




inTEST Corporation



COMPANY PROFILE

inTEST Corporation (NYSE MKT: INTT) is an independent designer, manufacturer and marketer of temperature management products and ATE (Automatic Test Equipment) interface solutions used by semiconductor manufacturers to perform final testing of integrated circuits (ICs) and electronic assemblies. Our high-performance products are designed to enable semiconductor manufacturers to improve the speed, reliability, efficiency and profitability of IC test processes. Our products are also sold into markets outside the ATE market, such as the automotive, consumer electronics, defense/aerospace, energy, industrial and telecommunications markets. Specific products include temperature management systems, test head manipulators and docking hardware products and customized interface solutions. We have established strong relationships with our customers globally, which we support through a network of local offices. Our largest customers include Advanced Semiconductor Engineering, Inc., Avago Technologies Limited, Chain-Logic International Corp., Emerson Electric Co., Hakuto Co., LTX Credence Corporation, NXP Semiconductors N.V., ON Semiconductor Corporation, Teradyne, Inc., and Texas Instruments Incorporated.

Headquartered in Mt. Laurel, New Jersey, inTEST has approximately 140 highly skilled and trained technical personnel. We have manufacturing facilities in New Jersey, Massachusetts and California. We also have sales, service and support offices in Singapore, the U.K. and Germany, with additional support personnel in other key semiconductor manufacturing areas around the world.



Vision	<p>The Power of Precision Engineering™</p> <p>High-performance testing success depends on fast test set-ups; secure alignment; accurate high fidelity test signals; correct test temperature. It requires inTEST, a single source for perfectly integrated manipulators, docking hardware, tester interfaces and thermal test systems that enable semiconductor manufacturers to enhance their own profitability by improving the efficiency of their Integrated Circuit (IC) and wafer test processes.</p> <p>Our goals are to increase penetration in electronics test markets, establish Original Equipment Manufacturers (OEM) business based on existing product and technical knowledge, and develop business in other markets by leveraging our core competencies. We aim to be a recognized authority on extreme temperature environments and provide highly engineered, application-specific thermal solutions with timely delivery, and superior quality and reliability.</p>
profitable niche position	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design, develop, manufacture & sell mission-critical test equipment to many industries • Provide customer yield improvement which drives revenue growth • IP portfolio supports strong margins • Generating profits & cash and have no debt • Positioned for growth
historical markets	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Semiconductor manufacturers–End Users • Production Floor/Test Facilities/Laboratories • ATE equipment suppliers–OEM
growth opportunities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Expand our customer base in both the semiconductor and non-semiconductor industries • Specialize in delivering custom thermal test solutions, which can be readily adapted to industries outside of the semiconductor industry • By leveraging Sigma Systems products within our Thermal Products Segment, we are making significant progress in widening the breadth of our end market penetration • Address growth markets in the semiconductor and non-semiconductor areas, including automotive, consumer electronics, defense/aerospace, energy and telecommunications.
investment highlights	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diversification out of semiconductor markets with thermal technology • Sheer volume of IC production drives growth • Highly leveraged P&L with no debt • Generate profits & cash even during cyclical semi downturns • Test solutions drive higher profits • Lean operating structure • Operational efficiencies drive higher gross margin • As semi market rebounds and resumes normal growth patterns, optimally positioned to achieve significantly higher levels of profitability



fellow stockholders

inTEST Corporation: New Opportunities in Industrial Testing

By many measures, 2013 was a successful year for inTEST. We made significant progress, steadily developing and improving our products and services, while continuing to broaden our end-market penetration. Our operating results reinforce the soundness of our business model, which is centered on our core market in semiconductor Automated Test Equipment (ATE), balanced with an expanded product offering for non-traditional electronics markets that require thermal testing.

The backend semiconductor equipment industry again had a year of challenging industry conditions, particularly in the second half of the year. We met these challenges head on and delivered solid operating results. We further strengthened our operations and increased operational efficiencies, while maintaining our fiscal discipline and cost controls. We have structured our business to make money even during challenging times, and our 2013 results mark inTEST's fourth consecutive year of profitability as well as our 17th consecutive quarter. Orders in the second half of 2013 were stronger than those in the first half by 5%; gross margin expanded to 48% from 44% a year ago; and net earnings for the year of \$0.30 per diluted share increased by \$0.09 over 2012 net earnings. Net revenues for 2013 were \$39.4 million, compared to \$43.4 million in 2012, a reduction of \$4 million, due primarily to the softness in the semiconductor markets in 2013. However, we see revenue growth resuming in the second quarter of 2014; and overall, we expect that 2014 will be stronger for inTEST than 2013. Over the past several years, we have steadily rebuilt our cash position and strengthened our balance sheet, resulting in a strong company with a solid platform for growth. We carry no debt and ended 2013 with cash and cash equivalents of \$19 million, up from \$15.6 million at the end of 2012. We currently expect cash and cash equivalents to increase throughout 2014.

We further strengthened our Board of Directors in 2013 with the election of two new independent directors, William Kraut and Steven J. Abrams, Esq. Mr. Kraut is a retired audit partner of Eisner Amper LLP, and is currently a partner with

the Newport Group, which provides consulting services to companies in various industries. Mr. Abrams is a partner with Pepper Hamilton LLP, where his legal practice is centered on securities, transactions and corporate governance. We also recently announced the election of Joseph W. Dews IV to the Board. Mr. Dews was elected to the Board of Directors on April 11, 2014. He is currently a Partner at AGC Partners, a technology investment bank, and brings over 20 years' experience as an investment banker working with senior management and Boards of Directors of private and public Semiconductor and Energy Technology companies, assessing corporate strategy and positioning with investors, evaluating financial and strategic options including fund raising, share repurchases, dividend plans and M&A, and executing financing and strategic transactions. At the same time, we were saddened to learn of the decision of our long time director Jim Schwartz not to stand for re-election this year. I would like to thank Jim for his many years of distinguished service as both counsel to the Company and as a member of our Board for the last ten years. His practical approach and varied experience made him a valuable Board member. We all wish him well in his future endeavors.

And lastly, during the year we transferred the listing of our common stock to the NYSE MKT from the NASDAQ. We join a number of companies that have made this transition, which in addition to providing us with a more cost-effective structure, will provide increased visibility, and most importantly, improve liquidity through the lowering of the bid/ask spreads, as well as enhance services for inTEST through access to greater markets that will benefit our stockholders.

Technology Driven Innovation

Technology driven innovation is giving birth to new markets and new opportunities. This innovation presents significant opportunities both for existing legacy businesses as well as opening new growth markets. Technological forces that are driving opportunity for inTEST include **The Internet of Things**, in which everyone and everything is connected, enabling machine-to-machine (M2M) communications; **Connectivity**, which is pervasive, with faster, lighter and smarter mobile

devices fundamentally changing the way we live and work; **Efficiency** to optimize products' environmental impact; and **Reliability** to enhance confidence in new products while reducing the Cost of Ownership.

Semiconductor integrated circuits (ICs) are everywhere today, making possible an ever-growing multitude of electronic devices. By means of the industry's extraordinarily precise manufacturing techniques, these tiny, multi-layered ICs are "printed" onto circular wafers made of a semiconductor material, often silicon. For manufacturing efficiency, each semiconductor wafer is "printed" with a very large number of ICs. In fact, the number of ICs on the wafer has continually increased as technological advances have shrunk the size of the individual ICs. Today some silicon wafers carry thousands of ICs. **And each one has to be tested.**

Innovation cycles are ever shorter and technology is constantly evolving. The result is a rapidly changing global marketplace—and change drives our business. To excel and create value, successful companies must continually adapt, providing expedient solutions to evolving customer requirements. Our size is a strategic advantage as we can quickly respond, adapt and customize our solutions as our customers' processes advance. While this is true of all of our customers, it is perhaps most prominent among the customers of our Thermal Products segment, as their products, operations and services depend upon an ever-changing array of sophisticated and precise control of thermal properties and states. They turn to inTEST for precision-engineered products featuring the latest advancements in thermal management and thermal technology for electronics packaging and cooling, temperature sensing and control, thermal materials, systems design and management for optimizing thermal properties. This level of precision engineering has been a hallmark of the company across all of our market segments since our inception.

Thermal Products: Broadening End Market Penetration

We are creating new opportunities in industrial testing, and have continued to transform inTEST, predominantly through the strategic diversification of our Thermal Products Segment – our largest, most profitable and diversified division. Our Thermal Products Segment specializes in delivering highly engineered, application-specific solutions that often require extreme temperature environments. Highly customizable, these thermal test systems can be readily adapted not only to our traditional semiconductor market, but to electronics test applications in various growth markets including automotive, consumer electronics, defense/aerospace, energy, industrial and telecommunications. Having in-house engineering capabilities that can adapt products is an important competency to service demand as digital technology continues to increase density into smaller spaces, which often requires an alternative approach to testing and required equipment. Our technology-driven innovation will provide inTEST with significant growth opportunities in the future.

In 2012, the Thermal Products Segment acquired Thermonics, Inc., a developer of precision temperature forcing systems and competitor of Tempronic's ThermoStreams®. Combining the best attributes of both product lines with additional performance (+300°C, -100°C, higher air flow), a new ATS ThermoStream product line was introduced and has been well received as orders continue to increase from telecom customers testing optical transceivers in U.S.-based labs and Asia production facilities. By leveraging Tempronic, Sigma Systems and Thermonics synergies, our Thermal Products Segment is making significant progress in widening the breadth of our end market penetration. For example, we continued to sell a custom thermal source for defense component testing. This product is currently deployed in one factory that has generated orders totaling more than \$1.6 million. We are now actively working with this defense contractor to develop a next-generation system to be implemented at four factories throughout the United States. A leading oilfield services company approached us with a need for mechanical refrigeration chambers capable of operating at temperatures from -80°C to well above 200°C (the high temperature extreme for conventional mechanical refrigeration). We provided a chamber rated for service up to 300°C. We believe we are the only manufacturer offering mechanical refrigeration chambers of this type. We have completed an Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) Chiller Project for applications in the energy industry and are now on the approved vendor list. First orders are expected for delivery by year-end 2014.

Mechanical Products Segment

Our mechanical products consist of test head manipulators and docking hardware. inTEST's manipulators hold the test head portion of a tester and allow the test floor personnel to move it into and out of the test position quickly and safely, which increases the speed and efficiency of the testing process. inTEST docking equipment speeds up this process, as well, guiding the tester into its final test position quickly and holding it in position securely and accurately as it tests the integrated circuits (ICs). In the Mechanical Products Segment, we continue to develop and refine our manipulator and docking hardware products, which positions us with a well-targeted product offering.

We've had a number of successes in 2013 with new and existing customers. We have developed the Intellidock™, a new dock for higher-end applications requiring sophisticated automation. This was sent for evaluation to a major customer before year-end 2013, and has been well received. We exhibited the Intellidock at Semicon China in March of 2014. We continue to expand our offerings to those companies employing Prober Interface Board (PIB) direct docking for specialized wafer test applications. By mid-year we shipped the first set of the inTEST version of this PIB direct docking for the Teradyne Ultra Flex® tester to a significant customer. In our Cobal™ family of Counter-Balanced Manipulators, we installed a Cobal 500 for a SPEA tester

at a Korean customer, which was our first Korean sale to an end-user. This was the result of new sales representation there. The Cobal 250 manipulator is now available for over 20 different test systems, and the Cobal 500 manipulator is available for 10 different test systems.

Electrical Products Segment

Any given tester model is designed to test a wide variety of different ICs. Because each type of IC has different circuit configurations, adaption is needed between the “generic” tester and the particular kind of IC being tested. inTEST tester interfaces are used to do this, providing a customized electronic bridge between the tester and the specific type of IC being tested. Our tester interface products are purchased primarily for manufacturing capacity expansion.

During 2013, we continued to advance our high performance interfaces for today’s most demanding test requirements. We developed a new wafer probe interface at the request of a major Independent Device Manufacturer (IDM), which we named ‘Lonestar™.’ Lonestar was field tested and approved at the start of the year, and throughout 2013 we have installed approximately 45 of these at various sites for this IDM. We have developed our own in-house tester code-named ‘Lynx’ to replace an aging commercial unit that had become obsolete. This is currently configured with 15,360 measurement pins, but the switch matrix can be expanded to 65,000 pins. In high-resolution mode, the pins can be paired to achieve measurement accuracy better than 10 milliohms. One major advantage is that the entire interface can be measured in one pass, plus the complexity and cost of fixturing for each interface is greatly reduced. The end result is considerable time and cost savings for our customers. In 2013, we also sold several new interfaces for the LTX Diamond X tester and successfully integrated them for a Taiwanese customer. For 2014, we are designing a new range of interfaces for a major family of testers. First articles will be available for field-testing at customer sites later this year.

Positioned for Growth ~ Creating Long-Term Value

The diversification of our served markets outside of our traditional semiconductor markets helps to mitigate the cyclical nature that is so closely tied to the semiconductor industry and affords us several exciting new opportunities with multiple new customers. This is a strength we will continue to leverage as non-semiconductor related products will play a substantial role in our growth strategy and success. Our long-term objective is to grow and evolve inTEST Corporation from our origins as an ATE company with a primary focus on semiconductors into a multi-faceted industrial-test company that serves an expanding number of related growth markets.

We are actively investing in growth, with a goal of growing the business through strategic M&A of companies that complement our current products and expertise. We have transformed inTEST largely through acquisitions, most notably in our Thermal Products Segment, which provides a proven path for revenue growth. While many of our peers are looking to M&A as a means of survival, our core semiconductor business provides a solid business platform from which we can grow. We have added five companies to our operations in the last 15 years—a very successful track record of acquisitions that have bolstered our growth opportunities.




We enter 2014 with a diversified product portfolio, serving growth markets, and we are well positioned to meet the needs of our customers who continue to strategically increase their overall test capacity as they seek to meet end market demand for a broad range of products. Our diversified market penetration, along with our fiscal discipline, has resulted in profitability for inTEST, even in the challenging climate experienced in 2013. We believe that when the semiconductor cycle rebounds and resumes its more normal patterns of growth, we will be optimally positioned to achieve higher levels of profitability.

We extend our sincere appreciation and thanks to our customers, employees, stockholders, and suppliers for their continued trust, confidence and support during the past year. We remain committed to maintaining the highest ethical standards in our relationships with employees, customers, stockholders and the public at large, and to exceeding our customers’ expectations while protecting stockholder value.



Sincerely,



Robert E. Matthiessen
President & CEO
May 2, 2014



FORM 10K



UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 1-36117

inTEST Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

22-2370659

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

**804 EAST GATE DRIVE, SUITE 200
MT. LAUREL, NEW JERSEY**
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

08054
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (856) 505-8800

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

<u>Title of Each Class</u>	<u>Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered</u>
Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share	NYSE MKT

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold on June 30, 2013 (the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter), was: \$29,988,259.

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's Common Stock, as of March 19, 2014, was 10,557,678.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the definitive proxy statement of the Registrant for the Registrant's 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Report, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Report.

inTEST CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

INDEX

		<u>Page</u>
PART I		
Item 1.	Business.....	3
Item 1A.	Risk Factors.....	13
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments.....	18
Item 2.	Properties.....	19
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings.....	19
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures.....	19
PART II		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.....	19
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data.....	20
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.....	20
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.....	27
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.....	28
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.....	28
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures.....	28
Item 9B.	Other Information.....	29
PART III		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.....	29
Item 11.	Executive Compensation.....	29
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.....	29
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.....	30
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services.....	30
PART IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules.....	30
	Signatures.....	31
	Index to Exhibits.....	32
	Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedule.....	34

inTEST CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

PART I

Item 1. BUSINESS

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

From time to time, we make written or oral "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, including statements contained in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, (including this Report on Form 10-K), our annual report to stockholders and in other communications. These statements do not convey historical information, but relate to predicted or potential future events, such as statements of our plans, strategies and intentions, or our future performance or goals. Our forward-looking statements can often be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as "believes," "expects," "intends," "may," "will," "should" or "anticipates" or similar terminology, and include, but are not limited to, statements made in this Report regarding:

- the sufficiency of cash balances, lines of credit and net cash from operations;
- indications of a change in the market cycles in the integrated circuit, or IC, and automatic test equipment, or ATE, markets or other markets we serve;
- developments and trends in the IC and ATE markets;
- the success of our strategy to diversify our business by entering markets outside the IC and ATE markets, including the automotive, consumer electronics, defense/aerospace, energy, industrial and telecommunications markets;
- the possibility of future acquisitions or dispositions;
- costs associated with the implementation of new SEC regulations;
- the development of new products and technologies by us or our competitors;
- the availability of materials used to manufacture our products;
- the availability of and retention of key personnel;
- general economic conditions both domestically and globally;
- net revenues generated by foreign subsidiaries;
- effects of exchange rate fluctuations;
- competitive pricing pressures;
- stock price fluctuations;
- the anticipated market for our products; and
- other projections of net revenues, taxable earnings (loss), net earnings (loss), net earnings (loss) per share, capital expenditures and other financial items.

Investors and prospective investors are cautioned that such forward-looking statements are only projections based on current estimations. These statements involve risks and uncertainties and are based upon various assumptions. We discuss many of these risks and uncertainties under Item 1A "Risk Factors," below, and elsewhere in this Report. These risks and uncertainties, among others, could cause our actual future results to differ materially from those described in our forward-looking statements or from our prior results. We are not obligated to update these forward-looking statements, even though our situation may change in the future.

INTRODUCTION

We are an independent designer, manufacturer and marketer of thermal, mechanical and electrical products that are used by semiconductor manufacturers in conjunction with ATE, in the testing of ICs. In addition, in recent years we have marketed our thermal products in markets outside the ATE market, such as the automotive, consumer electronics, defense/aerospace, energy, industrial and telecommunications markets. Our high performance products are designed to enable our customers to improve the efficiency of their test processes and, consequently, their profitability.

inTEST CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Item 1. BUSINESS (Continued)

We sell our products worldwide. Within the ATE market, we sell our products both directly to major semiconductor manufacturers and semiconductor test subcontractors and indirectly through leading ATE manufacturers. In markets outside the ATE market, we sell our products directly to the end user of the product. Our largest customers include Advanced Semiconductor Engineering, Inc., Avago Technologies Limited, Chain-Logic International Corp., Emerson Electric Co., Hakuto Co. Ltd., LTX-Credence Corporation, NXP Semiconductors N.V., ON Semiconductor Corporation, Teradyne, Inc. and Texas Instruments Incorporated.

The consolidated entity is comprised of inTEST Corporation (parent) and our wholly-owned subsidiaries. inTEST Corporation was incorporated in New Jersey in 1981 and reincorporated in Delaware in April 1997. We manage our business as three product segments, as more fully discussed under "Our Segments" below, which consist of our Thermal Products, Mechanical Products and Electrical Products segments.

MARKETS

Overview

Our business has historically focused exclusively on the ATE market, which provides automated test equipment to the semiconductor market, however, in recent years we have begun to diversify our served markets to address the thermal test requirements of several other markets outside the ATE market. These include the automotive, consumer electronics, defense/aerospace, energy, industrial and telecommunications markets. In the last four years, our net revenues from sales in markets outside the ATE market have ranged from 17% to 30%. As we are a new market entrant in these markets outside the ATE market, our sales into these markets have varied significantly from period to period and we expect they will continue to do so in future periods. One of our goals is to further expand our sales in these markets outside the ATE market. For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, our net revenues in markets outside the ATE market and those revenues as a percentage of our total revenues were \$10.1 million, or 26%, and \$7.8 million, or 18%, respectively.

