

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549  
FORM 10-K**

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**  
For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2021

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**  
For the Transition Period from \_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_.

Commission File No. 001-35366

**FORTRESS BIOTECH, INC.**  
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Delaware  
(State or Other Jurisdiction of  
Incorporation or Organization)  
  
1111 Kane Concourse Suite 301  
Bay Harbor Island, FL 33154  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

20-5157386  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

10014  
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (781) 652-4500

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Class	Trading Symbol(s)	Exchange Name
Common Stock	FBIO	Nasdaq Capital Market
9.375% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock	FBIOF	Nasdaq Capital Market

Securities registered pursuant to section 12(g) of the Act: None.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically every Interactive Data File required to be submitted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed a report on and attestation to its management's assessment of the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting under Section 404(b) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (15 U.S.C. 7262(b)) by the registered public accounting firm that prepared or issued its audit report.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter: \$254,224,038 based upon the closing sale price of our common stock of \$3.57 on that date. Common stock held by each officer and director and by each person known to own in excess of 5% of outstanding shares of our common stock has been excluded in that such persons may be deemed to be affiliates. The determination of affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes.

Class of Stock	Outstanding Shares as of March 18, 2022
Common Stock, \$0.001 par value	104,498,590
9.375% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par value	3,427,138

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement for its 2022 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III hereof.

**FORTRESS BIOTECH, INC.**  
**ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K**  
**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b><a href="#">PART I</a></b>	<b>7</b>
<a href="#">Item 1. Business.</a>	7
<a href="#">Item 1A. Risk Factors</a>	24
<a href="#">Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments</a>	64
<a href="#">Item 2. Properties</a>	64
<a href="#">Item 3. Legal Proceedings</a>	65
<a href="#">Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</a>	66
<b><a href="#">PART II</a></b>	<b>66</b>
<a href="#">Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</a>	66
<a href="#">Item 6. Reserved</a>	67
<a href="#">Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.</a>	67
<a href="#">Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.</a>	84
<a href="#">Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.</a>	85
<a href="#">Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.</a>	85
<a href="#">Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.</a>	85
<a href="#">Item 9B. Other Information</a>	86
<a href="#">Item 9C. Disclosure Regarding Foreign Jurisdictions that Prevent Inspections</a>	86
<b><a href="#">PART III</a></b>	<b>86</b>
<a href="#">Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</a>	86
<a href="#">Item 11. Executive Compensation</a>	86
<a href="#">Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</a>	86
<a href="#">Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</a>	87
<a href="#">Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services</a>	87
<b><a href="#">PART IV</a></b>	<b>87</b>
<a href="#">Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.</a>	87
<a href="#">Item 16. Form 10-K Summary.</a>	91

### CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

Statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K that are not descriptions of historical facts are forward-looking statements that are based on management's current expectations and are subject to risks and uncertainties that could negatively affect our business, operating results, financial condition and stock price. We have attempted to identify forward-looking statements by terminology including "anticipates," "believes," "can," "continue," "could," "estimates," "expects," "intends," "may," "might," "plans," "potential," "predicts," "should," or "will" or the negative of these terms or other comparable terminology. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those currently anticipated include those set forth under "Item 1A. Risk Factors" including, in particular, risks relating to:

- our growth strategy;
- financing and strategic agreements and relationships;
- our need for substantial additional funds and uncertainties relating to financings;
- our ability to identify, acquire, close and integrate product candidates successfully and on a timely basis;
- our ability to attract, integrate and retain key personnel;
- the early stage of products under development;
- the results of research and development activities;
- uncertainties relating to preclinical and clinical testing;
- the ability to secure and maintain third-party manufacturing, marketing and distribution of our and our partner companies' products and product candidates;
- government regulation;
- patent and intellectual property matters; and
- competition.

We expressly disclaim any obligation or undertaking to release publicly any updates or revisions to any forward-looking statements contained herein to reflect any change in our expectations or any changes in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based, except as required by law. The information contained herein is intended to be reviewed in its totality, and any stipulations, conditions or provisos that apply to a given piece of information in one part of this presentation should be read as applying *mutatis mutandis* to every other instance of such information appearing herein.

## SUMMARY RISK FACTORS

Our business is subject to risks of which you should be aware before making an investment decision. The risks described below are a summary of the principal risks associated with an investment in us and are not the only risks we face. You should carefully consider these risk factors, the risk factors described in Item 1A, and the other reports and documents that we have filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”).

### Risks Inherent in Drug Development

- Many of our and our partner companies’ product candidates are in early development stages and are subject to time and cost intensive regulation and clinical testing. As a result, our product candidates may never be successfully developed or commercialized.
- Our competitors may develop treatments for our or our partner companies’ products’ target indications, which could limit our product candidates’ commercial opportunity and profitability.

### Risks Pertaining to the Need for and Impact of Existing and Additional Financing Activities

- We have a history of operating losses and we expect such losses to continue in the future.
- We have funded our operations in part through the assumption of debt, which lending agreements may restrict our operations. Further, the occurrence of any default event under any applicable loan document could adversely affect our business.
- Our research and development (“R&D”) programs will require additional capital, which we may be unable to raise as needed and which may impede our R&D programs, commercialization efforts, or planned acquisitions.
- If we raise additional capital by issuing securities, our existing stockholders will be diluted.

### Risks Pertaining to Our Existing Revenue Stream from Journey Medical Corporation (“Journey”)

- Our operating income derives primarily from the sale of our partner company Journey’s dermatology products, particularly Qbrexza, Amzeeq, Zilxi, Accutane, Ximino, Targadox and Exelderm. Any issues relating to the manufacture, sale, utilization, or reimbursement of Journey’s products (including products liability claims) could significantly impact our operating results.
- The majority of Journey’s sales derive from products that are without patent protection and/or are or may become subject to third party generic competition, the introduction of new competitor products, or an increase in market share of existing competitor products, any of which could have a significant adverse effect on our operating income. Four of Journey’s marketed products, Qbrexza, Amzeeq, Zilxi and Ximino, as well as DFD-29, a modified release oral minocycline for the treatment of rosacea licensed from Dr. Reddy’s Laboratories, currently have patent protection. Three of Journey’s marketed products, Accutane, Targadox, and Exelderm, do not have patent protection or otherwise are not eligible for patent protection. With respect to Journey products that are covered by valid claims of issued patents, such patents may be subject to invalidation, which would harm our operating income.
- Continued sales and coverage, including formulary inclusion without the need for a prior authorization or step edit therapy, of our products for commercial sale will depend in part on the availability of reimbursement from third-party payors. Third-party payors are increasingly examining the medical necessity and cost-effectiveness of medical products and services, in addition to their safety and efficacy, and, accordingly, significant uncertainty exists as to the reimbursement status of newly approved therapeutics.

**Risks Pertaining to our Business Strategy, Structure and Organization**

- We have entered, and will likely in the future enter, into certain collaborations or divestitures which may cause a reduction in our business' size and scope, market share and opportunities in certain markets, or our ability to compete in certain markets and therapeutic categories.
- We and our partner companies have also entered into several arrangements under which we and/or they have agreed to contingent dispositions of such partner companies and/or their assets. The failure to consummate any such transaction may impair the value of such companies and/or assets, and we may not be able to identify or execute alternative arrangements on favorable terms, if at all. The consummation of any such arrangements with respect to certain product candidates may also result in our eligibility to receive a lower portion of sales (if any) of resulting approved products than if we or our partner companies had developed and commercialized such product candidates ourselves.
- Our growth and success depend on our acquiring or in-licensing products or product candidates and integrating such products into our business.
- We act as guarantor and/or indemnitor of certain obligations of our subsidiaries and affiliates, which could require us to pay substantial amounts based on the actions or omissions of said subsidiaries or affiliates.

**Risks Pertaining to Reliance on Third Parties**

- We rely heavily on third parties for several aspects of our operations, including manufacturing and developing product candidates, conducting clinical trials, and producing commercial supplies for products. Such reliance on third-parties reduces our ability to control every aspect of the drug development process and may hinder our ability to develop and commercialize our products in a cost-effective and timely manner.

**Risks Pertaining to Intellectual Property and Potential Disputes with Licensors Thereof**

- If we are unable to obtain and maintain patent protection for our technologies and products, or if the scope of the patent protection obtained is not sufficiently broad, our competitors could develop and commercialize technologies and products similar or identical to ours, and our ability to successfully commercialize our technologies and products may be impaired.
- We or our licensors may be subject to costly and time-consuming litigation for infringement of third-party intellectual property rights or to enforce our or our licensors' patents.
- Any dispute with our licensors may affect our ability to develop or commercialize our product candidates.

**Risks Pertaining to Generic Competition and Paragraph IV Litigation**

- Generic drug companies may submit applications seeking approval to market generic versions of our products.
- In connection with these applications, generic drug companies may seek to challenge the validity and enforceability of our patents through litigation and/or with the United States Patent and Trademark Office (PTO), such as the Paragraph IV certification made by Perrigo pertaining to the patents covering Qbrexza, and subsequently, Amzeeq, two products being commercialized by our partner company Journey. Such challenges may subject us to costly and time-consuming litigation and/or PTO proceedings.
- As a result of the loss of any patent protection from such litigation or PTO proceedings, or the "at-risk" launch by a generic competitor of our products, our products could be sold at significantly lower prices, and we could lose a significant portion of sales of that product in a short period of time, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition, operating results and prospects.

**Risks Pertaining to the Commercialization of Product Candidates**

- If our products are not broadly accepted by the healthcare community, the revenues from any such products are likely to be limited.
- We may not obtain the desired product labels or intended uses for product promotion, or favorable scheduling classifications desirable to successfully promote our products.
- Even if a product candidate is approved, it may be subject to various post-marketing requirements, including studies or clinical trials, the results of which could cause such products to later be withdrawn from the market.
- Any successful products liability claim related to any of our current or future product candidates may cause us to incur substantial liability and limit the commercialization of such products.

**Risks Pertaining to Legislation and Regulation Affecting the Biopharmaceutical and Other Industries**

- We operate in a heavily regulated industry, and we cannot predict the impact that any future legislation or administrative or executive action may have on our operations.

## PART I

### Item 1. Business.

#### Overview

Fortress Biotech, Inc. (“Fortress” or the “Company”) is a biopharmaceutical company dedicated to acquiring, developing and commercializing pharmaceutical and biotechnology products and product candidates, which we do at the Fortress level, at our majority-owned and majority-controlled subsidiaries and joint ventures, and at entities we founded and in which we maintain significant minority ownership positions. Fortress has a talented and experienced business development team, comprising scientists, doctors and finance professionals, who identify and evaluate promising products and product candidates for potential acquisition by new or existing partner companies. Through our partner companies, we have executed arrangements with some of the world’s foremost universities, research institutes and pharmaceutical companies, including City of Hope National Medical Center, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Nationwide Children’s Hospital, Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Center, Columbia University, the University of Pennsylvania, Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research, AstraZeneca plc, and Dr. Reddy’s Laboratories, Ltd.

Following the exclusive license or other acquisition of the intellectual property underpinning a product or product candidate, Fortress leverages its business, scientific, regulatory, legal and finance expertise to help the partners achieve their goals. Partner companies assess a broad range of strategic arrangements to accelerate and provide additional funding to support research and development, including joint ventures, partnerships, out-licensing, and public and private financings. To date, four partner companies are publicly traded, and two have consummated strategic partnerships with industry leaders AstraZeneca plc (as successor-in-interest to Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.) and Sentyln Therapeutics, Inc.

Our subsidiary and partner companies that are pursuing development and/or commercialization of biopharmaceutical products and product candidates include Aevitas Therapeutics, Inc. (“Aevitas”), Baergic Bio, Inc. (“Baergic”), Caelum Biosciences, Inc. (“Caelum”), Cellvation, Inc. (“Cellvation”), Checkpoint Therapeutics, Inc. (“Checkpoint”), Cyprium Therapeutics, Inc. (“Cyprium”), Helocyte, Inc. (“Helocyte”), Journey Medical Corporation (“Journey” or “JMC”), Mustang Bio, Inc. (“Mustang”), Oncogenuity, Inc. (“Oncogenuity”) and UR-1 Therapeutics, Inc. (“UR-1”).

The Company is a Delaware corporation incorporated in 2006. As used throughout this filing, the words “we”, “us” and “our” may refer to Fortress individually or together with our affiliates and partners, and the word “partner” refers to either entities that are publicly traded and in which we own or control a majority of the ownership position or third party entities with whom we have a significant business relationship, each as dictated by context. We refer to private companies in which we own or control a majority of the ownership position as our subsidiaries; however instances of either term should be read as applying to either or both as dictated by context.

#### Product Candidates and Other Intellectual Property

##### Commercialized Products

Through our partner company Journey we actively market the following branded dermatology products:

**Qbrexza®:** Qbrexza is a medicated cloth towelette for the treatment of primary axillary hyperhidrosis.

**Accutane®:** Accutane (isotretinoin) capsule is an oral retinoid indicated for the treatment of severe recalcitrant nodular acne.

**Ximino®:** Ximino (minocycline hydrochloride) extended release capsule is a tetracycline-class drug indicated to treat only inflammatory lesions of non-nodular moderate to severe acne vulgaris.

**Targadox®:** Targadox (doxycycline hyclate USP) 50mg tablets is a tetracycline-class drug indicated as adjunctive therapy for severe acne.

[Table of Contents](#)

**Exelderm®:** Exelderm (sulconazole nitrate, USP) Cream and Solution are antifungal agents indicated for the treatment of tinea infection, such as ringworm and jock itch.

**Amzeeq®:** Amzeeq is a minocycline topical foam, 4% for the treatment of inflammatory lesions of non-nodular moderate to severe acne vulgaris in adults and children 9 years and older.

**Zilxi®:** Zilxi is a minocycline topical foam, 1.5% is the first and only topical minocycline treatment for inflammatory lesions due to rosacea in adults.

**Anti-itch product:** non-steroidal and antihistamine free topical steroid for the treatment of pruritis, scabies and other skin itch conditions to be launched in the second quarter of 2022.

**Late Stage Product Candidates**

***CUTX-101 (Copper Histidinate injection for Menkes Disease)***

Our partner company Cyprium is currently developing CUTX-101, a copper histidinate injection for the treatment of Menkes disease. Menkes disease is a rare X-linked pediatric disease caused by gene mutations of copper transporter ATP7A, which affects approximately 1 in 34,810 live male births, and potentially as high as 1 in 8,664 live male births, based on a recent genome-based ascertainment study. Menkes disease is characterized by distinctive clinical features, including sparse and depigmented hair (“kinky hair”), failure to thrive, connective tissue disorders and severe neurological symptoms such as seizures and hypotonia. Biochemically, Menkes patients may have low serum copper levels, as well as abnormal levels of catecholamine, but definitive diagnosis is typically made by sequencing of the ATP7A gene. There is no current U.S. Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) - approved treatment for Menkes disease. CUTX-101, along with an AAV-ATP7A gene therapy that is also being developed by Cyprium, was granted Orphan Drug Designation by the FDA. CUTX-101 was also granted Rare Pediatric Disease Designation by the FDA for the treatment of Menkes disease and Fast Track Designation for classic Menkes disease in patients who have not demonstrated significant clinical progression. The European Medicines Agency (“EMA”) Committee for Orphan Medicinal Products also granted Orphan Drug Designation for CUTX-101. In August 2020 Cyprium reported positive top-line clinical efficacy results for CUTX-101. In December 2020 the FDA granted Breakthrough Therapy Designation to CUTX-101. Additional information on the Expanded Access study can be found on [www.ClinicalTrials.gov](http://www.ClinicalTrials.gov) using identifier NCT04074512. The information contained on this website is not included in, or incorporated by reference into, this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

On February 24, 2021, Cyprium announced the execution of an asset purchase agreement with Sentyln Therapeutics, Inc. (“Sentyln”), a U.S.-based specialty pharmaceutical company owned by the Zydus Group. The asset purchase agreement commits Sentyln to an upfront cash payment to Cyprium of \$8.0 million, which was paid upon execution of the agreement, and \$12.0 million in future development and regulatory cash milestones through New Drug Application (“NDA”) approval, as well as potential sales milestones. Royalties on CUTX-101 net sales ranging from the mid-single digits up to the mid-twenties are also payable. Cyprium will retain development responsibility of CUTX-101 through approval of the NDA by the FDA, and Sentyln will be responsible for commercialization of CUTX-101 as well as progressing newborn screening activities. Continued development of CUTX-101 will be overseen by a Joint Steering Committee consisting of representatives from Cyprium and Sentyln. Cyprium will retain 100% ownership over any FDA priority review voucher that may be issued at NDA approval for CUTX-101.

In October 2021, Cyprium announced positive results from an efficacy and safety analysis of data integrated from two completed pivotal studies in patients with Menkes disease treated with CUTX-101, copper histidinate (CuHis). These data were presented as a [virtual poster](#) at the 2021 American Academy of Pediatrics National Conference & Exhibition.

On December 7, 2021, Cyprium announced the initiation of a rolling submission of its NDA to the FDA for CUTX-101 for the treatment of Menkes disease. Cyprium expects to complete the submission of the NDA to the FDA in mid-2022.



***MB-107 and MB-207 (Ex vivo Lentiviral Therapy for X-linked Severe Combined Immunodeficiency (XSCID))***

Our partner company Mustang collaborates with St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital (“St. Jude”) in the development of a first-in-class *ex vivo* lentiviral gene therapy for the treatment of X-linked severe combined immunodeficiency (“XSCID”), also known as bubble boy disease. On August 2, 2018, Mustang entered into an exclusive worldwide license agreement with St. Jude for the development of this therapy. XSCID is the most common form of severe combined immune deficiency. The acquisition of this license expands our pipeline into gene therapy, allowing us to leverage existing synergies for Mustang’s Worcester, Massachusetts, cell-processing facility. This gene therapy is currently in two Phase 1/2 clinical trials involving two different autologous cell products: a multicenter trial of the MB-107 product in newly diagnosed infants sponsored by St. Jude ([ClinicalTrials.gov](#) Identifier: NCT01512888) and a single-center trial of the MB-207 product in previously transplanted patients sponsored by the National Institutes of Health (“NIH”) ([ClinicalTrials.gov](#) Identifier: NCT01306019). In April 2020, the EMA granted Advanced Therapy Medicinal Product (“ATMP”) classification to MB-107. The FDA also previously granted Regenerative Medicine Advanced Therapy (“RMAT”) designation to MB-107 in August 2019. In the third quarter of 2020, the FDA granted Rare Pediatric Disease Designation and Orphan Drug Designation to both MB-107 and MB-207.

In May 2020, Mustang submitted an Investigational New Product Drug Application (“IND”) application with the FDA to initiate a registrational multicenter Phase 2 clinical trial of MB-107 in newly diagnosed infants with XSCID who are under the age of two. In response, the FDA identified Chemistry, Manufacturing and Controls (“CMC”) hold issues that Mustang satisfactorily addressed in a December 2020 submission to the Agency, and the CMC hold was removed in January 2021.

Mustang filed an IND in the fourth quarter of 2021 for a pivotal non-randomized multicenter Phase 2 clinical trial of MB-207 in previously transplanted XSCID patients. In January 2022, the FDA issued a hold, pending CMC clearance, on Mustang’s IND application.

***Cosibelimab (Anti-PD-L1 mAb for CSCC and NSCLC)***

Our partner company Checkpoint is currently evaluating its lead antibody product candidate, cosibelimab (formerly CK-301), an anti-PD-L1 antibody licensed from the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, in an ongoing global, open-label, multicohort Phase 1 clinical trial in checkpoint therapy-naïve patients with selected recurrent or metastatic cancers, including ongoing cohorts in locally advanced and metastatic cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma (“CSCC”) intended to support one or more applications for marketing approval. Additional information on the Phase 1 trial can be found on [www.ClinicalTrials.gov](#) using identifier NCT03212404. Checkpoint also has a collaboration agreement with TG Therapeutics, Inc. (“TGTX”) whereby TGTX was granted the rights to develop and commercialize cosibelimab in the field of hematological malignancies, while Checkpoint retains the right to develop and commercialize these assets in solid tumors.

In December 2021, Checkpoint announced the initiation of the CONTERNO study, a global, open-label, multi-center, randomized Phase 3 trial of cosibelimab in combination with pemetrexed and platinum chemotherapy for the first-line treatment of patients with non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer (“NSCLC”). The primary endpoint for the CONTERNO Phase 3 trial is overall survival (“OS”), and key secondary endpoints include progression-free survival (“PFS”), objective response rate (“ORR”), and safety. The study is designed to potentially support full regulatory approvals worldwide.

In January 2022, Checkpoint announced positive topline results from a cohort of the registration-enabling Phase 1 clinical trial of cosibelimab administered as a fixed dose of 800 mg every two weeks in patients with metastatic CSCC. The cohort met its primary endpoint, with cosibelimab demonstrating a confirmed ORR of 47.4% (95% CI: 36.0, 59.1) based on independent central review of 78 patients enrolled in the metastatic CSCC cohort using Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors version 1.1 (“RECIST 1.1”). Based on these results, Checkpoint intends to submit a Biologics License Application (“BLA”) to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) for cosibelimab in late 2022, to be followed by a marketing authorization application (“MAA”) submission in Europe and additional potential submissions in markets worldwide.

***Olafertinib (also known as CK-101, EGFR inhibitor for EGFR mutation-positive NSCLC)***

Checkpoint is also currently evaluating a lead small-molecule, targeted anti-cancer agent, olafertinib as an oral, third-generation, irreversible kinase inhibitor against selective mutations of epidermal growth factor receptors (“EGFR”) in a Phase 1 clinical trial for the potential treatment of adult patients with metastatic NSCLC, whose tumors have EGFR exon 19 deletion mutations. Checkpoint believes that olafertinib has the potential to be effective in this population as a monotherapy or in combination with other anti-tumor immune response potentiating compounds. In September 2017, Checkpoint received FDA Orphan Drug Designation for olafertinib for the treatment of EGFR mutation-positive NSCLC.

In September 2018, Checkpoint announced preliminary interim safety and efficacy data from the ongoing Phase 1 clinical trial. The data were presented in an oral presentation at the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer (“IASLC”) 19th World Conference on Lung Cancer in Toronto. Additional information on the Phase 1 trial can be found on [www.ClinicalTrials.gov](http://www.ClinicalTrials.gov) using identifier NCT02926768.

In November 2020, NeuPharma, Inc. commenced a Phase 3 clinical trial in China evaluating olafertinib in treatment-naïve locally advanced or metastatic NSCLC patients whose tumors have EGFR exon 19 deletion mutations. Checkpoint has met with the FDA to discuss the adequacy of the ongoing Phase 3 trial in China.

***CAEL-101 (Light Chain Fibril-reactive Monoclonal Antibody for AL Amyloidosis)***

Our former partner company Caelum, in collaboration with AstraZeneca plc (“AstraZeneca”), is working to develop a novel, first-in-class monoclonal antibody called CAEL-101 for the treatment of amyloid light chain (“AL”) amyloidosis. CAEL-101 is designed to improve organ function by reducing or eliminating amyloid deposits in the tissues and organs of patients with AL amyloidosis. The antibody is designed to bind to insoluble light chain amyloid protein, including both kappa and lambda subtypes. In a Phase 1a/1b study, CAEL-101 demonstrated improved organ function, including cardiac and renal function, in 27 patients with relapsed and refractory AL amyloidosis who had previously not had an organ response to standard of care therapy. These data support CAEL-101’s potential to be a well-tolerated therapy that promotes amyloid resolution. In a Phase 2 dose escalation study, safety and tolerability of CAEL-101 supported the selection of the 1000 mg/m<sup>2</sup> dose for the Phase 3 studies. CAEL-101 has received Orphan Drug Designation from the FDA as a therapy for patients with AL amyloidosis, and as a radio-imaging agent in AL amyloidosis.

In September 2020 Caelum initiated two Phase 3 studies of CAEL-101 for AL amyloidosis. Additional information on the Phase 3 trials, both of which are actively enrolling patients, can be found at [www.ClinicalTrials.gov](http://www.ClinicalTrials.gov) using identifiers NCT04512235 and NCT04504825.

On October 5, 2021, AstraZeneca acquired Caelum for an upfront payment of approximately \$150 million paid to Caelum shareholders, of which approximately \$56.9 million was paid to Fortress, net of the ten percent, 24-month escrow holdback amount and other miscellaneous transaction expenses. The agreement also provides for additional potential payments to Caelum shareholders totaling up to \$350 million, payable upon the achievement of regulatory and commercial milestones. Fortress is eligible to receive 42.4% of all possible proceeds of the transaction, totaling up to approximately \$212 million.

***Triplex (Vaccine for Cytomegalovirus)***

Through our partner company Helocyte, we are developing Triplex, a universal recombinant Modified Vaccinia Ankara viral vector vaccine engineered to induce a rapid, robust and durable virus-specific T cell response to three immuno-dominant proteins (UL83 (pp65), UL123 (IE1), and UL122 (IE2)) linked to cytomegalovirus (“CMV”) complications in the transplant setting. In a Phase 1 study, Triplex was found to be safe, well-tolerated and highly immunogenic when administered to healthy volunteers at multiple dose levels ([ClinicalTrials.gov](#) Identifier: NCT01941056). In a Phase 2 trial, Triplex was observed to be safe, well-tolerated, highly immunogenic and efficacious in reducing CMV events in allogeneic stem cell transplant recipients ([ClinicalTrials.gov](#) Identifier: NCT02506933). Triplex is currently the subject of multiple other ongoing and planned studies, one involving vaccination of the stem cell transplant donor (followed by vaccination of the recipient) in higher risk patients. Helocyte will potentially initiate studies of Triplex for CMV control in recipients of kidney and liver transplant. Helocyte secured an exclusive, worldwide license to Triplex from City of Hope National Medical Center (“COH”) in April of 2015.

In December 2021, Helocyte announced that a Phase 2 double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial was initiated to evaluate the safety and efficacy of Triplex, a cytomegalovirus (“CMV”) vaccine, in eliciting a CMV-specific immune response and reducing CMV replication in people living with HIV. The trial is being conducted by the AIDS Clinical Trials Group and is funded by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease, part of the National Institutes of Health.

***CEVA101 (Cellular Therapeutic for Severe Traumatic Brain Injury)***

Through our partner company Cellvation, we are developing CEVA101, a cellular product comprised of autologous Bone Marrow-derived Mononuclear Cells (“BMMNCs”) currently being developed for the treatment of severe traumatic brain injury (“TBI”) in adults and children. In separate Phase 1 trials of adults and children with severe TBI, CEVA101 was observed to be safe, well-tolerated and efficacious (resulting in volumetric preservation versus time-matched controls, and in the case of children, reducing the Pediatric Intensity Level of Therapy or PILOT score), see [ClinicalTrials.gov](#) Identifiers NCT01575470 and NCT0254722.

In a randomized, placebo-controlled, multi-center Phase 2 study of children with severe TBI completed in November 2020, CEVA101 was similarly observed to be safe, well-tolerated and efficacious (resulting in volumetric preservation and a reduction in the PILOT score of those receiving CEVA101 versus those receiving placebo), see [ClinicalTrials.gov](#) Identifier NCT01851083). A randomized, placebo-controlled Phase 2 study of CEVA101 for the treatment of severe TBI in adults is ongoing (see [ClinicalTrials.gov](#) Identifier NCT02525432). In 2017, Cellvation secured RMAT designation for CEVA101 in the treatment of severe TBI. The RMAT designation is expected to facilitate expedited development and review of CEVA101. Cellvation secured an exclusive worldwide license to CEVA101 (as well as CEVA-D and CEVA102) from University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston in October of 2016.

***DFD-29 (A Modified Release Oral Minocycline for Inflammatory Lesions of Rosacea)***

Through our partner company Journey in collaboration with Dr. Reddy’s Laboratories, Ltd. (“DRL”), we are developing DFD-29, a modified release oral minocycline for the treatment of inflammatory lesions of rosacea.

In connection with the DRL collaboration, Journey will complete the development of DFD-29, which includes conducting two Phase 3 studies to assess the efficacy, safety and tolerability of oral DFD-29 for the treatment of rosacea and the regulatory submission of a new drug application under Section 505(b)(2) of the FDCA. In addition, DRL will provide development support including the monitoring of two Phase 3 clinical trials. Journey is planning on initiating the Phase 3 trials in the first quarter of 2022 with top-line data expected in the second half of 2022 and an anticipated NDA filing in the second half of 2023. Journey dosed the first patient in the Phase 3 program in March 2022.

**Early Stage Product Candidates**

***Dotinurad***

Through our partner company UR-1, in May 2021, we acquired an exclusive license from Fuji Yakuhin Co. Ltd. (“Fuji”) to develop Dotinurad in North America and Europe. Dotinurad is a potential best-in-class urate transporter (URAT1) inhibitor for gout and possibly other hyperuricemic indications. Dotinurad (URECE® tablet) was approved in Japan in 2020 as a once-daily oral therapy for gout and hyperuricemia. Dotinurad was efficacious and well-tolerated in more than 500 Japanese patients treated for up to 58 weeks in Phase 3 clinical trials.

In December 2021, UR-1 filed an IND with the FDA. UR-1 expects to initiate a Phase 1 clinical trial to evaluate Dotinurad for the treatment of gout in the first half of 2022.

***MB-102 (CD123 CAR T Cell Program for BPDCN, AML and high-risk MDS)***

Our partner company Mustang collaborates with COH and Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center (“Fred Hutch”) in the development of proprietary, autologous, chimeric antigen receptor (“CAR”) engineered T-cell (“CAR T”) therapies. CAR T therapies use the patient’s own T-cells to engage and destroy specific tumors. The process involves selecting specific T-cell subtypes, genetically engineering them to express chimeric antigen receptors and placing them back in the patient where they recognize and destroy cancer cells. We believe that harnessing the body’s own immune system to treat cancer is the next generation of cancer care that may prove curative across tumor types that have proved resistant to standard pharmacological and biological treatments.

One such CAR T is CD123 or MB-102, a subunit of the heterodimeric interleukin-3-receptor (“IL-3R”), which is widely expressed on human hematologic malignancies including blastic plasmacytoid dendritic cell neoplasm (“BPDCN”) and acute myeloid leukemia (“AML”). In addition, CD123 can be found on the surface of B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (“B-ALL”), hairy cell leukemia, myelodysplastic syndrome (“MDS”), chronic myeloid leukemia (“CML”) and Hodgkin lymphoma.

Of these malignancies, Mustang is currently investigating CD123 as a target for adoptive cellular immunotherapy in BPDCN, since high CD123 expression is associated with enhanced cell proliferation, increased resistance of these cells to apoptosis, and poor clinical prognosis. Depending on the early results in this patient population, Mustang may broaden the inclusion criteria to include AML and high-risk MDS (“hrMDS”). CD123 is overexpressed in the vast majority of cases of AML and hrMDS and in essentially all cases of BPDCN.

In October 2020, Mustang announced the dosing of the first patient in a multicenter Phase 1/2 clinical trial of MB-102 in patients with relapsed or refractory BPDCN ([ClinicalTrials.gov](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT04109482) Identifier: NCT04109482). This is also the first clinical trial under a Mustang IND in which a patient was dosed with cells processed in Mustang’s own manufacturing facility.

***MB-101 (IL13Ra2 CAR T Cell Program for Glioblastoma)***

Mustang is also currently developing MB-101, an optimized CAR T product incorporating enhancements in CAR T design and T cell engineering to improve antitumor potency and T cell persistence. Having optimized dose, schedule, route of administration and T cell selection, enrollment in a Phase 1 trial is nearly complete at COH combining MB-101 with immune checkpoint inhibitors to treat patients with recurrent or refractory glioblastoma multiforme (“GBM”). Additional information on the trial can be found on [www.ClinicalTrials.gov](https://www.ClinicalTrials.gov) using identifier NCT02208362. Results from this study has laid the foundation for 3 new MB-101 studies:

1. MB-101 with or without nivolumab and ipilimumab in treating patients with recurrent or refractory glioblastoma (currently enrolling patients; [ClinicalTrials.gov](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT04003649) Identifier: NCT04003649);
2. MB-101 in treating patients with recurrent or refractory glioblastoma with a substantial component of leptomeningeal disease (currently enrolling patients; [ClinicalTrials.gov](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT04661384) Identifier: NCT04661384);

3. MB-101 in combination with the C134 oncolytic virus (MB-108) in treating patients with recurrent or refractory glioblastoma (IND filing expected in the second half of 2022). This combination will be referred to as MB-109.

GBM is the most common brain and central nervous system (“CNS”) cancer, accounting for 45.2% of malignant primary brain and CNS tumors, 54% of all gliomas, and 16% of all primary brain and CNS tumors. More than 13,000 new glioblastoma cases were predicted in the U.S. for 2020. Malignant brain tumors are the most common cause of cancer-related deaths in adolescents and young adults aged 15-39 and the most common cancer occurring among 15-19 year-olds in the U.S. While GBM is a rare disease (2-3 cases per 100,000 persons per year in the US and EU), it is quite lethal, with five-year survival rates historically under 10%. Standard of care therapy consists of maximal surgical resection, radiation, and chemotherapy with temozolomide, which, while rarely curative, is shown to extend median overall survival from 4.5 to 15 months. GBM remains difficult to treat due to the inherent resistance of the tumor to conventional therapies.

Immunotherapy approaches targeting brain tumors offer promise over conventional treatments. IL13R $\alpha$ 2 is an attractive target for CAR T therapy, as it has limited expression in normal tissue but is over-expressed on the surface of greater than 50% of GBM tumors. CAR T cells are designed to express membrane-tethered IL-13 receptor ligand mutated at a single site (glutamic acid at position 13 to a tyrosine; E13Y) with high affinity for IL13R $\alpha$ 2 and reduced binding to IL13R $\alpha$ 1 in order to reduce healthy tissue targeting (Kahlon KS *et al. Cancer Research.* 2004;64:9160-9166).

#### ***MB-104 (CS1 CAR T for Multiple Myeloma and Light Chain Amyloidosis)***

Another Mustang program is a CAR T directed against CS1 (also known as CD319, CRACC and SLAMF7), which was identified as an NK cell receptor regulating immune functions. It is also expressed on B cells, T cells, dendritic cells, NK-T cells, and monocytes. CS1 is overexpressed in multiple myeloma (“MM”) and light chain amyloidosis (“AL”), which makes it a good target for immunotherapy. A humanized anti-CS1 antibody, elotuzumab (Empliciti®), is approved in combination with other medications for the treatment of adult patients with MM who have received prior therapies. Despite great advances in treatment, MM remains an incurable malignancy of plasma cells. AL is a protein deposition disorder that is a result of a plasma cell dysplasia, similar to MM. Immunotherapy is an attractive approach for AL because of the low burden of disease. Our academic partners at COH have developed a novel second generation CS1-specific CAR T cell therapy. In preclinical studies, they have demonstrated efficacy of these CAR T cells, both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, within the context of clinically relevant models of MM and AL. COH is evaluating the safety of this CS1-specific CAR T cell therapy in a Phase 1 trial ([ClinicalTrials.gov](#) Identifier: NCT03710421). Once COH has established a safe and effective dose for MB-104 in this trial, Mustang expects to file an IND for a multicenter Phase 1/2 trial for the treatment of patients with MM.

#### ***MB-106 (CD20 CAR T for B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) and chronic lymphocytic leukemia(CLL))***

CD20 is a B-cell lineage-specific phosphoprotein that is expressed in high, homogeneous density on the surface of more than 95% of B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma (“NHL”). CD20 is stable on the cell surface with minimal shedding or internalization upon binding antibody and is present at only nanomolar levels as soluble antigen. It is well established as an effective immunotherapy target, with extensive studies demonstrating improved tumor responses and survival of B-NHL patients treated with rituximab and other anti-CD20 antibodies. A CD20-targeted third-generation autologous CAR T cell therapy is being developed by our partner company Mustang in a collaboration with Fred Hutch.

More than 70,000 new cases of NHL are diagnosed each year in the United States, and more than 19,000 patients die of this group of diseases annually. Most forms of NHL including follicular lymphoma, mantle cell lymphoma, marginal zone lymphoma, lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma, and small lymphocytic lymphoma, which account collectively for ~45% of all cases of NHL, are incurable with available therapies, except for allogenic hematopoietic stem cell transplant (“allo-SCT”). However, many NHL patients are not suitable candidates for allo-SCT, and this treatment is also limited by significant rates of morbidity and mortality due to graft-versus-host disease. Innovative new treatments are therefore urgently needed.

Fred Hutch has an open IND for a Phase 1/2 clinical study to assess the anti-tumor activity and safety of administering CD20-directed CAR T cells (MB-106) to patients with relapsed or refractory B-cell NHL or chronic lymphocytic leukemia ([Clinicaltrials.gov](https://clinicaltrials.gov) Identifier: NCT03277729). This IND was submitted on February 24, 2017, with Fred Hutch as the sponsor. The trial will also assess CAR T cell persistence and determine the potential immunogenicity of the cells, and Mustang together with Fred Hutch will determine a recommended Phase 2 dose

In December 2020, at the 62<sup>nd</sup> American Society of Hematology Annual Meeting, Mustang and Fred Hutch announced interim data in patients with relapsed or refractory B-cell NHL from the ongoing Phase 1/2 clinical trial of MB-106. Following optimization of the cell processing, 9 patients – 7 with follicular lymphoma and 2 with mantle cell lymphoma – were treated at 4 different dose levels ranging from  $1 \times 10^5$  CAR T cells/kg to  $3.3 \times 10^6$  CAR T cells/kg. The overall response rate was 89% (8/9), and the complete response rate was 44% (4/9). One patient experienced a grade 1 episode of cytokine release syndrome (“CRS”), and no patients experienced immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome (“ICANS”). Mustang also plans to file an IND in the first quarter of 2021 to enable the initiation of a multicenter Phase 1/2 trial of MB-106.

In May 2021, Mustang announced that the FDA approved its IND application to initiate a multicenter Phase 1/2 clinical trial investigating the safety and efficacy of MB-106.

In June 2021, Mustang announced that MB-106 CD20-targeted CAR T data were presented at EHA2021. Dr. Mazyar Shadman of Fred Hutch presented updated interim data from the ongoing Phase 1/2 clinical trial for B-NHL and CLL, which showed a favorable safety profile and compelling clinical activity, with a 93% overall response rate and 67% complete response rate in patients treated with the modified cell manufacturing process.

In November 2021, Mustang was awarded a grant of approximately \$2 million from NCI of the National Institutes of Health. This two-year award will partially fund the Mustang-sponsored multicenter trial to assess the safety, tolerability and efficacy of MB-106.

In December 2021, we announced MB-106 data presented at ASH2021. Dr. Mazyar Shadman of Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center presented updated interim data showing a 95% overall response rate, 65% complete response rate and favorable safety profile from the ongoing Phase 1/2 clinical trial for NHL and CLL. No patient experienced CRS or ICANS > grade 3.

#### ***MB-103 (HER2 CAR T for GBM & Metastatic Breast Cancer to Brain)***

HER2/neu (often shortened to “HER2”) is a growth-promoting protein on the outside of all breast cells. Breast cancer cells with higher than normal levels of HER2 are called HER2-positive (“HER2+”). These cancers tend to grow and spread faster than other breast cancers. Breast cancer is the most commonly diagnosed cancer in women, with over 42,000 women in the United States expected to die from advanced metastatic disease in 2020. Approximately 20% to 25% of breast cancers overexpress HER2, which is an established therapeutic target of both monoclonal antibodies (“mAbs”) and receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors. With the advent of effective mAbs directed against HER2, the median overall survival of patients with metastatic HER2+ breast cancer has improved. However, management of metastatic disease in the CNS observed in up to 50% of HER2+ breast cancer patients continues to be a clinical challenge in large part due to the inability of mAbs to sufficiently cross the blood-brain barrier. Although small-molecule inhibitors of HER2 exist and have been clinically approved, their single-agent efficacy in the context of metastatic disease to the brain has been limited. While HER2-targeted therapy in combination with conventional agents has shown some promise for the treatment of patients with metastatic breast cancer, control of brain metastases remains a significant unmet clinical need, as most patients survive less than two years following CNS involvement.

CAR-based T-cell immunotherapy is being actively investigated for the treatment of solid tumors, including HER2+ cancers. Mustang’s academic partners at COH have developed a second-generation HER2-specific CAR T-cell for the treatment of refractory/relapsed HER2+ GBM, as well as for the treatment of brain and/or leptomeningeal metastases from HER2+ cancers. COH’s preclinical data demonstrate effective targeting of breast cancer brain metastases with intraventricular delivery of HER2-directed CAR T cells. COH is evaluating the safety of this HER2-specific CAR T cell therapy in two phase 1 trials that commenced in the fourth quarter of 2018. Additional information on the Phase 1 trials can be found on [www.ClinicalTrials.gov](https://www.ClinicalTrials.gov) using identifiers NCT03389230 and NCT03696030.

***MB-108 (HSV-1 Oncolytic Virus C134)***

C134 is a next-generation oncolytic herpes simplex virus (“oHSV”) that is conditionally replication competent; that is, it can replicate in tumor cells, but not in normal cells, thus killing the tumor cells directly through this process. It is currently in development at Mustang. It was in-licensed from Nationwide Children’s Hospital, and the University of Alabama at Birmingham (“UAB”) is evaluating the safety of this oncolytic virus in patients with recurrent glioblastoma multiforme. Additional information on the ongoing Phase 1 trial of MB-108 can be found on [www.ClinicalTrials.gov](http://www.ClinicalTrials.gov) using identifier NCT03657576. In the second half of 2022 Mustang intends to file an IND for a two-center trial of MB-108 in combination with MB-101 to potentially enhance efficacy in treating GBM. This combination is to be referred to as MB-109.

In October 2020 the Phase 1 trial of MB-108 was put on hold due to toxicity at the highest dose level; following dose reduction, no further dose-limiting toxicities have been observed.

***MB-105 (PSCA CAR T for Prostate & Pancreatic Cancers)***

Prostate stem-cell antigen (“PSCA”) is a glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored cell membrane glycoprotein. In addition to being highly expressed in the prostate it is also expressed in the bladder, placenta, colon, kidney, and stomach. Prostate cancer may be amenable to T cell-based immunotherapy since several tumor antigens, including PSCA, are widely over-expressed in metastatic disease. Mustang’s academic partners at COH have developed a second-generation PSCA-specific CAR T cell therapy that has demonstrated robust *in vitro* and *in vivo* anti-tumor activity in patient-derived, clinically relevant, bone-metastatic prostate cancer xenograft models. COH is evaluating the safety of this PSCA-specific CAR T cell therapy in a Phase 1 trial treating patients with PSCA+ metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. Additional information on this trial can be found on [www.ClinicalTrials.gov](http://www.ClinicalTrials.gov) using identifier NCT03873805.

In October 2020, Mustang announced initial data from the Phase 1 clinical trial in patients with PSCA+-positive castration-resistance prostate cancer (“CRPC”). In a presentation at the Annual Prostate Cancer Foundation Scientific Retreat, the COH principal investigator reported results from a highly refractory patient treated with MB-105 who experienced a 94 percent reduction in prostate-specific antigen (PSA), near complete reduction of measurable soft tissue metastasis by computerized tomography, and improvement in bone metastases by magnetic resonance imaging. Data presented in February 2022 indicate that PSCA-CAR T-cell therapy is feasible in patients with mCRPC with a dose-limiting toxicity of cystitis, and shows preliminary anti-tumor effect at a dose of 100M cells plus lymphodepletion. It was concluded that escalation up to the next dose level of 300M can proceed in the trial. Additional data could potentially be provided in the second half of 2022.

***BAER-101 (novel  $\alpha 2/3$ -subtype-selective GABA A positive allosteric modulator (“PAM”))***

Through our majority-owned partner Baergic, we are developing BAER-101, a high affinity, selective modulator of the gamma-aminobutyric acid (“GABA”) A, which is a receptor system with differential binding and modulatory properties dependent on the particular GABA A subtype. Baergic intends to explore BAER-101 in a number of CNS disorders where patients are not adequately treated.

**Preclinical Product Candidates**

***Mayo Clinic CAR T Technology***

In August 2021, our partner company Mustang announced an exclusive license agreement with Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research (“Mayo Clinic”) for a novel technology to create *in vivo* CAR T cells that may be able to transform the administration of CAR T therapies and has the potential to be used as an off-the-shelf therapy.

### ***AAV-ATP7A Gene Therapy***

Through our majority-owned partner Cyprium, we are developing adeno-associated virus (“AAV”) gene therapy (“AAV-ATP7A”). In March 2017, Cyprium entered into a license agreement with *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (“NICHD”) to acquire the global rights to develop and commercialize AAV-ATP7A gene therapy. AAV-ATP7A gene therapy has demonstrated the ability to rescue neurological phenotypes and improve survival when coadministered with copper histidinate injections in a mouse model of Menkes disease and has been granted Orphan Drug Designation by the FDA.

### ***AVTS-001 Gene Therapy***

Through our majority-owned partner Aevitas, we are developing AVTS-001, an AAV gene therapy to treat diseases associated with a dysregulated complement system via AAV delivery of functional short Factor H. Aevitas has licensed an engineered, fully functional shortened version of Factor H which can be packaged by AAV, from the University of Pennsylvania. Aevitas also has a collaboration with University of Massachusetts Medical to optimize AAV constructs. The lead target indications are Dry Age-related Macular Degeneration (“Dry AMD”) and autoimmune disorders with high unmet need including atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome (also known as “aHUS”) and paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria (also known as “PNH”).

### ***CK-103 (BET Inhibitor)***

Checkpoint is currently developing CK-103, a novel, selective and potent small molecule inhibitor of bromodomain and extra-terminal (“BET”) bromodomains. Checkpoint plans to develop CK-103 for the treatment of various advanced and metastatic solid tumor cancers, including, but not limited to, those associated with elevated c-Myc expression. Checkpoint entered into an exclusive license agreement with Jubilant Biosys Limited to develop and commercialize novel compounds that inhibit BET bromodomains on a worldwide basis. Checkpoint entered into a Sublicense Agreement with TGTX to develop and commercialize CK-103 in the field of hematological malignancies. Checkpoint retains the right to develop and commercialize CK-103 in solid tumors. Currently, Checkpoint has completed the required CMC, pharmacology and toxicology activities that we believe will support an IND application filing.

### ***CEVA-D and CEVA-102***

In partnership with Cellvation, we are developing CEVA-D, a novel bioreactor device that enhances the anti-inflammatory potency of bone marrow-derived cells without genetic manipulation, using wall shear stress (“WSS”) to suppress tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  (“TNF- $\alpha$ ”) production by activated immune cells. CEVA-102 is the first cell product produced by CEVA-D, which we plan to develop for various indications, including the treatment of severe TBI in adults and children.

### ***CK-302 (Anti-GITR)***

CK-302 is a fully human agonistic monoclonal antibody in development at Checkpoint that is designed to bind and trigger signaling in GITR expressing cells. GITR is a co-stimulatory molecule of the TNF receptor family and is expressed on activated T cells, B cells, natural killer (“NK”) and regulatory T cells (“Treg”). Checkpoint believes that an anti-GITR antibody has the potential to be effective in one or more oncological indications as a monotherapy or in combination with an anti-PD-L1 antibody as well as other anti-tumor immune response potentiating compounds and targeted therapies.

### ***CK-303 (Anti-CAIX)***

Also in development at Checkpoint is CK-303, a fully human anti-carbonic anhydrase IX (“CAIX”) antibody designed to recognize CAIX expressing cells and kill them via antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (“ADCC”) and complement-dependent cytotoxicity (“CDC”). Scientific literature indicates that CAIX is a well characterized tumor associated antigen with expression almost exclusively limited to the cells of renal cell carcinoma (“RCC”). More than 85% of RCC cases have been demonstrated to express high levels of CAIX expression. There is very limited expression of this antigen on healthy tissue which Checkpoint believes will limit reactivity of this antibody against healthy tissues. Checkpoint is still in preclinical development for this program.



***ConVax (formerly Pentamer)***

We and our partner Helocyte are also developing ConVax, a universal recombinant Modified Vaccinia Ankara viral vector vaccine designed to induce robust and durable humoral and cellular immune responses to cytomegalovirus (“CMV”). ConVax is currently undergoing nonclinical development.

***ONCOlogues (Oligonucleotide Platform)***

Our partner company Oncogenity is developing a delivery platform that allows peptic nucleic acids (“PNAs”) to enter cell membrane and nucleus, displace the targeted mutant DNA strand, and prevent mutant mRNA transcription. The platform has demonstrated in vitro proof-of-concept data in KRAS G12D models and Oncogenity is seeking to optimize lead candidates targeting genetically driven cancers, including KRAS G12D, and other genetic disorders.

**Intellectual Property Generally**

Our goal is to obtain, maintain and enforce patent protection for our product candidates, formulations, processes, methods and any other proprietary technologies, preserve our trade secrets, and operate without infringing on the proprietary rights of other parties, both in the United States and in other countries. Our policy is to actively seek to obtain, where appropriate, the broadest intellectual property protection possible for our product candidates, proprietary information and proprietary technology through a combination of contractual arrangements and patents, both in the United States and abroad. However, patent protection may not afford us with complete protection against competitors who seek to circumvent our patents.

We also depend upon the skills, knowledge, experience and know-how of our and our partners’ management and research and development personnel, as well as that of our advisers, consultants and other contractors. To help protect our proprietary know-how, which is not patentable, and for inventions for which patents may be difficult to enforce, we and our partners currently rely and will in the future rely on trade secret protection and confidentiality agreements to protect our interests. To this end, we and our partners require all of our employees, consultants, advisers and other contractors to enter into confidentiality agreements that prohibit the disclosure of confidential information and, where applicable, require disclosure and assignment to us of the ideas, developments, discoveries and inventions important to our business.

**Competition**

We and our partners operate in highly competitive segments of the biotechnology and biopharmaceutical markets. We face competition from many different sources, including commercial pharmaceutical and biotechnology enterprises, academic institutions, government agencies, and private and public research institutions. Many of our and our partners’ competitors have significantly greater financial, product development, manufacturing and marketing resources than us. Large pharmaceutical companies have extensive experience in clinical testing and obtaining regulatory approval for drugs. In addition, many universities and private and public research institutes are active in research in direct competition with us and our partners. We and our partners also may compete with these organizations to recruit scientists and clinical development personnel. Smaller or early-stage companies may also prove to be significant competitors, particularly through collaborative arrangements with large and established companies.

Our competitors are pursuing the development and/or acquisition of pharmaceuticals, medical devices and over-the-counter (“OTC”) products that target the same diseases and conditions that we are targeting in biotechnology, biopharmaceutical, dermatological and other therapeutic areas. If competitors introduce new products, delivery systems or processes with therapeutic or cost advantages, our products can be subject to progressive price reductions or decreased volume of sales, or both. Most new products that we introduce must compete with other products already on the market or products that are later developed by competitors. The principal methods of competition for our products include quality, efficacy, market acceptance, price, and marketing and promotional efforts, patient access programs and product insurance coverage reimbursement.

The only pharmaceutical area in which we sell marketed products is dermatology, and the dermatology competitive landscape is highly fragmented, with a large number of mid-size and smaller companies competing in both the prescription sector and the OTC sector. Our competitors are pursuing the development and/or acquisition of pharmaceuticals, medical devices and OTC products that target the same diseases and conditions that we are targeting in dermatology. Competitive factors vary by product line and geographic area in which our products are sold. The principal methods of competition for our products include quality, efficacy, market acceptance, price, and marketing and promotional efforts.

Branded products often must compete with therapeutically similar branded or generic products or with generic equivalents. Such competition frequently increases over time. For example, if competitors introduce new products, delivery systems or processes with therapeutic or cost advantages, our products could be subject to progressive price reductions and/or decreased volume of sales. To successfully compete for business, we must often demonstrate that our products offer not only medical benefits, but also cost advantages as compared with other forms of care. Accordingly, we face pressure to continually seek out technological innovations and to market our products effectively.

Our major competitors, including Galderma Laboratories, Vyne Therapeutics, Sol-Gel Technologies, Almirall, Verrica Pharmaceuticals, Cassiopea, MC2 Therapeutics, EPI Health, Sun Pharma, Leo Pharma and Arcutis Biotherapeutics, among others, vary depending on therapeutic and product category, dosage strength and drug-delivery systems, among other factors.

