

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017  
OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_  
Commission file number 1-35322



WPX Energy, Inc.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware

(State or Other Jurisdiction of  
Incorporation or Organization)

45-1836028

(IRS Employer  
Identification No.)

3500 One Williams Center, Tulsa, Oklahoma

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

74172-0172

(Zip Code)

855-979-2012

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value	New York Stock Exchange
6.25% Series A Mandatory Convertible Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company  Emerging growth company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second quarter was approximately \$3,822,718,222 .

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock outstanding at February 21, 2018 was 398,204,221 .

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement to be delivered to stockholders in connection with its 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III.

**WPX ENERGY, INC.**  
**FORM 10-K**  
**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
<b><u>PART I</u></b>	
Item 1.	<a href="#"><u>Business</u></a> 3
	<a href="#"><u>WPX Energy, Inc.</u></a> 3
	<a href="#"><u>Business Overview and Properties</u></a> 4
	<a href="#"><u>Regulatory Matters</u></a> 15
	<a href="#"><u>Environmental Matters</u></a> 17
	<a href="#"><u>Competition</u></a> 23
	<a href="#"><u>Employees</u></a> 23
	<a href="#"><u>Financial Information about Segments</u></a> 23
	<a href="#"><u>Website Access to Reports and Other Information</u></a> 23
Item 1A.	<a href="#"><u>Risk Factors</u></a> 24
Item 1B.	<a href="#"><u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u></a> 41
Item 2.	<a href="#"><u>Properties</u></a> 41
Item 3.	<a href="#"><u>Legal Proceedings</u></a> 41
Item 4.	<a href="#"><u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u></a> 41
<b><u>PART II</u></b>	
Item 5.	<a href="#"><u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u></a> 42
Item 6.	<a href="#"><u>Selected Financial Data</u></a> 44
Item 7.	<a href="#"><u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u></a> 46
Item 7A.	<a href="#"><u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u></a> 66
Item 8.	<a href="#"><u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u></a> 67
Item 9.	<a href="#"><u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u></a> 118
Item 9A.	<a href="#"><u>Controls and Procedures</u></a> 118
Item 9B.	<a href="#"><u>Other Information</u></a> 118
<b><u>PART III</u></b>	
Item 10.	<a href="#"><u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u></a> 119
Item 11.	<a href="#"><u>Executive Compensation</u></a> 119
Item 12.	<a href="#"><u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u></a> 119
Item 13.	<a href="#"><u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u></a> 119
Item 14.	<a href="#"><u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u></a> 119
<b><u>PART IV</u></b>	
Item 15.	<a href="#"><u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u></a> 120

## CERTAIN DEFINITIONS

The following oil and gas measurements and industry and other terms are used in this Form 10-K. As used herein, production volumes represent sales volumes, unless otherwise indicated.

*Barrel* —means one barrel of petroleum products that equals 42 U.S. gallons.

*BBtu/d* —means one billion BTUs per day.

*Bcf* —means one billion cubic feet of natural gas.

*Boe* —means one barrel of oil equivalent, calculated by converting natural gas volumes to equivalent oil barrels at a ratio of six Mcf to one barrel of oil.

*British Thermal Unit or BTU* —means a unit of energy needed to raise the temperature of one pound of water by one degree Fahrenheit.

*FERC* —means the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

*LOE* —means lease and other operating expense excluding production taxes, ad valorem taxes and gathering, processing and transportation fees.

*Mbbls* —means one thousand barrels.

*Mbbls/d* —means one thousand barrels per day.

*Mboe* — means one thousand barrels of oil equivalent.

*Mboe/d* — means one thousand barrels of oil equivalent per day.

*Mcf* — means one thousand cubic feet.

*MMbbls* — means one million barrels.

*MMboe* — means one million barrels of oil equivalent.

*MMBtu* — means one million BTUs.

*MMBtu/d* — means one million BTUs per day.

*MMcf* — means one million cubic feet.

*MMcf/d* — means one million cubic feet per day.

*NGL* —means natural gas liquids; natural gas liquids result from natural gas processing and crude oil refining and are used as petrochemical feedstocks, heating fuels and gasoline additives, among other applications.

## PART I

*In this report, WPX (which includes WPX Energy, Inc. and, unless the context otherwise requires, all of our subsidiaries) is at times referred to in the first person as “we,” “us” or “our.” We also sometimes refer to WPX as the “Company” or “WPX Energy.”*

*Throughout this report we “incorporate by reference” certain information in parts of other documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”). The SEC allows us to disclose important information by referring to it in that manner. Please refer to such documents for information.*

*We are making forward-looking statements in this report. In “Item 1A: Risk Factors” we discuss some of the risk factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those stated in the forward-looking statements.*

### **Item 1. Business**

#### **WPX ENERGY, INC.**

Incorporated in 2011, we are an independent oil and natural gas exploration and production company engaged in the exploitation and development of long-life unconventional properties. We are focused on profitably exploiting, developing and growing our oil positions in the Delaware (a subset of the Permian Basin) and San Juan Basins in the southwestern United States and the Williston Basin in North Dakota.

We have built a geographically diverse portfolio of oil and natural gas reserves through organic development and strategic acquisitions. Our proved reserves at December 31, 2017 were 436 MMboe. Our reserves reflect a mix of 60 percent crude oil, 23 percent natural gas and 17 percent NGLs. During 2017, we replaced our production for all commodities at a rate of 459 percent, before consideration of divestitures.

Our principal areas of operation are the Delaware Basin in Texas and New Mexico, the Williston Basin in North Dakota, and the San Juan Basin in New Mexico and Colorado. Our principal executive office is located at 3500 One Williams Center, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74172. Our telephone number is 855-979-2012. We maintain an Internet site at [www.wpxenergy.com](http://www.wpxenergy.com).

## BUSINESS OVERVIEW AND PROPERTIES

### Our Business Strategy

Our business strategy is to increase shareholder value by increasing production over time of oil, natural gas and NGLs, expanding our margins, and finding and developing reserves.

- *Focused, Long-Term Portfolio Management* . We are focused on long-term profitable growth. Our objective over time is to grow our production within our cash flow and reduce our costs. With that in mind, we regularly evaluate the performance of our assets and, when appropriate, we consider divestitures of assets that are underperforming or which are no longer a part of our strategic focus. Since mid-2014, we have undertaken nearly \$8 billion of asset acquisitions and divestitures, allowing us to focus on our core areas and strengthen our financial position. With regard to our core assets, we expect to allocate capital to the most profitable opportunities based on commodity price cycles and other market conditions, enabling us to grow our reserves and production in a manner that maximizes our returns on investments.
- *Margin Expansion thru Focus on Costs* . We believe we can expand our margins by focusing on opportunities to reduce our cost structure and being disciplined both operationally and financially. This requires crisp, consistent execution as well as managing potential disruptions in our business. We continue to manage the cost and availability of skilled labor, drilling rigs and equipment, transportation and other supplies.
- *Maintain Financial Flexibility*. We believe our continued focus on cost reductions, increased capital efficiency and long-term oil production growth will allow us to generate increased and sustainable annual cash flows from operations. This cash flow, combined with our capital structure and available sources of liquidity, will allow us to efficiently develop and grow our resource base and pursue reserve growth throughout a variety of commodity price environments.
- *Continue Oil Development and Increase Optionality*. We believe that efforts to develop our oil properties will yield a more balanced commodity mix in our production, providing us with the option of focusing on the commodity with the best returns under different market conditions. This optionality, we believe, will place us in a position where we can better protect and grow our cash flows. We have engaged and will continue to engage in commodity derivative hedging activities to maintain a degree of cash flow stability. Typically, we target hedging at least 50 percent of expected revenue from production during a current calendar year in order to strike an appropriate balance of commodity price upside with cash flow protection, although we may vary from this level based on our perceptions of market risk. We have hedged 56,979 Bbls per day and 34,000 Bbls per day of our anticipated remaining 2018 and 2019 oil production, respectively, at a weighted average price of \$ 52.72 per barrel and \$52.30 per barrel, respectively. We also have natural gas derivatives totaling 132,000 MMBtu per day and 50,000 MMBtu per day for the remainder of 2018 and 2019, respectively, at a weighted average price of \$ 2.99 per MMBtu and \$2.88 per MMBtu, respectively.
- *Build Asset Scale and Remain Opportunistic*. We expect to opportunistically acquire acreage positions in areas where we feel we can establish significant scale and replicate cost-efficient development practices. We may also consider other "bolt-on" transactions or leasehold exchanges that are directed at driving operational efficiencies through increased scale or contiguous acreage blocks. We can manage costs by focusing on the establishment of large scale, contiguous acreage blocks where we can operate a majority of the properties. We believe this strategy allows us to better achieve economies of scale and apply continuous technological improvements in our operations. We have a history of acquiring undeveloped properties that meet our expected return requirements and other acquisition criteria to expand upon our existing positions as well as acquiring undeveloped acreage in new geographic areas that offer significant resource potential.

### Significant Properties

Our principal areas of operation are the Delaware Basin (a subset of the Permian Basin), Williston Basin and San Juan Basin. On February 5, 2018, we announced an agreement to sell our remaining operations in the San Juan Basin, comprised of an oil position in the Mancos Gallup Sandstone, for approximately \$700 million. We expect the sale to close by the end of first-quarter 2018. In December 2017, we completed the sale of most all of our natural gas position in the San Juan Basin. After completion of the sale in 2018, we will no longer have a presence in the San Juan Basin. See Note 17 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### *Delaware Basin*

We entered the Delaware Basin in August 2015 upon the closing of our acquisition of RKI Exploration & Production, LLC ("RKI") (the "RKI Acquisition"). We operate 628 wells in the Delaware Basin and also own interests in 782 wells operated by others. We hold approximately 131,000 net acres in the Delaware Basin, with core operations located in Eddy, Lea and Chaves Counties in New Mexico and Loving, Pecos, Reeves, Ward and Winkler Counties in Texas. Approximately 74

percent of the leasehold is held by production. The Permian Basin is one of the most prolific hydrocarbon producing regions of the United States and spans an area approximately 250 miles wide by 300 miles long. The basin is characterized by numerous stacked reservoirs, high oil and natural gas content, extensive production history, long-lived reserves and high drilling success rates.

During 2017, we operated an average of 6.3 drilling rigs in the Delaware Basin and have had an average of 42.5 Mboe per day of net production. We expect to operate 7 rigs in the Delaware Basin in 2018. Capital expenditures in 2017, excluding land purchases and infrastructure, were approximately \$680 million, which included completion of 90 gross (69 net) wells. As of December 31, 2017, another 26 gross operated wells were awaiting completion.

Our activity in the Delaware Basin is primarily focused on the Wolfcamp Shale formation, the Bone Spring interval (which includes the Avalon sand and shales, and the Bone Springs sands, shales and carbonates), and the shallower Delaware sand interval. We have a multi-year inventory of stacked pays on approximately 131,000 net acres.

The Permian Basin, of which the Delaware Basin is a substantial sub-basin, covers a significant portion of western Texas and eastern New Mexico and is considered one of the major producing basins in the United States. The Permian Basin formed as an area of rapid Mississippian-Pennsylvanian subsidence in the foreland of the Ouachita fold belt. It is one of the largest sedimentary basins in the United States, and has oil and gas production from several reservoirs from Permian through Ordovician in age.

From the mid-Pennsylvanian period to the early Permian period, the Delaware Basin was a slowly subsiding area that was characterized by shallow marine shales and limestone. Influxes of clastic sands generally occurred as turbidite deposits formed during periodic sea-level changes. Records indicate a rapid deepening of the Delaware Basin relative to the emergent Central Basin Platform, during the early Permian period. Marine shale deposition continued to dominate the basin during this period. Episodic pulses of carbonate and clastic debris and density flows punctuated the shale deposition and eventually became significant reservoirs. Through the late Permian period, the basin became increasingly more clastic dominated as emergent shelf areas to the north shed sands into the basin.

The Wolfcamp formation within the Delaware Basin is a long-established reservoir, first found in the 1950s as wells aiming for deeper targets occasionally intersected slump blocks or debris flows with good reservoir properties. Wolfcamp reservoirs consist of debris-flow and grain-flow sediments, which were deposited in a submarine fan setting. The best carbonate reservoirs within the Wolfcamp are generally found in proximity to the Central Basin Platform, while the shale reservoirs thicken basinward away from the Central Basin Platform. The Wolfcamp contains organic-rich mudstone and shales which, when buried to sufficient depth for maturation, became the source of the hydrocarbons found both within the shales themselves and in the more conventional clastic and carbonate reservoirs between the shales.

We also have midstream and operational infrastructure in the Delaware Basin to support drilling activities and keep pace with production growth, including investing in low and high pressure gathering lines, compression systems, electrical power supply systems, fresh water supply systems and saltwater disposal systems. We believe these midstream assets provide a competitive advantage and reduce reliance on third parties for takeaway capacity. In October 2017, we closed a transaction with Howard Energy Partners (“Howard”) to jointly develop oil gathering and natural gas processing infrastructure in the Stateline area. At closing, we contributed crude oil gathering and natural gas processing assets already in service or under construction, and received a \$300 million special distribution plus \$49 million for capital expenditures in 2017. In connection with this joint venture, we will dedicate our current and future leasehold interest in the Stateline area, representing 50,000 net acres in the Delaware Basin, pursuant to twenty-year fixed-fee oil gathering and natural gas processing agreements. These agreements do not include any minimum volume commitments.

Some of our acreage in the Delaware Basin is leased to us by or with the approval of the federal government or its agencies, including the United States Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management (“BLM”). These particular leases are subject to federal authority, including the National Environmental Policy Act (“NEPA”), and require governmental agencies to evaluate the potential environmental impacts of a proposed project on government owned lands. These regulatory regimes impose obligations on the federal government and governmental agencies that may result in legal challenges and potentially lengthy delays in obtaining both permits to drill and rights of way.

### ***Williston Basin***

In December 2010, we acquired leasehold positions of approximately 85,800 net acres in the Williston Basin. All of these properties are on the Fort Berthold Indian Reservation in North Dakota and we are the primary operator. Based on our geologic interpretation of the Bakken formation, the evolution of completion techniques, our own drilling results as well as the publicly available drilling results for other operators in the basin, we believe that a substantial portion of our Williston Basin acreage is prospective in the Bakken and Three Forks formations, the primary targets for all of the well locations in our current drilling inventory. We operate 267 wells in the Williston Basin and also own interest in 93 wells operated by others. We hold 84,490 net acres in the Williston Basin.

During 2017, we operated an average of 2 rigs on our Williston Basin properties and we had an average of 34.8 Mboe per day of net production from our Williston Basin wells. We expect to operate 3 rigs in the Williston Basin in 2018. Capital expenditures in 2017 were approximately \$251 million which included the completion of 44 gross (35 net) wells in 2017. As of December 31, 2017, another 12 gross operated wells were awaiting completion.

We are developing oil reserves through horizontal drilling in the Middle Bakken and the Upper Three Forks oil formations. Based on our subsurface geological analysis, we believe that our position lies in an area of the basin with substantial potential recovery for Bakken and Three Forks formation oil.

Williston Basin is spread across North Dakota, South Dakota, Montana and parts of southern Canada, covering approximately 202,000 square miles, of which 143,000 square miles are in the United States. The basin produces oil and natural gas from numerous producing horizons including the Bakken, Three Forks, Madison and Red River formations.

The Devonian-age Bakken formation is found within the Williston Basin underlying portions of North Dakota and Montana and is comprised of three lithologic members referred to as the Upper, Middle and Lower Bakken Shales. The formation ranges up to 150 feet thick and is a continuous and structurally simple reservoir. The upper and lower shales are highly organic, thermally mature and over pressured and can act as both a source and reservoir for the oil. The Middle Bakken, which varies in composition from a silty dolomite to shaly limestone or sand, serves as the productive formation and is a critical reservoir for commercial production. Generally, the Bakken formation is found at vertical depths of 8,500 to 11,500 feet.

The Three Forks formation, generally found immediately under the Bakken formation, has also proven to contain productive reservoir rock. The Three Forks formation typically consists of interbedded dolomites and shale with local development of a discontinuous sandy member at the top, part of the Pronghorn formation. The Three Forks formation is an unconventional carbonate play. Similar to the Bakken formation, the Three Forks formation is being exploited utilizing the same horizontal drilling and advanced completion techniques as the Bakken development. Drilling in the Three Forks formation began in mid-2008 and many operators are drilling wells targeting this formation.

Our acreage in the Williston Basin is leased to us by or with the approval of the federal government or its agencies, and is subject to federal authority, the NEPA, the Bureau of Indian Affairs or other regulatory regimes that require governmental agencies to evaluate the potential environmental impacts of a proposed project on government owned lands. These regulatory regimes impose obligations on the federal government and governmental agencies that may result in legal challenges and potentially lengthy delays in obtaining project permits or approvals and could result, in certain instances, in the cancellation of existing leases.

#### **Acquisitions and Divestitures**

On January 12, 2017, we signed an agreement to acquire certain assets from Panther Energy Company II, LLC and Carrier Energy Partners, LLC for \$775 million. The assets include approximately 6,500 Boe/d of existing production from 23 producing wells (17 horizontals), two drilled but uncompleted horizontal laterals, 18,100 net acres and 920 gross undeveloped locations in the Delaware Basin.

On October 26, 2017, we signed an agreement to sell our natural gas-producing properties in the San Juan Basin for \$169 million, subject to closing adjustments, and subsequently closed a majority of the transaction in the fourth quarter of 2017.

On February 5, 2018, we announced an agreement to sell our remaining operations in the San Juan Basin, comprised of an oil position in the Mancos Gallup Sandstone, for approximately \$700 million. We expect the sale to close by the end of first-quarter 2018. After completion of the sale in 2018, we will no longer have a presence in the San Juan Basin.

#### **Title to Properties**

Our title to properties is subject to royalty, overriding royalty, carried, net profits, working and other similar interests and contractual arrangements customary in the oil and natural gas industry, to liens for current taxes not yet due and to other encumbrances. In addition, leases on Native American reservations are subject to Bureau of Indian Affairs and other approvals unique to those locations. As is customary in the industry in the case of undeveloped properties, a limited investigation of record title is made at the time of acquisition. Drilling title opinions are usually prepared before commencement of drilling operations. We believe we have satisfactory title to substantially all of our active properties in accordance with standards generally accepted in the oil and natural gas industry. Nevertheless, we are involved in title disputes from time to time which can result in litigation and delay or loss of our ability to realize the benefits of our leases.

## Reserves and Production Information

We have significant oil and gas producing activities primarily in the Delaware, Williston and San Juan Basins located in the United States. As previously noted, we agreed to sell our remaining operations in the San Juan Basin.

### Oil and Gas Reserves

The following table sets forth our estimated net proved developed and undeveloped reserves expressed by product and on an oil equivalent basis for the reporting periods December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015.

	As of December 31, 2017				
	Oil (Mbbls)	Gas (MMcf)	NGL (Mbbls)	Equivalent (Mboe)	%
Proved Developed	130,333	321,231	38,813	222,685	51%
Proved Undeveloped	133,337	269,785	35,198	213,499	49%
Total Proved (a)	263,670	591,016	74,011	436,184	

	As of December 31, 2016				
	Oil (Mbbls)	Gas (MMcf)	NGL (Mbbls)	Equivalent (Mboe)	%
Proved Developed	84,372	440,161	24,065	181,797	52%
Proved Undeveloped	90,191	294,240	25,378	164,609	48%
Total Proved (a)	174,563	734,401	49,443	346,406	

	As of December 31, 2015				
	Oil (Mbbls)	Gas (MMcf)	NGL (Mbbls)	Equivalent (Mboe)	%
Proved Developed	83,009	1,618,254	49,527	402,245	69%
Proved Undeveloped	59,710	571,949	25,766	180,801	31%
Total Proved (a)	142,719	2,190,203	75,293	583,046	
Less: Discontinued operations	5,707	1,551,734	39,419	303,748	
Total Proved less discontinued operations	137,012	638,469	35,874	279,298	

(a) Total Proved Reserves includes reserves related to the San Juan Basin of 52,604 Mboe, 90,961 Mboe and 86,221 Mboe as of December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Our predominantly natural gas assets in the San Juan Basin were sold in December 2017. In February 2018, we announced an agreement to sell our remaining operations in the San Juan Basin, comprised of an oil position in the Mancos Gallup Sandstone.

The following table sets forth our estimated net proved reserves for our largest areas of activity expressed by product and on an oil equivalent basis as of December 31, 2017.

	As of December 31, 2017			
	Oil (Mbbls)	Gas (MMcf)	NGL (Mbbls)	Equivalent (Mboe)
Delaware Basin	127,926	446,107	50,098	252,377
Williston Basin	107,794	61,282	13,195	131,203
San Juan Basin	27,950	83,627	10,718	52,604
Total Proved	263,670	591,016	74,011	436,184


We prepare our own reserves estimates and approximately 99 percent of our reserves are audited by Netherland, Sewell & Associates, Inc. (“NSAI”).

We have not filed on a recurring basis estimates of our total proved net oil, NGL, and gas reserves with any U.S. regulatory authority or agency other than with the U.S. Department of Energy and the SEC. The estimates furnished to the Department of Energy have been consistent with those furnished to the SEC.



Our 2017 year-end estimated proved reserves reflect an average oil price of \$46.39 per barrel, an average natural gas price of \$1.67 per Mcf and average NGL price of \$21.16 per barrel. These prices were calculated from the 12-month trailing average, first-of-the-month price for the applicable indices for each basin as adjusted for respective location price differentials. During 2017, we added 143 MMboe of extensions and discoveries to our proved reserves. During 2017, we incurred \$ 1,048 million in development expenditures which included the drilling of 180 gross ( 148 net) wells.

*Proved reserves reconciliation*



Production of 40 MMboe includes approximately 5 MMboe related to our natural gas-producing properties in the San Juan Basin through the completion of the sale. The 143 MMboe of extensions and discoveries reflects 46 MMboe added for drilled locations, 75 MMboe added for new proved undeveloped locations, and 22 MMboe added to proved undeveloped locations due to additional acreage acquired to extend our current one mile laterals to two mile laterals. Of the extensions and discoveries, 63 percent were in the Delaware Basin. The acquisitions of 40 MMboe were all in the Delaware Basin. The divestitures of 55 MMboe primarily relates to 45 MMboe from the sale of our natural gas-producing properties in the San Juan Basin and 10 MMboe related to divestitures from non-core areas and exchanges in our Delaware Basin operations. The overall net positive revisions of 2 MMboe reflect 24 MMboe of net positive price revisions due to the increase in the 12-month average prices offset by 22 MMboe net negative technical revisions primarily due to changes in the development plan which moved these locations outside of the 5 year window.

*Reserves estimation process*

Our reserves are estimated by deterministic methods using an appropriate combination of production performance analysis and volumetric techniques. The proved reserves for economic undrilled locations are estimated by analogy or volumetrically from offset developed locations. Reservoir continuity and lateral pervasiveness of our tight-sands and shale reservoirs is established by combinations of subsurface analysis, 2D and 3D seismic analysis, and pressure data. Understanding of reservoir quality may be augmented by core analysis.

The engineering staff of each basin asset team provides the reserves modeling and forecasts for their respective areas. Various departments also participate in the preparation of the year-end reserves estimate by providing supporting information such as pricing, capital costs, expenses, ownership, gas gathering costs and oil/gas quality. The various departments and their roles in the year-end reserves process are coordinated by our corporate reserves department. The corporate reserves department's responsibilities also include performing an internal review of reserves data for reasonableness and accuracy, working with NSAI and the asset teams to successfully complete the reserves audit, finalizing the year-end reserves report and reporting reserves data to accounting.

The preparation of our year-end reserves report is a formal process. Early in the year, we begin with a review of the existing internal processes and controls to identify where improvements can be made from the prior year's reporting cycle. Later in the year, the reserves staffs from the asset teams submit their preliminary reserves data to the corporate reserves department. After review by the corporate reserves department, the data is submitted to NSAI to begin their audits. Reserves data analysis and further review are then conducted and iterated between the asset teams, corporate reserves department and NSAI. In early December, reserves are reviewed with senior management. The process concludes upon receipt of the audit letter from NSAI.

