Under the installment method, the gain is incrementally recognized as principal payments on the related notes receivable are collected. The Company's accounting policy, with regards to the sale of restaurants, is in accordance with the guidance of FASB ASC 360-10, Property, Plant, and Equipment. If the initial payment is less than the percentages set forth, use of the installment method is required.

The Company's accounting for the sale of restaurants is also in accordance with FASB ASC 810-20, Consolidation of Variable Interest and Special-Purpose Entities, because the risks and other incidents of ownership have been transferred to the buyer. Specifically, a) no continuing involvement by the Company exists in restaurants that are sold, b) sales contracts and related income recognition are not dependant on the future successful operations of the sold restaurants, and c) the Company is not involved as a guarantor on the purchasers' debts.

<u>Deferred Liabilities</u> - Rent expense is reflected on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease for all leases containing step-ups in base rent. An obligation representing future payments (which totaled \$384,000 as of September 30, 2010) is reflected in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as a deferred liability. Also included in the \$916,000 deferred and other liability balance is a \$391,000 deferred gain on the sale of the building and improvements of two Company-owned restaurants in two separate sale leaseback transactions. The building and improvements were subsequently leased back from the third party purchasers. The gains will be recognized in future periods in proportion to the rents paid on the fifteen and twenty year leases.

Opening Costs - Opening costs are expensed as incurred.

Advertising - The Company incurs advertising expenses in connection with the marketing of its restaurant operations. Advertising costs are expensed when the related advertising begins.

<u>Franchise and Area Development Fees</u> - Individual franchise fee revenue is deferred when received and is recognized as income when the Company has substantially performed all of its obligations under the franchise agreement and the franchise has commenced operations. The Company's commitments and obligations pursuant to the franchise agreements consist of a) development assistance; including site selection, building specifications and equipment purchasing and b) operating assistance; including training of personnel and preparation and distribution of manuals and operating materials. All of these obligations are effectively complete upon the opening of the restaurant at which time the franchise fee and the portion of any development fee allocable to that restaurant is recognized. There are no additional material commitments or obligations.

The Company has not recognized any franchise fees that have not been collected. The Company segregates initial franchise fees from other franchise revenue in the statement of operations. Revenues and costs related to company-owned restaurants are segregated from revenues and costs related to franchised restaurants in the statement of operations.

Continuing royalties from franchisees, which are a percentage of the gross sales of franchised operations, are recognized as income when earned. Franchise development expenses, which consist primarily of legal costs and restaurant opening expenses associated with developing and opening franchise restaurants, are expensed against the related franchise fee income.

Accounting for Notes Receivable - The Company's notes receivables are all due from Good Times franchisees, or franchise advertising cooperatives. All of the notes receivable are collateralized by real estate or equipment and certain of the notes are personally guaranteed by the franchisees. The notes are all term notes with interest accruing at market rates. The Company reviews the notes from time to time to access collectability. The Company has determined that all notes receivable at September 30, 2010 are collectable and allowances for write-downs are not necessary.

Operating Partner Program - Operating Partners in a restaurant share in future increases of their restaurant's cash flows above an established baseline, which is based on the preceding twelve months' cash flow after full allocation of advertising and capital expenses. This program is designed to figuratively put Operating Partners in the shoes of an owner so that a portion of their compensation is derived solely from the improvement in the financial performance of their respective restaurants. The portion of cash flow increases allocable to the Operating Partners are expensed as incurred on a quarterly basis, with a cumulative adjustment made for any months where cash flows fall below the established baselines. Compensation under this program is expensed to restaurant operations as incurred. No other long term benefits accrue or vest to the Operating Partners in this program. Operating Partners are employees at will and are subject to termination from this program if certain operating, customer service and financial objectives are not met.

Income Taxes. - We account for income taxes in accordance with FASB ASC 740, Income Taxes. FASB ASC 740 prescribes the use of the liability method whereby deferred tax asset and liability account balances are determined based on differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. The Company provides a valuation allowance, if necessary, to reduce deferred tax assets to their estimated realizable value.

Net Income (Loss) Per Common Share - The income (loss) per share is presented in accordance with the guidance of FASB ASC 260-10, Earnings per Share (EPS). Basic EPS is calculated by dividing the income (loss) available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock. Options for 906 and 9,953 shares of common stock were not included in computing diluted EPS for 2010 and 2009, respectively, because their effects were anti-dilutive.

<u>Financial Instruments and Concentrations of Credit Risk</u> - Credit risk represents the accounting loss that would be recognized at the reporting date if counterparties failed completely to perform as contracted. Concentrations of credit risk (whether on or off balance sheet) that arise from financial instruments exist for groups of customers or counterparties when they have similar economic characteristics that would cause their ability to meet contractual obligations to be similarly effected by changes in economic or other conditions. Financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk to the Company include lease liabilities whereby the Company is contingently liable as a guarantor of certain leases that were assigned to third parties in connection with various sales of restaurants to franchisees (see Note 7).

Financial instruments potentially subjecting the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of receivables. At September 30, 2010, notes receivable totaled \$19,000 and is due from two entities. Additionally, the Company has other current receivables totaling \$157,000, which includes \$68,000 of franchise receivables.

The Company purchases 100% of its restaurant food and paper from one vendor. The Company believes a sufficient number of other suppliers exist from which food and paper could be purchased to prevent any long-term, adverse consequences.

The Company operates in one industry segment, restaurants. A geographic concentration exists because the Company's customers are generally located in the State of Colorado.

Comprehensive Income (Loss) - Comprehensive income includes net income or loss, changes in certain assets and liabilities that are reported directly in equity such as adjustments resulting from unrealized gains or losses on held-to-maturity investments and certain hedging transactions.

In May 2007, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement, designated as a cash flow hedge, which hedges the Company's exposure to interest rate fluctuations on the Company's floating rate \$1,100,000 term loan. In fiscal 2008 The Company recorded the fair value of these contracts in the balance sheet, with the offset to other comprehensive loss. In fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2010 the fair value has been recognized in current earnings due to the technical covenant defaults that exist with the underlying Wells Fargo Bank loan. The contract requires monthly settlements of the difference between the amounts to be received and paid under the agreement, the amount of which is recognized in current earnings as interest expense. See Note 5 for additional information.

Stock-Based Compensation - Stock-based compensation is presented in accordance with the guidance of FASB ASC 718-10-30, Compensation - Stock Compensation. Under the provisions of FASB ASC 718, stock-based compensation is measured at the grant date, based on the calculated fair value of the award, and is recognized as an expense over the requisite employee service period (generally the vesting period of the grant). See Note 13 for additional information.

<u>Variable Interest Entities</u> - FASB ASC 810-20, Consolidation of Variable Interest and Special-Purpose Entities, can require consolidation of "variable interest entities" (VIEs). Once an entity is determined to be a VIE, the party with the controlling financial interest, the primary beneficiary, is required to consolidate it. The Company has two franchisees with notes payable to the Company. These franchisees are VIE's as defined by FASB ASC 810-20, however, the Company is not the primary beneficiary of these entities. Therefore they are not required to be consolidated under the guidance of FASB ASC 810-20.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments - The Company adopted the provisions of FASB ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, effective October 1, 2008. FASB ASC 820 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under generally accepted accounting principles and enhances disclosures about fair value measurements. See Note 12 for additional information.

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Valuation techniques used to measure fair value, as required by Topic 820 of the FASB ASC, must maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs.

FASB ASC 820 defines three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value and requires that the assets or liabilities carried at fair value be disclosed by the input level under which they were valued. The input levels defined under FASB ASC 820 are as follows:

Level 1: Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities.

Level 2: Observable inputs other than defined in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are not corroborated by observable market data.

Non-controlling Interests

The Company adopted the provisions of FASB ASC 810, Consolidation, effective October 1, 2009. FASB ASC 810 requires non-controlling interests, previously called minority interests, to be presented as a separate item in the equity section of the consolidated balance sheet. It also requires the amount of consolidated net income or loss attributable to non-controlling interests to be clearly presented on the face of the consolidated income statement. Additionally, Topic 810 clarifies that changes in a parent's ownership interest in a subsidiary that do not result in deconsolidation are equity transactions, and that deconsolidation of a subsidiary requires gain or loss recognition in net income based on the fair value on the deconsolidation date. Topic 810 was applied prospectively with the exception of presentation and disclosure requirements, which were applied retrospectively for all periods presented, and did not significantly change the presentation of our consolidated financial statements.

Subsequent Events

The Company adopted the provisions of FASB ASC 855, Subsequent Events, effective October 1, 2009. FASB ASC 855 establishes the accounting for, and disclosure of, material events that occur after the balance sheet date but before the financial statements are issued. In general, these events will be recognized if the condition existed at the date of the balance sheet, but will not be recognized if the condition did not exist at the balance sheet date. Disclosure is required for non-recognized events if required to keep the financial statements from being misleading.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements - In January 2010, the FASB issued an update regarding guidance over the disclosure requirements of fair value measurements. This update adds new requirements for disclosure about transfers into and out of Levels One and Two and also adds additional disclosure requirements about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements relating to Level Three measurements. The guidance is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009

for the disclosure requirements around Levels One and Two measurements, and is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2010 for the disclosure requirements around Level Three. This new guidance currently has no impact on the fair value disclosures of the Company, as there have been no transfers out of Levels One or Two.

In June 2009, the FASB issued FASB ASC 105, Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, which establishes the FASB Accounting Standards Codification as the sole source of authoritative generally accepted accounting principles. Pursuant to the provisions of FASB ASC 105, the Company has updated references to GAAP in its financial statements issued for the period ended September 30, 2010. The adoption of FASB ASC 105 did not impact the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In June 2008, the FASB issued FASB ASC 815-40, Derivatives and Hedging, that provides guidance on how to determine if certain instruments (or embedded features) are considered indexed to a company's own stock, including instruments similar to warrants to purchase the company's stock. FASB ASC 815-40 requires companies to use a two-step approach to evaluate an instrument's contingent exercise provisions and settlement provisions in determining whether the instrument is considered to be indexed to its own stock and therefore exempt from the application of FASB ASC 815. Although FASB ASC 815-40 was effective for our fiscal year beginning October 1, 2009, any outstanding instrument at the date of adoption requires a retrospective application of the accounting through a cumulative effect adjustment to retained earnings upon adoption. The adoption of FASB ASC 815-40 did not impact the Company's financial position or results of operations. The requirements of FASB ASC 815-40 will only impact future derivative or hedging transactions into which we may enter.