### **Generic Competition**

Our partner company Journey faces increased competition from manufacturers of generic pharmaceutical products, who may submit applications to FDA seeking to market generic versions of Journey's products. In connection with these applications, the generic drug companies may seek to challenge the validity and enforceability of our patents through litigation. When patents covering certain of our products (if applicable) expire or are successfully challenged through litigation or in PTO proceedings, if a generic company launches a competing product "at risk," or when the regulatory or licensed exclusivity for our products (if applicable) expires or is otherwise lost, we may face generic competition as a result. Generic versions are generally significantly less expensive than branded versions, and, where available, may be required to be utilized before or in preference to the branded version under third-party reimbursement programs, or substituted by pharmacies. Accordingly, when a branded product loses its market exclusivity, it normally faces intense price competition from generic forms of the product. To successfully compete for business with managed care and pharmacy benefits management organizations, we must often demonstrate that our products offer not only medical benefits, but also cost advantages as compared with other forms of care. Generic products generally face intense competition from other generic equivalents (including authorized generics) and therapeutically similar branded or generic products.

### **Government Regulation and Product Approval**

Government authorities in the United States, at the federal, state and local level, and other countries extensively regulate, among other things, the research, development, testing, manufacture, quality control, approval, labeling, packaging, storage, record-keeping, promotion, advertising, distribution, post-approval monitoring and reporting, marketing and export and import of products such as those we and our partners are developing.

***United States Pharmaceutical Product Development Process***

In the United States, the FDA regulates pharmaceutical (drug and biological) products under the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, and implementing regulations. Pharmaceutical products are also subject to other federal, state and local statutes and regulations. The process of obtaining regulatory approvals and the subsequent compliance with appropriate federal, state, local and foreign statutes and regulations require the expenditure of substantial time and financial resources. Failure to comply with the applicable U.S. requirements at any time during the product-development process, approval process or after approval, may subject an applicant to administrative or judicial sanctions. FDA compliance and enforcement actions could include refusal to approve pending applications, withdrawal of an approval, a clinical hold, warning letters, product recalls, product seizures, total or partial suspension of production or distribution injunctions, fines, refusals of government contracts, restitution, disgorgement or civil or criminal penalties. Any agency or judicial compliance or enforcement action could have a material adverse effect on us. The process required by the FDA before a pharmaceutical product may be marketed in the United States generally includes the following:

- completion of preclinical laboratory tests, animal studies and formulation studies according to good laboratory practices (“GLPs”) or other applicable regulations;
- submission to the FDA of an IND, which must be in effect before human clinical trials may begin in the United States;
- performance of adequate and well-controlled human clinical trials according to the FDA’s current good clinical practices (“GCPs”), to establish the safety and efficacy of the proposed pharmaceutical product for its intended use;
- submission to the FDA of a NDA or BLA for a new pharmaceutical product;
- satisfactory completion of an FDA pre-approval inspection of the manufacturing facility or facilities where the pharmaceutical product is produced to assess compliance with the FDA’s current Good Manufacturing Practices (“CGMPs”), to assure that the facilities, methods and controls are adequate to preserve the pharmaceutical product’s identity, strength, quality and purity;
- potential FDA audit of the preclinical and clinical trial sites that generated the data in support of the NDA or BLA; and
- FDA review and approval of the NDA or BLA.

The regulatory review and approval process is lengthy, expensive and uncertain. The process of seeking required approvals before we can market or sell a product, and the continuing need for compliance with applicable statutes and regulations require the expenditure of substantial resources and we cannot guarantee that we will be able to obtain the appropriate marketing authorization for any product.

Before testing any compounds with potential therapeutic value in humans, the pharmaceutical product candidate enters the preclinical testing stage. Preclinical tests include laboratory evaluations of product chemistry, toxicity and formulation, as well as animal studies to assess the potential safety and activity of the pharmaceutical product candidate. The conduct of the preclinical tests must comply with federal regulations and requirements including GLPs. The sponsor must submit the results of the preclinical tests, together with manufacturing information, analytical data, any available clinical data or literature and a proposed clinical protocol, to the FDA as part of the IND. The IND automatically becomes effective 30 days after receipt by the FDA unless the FDA places the IND on a clinical hold within that 30-day time period. In such a case, the IND sponsor and the FDA must resolve any outstanding concerns before the clinical trial can begin. The FDA may also impose clinical holds on a pharmaceutical product candidate at any time before or during clinical trials due to safety concerns or non-compliance. Accordingly, we cannot be certain that submission of an IND will automatically result in the FDA allowing clinical trials to begin, or that, once begun, issues will not arise that causes such clinical trial to be suspended or terminated.

Clinical trials involve the administration of the pharmaceutical product candidate to healthy volunteers or patients under the supervision of qualified investigators, generally physicians not employed by the sponsor. Clinical trials are conducted under protocols detailing, among other things, the objectives of the clinical trial, dosing procedures, subject selection and exclusion criteria, and the parameters to be used to monitor subject safety. Each protocol must be submitted to the FDA if conducted under a U.S. IND. Clinical trials must be conducted in accordance with GCP requirements. Further, each clinical trial must be reviewed and approved by an IRB or ethics committee if conducted outside of the United States, at or servicing each institution at which the clinical trial will be conducted. An Institutional Review Board (“IRB”) or ethics committee is charged with protecting the welfare and rights of trial participants and considers such items as whether the risks to individuals participating in the clinical trials are minimized and are reasonable in relation to anticipated benefits. The IRB or ethics committee also approves the informed consent form that must be provided to each clinical trial subject or his or her legal representative and must monitor the clinical trial until completed. We intend to use third-party clinical research organizations (“CROs”) to administer and conduct our planned clinical trials and will rely upon such CROs, as well as medical institutions, clinical investigators and consultants, to conduct our trials in accordance with our clinical protocols and to play a significant role in the subsequent collection and analysis of data from these trials. The failure by any of such third parties to meet expected timelines, adhere to our protocols or meet regulatory standards could adversely impact the subject product development program. Human clinical trials are typically conducted in three sequential phases that may overlap or be combined:

- Phase 1. The pharmaceutical product is usually introduced into a small group of healthy human subjects and tested for safety, dosage tolerance, absorption, metabolism, distribution and excretion. In the case of some products for severe or life-threatening diseases, such as cancer treatments, especially when the product may be too inherently toxic to ethically administer to healthy volunteers, the initial human testing is often conducted in patients.
- Phase 2. The pharmaceutical product is evaluated in a larger, but still limited patient population to identify possible adverse effects and safety risks, to preliminarily evaluate the efficacy of the product for specific targeted diseases and to determine dosage tolerance, optimal dosage and dosing schedule.
- Phase 3. Clinical trials are undertaken to further evaluate dosage, clinical efficacy and safety in an expanded patient population at geographically dispersed clinical trial sites. These clinical trials are intended to establish safety and efficacy, the overall risk/benefit ratio of the product and provide an adequate basis for product labeling. Generally, it has been the FDA’s position that Congress intended at least two adequate and well-controlled Phase 3 clinical trials for approval of an NDA or BLA or foreign authorities for approval of marketing applications.

Post-approval studies, or Phase 4 clinical trials, may be required after initial receipt of marketing approval. These studies are used to gain additional experience from the treatment of patients in the intended therapeutic indication and may be required by the FDA after it has been approved, and is on the market, as an ongoing condition of approval.

Progress reports detailing the results of the clinical trials must be submitted at least annually to the FDA and written IND safety reports must be submitted to the FDA and the investigators for serious and unexpected adverse events or any finding from tests in laboratory animals that suggests a significant risk for human subjects. Phase 1, Phase 2 and Phase 3 clinical trials may not be completed successfully within any specified period, if at all. The FDA or the sponsor or, if used, its data safety monitoring board may suspend a clinical trial at any time on various grounds, including a finding that the research subjects or patients are being exposed to an unacceptable health risk. Similarly, an IRB or ethics committee can suspend or terminate approval of a clinical trial at its institution if the clinical trial is not being conducted in accordance with the IRB’s or ethics committee’s requirements or if the pharmaceutical product has been associated with unexpected serious harm to patients.

Concurrent with clinical trials, companies usually complete additional animal studies and must also develop additional information about the chemistry and physical characteristics of the pharmaceutical product as well as finalize a process for manufacturing the product in commercial quantities in accordance with CGMP requirements. The manufacturing process must be capable of consistently producing quality batches of the pharmaceutical product candidate and, among other things, must develop methods for testing the identity, strength, quality and purity of the final pharmaceutical product. Additionally, appropriate packaging must be selected, tested and stability studies must be conducted to demonstrate that the pharmaceutical product candidate does not undergo unacceptable deterioration over its shelf life.

### ***United States Review and Approval Process***

The data and results generated from product development, preclinical studies and clinical trials, along with descriptions of the manufacturing process, analytical tests conducted on the chemistry of the pharmaceutical product, proposed labeling and other required information are submitted to the FDA as part of an NDA or BLA submission before the product can be marketed and sold.

The review and approval process for an NDA or BLA is lengthy and difficult and the FDA may not approve an NDA or BLA if the applicable regulatory criteria are not satisfied or if the data and results in the submission are insufficient to support a finding of safety and efficacy, FDA may also require additional clinical data or other data and information to address deficiencies in an application. Even if such data and information is submitted, the FDA may ultimately decide that the NDA or BLA does not satisfy the criteria for approval. Even if a product receives regulatory approval, the approval may be significantly limited with respect to dosages, indications for use, or other label claims related to those disease states, conditions and patient populations for which the product is safe and effective and, which could restrict the commercial value of the product. Further, the FDA may require that certain contraindications, warnings or precautions be included in the product labeling. Drug manufacturers and their subcontractors are required to register their establishments with the FDA and are subject to periodic unannounced inspections by the FDA for compliance with CGMPs, which impose additional regulatory requirements upon us and our third-party manufacturers. We cannot be certain that we, our partners, or related suppliers, will be able to fully comply with the CGMPs and other FDA regulatory requirements.

### ***Post-Approval Requirements***

Any pharmaceutical products for which we or our partners receive FDA approvals are subject to continuing postmarket regulation by the FDA, including, among other things, record-keeping requirements, reporting of adverse experiences with the product, providing the FDA with updated safety and efficacy information, product sampling and distribution requirements, complying with certain electronic records and signature requirements and complying with FDA promotion and advertising requirements, which include, among others, standards for direct-to-consumer advertising, promoting pharmaceutical products for uses or in patient populations that are not described in the pharmaceutical product's approved labeling (known as "off-label use"), industry-sponsored scientific and educational activities, and promotional activities involving the internet. Failure to comply with FDA requirements can have negative consequences, including adverse publicity, compliance and enforcement actions initiated by the FDA, mandated corrective advertising or communications with doctors, and civil or criminal penalties. The FDA also may require Phase 4 testing, risk minimization action plans and surveillance to monitor the effects of an approved product or place conditions on an approval that could restrict the distribution or use of the product.

### ***Orphan Drugs***

Under the Orphan Drug Act, special incentives exist for sponsors to develop products for rare diseases or conditions, which are defined to include those diseases or conditions that affect fewer than 200,000 people in the United States. Requests for orphan drug designation must be submitted before the submission of an NDA or BLA.

If a product that has an orphan drug designation is the first such product to receive FDA approval for the disease for which it has such designation, the product is entitled to orphan product exclusivity for that use. This means that, subsequent to approval, the FDA may not approve any other applications to market the same drug that designated orphan use, except in limited circumstances, for seven years. The FDA may approve a subsequent application from another person if the FDA determines that the application is for a different drug or different use, or if the FDA determines that the subsequent product is clinically superior, or that the holder of the initial orphan drug approval cannot assure the availability of sufficient quantities of the drug to meet the public's need. If the FDA approves someone else's application for the same drug that has orphan exclusivity, but for a different use, the competing drug could be prescribed by physicians outside its FDA approval for the orphan use, notwithstanding the existence of orphan exclusivity. A grant of an orphan designation is not a guarantee that a product will be approved. If a sponsor receives orphan drug exclusivity upon approval, there can be no assurance that the exclusivity will prevent another person from receiving approval for the same or a similar drug for the same or other uses.

### ***Pediatric Information***

Under the Pediatric Research Equity Act (“PREA”), NDAs and BLAs or supplements to NDAs and BLAs must contain data to assess the safety and effectiveness of the treatment for the claimed indications in all relevant pediatric subpopulations and to support dosing and administration for each pediatric subpopulation for which the treatment is safe and effective. The FDA may grant full or partial waivers, or deferrals, for submission of data. Unless otherwise required by regulation, PREA does not apply to any product for an indication for which orphan designation has been granted.

The Best Pharmaceuticals for Children Act (“BPCA”), provides BLA holders a six-month extension of any exclusivity-patent or non-patent-for a product if certain conditions are met. Conditions for exclusivity include the FDA’s determination that information relating to the use of a new drug in the pediatric population may produce health benefits in that population, FDA making a written request for pediatric studies, and the applicant agreeing to perform, and reporting on, the requested studies within a specific time frame.

### ***Other Healthcare Laws and Compliance Requirements***

In the United States, our activities are potentially subject to regulation by various federal, state and local authorities in addition to the FDA, including the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (formerly the Health Care Financing Administration), other divisions of the United States Department of Health and Human Services (e.g., the Office of Inspector General), the United States Department of Justice and individual United States Attorney offices within the Department of Justice, and state and local governments.

### ***Pharmaceutical Coverage, Pricing and Reimbursement***

In the United States and markets in other countries, sales of any products for which we and our partners receive regulatory approval for commercial sale will depend in part on the availability of reimbursement from third-party payors, including government health administrative authorities, managed care providers, private health insurers and other organizations. Third-party payors are increasingly examining the medical necessity and cost-effectiveness of medical products and services, in addition to their safety and efficacy, and, accordingly, significant uncertainty exists as to the reimbursement status of newly approved therapeutics. Adequate third-party reimbursement may not be available for our products to enable us to realize an appropriate return on our investment in research and product development. We are unable to predict the future course of federal or state healthcare legislation and regulations, including the Affordable Care Act (“ACA”). The ACA, as well as other healthcare reform measures that may be adopted in the future, may result in more rigorous coverage criteria and additional downward pressure on the payments received for any approved drug. Any reduction in reimbursement from Medicare or other government healthcare programs result in a similar reduction in payments from private payors. We are unable to predict what these changes may look like following the 2020 election and subsequent change of Administration.

### ***International Regulation***

In addition to regulations in the United States, there are a variety of foreign regulations governing clinical trials, pricing and reimbursement, and commercial sales and distribution of any product candidates. Importantly, the level of evidence of efficacy and safety necessary to apply for marketing authorization for a drug candidate differs from country to country, the approval process also varies from country to country, and the time may be longer or shorter than that required for FDA approval. Typically, if a foreign regulatory authority is satisfied that a company has presented adequate evidence of safety, quality and efficacy, then the regulatory authority will grant a marketing authorization. This foreign regulatory approval process, however, involves risks similar or identical to the risks associated with FDA approval discussed above, and therefore there are no guarantees that any company will be able to obtain the appropriate marketing authorization for any product in any particular country.

### ***Employees***

As of December 31, 2021, we had 173 full-time employees at Fortress and our partner companies. Journey relies on professional employer organizations and staffing organizations for the employment of its field sales force, which totaled 70 at December 31, 2021.

### **Executive Officers of Fortress**

The following table sets forth certain information about our executive officers as of December 31, 2021.

<b>Name</b>	<b>Age</b>	<b>Position</b>
Lindsay A. Rosenwald, M.D.	66	Chairman of the Board of Directors, President and Chief Executive Officer
Robyn M. Hunter	60	Chief Financial Officer
George Avgerinos, Ph.D.	68	Senior Vice President, Biologics Operations
Michael S. Weiss	55	Executive Vice Chairman Strategic Development

**Lindsay A. Rosenwald, M.D.** has served as a member of the Company's Board of Directors since October 2009 and as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since December 2013. From November 2014 to August 2015, he served as interim President and Chief Executive Officer of Checkpoint Therapeutics, Inc. (Nasdaq: CKPT). Dr. Rosenwald currently serves as a member of the board of directors of Fortress partner companies Avenue Therapeutics, Inc. (Nasdaq: ATXI), Checkpoint Therapeutics, Inc. (Nasdaq: CKPT), Mustang Bio, Inc. (Nasdaq: MBIO) and Journey Medical Corporation (Nasdaq: DERM). From 1991 to 2008, Dr. Rosenwald served as the Chairman of Paramount BioCapital, Inc. He received his B.S. in finance from Pennsylvania State University and his M.D. from Temple University School of Medicine.

**Robyn M. Hunter** was appointed as the Company's Chief Financial Officer on June 26, 2017. Ms. Hunter has more than 30 years of financial and operational experience in an array of industries. Prior to serving as the Company's CFO, Ms. Hunter served as the Company's Vice President and Corporate Controller from June 2011 until June 2017, in which capacity she implemented financial and operational processes, procedures and policies to facilitate the Company's execution of its growth strategy. From January 2006 to May 2011, Ms. Hunter served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Schochet Associates. From August 2004 to January 2006, Ms. Hunter served as the Corporate Controller for Indevus Pharmaceuticals. From 1990 to 2004, Ms. Hunter held several positions from Accounting Manager to Vice President and Treasurer of The Stackpole Corporation. Effective January 2022, Ms. Hunter currently serves as a member of the board of directors and chairs the audit committee of Tenax Therapeutics, Inc. Ms. Hunter holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics from Union College in Schenectady New York.

**George Avgerinos, Ph.D.** has served as our Senior Vice President, Biologics Operations since June 2013. Dr. Avgerinos joined us from AbbVie, Inc., where he was Vice President, HUMIRA® Manufacturing Sciences and External Partnerships. In his 22-year career at AbbVie, Inc., formerly Abbott Laboratories, formerly BASF Bioresearch Corporation (BASF), Dr. Avgerinos was responsible for many aspects of biologics development and operations. These included the HUMIRA® operations franchise, global biologics process and manufacturing sciences, biologics CMC, manufacturing operations, and third-party manufacturing. During his tenure, Dr. Avgerinos led and participated in the development of numerous clinical candidates which included the launch of HUMIRA®. He supported expansion of the supply chain to over \$9.0 billion in annual global sales. Dr. Avgerinos' efforts on HUMIRA® have been recognized with numerous awards, including the prestigious Abbott's Chairman's award in 2011. Dr. Avgerinos received a B.A. in Biophysics from the University of Connecticut and a Ph.D. in Biochemical Engineering from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Dr. Avgerinos also provides services for TG Therapeutics, Inc., a related party, pursuant to a shared services agreement.

**Michael S. Weiss** has served as our Executive Vice Chairman, Strategic Development since February 2014. He currently serves as a member of the board of directors of several of our partner companies, including Checkpoint Therapeutics, Inc. (Nasdaq: CKPT) and Mustang Bio, Inc. (Nasdaq: MBIO). Mr. Weiss is currently the Executive Chairman of Mustang Bio, Inc. (where he served as interim CEO from March 2015 to April 2017) and the Chairman of the Board of Directors of Checkpoint Therapeutics, Inc. (where he served as interim CEO from August 2015 to October 2015). From March 2015 until February 2019, Mr. Weiss served on the board of Avenue Therapeutics, Inc. (Nasdaq: ATXI). Since December 2011, Mr. Weiss has served in multiple capacities at TG Therapeutics, Inc., a related party, and is currently its Executive Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President. In 1999, Mr. Weiss founded Access Oncology, which was later acquired by Keryx Biopharmaceuticals (Nasdaq: KERX) in 2004. Following the merger, Mr. Weiss remained as CEO of Keryx. He began his professional career as a lawyer with Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP. Mr. Weiss earned his B.S. in Finance from The University of Albany and his J.D. from Columbia Law School.

## Available Information

We and certain of our affiliates file annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, proxy and information statements and amendments to reports filed or furnished pursuant to Sections 13(a), 14 and 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act. The public may obtain these filings at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549 or by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains a website at <http://www.sec.gov> that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding our Company and other companies that file materials with the SEC electronically. Copies of our and certain of our affiliates' reports on Form 10-K, Forms 10-Q and Forms 8-K may be obtained, free of charge, electronically through our website at [www.fortressbiotech.com](http://www.fortressbiotech.com). Our website also includes announcements of investor conferences and events, information on our business strategies and results, corporate governance information, and other news and announcements that investors might find useful or interesting. The information contained on our website is not included in, or incorporated by reference into, this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

*Investing in our Common Stock, Series A Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock or any other type of equity or debt securities (together our "Securities") involves a high degree of risk. You should consider carefully the risks and uncertainties described below, together with all of the other information in this Annual Report on Form 10-K including the consolidated financial statements and the related notes, as well as the risks, uncertainties and other information set forth in the reports and other materials filed or furnished by our partners and affiliates Avenue, Checkpoint, Journey and Mustang with the SEC, before deciding to invest in our Securities. If any of the following risks or the risks included in the public filings of Avenue, Checkpoint, Journey or Mustang were to materialize, our business, financial condition, results of operations, and future growth prospects could be materially and adversely affected. In that event, the market price of our Securities could decline, and you could lose part of or all of your investment in our Securities. In addition, you should be aware that the below stated risks should be read as being applicable to our partners and affiliates such that, if any of the negative outcomes associated with any such risk is experienced by one of our partners or affiliates, the value of Fortress' holdings in such partner or affiliate (if any) may decline. As used throughout this filing, the words "we", "us" and "our" may refer to Fortress individually or together with our affiliates and partners, as dictated by context.*

### Risks Inherent in Drug Development

***Most of our or our partner companies' product candidates are in the early stages of development and may not be successfully developed or commercialized, and the product candidates that do advance into clinical trials may not receive regulatory approval.***

Most of our existing product candidates remain in the early stages of development and will require substantial further capital expenditures, development, testing and regulatory approvals prior to commercialization. The development and regulatory approval processes take several years, and it is unlikely that our product candidates, even if successfully developed and approved by the FDA and/or foreign equivalent regulatory bodies, would be commercially available for several years. Only a small percentage of drugs under development successfully obtain regulatory approval and are successfully commercialized. Accordingly, even if we are able to obtain the requisite financing to fund development programs, we cannot be sure that any of our product candidates will be successfully developed or commercialized, which could result in the failure of our business and a loss of your investment.

Pharmaceutical development has inherent risks. Before we may seek regulatory approval for the commercial sale of any of our products, we will be required to demonstrate, through well-controlled clinical trials, that our product candidates are effective and have a favorable benefit-risk profile for their target indications. Success in early clinical trials is not necessarily indicative of success in later stage clinical trials, during which product candidates may fail to demonstrate sufficient safety or efficacy, despite having progressed through initial clinical testing, which may cause significant setbacks. Further, we may need to conduct additional clinical trials that are not currently anticipated. As a result, product candidates that we advance into clinical trials may never receive regulatory approval.



Even if any of our product candidates are approved, regulatory authorities may approve any such product candidates for fewer or more limited indications than we request, may place limitations on our ability to commercialize products at the intended price points, may grant approval contingent on the product's performance in costly post-marketing clinical trials, or may approve a label that does not include the claims necessary or desirable for the successful commercialization of that product candidate. The regulatory authority may also require the label to contain warnings, contraindications, or precautions that limit the commercialization of the product. In addition, the Drug Enforcement Agency ("DEA"), or foreign equivalent, may schedule one or more of our product candidates under the Controlled Substances Act, or its foreign equivalent, which could impede such product's commercial viability. Any of these scenarios could impact the commercial prospects for one or more of our current or future product candidates.

***The extensive regulation to which our product candidates are subject may be costly and time consuming, cause anticipated delays, and/or prevent the receipt of the required approvals for commercialization.***

The research and clinical development, testing, manufacturing, labeling, storage, record-keeping, advertising, promotion, import, export, marketing and distribution of any product candidate, including our product candidates, is subject to extensive regulation by the FDA in the United States and by comparable health authorities in foreign markets. In the United States, we are not permitted to market a product candidate until the FDA approves such product candidate's Biologics License Application ("BLA") or New Drug Application ("NDA"). The approval process is uncertain, expensive, often spans many years, and can vary substantially based upon the type, complexity and novelty of the products involved. In addition to significant and expansive clinical testing requirements, our ability to obtain marketing approval for product candidates depends on the results of required non-clinical testing, including the characterization of the manufactured components of our product candidates and validation of our manufacturing processes. The FDA may determine that our manufacturing processes, testing procedures or equipment and facilities are inadequate to support approval. Further, the FDA has substantial discretion in the pharmaceutical approval process and may change approval policies or interpretations of regulations at any time, which could delay, limit or preclude a product candidate's approval.

The FDA and other regulatory agencies may delay, limit or refuse approval of a product candidate for many reasons, including, but not limited to:

- disagreement with the trial design or implementation of our clinical trials, including proper use of clinical trial methods and methods of data analysis;
- an inability to establish sufficient data and information to demonstrate that a product candidate is safe and/or effective for an indication;
- the FDA's rejection of clinical data from trials conducted by individual investigators or in countries where the standard of care is potentially different from that of the United States;
- the FDA's determination that clinical trial results do not meet the statistical significance levels required for approval;
- a disagreement by the applicable regulator regarding the interpretation of preclinical study or trial data;
- determination by the FDA that our manufacturing processes or facilities or those of third-party manufacturers with which we or our collaborators contract for clinical supplies or plan to contract for commercial supplies, do not satisfactorily comply with CGMPs; or
- a change to the FDA's approval policies or interpretation of regulations rendering our clinical data, product characteristics, or benefit-risk profile insufficient or unfavorable for approval.

Foreign approval procedures vary by country and may, in addition to the aforementioned risks, involve additional product testing, administrative review periods and agreements with pricing authorities. In addition, rapid drug and biological development during the COVID-19 pandemic has raised questions about the safety and efficacy of certain marketed pharmaceuticals and may result in increased cautiousness by the FDA and comparable foreign regulatory authorities in reviewing new pharmaceuticals based on safety, efficacy or other regulatory considerations and may result in significant delays in obtaining regulatory approvals. Any delay in obtaining, or inability to obtain, applicable regulatory approvals would prevent us from commercializing our product candidates.

***Delays in the commencement of our clinical trials, or suspensions or terminations of such trials, could result in increased costs and/or delay our ability to pursue regulatory approvals.***

The commencement or resumption of clinical trials can be delayed for a variety of reasons, including, but not necessarily limited to, delays in:

- obtaining regulatory approval to commence a clinical trial;
- identifying, recruiting and training suitable clinical investigators;
- reaching and maintaining agreements on acceptable terms with prospective clinical research organizations (“CROs”) and trial sites, the terms of which may be subject to extensive negotiation and modification from time to time and may vary significantly among different CROs and trial sites;
- obtaining sufficient quantities of a product candidate for use in clinical trials;
- obtaining IRB or ethics committee approval to conduct a clinical trial at a prospective site;
- developing and validating companion diagnostics on a timely basis, if required;
- adding new clinical sites once a trial has begun;
- the death, disability, departure or other change to the principal investigator or other staff overseeing the clinical trial at a given site;
- identifying, recruiting and enrolling patients to participate in a clinical trial; or
- retaining patients who participate in a clinical trial and replacing those who may withdraw due to adverse events from the therapy, insufficient efficacy, fatigue with the clinical trial process, personal issues, or other reasons.

Any delays in the commencement of our clinical trials will delay our ability to pursue regulatory approval for product candidates. In addition, many of the factors that cause, or lead to, a delay in the commencement of clinical trials may also ultimately lead to the denial of regulatory approval of a product candidate.

If any of our product candidates causes unacceptable adverse safety events in clinical trials, we may not be able to obtain regulatory approval or commercialize such product, preventing us from generating revenue from such products’ sale. Alternatively, even if a product candidate is approved for marketing, future adverse events could lead to the withdrawal of such product from the market.

***Suspensions or delays in the completion of clinical testing could result in increased costs and/or delay or prevent our ability to complete development of that product or generate product revenues.***

Once a clinical trial has begun, patient recruitment and enrollment may be slower than we anticipate due to the nature of the clinical trial plan, the proximity of patients to clinical sites, the eligibility criteria for participation in the study or other factors. Clinical trials may also be delayed as a result of ambiguous or negative interim results or difficulties in obtaining sufficient quantities of product manufactured in accordance with regulatory requirements and on a timely basis. Further, a clinical trial may be modified, suspended or terminated by us, an IRB, an ethics committee or a data safety monitoring committee overseeing the clinical trial, any clinical trial site with respect to that site, or the FDA or other regulatory authorities, due to a number of factors, including, but not necessarily limited to:

- failure to conduct the clinical trial in accordance with regulatory requirements or our clinical protocols;
- inspection of the clinical trial operations or clinical trial site by the FDA or other regulatory authorities resulting in the imposition of a clinical hold;
- stopping rules contained in the protocol;
- unforeseen safety issues or any determination that the clinical trial presents unacceptable health risks; and
- lack of adequate funding to continue the clinical trial.

Regulatory requirements and guidance may change, and we may need to amend clinical trial protocols to reflect these changes. Any such change may require us to resubmit clinical trial protocols to IRBs, which may in turn impact a clinical trial's cost, timing, and likelihood of success. If any clinical trial is delayed, suspended, or terminated, our ability to obtain regulatory approval for that product candidate will be delayed, and the commercial prospects, if any, for the product candidate may suffer. In addition, many of these factors may ultimately lead to the denial of regulatory approval of a product candidate.

***If our competitors develop treatments for any of our product candidates' target indications and those competitor products are approved more quickly, marketed more successfully or demonstrated to be more effective, the commercial opportunity for our product candidates will be reduced or eliminated.***

The biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries are subject to rapid and intense technological change. We face, and will continue to face, competition in the development and marketing of our product candidates from academic institutions, government agencies, research institutions and biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies. Furthermore, new developments, including the development of other drug technologies and methods of preventing the incidence of disease, occur in the pharmaceutical industry at a rapid pace. Any of these developments may render one or more of our product candidates obsolete or noncompetitive.

Competitors may seek to develop alternative formulations that do not directly infringe on our in-licensed patent rights. The commercial opportunity for one or more of our product candidates could be significantly harmed if competitors are able to develop alternative formulations outside the scope of our in-licensed patents. Compared to us, many of our potential competitors have substantially greater:

- capital resources;
- development resources, including personnel and technology;
- clinical trial experience;
- regulatory experience;
- expertise in prosecution of intellectual property rights; and

- manufacturing, distribution and sales and marketing capabilities.

As a result of these factors, our competitors may obtain regulatory approval for their products more rapidly than we are able to, or may obtain patent protection or other intellectual property or exclusivity rights that limit our ability to develop or commercialize one or more of our product candidates. Our competitors may also develop drugs that are more effective, safe, useful and/or less costly than ours and may be more successful than us in manufacturing and marketing their products. Smaller or early-stage companies may also prove to be significant competitors, particularly through collaborative arrangements with large and established companies. We will also face competition from these third parties in establishing clinical trial sites, in patient registration for clinical trials, and in identifying and licensing new product candidates.

***Negative public opinion and increased regulatory scrutiny of the therapies that underpin many of our product candidates may damage public perception of our product candidates or adversely affect our ability to conduct our business or obtain regulatory approvals for our product candidates.***

If any of the technologies underpinning our product candidates, including gene therapy, is claimed to be unsafe, such product candidate may not gain the acceptance of the public or the medical community. The success of our gene therapy platforms in particular depends upon physicians who specialize in treating the diseases targeted by our product candidates prescribing treatments involving our product candidates in lieu of, or in addition to, treatments with which they are already familiar and for which greater clinical data may be available. More restrictive government regulations or negative public opinion would have a negative effect on our business or financial condition and may delay or impair the development and commercialization of our product candidates or demand for any products we may develop. Adverse events in our clinical trials, even if not ultimately attributable to our product candidates, and the resulting publicity, could lead to increased governmental regulation, unfavorable public perception, potential regulatory delays in the testing or approval of our potential product candidates, stricter labeling requirements for those product candidates that do obtain approval and/or a decrease in demand for any such product candidates. Concern about environmental spread of our products, whether real or anticipated, may also hinder the commercialization of our products.

***The FDA limits regulatory approval for our product candidates to those specific indications and conditions for which clinical safety and efficacy have been demonstrated.***

Any regulatory approval is limited to the indications for use and related treatment of those specific diseases set forth in the approval for which a product is deemed to be safe and effective by the FDA. In addition to the FDA approval required for new formulations, any new indication for an approved product also requires FDA approval. If we are not able to obtain FDA approval for any desired future indications for our products, our ability to effectively market and sell our products may be reduced and our business may be adversely affected.

While physicians may prescribe drugs for uses that are not described in the product's label or that differ from those tested in clinical studies and approved by the regulatory authorities ("off label uses"), our ability to promote the products is limited to those indications that are specifically approved by the FDA. Such off-label uses are common across medical specialties and may constitute an appropriate treatment for some patients in varied circumstances. Regulatory authorities in the U.S. generally do not regulate the practice of medicine or behavior of physicians in their choice of treatments. Regulatory authorities do, however, restrict communications by pharmaceutical companies regarding the promotion of off-label use.

If our promotional activities fail to comply with these regulations or guidelines, we may be subject to compliance or enforcement actions, including Warning Letters, by these authorities. In addition, our failure to follow FDA laws, regulations and guidelines relating to promotion and advertising may cause the FDA to suspend or withdraw an approved product from the market, request a recall, institute fines, or could result in disgorgement of money, operating restrictions, corrective advertising, injunctions or criminal prosecution, any of which could harm our business.

Risks Pertaining to the Need for and Impact of Existing and Additional Financing Activities

***We have historically financed a significant portion of our growth and operations in part through the assumption of debt. Should an event of default occur under any applicable loan documents, our business would be materially adversely affected. Further, our current credit arrangement with Oaktree Capital restricts our and certain of our partner companies' abilities to take certain actions.***

At December 31, 2021, the total amount of debt outstanding, net of the debt discount, was \$42.9 million. If we default on our obligations, the holders of our debt may declare the outstanding amounts immediately payable together with accrued interest, and/or take possession of any pledged collateral. If an event of default occurs, we may be unable to cure it within the applicable cure period, if at all. If the maturity of our indebtedness is accelerated, we may not have sufficient funds available for repayment and we may be unable to borrow or obtain sufficient funds to replace the accelerated indebtedness on terms acceptable to us, or at all. In addition, current or future debt obligations may limit our ability to finance future operations, satisfy capital needs, or to engage in, expand or pursue our business activities. Such restrictions may also prevent us from engaging in activities that could be beneficial to our business and our stockholders unless we repay the outstanding debt, which may not be desirable or possible.

On August 27, 2020, we entered into a \$60.0 million senior secured credit agreement with Oaktree Fund Administration, LLC and the lenders from time-to-time party thereto (collectively, "Oaktree"). The Oaktree credit agreement contains certain affirmative and negative covenants restricting our and certain of our partner companies' abilities to take certain actions, especially as pertains indebtedness, liens, investments, affiliate transactions, acquisitions, mergers, dispositions, prepayment of other indebtedness, dividends and other distributions (subject in each case to exceptions). The Oaktree credit agreement also contains financial covenants obligating us to maintain a minimum liquidity amount and a minimum amount of revenue, in both cases subject to exceptions. The breach of any such provisions (even, potentially, in an immaterial manner) could result in an event of default under the Oaktree credit agreement, the announcement and impact of which could have a negative impact on the trading prices of our securities. The restrictions imposed by such provisions may also inhibit our and certain of our partner companies' ability to enter into certain transactions or arrangements that management otherwise believes would be in our or such partner companies' best interests, such as dispositions that would result in cash inflows to Fortress and/or our partner companies, or acquisitions or financings that would promote future growth.

***We have a history of operating losses that is expected to continue, and we are unable to predict the extent of future losses, whether we will be able to sustain current revenues or whether we will ever achieve or sustain profitability.***

We continue to generate operating losses in all periods including losses from operations of approximately \$188.5 million and \$94.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, respectively. At December 31, 2021, we had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$547.5 million. We expect to make substantial expenditures and incur increasing operating costs and interest expense in the future, and our accumulated deficit will increase significantly as we expand development and clinical trial activities for our product candidates and finance investments in certain of our existing and new partners and affiliates in accordance with our growth strategy. Our losses have had, and are expected to continue to have, an adverse impact on our working capital, total assets and stockholders' equity.

Because of the numerous risks and uncertainties associated with developing pharmaceutical products, we are unable to predict the timing or amount of increased expenses or when or if, we will be able to achieve profitability. Our net losses may fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter and year to year. We anticipate that our expenses will increase substantially if:

- one or more of our development-stage product candidates is approved for commercial sale and we decide to commercialize such product(s) ourselves, due to the need to establish the necessary commercial infrastructure to launch and commercialize this product candidate without substantial delays, including hiring sales and marketing personnel and contracting with third parties for manufacturing, testing, warehousing, distribution, cash collection and related commercial activities;
- we are required by the FDA or a foreign regulatory authority to perform studies in addition to those currently expected;

[Table of Contents](#)

- there are any delays in completing our clinical trials or the development of any of our product candidates;
- we execute other collaborative, licensing or similar arrangements, depending on the timing of payments we may make or receive under these arrangements;
- there are variations in the level of expenses related to our future development programs;
- we become involved in any product liability or intellectual property infringement lawsuits; and
- there are any regulatory developments affecting our competitors' product candidates.

Our ability to become profitable depends upon our ability to generate revenue. To date, we have not generated any revenue from our development stage products, and we do not know when, or if, we will generate any revenue from such development-stage products. Our ability to generate revenue from such development-stage products depends on a number of factors, including, but not limited to, our ability to:

- obtain regulatory approval for one or more of our product candidates, or any future product candidate that we may license or acquire in the future;
- manufacture commercial quantities of one or more of our product candidates or any future product candidate, if approved, at acceptable cost levels; and
- develop a commercial organization and the supporting infrastructure required to successfully market and sell one or more of our product candidates or any future product candidate, if approved.

Even if we do achieve profitability, we may not be able to sustain or increase profitability on a quarterly or annual basis. Our failure to become and remain profitable would depress the value of our company and could impair our ability to raise capital, expand our business, maintain our research and development efforts, diversify our product offerings or even continue our operations. A decline in the value of our company could also cause you to lose all or part of your investment.

***To fund our operations and service our debt securities, which may be deemed to include our Series A Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock, we will be required to generate a significant amount of cash. Our ability to generate cash depends on a number of factors, some of which are beyond our control, and any failure to meet our debt obligations would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations and could cause the market value of our common stock and/or preferred stock to decline.***

Prevailing economic conditions and financial, business and other factors, many of which are beyond our control, may affect our ability to make payments on our debt. If we do not generate sufficient cash flow to satisfy our debt obligations, we may have to undertake alternative financing plans, such as refinancing or restructuring our debt, selling assets, reducing or delaying capital investments or seeking to raise additional capital. Alternatively, as we have done in the past, we may also elect to refinance certain of our debt, for example, to extend maturities. Our ability to restructure or refinance our debt will depend on the capital markets and our financial condition at such time. If we are unable to access the capital markets, whether because of the condition of those capital markets or our own financial condition or reputation within such capital markets, we may be unable to refinance our debt. In addition, any refinancing of our debt could be at higher interest rates and may require us to comply with more onerous covenants, which could further restrict our business operations. Our inability to generate sufficient cash flow to satisfy our debt obligations or to refinance our obligations on commercially reasonable terms, or at all, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations and could cause the market value of our common stock and/or debt securities to decline.

Repayment of our indebtedness is dependent in part on the generation of cash flow by Journey and its ability to make such cash available to us, by dividend, debt repayment or otherwise. Journey may not be able to, or may not be permitted to, make distributions to enable us to make payments in respect of our indebtedness. Each of our subsidiaries, including Journey, is a distinct legal entity and, under certain circumstances, legal and contractual restrictions may limit our ability to obtain cash from our subsidiaries.

Our ability to continue to reduce our indebtedness will depend upon factors including our future operating performance, our ability to access the capital markets to refinance existing debt and prevailing economic conditions and financial, business and other factors, many of which are beyond our control. We can provide no assurance of the amount by which we will reduce our debt, if at all. In addition, servicing our debt will result in a reduction in the amount of our cash flow available for other purposes, including operating costs and capital expenditures that could improve our competitive position and results of operations.

***We may need substantial additional funding and may be unable to raise capital when needed, which may force us to delay, curtail or eliminate one or more of our R&D programs, commercialization efforts or planned acquisitions and potentially change our growth strategy.***

Our R&D programs will require substantial additional capital for research, preclinical testing and clinical trials, establishing pilot scale and commercial scale manufacturing processes and facilities, and establishing and developing quality control, regulatory, marketing, sales, and administrative capabilities to support these programs. We expect to fund our R&D activities from a combination of cash generated from royalties and milestones from our partners in various past, ongoing, and future collaborations, and through additional equity or debt financings from third parties. These financings could depress the stock prices of our securities. If additional funds are required to support our operations and such funds cannot be obtained on favorable terms, we may not be able to develop products, which will adversely impact our growth strategy.

Our operations have consumed substantial amounts of cash since inception. During the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, we incurred R&D expenses of approximately \$113.2 million and \$61.3 million, respectively. We expect to continue to spend significant amounts on our growth strategy. We believe that our current cash and cash equivalents will enable us to continue to fund operations in the normal course of business for at least the next 12 months from the filing of this 10-K. Until such time, if ever, as we can generate a sufficient amount of product revenue and achieve profitability, however, we expect to seek to finance potential cash needs.

Our ability to obtain additional funding when needed, changes to our operating plans, our existing and anticipated working capital needs, the acceleration or modification of our planned R&D activities, expenditures, acquisitions and growth strategy, increased expenses or other events may affect our need for additional capital in the future and require us to seek additional funding sooner or on different terms than anticipated. In addition, if we are unable to raise additional capital when needed, we might have to delay, curtail or eliminate one or more of our R&D programs and commercialization efforts and potentially change our growth strategy. The terms of our existing debt arrangements, including that with Oaktree, have and will continue to inhibit our and our subsidiaries' abilities to raise capital.

***We may be unable to generate returns for our investors if our partner companies and subsidiaries, several of which have limited or no operating history, have no commercialized revenue generating products, or are not yet profitable, cannot obtain additional third-party financing.***

As part of our growth strategy, we have made and will likely continue to make substantial financial and operational commitments in our subsidiaries, which often have limited or no operating history, no commercialized revenue generating products, and require additional third-party financing to fund product and services development or acquisitions. Our business depends in large part on the ability of one or more of our subsidiaries and/or partner companies to innovate, in-license, develop or acquire successful biopharmaceutical products and/or acquire companies in increasingly competitive and highly regulated markets. If certain of our subsidiaries and/or partner companies do not successfully obtain additional third-party financing to commercialize products, or are not acquired in change-of-control transactions that result in cash distributions, as applicable, the value of our businesses and our ownership stakes in our partner companies may be materially adversely affected.

***Raising additional funds by issuing securities or through licensing or lending arrangements may cause dilution to our existing stockholders, restrict our operations or require us to relinquish proprietary rights.***

To the extent that we raise additional capital by issuing common stock (or preferred stock that is convertible into common stock), the share ownership of existing stockholders will be diluted. We have also entered into financing arrangements to raise capital for our subsidiaries under which Fortress common stock is or may be issuable to investors in lieu of cash, upon certain conditions being met; in the event such issuances take place, they will also be dilutive of the stakes of existing stockholders. Any future debt financings may involve covenants that restrict our operations, including limitations on our ability to incur liens or additional debt, pay dividends, redeem our stock, make certain financial commitments and engage in certain merger, consolidation or asset sale transactions, among other restrictions. In addition, if we raise additional funds through licensing or sublicensing arrangements, it may be necessary to relinquish potentially valuable rights to our product candidates or grant licenses on terms that are not favorable to us.

**Risks Pertaining to Our Existing Revenue Stream from Journey Medical Corporation**

***Future revenue based on sales of our dermatology products, especially Qbrexza, Amzeeq, Zilxi, Ximino, Targadox, Accutane, and Exelderm, may be lower than expected or lower than in previous periods.***

The vast majority of our operating income for the foreseeable future is expected to come from the sale of our dermatology products through our partner company Journey. Any setback that may occur with respect to such products could significantly impair our operating results and/or reduce our revenue and the value of our Securities. Setbacks for such products could include, but are not limited to, issues related to: supply chain, shipping; distribution; demand; manufacturing; product safety; product quality; marketing; government regulation, including but not limited to pricing or reimbursement; licensing and approval; intellectual property rights; competition with existing or new products, including third-party generic competition; product acceptance by physicians, other licensed medical professionals, and patients; and higher than expected total rebates, returns or recalls. Also, the majority of Journey's sales derive from products that are without patent protection and/or are or may become subject to third party generic competition; the introduction of new competitor products, or increased market share of existing competitor products, could have a significant adverse effect on our operating income.

***We face challenges as our products face generic competition and/or losses of exclusivity.***

Journey's products do and may compete with well-established products, both branded and generic, with similar or the same indications. We face increased competition from manufacturers of generic pharmaceutical products, who may submit applications to FDA seeking to market generic versions of our products. In connection with these applications, the generic drug companies may seek to challenge the validity and enforceability of our patents through litigation. When patents covering certain of our products (if applicable) expire or are successfully challenged through litigation or in USPTO proceedings, if a generic company launches a competing product "at risk," or when the regulatory or licensed exclusivity for our products (if applicable) expires or is otherwise lost, we may face generic competition as a result.

The majority of our sales derive from products that are without patent protection and/or are or may become subject to third-party generic competition, the introduction of new competitor products, or an increase in market share of existing competitor products, any of which could have a significant adverse impact on our operating income. Four of our marketed products, Qbrexza, Amzeeq, Zilxi and Ximino, as well as DFD-29, currently have patent protection. Three of our marketed products, Accutane, Targadox, and Exelderm, do not have patent protection or otherwise are not eligible for patent protection.

Accutane currently competes in the Isotretinoin market with five other AB rated products. Targadox currently competes with one AB rated generic product. Exelderm may face AB rated generic competition in the future.

Generic versions are generally significantly less expensive than branded versions, and, where available, may be required to be utilized before or in preference to the branded version under third-party reimbursement programs, or substituted by pharmacies. Accordingly, when a branded product loses its market exclusivity, it normally faces intense price competition from generic forms of the product. To successfully compete for business with managed care and pharmacy benefits management organizations, we must often demonstrate that our products offer not only medical benefits, but also cost advantages as compared with other forms of care.



***Any disruptions to the capabilities, composition, size or existence of Journey's field sales force may have a significant adverse impact on our existing revenue stream. Further, our ability to effectively market and sell any future products that we may develop will depend on our ability to establish and maintain sales and marketing capabilities or to enter into agreements with third parties to market, distribute and sell any such products.***

Journey's field sales force has been and is expected to continue to be an important contributor to our commercial success. Any disruptions to our relationship with such field sales force or the professional employer organization that employs our field sales force, could materially adversely affect our product sales. We currently rely, and may continue to rely, on professional employer organizations and staffing organizations for the employment of our field sales force.

The establishment, development, and/or expansion of a field sales force, either by us or certain of our partners or vendors, or the establishment of a contract field sales force to market any products for which we may have or receive marketing approval is expensive and time-consuming and could delay any such product launch or compromise the successful commercialization of such products. If we are unable to establish and maintain sales and marketing capabilities or any other non-technical capabilities necessary to commercialize any products that may be successfully developed, we will need to contract with third parties to market and sell such products. We may not be able to establish or maintain arrangements with third parties on commercially reasonable terms, or at all.

***If our products are not included in managed care organizations' formularies or coverage by other organizations, our products' utilization and market shares may be negatively impacted, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition.***

In the United States, continued sales and coverage, including formulary inclusion without the need for a prior authorization or step edit therapy, of our products for commercial sale will depend in part on the availability of reimbursement from third-party payors, including government health administrative authorities, managed care providers, private health insurers and other organizations. Third-party payors are increasingly examining the medical necessity and cost-effectiveness of medical products and services, in addition to their safety and efficacy, and, accordingly, significant uncertainty exists as to the reimbursement status of newly approved therapeutics. Adequate third party reimbursement may not be available for our products to enable us to realize an appropriate return on our investment of our currently marketed products or those which we may acquire or develop in the future.

Managed care organizations and other third-party payors try to negotiate the pricing of medical services and products to control their costs. Managed care organizations and pharmacy benefit managers typically develop formularies to reduce their cost for medications. Formularies are based on the prices and therapeutic benefits of available products. Due to their lower costs, generic products are often favored. The breadth of the products covered by formularies varies considerably from one managed care organization to another, and many formularies include alternative and competitive products for treatment of particular medical conditions. Failure to be included in such formularies or to achieve favorable formulary status may negatively impact the utilization and market share of our products. If our products are not included within an adequate number of formularies or adequate reimbursement levels are not provided, or if those policies increasingly favor generic products, this could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

***Reimbursement for our product and product candidates may be limited or unavailable in certain market segments, which could make it difficult for us to sell our products profitably.***

We have obtained approval for some products, and intend to seek approval for other product candidates, to commercialize in both the United States and in countries and territories outside the United States. If we obtain approval in one or more foreign countries, we will be subject to rules and regulations in those countries relating to such products. In some foreign countries, particularly in the European Union, the pricing of prescription pharmaceuticals and biologics is subject to governmental control. In these countries, pricing negotiations with governmental authorities can take considerable time after the receipt of marketing approval for a product candidate. In addition, market acceptance and sales of our product candidates will depend significantly on the availability of adequate coverage and reimbursement from third-party payors for any of our product candidates and may be affected by existing and future healthcare reform measures.

Government authorities and third-party payors, such as private health insurers and health maintenance organizations, decide which pharmaceuticals they will pay for and establish reimbursement levels. Reimbursement by a third-party payor may depend upon a number of factors, including the third-party payor's determination regarding whether a product is:

- a covered benefit under its health plan;
- safe, effective and medically necessary;
- appropriate for the specific patient;
- cost-effective; and
- experimental or investigational.

Obtaining coverage and reimbursement approval for a product from a government or other third-party payor is a time consuming and costly process that could require that we provide supporting scientific, clinical and cost-effectiveness data for the use of our products to the payor. We may not be able to provide data sufficient to gain acceptance with respect to coverage and reimbursement. If reimbursement of our future products is unavailable or limited in scope or amount, or if pricing is set at unsatisfactory levels, we may be unable to achieve or sustain profitability. Additionally, while we may seek approval of our products in combination with each other, there can be no guarantee that we will obtain coverage and reimbursement for any of our products together, or that such reimbursement will incentivize the use of our products in combination with each other as opposed to in combination with other agents which may be priced more favorably to the medical community.

Legislative and regulatory changes to the healthcare systems of the United States and certain foreign countries could impact our ability to sell our products profitably. In particular, the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (MMA) changed the way Medicare covers and pays for pharmaceutical products by revising the payment methodology for many products reimbursed by Medicare, resulting in lower rates of reimbursement for many types of drugs, and added a prescription drug benefit to the Medicare program that involves commercial plans negotiating drug prices for their members. In addition, this law provided authority for limiting the number of drugs that will be covered in any therapeutic class. Cost reduction initiatives and other provisions of this law and future laws could decrease the coverage and price that we will receive for any approved products. While the MMA only applies to drug benefits for Medicare beneficiaries, private payors often follow Medicare coverage policy and payment limitations in setting their own payment rates. Therefore, any limitations in reimbursement that results from the MMA may result in reductions in payments from private payors.