The reserves estimates resulting from our process are subjected to both internal and external controls to promote transparency and accuracy of the year-end reserves estimates. Our internal corporate reserves department is independent and does not work within an asset team or report directly to anyone on an asset team. The corporate reserves department provides detailed independent review and extensive documentation of the year-end process. Our internal processes and controls, as they relate to the year-end reserves, are reviewed and updated as appropriate. The compensation of our corporate reserves department is not directly linked to reserves additions or revisions.

Approximately 99 percent of our total year-end 2017 domestic proved reserves estimates were audited by NSAI. When compared on a well-by-well basis, some of our estimates are greater and some are less than the NSAI estimates. NSAI is satisfied with our methods and procedures used to prepare the December 31, 2017 reserves estimates and future revenue, and noted nothing of an unusual nature that would cause NSAI to take exception with the estimates, in the aggregate, prepared by us.

NSAI was founded in 1961 and performs consulting petroleum engineering services under Texas Board of Professional Engineers Registration No. F-2699. Within NSAI, the technical persons primarily responsible for auditing the estimates meet or exceed the education, training, and experience requirements set forth in the Standards Pertaining to the Estimating and Auditing of Oil and Gas Reserves Information promulgated by the Society of Petroleum Engineers; both are proficient in judiciously applying industry standard practices to engineering and geoscience evaluations as well as applying SEC and other industry reserves definitions and guidelines.

The company's internal technical person primarily responsible for overseeing preparation of the reserves estimates and the third-party reserves audit has 17 years of relevant experience in Reservoir and Evaluation Engineering, a B.S. in Petroleum Engineering from Montana Tech, and membership in the Society of Petroleum Engineers and the Society of Petroleum Evaluation Engineers.

#### *Proved undeveloped reserves*

The majority of our reserves is concentrated in unconventional tight-sands and shale reservoirs. We use available geoscience and engineering data to establish drainage areas and reservoir continuity beyond one direct offset from a producing well, which may provide for additional proved undeveloped reserves. Inherent in the methodology is a requirement for significant well density of economically producing wells to establish reasonable certainty. In areas where producing wells are less concentrated, generally only direct offsets from proved producing wells were assigned the proved undeveloped reserves classification. No new technologies were used to assign proved undeveloped reserves.

At December 31, 2017, our proved undeveloped reserves were 214 MMboe, an increase of 49 MMboe from our December 31, 2016 proved undeveloped reserves estimate of 165 MMboe. The 214 MMboe represents 49 percent of our total proved reserves at December 31, 2017 as compared with 165 MMboe which was 48 percent of our total proved reserves as of December 31, 2016. Included in the 214 MMboe is 11 MMboe related to the San Juan Basin for which an agreement was signed in January 2018 for the sale of our remaining interests in the basin. Below is a reconciliation of our proved undeveloped reserves for 2017:

	MMboe	% of December 31, 2016	% of December 31, 2017
Proved Undeveloped Reserves at December 31, 2016	<b>165</b>		
Converted to Proved Developed Reserves	(33)	(20)%	(15)%
Extensions and Discoveries	96	58%	45%
Revisions	(11)	(7)%	(5)%
Acquisitions	16	10%	7%
Divestitures	(19)	(12)%	(9)%
Proved Undeveloped Reserves at December 31, 2017	<b>214</b>		

During 2017, 33 MMboe of our December 31, 2016 proved undeveloped reserves were converted to proved developed reserves at a cost of \$333 million of which \$43 million was incurred in prior years. This represents a proved undeveloped conversion rate of 20 percent. Of the converted proved undeveloped reserves, 48 percent were in the Delaware Basin in the Bone Springs and Wolfcamp formations, 24 percent were converted in the Williston Basin in the Bakken and Three Forks formations, and 28 percent were converted in the San Juan Basin in the Gallup formation.

Of the 96 MMboe of proved undeveloped extensions and discoveries, 68 percent are in the Delaware Basin, primarily in the Wolfcamp formation, 26 percent are in the Williston Basin in the Bakken and Three Forks formations, and 6 percent are in the San Juan Basin in the Gallup formation.

In 2017, net negative revisions for our proved undeveloped reserves were 11 MMboe, which reflects an upward revision of 10 MMboe of reserves based on the 12-month trailing prices offset by 21 MMboe of downward technical revisions associated with changes in the development plan moving undeveloped locations outside the 5 year window.

The 16 MMboe of proved undeveloped acquisitions relates to the Delaware Basin. The 19 MMboe of proved undeveloped divestitures relate to the natural gas-producing properties in the San Juan Basin that were sold in December 2017.

All proved undeveloped locations are scheduled to be drilled within the next five years. Development drilling schedules are subject to revision and reprioritization throughout the year resulting from unknown factors such as the relative success of individual developmental drilling prospects, rig availability, title issues or delays and the effect that acquisitions or dispositions may have on prioritizing developmental drilling plans for maximizing returns of capital spent.

## Oil and Gas Production, Production Prices and Production Costs

### Production Sales Data

The following table summarizes our net production sales volumes for the years indicated.

	Total Volume for the Years Ended December 31,			Per Day Volume for the Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015	2017	2016	2015
<b>Oil</b>	<b>(Mbbbls)</b>			<b>(Mbbbls/d)</b>		
Delaware Basin	8,013	4,773	1,261 <sup>(a)</sup>	22.0	13.0	3.5 <sup>(b)</sup>
Williston Basin	10,951	7,596	7,958	30.0	20.8	21.8
San Juan Basin	3,398	2,782	3,252	9.3	7.6	8.9
Other	—	27	8	—	0.1	—
Total continuing operations	22,362	15,178	12,479	61.3	41.5	34.2
Discontinued operations(c)	—	149	531	—	0.4	1.4
Total continuing and discontinued operations	22,362	15,327	13,010	61.3	41.9	35.6
<b>Natural Gas</b>	<b>(MMcf)</b>			<b>(MMcf/d)</b>		
Delaware Basin	28,554	15,818	4,217 <sup>(a)</sup>	78	43	12 <sup>(b)</sup>
Williston Basin	5,054	4,603	4,284	14	13	12
San Juan Basin	40,791	45,728	47,093	112	125	129
Other	1,703	6,693	10,593	4	18	28
Total continuing operations	76,102	72,842	66,187	208	199	181
Discontinued operations(c)	—	45,808	210,808	—	125	578
Total continuing and discontinued operations	76,102	118,650	276,995	208	324	759
<b>NGLs</b>	<b>(Mbbbls)</b>			<b>(Mbbbls/d)</b>		
Delaware Basin	2,748	1,445	409 <sup>(a)</sup>	7.5	4.0	1.1 <sup>(b)</sup>
Williston Basin	896	782	720	2.5	2.1	2.0
San Juan Basin	1,381	1,388	1,247	3.8	3.8	3.4
Other	12	30	36	—	0.1	0.1
Total continuing operations	5,037	3,645	2,412	13.8	10.0	6.6
Discontinued operations(c)	—	1,106	4,877	—	3.0	13.4
Total continuing and discontinued operations	5,037	4,751	7,289	13.8	13.0	20.0
<b>Combined Equivalent Volumes</b>	<b>(Mboe)</b>			<b>(Mboe/d)</b>		
Delaware Basin	15,520	8,854	2,373 <sup>(a)</sup>	42.5	24.2	6.5 <sup>(b)</sup>
Williston Basin	12,689	9,145	9,392	34.8	25.0	25.7
San Juan Basin	11,578	11,791	12,348	31.7	32.2	33.8
Other	296	1,173	1,809	0.8	3.2	5.0
Total continuing operations	40,083	30,963	25,922	109.8	84.6	71.0
Discontinued operations(c)	—	8,891	40,543	—	24.3	111.1
Total continuing and discontinued operations	40,083	39,854	66,465	109.8	108.9	182.1

(a) Reflects production subsequent to the RKI Acquisition date of August 17, 2015 through December 31, 2015.

(b) The Delaware Basin average daily volumes assumes 365 days. In 2015, the combined equivalent per day volume was 17.4 Mboe from the period of acquisition through the end of the year.

(c) Reflects production from discontinued operations (primarily the Piceance and Powder River Basins) through the dates of disposition.

*Realized average price per unit*

The following table summarizes our sales prices for the years indicated.

	Continuing operations			Total Company(a)		
	Year Ended December 31,			Year Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015	2017	2016	2015
Oil(b):						
Oil excluding all derivative settlements (per barrel)	\$ 46.02	\$ 36.31	\$ 39.61	\$ 46.02	\$ 36.20	\$ 39.55
Impact of net cash received (paid) related to settlement of derivatives (per barrel)	(0.27)	12.50	31.21	(0.27)	12.38	29.94
Oil net price including all derivative settlements (per barrel)	\$ 45.75	\$ 48.81	\$ 70.82	\$ 45.75	\$ 48.58	\$ 69.49
Natural gas(b):						
Natural gas excluding all derivative settlements (per Mcf)	\$ 2.14	\$ 1.72	\$ 2.08	\$ 2.14	\$ 1.73	\$ 2.23
Impact of net cash received (paid) related to settlement of derivatives (per Mcf)	0.13	1.53	3.93	0.13	1.06	0.94
Natural gas net price including all derivative settlements (per Mcf)	\$ 2.27	\$ 3.25	\$ 6.01	\$ 2.27	\$ 2.79	\$ 3.17
NGL(b):						
NGL excluding all derivative settlements (per barrel)	\$ 22.91	\$ 12.48	\$ 9.39	\$ 22.91	\$ 12.06	\$ 13.23
NGL net price including all derivative settlements (per barrel)	\$ 22.91	\$ 12.48	\$ 9.39	\$ 22.91	\$ 12.06	\$ 13.23
Combined commodity price per Mboe, including all derivative settlements	\$ 32.71	\$ 33.04	\$ 50.32	\$ 32.71	\$ 28.44	\$ 28.25

(a) Represents both continuing operations and discontinued operations through the dates of respective disposition.

(b) Realized average prices reflect market prices, net of fuel, shrink, transportation and fractionation, and processing.

*Expenses per Mboe*

The following table summarizes our costs for the years indicated.

	Continuing operations			Total Company(a)		
	Year Ended December 31,			Year Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015	2017	2016	2015
Production costs:						
Lifting costs and workovers(b)	\$ 4.92	\$ 4.74	\$ 5.02	\$ 4.92	\$ 3.99	\$ 3.12
Facilities operating expense	0.34	0.30	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.32
Accretion expense	0.15	0.18	0.19	0.15	0.20	0.21
Other operating and maintenance	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.02
Total LOE(b)	\$ 5.44	\$ 5.26	\$ 5.59	\$ 5.44	\$ 4.55	\$ 3.67
Gathering, processing and transportation charges	2.34	2.45	2.48	2.46	3.13	4.82
Taxes other than income	2.55	1.94	2.38	2.55	1.56	1.20
Total production cost	\$ 10.33	\$ 9.65	\$ 10.45	\$ 10.45	\$ 9.24	\$ 9.69
General and administrative	\$ 4.33	\$ 6.90	\$ 8.12	\$ 4.37	\$ 5.57	\$ 3.82
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	\$ 16.79	\$ 20.11	\$ 20.39	\$ 16.79	\$ 15.84	\$ 14.14

(a) Represents both continuing operations and discontinued operations through the dates of respective disposition.

(b) Excluding San Juan Basin, the lifting and workovers for Continuing operations are \$5.42, \$5.67 and \$5.91 for 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Total LOE excluding San Juan Basin is \$5.90, \$6.16 and \$6.38 for 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

## Productive Oil and Gas Wells

The table below summarizes 2017 productive gross and net wells by area. We use the term “gross” to refer to all wells or acreage in which we have at least a partial working interest and “net” to refer to our ownership represented by that working interest.

	Oil Wells (Gross)	Oil Wells (Net)	Gas Wells (Gross)	Gas Wells (Net)
Delaware Basin	1,211	583	199	94
Williston Basin	360	219	—	—
San Juan Basin	199	170	1,099	(a) 175
Total	1,770	972	1,298	269

(a) San Juan Basin gas wells were held for sale as of December 31, 2017.

## Developed and Undeveloped Acreage

The following table summarizes our leased acreage as of December 31, 2017 .

	Developed		Undeveloped		Total	
	Gross Acres	Net Acres	Gross Acres	Net Acres	Gross Acres	Net Acres
Delaware Basin	130,168	78,579	88,927	52,109	219,095	130,688
Williston Basin	72,918	62,086	61,132	22,404	134,050	84,490
San Juan Basin	170,189	71,151	77,267	54,367	247,456	125,518
Other(a)	4,519	1,598	25,159	11,715	29,678	13,313
Total	377,794	213,414	252,485	140,595	630,279	354,009

(a) Primarily acreage in exploratory areas we no longer plan to develop.

## Drilling and Exploratory Activities

We focus on lower-risk development drilling. Our development drilling success rate was 100 percent in 2017 , 2016 and 2015 . Our combined development and exploration success rate was 100 percent , 100 percent and 99 percent in 2017 , 2016 and 2015 , respectively.

The following table summarizes the number of wells drilled for the periods indicated and excludes discontinued operations.

	2017		2016		2015	
	Gross Wells	Net Wells	Gross Wells	Net Wells	Gross Wells	Net Wells
<i>Development wells:</i>						
Delaware Basin	94	76	40	31	19	(a) 16
Williston Basin	44	34	25	21	21	13
San Juan Basin	42	38	12	12	53	46
Other(b)	—	—	41	—	34	—
Development well total	180	148	118	64	127	75
<i>Exploration wells:</i>						
Nonproductive(c)	—	—	—	—	1	1
Exploration well total	—	—	—	—	1	1
Total Drilled	180	148	118	64	128	76

(a) Reflects wells drilled from the RKI Acquisition date of August 17, 2015 through December 31, 2015.

(b) Includes Appalachia Basin, Green River Basin and other miscellaneous properties through dates of respective dispositions.

(c) Reflects exploration wells which were drilled and not completed.

Total gross operated wells drilled were 157, 63 and 85 in 2017 , 2016 and 2015 , respectively.

## Present Activities

At December 31, 2017, we had 26 gross (26 net) wells in the process of being drilled.

## Scheduled Lease Expirations

The table below sets forth, as of December 31, 2017, the gross and net acres scheduled to expire over the next several years. The acreage will not expire if we are able to establish production by drilling wells on the lease prior to the expiration date.

	2018	2019	2020	2021+	Total
Delaware Basin	6,815	11,548	8,233	20,991	47,587
Williston Basin	160	—	—	40	200
San Juan Basin	10,246	10,684	2,321	1,320	24,571
Other(a)	308	31	—	—	339
Total (Gross Acres)	17,529	22,263	10,554	22,351	72,697

	2018	2019	2020	2021+	Total
Delaware Basin	3,997	6,785	2,367	20,991	34,140
Williston Basin	159	—	—	40	199
San Juan Basin	10,246	9,788	2,321	990	23,345
Other(a)	290	31	—	—	321
Total (Net Acres)	14,692	16,604	4,688	22,021	58,005

(a) Primarily acreage in exploratory areas we no longer plan to develop.

At December 31, 2017, we owned mineral interests in 60,508 gross and 8,474 net acres. These interests do not expire.

## Seasonality

Generally, the demand for oil and natural gas decreases during the summer months and increases during the winter months. In some areas, natural gas increases during the summer months. Seasonal anomalies such as mild winters or hot summers can lessen or intensify this fluctuation. Conversely, during extreme weather events such as blizzards, hurricanes, or heat waves, pipeline systems can become temporarily constrained thus amplifying localized price volatility. In addition, pipelines, utilities, local distribution companies and industrial users utilize natural gas storage facilities and purchase some of their anticipated winter requirements during the summer months. This can lessen seasonal demand fluctuations. World weather and resultant prices for liquefied natural gas can also affect deliveries of competing liquefied natural gas into this country from abroad, affecting the price of domestically produced natural gas. In addition, adverse weather conditions can also affect our production rates or otherwise disrupt our operations.

## Hedging Activity

To manage the commodity price risk and volatility associated with owning producing crude oil, natural gas and NGL properties, we enter into derivative contracts for a portion of our expected future production. See further discussion in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

## Customers

Oil, natural gas and NGL production is sold through our sales and marketing activities to a variety of purchasers under various length contracts ranging from one day to multi-year under various pricing structures. Our third-party customers include other producers, utility companies, power generators, banks, marketing and trading companies and midstream service providers. In 2017, we had four customers that accounted for 10 percent or more of our consolidated total revenues adjusted for net gain (loss) on derivatives. See further detail in Note 16 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. We believe that the loss of one or more of our current oil, natural gas or NGLs purchasers would not have a material adverse effect on our ability to sell our production, because any individual purchaser could be readily replaced by other purchasers, absent a broad market disruption.

## REGULATORY MATTERS

The oil and natural gas industry is extensively regulated by numerous federal, state, local and foreign authorities, including Native American tribes in the United States. Legislation affecting the oil and natural gas industry is under constant review for amendment or expansion, frequently increasing the regulatory burden. Also, numerous departments and agencies, both federal and state, and Native American tribes are authorized by statute to issue rules and regulations binding on the oil and natural gas industry and its individual members, some of which carry substantial penalties for noncompliance. Although the regulatory burden on the oil and natural gas industry increases our cost of doing business and, consequently, affects our profitability, these burdens generally do not affect us any differently or to any greater or lesser extent than they affect other companies in the industry with similar types, quantities and locations of production.

The availability, terms and cost of transportation significantly affect sales of oil and natural gas. The interstate transportation and sale for resale of oil and natural gas is subject to federal regulation, including regulation of the terms, conditions and rates for interstate transportation, storage and various other matters, primarily by the FERC. Federal and state regulations govern the price and terms for access to oil and natural gas pipeline transportation. The FERC's regulations for interstate oil and natural gas transmission in some circumstances may also affect the intrastate transportation of oil and natural gas.

Although oil and natural gas prices are currently unregulated, Congress historically has been active in the area of oil and natural gas regulation. We cannot predict whether new legislation to regulate oil and natural gas might be proposed, what proposals, if any, might actually be enacted by Congress or the various state legislatures, and what effect, if any, the proposals might have on our operations. Sales of oil, natural gas and NGLs are not currently regulated and are made at market prices.

### Drilling and Production

Our operations are subject to various types of regulation at federal, state, local and Native American tribal levels. These types of regulation include requiring permits for the drilling of wells, drilling bonds and reports concerning operations. Most states, and some counties, municipalities and Native American tribal areas where we operate also regulate one or more of the following activities:

- the location of wells;
- the method of drilling and casing wells;
- the timing of construction or drilling activities including seasonal wildlife closures;
- the employment of tribal members or use of tribal owned service businesses;
- the rates of production or "allowables";
- the surface use and restoration of properties upon which wells are drilled;
- the plugging and abandoning of wells;
- the notice to surface owners and other third parties; and
- the use, maintenance and restoration of roads and bridges used during all phases of drilling and production.

State laws regulate the size and shape of drilling and spacing units or proration units governing the pooling of oil and natural gas properties. Some states allow forced pooling or integration of tracts to facilitate exploration while other states rely on voluntary pooling of lands and leases. In some instances, forced pooling or unitization may be implemented by third parties and may reduce our interest in the unitized properties. In addition, state conservation laws establish maximum rates of production from oil and natural gas wells, generally prohibit the venting or flaring of natural gas and impose requirements regarding the ratability of production. These laws and regulations may limit the amount of oil and natural gas we can produce from our wells or limit the number of wells or the locations at which we can drill. Moreover, each state generally imposes a production or severance tax with respect to the production and sale of oil, natural gas and NGLs within its jurisdiction. States do not regulate wellhead prices or engage in other similar direct regulation, but there can be no assurance that they will not do so in the future. The effect of such future regulations may be to limit the amounts of oil and natural gas that may be produced from our wells, negatively affect the economics of production from these wells, or to limit the number of locations we can drill.

Federal, state and local regulations provide detailed requirements in areas where we operate for the abandonment of wells, closure or decommissioning of production facilities and pipelines, and site restoration. Most states have an administrative agency that requires the posting of performance bonds to fulfill financial requirements for owners and operators on state land. The Army Corps of Engineers and many other state and local authorities also have regulations for plugging and abandonment, decommissioning and site restoration. Although the Army Corps of Engineers does not require bonds or other financial assurances, some state agencies and municipalities do have such requirements.



## **Oil Sales and Transportation**

Sales of crude oil, condensate and NGLs are not currently regulated and are made at negotiated prices. Nevertheless, Congress could reenact price controls in the future.

Our crude oil sales are affected by the availability, terms and cost of transportation. The transportation of oil in common carrier pipelines is also subject to rate regulation. The FERC regulates interstate oil pipeline transportation rates under the Interstate Commerce Act and intrastate oil pipeline transportation rates are subject to regulation by state regulatory commissions. The basis for intrastate oil pipeline regulation, and the degree of regulatory oversight and scrutiny given to intrastate oil pipeline rates, varies from state to state. Insofar as effective interstate and intrastate rates are equally applicable to all comparable shippers, we believe that the regulation of oil transportation rates will not affect our operations in any way that is of material difference from those of our competitors.

Further, interstate and intrastate common carrier oil pipelines must provide service on a non-discriminatory basis. Under this open access standard, common carriers must offer service to all shippers requesting service on the same terms and under the same rates. When oil pipelines operate at full capacity, access is governed by prorating provisions set forth in the pipelines' published tariffs. Accordingly, we believe that access to oil pipeline transportation services generally will be available to us to the same extent as to our competitors.

## **Natural Gas Sales and Transportation**

Historically, federal legislation and regulatory controls have affected the price of the natural gas we produce and the manner in which we market our production. The FERC has jurisdiction over the transportation and sale for resale of natural gas in interstate commerce by natural gas companies under the Natural Gas Act of 1938 and the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978. Various federal laws enacted since 1978 have resulted in the complete removal of all price and non-price controls for sales of domestic natural gas sold in first sales, which include all of our own production. Under the Energy Policy Act of 2005, the FERC has substantial enforcement authority to prohibit the manipulation of natural gas markets and enforce its rules and orders, including the ability to assess substantial civil penalties.

The FERC also regulates interstate natural gas transportation rates and service conditions and establishes the terms under which we may use interstate natural gas pipeline capacity, which affects the marketing of natural gas that we produce, as well as the revenues we receive for sales of our natural gas and release of our natural gas pipeline capacity. Commencing in 1985, the FERC promulgated a series of orders, regulations and rule makings that significantly fostered competition in the business of transporting and marketing natural gas. Today, interstate pipeline companies are required to provide nondiscriminatory transportation services to producers, marketers and other shippers, regardless of whether such shippers are affiliated with them. The FERC's initiatives have led to the development of a competitive, open access market for natural gas purchases and sales that permits all purchasers of natural gas to buy directly from third-party sellers other than pipelines. However, the natural gas industry historically has been very heavily regulated; therefore, we cannot guarantee that the less stringent regulatory approach currently pursued by the FERC and Congress will continue indefinitely into the future nor can we determine what effect, if any, future regulatory changes might have on our natural gas related activities.

Under the FERC's current regulatory regime, transmission services must be provided on an open-access, nondiscriminatory basis at cost-based rates or at market-based rates if the transportation market at issue is sufficiently competitive. Gathering service, which occurs upstream of jurisdictional transmission services, is regulated by the states. Although its policy is still in flux, the FERC has in the past reclassified certain jurisdictional transmission facilities as non-jurisdictional gathering facilities, which has the tendency to increase our costs of transporting natural gas to point-of-sale locations.

## **Operations on Native American Reservations**

A portion of our leases are, and some of our future leases may be, regulated by Native American tribes. In addition to regulation by various federal, state, and local agencies and authorities, an entirely separate and distinct set of laws and regulations applies to lessees, operators and other parties within the boundaries of Native American reservations in the United States. Various federal agencies within the U.S. Department of the Interior, particularly the Bureau of Indian Affairs, the Office of Natural Resources Revenue and BLM, and the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), together with each Native American tribe, promulgate and enforce regulations pertaining to oil and gas operations on Native American reservations. These regulations include lease provisions, royalty matters, drilling and production requirements, environmental standards, tribal employment contractor preferences and numerous other matters.