In December 2007, the FASB issued FASB ASC 805, Business Combinations, which establishes principles and requirements for how an acquiring entity in a business combination recognizes and measures the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the transaction; establishes the acquisition-date fair value as the measurement objective for all assets acquired and liabilities assumed; and sets the disclosure requirements regarding the information needed to evaluate and understand the nature and financial effect of the business combination. This accounting pronouncement was effective for our fiscal year beginning October 1, 2009. The adoption of this guidance did not have any impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations. The requirements of FASB ASC 805 will only impact future business combination transactions into which we may enter.

2. <u>Liquidity:</u>

As of September 30, 2010, we had \$429,000 in cash and cash equivalents on hand. We currently plan to use the cash balance, any cash generated from operations and net proceeds of approximately \$1,820,000 received on December, 13 2010 from the SII Investment Transaction discussed in Note 15 to reduce our accounts payable, accrued liabilities and short term notes payable and for our working capital needs in fiscal 2011. In December 2010 we also renegotiated the covenants and collateral of our bank loan with Wells Fargo whereby we are currently in compliance with all covenants. We believe that we will have sufficient capital to meet our working capital, long term debt obligations and recurring capital expenditure needs in fiscal 2011. Additionally, we may sell or sublease select underperforming company operated restaurants if we believe the realizable asset value is greater than the long term cash flow value or if the asset does not fit our longer term distribution and location of restaurants.

As of September 30, 2010, we had a working capital deficit of \$1,869,000 substantially due to notes payable of \$585,000 which are due on December 31, 2010 and accrued property taxes for 2009 of approximately \$200,000 which are currently due. Net proceeds of approximately \$1,820,000 received on December, 13 2010 from the SII Investment Transaction discussed in Note 15 were used to reduce our accounts payable, accrued liabilities and short term notes payable and for our working capital needs in fiscal 2011. Additionally, we have recorded an \$84,000 current liability related to the unrealized loss on our interest rate swap, as described in Note 4 below.

In December, 2009 we entered into an agreement to extend the maturity of the PFGI II, LLC loan to December 31, 2012 and modified the terms of the loan to include a 25 year amortization period with a balloon payment for the remaining principal balance on December 31, 2012. As a result, the majority of the PFGI II LLC loan is shown as a long term liability as of September 30, 2010. On December 3, 2010 we sold the vacant land that was partially collateralizing the PFGI II loan and used the net proceeds of \$812,000 to reduce the loan. We anticipate selling the land and building underlying an operating restaurant we own that collateralizes the remaining PFGI II loan in a sale leaseback transaction and using the proceeds to reduce the loan. If that transpires and the PFGI II loan is paid in full, the additional collateral under the PFGI II loan will be released.

3. <u>DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS</u>

_

Discontinued operations are presented in accordance with FASB ASC 205-20, Presentation of Financial Statements. During fiscal 2010 we closed two locations: one dual-branded restaurant in Commerce City, Colorado in March 2010 and one co-developed restaurant in Denver, Colorado in June 2010. The loss from discontinued operations includes both the current and historical results of operations, the fair value of all future lease obligations and an impairment charge to write down the fixed assets to book value. Fixed assets of \$1,164,000 and \$1,164,000 of associated accumulated depreciation related to discontinued operations are included in the property and equipment of our condensed consolidated balance sheet. Current and long-term liabilities related to discontinued operations relate to the future estimated lease obligations.

Following is a summary of the costs from discontinued operations for the current and prior year periods:

I weive Months Ended				
September 30,				
2010	2009			
\$153,000	\$218,000			
143,000	-			
294,000	-			
\$590,000	\$218,000			
	Septemb 2010 \$153,000 143,000 294,000			

With respect to the closed locations, we have continuing lease obligations of \$786,000. We have subleased the Commerce City location for \$618,000. We have terminated the lease on the Denver location effective February 1, 2011. We have recorded an estimated liability of \$143,000 related to these locations.

4. Notes Receivable:

Notes receivable consists of the following as of September 30, 2010:

Notes receivable from franchisees related to restaurant equipment; 6.0% to 10% interest per annum; monthly payments of principal and interest are due in the amount of approximately \$1,100; final payment due in 2012; collateralized by all fixtures and equipment of the related restaurants.

Less current portion Notes receivable, net of current portion \$\frac{(9,000)}{10,000}

19,000

5. Debt:

Note payable with PFGI II, LLC with monthly of principal and interest (8.65%, with a 25 year amortization) and a balloon payment of all unpaid principal due on December 31, 2012. The loan is secured by two Real Property Deeds of Trust, four Leasehold Deeds of Trust and Security Agreements and Assignment of Rents and Fixture Filings and two Security Agreements and Assignment of Rents and Fixture Filings related to those six corporate restaurants. The promissory note constitutes a line of credit which may be repaid but not re-advanced, at any time.

Note payable with Wells Fargo Bank, NA with payments of principal and interest (prime rate less .5%) due monthly and the final payment due in April 2015. The loan is secured by four Security Agreements related to the furniture, fixtures and equipment of the four corporate restaurants. This loan is classified as current based on covenant violations as discussed below.

Related Party note payable with Golden Bridge, LLC with payments of interest (10%) due monthly with all unpaid principal due December 31, 2010. The promissory note is subject to the terms of an Intercreditor Agreement between the Company, Golden Bridge, LLC and PFG1 II, LLC, and the deeds of trust and security agreements described in such Intercreditor Agreement. See note 8 below for further explanation.

2,481,000

722,000

185,000

Note payable with W. Capital and John T. McDonald with interest accruing monthly (12% from February, 2010 to July, 2010 and 14% from August, 2010 to maturity) with all unpaid principal due December 31, 2010. The Loan Agreement and the Note are subject to the terms of a Leasehold Deed of Trust Agreement and Security Agreement with respect to certain of Good Times Drive Thru's restaurants that were not previously pledged as collateral under the Wells Fargo Bank or PFGI II, LLC borrowings. See note 8 below for further explanation.

400,000

Unamortized note discount related to warrants issued in connection with the above notes payable with PFGI II, LLC and W. Capital and John T. McDonald

<u>(81,000)</u> 3,707,000 (702,000)

Less current portion Long term portion \$\frac{(702,000)}{3,005,000}

In conjunction with the Wells Fargo Bank term loan, the Company entered into a variable to fixed interest rate swap agreement with Wells Fargo Bank effective May 9, 2007, with a notional amount of \$1,100,000, a pay rate of 7.77% and a receive rate based on the bank prime rate less .50%. The swap agreement has an eight-year term and has the effect of normalizing the effective interest rate at 7.77%. As of September 30, 2010, the fair value of the contract was a loss of \$84,000. The unrealized loss has been recorded in interest expense.

As of September 30, 2010, principal payments on debt become due as follows:

Years Ending

September 30,	
2011	\$ 702,000
2012	152,000
2013	2,565,000
2014	169,000
2015	_119,000
	\$ 3,707,000

In connection with the Wells Fargo Bank loan, the Company had agreed to certain covenants, which include minimum tangible net worth, a total liabilities to tangible net worth ratio and a fixed charge coverage ratio, as defined in the agreement. As stated above in Note 2 as of September 30, 2010, the Company was not in compliance with its loan covenants, but in December 2010 negotiated an amended loan agreement and is now in compliance with all covenants and the majority of the loan is therefore classified as a long-term liability.

Other Accrued Liabilities :

Other accrued liabilities consist of the following at September 30, 2010:

Wages and other employee \$ 244,000

benefits

Taxes, other than income tax 702,000 Other 230,000

230,000 \$ 1,176,000

7. Commitments and Contingencies:

The Company's office space, and the land and buildings related to the Drive Thru restaurant facilities are classified as operating leases and expire over the next 14 years. Some leases contain escalation clauses over the lives of the leases. Most of the leases contain one to three five-year renewal options at the end of the initial term. Certain leases include provisions for additional contingent rent payments if sales volumes exceed specified levels. The Company paid no material contingent rentals during fiscal 2010 and 2009.

Following is a summary of operating lease activities:

Year Ended September 30,

Minimum rentals \$ 2,322,000

Less sublease rentals (322,000)

Net rent paid \$ 2,000,000

As of September 30, 2010, future minimum rental commitments required under the Company's operating leases that have initial or remaining non-cancellable lease terms in excess of one year are as follows:

Years Ending September

50,	
2011	\$ 2,081,000
2012	2,122,000
2013	2,128,000
2014	1,923,000
2015	1,551,000
Thereafter	8,221,000
	18,026,000
Less sublease rentals	(2,965,000)
	\$ <u>15,061,000</u>

The Company is contingently liable on several ground leases that have been subleased or assigned to franchisees. The subleased and assigned leases expire between 2011 and 2024. Currently we have not been notified nor are we aware of any leases in default by the franchisees, however there can be no assurance that there will not be in the future which could have a material effect on our future operating results.

8. <u>Financing Transactions</u>:

Wells Fargo Bank N.A.

In May 2007 we borrowed \$1,100,000 from Wells Fargo Bank under a note payable with an eight year term with a floating interest rate at .50% below prime. We simultaneously entered into an interest rate swap transaction with Wells Fargo Bank for the full \$1,100,000 with a fixed interest rate of 7.77% for the full eight year term coinciding with the note payable. As previously reported, we were in default of certain financial loan covenants and had been operating under a forbearance and reservation of rights agreement with Wells Fargo. As previously disclosed in the Company's current report on Form 8-K filed December 17, 2010, we entered into a new Credit and Loan Agreement that modified the loan covenants and provided additional collateral to Wells Fargo. The loan covenants were modified to require minimum Tangible Net Worth of \$2,500,000, Total Liabilities Divided by Tangible Net Worth not greater than 3.0 to 1.0 and an EBITDA Coverage Ratio not less than 0.9 to 1.0 as of the end of the first fiscal quarter of 2012, not less than 1.2 to 1.0 thereafter.

PFGI II, LLC

In July 2008, we entered into a \$2,500,000 promissory note with an unrelated third party (PFGI II, LLC) and amended that note on April 20, 2009 extending the maturity to July 10, 2010. Effective January 2, 2010, the Company entered into an agreement to amend its loan with PFGI II LLC. The maturity date was extended to December 31, 2012, the interest rate was increased to 8.65% and monthly payments of principal and interest are payable beginning January 31, 2010, based upon a 25 year amortization prior to maturity. In connection with the agreement, the Company issued a three-year warrant dated January 2, 2010 to PFGI II, LLC which provides that PFGI II, LLC may at any time from January 2, 2010 until December 31, 2012 purchase up to 112,612 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$1.11 per share. The number of shares purchasable upon exercise of the warrant and the exercise price are subject to customary anti-dilution adjustments upon the occurrence of any stock dividends, stock splits, reverse stock splits, recapitalizations, reclassifications, stock combinations or similar events. The fair value of the warrant issued to PFGI II, LLC was determined to be \$79,000 with the following assumptions; 1) risk free interest rate of 1.7%, 2) an expected life of 3 years, and 3) an expected dividend yield of zero. The fair value of \$79,000 was charged to the note discount and credited to Additional Paid in Capital. The note discount will be amortized over the term of thirty six months and charged to interest expense.