Since 2003, there have been several other legislative and regulatory changes to the coverage and reimbursement landscape for pharmaceuticals. In March 2010, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, collectively, the "Affordable Care Act" or "ACA," was enacted in 2010 and made significant changes to the United States' healthcare system. The ACA and any revisions or replacements of that Act, any substitute legislation, and other changes in the law or regulatory framework could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Among the provisions of the ACA of importance to our potential product candidates are:

- an annual, nondeductible fee on any entity that manufactures, or imports specified branded prescription drugs and biological products apportioned among these entities according to their market share in certain government healthcare programs;
- an increase in the statutory minimum rebates a manufacturer must pay under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program to 23.1% and 13.0% of the average manufacturer price for branded and generic drugs, respectively;
- expansion of healthcare fraud and abuse laws, including the federal False Claims Act and the federal Anti-Kickback Statute, new government investigative powers and enhanced penalties for non-compliance;

[Table of Contents](#)

- a new Medicare Part D coverage gap discount program, in which manufacturers must agree to offer point-of-sale discounts off negotiated prices of applicable brand drugs to eligible beneficiaries during their coverage gap period, as a condition for a manufacturer's outpatient drugs to be covered under Medicare Part D;
- extension of a manufacturer's Medicaid rebate liability to covered drugs dispensed to individuals who are enrolled in Medicaid managed care organizations;
- expansion of eligibility criteria for Medicaid programs by, among other things, allowing states to offer Medicaid coverage to additional individuals and by adding new mandatory eligibility categories for certain individuals with income at or below 138% of the federal poverty level, thereby potentially increasing a manufacturer's Medicaid rebate liability;
- expansion of the entities eligible for discounts under the 340B Drug Pricing Program;
- new requirements under the federal Open Payments program and its implementing regulations;
- a new requirement to annually report drug samples that manufacturers and distributors provide to physicians;
- a new regulatory pathway for the approval of biosimilar biological products, all of which will impact existing government healthcare programs and will result in the development of new programs; and
- a new Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute to oversee, identify priorities in, and conduct comparative clinical effectiveness research, along with funding for such research.

The Supreme Court upheld the ACA in the main challenge to the constitutionality of the law in 2012. Specifically, the Supreme Court held that the individual mandate and corresponding penalty was constitutional because it would be considered a tax by the federal government. The Supreme Court also upheld federal subsidies for purchasers of insurance through federally facilitated exchanges in a decision released in June 2015.

At the end of 2017, Congress passed the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, which repealed the penalty for individuals who fail to maintain minimum essential health coverage as required by the ACA. Following this legislation, Texas and 19 other states filed a lawsuit alleging that the ACA is unconstitutional as the individual mandate was repealed, undermining the legal basis for the Supreme Court's prior decision. On December 14, 2018, a Texas federal district court judge issued a ruling declaring that the ACA in its entirety is unconstitutional. Upon appeal, the Fifth Circuit upheld the district court's ruling that the individual mandate is unconstitutional. However, the Fifth Circuit remanded the case back to the district court to conduct a more thorough assessment of the constitutionality of the entire ACA despite the individual mandate being unconstitutional. The Supreme Court agreed to hear the case on appeal from the Fifth Circuit on March 2, 2020, and held oral arguments on November 10, 2020. While this lawsuit has no immediate legal effect on the ACA and its provisions, this lawsuit is ongoing and the outcome may have a significant impact on our business.

[Table of Contents](#)

The Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018, the “BBA,” which set government spending levels for Fiscal Years 2018 and 2019, revised certain provisions of the ACA. Specifically, beginning in 2019, the BBA increased manufacturer point-of-sale discounts off negotiated prices of applicable brand drugs in the Medicare Part D coverage gap from 50% to 70%, ultimately increasing the liability for brand drug manufacturers. Further, this mandatory manufacturer discount applied to biosimilars beginning in 2019.

The 116th Congress explored legislation intended to address the cost of prescription drugs. Notably, the major committees of jurisdiction in the Senate (Finance Committee, Health, Education, Labor and Pensions Committee, and Judiciary Committee), marked up legislation intended to address various elements of the prescription drug supply chain. Proposals include a significant overhaul of the Medicare Part D benefit design, addressing patent “loopholes”, and efforts to cap the increase in drug prices.

The House Energy and Commerce Committee approved drug-related legislation intended to increase transparency of drug prices and also curb anti-competitive behavior in the pharmaceutical supply chain. In addition, the House Ways & Means Committee approved legislation intended to improve drug price transparency, including for drug manufacturers to justify certain price increases. The 117<sup>th</sup> Congress convened on January 3, 2021 and could reintroduce many of the bills targeting drug prices. While we cannot predict what proposals may ultimately become law, the elements under consideration could significantly change the landscape in which the pharmaceutical market operates.

The Senate Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions (HELP) advanced the Lower Health Care Costs Act of 2019. Among other things, the bill is intended to reduce costs in the United States health sector. The bill revises certain requirements to expedite the approval of generics and biosimilars. It also limits prices that pharmacy benefit managers may charge health insurers or enrollees for prescription drugs. Although this bill still needs to pass the full Senate and House of Representatives, it is worth noting the wide-ranging effects it could have on the health care sector.

On December 12, 2019, the House of Representatives passed broad legislation (H.R. 3, the *Elijah E. Cummings Lower Drug Costs Now Act*) that would, among other provisions, require HHS to negotiate drug prices and impose price caps and restructure the Medicare Part D benefit, imposing more financial responsibility on certain drug manufacturers. Failure by a manufacturer to reach an agreement with HHS on the negotiated price could result in significant penalties for prescription drug manufacturers. In addition, S. 2543, the *Prescription Drug Pricing Reduction Act* would also, among other provisions, restructure the Medicare Part D benefit, but it would not authorize direct negotiation by the federal government. While we cannot predict what proposals may ultimately become law, the elements under consideration could significantly change the landscape in which the pharmaceutical market operates.

The Trump Administration took several regulatory steps to redirect ACA implementation. The HHS finalized a Medicare hospital payment reduction for Part B drugs acquired through the 340B Drug Pricing Program.

Under the Trump Administration, HHS finalized several proposals aimed at lowering drug prices for Medicare beneficiaries and increasing price transparency. For example, the Trump Administration issued an interim final rule on November 27, 2020, implementing a “Most Favored Nation” payment model for Part B drugs that applies international reference pricing to determine reimbursement for certain drugs paid by Medicare Part B. The interim final rule was enjoined by federal courts prior to its implementation date of January 1, 2021, and the lawsuit is ongoing. In addition, HHS, in conjunction with the FDA, finalized four pharmaceutical importation pathways in September 2020: (1) regulations establishing importation of pharmaceuticals from Canada by wholesalers and pharmacists; (2) FDA guidance permitting manufacturers to import their own pharmaceuticals that were originally intended for marketing in other countries; (3) a request for proposals from private sector entities to import prescription drugs for personal use under existing statutory authority; and (4) a request for proposals from private sector entities to reimport insulin under existing statutory authority.

Further, on November 11, 2020, the Trump Administration issued a final rule that changes the permissible structure of drug rebates and discounts between drug manufacturers and third-party payors (including pharmacy benefit managers that negotiate drug prices on behalf of such third-party payors). This final rule, often referred to as the “Rebate Rule,” could have significant direct and indirect impacts on drug pricing in both government and commercial markets. With respect to price transparency, the Trump Administration promulgated regulations that require hospitals and third-party payors to disclose prices of items and services, which may impact negotiated rates in the commercial market.

On January 20, 2021, Joe Biden was inaugurated as the 46th president of the United States. As a presidential candidate, Mr. Biden indicated support for several policies aimed at lowering drug prices, including government price negotiation, drug importation, international reference pricing, and price increase controls. The Biden Administration may continue, modify, or repeal many of the drug pricing policies proposed and finalized by the Trump Administration. While we cannot predict which policies the Biden Administration may support and enforce, the policies finalized in the months prior to the beginning of Mr. Biden's term, if continued, could significantly change the landscape in which the pharmaceutical market operates and significantly impact our ability to effectively market and sell our products.

There likely will continue to be legislative and regulatory proposals at the federal and state levels directed at broadening the availability of healthcare and containing or lowering the cost of healthcare products and services. We cannot predict the initiatives that may be adopted in the future. The continuing efforts of the government, insurance companies, managed care organizations and other payors of healthcare services to contain or reduce costs of healthcare may adversely affect:

- the demand for any products for which we may obtain regulatory approval;
- our ability to set a price that we believe is fair for our products;
- our ability to generate revenues and achieve or maintain profitability;
- the level of taxes that we are required to pay; and
- the availability of capital.

In addition, governments may impose price controls, which may adversely affect our future profitability. In January 2020, President Trump signed into law the U.S.-Mexico-Canada (USMCA) trade deal into law. As enacted, there are no commitments with respect to biological product intellectual property rights or data protection, which may create an unfavorable environment across these three countries.

We expect that the ACA, as well as other healthcare reform measures that may be adopted in the future, may result in more rigorous coverage criteria and additional downward pressure on the payment that we receive for any approved drug. Any reduction in reimbursement from Medicare or other government healthcare programs may result in a similar reduction in payments from private payors. The implementation of cost containment measures or other healthcare reforms may prevent us from being able to generate revenue, attain profitability, or commercialize our drugs.

Legislative and regulatory proposals have been made to expand post-approval requirements and restrict sales and promotional activities for pharmaceutical products. We cannot be sure whether additional legislative changes will be enacted, or whether FDA regulations, guidance or interpretations will be changed, or what the impact of such changes on the marketing approvals, if any, of our product candidate, may be. In addition, increased scrutiny by the U.S. Congress of the FDA's approval process may significantly delay or prevent marketing approval, as well as subject us to more stringent product labeling and post-marketing conditions and other requirements.

#### Risks Pertaining to our Business Strategy, Structure and Organization

*We have entered, and will likely in the future enter, into certain collaborations or divestitures which may cause a reduction in our business' size and scope, market share and opportunities in certain markets, or our ability to compete in certain markets and therapeutic categories. We have also entered into several arrangements under which we have agreed to contingent dispositions of partner companies and/or their assets. The failure to consummate any such transaction may impair the value of such companies and/or assets, and we may not be able to identify or execute alternative arrangements on favorable terms, if at all.*

[Table of Contents](#)

We have entered into and consummated several partnerships and/or contingent sales of our assets and subsidiaries, including an equity investment and contingent acquisition agreement between Caelum and AstraZeneca plc's Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (which transaction has consummated) and a development funding and contingent asset purchase between Cyprium and Sentyln Therapeutics, Inc. Each of these arrangements has been time-consuming and has diverted management's attention. As a result of these consummated/contingent sales, as with other similar transactions that we may complete, we may experience a reduction in the size or scope of our business, our market share in particular markets, our opportunities with respect to certain markets, products or therapeutic categories or our ability to compete in certain markets and therapeutic categories.

In addition, in connection with any transaction involving a (contingent or non-contingent) sale of one of our assets or subsidiaries, we may surrender our ability to realize long-term value from such asset or subsidiary, in the form of foregone royalties, milestone payments, sublicensing revenue or otherwise, in exchange for upfront and/or other payments. In the event, for instance, that a product candidate underpinning any such asset or subsidiary is granted FDA approval for commercialization following the execution of documentation governing the sale by us of such asset or subsidiary, the transferee of such asset or subsidiary may realize tremendous value from commercializing such product, which we would have realized for ourselves had we not executed such sale transaction and been able to achieve applicable approvals independently.

Should we seek to enter into collaborations or divestitures with respect to other assets or subsidiaries, we may be unable to consummate such arrangements on satisfactory or commercially reasonable terms within our anticipated timelines. In addition, our ability to identify, enter into and/or consummate collaborations and/or divestitures may be limited by competition we face from other companies in pursuing similar transactions in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries.

Any collaboration or divestiture we pursue, whether we are able to complete it or not, may be complex, time consuming and expensive, may divert from management's attention, may have a negative impact on our customer relationships, cause us to incur costs associated with maintaining the business of the targeted collaboration or divestiture during the transaction process and also to incur costs of closing and disposing the affected business or transferring the operations of the business to other facilities. In addition, if such transactions are not completed for any reason, the market price of our common stock may reflect a market assumption that such transactions will occur, and a failure to complete such transactions could result in a negative perception by the market of us generally and a decline in the market price of our common stock.

***We act, and are likely to continue acting, as guarantor and/or indemnitor of the obligations, actions or inactions of certain of our subsidiaries and affiliated companies. We have also entered into, and may again enter into, certain arrangements with our subsidiaries and third parties pursuant to which a substantial number of shares of our common stock may be issued. Depending on the terms of such arrangements, we may be contractually obligated to pay substantial amounts to third parties, or issue a substantially dilutive number of shares of our common stock, based on the actions or inactions of our subsidiaries and/or affiliates, regulatory agencies or other third parties.***

We act, and are likely to continue acting, as indemnitor of potential losses or liabilities that may be experienced by one or more of our affiliated companies and/or their partners or investors. For instance, under that certain Indemnification Agreement, dated as of November 12, 2018 by and among us, Avenue and InvaGen (the "Indemnification Agreement"), we agreed to indemnify InvaGen and its affiliates for losses they may sustain in connection with inaccuracies that may appear in the representations and warranties that Avenue made to InvaGen in the Avenue Stock Purchase and Merger Agreement of even date therewith, as such representations and warranties were given as of the dates of signing and first closing. The maximum amount of indemnification we may have to provide under the Indemnification Agreement is \$35.0 million. If we become obligated to pay all or a portion of such indemnification amounts (regardless of whether or not we are partially reimbursed out of the proceeds of the Merger Transaction), our business and the market value of our common stock and/or debt securities may be materially adversely impacted.

Additionally, we have agreed in the past, and may agree in the future, to act as guarantor in connection with equity or debt raises by our partner companies, pursuant to which we may become obligated either to pay what could be a significant amount of cash or issue what could be a significant number of shares of Fortress common stock if certain events occur or do not occur, which could lead to a depletion of resources or dilution to our common stock, or both.

***Our future growth depends in part on our ability to identify and acquire or in-license products and product candidates, and if we are unable to do so, or to integrate acquired products into our operations, we may have limited growth opportunities.***

An important part of our business strategy is to continue to develop a pipeline of product candidates by acquiring or in-licensing products, businesses or technologies. Future in-licenses or acquisitions, however, may entail numerous operational and financial risks, including, but not necessarily limited to:

- exposure to unknown liabilities;
- disruption of our business and diversion of our management’s time and attention to develop acquired products or technologies;
- difficulty or inability to secure financing to fund development activities for such acquired or in-licensed technologies in the current economic environment;
- incurrence of substantial debt or dilutive issuances of securities to pay for acquisitions;
- higher than expected acquisition and integration costs;
- increased amortization expenses;
- difficulty and cost in combining the operations and personnel of any acquired businesses with our operations and personnel;
- impairment of relationships with key suppliers or customers of any acquired businesses due to changes in management and ownership; and
- inability to retain key employees of any acquired businesses.

We have limited resources to identify and execute the acquisition or in-licensing of third-party products, businesses and technologies and integrate them into our current infrastructure. In particular, we may compete with larger biopharmaceutical companies and other competitors in our efforts to establish new collaborations and in-licensing opportunities. These competitors may have access to greater financial resources than us and/or may have greater expertise in identifying and evaluating new opportunities. Moreover, we may devote resources to potential acquisitions or in-licensing opportunities that are never completed, or we may fail to realize the anticipated benefits of such efforts.

***Certain of our officers and directors serve in similar roles at our partners, affiliates, related parties and/or other entities with which we transact business or in which we hold significant minority ownership positions, which could result in conflicts of interests relating to ongoing and future relationships and transactions with these parties.***

We share directors and/or officers with certain of our partners, and other entities with which we transact business or in which we hold significant minority ownership positions, and such arrangements could create conflicts of interest in the future, including with respect to the allocation of corporate opportunities. While we believe that we have put in place policies and procedures to identify and mitigate such conflicts, and that any existing agreements that may give rise to such conflicts and any such policies or procedures were negotiated at arm’s length in conformity with fiduciary duties, such conflicts of interest may nonetheless arise. The existence and consequences of such potential conflicts could expose us to lost profits, claims by our investors and creditors, and harm to our results of operations.

***Certain of our executives, directors and principal stockholders, whose interests may be adverse to those of our other stockholders, can control our direction and policies.***

Certain of our executive officers, directors and stockholders own nearly or more than 10% of our outstanding common stock and, together with their affiliates and related persons, beneficially own a significant percentage of our capital stock. If these stockholders were to choose to act together, they would be able to influence our management and affairs and the outcome of matters submitted to our stockholders for approval, including the election of directors and any sale, merger, consolidation, or sale of all or substantially all of our assets. This concentration of voting power could delay or prevent an acquisition of our company on terms that other stockholders may desire. In addition, this concentration of ownership might adversely affect the market price of our common stock by:

- delaying, deferring or preventing a change of control of us;
- impeding a merger, consolidation, takeover or other business combination involving us; or
- discouraging a potential acquirer from making a tender offer or otherwise attempting to obtain control of us.

***If we acquire, or enter into joint ventures with or obtain a controlling interest in companies in the future, our operating results and the value of our Securities may be adversely affected, thereby diluting stockholder value, disrupting our business and/or diminishing the value of our holdings in our partner companies.***

As part of our growth strategy, we might acquire, enter into joint ventures with, or obtain significant ownership stakes in other companies. Acquisitions of, joint ventures with and investments in other companies involve numerous risks, including, but not necessarily limited to:

- risk of entering new markets in which we have little to no experience;
- diversion of financial and managerial resources from existing operations;
- successfully negotiating a proposed acquisition or investment timely and at a price or on terms and conditions favorable to us;
- the impact of regulatory reviews on a proposed acquisition or investment;
- the outcome of any legal proceedings that may be instituted with respect to the proposed acquisitions or investment;
- with respect to an acquisition, difficulties in integrating operations, technologies, services and personnel; and
- potential inability to maintain relationships with customers of the companies we may acquire or invest in.

If we fail to properly evaluate potential acquisitions, joint ventures or other transaction opportunities, we might not achieve the anticipated benefits of any such transaction, we might incur higher costs than anticipated, and management resources and attention might be diverted from other necessary or valuable activities.

***Russian military action in Europe may impact foreign countries in which certain of our partner companies may have enrolled, or had planned to enroll patients in clinical trials, and any such clinical trials may be delayed or suspended.***

In February 2022, Russia commenced a military invasion of Ukraine. Russia's invasion and the ensuing response by Ukraine may disrupt our partner companies' ability to conduct clinical trials in Russia, Ukraine, Belarus, and Georgia, and potentially other neighboring countries. Although the impact of Russia's military action is highly unpredictable, certain clinical trial sites may be affected, including those of our partner company Checkpoint in Russia, Ukraine, Belarus, and Georgia. Those clinical trial sites may suspend or terminate trials, and patients could be forced to evacuate or choose to relocate, making them unavailable for initial or further participation in clinical trials. Alternative sites to fully and timely compensate for clinical trial activities in these areas may not be available and our partner companies may need to find other countries to conduct these clinical trials.



Clinical trial interruptions may delay our partner companies' plans for clinical development and approvals for their product candidates, which could increase their costs and jeopardize their ability to commence product sales and generate revenues, which could adversely affect the value of our investment in our partner companies.

Risks Pertaining to Reliance on Third Parties

***We rely predominantly on third parties to manufacture the majority of our preclinical and clinical pharmaceutical supplies and we expect to continue to rely heavily on such third parties and other contractors to produce commercial supplies of our products. Further, we rely solely on third parties to manufacture Journey's commercialized products. Such dependence on third-party suppliers could adversely impact our businesses.***

We depend heavily on third party manufacturers for product supply. If our contract manufacturers cannot successfully manufacture material that conforms to applicable specifications and FDA regulatory requirements, we will not be able to secure and/or maintain FDA approval for those products. Our third-party suppliers will be required to maintain compliance with CGMPs and will be subject to inspections by the FDA and comparable agencies and authorities in other jurisdictions to confirm such compliance. In the event that the FDA or such other authorities determine that our third-party suppliers have not complied with CGMPs or comparable regulations, the relevant clinical trials could be terminated or subjected to a clinical hold until such time as we are able to obtain appropriate replacement material and/or applicable compliance, and commercial product could be unfit for sale, or if distributed, could be recalled from the market. Any delay, interruption or other issues that arise in the manufacture, testing, packaging, labeling, storage, or distribution of our products as a result of a failure of the facilities or operations of our third-party suppliers to comply with regulatory requirements or pass any regulatory agency inspection could significantly impair our ability to develop and commercialize our products and product candidates. In addition, several of our currently commercialized products, sold through our partner company Journey, are produced by a single manufacturer, and, although we closely monitor inventory prophylactically, disruptions to such supply arrangements could adversely affect our ability to meet product demand and therefore diminish revenues.

We also rely on third-party manufacturers to purchase from third-party suppliers the raw materials and equipment necessary to produce product candidates for anticipated clinical trials. There are a small number of suppliers for certain capital equipment and raw materials that are used to manufacture those products. We do not have direct control over the process or timing of the acquisition of these raw materials by our third-party manufacturers. Moreover, we currently do not have any agreements for the commercial production of these raw materials since such agreements are entered into by our third-party manufacturers and their qualified suppliers. Any significant delay in the supply of raw material components related to an ongoing clinical trial could considerably delay completion of our clinical trials, product testing and potential regulatory approval.

We do not expect to have the resources or capacity to engage in our own commercial manufacturing of our product candidates, if they received marketing approval, and would likely continue to be heavily dependent upon third-party manufacturers. Our dependence on third parties to manufacture and supply clinical trial materials, as well as our planned dependence on third party manufacturers for any products that may be approved, may adversely affect our ability to develop and commercialize products in a timely or cost-effective manner, or at all.

In addition, because of the sometimes-limited number of third parties who specialize in the development, manufacture and/or supply of our clinical and preclinical materials, we are often compelled to accept contractual terms that we deem less than desirable, including without limitation as pertains representations and warranties, supply disruptions/failures, covenants and liability/indemnification. Especially as pertains liability and indemnification provisions, because of the frequent disparities in negotiating leverage, we are often compelled to agree to low caps on counterparty liability and/or indemnification language that could result in outsized liability to us in situations where we have zero or relatively little culpability.

***We rely heavily on third parties for the development and manufacturing of products and product candidates.***

Certain of our partner companies, on whose successes we largely rely, are early-stage biopharmaceutical companies with limited operating histories. To date, we have engaged primarily in intellectual property acquisitions, and evaluative and R&D activities and have not generated any revenues from product sales (except through Journey). We have incurred significant net losses since our inception. As of December 31, 2021, we had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$547.5 million. We may need to rely on third parties for activities critical to the product candidate development process, including but not necessarily limited to:

- identifying and evaluating product candidates;
- negotiating, drafting and entering into licensing and other arrangements with product development partners; and
- continuing to undertake pre-clinical development and designing and executing clinical trials.

We have also not demonstrated the ability to perform the functions necessary for the successful commercialization of any of our pre-market product candidates, should any of them be approved for marketing. If we were to have any such product candidates approved, the successful commercialization of such products would be dependent on us performing or contracting with third parties for performance, of a variety of critical functions, including, but not necessarily limited to:

- advising and participating in regulatory approval processes;
- formulating and manufacturing products for clinical development programs and commercial sale; and
- conducting sales and marketing activities.

Our operations have been limited to acquiring, developing and securing the proprietary rights for, and undertaking pre-clinical development and clinical trials of, product candidates, both at the Fortress level and via our partner companies. These operations provide a limited basis for our stockholders and prospective investors to assess our ability to develop and commercialize potential product candidates, as well as for you to assess the advisability of investing in our securities.

***We rely on third parties to conduct clinical trials. If these third parties do not meet agreed-upon deadlines or otherwise conduct the trials as required, our clinical development programs could be delayed or unsuccessful, and we may not be able to obtain regulatory approval for or commercialize our product candidates when expected or at all.***

We rely on third-party contract research organizations and site management organizations to conduct most of our preclinical studies and all of our clinical trials for our product candidates. We expect to continue to rely on third parties, such as contract research organizations, site management organizations, clinical data management organizations, medical institutions and clinical investigators, to conduct some of our preclinical studies and all of our clinical trials. These CROs, investigators, and other third parties will and do play a significant role in the conduct of our trials and the subsequent collection and analysis of data from the clinical trials.

There is no guarantee that any CROs, investigators or other third parties upon which we rely for administration and conduct of our clinical trials will devote adequate time and resources to such trials or perform as contractually required. If any of these third parties fails to meet expected deadlines or fails to adhere to our clinical protocols or otherwise perform in a substandard manner, our clinical trials may be extended, delayed or terminated. If any of the clinical trial sites terminates for any reason, we may lose follow-up information on patients enrolled in our ongoing clinical trials unless the care of those patients is transferred to another qualified clinical trial site. In addition, principal investigators for our clinical trials may serve as scientific advisers or consultants to us from time to time and receive cash or equity compensation in connection with such services. If these relationships and any related compensation result in perceived or actual conflicts of interest, the integrity of the data generated at the applicable clinical trial site, or the FDA's willingness to accept such data, may be jeopardized.

Our reliance on these third parties for research and development activities will reduce our control over these activities but will not relieve us of our responsibilities or potential liability. For example, we will remain responsible for ensuring that each of our preclinical studies and clinical trials are conducted in accordance with the general investigational plan and protocols for the trial and for ensuring that our preclinical studies are conducted in accordance with good laboratory practice (“GLP”) as appropriate. Moreover, the FDA requires us to comply with standards, commonly referred to as good clinical practices (“GCPs”) for conducting, recording and reporting the results of clinical trials to assure that data and reported results are credible and accurate and that the rights, integrity and confidentiality of trial participants are protected. Regulatory authorities enforce these requirements through periodic inspections of trial sponsors, clinical investigators and trial sites. If we or any of our clinical research organizations fail to comply with applicable GCPs, the clinical data generated in our clinical trials may be deemed unreliable and the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities may refuse to accept such data, or require us to perform additional clinical trials before approving our marketing applications. We cannot assure you that, upon inspection by a given regulatory authority, such regulatory authority will determine that any of our clinical trials complies with GCP regulations. In addition, our clinical trials must be conducted with products produced under CGMP in strict conformity to CGMP regulations. Our failure to comply with these regulations may require us to repeat clinical trials, which would delay the regulatory approval process.

We also are required to register ongoing clinical trials and post the results of completed clinical trials on a government-sponsored database, ClinicalTrials.gov, within specified timeframes. Failure to do so can result in fines, adverse publicity and civil and criminal sanctions.

If any of our relationships with these third-party contract research organizations or site management organizations terminates, we may not be able to enter into arrangements with alternative contract research organizations or site management organizations or to do so on commercially reasonable terms. Switching or adding additional contract research organizations or site management organizations involves additional cost and requires management time and focus. In addition, there is a natural transition period when a new contract research organization or site management organization commences work. As a result, delays could occur, which could compromise our ability to meet our desired development timelines. Though we carefully manage our relationships with our contract research organizations or site management organizations, there can be no assurance that we will not encounter similar challenges or delays in the future.

***We rely on clinical and pre-clinical data and results obtained from and by third parties that could ultimately prove to be inaccurate or unreliable.***

As part of the strategy we implement to mitigate development risk, we seek to develop product candidates with well-studied mechanisms of action, and we intend to utilize biomarkers to assess potential clinical efficacy early in the development process. This strategy necessarily relies upon clinical and pre-clinical data and other results produced or obtained by third parties, which may ultimately prove to be inaccurate or unreliable. If the third-party data and results we rely upon prove to be inaccurate, unreliable or not applicable to our product candidates, we could make inaccurate assumptions and/or conclusions about our product candidates, and our research and development efforts could be compromised or called into question during the review of any marketing applications that we submit.

***Collaborative relationships with third parties could cause us to expend significant resources and/or incur substantial business risk with no assurance of financial return.***

We anticipate substantial reliance on strategic collaborations for marketing and commercializing our existing product candidates and we may rely even more on strategic collaborations for R&D of other product candidates. We may sell product offerings through strategic partnerships with pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies. If we are unable to establish or manage such strategic collaborations on terms favorable to us in the future, our revenue and drug development may be limited.

If we enter into R&D collaborations during the early phases of drug development, success will, in part, depend on the performance of research collaborators. We may not directly control the amount or timing of resources devoted by research collaborators to activities related to product candidates. Research collaborators may not commit sufficient resources to our R&D programs. If any research collaborator fails to commit sufficient resources, the preclinical or clinical development programs related to the collaboration could be delayed or terminated. Also, collaborators may pursue existing or other development-stage products or alternative technologies in preference to those being developed in collaboration with us. Finally, if we fail to make required milestone or royalty payments to collaborators or to observe other obligations in agreements with them, the collaborators may have the right to terminate or stop performance of those agreements.

Establishing strategic collaborations is difficult and time-consuming. Our discussions with potential collaborators may not lead to the establishment of collaborations on favorable terms, if at all. Potential collaborators may reject collaboration proposals based upon their assessment of our financial, regulatory or intellectual property positions. Even if we successfully establish new collaborations, these relationships may never result in the successful development or commercialization of product candidates or the generation of sales revenue. To the extent that we enter into collaborative arrangements, the related product revenues that might follow are likely to be lower than if we directly marketed and sold products. Such collaborators may also consider alternative product candidates or technologies for similar indications that may be available to collaborate on, and such collaborations could be more attractive than the one with us for any future product candidate.

Management of our relationships with collaborators will require:

- significant time and effort from our management team;
- coordination of our marketing and R&D programs with the respective marketing and R&D priorities of our collaborators; and
- effective allocation of our resources to multiple projects.

***The contractual provisions we may be forced to agree upon in services, manufacturing, supply and other agreements may be inordinately one-sided, vis-à-vis current or historical standard market terms (especially as pertains contractual liability and indemnification paradigms), and as a result we may be subject to liabilities that are not attributable to our own actions or the actions of our personnel.***

There is a finite number of service providers who can perform the services or produce the materials or product candidates that we need, and we therefore often have a limited number of options in choosing such service providers. The standard market terms in many of the agreements into which we customarily enter with such service providers are subject to evolution over time, often-times in favor of our counterparties. Also, some such agreements are “adhesion contracts” under which our contractual counterparties refuse to entertain any modifications to their template documentation. One area where service providers often have and exert leverage over us is the negotiation of liability language – specifically the application of liability damages “caps” to certain of such service providers’ indemnification obligations. In any circumstance where we’ve been compelled to agree to such language, it is conceivable that we will be liable to third parties for liabilities in excess of such caps that are attributable to the actions, forbearances and/or culpability of such service providers (and not to those of us and our personnel).

#### Risks Pertaining to Intellectual Property and Potential Disputes with Licensors Thereof

***If we are unable to obtain and maintain sufficient patent protection for our technology and products, our competitors could develop and commercialize technology and products similar or identical to ours, and our ability to successfully commercialize our technology and products may be impaired.***

Our success depends, in large part, on our ability to obtain patent protection for product candidates and their formulations and uses. The patent application process is subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, and there can be no assurance that we or our partners will be successful in obtaining patents or what the scope of an issued patent may ultimately be. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not necessarily limited to, the following:

- patent applications may not result in any patents being issued, or the scope of issued patents may not extend to competitive product candidates and their formulations and uses developed or produced by others;
- our competitors, many of which have substantially greater resources than we or our partners do, and many of which have made significant investments in competing technologies, may seek, or may already have obtained, patents that may limit or interfere with our abilities to make, use, and sell potential product candidates, file new patent applications, or may affect any pending patent applications that we may have;
- there may be significant pressure on the U.S. government and other international governmental bodies to limit the scope of patent protection both inside and outside the United States for disease treatments that prove successful as a matter of public policy regarding worldwide health concerns; and
- countries other than the United States may have patent laws less favorable to patentees than those upheld by U.S. courts, allowing foreign competitors a better opportunity to create, develop and market competing products.

In addition, patents that may be issued or in-licensed may be challenged, invalidated, modified, revoked, circumvented, found to be unenforceable, or otherwise may not provide any competitive advantage. Moreover, we may be subject to a third-party pre-issuance submission of prior art to the US Patent and Trademark Office (“PTO”), or become involved in opposition, derivation, reexamination, *inter partes* review, post-grant review or interference proceedings challenging our patent rights or the patent rights of others. The costs of these proceedings could be substantial, and it is possible that our efforts to establish priority of invention would be unsuccessful, resulting in a material adverse effect on our US patent positions. An adverse determination in any such submission, patent office trial, proceeding or litigation could reduce the scope of, render unenforceable, or invalidate, our patent rights, allow third parties to commercialize our technologies or products and compete directly with us, without payment to us, or result in our inability to manufacture or commercialize products without infringing third-party patent rights.

In addition, if the breadth or strength of protection provided by our patents and patent applications is threatened, it could dissuade companies from collaborating with us to license, develop or commercialize current or future product candidates. Third parties are often responsible for maintaining patent protection for our product candidates, at our and their expense. If that party fails to appropriately prosecute and maintain patent protection for a product candidate, our abilities to develop and commercialize products may be adversely affected, and we may not be able to prevent competitors from making, using and selling competing products. Such a failure to properly protect intellectual property rights relating to any of our product candidates could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, U.S. patent laws may change, which could prevent or limit us from filing patent applications or patent claims to protect products and/or technologies or limit the exclusivity periods that are available to patent holders, as well as affect the validity, enforceability, or scope of issued patents.

We and our licensors also rely on trade secrets and proprietary know-how to protect product candidates. Although we have taken steps to protect our and their trade secrets and unpatented know-how, including entering into confidentiality and non-use agreements with third parties, and proprietary information and invention assignment agreements with employees, consultants and advisers, third parties may still come upon this same or similar information independently. Despite these efforts, any of these parties may also breach the agreements and may unintentionally or willfully disclose our or our licensors’ proprietary information, including our trade secrets, and we may not be able to identify such breaches or obtain adequate remedies. Enforcing a claim that a party illegally disclosed or misappropriated a trade secret is difficult, expensive and time-consuming, and the outcome is unpredictable. In addition, some courts inside and outside the United States are less willing or unwilling to protect trade secrets. Moreover, if any of our or our licensors’ trade secrets were to be lawfully obtained or independently developed by a competitor, we and our licensors would have no right to prevent them, or those to whom they communicate it, from using that technology or information to compete with us. If any of our or our licensors’ trade secrets were to be disclosed to or independently developed by a competitor, our competitive positions would be harmed.

The patent prosecution process is expensive and time-consuming, and we may not be able to file and prosecute all necessary or desirable patent applications at a reasonable cost or in a timely manner. It is also possible that we will fail to identify any patentable aspects of our research and development output and methodology, and, even if we do, an opportunity to obtain patent protection may have passed. Given the uncertain and time-consuming process of filing patent applications and prosecuting them, it is possible that our product(s) or process(es) originally covered by the scope of the patent application may have changed or been modified, leaving our product(s) or process(es) without patent protection. If our licensors or we fail to obtain or maintain patent protection or trade secret protection for one or more product candidates or any future product candidate we may license or acquire, third parties may be able to leverage our proprietary information and products without risk of infringement, which could impair our ability to compete in the market and adversely affect our ability to generate revenues and achieve profitability. Moreover, should we enter into other collaborations we may be required to consult with or cede control to collaborators regarding the prosecution, maintenance and enforcement of licensed patents. Therefore, these patents and applications may not be prosecuted and enforced in a manner consistent with the best interests of our business.

The patent position of biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies generally is highly uncertain, involves complex legal and factual questions and has in recent years been the subject of much litigation. In addition, no consistent policy regarding the breadth of claims allowed in pharmaceutical or biotechnology patents has emerged to date in the US. The patent situation outside the US is even more uncertain. The laws of foreign countries may not protect our rights to the same extent as the laws of the US, and we may fail to seek or obtain patent protection in all major markets. For example, European patent law restricts the patentability of methods of treatment of the human body more than US law does. We might also become involved in derivation proceedings in the event that a third party misappropriates one or more of our inventions and files their own patent application directed to such one or more inventions. The costs of these proceedings could be substantial, and it is possible that our efforts to establish priority of invention (or that a third party derived an invention from us) would be unsuccessful, resulting in a material adverse effect on our US patent position. As a result, the issuance, scope, validity, enforceability and commercial value of our patent rights are highly uncertain.

Our pending and future patent applications may not result in patents being issued which protect our technology or products, in whole or in part, or which effectively prevent others from commercializing competitive technologies and products. Changes in either the patent laws or interpretation of the patent laws in the US and other countries may diminish the value of our patents or narrow the scope of our patent protection. For example, the federal courts of the US have taken an increasingly dim view of the patent eligibility of certain subject matter, such as naturally occurring nucleic acid sequences, amino acid sequences and certain methods of utilizing same, which include their detection in a biological sample and diagnostic conclusions arising from their detection.

Such subject matter, which had long been a staple of the biotechnology and biopharmaceutical industry to protect their discoveries, is now considered, with few exceptions, ineligible in the first instance for protection under the patent laws of the US. Accordingly, we cannot predict the breadth of claims that may be allowed and remain enforceable in our patents or in those licensed from a third party.

Recent patent reform legislation could increase the uncertainties and costs surrounding the prosecution of our patent applications and the enforcement or defense of our issued patents. On September 16, 2011, the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act, or the Leahy-Smith Act, was signed into law. The Leahy-Smith Act includes a number of significant changes to United States patent law. These include changes to transition from a “first-to-invent” system to a “first inventor-to-file” system and to the way issued patents are challenged. The formation of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board now provides a less burdensome, quicker and less expensive process for challenging issued patents. The PTO recently developed new regulations and procedures to govern administration of the Leahy-Smith Act, and many of the substantive changes to patent law associated with the Leahy-Smith Act, and in particular, the first inventor-to-file provisions, only became effective on March 16, 2013. Accordingly, it is not clear what, if any, impact the Leahy-Smith Act will have on the operation of our business. However, the Leahy-Smith Act and its implementation could increase the uncertainties and costs surrounding the prosecution of our patent applications and the enforcement or defense of our issued patents, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

Even if our patent applications issue as patents, they may not issue in a form that will provide us with any meaningful protection, prevent competitors from competing with us or otherwise provide us with any competitive advantage. Our competitors may be able to circumvent our owned or licensed patents by developing similar or alternative technologies or products in a non-infringing manner.

We also may rely on the regulatory period of market exclusivity for any of our biologic product candidates that are successfully developed and approved for commercialization. Although this period in the United States is generally 12 years from the date of marketing approval (depending on the nature of the specific product), there is a risk that the U.S. Congress could amend laws to significantly shorten this exclusivity period. Once any regulatory period of exclusivity expires, depending on the status of our patent coverage and the nature of the product, we may not be able to prevent others from marketing products that are biosimilar to or interchangeable with our products, which would materially adversely affect our business.

***If we or our licensors are sued for infringing intellectual property rights of third parties, it will be costly and time consuming, and an unfavorable outcome in that litigation would have a material adverse effect on our business.***

Our success also depends on our ability, and the abilities of any of our respective current or future collaborators, to develop, manufacture, market and sell product candidates without infringing the proprietary rights of third parties. Numerous U.S. and foreign issued patents and pending patent applications, which are owned by third parties, exist in the fields in which we are developing products, some of which may be directed at claims that overlap with the subject matter of our or our licensors' intellectual property. Because patent applications can take many years to issue, there may be currently pending applications, unknown to us, which may later result in issued patents that our product candidates or proprietary technologies may infringe. Similarly, there may be issued patents relevant to our product candidates of which we or our licensors are not aware. Publications of discoveries in the scientific literature often lag behind the actual discoveries, and patent applications in the US and other jurisdictions are typically not published until 18 months after a first filing, or in some cases not at all. Therefore, we cannot know with certainty whether we or such licensors were the first to make the inventions claimed in patents or pending patent applications that we own or licensed, or that we and our licensors were the first to file for patent protection of such inventions. In the event that a third party has also filed a US patent application relating to our product candidates or a similar invention, depending upon the priority dates claimed by the competing parties, we may have to participate in interference proceedings declared by the PTO to determine priority of invention in the US. The costs of these proceedings could be substantial, and it is possible that our efforts to establish priority of invention would be unsuccessful, resulting in a material adverse effect on our U.S. patent position. As a result, the issuance, scope, validity, enforceability and commercial value of our or any of our licensors' patent rights are highly uncertain.

There is a substantial amount of litigation involving patent and other intellectual property rights in the biotechnology and biopharmaceutical industries generally. If a third party claims that we or any of our licensors, suppliers or collaborators infringe the third party's intellectual property rights, we may have to, among other things:

- obtain additional licenses, which may not be available on commercially reasonable terms, if at all;
- abandon an infringing product candidate or redesign products or processes to avoid infringement, which may demand substantial funds, time and resources and which may result in inferior or less desirable processes and/or products;
- pay substantial damages, including the possibility of treble damages and attorneys' fees, if a court decides that the product or proprietary technology at issue infringes on or violates the third party's rights;
- pay substantial royalties, fees and/or grant cross-licenses to our product candidates; and/or
- defend litigation or administrative proceedings which may be costly regardless of outcome, and which could result in a substantial diversion of financial and management resources.

***We may be involved in lawsuits to protect or enforce our patents or the patents of licensors, which could be expensive, time consuming and unsuccessful.***

Competitors may infringe our or our licensors' patents. To counter infringement or unauthorized use, we may be required to file infringement claims, which can be expensive and time-consuming. Any claims we assert against accused infringers could provoke these parties to assert counterclaims against us alleging invalidity of our or our licensors' patents or that we infringe their patents; or provoke those parties to petition the PTO to institute *inter partes* review against the asserted patents, which may lead to a finding that all or some of the claims of the patent are invalid. In addition, in a patent infringement proceeding, a court may decide that a patent of ours or our licensor's is invalid or unenforceable, in whole or in part, construe the patent's claims narrowly or refuse to stop the other party from using the technology at issue on the grounds that our or our licensors' patents do not cover the technology in question. An adverse result in any litigation or defense proceedings could put one or more of our patents at risk of being invalidated, found to be unenforceable, or interpreted narrowly and could likewise put pending patent applications at risk of not issuing. Furthermore, because of the substantial amount of discovery required in connection with intellectual property litigation, there is a risk that some of our confidential information could be compromised by disclosure during this type of litigation.

***We in-license from third parties the intellectual property needed to develop and commercialize products and product candidates. As such, any dispute with the licensors or non-performance of such license agreements may adversely affect our ability to develop and commercialize the applicable product candidates.***

The patents, patent applications and other intellectual property rights underpinning the vast majority of our existing product candidates were in-licensed from third parties. Under the terms of such license agreements, the licensors generally have the right to terminate such agreements in the event of a material breach. The licenses require us to make annual, milestone or other payments prior to commercialization of any product, and our ability to make these payments depends on the ability to generate cash in the future. These license agreements also generally require the use of diligent and reasonable efforts to develop and commercialize product candidates.

If there is any conflict, dispute, disagreement or issue of non-performance between us or one of our partners, on the one hand, and the respective licensing partner, on the other hand, regarding the rights or obligations under the license agreements, including any conflict, dispute or disagreement arising from a failure to satisfy payment obligations under such agreements, the ability to develop and commercialize the affected product candidate may be adversely affected.

The types of disputes that may arise between us and the third parties from whom we license intellectual property include, but are not necessarily limited to:

- the scope of rights granted under such license agreements and other interpretation-related issues;
- the extent to which our technologies and processes infringe on intellectual property of the licensor that is not subject to such license agreements;
- the scope and interpretation of the representations and warranties made to us by our licensors, including those pertaining to the licensors' right title and interest in the licensed technology and the licensors' right to grant the licenses contemplated by such agreements;
- the sublicensing of patent and other rights under our license agreements and/or collaborative development relationships, and the rights and obligations associated with such sublicensing, including whether or not a given transaction constitutes a sublicense under such license agreement;
- the diligence and development obligations under license agreements (which may include specific diligence milestones) and what activities or achievements satisfy those diligence obligations;
- whether or not the milestones associated with certain milestone payment obligations have been achieved or satisfied;



- the applicability or scope of indemnification claims or obligations under such license agreements;
- the permissibility and advisability of, and strategy regarding, the pursuit of potential third-party infringers of the intellectual property that is the subject of such license agreements;
- the calculation of royalty, milestone, sublicense revenue and other payment obligations under such license agreements;
- the extent to which rights, if any, are retained by licensors under such license agreements;
- whether or not a material breach has occurred under such license agreements and the extent to which such breach, if deemed to have occurred, is or can be cured within applicable cure periods, if any;
- disputes regarding patent filing and prosecution decisions, as well as payment obligations regarding past and ongoing patent expenses;
- intellectual property rights resulting from the joint creation or use of intellectual property (including improvements made to licensed intellectual property) by our and our partners' licensors and us and our partners; and
- the priority of invention of patented technology.

In addition, the agreements under which we currently license intellectual property or technology from third parties are complex, and certain provisions in such agreements may be susceptible to multiple interpretations or may conflict in such a way that puts us in breach of one or more agreements, which would make us susceptible to lengthy and expensive disputes with one or more of such third-party licensing partners. The resolution of any contract interpretation disagreement that may arise could narrow what we believe to be the scope of our rights to the relevant intellectual property or technology, or increase what we believe to be our financial or other obligations under the relevant agreements, either of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. Moreover, if disputes over intellectual property that we have licensed prevent or impair our ability to maintain our current licensing arrangements on commercially acceptable terms, we may be unable to successfully develop and commercialize the affected product candidates, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial conditions, results of operations and prospects.

#### Risks Pertaining to the Commercialization of Product Candidates

***If any of our product candidates are successfully developed but do not achieve broad market acceptance among physicians, patients, healthcare payors and the medical community, the revenues that any such product candidates generate from sales will be limited.***

Even if our product candidates receive regulatory approval, they may not gain market acceptance among physicians, patients, healthcare payors and the medical community. Coverage and reimbursement of our product candidates by third-party payors, including government payors, generally would also be necessary for commercial success. The degree of market acceptance of any approved products would depend on a number of factors, including, but not necessarily limited to:

- the efficacy and safety as demonstrated in clinical trials;
- the timing of market introduction of such product candidate as well as competitive products;
- the clinical indications for which the product is approved;
- acceptance by physicians, major operators of hospitals and clinics and patients of the product as a safe and effective treatment;

- the potential and perceived advantages of product candidates over alternative treatments;
- the safety of product candidates in a broader patient group (i.e., based on actual use);
- the availability, cost and benefits of treatment, in relation to alternative treatments;
- the availability of adequate reimbursement and pricing by third parties and government authorities;
- changes in regulatory requirements by government authorities for our product candidates;
- the product labeling or product insert required by the FDA or regulatory authority in other countries, including any contradictions, warnings, drug interactions, or other precautions;
- changes in the standard of care for the targeted indications for our product candidate or future product candidates, which could reduce the marketing impact of any labeling or marketing claims that we could make following FDA approval;
- relative convenience and ease of administration;
- the prevalence and severity of side effects and adverse events;
- the effectiveness of our sales and marketing efforts; and
- unfavorable publicity relating to the product.

If any product candidate is approved but does not achieve an adequate level of acceptance by physicians, hospitals, healthcare payors and patients, we may not generate sufficient revenue from these products and in turn we may not become or remain profitable. In addition, our efforts to educate the medical community and third-party payors on the benefits of our product candidates may require significant resources and may never be successful.

***Even if approved, any product candidates that we may develop and market may be later withdrawn from the market or subject to promotional limitations.***

We may not be able to obtain the desired labeling claims or scheduling classifications necessary or desirable for the promotion of our marketed products (or our product candidates if approved). We may also be required to undertake post-marketing clinical trials. If the results of such post-marketing studies are not satisfactory or if adverse events or other safety issues arise after approval while our products are on the market, the FDA or a comparable regulatory authority in another jurisdiction may withdraw marketing authorization or may condition continued marketing on commitments from us that may be expensive and/or time consuming to complete. In addition, if manufacturing problems occur, regulatory approval may be impacted or withdrawn and reformulation of our products, additional clinical trials, changes in labeling of our products and additional marketing applications may be required. Any reformulation or labeling changes may limit the marketability of such products if approved.

***We face potential product liability exposure, and if successful claims are brought against us, we may incur substantial liability for one or more of our product candidates or a future product candidate we may license or acquire and may have to limit their commercialization.***

The use of one or more of our product candidates and any future product candidate we may license or acquire in clinical trials and the sale of any products for which we obtain marketing approval expose us to the risk of product liability claims. For example, we may be sued if any product we develop allegedly causes injury or is found to be otherwise unsuitable during clinical testing, manufacturing, marketing or sale. Any such product liability claims may include allegations of defects in manufacturing, defects in design, a failure to warn of dangers inherent in the product, negligence, strict liability or a breach of warranties. Product liability claims might be brought against us by consumers, health care providers or others using, administering or selling our products. If we cannot successfully defend ourselves against these claims, we will incur substantial liabilities. Regardless of merit or eventual outcome, liability claims may result in:

- withdrawal of clinical trial participants;
- suspension or termination of clinical trial sites or entire trial programs;
- decreased demand for any product candidates or products that we may develop;
- initiation of investigations by regulators;
- impairment of our business reputation;
- costs of related litigation;
- substantial monetary awards to patients or other claimants;
- loss of revenues;
- reduced resources of our management to pursue our business strategy; and
- the inability to commercialize our product candidate or future product candidates.

Our partner company Journey acquired an isotretinoin product and began marketing that product under the Accutane® brand name in Q2 2021. Isotretinoin has a black box warning for use in pregnant women. Isotretinoin also has warnings for side effects related to psychiatric disorders and inflammatory bowel disease, among others. Historically, isotretinoin has been the subject of significant product liability claims, mainly related to irritable bowel disease. Currently, there is no significant isotretinoin product liability litigation. The federal multi-district litigation (“MDL”) court dismissed all remaining federal isotretinoin cases in 2014 after ruling that the warning label on the drug was adequate. The MDL dissolved in 2015, which effectively put an end to federal lawsuits. Cases continued in New Jersey state court until 2017, when the trial court judge dismissed the remaining the isotretinoin product liability cases. Thus, should a product liability claim against Journey be brought related to its isotretinoin product, we have substantial defenses. However, it is not feasible to predict the ultimate outcome of any litigation and the Company could in the future be required to pay significant amounts as a result of settlement or judgments should such new product liability claims be brought.

We will obtain limited product liability insurance coverage for all of our upcoming clinical trials. However, our insurance coverage may not reimburse us or may not be sufficient to reimburse us for any expenses or losses we may suffer. Moreover, insurance coverage is becoming increasingly expensive, and, in the future, we may not be able to maintain insurance coverage at a reasonable cost or in sufficient amounts to protect us against losses due to liability. When needed we intend to expand our insurance coverage to include the sale of commercial products if we obtain marketing approval for one or more of our product candidates in development, but we may be unable to obtain commercially reasonable product liability insurance for any products approved for marketing. On occasion, large judgments have been awarded in class action lawsuits based on drugs that had unanticipated side effects. A successful product liability claim or series of claims brought against us could cause our stock price to fall and, if judgments exceed our insurance coverage, could decrease our cash and adversely affect our business.

Additionally, we have entered into various agreements under which we indemnify third parties for certain claims relating to product candidates. These indemnification obligations may require us to pay significant sums of money for claims that are covered by these indemnifications.

***Any product for which we obtain marketing approval could be subject to restrictions or withdrawal from the market and we may be subject to penalties if we fail to comply with regulatory requirements or if we experience unanticipated problems with products, when and if any of them are approved.***

Any product for which we obtain marketing approval, along with the authorized manufacturing facilities, processes and equipment, post-approval clinical data, labeling, advertising and promotional activities for such product, will remain subject to ongoing regulatory requirements governing drug or biological products, as well as review by the FDA and comparable regulatory authorities. These requirements include submissions of safety and other post-marketing information and reports, registration requirements, CGMP requirements relating to quality control, quality assurance and corresponding maintenance of records and documents, requirements regarding the distribution of samples to physicians and recordkeeping, and requirements regarding company presentations and interactions with healthcare professionals. Even if we obtain regulatory approval for a product, the approval may be subject to limitations on the indicated uses for which the product may be marketed or subject to conditions of approval, or contain requirements for costly post-marketing testing and surveillance to monitor the safety or efficacy of the product.

We also may be subject to state laws and registration requirements covering the distribution of drug products. Later discovery of previously unknown problems with products, manufacturers or manufacturing processes, or failure to comply with regulatory requirements, may result in actions such as:

- restrictions on product manufacturing, distribution or use;
- restrictions on the labeling or marketing of a product;
- requirements to conduct post-marketing studies or clinical trials;
- warning or untitled letters;
- recalls or other withdrawal of the products from the market;
- refusal to approve pending applications or supplements to approved applications that we submit;
- fines;
- suspension or withdrawal of marketing or regulatory approvals;
- refusal to permit the import or export of products;
- product seizure or detentions;

- injunctions or the imposition of civil or criminal penalties; and
- adverse publicity.