Native American tribes are subject to various federal statutes and oversight by the Bureau of Indian Affairs and BLM. However, each Native American tribe is a sovereign nation and has the right to enact and enforce certain other laws and

regulations entirely independent from federal, state and local statutes and regulations, as long as they do not supersede or conflict with such federal statutes. These tribal laws and regulations include various fees, taxes, requirements to employ Native American tribal members or use tribal owned service businesses and numerous other conditions that apply to lessees, operators and contractors conducting operations within the boundaries of a Native American reservation. Further, lessees and operators operating within a Native American reservation are often subject to the Native American tribal court system, unless there is a specific waiver of sovereign immunity by the Native American tribe allowing resolution of disputes between the Native American tribe and those lessees or operators to occur in federal or state court.

Therefore, we are subject to various laws and regulations pertaining to Native American tribal surface ownership, Native American oil and gas leases, fees, taxes and other burdens, obligations and issues unique to oil and gas ownership and operations within Native American reservations. One or more of these requirements, or delays in obtaining necessary approvals or permits pursuant to these regulations, may increase our costs of doing business on Native American tribal lands and have an impact on the economic viability of any well or project on those lands.

## ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

Our operations are subject to numerous federal, state, local, Native American tribal and foreign laws and regulations governing the discharge of materials into the environment or otherwise relating to environmental protection. Applicable U.S. federal environmental laws include, but are not limited to, the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (“CERCLA”), the Clean Water Act (“CWA”) and the Clean Air Act (“CAA”). These laws and regulations govern environmental cleanup standards, require permits for air, water, underground injection, solid and hazardous waste disposal and set environmental compliance criteria. In addition, state and local laws and regulations set forth specific standards for drilling wells, the maintenance of bonding requirements in order to drill or operate wells, the spacing and location of wells, the method of drilling and casing wells, the surface use and restoration of properties upon which wells are drilled, the plugging and abandoning of wells, and the prevention and cleanup of pollutants and other matters. We maintain insurance against costs of clean-up operations, but we are not fully insured against all such risks. Additionally, Congress and federal and state agencies frequently revise the environmental laws and regulations, and any changes that result in delay or more stringent and costly permitting, waste handling, disposal and clean-up requirements for the oil and gas industry could have a significant impact on our operating costs. Although future environmental obligations are not expected to have a material impact on the results of our operations or financial condition, there can be no assurance that future developments, such as increasingly stringent environmental laws or enforcement thereof, will not cause us to incur material environmental liabilities or costs.

Public and regulatory scrutiny of the energy industry has resulted in increased environmental regulation and enforcement being either proposed or implemented. For example, EPA’s 2011 – 2013, 2014 – 2016, and 2016 – 2019 National Enforcement Initiatives include Energy Extraction and “Ensuring Energy Extraction Activities Comply with Environmental Laws.” According to the EPA’s website, “some techniques for natural gas extraction pose a significant risk to public health and the environment.” To address these concerns, the EPA has settled a number of high-impact cases under this initiative resulting in significant air emissions reductions, and will continue to identify the best ways to address pollution through greater use of advanced pollution monitoring and reporting techniques. The EPA has emphasized that this initiative will be focused on those areas of the country where energy extraction activities are concentrated, and the focus and nature of the enforcement activities will vary with the type of activity and the related pollution problem presented. This initiative could involve a large scale investigation of our facilities and processes, and could lead to potential enforcement actions, penalties or injunctive relief against us.

Failure to comply with these laws and regulations may result in the assessment of administrative, civil and criminal fines and penalties and the imposition of injunctive relief. Accidental releases or spills may occur in the course of our operations, and we cannot assure you that we will not incur significant costs and liabilities as a result of such releases or spills, including any third-party claims for damage to property, natural resources or persons. Although we believe that we are in substantial compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations and that continued compliance with existing requirements will not have a material adverse impact on us, there can be no assurance that this will continue in the future.

The environmental laws and regulations that could have a material impact on the oil and natural gas exploration and production industry and our business are as follows:

*Hazardous Substances and Wastes.* CERCLA, also known as the “Superfund law,” imposes liability, without regard to fault or the legality of the original conduct, on certain classes of persons that are considered to be responsible for the release of a “hazardous substance” into the environment. These persons include the owner or operator of the disposal site or sites where the release occurred and companies that transported or disposed of or arranged for the transport or disposal of the hazardous substances found at the site. Persons who are or were responsible for releases of hazardous substances under CERCLA may be subject to joint and several liability for the costs of cleaning up the hazardous substances that have been released into the

environment and for damages to natural resources, and it is not uncommon for neighboring landowners and other third parties to file corresponding common law claims for personal injury and property damage allegedly caused by the hazardous substances released into the environment.

The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (“RCRA”) generally does not regulate wastes generated by the exploration and production of natural gas and oil. RCRA specifically excludes from the definition of hazardous waste “drilling fluids, produced waters and other wastes associated with the exploration, development or production of crude oil, natural gas or geothermal energy.” However, legislation has been proposed in Congress from time to time that would reclassify certain natural gas and oil exploration and production wastes as “hazardous wastes,” which would make the reclassified wastes subject to much more stringent handling, disposal and clean-up requirements. If such legislation were to be enacted, it could have a significant impact on our operating costs, as well as the natural gas and oil industry in general. Moreover, ordinary industrial wastes, such as paint wastes, waste solvents, laboratory wastes and waste oils, may be regulated as hazardous waste.

We own or lease, and have in the past owned or leased, onshore properties that for many years have been used for or associated with the exploration and production of natural gas and oil. Although we have utilized operating and disposal practices that were standard in the industry at the time, hydrocarbons or other wastes may have been disposed of or released on or under the properties owned or leased by us on or under other locations where such wastes have been taken for disposal. In addition, a portion of these properties have been operated by third parties whose treatment and disposal or release of wastes was not under our control. These properties and the wastes disposed thereon may be subject to CERCLA, the CWA, RCRA and analogous state laws. Under such laws, we could be required to remove or remediate previously disposed wastes (including waste disposed of or released by prior owners or operators) or property contamination (including groundwater contamination by prior owners or operators), or to perform remedial plugging or closure operations to prevent future contamination.

*Waste Discharges.* The CWA and analogous state laws impose restrictions and strict controls with respect to the discharge of pollutants, including spills and leaks of oil and other substances, into waters of the United States. The discharge of pollutants into regulated waters is prohibited, except in accordance with the terms of a permit issued by the EPA or an analogous state agency. The CWA and regulations implemented thereunder also prohibit the discharge of dredge and fill material into regulated waters, including jurisdictional wetlands, unless authorized by an appropriately issued permit. Spill prevention, control and countermeasure requirements of federal laws require appropriate containment berms and similar structures to help prevent the contamination of navigable waters by a petroleum hydrocarbon tank spill, rupture or leak. In addition, the CWA and analogous state laws require individual permits or coverage under general permits for discharges of storm water runoff from certain types of facilities. Federal and state regulatory agencies can impose administrative, civil and criminal penalties as well as other enforcement mechanisms for non-compliance with discharge permits or other requirements of the CWA and analogous state laws and regulations. On January 11, 2017, the EPA issued the final 2017 construction general permit (“CGP”) for storm water discharges from construction activities involving more than one acre, which will provide coverage for a five-year period and will take effect on February 16, 2017. The 2017 CGP implements Effluent Limitations Guidelines and New Source Performance Standards for the Construction and Development Industry. The rule includes stringent restrictions on erosion and sediment control, pollution prevention and stabilization.

*Air Emissions.* The CAA and associated state laws and regulations restrict the emission of air pollutants from many sources, including oil and gas operations. New facilities may be required to obtain permits before construction can begin, and existing facilities may be required to obtain additional permits and incur capital costs in order to remain in compliance. More stringent regulations governing emissions of toxic air pollutants and greenhouse gases (“GHGs”) have been developed by the EPA and may increase the costs of compliance for some facilities. In 2012, the EPA issued federal regulations affecting our operations under the New Source Performance Standards provisions (new Subpart OOOO) and expanded regulations under national emission standards for hazardous air pollutants, and in June 2016, EPA again expanded the regulations (new Subpart OOOOa). On May 26, 2017, EPA issued a stay on certain Subpart OOOOa requirements (primarily those going into effect in January 2018), and on June 14, 2017, EPA proposed a two-year stay of the requirements and reconsideration of certain requirements and implementation dates. On July 3, 2017, a federal court determined that the May 2017 stay was unlawful. Currently the Subpart OOOOa regulations and implementation dates are in effect while EPA takes final actions on its June 2017 proposed stay and reconsideration activities.

*Oil Pollution Act.* The Oil Pollution Act of 1990, as amended (“OPA”), and regulations thereunder impose a variety of requirements on “responsible parties” related to the prevention of oil spills and liability for damages resulting from such spills in United States waters. A “responsible party” includes the owner or operator of an onshore facility, pipeline or vessel, or the lessee or permittee of the area in which an offshore facility is located. OPA assigns liability to each responsible party for oil cleanup costs and a variety of public and private damages. While liability limits apply in some circumstances, a party cannot take advantage of liability limits if the spill was caused by gross negligence or willful misconduct or resulted from violation of a federal safety, construction or operating regulation. If the party fails to report a spill or to cooperate fully in the cleanup, liability limits likewise do not apply. Few defenses exist to the liability imposed by OPA. OPA imposes ongoing requirements

on a responsible party, including the preparation of oil spill response plans and proof of financial responsibility to cover environmental cleanup and restoration costs that could be incurred in connection with an oil spill.

*National Environmental Policy Act.* Oil and natural gas exploration and production activities on federal lands are subject to the National Environmental Policy Act (“NEPA”). NEPA requires federal agencies, including the Department of Interior, to evaluate major agency actions having the potential to significantly impact the environment. The process involves the preparation of either an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement depending on whether the specific circumstances surrounding the proposed federal action will have a significant impact on the human environment. The NEPA process involves public input through comments which can alter the nature of a proposed project either by limiting the scope of the project or requiring resource-specific mitigation. NEPA decisions can be appealed through the court system by process participants. This process may result in delaying the permitting and development of projects, increase the costs of permitting and developing some facilities and could result in certain instances in the cancellation of existing leases.

*Endangered Species Act.* The Endangered Species Act (“ESA”) restricts activities that may affect endangered or threatened species or their habitats. While some of our operations may be located in areas that are designated as habitats for endangered or threatened species, we believe that we are in substantial compliance with the ESA. However, the designation of previously unidentified endangered or threatened species could cause us to incur additional costs or become subject to operating restrictions or bans in the affected states.

*Worker Safety.* The Occupational Safety and Health Act (“OSHA”) and comparable state statutes regulate the protection of the health and safety of workers. The OSHA hazard communication standard requires maintenance of information about hazardous materials used or produced in operations and provision of such information to employees. Other OSHA standards regulate specific worker safety aspects of our operations. Failure to comply with OSHA requirements can lead to the imposition of penalties.

*Safe Drinking Water Act.* The Safe Drinking Water Act (“SDWA”) and comparable state statutes restrict the disposal, treatment or release of water produced or used during oil and gas development. Subsurface emplacement of fluids (including disposal wells or enhanced oil recovery) is governed by federal or state regulatory authorities that, in some cases, includes the state oil and gas regulatory authority or the state’s environmental authority. These regulations may increase the costs of compliance for some facilities.

*Hydraulic Fracturing.* We ordinarily use hydraulic fracturing as a means to increase productivity of our oil and gas wells in all of the basins in which we operate. In particular, wells that we drill and complete in our core assets such as Delaware, Williston and San Juan require hydraulic fracturing for production. Although average drilling and completion costs for each basin will vary, as will the cost of each well within a given basin, on average approximately one-third of the drilling and completion costs for each of our wells for which we use hydraulic fracturing is associated with hydraulic fracturing activities. These costs are treated in the same way that all other costs of drilling and completion of our wells are treated and are built into and funded through our normal capital expenditure budget.

The protection of groundwater quality is extremely important to us. We follow applicable standard industry practices and legal requirements for groundwater protection in our operations. These measures are subject to close supervision by state and federal regulators (including the BLM with respect to federal acreage), which conduct many inspections during operations that include hydraulic fracturing. Industry standards and legal requirements for groundwater protection focus on six principal areas: (i) pressure testing of well construction and integrity, (ii) lining of pits used to hold water and other fluids used in the drilling process isolated from surface water and groundwater, (iii) casing and cementing practices for wells to ensure separation of the production zone from groundwater, (iv) disclosure of the chemical content of fracturing liquids, (v) setback requirements as to the location of waste disposal areas, and (vi) pre- and post-drilling groundwater sampling. The legal requirements relating to the protection of surface water and groundwater vary from state to state and there are also federal regulations and guidance that apply to all domestic drilling. In addition, the American Petroleum Institute publishes industry standards and guidance for hydraulic fracturing and the protection of surface water and groundwater. Our policy and practice is to follow all applicable guidelines and regulations in the areas where we conduct hydraulic fracturing.

In addition to the required use of and specifications for casing and cement in well construction, we observe regulatory requirements and what we consider best practices to ensure wellbore integrity and full isolation of any underground aquifers and protection of surface waters. These include the following:

- Prior to perforating the production casing and hydraulic fracturing operations, the casing is pressure tested.
- Before the fracturing operation commences, all surface equipment is pressure tested, which includes the wellhead and all pressurized lines and connections leading from the pumping equipment to the wellhead. During the pumping phases of the hydraulic fracturing treatment, specialized equipment is utilized to monitor and record surface pressures, pumping rates, volumes and chemical concentrations to ensure the treatment is proceeding as designed and the

wellbore integrity is sound. Should any problem be detected during the hydraulic fracturing treatment, the operation is shut down until the problem is evaluated, reported and remediated.

- As a means to protect against the negative impacts of any potential surface release of fluids associated with the hydraulic fracturing operation, special precautions are taken to ensure proper containment and storage of fluids. For example, any earthen pits containing non-fresh water must be lined with a synthetic impervious liner. These pits are tested regularly, and in certain sensitive areas have additional leak detection systems in place. At least two feet of freeboard, or available capacity, must be present in the pit at all times. In addition, earthen berms are constructed around any storage tanks, any fluid handling equipment, and in some cases around the perimeter of the location to contain any fluid releases. These berms are considered to be a “secondary” form of containment and serve as an added measure for the protection of groundwater.
- We conduct baseline water monitoring in some of the basins in which we use hydraulic fracturing.
- In Colorado we perform baseline water monitoring required by the Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission.
- The BLM may require baseline water monitoring as a condition of approval for drilling permits.
- There are currently no regulatory requirements to conduct baseline water monitoring in the Williston Basin, the Delaware Basin or the New Mexico portion of our San Juan Basin assets. The majority of our assets in the San Juan Basin are on federal lands, and there are few cases where water wells are within one to two miles of our wells, which is outside the range that we would typically sample.

Once a pipe is set in place, cement is pumped into the well where it hardens and creates a permanent, isolating barrier between the steel casing pipe and surrounding geological formations. This aspect of the well design essentially eliminates a “pathway” for the fracturing fluid to contact any aquifers during the hydraulic fracturing operations. Furthermore, in the basins in which we conduct hydraulic fracturing, the hydrocarbon bearing formations are separated from any usable underground aquifers by thousands of feet of impermeable rock layers. This wide separation serves as a protective barrier, preventing any migration of fracturing fluids or hydrocarbons upwards into any groundwater zones.

In addition, the vendors we employ to conduct hydraulic fracturing are required to monitor all pump rates and pressures during the fracturing treatments. This monitoring occurs on a real-time basis and data is recorded to ensure protection of groundwater.

The cement and steel casing used in well construction can have rare failures. Any failure in isolation is reported to the applicable oil and gas regulatory body. A remediation procedure is written and approved and then completed on the well before any further operations or production is commenced. Possible isolation failures may result from:

- Improper cementing work. This can create conditions in which hydraulic fracturing fluids and other natural occurring substances can migrate into the surrounding geological formation. Production casing cementing tops and cement bond effectiveness are evaluated using either a temperature log or an acoustical cement bond log prior to any completion operations. If the cement bond or cement top is determined to be inadequate for zone isolation, remedial cementing operations are performed to fill any voids and re-establish integrity. As part of this remedial operation, the casing is again pressure tested before fracturing operations are initiated.
- Initial casing integrity failure. The casing is pressure tested prior to commencing completion operations. If the test fails due to a compromise in the casing, the applicable oil and gas regulatory body will be notified and a remediation procedure will be written, approved and completed before any further operations are conducted. In addition, casing pressures are monitored throughout the fracturing treatment and any indication of failure will result in an immediate shutdown of the operation.
- Well failure or casing integrity failure during production. Loss of wellbore integrity can occur over time even if the well was correctly constructed due to downhole operating environments causing corrosion and stress. During production, the bradenhead, casing and tubing pressures are monitored and a casing failure can be identified and evaluated. Remediation could include placing additional cement behind casing, installing a casing patch, or plugging and abandoning the well, if necessary.
- “Fluid leakoff” during the fracturing process. Fluid leakoff can occur during hydraulic fracturing operations whereby some of the hydraulic fracturing fluid flows through the artificially created fractures into the micropore or pore spaces within the formation, existing natural fractures in the formation, or small fractures opened into the formation by the pressure in the induced fracture. Fluid leakoff is accounted for in the volume design of nearly every fracturing job and “pump-in” tests are often conducted prior to fracturing jobs to estimate the extent of fluid leakoff. In certain situations, very fine grain sand is added in the initial part of the treatment to seal-off any small fractures of micropore spaces and mitigate fluid leak-off.

Approximately 99 percent of hydraulic fracturing fluids are made up of water and sand. We utilize major hydraulic fracturing service companies whose research departments conduct ongoing development of “greener” chemicals that are used in fracturing. We evaluate, test, and where appropriate adopt those products that are more environmentally friendly. We have also chosen to participate in a voluntary fracturing chemical registry that is a public website: [www.fracfocus.org](http://www.fracfocus.org) at which interested persons can find out information about fracturing fluids. This registry is a joint project of the Ground Water Protection Council and the Interstate Oil and Gas Compact Commission and provides our industry with an avenue to voluntarily disclose chemicals used in the hydraulic fracturing process. The Company registered with the FracFocus Chemical Disclosure Registry in April 2011 and began uploading data when the registry went live on April 11, 2011. Through December 31, 2017, we have loaded data on more than 1,850 wells, including data relating to wells fractured since January 1, 2011, to the site. Consistent with other industry participants, we are not planning to add data on wells drilled prior to 2011. The information included on this website is not incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Any water that is recovered in our operations that is not used for our hydraulic fracturing operations is safely disposed in accordance with the state and federal rules and regulations in a manner that does not impact underground aquifers and surface waters.

Despite our efforts to minimize impacts on the environment from hydraulic fracturing activities, in light of the volume of our hydraulic fracturing activities, we have occasionally been engaged in litigation and received requests for information, notices of alleged violation, and citations related to the activities of our hydraulic fracturing vendors, none of which has resulted in any material costs or penalties.

Recently, there has been a heightened debate over whether the fluids used in hydraulic fracturing may contaminate drinking water supply and proposals have been made to revisit the environmental exemption for hydraulic fracturing under the SDWA or to enact separate federal legislation or legislation at the state and local government levels that would regulate hydraulic fracturing. Both the United States House of Representatives and Senate have considered Fracturing Responsibility and Awareness of Chemicals Act (“FRAC Act”) and a number of states, including states in which we have operations, are looking to more closely regulate hydraulic fracturing due to concerns about water supply. The recent congressional legislative efforts seek to regulate hydraulic fracturing to Underground Injection Control program requirements, which would significantly increase well capital costs. If the exemption for hydraulic fracturing is removed from the SDWA, or if other legislation is enacted at the federal, state or local level, any restrictions on the use of hydraulic fracturing contained in any such legislation could have a significant impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

Federal agencies are also considering regulation of hydraulic fracturing. The EPA asserted federal regulatory authority over hydraulic fracturing involving diesel additives under the SDWA’s Underground Injection Control Program, and on May 10, 2012, the EPA published its proposed guidance on the issue. The public comment period for the proposed permitting guidance closed in 2012, and the EPA issued its final guidance in February 2014. In August 2015, the EPA published its Final 2014 Effluent Guidelines Program Plans under the CWA confirming its intention to regulate wastewater discharges from on-shore Unconventional Oil and Gas Extraction and to specifically investigate centralized water treatment facilities that accept oil and gas extraction wastewaters. The EPA has also collected information as part of a multi-year study into the effects of hydraulic fracturing on drinking water. The EPA published its Final “Assessment of Potential Impacts of Hydraulic Fracturing for Oil and Gas on Drinking Water Resources” on December 13, 2016. The Final Assessment concluded that “EPA found scientific evidence that hydraulic fracturing activities can impact drinking water resources under some circumstances.” The final report could result in additional regulations, which could lead to operational burdens similar to those described above. In connection with the EPA study, we received and responded to a request for information from the EPA for 52 of our wells located in various basins that have been hydraulically fractured. The requested information covers well design, construction and completion practices, among other things. We understand that similar requests were sent to eight other companies that own or operate wells that utilized hydraulic fracturing.

In addition to the EPA study, the Shale Gas Subcommittee of the Secretary of Energy Advisory Board issued a final report on hydraulic fracturing in November 2011. The report concludes that the risk of fracturing fluids contaminating drinking water sources through fractures in the shale formations “is remote.” It also states that development of the nation’s shale resources has produced major economic benefits. The report includes recommendations to address concerns related to hydraulic fracturing and shale gas production, including but not limited to conducting additional field studies on possible methane leakage from shale gas wells to water reservoirs and adopting new rules and enforcement practices to protect drinking and surface waters. The Government Accountability Office is also examining the environmental impacts of produced water and the Counsel for Environmental Quality has been petitioned by environmental groups to develop a programmatic environmental impact statement under NEPA for hydraulic fracturing. On November 18, 2016, the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management (“BLM”) issued its final rule related to the reduction of waste of natural gas from venting, flaring, and leaks during oil and natural gas production activities on federal and Indian lands, with provisions to take effect on January 17, 2017 and in January 2018. The rule, which will be phased in over time, requires oil and gas producers to use currently available

technologies and processes to cut flaring in half at oil wells on public and tribal lands, periodically inspect their operations for leaks, replace outdated equipment, limit venting from storage tanks and to use best practices to limit gas losses when removing liquids from wells. On October 5, 2017, BLM filed a proposed rule to temporarily suspend or delay implementation of provisions of the rule not yet in effect until January 17, 2019, while the BLM reviews the 2016 final rule requirements for possible rescission or revision.

Several states, including Colorado, North Dakota and New Mexico, have adopted or are considering adopting, regulations that could restrict or impose additional requirements related to hydraulic fracturing. Since June 2009, Colorado has required all operators to maintain a chemical inventory by well site for each chemical product used downhole or stored for use downhole during drilling, completion and workover operations, including fracture stimulation in an amount exceeding 500 pounds during any quarterly reporting period. Colorado adopted its final hydraulic fracturing chemical disclosure rules on December 13, 2011. New Mexico and Texas require public disclosure of chemicals used in hydraulic fracturing. Disclosure of chemicals used in the hydraulic fracturing process could make it easier for third parties opposing the hydraulic fracturing process to initiate legal proceedings based on allegations that specific chemicals used in the fracturing process could adversely affect groundwater. A number of states have also adopted regulations increasing the setback requirements, or are in the process of rulemaking to address the issue, including Colorado, New Mexico and Texas.

In addition, a number of local governments in Colorado have imposed temporary moratoria on drilling permits within city limits so that local ordinances may be reviewed to assess their adequacy to address such activities, while some state and local governments in the San Juan Basin in New Mexico have considered or imposed temporary moratoria on drilling operations using hydraulic fracturing until further study of the potential environmental and human health impacts by the EPA or the relative state agencies are completed. Certain organizations have promoted ballot initiatives at the local level that are aimed at imposing restrictions on hydraulic fracturing, and may attempt to do the same on a wider basis in one or more states where we operate. At this time, it is not possible to estimate the potential impact on our business of these state and local actions or the enactment of additional federal or state legislation or regulations affecting hydraulic fracturing.