The promissory note originally constituted a revolving line-of-credit for the development of new restaurants which was advanced and repaid on a monthly basis from time to time. The promissory note now constitutes a term loan with monthly payments of principal and interest. The loan is secured by separate leasehold deeds of trust and security agreements related to six company-owned restaurants and first deeds of trust on two real properties funded by the line of credit. The total outstanding balance on the promissory note was \$2,481,000 at September 30, 2010. Of the \$2,481,000 outstanding balance, \$1,595,000 is related to the construction of one company-owned restaurant in Firestone, Colorado that opened in October 2008. The fully developed restaurant is currently being marketed in the sale-leaseback market. The remaining balance is related to the purchase, entitlement and other development fees on a parcel of land in Aurora, Colorado that was sold on December 3, 2010 reducing the loan by \$812,000 in net proceeds from the sale.

Golden Bridge, LLC

On April 20, 2009 as reported on Form 8-K, the Company entered into a loan agreement with Golden Bridge, LLC ("Golden Bridge"), pursuant to which Golden Bridge made a loan of \$185,000 (the "Golden Bridge Loan") to GTDT to be used for restaurant marketing and other working capital costs. Eric Reinhard, Ron Goodson, David Grissen, Richard Stark, and Alan Teran, who were all members of the Company's Board of Directors at the time of the transaction and stockholders of the Company, are the sole members of Golden Bridge. Subsequent to the fiscal year end, the loan was repaid in full on December 13, 2010 from the proceeds of the SII Investment Transaction (see "SII Investment Transaction" below).

The Golden Bridge Loan was evidenced by a promissory note dated April 20, 2009 made by the Company and GTDT, as co-makers, and bearing interest at a rate of 10% per annum on the unpaid principal balance. The note provided for monthly interest payments and was to mature and be due and payable in full on December 31, 2010. The commitment fee for the Golden Bridge Loan was \$3,700.

In connection with the Golden Bridge Loan, the Company issued a three-year warrant dated April 20, 2009 to Golden Bridge which provides that Golden Bridge may at any time from April 20, 2009 until April 20, 2012 purchase up to 92,500 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$1.15 per share. The number of shares purchasable upon exercise of the warrant and the exercise price are subject to customary anti-dilution adjustments upon the occurrence of any stock dividends, stock splits, recapitalizations, reclassifications, stock combinations or similar events. The fair value of the warrant issued to Golden Bridge was determined to be \$42,000 with the following assumptions: 1) risk free interest rate of 1.27%, 2) an expected life of 3 years, and 3) an expected dividend yield of zero. The fair value of \$42,000 was charged to the note discount and credited to Additional Paid in Capital. The note discount will be amortized over fourteen months and charged to interest expense.

W. Capital and John T. McDonald

On February 1, 2010, the Company entered into a loan agreement with W Capital, Inc. ("W Capital"), John T. McDonald ("McDonald") and Golden Bridge, pursuant to which the lenders made loans totaling \$200,000, with up to an additional \$200,000 available through April 30, 2010, to be used for restaurant marketing and other working capital uses of GTDT. As set forth below, the loan agreement was subsequently amended as of April 1, 2010 to remove Golden Bridge as a lender and to replace it with additional loans from W Capital and McDonald. At September 30, 2010 the entire \$400,000 had been advanced to the Company pursuant to the loan agreement (the "Bridge Loans"). On December 13, 2010, the outstanding principal amount of the Bridge Loans was paid in full from the proceeds of the SII Investment Transaction, and accrued interest on the Bridge Loans was converted into 79,430 shares of Common Stock.

The Bridge Loans were evidenced by a Secured Convertible Promissory Note dated April 1, 2010 made by the Company and bearing interest at a rate of 12% per annum on the unpaid principal balance through August 1, 2010 and at a rate of 14% per annum from and after August 1, 2010 until the maturity date of December 31, 2010. The note provided that the outstanding principal balance and accrued interest would be convertible into shares of Common Stock of the Company at any time prior to repayment at a conversion price of 25% less than the average price of the Company's common stock during the 20 days prior to the conversion date, but not below \$0.75 per share nor above \$1.08 per share. However, in connection with the SII Investment Transaction, W Capital and McDonald agreed to convert the accrued interest payable on the Bridge Loans into shares of Common Stock at a conversion price of \$0.50 per share and entered into an agreement with the Company to amend the conversion provisions of the note accordingly.

In connection with the Bridge Loans, the Company issued warrants dated February 1, 2010 to W Capital and McDonald which provide that the lenders may at any time from February 1, 2010 until two years from the date of repayment or

conversion of the Bridge Loans purchase up to an aggregate of 50,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock at an exercise price of 25% less than the average price of the Company's common stock during the 20 days prior to the exercise date, provided, however, that the exercise price shall not be below \$0.75 per share nor above \$1.08 per share. Pursuant to the terms of the loan agreement, because the Bridge Loans were not repaid prior to August 1, 2010, the Company issued warrants to W Capital and McDonald for the purchase of 50,000 additional shares of the Company's Common Stock upon the same terms as the initial warrants. The number of shares purchasable upon exercise of the warrants issued to W Capital and McDonald and the exercise price are subject to customary anti-dilution adjustments upon the occurrence of any stock dividends, stock splits, reverse stock splits, recapitalizations, reclassifications, stock combinations or similar events. Following the repayment and conversion of the Bridge Loans on December 13, 2010, the warrants will expire on December 12, 2012.

The fair value of the warrants issued February 1, 2010 was determined to be \$38,000 with the following assumptions: 1) risk free interest rate of 1.41%, 2) an expected life of 2.5 years, and 3) an expected dividend yield of zero. The fair value of \$38,000 was charged to the note discount and credited to Additional Paid in Capital. The note discount was amortized over the term of seven months and charged to interest expense.

The intrinsic value of the embedded beneficial conversion feature of the Bridge Loans was determined to be \$161,000. The intrinsic value of \$161,000 was charged to the note discount and credited to Additional Paid in Capital. The note discount was amortized over the term of seven months and charged to interest expense.

The fair value of the warrants issued August 1, 2010 was determined to be \$36,000 with the following assumptions: 1) risk free interest rate of .70%, 2) an expected life of 2.4 years, and 3) an expected dividend yield of zero. The fair value of \$36,000 was charged to the note discount and credited to Additional Paid in Capital. The note discount is being amortized over the term of five months and charged to interest expense.

9. <u>Managed Limited Partnerships</u>:

Drive Thru is currently the general partner of one limited partnership that was formed to develop Drive Thru restaurants and Drive Thru sold their limited partner interest in one restaurant in June 2010. Limited partner contributions have been used to construct new restaurants. Drive Thru, as a general partner, generally receives an allocation of approximately 51% of the profit and losses and a fee for its management services. The equity interest of the unrelated limited partner is shown on the accompanying consolidated balance sheet in the stockholders equity section as a non-controlling interest, and the limited partner's share of the net income or loss in the partnership is shown as non-controlling interest income or expense in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

2000

10. <u>Income Taxes</u>:

Deferred tax assets (liabilities) are comprised of the following at September 30:

erm
,000
000
000
000
000
000
000)
=
, ,

^{*} The valuation allowance increased by \$989,000 during the year ended September 30, 2010.

The Company has net operating loss carry-forwards of approximately \$7,997,000 for income tax purposes which expire from 2011 through 2030. The use of these net operating loss carry-forwards may be restricted due to changes in ownership.

Total income tax expense for the years ended 2010 and 2009 differed from the amounts computed by applying the U.S. Federal statutory tax rates to pre-tax income as follows:

	2010		2009
Total expense (benefit) computed by applying the U.S.			
Statutory rate (35%)	\$ (1,026,000)	\$	(576,000)
State income tax, net of federal tax benefit	(88,000)		(50,000)
Effect of change in valuation allowance	989,000		552,000
Permanent differences	30,000		68,000
Other	95,000	_	6,000
Provision for income taxes	\$ 	\$	

11. Related Parties:

The Erie County Investment Company (owner of 99% of The Bailey Company) is a substantial holder of our common stock and has certain contractual rights to elect members of the Company's Board of Directors under the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock Agreements entered into in February, 2005.

The Company leases office space from The Bailey Company under a lease agreement which expired in September 2010 and is currently leasing the space on a month to month basis. Rent paid to them in fiscal 2010 and 2009 for office space was \$55,000 and \$55,000, respectively.

The Bailey Company is also the owner of one franchised Good Times Drive Thru restaurant which is located in Loveland, Colorado and was the owner of one franchised restaurant in Thornton, Colorado which was closed in October 2009. The Bailey Company has entered into two franchise and management agreements with us. Franchise royalties and management fees paid under those agreements totaled approximately \$50,000 and \$78,000 for the fiscal years ending September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Amounts due from The Bailey Company related to these agreements at September 30, 2010 and 2009 were \$12,000 and \$18,000, respectively.

Total interest and commitment fees paid to Golden Bridge, LLC under their agreement were approximately \$18,000 and \$12,000 for the fiscal years ending September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. See Note 8 above for the terms of the loan.

12. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS:

The following table summarizes financial assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2010:

Level 2
Interest Rate Swap liability \$84,000

13. Stockholders' Equity:

<u>Preferred Stock</u> - The Company has the authority to issue 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock. The Board of Directors has the authority to issue such preferred shares in series and determine the rights and preferences of the shares as may be determined by the Board of Directors.

Common Stock Dividend Restrictions - As long as at least two-thirds of the shares of common stock into which the Series B Preferred Stock was converted remains held by the former holders of such converted Series B Preferred Stock, without the written consent or affirmative vote of the holders of three-quarters of the then outstanding votes of the shares of the Series B Preferred Stock and the shares of the common stock, the Company cannot institute any payment of cash dividends or other distributions on any shares of common stock.