The level of our net revenues in the various markets we serve outside the ATE market varies significantly from market to market. During 2013, our net revenues into the telecommunications, industrial and defense/aerospace markets represented 10%, 6% and 6%, respectively of our total net revenues. The level of our net revenues in these non-ATE markets has varied significantly in the past and we expect will vary significantly in the future as we build our presence in these markets and establish new markets for our products. Because we are a recent market entrant in these markets, we have not yet developed meaningful market shares in these non-ATE markets. Consequently, we are continuing to evaluate buying patterns and opportunities for growth in these markets that may affect our performance. The following discussion of our markets, therefore, is limited to only the ATE and semiconductor markets, which currently represent the majority of our net revenues.

Semiconductor and ATE Markets

Historically, the semiconductor market has been characterized by rapid technological change, wide fluctuations in demand and shortening product life cycles. Designers and manufacturers of a variety of electronic and industrial products, such as cell phones, telecom and datacom systems, Internet access devices, computers, transportation and consumer electronics, require increasingly complex ICs to provide improved end-product performance demanded by their customers. Semiconductor manufacturers generally compete based on product performance and price. We believe that testing costs represent a significant portion of the total cost of manufacturing ICs. Semiconductor manufacturers remain under pressure to maximize production yields and reduce testing costs. At the same time, the growing complexity of ICs has increased the difficulty of maximizing test yields. In order to address these market trends, semiconductor manufacturers strive for more effective utilization of ATE, smaller test areas and increased wafer level testing.

Demand for new ATE and related equipment depends upon several factors, including the demand for products that incorporate ICs, the increasing complexity of ICs and the emergence of new IC design, production and packaging technologies. Some of the evolutionary changes in IC technologies included the shift to 300 mm wafers in production, system-on-a-chip, or SOC, where digital, analog and memory functions are combined on a single IC, and chip scale packaging. As a result of these and other advances, semiconductor manufacturers may require additional ATE not only to handle increases in production but also to handle the more sophisticated testing requirements of ICs.

inTEST CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Item 1. BUSINESS (Continued)

IC Test Process

Semiconductor manufacturers typically produce ICs in multiples of several hundred on a silicon wafer which is later separated or "diced" into individual ICs. Extended leads are then attached to the individual ICs, for later connection to other electrical components. In most cases, the ICs are then encapsulated in a plastic, ceramic or other protective housing. These process steps are called "packaging."

Wafers are tested before being diced and packaged, to ensure that only properly functioning ICs are packaged. This testing step has several names, including "front-end test," "wafer test," "wafer probe" or "wafer sort." In front-end test, an electronic handling device known as a wafer prober automatically positions the wafer under a probe card which is electronically connected to a "test head," which connects electrically to a test system. During front-end testing there is a growing trend of thermally conditioning the wafer during test, especially in the memory and automotive markets. Once the good ICs have been identified, they are packaged.

The packaged ICs also require testing, called "back-end test" or "final test," to determine if they meet design and performance specifications. Packaged ICs are tested after loading into another type of electronic handling device called a "package handler" or "handler," which then transfers the packaged ICs into a test socket which is attached to the test head. These handlers may be temperature controlled for testing. "Wafer probers" and "handlers" are sometimes referred to in this Report collectively as "electronic device handlers."

Testers range in price from approximately \$100,000 to over \$2.0 million each, depending primarily on the complexity of the IC to be tested and the number of test heads (typically one or two) with which each tester is configured. Probers and handlers range in price from approximately \$50,000 to \$500,000. A typical test floor of a large semiconductor manufacturer may have 100 test heads and 100 probers or 250 handlers supplied by various vendors for use at any one time.

Test head manipulators, also referred to as positioners, facilitate the movement of the test head to the electronic device handler. Docking hardware mechanically connects the test head to the wafer prober or handler. Tester interface products provide the electrical connection between the test head and the wafer or packaged IC. Traditionally, temperature management products are used in back-end test to allow a manufacturer to test packaged ICs under the extreme temperature conditions in which the IC may be required to operate. However, we believe that temperature-controlled testing will be an increasingly important part of front-end wafer testing as more parameters traditionally tested in back end-test are moved to front-end test.

Trends in IC Testing

ATE is used to identify unacceptable packaged ICs and bad die on wafers. ATE assists IC manufacturers in controlling test costs by performing IC testing in an efficient and cost-effective manner. In order to provide testing equipment that can help IC manufacturers meet these goals, we believe the ATE market must address the following issues:

Change in Technology. End-user applications are demanding ICs with increasingly higher performance, greater speeds, and smaller sizes. ICs that meet these higher standards, including SOC designs, are more complex and dense. These technology trends have significant implications for the IC testing process, including:

- the need for test heads of higher complexity;
- higher signal densities;
- increasing test speeds; and
- a new generation of testers for SOC and other technologies.

Need for Plug-Compatibility and Integration. Semiconductor manufacturers need test methodologies that will perform increasingly complex tests while lowering the overall cost of testing. This can require combining ATE manufactured by various companies into optimally performing systems. Semiconductor manufacturers have to work closely with various test hardware, software, interface and component vendors to resolve design and compatibility issues in order to make these vendors' products plug-compatible with test equipment manufactured by other vendors.

inTEST CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Item 1. BUSINESS (Continued)

Testing Under Extreme Conditions. ICs will have to perform across a wider spectrum of temperature and environmental conditions than ever before because of the growing complexity of products in which they are deployed. In recent years, temperature testing has found an increasing role in front-end, wafer level testing. Creating a uniform thermal profile over much larger wafer areas represents a significant engineering and design challenge for ATE manufacturers.

Demand for Higher Levels of Technical Support. As IC testing becomes more complex, semiconductor manufacturers demand higher levels of technical support on a routine basis. ATE manufacturers must commit appropriate resources to technical support in order to develop close working relationships with their customers. This level of support also requires close proximity of service and support personnel to customers' facilities.

Cost Reduction Through Increased Front-End Testing. As the cost of testing ICs increases, semiconductor manufacturers will continue to look for ways to streamline the testing process to make it more cost-effective, such as the trend to use massive parallel test, in which semiconductor manufacturers test multiple ICs on the wafer simultaneously. We believe that this factor will lead to more front-end, wafer-level testing.

OUR SOLUTIONS

Historically, we have focused our development efforts on designing and producing high quality products that provide superior performance and cost-effectiveness. We have sought to address each manufacturer's individual needs through innovative and customized designs, use of the best materials available, quality manufacturing practices and personalized service. We have designed solutions to overcome the evolving challenges facing the ATE and other markets that we serve, which we believe provide the following advantages:

Temperature-Controlled Testing. Our Thermostream (R) products are used by manufacturers in a number of markets to stress test a variety of semiconductor and electronic components, PC boards and sub-assemblies. Our Thermochuck (R) products are used by semiconductor manufacturers for front-end temperature stress screening at the wafer level. Factors motivating manufacturers to use temperature testing include design characterization, failure analysis and quality control as well as determining performance under extreme operating temperatures, all of which contribute to manufacturing cost savings. Our acquisitions of Sigma Systems Corporation ("Sigma"), in October 2008, and Thermonics, Inc. ("Thermonics"), in January 2012, have significantly increased our product offerings in the area of temperature-controlled testing. Sigma's thermal platforms and temperature chambers can accommodate large thermal masses and are found in both laboratory and production environments. Thermonics products provide a range of precision temperature forcing systems used throughout various markets to verify the performance of products at a range of temperatures.

Scalable, Universal, High Performance Interface Technology. Our universal test head manipulators provide a high degree of positioning flexibility with a minimum amount of effort. As a result, our products can be used in virtually any test setting. Our manipulator products are designed to accommodate the increased size of test heads. Our docking hardware offers precise control over the connection to test sockets, probing assemblies and interface boards, reducing downtime and minimizing costly damage to fragile components. Our tester interface products optimize the integrity of the signals transmitted between the test head and the device under test by being virtually transparent to the test signals. This results in increased accuracy of the test data and may thus enable improved test yields. We believe that these characteristics will gain even more significance as testing becomes even more demanding.

Compatibility and Integration. A hallmark of our products has been, and continues to be, compatibility with a wide variety of ATE. Our mechanical products are all designed to be used with otherwise incompatible ATE. We believe this integrated approach to ATE facilitates smooth changeover from one tester to another, longer lives for interface components, better test results, increased ATE utilization and lower overall test costs.

Worldwide Customer Service and Support. We have long recognized the need to maintain a physical presence near our customers' facilities. As of December 31, 2013, we had domestic manufacturing facilities in New Jersey, Massachusetts and California and provided service to our customers from sales and service offices in the U.S., U.K., Germany and Singapore. Our engineers are easily accessible to, and can work directly with, most of our customers from the time we begin developing our initial proposal, through the delivery, installation and use of the product by our customer. In this way, we are able to develop and maintain close relationships with our customers.

inTEST CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Item 1. BUSINESS (Continued)

OUR STRATEGIES

We remain committed to our goals of being recognized in our markets as the designer and manufacturer of the highest quality and most cost effective products and becoming the key supplier of all of our customers' product testing needs, other than probers, handlers and testers. Our strategies to achieve these goals include the following:

Pursuing Synergistic Acquisitions. A key element of our growth strategy has been to acquire businesses, technologies or products that are complementary to our current product offerings. Since our initial public offering in 1997, we have acquired several businesses which have enabled us to expand our line of product offerings and have given us the opportunity to market a broader range of products to our customer base. In particular, the acquisitions of Temptronic in 2000, Sigma in 2008, and Thermonics in 2012, provided access to markets that are less sensitive to cyclicity than the ATE market. We seek to make acquisitions that will further expand our product lines as well as increase our exposure to markets outside of the ATE market.

Pursuing Revenue Growth Opportunities Outside the Semiconductor ATE Market. Another element of our growth strategy is to pursue revenue growth opportunities in markets we have not traditionally served, such as the automotive, consumer electronics, defense/aerospace, energy, industrial and telecommunications markets. We believe that we may be able to reduce some of the cyclicity that we have historically experienced by further diversifying our revenue streams outside the semiconductor ATE market. We see the most potential for this within our Thermal Products segment. For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 approximately \$10.1 million or 26% and \$7.8 million or 18%, respectively, of our consolidated net revenues were derived from markets outside semiconductor test. These revenues were all generated by our Thermal Products segment. We cannot determine at this time whether we will be successful in building our sales in these non-traditional markets or what the growth rate of our sales in these markets will be in future periods.

Providing Technologically Advanced Solutions. We are committed to designing and producing only the highest quality products which incorporate innovative designs to achieve optimal cost-effectiveness and functionality for each customer's particular situation. Our engineering and design staff is continually engaged in developing new and improved products and manufacturing processes.

Leveraging Our Strong Customer Relationships. Our technical personnel work closely with ATE manufacturers to design tester interface and docking hardware that are compatible with their ATE. As a result, we are often privy to proprietary technical data and information about these manufacturers' products. We believe that because we do not compete with ATE manufacturers in the prober, handler and tester markets, we have been able to establish strong collaborative relationships with these manufacturers that enable us to develop ancillary ATE products on an accelerated basis.

Maintaining Our International Presence. Our existing and potential customers are concentrated in certain regions throughout the world. We believe that we must maintain a presence in the markets in which our customers operate. We currently have offices in the U.S., U.K., Germany and Singapore.

Controlling costs. At the same time as we are pursuing growth opportunities, we will seek ways to more aggressively streamline our cost structure, so that we are positioned to offer products at prices that provide the margin for a reasonable profit as well as the resources for continual product development.

OUR SEGMENTS

Our business is managed as three segments, which are also our reporting units: Thermal Products, Mechanical Products and Electrical Products. Our Thermal Products segment consists of our subsidiaries in Mansfield, Massachusetts (Temptronic Corporation, which manufactures products under the Temptronic, Sigma and Thermonics brand names), Germany (inTEST Thermal Solutions GmbH), and Singapore (inTEST Pte Ltd.). Our Mechanical Products segment consists of our manufacturing operation in Mt. Laurel, New Jersey. Our Electrical Products segment consists of our subsidiary in Fremont, California (inTEST Silicon Valley Corporation).

Semiconductor manufacturers use our mechanical products during testing of wafers and specialized packaged ICs. They use our thermal and electrical products in both front-end and back-end testing of ICs. These ICs include microprocessors, digital signal processing chips, mixed signal devices, MEMS (Micro-Electro-Mechanical Systems), application specific ICs and specialized memory ICs, and are used primarily in the automotive, consumer electronics, defense/aerospace, energy, industrial and telecommunications markets. We custom design most of our products for each customer's particular combination of ATE.

inTEST CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Item 1. BUSINESS (Continued)

Thermal Products

Our thermal products are sold into the environmental test market encompassing a wide variety of markets including the ATE, automotive, consumer electronics, defense/aerospace, energy, industrial and telecommunications markets. Our thermal products enable a manufacturer to test semiconductor wafers and ICs, electronic components and assemblies, mechanical assemblies and electromechanical assemblies. These products provide the ability to characterize and stress test a variety of materials over extreme and variable temperature conditions that can occur in actual use.

ThermoStream(R) Products: Our ThermoStream(R) products are used in the semiconductor market as a stand-alone temperature management tool, or in a variety of electronic test applications as part of our MobileTemp systems. ThermoStream(R) products provide a source of heated and cooled air which can be directed over the component or device under test. These systems are capable of controlling temperatures to within +/- 0.1 degree Celsius over a range of -100 degrees Celsius to as high as +300 degrees Celsius within 1.0 degree Celsius of accuracy. As a stand-alone tool, ThermoStreams(R) provide a temperature-controlled air stream to rapidly change and stabilize the temperature of packaged ICs and other devices.

Our MobileTemp Series combines our ThermoStream(R) products with our family of exclusive, high-speed ThermoChambers to offer thermal test systems with fast, uniform temperature control in a compact package enabling temperature testing at the test location. MobileTemp Systems are designed specifically for small thermal-mass applications beyond the semiconductor market and have found application in the automotive, electronic, fiber optic and oil field service markets testing such things as electronic sub-assemblies, sensor assemblies, and printed circuit boards.

Traditionally, our customers used ThermoStream(R) products primarily in engineering, quality assurance and small-run manufacturing environments. However, increasingly, our customers use ThermoStream(R) products in longer-run production applications. Sigma has significantly broadened our product line by providing the ability to thermally test devices and assemblies requiring a far larger scale, both physically and thermally, than previously achievable. ThermoStream(R) and MobileTemp products range in price from approximately \$15,000 to \$50,000.

ThermoChambers: Our chamber products are available in a variety of sizes, from small bench-top units to chambers with internal volumes of twenty-seven cubic feet and greater and with temperature ranges as wide as from -190 degrees Celsius to +500 degrees Celsius. Chambers can be designed to utilize liquid nitrogen or liquid carbon dioxide cooling or mechanical refrigeration, and sometimes both. These chambers can accommodate large thermal masses and are found in both laboratory and production environments. Chambers are priced from \$15,000 to \$150,000.

Thermal Platforms: Our platforms are available in surface sizes ranging from 7.2 square inches to 616 square inches. They provide a flat, thermally conductive, precisely temperature controllable surface that is ideal for conditioning of testing devices with a flat surface. Platforms are available with temperature ranges as broad as -100 degrees Celsius to +250 degrees Celsius. Thermal platforms can be designed to utilize either liquid nitrogen or liquid carbon dioxide cooling or mechanical refrigeration. Platforms offer virtually unimpeded access to the device under test and their easy access and compact size makes them ideal for convenient bench-top use. Platforms are priced from \$6,500 to \$65,000.

ThermoChuck(R) Products: Our ThermoChuck(R) precision vacuum platform assemblies, used primarily in the semiconductor market, quickly change and stabilize the temperature of semiconductor wafers accurately and uniformly during testing without removing the wafer from its testing environment. Such temperatures can range from as low as -65 degrees Celsius to as high as +300 degrees Celsius. ThermoChucks(R) are incorporated into wafer prober equipment for laboratory analysis and for in-line production testing of semiconductor wafers. ThermoChuck(R) products range in price from approximately \$16,000 to \$120,000.

Thermonics(R) Products: Our Thermonics temperature conditioning products provide tempered gas or fluid to enable customers to maintain desired thermal conditions within their tool or process. Applications include general industrial, chemical processing, energy, electronics and semiconductor industries. Prices range from \$20,000 to greater than \$100,000.

inTEST CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Item 1. BUSINESS (Continued)

Mechanical Products

Manipulator Products. We offer three lines of manipulator products: the in2(R), the Aero Series and the Cobal Series. These free-standing universal manipulators can hold a variety of test heads and enable an operator to reposition a test head for alternate use with any one of several probers or handlers on a test floor. Certain members of the Aero family are also available as a lower-cost solution for dedicated prober-only or handler-only test cell applications.

The in2(R) and Cobal Series of manipulator products incorporate our balanced floating-head design. This design permits a test head weighing up to 3,000 pounds to be held in an effectively weightless state, so it can be moved manually or with optional powered assistance, up or down, right or left, forward or backward and rotated around each axis (known as six degrees of motion freedom) by an operator using a modest amount of force. The same design features enable the operator to dock the test head without causing inadvertent damage to the fragile electrical contacts. As a result, after testing a particular production lot of ICs, the operator can quickly and easily disconnect a test head that is held in an in2(R) manipulator and equipped with our docking hardware and dock it to another electronic device handler for testing either a subsequent lot of the same packaged ICs or to test different ICs. The in2(R) and Cobal Series manipulators range in price from approximately \$12,000 to \$60,000.

The Aero Series of manipulator products consists of the Aero 450H and Aero 150P manipulators. These manipulators are designed to handle test heads weighing less than 1,500 pounds. The up and down movement is supported by an air-pressure-based floating state technology. The Aero Series manipulators range in price from \$10,000 to \$30,000.

Docking Hardware Products. Our docking hardware products protect the delicate interface contacts and ensure proper repeatable and precise alignment between the test head's interface board and the prober's probing assembly or the handler's test socket as they are brought together, or "docked." A simple cam action docks and locks the test head to the prober or handler, thus eliminating motion of the test head relative to the prober or handler. This minimizes deterioration of the interface boards, test sockets and probing assemblies which is caused by constant vibration during testing. Our docking hardware products are used primarily with floating-head universal manipulators when maximum mobility and inter-changeability of handlers and probers between test heads is required. By using our docking hardware products, semiconductor manufacturers can achieve cost savings through improved ATE utilization, improved accuracy and integrity of test results, and reduced repairs and replacements of expensive ATE interface products.

We believe our docking hardware products offer our customers the ability to make various competing brands of test heads compatible with various brands of probers and handlers by only changing interface boards. This is called "plug-compatibility." Plug-compatibility enables increased flexibility and utilization of test heads, probers and handlers purchased from various manufacturers. We believe that because we do not compete with ATE manufacturers in the sale of probers, handlers or testers, ATE manufacturers are willing to provide us with the information that is integral to the design of plug-compatible products. Our docking hardware products range in price from approximately \$2,000 to \$25,000.