*Global Warming and Climate Change.* Recent scientific studies have suggested that emissions of GHGs, including carbon dioxide and methane, may be contributing to warming of the earth's atmosphere. Both houses of Congress have previously considered legislation to reduce emissions of GHGs, and almost one-half of the states have already taken legal measures to reduce emissions of GHGs, primarily through the planned development of GHG emission inventories and/or regional GHG cap and trade programs. The EPA has begun to regulate GHG emissions. On December 7, 2009, the EPA published its findings that emissions of GHGs present an endangerment to public health and the environment. These findings allow the EPA to adopt and implement regulations that would restrict emissions of GHGs under existing provisions of the CAA. The EPA issued a final rule that went into effect in 2011 that makes certain stationary sources and newer modification projects subject to permitting requirements for GHG emissions. On November 30, 2010, the EPA published its final rule expanding the existing GHG monitoring and reporting rule to include onshore and offshore oil and natural gas production facilities and onshore oil and natural gas processing, transmission, storage, and distribution facilities. Reporting of GHG emissions from such facilities will be required on an annual basis, and our reporting began in 2012 for emissions occurring in 2011. We are required to report our GHG emissions under this rule but are not subject to GHG permitting requirements. Several of the EPA's GHG rules are being challenged in court proceedings and depending on the outcome of such proceedings, such rules may be modified or rescinded or the EPA could develop new rules.

Because regulation of GHG emissions is relatively new, further regulatory, legislative and judicial developments are likely to occur. In March 2014, the White House published the President's Climate Action Plan Strategy to Reduce Methane Emissions. In August 2015, EPA proposed its new NSPS OOOOa requirements, which add additional methane reduction requirements applicable to the oil and gas sector for both new and modified sources. Such developments may affect how these GHG initiatives will impact our operations. In addition to these regulatory developments, recent judicial decisions have allowed certain tort claims alleging property damage to proceed against GHG emissions sources and may increase our litigation risk for such claims. New legislation or regulatory programs that restrict emissions of or require inventory of GHGs in areas where we operate have adversely affected or will adversely affect our operations by increasing costs. The cost increases so far have resulted from costs associated with inventorying our GHG emissions, and further costs may result from the potential new requirements to obtain GHG emissions permits, install additional emission control equipment and an increased monitoring and record-keeping burden.

Legislation or regulations that may be adopted to address climate change could also affect the markets for our products by making our products more or less desirable than competing sources of energy. To the extent that our products are competing with higher GHG emitting energy sources such as coal, our products would become more desirable in the market with more stringent limitations on GHG emissions. To the extent that our products are competing with lower GHG emitting energy sources such as solar and wind, our products would become less desirable in the market with more stringent limitations on GHG emissions. We cannot predict with any certainty at this time how these possibilities may affect our operations.

Finally, it should be noted that some scientists have concluded that increasing concentrations of GHGs in the Earth's atmosphere may produce climate changes that have significant physical effects, such as increased frequency and severity of storms, floods and other climatic events. If any such effects were to occur, they could adversely affect or delay demand for the oil or natural gas or otherwise cause us to incur significant costs in preparing for or responding to those effects.

## COMPETITION

We compete with other oil and gas concerns, including major and independent oil and gas companies in the development, production and marketing of oil and natural gas. We compete in areas such as acquisition of oil and gas properties and obtaining necessary equipment, supplies and services. We also compete in recruiting and retaining skilled employees.

## EMPLOYEES

At December 31, 2017, we had approximately 650 full-time employees.

## FINANCIAL INFORMATION ABOUT SEGMENTS

We operate in the exploration and production segment of the oil and gas industry and our operations are conducted in the United States. We report our financial results as a single industry segment.

## WEBSITE ACCESS TO REPORTS AND OTHER INFORMATION

We make available free of charge through our website, [www.wpxenergy.com/investors](http://www.wpxenergy.com/investors), our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, proxy statements, other reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") and all amendments to those reports simultaneously or as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC. Our reports are also available free of charge on the SEC's website, [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov). You may inspect and copy our reports at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. Please call the SEC at (800) SEC-0330 for further information on the Public Reference Room. Also available free of charge on our website are the following corporate governance documents:

- Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation
- Restated Bylaws
- Corporate Governance Guidelines
- Code of Business Conduct, which is applicable to all WPX Energy directors and employees, including the principal executive officer, the principal financial officer and the principal accounting officer
- Audit Committee Charter
- Compensation Committee Charter
- Nominating and Governance Committee Charter

All of our reports and corporate governance documents may also be obtained without charge by contacting Investor Relations, WPX Energy, Inc., 3500 One Williams Center, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74172.

We maintain an Internet site at [www.wpxenergy.com](http://www.wpxenergy.com). We do not incorporate our Internet site, or the information contained on that site or connected to that site, into this Annual Report on Form 10-K.



**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

**FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AND CAUTIONARY STATEMENT  
FOR PURPOSES OF THE “SAFE HARBOR” PROVISIONS OF  
THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995**

Certain matters contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K include forward-looking statements that are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control. These forward-looking statements relate to anticipated financial performance, management’s plans and objectives for future operations, business prospects, outcome of regulatory proceedings, market conditions and other matters.

All statements, other than statements of historical facts, included in this report that address activities, events or developments that we expect, believe or anticipate will exist or may occur in the future, are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements can be identified by various forms of words such as “anticipates,” “believes,” “seeks,” “could,” “may,” “should,” “continues,” “estimates,” “expects,” “forecasts,” “intends,” “might,” “goals,” “objectives,” “targets,” “planned,” “potential,” “projects,” “scheduled,” “will” or other similar expressions. These forward-looking statements are based on management’s beliefs and assumptions and on information currently available to management and include, among others, statements regarding:

- amounts and nature of future capital expenditures;
- expansion and growth of our business and operations;
- financial condition and liquidity;
- business strategy;
- estimates of proved oil and natural gas reserves;
- reserve potential;
- development drilling potential;
- cash flow from operations or results of operations;
- acquisitions or divestitures;
- seasonality of our business; and
- crude oil, natural gas and NGL prices and demand.

Forward-looking statements are based on numerous assumptions, uncertainties and risks that could cause future events or results to be materially different from those stated or implied in this report. Many of the factors that will determine these results are beyond our ability to control or predict. Specific factors that could cause actual results to differ from results contemplated by the forward-looking statements include, among others, the following:

- availability of supplies (including the uncertainties inherent in assessing, estimating, acquiring and developing future natural gas and oil reserves), market demand, volatility of prices and the availability and cost of capital;
- inflation, interest rates, fluctuation in foreign exchange and general economic conditions (including future disruptions and volatility in the global credit markets and the impact of these events on our customers and suppliers);
- the strength and financial resources of our competitors;
- development of alternative energy sources;
- the impact of operational and development hazards;
- costs of, changes in, or the results of laws, government regulations (including climate change regulation and/or potential additional regulation of drilling and completion of wells), environmental liabilities, litigation and rate proceedings;
- changes in maintenance and construction costs;
- changes in the current geopolitical situation;
- our exposure to the credit risk of our customers;
- risks related to strategy and financing, including restrictions stemming from our debt agreements, future changes in our credit ratings and the availability and cost of credit;
- risks associated with future weather conditions;
- acts of terrorism; and
- other factors described in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and “Business.”

All forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements set forth above. Given the uncertainties and risk factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statement, we caution investors not to unduly rely on our forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made. We disclaim any obligation to and do not intend to update the above list or to announce publicly the result of any revisions to any of the forward-looking statements to reflect future events or developments, except to the extent required by applicable laws. If we update one or more forward-looking statements, no inference should be drawn that we will make additional updates with respect to those or other forward-looking statements.

In addition to causing our actual results to differ, the factors listed above and referred to below may cause our intentions to change from those statements of intention set forth in this report. Such changes in our intentions may also cause our results to differ. We may change our intentions, at any time and without notice, based upon changes in such factors, our assumptions, or otherwise.

Because forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, we caution that there are important factors, in addition to those listed above, that may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in the forward-looking statements. These factors are described in “Risk Factors.”

## RISK FACTORS

*You should carefully consider each of the following risks, which we believe are the principal risks that we face and of which we are currently aware, and all of the other information in this report. Some of the risks described below relate to our business, while others relate principally to the securities markets and ownership of our common stock. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations could suffer materially and adversely. In that case, the trading price of our common stock could decline, and you might lose all or part of your investment.*

### Risks Related to Our Business

***Our business requires significant capital expenditures and we may be unable to obtain needed capital or financing on satisfactory terms or at all.***

Our exploration, development and acquisition activities require substantial capital expenditures. We expect to fund our capital expenditures through a combination of cash flows from operations and, when appropriate, borrowings under our credit facility. Future cash flows are subject to a number of variables, including the level of production from existing wells, prices of oil and natural gas and our success in developing and producing new reserves. If our cash flow from operations is not sufficient to fund our capital expenditure budget, we may have limited ability to obtain the additional capital necessary to sustain our operations at current levels. We may not be able to obtain debt or equity financing on terms favorable to us or at all. The failure to obtain additional financing could result in a curtailment of our operations relating to exploration and development of our prospects, which in turn could lead to a decline in our oil and natural gas production or reserves, and in some areas a loss of properties.

***Failure to replace reserves may negatively affect our business.***

The growth of our business depends upon our ability to find, develop or acquire additional oil and natural gas reserves that are economically recoverable. Our proved reserves generally decline when reserves are produced, unless we conduct successful exploration or development activities or acquire properties containing proved reserves, or both. We may not always be able to find, develop or acquire additional reserves at acceptable costs. If oil and natural gas prices increase, our costs for additional reserves would also increase; conversely if natural gas or oil prices decrease, it could make it more difficult to fund the replacement of our reserves.

***Exploration and development drilling may not result in commercially productive reserves.***

Our past success rate for drilling projects should not be considered a predictor of future commercial success. Our decisions to purchase, explore, develop or otherwise exploit prospects or properties will depend in part on the evaluation of data obtained through geophysical and geological analyses, production data and engineering studies, the results of which are often inconclusive or subject to varying interpretations. The new wells we drill or participate in may not be commercially productive, and we may not recover all or any portion of our investment in wells we drill or participate in. Our efforts will be unprofitable if we drill dry wells or wells that are productive but do not produce enough reserves to return a profit after drilling, operating and other costs. The cost of drilling, completing and operating a well is often uncertain, and cost factors can adversely affect the economics of a project. Further, our drilling operations may be curtailed, delayed, canceled or rendered unprofitable or less profitable than anticipated as a result of a variety of other factors, including:

- increases in the cost of, or shortages or delays in the availability of, drilling rigs and equipment, supplies, skilled labor, capital or transportation;
- equipment failures or accidents;
- adverse weather conditions, such as floods or blizzards;
- title and lease related problems;
- limitations in the market for oil and natural gas;
- unexpected drilling conditions or problems;
- pressure or irregularities in geological formations;
- regulations and regulatory approvals;
- changes or anticipated changes in energy prices; or
- compliance with environmental and other governmental requirements.

***If forecasted oil and natural gas prices decrease, we may be required to take write-downs of the carrying values of our oil and natural gas oil properties.***

Accounting rules require that we review periodically the carrying value of our oil and natural gas properties for possible impairment. Based on specific market factors and circumstances at the time of prospective impairment reviews and the continuing evaluation of development plans, production data, economics and other factors, we may be required to write down the carrying value of our oil and natural gas properties. A write-down constitutes a non-cash charge to earnings. In the fourth quarter of 2017, we performed impairment assessments of our proved and unproved properties. We determined that no impairment charges were required as a result of these assessments. These reviews included approximately \$5.2 billion of net book value associated with our predominantly oil proved properties and utilized inputs generally consistent with those described above. Many judgments and assumptions are inherent and to some extent interdependent of one another in our estimate of future cash flows used to evaluate these assets. The use of alternate judgments and assumptions could result in the recognition of different levels of impairment charges in the consolidated financial statements. We may incur impairment charges for these or other properties in the future, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations for the periods in which such charges are taken.

***Estimating reserves and future net revenues involves uncertainties. Decreases in oil and natural gas prices, or negative revisions to reserve estimates or assumptions as to future oil and natural gas prices may lead to decreased earnings, losses or impairment of oil and natural gas assets.***

Reserve estimation is a subjective process of evaluating underground accumulations of oil and gas that cannot be measured in an exact manner. Reserves that are “proved reserves” are those estimated quantities of crude oil, natural gas and NGLs that geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty are recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions and relate to projects for which the extraction of hydrocarbons must have commenced or for which the operator is reasonably certain will commence within a reasonable time.

The process relies on interpretations of available geological, geophysical, engineering and production data. There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities of proved reserves and in projecting future rates of production and timing of developmental expenditures, including many factors beyond the control of the producer. The reserve data included in this report represents estimates. In addition, the estimates of future net revenues from our proved reserves and the present value of such estimates are based upon certain assumptions about future production levels, prices and costs that may not prove to be correct.

Quantities of proved reserves are estimated based on economic conditions in existence during the period of assessment. Changes to oil and gas prices in the markets for such commodities may have the impact of shortening the economic lives of certain fields because it becomes uneconomic to produce all recoverable reserves on such fields, which reduces proved property reserve estimates.

If negative revisions in the estimated quantities of proved reserves were to occur, it would have the effect of increasing the rates of depreciation, depletion and amortization on the affected properties, which would decrease earnings or result in losses through higher depreciation, depletion and amortization expense. These revisions, as well as revisions in the assumptions of future cash flows of these reserves, may also be sufficient to trigger impairment losses on certain properties which would result in a noncash charge to earnings.

***The development of our proved undeveloped reserves may take longer and may require higher levels of capital expenditures than we currently anticipate.***

Approximately 49 percent of our total estimated proved reserves at December 31, 2017 were proved undeveloped reserves and may not be ultimately developed or produced. Recovery of proved undeveloped reserves requires significant capital expenditures and successful drilling operations. The reserves data included in the reserves engineer reports assumes that substantial capital expenditures are required to develop such reserves. We cannot be certain that the estimated costs of the development of these reserves are accurate, that development will occur as scheduled or that the results of such development will be as estimated. Delays in the development of our reserves or increases in costs to drill and develop such reserves will reduce the present value of our estimated proved undeveloped reserves and future net revenues estimated for such reserves and may result in some projects becoming uneconomic. In addition, delays in the development of reserves could cause us to have to reclassify our proved reserves as unproved reserves.

***The present value of future net revenues from our proved reserves will not necessarily be the same as the value we ultimately realize of our estimated oil and natural gas reserves.***

You should not assume that the present value of future net revenues from our proved reserves is the current market value of our estimated oil and natural gas reserves. In accordance with SEC requirements, we have based the estimated discounted future net revenues from our proved reserves on the 12-month unweighted arithmetic average of the first-day-of-the-month price for the preceding 12 months without giving effect to derivative transactions. Actual future net revenues from our oil and natural gas properties will be affected by factors such as:

- actual prices we receive for oil and natural gas;
- actual cost of development and production expenditures;
- the amount and timing of actual production; and
- changes in governmental regulations or taxation.

The timing of both our production and our incurrence of expenses in connection with the development and production of oil and natural gas properties will affect the timing and amount of actual future net revenues from proved reserves, and thus their actual present value. In addition, the 10 percent discount factor we use when calculating discounted future net revenues may not be the most appropriate discount factor based on interest rates in effect from time to time and risks associated with us or the oil and natural gas industry in general.

***Certain of our undeveloped leasehold assets are subject to leases that will expire over the next several years unless production is established on units containing the acreage.***

A portion of our acreage is not currently held by production. Unless production in paying quantities is established on units containing these leases during their terms, the leases will expire. If we do not extend our leases and our leases expire and we are unable to renew the leases, we will lose our right to develop the related properties. Our drilling plans for these areas are subject to change based upon various factors, including drilling results, oil and natural gas prices, availability and cost of capital, drilling and production costs, availability of drilling services and equipment, gathering system and pipeline transportation constraints and regulatory and lease issues.

***Prices for oil, natural gas and NGLs are volatile, and this volatility could adversely affect our financial results, cash flows, access to capital and ability to maintain our existing business.***

Our revenues, operating results, future rate of growth and the value of our business depend primarily upon the prices of oil, natural gas and NGLs. Price volatility can impact both the amount we receive for our products and the volume of products we sell. Prices affect the amount of cash flow available for capital expenditures and our ability to borrow money under our credit facility or raise additional capital.

The markets for oil, natural gas and NGLs are likely to continue to be volatile. Wide fluctuations in prices might result from relatively minor changes in the supply of and demand for these commodities, market uncertainty and other factors that are beyond our control, including:

- weather conditions;
- the level of consumer demand;
- the overall economic environment;
- worldwide and domestic supplies of and demand for oil, natural gas and NGLs;
- turmoil in the Middle East and other producing regions;

- the activities of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries;
- terrorist attacks on production or transportation assets;
- variations in local market conditions (basis differential);
- the price and availability of other types of fuels;
- the availability of pipeline capacity;
- supply disruptions, including plant outages and transportation disruptions;
- the price and quantity of foreign imports of oil and natural gas;
- domestic and foreign governmental regulations and taxes;
- volatility in the oil and natural gas markets;
- the credit of participants in the markets where products are bought and sold; and
- the adoption of regulations or legislation relating to climate change.

***Our business depends on access to oil, natural gas and NGL transportation systems and facilities.***

The marketability of our oil, natural gas and NGL production depends in large part on the operation, availability, proximity, capacity and expansion of transportation systems and facilities owned by third parties. For example, we can provide no assurance that sufficient transportation capacity will exist for expected production from the Delaware Basin, Williston Basin and San Juan Basin or that we will be able to obtain sufficient transportation capacity on economic terms.

A lack of available capacity on transportation systems and facilities or delays in their planned expansions could result in the shut-in of producing wells or the delay or discontinuance of drilling plans for properties. A lack of availability of these systems and facilities for an extended period of time could negatively affect our revenues. In addition, we have entered into contracts for firm transportation and any failure to renew those contracts on the same or better commercial terms could increase our costs and our exposure to the risks described above.

***We may have excess capacity under our firm transportation contracts, or the terms of certain of those contracts may be less favorable than those we could obtain currently.***

We have entered into contracts for firm transportation that may exceed our transportation needs. Any excess transportation commitments will result in excess transportation costs that could negatively affect our results of operations. In addition, certain of the contracts we have entered into may be on terms less favorable to us than we could obtain if we were negotiating them at current rates, which also could negatively affect our results of operations.

***We have limited control over activities on properties we do not operate, which could reduce our production and revenues.***

If we do not operate the properties in which we own an interest, we do not have control over normal operating procedures, expenditures or future development of underlying properties. The failure of an operator of our wells to adequately perform operations or an operator's breach of the applicable agreements could reduce our production and revenues or increase our costs. As of December 31, 2017, we were not the operator of approximately 10 percent of our total net production. The success and timing of our drilling and development activities on properties operated by others depend upon a number of factors outside of our control, including the operator's timing and amount of capital expenditures, expertise and financial resources, inclusion of other participants in drilling wells and use of technology. Because we do not have a majority interest in most wells we do not operate, we may not be in a position to remove the operator in the event of poor performance.

***We might not be able to successfully manage the risks associated with selling and marketing products in the wholesale energy markets.***

Our portfolio of derivative and other energy contracts includes wholesale contracts to buy and sell oil, natural gas and NGLs that are settled by the delivery of the commodity or cash. If the values of these contracts change in a direction or manner that we do not anticipate or cannot manage, it could negatively affect our results of operations. In the past, certain marketing and trading companies have experienced severe financial problems due to price volatility in the energy commodity markets. In certain instances, this volatility has caused companies to be unable to deliver energy commodities that they had guaranteed under contract. If such a delivery failure were to occur in one of our contracts, we might incur additional losses to the extent of amounts, if any, already paid to, or received from, counterparties. In addition, in our business, we often extend credit to our counterparties. We are exposed to the risk that we might not be able to collect amounts owed to us. If the counterparty to such a transaction fails to perform and any collateral that secures our counterparty's obligation is inadequate, we will suffer a loss. Downturns in the economy or disruptions in the global credit markets could cause more of our counterparties to fail to perform than we expect.

***Our commodity price risk management and measurement systems and economic hedging activities might not be effective and could increase the volatility of our results.***

The systems we use to quantify commodity price risk associated with our businesses might not always be followed or might not always be effective. Further, such systems do not in themselves manage risk, particularly risks outside of our control, and adverse changes in energy commodity market prices, volatility, adverse correlation of commodity prices, the liquidity of markets, changes in interest rates and other risks discussed in this report might still adversely affect our earnings, cash flows and balance sheet under applicable accounting rules, even if risks have been identified. Furthermore, no single hedging arrangement can adequately address all commodity price risks present in a given contract. For example, a forward contract that would be effective in hedging commodity price volatility risks would not hedge the contract's counterparty credit or performance risk. Therefore, unhedged risks will always continue to exist.

Our use of derivatives through which we attempt to reduce the economic risk of our participation in commodity markets could result in increased volatility of our reported results. Changes in the fair values (gains and losses) of derivatives that qualify as hedges under GAAP to the extent that such hedges are not fully effective in offsetting changes to the value of the hedged commodity, as well as changes in the fair value of derivatives that do not qualify or have not been designated as hedges under GAAP, must be recorded in our income. This creates the risk of volatility in earnings even if no economic impact to us has occurred during the applicable period.

The impact of changes in market prices for oil, natural gas and NGLs on the average prices paid or received by us may be reduced based on the level of our hedging activities. These hedging arrangements may limit or enhance our margins if the market prices for oil, natural gas or NGLs were to change substantially from the price established by the hedges. In addition, our hedging arrangements expose us to the risk of financial loss if our production volumes are less than expected.

***Our hedging activities limit participation in commodity price increases and involve other risks.***

We enter into hedging activities with respect to a portion of our production to manage our exposure to oil, gas and NGL price volatility. To the extent that we engage in price risk management activities to protect ourselves from commodity price declines, we may be prevented from fully realizing the benefits of commodity price increases above the prices established by our hedging contracts. In addition, our hedging arrangements may expose us to the risk of financial loss in certain circumstances, including instances in which the contract counterparties fail to perform under the contracts. Moreover, as a result of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and other legislation, hedging transactions and many of our contract counterparties have come under increasing governmental oversight and regulations in recent years. Although we cannot predict the ultimate impact of these laws and the related rulemaking, some of which is ongoing, existing or future regulations may adversely affect the cost and availability of our hedging arrangements, including by causing our contract counterparties, which are generally financial institutions and other market participants, to curtail or cease their derivatives activities.

***We are exposed to the credit risk of our customers and counterparties, and our credit risk management may not be adequate to protect against such risk.***

We are subject to the risk of loss resulting from nonpayment and/or nonperformance by our customers and counterparties in the ordinary course of our business. Our credit procedures and policies may not be adequate to fully eliminate customer and counterparty credit risk. We cannot predict to what extent our business would be impacted by deteriorating conditions in the economy, including declines in our customers' and counterparties' creditworthiness. If we fail to adequately assess the creditworthiness of existing or future customers and counterparties, unanticipated deterioration in their creditworthiness and any resulting increase in nonpayment and/or nonperformance by them could cause us to write-down or write-off doubtful accounts. Such write-downs or write-offs could negatively affect our operating results in the periods in which they occur and, if significant, could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

***We face competition in acquiring new properties, marketing oil and natural gas and securing equipment and trained personnel in the oil and natural gas industry.***

Our ability to acquire additional drilling locations and to find and develop reserves in the future will depend on our ability to evaluate and select suitable properties and to consummate transactions in a highly competitive environment for acquiring properties, marketing oil and natural gas and securing equipment and trained personnel. We may not be able to compete successfully in the future in acquiring prospective reserves, developing reserves, marketing hydrocarbons, attracting and retaining quality personnel and raising additional capital, which could have a material adverse effect on our business.

***Our operations are subject to operational hazards and unforeseen interruptions for which they may not be adequately insured.***

There are operational risks associated with drilling for, production, gathering, transporting, storage, processing and treating of oil and natural gas and the fractionation and storage of NGLs, including:

- hurricanes, tornadoes, floods, extreme weather conditions and other natural disasters;
- aging infrastructure and mechanical problems;
- damages to pipelines, pipeline blockages or other pipeline interruptions;
- uncontrolled releases of oil, natural gas (including sour gas), NGLs, brine or industrial chemicals;
- operator error;
- pollution and environmental risks;
- fires, explosions and blowouts;
- risks related to truck and rail loading and unloading; and
- terrorist attacks or threatened attacks on our facilities or those of other energy companies.