Stock Option Plans - The Company has an Omnibus Equity Incentive Compensation Plan (the "2008 Plan"), approved by shareholders in fiscal 2008, which is the successor equity compensation plan to the Company's 2001 Stock Option Plan (the "2001 Plan"). As of September 30, 2010, 161,986 shares were available for future grants of nonqualified stock options, incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance shares, performance units and stock-based awards.

The 2008 Plan serves as the successor to our 2001 Plan, as amended (the "Predecessor Plan"), and no further awards shall be made under the Predecessor Plan from and after the effective date of the 2008 Plan. All outstanding awards under the Predecessor Plan immediately prior to the effective date of the 2008 Plan shall be incorporated into the 2008 Plan and shall accordingly be treated as awards under the 2008 Plan. However, each such award shall continue to be governed solely by the terms and conditions of the instrument evidencing such grant or issuance, and, except as otherwise expressly provided in the 2008 Plan or by the Committee that administers the 2008 Plan, no provision of the 2008 Plan shall affect or otherwise modify the rights or obligations of holders of such incorporated awards.

Following the guidance of FASB ASC 718-10-30, Compensation - Stock Compensation, stock-based compensation is measured at the grant date, based on the calculated fair value of the award, and is recognized as an expense over the requisite employee service period (generally the vesting period of the grant).

The Company measures the compensation cost associated with share-based payments by estimating the fair value of stock options as of the grant date using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The Company believes that the valuation technique and the approach utilized to develop the underlying assumptions are appropriate in calculating the fair values of the Company's stock options granted during fiscal 2010. Estimates of fair value are not intended to predict actual future events or the value ultimately realized by the employees who receive equity awards.

Net income for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 includes \$88,000 and \$77,000, respectively, of compensation costs related to our stock-based compensation arrangements.

During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, we granted 12,000 non-statutory stock options and 30,606 incentive stock options both with exercise prices of \$1.15. The per share weighted average fair value was \$.85 for the non-statutory stock option grants and \$.84 for the incentive stock option grants.

In addition to the exercise and grant date prices of the awards, certain weighted average assumptions that were used to estimate the fair value of stock option grants are listed in the following table:

	Incentive Stock	Non-Statutory Stock
	Options	Options
Expected term (years)	6.5	6.7
Expected volatility	82.4%	81.9%
Risk-free interest rate	2.84%	2.90%
Expected dividends	0	0

We estimate expected volatility based on historical weekly price changes of our common stock for a period equal to the current expected term of the options. The risk-free interest rate is based on the United States treasury yields in effect at the time of grant corresponding with the expected term of the options. The expected option term is the number of years we estimate that options will be outstanding prior to exercise considering vesting schedules and our historical exercise patterns.

FASB ASC 718-10-30 requires the cash flows resulting from the tax benefits for tax deductions in excess of the compensation expense recorded for those options (excess tax benefits) to be classified as financing cash flows. These excess tax benefits were \$0 for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009.

Weighted

A summary of stock option activity under our share-based compensation plan for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 is presented in the following table:

			Average	
		Weighted	Remaining	Aggregate
		Average	Contractual Life	Intrinsic
	Options	Exercise Price	(Yrs.)	Value
Outstanding-beg of				
year	379,231	\$3.55		
Granted	42,606	\$1.15		
Exercised	0			
Forfeited	(7,320)	\$4.60		
Expired	(24,431)	\$3.12		
Outstanding Sept 30,				
2010	390,086	\$3.30	5.4	\$0
Exercisable Sept 30,				
2010	269,060	\$3.76	4.2	\$0
	<i>'</i>			

As of September 30, 2010, the total remaining unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock-based arrangements was \$51,000 and is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.09 years.

The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 was \$0. Cash received from stock option exercises for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 was \$0.

14. Retirement Plan :

The Company has a 401(k) profit sharing plan (the "Plan"). Eligible employees may make voluntary contributions to the Plan, which may be matched by the Company, in an amount equal to 25% of the employee's contribution up to 6% of their compensation. The amount of employee contributions is limited as specified in the Plan. The Company may, at its discretion, make additional contributions to the Plan or change the matching percentage. The Company did not make any matching contributions in fiscal 2010 or fiscal 2009.

15. Subsequent Events:

Subsequent events have been evaluated through the date the consolidated financial statements were available to be issued.

Pre-approval of non-audit services: On October 25, 2010, the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of Good Times Restaurants Inc. approved in advance certain non-audit services to be performed by Hein & Associates, Good Times' independent auditor. These non-audit services are to consist primarily of corporate income tax compliance services.

Completion of SII Investment Transaction and Related Events: On December 13, 2010, the Company completed the SII Investment Transaction pursuant to which the Company issued 4,200,000 Shares to SII and received gross proceeds of \$2,100,000, some of which were used to repay the Company's interim working capital loans from Golden Bridge, W Capital and McDonald, accompanied by the conversion of accrued interest on the W Capital and McDonald loans into 79,430 shares of Common Stock. In addition, the SII Investment Transaction also allowed the Company to renegotiate the terms and covenants of its loan with Wells Fargo and to regain compliance of certain financial loan covenants that had previously been in default. See Financing Activities under the Liquidity and Capital Resources section above for further details regarding the SII Investment Transaction and related events.

See the "Financing" section above for discussion of the partial pay-down of the PFGI II loan in December 2010.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

During the two most recent fiscal years, Good Times Restaurants has not had any changes in or disagreements with its independent accountants on matters of accounting or financial disclosure.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures: Based on an evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended), as of the end of the Company's fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Controller (its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, respectively) have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting: We are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). We maintain a system of internal controls that is designed to provide reasonable assurance in a cost-effective manner as to the fair and reliable preparation and presentation of the consolidated financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

We conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2010. In making this evaluation, our management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO") in Internal Control-Integrated Framework. This evaluation included a review of the documentation of controls, evaluation of the design effectiveness of controls and a conclusion on this evaluation. We have concluded that, as of September 30, 2010, the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective based on these criteria.

This Annual Report does not include an attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to rules of the SEC that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this Annual Report.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting: There have been no significant changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2010 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Nothing to report.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE.

Directors: The directors of Good Times Restaurants are as follows:

Geoffrey R. Bailey, age 58, has served as a Good Times director since 1996 and is a member of the Audit Committee. He is also a director of The Erie County Investment Co., which owns 99% of The Bailey Company. The principal business of The Bailey Company owns and operating 58 Arby's restaurants as a franchisee, and The Bailey Company has also been a franchisee and joint venture partner of Good Times Restaurants since 1987. Mr. Bailey joined The Erie County Investment Co. in 1979. Mr. Bailey is a graduate of the University of Denver with a Bachelor's Degree in Business Administration.

David L. Dobbin, age 49, was appointed as a Good Times director effective upon the closing of the SII Investment Transaction on December 13, 2010. He was also appointed as the Chairman of the Board effective as of December 13, 2010. In addition, he currently serves as Chairman of the Board of Small Island Investments Ltd., the Company's strategic investor (2010-Present). He also serves as Chairman of the Boards of Terra Nova Pub Group Ltd., its subsidiaries and affiliates (2007-Present) and Welaptega Marine Ltd. (2008-Present), a leading supplier of offshore mooring inspection systems, companies controlled by Mr. Dobbin through Repechage Investments Limited, an investment company formed under the laws of Canada that holds investments in the transportation, service, real estate and hospitality sectors (2001-Present). Previously, Mr. Dobbin served in several capacities with CHC Helicopter Corporation, an offshore helicopter services provider, and led Canadian Ocean Resource Associates Inc., a consulting firm specializing in best practice reviews, institutional support and public/private partnerships. Mr. Dobbin holds a Bachelor of Commerce from Memorial University of Newfoundland.

Gary J. Heller, age 43, was appointed as a Good Times director effective upon the closing of the SII Investment Transaction on December 13, 2010. He is the chairman of the Compensation Committee. In addition, he currently serves as Secretary and a Director of Elephant & Castle Group Inc. (2007-Present), Secretary and a Manager of Massachusetts Pub Group LLC (2008-Present), and Executive Vice President of Terra Nova Pub Group Ltd. (2009-Present). Prior to entering the restaurant industry in 2007, Mr. Heller spent 16 years as an investment banker, including serving as a Managing Director of FTI Capital Advisors, LLC (2002-2006) and a Director of Andersen Corporate Finance LLC. Mr. Heller holds a BA in Economics from the University of Pennsylvania and an MBA in Finance from New York University.

Boyd E. Hoback, age 55, has served as a Good Times director since 1992 and is President and Chief Executive Officer of Good Times Restaurants, a position which he has held since December 1992, and he has been in the restaurant business since the age of 16. Mr. Hoback has been a vital part of the development of Good Times to a 52-restaurant chain and has been involved in developing all areas of the Company. Mr. Hoback is an honors graduate of the University of Colorado in finance.

John F. Morgan, age 50, was appointed as a Good Times director effective upon the closing of the SII Investment Transaction on December 13, 2010. He is a member of the Audit Committee and the Compensation Committee. In addition, he currently serves as President, Chief Executive Officer and a Director of Elephant & Castle Group Inc. and Terra Nova Pub Group Ltd. (2009-Present). He is President, Chief Executive Officer and a Manager of Massachusetts Pub Group Ltd. (2008-Present). Previously Mr. Morgan had been the President of Morgan Capital Limited, St. John's, Newfoundland, an independent financial services firm providing taxation and merger and acquisition support services to North American and international clients (1994-2009). Mr. Morgan holds a Bachelor of Commerce degree from Memorial University of Newfoundland and Labrador with a participation in the In Depth Taxation Program and Chartered Business Valuator Program. He is a Chartered Accountant.

Keith A. Radford, age 41, was appointed as a Good Times director effective upon the closing of the SII Investment Transaction on December 13, 2010. He is the chairman of the Audit Committee. In addition, he currently serves as Chief Financial Officer of Terra Nova Pub Group Ltd., Elephant & Castle Group Inc. and Massachusetts Pub Group LtC (2009-Present). Previously Mr. Radford served as a Director and Vice President of subsidiaries within AKER Solutions, a leading global provider of engineering and construction services, technology products and integrated solutions (2002-2008). In addition he has over eight years of experience in public practice providing auditing, taxation and business consulting services. Mr. Radford holds a Bachelor of Commerce degree from Memorial University of Newfoundland and Labrador and is a Chartered Accountant.