Electrical Products

Our electrical products, which include various types of tester interfaces, provide the electrical connections between the tester and the wafer prober or IC handler to carry the electrical signals between the tester and the probe card on the prober or the test socket on the handler. Our designs optimize the integrity of the transmitted signal. Therefore, our tester interfaces can be used with high speed, high frequency, digital or mixed signal testers used in testing more complex ICs. Because our tester interface products enable the tester to provide more reliable yield data, our interfaces may also reduce IC production costs. We design standard and modular interface products to address most possible tester/prober combinations on the market today. In addition, we provide a custom design service that will allow any of our customers to use virtually any tester, prober or handler combination with any type of device, such as analog, digital, mixed signal and radio frequency. For example, our Centaur(R) modular interface is designed to provide flexibility and scalability through the use of replaceable signal modules which can be easily changed on the test floor as our customers' testing requirements change. In addition to the Centaur(R) modular interface, we also offer over 200 different types of tester interface models that we custom designed for our customers' specific applications. These products range in price from approximately \$7,000 to \$40,000.

inTEST CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Item 1. BUSINESS (Continued)

Financial Information About Product Segments and Geographic Areas

Please see Note 16 of our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this Report on Form 10-K for additional data regarding net revenues, profit or loss and total assets of each of our segments and revenues attributable to foreign countries.

MARKETING, SALES AND CUSTOMER SUPPORT

We market and sell our products primarily in markets where semiconductors are manufactured. North American and European semiconductor manufacturers have located most of their back-end factories in Southeast Asia. The front-end wafer fabrication plants of U.S. semiconductor manufacturers are primarily in the U.S. Likewise, European, Taiwanese, South Korean and Japanese semiconductor manufacturers generally have located their wafer fabrication plants in their respective countries.

Thermal Products: We market our thermal products brands, Temptronic, Sigma Systems and Thermonics, under the umbrella name of inTEST Thermal Solutions and sales to ATE manufacturers are handled directly by our own sales force. Sales to semiconductor manufacturers and customers in other markets in the U.S. are handled through independent sales representative organizations. In Singapore and Malaysia, our sales and service are handled through our internal sales and service staff. In the rest of Asia, our sales are handled through distributors. In Europe, sales managers at our office in Germany, as well as regional distributors and independent sales representatives, sell to semiconductor manufacturers and customers in other markets. We visit our distributors regularly and have trained them to sell and service all of our thermal products.

Mechanical and Electrical Products: In North America, we sell to semiconductor manufacturers principally through the use of independent, commissioned sales representatives. North American sales representatives also coordinate product installation and support with our technical staff and participate in trade shows.

Our internal sales staff handles sales to ATE manufacturers and is responsible for a portfolio of customer accounts and for managing certain independent sales representatives. In addition, our account managers are responsible for pricing, quotations, proposals and transaction negotiations, and they assist with applications engineering and custom product design. Technical support is provided to North American customers and independent sales representatives by employees based in New Jersey, California and Texas.

In Europe we sell to semiconductor and ATE manufacturers through our internal sales staff and through the use of independent sales representatives. Technical support is provided to European customers by an employee based in the UK or by independent sales representatives who we have trained. In China, Malaysia, the Philippines, Singapore, South Korea, Taiwan and Thailand, we sell through the use of independent sales representatives who are supervised by our internal sales staff. International sales representatives are responsible for sales, installation, support and trade show participation in their geographic market areas. Technical support is provided to Asian customers primarily by employees based in Malaysia, the Philippines and Taiwan.

CUSTOMERS

We market all of our products to end users, which include semiconductor manufacturers and third-party foundries, test and assembly houses, as well as to original equipment manufacturers ("OEMs"), which include ATE manufacturers and their third-party outsource manufacturing partners. In the case of thermal products, we also market our products to independent testers of semiconductors, manufacturers of automotive, consumer electronics, defense/aerospace, energy, industrial and telecommunications products, and semiconductor research facilities. Our customers use our products principally in production testing, although our ThermoStream(R) products traditionally have been used largely in engineering development and quality assurance. We believe that we sell to most of the major semiconductor manufacturers in the world.

Texas Instruments Incorporated accounted for 13% and 14% of our consolidated net revenues in 2013 and 2012, respectively. Teradyne, Inc. accounted for 11% of our consolidated net revenues in 2012 (and was under 10% in 2013). While all three of our operating segments sold to these customers, these revenues were primarily generated by our Mechanical Products and Electrical Products segments. Our ten largest customers accounted for approximately 47% of our consolidated net revenues in both 2013 and 2012. The loss of any one or more of our largest customers, or a reduction in orders by a major customer, could materially reduce our net revenues or otherwise materially affect our business, financial condition, or results of operations.

inTEST CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Item 1. BUSINESS (Continued)

Our largest customers in 2013 include:

Semiconductor Manufacturers

Advanced Semiconductor Engineering, Inc.

Avago Technologies

Chain-Logic International Corp.

NXP Semiconductors

ON Semiconductor Corporation

Texas Instruments Incorporated

ATE Manufacturers

Teradyne, Inc.

LTX-Credence Corporation

Other

Emerson Electric Co.

Hakuto Co. Ltd.

MANUFACTURING AND SUPPLY

As of December 31, 2013, our principal manufacturing operations consisted of assembly and testing at our facilities in Massachusetts, New Jersey and California. We assemble most of our products from a combination of standard components and custom parts that have been fabricated to our specifications by either third-party manufacturers or our own fabrication operation in New Jersey. Our practice is to use the highest quality raw materials and components in our products. The primary raw materials used in fabricated parts are all widely available. We purchase substantially all of our components from multiple suppliers. We purchase certain raw materials and components from single suppliers, however we believe that all materials and components are available in adequate amounts from other sources, although from time to time, certain components may be in short supply because of high demand or the inability of some vendors to consistently meet our quality or delivery requirements.

We conduct inspections of incoming raw materials, fabricated parts and components using sophisticated measurement equipment. This includes testing with coordinate measuring machines in all but one of our manufacturing facilities to ensure that products with critical dimensions meet our specifications. We have designed our inspection standards to comply with applicable MIL specifications and ANSI standards.

In 2001, we obtained ISO 9001:1994 certification at our New Jersey facility. During 2003, we made the determination to upgrade to ISO 9001:2000 at our New Jersey facility, which was completed in 2007. In May 2003, our California facility obtained ISO 9001:2000 certification. Neither our New Jersey nor our California facility have completed their 2009 ISO audits due to the loss of most of our internal ISO auditors in our reductions in force during 2009. As a result, we are no longer ISO 9001 certified, although we continue to employ all the practices embodied in the ISO 9001:2000 standard. We believe that the loss of ISO 9001 certification for our New Jersey and California facilities has not negatively impacted our working relationships with our customers or prevented us from obtaining orders from our customers. Our Massachusetts facility completed ISO 9001:2000 certification in November 2004 and upgraded to ISO 9001:2008 in November 2009 and has maintained certification with the ISO 9001:2008 standard since that time.

ENGINEERING AND PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT

Our success depends on our ability to provide our customers with products and solutions that are well engineered, and to design those products and solutions before, or at least no later than, our competitors. As of December 31, 2013, we employed a total of 27 engineers, who were engaged full time in engineering and product development. In addition, when the demands of engineering and product development projects exceed the capacity or knowledge of our in-house staff, we retain temporary third-party engineering and product development consultants to assist us. Our practice in many cases is to assign engineers to work with specific customers, thereby enabling us to develop the relationships and exchange of information that is most conducive to successful product development and enhancement. In addition, some of our engineers are assigned to new product research and development and have worked on such projects as the development of new types of universal manipulators, the redesign and development of new thermal products and the development of high performance interfaces.

Since most of our products are customized, we consider substantially all of our engineering activities to be engineering and product development. We spent approximately \$3.7 million in 2013 and \$3.9 million in 2012 on engineering and product development, respectively.

inTEST CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Item 1. BUSINESS (Continued)

PATENTS AND OTHER PROPRIETARY RIGHTS

Our policy is to protect our technology by filing patent applications for the technologies that we consider important to our business. We also rely on trademarks, trade secrets, copyrights and unpatentable know-how to protect our proprietary rights. It is our practice to require that all of our employees and third-party product development consultants assign to us all rights to inventions or other discoveries relating to our business that were made while working for us. In addition, all employees and third-party product development consultants agree not to disclose any private or confidential information relating to our technology, trade secrets or intellectual property.

As of December 31, 2013, we held 47 active U.S. patents and had 13 pending U.S. patent applications covering various aspects of our technology. Our U.S. patents expire at various times beginning in 2014 and extending through 2031. During 2013, five U.S. patents were issued and we had three U.S. patents expire. We do not believe that the expiration of these patents or the upcoming expiration of certain of our patents in 2014 will have a material impact on our business. We also hold foreign patents and file foreign patent applications, in selected cases corresponding to our U.S. patents and patent applications, to the extent management deems appropriate.

While we believe that our patents and other proprietary rights are important to our business, we also believe that, due to the rapid pace of technological change in the semiconductor equipment market, the successful manufacture and sale of our products also depends upon our engineering, manufacturing, marketing and servicing skills. In the absence of patent protection, we would be vulnerable to competitors who attempt to copy or imitate our products or processes. We believe our intellectual property has value, and we have taken in the past, and will take in the future, actions we deem appropriate to protect such property from misappropriation. There can be no assurance, however, that such actions will provide meaningful protection from competition. For additional information regarding risks related to our intellectual property, see "Risk Factors."

COMPETITION

We operate in an increasingly competitive environment within each of our product segments. Some of our competitors have greater financial resources and more extensive design and production capabilities than we do. Certain markets in which we operate have become more fragmented, with smaller companies entering the market. These new smaller entrants typically have much lower levels of fixed operating overhead than we do, which enables them to be profitable with lower priced products. In order to remain competitive with these and other companies, we must be able to continue to commit a significant portion of our personnel, financial resources, research and development and customer support to developing new products and maintaining customer relationships worldwide.

Our competitors include independent manufacturers, ATE manufacturers and, to a lesser extent, semiconductor manufacturers' in-house ATE interface groups. Competitive factors in our market include price, functionality, timely product delivery, customer service, applications support, product performance and reliability. We believe that our long-term relationships with the industry's leading semiconductor manufacturers and other customers, and our commitment to, and reputation for, providing high quality products, are important elements in our ability to compete effectively in all of our markets.

Our principal competitor for Thermostream products is FTS Systems. Our principal competitors for Thermocheck products include ERS Electronik GmbH, Advanced Temperature Test Systems GmbH and Espec Corp. Our principal competitors for environmental chambers are Thermotron Industries, Cincinnati Sub-Zero Products, Inc. and Espec Corp. Our principal competitor for thermal platforms is Environmental Stress Systems Inc.

Our principal competitors for manipulator products are Esmo AG and Reid-Ashman Manufacturing. Our principal competitors for docking hardware products include Esmo AG, Knight Automation and Reid-Ashman Manufacturing. We also compete with the ATE manufacturers Advantest Corporation and Teradyne (who are also our customers) on the sale of docking hardware and manipulators.

Our principal competitors for tester interface products are Reid-Ashman Manufacturing, Esmo AG, Teradyne and Advantest Corporation.

inTEST CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Item 1. BUSINESS (Continued)

BACKLOG

At December 31, 2013, our backlog of unfilled orders for all products was approximately \$3.1 million compared with approximately \$4.2 million at December 31, 2012. Our backlog includes customer orders which we have accepted, substantially all of which we expect to deliver in 2014. While backlog is calculated on the basis of firm purchase orders, a customer may cancel an order or accelerate or postpone currently scheduled delivery dates. Our backlog may be affected by the tendency of customers to rely on shorter lead times available from suppliers, including us, in periods of depressed demand. In periods of increased demand, there is a tendency towards longer lead times that has the effect of increasing backlog. As a result of these factors, our backlog at a particular date is not necessarily indicative of sales for any future period.

EMPLOYEES

At December 31, 2013, we had 127 full time employees, including 58 in manufacturing operations, 48 in customer support/operations and 21 in administration. Substantially all of our key employees are highly skilled and trained technical personnel. None of our employees are represented by a labor union, and we have never experienced a work stoppage. From time to time we retain third-party contractors to assist us in manufacturing operations and engineering and product development projects.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to these reports that are filed with the SEC pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, are available free of charge through our website (www.intest.com) as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file them with, or furnish them to, the SEC.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

The following are some of the factors that could materially and adversely affect our future performance or could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in our forward-looking statements. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones facing us and we cannot predict every event and circumstance that may adversely affect our business. However, these risks and uncertainties are the most significant factors that we have identified at this time. If one or more of these risks actually occurs, our business, results of operations, and/or financial condition would likely suffer, and the price of our stock could be negatively affected.

Our sales are affected by the cyclicity of the semiconductor and ATE markets, which causes our operating results to fluctuate significantly.

Our business depends in significant part upon the capital expenditures of semiconductor manufacturers. Capital expenditures by these companies depend upon, among other things, the current and anticipated market demand for semiconductors and the products that utilize them. Typically, semiconductor manufacturers curtail capital expenditures during periods of economic downturn. Conversely, semiconductor manufacturers increase capital expenditures when market demand requires the addition of new or expanded production capabilities or the reconfiguration of existing fabrication facilities to accommodate new products. These market changes have contributed in the past, and will likely continue to contribute in the future, to fluctuations in our operating results.

Our business is subject to intense competition.

We face significant competition throughout the world in each of our product segments. Some of our competitors have substantial financial resources and more extensive design and production capabilities than we do. In order to remain competitive, we must be able to continually commit a significant portion of our personnel and financial resources to developing new products and maintaining customer satisfaction worldwide. We expect our competitors to continue to improve the performance of their current products and introduce new products or technologies. Over the last several years, in response to significant declines in global demand for our products, some competitors have reduced their product pricing significantly, which has led to intensified price based competition, which has and could continue to materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

inTEST CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS (Continued)

We seek to further diversify the markets for our thermal products in order to increase the proportion of our sales attributable to markets which are less subject to cyclicity than the semiconductor and ATE markets. If we are unable to do so, our future performance will remain substantially exposed to the fluctuations of the cyclicity of the semiconductor and ATE markets.

In recent years, we began selling our thermal products in markets outside of the ATE market, including the automotive, consumer electronics, defense/aerospace, energy, industrial and telecommunications markets. Our sales to these non-ATE markets were \$10.1 million or 26% of our consolidated net revenues in 2013 compared to \$7.8 million or 18% of our net revenues in 2012. Our goal is to increase our sales into these and other non-ATE markets; however, in most cases, the expansion of our thermal product sales into these new markets has occurred in the last several years, and we may experience difficulty in expanding our sales efforts further into these markets. These difficulties could include hiring sales and marketing staff with sufficient experience selling into these new markets and our ability to continue to develop products which meet the needs of customers in these markets and which are not currently offered by our competitors. If we are unable to expand our sales in non-ATE markets, our net revenues and results of operations will remain substantially dependent upon the cycles of the semiconductor and ATE markets.

We seek to acquire additional businesses. If we are unable to do so, our future rate of growth may be reduced or limited.

A key element of our growth strategy is to acquire businesses, technologies or products that are complementary to our current product offerings. We seek to make acquisitions that will further expand our product lines as well as increase our exposure to markets outside the ATE market. We may not be able to execute our acquisition strategy if:

- we are unable to identify suitable businesses, technologies or products to acquire;
- we do not have sufficient cash or access to required capital at the necessary time; or
- we are unwilling or unable to outbid larger, more resourceful companies.

Our acquisition strategy involves financial and management risks which may adversely affect our results in the future.

If we acquire additional businesses, technologies or products, we will face the following additional risks:

- future acquisitions could divert management's attention from daily operations or otherwise require additional management, operational and financial resources;
- we might not be able to integrate future acquisitions into our business successfully or operate acquired businesses profitably;
- we may realize substantial acquisition related expenses which would reduce our net earnings in future years; and
- our investigation of potential acquisition candidates may not reveal problems and liabilities of the companies that we acquire.

If any of the events described above occur, our earnings could be reduced. If we issue shares of our stock or other rights to purchase our stock in connection with any future acquisitions, we would dilute our existing stockholders' interests and our earnings per share may decrease. If we issue debt in connection with any future acquisitions, lenders may impose covenants on us which could, among other things, restrict our ability to increase capital expenditures or to acquire additional businesses.

Global economic cycles, which are difficult to predict, have had an impact on our business and may continue to do so.

Demand for our products and our operating results have in the past been negatively affected by sudden downturns in the global economies and the resulting reduction in customer capital investment. In the last several years, political instability in Europe, the Middle East and North Africa has negatively affected global financial markets. In the past, these uncertainties have caused our customers to cancel or postpone deliveries of ordered systems and not to place new orders. Continued global economic uncertainties in these or other regions may continue to depress future sales of our products and services.

inTEST CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS (Continued)

Our operating results often change significantly from quarter to quarter and may cause fluctuations in our stock price.

Historically, our operating results have fluctuated significantly from quarter to quarter. We believe that these fluctuations occur primarily due to the cycles of demand in the semiconductor manufacturing industry. In addition to the changing cycles of demand in the semiconductor manufacturing industry, other factors that have caused our quarterly operating results to fluctuate in the past, and that may cause fluctuations and losses in the future, include:

- the state of the U.S. and global economies;
- changes in the buying patterns of our customers;
- changes in our market share;
- the technological obsolescence of our inventories;
- quantities of our inventories greater than is reasonably likely to be utilized in future periods;
- fluctuations in the level of product warranty charges;
- competitive pricing pressures;
- the impairment of our assets due to reduced future demand for our products;
- excess manufacturing capacity;
- our ability to control operating costs;
- costs associated with implementing restructuring initiatives;
- delays in shipments of our products;
- the mix of our products sold;
- the mix of customers and geographic regions where we sell our products;
- changes in the level of our fixed costs;
- costs associated with the development of our proprietary technology;
- costs and timing of integration of our acquisitions and plant consolidations and relocations;
- our ability to obtain raw materials or fabricated parts when needed;
- increases in costs of component materials;
- cancellation or rescheduling of orders by our customers;
- changes in government regulations; and
- political or economic instability.

Because the market price of our common stock has tended to vary based on, and in relation to, changes in our operating results, fluctuations in the market price of our stock are likely to continue as variations in our quarterly results continue.

Changes in the buying patterns of our customers have affected, and may continue to affect, demand for our products and our gross and net operating margins. Such changes in patterns are difficult to predict and may not be immediately apparent.

In addition to the cyclical nature of the semiconductor and ATE markets, demand for our products and our gross and net operating margins have also been affected by changes in the buying patterns of our customers. We believe that in recent years there have been a variety of changes within the ATE market, including, for example, changing product requirements, longer time periods between new product offerings by OEMs and changes in customer buying patterns. In particular, demand for our mechanical and electrical products, which are sold exclusively within the ATE market, and our operating margins in these product segments have been affected by shifts in the competitive landscape, including (i) customers placing heightened emphasis on shorter lead times (which places increased demands on our available engineering and production capacity increasing unit costs) and ordering in smaller quantities (which prevents us from acquiring component materials in larger volumes at lower cost and increasing unit costs), (ii) the increasing practice of OEM manufacturers to specify other suppliers as primary vendors, with less frequent opportunities to compete for such designations, (iii) customers requiring products with a greater range of use at the lowest cost, and (iv) customer supply line management groups demanding lower prices and spreading purchases across multiple vendors.

inTEST CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS (Continued)

These shifts in market practices have had, and may continue to have, varying degrees of impact on our net revenues and our gross and net operating margins. Such shifts are difficult to predict and may not be immediately apparent, and the impact of these practices is difficult to quantify from period to period. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in implementing effective strategies to counter these shifts.