Any of these risks could result in loss of human life, personal injuries, significant damage to property, environmental pollution, impairment of our operations and substantial losses to us. In accordance with customary industry practice, we maintain insurance against some, but not all, of these risks and losses, and only at levels we believe to be appropriate. The location of certain segments of our facilities in or near populated areas, including residential areas, commercial business centers and industrial sites, could increase the level of damages resulting from these risks. In spite of our precautions, an event such as those described above could cause considerable harm to people or property and could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations, particularly if the event is not fully covered by insurance. Accidents or other operating risks could further result in loss of service available to our customers.

***We do not insure against all potential losses and could be seriously harmed by unexpected liabilities or by the inability of our insurers to satisfy our claims.***

We are not fully insured against all risks inherent to our business, including environmental accidents. We do not maintain insurance in the type and amount to cover all possible risks of loss.

We currently maintain excess liability insurance that covers us, our subsidiaries and certain of our affiliates for legal and contractual liabilities arising out of bodily injury or property damage, including resulting loss of use to third parties. This excess liability insurance includes coverage for sudden and accidental pollution liability.

Although we maintain property insurance on certain physical assets that we own, lease or are responsible to insure, the policy may not cover the full replacement cost of all damaged assets. In addition, certain perils may be excluded from coverage or sub-limited. We may not be able to maintain or obtain insurance of the type and amount we desire at reasonable rates. We may elect to self-insure a portion of our risks. All of our insurance is subject to deductibles. If a significant accident or event occurs for which we are not fully insured it could adversely affect our operations and financial condition.

In addition, any insurance company that provides coverage to us may experience negative developments that could impair their ability to pay any of our claims. As a result, we could be exposed to greater losses than anticipated and may have to obtain replacement insurance, if available, at a greater cost.

***Potential changes in accounting standards might cause us to revise our financial results and disclosures in the future, which might change the way analysts measure our business or financial performance.***

Regulators and legislators continue to take a renewed look at accounting practices, financial and reserves disclosures and companies' relationships with their independent public accounting firms and reserves consultants. It remains unclear what new laws or regulations will be adopted, and we cannot predict the ultimate impact that any such new laws or regulations could have. In addition, the Financial Accounting Standards Board or the SEC could enact new accounting standards that might impact how we are required to record revenues, expenses, assets, liabilities and equity. Any significant change in accounting standards or disclosure requirements could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. See recently adopted accounting standards in Note 1 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

***Our operating results might fluctuate on a seasonal and quarterly basis.***

Our revenues can have seasonal characteristics. In many parts of the country, demand for natural gas and other fuels peaks during the winter. As a result, our overall operating results in the future might fluctuate substantially on a seasonal basis.

Demand for natural gas and other fuels could vary significantly from our expectations depending on the nature and location of our facilities and the terms of our natural gas transportation arrangements relative to demand created by unusual weather patterns.

***Our significant indebtedness reduces our financial flexibility and could impede our ability to operate.***

We have historically operated with, and anticipate continuing to operate with, a significant amount of debt. Our substantial amount of debt could have important consequences for investors in our common stock, including the following:

- make it more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations with respect to our revolving credit facility;
- impair our ability to obtain additional financing, if necessary, for working capital, letters of credit or other forms of guarantees, capital expenditures, acquisitions or other purposes or make such financing unavailable on favorable terms;
- require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to make payments on our debt, thereby reducing funds available for operations, capital expenditures, future business opportunities and other purposes;
- limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate;
- reduce our ability to make acquisitions or expand our business;
- limit our ability to borrow additional funds;
- limit our ability to sell assets to raise funds if needed for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions or other purposes;
- make it difficult for us to pay dividends on shares of our common stock;
- increase our vulnerability to adverse economic and industry conditions, including increases in interest rates; and
- place us at a competitive disadvantage compared to competitors who might have relatively less debt.

Additionally, we may be able to incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future. Although our revolving credit facility contains restrictions on the incurrence of additional indebtedness by our subsidiaries, such restrictions are subject to a number of qualifications and exceptions, and indebtedness incurred in compliance with such restrictions could be substantial. To the extent that new indebtedness is added to our current debt levels.

***The market price of our common stock may be volatile or may decline and it may be difficult for you to resell shares of our common stock at prices you find attractive.***

The market price of our common stock has historically experienced and may continue to experience volatility. For example, during the twelve months ended December 31, 2017, the high sales price per share of our common stock on the NYSE was \$15.44 and the low sales price per share was \$8.40. The sales price per share of our common stock has traded as low as \$2.53 in the past two years. The market price of our common stock could be subject to wide fluctuations in the future in response to the following events or factors that may vary over time and some of which are beyond our control, including but not limited to:

- changes in oil and natural gas prices, including in different geographic locations;
- demand for oil and natural gas;
- the success of our drilling program;
- changes in our drilling schedule;
- adjustments to our reserve estimates and differences between actual and estimated production, revenue and expenditures;
- competition from other oil and gas companies;
- costs and liabilities relating to governmental laws and regulations and environmental risks;
- general market, political and economic conditions;
- our failure to meet financial analysts' performance or financing expectations;
- changes in recommendations by financial analysts; and
- changes in market valuations of other companies in our industry.

In particular, a significant or extended decline in oil and natural gas prices would have a material adverse effect on our financial position, our results of operations, our access to capital and the quantities of oil and natural gas that we can produce economically.



***Our debt agreements impose restrictions on us that may limit our access to credit and adversely affect our ability to operate our business.***

Our credit facility contains various covenants that restrict or limit, among other things, our ability to grant liens, merge or sell substantially all of our assets, make investments, guarantees, loans or advances in non-subidiaries, enter into certain hedging agreements, incur additional debt and enter into certain affiliate transactions. In addition, our credit facility contains financial covenants, including an additional financial covenant if our credit ratings are below a specified level, and other limitations with which we will need to comply and which may limit our ability to borrow under the facility. Similarly, the indentures governing our senior notes restrict our ability to grant liens to secure certain types of indebtedness and merge or sell substantially all of our assets. These covenants could adversely affect our ability to finance our future operations or capital needs or engage in, expand or pursue our business activities and prevent us from engaging in certain transactions that might otherwise be considered beneficial to us. Our ability to comply with these covenants may be affected by events beyond our control, including prevailing economic, financial and industry conditions. If market or other economic conditions deteriorate, our current assumptions about future economic conditions turn out to be incorrect or unexpected events occur, our ability to comply with these covenants may be significantly impaired.

Our failure to comply with the covenants in our debt agreements could result in events of default. Upon the occurrence of such an event of default, the lenders could elect to declare all amounts outstanding under a particular facility to be immediately due and payable and terminate all commitments, if any, to extend further credit. Certain payment defaults or an acceleration under one debt agreement could cause a cross-default or cross-acceleration of another debt agreement. Such a cross-default or cross-acceleration could have a wider impact on our liquidity than might otherwise arise from a default or acceleration of a single debt instrument. If an event of default occurs, or if other debt agreements cross-default, and the lenders under the affected debt agreements accelerate the maturity of any loans or other debt outstanding to us, we may not have sufficient liquidity to repay amounts outstanding under such debt agreements.

Our ability to repay, extend or refinance our debt obligations and to obtain future credit will depend primarily on our operating performance, which will be affected by general economic, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory, business and other factors, many of which are beyond our control. Our ability to refinance our debt obligations or obtain future credit will also depend upon the current conditions in the credit markets and the availability of credit generally. If we are unable to meet our debt service obligations or obtain future credit on favorable terms, if at all, we could be forced to restructure or refinance our indebtedness, seek additional equity capital or sell assets. We may be unable to obtain financing or sell assets on satisfactory terms, or at all.

***Any significant reduction in our borrowing base under our revolving credit facility as a result of periodic borrowing base redeterminations or otherwise may negatively impact our ability to fund our operations, and we may not have sufficient funds to repay borrowings under our revolving credit facility if required as a result of a borrowing base redetermination.***

As of December 31, 2017, our revolving credit facility is subject to a borrowing base of \$1.5 billion which is currently limited by total commitments of \$1.2 billion. The borrowing base is subject to scheduled semiannual and other elective collateral borrowing base redeterminations based on our oil and natural gas reserves and other factors. As of December 31, 2017, we had no outstanding borrowings under our revolving credit facility, though we may elect to borrow under that facility in the future. As of December 31, 2017, we had \$70 million of letters of credit issued under the credit facility and unused borrowing capacity was \$1,130 million. Any significant reduction in our borrowing base as a result of borrowing base redeterminations or otherwise may negatively impact our liquidity and our ability to fund our operations and, as a result, may have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operation and cash flow. Further if, the outstanding borrowings under our revolving credit facility were to exceed the borrowing base as a result of any such redetermination, we would be required to repay the excess. We may not have sufficient funds to make such repayments. If we do not have sufficient funds and we are otherwise unable to negotiate renewals of our borrowings or arrange new financing, we may have to sell significant assets. Any such sale could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial results.

***Difficult conditions in the global capital markets, the credit markets and the economy in general could negatively affect our business and results of operations.***

Our business may be negatively impacted by adverse economic conditions or future disruptions in global financial markets. Included among these potential negative impacts are reduced energy demand and lower commodity prices, increased difficulty in collecting amounts owed to us by our customers and reduced access to credit markets. Our ability to access the capital markets may be restricted at a time when we would like, or need, to raise financing. If financing is not available when needed, or is available only on unfavorable terms, we may be unable to implement our business plans or otherwise take advantage of business opportunities or respond to competitive pressures.

***We are subject to risks associated with climate change.***

There is a growing belief that emissions of GHGs may be linked to climate change. Climate change and the costs that may be associated with its impacts and the regulation of GHGs have the potential to affect our business in many ways, including negatively impacting the costs we incur in providing our products and services, the demand for and consumption of our products and services (due to change in both costs and weather patterns), and the economic health of the regions in which we operate, all of which can create financial risks.

In addition, legislative and regulatory responses related to GHGs and climate change create the potential for financial risk. Numerous states have announced or adopted programs to stabilize and reduce GHGs, as well as their own reporting requirements. On September 22, 2009, the EPA finalized a GHG reporting rule that requires large sources of GHG emissions to monitor, maintain records on, and annually report their GHG emissions. On November 8, 2010, the EPA also issued GHG monitoring and reporting regulations specifically for oil and natural gas facilities, including onshore and offshore oil and natural gas production facilities that emit 25,000 metric tons or more of carbon dioxide equivalent per year—the Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program. The rule requires annual reporting of GHG emissions by regulated facilities to the EPA. We are required to report our GHG emissions to the EPA each year in March under this rule, and the EPA publishes the data on its website. The EPA has also enacted permitting requirements for GHG emissions under the CAA for certain stationary sources and newer modification projects. In March 2014, the White House published the President’s Climate Action Plan Strategy to Reduce Methane Emissions. In August 2015, EPA issued a suite of proposed regulations applicable to the oil and gas sector to decrease methane emissions. There have also been international efforts seeking legally binding reductions in emissions of GHGs. Increased public awareness and concern may result in more state, regional and/or federal requirements to reduce or mitigate GHG emissions.

The actions of the EPA and the passage of any federal or state climate change laws or regulations could result in increased costs to (i) operate and maintain our facilities, (ii) install new emission controls on our facilities and (iii) administer and manage any GHG emissions program. If we are unable to recover or pass through a significant level of our costs related to complying with climate change regulatory requirements imposed on us, it could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition. To the extent financial markets view climate change and GHG emissions as a financial risk, this could negatively impact our cost of and access to capital. Legislation or regulations that may be adopted to address climate change could also affect the markets for our products by making our products more or less desirable than competing sources of energy.

***Our operations are subject to governmental laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment, which may expose us to significant costs and liabilities that could exceed current expectations.***

Substantial costs, liabilities, delays and other significant issues could arise from environmental laws and regulations affecting drilling and well completion, gathering, transportation, and storage, and we may incur substantial costs and liabilities in the performance of these types of operations. Our operations are subject to extensive federal, state and local laws and regulations governing environmental protection, the discharge of materials into the environment and the security of chemical and industrial facilities. These laws include:

- Clean Air Act (“CAA”) and analogous state laws, which impose obligations related to air emissions;
- Clean Water Act (“CWA”), and analogous state laws, which regulate discharge of wastewaters and storm water from some our facilities into state and federal waters, including wetlands;
- Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (“CERCLA”), and analogous state laws, which regulate the cleanup of hazardous substances that may have been released at properties currently or previously owned or operated by us or locations to which we have sent wastes for disposal;
- Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (“RCRA”), and analogous state laws, which impose requirements for the handling and discharge of solid and hazardous waste from our facilities;
- National Environmental Policy Act (“NEPA”), which requires federal agencies to study likely environmental impacts of a proposed federal action before it is approved, such as drilling on federal lands;
- Safe Drinking Water Act (“SDWA”), which restricts the disposal, treatment or release of water produced or used during oil and gas development;
- Endangered Species Act (“ESA”), and analogous state laws, which seek to ensure that activities do not jeopardize endangered or threatened animals, fish and plant species, nor destroy or modify the critical habitat of such species; and
- Oil Pollution Act (“OPA”) of 1990, which requires oil storage facilities and vessels to submit to the federal government plans detailing how they will respond to large discharges, requires updates to technology and equipment, regulation of above ground storage tanks and sets forth liability for spills by responsible parties.

Various governmental authorities, including the EPA, the U.S. Department of the Interior, the Bureau of Indian Affairs and analogous state agencies and tribal governments, have the power to enforce compliance with these laws and regulations and the permits issued under them, oftentimes requiring difficult and costly actions. Failure to comply with these laws, regulations and permits may result in the assessment of administrative, civil and criminal penalties, the imposition of remedial obligations, the imposition of stricter conditions on or revocation of permits, the issuance of injunctions limiting or preventing some or all of our operations, delays in granting permits and cancellation of leases.

There is inherent risk of the incurrence of environmental costs and liabilities in our business, some of which may be material, due to the handling of our products as they are gathered, transported, processed and stored, air emissions related to our operations, historical industry operations, and water and waste disposal practices. Joint and several, strict liability may be incurred without regard to fault under certain environmental laws and regulations, including CERCLA, RCRA and analogous state laws, for the remediation of contaminated areas and in connection with spills or releases of oil, natural gas and wastes on, under, or from our properties and facilities. Private parties may have the right to pursue legal actions to enforce compliance as well as to seek damages for non-compliance with environmental laws and regulations or for personal injury or property damage arising from our operations. In addition, Non-Governmental Organizations who oppose the development of fossil fuels for a number of reasons, including environmental concerns, have recently increased their activities in ways outside the normal legal process by staging protests and demonstrations in a way that may disrupt our ability to conduct our operations and market our production. To date, most of this activity has been related to issues associated with the development of infrastructure but the possibility exists that these activities could be directed to other aspects of our business.

Some sites at which we operate are located near current or former third-party oil and natural gas operations or facilities, and there is a risk that contamination has migrated from those sites to ours. In addition, increasingly strict laws, regulations and enforcement policies could materially increase our compliance costs and the cost of any remediation that may become necessary. Our insurance may not cover all environmental risks and costs or may not provide sufficient coverage if an environmental claim is made against us.

In March 2010, the EPA announced its National Enforcement Initiatives for 2011 to 2013, which were extended by the EPA for fiscal years 2014 to 2016 and now through 2019, which include Energy Extraction and “Ensuring Energy Extraction Activities Comply with Environmental Laws.” The EPA has settled a number of high-impact cases under this initiative resulting in significant air emissions reductions, and will continue to identify the best ways to address pollution through greater use of advanced pollution monitoring and reporting techniques. This initiative could involve a large-scale investigation of our facilities and processes, and could lead to potential enforcement actions, penalties or injunctive relief against us.

Our business may be adversely affected by increased costs due to stricter pollution control equipment requirements or liabilities resulting from non-compliance with required operating or other regulatory permits. Also, we might not be able to obtain or maintain from time to time all required environmental regulatory approvals for our operations. If there is a delay in obtaining any required environmental regulatory approvals, or if we fail to obtain and comply with them, the operation or construction of our facilities could be prevented or become subject to additional costs.

We are generally responsible for all liabilities associated with the environmental condition of our facilities and assets, whether acquired or developed, regardless of when the liabilities arose and whether they are known or unknown. In connection with certain acquisitions and divestitures, we could acquire, or be required to provide indemnification against, environmental liabilities that could expose us to material losses, which may not be covered by insurance. In addition, the steps we could be required to take to bring certain facilities into compliance could be prohibitively expensive, and we might be required to shut down, divest or alter the operation of those facilities, which might cause us to incur losses.

We make assumptions and develop expectations about possible expenditures related to environmental conditions based on current laws and regulations and current interpretations of those laws and regulations. If the interpretation of laws or regulations, or the laws and regulations themselves, change, our assumptions may change, and new capital costs may be incurred to comply with such changes. In addition, new environmental laws and regulations might adversely affect our products and activities, including drilling, processing, storage and transportation, as well as waste management and air emissions. For instance, the Obama administration issued a suite of proposed regulations to cut methane emissions from the oil and gas sector in August 2015 to petroleum-sector methane emissions 40 to 45 percent by 2025 from 2012 levels. EPA issued its proposed rules for new and modified wells in 2015 and finalized them in 2016. The Interior Department submitted proposed rules to the Office of Management and Budget in the fall of 2015 aimed at reducing methane flaring at wells on federal land, and the rule went into effect on January 17, 2017; the Department of Energy is to develop new ways to detect and repair methane leaks; and the Department of Transportation developed new pipeline safety standards issued January 13, 2017 that also reduce leaks. In addition, federal and state agencies could impose additional safety requirements, any of which could affect our profitability.

***Legislation and regulatory initiatives relating to hydraulic fracturing could result in increased costs and additional operating restrictions or delays.***

Hydraulic fracturing involves the injection of water, sand and additives under pressure into rock formations in order to stimulate natural gas production. We find that the use of hydraulic fracturing is necessary to produce commercial quantities of oil and natural gas from many reservoirs. Recently, there has been heightened debate about the hydraulic fracturing process and proposals have been made to revisit the environmental exemption for hydraulic fracturing under the SDWA or to enact separate federal legislation or legislation at the state and local government levels that would regulate hydraulic fracturing. If adopted, this legislation could establish an additional level of regulation and permitting at the federal, state or local levels, and could make it easier for third parties opposed to the hydraulic fracturing process to initiate legal proceedings based on allegations that specific chemicals used in the fracturing process could adversely affect the environment, including groundwater, soil or surface water. Scrutiny of hydraulic fracturing activities continues in other ways, with the EPA having finalized a multi-year study of the potential environmental impacts of hydraulic fracturing on drinking water resources. The EPA published its Final “Assessment of the Potential Impacts of Hydraulic Fracturing for Oil and Gas on Drinking Water Resources” on December 13, 2016. In August 2015, the EPA published its Final 2014 Effluent Guidelines Program Plans under the CWA confirming its intention to regulate wastewater discharges from on-shore unconventional oil and gas extraction and to specifically investigate centralized water treatment facilities that accept oil and gas extraction wastewaters. In addition to the EPA study, the Shale Gas Subcommittee of the Secretary of Energy Advisory Board issued a final report on hydraulic fracturing in November 2011, which includes recommendations to address concerns related to hydraulic fracturing and shale gas production, including but not limited to conducting additional field studies on possible methane leakage from shale gas wells to water reservoirs and adopting new rules and enforcement practices to protect drinking and surface waters.

Several states have adopted or considered legislation requiring the disclosure of fracturing fluids and other restrictions on hydraulic fracturing, including states in which we operate (e.g., Colorado, Texas, North Dakota and New Mexico). Certain organizations have prompted ballot initiatives at the local level that are directed at imposing restrictions on hydraulic fracturing, and such ballot initiatives may be attempted on a wider basis in one or more states where we operate. The U.S. Department of the Interior issued its final rules considering disclosure requirements or other mandates for hydraulic fracturing on federal land, which, if adopted, would affect our operations on federal lands. In June 2016, a federal judge held that the BLM had no authority to issue such regulations, and the decision was appealed to the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals. On December 29, 2017, the BLM rescinded the rule. If new federal or state laws or regulations that significantly restrict hydraulic fracturing are adopted, such legal requirements could result in delays, eliminate certain drilling and injection activities, make it more difficult or costly for us to perform fracturing and increase our costs of compliance and doing business as well as delay or prevent the development of unconventional gas resources from shale formations which are not commercial without the use of hydraulic fracturing.

***Our ability to produce oil and natural gas could be impaired if we are unable to acquire adequate supplies of water for our drilling and completion operations or are unable to dispose of the water we use at a reasonable cost and within applicable environmental rules.***

Our inability to locate sufficient amounts of water, or dispose of or recycle water used in our exploration and production operations, could adversely impact our operations in our Delaware Basin, San Juan Basin and Williston Basin operations. Moreover, the imposition of new environmental initiatives and regulations could include restrictions on our ability to conduct certain operations such as hydraulic fracturing or disposal of waste, including, but not limited to, produced water, drilling fluids and other wastes associated with the exploration, development or production of natural gas. The CWA imposes restrictions and strict controls regarding the discharge of produced waters and other natural gas and oil waste into navigable waters. Permits must be obtained to discharge pollutants to waters and to conduct construction activities in waters and wetlands. The CWA and similar state laws provide for civil, criminal and administrative penalties for any unauthorized discharges of pollutants and unauthorized discharges of reportable quantities of oil and other hazardous substances. Many state discharge regulations and the Federal National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System general permits issued by the EPA prohibit the discharge of produced water and sand, drilling fluids, drill cuttings and certain other substances related to the natural gas and oil industry into coastal waters. The EPA has also adopted regulations requiring certain natural gas and oil exploration and production facilities to obtain permits for storm water discharges. In August 2015, the EPA published its Final 2014 Effluent Guidelines Program Plans under the CWA confirming its intention to regulate wastewater discharges from onshore unconventional oil and gas extraction and to specifically investigate centralized water treatment facilities that accept oil and gas extraction wastewaters. Compliance with current and future environmental regulations and permit requirements governing the withdrawal, storage and use of surface water or groundwater necessary for hydraulic fracturing of wells may increase our operating costs and cause delays, interruptions or termination of our operations, the extent of which cannot be predicted.

***Legal and regulatory proceedings and investigations relating to the energy industry, and the complex government regulations to which our businesses are subject, have adversely affected our business and may continue to do so. The***

***operation of our businesses might also be adversely affected by changes in regulations or in their interpretation or implementation, or the introduction of new laws, regulations or permitting requirements applicable to our businesses or our customers.***

Public and regulatory scrutiny of the energy industry has resulted in increased regulations being either proposed or implemented. Adverse effects may continue as a result of the uncertainty of ongoing inquiries, investigations and court proceedings, or additional inquiries and proceedings by federal or state regulatory agencies or private plaintiffs. In addition, we cannot predict the outcome of any of these inquiries or whether these inquiries will lead to additional legal proceedings against us, civil or criminal fines or penalties, or other regulatory action, including legislation or increased permitting requirements. Current legal proceedings or other matters against us, including environmental matters, suits, regulatory appeals, challenges to our permits by citizen groups and similar matters, might result in adverse decisions against us. The result of such adverse decisions, either individually or in the aggregate, could be material and may not be covered fully or at all by insurance.

In addition, existing regulations might be revised or reinterpreted, new laws, regulations and permitting requirements might be adopted or become applicable to us, our facilities, our customers, our vendors or our service providers, and future changes in laws and regulations could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. For example, several ruptures on third-party pipelines have occurred recently. In response, various legislative and regulatory reforms associated with pipeline safety and integrity have been proposed, including new regulations covering gathering pipelines that have not previously been subject to regulation. Such reforms, if adopted, could significantly increase our costs.