Eric W. Reinhard, age 51, has served as a Good Times director since 2005. He resigned as Chairman of the Board, a position he held since 2005, effective as of December 13, 2010. He is currently a member of the Compensation Committee. Mr. Reinhard also serves as President of the Pepsi Cola Bottler's Association, a beverage association management and consulting association and a position he has held since 2006. Prior to June 2004 he was the General Manager for the Pepsi Bottling Group's Great West Business Unit. While in this role, Mr. Reinhard was also a member of the Pepsi Bottling Group's Chairman's Operating Council, a member of the Food Service Strategic Planning Committee, and a member of The Dr. Pepper Bottler Marketing Committee. Mr. Reinhard joined Pepsi Cola in 1984 after four years with The Proctor & Gamble Distributing Company. Since 1984 he has held several field and headquarters positions including Vice President/General Manager Pepsi-Lipton Tea partnership (JV), General Manager Mid-Atlantic business Unit, Area Vice President Retail Channels, Vice President On-Premise Operations and Area Vice President of Franchise Operations. Mr. Reinhard holds a BA from Michigan State University and has completed the Executive Business Program at the University of Michigan.

There are no family relationships among the directors. The board has determined that of the current directors Geoffrey R. Bailey, Eric W. Reinhard, David L. Dobbin, Gary J. Heller, John F. Morgan and Keith A. Radford are independent directors under the NASDAQ listing standards.

Geoffrey R. Bailey was originally elected to the Board of Directors pursuant to contractual board representation rights granted to The Bailey Company in connection with its investment in shares of our Series A Convertible Preferred Stock in 1996. Prior to December 13, 2010, Mr. Bailey continued to serve on the board pursuant to contractual board representation rights held by The Bailey Company and its affiliates ("The Bailey Group") in connection with our Series B Convertible Preferred Stock financing in February 2005, whereby The Bailey Group was entitled to elect three members of our Board of Directors, two of whom must be independent directors.

Prior to December 13, 2010, Richard J. Stark and Alan A. Teran were the other two members of our Board designated by The Bailey Group under these provisions. The other investors in our Series B Convertible Preferred Stock financing also had board representation rights whereby they were entitled to elect three members of our Board. Eric W. Reinhard was originally elected in 2005 and has continued to serve on our Board pursuant to these provisions. Prior to December 13, 2010, Ron Goodson and David Grissen were the other two members of our Board designated by the other Series B investors under these provisions. In connection with the SII Investment Transaction, Messrs. Stark, Teran, Goodson and Grissen resigned from our Board effective as of December 13, 2010. In addition, the Series B Investors agreed to cancel their Board designation rights under the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock financing documents and to accept in lieu thereof the designation rights set forth in the Purchase Agreement with SII.

The SII Purchase Agreement provides that so long as SII holds more than 50% of the Company's outstanding Common Stock, (i) the Board shall consist of seven directors, and (ii) SII shall have the right to designate four members of the Board. In addition, the Purchase Agreement provides that SII shall vote its shares in any election of directors in favor of one person designated by The Bailey Group and one person designated by Eric W. Reinhard, in addition to SII's four director designees. If either The Bailey Group or Reinhard ceases to own at least 600,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock (adjusted for any stock splits, reverse splits or similar capital stock transactions), then the foregoing designation right will cease, and SII has agreed to vote its shares in any election of directors in favor of a person, other than an SII designee, who receives the majority of votes of holders of Common Stock other than the Investor. Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, the Series B investors have agreed to vote their shares in any election of directors in favor of SII's designees.

See Item 13 "Certain relationships and related transactions" for additional discussion of these provisions. There are no other arrangements or understandings between any current director and any other person under which that director was elected or nominated.

Nominee selection process: Our Board of Directors as a whole acts as the nominating committee for the selection of nominees for election as directors. We do not have a separate standing nominating committee since we require that our director nominees be approved as nominees by a majority of our independent directors. The Board will consider suggestions by stockholders for possible future nominees for election as directors at the next annual meeting when the suggestion is delivered in writing to the corporate secretary of Good Times Restaurants by April 15 of the year immediately preceding the annual meeting. No request for a recommended nominee was made by the August 15, 2010 deadline by any stockholder or group of stockholders with beneficial ownership of more than 5% of the Company's common stock as indicated in a Schedule 13D or 13G.

The Board selects each nominee, subject to contractual nominee designation and election rights held by certain stockholders, as discussed below, based on the nominee's skills, achievements and experience, with the objective that the Board as a whole should have broad and relevant experience in high policymaking levels in business and a commitment to representing the long-term interests of the stockholders. The Board believes that each nominee should have experience in positions of responsibility and leadership, an understanding of our business environment and a reputation for integrity.

The Board evaluates each potential nominee individually and in the context of the Board as a whole. The objective is to recommend a group that will effectively contribute to our long-term success and represent stockholder interests. In determining whether to recommend a director for re-election, the Board also considers the director's past attendance at meetings and participation in and contributions to the activities of the Board.

When seeking candidates for director, the Board solicits suggestions from incumbent directors, management, stockholders or others. The Board does not have a charter for the nominating process.

The Company does not have a formal policy with regard to the consideration of diversity in identifying director nominees, but the Board strives to nominate directors with a variety of complementary skills so that, as a group, the Board will possess the appropriate talent, skills, and expertise to oversee the Company's business.

Communication with the directors: The Board welcomes questions or comments about us and our operations. Those interested may contact the Board as a whole or any one or more specified individual directors by sending a letter to the intended recipients' attention in care of Good Times Restaurants Inc., Corporate Secretary, 601 Corporate Circle, Golden, CO 80401. All such communications other than commercial advertisements will be forwarded to the appropriate director or directors for review.

Leadership Structure: The Board does not have a policy regarding the separation of the roles of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board as the Board believes it is in the best interests of the Company to make that determination based on the position and direction of the Company and the membership of the Board. The Board has determined that separating these roles is in the best interests of the Company's stockholders at this time. This structure ensures a greater role for the independent directors in the oversight of the Company and active participation of the independent directors in setting agendas and establishing Board priorities and procedures. Further, this structure permits the Chief Executive Officer to focus on the management of the Company's day-to-day operations.

Risk Oversight: Material risks are identified and prioritized by the Company's management and reported to the Board for oversight. The Board regularly reviews information regarding the Company's credit, liquidity and operations, as well as the risks associated with each. In addition, the Board continually works, with the input of the Company's executive officers, to assess and analyze the most likely areas of future risk for the Company.

Board Committees

Audit Committee: The Audit Committee currently consists of Messrs. Radford, Morgan and Bailey, each of whom is an independent director under the applicable NASDAQ listing standards. The Board has determined that Mr. Morgan is an audit committee financial expert, as that term is defined by the SEC rules. Prior to December 13, 2010, the Audit Committee consisted of Messrs. Grissen, Teran and Stark, and Mr. Stark served as the Audit Committee financial expert. As discussed above, Messrs. Grissen, Teran and Stark resigned as directors in connection with the SII Investment Transaction, effective as of December 13, 2010.

The function of the Audit Committee relates to oversight of the auditors, the auditing, accounting and financial reporting processes and the review of the Company's financial reports and information. In addition, the functions of this Committee have included, among other things, recommending to the Board the engagement or discharge of independent auditors, discussing with the auditors their review of the Company's quarterly results and the results of their audit and reviewing the Company's internal accounting controls. The Audit Committee operates pursuant to a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors. A current copy of the Audit Committee Charter is available on our website at www.goodtimesburgers.com. The Audit Committee held four meetings during fiscal 2010.

Compensation Committee: The Compensation Committee currently consists of Messrs. Heller, Morgan and Reinhard, each of who is an independent director under the applicable NASDAQ listing standards. Prior to December 13, 2010, the Compensation Committee consisted of Messrs. Goodson, Stark and Teran, all of whom resigned as directors in connection

with the SII Investment Transaction, effective as of December 13, 2010. The function of this Committee is to consider and determine all matters relating to the compensation of the President and Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers, including matters relating to the employment agreements. The Compensation Committee held one meeting during fiscal 2010.

The Compensation Committee does not have a charter. The responsibility of the Compensation Committee is to review and approve the compensation and other terms of employment of our Chief Executive Officer and our other executive officers, including all of the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table in Item 11 of Part III of this Form 10-K (the "Named Executive Officers"). Among its other duties, the Compensation Committee oversees all significant aspects of the Company's compensation plans and benefit programs. The Compensation Committee annually reviews and approves corporate goals and objectives for the Chief Executive Officer's compensation and evaluates the Chief Executive Officer's performance in light of those goals and objectives. The Compensation Committee also recommends to the Board of Directors the compensation and benefits for members of the Board of Directors. The Compensation Committee has also been appointed by the Board of Directors to administer our 2008 Omnibus Equity Incentive Compensation Plan (the "2008 Plan"), which is the successor equity compensation plan to the Company's 2001 Stock Option Plan (the "2001 Plan"). The Compensation Committee does not delegate any of its authority to other persons.

In carrying out its duties, the Compensation Committee participates in the design and implementation and ultimately reviews and approves specific compensation programs. The Compensation Committee reviews and determines the base salaries for the Named Executive Officers, and also approves awards to the Named Executive Officers under the Company's equity compensation plans.

In determining the amount and form of compensation for Named Executive Officers other than the Chief Executive Officer, the Compensation Committee obtains input from the Chief Executive Officer regarding the duties, responsibilities and performance of the other executive officers and the results of performance reviews. The Chief Executive Officer also recommends to the Compensation Committee the base salary levels for all Named Executive Officers and the award levels for all Named Executive Officers under the Company's equity compensation programs. No Named Executive Officer attends any executive session of the Compensation Committee or is present during final deliberations or determinations of such Named Executive Officer's compensation. The Chief Executive Officer also provides input with respect to the amount and form of compensation for the members of the Board of Directors.

The Compensation Committee has the authority to directly engage, at the Company's expense, any compensation consultants or other advisers as it deems necessary to carry out its responsibilities in determining the amount and form of executive and director compensation. For fiscal 2010, the Compensation Committee did not use the services of a compensation consultant or other adviser. However, the Compensation Committee has reviewed surveys, reports and other market data against which it has measured the competitiveness of the Company's compensation programs. In determining the amount and form of executive and director compensation, the Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed historical salary information as well as salaries for similar positions at comparable companies.

Special Committee: On August 14, 2009 as reported on Form 8-K we announced that our Board of Directors had formed a Special Committee comprised of directors Geoff Bailey, Richard Stark and Alan Teran to explore and evaluate strategic alternatives aimed at enhancing shareholder value. The Special Committee actively explored various strategic alternatives from August 2009 through October 2010, and the Company completed the SII Investment Transaction on December 13, 2010. With the completion of the SII Investment Transaction, the Special Committee has been terminated.