We generate a large portion of our sales from a small number of customers. If we were to lose one or more of our large customers, operating results could suffer dramatically.

Texas Instruments Incorporated accounted for 13% and 14% of our consolidated net revenues in 2013 and 2012, respectively. Teradyne, Inc. accounted for 11% of our consolidated net revenues in 2012. While all three of our operating segments sold to these customers, these revenues were primarily generated by our Mechanical Products and Electrical Products segments. Our ten largest customers accounted for approximately 47% of our net revenues in both 2013 and 2012. The loss of any one or more of our largest customers, or a reduction in orders by a major customer, could materially reduce our net revenues or otherwise materially affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We may experience significant variability in our effective tax rates and may have exposure to additional tax liabilities and costs.

We are subject to paying income taxes in the U.S. and various other countries in which we operate. Our effective tax rate is dependent on where our earnings are generated and the tax regulations and the interpretation and judgment of administrative tax or revenue entities in the U.S. and other countries. We are also subject to tax audits in the countries where we operate. Any material assessment resulting from an audit from an administrative tax or revenue entity could negatively affect our financial results.

Our industry is subject to rapid technological change, and our business prospects would be negatively affected if we are unable to quickly and effectively respond to innovation in the semiconductor and ATE markets.

Semiconductor technology continues to become more complex as manufacturers incorporate ICs into an increasing variety of products. This trend, and the changes needed in automatic testing systems to respond to developments in the semiconductor market, are likely to continue. We cannot be certain that we will be successful or timely in developing, manufacturing or selling products that will satisfy customer needs or that will attain market acceptance. Our failure to provide products that effectively and timely meet customer needs or gain market acceptance will negatively affect our business prospects.

New regulations related to conflict minerals may adversely affect us.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act imposes new disclosure requirements regarding the use of "conflict" minerals mined from the Democratic Republic of Congo and adjoining countries in our products. This new requirement could affect the pricing, sourcing and availability of minerals used in the manufacture of components we use in our products. In addition, there will be additional costs associated with complying with the disclosure requirements, such as costs related to determining the source of any conflict minerals used in our products. Our supply chain is complex and we may be unable to verify the origins for all metals used in our products. As a result, we may be unable to certify that our products are conflict mineral free.

If our suppliers do not meet product or delivery requirements, we could have reduced revenues and earnings.

Certain components may be in short supply from time to time because of high demand or the inability of some vendors to consistently meet our quality or delivery requirements. A significant portion of our material purchases require some custom work and there are not always multiple suppliers capable of performing such custom work on a timely or cost effective basis. If any of our suppliers were to cancel commitments or fail to meet quality or delivery requirements needed to satisfy customer orders for our products, we could lose time-sensitive customer orders, have reduced revenues and earnings, and be subject to contractual penalties, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

inTEST CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS (Continued)

New statutory and regulatory requirements, tax increases and changes in government spending could adversely affect our operating results.

In recent years, the Federal government launched an aggressive statutory and regulatory agenda with the goal of enacting social and economic reforms. This agenda includes health care reform legislation and financial system regulatory reform, as well as proposed climate change and other environmental legislation and regulations. In addition, the Federal and many state and local governments are faced with budget crises that are causing these bodies to consider enacting significant tax increases, reducing or eliminating the use of net operating loss carryforwards and making significant budget cuts. It is uncertain how the applicable government agencies will enact the regulations necessary to carry out the statutory requirements. Accordingly, we cannot determine the costs and other effects of new legal requirements with certainty. For example, new legislation or regulations may cause us to experience increased costs as a direct result of our compliance efforts. At this point, we are unable to determine the impact that newly enacted federal healthcare legislation could have on our employer-sponsored medical plans. We may also indirectly experience increased costs to the extent such legal requirements increase the prices of goods and services that we purchase as a result of increased compliance costs to the vendors who provide these goods and services to us or the reduced availability of raw materials that we need to purchase. In addition, we cannot determine the impact that new legal requirements, tax increases or state and local government spending cuts will have on the business operations of our customers, where significant increases in operating costs due to the costs to comply with new legal requirements or tax increases may reduce their future product development and capital spending budgets. Our revenues and results of operations may be adversely affected by these new legal requirements and government actions.

Our business may suffer if we are unable to attract and retain key employees.

The loss of key personnel could adversely affect our ability to manage our business effectively. Our future success will depend largely upon the continued services of our senior management and other key employees or the development of successors with commensurate skills and talents. In the past, during periods of weakened demand which has caused us to experience operating losses, we have implemented temporary salary and benefit reductions and eliminations that have remained in place until our operations returned to profitability. As global economic conditions improve and employment opportunities increase, if we are unable to increase employee salaries and maintain employee benefits commensurate with competitive opportunities, we may not be able to retain our senior management and other key employees. Our business could suffer if we were to lose one of more of our senior officers or other key employees.

If we are not able to obtain patents on or otherwise preserve and protect our proprietary technologies, our business may suffer.

We have obtained domestic and foreign patents covering some of our products which expire between the years 2014 and 2031, and we have applications pending for additional patents. Some of our products utilize proprietary technology that is not covered by a patent or similar protection, and, in many cases, cannot be protected. We cannot be certain that:

- any additional patents will be issued on our applications;
- any patents we own now or in the future will protect our business against competitors that develop similar technology or products;
- our patents will be held valid if they are challenged or subjected to reexamination or reissue;
- others will not claim rights to our patented or other proprietary technologies; or
- others will not develop technologies which are similar to, or can compete with, our unpatented proprietary technologies.

If we cannot obtain patent or other protection for our proprietary technologies, our ability to compete in our markets could be impaired.

inTEST CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS (Continued)

Claims of intellectual property infringement by or against us could seriously harm our businesses.

From time to time, we may be forced to respond to or prosecute intellectual property infringement claims to defend or protect our rights or a customer's rights. These claims, regardless of merit, may consume valuable management time, result in costly litigation or cause product shipment delays. Any of these factors could seriously harm our business and operating results. We may have to enter into royalty or licensing agreements with third parties who claim infringement. These royalty or licensing agreements, if available, may be costly to us. If we are unable to enter into royalty or licensing agreements with satisfactory terms, our business could suffer. In instances where we have had reason to believe that we may be infringing the patent rights of others, or that someone may be infringing our patent rights, we have asked our patent counsel to evaluate the validity of the patents in question, as well as the potentially infringing conduct. If we become involved in a dispute, neither the third parties nor the courts are bound by our counsel's conclusions.

A substantial portion of our customers are located outside the U.S., which exposes us to foreign political and economic risks.

We have operated internationally for many years and expect to expand our international operations as necessary to continue expansion of our sales and service to our non-U.S. customers. Our foreign subsidiaries generated 16% and 11% of consolidated net revenues in 2013 and 2012, respectively. Net revenues from foreign customers totaled \$26.1 million, or 66% of consolidated net revenues in 2013 and \$27.5 million, or 63% of consolidated net revenues in 2012. We expect our net revenues from foreign customers will continue to represent a significant portion of total net revenues. However, in addition to the risks generally associated with sales and operations in the U.S., sales to customers outside the U.S. and operations in foreign countries are subject to additional risks, which may, in the future, affect our operations. These risks include:

- political and economic instability in foreign countries;
- the imposition of financial and operational controls and regulatory restrictions by foreign governments;
- the need to comply with a wide variety of U.S. and foreign import and export laws;
- local business and cultural factors that differ from our normal standards and practices, including business practices that we are prohibited from engaging in by the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) and other anti-corruption laws and regulations;
- trade restrictions;
- changes in tariffs and taxes;
- longer payment cycles;
- fluctuations in currency exchange rates; and
- the greater difficulty of administering business abroad.

A significant portion of our cash position is maintained overseas.

While much of our cash is in the U.S., a significant portion is generated from and maintained by our foreign operations. Our financial condition and results of operations could be adversely impacted if we are unable to maintain a sufficient level of cash flow in the U.S. to address our cash requirements or we are unable to efficiently and timely repatriate cash from overseas. Any payment of distributions, loans or advances to us by our foreign subsidiaries could be subject to restrictions on, or taxation of, dividends or repatriation of earnings under applicable local law, monetary transfer restrictions and foreign currency exchange regulations in the jurisdictions in which our subsidiaries operate. If we are unable to repatriate the earnings of our subsidiaries it could have an adverse impact on our ability to redeploy earnings in other jurisdictions where they could be used more profitably.

Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

inTEST CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Item 2. PROPERTIES

At December 31, 2013, we leased 6 facilities worldwide. The following chart provides information regarding each of our principal facilities that we occupied at December 31, 2013:

<u>Location</u>	<u>Lease Expiration</u>	<u>Approx. Square Footage</u>	<u>Principal Uses</u>
Mt. Laurel, NJ	4/21	54,897	Corporate headquarters and Mechanical Products segment operations.
Mansfield, MA	8/21	52,700	Thermal Products segment operations.
Fremont, CA	9/17	15,746	Electrical Products segment operations.

All of our facilities have space to accommodate our needs for the foreseeable future.

Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time we may be a party to legal proceedings occurring in the ordinary course of business. We are not currently involved in any material legal proceedings.

Item 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

* * * * *

PART II

Item 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Since October 15, 2013, our common stock has been traded on NYSE MKT under the symbol "INTT." Prior to that, our common stock was traded on the NASDAQ under the symbol "INTT". The following table sets forth the high and low sale prices of our common stock, as reported on the NYSE MKT LLC or the NASDAQ Capital Market, as the case may be, for the periods indicated. Sale prices have been rounded to the nearest full cent.

	<u>Sales Price</u>	
	<u>High</u>	<u>Low</u>
<u>2013</u>		
First Quarter.....	\$3.55	\$2.63
Second Quarter	3.87	2.81
Third Quarter	4.25	3.75
Fourth Quarter	4.13	3.66
<u>2012</u>		
First Quarter.....	\$3.85	\$2.74
Second Quarter	3.97	3.13
Third Quarter	3.64	2.28
Fourth Quarter	3.03	2.31

inTEST CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Item 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES (Continued)

On March 19, 2014, the closing price for our common stock as reported on the NYSE MKT LLC was \$4.05. As of March 19, 2014, we had 10,557,678 shares outstanding that were held of record by approximately 1,000 beneficial and record holders.

No dividends were paid on our common stock in the year ended December 31, 2013. On December 3, 2012, the Board of Directors declared a one-time special dividend of \$0.08 per share paid on December 17, 2012 to stockholders of record at the close of business on December 10, 2012. Payment of any future dividends will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors.

Item 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table contains certain selected consolidated financial data of inTEST and is qualified by the more detailed Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and should be read in conjunction with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the other financial information included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
	<i>(in thousands, except per share data)</i>				
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations Data:					
Net revenues	\$39,426	\$43,376	\$47,266	\$46,204	\$23,499
Gross margin	19,015	19,059	22,893	22,145	7,813
Operating income (loss).....	3,962	2,996	7,578	7,350	(5,046)
Net earnings (loss).....	3,077	2,156	9,863	7,252	(4,843)
Net earnings (loss) per common share:					
Basic	\$0.30	\$0.21	\$0.97	\$0.72	\$(0.49)
Diluted	\$0.30	\$0.21	\$0.96	\$0.72	\$(0.49)
Weighted average common shares outstanding :					
Basic	10,364	10,273	10,148	10,019	9,975
Diluted	10,419	10,347	10,286	10,142	9,975
	As of December 31,				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
	<i>(in thousands)</i>				
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$19,018	\$15,576	\$13,957	\$ 6,895	\$ 2,647
Working capital	24,749	21,000	19,759	11,793	6,252
Total assets	35,481	32,399	31,237	21,408	15,144
Long-term debt, net of current portion	-	-	-	-	1,144
Total stockholders' equity	31,149	27,820	26,199	16,104	8,594

Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Risk Factors and Forward-Looking Statements

In addition to historical information, this discussion and analysis contains statements relating to possible future events and results that are considered "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements can often be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as "believes," "expects," "intends," "may," "will," "should" or "anticipates" or similar terminology. See Part I, Item 1 - "Business - Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" for examples of statements made in this report which may be "forward-looking statements." These statements involve risks and uncertainties and are based on various assumptions. Although we believe that our expectations are

inTEST CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

based on reasonable assumptions, investors and prospective investors are cautioned that such statements are only projections, and there cannot be any assurance that these events or results will occur. Information about the primary risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual future results to differ materially from our historic results or the results described in the forward-looking statements made in this report or presented elsewhere by Management from time to time are included in Part I, Item 1A - "Risk Factors."

Overview

This MD&A should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Our business and results of operations are substantially dependent upon the demand for ATE by semiconductor manufacturers and companies that specialize in the testing of ICs. Demand for ATE is driven by semiconductor manufacturers that are opening new, or expanding existing, semiconductor fabrication facilities or upgrading existing equipment, which in turn is dependent upon the current and anticipated market demand for semiconductors and products incorporating semiconductors. In the past, the semiconductor industry has been highly cyclical with recurring periods of oversupply, which often have a severe impact on the semiconductor industry's demand for ATE, including the products we manufacture. This can cause wide fluctuations in both our orders and net revenues and, depending on our ability to react quickly to these shifts in demand, can significantly impact our results of operations.

ATE market cycles are difficult to predict and in recent years have become more volatile and, in certain cases, shorter in duration. Because the market cycles are generally characterized by sequential periods of growth or declines in orders and net revenues during each cycle, year over year comparisons of operating results may not always be as meaningful as comparisons of periods at similar points in either up or down cycles. In addition, during both downward and upward cycles in our industry, in any given quarter, the trend in both our orders and net revenues can be erratic. This can occur, for example, when orders are canceled or currently scheduled delivery dates are accelerated or postponed by a significant customer or when customer forecasts and general business conditions fluctuate during a quarter. We believe that purchases of most of our products are typically made from semiconductor manufacturers' capital expenditure budgets. Certain portions of our business, however, are generally less dependent upon the capital expenditure budgets of the end users. For example, purchases of certain of our products, such as docking hardware, for the purpose of upgrading or improving the utilization, performance and efficiency of existing ATE, tend to be counter cyclical to sales of new ATE. Moreover, we believe a portion of our sales of thermal products results from the increasing need for temperature testing of circuit boards and specialized components that do not have the design or quantity to be tested in an electronic device handler.

As part of our diversification strategy to reduce the impact of ATE market volatility on our business operations, we market our Thermostream temperature management systems in markets outside the ATE market, such as the automotive, consumer electronics, defense/aerospace, energy, industrial and telecommunications markets. Because we are a recent market entrant in these markets, we have not yet developed meaningful market shares in these non-ATE markets. Consequently, we are continuing to evaluate customer buying patterns or market trends in these non-ATE markets. We believe that these markets usually are less cyclical than the ATE market.

While the majority of our orders and net revenues are derived from the ATE market, our operating results do not always follow the overall trend in the ATE market in any given period. We believe that these anomalies may be driven by a variety of changes within the ATE market, including, for example, changing product requirements, longer time periods between new product offerings by OEMs and changes in customer buying patterns. In particular, demand for our mechanical and electrical products, which are sold exclusively within the ATE market, and our operating margins in these product segments have been affected by shifts in the competitive landscape, including (i) customers placing heightened emphasis on shorter lead times (which places increased demands on our available engineering and production capacity increasing unit costs) and ordering in smaller quantities (which prevents us from acquiring component materials in larger volumes at lower cost and increasing unit costs), (ii) the practice of OEM manufacturers to specify other suppliers as primary vendors, with less frequent opportunities to compete for such designations, (iii) the role of third-party test and assembly houses in the ATE market and their requirement of products with a greater range of use at the lowest cost, (iv) customer supply chain management groups demanding lower prices and spreading purchases across multiple vendors, and (v) certain competitors aggressively reducing their products' sales prices (causing us to either reduce our products' sales price to be successful in obtaining the sale or causing loss of the sale).

inTEST CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

In addition, in recent periods we have seen instances where demand for ATE is not consistent for each of our product segments or for any given product within a particular product segment. This inconsistency in demand for ATE can be driven by a number of factors, but in most cases we have found the primary reason is unique customer-specific changes in demand for certain products driven by the needs of their customers or markets served. These shifts in market practices and customer-specific needs have had, and may continue to have, varying levels of impact on our operating results and are difficult to quantify or predict from period to period. Management has taken, and will continue to take, such actions it deems appropriate to adjust our strategies, products and operations to counter such shifts in market practices as they become evident.

Orders and Backlog

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, a breakdown of the orders received from unaffiliated customers both by product segment and market.

	<u>Years Ended</u> <u>December 31,</u>		<u>Change</u>	
	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>\$ Amount</u>	<u>%</u>
Orders from unaffiliated customers:				
Thermal Products.....	\$21,953	\$23,844	\$(1,891)	(8)%
Mechanical Products.....	10,115	9,473	642	7
Electrical Products.....	<u>6,291</u>	<u>9,441</u>	<u>(3,150)</u>	<u>(33)</u>
	<u>\$38,359</u>	<u>\$42,758</u>	<u>\$(4,399)</u>	<u>(10)%</u>
ATE market	\$28,896	\$35,756	\$(6,860)	(19)%
Non-ATE market	<u>9,463</u>	<u>7,002</u>	<u>2,461</u>	<u>35</u>
	<u>\$38,359</u>	<u>\$42,758</u>	<u>\$(4,399)</u>	<u>(10)%</u>

Total consolidated orders for the year ended December 31, 2013 were \$38.4 million compared to \$42.8 million for 2012. The decline in consolidated orders reflected the weakened ATE demand that we began to experience in early 2012 and that continued throughout 2013. ATE orders declined \$6.9 million or 19% during 2013 as compared to 2012. Both our Thermal and Electrical Products segments experienced declines in their orders during 2013 as compared to 2012, however, each segment saw differing levels of reduction in demand. Our Thermal Products segment experienced a decline in demand from their ATE customers; however, this decline was partially offset by an increase in demand from customers in markets outside the ATE market, including the telecommunications, industrial and defense/aerospace markets. We attribute the higher percentage decrease in 2013 as compared to 2012 for our Electrical Products segment to the fact that during 2012, our Electrical Products segment experienced an unusually high level of demand from one particular OEM customer that was non-recurring.

The Mechanical Products segment experienced an increase in demand in 2013, reflecting increased demand from certain customers in the fourth quarter of 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012. We believe this increase in demand, although primarily driven by certain specific customers, also signals the beginning of a period of improved demand within the ATE market generally. Although we have experienced fluctuating levels of demand in the fourth quarter of 2013 and in the first quarter of 2014 for all of our products segments, we currently expect that demand in the ATE market will improve for all of our product segments during 2014. However, we cannot be certain how long this trend will continue nor can we be certain how significant the increases in demand will be for any future period for any of our product segments.