***Certain of our properties, including our operations in the Williston Basin and San Juan Basin, are located on Native American tribal lands and are subject to various federal and tribal approvals and regulations, which may increase our costs and delay or prevent our efforts to conduct planned operations.***

Various federal agencies within the U.S. Department of the Interior, particularly the Bureau of Indian Affairs, BLM and the Office of Natural Resources Revenue, along with each Native American tribe, promulgate and enforce regulations pertaining to oil and gas operations on Native American tribal lands. These regulations and approval requirements relate to such matters as lease provisions, drilling and production requirements, environmental standards and royalty considerations. In addition, each Native American tribe is a sovereign nation having the right to enforce laws and regulations and to grant approvals independent from federal, state and local statutes and regulations. These tribal laws and regulations include various taxes, fees, requirements to employ Native American tribal members and other conditions that apply to lessees, operators and contractors conducting operations on Native American tribal lands. Lessees and operators conducting operations on tribal lands are generally subject to the Native American tribal court system. In addition, if our relationships with any of the relevant Native American tribes were to deteriorate, we could face significant risks to our ability to continue the projected development of our leases on Native American tribal lands. One or more of these factors may increase our costs of doing business on Native American tribal lands and impact the viability of, or prevent or delay our ability to conduct, our oil or natural gas development and production operations on such lands.

***Our liabilities could be adversely affected in the event one or more of our transaction counterparties ceases to perform.***

We have taken actions in recent years to enhance and streamline our asset portfolio through the divestitures of noncore assets and the monetization of certain nonstrategic assets. The agreements relating to these transactions contain provisions pursuant to which liabilities related to past and future operations have been allocated between the parties by means of liability assumptions, indemnities, escrows and similar arrangements. One or more of the counterparties in these transactions could, either as a result of a decline in oil or natural gas prices or other factors related to the historical or future operations of their respective businesses, face financial problems that may have a significant impact on its ability to perform its obligations under these agreements and its solvency and ability to continue as a going concern. In the event that any such counterparty were to become unable financially to perform its liabilities or obligations assumed and as a result become the subject of a case or proceeding under relevant insolvency laws or similar laws (which we collectively refer to as Insolvency Laws) the counterparty may not perform its obligations under the agreements related to these transactions. In that case, our remedy would be a claim in a bankruptcy proceeding or a direct action for damages for the breach of the contractual arrangement. Resolution of our damage claim in such a proceeding may be delayed or unsuccessful, and we may be forced to use available cash to cover the costs of the obligations assumed by the counterparties under such agreements should they arise.

Despite the provisions in our agreements requiring purchasers of our leasehold interests to assume certain liabilities and obligations related to such interests, if a purchaser of such interests becomes the subject of a case or proceeding under relevant Insolvency Laws and/or becomes unable financially to perform such liabilities or obligations, applicable local law and/or relevant governmental authorities could require us to perform, and hold us responsible for, such liabilities and obligations, such as the decommissioning of such transferred assets. In such event, we may be forced to use available cash to cover the costs of such liabilities and obligations should they arise.

If a court or a governmental authority were to make any of the foregoing determinations or take any of the foregoing actions, or any similar determination or action, or in circumstances where we determined that applicable law required us to perform the obligations of a counterparty, the result of such actions or circumstances could adversely impact our cash flows, operations, or financial condition.

***Tax laws and regulations may change over time, including changes to certain federal income tax deductions currently available with respect to oil and gas exploration and production.***

Tax laws and regulations are highly complex and subject to interpretation, and the tax laws and regulations to which we are subject may change over time. Our tax filings are based upon our interpretation of the tax laws in effect in various jurisdictions for the periods for which the filings are made. If these laws or regulations change, or if the taxing authorities do not agree with our interpretation, it could have a material adverse effect on us.

In recent years, leaders in government have proposed changes to certain federal income tax provisions currently available to oil and gas exploration and production companies. Domestic energy-related changes generally discussed include, but are not limited to, (i) elimination of the ability to fully deduct intangible drilling and development costs in the year incurred; (ii) extension of the amortization period for certain geological and geophysical expenditures; and (iii) technical corrections or other subsequent revisions to recently enacted Tax Reform legislation. It is unclear, however, whether any such changes will be enacted or how soon such changes could be effective. Changes to federal tax deductions, as well as any changes to or the imposition of new state or local taxes (including production, severance, or similar taxes) could negatively affect our financial condition and results of operations.

***Our acquisition attempts may not be successful or may result in completed acquisitions that do not perform as anticipated.***

We have made and may continue to make acquisitions of businesses and properties. However, suitable acquisition candidates may not continue to be available on terms and conditions we find acceptable. The following are some of the risks associated with acquisitions, including any completed or future acquisitions:

- some of the acquired businesses or properties may not produce revenues, reserves, earnings or cash flow at anticipated levels or could have environmental, permitting or other problems for which contractual protections prove inadequate;
- we may assume liabilities that were not disclosed to us or that exceed our estimates;
- properties we acquire may be subject to burdens on title that we were not aware of at the time of acquisition or that interfere with our ability to hold the property for production;
- we may be unable to integrate acquired businesses successfully and realize anticipated economic, operational and other benefits in a timely manner, which could result in substantial costs and delays or other operational, technical or financial problems;
- acquisitions could disrupt our ongoing business, distract management, divert resources and make it difficult to maintain our current business standards, controls and procedures; and
- we may issue additional equity or debt securities related to future acquisitions.

***Substantial acquisitions or other transactions could require significant external capital and could change our risk and property profile.***

In order to finance acquisitions of additional producing or undeveloped properties, we may need to alter or increase our capitalization substantially through the issuance of debt or equity securities, the sale of production payments or other means. These changes in capitalization may significantly affect our risk profile. Additionally, significant acquisitions or other transactions can change the character of our operations and business. The character of the new properties may be substantially different in operating or geological characteristics or geographic location than our existing properties. Furthermore, we may not be able to obtain external funding for future acquisitions or other transactions or to obtain external funding on terms acceptable to us.

***Failure of our service providers or disruptions to our outsourcing relationships might negatively impact our ability to conduct our business.***

Some studies indicate a high failure rate of outsourcing relationships. A deterioration in the timeliness or quality of the services performed by the outsourcing providers or a failure of all or part of these relationships could lead to loss of institutional knowledge and interruption of services necessary for us to be able to conduct our business. The expiration of such agreements or the transition of services between providers could lead to similar losses of institutional knowledge or disruptions.

***Our assets and operations can be adversely affected by weather and other natural phenomena.***

Our assets and operations can be adversely affected by hurricanes, floods, earthquakes, tornadoes and other natural phenomena and weather conditions, including extreme temperatures. Insurance may be inadequate, and in some instances, it may not be available on commercially reasonable terms. A significant disruption in operations or a significant liability for which we were not fully insured could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our customers' energy needs vary with weather conditions. To the extent weather conditions are affected by climate change or demand is impacted by regulations associated with climate change, customers' energy use could increase or decrease depending on the duration and magnitude of the changes, leading either to increased investment or decreased revenues.

***Acts of terrorism could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.***

Our assets and the assets of our customers and others may be targets of terrorist activities that could disrupt our business or cause significant harm to our operations, such as full or partial disruption to the ability to produce, process, transport or distribute oil, natural gas, or NGLs. Acts of terrorism as well as events occurring in response to or in connection with acts of terrorism could cause environmental repercussions that could result in a significant decrease in revenues or significant reconstruction or remediation costs.

***Cyber attacks targeting our systems and infrastructure may adversely impact our operations.***

Our industry has become increasingly dependent on digital technologies to conduct daily operations. Concurrently, the industry has become the subject of increased levels of cyber-attack activity. Cyber attacks often attempt to gain unauthorized access to digital systems for purposes of misappropriating assets or sensitive information, corrupting data or causing operational disruption and may be carried out by third parties or insiders. The techniques utilized range from highly sophisticated efforts to electronically circumvent network security to more traditional intelligence gathering and social engineering aimed at obtaining information necessary to gain access. Cyber attacks may also be carried out in a manner that does not require gaining unauthorized access, such as by causing denial-of-service attacks. Although we have not suffered material losses related to cyber attacks to date, if we were successfully attacked, we could incur substantial remediation and other costs or suffer other negative consequences. Moreover, as the sophistication of cyber attacks continues to evolve, we may be required to expend significant additional resources to further enhance our digital security or to remediate vulnerabilities.

***We may increase our debt or raise additional capital in the future, which could affect our financial health, and may decrease our profitability.***

We may increase our debt or raise additional capital in the future, subject to restrictions in our debt agreements. If our cash flow from operations is less than we anticipate, or if our cash requirements are more than we expect, we may require more financing. More financing may also be necessary if we are unable to execute dispositions of assets that are underperforming or which are no longer a part of our strategic focus. However, debt or equity financing may not be available to us on terms acceptable to us, if at all. If we incur additional debt or raise equity through the issuance of our preferred stock, the terms of the debt or our preferred stock issued may give the holders rights, preferences and privileges senior to those of holders of our common stock, particularly in the event of liquidation. The terms of the debt may also impose additional and more stringent restrictions on our operations than we currently have. If we raise funds through the issuance of additional equity, your ownership in us would be diluted. If we are unable to raise additional capital when needed, it could affect our financial health, which could negatively affect your investment in us.

***We continue to be subject to a tax-sharing agreement with The Williams Companies, Inc. ("Williams").***

Prior to our spin-off from Williams on December 31, 2011, Williams received an opinion of its outside tax advisor as well as a private letter ruling from the IRS holding that the spin-off will not result in the recognition, for federal income tax purposes, of income, gain or loss to Williams and Williams' stockholders. Under the tax sharing agreement with Williams that we executed as part of the spin-off, we are required to indemnify Williams against tax-related liabilities that may be incurred by Williams relating to the spin-off, to the extent caused by a breach of any representations or covenants we made with respect to the spin-off and relied upon in the tax opinion or private letter ruling. The IRS is currently auditing Williams' 2011 consolidated federal income tax return that includes the spin-off.

For any tax periods ending on or before the spin-off, we and our U.S. subsidiaries were included in Williams' consolidated group for federal income tax purposes. Under the tax sharing agreement with Williams, for each period in which we were consolidated with Williams for purposes of any tax return, a pro forma tax return was prepared for us as if we filed our own consolidated return. The only open federal tax period for which we are still subject to the tax sharing agreement with Williams is 2011. For any adjustments to the 2011 pro forma tax return we will reimburse Williams for any additional taxes shown on the pro forma tax return, and Williams will reimburse us for reductions in the taxes shown on the pro forma tax

return. We also have deferred tax assets that Williams was required to allocate to us by the Internal Revenue Code that could decrease or increase due to adjustments that change those allocations, whether or not related to our business. Williams effectively controls all tax decisions in connection with their 2011 consolidated income tax return. Thus, Williams will be able to choose whether to contest, compromise or settle any adjustment or deficiency proposed by the relevant taxing authority in a manner that may be beneficial to Williams and detrimental to us.

***Third parties may seek to hold us responsible for liabilities of Williams that we did not assume in our agreements.***

Third parties may seek to hold us responsible for retained liabilities of Williams. Under our agreements with Williams, Williams agreed to indemnify us for claims and losses relating to these retained liabilities. However, if those liabilities are significant and we are ultimately held liable for them, we cannot assure you that we will be able to recover the full amount of our losses from Williams.

***Our prior and continuing relationship with Williams exposes us to risks attributable to businesses of Williams.***

Williams is obligated to indemnify us for losses that a party may seek to impose upon us or our affiliates for liabilities relating to the business of Williams that are incurred through a breach of the separation and distribution agreement or any ancillary agreement by Williams or its affiliates other than us, or losses that are attributable to Williams in connection with the spin-off or are not expressly assumed by us under our agreements with Williams. Any claims made against us that are properly attributable to Williams in accordance with these arrangements would require us to exercise our rights under our agreements with Williams to obtain payment from Williams. We are exposed to the risk that, in these circumstances, Williams cannot, or will not, make the required payment.

**Risks Related to Our Common Stock**

***Future issuances of our common stock may depress the price of our common stock.***

In the future, we may issue our securities in connection with investments or acquisitions. The amount of shares of our common stock issued in connection with an investment or acquisition could constitute a material portion of our then outstanding shares of our common stock.

***We do not anticipate paying any dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. As a result, you will need to sell your shares of common stock to receive any income or realize a return on your investment.***

We do not anticipate paying any dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. Any declaration and payment of future dividends to holders of our common stock may be limited by the provisions of the Delaware General Corporation Law (“DGCL”). The future payment of dividends will be at the sole discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on many factors, including our earnings, capital requirements, financial condition and other considerations that our Board of Directors deems relevant. As a result, to receive any income or realize a return on your investment, you will need to sell your shares of common stock. You may not be able to sell your shares of common stock at or above the price you paid for them.

***Provisions of Delaware law and our charter documents may delay or prevent an acquisition of us that stockholders may consider favorable or may prevent efforts by our stockholders to change our directors or our management, which could decrease the value of your shares.***

Section 203 of the DGCL and provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us without the consent of our Board of Directors. These provisions include the following:

- restrictions on business combinations for a three-year period with a stockholder who becomes the beneficial owner of more than 15 percent of our common stock;
- restrictions on the ability of our stockholders to remove directors; and
- supermajority voting requirements for stockholders to amend our organizational documents.

Although we believe these provisions protect our stockholders from coercive or otherwise unfair takeover tactics and thereby provide an opportunity to receive a higher bid by requiring potential acquirers to negotiate with our Board of Directors, these provisions apply even if the offer may be considered beneficial by some stockholders. Further, these provisions may discourage potential acquisition proposals and may delay, deter or prevent a change of control of our company, including through unsolicited transactions that some or all of our stockholders might consider to be desirable. As a result, efforts by our stockholders to change our directors or our management may be unsuccessful.



***Our ability to utilize our net operating loss (“NOL”) carryovers for income tax purposes to reduce future taxable income as well as our ability to utilize our minimum tax credit (“MTC”) carryovers will be limited if we undergo an ownership change.***

Beginning with our 2015 tax year and continuing with our 2016 and 2017 tax years we generated an NOL that is being carried forward to future years. In addition, we have MTC carryovers available for future reductions in federal income tax or refunds. In the event that we were to undergo an “ownership change” (as defined in Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”)), our NOL and MTC carryovers generated prior to the ownership change would be subject to annual limitations, which could defer utilization or in the case of our NOL carryovers, eliminate our ability to utilize these tax losses against future taxable income. Generally, an “ownership change” occurs if one or more shareholders, each of whom owns 5% or more in value of a corporation’s stock, increase their aggregate percentage ownership by more than 50% over the lowest percentage of stock owned by those shareholders at any time during the preceding three-year period. See the Critical Accounting Estimates section of Item 7 for further discussion of our Valuation of Deferred Tax Assets and Liabilities.

**Item 1B.**        *Unresolved Staff Comments*

None.

**Item 2.**        *Properties*

Information regarding our properties is included in Item 1 of this report.

**Item 3.**        *Legal Proceedings*

See Item 8—Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Note 11 of our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for the information that is called for by this item.

**Item 4.**        *Mine Safety Disclosures*

Not applicable.

## PART II

### Item 5. *Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities*

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol "WPX." The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low sales prices per share of our common stock as reported by the New York Stock Exchange.

	Years Ended December 31,			
	2017		2016	
	High	Low	High	Low
<b>Common Stock:</b>				
Fourth quarter	\$ 14.55	\$ 9.91	\$ 16.17	\$ 10.13
Third quarter	\$ 11.67	\$ 8.87	\$ 13.92	\$ 8.71
Second quarter	\$ 14.08	\$ 8.39	\$ 11.59	\$ 6.29
First quarter	\$ 15.44	\$ 11.57	\$ 7.03	\$ 2.53

At February 21, 2018 , there were 6,770 holders of record of our common stock.

We have not paid or declared any cash dividends on our common stock. Any decision as to future payment of dividends is subject to the discretion of our Board of Directors.

## Stockholder Return Performance Presentation

The following stock performance graph and related information shall not be deemed “soliciting material” or to be “filed” with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, nor shall such information be incorporated by reference into any future filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, except to the extent that WPX specifically requests that such information be treated as “soliciting material” or specifically incorporates such information by reference into such a filing.

The performance graph below compares the cumulative five-year total return to stockholders on WPX’s common stock as compared to the cumulative five-year total returns on the Standard and Poor’s Midcap 400 Index (“MID”), and the Standard and Poor’s Oil and Gas Exploration and Production Select Industry Index (“S&P O&G”). The comparison assumed a \$100 investment was made in WPX’s stock, the MID Index and the S&P O&G Index at the beginning of the period.

### Comparison of Five-Year Cumulative Total Return



As of December 31,

Total Return Analysis data:	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
WPX	\$ 100.00	\$ 112.16	\$ 64.01	\$ 31.59	\$ 80.19	\$ 77.44
MID	\$ 100.00	\$ 152.71	\$ 165.21	\$ 159.08	\$ 188.88	\$ 216.18
S&P O&G	\$ 100.00	\$ 131.07	\$ 91.64	\$ 57.99	\$ 79.51	\$ 71.50

**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

The following financial data at December 31, 2017 and 2016, and for each of the three years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 should be read in conjunction with the other financial information included in Part II, Item 7, *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* and Part II, Item 8, *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data* of this Form 10-K. All other financial data has been prepared from our accounting records.

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013
<b>Statement of operations data:</b>	(Millions, except per share amounts)				
Product revenues	\$ 1,307	\$ 722	\$ 655	\$ 971	\$ 744
Net gain (loss) on derivatives	\$ 3	\$ (207)	\$ 418	\$ 434	\$ (124)
Commodity management revenue	\$ 25	\$ 177	\$ 286	\$ 1,110	\$ 882
Total revenues	\$ 1,336	\$ 693	\$ 1,366	\$ 2,523	\$ 1,505
Income (loss) from continuing operations(a)	\$ (11)	\$ (612)	\$ (4)	\$ 256	\$ (1,005)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations(b)	(5)	11	(1,722)	(85)	(186)
Net income (loss)	\$ (16)	\$ (601)	\$ (1,726)	\$ 171	\$ (1,191)
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	—	1	7	(6)
Net income (loss) attributable to WPX Energy, Inc.	\$ (16)	\$ (601)	\$ (1,727)	\$ 164	\$ (1,185)
Less: Dividends on preferred stock	15	18	9	—	—
Less: Loss on induced conversion of preferred stock	—	22	—	—	—
Net income (loss) available to WPX Energy, Inc. common stockholders	\$ (31)	\$ (641)	\$ (1,736)	\$ 164	\$ (1,185)
Amounts available to WPX Energy, Inc. common stockholders:					
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (26)	\$ (652)	\$ (13)	\$ 256	\$ (993)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$ (5)	\$ 11	\$ (1,723)	\$ (92)	\$ (192)
Basic earnings (loss) per common share:					
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (0.06)	\$ (2.08)	\$ (0.06)	\$ 1.26	\$ (4.95)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.03	\$ (7.36)	\$ (0.45)	\$ (0.96)
Diluted earnings (loss) per common share:					
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (0.06)	\$ (2.08)	\$ (0.06)	\$ 1.24	\$ (4.95)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.03	\$ (7.36)	\$ (0.44)	\$ (0.96)

	As of December 31,				
	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013
<b>Balance sheet data:</b>	(Millions)				
Total assets	\$ 8,207	\$ 7,264	\$ 8,393	\$ 8,896	\$ 8,508
Long-term debt	\$ 2,575	\$ 2,575	\$ 3,189	\$ 2,260	\$ 1,895
Total stockholders' equity	\$ 4,127	\$ 3,466	\$ 3,535	\$ 4,319	\$ 4,109
Total equity, including noncontrolling interests	\$ 4,127	\$ 3,466	\$ 3,535	\$ 4,428	\$ 4,210

(a) Income (loss) from continuing operations includes significant pre-tax items comprised of the following:

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013
	(Millions)				
Net (gain) loss on sales of assets, divestment of transportation contracts or impairment of producing properties	\$ (111)	\$ 22	\$ (349)	\$ 15	\$ 772
Impairment of unproved leasehold property	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 41	\$ 317

See Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of the impairments and asset sales in 2017, 2016 and 2015.

(b) Income (loss) from discontinued operations includes the results of holdings in the Piceance Basin, holdings in the Powder River Basin, and Apco Oil and Gas International Inc. Significant components included in income (loss) from discontinued operations are comprised of the following:

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013
	(Millions)				
Piceance pre-tax impairments, including impairment of producing properties, costs of acquired unproved reserves and exploratory area well costs	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 2,334	\$ 72	\$ 88
Powder River pre-tax impairments	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 16	\$ 45	\$ 192
Net pre-tax gain (loss) on divestments	\$ —	\$ (51)	\$ 26	\$ —	\$ —
Powder River gain on sale of deep rights leasehold	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (36)
Loss on sale of working interests in the Piceance Basin	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 196	\$ —

See Note 3 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of discontinued operations in 2017, 2016 and 2015.

**Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

**General and Basis of Presentation**

We are an independent oil and natural gas exploration and production company engaged in the exploitation and development of long-life unconventional properties. We are focused on profitably exploiting, developing and growing our oil positions in the Delaware and San Juan Basins in the southwestern United States and the Williston Basin in North Dakota. In December 2017, we sold our natural gas position in the San Juan Basin. Associated with our commodity production are sales and marketing activities, which include oil and natural gas purchased from working interest owners in operated wells and other area third-party producers and, to a lesser extent, the management of various natural gas related contracts such as transportation and storage. The revenues and expenses related to these sales and marketing activities are reported on a gross basis as part of commodity management revenues and costs and expenses.

On August 17, 2015, we completed the acquisition of privately-held RKI Exploration & Production, LLC ("RKI") (the "RKI Acquisition") expanding our operations into the Delaware Basin in New Mexico and Texas. We further expanded our operations in the Delaware Basin through the acquisition of certain assets from Panther Energy Company II, LLC and Carrier Energy Partners, LLC (the "Panther Acquisition"). See Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a further discussion regarding these acquisitions.


In February 2018, we announced that we signed an agreement to sell our holdings in the San Juan Basin's Gallup oil play for \$700 million to an undisclosed third party. Closing is expected to occur in the first quarter. As previously noted, we divested of our legacy natural gas assets in the San Juan Basin in December 2017. Upon closing of the most recent transaction, we will no longer have a significant presence in the San Juan Basin. See Note 17 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

In addition to the operations discussed above, we previously had operations in the Piceance Basin in Colorado until April 8, 2016 and the Powder River Basin in Wyoming until September 1, 2015. For all periods presented, the results of the Piceance Basin and Powder River Basin are reported as discontinued operations. See Note 3 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of our discontinued operations. Unless indicated otherwise, the following discussion relates to continuing operations.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the selected historical consolidated financial data and the consolidated financial statements and the related notes in Part II Item 8 of this Form 10-K. The matters discussed below may contain forward-looking statements that reflect our plans, estimates and beliefs. Our actual results could differ materially from those discussed in these forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to these differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed below and elsewhere in this Form 10-K, particularly in "Risk Factors" and "Forward-Looking Statements."

**Overview**

**Production (based on Mboe)**




The following table presents our production volumes for 2017 , 2016 and 2015 :

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
<b>Production Sales Volume Data(a):</b>			
Oil (Mbbls)	22,362	15,178	12,479
Natural gas (MMcf)	76,102	72,842	66,187
NGLs (Mbbls)	5,037	3,645	2,412
Combined equivalent volumes (Mboe)	40,083	30,963	25,922
<b>Production Sales Volume Per Day(a):</b>			
Oil (Mbbls/d)	61.3	41.5	34.2
Natural Gas (MMcf/d)	208	199	181
NGL (Mbbls/d)	13.8	10.0	6.6
Combined equivalent volumes (Mboe/d)	109.8	84.6	71.0

(a) Excludes production from our discontinued operations.

### Product Revenues



The following table presents our financial highlights for 2017 , 2016 and 2015 :

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
<b>Financial Data (millions):</b>			
Total product revenues	\$ 1,307	\$ 722	\$ 655
Total revenues	\$ 1,336	\$ 693	\$ 1,366
Operating income (loss)	\$ 43	\$ (731)	\$ 274
Capital expenditure activity(a)	\$ (1,232)	\$ (584)	\$ (865)

(a) Includes capital expenditures related to discontinued operations of \$27 million and \$184 million for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 respectively, and excludes capital expenditures related to acquisitions.

Our 2017 operating results were \$774 million favorable compared to 2016. The primary items impacting 2017 results compared to 2016 results include:

- \$585 million increase in product revenues, primarily oil sales, of which \$261 million related to higher oil volumes and \$217 million related to higher oil prices;
- \$210 million favorable change in net gain (loss) on derivatives;
- \$111 million net gain on sales of assets and impairment of producing properties for 2017 compared to \$22 million net loss on sales of assets and divestment of transportation contracts for 2016 (see Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements); and
- \$40 million decrease in general and administrative expenses.