If we or our suppliers, third-party contractors, clinical investigators or collaborators are slow to adapt, or are unable to adapt, to changes in existing regulatory requirements or adoption of new regulatory requirements or policies, we or our collaborators may be subject to the actions listed above, including losing marketing approval for products when and if any of them are approved, resulting in decreased revenue from milestones, product sales or royalties.

***We will need to obtain FDA approval of any proposed product brand names, and any failure or delay associated with such approval may adversely impact our business.***

A pharmaceutical product cannot be marketed in the U.S. or other countries until the relevant governmental authority has completed a rigorous and extensive regulatory review process, including approval of a brand name. Any brand names we intend to use for our product candidates in the U.S. will require approval from the FDA regardless of whether we have secured a formal trademark registration from the PTO. The FDA typically conducts a review of proposed product brand names, including an evaluation of potential for confusion with other product names. The FDA may also object to a product brand name if it believes the name inappropriately implies medical claims. If the FDA objects to any of our proposed product brand names, we may be required to adopt an alternative brand name for our product candidates. If we adopt an alternative brand name, we would lose the benefit of our existing trademark applications for such product candidate and may be required to expend significant additional resources in an effort to identify a suitable product brand name that would qualify under applicable trademark laws, not infringe the existing rights of third parties and be acceptable to the FDA. We may be unable to build a successful brand identity for a new trademark in a timely manner or at all, which would limit our ability to commercialize our product candidates.

#### Risks Pertaining to Legislation and Regulation Affecting the Biopharmaceutical and Other Industries

***We cannot predict the likelihood, nature or extent of government regulation that may arise from future legislation or administrative or executive action, either in the United States or abroad.***

We cannot predict the likelihood, nature or extent of how government regulation that may arise from future legislation or administrative or executive action taken by the U.S. presidential administration may impact our business and industry. In particular, the former U.S. President took several executive actions, specifically through rulemaking and guidance, which could impact the pharmaceutical business and industry. Shortly after taking office in January 2021, President Biden announced that his Administration would be freezing a number of the prior Administration's drug pricing reforms, while others remain subject to both executive orders or regulatory changes issued by the Department of Health and Human Services. A few of the major administrative actions include:

- On October 30, 2019, the Trump Administration issued an advanced notice of proposed rulemaking ("ANPRM") entitled, *International Pricing Index Model for Medicare Part B Drugs*. This ANPRM was intended to solicit feedback on a potential proposal to align United States drug prices in the Medicare Part B program with international prices. It also solicited public feedback on a policy that would allowing private-sector vendors to negotiate prices, take title to drugs, and improve competition for hospital and physician business. Although this is only a notice for a potential rule, it signals the Administration's desire to regulatorily influence the United States drug pricing system that could adversely affect the industry.
- On November 15, 2019, CMS issued a proposed rule entitled, *Transparency in Coverage* and finalized the *Calendar Year ("CY") 2020 Outpatient Prospective Payment System ("OPPS") & Ambulatory Surgical Center Price Transparency Requirements for Hospitals to Make Standard Charges Rule*. Together the rules would increase price transparency through health plans and in hospitals. The affects may influence consumer purchasing habits in the health care sector as a whole. Although the transparency provisions are not yet in effect and the hospital price transparency requirements are subject to litigation, there could be implications for the industry related to drug pricing if or when it is enacted.

- On November 18, 2019, CMS issued a proposed rule entitled, *Medicaid Fiscal Accountability Regulation (“MFAR”)*. The proposed rule would significantly impact states’ ability to finance their Medicaid programs. If finalized, the MFAR could force states to restructure their Medicaid financing that could disincentivize or change state prescription drug purchasing behavior that would adversely impact the industry.
- On December 18, 2019, the FDA issued a proposed rule entitled, *Importation of Prescription Drugs*. The proposed rule would allow the importation of certain prescription drugs from Canada. If finalized, states or other non-federal government entities would be able to submit importation program proposals to FDA for review and authorization. This proposed rule could also influence pricing practices in the United States.
- On January 30, 2020, CMS issued a state waiver option entitled, *Health Adult Opportunity (“HAO”)*. The HAO would allow states to restructure benefits and coverage policies for their Medicaid programs. The HAO will provide states administrative flexibilities in exchange for a capped federal share. The cap on the federal share is commonly referred to as a “block grant.” Importantly, the HAO allows states to set formularies that align with Essential Health Benefit requirements while still requiring manufacturers to participate in the Medicaid Rebate Program. Depending on utilization of the HAO by states, it could impact the industry – especially if states elect to use a formulary.
- On December 2, 2020, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (“CMS”) issued a final rule entitled, *Modernizing and Clarifying the Physician Self-Referral Regulations* and on the same day the HHS Office of Inspector General finalized a similar rule, entitled *Revisions to Safe Harbors Under the Anti-Kickback Statute, and Civil Monetary penalty Rules Regarding Beneficiary Inducements*. The rules are an effort to reform regulations dealing with anti-kickback and self-referral laws. These rules allow certain financial arrangements that would otherwise violate anti-kickback and self-referral laws for providers that are participating in value-based payment arrangements. The rule could impact drug purchasing behavior to ensure providers are within their budget and/or restructure existing payment structures between providers and manufacturers.

As with any change in the Executive Office, and particularly with respect to changes from a Republican Administration under former President Trump to a Democratic Administration under President Biden, we expect there to be significant changes to existing rules, regulations and policies, the enactment of new Executive Orders and other immediate or iterative political, legislative and administrative changes, affecting the pharmaceutical industry. We cannot predict the likelihood, nature or extent of government regulation that may arise from future legislation or administrative or executive action, either in the United States, or based on similar governmental changes in other countries.

***Our current and future relationships with customers and third-party payors in the United States and elsewhere may be subject, directly or indirectly, to applicable anti-kickback, fraud and abuse, false claims, transparency, health information privacy and security and other healthcare laws and regulations, which could expose us to criminal sanctions, civil penalties, contractual damages, reputational harm, administrative burdens and diminished profits and future earnings.***

Healthcare providers, physicians and third-party payors in the U.S. and elsewhere will play a primary role in the recommendation and prescription of any product candidates for which we obtain marketing approval. Our future arrangements with third-party payors and customers may expose us to broadly applicable fraud and abuse and other healthcare laws and regulations, including, without limitation, the federal Anti-Kickback Statute and the federal False Claims Act, which may constrain the business or financial arrangements and relationships through which we sell, market and distribute any product candidates for which we obtain marketing approval. In addition, we may be subject to transparency laws and patient privacy regulation by the federal and state governments and by governments in foreign jurisdictions in which we conduct our business. The applicable federal, state and foreign healthcare laws and regulations that may affect our ability to operate include, but are not necessarily limited to:

- the federal Anti-Kickback Statute, which prohibits, among other things, persons from knowingly and willfully soliciting, offering, receiving or providing remuneration, directly or indirectly, in cash or in kind, to induce or reward, or in return for, either the referral of an individual for, or the purchase, order or recommendation of, any good or service, for which payment may be made under federal and state healthcare programs, such as Medicare and Medicaid;

- federal civil and criminal false claims laws and civil monetary penalty laws, including the federal False Claims Act, which impose criminal and civil penalties, including civil whistleblower or qui tam actions, against individuals or entities for knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, to the federal government, including the Medicare and Medicaid programs, claims for payment that are false or fraudulent or making a false statement to avoid, decrease or conceal an obligation to pay money to the federal government; the federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA, which imposes criminal and civil liability for executing a scheme to defraud any healthcare benefit program or making false statements relating to healthcare matters;
- HIPAA, as amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act of 2009, or HITECH, and their respective implementing regulations, which impose obligations on covered healthcare providers, health plans, and healthcare clearinghouses, as well as their business associates that create, receive, maintain or transmit individually identifiable health information for or on behalf of a covered entity, with respect to safeguarding the privacy, security and transmission of individually identifiable health information;
- the federal Open Payments program, which requires manufacturers of certain drugs, devices, biologics and medical supplies for which payment is available under Medicare, Medicaid or the Children’s Health Insurance Program, with specific exceptions, to report annually to the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, or CMS, information related to “payments or other transfers of value” made to “covered recipients,” which include physicians (defined to include doctors, dentists, optometrists, podiatrists and chiropractors, and teaching hospitals) and applicable manufacturers. Applicable group purchasing organizations also are required to report annually to CMS the ownership and investment interests held by the physicians and their immediate family members. The SUPPORT for Patients and Communities Act added to the definition of covered recipient practitioners including physician assistants, nurse practitioners, clinical nurse specialists, certified registered nurse anesthetists and certified nurse-midwives effective in 2022. Data collection began on August 1, 2013 with requirements for manufacturers to submit reports to CMS by March 31, 2014 and 90 days after the end of each subsequent calendar year. Disclosure of such information was made by CMS on a publicly available website beginning in September 2014; and
- analogous state and foreign laws and regulations, such as state anti-kickback and false claims laws, which may apply to sales or marketing arrangements and claims involving healthcare items or services reimbursed by non-governmental third-party payors, including private insurers; state and foreign laws that require pharmaceutical companies to comply with the pharmaceutical industry’s voluntary compliance guidelines and the relevant compliance guidance promulgated by the federal government or otherwise restrict payments that may be made to healthcare providers; state and foreign laws that require drug manufacturers to report information related to payments and other transfers of value to physicians and other healthcare providers or marketing expenditures; and state and foreign laws governing the privacy and security of health information in certain circumstances, many of which differ from each other in significant ways and often are not preempted by HIPAA, thus complicating compliance efforts.

Efforts to ensure that our business arrangements with third parties will comply with applicable healthcare laws and regulations may involve substantial costs. It is possible that governmental authorities will conclude that our business practices may not comply with current or future statutes, regulations or case law involving applicable fraud and abuse or other healthcare laws and regulations. If our operations are found to be in violation of any of these laws or any other governmental regulations that may apply to us, we may be subject to significant civil, criminal and administrative penalties, including, without limitation, damages, fines, imprisonment, exclusion from participation in government healthcare programs, such as Medicare and Medicaid, and the curtailment or restructuring of our operations, which could have a material adverse effect on our businesses. If any of the physicians or other healthcare providers or entities with whom we expect to do business, including our collaborators, is found not to be in compliance with applicable laws, it may be subject to criminal, civil or administrative sanctions, including exclusions from participation in government healthcare programs, which could also materially affect our businesses.

***As we continue to execute our growth strategy, we may be subject to further government regulation which could adversely affect our financial results, including without limitation the Investment Company Act of 1940.***

If we engage in business combinations and other transactions that result in holding minority or non-control investment interests in a number of entities, we may become subject to regulation under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the “Investment Company Act”). If we do become subject to the Investment Company Act, we would be required to register as an investment company and could be expected to incur significant registration and compliance costs in the future.

#### General Risks

***Our business and operations would suffer in the event of computer system failures, cyber-attacks, or deficiencies in our or third parties’ cybersecurity.***

We are increasingly dependent upon information technology systems, infrastructure, and data to operate our business. In the ordinary course of business, we collect, store, and transmit confidential information, including, but not limited to, information related to our intellectual property and proprietary business information, personal information, and other confidential information. It is critical that we maintain such confidential information in a manner that preserves its confidentiality, availability and integrity. Furthermore, we have outsourced elements of our operations to third party vendors, who each have access to our confidential information, which increases our disclosure risk.

We are in the process of implementing our internal security and business continuity measures and developing our information technology infrastructure. Our internal computer systems and those of current and future third parties on which we rely may fail and are vulnerable to damage from computer viruses and unauthorized access. Our information technology and other internal infrastructure systems, including corporate firewalls, servers, third-party software, data center facilities, lab equipment, and connection to the internet, face the risk of breakdown or other damage or interruption from service interruptions, system malfunctions, natural disasters, terrorism, war, and telecommunication and electrical failures, as well as security breaches from inadvertent or intentional actions by our employees, contractors, consultants, business partners, and/or other third parties, or from cyber-attacks by malicious third parties (including the deployment of harmful malware and other malicious code, ransomware, denial-of-service attacks, social engineering and other means to affect service reliability and threaten the confidentiality, integrity and availability of information), each of which could compromise our system infrastructure or lead to the loss, destruction, alteration, disclosure, or dissemination of, or damage or unauthorized access to, our data or data that is processed or maintained on our behalf, or other assets.

If such an event were to occur and cause interruptions in our operations, it could result in a material disruption of our development programs and our business operations, and could result in financial, legal, business, and reputational harm to us. For example, in 2021, our partner company Journey was the victim of a cybersecurity incident that affected its accounts payable function and led to approximately \$9.5 million in wire transfers being misdirected to fraudulent accounts. The details of the incident and its origin have been under investigation with the assistance of third-party cybersecurity experts working at the direction of legal counsel. The matter was reported to the Federal Bureau of Investigation and does not appear to have compromised any personally identifiable information or protected health information. As Journey’s controlling stockholder and supporting partner in back-office functions, Fortress provided Journey with \$9.5 million to ensure its accounts payable operations continue to function smoothly. Fortress and Journey may incur additional expenses and losses as a result of this cybersecurity incident, including those related to investigation fees and remediation costs.



In addition, the loss or corruption of, or other damage to, clinical trial data from completed or future clinical trials could result in delays in our regulatory approval efforts and significantly increase our costs to recover or reproduce the data. Likewise, we rely on third parties for the manufacture of our drug candidates or any future drug candidates and to conduct clinical trials, and similar events relating to their systems and operations could also have a material adverse effect on our business and lead to regulatory agency actions. The risk of a security breach or disruption, particularly through cyber-attacks or cyber intrusion, including by computer hackers, foreign governments, and cyber terrorists, has generally increased as the number, intensity, and sophistication of attempted attacks and intrusions from around the world have increased. Sophisticated cyber attackers (including foreign adversaries engaged in industrial espionage) are skilled at adapting to existing security technology and developing new methods of gaining access to organizations' sensitive business data, which could result in the loss of proprietary information, including trade secrets. We may not be able to anticipate all types of security threats, and we may not be able to implement preventive measures effective against all such security threats. The techniques used by cyber criminals change frequently, may not be recognized until launched, and can originate from a wide variety of sources, including outside groups such as external service providers, organized crime affiliates, terrorist organizations, or hostile foreign governments or agencies.

Any security breach or other event leading to the loss or damage to, or unauthorized access, use, alteration, disclosure, or dissemination of, personal information, including personal information regarding clinical trial subjects, contractors, directors, or employees, our intellectual property, proprietary business information, or other confidential or proprietary information, could directly harm our reputation, enable competitors to compete with us more effectively, compel us to comply with federal and/or state breach notification laws and foreign law equivalents, subject us to mandatory corrective action, or otherwise subject us to liability under laws and regulations that protect the privacy and security of personal information. Each of the foregoing could result in significant legal and financial exposure and reputational damage that could adversely affect our business. Notifications and follow-up actions related to a security incident could impact our reputation or cause us to incur substantial costs, including legal and remediation costs, in connection with these measures and otherwise in connection with any actual or suspected security breach. We expect to incur significant costs in an effort to detect and prevent security incidents and otherwise implement our internal security and business continuity measures, and actual, potential, or anticipated attacks may cause us to incur increasing costs, including costs to deploy additional personnel and protection technologies, train employees, and engage third-party experts and consultants. We may face increased costs and find it necessary or appropriate to expend substantial resources in the event of an actual or perceived security breach.

The costs related to significant security breaches or disruptions could be material, and our insurance policies may not be adequate to compensate us for the potential losses arising from any such disruption in, or failure or security breach of, our systems or third-party systems where information important to our business operations or commercial development is stored or processed. In addition, such insurance may not be available to us in the future on economically reasonable terms, or at all. Further, our insurance may not cover all claims made against us and could have high deductibles in any event, and defending a suit, regardless of its merit, could be costly and divert management attention. Furthermore, if the information technology systems of our third-party vendors and other contractors and consultants become subject to disruptions or security breaches, we may have insufficient recourse against such third parties and we may have to expend significant resources to mitigate the impact of such an event, and to develop and implement protections to prevent future events of this nature from occurring.

***The COVID-19 pandemic may continue to impact Journey's product revenues, future clinical trials, and as a result, our financial condition and results of operations and other aspects of our business.***

In December 2019, a novel strain of coronavirus, which causes a disease referred to as COVID-19, was first detected in Wuhan, China and has since spread worldwide. On March 11, 2020, the World Health Organization declared that the rapidly spreading COVID-19 outbreak had evolved into a pandemic. In response to the pandemic, many governments around the world are implementing a variety of control measures to reduce the spread of COVID-19, including travel restrictions and bans, instructions to residents to practice social distancing, quarantine advisories, shelter-in-place orders and required closures of non-essential businesses. The COVID-19 pandemic has and may continue to impact the global economy, disrupt global supply chains, and create significant volatility and disruption of financial markets.

To protect the health of our workforce, we asked our office-based employees to work remotely, have restricted domestic and international travel indefinitely, and restricted on-site staff to only those personnel and contractors who perform essential activities that must be conducted on-site. We intend to keep these precautionary measures in effect for the foreseeable future and may need to enact further measures to help minimize the risk of our employees being exposed to COVID-19. Although the impact of a remote working environment to our operations has been minimal, our continued reliance on remote work may negatively impact productivity, including our ability to generate revenues and product demand, prepare regulatory applications, and conduct data analysis, and may disrupt, delay, or otherwise adversely impact our business. In addition, continued remote working could increase our cybersecurity risk, create data accessibility concerns, and make us more susceptible to communication disruption. COVID-19 may also compromise the ability of independent contractors who perform consulting services for us to deliver services or deliverables in a satisfactory or timely manner.

Some factors from the COVID-19 outbreak that may delay or otherwise adversely affect Journey's product revenues, as well as adversely impact Journey's business generally, include:

- the changes in buying patterns throughout Journey's supply chain caused by lack of normal access by patients to the healthcare system and concern about the continued supply of medications, which may increase or decrease demand for Journey's products;
- adverse effects on our manufacturing operations, supply chain and distribution systems, which may impact Journey's ability to produce and distribute products, as well as the ability of third parties to fulfill their obligations to us and could increase our expenses;
- the risk of shutdown in countries where Journey relies, or may rely, on CMOs to provide commercial manufacture of our products, clinical batch manufacturing of our product candidates, including DFD-29, clinical trial enrollment, or the procurement of active pharmaceutical ingredients or other manufacturing components for Journey's products or product candidates, which may cause delays or shortages in Journey's product supply and/or the timing of any our clinical trials;
- the risk that the COVID-19 pandemic may intensify other risks inherent in our business; and
- the possibility that third parties on which we rely for certain functions and services, including CMOs, suppliers, distributors, logistics providers, and external business partners, may be adversely impacted by restrictions resulting from COVID-19, which could cause us to experience delays or incur additional costs.

***We may not be able to hire or retain key officers or employees needed to implement our business strategy and develop products and businesses.***

Our success depends on the continued contributions of our executive officers, financial, scientific, and technical personnel and consultants, and on our ability to attract additional personnel as we continue to implement growth strategies and acquire and invest in companies with varied businesses. During our operating history, many essential responsibilities have been assigned to a relatively small number of individuals. However, as we continue to implement our growth strategy, the demands on our key employees will expand, and we will need to recruit additional qualified employees. The competition for such qualified personnel is intense, and the loss of services of certain key personnel, or our inability to attract additional personnel to fill critical positions, could adversely affect our business.

We currently depend heavily upon the efforts and abilities of our management team and the management teams of our partners. The loss or unavailability of the services of any of these individuals could have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, financial condition and results. In addition, we have not obtained, do not own, and are not the beneficiary of key-person life insurance for any of our key personnel. We only maintain a limited amount of directors' and officers' liability insurance coverage. There can be no assurance that this coverage will be sufficient to cover the costs of the events that may occur, in which case, there could be a substantial impact on our ability to continue operations.

***Our employees, consultants, or third-party partners may engage in misconduct or other improper activities, including but not necessarily limited to noncompliance with regulatory standards and requirements or internal procedures, policies or agreements to which such employees, consultants and partners are subject, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business.***

We are exposed to the risk of employee fraud or other misconduct. Misconduct by employees, consultants, or third-party partners could include intentional failures to comply with FDA regulations, provide accurate information to the FDA, comply with CGMPs, comply with federal and state healthcare fraud and abuse laws and regulations, report financial information or data accurately, comply with internal procedures, policies or agreements to which such employees, consultants or partners are subject, or disclose unauthorized activities to us. In particular, sales, marketing and business arrangements in the healthcare industry are subject to extensive laws and regulations intended to prevent fraud, kickbacks, self-dealing and other abusive practices. These laws and regulations may restrict or prohibit a wide range of pricing, discounting, marketing and promotion, sales commission, customer incentive programs and other business arrangements. Employee, consultant, or third-party misconduct could also involve the improper use of information obtained in the course of clinical trials, which could result in regulatory sanctions and serious harm to our reputation, as well as civil and criminal liability. The precautions we take to detect and prevent this activity may not be effective in controlling unknown or unmanaged risks or losses or in protecting us from governmental investigations or other actions or lawsuits stemming from a failure to be in compliance with such laws or regulations. If any such actions are instituted against us, and we are not successful in defending ourselves or asserting our rights, those actions could have a significant impact on our business and results of operations, including the imposition of significant fines or other civil and/or criminal sanctions.

We receive a large amount of proprietary information from potential or existing licensors of intellectual property and potential acquisition target companies, all pursuant to confidentiality agreements. The confidentiality and proprietary invention assignment agreements that we have in place with each of our employees and consultants prohibit the unauthorized disclosure of such information, but such employees or consultants may nonetheless disclose such information through negligence or willful misconduct. Any such unauthorized disclosures could subject us to monetary damages and/or injunctive or equitable relief. The notes, analyses and memoranda that we have generated based on such information are also valuable to our businesses, and the unauthorized disclosure or misappropriation of such materials by our employees and consultants could significantly harm our strategic initiatives – especially if such disclosures are made to our competitor companies.

***We may be subject to claims that our employees and/or consultants have wrongfully used or disclosed to us alleged trade secrets of their former employers or other clients.***

As is common in the biopharmaceutical industry, we rely on employees and consultants to assist in the development of product candidates, many of whom were previously employed at, or may have previously been or are currently providing consulting services to, other biopharmaceutical companies, including our competitors or potential competitors. We may become subject to claims related to whether these individuals have inadvertently or otherwise used, disclosed or misappropriated trade secrets or other proprietary information of their former employers or their former or current clients. Litigation may be necessary to defend against these claims. Even if we are successful in defending these claims, litigation could result in substantial costs and be a distraction to management and/or the employees or consultants that are implicated.

***The market price of our securities may be volatile and may fluctuate in a way that is disproportionate to our operating performance.***

The stock prices of our securities may experience substantial volatility as a result of a number of factors, including, but not necessarily limited to:

- announcements we make regarding our current product candidates, acquisition of potential new product candidates and companies and/or in-licensing through multiple partners/affiliates;
- sales or potential sales of substantial amounts of our Common Stock;
- issuance of debt or other securities;

- our delay or failure in initiating or completing pre-clinical or clinical trials or unsatisfactory results of any of these trials;
- announcements about us or about our competitors, including clinical trial results, regulatory approvals or new product introductions;
- developments concerning our licensors and/or product manufacturers;
- litigation and other developments relating to our patents or other proprietary rights or those of our competitors;
- conditions in the pharmaceutical or biotechnology industries;
- governmental regulation and legislation;
- unstable regional political and economic conditions;
- variations in our anticipated or actual operating results; and
- change in securities analysts' estimates of our performance, or our failure to meet analysts' expectations.

Many of these factors are beyond our control. The stock markets in general, and the market for pharmaceutical and biotechnological companies in particular, have historically experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations. These fluctuations often have been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of these companies. These broad market and industry factors could reduce the market prices of our securities, regardless of our actual operating performance.

***Sales of a substantial number of shares of our Common Stock, or the perception that such sales may occur, may adversely impact the price of our Common Stock.***

Almost all of the 107.0 million outstanding shares of our Common Stock, inclusive of outstanding equity awards, as of December 31, 2021, are available for sale in the public market, either pursuant to Rule 144 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), or an effective registration statement. In addition, pursuant to our current shelf registration statement on Form S-3, from time to time we may issue and sell shares of our Common Stock or Preferred Stock having an aggregate offering price of up to \$17.4 million as of December 31, 2021. Any sale of a substantial number of shares of our Common Stock or our Preferred Stock could cause a drop in the trading price of our Common Stock or Preferred Stock on the Nasdaq Stock Market.

***We may not be able to manage our anticipated growth, which may in turn adversely impact our business.***

We will need to continue to expend capital on improving our infrastructure to address our anticipated growth. Acquisitions of companies or products could place a strain on our management, and administrative, operational and financial systems. In addition, we may need to hire, train, and manage more employees, focusing on their integration with us and corporate culture. Integration and management issues associated with increased acquisitions may require a disproportionate amount of our management's time and attention and distract our management from other activities related to running our business.

***A catastrophic disaster could damage our facilities beyond insurance limits or cause us to lose key data, which could cause us to curtail or cease operations.***

We are vulnerable to damage and/or loss of vital data from natural disasters, such as earthquakes, tornadoes, power loss, fire, health epidemics and pandemics, floods and similar events, as well as from accidental loss or destruction. If any disaster were to occur, our ability to operate our businesses could be seriously impaired. We have property, liability and business interruption insurance that may not be adequate to cover losses resulting from disasters or other similar significant business interruptions, and we do not plan to purchase additional insurance to cover such losses due to the cost of obtaining such coverage. Any significant losses that are not recoverable under our insurance policies could seriously impair our business, financial condition and prospects.

Any of the aforementioned circumstances, including without limitation the COVID-19 virus, may also impede our employees' and consultants' abilities to provide services in-person and/or in a timely manner; hinder our ability to raise funds to finance our operations on favorable terms or at all; and trigger effectiveness of "force majeure" clauses under agreements with respect to which we receive goods and services, or under which we are obligated to achieve developmental milestones on certain timeframes. Disputes with third parties over the applicability of such "force majeure" clauses, or the enforceability of developmental milestones and related extension mechanisms in light of such business interruptions, may arise and may become expensive and time-consuming.

***Our ability to use our pre-change NOLs and other pre-change tax attributes to offset post-change taxable income or taxes may be subject to limitation.***

We may, from time to time, carry net operating loss carryforwards ("NOLs") as deferred tax assets on our balance sheet. Under Sections 382 and 383 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, if a corporation undergoes an "ownership change" (generally defined as a greater than 50-percentage-point cumulative change (by value) in the equity ownership of certain stockholders over a rolling three-year period), the corporation's ability to use all of its pre-change NOLs and other pre-change tax attributes to offset its post-change taxable income or taxes may be limited. We may experience ownership changes in the future as a result of shifts in our stock ownership, some of which changes are outside our control. As a result, our ability to use our pre-change NOLs and other pre-change tax attributes to offset post-change taxable income or taxes may be subject to limitation.

***If we fail to comply with environmental, health and safety laws and regulations, we could become subject to fines or penalties or incur costs that could harm our business.***

We, and/or third parties on our behalf, may use hazardous materials, including chemicals and biological agents and compounds that could be dangerous to human health and safety or the environment. Our operations may also produce hazardous waste products. Federal, state and local laws and regulations govern the use, generation, manufacture, storage, handling and disposal of these materials and wastes. Compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations may be expensive, and current or future environmental laws and regulations may impair our product development efforts. In addition, we cannot entirely eliminate the risk of accidental injury or contamination from these materials or wastes. We do not carry specific biological or hazardous waste insurance coverage, and our property and casualty and general liability insurance policies specifically exclude coverage for damages and fines arising from biological or hazardous waste exposure or contamination. Accordingly, in the event of contamination or injury, we could be held liable for damages or penalized with fines in an amount exceeding our respective resources, and clinical trials or regulatory approvals could be suspended.

Although we maintain workers' compensation insurance to cover costs and expenses incurred due to injuries to our employees resulting from the use of hazardous materials, this insurance may not provide adequate coverage against potential liabilities. We do not maintain insurance for environmental liability or toxic tort claims that may be asserted in connection with the storage or disposal of biological or hazardous materials.

In addition, we may incur substantial costs in order to comply with current or future environmental, health and safety laws and regulations. These current or future laws and regulations may impair our research, development or production efforts. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations also may result in substantial fines, penalties or other sanctions.

***We have never paid and currently do not intend to pay cash dividends in the near future, except for the dividend we pay on our Series A Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock. As a result, capital appreciation, if any, will be the sole source of gain for our Common Stockholders.***

We have never paid cash dividends on our Common Stock, or made stock dividends, except for the dividend we pay on shares of our Series A Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock, and we currently intend to retain future earnings, if any, to fund the development and growth of our businesses, and retain our stock positions. In addition, the terms of existing and future debt agreements may preclude us from paying cash or stock dividends. Equally, each of our partners is governed by its own board of directors with individual governance and decision-making regimes and mandates to oversee such entities in accordance with their respective fiduciary duties. As a result, we alone cannot determine the acts that could maximize value to you of such partners in which we maintain ownership positions, such as declaring cash or stock dividends. As a result, capital appreciation, if any, of our Common Stock will be the sole source of gain for our Common Stockholders for the foreseeable future.

***Changes in funding for the FDA and other government agencies could hinder their ability to hire and retain key leadership and other personnel, or otherwise prevent new products and services from being developed or commercialized in a timely manner, which could negatively impact our business or the business of our partners.***

The ability of the FDA to review and approve new products can be affected by a variety of factors, including government budget and funding levels, ability to hire and retain key personnel, ability to accept the payment of user fees, and statutory, regulatory, and policy changes. Average review times at the agency have fluctuated in recent years as a result. In addition, government funding of other government agencies that fund research and development activities is subject to the political process, which is inherently fluid and unpredictable.

Disruptions at the FDA and other agencies may also slow the time necessary for new drugs to be reviewed and/or approved by necessary government agencies, which would adversely affect our business or the business of our partners. For example, over the last several years, including for 35 days beginning on December 22, 2018, the U.S. government has shut down several times and certain regulatory agencies, such as the FDA, have had to furlough nonessential FDA employees and stop routine activities. If a prolonged government shutdown occurs, it could significantly impact the ability of the FDA to timely review and process our regulatory submissions, which could have a material adverse effect on our business.

If the timing of FDA's review and approval of new products is delayed, the timing of our or our partners' development process may be delayed, which could result in delayed milestone revenues and materially harm our operations or business.

The COVID-19 pandemic has caused considerable disruptions at FDA, namely with respect to diverting FDA's attention and resources to facilitate vaccine development and ensure rapid review and emergency use authorization of vaccines intended to prevent COVID-19. Back in March, Dr. Janet Woodcock, the Director of FDA's Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, temporarily stepped away from her role to focus on the therapeutic aspects of Operation Warp Speed, a major reorganization intended to better align FDA's activities with the national effort to develop COVID-19 countermeasures. Dr. Woodcock later named Acting Commissioner of FDA on January 20, 2021. These changes to leadership, enhanced focus on COVID-19 countermeasures, and the reorganization and rededication of critical resources, both at FDA and within similar governmental authorities across the world, are likely to impact the ability of new products and services from being developed or commercialized in a timely manner.

***We will continue to incur significant increased costs as a result of operating as a public company, and our management will be required to devote substantial time to new compliance initiatives. Also, if we fail to maintain proper and effective internal control over financial reporting in the future, our ability to produce accurate and timely financial statements could be impaired, which could harm our operating results, investors' views of us and, as a result, the value of our Securities.***

As a public company, we incur significant legal, accounting and other expenses under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act ("SOX"), as well as rules subsequently implemented by the SEC, and the rules of the Nasdaq Stock Exchange. These rules impose various requirements on public companies, including requiring establishment and maintenance of effective disclosure and financial controls and appropriate corporate governance practices. Our management and other personnel have devoted and will continue to devote a substantial amount of time to these compliance initiatives. Moreover, these rules and regulations increase our legal and financial compliance costs and make some activities more time-consuming and costly. For example, these rules and regulations make it more difficult and more expensive for us to obtain director and officer liability insurance, and we may be required to accept reduced policy limits and coverage or incur substantially higher costs to obtain the same or similar coverage. As a result, it may be more difficult for us to attract and retain qualified persons to serve on our board of directors, our board committees or as executive officers.

SOX requires, among other things, that we maintain effective internal controls for financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures. As a result, we are required to periodically perform an evaluation of our internal controls over financial reporting to allow management to report on the effectiveness of those controls, as required by Section 404 of SOX. These efforts to comply with Section 404 and related regulations have required, and continue to require, the commitment of significant financial and managerial resources. While we anticipate maintaining the integrity of our internal controls over financial reporting and all other aspects of Section 404, we cannot be certain that a material weakness will not be identified when we test the effectiveness of our control systems in the future. If a material weakness is identified, we could be subject to sanctions or investigations by the SEC or other regulatory authorities, which would require additional financial and management resources, costly litigation or a loss of public confidence in our internal controls, which could have an adverse effect on the market price of our stock.

***Provisions in our certificate of incorporation, our bylaws and Delaware law might discourage, delay or prevent a change in control of our Company or changes in our management and, therefore, depress the trading price of our Common Stock or other Securities.***

Provisions of our certificate of incorporation, our bylaws and Delaware law may have the effect of deterring unsolicited takeovers and/or delaying or preventing a change in control of our Company or changes in our management, including transactions in which our stockholders might otherwise receive a premium for their shares over then-current market prices. In addition, these provisions may limit the ability of stockholders to approve transactions that they may deem to be in their best interests. These provisions include:

- the inability of stockholders to call special meetings; and
- the ability of our Board of Directors to designate the terms of and issue new series of preferred stock without stockholder approval, which could include the right to approve an acquisition or other change in our control or could be used to institute a rights plan, also known as a poison pill, that would work to dilute the stock ownership of a potential hostile acquirer, likely preventing acquisitions that have not been approved by our Board of Directors.

In addition, the Delaware General Corporation Law prohibits a publicly held Delaware corporation from engaging in a business combination with an interested stockholder, generally a person which together with its affiliates owns, or within the last three years has owned, 15% of our voting stock, for a period of three years after the date of the transaction in which the person became an interested stockholder, unless the business combination is approved in a prescribed manner.

The existence of the foregoing provisions and anti-takeover measures could limit the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our Common Stock. They could also deter potential acquirers of our Company, thereby reducing the likelihood that you would receive a premium for your ownership of our Securities through an acquisition.

**Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments**

None.

**Item 2. Properties**

On October 3, 2014, we entered into a 15-year lease for approximately 23,000 square feet of office space at 2 Gansevoort Street, New York, NY 10014, at an average annual rent of \$2.7 million. We took possession of this space, which serves as our principal executive offices, in December 2015, and took occupancy in April 2016. Total rent expense, over the full term of the lease for this space will approximate \$40.7 million. In conjunction with the lease, we entered into Desk Space Agreements with two related parties: Opus Point Partners Management, LLC (“OPPM”) and TGTX, to occupy 10% and 45%, respectively, of the office space that requires them to pay their share of the average annual rent of \$0.3 million and \$1.1 million, respectively. The total net rent expense to us is approximate \$16.0 million over the lease term. These initial rent allocations will be adjusted periodically for each party based upon actual percentage of the office space occupied. As of 2020, only TGTX continues in a Desk Space Agreement with us, as OPPM dissolved in 2019. Additionally, we have reserved the right to execute desk space agreements with other third parties and those arrangements will also affect the cost of the lease actually borne by us.

In October 2015, we entered into a 5-year lease for approximately 6,100 square feet of office space in Waltham, MA at an average annual rent of approximately \$0.2 million. We took occupancy of this space in January 2016. In December 2020, we amended our lease and entered into a new two-year extension of the same office space in Waltham, MA at an average annual rent of \$0.2 million. The term of this amended lease commences on April 1, 2021 and will expire on March 31, 2023.

***Journey***

Journey’s executive offices are located at 9237 E Via de Ventura Blvd. Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 85258. Journey does not own any real property.

In June 2017, Journey extended its lease for 2,295 square feet of office space in Scottsdale, AZ by one year, at an average annual rent of approximately \$55,000. Journey originally took occupancy of this space in November 2014.

In August 2018, Journey amended their lease and entered into a new two-year extension for 3,681 square feet of office space in a larger suite at the same location in Scottsdale, AZ at an annual rate of approximately \$0.1 million. The term of this amended lease commenced on December 1, 2018 and expired on November 30, 2020. In August 2020, Journey entered into a third amendment to their lease and agreed to a new 25-month extension of the same office space in Scottsdale, AZ at an average annual rent of \$0.1 million. The term of this third lease amendment commenced on December 1, 2020 and will expire on December 31, 2022.

***Mustang***

On October 27, 2017, Mustang entered into a lease agreement with WCS – 377 Plantation Street, Inc., a Massachusetts nonprofit corporation. Pursuant to the terms of the lease agreement, we agreed to lease 27,043 square feet from the landlord, located at 377 Plantation Street in Worcester, MA (the “Facility”), through November 2026, subject to additional extensions at the Company’s option. Base rent, net of abatements of \$0.6 million over the lease term, totals approximately \$3.6 million, on a triple-net basis.

The terms of the lease also require that we post an initial security deposit of \$0.8 million, in the form of \$0.5 million letter of credit and \$0.3 million in cash, which increased to \$1.3 million (\$1.0 million letter of credit, \$0.3 million in cash) on November 1, 2019. After the fifth lease year, the letter of credit obligation is subject to reduction.

The Facility began operations for the production of personalized CAR T and gene therapies in 2018.

We believe that our and our partners’ existing facilities are adequate to support our current requirements. We also believe that we will be able to obtain suitable additional facilities on commercially reasonable terms on an “as needed basis.”



### **Item 3. Legal Proceedings**

#### *Qbrexza*

On March 31, 2021, Journey executed an Asset Purchase Agreement (the “Qbrexza APA”) with Dermira, Inc., a subsidiary of Eli Lilly and Company (“Dermira”), and the transaction closed on May 14, 2021. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, Journey acquired the rights to Qbrexza® (glycopronium), a prescription cloth towelette to treat primary axillary hyperhidrosis in patients nine years of age or older. Upon closing of the Qbrexza purchase, Journey became substituted for Dermira as the plaintiff in, and is currently vigorously litigating, U.S. patent litigation commenced by Dermira on October 21, 2020 in the U.S. District Court of Delaware (the “Perrigo Patent Litigation”) against Perrigo Pharma International DAC (“Perrigo”) (N/K/A Padagis Israel Pharmaceuticals Ltd.) alleging infringement of certain patents covering Qbrexza (the “Qbrexza Patents”), which are included among the proprietary rights to Qbrexza that Journey acquired pursuant to the Qbrexza APA. The Perrigo Patent Litigation was initiated following the submission by Perrigo, in accordance with the procedures set out in the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984 (the “Hatch-Waxman Act”), of an Abbreviated New Drug Application, or ANDA. The ANDA seeks approval to market a generic version of Qbrexza prior to the expiration of the Qbrexza Patents and alleges that the Qbrexza Patents are invalid. Perrigo is subject to a 30-month stay preventing it from selling a generic version, but that stay is set to expire on March 9, 2023. Trial in the Perrigo Patent Litigation is scheduled for September 19, 2022. The Company cannot make any predictions about the final outcome of this matter or the timing thereof.

On March 4, 2022, Journey filed a complaint against Teva Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., and Teva Pharmaceuticals Industries Ltd. in the U.S. District Court of Delaware (the “Teva Patent Litigation”) alleging infringement of certain patents covering Qbrexza (the “Qbrexza Patents”), which are included among the proprietary rights to Qbrexza that were acquired pursuant to the Qbrexza APA. The Teva Patent Litigation was initiated following the submission by Teva, in accordance with the procedures set out in the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984 (the “Hatch-Waxman Act”), of an Abbreviated New Drug Application, or ANDA. The ANDA seeks approval to market a generic version of Qbrexza prior to the expiration of the Qbrexza Patents and alleges that the Qbrexza Patents are invalid. Teva is subject to a 30-month stay preventing it from selling a generic version. The stay should expire no earlier than August 8, 2024. Trial in the Teva Patent Litigation has not yet been scheduled. The Company cannot make any predictions about the final outcome of this matter or the timing thereof.

#### *Amzeeq*

Upon completion of the Acquisition, Journey became substituted for VYNE as the plaintiff in U.S. patent litigation commenced by VYNE on August 9, 2021 in the U.S. District Court of Delaware (the “Padagis Patent Litigation”) against Padagis Israel Pharmaceuticals Ltd. (F/K/A Perrigo Israel Pharmaceuticals Ltd.) (“Padagis”) alleging infringement of certain patents covering Amzeeq® (the “Amzeeq® Patents”), which are included among the proprietary rights to Amzeeq® that were acquired pursuant to the APA. The Padagis Patent Litigation was initiated following the submission by Padagis, in accordance with the procedures set out in the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984 (the “Hatch-Waxman Act”), of an Abbreviated New Drug Application (the “ANDA”). The ANDA seeks approval to market a generic version of Amzeeq® prior to the expiration of the Amzeeq® Patents and alleges that the Amzeeq® Patents are invalid. Padagis is subject to a 30-month stay preventing it from selling a generic version, but that stay is set to expire on December 30, 2023. Journey is seeking, among other relief, an order that the effective date of any United States Food and Drug Administration approval of Padagis’ ANDA be no earlier than the expiration of the patents listed in the Orange Book, the latest of which expires on September 8, 2037, and such further and other relief as the court may deem appropriate. Trial in the Padagis Patent Litigation is scheduled for July 10, 2023. Journey cannot make any predictions about the final outcome of this matter or the timing thereof.

In the course of our normal business activities, various lawsuits, claims and proceedings may be instituted or asserted against us. To our knowledge, there are no other legal proceedings pending against us, other than routine actions and administrative proceedings, and other actions not deemed material are not expected to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

**Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures**

Not applicable.

**PART II**

**Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

**Market Information for Common Stock**

We became a public company on November 17, 2011. Our Common Stock is listed for trading on the NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol “FBIO.”

**Market Information for 9.375% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock**

Our 9.375% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock is listed for trading on the NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol “FBIO.P.”

**Issuer and Affiliate Purchases of our 9.375% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock**

<b>Period</b>	<b>Total Number of Shares Purchased (Repurchased)</b>	<b>Average Price Paid per Share (or Unit)</b>	<b>Total Number of Shares Purchased (Repurchased) as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs</b>	<b>Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs</b>
March 1, 2020 - March 31, 2020	(5,000) <sup>1</sup>	\$14.00	(5,000)	—
August 1, 2020 - August 31, 2020	69,167 <sup>2</sup>	\$18.00	69,167	—

Note 1: Shares were purchased pursuant to the Company’s share repurchase program of outstanding 9.375% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock (Nasdaq: FBIO.P) (“Preferred Stock”), announced on March 23, 2020.

Note 2: In connection with an underwritten offering of the Preferred Stock by the Company, 52,500 shares of Preferred Stock were purchased by Lindsay A. Rosenwald, M.D. and 16,667 shares of Preferred Stock were purchased by Malcolm Hoenlein on August 26, 2020, as reported on each director’s Form 4 filed with the SEC on September 1, 2020.

**Holders of Record**

As of March 18, 2022, there were approximately 475 holders of record of our Common Stock. The actual number of stockholders is greater than this number of record holders and includes stockholders who are beneficial owners, but whose shares are held in street name by brokers and other nominees. This number of holders of record also does not include stockholders whose shares may be held in trust by other entities.

**Dividends**

We have never paid cash dividends on our Common Stock and currently intend to retain our future earnings, if any, to fund the development and growth of our business. Dividends on Series A Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock accrue daily and are cumulative from, and including, the date of original issue and are payable monthly at the rate of 9.375% per annum of its liquidation preference, which is equivalent to \$2.34375 per annum per share.

### **Equity Compensation Plans**

The information required by Item 5 of Form 10-K regarding equity compensation plans is incorporated herein by reference to “Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.”

### **Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities**

None.

### **Item 6. Reserved**

Reserved.

### **Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

*Statements in the following discussion and throughout this report that are not historical in nature are “forward-looking statements.” You can identify forward-looking statements by the use of words such as “expect,” “anticipate,” “estimate,” “may,” “will,” “should,” “intend,” “believe,” and similar expressions. Although we believe the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, such statements are inherently subject to risk and we can give no assurances that our expectations will prove to be correct. Actual results could differ from those described in this report because of numerous factors, many of which are beyond our control. These factors include, without limitation, those described under Item 1A “Risk Factors.” We undertake no obligation to update these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this report or to reflect actual outcomes. Please see “Forward-Looking Statements” at the beginning of this Form 10-K. As used throughout this filing, the words “we”, “us” and “our” may refer to Fortress individually or together with our affiliates and partners, as dictated by context.*

*The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes thereto and other financial information appearing elsewhere in this Form 10-K. We undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statements in the discussion of our financial condition and results of operations to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this report or to reflect actual outcomes*

We are a biopharmaceutical company dedicated to acquiring, developing and commercializing pharmaceutical and biotechnology products and product candidates, which we do at the Fortress level, at our majority-owned and majority-controlled subsidiaries and joint ventures, and at entities we founded and in which we maintain significant minority ownership positions. Fortress has a talented and experienced business development team, comprising scientists, doctors and finance professionals, who identify and evaluate promising products and product candidates for potential acquisition by new or existing partner companies. Through our partner companies, we have executed arrangements with some of the world’s foremost universities, research institutes and pharmaceutical companies, including City of Hope National Medical Center, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Nationwide Children’s Hospital, Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Center, Columbia University, the University of Pennsylvania, Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research, AstraZeneca plc and Dr. Reddy’s Laboratories, Ltd.

Our partner companies that are pursuing development and/or commercialization of biopharmaceutical products and product candidates include Aevitas Therapeutics, Inc. (“Aevitas”), Baergic Bio, Inc. (“Baergic”), Caelum Biosciences, Inc. (“Caelum”), Cellvation, Inc. (“Cellvation”), Checkpoint Therapeutics, Inc. (“Checkpoint”), Cyprium Therapeutics, Inc. (“Cyprium”), Helocyte, Inc. (“Helocyte”), Journey Medical Corporation (“Journey” or “JMC”), Mustang Bio, Inc. (“Mustang”), Oncogenuity, Inc. (“Oncogenuity”) and UR-1 Therapeutics, Inc. (“UR-1”).

Following the exclusive license or other acquisition of the intellectual property underpinning a product or product candidate, we leverage our business, scientific, regulatory, legal and finance expertise to help our partners achieve their goals. Our partner companies then assess a broad range of strategic arrangements to accelerate and provide additional funding to support research and development, including joint ventures, partnerships, out-licensings, and public and private financings; to date, four partner companies are publicly-traded, and two have entered into strategic partnerships with industry leaders AstraZeneca plc as successor-in-interest to Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (“AstraZeneca”) and Sentyln Therapeutics, Inc. (“Sentyln”). On October 5, 2021, AstraZeneca purchased 100% of our partner company Caelum for approximately \$150 million upfront and up to \$350 million in contingent regulatory and sales milestone payments.

#### **Recent Events**

##### **Marketed Dermatology Products**

- In 2021, Journey’s marketed products generated net revenue of \$63.1 million, compared to net revenue of \$44.5 million in 2020.
- Journey currently has 70 field sales representatives dedicated to their dermatology product portfolio.
- In May 2021, JMC acquired Qbrexza® (Rapifort® Wipes 2.5%) for the treatment of primary axillary hyperhidrosis, from Dermira, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Eli Lilly and Company (“Dermira”).
- In March 2021, JMC launched Accutane® (isotretinoin) for the treatment of recalcitrant nodular acne.
- In January 2022, JMC acquired Amzeeq (minocycline) topical foam, 4%, and Zilxi (minocycline) topical foam, 1.5%, two U.S Food and Drug (“FDA”) - Approved Topical Minocycline Products and Molecule Stabilizing Technology (MST)™ from VYNE Therapeutics, Inc., which expands Journey’s product portfolio to seven actively marketed branded dermatology products.

##### **Late Stage Product Candidates**

###### ***DFD-29***

- In June 2021, Journey entered into an agreement with Dr. Reddy’s Laboratories, Ltd. (“DRL”) for the development of DFD-29, a modified release oral minocycline that is being evaluated for the treatment of inflammatory lesions of rosacea. JMC and DRL intend to conduct two Phase 3 clinical trials to assess the efficacy, safety and tolerability of DFD-29 as a treatment for rosacea for regulatory approval. JMC expects the first patient to be dosed in the first quarter of 2022.

###### ***CUTX-101 (Copper Histidinate injection for Menkes Disease)***

- In February 2021, our partner company, Cyprrium, and Sentyln signed a Development and Asset Purchase Agreement for CUTX-101 for the treatment of Menkes disease. Under the terms of the agreement, Cyprrium received \$8 million upfront to fund the development of CUTX-101 and could receive up to \$12 million in regulatory milestone payments through NDA approval, and is eligible to receive sales milestones plus royalties. Royalties start from mid-single digits, scaling up to 25% on sales exceeding \$100 million annually. Cyprrium will retain 100% ownership over any FDA priority review voucher that may be issued at the New Drug Application (“NDA”) approval for CUTX-101. Cyprrium is responsible for the development of CUTX-101 through approval of the NDA by the FDA, and Sentyln will be responsible for commercialization of CUTX-101, as well as progressing newborn screening activities.
- In October 2021, Cyprrium announced positive results from an efficacy and safety analysis of data integrated from two completed pivotal studies in patients with Menkes disease treated with CUTX-101, copper histidinate (CuHis). These data were presented as a virtual poster at the 2021 American Academy of Pediatrics National Conference & Exhibition.
- In December 2021, Cyprrium initiated the rolling submission of a NDA to the FDA for CUTX-101. Cyprrium intends to complete the rolling submission of the NDA for CUTX-101 in mid-2022.
- CUTX-101 was sourced by Fortress and is currently in development at our partner company, Cyprrium Therapeutics, Inc.

***CAEL-101 (Light Chain Fibril-reactive Monoclonal Antibody for AL Amyloidosis)***

- There are two ongoing Phase 3 studies of CAEL-101 for AL amyloidosis.
- In June 2021, Caelum announced that CAEL-101 clinical data were presented at EHA2021. The data, presented in two e-posters, strengthen the safety and tolerability profile of CAEL-101 to further support the dose selection for the ongoing Phase 3 study, and suggest possible cardiac and renal response.
- Also in June 2021, the FDA granted Fast Track designation to CAEL-101 for the treatment of light chain AL amyloidosis.
- CAEL-101 was sourced by Fortress in 2017 and was developed by Caelum until it was acquired by AstraZeneca plc (“AstraZeneca”) on October 5, 2021. AstraZeneca acquired Caelum for an upfront payment of approximately \$150 million paid to Caelum shareholders, of which approximately \$56.9 million was paid to Fortress, net of the ten percent, 24-month escrow holdback amount and other miscellaneous transaction expenses. The agreement also provides for additional potential payments to Caelum shareholders totaling up to \$350 million, payable upon the achievement of regulatory and commercial milestones. Fortress is eligible to receive 42.4% of all proceeds of the transaction, totaling up to approximately \$212 million.

***Cosibelimab (Anti-PD-L1 mAb for CSCC and NSCLC)***

- In January 2022, Checkpoint announced positive topline results from its registration-enabling clinical trial evaluating the safety and efficacy of our anti-PD-L1 antibody, cosibelimab, administered as a fixed dose of 800 mg every two weeks in patients with metastatic cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma (“CSCC”). The study met its primary endpoint, with cosibelimab demonstrating a confirmed objective response rate (“ORR”) of 47.4% (95% CI: 36.0, 59.1) based on independent central review of 78 patients enrolled in the metastatic CSCC cohort using Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors version 1.1 (“RECIST 1.1”) criteria. Checkpoint intends to submit a Biologics License Application (“BLA”) for cosibelimab in late 2022, followed thereafter by a Marketing Authorization Application (“MAA”) submission in Europe and additional potential submissions in markets worldwide.
- In December 2021, Checkpoint announced the initiation of the CONTERNO study, a global, open-label, multi-center, randomized Phase 3 trial of cosibelimab in combination with pemetrexed and platinum chemotherapy for the first-line treatment of patients with non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer (“NSCLC”).
- Cosibelimab was sourced by Fortress and is currently in development at our partner company, Checkpoint.