Orders from customers in various markets outside of the ATE market for the year ended December 31, 2013, grew by 35% during 2013 as compared to 2012 and increased from 16% of our consolidated orders in 2012 to 25% in 2013. We believe the increases in both our orders from customers in various markets outside the ATE market and those orders as a percentage of our consolidated orders reflect improved demand from the customers we serve in several of the markets outside the ATE market, including the telecommunications, industrial and defense/aerospace markets. The level of our orders in these non-ATE markets has varied in the past, and we expect it will vary significantly in the future as we build our presence in these markets and establish new markets for our products.

inTEST CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

At December 31, 2013, our backlog of unfilled orders for all products was approximately \$3.1 million compared with approximately \$4.2 million at December 31, 2012. Our backlog includes customer orders which we have accepted, substantially all of which we expect to deliver in 2014. While backlog is calculated on the basis of firm purchase orders, a customer may cancel an order or accelerate or postpone currently scheduled delivery dates. Our backlog may be affected by the tendency of customers to rely on short lead times available from suppliers, including us, in periods of depressed demand. In periods of increased demand, there is a tendency towards longer lead times that has the effect of increasing backlog. As a result, our backlog at a particular date is not necessarily indicative of sales for any future period.

Net Revenues

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, a breakdown of the net revenues from unaffiliated customers both by product segment and market.

	<u>Years Ended</u> <u>December 31,</u>		<u>Change</u>	
	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>\$ Amount</u>	<u>%</u>
<i>Net revenues from unaffiliated customers:</i>				
Thermal Products.....	\$22,962	\$24,307	\$(1,345)	(6)%
Mechanical Products.....	9,962	9,904	58	1
Electrical Products.....	<u>6,502</u>	<u>9,165</u>	<u>(2,663)</u>	<u>(29)</u>
	<u>\$39,426</u>	<u>\$43,376</u>	<u>\$(3,950)</u>	<u>(9)%</u>
ATE market	\$29,349	\$35,554	\$(6,205)	(17)%
Non-ATE market	<u>10,077</u>	<u>7,822</u>	<u>2,255</u>	<u>29</u>
	<u>\$39,426</u>	<u>\$43,376</u>	<u>\$(3,950)</u>	<u>(9)%</u>

The trends in our consolidated net revenues in 2013 were similar to the trends previously discussed with regard to our orders. We believe the reduced level of net revenues from the ATE market in 2013 as compared to 2012, primarily for our Thermal and Electrical Products segments, are indicative of weakened demand in the ATE market. We believe the increased level of net revenues from customers outside the ATE market reflects increased penetration into these new markets.

In addition, in the past several years we have seen a developing trend towards increasing captive manufacturing of manipulators by some tester manufacturers. While this trend began several years ago, it is only since 2012 that this action began to significantly reduce the size of the available market for non-captive manufactured manipulator products. This trend has resulted in reduced levels of net revenues in our Mechanical Products segment in 2012 and 2013 as compared to prior years. As a result, our Mechanical Products segment has experienced significant operating losses in both 2012 and 2013. We are currently exploring various options with a goal of both increasing our level of net revenues as well as reducing our overall level of variable and fixed costs for this product segment.

Although we have seen a similar trend towards increasing captive manufacturing develop in the available markets for docking hardware and tester interface products as well, our net revenues for docking hardware and tester interface products have not been as negatively affected by this trend as those of our manipulator products.

Product/Customer Mix

Our three product segments each have multiple products that we design, manufacture and market to our customers. Due to a number of factors, our products have varying levels of gross margin. The mix of products we sell in any period is ultimately determined by our customers' needs. Therefore, the mix of products sold in any given period can change significantly from the prior period. As a result, our consolidated gross margin can be significantly impacted in any given period by a change in the mix of products sold in that period.

inTEST CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

We sell most of our products to semiconductor manufacturers and third-party test and assembly houses (end user sales) and to ATE manufacturers (OEM sales) who ultimately resell our equipment with theirs to semiconductor manufacturers. Our Thermal Products segment also sells into a variety of other markets including the automotive, consumer electronics, defense/aerospace, energy, industrial and telecommunications markets. The mix of customers during any given period will affect our gross margin due to differing sales discounts and commissions. For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, our OEM sales as a percentage of net revenues were 12% and 16%, respectively.

OEM sales generally have a lower gross margin than end user sales, as OEM sales historically have had a more significant discount. Our current net operating margins on most OEM sales, however, are only slightly less than margins on end user sales because of the payment of third party sales commissions on most end user sales. We have also continued to experience demands from our OEM customers' supply line managers to reduce our sales prices to them. If we cannot further reduce our manufacturing and operating costs, these pricing pressures will negatively affect our gross and operating margins.

Results of Operations

The results of operations for our three product segments are generally affected by the same factors. Separate discussions and analyses for each product segment would be repetitive and obscure any unique factors that affected the results of operations of our different product segments. The discussion and analysis that follows, therefore, is presented on a consolidated basis and includes discussion of factors unique to each product segment where significant to an understanding of that segment.

Year Ended December 31, 2013 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2012

Net Revenues. Net revenues were \$39.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$43.4 million for the same period in 2012, a decrease of \$4.0 million or 9%. For the year ended December 31, 2013, the net revenues of our Thermal and Electrical Products segments decreased \$1.3 million or 6% and \$2.7 million or 29%, respectively, while the net revenues of our Mechanical Products segment were relatively unchanged as compared to the same period in 2012. We believe the decrease in our net revenues during 2013 primarily reflects the factors previously discussed in the Overview.

Gross Margin. Gross margin was 48% for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to 44% for the same period in 2012. The improvement in the gross margin was primarily the result of a reduction in our component material costs as a percentage of net revenues, which decreased from 36% of net revenues for 2012 to 34% of net revenues for 2013. We attribute the decrease to changes in customer and product mix. In addition, the improvement in gross margin reflects a \$377,000 reduction in the level of charges for obsolete and excess inventory in 2013 as compared to 2012. The reduction in these charges primarily reflects fewer items falling into our standard objective criteria. Finally, our fixed operating costs decreased from 16% of net revenues in 2012 to 15% of net revenues in 2013. In absolute dollar terms, these costs declined \$979,000 in 2013 as compared to 2012. This decrease primarily reflects reduced headcount in our Thermal and Mechanical Products segments.

Selling Expense. Selling expense was relatively unchanged at \$5.4 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. During 2013, commissions paid to our internal sales staff in our Thermal and Electrical Products segments declined \$148,000 reflecting the lower revenues levels in these segments during 2013 as compared to 2012. To a lesser extent, there were reductions in warranty related expenses, freight and installation costs, also driven by the reduced sales levels in 2013. These decreases were almost fully offset by a \$255,000 increase in salary and benefits expense primarily as a result of an increase in our sales staff in our Thermal and Electrical Products segments.

Engineering and Product Development Expense. Engineering and product development expense was \$3.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$3.9 million for the same period in 2012, a decrease of \$212,000 or 5%. The decrease primarily reflects a reduction in the use of third party product development consultants and related development supplies in our Thermal Products segment. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in patent legal costs in our Mechanical and Thermal Products segments.

inTEST CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

General and Administrative Expense. General and administrative expense was \$6.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$6.4 million for the same period in 2012, a decrease of \$455,000 or 7%. During 2012, we recorded \$337,000 in costs associated with the acquisition of Thermonics and \$55,000 in costs related to the relocation of our Electrical Products segment's operation in California. There were no similar costs recorded in 2013. To a lesser extent, the decrease also reflects lower levels of professional fees.

Restructuring and Other Charges. Restructuring and other charges were \$313,000 for 2012. There were no similar charges for 2013. The restructuring and other charges recorded during 2012 represent facility closure costs related to the closure of the Sunnyvale, California facility occupied by Thermonics at the time of our acquisition of this operation.

Income Tax Expense. For the year ended December 31, 2013, we recorded income tax expense of \$931,000 compared to \$897,000 for the same period in 2012. Our effective tax rate was 23% for 2013 compared to 29% for 2012. On a quarterly basis, we record income tax expense or benefit based on the expected annualized effective tax rate for the various taxing jurisdictions in which we operate our businesses. The reduction in our effective tax rate in 2013 as compared to 2012 primarily reflects the recording of the effect of the reinstatement of certain domestic research and development tax credits which was enacted in January 2013, as well as the recording of additional benefits in connection with the finalization of an audit of our German operation and, to a lesser extent, additional foreign tax credits determined as a part of the process of finalizing and filing our 2012 federal income tax return, both of which occurred in September 2013.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As discussed more fully in the Overview, our business and results of operations are substantially dependent upon the demand for ATE by semiconductor manufacturers and companies that specialize in the testing of ICs. The cyclical and volatile nature of demand for ATE makes estimates of future revenues, results of operations and net cash flows difficult.

Our primary historical source of liquidity and capital resources has been cash flow generated by our operations and we manage our businesses to maximize operating cash flows as our primary source of liquidity. We use cash to fund growth in our operating assets, for new product research and development and for acquisitions.

Liquidity

Our cash and cash equivalents and working capital were as follows:

	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Cash and cash equivalents	\$19,018	\$15,576
Working capital	\$24,749	\$21,000

As of December 31, 2013, \$2.3 million of our cash and cash equivalents was held by our foreign subsidiaries. If these funds are needed for our operations in the U.S., we may be required to accrue and pay U.S. taxes if we repatriate certain of these funds. Our intent is to indefinitely reinvest these funds in our foreign operations and we have no current plans that would require us to repatriate these funds to the U.S.

We currently expect our cash and cash equivalents and projected future cash flow to be sufficient to support our short term working capital requirements. We do not currently have any credit facilities under which we can borrow to help fund our working capital or other requirements.

Cash Flows

Operating Activities. Net cash provided by operations for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$3.8 million. During 2013, we recorded net earnings of \$3.1 million, which included non-cash charges of \$847,000 for depreciation and amortization, \$311,000 for excess and obsolete inventory charges and \$307,000 of deferred income tax expense. During 2013, accounts receivable and inventories increased \$233,000 and \$416,000, respectively, compared to the levels at the end of 2012. These

inTEST CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

increases primarily reflect increased business activity during the fourth quarter of 2013 as compared to the fourth quarter of 2012. During 2013, deferred revenue and customer deposits decreased \$182,000 primarily reflecting the completion during 2013 of certain long-term projects that had been ongoing at the end of 2012 in our Thermal Products segment.

Investing Activities. During 2013 purchases of property and equipment were \$424,000 which primarily represent additions to leased systems in our Thermal Products segment. We have no significant commitments for capital expenditures for 2014, however, depending upon changes in market demand, we may make such purchases as we deem necessary and appropriate.

Financing Activities. During 2013 there were no significant cash flows from financing activities.

New or Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

See Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements for information concerning the implementation and impact of new or recently adopted accounting standards.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("U.S. GAAP") requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to inventories, long-lived assets, goodwill, identifiable intangibles and deferred income tax valuation allowances. We base our estimates on historical experience and on appropriate and customary assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Some of these accounting estimates and assumptions are particularly sensitive because of their significance to our consolidated financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ markedly from what had been assumed when the financial statements were prepared.

Inventory Valuation

Inventories are valued at cost on a first-in, first-out basis, not in excess of market value. On a quarterly basis, we review our inventories and record excess and obsolete inventory charges based upon our established objective excess and obsolete inventory criteria. These criteria identify material that has not been used in a work order during the prior twelve months and the quantity of material on hand that is greater than the average annual usage of that material over the prior three years. In certain cases, additional excess and obsolete inventory charges are recorded based upon current market conditions, anticipated product life cycles, new product introductions and expected future use of the inventory. The excess and obsolete inventory charges we record establish a new cost basis for the related inventories. During 2013 and 2012, we recorded inventory obsolescence charges for excess and obsolete inventory of \$311,000 and \$688,000, respectively.

Goodwill, Intangible and Long-Lived Assets

We account for goodwill and intangible assets in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 350 (Intangibles-Goodwill and Other). Finite-lived intangible assets are amortized over their estimated useful economic life and are carried at cost less accumulated amortization. Goodwill is assessed for impairment at least annually in the fourth quarter, on a reporting unit basis, or more frequently when events and circumstances occur indicating that the recorded goodwill may be impaired. As a part of the goodwill impairment assessment, we have the option to perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more-likely-than-not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If we determine this is the case, we are required to perform a two-step goodwill impairment test to identify potential goodwill impairment and measure the amount of goodwill impairment loss to be recognized. The two-step test is discussed below. If we determine that it is more-likely-than-not that the fair value of the reporting unit is greater than its carrying amounts, the two-step goodwill impairment test is not required.

If we determine it is more-likely-than-not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as a result of our qualitative assessment, we will perform a quantitative two-step goodwill impairment test. In the Step I test, the fair value of a reporting unit is computed and compared with its book value. If the book value of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, a Step II test is performed in which the implied fair value of goodwill is compared with the carrying amount of goodwill. If the

inTEST CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

carrying amount of goodwill exceeds the implied fair value, an impairment loss is recorded in an amount equal to that excess. The two-step goodwill impairment assessment is based upon a combination of the income approach, which estimates the fair value of our reporting units based upon a discounted cash flow approach, and the market approach which estimates the fair value of our reporting units based upon comparable market multiples. This fair value is then reconciled to our market capitalization at year end with an appropriate control premium. The determination of the fair value of our reporting units requires management to make significant estimates and assumptions including the selection of appropriate peer group companies, control premiums, discount rate, terminal growth rates, forecasts of revenue and expense growth rates, changes in working capital, depreciation, amortization and capital expenditures. Changes in assumptions concerning future financial results or other underlying assumptions would have a significant impact on either the fair value of the reporting unit or the amount of the goodwill impairment charge. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, goodwill was \$1.7 million. We did not record any impairment charges related to our goodwill during 2013 or 2012.

Indefinite-lived intangible assets are assessed for impairment at least annually in the fourth quarter, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. As a part of the impairment assessment, we have the option to perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired. If, as a result of our qualitative assessment, we determine that it is more-likely-than-not that the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible asset is less than its carrying amount, the quantitative impairment test is required. Otherwise, no further testing is required. The quantitative impairment test consists of a comparison of the fair value of the intangible asset with its carrying amount. If the carrying amount of the intangible asset exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to that excess. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, our indefinite-lived intangible asset was \$510,000. We did not record any impairment charges related to our indefinite-lived intangible asset during 2013 or 2012.

Long-lived assets, which consist of finite-lived intangible assets and property and equipment, are assessed for impairment whenever events or changes in business circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be fully recoverable or that the useful lives of these assets are no longer appropriate. Each impairment test is based on a comparison of the estimated undiscounted cash flows to the recorded value of the asset. If impairment is indicated, the asset is written down to its estimated fair value. The cash flow estimates used to determine the impairment, if any, contain management's best estimates using appropriate assumptions and projections at that time. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, finite-lived intangibles and long-lived assets were \$2.5 million and \$2.9 million, respectively. We did not record any impairment charges related to our long-lived assets during 2013 or 2012.

Income Taxes

Deferred tax assets are analyzed to determine if there will be sufficient taxable income in the future in order to realize such assets. We assess all of the positive and negative evidence concerning the realizability of the deferred tax assets, including our historical results of operations for the recent past and our projections of future results of operations, in which we make subjective determinations of future events. If, after assessing all of the evidence, both positive and negative, a determination is made that the realizability of the deferred tax assets is not more likely than not, we establish a deferred tax valuation allowance for all or a portion of the deferred tax assets depending upon the specific facts. If any of the significant assumptions were changed, materially different results could occur, which could significantly change the amount of the deferred tax valuation allowance established. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, we had a net deferred tax asset of \$1.7 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, and a deferred tax valuation allowance of \$287,000 and \$573,000, respectively.

Off -Balance Sheet Arrangements

There were no off-balance sheet arrangements during the year ended December 31, 2013 that have or are reasonably likely to have, a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that is material to our interests.

Item 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

This disclosure is not required for a smaller reporting company.

inTEST CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Consolidated financial statements are set forth in this Report beginning at page F-1 and are incorporated by reference into this Item 8.

Item 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

CEO and CFO Certifications. Included with this Annual Report as Exhibits 31.1 and 31.2 are two certifications, one by each of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer (the "Section 302 Certifications"). This Item 9A contains information concerning the evaluations of our disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting that are referred to in the Section 302 Certifications. This information should be read in conjunction with the Section 302 Certifications for a more complete understanding of the topics presented.

Evaluation of Our Disclosure Controls and Procedures. The SEC requires that as of the end of the year covered by this Report, our CEO and CFO must evaluate the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures and report on the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures.

"Disclosure controls and procedures" mean the controls and other procedures that are designed with the objective of ensuring that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), such as this Report, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms promulgated by the SEC. Disclosure controls and procedures are also designed with the objective of ensuring that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the CEO and CFO, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls. Our management, including the CEO and CFO, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, as opposed to absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within an entity have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, a system of controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected. Accordingly, our management has designed the disclosure controls and procedures to provide reasonable assurance that the objectives of the control system were met.

CEO/CFO Conclusions about the Effectiveness of the Disclosure Controls and Procedures. As required by Rule 13a-15(b), inTEST management, including our CEO and CFO, conducted an evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this Report, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, our CEO and CFO concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this Report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by our Board of Directors, management and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial

inTEST CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES (Continued)

statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

1. Pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets;
2. Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and
3. Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) on Internal Control-Integrated Framework. Based upon this assessment, management believes that, as of December 31, 2013, our internal control over financial reporting is effective at a reasonable assurance level.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of our independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting, as such an attestation is not required pursuant to rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission applicable to smaller reporting companies.

Item 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

* * * * *

PART III

Item 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement for our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC on or before April 30, 2014, or, if our proxy statement is not filed on or before April 30, 2014, will be filed by that date by an amendment to this Form 10-K.

Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement for our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC on or before April 30, 2014, or, if our proxy statement is not filed on or before April 30, 2014, will be filed by that date by an amendment to this Form 10-K.

Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by Item 201(d) of Regulation S-K is set forth below. The remainder of the information required by this Item 12 is incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement for our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC on or before April 30, 2014, or, if our proxy statement is not filed on or before April 30, 2014, will be filed by that date by an amendment to this Form 10-K.

inTEST CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS (Continued)

The following table shows the number of securities that may be issued pursuant to our equity compensation plans (including individual compensation arrangements) as of December 31, 2013:

Equity Compensation Plan Information

<u>Plan Category</u>	<u>Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans⁽²⁾</u>
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	10,000	\$5.66	62,500
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders .	-	-	-
Total.....	<u>10,000</u>	<u>\$5.66</u>	<u>62,500</u>

(1) The securities that may be issued are shares of inTEST common stock, issuable upon exercise of outstanding stock options.

(2) The securities that remain available for future issuance are issuable pursuant to the 2007 Stock Plan.

Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement for our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC on or before April 30, 2014, or, if our proxy statement is not filed on or before April 30, 2014, will be filed by that date by an amendment to this Form 10-K.

Item 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement for our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC on or before April 30, 2014, or, if our proxy statement is not filed on or before April 30, 2014, will be filed by that date by an amendment to this Form 10-K.

* * * * *

PART IV

Item 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) The documents filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K are:

- (i) Our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto as well as the applicable report of our independent registered public accounting firm are included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.
- (ii) The following financial statement schedule should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements set forth in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K:
Schedule II -- Valuation and Qualifying Accounts
- (iii) The exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K are included under Item 15(b) of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(b) Exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K:

A list of the Exhibits which are required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K and filed with this Report is set forth in the Exhibit Index immediately following the signature page, which Exhibit Index is incorporated herein by reference.