Directors' meetings and attendance: There were nine meetings of the Board of Directors held during the last full fiscal year. No member of the Board of Directors attended fewer than 75% of the board meetings and applicable committee meetings. Each continuing director attended the 2010 annual meeting of stockholders.

Directors' compensation: Each non-employee director receives \$500 for each Board of Directors meeting attended. Members of the Compensation and Audit Committees generally each receive \$100 per meeting attended. However, where both Compensation and Audit Committee meetings are held at the same gathering, only \$100 is paid to directors attending both committee meetings. Additionally, for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, each non-employee director received a non-statutory stock option to acquire 2,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.15.

Audit Committee Report: Good Times Restaurant's management is responsible for the internal controls and financial reporting process for Good Times Restaurants. The independent accountants for Good Times Restaurants are responsible

for performing an independent audit of the financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and to issue a report on those financial statements. The Audit Committee's responsibility is to monitor and oversee these processes.

In this context, the Audit Committee met with management and the independent accountants to review and discuss the Good Times Restaurants financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010. Management represented to the Audit Committee that the financial statements were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and the Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the financial statements with management and the independent accountants.

The Audit Committee has discussed with the independent accountants matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, Communication with Audit Committees. The Audit Committee has also received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent accountants required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accountants' communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence and the Audit Committee discussed with the independent accountants that firm's independence.

Based on the Audit Committee's review and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in the Good Times Restaurants Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 for filing with the SEC.

Executive officers: The executive officers of Good Times Restaurants are as follows:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Position</u>	Date Began With Company
Boyd E. Hoback	55	President & CEO	September 1987
Susan M. Knutson	52	Controller	September 1987
Scott G. LeFever	52	VP of Operations	September 1987

Boyd E. Hoback. See the description of Mr. Hoback's business experience under "Directors".

Susan M. Knutson has been Controller since 1993 with direct responsibility for overseeing the accounting department, maintaining cash controls, producing budgets, financials and quarterly and annual reports required to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, acting as the principal financial officer of the Company, and preparing all information for the annual audit.

Scott G. LeFever has been Vice President of Operations since August 1995, and has been involved in all phases of operations with direct responsibility for restaurant service performance, personnel and cost controls.

Executive officers do not have fixed terms and serve at the discretion of the Board of Directors. There are no family relationships among the executive officers or directors.

Code of ethics: Good Times Restaurants has adopted a Code of Business Conduct which applies to all directors, officers, employees and franchisees of Good Times Restaurants. The Code of Business Conduct was filed with the SEC as an exhibit to the Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2003.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Executive Compensation: The following table sets forth compensation information for 2010 and 2009 with respect to the named executive officers:

Summary Compensation Table for 2010 and 2009:

						Non-Equity Incentive	Nonqualified		
Name and	l			Stock		Plan	Deferred	All Other	
Principal		Salary			Awards	Compensation	Compensation	Compensation	
Position	Year	\$	\$	\$	\$ ³	\$	Earnings \$	\$	Total \$
Boyd E. Hoback	2010	148,000	-	-	29,381	_	-	13,978 ¹	191,359
President and Chief Executive Officer	2009	162,033	-	_	25,118	-	-	16,073 ¹	203,224
Scott G. LeFever	2010	90,625	_	_	11,645	_	_	10,580 ²	112,850
Vice President of Operations		101,667	_	-	9,979	-	_	11,477 ²	123,123

- The amount indicated for Mr. Hoback includes an automobile allowance, long-term disability and 401(K) Plan matching contributions.
- The amount indicated for Mr. LeFever includes an automobile allowance, long-term disability personal expenses and 401(K) Plan matching contributions.
- The value of stock option awards shown in this column includes all amounts expensed in the Company's financial statements in 2009 and 2010 for equity awards in accordance with the guidance of FASB ASC 718-10-30, Compensation Stock Compensation, excluding any estimate for forfeitures. The Company's accounting treatment for, and assumptions made in the valuations of, equity awards is set forth in Note 1 of the notes to the Company's 2010 consolidated financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010. There were no option awards re-priced in 2010.

There were no shares of SARs granted during 2010 or 2009 nor has there been any nonqualified deferred compensation paid to any named executive officers during 2010 or 2009. The Company does not have any plans that provide for specified retirement payments and benefits at, following or in connection with retirement.

The following table sets forth information as of September 30, 2010 on all unexercised options previously awarded to the named executive officers:

ıtstanding Equity Awards at 2010 Fiscal Year-End

	Option Awards						Stock Awards			
			Equity					Equity		
			Incentive			Number	Market	Incentive Plan		
			Plan			of Shares	Value of	Awards:		
	Number of	Number of	Awards:			or Units	Shares or	Number of	Equity Incentive	
	Securities	Securities	Number of			of Stock	Units of	Unearned	Plan Awards: Market	
	Underlying	Underlying	Securities			That	Stock	Shares, Units	or Payout Value of	
	Unexercised	Unexercised	Underlying			Have	That	or Other	Unearned Shares,	
	Options -	Options -	Unexercised	Option	Option	Not	Have Not	Rights That	Units or Other Rights	
	Exercisable	Unexercisable	Unearned	Exercise	Expiration	Vested	Vested	Have Not	That Have Not	
Name	(#)	(#)	Options (#)	Price \$	Date	(#)	(\$)	Vested (#)	Vested (\$)	
yd E. Hoback	2,500	-	-	\$1.38	10/01/10	-	-	-	-	
	50,000	-	-	\$1.75	10/01/11	-	-	-	-	
	3,750	-	-	\$2.70	10/01/12	-	-	-	-	
	3,900	-	-	\$3.60	10/01/13	-	-	-	-	
	12,000	-	-	\$3.11	10/01/14	-	-	-	-	
	8,500	-	-	\$5.68	10/01/15	-	-	-	-	
	11,400	7,600 (1)	-	\$6.38	11/17/16	-	-	-	-	
	0	28,503 ⁽²⁾	-	\$1.47	11/14/18	-	-	-	-	
	0	13,652 ⁽³⁾	-	\$1.15	11/06/19	-	-	-	-	
ott G. LeFever	1,260	-	-	\$2.70	10/01/12	-	-	-	-	
	2,580	-	-	\$3.60	10/01/13	-	-	-	-	
	5,750	-	-	\$3.11	10/01/14	-	-	-	-	
	5,750	-	-	\$5.68	10/01/15	-	-	-	-	
	1,725	4,025 (1)	-	\$6.38	11/17/16	-	-	-	-	
	0	17,007 ⁽²⁾	-	\$1.47	11/14/18	-	-	-	-	
	0	4,348 ⁽³⁾	-	\$1.15	11/06/19	-	-	-	-	

The options were granted on November 17, 2006. Assuming continued employment with the Company, the shares under the option agreement will become exercisable per a vesting schedule which began on November 17, 2007 continuing through November 17, 2010, whereby options vest per the following schedule: 10% on November 17, 2007; an additional 20% on November 17, 2008; an additional 30% on November 17, 2009; and an additional 40% on November 17, 2010.

The options were granted on November 14, 2008. Assuming continued employment with the Company, the shares under the option agreemen will become fully exercisable on November 14, 2011.

The options were granted on November 6, 2009. Assuming continued employment with the Company, the shares under the option agreement will become fully exercisable on November 6, 2012.

The following table sets forth compensation information for 2010 with respect to directors:

Director Compensation Table for Fiscal Year 2010

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$) 1, 2	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings \$	All Other Compensation	Total \$
Geoffrey R. Bailey	3,000	_	1,695	-	-	-	4,695
Ron Goodson ³	3,000	-	1,695	-	-	-	4,695
David Grissen ³	2,000	-	1,695	-	-	-	3,695
Eric W. Reinhard	3,000	-	1,695	-	-	-	4,695
Richard J. Stark	3,000	-	1,695	-	-	_	4,695
Alan A. Teran ³	3,000	-	1,695	-	-	-	4,695
Boyd E. Hoback	_	-	_	-	-	-	0

- The value of stock option awards shown in this column includes all amounts expensed in the Company's financial statements in 2010 for equity awards in accordance with the guidance of FASB ASC 718-10-30, Compensation Stock Compensation, excluding any estimate for forfeitures. The Company's accounting treatment for, and assumptions made in the valuation of equity awards are set forth in Note 1 of the notes to the Company's 2010 consolidated financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010. There were no option awards re-priced in 2010.
- As of September 30, 2010, the following directors held options to purchase the following number of shares of our common stock: Mr. Bailey 14,000 shares; Mr. Goodson 12,000 shares; Mr. Grissen 12,000 shares; Mr. Reinhard 16,500 shares; Mr. Stark 14,000 shares; Mr. Teran 14,000 shares; and Mr. Hoback 133,242 shares.
- Resigned as a director effective as of December 13, 2010.
- 4 Mr. Hoback is an employee director and does not receive additional fees for service as a member of the Board.

A description of the standard compensation arrangements (such as fees for committee service, service as chairman of the board or a committee, and meeting attendance) is set forth in the section entitled "Directors' Compensation" above.

Employment Agreement: Mr. Hoback entered into an employment agreement with us in October 2001 and the terms of the agreement were revised effective October 2007 for compliance with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code. The revised agreement provides for his employment as president and chief executive officer for two years from the date of the agreement at a minimum salary of \$190,000 per year, terminable by us only for cause. The agreement provides for payment of one year's salary and benefits in the event that change of ownership control results in a termination of his employment or termination other than for cause. This agreement renews automatically unless specifically not renewed by the Board of Directors. Mr. Hoback's compensation, including salary, expense allowance, bonus and any equity award, is reviewed and set annually by the Compensation Committee. Mr. Hoback's bonus, when applicable, is based on the Company's achieving certain Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization ("EBITDA") targets for the year.

As a condition to the closing of the SII Investment Transaction, Mr. Hoback agreed to waive certain rights under the employment agreement which would otherwise have accrued to him as a result of the change in ownership control of the Company as a result of the SII Investment Transaction, including his right to terminate his employment within one year of the change in control and trigger the severance payment described above and his right to accelerate the vesting of stock options upon the change in control.