***MB-107 and MB-207 (Ex vivo Lentiviral Therapies for X-linked Severe Combined Immunodeficiency (XSCID))***

- In February 2021, Mustang announced encouraging MB-107 and MB-207 clinical updates from our investigator-IND X-linked severe combined immunodeficiency (“XSCID”) trials, as well as additional consistent safety and efficacy data.
- In August 2021, Mustang announced that the European Medicines Agency (“EMA”) granted Priority Medicines (“PRIME”) designation to MB-107, a lentiviral gene therapy for the treatment of XSCID in newly diagnosed infants.
- In the third quarter of 2022, Mustang expects to enroll the first patient in a pivotal multicenter Phase 2 clinical trial under Mustang Bio’s IND to evaluate MB-107, a lentiviral gene therapy for the treatment of infants under the age of two with XSCID. We also expect to receive guidance from the FDA regarding the CMC hold on our IND application for our pivotal multicenter Phase 2 clinical trial of MB-207, a lentiviral gene therapy for the treatment of patients with XSCID who have been previously treated with a hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (“HSCT”) and for whom re-treatment is indicated.
- MB-107 and MB-207 were sourced by Fortress and are currently in development at our partner company, Mustang Bio.

**Olafertinib (formerly CK-101, a third-generation epidermal growth factor receptor (“EGFR”) inhibitor)**

- During the second quarter of 2021, Checkpoint had productive interactions with the FDA regarding our development program for olafertinib (formerly CK-101), our third-generation epidermal growth factor receptors (“EGFR”) inhibitor being evaluated by our partner in an ongoing double-blind, randomized Phase 3 study in China. We intend to utilize the Phase 3 study, if successful, to support an NDA submission for olafertinib as a potential first-line treatment for patients with NSCLC whose tumors have certain types of EGFR mutations.
- Olafertinib was sourced by Fortress and is currently in development at our partner company, Checkpoint.

**Triplex (Cytomegalovirus (“CMV”) vaccine)**

- In December 2021, we announced that a Phase 2 double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial was initiated to evaluate the safety and efficacy of Triplex, a cytomegalovirus (“CMV”) vaccine, in eliciting a CMV-specific immune response and reducing CMV replication in people living with HIV. The trial is being conducted by the AIDS Clinical Trials Group and is funded by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease, part of the National Institutes of Health.
- Triplex was sourced by Fortress and is currently in development at our partner company, Helocyte, Inc.

**Early Stage Product Candidates**

***Dotinurad (Urate Transporter (URAT1) Inhibitor)***

- In May 2021, we announced an exclusive license agreement with Fuji Yakuhin Co. Ltd. (“Fuji”) to develop Dotinurad in North America and Europe. Dotinurad is a potential best-in-class urate transporter (“URAT1”) inhibitor for gout and possibly other hyperuricemic indications including chronic kidney disease (“CKD”) and heart failure. Dotinurad (URECE® tablet) was approved in Japan in 2020 as a once-daily oral therapy for gout and hyperuricemia. Dotinurad was efficacious and well-tolerated in more than 500 Japanese patients treated for up to 58 weeks in Phase 3 clinical trials.
- In December 2021, we filed an Investigational New Drug Application (“IND”) with the FDA. We expect to initiate a Phase 1 clinical trial to evaluate Dotinurad for the treatment of gout in the first half of 2022.
- Dotinurad was sourced by Fortress and is currently in development at our partner company, UR-1 Therapeutics.

***MB-102 (CD123-targeted CAR T cell therapy)***

- In October 2020, Mustang announced that the first patient was dosed in a Mustang-sponsored, open-label, multicenter Phase 1/2 clinical trial to evaluate the safety and efficacy of MB-102 (CD123-targeted CAR T cell therapy) in patients with relapsed or refractory blastic plasmacytoid dendritic cell neoplasm (“BPDCN”).

***MB-101 (IL13Ra2-targeted CAR T cell therapy)***

- In October 2021, Christine Brown, Ph.D., Deputy Director, T Cell Therapeutics Research Laboratory and The Heritage Provider Network Professor in Immunotherapy at City of Hope, presented updated Phase 1 clinical data regarding MB-101 (IL13Ra2-targeted CAR T cells) for the treatment of glioblastoma at two scientific conferences, the First Annual Conference on CNS Clinical Trials, co-sponsored by the Society for Neuro-Oncology and American Society of Clinical Oncology and the American Association for Cancer Research Virtual Special Conference: Brain Cancer.
- In May 2021, we announced that the first patient has been dosed at City of Hope in a clinical trial to establish the safety and feasibility of administering MB-101 (autologous IL13Ra2-targeted CAR T cells) to patients with leptomeningeal brain tumors (e.g., glioblastoma, ependymoma or medulloblastoma).
- MB-101 was sourced by Fortress and is currently in development at our partner company, Mustang Bio.

***MB-105 (PSCA-targeted CAR T cell therapy)***

- In February 2022, Phase 1 data on MB-105, a PSCA-targeted CAR T administered systemically to patients with PSCA-positive metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC), were presented by City of Hope at the 2022 American Society of Clinical Oncology Genitourinary Cancers Symposium.
- MB-105 was sourced by Fortress and is currently in development at our partner company, Mustang Bio.

***MB-106 (CD20-targeted CAR T cell therapy)***

- In May 2021, we announced that the FDA approved Mustang Bio Inc.'s ("Mustang Bio") Investigational New Drug ("IND") application to initiate a multicenter Phase 1/2 clinical trial investigating the safety and efficacy of MB-106, a CD20-targeted CAR T for relapsed or refractory B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphomas ("NHL") and chronic lymphocytic leukemia ("CLL"). We intend to dose the first patient in the second quarter of this year.
- In June 2021, we announced that MB-106 CD20-targeted CAR T data were presented at EHA2021. Dr. Mazyar Shadman of Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center presented updated interim data from the ongoing Phase 1/2 clinical trial for B-NHL and CLL, which showed a favorable safety profile and compelling clinical activity, with a 93% overall response rate and 67% complete response rate in patients treated with the modified cell manufacturing process.
- In November 2021, we announced that Mustang Bio was awarded a grant of approximately \$2 million from NCI of the National Institutes of Health. This two-year grant will partially fund the Mustang-sponsored Phase 1, multicenter trial to assess the safety, tolerability and efficacy of MB-106, a CD20-targeted, autologous CAR T cell therapy for patients with relapsed or refractory B-cell NHL or CLL.
- In December 2021, we announced MB-106 data presented at ASH2021. Dr. Mazyar Shadman of Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center presented updated interim data showing a 95% overall response rate, 65% complete response rate and favorable safety profile from the ongoing Phase 1/2 clinical trial for NHL and CLL.
- In January 2022, we announced that interim Phase 1/2 data on MB-106, a CD20-targeted, autologous CAR T cell therapy for patients with relapsed or refractory B-cell NHL and CLL, have been selected for a poster presentation at the 2022 Tandem Meetings I Transplantation & Cellular Therapy Meetings of the American Society of Transplantation and Cellular Therapy and Center for International Blood & Marrow Transplant Research, rescheduled to take place in April 2022 in Salt Lake City, Utah.
- MB-106 was sourced by Fortress and is currently in development at our partner company, Mustang Bio.

***MB-108 (HSV-1 Oncolytic Virus C134)***

- In October 2020, the Phase 1 trial of MB-108 at the University of Alabama at Birmingham was put on hold due to toxicity at the highest dose level; following dose reduction, no further dose-limiting toxicities have been observed.

**Novel CAR T Technology**

- In August 2021, we announced an exclusive license agreement with Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research ("Mayo Clinic") for a novel technology that may be able to transform the administration of chimeric antigen receptor engineered T cell ("CAR T") therapies and has the potential to be used as an off-the shelf therapy. Successful implementation may lead to an off-the-shelf product with no need to isolate and expand patient T cells *ex vivo*.
- Preclinical proof-of-concept has been established, and the ongoing development of this technology will take place at Mayo Clinic. Mustang plans to file an IND application for a multicenter Phase 1 clinical trial once a lead construct has been identified.
- The novel CAR T technology was sourced by Fortress and is currently in development at our partner company, Mustang Bio.

***MB-110 Ex Vivo Lentiviral Gene Therapy for RAG1 Severe Combined Immunodeficiency (“RAG1-SCID”)***

- In November 2021, we announced the execution of an exclusive license agreement with Leiden University Medical Centre (“LUMC”) for a first-in-class *ex vivo* lentiviral gene therapy for the treatment of RAG1-SCID. The therapy, which includes low-dose conditioning prior to reinfusion of the patients’ own gene-modified blood stem cells, is currently being evaluated in a Phase 1/2 multicenter clinical trial in Europe. This therapy was developed in the laboratory of Frank J. Staal, Ph.D., professor of Molecular Stem Cell biology and co-director of the LUMC Flow Cytometry Core Facility. The ongoing clinical trial recently enrolled its first patient, and additional clinical sites plan to onboard in the near future. The RAG1-SCID program has been granted Orphan Drug Designation by the European Medicines Agency.
- The *ex vivo* lentiviral gene therapy was sourced by Fortress and is currently in development at our partner company, Mustang Bio.

***ONCOlogues (proprietary platform technology using PNA oligonucleotides)***

- In May 2020, Oncogenity entered into an exclusive worldwide licensing agreement with Columbia University to develop novel oligonucleotides for the treatment of genetically driven cancers. The proprietary platform produces oligomers, known as “ONCOlogues,” which are capable of binding gene sequences 1,000 times more effectively than complementary native DNA.
- ONCOlogues invade a DNA double helix and displace native mutated strands. This may prevent the mRNA that antisense binds to from ever being created. It is active higher upstream than traditional antisense approaches, as well as potentially more potent and broader in its utility.
- In addition, Oncogenity is exploring the potential of the platform to treat novel coronaviruses, such as COVID-19.

***General Corporate***

- On November 16, 2021, Journey completed an initial public offering (“IPO”) of its common stock, in which Journey sold 3,520,000 common shares at \$10.00 per share, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$30.6 million after deducting underwriting discounts and other offering costs. Journey’s common stock trades on the Nasdaq Capital Market under the ticker symbol “DERM.”
- In November 2021, through an underwritten public offering, Avenue sold 2,238,805 shares of its common stock at a price of \$1.34 per share resulting in net proceeds of \$2.6 million. In addition, in December 2021, through an underwritten public offering, Avenue sold 1,910,100 shares of its common stock at a price of \$1.07 per share resulting in net proceeds of \$1.8 million.
- In September 2021, Journey was the victim of a business e-mail compromise cybersecurity incident affecting its accounts payable function, which led to the misdirection of approximately \$9.5 million in wire transfers to apparently fraudulent accounts. The details of the incident and its origin are under investigation with the assistance of third-party cybersecurity experts, working at the direction of legal counsel. The incident does not appear to have compromised any personally identifiable information or protected health information. The matter has been reported to the Federal Bureau of Investigation. As the controlling stockholder of Journey and as its supporting partner in its back-office functions, Fortress provided Journey with \$9.5 million to ensure Journey’s accounts payable operations continue to function smoothly and was converted into 954,013 shares of Journey common stock at the IPO price.
- In July 2021, JMC privately offered and issued 758,680 shares of its Class A Preferred Stock at a price of \$25.00 per share, for gross proceeds of \$19.0 million (the “JMC Class A Preferred Offering”). In connection with the closing of its’ IPO, JMC issued 2,231,346 shares of common stock resulting from the conversion of all of its’ Class A Preferred Stock.
- In April 2021, JMC entered into an agreement with East West Bank (“EWB”) in which EWB provided a \$7.5 million working capital line of credit. In January 2022 the line of credit was increased to \$30.0 million.



## **Subsequent Events**

### ***Acquisition of new marketed products***

- In January 2022, Journey acquired AMZEEQ (minocycline) topical foam, 4%, and ZILXI (minocycline) topical foam, 1.5%, two FDA-Approved Topical Minocycline Products and Molecule Stabilizing Technology (MST)<sup>TM</sup> from VYNE Therapeutics, Inc. (“VYNE”) which expands their product portfolio to seven actively marketed branded dermatology products.

### **Regulatory milestone**

- On February 11, 2022 Journey announced, QBREXZA® (Rapifort® Wipes 2.5%), received manufacturing and marketing approval in Japan, triggering a net \$2.5 million milestone payment to us. The net payment reflects a milestone payment of \$10 million to Journey from their exclusive licensing partner in Japan, Maruho Co., Ltd. (“Maruho”), offset by a \$7.5 million payment to Dermira, pursuant to the terms of the Asset Purchase Agreement between Journey and Dermira.

## **Critical Accounting Policies and Use of Estimates**

The Company’s consolidated financial statements include certain amounts that are based on management’s best estimates and judgments. The Company’s significant estimates include, but are not limited to, provisions for product returns, coupons, rebates, chargebacks, discounts, allowances and distribution fees paid by Journey to certain wholesalers, inventory realization, useful lives assigned to long-lived assets and amortizable intangible assets, fair value of stock options and warrants, stock-based compensation, common stock issued to acquire licenses, investments, accrued expenses, provisions for income taxes and contingencies. Due to the uncertainty inherent in such estimates, actual results may differ from these estimates. Our estimates are based on our historical experience and on various other factors that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources.

Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. We believe that the accounting policies discussed below are critical to understanding our historical and future performance, as these policies relate to the more significant areas involving management’s judgments and estimates.

While our significant accounting policies are described in the notes to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Report, we believe that the following critical accounting policies are most important to understanding and evaluating our reported financial results.

### **Revenue Recognition**

Our gross product revenues are subject to a variety of deductions, which generally are estimated and recorded in the same period that the revenues are recognized. Such variable consideration represents chargebacks, coupons, rebates, sales allowances and sales returns. These deductions represent estimates of the related obligations and, as such, knowledge and judgment are required when estimating the impact of these revenue deductions on gross sales for a reporting period. Historically, adjustments to these estimates to reflect actual results or updated expectations, have not been material to our overall business. Coupon and trade-related discounts, however, can have a significant impact on year-over-year individual product revenue growth trends. If any of our ratios, factors, assessments, experiences or judgments are not indicative or accurate estimates of our future experience, our results could be materially affected. The potential of our estimates to vary (sensitivity) differs by program, product, type of customer and geographic location. However, estimates associated with U.S. Medicare, Medicaid and performance-based contract rebates are most at risk for material adjustment because of the time delay.

## **Fair Value Measurement**

The Company follows accounting guidance on fair value measurements for financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis. Under the accounting guidance, fair value is defined as an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. As such, fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or a liability.

The accounting guidance requires fair value measurements be classified and disclosed in one of the following three categories:

- Level 1:* Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2:* Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices for similar assets or liabilities that are directly or indirectly observable in the marketplace.
- Level 3:* Unobservable inputs which are supported by little or no market activity and that are financial instruments whose values are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant judgment or estimation.

The fair value hierarchy also requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. Assets and liabilities measured at fair value are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires management to make judgments and consider factors specific to the asset or liability.

Certain of the Company's financial instruments are not measured at fair value on a recurring basis but are recorded at amounts that approximate their fair value due to their liquid or short-term nature, such as accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities.

## **Asset Impairments**

We review all of our long-lived assets for impairment indicators throughout the year. We perform impairment testing for intangible assets at least annually and for all other long-lived assets whenever impairment indicators are present. When necessary, we record charges for impairments of long-lived assets for the amount by which the fair value is less than the carrying value of these assets. Our impairment review processes are described in Note 2.

Examples of events or circumstances that may be indicative of impairment include:

- A significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate that could affect the value of the asset. For example, a successful challenge of our patent rights would likely result in generic competition earlier than expected.
- A significant adverse change in the extent or manner in which an asset is used such as a restriction imposed by the FDA or other regulatory authorities that could affect our ability to manufacture or sell a product.
- An expectation of losses or reduced profits associated with an asset. This could result, for example, from a change in a government reimbursement program that results in an inability to sustain projected product revenues and profitability. This also could result from the introduction of a competitor's product that impacts projected revenue growth, as well as the lack of acceptance of a product by patients, physicians and payers.

## **Issuance of Debt and Equity**

The Company issues complex financial instruments which include both equity and debt features. The Company analyzes each instrument under ASC 480, *Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity*, ASC 815, *Derivatives and Hedging* and, ASC 470, *Debt*, in order to establish whether such instruments include any embedded derivatives.

The Company accounted for the Oaktree Note with detachable warrants in accordance with ASC 470, *Debt*. The Company assessed the classification of its common stock purchase warrants as of the date of the transaction and determined that such instruments met the criteria for equity classification. The note proceeds were allocated between the Oaktree Note and the warrants on a relative fair value basis.

The Company recorded the related issue costs and value ascribed to the warrants as a debt discount of the Oaktree Note. The discount is being amortized utilizing the effective interest method over the term of the Oaktree Note, which is approximately 16.08% at December 31, 2021.

### **Research and Development**

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred. Advance payments for goods and services that will be used in future research and development activities are expensed when the activity has been performed or when the goods have been received rather than when the payment is made. Upfront and milestone payments due to third parties that perform research and development services on the Company's behalf will be expensed as services are rendered or when the milestone is achieved.

Research and development costs primarily consist of personnel related expenses, including salaries, benefits, travel, and other related expenses, stock-based compensation, payments made to third parties for license and milestone costs related to in-licensed products and technology, payments made to third party contract research organizations for preclinical and clinical studies, investigative sites for clinical trials, consultants, the cost of acquiring and manufacturing clinical trial materials, and costs associated with regulatory filings, laboratory costs and other supplies.

In accordance with ASC 730-10-25-1, *Research and Development*, costs incurred in obtaining technology licenses are charged to research and development expense if the technology licensed has not reached commercial feasibility and has no alternative future use. In each case, we evaluate if the license agreement results in the acquisition of an asset or a business. Such licenses purchased by the Company require substantial completion of research and development, regulatory and marketing approval efforts in order to reach commercial feasibility and has no alternative future use.

### **Accrued Research and Development Expense**

We record accruals for estimated costs of research, preclinical, clinical and manufacturing development within accrued expenses which are significant components of research and development expenses. A substantial portion of our ongoing research and development activities is conducted by third-party service providers. We accrue the costs incurred under agreements with these third parties based on estimates of actual work completed in accordance with the respective agreements. We determine the estimated costs through discussions with internal personnel and external service providers as to the progress, or stage of completion or actual timeline (start-date and end-date) of the services and the agreed-upon fees to be paid for such services. Payments made to third parties under these arrangements in advance of the performance of the related services are recorded as prepaid expenses until the services are rendered.

If the actual timing of the performance of services or the level of effort varies from the estimate, we adjust accrued expenses or prepaid expenses accordingly, which impact research and development expenses. Although we do not expect our estimates to be materially different from amounts actually incurred, our understanding of the status and timing of services performed relative to the actual status and timing of services performed may vary and may result in reporting amounts that are too high or too low in any particular period.

### **Stock-Based Compensation**

The Company expenses stock-based compensation to employees and non-employees over the requisite service period based on the estimated grant-date fair value of the awards and forfeitures, which are recorded upon occurrence. The Company estimates the fair value of stock option grants using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The assumptions used in calculating the fair value of stock-based awards represent management's best estimates and involve inherent uncertainties and the application of management's judgment.

We will continue to use judgment in evaluating the expected volatility, expected terms and interest rates utilized for our stock-based compensation expense calculations on a prospective basis. As a result, if factors or expected outcomes change and we use significantly different assumptions or estimates, our stock-based compensation expense could be materially different. We expect to continue to grant options and other stock-based awards in the future, and to the extent that we do, our stock-based compensation expense recognized in future periods will likely increase.

#### **Income Taxes**

The Company accounts for income taxes under ASC 740, *Income Taxes* (“ASC 740”). ASC 740 requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for both the expected impact of differences between the financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities and for the expected future tax benefit to be derived from tax loss and tax credit carry forwards. ASC 740 additionally requires a valuation allowance to be established when it is more likely than not that all or a portion of deferred tax assets will not be realized. Judgments concerning the recognition and measurement of a tax benefit might change as new information becomes available. Our unrecognized tax benefits, if recognized, would not have an impact on our effective tax rate assuming we continue to maintain a full valuation allowance position. We do not expect our unrecognized tax benefits to change significantly over the next 12 months.

ASC 740 also clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise’s financial statements and prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement process for financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. For those benefits to be recognized, a tax position must be more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon examination by taxing authorities. ASC 740 also provides guidance on de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim period, disclosure and transition. Based on the Company’s evaluation, it has been concluded that there are no significant uncertain tax positions requiring recognition in the Company’s financial statements. The 2017 through 2019 tax years are the only periods subject to examination upon filing of appropriate tax returns. The Company believes that its income tax positions and deductions would be sustained on audit and does not anticipate any adjustments that would result in a material change to its financial position.

The Company’s policy for recording interest and penalties associated with audits is to record such expense as a component of income tax expense. There were no amounts accrued for penalties or interest as of or during the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020. Management is currently unaware of any issues under review that could result in significant payments, accruals or material deviations from its position.

#### **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

See Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### **Smaller Reporting Company Status**

We are a “smaller reporting company,” meaning that the market value of our shares held by non-affiliates is less than \$700 million and our annual revenue was less than \$100 million during the most recently completed fiscal year. We may continue to be a smaller reporting company if either (i) the market value of our shares held by non-affiliates is less than \$250 million or (ii) our annual revenue was less than \$100 million during the most recently completed fiscal year and the market value of our shares held by non-affiliates is less than \$700 million. As a smaller reporting company, we may choose to present only the two most recent fiscal years of audited financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, have reduced disclosure obligations regarding executive compensation, and smaller reporting companies are permitted to delay adoption of certain recent accounting pronouncements discussed in Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements located in “Part IV, Item 15., Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules” in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## Results of Operations

### General

For the year ended December 31, 2021 we generated \$68.8 million of net revenue, of which \$63.1 million relates to the sale of Journey branded and generic products, \$5.4 million relates to Cyprium's collaboration revenue with Sentyln, and \$0.3 million of revenue relates to Checkpoint's collaborative agreements with TGTX, a related party. For the year ended December 31, 2020 we generated \$45.6 million of net revenue, of which \$44.5 million relates to the sale of Journey branded and generic products and \$1.1 million relates to Checkpoint's collaborative agreements with TGTX. At December 31, 2021, we had an accumulated deficit of \$547.5 million primarily as a result of research and development expenses, purchases of in-process research and development and selling, general and administrative expenses. While we may in the future generate revenue from a variety of sources, including license fees, milestone payments, research and development payments in connection with strategic partnerships and/or product sales, our current non-marketed product candidates are at various stages of development and may never be successfully developed or commercialized. Accordingly, we expect to continue to incur substantial losses from operations for the foreseeable future and there can be no assurance that we will ever generate significant revenues.

We had \$32.1 million and \$14.6 million of costs of goods sold in connection with the sale of JMC branded and generic products for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, respectively.

### Research and Development Expenses

Research and development costs primarily consist of personnel related expenses, including salaries, benefits, travel, and other related expenses, stock-based compensation, payments made to third parties for licenses and milestones, costs related to in-licensed products and technology, payments made to third party contract research organizations for preclinical and clinical studies, investigative sites for clinical trials, consultants, the cost of acquiring and manufacturing clinical trial materials, costs associated with regulatory filings and patents, laboratory costs and other supplies.

Also included in research and development is the total purchase price for licenses acquired during the period.

For the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, research and development expenses were approximately \$113.2 million and \$61.3 million, respectively. Additionally, during the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, we expensed approximately \$15.6 million and \$2.8 million, respectively, in costs related to the acquisition of licenses.

The table below provides a summary of research and development costs associated with the development of our licenses by entity, for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020:

(\$ in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,		% of total	
	2021	2020	2021	2020
<b>Research &amp; Development</b>				
Fortress	\$ 2,593	\$ 1,725	2 %	3 %
Partner Companies:				
Avenue	1,255	2,866	1 %	5 %
Checkpoint	41,855	11,734	37 %	19 %
JMC	2,739	—	2 %	— %
Mustang	49,631	36,987	44 %	60 %
Other <sup>1</sup>	15,167	7,963	14 %	13 %
<b>Total Research &amp; Development Expense</b>	<b>\$ 113,240</b>	<b>\$ 61,275</b>	<b>100 %</b>	<b>100 %</b>

Note 1: Includes the following partner companies: Aevitas, Baergic, Cellvation, Cyprium, Helocyte, Oncogenity and UR-1.

Noncash, stock-based compensation expense included in research and development for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, was \$4.3 million and \$3.2 million, respectively.

*Selling, General and Administrative Expenses*

Selling, general and administrative expenses consist principally of personnel related costs, costs required to support the marketing and sales of our commercialized products, professional fees for legal, consulting, audit and tax services, rent and other general operating expenses not otherwise included in research and development expenses. For the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, selling, general and administrative expenses were \$86.8 million and \$61.2 million, respectively. Stock based compensation expense included in selling, general and administrative expenses in 2021 and 2020 was \$15.2 million and \$10.3 million, respectively.

The table below provides a summary by entity of selling, general and administrative expenses for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, respectively:

<i>(\$ in thousands)</i>	Year Ended December 31,		% of Total	
	2021	2020	2021	2020
<b><i>Selling, General &amp; Administrative</i></b>				
Fortress	\$ 26,062	\$ 21,350	30 %	35 %
Partner Companies:				
Avenue	2,484	2,347	3 %	4 %
Checkpoint	7,006	6,517	8 %	11 %
JMC <sup>1</sup>	39,895	22,100	46 %	36 %
Mustang	8,866	6,810	10 %	11 %
Other <sup>2</sup>	2,530	2,042	3 %	3 %
<b>Total Selling, General &amp; Administrative Expense</b>	<b>\$ 86,843</b>	<b>\$ 61,166</b>	<b>100 %</b>	<b>100 %</b>

Note 1: Includes field sales force costs for the year ended December 31, 2021 and 2020 of \$16.0 million and \$10.4 million, respectively.

During the course of 2021, JMC expanded their field sales force to accommodate their increased product portfolio.

Note 2: Includes the following partner companies: Aevitas, Baergic, Cellvation, Cyprium, Helocyte, Oncogenuity and UR-1.

**Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2021 and 2020**

(\$ in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,		Change	
	2021	2020	\$	%
<b>Revenue</b>				
Product revenue, net	\$ 63,134	\$ 44,531	\$ 18,603	42 %
Collaboration revenue	5,389	—	5,389	100 %
Revenue – related party	268	1,068	(800)	(75)%
<b>Net revenue</b>	<b>68,791</b>	<b>45,599</b>	<b>23,192</b>	<b>51 %</b>
<b>Operating expenses</b>				
Cost of goods sold – product revenue	32,084	14,594	17,490	120 %
Research and development	113,240	61,275	51,965	85 %
Research and development – licenses acquired	15,625	2,834	12,791	451 %
Selling, general and administrative	86,843	61,166	25,677	42 %
Wire transfer fraud loss	9,540	—	9,540	100 %
<b>Total operating expenses</b>	<b>257,332</b>	<b>139,869</b>	<b>117,463</b>	<b>84 %</b>
Loss from operations	(188,541)	(94,270)	(94,271)	100 %
<b>Other income (expense)</b>				
Interest income	649	1,518	(869)	(57)%
Interest expense and financing fee	(15,308)	(15,326)	18	0%
Change in fair value of investments	39,294	6,418	32,876	512 %
Change in fair value of derivative liability	(447)	(1,189)	742	(62)%
<b>Total other income (expense)</b>	<b>24,188</b>	<b>(8,579)</b>	<b>32,767</b>	<b>(382)%</b>
Loss before income tax expense	(164,353)	(102,849)	(61,504)	60 %
Income tax expense	473	136	337	248 %
<b>Net loss</b>	<b>(164,826)</b>	<b>(102,985)</b>	<b>(61,841)</b>	<b>60 %</b>
Less: net loss attributable to non-controlling interest	100,123	56,459	43,664	77 %
<b>Net loss attributable to common stockholders</b>	<b>\$ (64,703)</b>	<b>\$ (46,526)</b>	<b>\$ (18,177)</b>	<b>39 %</b>

For the year ended December 31, 2021, the net increase in revenue of \$23.2 million or 51% is due to Journey's expanded product portfolio, which resulted in a net product revenue increase of \$18.6 million, and the increase in collaboration revenue of \$5.4 million due to Cyprium's agreement with Sentyln, offset by a decrease in revenue from a related party of \$0.8 million due to a non-recurring milestone achievement. Journey's increased net product revenues are a result of the expansion of Journey's marketed products, with Accutane launched in the first quarter of 2021 and Qbrexza introduced in the second quarter of 2021, offset slightly by a decrease in net sales of Journey's legacy products.

Cost of goods sold increased by \$17.5 million or 120% in 2021 due to increased revenue as well as the step-up charge of approximately \$6.5 million resulting from the fair value accounting adjustment for the Qbrexza acquired inventory as part of the asset purchase in the second quarter of 2021, which required that the Qbrexza inventory be recorded at fair value. Also contributing to this increase is the increase in royalty expense, primarily related to the terms of the Qbrexza agreement.

Research and development expenses increased \$52.0 million, or 85%, from the year ended December 31, 2020 to the year ended December 31, 2021. The following table shows research and development spending for Fortress and each partner company:

<i>(\$ in thousands)</i>	Year Ended December 31,		Change	
	2021	2020	\$	%
<b>Research &amp; Development</b>				
Stock-based compensation				
Fortress	\$ 1,152	\$ 808	\$ 344	43 %
Partner Companies:				
Avenue	172	274	(102)	(37)%
Checkpoint	684	617	67	11 %
Mustang	2,278	1,437	841	59 %
Other <sup>1</sup>	21	36	(15)	(42)%
Sub-total stock-based compensation expense	4,307	3,172	1,135	36 %
Other Research & Development				
Fortress	1,441	917	524	57 %
Partner Companies:				
Avenue	1,083	2,592	(1,509)	(58)%
Checkpoint	41,171	11,117	30,054	270 %
JMC	2,739	—	2,739	100 %
Mustang	47,353	35,550	11,803	33 %
Other <sup>1</sup>	15,146	7,927	7,219	91 %
<b>Total Research &amp; Development Expense</b>	<b>\$ 113,240</b>	<b>\$ 61,275</b>	<b>\$ 51,965</b>	<b>85 %</b>

Note 1: Includes the following partner company: Aevitas, Baergic, Cellvation, Cyprium, Helocyte, Oncogenity and UR-1.

The increase in stock-based compensation at both Fortress and Mustang is due to new equity grants to key employees and consultants in 2021, while the decrease in Avenue's stock-based compensation is due to the effect of fully vested equity grants to key employees and consultants.

The increase in Fortress research and development spending is due to the increased research and development headcount in 2021 as compared to 2020. Avenue's decrease in research and development spending is attributable to the decrease in costs of \$1.5 million associated with the NDA submission that incurred in 2020. Checkpoint's increase in research and development spending is attributable to the increased clinical costs associated with their product candidates of \$6.3 million, as well as increased manufacturing costs of \$15.3 million as Checkpoint prepares for a Biologic License Application ("BLA") submission for cosibelimab. Mustang's increase in research and development spending is attributable to increased employee compensation costs of \$4.5 million as research and development headcount is increased to support clinical program development, increased lentiviral vector manufacturing costs of \$3.9 million to support Mustang-sponsored clinical trials, and increased sponsored research and clinical trial costs for several programs, including XSCID. The increase in "Other" is attributable to costs incurred by Cyprium for its rolling NDA submission for CUTX-101 and UR-1 for the milestone due Fuji per the license agreement for Dotinurad.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$25.7 million, or 42%, from the year ended December 31, 2020 to the year ended December 31, 2021. The following table shows selling, general and administrative spending for Fortress and by each partner company:



(\$ in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,		Change	
	2021	2020	\$	%
<b>Selling, General &amp; Administrative</b>				
Stock-based compensation				
Fortress	\$ 8,897	\$ 5,976	\$ 2,921	49 %
Partner Companies:				
Avenue	270	436	(166)	(38)%
Checkpoint	2,453	2,163	290	13 %
JMC	2,466	153	2,313	1512 %
Mustang	1,030	1,550	(520)	(34)%
Other <sup>2</sup>	63	1	62	6200 %
Sub-total stock-based compensation expense	15,179	10,279	4,900	48 %
Other Selling, General & Administrative				
Fortress	17,165	15,374	1,791	12 %
Partner Companies:				
Avenue	2,214	1,911	303	16 %
Checkpoint	4,553	4,354	199	5 %
JMC <sup>1</sup>	37,429	21,947	15,482	71 %
Mustang	7,836	5,260	2,576	49 %
Other <sup>2</sup>	2,467	2,041	426	21 %
<b>Total Selling, General &amp; Administrative Expense</b>	<b>\$ 86,843</b>	<b>\$ 61,166</b>	<b>\$ 25,677</b>	<b>42 %</b>

Note 1: Includes field sales force costs for the year ended December 31, 2021 and 2020 of \$16.0 million and \$10.4 million, respectively. During the course of 2021, JMC expanded their field sales force to accommodate their increased product portfolio.

Note 2: Includes the following partner companies: Aevitas, Baergic, Cellvation, Cyprium, Helocyte, Oncogenuity and UR-1.

The increase in stock-based compensation at Fortress is due to new equity grants to key employees and consultants in 2021, while the increase in Journey's stock-based compensation is due to the vesting of restricted stock units in connection with the Journey IPO on November 16, 2021, as well as new employee grants.

For the year ended December 31, 2021, the increase in selling, general and administrative expenses of \$25.7 million or 42% is primarily attributable to the expansion of Journey's salesforce as well as increased marketing expense related to Journey's expanded product portfolio. Journey also increased headcount and other supporting services related to being a public company. Mustang's increase is due to increased headcount costs offset by a decrease in legal and professional fees, consulting fees, and state taxes.

For the year ended December 31, 2021, wire fraud related costs totaled approximately \$9.5 million. These costs were attributable to funds erroneously wired to fraudulent accounts as a result of a sophisticated business email compromise fraud scheme. Any insurance proceeds will be recorded when considered probable.

Total other income (expense) changed \$32.8 million, or 382%, from expense of \$8.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2020 to income of \$24.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2021, primarily due to the \$39.3 million gain on the fair value of Caelum recognized in 2021 offset by \$15.3 million in interest expense and financing fees due to Journey's interest and financing costs related to its convertible preferred stock offering and the interest expense associated with the credit facility with Oaktree Fund Administration, LLC.

Net loss attributable to non-controlling interests decreased \$43.7 million, or 77%, from the year ended December 31, 2020 to the year ended December 31, 2021. This increase reflects the partner companies' share of net loss. Net loss attributable to common stockholders increased \$18.2 million or 39%, from a net loss of \$46.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2020 to a net loss of \$64.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2021.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

Components of cash flows from publicly-traded partner companies are comprised of:

(\$ in thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31, 2021					
	Fortress <sup>1</sup>	Avenue	Checkpoint	JMC	Mustang	Total
<b>Statement of cash flows data:</b>						
Total cash (used in)/provided by:						
Operating activities	\$ (30,636)	\$ (3,750)	\$ (26,306)	\$ (2,181)	\$ (53,667)	\$ (116,540)
Investing activities	55,880	—	—	(10,000)	(5,366)	40,514
Financing activities	(19,519)	4,381	40,269	53,016	70,847	148,994
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash	\$ 5,725	\$ 631	\$ 13,963	\$ 40,835	\$ 11,814	\$ 72,968

(\$ in thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31, 2020					
	Fortress <sup>1</sup>	Avenue	Checkpoint	JMC	Mustang	Total
<b>Statement of cash flows data:</b>						
Total cash (used in)/provided by:						
Operating activities	\$ (30,331)	\$ (4,613)	\$ (16,551)	\$ 5,132	\$ (37,319)	\$ (83,682)
Investing activities	(552)	(1,000)	—	(1,200)	(4,412)	(7,164)
Financing activities	63,529	—	31,246	(487)	78,122	172,410
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash	\$ 32,646	\$ (5,613)	\$ 14,695	\$ 3,445	\$ 36,391	\$ 81,564

Note 1: Includes Fortress and non-public subsidiaries.

(\$ in thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31,			Change
	2021	2020		
<b>Statement of cash flows data:</b>				
Total cash (used in)/provided by:				
Operating activities	\$ (116,540)	\$ (83,682)	\$ (32,858)	
Investing activities	40,514	(7,164)	47,678	
Financing activities	148,994	172,410	(23,416)	
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash	\$ 72,968	\$ 81,564	\$ (8,596)	

### Operating Activities

Net cash used in operating activities increased \$32.9 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 to the year ended December 31, 2021. The increase is primarily due to the increase in net loss of \$61.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2021 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2020, with the increases in cash used by accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$36.8 million, accounts receivable of \$6.6 million, and deferred revenue of \$2.6 million as compared to the year ended December 31, 2020.

### Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2020, of \$7.2 million increased \$47.7 million to net cash provided by investing activities of \$40.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2021. The change is primarily due to cash provided by the proceeds from the sale of Caelum of \$56.9 million received in 2021 related to AstraZeneca's exercise of their purchase option, offset by the purchases of research and development licenses of \$11.4 million and property and equipment of \$4.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2021.

*Financing Activities*

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$149.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2021, compared to \$172.4 million of net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2020, a decrease of \$23.4 million. The decrease is primarily due to the decrease of \$39.1 million in net proceeds from the issuance of Series A Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock, the decrease of \$36.8 million in proceeds from the Company's at-the-market offering, the decrease of \$18.3 million in partner companies' sale of stock, and the \$60 million decrease in gross proceeds from the Oaktree Note. Offsetting these decreases was the increase in proceeds from partner companies' at-the-market offerings of \$39.7 million, as well as \$89.8 million of 2020 repayments of Fortress' and partner company's notes.

We fund our operations through cash on hand, the sale of debt, third-party financings, and the sale of partner companies. At December 31, 2021, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$305.7 million of which \$88.5 million relates to Fortress and the private partner companies, primarily funded by Fortress, \$54.7 million relates to Checkpoint, \$109.6 million relates to Mustang, \$49.1 million relates to JMC and \$3.8 million relates to Avenue. Restricted cash related to our leases is \$2.2 million.

On July 23, 2021, the Company filed shelf registration statement 333-255185 on Form S-3, which was declared effective on July 30, 2021 (the "2021 Shelf"). No securities have been drawn down under the 2021 Shelf.

On May 18, 2020, the Company filed a shelf registration statement on Form S-3, which was declared effective on May 26, 2020 (the "2020 Shelf"). In connection with the 2020 Shelf, the Company entered into an At Market Issuance Sales Agreement ("2020 Common ATM") governing potential sales of the Company's common stock. For the year ended December 31, 2021, the Company issued approximately 3.1 million shares of common stock, at an average price of \$3.05 per share for net proceeds of \$9.1 million. Approximately \$17.4 million of securities remain available for sale under the 2020 Shelf at December 31, 2021.

Journey's common stock began trading on the Nasdaq Capital Market on November 12, 2021 under the ticker symbol "DERM." On November 16, 2021, Journey completed an initial public offering (the "Journey IPO") whereby it sold 3,520,000 shares of its common stock at a price of \$10.00 per share for gross proceeds of \$35.2 million, before deducting underwriting discounts and other offering costs of \$4.6 million for net proceeds of \$30.6 million.

In March 2021, Journey commenced an offering of 8% Cumulative Convertible Class A Preferred Stock ("Journey Preferred Offering") in an aggregate minimum amount of \$12.5 million and an aggregate maximum amount of \$30.0 million. The Journey Preferred Offering terminated on July 18, 2021. Journey issued an aggregate of 758,680 Cumulative Convertible Class A Preferred shares at a price of \$25.00 per share, for gross proceeds of \$19.0 million. Following the payment of placement agent fees of \$1.9 million, and other expenses of \$0.1 million, Journey received \$17.0 million of net proceeds. As a result of the Journey IPO in November 2021, the Journey Preferred shares converted into 2,231,346 shares of Journey common stock.

In November 2020, Checkpoint filed a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 (the "Checkpoint 2020 S-3"), which was declared effective in December 2020. Under the Checkpoint 2020 S-3, Checkpoint may sell up to a total of \$100 million of its securities. In connection with the Checkpoint 2020 S-3, Checkpoint entered into an ATM (the "Checkpoint 2020 ATM") with the Agents relating to the sale of shares of Checkpoint's common stock. Under the Checkpoint 2020 ATM, Checkpoint pays the Agents a commission rate of up to 3.0% of the gross proceeds from the sale of any shares of Checkpoint's common stock. During the year ended December 31, 2021, Checkpoint sold a total of 11,899,983 shares of common stock under the Checkpoint 2020 ATM for aggregate total gross proceeds of approximately \$41.3 million at an average selling price of \$3.47 per share, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$40.4 million after deducting commissions and other transaction costs.

On April 23, 2021, Mustang filed shelf registration statement No. 333-255476 on Form S-3 (the "Mustang 2021 S-3"), which was declared effective on May 24, 2021. Under the Mustang 2021 S-3, Mustang may sell up to a total of \$200 million of its securities. As of December 31, 2021, \$200 million of the Mustang 2021 S-3 remains available for sales of securities. On July 13, 2018, Mustang filed a shelf registration statement No. 333-226175 on Form S-3, as amended on July 20, 2018 (the "2018 Mustang S-3"), which was declared effective in August 2018. Under the 2018 Mustang S-3, Mustang may sell up to a total of \$75.0 million of its securities. In connection with the 2018 Mustang S-3, Mustang entered into an At-the-Market Issuance Sales Agreement (the "Mustang ATM") relating to the sale of shares of common stock. Under the Mustang ATM, Mustang pays the Agents a commission rate of up to 3.0% of the gross proceeds from the sale of any shares of common stock. During the year ended December 31, 2021, the Company issued approximately 19.4 million shares of common stock at an average price of \$3.70 per share for gross proceeds of \$71.9 million under the ATM Agreement. In connection with these sales, the Company paid aggregate fees of approximately \$1.3 million for net proceeds of approximately \$70.6 million.

In November 2021, Avenue, pursuant to an underwritten public offering, sold 2,238,805 shares of its common stock at a price of \$1.34 per share for gross proceeds of approximately \$3.0 million. After deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and other expenses, net proceeds to Avenue from this underwritten public offering were \$2.6 million.

In December 2021, Avenue, pursuant to an underwritten public offering, sold 1,910,100 shares of its common stock at a price of \$1.07 per share for gross proceeds of approximately \$2.0 million. After deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and other expenses, net proceeds to Avenue from this underwritten public offering were \$1.8 million.

We will require additional financing to fully develop and prepare regulatory filings and obtain regulatory approvals for our existing and new product candidates, fund operating losses, and, if deemed appropriate, establish or secure through third parties manufacturing for our potential products, and sales and marketing capabilities. We have funded our operations to date primarily through the sale of equity and debt securities. We believe that our current cash and cash equivalents is sufficient to fund operations for at least the next twelve months. Our failure to raise capital as and when needed would have a material adverse impact on our financial condition and our ability to pursue our business strategies. We may seek funds through equity or debt financings, joint venture or similar development collaborations, the sale of partner companies (such as the stock purchase of Caelum by Alexion that would result from option exercise or the contingent merger of Avenue with InvaGen), royalty financings, or through other sources of financing.

In addition to the foregoing, based on the Company's current assessment, the Company does not expect any material impact on its long-term development timeline and its liquidity due to the worldwide spread of the COVID-19 virus. However, the Company is continuing to assess the effect on its operations by monitoring the spread of COVID-19 and the actions implemented to combat the virus throughout the world.

#### **Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements**

See Note 2 of Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of recent accounting standards and pronouncements.

#### **Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.**

Not applicable.

**Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.**

The information required by this Item is set forth in the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto beginning at page F-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.**

None.

**Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.**

**Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

*Controls and Procedures*

Disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) are designed only to provide reasonable assurance that they will meet their objectives. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness, as of December 31, 2021, of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e). Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

**Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

*Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting*

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Internal control over financial reporting refers to the process designed by, or under the supervision of, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, and effected by our Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and includes those policies and procedures that:

- (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets;
- (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorization of our management and directors; and
- (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisitions, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Internal control over financial reporting has inherent limitations. Internal control over financial reporting is a process that involves human diligence and compliance and is subject to lapses in judgment and breakdowns resulting from human failures. Internal control over financial reporting also can be circumvented by collusion or improper management override. Because of such limitations, there is a risk that material misstatements may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by internal control over financial reporting. However, these inherent limitations are known features of the financial reporting process. Therefore, it is possible to design into the process safeguards to reduce, though not eliminate, this risk.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2021. In making the assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013)*. Based on the results of this assessment, management (including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer) has concluded that, as of December 31, 2021, our internal control over financial reporting was effective.

***Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting.***

In September 2021, a partner company email account was compromised by a third-party impersonator and payments intended for a vendor, approximating \$9.5 million, were fraudulently re-directed into an individual bank account controlled by this third-party impersonator. The impersonator had taken a number of steps to deceive our employees and reduce the likelihood of detection. As a result of the foregoing, we identified a material weakness due to our internal controls having not been adequately designed to prevent or timely detect unauthorized cash disbursements.

Given the identification of the material weakness during September 2021, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of September 30, 2021, our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective at the reasonable assurance level. In light of the above incident, our management took immediate action to remediate the material weakness, including enhancing and formalizing cash disbursement controls to prevent and timely detect unauthorized cash disbursements and significantly enhancing our information technology infrastructure and security measures. Subsequent to the breach, management has remediated our controls and as of December 31, and we believe this material weakness has been remediated.

Except for the remediation efforts described above taken to address the material weakness, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during our most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**Item 9B. Other Information**

None.

**Item 9C. Disclosure Regarding Foreign Jurisdictions that Prevent Inspections**

Not applicable.

**PART III**

**Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance**

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference from our Proxy Statement for our 2022 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

**Item 11. Executive Compensation**

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference from our Proxy Statement for our 2022 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

**Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters**

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference from our Proxy Statement for our 2022 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

**Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence**

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference from our Proxy Statement for our 2022 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

**Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services**

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference from our Proxy Statement for our 2022 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

**PART IV**

**Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.**

(a) Financial Statements.

The following financial statements are filed as part of this report:

<a href="#">Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms</a> (KPMG LLP, Short Hills, NJ; PCAOB No.: 185)	F-2
<a href="#">Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms</a> (BDO USA, Boston, MA; PCAOB No.: 243)	F-4
<a href="#">Consolidated Balance Sheets</a>	F-5
<a href="#">Consolidated Statements of Operations</a>	F-6
<a href="#">Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity</a>	F-7
<a href="#">Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</a>	F-8
<a href="#">Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements</a>	F-11 – F-56

**(b) Exhibits.**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Exhibit Title</b>
<a href="#">3.1</a>	<a href="#">Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant's Form 10 (file No. 000-54463) filed with the SEC on July 15, 2011).</a>
<a href="#">3.2</a>	<a href="#">First Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Registrant's Form 10 (file No. 000-54463) filed with SEC on July 15, 2011).</a>
<a href="#">3.3</a>	<a href="#">Second Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.7 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on October 31, 2013).</a>
<a href="#">3.4</a>	<a href="#">Second Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.8 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on March 14, 2014).</a>
<a href="#">3.5</a>	<a href="#">Third Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.9 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on April 27, 2015).</a>
<a href="#">3.6</a>	<a href="#">Certificate of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Fortress Biotech, Inc (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on June 19, 2020).</a>
<a href="#">3.7</a>	<a href="#">Certificate of Amendment to the Certificate of Designations of Rights and Preferences of the Fortress Biotech, Inc. 9.375% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock under the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Fortress Biotech, Inc (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on June 19, 2020).</a>
<a href="#">3.8</a>	<a href="#">Certificate of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Fortress Biotech, Inc. dated June 23, 2021, incorporated herein by reference to the Form 8-K filed on June 23, 2021 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on June 23, 2020).</a>
<a href="#">4.1</a>	<a href="#">Form of Common Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Registrant's Form 10 (file No. 000-54463) filed with the SEC on July 15, 2011).</a>
<a href="#">4.2</a>	<a href="#">Certificate of Designation of Rights and Preferences 9.375% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on November 7, 2017).</a>
<a href="#">4.3</a>	<a href="#">Description of Securities of Fortress Biotech, Inc (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on March 31, 2021).</a>
<a href="#">10.2</a>	<a href="#">Form of Stock Option Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 of the Registrant's Form 10 (file No. 001-54463) filed with the SEC on July 15, 2011).<sup>#</sup></a>
<a href="#">10.3</a>	<a href="#">Amended and Restated Consulting Agreement, entered into as of January 1, 2019, by and between the Registrant and Eric Rowinsky (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on March 18, 2019).<sup>#</sup></a>
<a href="#">10.4</a>	<a href="#">Form of Indemnification Agreement by and between the Registrant and its officers and directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 of the Registrant's Form 10 (file No. 000-54463) filed with the SEC on August 24, 2011).</a>



[Table of Contents](#)

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Exhibit Title</u>
<a href="#">10.5</a>	<a href="#">Fortress Biotech, Inc. 2012 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (incorporated by reference to Annex A of the Registrant's Schedule 14A (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on July 13, 2012).#</a>
<a href="#">10.6</a>	<a href="#">Restricted Stock Issuance Agreement, dated as of February 2, 2014, by and between the Registrant and Michael S. Weiss (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.55 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on February 26, 2014).#</a>
<a href="#">10.7</a>	<a href="#">Restricted Stock Issuance Agreement, dated as of December 19, 2013, by and between the Registrant and Michael S. Weiss (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.57 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on March 14, 2014).</a>
<a href="#">10.8</a>	<a href="#">Restricted Stock Issuance Agreement, dated as of December 19, 2013, by and between the Registrant and Lindsay A. Rosenwald, M.D (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.58 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on March 14, 2014).#</a>
<a href="#">10.9</a>	<a href="#">Form of Coronado Biosciences, Inc. 2013 Stock Incentive Plan Award Agreement (2013 Stock Incentive Plan) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.60 of the Registrant's Form S-8 (file No. 333-194588) filed with the SEC on March 14, 2014). #</a>
<a href="#">10.10</a>	<a href="#">Coronado Biosciences, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors, dated March 12, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.67 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on March 18, 2015). #</a>
<a href="#">10.11</a>	<a href="#">Fortress Biotech, Inc. 2013 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to Appendix A of the Registrant's Schedule 14-A (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on June 4, 2015). #</a>
<a href="#">10.12</a>	<a href="#">Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement between Fortress Biotech, Inc. and George Avgerinos effective July 15, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.70 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on July 17, 2015).#</a>
<a href="#">10.13</a>	<a href="#">Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant in favor of National Securities Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on May 10, 2017).</a>
<a href="#">10.14</a>	<a href="#">Fortress Biotech, Inc. 2012 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on June 12, 2017).</a>
<a href="#">10.15</a>	<a href="#">Fortress Biotech, Inc. Amended and Restated Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.39 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on June 12, 2017).</a>
<a href="#">10.16</a>	<a href="#">Stock Purchase and Merger Agreement, dated as of November 12, 2018, by and between Avenue Therapeutics, Inc., InvaGen Pharmaceuticals Inc. and Madison Pharmaceuticals Inc (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on November 16, 2018).</a>
<a href="#">10.17</a>	<a href="#">Stockholders Agreement, dated as of November 12, 2018, by and between Fortress Biotech, Inc., Avenue Therapeutics, Inc., Dr. Lucy Lu, M.D. and InvaGen Pharmaceuticals Inc (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on November 16, 2018).</a>

[Table of Contents](#)

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Exhibit Title</u>
<a href="#">10.18</a>	<a href="#">Credit Agreement, dated as of November 12, 2018, by and between Avenue Therapeutics, Inc. and InvaGen Pharmaceuticals Inc (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Registrant’s Current Report on Form 8-K (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on November 16, 2018).</a>
<a href="#">10.19</a>	<a href="#">Guaranty, dated as of November 12, 2018, by and between Fortress Biotech, Inc. and InvaGen Pharmaceuticals Inc (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Registrant’s Current Report on Form 8-K (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on November 16, 2018).</a>
<a href="#">10.20</a>	<a href="#">Voting and Support Agreement, dated as of November 12, 2018, by and between Fortress Biotech, Inc., Avenue Therapeutics, Inc., Dr. Lucy Lu, M.D. and InvaGen Pharmaceuticals Inc (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Registrant’s Current Report on Form 8-K (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on November 16, 2018).</a>
<a href="#">10.21</a>	<a href="#">Waiver Agreement, dated as of November 12, 2018, by and between Fortress Biotech, Inc., Avenue Therapeutics, Inc. and InvaGen Pharmaceuticals Inc (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Registrant’s Current Report on Form 8-K (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on November 16, 2018).</a>
<a href="#">10.22</a>	<a href="#">Restrictive Covenant Agreement, dated as of November 12, 2018, by and between Fortress Biotech, Inc. and InvaGen Pharmaceuticals Inc (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of the Registrant’s Current Report on Form 8-K (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on November 16, 2018).</a>
<a href="#">10.23</a>	<a href="#">Indemnification Agreement, dated as of November 12, 2018, by and between Fortress Biotech, Inc. and InvaGen Pharmaceuticals Inc (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 of the Registrant’s Current Report on Form 8-K (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on November 16, 2018).</a>
<a href="#">10.24</a>	<a href="#">Development, Option and Stock Purchase Agreement by and among Caelum Biosciences, Inc., Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Fortress Biotech, Inc., and the several shareholders of Caelum Biosciences, Inc., dated January 30, 2019 (incorporated by reference to the Registrant’s Current Report on Form 8-K (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on January 31, 2019).*</a>
<a href="#">10.25</a>	<a href="#">Amendment to the Fortress Biotech, Inc. 2013 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant’s Current Report on Form 8-K (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on June 19, 2020).#</a>
<a href="#">10.26</a>	<a href="#">Credit Agreement entered into by and among Fortress Biotech, Inc. the lenders from time to time party thereto, and Oaktree Fund administration, LLC on August 27, 2020 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on November 9, 2020).</a>
<a href="#">16.1</a>	<a href="#">Letter from BDO USA, LLP to the Securities and Exchange Commission dated September 22, 2021, incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K filed on September 24, 2021 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 16.1 of the Registrant’s Current Report on Form 8-K (file No. 001-35366) filed with the SEC on September 24, 2021).</a>
<a href="#">21.1</a>	<a href="#">Subsidiaries of the Registrant. *</a>
<a href="#">23.1</a>	<a href="#">Consent Independent Registered Accounting Firm (KPMG LLP, Short Hills, NJ). *</a>
<a href="#">23.2</a>	<a href="#">Consent Independent Registered Accounting Firm ( BDO USA, LLP, Boston MA). *</a>
<a href="#">24.1</a>	<a href="#">Power of Attorney (included on the signature page of this Form 10-K).</a>

[Table of Contents](#)

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Exhibit Title</b>
<a href="#">31.1</a>	<a href="#">Certification of Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*</a>
<a href="#">31.2</a>	<a href="#">Certification of Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*</a>
<a href="#">32.1</a>	<a href="#">Certification of Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*</a>
<a href="#">32.2</a>	<a href="#">Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*</a>
101.INS	Inline XBRL Instance Document.*
101.SCH	Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.*
101.CAL	Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.*
101.DEF	Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.*
101.LAB	Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.*
101.PRE	Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.*
104	Cover Page Interactive Data File (formatted as Inline XBRL and contained in Exhibit 101).*

# Management contract or compensatory plan.

\* Filed herewith

**Item 16. Form 10-K Summary**

None.