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

inTEST Corporation

March 27, 2014

By: /s/ Robert E. Matthiessen
Robert E. Matthiessen
President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

/s/ Robert E. Matthiessen
Robert E. Matthiessen, President,
Chief Executive Officer and Director
(Principal Executive Officer)

March 27, 2014

/s/ Hugh T. Regan, Jr.
Hugh T. Regan, Jr., Treasurer, Chief
Financial Officer and Secretary
(Principal Financial Officer)

March 27, 2014

/s/ Alyn R. Holt
Alyn R. Holt, Executive Chairman

March 27, 2014

/s/ Steven J. Abrams
Steven J. Abrams, Esq., Director

March 27, 2014

/s/ Stuart F. Daniels
Stuart F. Daniels, Ph.D, Director

March 27, 2014

/s/ William Kraut
William Kraut, Director

March 27, 2014

/s/ James W. Schwartz
James W. Schwartz, Esq., Director

March 27, 2014

Index to Exhibits (A)

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Description of Exhibit</u>
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation. (1)
3.2	Bylaws as amended on December 5, 2013. (2)
10.1	Lease Agreement between Exeter 804 East Gate, LLC and the Company dated May 10, 2010. (3)
10.2	Lease Agreement between AMB-SGP Seattle/Boston, LLC and Temptronic Corporation (a subsidiary of the Company), dated October 25, 2010. (4)
10.3	Lease Agreements between Columbia California Warm Springs Industrial, LLC and inTEST Silicon Valley Corporation dated January 9, 2012. (5)
10.4	Guaranty Agreements between Columbia California Warm Springs Industrial, LLC and inTEST Corporation dated January 9, 2012. (5)
10.5	inTEST Corporation Amended and Restated 1997 Stock Plan. (6)(*)
10.6	inTEST Corporation 2007 Stock Plan. (7)(*)
10.7	Form of Restricted Stock Grant. (8)(*)
10.8	Form of Stock Option Grant - Director. (8)(*)
10.9	Form of Stock Option Grant - Officer. (8)(*)
10.10	Change of Control Agreement dated August 27, 2007 between the Company and Robert E. Matthiessen. (9)(*)
10.11	Change of Control Agreement dated August 27, 2007 between the Company and Hugh T. Regan, Jr. (9)(*)
10.12	Change of Control Agreement dated May 5, 2008 between the Company and Daniel J. Graham. (10)(*)
10.13	Change of Control Agreement dated May 5, 2008 between the Company and James Pelrin. (10)(*)
10.14	Amendment to Change of Control Agreement dated December 31, 2008 between the Company and Robert E. Matthiessen. (11)(*)
10.15	Amendment to Change of Control Agreement dated December 31, 2008 between the Company and Hugh T. Regan, Jr. (11)(*)
10.16	Amendment to Change of Control Agreement dated December 31, 2008 between the Company and Daniel J. Graham. (11)(*)
10.17	Amendment to Change of Control Agreement dated December 31, 2008 between the Company and James Pelrin. (11)(*)
10.18	Compensatory Arrangements of Executive Officers and Directors. (*)
14	Code of Ethics. (12)
21	Subsidiaries of the Company.
23	Consent of McGladrey LLP.
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Index to Exhibits (A)

(Continued)

- (1) Previously filed by the Company as an exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, File No. 333-26457 filed May 2, 1997, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (2) Previously filed by the Company as an exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2013, File No. 001-36117, filed December 9, 2013, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (3) Previously filed by the Company as an exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 10, 2010, File No. 000-22529, filed May 13, 2010, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (4) Previously filed by the Company as an exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 27, 2010, File No. 000-22529, filed October 29, 2010, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (5) Previously filed by the Company as an exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012, File No. 000-22529, filed May 15, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (6) Previously filed as an appendix to the Company's Proxy Statement filed April 25, 2002, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (7) Previously filed as an appendix to the Company's Proxy Statement filed April 27, 2007, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (8) Previously filed by the Company as an exhibit to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, File No. 000-22529, filed March 31, 2005, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (9) Previously filed by the Company as an exhibit to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, File No. 000-22529, filed March 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (10) Previously filed by the Company as an exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2008, File No. 000-22529, filed August 14, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (11) Previously filed by the Company as an exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, File No. 000-22529, filed August 14, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (12) Previously filed by the Company as an exhibit to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003, File No. 000-22529, filed March 30, 2004, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (*) Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan, contract or arrangement in which a director or executive officers participate.
- (A) Copies of the exhibits which were filed with the SEC are not included in this Annual Report to Stockholders but may be obtained electronically through our website at www.intest.com or through the SEC's website at www.sec.gov.

inTEST CORPORATION

**INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND
FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE**

	<u>Page</u>
REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	F - 1
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012	F - 2
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012	F - 3
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Earnings for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012	F - 3
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012	F - 4
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012	F - 5
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F - 6
FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE	
Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	F - 24

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To The Board of Directors and Stockholders
inTEST Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of inTEST Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive earnings, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule of inTEST Corporation listed in Item 15(a). These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of inTEST Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

/s/ McGLADREY LLP

Blue Bell, Pennsylvania
March 27, 2014

inTEST CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(In thousands, except share and per share data)

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
ASSETS:		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents.....	\$19,018	\$15,576
Trade accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$147 and \$147, respectively.....	5,748	5,501
Inventories	3,243	3,135
Deferred tax assets	701	1,004
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	371	363
Total current assets.....	<u>29,081</u>	<u>25,579</u>
Property and equipment:		
Machinery and equipment.....	4,190	3,948
Leasehold improvements	594	591
Gross property and equipment	4,784	4,539
Less: accumulated depreciation	(3,530)	(3,289)
Net property and equipment.....	<u>1,254</u>	<u>1,250</u>
Deferred tax assets	1,030	1,034
Goodwill	1,706	1,706
Intangible assets, net.....	1,748	2,194
Restricted certificates of deposit.....	450	450
Other assets.....	212	186
Total assets.....	<u>\$35,481</u>	<u>\$32,399</u>
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable.....	\$ 1,064	\$ 1,041
Accrued wages and benefits.....	1,635	1,562
Accrued sales commissions	305	348
Accrued rent.....	577	529
Accrued professional fees	367	385
Deferred revenue and customer deposits	74	255
Domestic and foreign income taxes payable.....	83	83
Other current liabilities	227	376
Total current liabilities.....	<u>4,332</u>	<u>4,579</u>
Commitments and Contingencies (Notes 11 and 13)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued or outstanding.....	-	-
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 20,000,000 shares authorized; 10,590,755 and 10,453,255 shares issued, respectively	106	105
Additional paid-in capital.....	26,187	26,030
Retained earnings (accumulated deficit).....	3,713	636
Accumulated other comprehensive earnings.....	1,347	1,253
Treasury stock, at cost; 33,077 and 33,077 shares, respectively.....	(204)	(204)
Total stockholders' equity.....	<u>31,149</u>	<u>27,820</u>
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$35,481</u>	<u>\$32,399</u>

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

inTEST CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(In thousands, except share and per share data)

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Net revenues	\$39,426	\$43,376
Cost of revenues.....	<u>20,411</u>	<u>24,317</u>
Gross margin.....	<u>19,015</u>	<u>19,059</u>
Operating expenses:		
Selling expense	5,395	5,425
Engineering and product development expense.....	3,683	3,895
General and administrative expense.....	5,975	6,430
Restructuring and other charges.....	<u>-</u>	<u>313</u>
Total operating expenses.....	<u>15,053</u>	<u>16,063</u>
Operating income.....	3,962	2,996
Other income.....	<u>46</u>	<u>57</u>
Earnings before income tax expense.....	4,008	3,053
Income tax expense.....	<u>931</u>	<u>897</u>
Net earnings	<u>\$ 3,077</u>	<u>\$ 2,156</u>
Net earnings per common share:		
Basic	\$0.30	\$0.21
Diluted	\$0.30	\$0.21
Weighted average common shares outstanding:		
Basic	10,363,678	10,273,377
Diluted	10,419,103	10,347,077

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

inTEST CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE EARNINGS
(In thousands)

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Net earnings.....	\$3,077	\$2,156
Foreign currency translation adjustments.....	<u>94</u>	<u>36</u>
Comprehensive earnings	<u>\$3,171</u>	<u>\$2,192</u>

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

inTEST CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
(In thousands, except share data)

	Common Stock Shares	Amount	Additional Paid-In Capital	(Accumulated Deficit) Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Earnings	Treasury Stock	Total Stockholders' Equity
Balance, January 1, 2012	10,463,255	\$105	\$26,035	\$ (686)	\$1,217	\$(472)	\$26,199
Net earnings	-	-	-	2,156	-	-	2,156
Cash dividends of \$0.08 per common share	-	-	-	(834)	-	-	(834)
Other comprehensive earnings	-	-	-	-	36	-	36
Amortization of deferred compensation related to restricted stock	-	-	113	-	-	-	113
Forfeiture of non-vested shares of restricted stock	(10,000)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Issuance of 43,251 shares of satisfy profit sharing expense	-	-	(118)	-	-	268	150
Balance, December 31, 2012	10,453,255	105	26,030	636	1,253	(204)	27,820
Net earnings	-	-	-	3,077	-	-	3,077
Other comprehensive earnings	-	-	-	-	94	-	94
Amortization of deferred compensation related to restricted stock	-	-	128	-	-	-	128
Issuance of non-vested shares of restricted stock	127,500	1	(1)	-	-	-	-
Stock options exercised	10,000	-	30	-	-	-	30
Balance, December 31, 2013	10,590,755	\$106	\$26,187	\$3,713	\$1,347	\$(204)	\$31,149

inTEST CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In thousands)

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net earnings	\$ 3,077	\$ 2,156
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	847	933
Provision for excess and obsolete inventory	311	688
Foreign exchange (gain) loss	4	(12)
Amortization of deferred compensation related to restricted stock.....	128	113
Profit sharing expense funded through the issuance of treasury stock.....	-	150
Gain on sale of property and equipment	(3)	-
Proceeds from sale of demonstration equipment, net of gain	32	109
Deferred income tax expense	307	443
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Trade accounts receivable	(233)	1,868
Inventories.....	(416)	948
Prepaid expenses and other current assets.....	(6)	(60)
Restricted certificates of deposit	-	50
Other assets	(18)	(3)
Accounts payable	23	(67)
Accrued wages and benefits.....	63	(237)
Accrued sales commissions	(43)	(227)
Accrued rent.....	48	122
Accrued professional fees	(19)	(66)
Deferred revenue and customer deposits	(182)	(171)
Domestic and foreign income taxes payable.....	-	75
Other current liabilities	(150)	(167)
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>3,770</u>	<u>6,645</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Acquisition of business	-	(3,802)
Purchase of property and equipment	(424)	(431)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	10	19
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(414)</u>	<u>(4,214)</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Cash dividends paid	-	(834)
Proceeds from stock options exercised	30	-
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	<u>30</u>	<u>(834)</u>
Effects of exchange rates on cash	56	22
Net cash provided by all activities	3,442	1,619
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	<u>15,576</u>	<u>13,957</u>
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$19,018</u>	<u>\$15,576</u>
Cash payments for:		
Domestic and foreign income taxes	\$ 623	\$ 379
Interest	-	8
Details of acquisition:		
Fair value of assets acquired		\$ 4,026
Liabilities assumed.....		(274)
Goodwill resulting from acquisition		<u>50</u>
Net cash paid for acquisition.....		<u>\$ 3,802</u>
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Issuance of non-vested shares of restricted stock	\$ 462	\$ -
Forfeiture of non-vested shares of restricted stock	\$ -	\$ (14)

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

inTEST CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands, except share and per share data)

(1) NATURE OF OPERATIONS

We are an independent designer, manufacturer and marketer of thermal, mechanical and electrical products that are primarily used by semiconductor manufacturers in conjunction with automatic test equipment ("ATE") in the testing of integrated circuits ("ICs" or "semiconductors"). In addition, in recent years we have begun marketing our thermal products in markets outside the ATE market, such as the automotive, consumer electronics, defense/aerospace, energy, industrial and telecommunications markets.

The consolidated entity is comprised of inTEST Corporation (parent) and our wholly-owned subsidiaries. We have three reportable segments which are also our reporting units: Thermal Products, Mechanical Products and Electrical Products. We manufacture our products in the U.S. Marketing and support activities are conducted worldwide from our facilities in the U.S., Germany and Singapore. On January 16, 2012, Temptronic Corporation ("Temptronic"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of inTEST Corporation, acquired substantially all of the assets and certain liabilities of Thermonics, Inc. ("Thermonics"), a division of Test Enterprises, Inc. The acquisition of the Thermonics business broadens the product line of inTEST's Thermal Products Segment. This acquisition is discussed further in Note 3.

The semiconductor market in which we operate is characterized by rapid technological change, competitive pricing pressures and cyclical market patterns. This market is subject to significant economic downturns at various times. Our financial results are affected by a wide variety of factors, including, but not limited to, general economic conditions worldwide and in the markets in which we operate, economic conditions specific to the semiconductor market and the other markets we serve, our ability to safeguard patented technology and intellectual property in a rapidly evolving market, downward pricing pressures from customers, and our reliance on a relatively few number of customers for a significant portion of our sales. In addition, we are exposed to the risk of obsolescence of our inventory depending on the mix of future business and technological changes within the semiconductor market. As a result of these or other factors, we may experience significant period-to-period fluctuations in future operating results.

(2) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation and Use of Estimates

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include our accounts and those of our wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated upon consolidation. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Certain of our accounts, including inventories, long-lived assets, goodwill, identifiable intangibles, deferred income tax valuation allowances and product warranty reserves, are particularly impacted by estimates.

Reclassification

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to be comparable with the current year's presentation.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Short-term investments that have maturities of three months or less when purchased are considered to be cash equivalents and are carried at cost, which approximates market value.

inTEST CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands, except share and per share data)

(2) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Trade Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Trade accounts receivable are recorded at the invoiced amount and do not bear interest. We grant credit to customers and generally require no collateral. To minimize our risk, we perform ongoing credit evaluations of our customers' financial condition. The allowance for doubtful accounts is our best estimate of the amount of probable credit losses in our existing accounts receivable. We determine the allowance based on historical write-off experience and the aging of such receivables, among other factors. Account balances are charged off against the allowance after all means of collection have been exhausted and the potential for recovery is considered remote. We do not have any off-balance sheet credit exposure related to our customers. Bad debt expense (recovery) was \$0 and \$(8) for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Cash flows from accounts receivable are recorded in operating cash flows.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Our financial instruments, principally accounts receivable and accounts payable, are carried at cost which approximates fair value, due to the short maturities of the accounts.

Inventories

Inventories are valued at cost on a first-in, first-out basis, not in excess of market value. Cash flows from the sale of inventories are recorded in operating cash flows. On a quarterly basis, we review our inventories and record excess and obsolete inventory charges based upon our established objective excess and obsolete inventory criteria. These criteria identify material that has not been used in a work order during the prior twelve months and the quantity of material on hand that is greater than the average annual usage of that material over the prior three years. In certain cases, additional excess and obsolete inventory charges are recorded based upon current market conditions, anticipated product life cycles, new product introductions and expected future use of the inventory. The excess and obsolete inventory charges we record establish a new cost basis for the related inventories. We incurred excess and obsolete inventory charges of \$311 and \$688 for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Property and Equipment

Machinery and equipment are stated at cost. As further discussed below under "Goodwill, Intangible and Long-Lived Assets," machinery and equipment that has been determined to be impaired is written down to its fair value at the time of the impairment. Depreciation is based upon the estimated useful life of the assets using the straight-line method. The estimated useful lives range from one to ten years. Leasehold improvements are recorded at cost and amortized over the shorter of the lease term or the estimated useful life of the asset. We record leasehold improvements made to our leased facilities based on the amount of the total cost to construct the improvements regardless of whether a portion of that cost was paid through an allowance provided by the facility's landlord. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to operations as incurred. Total depreciation expense was \$401 and \$457 for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Goodwill, Intangible and Long-Lived Assets

We account for goodwill and intangible assets in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 350 (Intangibles-Goodwill and Other). Finite-lived intangible assets are amortized over their estimated useful economic life and are carried at cost less accumulated amortization. Goodwill is assessed for impairment at least annually in the fourth quarter, on a reporting unit basis, or more frequently when events and circumstances occur indicating that the recorded goodwill may be impaired. As a part of the goodwill impairment assessment, we have the option to perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more-likely-than-not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If we determine this is the case, we are required to perform a two-step goodwill impairment test to identify potential goodwill impairment and measure the amount of goodwill impairment loss to be recognized. The two-step test is discussed below. If we determine that it is more-likely-than-not that the fair value of the reporting unit is greater than its carrying amounts, the two-step goodwill impairment test is not required.

inTEST CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands, except share and per share data)

(2) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

If we determine it is more-likely-than-not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as a result of our qualitative assessment, we will perform a quantitative two-step goodwill impairment test. In the Step I test, the fair value of a reporting unit is computed and compared with its book value. If the book value of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, a Step II test is performed in which the implied fair value of goodwill is compared with the carrying amount of goodwill. If the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds the implied fair value, an impairment loss is recorded in an amount equal to that excess. The two-step goodwill impairment assessment is based upon a combination of the income approach, which estimates the fair value of our reporting units based upon a discounted cash flow approach, and the market approach which estimates the fair value of our reporting units based upon comparable market multiples. This fair value is then reconciled to our market capitalization at year end with an appropriate control premium. The determination of the fair value of our reporting units requires management to make significant estimates and assumptions including the selection of appropriate peer group companies, control premiums, discount rate, terminal growth rates, forecasts of revenue and expense growth rates, changes in working capital, depreciation, amortization and capital expenditures. Changes in assumptions concerning future financial results or other underlying assumptions would have a significant impact on either the fair value of the reporting unit or the amount of the goodwill impairment charge.

Indefinite-lived intangible assets are assessed for impairment at least annually in the fourth quarter, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. As a part of the impairment assessment, we have the option to perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired. If, as a result of our qualitative assessment, we determine that it is more-likely-than-not that the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible asset is less than its carrying amount, the quantitative impairment test is required. Otherwise, no further testing is required. The quantitative impairment test consists of a comparison of the fair value of the intangible asset with its carrying amount. If the carrying amount of the intangible asset exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to that excess.

Long-lived assets, which consist of finite-lived intangible assets and property and equipment, are assessed for impairment whenever events or changes in business circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be fully recoverable or that the useful lives of these assets are no longer appropriate. Each impairment test is based on a comparison of the estimated undiscounted cash flows to the recorded value of the asset. If impairment is indicated, the asset is written down to its estimated fair value. The cash flow estimates used to determine the impairment, if any, contain management's best estimates using appropriate assumptions and projections at that time.

Stock-Based Compensation

We account for stock-based compensation in accordance with ASC Topic 718 (Compensation - Stock Compensation) which requires that employee share-based equity awards be accounted for under the fair value method and requires the use of an option pricing model for estimating fair value, which is then amortized to expense over the service periods. See further disclosures related to our stock-based compensation plan in Note 14.