Other Employment Arrangements: Mr. LeFever is employed as an "employee at will" and does not have a written employment agreement. His compensation, including salary, expense allowance, bonus and any equity awards, is reviewed and approved by the Compensation Committee annually. He participates in a bonus program that is based on both the company's level of EBITDA for the year and achieving certain operating metrics and sales targets.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Ownership of common stock by principal stockholders and management: The following table shows the beneficial ownership of shares of Good Times Restaurants common stock as of December 15, 2010 by each person known by Good Times Restaurants to be the beneficial owner of more than five percent of the shares of Good Times Restaurants common stock, each director and each executive officer named in the Summary Compensation Table, and all directors and executive officers as a group. The address for the principal stockholders and the Directors and Officers is 601 Corporate Circle, Golden, CO 80401.

Number of shares

Percent of

<u>Holder</u>		
Principal stockholders	<u>beneficially</u>	class**
	owned	
Small Island Investments Ltd	4,200,000	51.36%
The Bailey Company, LLLP	821,512 ¹	10.05%
The Erie County Investment Co.	1,016,192 ¹	12.43%
Directors and Officers		
David L. Dobbin, Chairman	4,202,00 ²	51.37%
Geoffrey R. Bailey, Director	29,300 ³	*
Boyd E. Hoback, Director, President and Chief Executive Officer	142,142 4	1.70%
Eric W. Reinhard, Chairman	316,000 ⁵	3.84%
Scott G. LeFever, Vice President, Operations	21,090 ⁶	*
Gary H. Heller, Director	2,000 7	*
John F. Morgan, Director	2,000 8	*
Keith A. Radford, Director	2,000 9	*
All directors and executive officers as a group	4,735,036 10	55.47%

(9 persons including all those named above)

- The Bailey Company is 99% owned by The Erie County Investment Co., which should be deemed the beneficial owner of Good Times Restaurants common stock held by The Bailey Company. The Erie County Investment Co. also owns 194,680 shares of Good Times Restaurants common stock in its own name. Geoffrey R. Bailey is a director and executive officer of The Erie County Investment Co. Geoffrey R. Bailey disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares of Good Times Restaurants common stock held by The Bailey Company and The Erie County Investment Co. Because of his ownership of only 26% of the voting shares of The Erie County Investment Co., Paul T. Bailey disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares of Good Times Restaurants common stock held by The Bailey Company and The Erie County Investment Co. Paul T. Bailey is the father of Geoffrey R. Bailey.
- David L. Dobbin owns 100% of Small Island Investments Ltd. Also includes 2,000 shares underlying presently exercisable stock options.
- 3 Includes 16,000 shares underlying presently exercisable stock options and 2,497 warrants to purchase stock.
- 4 Includes 97,150 shares underlying presently exercisable stock options and 19,999 warrants to purchase stock.
- Includes 16,500 shares underlying presently exercisable stock options and 37,500 warrants to purchase stock
- 6 Includes 21,090 shares underlying presently exercisable stock options
- 7 Includes 2,000 shares underlying presently exercisable stock options
- 8 Includes 2,000 shares underlying presently exercisable stock options
- 9 Includes 2,000 shares underlying presently exercisable stock options
- Does not include shares held beneficially by The Bailey Company and The Erie County Investment Co. If those shares were included, the number of shares beneficially held by all directors and executive officers as a group would be 5,751,228 and the percentage of class would be 67.38%.
- * Less than one percent.
 - Under SEC rules, beneficial ownership includes shares over which the individual or entity has voting or investment power and any shares which the individual or entity has the right to acquire within sixty days.

The information required by this Item concerning securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans is incorporated by reference to the information provided under the caption "Disclosure with Respect to the Company's Equity Compensation Plan" in Part II - Item 5 - Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters, included in this Form 10-K.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE.

In February 2005, we issued 1,240,000 shares of our Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, including 180,000 shares to The Erie County Investment Co., a substantial holder of our common stock and member of The Bailey Group. In June 2006, we exercised our mandatory conversion rights under the terms of the Series B preferred stock to convert all of those shares into a total of 1,240,000 shares of our common stock. Under the agreements for the Series B preferred stock financing, prior to December 13, 2010 The Bailey Group had the right to elect three directors, provided that two directors meet the NASDAQ independence standards, and the other investors in the Series B preferred stock financing had the right to elect three directors. As a condition to the closing of the SII Investment Transaction, the Series B investors agreed to waive the foregoing director designation rights, effective as of December 13, 2010. Going forward, the Series B investors have certain designation rights as set forth in the Purchase Agreement with SII, which is discussed below.

The SII Purchase Agreement provides that so long as SII holds more than 50% of the Company's outstanding Common Stock, (i) the Board shall consist of seven directors, and (ii) SII shall have the right to designate four members of the Board. In addition, a provision of the Series B preferred stock financing restricts, for as long as the original investors hold at least two-thirds of the common stock into which the Series B shares have been converted, our ability to increase the size of the Board of Directors above seven directors unless we first receive approval from the holders of at least three-fourths of all outstanding shares of common stock.

The SII Purchase Agreement provides that SII shall vote its shares in any election of directors in favor of one person designated by The Bailey Group and one person designated by Eric W. Reinhard, in addition to SII's four director designees. If either The Bailey Group or Reinhard ceases to own at least 600,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock (adjusted for any stock splits, reverse splits or similar capital stock transactions), then the foregoing designation right will cease, and SII has agreed to vote its shares in any election of directors in favor of a person, other than an SII designee, who receives the majority of votes of holders of Common Stock other than SII. Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, the Series B investors have agreed to vote their shares in any election of directors in favor of SII's designees.

Keith A. Radford, John F. Morgan, Gary J. Heller and David L. Dobbin are the current directors designated by SII, Geoffrey R. Bailey is the current director designated by The Bailey Group, and Eric W. Reinhard is the current director designated by the other Series B investors. David L. Dobbin is a director of SII, which holds approximately 51.4% of our outstanding Common Stock following the SII Investment Transaction. Geoffrey R. Bailey is a director of The Erie County Investment Co., which owns 99% of The Bailey Company. The Bailey Company and The Erie County Investment Co. are principal stockholders of us. Geoffrey R. Bailey's father, Paul T. Bailey, is the principal owner of The Erie County Investment Co.

Our corporate headquarters are located in a building owned by The Bailey Company and in which The Bailey Company also has its corporate headquarters. We currently lease our executive office space of approximately 3,693 square feet from The Bailey Company for approximately \$55,000 per year. The lease expired September 30, 2009 and we continue to lease the space on a month to month basis.

The Bailey Company is also the owner of one franchised Good Times Drive Thru restaurant which is located in Loveland, Colorado and was the owner of one franchised restaurant in Thornton, Colorado which was closed in October 2009. The Bailey Company has entered into two franchise and management agreements with us. Franchise royalties and management fees paid under those agreements totaled approximately \$50,000 and \$78,000 for the fiscal years ending September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

In April 2009 the Company entered into a loan agreement with Golden Bridge, pursuant to which Golden Bridge made a loan of \$185,000 to the Company. This loan was repaid in full out of the proceeds received by the Company in the SII Investment Transaction. Director Eric Reinhard and former directors Ron Goodson, David Grissen, Richard Stark, and Alan Teran, who are all stockholders of the Company, are the sole members of Golden Bridge, and Eric Reinhard is the sole manager of Golden Bridge. The Company's obtaining of the loan from Golden Bridge and related transactions were

duly approved in advance by the Company's Board of Directors by the affirmative vote of members thereof who did not have an interest in the transaction. Total interest and commitment fees paid under this agreement were approximately \$18,000 and \$12,000 for the fiscal years ending September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The amount due to related parties under this agreement that is included in notes payable was \$185,000 at September 30, 2010. See Note 8 to our Consolidated Financial Statements of Item 8 above for the terms of the loan

Section 16(a) beneficial ownership reporting compliance: Under Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, directors, executive officers and persons who own more than ten percent of our Common Stock must disclose their initial beneficial ownership of the Common Stock and any changes in that ownership in reports which must be filed with the SEC and Good Times Restaurants. The SEC has designated specific deadlines for these reports and Good Times Restaurants must identify in this proxy statement those persons who did not file these reports when due.

Based solely on a review of the reports filed with Good Times Restaurants and written representations received from reporting persons Good Times Restaurants believes that during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 all Section 16(a) filing requirements for its officers, directors, and more than ten percent shareholders were complied with on a timely basis.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS: The Board of Directors appointed HEIN & ASSOCIATES LLP as Good Times Restaurants' independent auditors for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009 and fiscal year 2010, and to perform other accounting services. Representatives of HEIN & ASSOCIATES LLP are expected to be present at the annual meeting of shareholders, and will have the opportunity to make a statement if they so desire and to respond to appropriate shareholder questions.

Audit Fees: The aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by HEIN & ASSOCIATES LLP for its audit of the Company's annual financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, and its reviews of the financial statements included in the Company's Forms 10-Qs for fiscal year 2010 were \$75,910 compared to \$86,236 in fees for the fiscal year ended 2009.

Audit Related Fees: There were no aggregate fees billed by HEIN & ASSOCIATES LLP for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our financial statements and are not reported under "Audit Fees" for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009.

Tax Fees: The aggregate fees billed by HEIN & ASSOCIATES LLP for the preparation and review of the Company's tax returns for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 were \$10,500 compared to \$11,350 in fees for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009.

All Other Fees: The aggregate fees billed to Good Times Restaurants for all other services rendered by HEIN & ASSOCIATES LLP for fiscal year 2010 were \$12,214 compared to \$12,562 in fees for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009. These fees are primarily related to a 401(k) plan audit.

Audit Committee: Policy on Pre-Approval Policies of Auditor Services: Under the provisions of the Audit Committee Charter, all audit services and all permitted non-audit services (unless subject to a de minimis exception allowed by law) provided by our independent auditors, as well as fees and other compensation to be paid to them, must be approved in advance by our Audit Committee. All audit and other services provided by HEIN & ASSOCIATES LLP during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, and the related fees as discussed above, were approved in advance in accordance with SEC rules and the provisions of the Audit Committee Charter. There were no other services or products provided by HEIN & ASSOCIATES LLP to us or related fees during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 except as discussed above.

Auditor Independence: The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has considered the effect that the provision of the services described above under the caption "All Other Fees" may have on the independence of HEIN & ASSOCIATES LLP. The Audit Committee has determined that provision of those services is compatible with maintaining the independence of HEIN & ASSOCIATES LLP as the Company's principal accountants.