**FORTRESS BIOTECH, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

<a href="#">Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms</a> (KPMG LLP, Short Hills, NJ; PCAOB No.: 185)	F-2
<a href="#">Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms</a> (BDO USA LLP, Boston, MA; PCAOB No.: 243)	F-4
<a href="#">Consolidated Balance Sheets</a>	F-5
<a href="#">Consolidated Statements of Operations</a>	F-6
<a href="#">Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity</a>	F-7
<a href="#">Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</a>	F-8
<a href="#">Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements</a>	F-11 – F-56

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

To the Stockholders and the Board of Directors  
Fortress Biotech, Inc.:

*1 Opinion on the Consolidated Financial Statements*

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Fortress Biotech, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2021, the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2021, and the related notes (collectively, the consolidated financial statements). In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2021, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2021, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

*Basis for Opinion*

These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audit. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB) and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. As part of our audit, we are required to obtain an understanding of internal control over financial reporting but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion.

Our audit included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. Our audit also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

*Critical Audit Matter*

The critical audit matter communicated below is a matter arising from the current period audit of the consolidated financial statements that was communicated or required to be communicated to the audit committee and that: (1) relates to accounts or disclosures that are material to the consolidated financial statements and (2) involved our especially challenging, subjective, or complex judgments. The communication of a critical audit matter does not alter in any way our opinion on the consolidated financial statements, taken as a whole, and we are not, by communicating the critical audit matter below, providing a separate opinion on the critical audit matter or on the accounts or disclosures to which it relates.

*Evaluation of accrued coupon liability*

As discussed in Note 2 and Note 11 of the consolidated financial statements, the Company accrues for coupons on products for certain qualified commercially-insured parties. At December 31, 2021, the Company recorded \$10.6 million in accrued coupon and rebates, which included the accrued coupon liability. The Company estimates the amount of its expected coupon redemptions for product that is still in the distribution channel and records the estimate as a reduction of revenue in the period the related product revenue is recognized. The Company's accrued coupon liability is primarily based on historical company coupon redemption costs, cost per coupon claims, and estimates of product remaining in the distribution channel.

We identified the evaluation of the accrued coupon liability as a critical audit matter. There was a high degree of auditor judgment required in the evaluation of certain assumptions used in the determination of the accrued coupon liability, including the estimation of product in the distribution channel, coupon redemption costs, and the cost per coupon claims.

The following are the primary procedures we performed to address this critical audit matter. We evaluated the design of certain internal controls over the Company's accrued coupon process, including a control over the assumptions. We performed a risk assessment procedure to assess the sensitivity of changes in the estimate of distribution channel inventory on the accrued coupon liability. We tested the sales data and coupon redemption data used by management to calculate coupon redemption costs and cost of coupon claims by comparing the data to historical information. We also recalculated the coupon costs and the cost per coupon claim. We developed an expectation of the coupon accrual liability based on an independent estimate of the product in the distribution channel and we compared our expectation to the Company's coupon accrual liability.

KPMG LLP

We have served as the Company's auditor since 2021.

Short Hills, New Jersey  
March 28, 2022

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

Shareholders and Board of Directors  
Fortress Biotech, Inc. and subsidiaries  
New York, New York

**Opinion on the Consolidated Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Fortress Biotech, Inc. and subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2020, the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders’ equity, and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2020, and the related notes (collectively referred to as the “consolidated financial statements”). In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company at December 31, 2020, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2020, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

**Basis for Opinion**

These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company’s consolidated financial statements based on our audit. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (“PCAOB”) and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. As part of our audit we are required to obtain an understanding of internal control over financial reporting but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion.

Our audit included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. Our audit also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ BDO USA, LLP

Boston, Massachusetts  
March 31, 2021

We have served as the Company’s auditor from 2016 to 2021.

**FORTRESS BIOTECH, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**Consolidated Balance Sheets**  
(\$ in thousands except for share and per share amounts)

	December 31, 2021	December 31, 2020
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 305,744	\$ 233,351
Accounts receivable, net	23,112	23,928
Inventory	9,862	1,404
Other receivables - related party	678	744
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	7,066	6,723
Total current assets	346,462	266,150
Property and equipment, net	15,066	11,923
Operating lease right-of-use asset, net	19,005	20,487
Restricted cash	2,220	1,645
Long-term investment, at fair value	—	17,566
Intangible asset, net	12,552	14,629
Other assets	1,198	1,013
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 396,503</b>	<b>\$ 333,413</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 90,660	\$ 45,389
Deferred revenue	2,611	—
Income taxes payable	345	—
Operating lease liabilities, short-term	2,104	1,849
Partner company line of credit	812	—
Partner company installment payments - licenses, short-term (net of imputed interest of \$490 and \$778 as of December 31, 2021 and December 31, 2020, respectively)	4,510	4,522
Total current liabilities	101,042	51,760
Notes payable, long-term (net of debt discount of \$7,063 and \$8,323 as of December 31, 2021 and December 31, 2020, respectively)	42,937	51,677
Operating lease liabilities, long-term	20,987	22,891
Partner company installment payments - licenses, long-term (net of imputed interest of \$373 and \$863 as of December 31, 2021 and December 31, 2020, respectively)	3,627	8,137
Other long-term liabilities	2,033	1,949
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>170,626</b>	<b>136,414</b>
<b>Commitments and contingencies (Note 16)</b>		
<b>Stockholders' equity</b>		
Cumulative redeemable perpetual preferred stock, \$.001 par value, 15,000,000 authorized, 5,000,000 designated Series A shares, 3,427,138 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2021 and December 31, 2020, respectively, liquidation value of \$25.00 per share	3	3
Common stock, \$.001 par value, 170,000,000 shares authorized, 101,435,505 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2021; 150,000,000 shares authorized, 94,877,492 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2020, respectively	101	95
Additional paid-in-capital	656,033	583,000
Accumulated deficit	(547,463)	(482,760)
Total stockholders' equity attributed to the Company	108,674	100,338
Non-controlling interests	117,203	96,661
Total stockholders' equity	225,877	196,999
<b>Total liabilities and stockholders' equity</b>	<b>\$ 396,503</b>	<b>\$ 333,413</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



**FORTRESS BIOTECH, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**Consolidated Statements of Operations**  
(\$ in thousands except for share and per share amounts)

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2021	2020
<b>Revenue</b>		
Product revenue, net	\$ 63,134	\$ 44,531
Collaboration revenue	5,389	—
Revenue - related party	268	1,068
Net revenue	<u>68,791</u>	<u>45,599</u>
<b>Operating expenses</b>		
Cost of goods sold - product revenue	32,084	14,594
Research and development	113,240	61,275
Research and development - licenses acquired	15,625	2,834
Selling, general and administrative	86,843	61,166
Wire transfer fraud loss	9,540	—
Total operating expenses	<u>257,332</u>	<u>139,869</u>
Loss from operations	(188,541)	(94,270)
Other income (expense)		
Interest income	649	1,518
Interest expense and financing fee	(15,308)	(15,326)
Change in fair value of investments	39,294	6,418
Change in fair value of derivative liability	(447)	(1,189)
Total other income (expense)	<u>24,188</u>	<u>(8,579)</u>
Loss before income tax expense	(164,353)	(102,849)
Income tax expense	473	136
<b>Net loss</b>	<b><u>(164,826)</u></b>	<b><u>(102,985)</u></b>
Net loss attributable to non-controlling interests	100,123	56,459
<b>Net loss attributable to common stockholders</b>	<b><u>\$ (64,703)</u></b>	<b><u>\$ (46,526)</u></b>
Net loss per common share - basic and diluted	\$ (2.02)	\$ (1.43)
Net loss per common share attributable to non - controlling interests - basic and diluted	\$ (1.23)	\$ (0.78)
Net loss per common share attributable to common stockholders - basic and diluted	\$ (0.79)	\$ (0.65)
Weighted average common shares outstanding - basic and diluted	81,700,220	72,005,181

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**FORTRESS BIOTECH, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity**  
(\$ in thousands except for share amounts)

	Series A Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Common Shares Issuable	Treasury Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Non-Controlling Interests	Total Stockholders' Equity
	Shares	\$	Shares	Amount						
<b>Balance at December 31, 2019</b>	<b>1,341,167</b>	<b>\$ 1</b>	<b>74,027,425</b>	<b>\$ 74</b>	<b>\$ 500</b>	<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$ 461,874</b>	<b>\$ (436,234)</b>	<b>\$ 46,317</b>	<b>\$ 72,532</b>
Stock-based compensation expense	—	—	—	—	—	—	13,451	—	—	13,451
Issuance of common stock related to equity plans	—	—	2,335,808	2	—	—	16	—	—	18
Issuance of common stock under ESPP	—	—	122,786	—	—	—	253	—	—	253
Issuance of common stock for at-the-market offering, net	—	—	17,409,257	18	—	—	45,809	—	—	45,827
Payment of Series A perpetual preferred stock dividends	—	—	—	—	—	—	(6,515)	—	—	(6,515)
Repurchase of Series A preferred stock, net	(5,000)	—	—	—	—	(70)	(2)	—	—	(72)
Retirement of Series A preferred stock	—	—	—	—	—	70	(70)	—	—	—
Issuance of Series A preferred stock for cash, net	2,090,971	2	—	—	—	—	35,541	—	—	35,543
Partner company's offering, net	—	—	—	—	—	—	53,749	—	—	53,749
Partner companies' at-the-market offering, net	—	—	—	—	—	—	70,988	—	—	70,988
Partner company's preferred stock offering, net	—	—	—	—	—	—	7,074	—	—	7,074
Issuance of common stock under partner company's ESPP	—	—	—	—	—	—	349	—	—	349
Partner company's dividends declared and paid	—	—	—	—	—	—	(237)	—	—	(237)
Partner company's exercise of warrants for cash	—	—	—	—	—	—	13	—	—	13
Partner company's exercise of options for cash	—	—	—	—	—	—	13	—	—	13
Reclass partner company's warrants from liability to equity	—	—	—	—	—	—	1,216	—	—	1,216
Issuance of partner company's common shares for research and development expenses	—	—	—	—	—	—	46	—	—	46
Common shares issued for 2017 Subordinated Note Financing interest expense	—	—	982,216	1	(500)	—	1,816	—	—	1,317
Issuance of warrants in conjunction with Oaktree Note	—	—	—	—	—	—	4,419	—	—	4,419
Non-controlling interest in partner companies	—	—	—	—	—	—	(106,803)	—	106,803	—
Net loss attributable to non-controlling interest	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(56,459)	(56,459)
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(46,526)	—	(46,526)
<b>Balance at December 31, 2020</b>	<b>3,427,138</b>	<b>\$ 3</b>	<b>94,877,492</b>	<b>\$ 95</b>	<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$ 583,000</b>	<b>\$ (482,760)</b>	<b>\$ 96,661</b>	<b>\$ 196,999</b>
Stock-based compensation expense	—	—	—	—	—	—	19,486	—	—	19,486
Issuance of common stock related to equity plans	—	—	3,119,324	3	—	—	(3)	—	—	—
Issuance of common stock under ESPP	—	—	117,428	—	—	—	278	—	—	278
Issuance of common stock for at-the-market offering, net	—	—	3,067,446	3	—	—	9,082	—	—	9,085
Payment of Series A perpetual preferred stock dividends	—	—	—	—	—	—	(8,031)	—	—	(8,031)
Partner company's offering, net	—	—	—	—	—	—	34,996	—	—	34,996
Partner companies' at-the-market offering, net	—	—	—	—	—	—	110,887	—	—	110,887
Partner company's exercise of options for cash	—	—	—	—	—	—	7	—	—	7
Issuance of common stock under partner company's ESPP	—	—	—	—	—	—	309	—	—	309
Partner company's dividends declared and paid	—	—	—	—	—	—	(749)	—	—	(749)
Issuance of partner company's common shares for research and development expenses	—	—	—	—	—	—	176	—	—	176
Common shares issued for dividend on partner company's convertible preferred shares	—	—	253,815	—	—	—	820	—	—	820
Conversion of partner company convertible preferred shares	—	—	—	—	—	—	21,812	—	—	21,812
Conversion of partner company derivative warrant liabilities	—	—	—	—	—	—	4,628	—	—	4,628
Non-controlling interest in subsidiaries	—	—	—	—	—	—	(120,665)	—	120,665	—
Net loss attributable to non-controlling interest	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(100,123)	(100,123)
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(64,703)	—	(64,703)
<b>Balance at December 31, 2021</b>	<b>3,427,138</b>	<b>\$ 3</b>	<b>101,435,505</b>	<b>\$ 101</b>	<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$ 656,033</b>	<b>\$ (547,463)</b>	<b>\$ 117,203</b>	<b>\$ 225,877</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**FORTRESS BIOTECH, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**  
(\$ in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2021	2020
<b>Cash Flows from Operating Activities:</b>		
Net loss	\$ (164,826)	\$ (102,985)
<b>Reconciliation of net loss to net cash used in operating activities:</b>		
Depreciation expense	2,628	2,280
Bad debt expense	48	49
Amortization of debt discount	3,914	5,622
Accretion of partner company convertible preferred shares	2,845	—
Non-cash interest	781	697
Prepayment penalty of Oaktree Note	450	—
Amortization of product revenue license fee	2,474	1,420
Amortization of operating lease right-of-use assets	1,689	1,625
Stock-based compensation expense	19,486	13,451
Issuance of common stock for service	—	18
Issuance of partner company's common shares for research and development expenses	176	46
Common shares issued for dividend on partner company's convertible preferred shares	820	—
Common shares issued for 2017 Subordinated Note Financing interest expense	—	1,317
Change in fair value of investment in Caelum	(39,294)	(6,418)
Change in fair value of partner company derivative liability	447	1,189
Research and development-licenses acquired, expense	15,449	2,788
<b>Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents resulting from changes in operating assets and liabilities:</b>		
Accounts receivable	768	(10,438)
Inventory	(8,458)	(547)
Other receivables - related party	66	121
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(309)	(2,590)
Other assets	(185)	145
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	43,307	11,101
Interest payable	—	(1,042)
Interest payable - related party	—	(92)
Deferred revenue	2,611	—
Income taxes payable	345	136
Lease liabilities	(1,856)	(1,388)
Other long-term liabilities	84	(187)
Net cash used in operating activities	<u>(116,540)</u>	<u>(83,682)</u>
<b>Cash Flows from Investing Activities:</b>		
Purchase of research and development licenses	(11,380)	(4,038)
Purchase of property and equipment	(4,566)	(1,926)
Purchase of intangible asset	(400)	(1,200)
Proceeds from sale of Caelum	56,860	—
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	<u>40,514</u>	<u>(7,164)</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**FORTRESS BIOTECH, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**  
(\$ in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2021	2020
<b>Cash Flows from Financing Activities:</b>		
Payment of Series A perpetual preferred stock dividends	\$ (8,031)	\$ (6,515)
Purchase of treasury stock	—	(70)
Payment of costs related to purchase of treasury stock	—	(2)
Proceeds from issuance of Series A perpetual preferred stock	—	39,075
Payment of costs related to issuance of Series A perpetual preferred stock	—	(3,535)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock for at-the-market offering, net	9,085	45,851
Proceeds from issuance of common stock under ESPP	278	253
Proceeds from partner companies' ESPP	309	349
Partner company's dividends declared and paid	(749)	(237)
Proceeds from partner companies' sale of stock, net	35,367	53,680
Proceeds from partner companies' at-the-market offering, net	110,803	71,072
Proceeds from partner company's preferred stock offering	—	8,000
Payment of costs related to partner company's preferred stock offering	(13)	(913)
Proceeds from exercise of partner companies' equity grants	7	26
Payment of debt issuance costs associated with 2017 Subordinated Note Financing	—	(93)
Payment of debt issuance costs associated with 2018 Venture Notes	—	(58)
Proceeds from Oaktree Note	—	60,000
Payment of debt issuance costs associated with Oaktree Note	(95)	(4,302)
Repayment of Oaktree Note	(10,450)	—
Repayment of 2017 Subordinated Note Financing	—	(28,356)
Repayment of 2018 Venture Notes	—	(21,707)
Repayment of 2019 Notes	—	(9,000)
Repayment of partner company's Horizon Notes	—	(15,750)
Repayment of IDB Note	—	(14,858)
Repayment of partner company installment payments - licenses	(5,300)	(500)
Proceeds from partner company convertible preferred shares, net	16,971	—
Proceeds from partner's company line of credit	7,000	—
Repayment of partner's company line of credit	(6,188)	—
Net cash provided by financing activities	<u>148,994</u>	<u>172,410</u>
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash	72,968	81,564
Cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash at beginning of period	234,996	153,432
<b>Cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash at end of period</b>	<b><u>\$ 307,964</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 234,996</u></b>
<b>Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:</b>		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 6,918	\$ 8,204
Cash paid for interest - related party	\$ —	\$ 617
Cash paid for tax	\$ 993	\$ —

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**FORTRESS BIOTECH, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**  
**(\$ in thousands)**

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>	
	<u>2021</u>	<u>2020</u>
<b>Supplemental disclosure of non-cash financing and investing activities:</b>		
Settlement of restricted stock units into common stock	\$ 3	\$ 2
Issuance of warrants in conjunction with Oaktree Note	\$ —	\$ 4,419
Common shares issued from 2017 Subordinated Note Financing interest expense	\$ —	\$ 500
Unpaid fixed assets	\$ 1,270	\$ 31
Conversion of partner company convertible preferred shares	\$ 21,812	\$ —
Conversion of partner company derivative warrant liabilities	\$ 4,628	\$ —
Partner company's unpaid intangible assets	\$ —	\$ 7,472
Reclass partner company's warrants from liability to equity	\$ —	\$ 1,216
Unpaid partner company's at-the-market offering cost	\$ —	\$ 84
Unpaid partner company's preferred stock offering cost	\$ —	\$ 13
Unpaid partner company's debt offering cost	\$ 214	\$ —
Unpaid partner company's offering cost	\$ 371	\$ —
Partner company derivative warrant liability associated with partner company convertible preferred shares	\$ 362	\$ —
Unpaid debt offering cost	\$ —	\$ 13
Unpaid at-the-market offering cost	\$ —	\$ 30
Retirement of Series A perpetual preferred stock	\$ —	\$ 70
Unpaid research and development licenses acquired	\$ 250	\$ —
Lease liabilities arising from obtaining right-of-use assets	\$ 207	\$ —

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**FORTRESS BIOTECH, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements**

**1. Organization and Description of Business**

Fortress Biotech, Inc. (“Fortress” or the “Company”) is a biopharmaceutical company dedicated to acquiring, developing and commercializing pharmaceutical and biotechnology products and product candidates, which the Company does at the Fortress level, at its majority-owned and majority-controlled subsidiaries and joint ventures, and at entities the Company founded and in which it maintains significant minority ownership positions. Fortress has a talented and experienced business development team, comprising scientists, doctors and finance professionals, who identify and evaluate promising products and product candidates for potential acquisition by new or existing partner companies. Fortress through its partner companies has executed such arrangements in partnership with some of the world’s foremost universities, research institutes and pharmaceutical companies, including City of Hope National Medical Center, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Nationwide Children’s Hospital, Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Center, Columbia University, the University of Pennsylvania, Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research, AstraZeneca plc and Dr. Reddy’s Laboratories, Ltd.

Following the exclusive license or other acquisition of the intellectual property underpinning a product or product candidate, Fortress leverages its business, scientific, regulatory, legal and finance expertise to help the partners achieve their goals. Partner companies then assess a broad range of strategic arrangements to accelerate and provide additional funding to support research and development, including joint ventures, partnerships, out-licensing, and public and private financings; to date, four partner companies are publicly-traded, and three have consummated strategic partnerships with industry leaders Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and InvaGen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (a subsidiary of Cipla Limited) and Sentyln Therapeutics, Inc. (“Sentyln”). On October 6, 2021, AstraZeneca plc (“AstraZeneca”) (acquirer of Alexion) purchased 100% of our partner company Caelum Biosciences, Inc. (“Caelum”) for approximately \$150 million upfront and up to \$350 million in contingent regulatory and sales milestone payments.

Several of our partner companies possess licenses to product candidate intellectual property, including Aevitas Therapeutics, Inc. (“Aevitas”), Baergic Bio, Inc. (“Baergic”), Caelum, Cellvation, Inc. (“Cellvation”), Checkpoint Therapeutics, Inc. (“Checkpoint”), Cyprium Therapeutics, Inc. (“Cyprium”), Helocyte, Inc. (“Helocyte”), Journey Medical Corporation (“Journey” or “JMC”), Mustang Bio, Inc. (“Mustang”) Oncogenity, Inc. (“Oncogenity”), and UR-1 Therapeutics, Inc. (“UR-1”).

*Liquidity and Capital Resources*

Since inception, the Company’s operations have been financed primarily through the sale of equity and debt securities, from the sale of partner companies, and the proceeds from the exercise of warrants and stock options. The Company has incurred losses from operations and negative cash flows from operating activities since inception and expects to continue to incur substantial losses for the next several years as it continues to fully develop and prepare regulatory filings and obtain regulatory approvals for its existing and new product candidates. The Company’s current cash and cash equivalents are sufficient to fund operations for at least the next 12 months. However, the Company will need to raise additional funding through strategic relationships, public or private equity or debt financings, sale of a partner companies, grants or other arrangements to develop and prepare regulatory filings and obtain regulatory approvals for the existing and new product candidates, fund operating losses, and, if deemed appropriate, establish or secure through third parties manufacturing for the potential products, sales and marketing capabilities. If such funding is not available or not available on terms acceptable to the Company, the Company’s current development plans, and plans for expansion of its general and administrative infrastructure may be curtailed. The Company also has the ability, subject to limitations imposed by Rule 144 of the Securities Act of 1933 and other applicable laws and regulations, to raise money from the sale of common stock of the public companies in which it has ownership positions. In addition to the foregoing, the Company experienced minimal impact on its development timelines, revenue levels and its liquidity due to the worldwide spread of COVID-19.

## **2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

### **Basis of Presentation and Principles of Consolidation**

The Company's consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). The Company's consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and the accounts of the Company's subsidiaries, listed above. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company's subsidiaries. For consolidated entities where the Company owns less than 100% of the subsidiary, the Company records net loss attributable to non-controlling interests in its consolidated statements of operations equal to the percentage of the economic or ownership interest retained in such entities by the respective non-controlling parties. The Company also consolidates subsidiaries in which it owns less than 50% of the subsidiary but maintains voting control. The Company continually assesses whether changes to existing relationships or future transactions may result in the consolidation or deconsolidation of partner companies.

### **Use of Estimates**

The Company's consolidated financial statements include certain amounts that are based on management's best estimates and judgments. The Company's significant estimates include, but are not limited to, provisions for product returns, coupons, rebates, chargebacks, discounts, allowances and distribution fees paid by Journey to certain wholesalers, inventory realization, useful lives assigned to long-lived assets and amortizable intangible assets, fair value of stock options and warrants, stock-based compensation, common stock issued to acquire licenses, investments, accrued expenses, provisions for income taxes and contingencies. Due to the uncertainty inherent in such estimates, actual results may differ from these estimates.

### **Revenue Recognition**

The Company records revenue in accordance with the provisions of Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 606, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers* ("ASC 606"). The core principle of this revenue standard is that a company should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the company expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The Company's revenues primarily result from contracts with customers, which are generally short-term and have a single performance obligation — the delivery of product. The Company's performance obligation to deliver products is satisfied when the goods are received by the customer, which is the point at which the customer obtains title to, and accepts the risks and rewards of ownership of, the products. The transaction price is the amount of consideration to which the Company expects to be entitled in exchange for transferring promised goods to a customer. The consideration promised in a contract with a customer may include fixed amounts, variable amounts, or both.

Many of the Company's products sold are subject to trade discounts, rebates, coupons and right of return. Revenues are recorded net of provisions for variable consideration, including discounts, rebates, governmental rebate programs, price adjustments, returns, chargebacks, promotional programs and other sales allowances. Accruals for these provisions are presented in the consolidated financial statements as reductions in determining net sales and as a contra asset in accounts receivable, net (if settled via credit) and other current liabilities (if paid in cash). Amounts recorded for revenue deductions can result from a complex series of judgements about future events and uncertainties and can rely heavily on estimates and assumptions. The following section briefly describes the nature of the Company's provisions for variable consideration and how such provisions are estimated.

[Table of Contents](#)

*Gross-to-Net Sales Accruals* — The Company records gross-to-net sales accruals for government rebates, chargebacks, wholesaler distributor service fees, other rebates and administrative fees, sales returns and allowances and sales discounts.

*Trade Discounts and Other Sales Allowances* — The Company provides trade discounts and allowances to its wholesale customers for sales order management, data, and distribution services. The Company also provides for prompt pay discounts if payment is received within the payment term days which generally range from 30 to 75 days. These discounts and allowances are recorded at the time of sale based on the customer's contracted rate and have been recorded as a reduction of revenue and a reduction to accounts receivables.

*Wholesaler fees* — The Company pays administrative and other fees to certain wholesale customers consistent with pharmaceutical industry practices. The Company records a provision for these fees based on contracted rates and historical redemption rates. Assumptions used to establish the provision include level of wholesaler inventories, contract sales volumes and average contract pricing. The Company regularly reviews the information related to these estimates and adjust the provision accordingly.

*Product Returns* — Consistent with industry practice, the Company offers customers a right to return any unused product. Such right of return commences six months prior to the product expiration date and ends one year after the product expiration date. Products returned for expiration are reimbursed at current or contracted price, less 5%. The Company estimates the amount of its product sales that may be returned by its customers and accrues this estimate as a reduction of revenue in the period the related product revenue is recognized. The Company currently estimates product return reserves using available industry data and its own sales information, including its visibility and estimates into the inventory remaining in the distribution channel.

The Company bases its product returns allowance on estimated on-hand inventories in the sales channels, measured end-customer demand, actual returns history and other factors, such as the trend experience for lots where product is still being returned, as applicable. If the historical data the Company uses to calculate these estimates does not properly reflect future returns, then a change in the allowance would be made in the period in which such a determination is made and revenues in that period could be materially affected. Under this methodology, the Company tracks actual returns by individual production lots. Returns on closed lots, that is, lots no longer eligible for return credits, are analyzed to determine historical returns experience. Returns on open lots, that is, lots still eligible for return credits, are monitored and compared with historical return trend rates. Any changes from the historical trend rates are considered in determining the current sales return allowance.

*Government Chargebacks* — Chargebacks for fees and discounts to indirect qualified government healthcare providers represent the estimated obligations resulting from contractual commitments to sell products to qualified U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs hospitals and 340B entities at prices lower than the list prices charged to customers who purchase product directly from the Company. Customers charge the Company for the difference between what they pay for the product and the statutory selling price to the qualified government entity. These allowances are established in the same period that the related revenue is recognized, resulting in a reduction of product revenue and accounts receivable, net. The chargeback amount from our direct customers is generally determined at the time of our direct customers' resale to the qualified government healthcare provider, and the Company generally issues credits for such amounts within a few weeks of our direct customer's notification to the Company of the resale. The allowance for chargebacks is based on expected sell-through levels by our direct customers to indirect customers, as well as estimated wholesaler inventory levels.



*Government Rebates* — The Company is subject to discount obligations under state Medicaid programs and Medicare. These accruals are recorded in the same period that the related revenue is recognized, resulting in a reduction of product revenue. For Medicare, the Company also estimates the number of patients in the prescription drug coverage gap, for whom the Company will owe an additional liability under the Medicare Part D program. For Medicaid programs, the Company estimates the portion of sales attributed to Medicaid patients and records a liability for the rebates to be paid to the respective state Medicaid programs. The Company's liability for these rebates consists of invoices received for: claims from prior quarters that have not been paid or for which an invoice has not yet been received; estimates of claims for the current quarter; and estimated future claims that will be made for product that has been recognized as revenue, but which remains in the distribution channel inventories at the end of each reporting period.

*Wholesaler Chargeback Accruals* — The Company sells a portion of its products indirectly through wholesaler distributors to contracted customers commonly referred to as "indirect customers." The Company enters into specific agreements with these indirect customers to establish pricing for its products, and in-turn, the indirect customers independently select a wholesaler from which to purchase the products. Because the price paid by the indirect customers is lower than the price paid by the wholesaler (wholesale acquisition cost, or "WAC"), the Company provides a credit, called a chargeback, to the wholesaler for the difference between the contractual price with the indirect customers and WAC. The Company's provision for chargebacks is based on expected sell-through levels by the Company's wholesale customers to the indirect customers and estimated wholesaler inventory levels as well as historical chargeback rates. The Company continually monitors its reserve for chargebacks and adjusts the reserve accordingly when expected chargebacks differ from actual experience.

*Coupons* — The Company offers coupons on products for qualified commercially-insured parties with prescription drug co-payments. Such product sales flow through both traditional wholesaler and specialty pharmacy channels. Approximately 85% of the Company's product revenues are sold through the specialty pharmacy channel, which has a shorter cycle from the Company's sales date to the fulfillment of the prescription by the specialty pharmacy customer, resulting in less inventory in this channel. Coupons are processed and redeemed at the time of prescription fulfillment by the pharmacy, and the Company is charged for the coupons redeemed monthly. The majority of coupon liability at the end of the period represents coupons that have been redeemed and for which the Company has been billed, and an accrual for expected redemptions for product in the distribution channel. This element of the liability requires the Company to estimate the distribution channel inventory at period end, the expected redemption rates, and the cost per coupon claim that the Company expects to receive associated with product that has been recognized as revenue but remains in the distribution channel at the end of each reporting period. The estimate of product remaining in the distribution channel is comprised of actual inventory at the wholesaler as well as an estimate of inventory at the specialty pharmacies, which the Company estimates based upon historical ordering patterns, which consist of reordering approximately every two weeks. The estimated redemption rate is based on historical redemptions as a percentage of units sold. The cost per coupon is based on the coupon rate.

*Managed Care Rebates* — The Company offers managed care rebates to certain providers. The Company calculates rebate payment amounts due under this program based on actual qualifying products and applies a contractual discount rate. The accrual is based on an estimate of claims that the Company expects to receive and inventory in the distribution channel. The accrual is recognized at the time of sale, resulting in a reduction of product revenue.

#### **Collaboration Revenue**

Our collaboration revenue includes service revenue, license fees and future contingent milestone-based payments. We recognize collaboration revenue for contracted R&D services performed for our customers over time. We measure our progress using an input method based on the effort we expend or costs we incur toward the satisfaction of our performance obligation. We estimate the amount of effort we expend, including the time it will take us to complete the activities, or the costs we may incur in a given period, relative to the estimated total effort or costs to satisfy the performance obligation. This results in a percentage that we multiply by the transaction price to determine the amount of revenue we recognize each period. This approach requires us to make estimates and use judgement. If our estimates or judgements change over the course of the collaboration, they may affect the timing and amount of revenue that we recognize in the current and future periods.

### **Reclassifications**

Certain comparative figures have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. The Company reclassified certain return reserves related to sales allowances of \$4.6 million from accounts receivable to current liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2020. This reclassification was deemed to be immaterial.

### **Fair Value Measurement**

The Company follows accounting guidance on fair value measurements for financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis. Under the accounting guidance, fair value is defined as an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. As such, fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or a liability.

The accounting guidance requires fair value measurements be classified and disclosed in one of the following three categories:

- Level 1:* Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2:* Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices for similar assets or liabilities that are directly or indirectly observable in the marketplace.
- Level 3:* Unobservable inputs which are supported by little or no market activity and that are financial instruments whose values are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant judgment or estimation.

The fair value hierarchy also requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. Assets and liabilities measured at fair value are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires management to make judgments and consider factors specific to the asset or liability.

Certain of the Company's financial instruments are not measured at fair value on a recurring basis but are recorded at amounts that approximate their fair value due to their liquid or short-term nature, such as accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities.

### **Segment Reporting**

The Company operates in two operating and reportable segments, Dermatology Product Sales and Pharmaceutical and Biotechnology Product Development. The Company evaluates the performance of each segment based on operating profit or loss. There is no inter-segment allocation of interest expense and income taxes.

### **Cash and Cash Equivalents**

The Company considers highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2021 and 2020, consisted of cash and certificates of deposit in institutions in the United States. Balances at certain institutions have exceeded Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insured limits.

### **Property and Equipment**

Computer equipment, furniture & fixtures and machinery & equipment are recorded at cost and depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of each asset. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the estimated useful lives or the term of the respective leases.

[Table of Contents](#)

In connection with Mustang's cell processing facility, Mustang incurred costs for the design and construction of the facility and the purchase of equipment; \$2.0 million and \$0.5 million are recorded in fixed assets – construction in process on the balance sheet at December 31, 2021 and 2020, respectively. Upon completion of the facility's construction, all costs associated with the buildout will be recorded as leasehold improvements and amortized over the shorter of the estimated useful lives or the term of the respective leases, upon the improvement being placed in service.

#### **Intangible Assets**

Intangible assets are reported at cost, less accumulated amortization and impairments. Intangible assets with finite lives are amortized over their estimated useful lives, which represents the estimated life of the product. Amortization is calculated primarily using the straight-line method.

During the ordinary course of business, the Company has entered into certain licenses and asset purchase agreements. Potential milestone payments for achieving sales targets or regulatory development milestones are recorded when it is probable of achievement. Upon a milestone payment being achieved, the milestone payment will be capitalized and amortized over the remaining useful life for approved products and expensed for milestones prior to FDA approval. Royalty payments are recorded as cost of goods sold as sales are recognized.

#### **Restricted Cash**

The Company records cash held in trust or pledged to secure certain debt obligations as restricted cash. As of December 31, 2021 and 2020, the Company had \$2.2 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, of restricted cash representing pledges to secure letters of credit in connection with certain office leases.

The following table provides a reconciliation of cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash from the consolidated balance sheets to the consolidated statements of cash flows for the years ended 2021 and 2020:

	December 31,	
	2021	2020
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 305,744	\$ 233,351
Restricted cash	2,220	1,645
Total cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash	<u>\$ 307,964</u>	<u>\$ 234,996</u>

#### **Inventories**

Inventories comprise finished goods, which are valued at the lower of cost and net realizable value, on a first-in, first-out basis. The Company evaluates the carrying value of inventories on a regular basis, taking into account anticipated future sales compared with quantities on hand, and the remaining shelf life of goods on hand. Included in inventories is the acquired Qbrezxa finished goods inventory which includes a fair value step-up of \$6.5 million. The \$6.5 million was fully expensed within cost of sales for the year ended December 31, 2021, as the inventory was sold to customers.

#### **Accounts Receivable, net**

Accounts receivable consists of amounts due to the Company for product sales of JMC. The Company's accounts receivable reflects discounts for estimated early payment and for product estimated returns. Accounts receivable are stated at amounts due from customers, net of an allowance for doubtful accounts that are outstanding longer than the contractual payment terms are considered past due. The Company determines its allowance for doubtful accounts by considering a number of factors, including the length of time trade accounts receivable are past due and the customer's current ability to pay its obligation to the Company. The Company writes off accounts receivable when they become uncollectible. For the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, the allowance for doubtful accounts was approximately \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively.

#### **Investments at Fair Value**

The Company elects the fair value option for its long-term investments at fair value (see Note 6). The decision to elect the fair value option, which is irrevocable once elected, is determined on an instrument-by-instrument basis and applied to an entire instrument. The net gains or losses, if any, on an investment for which the fair value option has been elected are recognized as a change in fair value of investments on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The Company has various processes and controls in place to ensure that fair value is reasonably estimated. While the Company believes its valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different estimate of fair value at the reporting date.

#### **Issuance of Debt and Equity**

The Company issues complex financial instruments which include both equity and debt features. The Company analyzes each instrument under ASC 480, *Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity*, ASC 815, *Derivatives and Hedging* and, ASC 470, *Debt*, in order to establish whether such instruments include any embedded derivatives.

The Company accounted for the Oaktree Note with detachable warrants in accordance with ASC 470, *Debt*. The Company assessed the classification of its common stock purchase warrants as of the date of the transaction and determined that such instruments met the criteria for equity classification. The note proceeds were allocated between the Oaktree Note and the warrants on a relative fair value basis.

The Company recorded the related issue costs and value ascribed to the warrants as a debt discount of the Oaktree Note. The discount is being amortized utilizing the effective interest method over the term of the Oaktree Note which is approximately 16.08% at December 31, 2021.

#### **Impairment of Long-Lived Assets**

Long-lived assets, primarily fixed assets, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets might not be recoverable. The Company will perform a periodic assessment of assets for impairment in the absence of such information or indicators. Conditions that would necessitate an impairment assessment include a significant decline in the observable market value of an asset, a significant change in the extent or manner in which an asset is used, or a significant adverse change that would indicate that the carrying amount of an asset or group of assets is not recoverable. For long-lived assets to be held and used, the Company would recognize an impairment loss only if its carrying amount is not recoverable through its undiscounted cash flows and measures the impairment loss based on the difference between the carrying amount and estimated fair value. As of December 31, 2021 and 2020 there were no indicators of impairment.

#### **Research and Development**

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred. Advance payments for goods and services that will be used in future research and development activities are expensed when the activity has been performed or when the goods have been received rather than when the payment is made. Upfront and milestone payments due to third parties that perform research and development services on the Company's behalf will be expensed as services are rendered or when the milestone is achieved.

Research and development costs primarily consist of personnel related expenses, including salaries, benefits, travel, and other related expenses, stock-based compensation, payments made to third parties for license and milestone costs related to in-licensed products and technology, payments made to third party contract research organizations for preclinical and clinical studies, investigative sites for clinical trials, consultants, the cost of acquiring and manufacturing clinical trial materials, and costs associated with regulatory filings, laboratory costs and other supplies.

In accordance with ASC 730-10-25-1, *Research and Development*, costs incurred in obtaining technology licenses are charged to research and development expense if the technology licensed has not reached commercial feasibility and has no alternative future use. Such licenses purchased by the Company require substantial completion of research and development, regulatory and marketing approval efforts in order to reach commercial feasibility and has no alternative future use.

#### **Contingencies**

The Company records accruals for contingencies and legal proceedings expected to be incurred in connection with a loss contingency when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount can be reasonably estimated.

If a loss contingency is not probable but is reasonably possible, or is probable but cannot be estimated, the nature of the contingent liability, together with an estimate of the range of possible loss if determinable and material, would be disclosed.

#### **Leases**

The Company accounts for its leases under ASC 842, *Leases*. Under this guidance, arrangements meeting the definition of a lease are classified as operating or financing leases and are recorded on the consolidated balance sheet as both a right-of-use asset and lease liability, calculated by discounting fixed lease payments over the lease term at the rate implicit in the lease or the Company's incremental borrowing rate. Lease liabilities are increased by interest and reduced by payments each period, and the right-of-use asset is amortized over the lease term. For operating leases, interest on the lease liability and the amortization of the right-of-use asset result in straight-line rent expense over the lease term. For finance leases, interest on the lease liability and the amortization of the right-of-use asset results in front-loaded expense over the lease term. Variable lease expenses are recorded when incurred.

In calculating the right-of-use asset and lease liability, the Company elects to combine lease and non-lease components. The Company continues to account for leases in the prior period consolidated financial statements under ASC Topic 840, *Leases*.

#### **Stock-Based Compensation**

The Company expenses stock-based compensation to employees and non-employees over the requisite service period based on the estimated grant-date fair value of the awards and forfeitures, which are recorded upon occurrence. The Company estimates the fair value of stock option grants using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The assumptions used in calculating the fair value of stock-based awards represent management's best estimates and involve inherent uncertainties and the application of management's judgment.

#### **Income Taxes**

The Company accounts for income taxes under ASC 740, *Income Taxes* ("ASC 740"). ASC 740 requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for both the expected impact of differences between the financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities and for the expected future tax benefit to be derived from tax loss and tax credit carry forwards. ASC 740 additionally requires a valuation allowance to be established when it is more likely than not that all or a portion of deferred tax assets will not be realized.

ASC 740 also clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements and prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement process for financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. For those benefits to be recognized, a tax position must be more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon examination by taxing authorities. ASC 740 also provides guidance on de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim period, disclosure and transition. Based on the Company's evaluation, it has been concluded that there are no significant uncertain tax positions requiring recognition in the Company's financial statements. The 2017 through 2019 tax years are the only periods subject to examination upon filing of appropriate tax returns. The Company believes that its income tax positions and deductions would be sustained on audit and does not anticipate any adjustments that would result in a material change to its financial position.

The Company's policy for recording interest and penalties associated with audits is to record such expense as a component of income tax expense. There were no amounts accrued for penalties or interest as of or during the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020. Management is currently unaware of any issues under review that could result in significant payments, accruals or material deviations from its position.

#### **Earnings Per Share**

Basic net income (loss) per share of common stock is calculated by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the reporting period. Diluted earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the reporting period after giving effect to dilutive potential common shares for stock options and restricted stock units, determined using the treasury stock method.

#### **Non-Controlling Interests**

Non-controlling interests in consolidated entities represent the component of equity in consolidated entities held by third parties. Any change in ownership of a subsidiary while the controlling financial interest is retained is accounted for as an equity transaction between the controlling and non-controlling interests.

#### **Sequencing**

On March 31, 2021, the Company adopted a sequencing policy under ASC 815-40-35 *Derivatives and Hedging* ("ASC 815") whereby in the event that reclassification of contracts from equity to assets or liabilities is necessary pursuant to ASC 815 due to the Company's inability to demonstrate it has sufficient authorized shares as a result of certain securities convertible or exchangeable for a potentially indeterminable number of shares, shares will be allocated on the basis of the earliest issuance date of potentially dilutive instruments, with the earliest grants receiving the first allocation of shares. Pursuant to ASC 815, grants or issuances of securities or options to the Company's non-employees, employees or directors are not subject to the sequencing policy.

#### **Comprehensive Loss**

The Company's comprehensive loss is equal to its net loss for all periods presented.

### Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2021, the FASB issued ASU 2021-04, *Earnings Per Share (Topic 260), Debt-Modifications and Extinguishments (Subtopic 470-50), Compensation-Stock Compensation (Topic 718), and Derivatives and Hedging-Contracts in Entity's Own Equity (Subtopic 815-40)*. This ASU reduces diversity in an issuer's accounting for modifications or exchanges of freestanding equity-classified written call options (for example, warrants) that remain equity classified after modification or exchange. This ASU provides guidance for a modification or an exchange of a freestanding equity-classified written call option that is not within the scope of another Topic. It specifically addresses: (1) how an entity should treat a modification of the terms or conditions or an exchange of a freestanding equity-classified written call option that remains equity classified after modification or exchange; (2) how an entity should measure the effect of a modification or an exchange of a freestanding equity-classified written call option that remains equity classified after modification or exchange; and (3) how an entity should recognize the effect of a modification or an exchange of a freestanding equity-classified written call option that remains equity classified after modification or exchange. This ASU will be effective for all entities for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2021. An entity should apply the amendments prospectively to modifications or exchanges occurring on or after the effective date of the amendments. Early adoption is permitted, including adoption in an interim period. The adoption of ASU 2021-04 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements or disclosures.

In August 2020, the FASB issued ASU No. 2020-06, *Debt-Debt with Conversion and Other Options (Subtopic 470-20) and Derivatives and Hedging-Contracts in Entity's Own Equity (Subtopic 815-40): Accounting for Convertible Instruments and Contracts in an Entity's Own Equity*, which simplifies accounting for convertible instruments by removing major separation models required under current GAAP. The ASU removes certain settlement conditions that are required for equity contracts to qualify for the derivative scope exception and it also simplifies the diluted earnings per share calculation in certain areas. This guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2023. Early adoption will be permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this standard on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2019, the FASB issued ASU No. 2019-12, "Income Taxes (Topic 740): Simplifying the Accounting for Income Taxes ("ASU 2019-12"), which is intended to simplify various aspects related to accounting for income taxes. ASU 2019-12 removes certain exceptions to the general principles in Topic 740 and also clarifies and amends existing guidance to improve consistent application. This guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2020, with early adoption permitted. The Company adopted the new guidance in the first quarter of 2021 and the adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-13, *Financial Instruments – Credit Losses*. The ASU sets forth a current expected credit loss model which requires the Company to measure all expected credit losses for financial instruments held at the reporting date based on historical experience, current conditions, and reasonable supportable forecasts. This replaces the existing incurred loss model and is applicable to the measurement of credit losses on financial assets measured at amortized cost and applies to some off-balance sheet credit exposures. This ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, including interim periods within those fiscal years, with early adoption permitted. Recently, the FASB issued the final ASU to delay adoption for smaller reporting companies to calendar year 2023. The Company is currently assessing the impact of the adoption of this ASU on its consolidated financial statements.

### 3. Collaboration and Stock Purchase Agreements

#### *Caelum*

#### Agreement with AstraZeneca's Alexion

In January 2019, Caelum, a subsidiary of the Company at that time, entered into a Development, Option and Stock Purchase Agreement (as amended, the "DOSPA") and related documents by and among Caelum, AstraZeneca as successor-in-interest to Alexion Therapeutics, Inc., the Company and Caelum's other equity holders as parties thereto

[Table of Contents](#)

(such equity holders, including Fortress, the "Sellers"). Under the terms of the agreement, AstraZeneca obtained a minority interest in Caelum and a contingent exclusive option to acquire the remaining equity in Caelum.

On September 28, 2021 AstraZeneca notified Caelum of its intention to exercise its purchase option, and on October 5, 2021 AstraZeneca acquired Caelum. The Company received 42.4% of the distribution of proceeds from the option exercise price of \$150 million, approximately \$56.9 million, which is net of the 10%, 24-month escrow holdback and other miscellaneous transaction expenses. The Sellers currently remain eligible to receive up to an additional \$350 million in contingent regulatory and commercial milestone payments, of which Fortress is eligible to receive 42.4% or approximately \$148.6 million.

*Cyprium*

Agreement with Sentyln

On February 24, 2021, Cyprium entered into a development and contingent asset purchase agreement with Sentyln. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, Sentyln paid Cyprium an upfront fee of \$8.0 million specifically earmarked to complete the CUTX-101 development program for the treatment of Menkes disease, through the filing of Cyprium's New Drug Application ("NDA") with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration ("FDA"). Cyprium also remains eligible to receive up to an additional \$12.0 million payable as follows: (i) \$3.0 million upon acceptance by the FDA of the NDA for review; and (ii) \$9.0 million upon FDA approval of the NDA and transfer of CUTX-101 to Sentyln. The Company will recognize revenue associated with these future milestones based upon achievement. At December 31, 2021, none of these future milestones was deemed probable.

Following the transfer of CUTX-101 to Sentyln (if any), Cyprium would remain eligible to receive up to \$255.0 million in additional sales milestone payments (payable pursuant to five milestones), as well as royalties on CUTX-101 net sales ranging from mid-single digits up to the mid-twenties. Cyprium would retain 100% ownership over any FDA Priority Review Voucher that may be issued at NDA approval for CUTX-101.

The Company determined that this agreement falls within the scope of *ASC 606-10-15-3* and *ASC 808-10-15-5A Revenue from Collaborative Arrangements* ("ASC 808") and as such the Company will recognize revenue in connection with achievement of two future development milestone payments.

In connection with the \$8.0 million upfront payment to Sentyln, the Company is recognizing revenue using an input method based upon the costs incurred to date in relation to the total estimated costs to complete the development activities. Accordingly, revenue is being recognized over the period in which the development activities are expected to occur. For the year ended December 31, 2021, the Company recognized revenue of \$5.4 million. No revenue was recognized in connection with this agreement in 2020.

*Avenue*

Agreement with InvaGen

On November 12, 2018, Avenue entered into a Stock Purchase and Merger Agreement (the "Avenue SPMA") with InvaGen Pharmaceuticals Inc. ("InvaGen"), and Madison Pharmaceuticals Inc. (the "Merger Sub"), which contemplated: (i) the purchase by InvaGen of a 33.3% stake in Avenue and; (ii) the contingent sale of Avenue to InvaGen. The first stage stock purchase closed in February 2019: InvaGen acquired approximately 5.8 million shares of Avenue's common stock at \$6.00 per share for total gross consideration of \$35.0 million, representing a 33.3% stake in Avenue's capital stock on a fully diluted basis. Under a contingent second stage closing, InvaGen may have acquired the remaining shares of Avenue's capital stock (in some cases compulsorily and in some cases at InvaGen's option), pursuant to a reverse triangular merger with Avenue remaining as the surviving entity. On November 1, 2021, Avenue delivered InvaGen notice of termination of the Avenue SPMA, meaning that the second stage acquisition of Avenue by InvaGen pursuant to the Avenue SPMA is no longer possible.



#### 4. Inventory

Inventory consisted of the following:

<i>(\$ in thousands)</i>	<u>December 31, 2021</u>	<u>December 31, 2020</u>
Raw materials	\$ 5,572	\$ —
Finished goods	4,290	1,404
Total inventories	<u>\$ 9,862</u>	<u>\$ 1,404</u>

The acquired Qbrezxa finished goods inventory includes a fair value step-up of \$6.5 million, which was fully expensed within cost of sales for the year ended December 31, 2021 as the inventory was sold to customers. For additional information on Journey's acquisition of Qbrezxa, please refer to Note 9.