Subsequent Events

We have made an assessment of our operations and determined that there were no material subsequent events requiring adjustment to, or disclosure in, our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013, other than the approval of the inTEST Corporation 2014 Stock Plan, as discussed further in Note 18.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, the price is fixed or determinable, and collection of the related receivable is reasonably assured. Sales of our products are made through our sales employees, third-party sales representatives and distributors. There are no differences in revenue recognition policies based on the sales channel. We do not provide our customers with rights of return or exchanges. Revenue is generally recognized upon product shipment. Our customers' purchase orders do not typically contain any customer-specific acceptance criteria, other than that the product performs within the agreed upon specifications. We test all products manufactured as part of our quality assurance process to determine that they comply with specifications prior to shipment to a customer. To the extent that any customer purchase order contains customer-specific acceptance criteria, revenue recognition is deferred until customer acceptance.

inTEST CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands, except share and per share data)

(2) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

In addition, in our Thermal Products segment, we lease certain of our equipment to customers under non-cancellable operating leases. These leases generally have an initial term of six months. We recognize revenue for these leases on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease.

With respect to sales tax collected from customers and remitted to governmental authorities, we use a net presentation in our consolidated statement of operations. As a result, there are no amounts included in either our net revenues or cost of revenues related to sales tax.

Product Warranties

We generally provide product warranties and record estimated warranty expense at the time of sale based upon historical claims experience. Warranty expense is included in selling expense in the consolidated financial statements.

Engineering and Product Development

Engineering and product development costs, which consist primarily of the salary and related benefits costs of our technical staff, as well as the cost of materials used in product development, are expensed as incurred.

Restructuring and Other Charges

We recognize a liability for restructuring costs at fair value only when the liability is incurred. The three main components of our restructuring plans have been related to workforce reductions, the consolidation of excess facilities and asset impairments. Workforce-related charges are accrued when it is determined that a liability has been incurred, which is generally after individuals have been notified of their termination dates and expected severance benefits. Plans to consolidate excess facilities result in charges for lease termination fees and future commitments to pay lease charges, net of estimated future sub-lease income. We recognize these charges when we have vacated the premises. In addition, as a result of plans to consolidate excess facilities, we may incur other associated costs such as charges to relocate inventory, equipment or personnel. We recognize charges for other associated costs when these costs are incurred, which is generally when the goods or services have been provided to us. Assets that may be impaired consist of property and equipment and intangible assets. Asset impairment charges are based on an estimate of the amounts and timing of future cash flows related to the expected future remaining use and ultimate sale or disposal of the asset.

Foreign Currency

For our foreign subsidiaries whose functional currency is not the U.S. dollar, assets and liabilities are translated using the exchange rate in effect at the balance sheet date. The results of operations are translated using an average exchange rate for the period. The effects of rate fluctuations in translating assets and liabilities of these international operations into U.S. dollars are included in accumulated other comprehensive earnings in stockholders' equity. Transaction gains or losses are included in net earnings. For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, foreign currency transaction gains (losses) were \$(4) and \$12, respectively.

Income Taxes

The asset and liability method is used in accounting for income taxes. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for operating loss and tax credit carryforwards and for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in the results of operations in the period that includes the enactment date. A valuation allowance is recorded to reduce the carrying amounts of deferred tax assets if it is more likely than not that such assets will not be realized.

inTEST CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands, except share and per share data)

(2) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Net Earnings Per Common Share

Net earnings per common share - basic is computed by dividing net earnings by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. Net earnings per common share - diluted is computed by dividing net earnings by the weighted average number of common shares and common share equivalents outstanding during each period. Common share equivalents represent stock options and unvested shares of restricted stock and are calculated using the treasury stock method. Common share equivalents are excluded from the calculation if their effect is anti-dilutive.

The table below sets forth, for the periods indicated, a reconciliation of weighted average common shares outstanding - basic to weighted average common shares and common share equivalents outstanding - diluted and the average number of potentially dilutive securities and their respective weighted average exercise prices that were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because their effect was anti-dilutive:

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Weighted average common shares outstanding – basic	10,363,678	10,273,377
Potentially dilutive securities:		
Employee stock options and unvested shares of restricted stock	55,425	73,700
Weighted average common shares outstanding – diluted	10,419,103	10,347,077
Average number of potentially dilutive securities excluded from calculation	30,554	39,209

Effect of Recently Issued Amendments to Authoritative Accounting Guidance

In February 2013, the FASB issued amendments to existing guidance on the accounting for accumulated other comprehensive income. The amendments require entities to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. In addition, an entity is required to present, either on the face of the financial statements or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income, but only if the amount reclassified is required to be reclassified in its entirety in the same reporting period. For amounts that are not required to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, an entity is required to cross-reference to other disclosures that provide additional details about those amounts. The amendments were effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2012. We adopted these amendments on January 1, 2013.

(3) ACQUISITION

On January 16, 2012, Temptronic acquired substantially all of the assets and certain liabilities of Thermonics pursuant to the Asset Purchase Agreement dated December 9, 2011. Thermonics is engaged in the business of designing, manufacturing, selling and distributing temperature forcing systems used in the testing of various products under temperature controlled situations. The acquisition of the Thermonics business broadened the product line of inTEST's Thermal Products segment.

The purchase price for the assets was approximately \$3,802 in cash, plus the assumption of specified liabilities, including trade payables and certain customer contract obligations. In connection with this acquisition, we also signed a separate one year lease for the facility then occupied by Thermonics in Sunnyvale, California. This facility was owned by certain shareholders of the seller. We ceased operations at this facility in February 2012 and relocated the Thermonics product line to our facility in Mansfield, Massachusetts where our Temptronic operations are located. During 2012, we recorded a restructuring charge of \$313 related to this action. See Note 5 for further detail regarding this charge.

Total acquisition costs incurred to complete this transaction were \$485. The portion of these costs that was incurred in 2011 was \$148. Acquisition costs are expensed as incurred and included in general and administrative expense.

inTEST CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands, except share and per share data)

(3) ACQUISITION (Continued)

The Thermonics acquisition was accounted for as a purchase business combination and, accordingly, the results of Thermonics have been included in our consolidated results of operations from the date of acquisition. The allocation of the total purchase price of Thermonics net tangible and identifiable intangible assets was based on their estimated fair values as of the acquisition date. The tangible assets acquired include accounts receivable, inventory, and property and equipment. Liabilities assumed include trade payables, certain customer contract obligations and accrued payments under a non-compete/non-solicitation agreement with a former employee of Thermonics. Identifiable intangible assets acquired include customer relationships, customer backlog, the Thermonics trade name, patented technology, and a non-compete/non-solicitation agreement with a former employee of Thermonics. The excess of the purchase price over the identifiable intangible and net tangible assets in the amount of \$50 was allocated to goodwill and is deductible for tax purposes. Goodwill is attributed to the synergies that are expected to result from the operations of the combined businesses. The determination of fair value reflects the assistance of third-party valuation specialists, as well as our own estimates and assumptions.

The following represents the allocation of the purchase price:

Goodwill	\$ 50
Identifiable intangible assets	1,728
Tangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed:	
Trade accounts receivable	1,161
Inventories	874
Property and equipment	263
Accounts payable	(77)
Accrued non-compete/non-solicitation payments	(48)
Accrued sales commissions	(82)
Accrued warranty	<u>(67)</u>
Total purchase price	<u>\$3,802</u>

We estimated the fair value of identifiable intangible assets acquired using a combination of the income, cost and market approaches. The following table provides further information about the finite-lived intangible assets acquired in connection with the acquisition of Thermonics as of the acquisition date:

	Fair Value	Weighted Average Estimated Useful Life (in months)
Customer relationships	\$1,110	72
Customer backlog	70	3
Thermonics trade name	140	48
Patented technology	360	132
Non-compete/non-solicitation agreement	<u>48</u>	18
Total intangible assets	<u>\$1,728</u>	78.3

For the period from January 16, 2012 to December 31, 2012, Thermonics contributed \$4,692 of net revenues. We do not track net income within our Thermal Products segment by product line. As a result, the net income for Thermonics for the period from January 16, 2012 to December 31, 2012 is not available.

inTEST CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands, except share and per share data)

(4) GOODWILL, INTANGIBLE AND LONG-LIVED ASSETS

Goodwill and intangible assets on our balance sheets are the result of our acquisitions of Sigma Systems Corp. ("Sigma") in October 2008 and Thermonics in January 2012. The acquisition of Thermonics is discussed further in Note 3.

Goodwill

All of our goodwill is allocated to our Thermal Products segment. There were no changes in the amount of the carrying value of goodwill for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Intangible Assets

The following table provides further detail about our intangible assets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	December 31, 2013		
	Gross	Accumulated	Net
	Carrying	Amortization	Carrying
	Amount	Amount	Amount
Finite-lived intangible assets:			
Customer relationships	\$1,480	\$ 725	\$ 755
Patented technology	590	307	283
Software	270	142	128
Trade name	140	68	72
Customer backlog	70	70	-
Non-compete/non-solicitation agreement	48	48	-
Total finite-lived intangible assets	<u>2,598</u>	<u>1,360</u>	<u>1,238</u>
Indefinite-lived intangible assets:			
Sigma trademark	510	-	510
Total intangible assets	<u>\$3,108</u>	<u>\$1,360</u>	<u>\$1,748</u>

	December 31, 2012		
	Gross	Accumulated	Net
	Carrying	Amortization	Carrying
	Amount	Amount	Amount
Finite-lived intangible assets:			
Customer relationships	\$1,480	\$ 439	\$1,041
Patented technology	590	233	357
Software	270	115	155
Trade name	140	33	107
Customer backlog	70	70	-
Non-compete/non-solicitation agreement	48	24	24
Total finite-lived intangible assets	<u>2,598</u>	<u>914</u>	<u>1,684</u>
Indefinite-lived intangible assets:			
Sigma trademark	510	-	510
Total intangible assets	<u>\$3,108</u>	<u>\$ 914</u>	<u>\$2,194</u>

We generally amortize our finite-lived intangible assets over their estimated useful lives on a straight-line basis, unless an alternate amortization method can be reliably determined. Any such alternate amortization method would be based on the pattern in which the economic benefits of the intangible asset are expected to be consumed. None of our finite-lived assets have any residual value. The following table provides further information about the estimated useful lives of our finite-lived intangible assets as of December 31, 2013:

inTEST CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands, except share and per share data)

(4) GOODWILL, INTANGIBLE AND LONG-LIVED ASSETS (Continued)

	Estimated Useful Life	Remaining Estimated Useful Life at Dec. 31, 2013
	---- (in months) ----	
Finite-lived intangible assets resulting from the acquisition of Sigma:		
Customer relationships	72	9
Software.....	120	57
Patented technology.....	60	-
Finite-lived intangible assets resulting from the acquisition of Thermonics:		
Customer relationships	72	48.5
Customer backlog.....	3	-
Trade name	48	24.5
Patented technology.....	132	108.5
Non-compete/non-solicitation agreement.....	18	-

The following table sets forth changes in the amount of the carrying value of finite-lived intangible assets for the year ended December 31, 2013:

Balance - January 1, 2013	\$1,684
Amortization.....	<u>(446)</u>
Balance - December 31, 2013	<u>\$1,238</u>

Total amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$446 and \$476, respectively. The following table sets forth the estimated annual amortization expense for our finite-lived intangible assets for each of the next five years:

2014	\$355
2015	\$289
2016	\$229
2017	\$212
2018	\$ 65

Impairment of Goodwill and Indefinite Life Intangible Assets

During December 2013 and 2012, we assessed our goodwill and indefinite life intangible asset for impairment in accordance with the requirements of ASC Topic 350 (Intangibles - Goodwill and Other). Our goodwill impairment assessment is based upon a combination of the income approach, which estimates the fair value of our reporting units based upon a discounted cash flow approach, and the market approach which estimates the fair value of our reporting units based upon comparable market multiples. This fair value is then reconciled to our market capitalization at year end with an appropriate control premium. The discount rate used in 2013 and 2012 for the discounted cash flows were 20% and 24%, respectively. The selection of these rates was based upon our analysis of market based estimates of capital costs and discount rates. The peer companies used in the market approach operate in our market segment. The determination of the fair value of our reporting units requires management to make significant estimates and assumptions including the selection of appropriate peer group companies, control premiums, discount rate, terminal growth rates, forecasts of revenue and expense growth rates, changes in working capital, depreciation, amortization and capital expenditures. Changes in assumptions concerning future financial results or other underlying assumptions would have a significant impact on either the fair value of the reporting unit or the amount of the goodwill impairment charge.

inTEST CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands, except share and per share data)

(4) GOODWILL, INTANGIBLE AND LONG-LIVED ASSETS (Continued)

During the goodwill impairment assessment in both 2013 and 2012, we performed a Step I test to identify potential impairment, in which the fair value of the reporting unit was compared with its book value. This assessment indicated no impairment existed as the fair value of this reporting unit was determined to exceed its carrying value by 65% or \$13,888 at December 31, 2013 and by 41% or \$7,516 at December 31, 2012.

During the indefinite life intangible asset impairment assessment in both 2013 and 2012, we compared the fair value of our intangible asset with its carrying amount. This assessment indicated no impairment existed as the fair value of the intangible assets exceeded their carrying values in both 2013 and 2012.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and Finite-lived Intangible Assets

As previously noted, our long-lived assets consist of our finite-lived intangible assets and property and equipment. During both December 2013 and 2012, due to continued operating losses experienced in our Mechanical Products segment, we assessed the long-lived assets of this segment for impairment. Our assessments indicated that the property and equipment that is allocated to this segment was not impaired. During 2013 and 2012, we did not review our Thermal and Electrical Products segment's long lived assets for impairment as there were no events or changes in business circumstances that would indicate an impairment might exist.

(5) RESTRUCTURING AND OTHER CHARGES

In connection with the acquisition of Thermonics, as discussed further in Note 3, we signed a separate one year lease for the facility in Sunnyvale, California then occupied by Thermonics at the time of the acquisition. This facility was owned by certain shareholders of the seller. We ceased operations at this facility in February 2012 and relocated the Thermonics product line to our facility in Mansfield, Massachusetts where our Temptronic operations are located. During the first quarter of 2012, we incurred approximately \$359 of facility closure costs related to this action. These costs included lease termination fees of approximately \$220 and other costs associated with this consolidation of facilities, including the cost to relocate inventory and equipment, of approximately \$139. During the fourth quarter of 2012 we received a refund of \$46 of lease termination fees paid in the first quarter due to the sale of the leased facility.

Changes in our liability for restructuring and other charges for the year ended December 31, 2012 are summarized as follows:

	Thermonics Relocation
Balance - January 1, 2012.....	\$ -
Accruals for facility closure costs.....	313
Cash payments related to facility closure costs	(359)
Refund of lease termination fees.....	<u>46</u>
Balance - December 31, 2012.....	<u>\$ -</u>

(6) MAJOR CUSTOMERS

Texas Instruments Incorporated accounted for 13% and 14% of our consolidated net revenues in 2013 and 2012, respectively. While all three of our operating segments sold products to this customer, these revenues were primarily generated by our Mechanical Products and Electrical Products segments. Teradyne, Inc. accounted for 11% of our consolidated net revenues in 2012. While all three of our operating segments sold products to this customer, these revenues were primarily generated by our Electrical Products segment. During the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, no other customer accounted for 10% or more of our consolidated net revenues.

inTEST CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands, except share and per share data)

(7) INVENTORIES

Inventories held at December 31 were comprised of the following:

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Raw materials	\$2,753	\$2,157
Work in process	222	454
Inventory consigned to others	94	105
Finished goods	<u>174</u>	<u>419</u>
	<u>\$3,243</u>	<u>\$3,135</u>

(8) OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES

Other current liabilities at December 31 were comprised of the following:

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Accrued warranty	\$123	\$197
Other	<u>104</u>	<u>179</u>
	<u>\$227</u>	<u>\$376</u>

(9) DEBT

Letters of Credit

We have issued letters of credit as the security deposits for certain of our domestic leases. These letters of credit are secured by pledged certificates of deposit which are classified as Restricted Certificates of Deposit on our balance sheet. The terms of our leases require us to renew these letters of credit at least 30 days prior to their expiration dates for successive terms of not less than one year until lease expiration. Our outstanding letters of credit at December 31, 2013 and 2012 consisted of the following:

<u>Facility</u>	<u>Original L/C Issue Date</u>	<u>L/C Expiration Date</u>	<u>Lease Expiration Date</u>	<u>Letters of Credit Amount Outstanding</u>	
				<u>Dec. 31 2013</u>	<u>Dec. 31, 2012</u>
Mt. Laurel, NJ	3/29/2010	3/31/2014	4/30/2021	\$250	\$250
Mansfield, MA	10/27/2010	11/08/2014	8/23/2021	<u>200</u>	<u>200</u>
				<u>\$450</u>	<u>\$450</u>

(10) EQUIPMENT LEASING

In our Thermal Products segment, we lease certain of our equipment to customers under non-cancellable operating leases. These leases generally have an initial term of six months. We recognize revenue for these leases on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease.

The total cost of leased equipment at December 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$561 and \$282, respectively, and is included in Machinery and Equipment on our balance sheet. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, accumulated depreciation for leased equipment was \$138 and \$72, respectively.

As of December 31, 2013, total minimum payments receivable under non-cancellable operating leases were \$109. All of these payments will be received in 2014.

inTEST CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands, except share and per share data)

(11) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Operating Lease Commitments

We lease our offices, warehouse facilities, automobiles and certain equipment under non-cancellable operating leases which expire at various dates through 2021. Total rental expense for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$1,221 and \$1,237, respectively. Certain of our operating leases contain predetermined fixed escalations of minimum rentals and rent holidays during the original lease terms. Rent holidays are periods during which we have control of the leased facility but are not obligated to pay rent. For these leases, we recognize the related rental expense on a straight-line basis over the life of the lease, which includes any rent holiday, and record the difference between the amounts charged to operations and amounts paid as Accrued Rent on our balance sheet. In addition to the monthly rental payments due, most of our leases for our offices and warehouse facilities require us to pay our portion of the common area maintenance, property taxes and insurance charges incurred by the landlord for the facilities which we occupy. These amounts are generally included in rental expense in our statement of operations, but they are not included in the minimum rental commitments disclosed below as they are based on actual charges incurred in the periods to which they apply.

The aggregate minimum rental commitments under the non-cancellable operating leases in effect at December 31, 2013 are as follows:

2014.....	\$1,041
2015.....	1,023
2016.....	1,094
2017.....	1,060
2018.....	979
Thereafter	<u>2,500</u>
	<u>\$7,697</u>

(12) INCOME TAXES

We are subject to Federal and certain state income taxes. In addition, we are taxed in certain foreign countries. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, there were no cumulative undistributed earnings of our foreign subsidiaries for which U.S. income taxes have not been provided.