Offset by

- \$165 million higher operating costs including depreciation, depletion and amortization, lease and facility, gathering, processing and transportation, and taxes other than income; and
- \$59 million higher exploration costs (see Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements).

Our 2016 operating results were \$1,005 million unfavorable compared to 2015. The primary items impacting 2016 results compared to 2015 results include:

- \$625 million unfavorable change in net gain (loss) on derivatives from a gain of \$418 million to a loss of \$207 million. Increases in forward prices during 2016 drove the 2016 loss;
- \$56 million unfavorable change in net commodity management margin;
- \$95 million higher depreciation expense; and
- the absence of \$349 million of gain on sale of assets and divestment of transportation contracts and impairment of producing properties in 2015 compared to \$22 million net loss for 2016 (see Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements).

Offset by:

- \$67 million increase in product revenues;
- \$43 million decrease in exploration expense;
- the absence in 2016 of a \$22 million charge associated with a contract termination included in 2015 expenses;
- the absence in 2016 of a \$23 million charge included in 2015 expenses associated with gathering obligations in an area of the Appalachian Basin where we plugged and abandoned our remaining wells in the fourth quarter of 2015 (see Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements); and
- the absence in 2016 of \$23 million of acquisition costs included in 2015 expenses.

## Outlook

In 2017, we reached two major milestones for our company: oil production of 50,000 barrels per day and then 75,000 barrels per day. Also in 2017, we closed a transaction with Howard Energy Partners (“Howard”) to jointly develop midstream infrastructure in the Delaware Basin specifically focused on crude oil gathering and natural gas processing and we received \$349 million in cash (see Note 6 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements). As previously noted, we signed an agreement in 2018 to sell our remaining interests in the San Juan Basin. We expect to use a significant portion of the proceeds to reduce our debt. The latest transaction signals our confidence in the two remaining core positions in the Delaware (Permian) and Williston Basins. We believe we are well positioned for prudent growth assuming a near-term commodity environment of approximately \$40 to \$60 per barrel. Our growth plan through the end of 2018, both volumes and cash flow, is another important step in the transformation of the company in an effort to improve our leverage metrics along with other per Boe metrics. In addition, we plan to be cash-flow positive in 2019. However, the oil and gas industry is a challenging environment and appropriate adjustments would be made if we foresee market conditions change and that future commodity prices will remain at or outside the boundaries of the range mentioned above for an extended period of time.

Our capital budget for 2018 is \$1,160 million to \$1,310 million, including amounts for land, midstream and equity investments. Planned capital for drilling and completions is \$1,040 million to \$1,110 million. The plan contemplates deploying a comparable rig count compared to 2017, completing an inventory of 38 DUCs at year-end 2017, adding a third frac crew in the Delaware Basin and drilling longer laterals in the Delaware. The 2018 budget is designed to fund 7 rigs and 3 rigs in the Delaware and Williston Basins, respectively.

Our December 31, 2017 liquidity totaled approximately \$1.3 billion, reflecting amounts available under the Credit Facility and cash on hand. Excluding any future borrowings on the Credit Facility, our next debt maturity of \$350 million is not due until 2020. We believe our current liquidity position will provide the necessary capital to develop our assets or should sustain us if there is a downturn.

As we execute on our long-term strategy, we continue to operate with a focus on increasing shareholder value and investing in our businesses in a way that enhances our competitive position by:

- continuing to grow our oil production and reserves through the development of our positions in the Delaware and Williston Basins;
- continuing to pursue cost improvements and efficiency gains;
- employing new technology and operating methods;
- continuing to invest in projects to assess resources and add new development opportunities to our portfolio;
- retaining the flexibility to make adjustments to our planned levels and allocation of capital investment expenditures in response to changes in economic conditions or business opportunities; and
- continuing to maintain an active economic hedging program around our commodity price risks.

Potential risks or obstacles that could impact the execution of our plan include:

- lower than anticipated energy commodity prices;
- increase in the cost of, or shortages or delays in the availability of, drilling rigs and equipment supplies, skilled labor or transportation;
- lower than expected results from acquisitions;
- higher capital costs of developing our properties, including the impact of inflation;
- lower than expected levels of cash flow from operations;
- counterparty credit and performance risk;
- general economic, financial markets or industry downturn;
- unavailability of capital either under our revolver or access to capital markets;
- changes in the political and regulatory environments; and
- decreased drilling success.

With the exception of potential impairments, we continue to address certain of these risks through utilization of commodity hedging strategies, disciplined investment strategies and maintaining adequate liquidity. In addition, we use master netting agreements and collateral requirements with our counterparties to reduce credit risk and liquidity requirements. Further, we continue to monitor the long-term market outlooks and forecasts for potential indicators of needed changes to our forecasted oil and natural gas prices. Commodity prices are significantly volatile and prices for a barrel of oil ranged from over \$100 per barrel to less than \$30 per barrel for a brief time over the past five years. Our forecasted price assumptions reflect a long-term view of pricing but also consider current prices and are consistent with pricing assumptions generally used in evaluating our drilling decisions and acquisition plans. If forecasted oil and natural gas prices were to decline, we would need to review the producing properties net book value for possible impairment. Because of the uncertainty inherent in these factors, we cannot predict when or if future impairment charges will be recorded. If impairments were required, the charges could be significant. The net book value of our predominantly oil proved properties is \$5.2 billion (including the San Juan Basin). In addition, the net book value associated with unproved leasehold is approximately \$2.2 billion and is primarily associated with our Delaware Basin properties. See our discussion of impairment of long-lived assets in our Critical Accounting Estimates discussion later in this section.

## Results of Operations

### 2017 vs. 2016

#### Revenue Analysis

	Years ended December 31,		Favorable (Unfavorable) \$ Change	Favorable (Unfavorable) % Change
	2017	2016		
	(Millions)			
Revenues:				
Oil sales	\$ 1,029	\$ 551	\$ 478	87 %
Natural gas sales	163	125	38	30 %
Natural gas liquid sales	115	46	69	150 %
Total product revenues	1,307	722	585	81 %
Net gain (loss) on derivatives	3	(207)	210	NM
Commodity management	25	177	(152)	(86)%
Other	1	1	—	— %
Total revenues	\$ 1,336	\$ 693	\$ 643	93 %

NM: A percentage calculation is not meaningful due to change in signs, a zero-value denominator or a percentage change greater than 200.

Significant variances in the respective line items of revenues are comprised of the following:

- \$478 million increase in oil sales reflects \$261 million related to higher production sales volumes and \$217 million related to higher sales prices for 2017 compared to 2016. The increase in production sales volumes primarily relates to our Williston and Delaware Basins. The Delaware, Williston and San Juan Basin volumes were 22.0, 30.0 and 9.3 Mbbls per day, respectively, for 2017 compared to 13.0, 20.8 and 7.6 Mbbls per day, respectively, for 2016. The following table reflects oil and condensate production prices and volumes for 2017 and 2016.

	Years ended December 31,	
	2017	2016
Oil sales (per barrel)	\$ 46.02	\$ 36.31
Impact of net cash (paid) received related to settlement of derivatives (per barrel)(a)	(0.27)	12.50
Oil net price including all derivative settlements (per barrel)	\$ 45.75	\$ 48.81
Oil production sales volumes (Mbbls)	22,362	15,178
Per day oil production sales volumes (Mbbls/d)	61.3	41.5

(a) Included in net gain (loss) on derivatives on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

- \$38 million increase in natural gas sales reflects \$32 million related to higher sales prices and \$6 million increase related to higher production sales volumes for 2017 compared to 2016. The increase in our production sales volumes occurred primarily in our Delaware Basin partially offset by decreased volumes in the San Juan Basin and the absence of volumes due to the sales of our natural gas producing properties in the Appalachian and Green River Basins. The San Juan Basin volumes were 112 MMcf per day in 2017 and 125 MMcf per day in 2016. The following table reflects natural gas production prices and volumes for 2017 and 2016.

	<u>Years ended December 31,</u>	
	<u>2017</u>	<u>2016</u>
Natural gas sales (per Mcf)	\$ 2.14	\$ 1.72
Impact of net cash received related to settlement of derivatives (per Mcf)(a)	0.13	1.53
Natural gas net price including all derivative settlements (per Mcf)	<u>\$ 2.27</u>	<u>\$ 3.25</u>
Natural gas production sales volumes (MMcf)	76,102	72,842
Per day natural gas production sales volumes (MMcf/d)	208	199

(a) Included in net gain (loss) on derivatives on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

- \$69 million increase in natural gas liquids sales is due to \$53 million related to higher NGL sales prices and \$17 million related to higher production sales volumes for 2017 compared to 2016. The increase in our production sales volumes is due to our Delaware Basin. The following table reflects NGL production prices and volumes for 2017 and 2016.

	<u>Years ended December 31,</u>	
	<u>2017</u>	<u>2016</u>
NGL sales (per barrel)	\$ 22.91	\$ 12.48
NGL production sales volumes (Mbbbls)	5,037	3,645
Per day NGL production sales volumes (Mbbbls/d)	13.8	10.0

- \$210 million favorable change in net gain (loss) on derivatives primarily reflects a favorable change from a loss of \$207 million in 2016 to a gain of \$3 million in 2017. Settlements from our derivatives totaled \$4 million for 2017 and \$302 million for 2016.
- \$152 million decrease in commodity management revenues primarily due to lower natural gas sales volumes. Our volumes were higher in 2016 due in part to a short-term marketing agreement with the buyer of the Piceance Basin operations and the divestment of transportation contracts in the third quarter of 2016 that were related to our former Piceance Basin operations. A similar decrease is reflected in the \$181 million decrease in related commodity management costs and expenses, discussed below. Currently, we do not expect commodity management revenue or expenses to be significant in the future.

Cost and operating expense and operating income (loss) analysis:

	Years ended December 31,		Favorable (Unfavorable) \$ Change	Favorable (Unfavorable) % Change	Per Mboe Expense	
	2017	2016			2017	2016
(Millions)						
Costs and expenses:						
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	\$ 673	\$ 623	\$ (50)	(8)%	\$16.79	\$20.11
Lease and facility operating	218	163	(55)	(34)%	\$5.44	\$5.26
Gathering, processing and transportation	94	76	(18)	(24)%	\$2.34	\$2.45
Taxes other than income	102	60	(42)	(70)%	\$2.55	\$1.94
Exploration	101	42	(59)	(140)%		
General and administrative:						
General and administrative expenses	144	181	37	20 %	\$3.57	\$5.84
Equity based compensation	30	33	3	9 %	\$0.76	\$1.06
Total general and administrative	174	214	40	19 %	\$4.33	\$6.90
Commodity management, including charges for unutilized pipeline capacity	27	208	181	87 %		
Net (gain) loss—sales of assets and divestment of transportation contracts and impairment of producing properties (Note 5)	(111)	22	133	NM		
Other—net	15	16	1	6 %		
Total costs and expenses	\$ 1,293	\$ 1,424	\$ 131	9 %		
Operating income (loss)	\$ 43	\$ (731)	\$ 774	NM		

NM: A percentage calculation is not meaningful due to change in signs, a zero-value denominator or a percentage change greater than 200.

Significant components on our costs and expenses are comprised of the following:

- \$50 million increase in depreciation, depletion and amortization is primarily due to increased production volumes offset by a \$3.32 per Boe decrease in rate which was impacted by an increase in the reserves due to an increase in the 12-month average price and the addition of new wells with lower relative cost per Boe. A portion of the rate decrease is due to ceasing depletion on natural gas-producing properties in the San Juan Basin that were held for sale in the fourth quarter of 2017. Excluding these properties, the rate per Mboe would have been \$18.51 and \$22.98 for 2017 and 2016, respectively.
- \$55 million increase in lease and facility operating expenses primarily related to increased production volumes.
- \$18 million increase in gathering, processing and transportation primarily due higher costs in the San Juan Basin as a result of the sale of the gathering system in March of 2016, a one-time adjustment for disallowed gathering and processing deduction for federal royalties in the San Juan Basin and higher volumes in the Delaware Basin.
- \$42 million increase in taxes other than income primarily relates to increased product revenues.
- \$59 million increase in exploration expenses is primarily due to unproved leasehold property impairment, amortization and expiration in 2017 which includes costs associated with certain expired leases in the Permian Basin in excess of the accumulated amortization balance recorded during first-quarter 2017. These leases were renewed in second-quarter 2017. See Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
- \$40 million decrease in general and administrative expenses primarily due to workforce reductions. In addition, 2016 included \$15 million for severance and relocation costs associated with workforce reductions in third-quarter 2016 and office consolidations. We will continually challenge our levels of general and administrative costs; however, we believe our organizational size is conducive for future growth. Excluding the severance and relocation costs, total general and administrative expenses would have averaged \$6.43 per Boe for 2016.
- \$181 million decrease in commodity management expenses is primarily due to lower natural gas purchase volumes for 2017 compared to 2016. The higher volumes in 2016 were due in part to the marketing of the volumes for the purchaser of our Piceance Basin operations and the divestment of transportation contracts in the third quarter of 2016 that were related to our former Piceance Basin operations. Also included in commodity management expenses for 2016 is \$27 million for unutilized pipeline capacity related to divested transportation contracts.

- \$111 net gain on sales of assets in 2017 primarily related to \$103 million of gains on exchanges of leasehold acreage in the Delaware Basin and \$56 million from recognition of deferred gains related to completion of commitments from prior years dispositions, offset by a \$60 million impairment charge in the third quarter of 2017 related to the San Juan Basin producing properties then held for sale. The \$22 million net loss on sales of assets and divestment of transportation contracts in 2016 is primarily comprised of a \$238 million loss on the divestment of transportation obligations offset by \$217 million of recognized gains related to the sale of the San Juan Basin gathering system. See Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of these items.

*Results below operating income (loss)*

	Years ended December 31,		Favorable (Unfavorable) \$ Change	Favorable (Unfavorable) % Change
	2017	2016		
	(Millions)			
Operating income (loss)	\$ 43	\$ (731)	\$ 774	NM
Interest expense	(188)	(207)	19	9 %
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(17)	(1)	(16)	NM
Investment income and other	3	2	1	50 %
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes	(159)	(937)	778	83 %
Benefit for income taxes	(148)	(325)	(177)	(54)%
Loss from continuing operations	(11)	(612)	601	98 %
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	(5)	11	(16)	NM
Net loss	\$ (16)	\$ (601)	\$ 585	97 %

NM: A percentage calculation is not meaningful due to change in signs, a zero-value denominator or a percentage change greater than 200.

The decrease in interest expense primarily relates to lower level of debt outstanding in 2017 compared to 2016.

In the third quarter of 2017, we issued \$150 million of debt onto our 2024 Notes and extinguished \$150 million of the 2020 Notes. As a result, we recorded a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$17 million in 2017.

The benefit for income taxes for 2017 was less than the benefit in 2016 primarily due to lower pretax loss from continuing operations before income taxes in 2017 compared to 2016 offset by the \$97 million impact to our net deferred tax liability of the enacted tax law change reducing the federal statutory rate from 35 percent to 21 percent. See Note 10 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of the effective tax rates compared to the federal statutory rate for both periods. Our effective rate in future periods may be impacted by a valuation allowance on federal NOL carryovers (see Note 10 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements).

Income from discontinued operations in 2016 included activity from the Piceance Basin which was sold in the second quarter of 2016. In conjunction with our exit from the Powder River Basin in 2015, we recorded a liability in 2015 related to retained future commitments under gathering, processing and transportation contracts in the Powder River Basin. In 2017, we increased the remaining liability for a change in estimate of third-party recoveries of future gathering and processing fees due to recent collectability issues. Other items in 2017 include accretion on the liability for these contracts partially offset by \$10 million of severance tax refunds in the Piceance Basin related to prior years. See Note 3 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

**2016 vs. 2015**
*Revenue Analysis*

	Years ended December 31,		Favorable (Unfavorable) \$ Change	Favorable (Unfavorable) % Change
	2016	2015		
	(Millions)			
Revenues:				
Oil sales	\$ 551	\$ 494	\$ 57	12 %
Natural gas sales	125	138	(13)	(9)%
Natural gas liquid sales	46	23	23	100 %
Total product revenues	722	655	67	10 %
Net gain (loss) on derivatives	(207)	418	(625)	NM
Commodity management	177	286	(109)	(38)%
Other	1	7	(6)	(86)%
Total revenues	\$ 693	\$ 1,366	\$ (673)	(49)%

NM: A percentage calculation is not meaningful due to change in signs, a zero-value denominator or a percentage change greater than 200.

Significant variances in the respective line items of revenues are comprised of the following:

- \$57 million decrease in oil sales reflects \$107 million related to higher production sales volumes partially offset by a \$50 million related to lower sales prices for 2016 compared to 2015. The increase in production sales volumes relates to our Delaware Basin which was acquired on August 17, 2015. The Delaware Basin volumes were 13.0 Mbbls per day for 2016 compared to 3.5 Mbbls per day for 2015. Delaware Basin volumes from the RKI acquisition date to December 31, 2015 were 9.2 Mbbls per day. The following table reflects oil and condensate production prices and volumes for 2016 and 2015.

	Years ended December 31,	
	2016	2015
Oil sales (per barrel)	\$ 36.31	\$ 39.61
Impact of net cash received (paid) related to settlement of derivatives (per barrel)(a)	12.50	31.21
Oil net price including all derivative settlements (per barrel)	\$ 48.81	\$ 70.82
Oil production sales volumes (Mbbls)	15,178	12,479
Per day oil production sales volumes (Mbbls/d)	41.5	34.2

(a) Included in net gain (loss) on derivatives on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

- \$13 million decrease in natural gas sales reflects \$27 million related to lower sales prices offset by a \$14 million increase related to higher production sales volumes for 2016 compared to 2015. The increase in our production sales volumes is due to our Delaware Basin which was acquired on August 17, 2015. The following table reflects natural gas production prices and volumes for 2016 and 2015.

	Years ended December 31,	
	2016	2015
Natural gas sales (per Mcf)	\$ 1.72	\$ 2.08
Impact of net cash received (paid) related to settlement of derivatives (per Mcf)(a)	1.53	3.93
Natural gas net price including all derivative settlements (per Mcf)	\$ 3.25	\$ 6.01
Natural gas production sales volumes (MMcf)	72,842	66,187
Per day natural gas production sales volumes (MMcf/d)	199	181

(a) Included in net gain (loss) on derivatives on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

- \$23 million decrease in natural gas liquids sales is due to \$12 million related to higher production sales volumes and \$11 million related to higher NGL sales prices for 2016 compared to 2015. The following table reflects NGL production prices and volumes for 2016 and 2015.

	Years ended December 31,	
	2016	2015
NGL sales (per barrel)	\$ 12.48	\$ 9.39
NGL production sales volumes (Mbbls)	3,645	2,412
Per day NGL production sales volumes (Mbbls/d)	10.0	6.6

- \$625 million unfavorable change in net gain (loss) on derivatives primarily reflects an unfavorable change from a gain of \$418 million in 2015 to a loss of \$207 million in 2016. Settlements from our derivatives totaled \$302 million for 2016 and net settlements were \$617 million for 2015.
- \$109 million decrease in commodity management revenues primarily due to lower average prices on physical natural gas sales as well as lower natural gas and crude sales volumes. The decrease in volumes primarily resulted from reduced activity following the sale of a package of marketing contracts in the second quarter of 2015 and release of certain related firm transportation capacity in the first and second quarters of 2015 (see Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements). The decrease in volumes was partially offset by the sale of production volumes pursuant to a short-term marketing agreement with the buyer of the Piceance Basin operations. This agreement ended June 30, 2016. The decrease in the sales price was greater than the decrease in the purchase price as reflected in the \$53 million decrease in related commodity management costs and expenses, discussed below.

*Cost and operating expense and operating income (loss) analysis:*

	Years ended December 31,		Favorable (Unfavorable) \$ Change	Favorable (Unfavorable) % Change	Per Mboe Expense	
	2016	2015			2016	2015
	(Millions)					
Costs and expenses:						
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	\$ 623	\$ 528	\$ (95)	(18)%	\$ 20.11	\$ 20.39
Lease and facility operating	163	145	(18)	(12)%	\$ 5.26	\$ 5.59
Gathering, processing and transportation	76	64	(12)	(19)%	\$ 2.45	\$ 2.48
Taxes other than income	60	62	2	3 %	\$ 1.94	\$ 2.38
Exploration	42	85	43	51 %		
General and administrative:						
General and administrative expenses	181	179	(2)	(1)%	\$ 5.84	\$ 6.95
Equity based compensation	33	31	(2)	(6)%	\$ 1.06	\$ 1.17
Total general and administrative	214	210	(4)	(2)%	\$ 6.90	\$ 8.12
Commodity management, including charges for unutilized pipeline capacity	208	261	53	20 %		
Net (gain) loss—sales of assets and divestment of transportation contracts and impairment of producing properties (Note 5)	22	(349)	(371)	NM		
Acquisition costs	—	23	23	100 %		
Other—net	16	63	47	75 %		
Total costs and expenses	\$ 1,424	\$ 1,092	\$ (332)	(30)%		
Operating income (loss)	\$ (731)	\$ 274	\$ (1,005)	NM		

NM: A percentage calculation is not meaningful due to change in signs, a zero-value denominator or a percentage change greater than 200.

Significant components on our costs and expenses are comprised of the following:



- \$95 million increase in depreciation, depletion and amortization is primarily due to higher volumes in our Delaware Basin, which was acquired on August 17, 2015, partially offset by a lower rate per Boe and lower volumes in the Williston Basin in 2016.
- \$18 million increase in lease and facility operating expenses primarily related to our Delaware Basin which was acquired on August 17, 2015 partially offset by reduced costs across our basins. Lease and facility operating expenses for 2016 and 2015 included \$67 million and \$25 million, respectively, from our Delaware Basin.
- \$12 million increase in gathering, processing and transportation expenses is primarily due to the sale of our San Juan Basin and Williston Basin gathering systems.
- \$43 million decrease in exploration expenses is primarily due to 2015 dry hole costs, impairments of exploratory area well costs and unproved leasehold property impairment, amortization and expiration related to a non-core exploratory play where we no longer intend to continue exploration activities.
- General and administrative expenses for both 2016 and 2015 include \$15 million for severance and relocation costs associated with workforce reductions and office consolidations. We will continually challenge our levels of general and administrative costs; however, we believe our organizational size is conducive for future growth. Excluding the severance and relocation costs in 2016 and 2015, general and administrative expenses would have averaged \$6.43 per Boe for 2016 and \$7.52 per Boe for 2015.
- \$53 million decrease in commodity management expenses is primarily due to lower purchase volumes, as well as lower average prices on physical natural gas cost of sales for 2016 compared to 2015. The decrease in volumes primarily resulted from reduced activity following the sale of a package of marketing contracts in the second quarter of 2015 and release of certain related firm transportation capacity in the first and second quarters of 2015 (see Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements). The decrease in volumes is partially offset by the sale of production volumes pursuant to our purchase agreement with the buyer of the Piceance Basin operations. This agreement ended June 30, 2016. Also included in commodity management expenses are \$27 million and \$38 million, respectively, for 2016 and 2015 for unutilized pipeline capacity.
- \$22 million net loss on sales of assets and divestment of transportation contracts in 2016 primarily comprised of a \$238 million loss on the divestment of transportation obligations offset by \$217 million of recognized gains related to the sale of the San Juan Basin gathering system. The \$349 million net gain in 2015 primarily related to a \$209 million gain on the sale of a package of marketing contracts and release of certain related firm transportation capacity in the second quarter of 2015, \$70 million from the sale of a North Dakota gathering system in the fourth quarter of 2015 and a net gain of \$69 million on the sale of a portion of our Appalachian Basin assets in the first quarter of 2015. (See Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of these sales).
- \$23 million of acquisition costs in 2015 related to the acquisition of RKI (see Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements).
- \$47 million decrease in other expenses primarily relates to a \$22 million charge associated with a contract termination in the first quarter of 2015 and a \$23 million charge associated with gathering obligations in an area of the Appalachian Basin where we plugged and abandoned our remaining wells in the fourth quarter of 2015 (see Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements).