#### 5. Property and Equipment

Fortress' property and equipment consisted of the following:

<i>(\$ in thousands)</i>	<u>Useful Life (Years)</u>	<u>December 31, 2021</u>	<u>December 31, 2020</u>
Computer equipment	3	\$ 739	\$ 663
Furniture and fixtures	5	1,387	1,199
Machinery & equipment	5	6,550	5,748
Leasehold improvements	2-15	13,175	10,580
Buildings	40	581	—
Construction in progress <sup>1</sup>	N/A	2,028	499
Total property and equipment		<u>24,460</u>	<u>18,689</u>
Less: Accumulated depreciation		(9,394)	(6,766)
Property and equipment, net		<u>\$ 15,066</u>	<u>\$ 11,923</u>

Note 1: Relates to the Mustang cell processing facility.

Depreciation expenses of Fortress' property and equipment for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020 was \$2.6 million and \$2.3 million, respectively, and was recorded in research and development, and selling, general and administrative expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

#### 6. Fair Value Measurements

##### Fair Value of Investment in Caelum

The Company valued its investment in Caelum in accordance with ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, and as of December 31, 2020, estimated the fair value to be \$17.6 million based on a per share value of \$2.43. As of December 31, 2020, the following inputs were utilized to derive the value: risk free rate of return of 0.36%, volatility of 70% and a discount for lack of marketability of 21.0% to 31.0% based on maturity dates of various scenarios. Further, the Company considered the impact of the acquisition of Alexion by AZ, which upon consummation would shorten the timeframe in which the option could be exercised in accordance with the A&R DOSPA.

[Table of Contents](#)

Upon AstraZeneca’s notification of their intent to acquire Caelum in September 2021, the Company increase the carrying value of its investment in Caelum to 42.4% of the distribution of proceeds from the option exercise price of \$150 million, or \$56.9 million. Fortress received the funds at the acquisition close in October 2021.

The following table classifies Fortress’ financial instruments, measured at fair value on a recurring basis, into the fair value hierarchy on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2020:

(\$ in thousands)	Fair Value Measurement as of December 31, 2020			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
<b>Assets</b>				
Fair value of investment in Caelum	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 17,566	\$ 17,566
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$ 17,566</b>	<b>\$ 17,566</b>

Journey Placement Agent Warrant Liability

The fair value of Journey’s contingently issuable Placement Agent Warrants in connection with Journey’s preferred offering (see Note 10), was measured using a Monte Carlo simulation valuation methodology. A summary of the weighted average (in aggregate) significant unobservable inputs (Level 3 inputs) used in measuring Journey’s warrant liability that are categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy was as follows:

Risk-free interest rate	0.98 %
Expected dividend yield	—
Expected term in years	1.0
Expected volatility	50 %

Upon the closing of the Journey Initial Public Offering (“Journey IPO”) (see note 14), Journey issued the Placement Agent Warrants to purchase 5% of the shares of Journey common stock into which the Journey Preferred Stock converted. The Placement Agent Warrants have a term of 5 years. At December 31, 2021, Journey issued 111,567 shares of Journey common stock related to the conversion of all of the placement agent warrants.

Journey Contingent Payment Warrant

In connection with the Journey license, collaboration, and assignment agreement (the “DFD Agreement”) to obtain the global rights for the development and commercialization of DFD-29 with Dr. Reddy’s Laboratories, Ltd (“DRL”) (see Note 7), Journey agreed to pay DRL additional consideration upon either an IPO of the Company’s common stock or an acquisition of the Company, the agreement further specifies that only one payment can be made. The contingent payment associated with an IPO of Journey’s common stock is deemed to be achieved if upon the completion of an IPO Journey’s market capitalization on a fully diluted basis is \$150 million or greater at the close of business on the date of such Journey IPO. The payment due for the achievement of the IPO criteria is as follows: (a) issue to DRL a number of shares of Journey’s common stock equal to \$5.0 million as calculated using a fifteen (15) day volume weighted average price (“VWAP”) of Journey’s closing price, measured fifteen (15) days following the Journey IPO; or (b) make a cash payment to DRL equal to \$5.0 million. As a result of Journey’s IPO on November 16, 2021, the Company issued 545,131 unregistered shares of Journey common stock to DRL, calculated using a 15-day VWAP of \$9.1721 per share. The restrictions on the unregistered shares of common stock are governed by the terms set forth in the DFD-29 Agreement and applicable securities laws.

Cyprium Warrant Liability

The fair value of the Cyprium Contingently Issuable Warrants in connection with the 2018 Venture Debt (see Note 10) was determined by applying management’s estimate of the probability of issuance of the Contingently Issuable Warrants together with an option-pricing model, with the following key assumptions:

	December 31 2020
Risk-free interest rate	0.69 %
Expected dividend yield	—
Expected term in years	10.0
Expected volatility	85 %

The table below provides a roll forward of the changes in fair value of Level 3 financial instruments for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020:

<i>(\$ in thousands)</i>	Investment in Caelum
Balance at January 1, 2020	\$ 11,148
Change in fair value of investment in Caelum	6,418
Balance at December 31, 2020	\$ 17,566
Change in fair value of investment in Caelum	39,294
Sale of Caelum	(56,860)
Balance at December 31, 2021	\$ —

<i>(\$ in thousands)</i>	Warrants liabilities
Balance at December 31, 2019	\$ 27
Change in fair value	1,189
Reclass partner company's warrants from liability to equity	(1,216)
Balance at December 31, 2020	\$ —
Additions:	
Journey contingent payment liability	3,819
Journey placement agent warrant	362
Change in fair value of derivative liability	447
Conversion of partner company derivative liabilities	(4,628)
Balance at December 31, 2021	\$ —

## 7. Licenses Acquired

In accordance with ASC 730-10-25-1, *Research and Development*, costs incurred in obtaining technology licenses are charged to research and development expense if the technology licensed has not reached commercial feasibility and has no alternative future use. The licenses purchased by the Company require substantial completion of research and development, regulatory and marketing approval efforts in order to reach commercial feasibility and has no alternate use. As such, for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, the total purchase price of licenses acquired, totaling approximately \$15.6 million and \$2.8 million, respectively, was classified as research and development-licenses acquired in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

[Table of Contents](#)

For the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, the Company’s research and development-licenses acquired are comprised of the following:

(\$ in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,	
	2021	2020
Partner companies:		
JMC	\$ 13,819	\$ —
Mustang	1,630	2,489
Other	176	345
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 15,625</b>	<b>\$ 2,834</b>

**Journey**

On June 29, 2021, Journey entered into a license, collaboration, and assignment agreement (the “DFD Agreement”) to obtain the global rights for the development and commercialization of DFD-29 with DRL. Journey paid \$10.0 million, of which \$2.0 million was paid upon execution and \$8.0 million was paid on September 29, 2021. Additional contingent regulatory and commercial milestone payments totaling up to \$163.0 million are also payable. Royalties ranging from approximately 10% to approximately 15% are payable on net sales of the DFD-29 product. Additionally, Journey is required to fund and oversee the Phase 3 clinical trials at a cost approximating \$24.0 million, based upon the current development plan and budget.

The DFD Agreement also included contingent payments to be made to DRL in the event of a Journey IPO or the sale of Journey, See Note 6. The fair value of the contingent payment was deemed to be \$3.8 million, and was recorded in research and development, licenses acquired expense for the year ended December 31, 2021. In connection with the closing of Journey’s IPO on November 16, 2021, Journey issued 545,131 unregistered shares of Journey Medical Inc. common stock to DRL to settle the obligation, calculated using a 15-day volume weighted average price (“VWAP”) of \$9.1721 per share.

**Mustang**

For the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020 Mustang recorded the following expense in research and development – licenses acquired:

(\$ in thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2021	2020
City of Hope National Medical Center		
CD123 (MB-102)	\$ 250	\$ 334
IL13R $\alpha$ 2 (MB-101)	—	334
HER2 (MB-103)	—	500
CS1 (MB-104)	—	200
PSCA (MB-105)	250	200
Spacer	—	334
Mayo Clinic	750	—
Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center - CD20 (MB-106)	—	300
Leiden University Medical Centre (MB-110)	350	—
CSL Behring (Calimmune) (MB-107)	30	170
SIRION Biotech LentiBOOST™ (MB-207)	—	117
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,630</b>	<b>\$ 2,489</b>

### Partner Companies

The Company's partner companies have entered into various license agreements with other medical centers. These license agreements include upfront payments which are expensed and various developmental milestone payments due upon achievement of various milestones which in the aggregate are approximately \$480.4 million, of which \$335.4 million relates to Mustang agreements. The license agreements also have sales-based milestone payments that total approximately \$226.1 million. The agreements also include royalty payments on any future sales.

### 8. Sponsored Research and Clinical Trial Agreements

For the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, the Company recorded \$7.8 million and \$9.2 million, respectively, in research and development expenses in the Company's Consolidated Statement of Operations pursuant to the terms of various sponsored research and clinical trial agreements. The breakout of this expense by partner company is as follows:

(\$ in thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2021	2020
Mustang	\$ 6,591	\$ 7,717
Oncogenuity	965	500
Aevitas	289	948
Total	\$ 7,845	\$ 9,165

### 9. Intangibles

On March 31, 2021, Journey executed an Asset Purchase Agreement (the "Qbrexza APA") with Dermira, Inc. a subsidiary of Eli Lilly and Company ("Dermira"). Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, Journey acquired the rights to Qbrexza® (glycopronium), a prescription cloth towelette to treat primary axillary hyperhidrosis in patients nine years of age or older. Upon HSR acceptance, which was received on May 13, 2021, Journey paid the upfront fee of \$12.5 million to Dermira. In addition, Dermira is eligible to receive up to \$144 million in the aggregate upon the achievement of certain sales milestones. The royalty structure for the agreement is tiered with royalties for the first two years ranging from approximately 40% to 30%. Thereafter for a period of eight years royalties are approximately 12.0% to 19.0%. Royalty amounts are subject to 50% diminution in the event of loss of exclusivity due to the introduction of an authorized generic.

Upon closing of the Qbrexza® purchase, Journey became substituted for Dermira as the plaintiff in U.S. patent litigation commenced by Dermira on October 21, 2020 in the U.S. District Court of Delaware (the "Patent Litigation") against Perrigo Pharma International DAC ("Perrigo") alleging infringement of certain patents covering Qbrexza® (the "Qbrexza® Patents"), which are included among the proprietary rights to Qbrexza®. The Patent Litigation was initiated following the submission by Perrigo, in accordance with the procedures set out in the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984 (the "Hatch-Waxman Act"), of an Abbreviated New Drug Application ("ANDA"). The ANDA seeks approval to market a generic version of Qbrexza® prior to the expiration of the Qbrexza® Patents and alleges that the Qbrexza® Patents are invalid. Perrigo is subject to a 30-month stay preventing it from selling a generic version, but that stay is set to expire on March 9, 2023. Trial in the Patent Litigation is scheduled for September 19, 2022. The Company cannot make any predictions about the final outcome of this matter or the timing thereof.

The purchase price of \$12.5 million included the asset Qbrexza as well as finished goods and raw material inventory. Journey also has the obligation to accept any product returns related to sales made by Dermira. Journey allocated the upfront payment to inventory since the fair value of the inventory and Qbrexza rights exceeded the purchase price. The future contingent milestone payments, if achieved, will be recorded to intangible asset and amortized over the seven-year life of the asset commencing on the closing date.

[Table of Contents](#)

In December 18, 2020, Journey entered an Asset Purchase Agreement with a third party (the “Anti-itch Product Agreement”) for a topical product that is indicated to treat scabies and skin itch conditions (“Anti-itch Product”). Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Anti-itch Product Agreement, Journey agreed to pay \$4.0 million, comprised of a non-refundable deposit of \$0.2 million upon the execution of the term sheet, a cash upfront payment of \$1.8 million on January 1, 2021 and additional future payments of \$0.5 million on April 1, 2021, \$0.5 million on July 1, 2021, and \$1.0 million on January 1, 2022. There are no subsequent milestone payments or royalties beyond the aforementioned payments. Commercial launch of this product is expected in the first half of 2022.

On July 29, 2020, Journey entered into a license and supply agreement for Accutane® (“Accutane Agreement”) with DRL. Pursuant to the Accutane Agreement, Journey agreed to pay \$5.0 million, comprised of an upfront payment of \$1.0 million paid upon execution, with additional milestone payments totaling \$4.0 million. Three additional milestone payments totaling \$17.0 million are contingent upon the achievement of certain net sales milestones. Royalties in the low-double digits based on net sales, subject to specified reductions are also due.

The term of the agreement is ten years and renewable upon mutual agreement. Journey is required to pay royalties during the term of the agreement. The agreement contains customary representations, warranties, and indemnities. Each party may also terminate the agreement for material breach by the other party or for certain bankruptcy or insolvency related events and Journey may terminate for upon 180 days written notice to the other party.

The table below provides a summary of intangible assets as of December 31, 2021 and 2020, respectively:

<i>(\$ in thousands)</i>	Estimated Useful Lives (Years)	December 31, 2021	December 31, 2020
Total intangible assets – asset purchases	3 to 7	\$ 19,003	\$ 18,606
Accumulated amortization		(6,451)	(3,977)
Net intangible assets		<u>\$ 12,552</u>	<u>\$ 14,629</u>

The table below provides a summary for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, of recognized expense related to product licenses, which was recorded in costs of goods sold on the Consolidated Statement of Operations (see Note 19):

<i>(\$ in thousands)</i>	Intangible Assets, Net
Beginning balance at December 31, 2019	\$ 7,377
Additions:	
Accutane <sup>1</sup>	4,727
Anti-itch product license acquisition <sup>2</sup>	3,945
Amortization expense	(1,420)
Ending balance at December 31, 2020	\$ 14,629
Additions:	
Exelderm milestone	397
Amortization expense	(2,474)
Ending balance at December 31, 2021	<u>\$ 12,552</u>

Note 1: Includes an upfront payment of \$1.0 million and a milestone payment of \$0.5 million in 2020 and three payments totaling \$3.5 million due at various points between 2021 through 2023. Such payments were discounted by \$0.3 million as a result of the long-term nature of such payments.

[Table of Contents](#)

Note 2: Includes an upfront payment of \$0.2 million and three payments totaling \$2.8 million in 2021 and \$1.0 million in 2022. Such payments were discounted by \$0.1 million as a result of the long-term nature of such payments. As of December 31, 2020, this asset has not yet been placed in service, therefore no amortization expense was recognized on this asset for the year ended December 31, 2020. The Company expects to launch this asset in the first half of 2022. Once the asset is placed in service Journey will amortize the asset over three years, which represents its expected useful life.

The future amortization of these intangible assets is as follows:

<i>(\$ in thousands)</i>	Ximino®	Accutane®	Total Amortization
Year ended December 31, 2022	\$ 1,019	\$ 946	\$ 1,965
Year ended December 31, 2023	1,019	945	1,964
Year ended December 31, 2024	1,019	946	1,965
Year ended December 31, 2025	1,019	945	1,964
Thereafter	595	157	752
Sub-total	\$ 4,671	\$ 3,939	\$ 8,610
Assets not yet placed in service:			
Anti-itch product license acquisition	—	—	3,942
Total	<u>\$ 4,671</u>	<u>\$ 3,939</u>	<u>\$ 12,552</u>

## 10. Debt and Interest

### Debt

Total debt consists of the following:

<i>(\$ in thousands)</i>	December 31, 2021	December 31, 2020	Interest rate	Maturity
Total notes payable - Oaktree Note	\$ 60,450	\$ 60,000	11.00 %	August - 2025
Less: Discount on notes payable	(7,063)	(8,323)		
Repayment of Oaktree Note	(10,450)	—		
Total notes payable	<u>\$ 42,937</u>	<u>\$ 51,677</u>		

### Oaktree Note

On August 27, 2020 (the “Closing Date”), Fortress, as borrower, entered into a \$60.0 million senior secured credit agreement with Oaktree (the “Oaktree Agreement” and the debt thereunder, the “Oaktree Note”). The Oaktree Note bears interest at a fixed annual rate of 11.0%, payable quarterly and maturing on the fifth anniversary of the Closing Date, August 27, 2025, the (“Maturity Date”). The Company is required to make quarterly interest-only payments until the Maturity Date, at which point the outstanding principal amount is due. The Company may voluntarily prepay the Oaktree Note at any time subject to a Prepayment Fee. The Company is also required to make mandatory prepayments of the Oaktree Note under various circumstances. No amounts paid or prepaid may be reborrowed without Oaktree consent.

AstraZeneca’s notification of its intent to acquire Caelum, received on September 28, 2021, is defined in the Oaktree Agreement as a monetization event and as such, triggered a \$10 million prepayment and an applicable prepayment fee of \$0.5 million. The prepayment fee of \$0.5 million is included in interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2021. The Company paid the \$10.5 million on October 12, 2021.

[Table of Contents](#)

The Oaktree Agreement contains customary representations and warranties and customary affirmative and negative covenants, including, among other things, restrictions on indebtedness, liens, affiliate transactions, investments, acquisitions, mergers, dispositions, prepayment of permitted indebtedness, and dividends and other distributions, subject to certain exceptions. These affirmative and negative covenants apply in different instances to Fortress itself, its private subsidiaries, its public subsidiaries, or certain combinations of the foregoing. The limitations on dividends and other distributions have the practical effect of preventing any further issuances by the Company or its private subsidiaries of equity securities with cash dividends or redemption features.

In addition, the Oaktree Agreement contains certain financial covenants, including, among other things, (i) maintenance of minimum liquidity and (ii) a minimum revenue test that requires Journey's annual revenue to be equal to or to exceed annual revenue projections set forth in the agreement. Failure by the Company or Journey, as applicable, to comply with the financial covenants will result in an event of default, subject to certain cure rights of the Company. The Company was in compliance with all applicable covenants under the Oaktree Note as of December 31, 2021.

The Oaktree Agreement contains customary events of default, in certain circumstances subject to customary cure periods. These events of default apply in different instances to Fortress itself, its private subsidiaries, its public subsidiaries, or a certain combination of the foregoing. Following an event of default and any cure period, if applicable, the Agent will have the right upon notice to accelerate all amounts outstanding under the Oaktree Agreement, in addition to other remedies available to the lenders as secured creditors of the Company.

The Oaktree Agreement grants a security interest in favor of the Agent, for the benefit of the lenders, in substantially all of the Company's assets (consisting principally of the Company's shareholdings in, and in some cases debt owing from, its partner companies) as collateral securing the Company's obligations under the Oaktree Agreement, except for: (i) certain interests in controlled foreign corporation subsidiaries of the Company; (ii) the Company's holdings in Avenue; and (iii) those portions of the Company's holdings in certain subsidiaries (plus Caelum) that are encumbered by pre-existing equity pledges to certain of the Company's officers. None of Fortress' subsidiaries or partner companies is a party to the Oaktree Agreement, and the collateral package does not include the assets of any such subsidiaries or partner companies.

Pursuant to the terms of the Oaktree Agreement, on the Closing Date the Company paid Oaktree an upfront commitment fee equal to 3% of the \$60.0 million, or \$1.8 million. In addition, the Company paid a \$35,000 Agency fee to the Agent, which was due on the Closing Date and will be due annually, together with fees of \$2.5 million directly to third parties involved in the transaction, and issued warrants to Oaktree and certain of its affiliates to purchase up to 1,749,450 shares of common stock of the Company (see Note 14) with a relative fair value of \$4.4 million. The Company recorded the fees totaling \$8.7 million (\$1.8 million to Oaktree, \$2.5 million of expenses paid to third-parties and \$4.4 million representing the relative fair value of the Oaktree Warrants) to debt discount, to be amortized over the term of the Oaktree Note. For the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, the Company amortized \$1.3 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, of debt discount associated with the Oaktree Note.

*Debt Repayment*

In August 2020, in connection with the Oaktree Note, the Company repaid the following indebtedness: the 2018 Venture Notes in the amount of \$21.7 million, 2019 Notes (formerly the Opus Credit Facility) in the amount of \$9.0 million and the 2017 Subordinated Notes in the amount of \$28.4 million. Additionally the Company repaid its IDB Note of \$14.0 million by utilizing the restricted cash securing the note. For the year ended December 31, 2020, the Company incurred interest expense related to the accelerated amortization of the debt discount associated with the aforementioned debt payoff. Interest expense included \$1.2 million of unamortized debt discount fees for the 2017 Subordinated Note Financing, \$0.3 million for the 2018 Venture Notes and \$1.8 million for the Mustang Horizon Notes expensed at the time of the debt repayment.



*Mustang Horizon Notes*

On September 30, 2020, Mustang repaid the amount outstanding under the Horizon Notes in full, which was comprised of \$15.0 million face value of the outstanding notes, \$0.1 million in accrued and unpaid interest, a \$0.8 million final payment fee and prepayment penalties of \$0.6 million.

*IDB Letters of Credit*

The Company has several letters of credit (“LOC”) with IDB securing rent deposits for lease facilities totaling approximately \$2.2 million and \$1.6 million as of December 31, 2021 and December 31, 2020, respectively. The LOC’s are secured by cash, which is included in restricted cash on the Company’s Consolidated Balance Sheet. Interest paid on the letters of credit is 2% per annum.

*Journey 8% Cumulative Convertible Class A Preferred Offering*

In March 2021, Journey commenced an offering of 8% Cumulative Convertible Class A Preferred Stock (“Journey Preferred Offering”) in an aggregate minimum amount of \$12.5 million and an aggregate maximum amount of \$30.0 million. The Journey Preferred Offering terminated on July 18, 2021. Journey issued an aggregate of 758,680 Class A Preferred shares at a price of \$25.00 per share, for gross proceeds of \$19.0 million. Following the payment of placement agent fees of \$1.9 million, and other expenses of \$0.1 million, Journey received \$17.0 million of net proceeds.

The Journey Preferred Stock automatically converts into Journey’s Common Stock upon a sale of Journey or a financing in an amount of at least \$25.0 million within a year of the closing date of the Journey Preferred Offering (extendable by another six months at Journey’s option) at a discount of 15% to the per share qualified stock price. On November 12, 2021 the Journey IPO was completed, resulting in the conversion of all of the Journey Preferred Stock into 2,231,346 shares of Journey common stock (see Note 14).

The Company evaluated the terms of the Journey Preferred Offering under ASC 480, *Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity*, and determined the instrument met the criteria to be recorded as a liability. The value at conversion does not vary with the value of Journey’s common shares, therefore the settlement provision would not be considered a conversion feature. Accordingly, the Company determined liability classification is appropriate and as such, this instrument was accounted for as a liability, until it converted into Journey common stock upon completion of the Journey IPO.

Dividends on the Journey Preferred Stock were paid quarterly in shares of Fortress common stock based upon a 7.5% discount to the average trading price over the 10-day period preceding the dividend payment date. Dividends paid on the Journey Preferred Stock was recorded as interest expense on the consolidated statements of operations. For the year ended December 31, 2021, the Company issued 253,815 shares of common stock representing dividends paid of \$0.8 million from issuance through conversion. As consideration for the foregoing, Journey issued to Fortress 81,985 shares of its common stock at the Journey IPO price of \$10.00.

In connection with the Journey Preferred Offering, Journey issued upon the closing of the Journey IPO to the placement agent (“the Placement Agent Warrants”) to purchase 5% of the shares of Journey common stock into which the Journey Preferred Stock converted. The Placement Agent Warrants have a term of 5 years. At December 31, 2021 Journey issued 111,567 shares of Journey common stock related to the conversion of all of the placement agent warrants.

*Journey East West Bank Working Capital Line of Credit*

On March 31, 2021, Journey entered into an agreement with East West Bank (“EWB”) in which EWB agreed to provide a \$7.5 million working capital line of credit. The line of credit is secured by Journey’s receivables and cash. Interest on the line is the greater of 4.25% or the Prime Rate plus 1%. The agreement matures in 36 months. The outstanding balance of the working capital line of credit was \$0.8 million at December 31, 2021.

**Interest Expense**

The following table shows the details of interest expense for all debt arrangements during the periods presented. Interest expense includes contractual interest and amortization of the debt discount and amortization of fees represents fees associated with loan transaction costs, amortized over the life of the loan:

(\$ in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,					
	2021			2020		
	Interest	Fees	Total	Interest	Fees	Total
IDB Note	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 246	\$ -	\$ 246
2017 Subordinated Note Financing <sup>1</sup>	—	—	—	2,870	1,890	4,760
2019 Notes	—	—	—	710	—	710
2018 Venture Notes <sup>1</sup>	—	—	—	1,253	1,000	2,253
LOC Fees	51	—	51	34	—	34
Mustang Horizon Notes <sup>1,2</sup>	—	—	—	1,585	2,321	3,906
Oaktree Note <sup>2</sup>	6,897	1,342	8,239	2,311	411	2,722
Partner company convertible preferred shares	2,845	2,572	5,417	—	—	—
Partner company dividend payable	820	—	820	—	—	—
Partner company installment payments - licenses <sup>3</sup>	781	—	781	697	—	697
Other	—	—	—	(2)	—	(2)
<b>Total Interest Expense and Financing Fee</b>	<b>\$ 11,394</b>	<b>\$ 3,914</b>	<b>\$ 15,308</b>	<b>\$ 9,704</b>	<b>\$ 5,622</b>	<b>\$ 15,326</b>

Note 1: For the year ended December 31, 2020, includes \$1.2 million expense of unamortized debt discount fees for the 2017 Subordinated Note Financing, \$0.3 million for the 2018 Venture Notes and \$1.8 million for the Mustang Horizon Notes expensed at the time of debt repayment on September 30, 2020.

Note 2: Includes \$0.5 million prepayment fee for the Oaktree Note included in interest expense in 2021 and \$0.6 million of prepayment penalties included in interest expense for the Mustang Horizon Notes in 2020.

Note 3: Imputed interest expense related to Ximino, Accutane and Anti-itch product license acquisition (see Note 9).

**11. Accounts Payable and Accrued Expenses**

Accounts payable and accrued expenses consisted of the following:

(\$ in thousands)	December 31, 2021	December 31, 2020
Accounts Payable	\$ 47,429	\$ 11,412
Accrued expenses:		
Professional fees	1,835	1,236
Salaries, bonus and related benefits	8,809	6,701
Research and development	7,932	5,007
Research and development - manufacturing	—	518
Research and development - license maintenance fees	4,640	461
Research and development - milestones	850	600
Accrued royalties payable	3,833	2,682
Accrued coupon and rebates	10,603	12,869
Income taxes payable	—	136
Return reserve	3,240	2,580
Other	1,489	1,187
Total accounts payable and accrued expenses	<b>\$ 90,660</b>	<b>\$ 45,389</b>

## 12. Non-Controlling Interests

Non-controlling interests in consolidated entities are as follows:

(\$ in thousands)	As of December 31, 2021	For the Year Ended December 31, 2021	As of December 31, 2021	Non-controlling ownership
	NCI equity share	Net loss attributable to non-controlling interests	Non-controlling interests in consolidated entities	
UR-1	\$ (442)	\$ (1,353)	\$ (1,795)	34.5 %
Aevitas	(4,159)	(901)	(5,060)	45.9 %
Avenue <sup>2</sup>	5,739	(2,909)	2,830	82.0 %
Baergic	(2,047)	(39)	(2,086)	39.0 %
Cellvation	(1,413)	(131)	(1,544)	21.7 %
Checkpoint <sup>1</sup>	63,464	(39,226)	24,238	81.5 %
Coronado SO	(290)	—	(290)	13.0 %
Cyprium	(1,397)	(807)	(2,204)	29.8 %
Helocyte	(5,440)	(89)	(5,529)	18.3 %
JMC	23,150	(5,652)	17,498	41.6 %
Mustang <sup>2</sup>	141,527	(48,518)	93,009	82.7 %
Oncogenuity	(627)	(497)	(1,124)	24.9 %
Tamid	(739)	(1)	(740)	22.8 %
Total	\$ 217,326	\$ (100,123)	\$ 117,203	

(\$ in thousands)	As of December 31, 2020	For the Year Ended December 31, 2020	As of December 31, 2020	Non-controlling ownership
	NCI equity share	Net loss attributable to non-controlling interests	Non-controlling interests in consolidated entities	
UR-1	\$ (7)	(27)	\$ (34)	10.0 %
Aevitas	(2,370)	(823)	(3,193)	39.0 %
Avenue <sup>2</sup>	5,800	(3,974)	1,826	77.4 %
Baergic	(1,662)	(97)	(1,759)	39.5 %
Cellvation	(1,089)	(182)	(1,271)	22.1 %
Checkpoint <sup>1</sup>	41,704	(13,265)	28,439	80.4 %
Coronado SO	(290)	—	(290)	13.0 %
Cyprium	567	(1,478)	(911)	30.5 %
Helocyte	(4,986)	(259)	(5,245)	18.8 %
JMC	138	491	629	7.1 %
Mustang <sup>2</sup>	116,060	(36,429)	79,631	80.9 %
Oncogenuity	(82)	(376)	(458)	25.3 %
Tamid	(663)	(40)	(703)	22.8 %
Total	\$ 153,120	\$ (56,459)	\$ 96,661	

Note 1: Checkpoint is consolidated with Fortress' operations because Fortress maintains voting control through its ownership of Checkpoint's Class A Common Shares which provide super-majority voting rights.

Note 2: Avenue and Mustang are consolidated with Fortress' operations because Fortress maintains voting control through its ownership of Preferred Class A Shares which provide super-majority voting rights.

## 13. Net Loss per Common Share

Basic net loss per share is calculated by dividing the net loss by the weighted-average number of shares of Common Stock outstanding during the period, without consideration for Common Stock equivalents. Diluted net loss per share is computed by dividing the net loss by the weighted-average number of Common Stock and Common Stock equivalents outstanding for the period.

The following shares of potentially dilutive securities, weighted during the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020 have been excluded from the computations of diluted weighted average shares outstanding as the effect of including such securities would be antidilutive:

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2021	2020
Warrants to purchase Common Stock	4,528,196	3,419,812
Options to purchase Common Stock	832,134	1,103,643
Unvested Restricted Stock	16,363,068	14,302,004
Unvested Restricted Stock Units	180,848	391,336
Total	<u>21,904,246</u>	<u>19,216,795</u>

#### 14. Stockholders' Equity

##### Common Stock

The Company's Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, authorizes the Company to issue 170,000,000 shares of \$0.001 par value Common Stock of which 101,435,505 shares of common stock are outstanding as of December 31, 2021. As of December 31, 2020, 150,000,000 shares were authorized and 94,877,492 shares of common stock were outstanding.

The terms, rights, preference and privileges of the Common Stock are as follows:

##### *Voting Rights*

Each holder of Common Stock is entitled to one vote per share of Common Stock held on all matters submitted to a vote of the stockholders, including the election of directors. The Company's certificate of incorporation and bylaws do not provide for cumulative voting rights.

##### *Dividends*

Subject to preferences that may be applicable to any then outstanding preferred stock, the holders of the Company's outstanding shares of Common Stock are entitled to receive dividends, if any, as may be declared from time to time by the Company's Board of Directors out of legally available funds.

##### *Liquidation*

In the event of the Company's liquidation, dissolution or winding up, holders of Common Stock will be entitled to share ratably in the net assets legally available for distribution to stockholders after the payment of all of the Company's debts and other liabilities, subject to the satisfaction of any liquidation preference granted to the holders of any outstanding shares of Preferred Stock.

##### *Rights and Preference*

Holders of the Company's Common Stock have no preemptive, conversion or subscription rights, and there is no redemption or sinking fund provisions applicable to the Common Stock. The rights, preferences and privileges of the holders of Common Stock are subject to, and may be adversely affected by, the rights of the holders of shares of any series of the Company's preferred stock that are or may be issued.

##### *Fully Paid and Nonassessable*

All of the Company's outstanding shares of Common Stock are fully paid and nonassessable.

### **Series A Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock**

On October 26, 2017, the Company designated 5,000,000 shares of \$0.001 par value preferred stock as Series A Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock (the “Series A Preferred Stock”). As of December 31, 2021 and 2020, 3,427,138 shares of Series A Preferred Stock were issued and outstanding.

The terms, rights, preference and privileges of the Series A Preferred Stock are as follows:

#### *Voting Rights*

Except as may be otherwise required by law, the voting rights of the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock are limited to the affirmative vote or consent of the holders of at least two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast by the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock outstanding at the time in connection with the: (1) authorization or creation, or increase in the authorized or issued amount of, any class or series of capital stock ranking senior to the Series A Preferred Stock with respect to payment of dividends or the distribution of assets upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up or reclassification of any of the Company’s authorized capital stock into such shares, or creation, authorization or issuance of any obligation or security convertible into or evidencing the right to purchase any such shares; or (2) amendment, alteration, repeal or replacement of the Company’s certificate of incorporation, including by way of a merger, consolidation or otherwise in which the Company may or may not be the surviving entity, so as to materially and adversely affect and deprive holders of Series A Preferred Stock of any right, preference, privilege or voting power of the Series A Preferred Stock.

#### *Dividends*

Dividends on Series A Preferred Stock accrue daily and will be cumulative from, and including, the date of original issue and shall be payable monthly at the rate of 9.375% per annum of its liquidation preference, which is equivalent to \$2.34375 per annum per share. The first dividend on Series A Preferred Stock sold in the offering was payable on December 31, 2017 (in the amount of \$0.299479 per share) to the holders of record of the Series A Preferred Stock at the close of business on December 15, 2017 and thereafter for each subsequent quarter in the amount of \$0.5839375 per share. The Company recorded approximately \$8.0 million and \$6.5 million of dividends in Additional Paid in Capital on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2021 and 2020, respectively.

#### *No Maturity Date or Mandatory Redemption*

The Series A Preferred Stock has no maturity date, and the Company is not required to redeem the Series A Preferred Stock. Accordingly, the Series A Preferred Stock will remain outstanding indefinitely unless the Company decides to redeem it pursuant to its optional redemption right or its special optional redemption right in connection with a Change of Control (as defined below), or under the circumstances set forth below under “Limited Conversion Rights Upon a Change of Control” and elect to convert such Series A Preferred Stock. The Company is not required to set aside funds to redeem the Series A Preferred Stock.

#### *Optional Redemption*

The Series A Preferred Stock may be redeemed in whole or in part (at the Company’s option) any time on or after December 15, 2022, upon not less than 30 days nor more than 60 days’ written notice by mail prior to the date fixed for redemption thereof, for cash at a redemption price equal to \$25.00 per share, plus any accumulated and unpaid dividends to, but not including, the redemption date.

*Special Optional Redemption*

Upon the occurrence a Change of Control (as defined below), the Company may redeem the shares of Series A Preferred Stock, at its option, in whole or in part, within one hundred twenty (120) days of any such Change of Control, for cash at \$25.00 per share, plus accumulated and unpaid dividends (whether or not declared) to, but excluding, the redemption date. If, prior to the Change of Control conversion date, the Company has provided notice of its election to redeem some or all of the shares of Series A Preferred Stock (whether pursuant to the Company's optional redemption right described above under "Optional Redemption" or this special optional redemption right), the holders of shares of Series A Preferred Stock will not have the Change of Control conversion right with respect to the shares of Series A Preferred Stock called for redemption. If the Company elects to redeem any shares of the Series A Preferred Stock as described in this paragraph, the Company may use any available cash to pay the redemption price.

A "Change of Control" is deemed to occur when, after the original issuance of the Series A Preferred Stock, the following have occurred and are continuing:

- the acquisition by any person, including any syndicate or group deemed to be a "person" under Section 13(d)(3) of the Exchange Act of beneficial ownership, directly or indirectly, through a purchase, merger or other acquisition transaction or series of purchases, mergers or other acquisition transactions of the Company's stock entitling that person to exercise more than 50% of the total voting power of all the Company's stock entitled to vote generally in the election of the Company's directors (except that such person will be deemed to have beneficial ownership of all securities that such person has the right to acquire, whether such right is currently exercisable or is exercisable only upon the occurrence of a subsequent condition); and
- following the closing of any transaction referred to in the bullet point above, neither the Company nor the acquiring or surviving entity has a class of common equity securities (or American Depositary Receipts representing such securities) listed on the NYSE, the NYSE American LLC or the Nasdaq Stock Market, or listed or quoted on an exchange or quotation system that is a successor to the NYSE, the NYSE American LLC or the Nasdaq Stock Market.

*Conversion, Exchange and Preemptive Rights*

Except as described below under "Limited Conversion Rights upon a Change of Control," the Series A Preferred Stock is not subject to preemptive rights or convertible into or exchangeable for any other securities or property at the option of the holder.

*Limited Conversion Rights upon a Change of Control*

Upon the occurrence of a Change of Control, each holder of shares of Series A Preferred Stock will have the right (unless, prior to the Change of Control Conversion Date, the Company has provided or provides irrevocable notice of its election to redeem the Series A Preferred Stock as described above under "Optional Redemption," or "Special Optional Redemption") to convert some or all of the shares of Series A Preferred Stock held by such holder on the Change of Control Conversion Date, into the Common Stock Conversion Consideration, which is equal to the lesser of:

- the quotient obtained by dividing (i) the sum of the \$25.00 liquidation preference per share of Series A Preferred Stock plus the amount of any accumulated and unpaid dividends (whether or not declared) to, but not including, the Change of Control Conversion Date (unless the Change of Control Conversion Date is after a record date for a Series A Preferred Stock dividend payment and prior to the corresponding Dividend Payment Date, in which case no additional amount for such accumulated and unpaid dividend will be included in this sum) by (ii) the Common Stock Price (such quotient, the "Conversion Rate"); and
- 13.05483 shares of common stock, subject to certain adjustments.

In the case of a Change of Control pursuant to which the Company's common stock will be converted into cash, securities or other property or assets, a holder of Series A Preferred Stock will receive upon conversion of such Series A Preferred Stock the kind and amount of Alternative Form Consideration which such holder would have owned or been entitled to receive upon the Change of Control had such holder held a number of shares of the Company's common stock equal to the Common Stock Conversion Consideration immediately prior to the effective time of the Change of Control.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the holders of shares of Series A Preferred Stock will not have the Change of Control Conversion Right if the acquiror has shares listed or quoted on the NYSE, the NYSE American LLC or Nasdaq Stock Market or listed or quoted on an exchange or quotation system that is a successor to the NYSE, the NYSE American LLC or Nasdaq Stock Market, and the Series A Preferred Stock becomes convertible into or exchangeable for such acquiror's listed shares upon a subsequent Change of Control of the acquiror.

#### *Liquidation Preference*

In the event the Company liquidates, dissolves or is wound up, holders of the Series A Preferred Stock will have the right to receive \$25.00 per share, plus any accumulated and unpaid dividends to, but not including, the date of payment, before any payment is made to the holders of the Company's common stock.

#### *Ranking*

The Series A Preferred Stock will rank, with respect to rights to the payment of dividends and the distribution of assets upon the Company's liquidation, dissolution or winding up, (1) senior to all classes or series of the Company's common stock and to all other equity securities issued by the Company other than equity securities referred to in clauses (2) and (3); (2) on a par with all equity securities issued by the Company with terms specifically providing that those equity securities rank on a par with the Series A Preferred Stock with respect to rights to the payment of dividends and the distribution of assets upon the Company's liquidation, dissolution or winding up; (3) junior to all equity securities issued by the Company with terms specifically providing that those equity securities rank senior to the Series A Preferred Stock with respect to rights to the payment of dividends and the distribution of assets upon the Company liquidation, dissolution or winding up; and (4) junior to all of the Company's existing and future indebtedness.

#### **Stock-Based Compensation**

As of December 31, 2021, the Company had four equity compensation plans: the Fortress Biotech, Inc. 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2007 Plan"), the Fortress Biotech, Inc. 2013 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended (the "2013 Plan"), the Fortress Biotech, Inc. 2012 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the "ESPP") and the Fortress Biotech, Inc. Long Term Incentive Plan ("LTIP"). In 2007, the Company's Board of Directors adopted and stockholders approved the 2007 Plan authorizing the Company to grant up to 6,000,000 shares of Common Stock to eligible employees, directors, and consultants in the form of restricted stock, stock options and other types of grants. In 2013, the Company's Board of Directors adopted and stockholders approved the 2013 Plan authorizing the Company to grant up to 2,300,000 shares of Common Stock to eligible employees, directors, and consultants in the form of restricted stock, stock options and other types of grants. In 2015, the Company's Board of Directors and stockholders approved an increase of 7,700,000 shares for the 2013 Plan and in 2020, the Company's Board of Directors and stockholders approved an increase of 3,000,000 shares bringing the total number of shares approved under this plan to 13,000,000, with the aggregate total of authorized shares available for grants under the 2007 Plan and the 2013 Plan of up to 19,000,000 shares. An aggregate 16,506,003 shares have been granted under both the Company's 2007 and 2013 plans, net of cancellations, and 2,493,997 shares were available for issuance as of December 31, 2021.

[Table of Contents](#)

Certain partner companies have their own equity compensation plan under which shares are granted to eligible employees, directors and consultants in the form of restricted stock, stock options, and other types of grants of stock of the respective partner company's common stock. The table below provides a summary of those plans as of December 31, 2021:

Partner Company	Stock Plan	Shares Authorized	Shares available at December 31, 2021
Aevitas	Aevitas Therapeutics, Inc. 2018 Long Term Incentive Plan	2,000,000	376,585
Avenue	Avenue Therapeutics, Inc. 2015 Stock Plan	4,000,000	1,827,336
Baergic	FBIO Acquisition Corp. III 2017 Incentive Plan	2,000,000	1,150,000
Cellvation	Cellvation Inc. 2016 Incentive Plan	2,000,000	300,000
Checkpoint	Checkpoint Therapeutics, Inc. Amended and Restated 2015 Stock Plan	9,000,000	3,025,119
Cyprium	Cyprium Therapeutics, Inc. 2017 Stock Plan	2,000,000	575,000
Helocyte	DiaVax Biosciences, Inc. 2015 Incentive Plan	2,000,000	341,667
Journey	Journey Medical Corporation 2015 Stock Plan	3,000,000	1,020,661
Mustang	Mustang Bio, Inc. 2016 Incentive Plan	8,000,000	2,823,838
Oncogenuity	FBIO Acquisition Corp. VII 2017 Incentive Plan	2,000,000	1,600,000
UR-1	FBIO Acquisition Corp. VIII 2017 Incentive Plan	4,000,000	2,050,750

The purpose of the Company's and partner company's equity compensation plans is to provide for equity awards as part of an overall compensation package of performance-based rewards to attract and retain qualified personnel. Such awards include, without limitation, options, stock appreciation rights, sales or bonuses of restricted stock, restricted stock units or dividend equivalent rights, and an award may consist of one such security or benefit, or two or more of them in any combination or alternative. Vesting of awards may be based upon the passage of time, the occurrence of one or more events, or the satisfaction of performance criteria or other conditions.

Incentive and non-statutory stock options are granted pursuant to option agreements adopted by the plan administrator. Options generally have 10-year contractual terms and vest in three equal annual installments commencing on the grant date.

The Company estimates the fair value of stock option grants using a Black-Scholes option pricing model. In applying this model, the Company uses the following assumptions:

- *Risk-Free Interest Rate:* The risk-free interest rate is based on the yields of United States Treasury securities with maturities similar to the expected term of the options for each option group.
- *Volatility:* The Company utilizes the trading history of its Common Stock to determine the expected stock price volatility for its Common Stock.
- *Expected Term:* Due to the limited exercise history of the Company's stock options, the Company determined the expected term based on the Simplified Method under SAB 107 and the expected term for non-employees is the remaining contractual life for both options and warrants.
- *Expected Dividend Rate:* The Company has not paid and does not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the near future on its common stock.

The fair value of each option award was estimated on the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model and expensed under the straight-line method.

The following table summarizes the stock-based compensation expense from stock option, employee stock purchase programs and restricted Common Stock awards and warrants for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020



[Table of Contents](#)

(\$ in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,	
	2021	2020
Employee and non-employee awards	\$ 8,603	\$ 5,150
Executive awards of Fortress Companies' stock	1,446	1,504
Warrants	—	130
Partner Companies:		
Avenue	442	710
Checkpoint	3,137	2,780
Mustang	3,308	2,987
Journey	2,466	153
Other	84	37
Total stock-based compensation expense	\$ 19,486	\$ 13,451

For the years ended 2021 and 2020, \$4.3 million and \$3.2 million was included in research and development expenses, and \$15.2 million and \$10.3 million was included in selling, general and administrative expenses, respectively.

*Options*

The following table summarizes Fortress stock option activities excluding activities related to partner companies:

	Number of shares	Weighted average exercise price	Total weighted average intrinsic value	Weighted average remaining contractual life (years)
Options vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2019	1,410,501	\$ 4.30	\$ 684,752	2.33
Exercised	(100,000)	1.18	—	—
Forfeited	(257,011)	2.57	—	—
Options vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2020	1,053,490	\$ 5.02	\$ 647,482	2.63
Forfeited	(35,000)	4.33	—	—
Options vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2021	1,018,490	\$ 5.04	\$ 368,344	1.68
Options vested and exercisable at December 31, 2021	1,018,490	\$ 5.04	\$ 368,344	1.68

During the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, there were no exercises of stock options.

As of December 31, 2021, the Company had no unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to options.

*Restricted Stock*

Stock-based compensation expense from restricted stock awards and restricted stock units for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020 was \$19.5 million and \$12.5 million, respectively. Restricted stock awards and restricted stock unit awards are expensed under the straight-line method over the vesting period. Expense for awards with performance-based vesting criteria will be measured and recorded if and when it becomes probable that the milestone will be achieved.

During 2021, the Company granted 2.3 million restricted shares of its Common Stock to executives and directors of the Company and 1.4 million restricted stock units to employees and non-employees of the Company. The fair value of the restricted stock awards issued during 2021 of \$7.4 million and the fair value of the restricted stock unit awards issued during 2021 of \$5.5 million were estimated on the grant date using the Company's stock price as of the grant date. The 2021 restricted stock awards and restricted stock unit awards vest upon both the passage of time as well as meeting certain performance criteria.

[Table of Contents](#)

During 2020, the Company granted 1.9 million restricted shares of its Common Stock to executives and directors of the Company and 0.6 million restricted stock units to employees and non-employees of the Company. The fair value of the restricted stock awards issued during 2020 of \$4.8 million and the fair value of the restricted stock unit awards issued during 2020 of \$2.4 million were estimated on the grant date using the Company's stock price as of the grant date. The 2020 restricted stock awards and restricted stock unit awards vest upon both the passage of time as well as meeting certain performance criteria.

The following table summarizes Fortress restricted stock awards and restricted stock units activities, excluding activities related to Fortress subsidiaries:

	<u>Number of shares</u>	<u>Weighted average grant price</u>
Unvested balance at December 31, 2019	13,768,014	\$ 2.46
Restricted stock granted	1,873,072	2.57
Restricted stock vested	(230,000)	2.78
Restricted stock units granted	630,126	3.82
Restricted stock units forfeited	(148,750)	3.30
Restricted stock units vested	(384,958)	3.49
Unvested balance at December 31, 2020	15,507,504	\$ 2.49
Restricted stock granted	2,330,678	3.17
Restricted stock vested	(374,825)	2.69
Restricted stock units granted	1,405,842	3.92
Restricted stock units forfeited	(96,750)	3.49
Restricted stock units vested	(712,449)	3.54
Unvested balance at December 31, 2021	<u>18,060,000</u>	<u>\$ 2.64</u>

The total fair value of restricted stock units and awards that vested during the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020 was \$3.5 million and \$2.0 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2021, the Company had unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to all unvested restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards of \$19.4 million and \$4.3 million, respectively, which is expected to be recognized over the remaining weighted-average vesting period of 3.2 years and 2.1 years, respectively. This amount does not include 0.1 million restricted stock units as of December 31, 2020 which are performance-based and vest upon achievement of certain corporate milestones. Stock-based compensation for these awards will be measured and recorded if and when it is probable that the milestone will be achieved.

#### *Deferred Compensation Plan*

On March 12, 2015, the Company's Compensation Committee approved the Deferred Compensation Plan allowing all non-employee directors the opportunity to defer all or a portion of their fees or compensation, including restricted stock and restricted stock units. During the year ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, certain non-employee directors elected to defer an aggregate of 230,000 and 230,000 restricted stock awards, respectively, under this plan.

#### *Employee Stock Purchase Plan*

Eligible employees can purchase the Company's Common Stock at the end of a predetermined offering period at 85% of the lower of the fair market value at the beginning or end of the offering period. The ESPP is compensatory and results in stock-based compensation expense.

As of December 31, 2021, 694,729 shares have been purchased and 305,271 shares are available for future sale under the Company's ESPP. The Company recognized share-based compensation expense of \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, respectively.

*Warrants*

The following table summarizes Fortress warrant activities, excluding activities related to partner companies:

	Number of shares	Weighted average exercise price	Total weighted average intrinsic value	Weighted average remaining contractual life (years)
Outstanding as of December 31, 2019	2,741,180	\$ 3.19	\$ 111,000	2.73
Granted	1,849,450	3.14	101,000	—
Forfeited	(9)	3.00	2	—
Outstanding as of December 31, 2020	4,590,621	\$ 3.17	\$ 607,848	4.85
Expired	(60,000)	1.37	—	—
Forfeited	(25,000)	3.00	—	—
Outstanding as of December 31, 2021	4,505,621	\$ 3.20	\$ 68,800	3.93
Exercisable as of December 31, 2021	4,370,621	\$ 3.23	\$ 8,500	3.86

During 2020, in connection with the issuance of the Oaktree Note, the Company issued warrants to purchase 1,749,450 shares of common stock; in connection with a consulting agreement the Company issued warrants to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock. The relative fair value of the Oaktree warrants was recorded to debt discount and is being amortized over the term of the Oaktree Note (see Note 10). As of December 31, 2021, the Company had no unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to warrants.

*Long-Term Incentive Program ("LTIP")*

On July 15, 2015, the stockholders approved the LTIP for the Company's Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, Dr. Rosenwald, and Executive Vice Chairman, Strategic Development, Mr. Weiss. The LTIP consists of a program to grant equity interests in the Company and in the Company's subsidiaries, and a performance-based bonus program that is designed to result in performance-based compensation that is deductible without limit under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

On January 1, 2022 and 2021, the Compensation Committee granted 1,102,986 and 1,030,339 shares each to Dr. Rosenwald and Mr. Weiss, respectively. These equity grants, made in accordance with the LTIP, represent 1% of total outstanding shares of the Company as of the dates of such grants and were granted in recognition of their performance in 2021 and 2020. The shares will vest in full once both of the following conditions are met: (i) the Company's market capitalization has increased by a minimum of \$100.0 million, and (ii) the employee is either in the service of the Company as an employee or as a Board member (or both) on the tenth anniversary of the LTIP, or the eligible employee has had an involuntary separation from service (as defined in the LTIP). The Company's repurchase option on such shares will also lapse upon the occurrence of a corporate transaction (as defined in the LTIP) if the eligible employee is in service on the date of the corporate transaction. The fair value of each grant on the grant date was approximately \$2.8 million for the 2022 grant and \$3.3 million for the 2021 grant. For the year ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, the Company recorded stock compensation expense of approximately \$3.8 million and \$2.5 million, respectively related to the LTIP grants on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

**Capital Raises***2021 Shelf*

On July 23, 2021, the Company filed a shelf registration statement 333-255185 on Form S-3, which was declared effective on July 30, 2021 (the "2021 Shelf"). No securities have been drawn down under the 2021 Shelf.

***Common Stock At the Market Offering and 2020 Shelf***

On May 18, 2020, the Company filed a shelf registration statement on Form S-3, which was declared effective on May 26, 2020 (the "2020 Shelf"). In connection with the 2020 Shelf, the Company entered into an At Market Issuance Sales Agreement ("2020 Common ATM"), governing potential sales of the Company's common stock. ATM activity since June 1, 2020 were made under the 2020 Shelf.

For the year ended December 31, 2021, the Company issued approximately 3.1 million shares of common stock at an average price of \$3.05 per share for gross proceeds of \$9.4 million. In connection with these sales, the Company paid aggregate fees of \$0.3 million. Approximately \$17.4 million of securities remain available for sale under the 2020 Shelf at December 31, 2021.