Earnings before income taxes was as follows:

	Years Ended	
	December 31,	
	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Domestic.....	\$3,245	\$2,580
Foreign.....	<u>763</u>	<u>473</u>
	<u>\$4,008</u>	<u>\$3,053</u>

inTEST CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands, except share and per share data)

(12) INCOME TAXES (Continued)

Income tax expense (benefit) was as follows:

	Years Ended	
	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Current		
Domestic – Federal	\$ 515	\$ 362
Domestic – state	74	62
Foreign	<u>35</u>	<u>30</u>
	<u>624</u>	<u>454</u>
Deferred		
Domestic – Federal	218	396
Domestic – state	212	(126)
Foreign	<u>(123)</u>	<u>173</u>
	<u>307</u>	<u>443</u>
Income tax expense	<u>\$ 931</u>	<u>\$ 897</u>

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effect of net operating loss and credit carryforwards as well as temporary differences between the carrying amount of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. The following is a summary of the significant components of our deferred tax assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Deferred tax assets:		
Net operating loss ("NOL") (state and foreign)	\$ 829	\$1,182
Depreciation of property and equipment	646	793
Tax credit carryforwards (foreign, research and AMT)	269	440
Inventories	180	177
Accrued vacation pay and stock-based compensation	169	182
Intangibles	162	86
Allowance for doubtful accounts	56	56
Acquisition costs	37	39
Accrued warranty	11	22
Other	<u>26</u>	<u>68</u>
	2,385	3,045
Valuation allowance	<u>(287)</u>	<u>(573)</u>
Deferred tax assets	<u>2,098</u>	<u>2,472</u>
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Net intangible assets	(260)	(307)
Unremitted earnings of foreign subsidiaries	<u>(107)</u>	<u>(127)</u>
Deferred tax liabilities	<u>(367)</u>	<u>(434)</u>
Net deferred tax asset	<u>\$ 1,731</u>	<u>\$ 2,038</u>

The valuation allowance for deferred tax assets as of the beginning of 2013 and 2012 was \$573 and \$484, respectively. The net change in the valuation allowance for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was a decrease of \$286 and an increase of \$89, respectively. In assessing the ability to realize the deferred tax assets, we consider whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. We consider the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. In order to fully realize the total deferred tax assets, we will need to generate future taxable income prior to the expiration of net operating loss and credit carryforwards which expire in various years through 2033.

inTEST CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands, except share and per share data)

(12) INCOME TAXES (Continued)

An analysis of the effective tax rate for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 and a reconciliation from the expected statutory rate of 34% is as follows:

	<u>Years Ended</u> <u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Expected income tax provision at U.S. statutory rate	\$1,363	\$1,038
Increase (decrease) in tax from:		
Current year tax credits (foreign and research)	(417)	(523)
Foreign income tax rate differences	(80)	(36)
Changes in valuation allowance	(286)	89
Deemed dividend from foreign subsidiaries	135	212
Domestic tax expense, net of Federal benefit	127	72
Nondeductible expenses	10	20
NOL carryforwards utilized	200	103
Other	<u>(121)</u>	<u>(78)</u>
Income tax expense	<u>\$ 931</u>	<u>\$ 897</u>

In accounting for income taxes, we follow the guidance in ASC Topic 740 (Income Taxes) regarding the recognition and measurement of uncertain tax positions in our financial statements. Recognition involves a determination of whether it is more likely than not that a tax position will be sustained upon examination with the presumption that the tax position will be examined by the appropriate taxing authority having full knowledge of all relevant information. Our policy is to record interest and penalties associated with unrecognized tax benefits as additional income taxes in the statement of operations. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, we did not have an accrual for uncertain tax positions.

We file U.S. income tax returns and multiple state and foreign income tax returns. With few exceptions, the U.S. and state income tax returns filed for the tax years ending on December 31, 2010 and thereafter are subject to examination by the relevant taxing authorities.

(13) LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time we may be a party to legal proceedings occurring in the ordinary course of business. We are not currently involved in any legal proceedings the resolution of which we believe could have a material effect on our business, financial position, results of operations or long-term liquidity.

(14) STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION PLAN

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, we have outstanding stock options and unvested restricted stock awards granted under the Amended and Restated 1997 Stock Plan (the "1997 Stock Plan") as well as under the inTEST Corporation 2007 Stock Plan (the "2007 Stock Plan"). As of March 31, 2007, no additional stock options or shares of restricted stock could be granted under the 1997 Plan.

The 2007 Stock Plan was approved at our annual meeting of stockholders held on June 13, 2007, upon the recommendation of our Board of Directors. The 2007 Stock Plan permits the granting of stock options or restricted stock, for up to 500,000 shares of our common stock, to officers, other key employees and consultants. A description of the 2007 Stock Plan, including the full text of the 2007 Stock Plan, is contained in the proxy statement for our 2007 annual meeting of stockholders. As of December 31, 2013, 62,500 shares remain available to grant under the 2007 Stock Plan.

We have not granted any stock options during 2013 or 2012. Our unvested restricted stock awards outstanding are accounted for based on their grant date fair value. As of December 31, 2013, total compensation expense to be recognized in future periods was \$439. All of this expense is related to nonvested shares of restricted stock. The weighted average period over which this expense is expected to be recognized is 2.6 years.

inTEST CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands, except share and per share data)

(14) STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION PLAN (Continued)

Stock Options

The following table summarizes the stock option activity for the two years ended December 31, 2013:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Options outstanding, January 1, 2012 (249,000 exercisable)	249,000	\$3.28
Granted	-	-
Exercised	-	-
Canceled	<u>(30,000)</u>	4.11
Options outstanding, December 31, 2012 (219,000 exercisable)	219,000	3.17
Granted	-	-
Exercised	(10,000)	3.04
Canceled	<u>(199,000)</u>	3.05
Options outstanding, December 31, 2013 (10,000 exercisable)	<u>10,000</u>	5.66

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at December 31, 2013:

<u>Range of Exercise Prices</u>	<u>Number Outstanding and Exercisable</u>	<u>Weighted Average Remaining Life</u>	<u>Weighted Average Exercise Price</u>	<u>Aggregate Intrinsic Value</u>
\$5.66	10,000	.47 years	\$5.66	-

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above, if any, represents the total pretax intrinsic value, based on a closing price for our stock of \$3.75 at December 31, 2013, assuming all option holders exercised their stock options that were in-the-money as of that date. In general, it is our policy to issue new shares upon the exercise of stock options.

Restricted Stock Awards

We record compensation expense for restricted stock awards (nonvested shares) based on the quoted market price of our stock at the grant date and amortize the expense over the vesting period. Restricted stock awards generally vest over four years. The following table summarizes the compensation expense we recorded during 2013 and 2012, respectively, related to nonvested shares:

	Years Ended December 31,	
	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Cost of revenues	\$ 8	\$ 5
Selling expense	10	9
Engineering and product development expense.....	28	24
General and administrative expense	<u>82</u>	<u>75</u>
	<u>\$128</u>	<u>\$113</u>

inTEST CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands, except share and per share data)

(14) STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION PLAN (Continued)

There was no compensation expense capitalized in 2013 or 2012. The following table summarizes the activity related to nonvested shares for the two years ended December 31, 2013:

	<u>Number of Shares</u>	<u>Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value</u>
Nonvested shares outstanding, January 1, 2012	195,000	\$1.62
Granted	-	-
Vested	(76,250)	1.62
Forfeited	<u>(10,000)</u>	1.42
Nonvested shares outstanding, December 31, 2012	108,750	1.63
Granted	127,500	3.62
Vested	(56,250)	1.70
Forfeited	<u>-</u>	-
Nonvested shares outstanding, December 31, 2013	<u>180,000</u>	2.69

The total fair value of the shares that vested during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$176 and \$253, respectively, as of the vesting dates of these shares.

(15) EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

We have a defined contribution 401(k) plan for our employees who work in the U.S. (the "inTEST 401(k) Plan"). All permanent employees of inTEST Corporation, Temptronic (effective January 1, 2013) and inTEST Silicon Valley Corp who are at least 18 years of age are eligible to participate in the plan. We match employee contributions dollar for dollar up to 10% of the employee's annual compensation, with a maximum limit of \$5. Employer contributions vest ratably over four years. Matching contributions are discretionary. For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, we recorded \$320 and \$182 of expense for matching contributions, respectively.

Temptronic adopted a defined contribution 401(k) plan for its domestic employees in 1988, that was merged into the inTEST 401(k) Plan effective September 1, 2002. Prior to January 1, 2013, the inTEST 401(k) Plan retained the matching provisions of the prior Temptronic plan for all Temptronic employees, which included discretionary employer matching contributions of \$0.50 on the dollar up to 6% of the employees' annual compensation, with a maximum limit of \$3. The eligibility and vesting provisions of the prior Temptronic plan were conformed to those for inTEST Corporation and inTEST Silicon Valley Corporation employees. For the year ended December 31, 2012, Temptronic contributed \$81 to the plan.

Prior to January 1, 2013, in addition to the employer matching contributions for which Temptronic employees were eligible, all Temptronic employees were also eligible to receive profit sharing contributions. During the third quarter of 2012, our Board of Directors approved an amendment to the inTEST 401(k) Plan. The amendment terminated the profit sharing contributions for Temptronic employees effective December 31, 2012. In addition, the amendment conformed the employer matching provisions for the Temptronic employees with those currently in place for inTEST Corporation and inTEST Silicon Valley employees effective January 1, 2013. During 2012, profit sharing contributions totaling \$300 were accrued and paid. We funded these contributions through the use of treasury shares.

inTEST CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands, except share and per share data)

(16) SEGMENT INFORMATION

We have three reportable segments, which are also our reporting units: Thermal Products, Mechanical Products and Electrical Products.

The Thermal Products segment includes the operations of Temptronic Corporation, Thermonics (which we acquired in January 2012 as discussed further in Note 3), Sigma Systems Corp., inTEST Thermal Solutions GmbH (Germany), and inTEST Pte, Limited (Singapore). Sales of this segment consist primarily of temperature management systems which we design, manufacture and market under our Temptronic, Thermonics and Sigma Systems product lines. In addition, this segment provides post warranty service and support.

The Mechanical Products segment includes the operations of our Mt. Laurel, New Jersey manufacturing facility. Sales of our Mechanical Products segment consist primarily of manipulator and docking hardware products, which we design, manufacture and market. In addition, this segment provides post warranty service and support for various ATE equipment.

The Electrical Products segment includes the operations of inTEST Silicon Valley Corporation. Sales of this segment consist primarily of tester interface products which we design, manufacture and market.

We operate our business worldwide, and all three segments sell their products both domestically and internationally. All three segments sell to semiconductor manufacturers, third-party test and assembly houses and ATE manufacturers. Our Thermal Products segment also sells into a variety of markets outside of the ATE market, including the automotive, consumer electronics, defense/aerospace, energy, industrial and telecommunications markets. Intercompany pricing between segments is either a multiple of cost for component parts or list price for finished goods.

	Years Ended	
	December 31,	
	2013	2012
<i>Net revenues from unaffiliated customers:</i>		
Thermal Products.....	\$22,962	\$24,307
Mechanical Products.....	9,962	9,904
Electrical Products.....	<u>6,502</u>	<u>9,165</u>
	<u>\$39,426</u>	<u>\$43,376</u>
<i>Depreciation/amortization:</i>		
Thermal Products.....	\$695	\$816
Mechanical Products.....	79	73
Electrical Products.....	<u>73</u>	<u>44</u>
	<u>\$847</u>	<u>\$933</u>
<i>Operating income (loss):</i>		
Thermal Products.....	\$4,322	\$2,939
Mechanical Products.....	(784)	(1,944)
Electrical Products.....	722	2,023
Corporate.....	<u>(298)</u>	<u>(22)</u>
	<u>\$3,962</u>	<u>\$2,996</u>
<i>Earnings (loss) before income tax expense (benefit):</i>		
Thermal Products.....	\$4,327	\$2,958
Mechanical Products.....	(772)	(1,934)
Electrical Products.....	751	2,051
Corporate.....	<u>(298)</u>	<u>(22)</u>
	<u>\$4,008</u>	<u>\$3,053</u>

inTEST CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands, except share and per share data)

(16) SEGMENT INFORMATION (Continued)

	Years Ended	
	December 31,	
	2013	2012
<i>Income tax expense (benefit):</i>		
Thermal Products.....	\$1,005	\$ 869
Mechanical Products.....	(179)	(568)
Electrical Products.....	174	602
Corporate.....	(69)	(6)
	<u>\$ 931</u>	<u>\$ 897</u>
<i>Net earnings (loss):</i>		
Thermal Products.....	\$3,322	\$2,089
Mechanical Products.....	(593)	(1,366)
Electrical Products.....	577	1,449
Corporate.....	(229)	(16)
	<u>\$3,077</u>	<u>\$2,156</u>
<i>Capital expenditures:</i>		
Thermal Products.....	\$349	\$216
Mechanical Products.....	16	37
Electrical Products.....	59	178
	<u>\$424</u>	<u>\$431</u>
<i>December 31,</i>		
<i>2013 2012</i>		
<i>Identifiable assets:</i>		
Thermal Products.....	\$23,934	\$20,849
Mechanical Products.....	7,093	7,737
Electrical Products.....	4,454	3,813
	<u>\$35,481</u>	<u>\$32,399</u>

The following table provides information about our geographic areas of operation. Net revenues from unaffiliated customers are based on the location to which the goods are shipped.

	Years Ended	
	December 31,	
	2013	2012
<i>Net revenues from unaffiliated customers:</i>		
U.S.....	\$13,337	\$15,915
Foreign.....	26,089	27,461
	<u>\$39,426</u>	<u>\$43,376</u>
<i>December 31,</i>		
<i>2013 2012</i>		
<i>Long-lived assets:</i>		
U.S.....	\$ 700	\$ 899
Foreign.....	554	351
	<u>\$1,254</u>	<u>\$1,250</u>

inTEST CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands, except share and per share data)

(17) QUARTERLY CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA (Unaudited)

The following tables present certain unaudited consolidated quarterly financial information for each of the eight quarters ended December 31, 2013. In our opinion, this quarterly information has been prepared on the same basis as the consolidated financial statements and includes all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly the information for the periods presented. The results of operations for any quarter are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year or for any future period.

Year-over-year quarterly comparisons of our results of operations may not be as meaningful as the sequential quarterly comparisons set forth below that tend to reflect the cyclical activity of the semiconductor and ATE markets. Quarterly fluctuations in expenses are related directly to sales activity and volume and may also reflect the timing of operating expenses incurred throughout the year.

	Quarters Ended				Total
	3/31/13	6/30/13	9/30/13	12/31/13	
Net revenues	\$ 8,973	\$11,218	\$ 9,900	\$ 9,335	\$39,426
Gross margin.....	4,105	5,465	4,756	4,689	19,015
Earnings before income tax expense.....	370	1,487	1,114	1,037	4,008
Income tax expense.....	78	484	24	345	931
Net earnings	292	1,003	1,090	692	3,077
Net earnings per common share – basic	\$0.03	\$0.10	\$0.11	\$0.07	\$0.30
Weighted average common shares outstanding – basic....	10,327,428	10,371,716	10,377,189	10,377,678	10,363,678
Net earnings per common share – diluted.....	\$0.03	\$0.10	\$0.10	\$0.07	\$0.30
Weighted average common shares outstanding – diluted.	10,366,312	10,394,094	10,404,095	10,435,096	10,419,103

	Quarters Ended				Total
	3/31/12	6/30/12	9/30/12	12/31/12	
Net revenues	\$10,731	\$13,576	\$10,799	\$ 8,270	\$43,376
Gross margin.....	4,596	6,194	4,762	3,507	19,059
Earnings (loss) before income tax expense (benefit)	(71)	1,994	1,012	118	3,053
Income tax expense (benefit).....	(28)	660	348	(83)	897
Net earnings (loss)	(43)	1,334	664	201	2,156
Net earnings (loss) per common share – basic.....	\$0.00	\$0.13	\$0.06	\$0.02	\$0.21
Weighted average common shares outstanding – basic....	10,205,114	10,273,812	10,302,417	10,311,428	10,273,377
Net earnings (loss) per common share – diluted.....	\$0.00	\$0.13	\$0.06	\$0.02	\$0.21
Weighted average common shares outstanding – diluted.	10,205,114	10,359,657	10,360,377	10,343,793	10,347,077

(18) SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On March 4, 2014, our Board of Directors approved the inTEST Corporation 2014 Stock Plan (the "2014 Stock Plan"). The 2014 Stock Plan will be included in our 2014 proxy statement for approval by our stockholders. The 2014 Stock Plan, when approved by stockholders, permits the granting of stock options, restricted stock, stock appreciation rights or restricted stock units for up to 500,000 shares of our common stock to directors, officers, other key employees and consultants.

inTEST CORPORATION
SCHEDULE II -- VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS
(in thousands)

	Balance at Beginning of Period	Expense (Recovery)	Deductions	Balance at End of Period
Year Ended December 31, 2013				
Allowance for doubtful accounts	147	-	-	147
Warranty reserve	197	37	(111)	123
Year Ended December 31, 2012				
Allowance for doubtful accounts	195	(8)	(40)	147
Warranty reserve	214	124	(141)	197

corporate information

Executive Officers

Alyn R. Holt
Executive Chairman

Robert E. Matthiessen
President and Chief Executive Officer

Hugh T. Regan, Jr.
Secretary, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer

Daniel J. Graham
Senior Vice President and General Manager
Mechanical Products Segment and
Electrical Products Segment

James Pelrin
Vice President and General Manager
Thermal Products Segment

Board of Directors

Alyn R. Holt
Executive Chairman, inTEST Corporation

Robert E. Matthiessen
President and CEO, inTEST Corporation

Steven J. Abrams, Esq.
Partner, Pepper Hamilton LLP

Stuart F. Daniels, Ph.D.
Principal, The Daniels Group, Technology Assessment,
Protection and Commercialization Consulting

Joseph W. Dews IV
Partner, AGC Partners

William Kraut
Partner, Newport Board Group LLC

James W. Schwartz, Esq.
Of Counsel, Saul Ewing LLP

Legal Counsel

Saul Ewing LLP
Centre Square West
1500 Market Street, 38th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19102-2186

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

McGladrey LLP
751 Arbor Way, Suite 200
Blue Bell, PA 19422-2700

Transfer Agent

Computershare Investor Services
P. O. Box 43070
Providence, RI 02940-3070
800-962-4284

Investor Relations


Laura Guerrant-Oiye, Principal
Guerrant Associates
lguerrant@guerrantir.com
808-882-1467

Annual Stockholders' Meeting

Our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders will be held at 11:00 A.M. Eastern Daylight Time on Wednesday, June 25, 2014, at our offices, 804 East Gate Drive, Suite 200, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey 08054.

Availability of Annual Report on Form 10-K

A copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 (excluding exhibits) as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is available to any stockholder without charge, upon written request to Hugh T. Regan, Jr., Secretary, inTEST Corporation, 804 East Gate Drive, Suite 200, Mt. Laurel, NJ 08054, or by calling (856) 505-8800. Copies of the exhibits filed therewith will be provided upon written request to the Secretary of the Corporation and payment of a reasonable fee (which will not exceed our expense incurred in connection with providing such copies). In addition, our Annual Report on Form 10-K and all exhibits are available at no charge by accessing the Investor Relations page of our website, at <http://investor.shareholder.com/intest/index.cfm>, or the SEC's website, at www.sec.gov.



inTEST Corporation
Corporate Headquarters
804 East Gate Drive, Suite 200
Mt. Laurel, NJ 08054 USA
Tel (856) 505-8800
Fax (856) 505-8801
www.intest.com