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

The following exhibits are furnished as part of this report:

Exhibit Description

Exhibit	<u>Description</u>
3.1	Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant (previously filed on November 30, 1988 as Exhibit 3.1 to the registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-18 (File No. 33-25810-LA) and incorporated herein by reference)
3.2	Amendment to Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant dated January 23, 1990 (previously filed on January 18, 1990 as Exhibit 3.1 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated herein by reference)
3.3	Amendment to Articles of Incorporation (previously filed as Exhibit 3.5 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1996 and (File No. 000-18590) incorporated herein by reference)
3.4	Restated Bylaws of Registrant dated November 7, 1997 (previously filed as Exhibit 3.6 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1997 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated herein by reference)
3.5	Restated Bylaws of Registrant, amended as of August 14, 2007 (previously filed as Exhibit 3/1 to the registrant's current report on Form 8-K dated August 14, 2007 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated herein by reference)
4.1	Certificate of Designations, Preferences, and Rights of Series B Convertible Preference Stock of Good Times Restaurants Inc. (previously filed as Exhibit 1 to the Amendment No. 6 to Schedule 13D filed by The Erie County Investment Co., The Bailey Company, LLLP and Paul T. Bailey (File No. 005-42729) on February 14, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.1	1992 Incentive Stock Option Plan, as amended (previously filed as Exhibit 4.9 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1998 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated herein by reference)
10.2	1992 Non-Statutory Stock Option Plan, as amended (previously filed as Exhibit 4.10 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1998 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated herein by reference)
10.3 10.4	Employment Agreement dated October 3, 2001 between the registrant and Boyd E. Hoback Wells Fargo Credit Agreement (previously filed as Exhibit 10.17 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2003 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated herein by reference)
10.5	Form of Option Agreement (previously filed as Exhibit 10.18 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2004 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated herein by reference)
10.6	Form of Option Grant Notice (previously filed as Exhibit 10.19 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2004 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated herein by reference)
10.7	Cash Bonus Plan for Boyd Hoback (previously filed as Exhibit 10.20 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2004 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated herein by reference)
10.8	Securities Purchase Agreements (previously filed on the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 3, 2005 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated herein by reference)
10.9	Amendment to Securities Purchase Agreement (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's Form 8-K Report dated January 27, 2005 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated herein by reference)
10.10	2001 Stock Option Plan, as amended (previously filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed on May 23, 2005 (Registration No. 333-125150) and incorporated herein by reference)
10.11	Conversion of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock (previously filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the registrant's Form 8-K Report dated June 8, 2006 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated herein by reference)

Exhibit	Description
10.12	Loan Agreement and Promissory Note (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 and 10.2 to the registrant's Form 8-K Report dated August 7, 2006 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated herein by reference)
10.13	Acceleration of Vesting of Stock Options and Form of Resale Restriction Agreement (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's Form 8-K Report dated August 8, 2006 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated herein by reference)
10.14	Expansion of Loan Agreement and Promissory Note (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 and 10.2 to the registrant's Form 8-K Report dated March 15, 2007 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated herein by reference)
10.15	Loan Agreement and Promissory Note (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 and 10.2 to the registrant's Form 8-K Report dated May 7, 2007 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated
10.16	herein by reference) Amendment No. 1 to Loan Agreement and Promissory Note (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 and 10.2 to the registrant's Form 8-K Report dated May 10, 2007 (File No. 000-18590) and
10.17	incorporated herein by reference) 2008 Omnibus Equity Incentive Compensation Plan (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's Form 8-K Report dated January 29, 2008 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated
10.18	herein by reference) Employment Agreement of Boyd E. Hoback (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's Form 8-K Report dated January 29, 2008 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated
10.19	herein by reference) Letter Agreement between Good Times Drive Thru Inc. and CEDA Enterprises, Inc. (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's Form 8-K Report dated March 12, 2008 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated herein by reference)
10.20	Letter Agreement between Good Times Drive Thru Inc. and CEDA Enterprises, Inc. and CEJ Investments, LLC (previously filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the registrant's Form 8-K Report dated March 12, 2008 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated herein by reference)
10.21	Amended and Restated Loan Agreement (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's Form 8-K Report dated July 2, 2008 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated herein by reference)
10.22	Promissory Note by Good Times Drive Thru Inc. and Good Times Restaurants Inc. payable to PFGI II, LLC (previously filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the registrant's Form 8-K Report dated July 2, 2008 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated herein by reference)
10.23	Departure of Management Employees, Transfer of Development Rights and Suspension of Expansion (previously filed in the registrant's Form 8-K Report dated June 26, 2008 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated herein by reference)
10.24	Suspension of Development Agreement previously filed as Exhibit 10.41 to the registrant's Form 10-KSB Report dated December 26, 2008 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated herein by reference)
10.25	Results of Operations, Triggering Events and Other Events (previously filed as the registrant's Form 8-K Report dated January 20, 2009 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated herein by reference)
10.26	Loan Agreement, Promissory Note, Warrant, Intercreditor Agreement and First Amendment to Amended and Restated Promissory Note (previously filed as Exhibits 4.1, 10.1, 10.2, 10.3 and 10.4 to the registrant's Form 8-K Report dated April 20, 2009 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated herein by reference)
10.27	Agreement between Good Times Restaurants Inc. and Mastodon Ventures Inc. (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's Form 8-K Report dated August 14, 2009 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated herein by reference)
10.28	Letter Agreement between Good Times Restaurants Inc. and PFGI II, LLC dated December 14, 2009 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.33 to the registration's Annual Report on Form 10-K dated December 29, 2009 (File no. 000-18590) and incorporated herein by reference)
10.29	Promissory Note and Warrant dated January 19, 2010 (previously filed as Exhibits 4.1 and 10.1 to the registrant's Form 8-K Report dated January 21, 2010 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated herein by reference)
10.30	Loan Agreement, Convertible Secured Promissory Note and Warrants (previously filed as Exhibits 4.1, 10.1 and 10.2 to the registrant's Form 8-K Report dated February 3, 2010 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated herein by reference)
10.31	Registration Statement (previously filed on the registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on March 4, 2010 (Registration No. 333-165189) and incorporated herein by reference
10.32	First Amendment to Loan Agreement, Convertible Secured promissory Note and Warrants (previously filed as Exhibits 4.1, 10.1 and 10.2 to the registrant's Form 8-K Report dated April 6, 2010 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated herein by reference)

Exhibit	Description
10.33	Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement (previously filed on the registrant's Registration
10.55	Statement on Form S-3 filed on April 27, 2010 (Registration No. 333-165189) and
	incorporated herein by reference
10.34	Term Sheet dated October 3, 2010 between Company and Small Island Investments Limited
10.54	(previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's Form 8-K Report dated October 5, 2010
	(File No. 000-18590) and incorporated herein by reference)
10.35	Securities Purchase Agreement dated October 29, 2010 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to
10.55	the registrant's Form 8-K Report dated November 3, 2010 (File No. 000-18590) and
	incorporated herein by reference)
10.36	Registration Rights Agreement dated December 13, 2010 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to
10.50	
	the registrant's Form 8-K Report dated December 17, 2010 (File No. 000-18590) and
10.37	incorporated herein by reference) Loop and Cradit and Loop Agrament dated as of December 13, 2010 (previously filed as
10.57	Loan and Credit and Loan Agreement dated as of December 13, 2010 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's Form 8-K Report dated December 17, 2010 (File No. 000-
	18590) and incorporated herein by reference)
10.38	Consent and Agreement dated as of December 13, 2010 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to
10.56	the registrant's Form 8-K Report dated December 17, 2010 (File No. 000-18590) and
	incorporated herein by reference)
10.39	Consent and Waiver dated as of December 13, 2010 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the
10.39	registrant's Form 8-K Report dated December 17, 2010 (File No. 000-18590) and
	incorporated herein by reference)
14.1	Code of Ethics (previously filed as Exhibit 14.1 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form
14.1	10-KSB for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2003 (File No. 000-18590) and incorporated
	herein by reference)
21.1	Subsidiaries of registrant (previously filed as Exhibit 21.1 to the registrant's Annual Report
21.1	on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1998 (File No. 000-18590) and
	incorporated herein by reference)
23.1	*Consent of HEIN & ASSOCIATES LLP
31.1	*Certification of Chief Executive `Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
31.1	*Certification of Controller pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
32.1	*Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Controller pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
J2.1	Certification of Citici Executive Officer and Controller pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1550

^{*}Filed herewith

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

GOOD TIMES RESTAURANTS INC.

Date: December 23, 2010

/s/ Boyd E. Hoback Boyd E. Hoback

President and Chief Executive Officer

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

/s/ David L. Dobbin /s/ Boyd E. Hoback

David L. Dobbin, Chairman

Boyd E. Hoback, Director

and President and CEO

Date: December 23, 2010 Date: December 23, 2010

/s/ Geoffrey R. Bailey /s/ Susan M. Knutson

Geoffrey R. Bailey, Director Susan M. Knutson, Controller and

Date: December 23, 2010 Principal Financial Officer

Date: December 23, 2010

/s/ Gary J. Heller /s/ Keith A. Radford

Gary J. Heller, Director Keith A. Radford, Director

Date: December 23, 2010

Date: December 23, 2010

/s/ John F. Morgan /s/ Eric W. Reinhard

John F. Morgan, Director Eric W. Reinhard, Director

Date: December 23, 2010 Date: December 23, 2010

_

CERTIFICATION OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

I, Boyd E. Hoback, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Good Times Restaurants Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: December 23, 2010

/s/ Boyd E. Hoback

Boyd E. Hoback

President and Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION OF THE CONTROLLER

I, Susan M. Knutson, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Good Times Restaurants Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: December 23, 2010

/s/ Susan M. Knutson

Susan M. Knutson

Controller

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report on Form 10K of Good Times Restaurants Inc. (the "Company") for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Boyd E. Hoback, as Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and Susan M. Knutson, as Controller of the Company, each hereby certifies, pursuant to and solely for the purpose of 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to the best of my knowledge and belief:

(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m or 78o(d)); and

(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Boyd E. Hoback
Boyd E. Hoback
Chief Executive Officer
December 23, 2010

/s/ Susan M. Knutson
Susan M. Knutson

Controller (principal financial officer)

December 23, 2010

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We consent to the incorporation by reference of our report dated December 19, 2010, accompanying the consolidated financial statements of Good Times Restaurants, Inc., also incorporated by reference in the Form S-8 Registration Statements with registration numbers 333-60813, 333-98407, and 333-125150 and Form S-3 Registration Statement 333-122890 of Good Times Restaurants, Inc., and to the use of our name and the statements with respect to us, as appearing under the heading "Experts" in the Registration Statements.

/s/ Hein & Associates LLP

HEIN & ASSOCIATES LLP

Denver, Colorado

December 19, 2010