On July 23, 2021, the Company filed shelf registration statement 333-255185 on Form S-3, which was declared effective on July 30, 2021 (the "2021 Shelf"). No securities have been drawn down under the 2021 Shelf.

***2019 Common Stock At the Market Offering***

On June 28, 2019, the Company entered into an At Market Issuance Sales Agreement ("2019 Common ATM") governing potential sales of the Company's common stock. Under the 2019 Common ATM, the Company paid the agents a commission rate of up to 3.0% of the gross proceeds from the sale of any shares of common stock. For the year ended December 31, 2020, the Company issued approximately 17.4 million shares of common stock, at an average selling price of \$2.73 per share for gross proceeds of \$47.5 million. In connection with these sales, the Company paid aggregate fees of approximately \$1.4 million.

***2019 9.375% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock Offering***

On February 14, 2020, the Company announced the closing of an underwritten public offering, whereby it sold 625,000 shares of its Preferred Stock, (plus a 45-day option to purchase up to an additional 93,750 shares, which was exercised in February 2020) at a price of \$20.00 per share for gross proceeds of approximately \$14.4 million, before deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses of approximately \$1.3 million.

On May 29, 2020, the Company closed on an underwritten public offering whereby it sold 555,556 shares of its Preferred Stock, (plus a 45-day option to purchase up to an additional 83,333 shares, which was exercised in May 2020) at a price of \$18.00 per share for gross proceeds of approximately \$11.5 million, before deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses of approximately \$1.1 million.

On August 26, 2020, the Company closed on an underwritten public offering whereby it sold 666,666 shares of its Preferred Stock, (plus a 45-day option to purchase up to an additional 66,666 shares, which was exercised in August 2020) at a price of \$18.00 per share for gross proceeds of approximately \$13.2 million, before deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses of approximately \$1.1 million.

All of the Company's Perpetual Preferred Offerings were made under the 2020 Shelf.

***Journey***

Journey's common stock began trading on the Nasdaq Capital Market on November 12, 2021 under the ticker symbol "DERM." On November 16, 2021, Journey completed an initial public offering (the "Journey IPO") whereby it sold 3,520,000 shares of its common stock at a price of \$10.00 per share for gross proceeds of \$35.2 million, before deducting underwriting discounts and other offering costs of \$4.6 million for net proceeds of \$30.6 million.

[Table of Contents](#)

In March 2021, Journey commenced an offering of 8% Cumulative Convertible Class A Preferred Stock (“Journey Preferred Offering”) in an aggregate minimum amount of \$12.5 million and an aggregate maximum amount of \$30.0 million. The Journey Preferred Offering terminated on July 18, 2021. Journey issued an aggregate of 758,680 Class A Preferred shares at a price of \$25.00 per share, for gross proceeds of \$19.0 million. Following the payment of placement agent fees of \$1.9 million, and other expenses of \$0.1 million, Journey received \$17.0 million in net proceeds. Due to the Journey IPO in November 2021 as noted above, the Journey Preferred Stock converted into 2,231,346 shares of Journey common stock.

***Checkpoint***

In November 2017, Checkpoint filed a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 (No. 333-221493) (the “Checkpoint 2017 S-3”), which was declared effective in December 2017. Under the Checkpoint S-3, Checkpoint may sell up to a total of \$100 million of its securities. In connection with the Checkpoint S-3, Checkpoint entered into an At-the-Market Issuance Sales Agreement (the “Checkpoint 2017 ATM”) relating to the sale of shares of common stock. Under the Checkpoint 2017 ATM, Checkpoint pays the Agents a commission rate of up to 3.0% of the gross proceeds from the sale of any shares of common stock. The Checkpoint 2017 S-3 expired in December 2020.

In September 2020, Checkpoint completed an underwritten public offering in which it sold 7,321,429 shares of its common stock at a price of \$2.80 per share for gross proceeds of approximately \$20.5 million. Total net proceeds from the offering were approximately \$18.9 million, net of underwriting discounts and offering expenses of approximately \$1.6 million.

In November 2020, Checkpoint filed a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 (the “Checkpoint 2020 S-3”), which was declared effective in December 2020. Under the Checkpoint 2020 S-3, Checkpoint may sell up to a total of \$100 million of its securities. In connection with the Checkpoint 2020 S-3, Checkpoint entered into an ATM (the “Checkpoint 2020 ATM”) with the Agents relating to the sale of shares of Checkpoint’s common stock. Under the Checkpoint 2020 ATM, Checkpoint pays the Agents a commission rate of up to 3.0% of the gross proceeds from the sale of any shares of Checkpoint’s common stock.

During the year ended December 31, 2020, Checkpoint sold a total of 5,104,234 shares of common stock under the Checkpoint 2017 ATM and Checkpoint 2020 ATM combined for aggregate total gross proceeds of approximately \$12.8 million at an average selling price of \$2.50 per share, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$12.4 million after deducting commissions and other transaction costs.

During the year ended December 31, 2021, Checkpoint sold a total of 11,899,983 shares of common stock under the Checkpoint 2020 ATM for aggregate total gross proceeds of approximately \$41.3 million at an average selling price of \$3.47 per share, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$40.4 million after deducting commissions and other transaction costs.

As of December 31, 2021, approximately \$54.6 million of the shelf remains available for sale under the Checkpoint 2020 S-3.

Pursuant to the Founders Agreement, Checkpoint issued to Fortress 2.5% of the aggregate number of shares of Checkpoint common stock issued in the offerings noted above. Accordingly, Checkpoint issued 297,490 shares and 310,625 shares to Fortress for the year ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, respectively.

***Mustang***

On April 23, 2021, Mustang filed a shelf registration statement No. 333-255476 on Form S-3 (the “Mustang 2021 S-3”), which was declared effective on May 24, 2021. Under the Mustang 2021 S-3, Mustang may sell up to a total of \$200 million of its securities. As of December 31, 2021, \$200 million of the Mustang 2021 S-3 remains available for sales of securities.

[Table of Contents](#)

On July 13, 2018, Mustang filed a shelf registration statement No. 333-226175 on Form S-3, as amended on July 20, 2018 (the "2018 Mustang S-3"), which was declared effective in August 2018. Under the 2018 Mustang S-3, Mustang may sell up to a total of \$75.0 million of its securities. In connection with the 2018 Mustang S-3, Mustang entered into an At-the-Market Issuance Sales Agreement (the "Mustang ATM") relating to the sale of shares of common stock. Under the Mustang ATM, Mustang pays the Agents a commission rate of up to 3.0% of the gross proceeds from the sale of any shares of common stock. On December 31, 2020, the ATM Agreement was amended to add H.C. Wainwright & Co., LLC as an Agent.

During the year ended December 31, 2021, the Company issued approximately 19.4 million shares of common stock at an average price of \$3.70 per share for gross proceeds of \$71.9 million under the ATM Agreement. In connection with these sales, the Company paid aggregate fees of approximately \$1.3 million for net proceeds of approximately \$70.6 million.

During the year ended December 31, 2020, Mustang issued approximately 17.6 million shares of common stock at an average price of \$3.40 per share for gross proceeds of \$59.8 million under the Mustang ATM. In connection with these sales, Mustang paid aggregate fees of approximately \$1.1 million for net proceeds of approximately \$58.7 million.

On October 23, 2020, Mustang filed a shelf registration statement No. 333-249657 on Form S-3 (the "2020 Mustang S-3"), which was declared effective on December 4, 2020. Under the 2020 Mustang S-3, Mustang may sell up to a total of \$100.0 million of its securities.

On June 11, 2020, Mustang entered into an underwriting agreement (the "Mustang Underwriting Agreement"). In connection with the Mustang Underwriting Agreement, Mustang issued 10,769,231 shares of common stock (plus a 30-day option to purchase up to an additional 1,615,384 shares of common stock, of which 686,373 were exercised) at a price of \$3.25 per share for gross proceeds of approximately \$37.2 million, before deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses. In connection with the public offering, Mustang paid aggregate fees of approximately \$2.4 million for net proceeds of approximately \$34.8 million. The shares were sold under the Mustang S-3 registrations filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The offering closed on June 15, 2020, and the over-allotment closed on June 25, 2020.

Pursuant to the terms of the Second Amended and Restated Founders Agreement, Mustang issued to Fortress 2.5% of the aggregate number of shares of Mustang common stock issued in the offerings noted above. Accordingly, Mustang issued 576,157 shares of common stock and recorded 107,022 shares issuable to Fortress for the year ended December 31, 2021 and issued 730,795 common shares to Fortress for the year ended December 31, 2020.

#### *Avenue*

In November 2021, Avenue, pursuant to an underwritten public offering, sold 2,238,805 shares of its common stock at a price of \$1.34 per share for gross proceeds of approximately \$3.0 million. After deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and other expenses, net proceeds to Avenue from this underwritten public offering were \$2.6 million.

In December 2021, Avenue, pursuant to an underwritten public offering, sold 1,910,100 shares of its common stock at a price of \$1.07 per share for gross proceeds of approximately \$2.0 million. After deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and other expenses, net proceeds to Avenue from this underwritten public offering were \$1.8 million.

#### *Cyprium*

On August 28, 2020, Cyprium closed on an underwritten public offering whereby it sold 255,400 shares of its 9.375% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock ("Cyprium Perpetual Preferred Stock" or "Cyprium PPS"), plus an over-allotment of an additional 64,600 shares, which was exercised on September 18, 2020 at a price of \$25.00 per share for gross proceeds of \$8.0 million, before deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses of approximately \$0.9 million (the "Cyprium Offering").

Pursuant to the terms of the Cyprium PPS, shareholders on the record date are entitled to receive a monthly cash dividend of \$0.19531 per share which yields an annual dividend of \$2.34375 per share. The Cyprium PPS will automatically be redeemed upon the first (and only the first) bona fide, arm's-length sale of a Priority Review Voucher (a "PRV") issued by the FDA in connection with the approval of CUTX-101, Cyprium's lead product candidate. Upon the PRV sale, each share of Cyprium PPS will be automatically redeemed in exchange for a payment equal to twice (2x) the \$25.00 liquidation preference, *plus* accumulated and unpaid dividends to, but excluding, the redemption date.

An optional exchange to Company Preferred Stock is available after 24 months from the issuance date so long as a sale of the PRV has not occurred. Additionally, if a PRV Sale has not occurred by September 30, 2024 the Cyprium PPS is either automatically exchanged for Company Preferred Stock or cash at the discretion of Fortress. The Cyprium PPS is fully and unconditionally guaranteed by Fortress.

Cyprium paid \$0.7 million in dividends for the year ended December 31, 2021, and \$0.2 million in dividends for the year ended December 31, 2020, including the initial dividend of \$49,883 (\$0.19531 per share) paid to shareholders of record on September 30, 2020.

## **15. Commitments and Contingencies**

### *Leases*

On October 3, 2014, the Company entered into a 15-year lease for office space at 2 Gansevoort Street, New York, NY 10014, at an average annual rent of \$2.5 million. The Company took possession of this space, which serves as its principal executive offices, in December 2015, and took occupancy in April 2016. Total rent expense, over the full term of the lease for this space will approximate \$40.7 million. In conjunction with the lease, the Company entered into Desk Space Agreements with two related parties: OPPM and TGTX, to occupy 10% and 45%, respectively, of the office space that requires them to pay their share of the average annual rent of \$0.3 million and \$1.1 million, respectively. The total net rent expense will approximate \$16.0 million over the lease term. These initial rent allocations will be adjusted periodically for each party based upon actual percentage of the office space occupied. Additionally, the Company has reserved the right to execute desk space agreements with other third parties and those arrangements will also affect the cost of the lease actually borne by us.

In October 2015, the Company entered into a 5-year lease for approximately 6,100 square feet of office space in Waltham, MA at an average annual rent of approximately \$0.2 million. The Company took occupancy of this space in January 2016. In December 2020, we amended our lease and entered into a new two-year extension of the same office space in Waltham, MA at an average annual rent of \$0.2 million. The term of this amended lease commences on April 1, 2021 and will expire on March 31, 2023.

### *Journey*

In June 2017, Journey extended its lease for 2,295 square feet of office space in Scottsdale, AZ by one year, at an average annual rent of approximately \$55,000. Journey originally took occupancy of this space in November 2014. In August 2018, Journey amended their lease and entered into a new two-year extension for 3,681 square feet of office space in the same location in Scottsdale, AZ at an annual rate of approximately \$94,000. The term of this amended lease commenced on December 1, 2018 and will expire on November 30, 2020. In August 2020, Journey amended their lease and entered into a new 25-month extension of the same office space in Scottsdale, AZ at an average annual rent of \$0.1 million. The term of this amended lease commenced on December 1, 2020 and will expire on December 31, 2022.

[Table of Contents](#)

*Mustang*

On October 27, 2017, Mustang entered into a lease agreement with WCS - 377 Plantation Street, Inc., a Massachusetts nonprofit corporation (“Landlord”). Pursuant to the terms of the lease agreement, Mustang agreed to lease 27,043 square feet from the Landlord, located at 377 Plantation Street in Worcester, MA (the “Facility”), through November 2026, subject to additional extensions at Mustang’s option. Base rent, net of abatements of \$0.6 million over the lease term, totals approximately \$3.6 million, on a triple-net basis.

The terms of the lease also require that Mustang post an initial security deposit of \$0.8 million, in the form of \$0.5 million letter of credit and \$0.3 million in cash, which increased to \$1.3 million (\$1.0 million letter of credit, \$0.3 million in cash) on November 1, 2019. After the fifth lease year, the letter of credit obligation is subject to reduction.

The Facility began operations for the production of personalized CAR T and gene therapies in 2018.

Most of the Company’s lease liabilities result from the lease of its New York City, NY office, which expires in 2031 and Mustang’s Worcester, MA cell processing facility lease, which expires in 2026. Such leases do not require any contingent rental payments, impose any financial restrictions, or contain any residual value guarantees. Certain of the Company’s leases include renewal options and escalation clauses; renewal options have not been included in the calculation of the lease liabilities and right of use assets as the Company is not reasonably certain to exercise the options. The Company does not act as a lessor or have any leases classified as financing leases. At December 31, 2021, the Company had operating lease liabilities of \$23.1 million and right of use assets of \$19.0 million, which are included in the Company’s Consolidated Balance Sheet.

During the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, the Company recorded \$3.3 million and \$3.2 million, respectively, as lease expense to current period operations.

(\$ in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,	
	2021	2020
Lease Cost		
Operating lease cost	\$ 3,253	\$ 3,246
Shared lease costs	(1,835)	(1,873)
Variable lease cost	727	593
Total lease expense	\$ 2,145	\$ 1,966

The following tables summarize quantitative information about the Company’s operating leases, under the adoption of ASC Topic 842, *Leases*:

(\$ in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,	
	2021	2020
Operating cash flows from operating leases	\$ (3,366)	\$ (2,958)
Right-of-use assets exchanged for new operating lease liabilities	\$ 207	\$ 634
Weighted-average remaining lease term – operating leases (years)	5.2	5.7
Weighted-average discount rate – operating leases	6.3 %	6.3 %



[Table of Contents](#)

<i>(\$ in thousands)</i>	<b>Future Lease Liability</b>
Year Ended December 31, 2022	\$ 3,498
Year Ended December 31, 2023	3,270
Year Ended December 31, 2024	3,206
Year Ended December 31, 2025	3,241
Year Ended December 31, 2026	3,243
Other	14,014
Total operating lease liabilities	30,472
Less: present value discount	(7,381)
Net operating lease liabilities, short-term and long-term	<u>\$ 23,091</u>

The Company recognizes rent expense on a straight-line basis over the non-cancellable lease term. Rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020 was \$2.1 million and \$2.0 million, respectively.

### **Indemnification**

In accordance with its certificate of incorporation, bylaws and indemnification agreements, the Company has indemnification obligations to its officers and directors for certain events or occurrences, subject to certain limits, while they are serving at the Company's request in such capacity. There have been no claims to date, and the Company has director and officer insurance to address such claims. The Company and its partner companies also provide indemnification of contractual counterparties without limitation to clinical sites, service providers and licensors.

### **Legal Proceedings**

In the ordinary course of business, the Company and its subsidiaries may be subject to both insured and uninsured litigation. Suits and claims may be brought against the Company by customers, suppliers, partners and/or third parties (including tort claims for personal injury arising from clinical trials of the Company's product candidates and property damage) alleging deficiencies in performance, breach of contract, etc., and seeking resulting alleged damages.

#### *Qbrexza*

On March 31, 2021 Journey executed an Asset Purchase Agreement (the "Qbrexza APA") with Dermira, Inc., a subsidiary of Eli Lilly and Company ("Dermira"), and the transaction closed on May 14, 2021. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, Journey acquired the rights to Qbrexza® (glycopronium), a prescription cloth towelette to treat primary axillary hyperhidrosis in patients nine years of age or older. Upon closing of the Qbrexza purchase, Journey became substituted for Dermira as the plaintiff in, and is currently vigorously litigating, U.S. patent litigation commenced by Dermira on October 21, 2020 in the U.S. District Court of Delaware (the "Perrigo Patent Litigation") against Perrigo Pharma International DAC ("Perrigo") (N/K/A Padagis Israel Pharmaceuticals Ltd.) alleging infringement of certain patents covering Qbrexza (the "Qbrexza Patents"), which are included among the proprietary rights to Qbrexza that Journey acquired pursuant to the Qbrexza APA. The Perrigo Patent Litigation was initiated following the submission by Perrigo, in accordance with the procedures set out in the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984 (the "Hatch-Waxman Act"), of an Abbreviated New Drug Application, or ANDA. The ANDA seeks approval to market a generic version of Qbrexza prior to the expiration of the Qbrexza Patents and alleges that the Qbrexza Patents are invalid. Perrigo is subject to a 30-month stay preventing it from selling a generic version, but that stay is set to expire on March 9, 2023. Trial in the Perrigo Patent Litigation is scheduled for September 19, 2022. Journey cannot make any predictions about the final outcome of this matter or the timing thereof.

On March 4, 2022, Journey filed a complaint against Teva Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., and Teva Pharmaceuticals Industries Ltd. in the U.S. District Court of Delaware (the “Teva Patent Litigation”) alleging infringement of certain patents covering Qbrexza (the “Qbrexza Patents”), which are included among the proprietary rights to Qbrexza that were acquired pursuant to the Qbrexza APA. The Teva Patent Litigation was initiated following the submission by Teva, in accordance with the procedures set out in the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984 (the “Hatch-Waxman Act”), of an Abbreviated New Drug Application, or ANDA. The ANDA seeks approval to market a generic version of Qbrexza prior to the expiration of the Qbrexza Patents and alleges that the Qbrexza Patents are invalid. Teva is subject to a 30-month stay preventing it from selling a generic version. The stay should expire no earlier than August 8, 2024. Trial in the Teva Patent Litigation has not yet been scheduled. The Company cannot make any predictions about the final outcome of this matter or the timing thereof.

#### *Amzeeq*

In January 2022, Journey acquired Amzeeq (minocycline) topical foam, 4%, and Zilxi (minocycline) topical foam, 1.5%, two FDA-Approved Topical Minocycline Products and Molecule Stabilizing Technology (MST)<sup>™</sup> from VYNE Therapeutics, Inc. Upon completion of the acquisition from VYNE, Journey became substituted for VYNE as the plaintiff in U.S. patent litigation commenced by VYNE on August 9, 2021 in the U.S. District Court of Delaware (the “Padagis Patent Litigation”) against Padagis Israel Pharmaceuticals Ltd. (F/K/A Perrigo Israel Pharmaceuticals Ltd.) (“Padagis”) alleging infringement of certain patents covering Amzeeq® (the “Amzeeq® Patents”), which are included among the proprietary rights to Amzeeq® that were acquired pursuant to the APA. The Padagis Patent Litigation was initiated following the submission by Padagis, in accordance with the procedures set out in the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984 (the “Hatch-Waxman Act”), of an Abbreviated New Drug Application (the “ANDA”). The ANDA seeks approval to market a generic version of Amzeeq® prior to the expiration of the Amzeeq® Patents and alleges that the Amzeeq® Patents are invalid. Padagis is subject to a 30-month stay preventing it from selling a generic version, but that stay is set to expire on December 30, 2023. Journey is seeking, among other relief, an order that the effective date of any United States Food and Drug Administration approval of Padagis’ ANDA be no earlier than the expiration of the patents listed in the Orange Book, the latest of which expires on September 8, 2037, and such further and other relief as the court may deem appropriate. Trial in the Padagis Patent Litigation is scheduled for July 10, 2023. Journey cannot make any predictions about the final outcome of this matter or the timing thereof.

#### **16. Employee Benefit Plan**

On January 1, 2008, the Company adopted a defined contribution 401(k) plan which allows employees to contribute up to a percentage of their compensation, subject to IRS limitations and provides for a discretionary Company match up to a maximum of 4% of employee compensation. For the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, the Company paid a matching contribution of \$0.8 million and \$0.5 million, respectively.

#### **17. Related Party Transactions**

The Company’s Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, individually and through certain trusts over which he has voting and dispositive control, beneficially owned approximately 10.3% and 9.9% of the Company’s issued and outstanding Common Stock as of December 31, 2021 and 2020, respectively. The Company’s Executive Vice Chairman, Strategic Development individually owns approximately 11.1% and 10.8% of the Company’s issued and outstanding Common Stock at December 31, 2021 and 2020, respectively.

*Shared Services Agreement with TGTX*

In July 2015, TGTX and the Company entered into an arrangement to share the cost of certain research and development employees. The Company's Executive Vice Chairman, Strategic Development, is Executive Chairman and Interim Chief Executive Officer of TGTX. Under the terms of the Agreement, TGTX will reimburse the Company for the salary and benefit costs associated with these employees based upon actual hours worked on TGTX related projects. In connection with the shared services agreement, the Company invoiced TGTX \$0.4 million and \$0.6 million, and received payments of \$0.4 million and \$0.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, respectively.

*Shared Services Agreement with Journey*

On November 12, 2021, Journey and the Company entered into an arrangement to share the cost of certain legal, finance, regulatory, and research and development employees. The Company's Executive Chairman and Chief Executive Officer is the Executive Chairman of Journey. Under the terms of the Agreement, Journey will reimburse the Company for the salary and benefit costs associated with these employees based upon actual hours worked on Journey related projects following the completion of their initial public offering. For the year ended December 31, 2021, the Company's employees have provided services to Journey totaling approximately \$0.6 million. Upon completion of Journey's initial public offering in November 2021 (see Note 14) \$0.5 million was converted into 52,438 shares of Journey common stock at the initial public offering price of \$10.00 per share.

*Desk Share Agreement with TGTX*

The Desk Share Agreement with TGTX, as amended, requires TGTX to pay 65% of the average annual rent. Additionally, the Company has reserved the right to execute desk share agreements with other third parties and those arrangements will affect the cost of the lease actually borne by the Company. Each initial Desk Share Agreement has a term of five years. In connection with the Company's Desk Share Agreement with TGTX for the New York, NY office space, for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, the Company had paid \$2.7 million and \$2.6 million in rent, respectively, and invoiced TGTX approximately \$1.5 million and \$1.6 million respectively, for their prorated share of the rent base. At December 31, 2021, there were no amounts due from TGTX related to this arrangement.

As of July 1, 2018, TGTX employees began to occupy desks in the Waltham, MA office under the Desk Share Agreement. TGTX began to pay their share of the rent based on actual percentage of the office space occupied on a month by month basis. For the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, the Company had paid approximately \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million in rent for the Waltham, MA office, and invoiced TGTX approximately \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively.

*Checkpoint Collaborative Agreements with TGTX*

Checkpoint has entered into various agreements with TGTX to develop and commercialize certain assets in connection with its licenses, including a collaboration agreement for some of the Dana Farber licensed antibodies, and a sublicense agreement for the Jubilant family of patents. Checkpoint believes that by partnering with TGTX to develop these compounds in therapeutic areas outside of its business focus, it may substantially offset its preclinical costs and milestone costs related to the development and marketing of these compounds in solid tumor indications.

*Journey Promissory Note*

On September 30, 2021, the Company increased the Journey promissory note by \$9.5 million in response to a cyber incident that occurred at Journey and resulted in \$9.5 million of fraudulent payments. The \$9.5 million contribution was approved by the boards of directors of both the Company and Journey, and ensured that Journey's accounts payable function continued to operate smoothly. This contribution, along with the \$5.2 million already outstanding under the Journey Promissory Note, converted into 1,476,044 shares of Journey common stock upon completion of Journey's initial public offering in November 2021 (see Note 14) at the initial public offering price of \$10.00 per share. The amounts associated with the Journey Promissory Note are eliminated in the consolidated balance sheets.

*2019 Notes (formerly the Opus Credit Facility)*

During the year ended December 31, 2020, the Company used certain proceeds from the Oaktree Note to pay off the \$9.0 million balance previously outstanding under the 2019 Notes. For the year ended December 31, 2020, in connection with the 2019 Notes pay off, the Company paid \$0.5 million in interest on the portion of the 2019 Notes held by the Company's Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer and the Company's Executive Vice President, Strategic Development.

*Founders Agreement and Management Services Agreement*

The Company has entered into Founders Agreements with each of the Fortress partner companies listed in the table below. Pursuant to each Founders Agreement, in exchange for the time and capital expended in the formation of each partner company and the identification of specific assets the acquisition of which result in the formation of a viable emerging growth life science company, the Company will loan each such partner company an amount representing the up-front fee required to acquire assets. Each Founders Agreement has a term of 15 years, which upon expiration automatically renews for successive one-year periods unless terminated by the Company or a Change in Control (as defined in the Founders Agreement) occurs. In connection with each Founders Agreement the Company receives 250,000 Class A Preferred shares (except for that with Checkpoint, in which the Company holds Class A Common Stock).

The Class A Preferred Stock (Class A Common Stock with respect to Checkpoint) is identical to common stock other than as to voting rights, conversion rights and the PIK Dividend right (as described below). Each share of Class A Preferred Stock (Class A Common Stock with respect to Checkpoint) is entitled to vote the number of votes that is equal to one and one-tenth (1.1) times a fraction, the numerator of which is the sum of (A) the shares of outstanding common stock and (B) the whole shares of common stock into which the shares of outstanding Class A Preferred Stock (Class A Common Stock with respect to Checkpoint) are convertible and the denominator of which is the number of shares of outstanding Class A Preferred Stock (Class A Common Stock with respect to Checkpoint). Thus, the Class A Preferred Stock (Class A Common Stock with respect to Checkpoint) will at all times constitute a voting majority. Each share of Class A Preferred Stock (Class A Common Stock with respect to Checkpoint) is convertible, at the holder's option, into one fully paid and nonassessable share of common stock of such partner company, subject to certain adjustments.

The holders of Class A Preferred Stock (and the Class A Common Stock with respect to Checkpoint), as a class, are entitled receive on each effective date or "Trigger Date" (defined as the date that the Company first acquired, whether by license or otherwise, ownership rights to a product) of each agreement (each a "PIK Dividend Payment Date") until the date all outstanding Class A Preferred Stock (Class A Common Stock with respect to Checkpoint) is converted into common stock or redeemed (and the purchase price is paid in full), pro rata per share dividends paid in additional fully paid and nonassessable shares of common stock ("PIK Dividends") such that the aggregate number of shares of common stock issued pursuant to such PIK Dividend is equal to two and one-half percent (2.5%) of such partner company's fully-diluted outstanding capitalization on the date that is one (1) business day prior to any PIK Dividend Payment Date. The Company has reached agreements with several of the partner companies to change the PIK Dividend Interest Payment Date to January 1 of each year - a change that has not and will not result in the issuance of any additional partner company common stock beyond that amount to which the Company would otherwise be entitled absent such change(s). The Company owns 100% of the Class A Preferred Stock (Class A Common Stock with respect to Checkpoint) of each partner company that has a Founders Agreement with the Company.

As additional consideration under the Founders Agreement, each partner company with which the Company has entered into a Founders Agreement will also: (i) pay an equity fee in shares of the common stock of such partner company, payable within five (5) business days of the closing of any equity or debt financing for each partner company or any of its respective subsidiaries that occurs after the effective date of the Founders Agreement and ending on the date when the Company no longer has majority voting control in such partner company's voting equity, equal to two and one-half (2.5%) of the gross amount of any such equity or debt financing; and (ii) pay a cash fee equal to four and one-half percent (4.5%) of such partner company's annual net sales, payable on an annual basis, within ninety (90) days of the end of each calendar year. In the event of a Change in Control, each such partner company will pay a one-time change in control fee equal to five (5x) times the product of (A) net sales for the twelve (12) months immediately preceding the change in control and (B) four and one-half percent (4.5%).

[Table of Contents](#)

The following table summarizes, by subsidiary, the effective date of the Founders Agreements and PIK dividend or equity fee payable to the Company in accordance with the terms of the Founders Agreements, Exchange Agreements and the partner companies' certificates of incorporation.

<b>Partner Company</b>	<b>Effective Date <sup>1</sup></b>	<b>PIK Dividend as a % of fully diluted outstanding capitalization</b>	<b>Class of Stock Issued</b>
Aevitas	July 28, 2017	2.5 %	Common Stock
Avenue	February 17, 2015	0.0 % <sup>2</sup>	Common Stock
Baergic	December 17, 2019 <sup>4</sup>	2.5 %	Common Stock
Cellvation	October 31, 2016	2.5 %	Common Stock
Checkpoint	March 17, 2015	0.0 % <sup>3</sup>	Common Stock
Cyprium	March 13, 2017	2.5 %	Common Stock
Helocyte	March 20, 2015	2.5 %	Common Stock
Mustang	March 13, 2015	2.5 %	Common Stock
Oncogenuity	April 22, 2020 <sup>4</sup>	2.5 %	Common Stock
UR-1	November 7, 2017 <sup>4</sup>	2.5 %	Common Stock

Note 1: Represents the effective date of each subsidiary's Founders Agreement. Each PIK dividend and equity fee is payable on the annual anniversary of the effective date of the original Founders Agreement or has since been amended to January 1 of each calendar year.

Note 2: Pursuant to the terms of the agreement between Avenue and InvaGen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. during the term of the Avenue SPMA PIK dividends will not be paid or accrued.

Note 3: Instead of a PIK dividend, Checkpoint pays the Company an annual equity fee in shares of Checkpoint's common stock equal to 2.5% of Checkpoint's fully diluted outstanding capitalization.

Note 4: Represents the Trigger Date, the date that the Fortress partner company first acquires, whether by license or otherwise, ownership rights in a product.

*Equity Fees*

The following table summarizes, by subsidiary, the PIK dividend or equity fee recorded by the Company in accordance with the terms of the Founders Agreements, Exchange Agreements and the partner companies' certificates of incorporation for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020 (\$ in thousands):

<b>Partner company</b>	<b>PIK Dividend Date</b>	<b>Year Ended December 31, 2021<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Year Ended December 31, 2020</b>
Aevitas	January 1	\$ 22	\$ 11
Baergic	January 1	10	10
Cellvation	January 1	9	7
Checkpoint	January 1	6,598	4,617
Cyprium	January 1	1,304	711
Helocyte	January 1	141	138
Mustang	January 1	4,212	7,577
Oncogenuity	January 1	5	—
UR-1		26	—
Fortress		(12,327)	(13,071)
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$ —</b>

Note 1: Includes 2022 PIK dividend accrued for the year ended December 31, 2021, as Type 1 subsequent event.

[Table of Contents](#)

*Management Services Agreements*

The Company has entered into Management Services Agreements (the “MSAs”) with certain of its partner companies. Pursuant to each MSA, the Company’s management and personnel provide advisory, consulting and strategic services to each partner company that has entered into an MSA with Fortress for a period of five (5) years. Such services may include, without limitation, (i) advice and assistance concerning any and all aspects of each such partner company’s operations, clinical trials, financial planning and strategic transactions and financings and (ii) conducting relations on behalf of each such partner company with accountants, attorneys, financial advisors and other professionals (collectively, the “Services”). Each such partner company is obligated to utilize clinical research services, medical education, communication and marketing services and investor relations/public relation services of companies or individuals designated by Fortress, provided those services are offered at market prices. However, such partner companies are not obligated to take or act upon any advice rendered from Fortress, and the Company shall not be liable to any such partner company for its actions or inactions based upon the Company’s advice. The Company and its affiliates, including all members of Fortress’ Board of Directors, have been contractually exempted from fiduciary duties to each such partner company relating to corporate opportunities.

The following table summarizes, by partner company, the effective date of the MSA and the annual consulting fee payable by the subsidiary to the Company in quarterly installments (\$ in thousands):

Partner company	Effective Date	Year Ended December 31,	
		2021	2020
Aevitas	July 28, 2017	\$ 500	\$ 500
Avenue <sup>1</sup>	February 17, 2015	—	—
Baergic	March 9, 2017	500	500
Cellvation	October 31, 2016	500	500
Checkpoint	March 17, 2015	500	500
Cyprium	March 13, 2017	500	500
Helocyte	March 20, 2015	500	500
Mustang	March 13, 2015	500	500
Oncogenuity	February 10, 2017	500	500
UR-1	November 7, 2017	500	—
Fortress		(4,500)	(4,000)
Consolidated (Income)/Expense		\$ —	\$ —

Note 1: Pursuant to the terms of the agreement between Avenue and InvaGen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. during the term of the Avenue SPMA fees under the MSA will not be due or accrued.

*Fees and Stock Grants Received by Fortress*

Fees recorded in connection with the Company’s agreements with its subsidiaries are eliminated in consolidation. These include management services fees, issuance of common shares of partner companies in connection with third party raises and annual stock dividend or issuances on the anniversary date of respective Founders Agreements.

**18. Income Taxes**

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of (a) temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes, and (b) operating losses and tax credit carryforwards.

[Table of Contents](#)

The components of the income tax provision are as follows:

<i>(\$ in thousands)</i>	<b>For the years ended December 31,</b>	
	<b>2021</b>	<b>2020</b>
Current		
Federal	\$ —	\$ —
State	473	136
Deferred		
Federal	—	—
State	—	—
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 473</b>	<b>\$ 136</b>

For the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, income tax expense was \$0.5 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, resulting in an effective income tax rate of 0% and 0%. The increase in income tax expense in 2021 is due to additional state tax return filings.

The Company has incurred net operating losses since inception. The Company has not reflected any benefit of such net operating loss carryforwards (“NOL”) in the accompanying consolidated financial statements and has established a valuation allowance of \$251.1 million against its net deferred tax assets. Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of (a) temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes, and (b) operating losses and tax credit carryforwards.

The significant components of the Company’s deferred taxes consist of the following:

<i>(\$ in thousands)</i>	<b>As of December 31,</b>	
	<b>2021</b>	<b>2020</b>
Deferred tax assets:		
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$ 180,994	\$ 152,295
Amortization of license fees	31,556	20,628
Amortization of in-process R&D	384	415
Stock compensation	13,560	14,732
Lease liability	6,965	7,306
Accruals and reserves	2,265	1,570
Tax credits	23,239	16,326
Startup costs	49	54
Unrealized gain/loss on investments	420	1,075
State taxes	215	41
Business interest limitation	7	—
Reserve on Sales Return, Discount and Bad Debt	1,883	1,455
Total deferred tax assets	261,537	215,897
Less: valuation allowance	(251,052)	(203,930)
Net deferred tax assets	<u>\$ 10,485</u>	<u>\$ 11,967</u>
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Right of use asset	\$ (5,732)	\$ (6,050)
Basis in subsidiary	(4,753)	(1,113)
Fair Value adjustment on investment in Caelum	—	(4,804)
Total deferred tax assets, net	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

[Table of Contents](#)

A reconciliation of the statutory tax rates and the effective tax rates is as follows:

	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2021	2020
Percentage of pre-tax income:		
U.S. federal statutory income tax rate	21 %	21 %
State taxes, net of federal benefit	10 %	11 %
Credits	4 %	4 %
Non-deductible items	(3)%	(1)%
Provision to return	— %	1 %
Stock based compensation shortfall	(1)%	(1)%
Change in state rate	1 %	— %
Change in valuation allowance	(29)%	(35)%
Change in subsidiary basis	(2)%	1 %
Other	(1)%	(1)%
Effective income tax rate	— %	— %

The Company files a consolidated income tax return with subsidiaries for which the Company has an 80% or greater ownership interest. Subsidiaries for which the Company does not have an 80% or more ownership are not included in the Company's consolidated income tax group and file their own separate income tax return. As a result, certain corporate entities included in these financial statements are not able to combine or offset their taxable income or losses with other entities' tax attributes.

ASC 740 requires a valuation allowance to reduce the deferred tax assets reported if, based on the weight of all positive and negative evidence, it is more likely than not that some portion, or all, of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Realization of the deferred tax assets is substantially dependent on the Company's ability to generate sufficient taxable income within certain future periods. Management has considered the Company's history of cumulative tax and book losses incurred since inception, and the other positive and negative evidence, and has concluded that it is more likely than not that the Company will not realize the benefits of the net deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2021 and 2020. Accordingly, a full valuation allowance has been established against the net deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2021 and 2020. The valuation allowance increased by a net \$47 million during the current year.

The Company has incurred net operating losses ("NOLs") since inception. At December 31, 2021, the Company had federal NOLs of \$615 million, which will begin to expire in the year 2032, state NOLs of \$797.3 million, which will begin to expire in 2022, and federal income tax credits of \$21.9 million and state income tax credits of \$1.8 million, which will begin to expire in 2028. Approximately \$409.7 million of the federal NOLs and \$3.1 million of the state NOLs can be carried forward indefinitely. Under the provisions of Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code, a corporation that undergoes an "ownership change", as defined therein, is subject to limitations on its use of pre-change NOLs and income tax credits carryforwards to offset future tax liabilities. It appears the Company underwent previous ownership changes potentially limiting its use of tax attributes. The Company has recorded a full valuation allowance on all of its deferred tax assets, as it believes that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will not be realized regardless of whether an "ownership change" has occurred.

As of December 31, 2021, the Company had no unrecognized tax benefits and does not anticipate any significant change to the unrecognized tax benefit balance. The Company would classify interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions as income tax expense, if applicable. There was no interest expense or penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits recorded through December 31, 2021. The NOLs from tax years 2008 through 2020 remain open to examination (and adjustment) by the Internal Revenue Service and state taxing authorities. In addition, federal tax years ending December 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020 are open for assessment of federal taxes. The expiration of the statute of limitations related to the various state income and franchise tax returns varies by state.



*Coronavirus Aid, Relief and Economic Security Act ("CARES Act")*

In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Coronavirus Aid, Relief and Economic Security Act ("CARES Act") was signed into law on March 27, 2020. The CARES Act, among other things, includes tax provisions relating to refundable payroll tax credits, deferment of employer's social security payments, net operating loss utilization and carryback periods and modifications to the net interest deduction limitations. The CARES Act did not have a material impact on the Company's income tax provision for 2021 or 2020. The Company will continue to evaluate the impact of the CARES Act on its financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

On December 27, 2020, the President of the United States signed the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021 ("Consolidated Appropriations Act") into law. The Consolidated Appropriations Act is intended to enhance and expand certain provisions of the CARES Act, allows for the deductions of expenses related to the Paycheck Protection Program funds received by companies, and provides an update to meals and entertainment expensing for 2021. The Consolidated Appropriations Act did not have a material impact to the Company's income tax provision for 2021 or 2020.

**19. Segment Information**

The Company operates in two reportable segments, Dermatology Product Sales and Pharmaceutical and Biotechnology Product Development. The accounting policies of the Company's segments are the same as those described in Note 2. The following tables summarize, for the periods indicated, operating results from continued operations by reportable segment:

	Dermatology Products Sales	Pharmaceutical and Biotechnology Product Development	Consolidated
<b>Year Ended December 31, 2021</b>			
<b>Net revenue</b>	\$ 63,134	\$ 5,657	\$ 68,791
Cost of goods - product revenue	(32,084)	—	(32,084)
Research and development	(16,558)	(112,307)	(128,865)
Selling, general and administrative	(39,895)	(46,948)	(86,843)
Wire transfer fraud loss	(9,540)	—	(9,540)
Other income	(7,479)	31,667	24,188
Income tax expense	—	(473)	(473)
Segment loss	<u>\$ (42,422)</u>	<u>\$ (122,404)</u>	<u>\$ (164,826)</u>

	Dermatology Products Sales	Pharmaceutical and Biotechnology Product Development	Consolidated
<b>Year Ended December 31, 2020</b>			
<b>Net revenue</b>	\$ 44,531	\$ 1,068	\$ 45,599
Cost of goods - product revenue	(14,594)	—	(14,594)
Research and development	—	(64,109)	(64,109)
Selling, general and administrative	(22,100)	(39,066)	(61,166)
Other expense	(697)	(7,882)	(8,579)
Income tax expense	(96)	(40)	(136)
Segment income (loss)	<u>\$ 7,044</u>	<u>\$ (110,029)</u>	<u>\$ (102,985)</u>

[Table of Contents](#)

The following tables summarize, for the periods indicated, total assets by reportable segment:

<i>(\$ in thousands)</i>	Dermatology Products Sales	Pharmaceutical and Biotechnology Product Development	Total Assets
<b>December 31, 2021</b>			
Intangible assets, net	\$ 12,552	\$ —	\$ 12,552
Tangible assets	84,732	299,219	383,951
<b>Total segment assets</b>	<b>\$ 97,284</b>	<b>\$ 299,219</b>	<b>\$ 396,503</b>

<i>(\$ in thousands)</i>	Dermatology Products Sales	Pharmaceutical and Biotechnology Product Development	Total Assets
<b>December 31, 2020</b>			
Intangible assets, net	\$ 14,629	\$ —	\$ 14,629
Tangible assets	35,422	283,362	318,784
<b>Total segment assets</b>	<b>\$ 50,051</b>	<b>\$ 283,362</b>	<b>\$ 333,413</b>

## 20. Revenues from Contracts and Significant Customers

### Disaggregation of Total Revenues

Journey has the following actively marketed products, Qbrexza®, Accutane®, Targadox®, Ximino®, Exelderm®, and Luxamend®. All of Journey's product revenues are recorded in the U.S. The Company's collaboration revenue is from Cyprium's agreement with Sentyln (see Note 3). The Company's related party revenue is from Checkpoint's collaborations with TGTX (see Note 17).

The table below summarizes the Company's revenue for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020:

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2021	2020
<b>Revenue</b>		
Targadox®	\$ 22,378	\$ 30,708
Ximino®	8,247	9,518
Exelderm®	5,363	4,453
Accutane®	10,053	—
Qbrexza®	17,056	—
Other branded revenue	37	(148)
Collaboration revenue	5,389	—
Revenue – related party	268	1,068
<b>Net revenue</b>	<b>\$ 68,791</b>	<b>\$ 45,599</b>

### Significant Customers

For the years ended December 31, 2021, none of the Company's Dermatology Products customers accounted for more than 10.0% of its total gross product revenue.

At December 31, 2021, two of Journey's customers accounted for more than 10% of its total accounts receivable balance at 16.3% and 12.9%. As of December 31, 2020, one of the Company's Dermatology Products customers accounted for 12% of its total accounts receivable balance.

## 21. Subsequent Events

### VYNE Therapeutics Product Acquisition (“VYNE Product Acquisition”)

On January 13, 2022 Journey entered into a definitive agreement with VYNE Therapeutics, Inc. (“VYNE”) to acquire its Molecule Stabilizing Technology (“MST”)™ franchise for an upfront payment of \$20.0 million and an additional \$5.0 million on the one (1)-year anniversary of the closing. The agreement also provides for contingent net sales milestone payments. The Company acquired Amzeeq (minocycline) topical foam, 4%, and Zilxi (minocycline) topical foam, 1.5%, two FDA-Approved Topical Minocycline Products and Molecule Stabilizing Technology (MST)™.

### Maruho Milestone Payment

On February 11, 2022, Journey announced that its exclusive out-licensing partner in Japan received manufacturing and marketing approval in Japan for Rapifort® Wipes 2.5% (Japanese equivalent to U.S. FDA approved Qbrexza®) for the treatment of primary axillary hyperhidrosis, triggering a net \$2.5 million milestone payment to Journey. The net payment reflects a milestone payment of \$10 million to Journey from their exclusive licensing partner in Japan, Maruho Co., Ltd. (“Maruho”), offset by a \$7.5 million payment to Dermira, Inc., pursuant to the terms of the Asset Purchase Agreement between Journey and Dermira Inc. In conjunction with the terms of the licensing agreement with Maruho, the milestone payment was due from Maruho within 30 days of the approval. Journey acquired global rights to Qbrexza® from Dermira Inc. in 2021.

### Amendment to the East West Bank Working Capital Line of Credit

On January 12, 2022, Journey entered into a third amendment (the “Amendment”) of its loan and security agreement with East West Bank, which increased the borrowing capacity of Journey’s revolving line of credit to \$10.0 million, from \$7.5 million, and added a term loan not to exceed \$20.0 million. Both the revolving line of credit and the term loan mature on January 12, 2026. The term loan includes two tranches, the first of which is a \$15.0 million term loan and the second of which is a \$5.0 million term loan. On January 12, 2022, Journey borrowed \$15.0 million against the first tranche of the term loan to facilitate the VYNE Product Acquisition. The term loan bears interest on its outstanding daily balance at a floating rate equal to 1.73% above the prime rate and is payable monthly, on the first calendar day each month. The term loans contain an interest only payment period through January 12, 2024, with an extension through July 12, 2024 if certain covenants are met, after which the outstanding balance of each term loan is payable in equal monthly installments of principal, plus all accrued interest, through the term loan maturity date. Journey may prepay all or any part of the term loan without penalty or premium, but may not re-borrow any amount, once repaid. Any outstanding borrowing against the revolving line of credit bears interest at a floating rate equal to 0.70% above the prime rate. The Amendment includes customary financial covenants such as collateral ratios and minimum liquidity provisions as well as audit provisions.

### Runway Growth Capital LLC Debt Facility

On March 8, 2022, Mustang announced completion of a \$75 million long-term debt facility with Runway Growth Capital LLC (“Runway”). Of the \$75 million, \$30 million was funded upon closing, and the additional \$45 million available under the facility may be funded upon Mustang’s achieving certain predetermined milestones. The loan will be repaid in sixty monthly payments consisting of 24 monthly payments of interest only, followed by 36 monthly payments of principal and accrued interest, payable monthly in arrears, with all repayments ending on the same date as the initial tranche. The interest-only period may be extended to 36 months contingent upon Mustang achieving certain milestones. In connection with the debt financing, Mustang issued to Runway warrants to purchase up to 748,036 of its common shares at an exercise price of \$0.8021 per share. Proceeds from the facility will be used to support the ongoing clinical development of key investigational product candidates within Mustang’s pipeline and for general working capital purposes.

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

### Fortress Biotech, Inc.

March 28, 2022

By: /s/ Lindsay A. Rosenwald, M.D.  
Lindsay A. Rosenwald, M.D.  
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

## POWER OF ATTORNEY

We, the undersigned directors and/or executive officers of Fortress Biotech, Inc., hereby severally constitute and appoint Lindsay A. Rosenwald, M.D., acting singly, his or her true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent, with full power of substitution and resubstitution, for him or her in any and all capacities, to sign any and all amendments to this Annual Report on Form 10-K and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto said attorney-in-fact and agent full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing necessary or appropriate to be done in connection therewith, as fully for all intents and purposes as he or she might or could do in person, hereby approving, ratifying and confirming all that said attorney-in-fact and agent, or his substitute, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
<u>/s/ Lindsay A. Rosenwald, M.D.</u> Lindsay A. Rosenwald, M.D.	Chairman of the Board of Directors, President and Chief Executive Officer ( <i>Principal Executive Officer</i> )	March 28, 2022
<u>/s/ Robyn M. Hunter</u> Robyn M. Hunter	Chief Financial Officer ( <i>Principal Financial Officer</i> )	March 28, 2022
<u>/s/ Eric K. Rowinsky, M.D.</u> Eric K. Rowinsky, M.D.	Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors	March 28, 2022
<u>/s/ Michael S. Weiss</u> Michael S. Weiss	Executive Vice Chairman, Strategic Development and Director	March 28, 2022
<u>/s/ Jimmie Harvey, Jr., M.D.</u> Jimmie Harvey, Jr., M.D.	Director	March 28, 2022
<u>/s/ Malcolm Hoenlein</u> Malcolm Hoenlein	Director	March 28, 2022
<u>/s/ Dov Klein</u> Dov Klein	Director	March 28, 2022
<u>/s/ J. Jay Lobell</u> J. Jay Lobell	Director	March 28, 2022
<u>/s/ Kevin L. Lorenz, J.D.</u> Kevin Lorenz	Director	March 28, 2022

**SUBSIDIARIES OF FORTRESS BIOTECH, INC.**

Subsidiaries of Fortress Biotech, Inc. at December 31, 2021, with jurisdiction of incorporation or formation:

- Aevitas Therapeutics, Inc. (Delaware)
  - Avenue Therapeutics, Inc. (Delaware)
  - Baergic Bio, Inc. (Delaware)
  - Cellvation, Inc. (Delaware), formerly FBIO Acquisition Corp. I
  - Checkpoint Therapeutics, Inc. (Delaware)
  - Cyprium Therapeutics, Inc. (Delaware)
  - Helocyte, Inc. (Delaware), formerly DiaVax Biosciences, Inc.
  - Journey Medical Corporation (Delaware)
  - Mustang Bio, Inc. (Delaware)
  - Oncogenuity, Inc. (Delaware), formerly FBIO Acquisition Corp. VI
  - FBIO Acquisition Corps. IX – L (Delaware)
  - UR-1 Therapeutics, Inc.
-

**CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

**Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the registration statements (Nos. 333-255185, 333-258145, 333-249983, and 333-238327) on Form S-3 and (Nos. 333-184616, 333-194588, 333-20664, 333-221458, 333-233195 and 333-249985) on Form S-8 of our report dated March 28, 2022, with respect to the consolidated financial statements of Fortress Biotech, Inc.

 (signed) KPMG LLP

New York, New York

March 28, 2022

---

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Fortress Biotech, Inc.  
New York, New York

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statements on Form S-3 (Nos. 333-255185, 333-258145, 333-249983, 333-238327) and Form S-8 (Nos. 333-184616, 333-194588, 333-20664, 333-221458, 333-233195 and 333-249985) of Fortress Biotech, Inc. of our report dated March 31, 2021 relating to the consolidated financial statements, which appears in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

/s/ BDO USA, LLP  
Boston, Massachusetts  
March 28, 2022

---

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Lindsay A. Rosenwald, M.D. certify that:

- (1) I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2021 of Fortress Biotech, Inc. (the “Registrant”);
- (2) Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- (3) Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects, the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- (4) The Registrant’s other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the Registrant and have:
  - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant’s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) disclosed in the report any change in the Registrant’s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant’s most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant’s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant’s internal control over financial reporting; and
- (5) The Registrant’s other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant’s auditors and the audit committee of Registrant’s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant’s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant’s internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: March 28, 2022

By: /s/ Lindsay A. Rosenwald, M.D.

Lindsay A. Rosenwald, M.D.  
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

---



**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Robyn M. Hunter certify that:

- (1) I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2021 of Fortress Biotech, Inc. (the “Registrant”);
- (2) Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- (3) Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects, the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- (4) The Registrant’s other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the Registrant and have:
  - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant’s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) disclosed in the report any change in the Registrant’s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant’s most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant’s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant’s internal control over financial reporting; and
- (5) The Registrant’s other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant’s auditors and the audit committee of Registrant’s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant’s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant’s internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: March 28, 2022

By: /s/ Robyn M. Hunter  
Robyn M. Hunter  
Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)

---

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report on Form 10-K of Fortress Biotech, Inc. (the "Company") for the period ended December 31, 2021, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Lindsay A. Rosenwald, M.D., Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, hereby certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company as of, and for, the periods presented in the Report.

Dated: March 28, 2022

By: /s/ Lindsay A. Rosenwald, M.D.  
Lindsay A. Rosenwald, M.D.  
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

---

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report on Form 10-K of Fortress Biotech, Inc. (the "Company") for the period ended December 31, 2021, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Robyn M. Hunter, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, hereby certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company as of, and for, the periods presented in the Report.

Dated: March 28, 2022

By: /s/ Robyn M. Hunter  
Robyn M. Hunter  
Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)

---