Results below operating income (loss)

	Years ended December 31,		Favorable (Unfavorable) \$ Change	Favorable (Unfavorable) % Change
	2016	2015		
	(Millions)			
Operating income (loss)	\$ (731)	\$ 274	\$ (1,005)	NM
Interest expense	(207)	(187)	(20)	(11)%
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(1)	(65)	64	98 %
Investment income and other	2	(2)	4	NM
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	(937)	20	(957)	NM
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(325)	24	349	NM
Loss from continuing operations	(612)	(4)	(608)	NM
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	11	(1,722)	1,733	NM
Net loss	(601)	(1,726)	1,125	65 %
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	1	(1)	(100)%
Net income (loss) attributable to WPX Energy, Inc.	\$ (601)	\$ (1,727)	\$ 1,126	65 %

NM: A percentage calculation is not meaningful due to change in signs, a zero-value denominator or a percentage change greater than 200.

The increase in interest expense primarily relates to a full year of interest on the notes issued in the third quarter of 2015, partially offset by the absence in 2016 of \$16 million of fees expensed in 2015 associated with acquisition bridge financing arrangements related to the RKI Acquisition.

The loss on extinguishment of debt in 2015 related to the satisfaction and discharge of RKI's senior notes, including a make whole premium, at the time of the closing of the RKI Acquisition (see Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements).

The provision (benefit) for income taxes changed favorably due to a pretax loss from continuing operations before income taxes in 2016 compared to income for 2015. See Note 10 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of the effective tax rates compared to the federal statutory rate for both periods.

The change in income (loss) from discontinued operations was primarily due to the Piceance Basin operations, which includes a \$2.3 billion impairment in 2015, the completion of the sale of Apco in first-quarter 2015, a \$15 million loss on the sale of the Powder River Basin and \$187 million of expense recorded upon the exit of the Powder River Basin related to obligations under pipeline capacity, gathering and treating agreements, partially offset by \$13 million received from the settlement of the escrow from a previous sales contract for the Powder River Basin assets for 2015 (see Note 3 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements).

## Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Liquidity

### Overview and Liquidity

We expect our capital structure will provide us financial flexibility to meet our requirements for working capital and capital expenditures while maintaining a sufficient level of liquidity. Our primary sources of liquidity in 2018 are cash on hand, expected cash flows from operations, anticipated proceeds from the sales of the remaining San Juan Basin properties and non-core assets, and, if necessary, borrowings on our credit facility. We anticipate that the combination of these sources should be sufficient to allow us to pursue our business strategy and goals through at least 2018. Additional sources of liquidity, if needed and if available, include proceeds from asset sales, bank financings and proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt and equity securities. In addition, we will further reduce debt and/or interest expense by seeking to retire, purchase or exchange our outstanding debt through cash purchases and/or exchanges for equity or debt securities, in open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. Such repurchases or exchanges, if any, will depend on prevailing market conditions, our liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors.

We note the following assumptions for 2018 capital expenditures:

- our planned capital expenditures, excluding acquisitions, are estimated to be approximately \$1,160 million to \$1,310 million of which \$1,040 million to \$1,110 million relates to drilling and completions, including facilities; and

- we have hedged a portion of our anticipated 2018 and 2019 oil and gas production as disclosed in Commodity Price Risk Management following this section.

Potential risks associated with our planned levels of liquidity and the planned capital and investment expenditures discussed above include:

- lower than expected levels of cash flow from operations, primarily resulting from lower energy commodity prices or inflation on operating costs;
- lower than anticipated proceeds from asset sales;
- significantly lower than expected capital expenditures could result in the loss of undeveloped leasehold;
- reduced access to our credit facility pursuant to our financial covenants; and
- higher than expected development costs, including the impact of inflation.

### **Credit Facility**

On March 18, 2016, the Company entered into a Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, Lender and Swingline Lender and the other lenders party thereto (the “Credit Facility”). The Credit Facility is a senior secured revolving credit facility with \$1.2 billion in commitments and a maturity date of October 28, 2019. The Borrowing Base was increased to \$1.5 billion in October 2017 and is in excess of commitments which remained at \$1.2 billion. This Borrowing Base will remain in effect until the next Redetermination Date as set forth in the Credit Facility. The financial covenants in the Credit Facility may limit our ability to borrow money, depending on the applicable financial metrics at any given time. For additional information regarding the terms of our Credit Facility see Note 9 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. As of December 31, 2017, WPX had no borrowings outstanding, had \$70 million of letters of credit issued under the Credit Facility and was in compliance with our financial covenants under the credit agreement. Our unused borrowing availability was \$1,130 million as of December 31, 2017.

### **Commodity Price Risk Management**

To manage the commodity price risk and volatility of owning producing oil and gas properties, we enter into derivative contracts for a portion of our future production (see Note 16 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements). We chose not to designate our derivative contracts associated with our future production as cash flow hedges for accounting purposes. We have the following contracts as of February 21, 2018 shown at weighted average volumes and basin-level weighted average prices:

<b>Crude Oil</b>	<b>2018</b>		<b>2019</b>	
	<b>Volume (Bbls/d)</b>	<b>Weighted Average Price (\$/Bbl)</b>	<b>Volume (Bbls/d)</b>	<b>Weighted Average Price (\$/Bbl)</b>
Fixed Price Swaps—WTI	56,979	\$52.72	34,000	\$52.30
Fixed Price Calls—WTI	13,000	\$58.89	5,000	\$54.08
Basis Swaps—Midland	14,496	\$(0.86)	20,000	\$(0.93)
Basis Swaps—Nymex Calendar Monthly Avg Roll	20,000	\$0.03	20,000	\$0.11

<b>Natural Gas</b>	<b>2018</b>		<b>2019</b>	
	<b>Volume (BBtu/d)</b>	<b>Weighted Average Price (\$/MMBtu)</b>	<b>Volume (BBtu/d)</b>	<b>Weighted Average Price (\$/MMBtu)</b>
Fixed Price Swaps—Henry Hub	132	\$2.99	50	\$2.88
Fixed Price Calls—Henry Hub	16	\$4.75	—	\$—
Basis Swaps—San Juan	23	\$(0.01)	—	\$—
Basis Swaps—Permian	48	\$(0.31)	25	\$(0.39)
Basis Swaps—Waha	15	\$0.93	45	\$0.07
Basis Swaps—Houston Ship Channel	43	\$(0.08)	30	\$(0.09)

<b>Natural Gas Liquids</b>	<b>2018</b>		<b>2019</b>	
	<b>Volume (Bbls/d)</b>	<b>Weighted Average Price (\$/Gal)</b>	<b>Volume (Bbls/d)</b>	<b>Weighted Average Price (\$/Gal)</b>
Fixed Price Swaps—Ethane-Mont Belvieu	3,078	\$0.29	—	\$—
Fixed Price Swaps—Propane-Conway	900	\$0.79	—	\$—
Fixed Price Swaps—Propane-Mont Belvieu	3,604	\$0.80	—	\$—
Fixed Price Swaps—Iso Butane-Mont Belvieu	651	\$0.91	—	\$—
Fixed Price Swaps—Normal Butane-Mont Belvieu	1,701	\$0.90	—	\$—
Fixed Price Swaps—Natural Gasoline-Mont Belvieu	1,401	\$1.31	—	\$—

### Credit Ratings

Our ability to borrow money will be impacted by several factors, including our credit ratings. Credit ratings agencies perform independent analysis when assigning credit ratings. While not a current factor related to our credit facility, a downgrade of our current rating could increase our future cost of borrowing, thereby negatively affecting our available liquidity. The ratings as of the date of this filing were as follows:

Standard and Poor's		
Corporate Credit Rating		BB-
Senior Unsecured Debt Rating		BB-
Outlook		Stable
Moody's Investors Service		
LT Corporate Family Rating		B2
Senior Unsecured Debt Rating		B3
Outlook		Stable

### Sources (Uses) of Cash

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
	(Millions)		
Net cash provided (used) by:			
Operating activities	\$ 507	\$ 268	\$ 819
Investing activities	(1,438)	310	(1,316)
Financing activities	624	(120)	465
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$ (307)	\$ 458	\$ (32)

#### Operating activities

Net cash provided by operating activities increased in 2017 from 2016 primarily due to higher commodity prices and higher production volumes in 2017, partially offset by lower realizations on our derivatives and higher operating costs.

Our net cash provided by operating activities decreased in 2016 from 2015 primarily due to decreases of \$315 million in net settlements received on derivatives and a decrease in cash provided by discontinued operations.

Excluding changes in working capital, total cash provided by operating activities related to discontinued operations was approximately \$25 million and \$187 million for 2016 and 2015, respectively. Cash outflows related to previous accruals for Powder River Basin gathering and transportation contracts retained were \$53 million, \$53 million and \$14 million for 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

## Investing activities

The table below includes cash and incurred capital expenditures for drilling and completions and capital expenditures for land acquisitions.

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
	(Millions)		
Cash capital expenditures for drilling and completions:			
Continuing operations	\$ 981	\$ 431	\$ 730
Discontinued operations	—	29	234
Total	<u>\$ 981</u>	<u>\$ 460</u>	<u>\$ 964</u>
Capital expenditures incurred for drilling and completions:			
Continuing operations	\$ 1,048	\$ 450	\$ 563
Discontinued operations	—	22	170
Total	<u>\$ 1,048</u>	<u>\$ 472</u>	<u>\$ 733</u>
Land acquisitions	\$ 65	\$ 85	\$ 59

Significant components related to proceeds from the sale of our domestic assets and international interests are comprised of the following:

### 2017

- \$155 million related to the sale of our natural gas-producing properties in the San Juan Basin (see Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements). We anticipate receiving approximately \$21 million upon post-closings.

### 2016

- \$862 million for the sale of WPX Energy Rocky Mountain, LLC that held our Piceance Basin operations to Terra Energy Partners, LLC (see Note 3 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements); and
- \$280 million for the sale of our San Juan Basin gathering system during the first quarter of 2016 (see Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements).

### 2015

- \$291 million after selling expenses but before \$17 million of cash on hand at Apco as of the closing date, for the divestiture of our 69 percent controlling equity interest in Apco and additional Argentina-related assets to Pluspetrol (see Note 3 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements);
- \$271 million for the sale of a portion of our Appalachian Basin operations and release of certain firm transportation capacity to Southwestern Energy Company during the first quarter of 2015 (see Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements);
- \$182 million for the sale of a North Dakota gathering system that closed during the fourth quarter of 2015 (see Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements); and
- \$67 million for the sale of our Powder River Basin assets during fourth quarter of 2015 (see Note 3 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements).

Cash provided by investing activities in 2016 was impacted by a \$238 million divestment of certain transportation contracts (see Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements). Cash used by investing activities in 2015 includes \$209 million for the sale of a package of marketing contracts and release of certain related firm transportation capacity in the Northeast during May 2015 (see Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements).

Net cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2017 includes \$798 million related to the Panther Acquisition. During 2015, we successfully closed the purchase of RKI and paid approximately \$1.2 billion in cash, net of cash acquired and net of certain distributions. See Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Investing activities in 2017 includes net proceeds of \$338 million from the formation of the joint venture with Howard (see Note 6 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements).

## *Financing activities*

The following are significant financing activities by year:

### *2017*

- In January 2017, we completed an equity offering of 51.675 million shares for net proceeds of approximately \$670 million in conjunction with the Panther Acquisition.
- \$15 million of preferred stock dividends; and
- \$148 million of net proceeds related to the issuance of additional notes due 2024 partially offset by a payment of \$165 million, including premium, to repurchase some of our 2020 Senior Notes.

### *2016*

- In June 2016, we completed an equity offering of 56.925 million shares of our common stock for net proceeds of approximately \$538 million;
- net repayments under the Credit Facility of \$265 million;
- \$355 million repayment of our Senior Notes due 2017;
- \$18 million of preferred stock dividends; and
- \$10 million of cash paid as an inducement for the conversion of a portion of outstanding preferred stock to common stock.

### *2015*

- Equity offerings of (a) 30 million shares of our common stock for net proceeds of approximately \$292 million and (b) \$350 million of aggregate liquidation preference of 6.25% series A mandatory convertible preferred stock for net proceeds of approximately \$339 million (see Note 14 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements);
- debt offerings of (a) \$500 million aggregate principal amount of 7.500% senior unsecured notes due 2020 and (b) \$500 million aggregate principal amount of 8.250% senior unsecured notes due 2023 (see Note 9 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements);
- payment of long term debt includes cash used to retire \$600 million of outstanding debt on RKI's revolving credit facility and \$455 million for the satisfaction and discharge of RKI's senior notes which includes a \$55 million make-whole premium;
- net payments under the Credit Facility of \$15 million. In August 2015, we utilized borrowings under the Credit Facility for the RKI Acquisition; and
- \$40 million in payments for debt issuance costs and acquisition bridge financing fees for the debt offerings and revolver amendments.

## ***Off-Balance Sheet Financing Arrangements***

We had no guarantees of off-balance sheet debt to third parties or any other off-balance sheet arrangements at December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 .

### Contractual Obligations

The table below summarizes the maturity dates of our contractual obligations at December 31, 2017.

	2018	2019 – 2020	2021 – 2022	Thereafter	Total
(Millions)					
Long-term debt, including current portion:					
Principal	\$ —	\$ 350	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,150	\$ 2,600
Interest	168	335	250	109	862
Operating leases and associated service commitments:					
Drilling rig commitments(a)	16	—	—	—	16
Other	15	10	5	—	30
Transportation commitments(b)	55	117	77	279	528
Oil and gas activities(c)	137	213	173	223	746
Financial derivatives(d)	191	84	—	—	275
Other	11	10	2	1	24
Total obligations	<u>\$ 593</u>	<u>\$ 1,119</u>	<u>\$ 1,607</u>	<u>\$ 1,762</u>	<u>\$ 5,081</u>

(a) Includes materials and services obligations associated with our drilling rig contracts.

(b) Includes firm demand obligations of \$75 million of which \$67 million is recorded as a liability as of December 31, 2017. A liability was recorded in 2015 in conjunction with our exit from the Powder River Basin (see Note 3 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements). Excludes additional commitments totaling \$137 million associated with exercise of an option to acquire additional pipeline capacity in the Delaware Basin subsequent to year end.

(c) Includes gathering, processing and other oil and gas related services commitments of which \$34 million is recorded as a liability as of December 31, 2017. Liabilities were recorded in 2015 in conjunction with our exit from the Powder River Basin and associated with an abandoned area in the Appalachian Basin. Approximately \$317 million associated with our San Juan Basin operations will be assumed by the purchaser (see Note 17 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements). Excluded are liabilities associated with asset retirement obligations totaling \$15 million as of December 31, 2017. The ultimate settlement and timing of asset retirement obligations cannot be precisely determined in advance; however, we estimate that approximately 35 percent of this liability will be settled in the next five years.

(d) Obligations for financial derivatives are based on market information as of December 31, 2017, and assume contracts remain outstanding for their full contractual duration. Because market information changes daily and is subject to volatility, significant changes to the values in this category may occur.

### Effects of Inflation

Although the impact of inflation has been insignificant in recent years, it is still a factor in the United States economy. Operating costs are influenced by both competition for specialized services and specific price changes in oil, natural gas, NGLs and other commodities. We tend to experience inflationary pressure on the cost of services and equipment when higher oil and gas prices cause an increase in drilling activity in our areas of operation. Likewise, lower prices and reduced drilling activity may lower the costs of services and equipment.

### Environmental

Our operations are subject to governmental laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment, and increasingly strict laws, regulations and enforcement policies, as well as future additional environmental requirements, could materially increase our costs of operation, compliance and any remediation that may become necessary.

### Critical Accounting Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. We believe that the nature of these estimates and assumptions is material due to the subjectivity and judgment necessary, the susceptibility of such matters to change, and the impact of these on our financial condition or results of operations.

In our management's opinion, the more significant reporting areas impacted by management's judgments and estimates are as follows:

### ***Successful Efforts Method of Accounting for Oil and Gas Exploration and Production Activities***

We use the successful efforts method of accounting for our oil- and gas-producing activities. Estimated oil and natural gas reserves and estimated market prices for oil and gas are a significant part of our financial calculations. Following are examples of how these estimates affect financial results:

- an increase (decrease) in estimated proved oil, natural gas and NGL reserves can reduce (increase) our unit-of-production depreciation, depletion and amortization rates; and
- changes in oil, natural gas, and NGL reserves and estimated market prices both impact projected future cash flows from our properties. This, in turn, can impact our periodic impairment analyses.

The process of estimating oil and natural gas reserves is very complex, requiring significant judgment in the evaluation of all available geological, geophysical, engineering and economic data. After being estimated internally, approximately 99 percent of our reserves estimates are audited by independent experts. The data may change substantially over time as a result of numerous factors, including the historical 12 month weighted average price, additional development cost and activity, evolving production history and a continual reassessment of the viability of production under changing economic conditions. As a result, material revisions to existing reserves estimates could occur from time to time. Such changes could trigger an impairment of our oil and gas properties and have an impact on our depreciation, depletion and amortization expense prospectively. For example, a change of approximately 10 percent in our total oil and gas reserves could change our annual depreciation, depletion and amortization expense between approximately \$59 million and \$72 million. The actual impact would depend on the specific basins impacted and whether the change resulted from proved developed, proved undeveloped or a combination of these reserves categories.

Estimates of future commodity prices, which are utilized in our impairment analyses, consider market information including published forward oil and natural gas prices. The forecasted price information used in our impairment analyses is consistent with that generally used in evaluating our drilling decisions and acquisition plans. Prices for future periods impact the production economics underlying oil and gas reserve estimates. In addition, changes in the price of oil and natural gas also impact certain costs associated with our underlying production and future capital costs. The prices of oil and natural gas are volatile and change from period to period, thus impacting our estimates. Significant unfavorable changes in the estimated future commodity prices could result in an impairment of our oil and gas properties. See impairments of long-lived assets below.

We record the cost of leasehold acquisitions as incurred. Individually significant lease acquisition costs are assessed annually, or as conditions warrant, for impairment considering our future drilling plans, the remaining lease term and recent drilling results. Lease acquisition costs that are not individually significant are aggregated by prospect or geographically, and the portion of such costs estimated to be nonproductive prior to lease expiration is amortized over the average holding period. Changes in our assumptions regarding the estimates of the nonproductive portion of these leasehold acquisitions could result in impairment of these costs. Upon determination that specific acreage will not be developed, the costs associated with that acreage would be impaired. Additionally, our leasehold costs are evaluated for impairment if the proved property costs in the basin are impaired. Our capitalized lease acquisition costs totaled \$2.2 billion at December 31, 2017 and is primarily associated with our Delaware Basin acreage.

### ***Impairments of Long-Lived Assets***

We evaluate our long-lived assets for impairment when we believe events or changes in circumstances indicate that we may not be able to recover the carrying value. When an indicator of impairment has occurred, we compare our estimate of undiscounted cash flows attributable to the assets to the carrying value of the assets to determine if an impairment has occurred. If an impairment has occurred, we determine the amount of impairment by estimating the fair value of the assets. Our computations utilize judgments and assumptions that include estimates of the undiscounted future cash flows, discounted future cash flows, estimated fair value of the asset, and the current and future economic environment in which the asset is operated.

We assess our proved properties for impairment using estimates of future undiscounted cash flows. Significant judgments and assumptions are inherent in these assessments and include estimates of reserves quantities, estimates of future commodity prices (developed in consideration of market information, internal forecasts and published forward prices adjusted for locational basis differentials), drilling plans, expected capital and lease operating costs. The assessment performed as of December 31, 2017 did not identify any properties with a carrying value in excess of those estimated undiscounted cash flows. Therefore, no impairment charges were recorded in 2017 based on this assessment.

The assessments described above included approximately \$5.2 billion of net book value associated with our predominantly oil proved properties. Many judgments and assumptions are inherent and to some extent interdependent of one another in our estimate of future cash flows used to evaluate these assets. The use of alternate judgments and assumptions could result in the recognition of different levels of impairment charges in the consolidated financial statements. As previously noted



within “Successful Efforts Method of Accounting for Oil and Gas Exploration and Production Activities”, estimated natural gas and oil reserves and estimated market prices for oil and gas are a significant part of our impairment analysis. Commodity prices are significantly volatile and prices for a barrel of oil ranged from over \$100 per barrel to less than \$30 per barrel for a brief time over the past five years. Our forecasted price assumptions reflect a long-term view of pricing but also consider current prices and are consistent with pricing assumptions generally used in evaluating our drilling decisions and acquisition plans. Over 47 percent of our future production considered in the impairment assessment is in years 2023 and beyond. If the estimated commodity revenues (only one of the many estimates involved) of the predominately oil proved properties reviewed but for which impairment charges were not recorded were lower by 20 to 30 percent, these properties could be at risk for impairment. Because of the uncertainty inherent in these factors, we cannot predict when or if future impairment charges will be recorded. If impairments were required, the charges could be significant.

#### ***Valuation of Deferred Tax Assets and Liabilities***

We record deferred taxes for the differences between the tax and book basis of our assets and liabilities as well as loss or credit carryovers to future years. Included in our deferred taxes are deferred tax assets primarily resulting from certain federal and state tax loss carryovers generated in the current and prior years, capital loss carryovers and alternative minimum tax credits. We must periodically evaluate whether it is more likely than not we will realize these deferred tax assets and establish a valuation allowance for those that do not meet the more likely than not threshold. When assessing the need for a valuation allowance, we primarily consider future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences. To a lesser extent, we may also consider future taxable income exclusive of reversing temporary differences and carryovers, and tax-planning strategies that would, if necessary, be implemented to accelerate taxable amounts to utilize expiring carryovers. The ultimate amount of deferred tax assets realized could be materially different from those recorded, as influenced by future operational performance, potential changes in jurisdictional income tax laws and other circumstances surrounding the actual realization of related tax assets.

As of December 31, 2017, our assessment of federal net operating loss carryovers was that no valuation allowance was required, however, a future pretax loss or limitations due to an ownership change may result in the need for a valuation allowance on our deferred tax assets.

The determination of our state deferred tax requires judgment as our effective state deferred tax rate can change periodically based on changes in our operations. Our effective state deferred tax rate is based upon our current entity structure and the jurisdictions in which we operate.

#### ***Purchase Accounting***

We periodically acquire assets and assume liabilities in transactions accounted for as business combinations, such as the RKI and Panther acquisitions. In connection with a business combination, we must allocate the fair value of consideration given to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on estimated fair values as of the acquisition date. Deferred taxes must be recorded for any differences between the assigned values and tax bases of the acquired assets and assumed liabilities. Any excess or shortage of amounts assigned to assets and liabilities over or under the purchase price is recorded as a gain on bargain purchase or goodwill. The amount of goodwill or gain on bargain purchase recorded in any particular business combination can vary significantly depending upon the values attributed to assets acquired and liabilities assumed. In addition, estimates of fair value may not be completed as of the filing date and therefore, adjustments to the purchase price allocation would be finalized in future periods, not to exceed one year from the acquisition date.

In estimating the fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination, we must make various assumptions. The most significant assumptions relate to the estimated fair values assigned to proved and unproved oil and gas properties and gathering assets. If sufficient market data is not available regarding the fair values of proved and unproved properties, we must prepare estimates and/or engage the assistance of valuation experts. Significant judgments and assumptions are inherent in these estimates and include estimates of reserves quantities, estimates of future commodity prices (developed in consideration of market information, internal forecasts and published forward prices adjusted for locational basis differentials), drilling plans, expected capital and lease operating costs and our estimate of an applicable discount rate commensurate with the risk of the underlying cash flow estimates.

In many cases, estimated deferred taxes are based on available information concerning the tax bases of assets acquired and liabilities assumed and loss carryovers at the acquisition date, although such estimates may change in the future as additional information becomes known.

Estimated fair values assigned to assets acquired can have a significant effect on results of operations in the future. A higher fair value assigned to a property results in higher depreciation, depletion and amortization expense, which results in lower net earnings or a higher net loss. A lower fair value assigned to property and related deferred taxes may result in the recording of goodwill. Fair values are based on estimates of future commodity prices, reserves quantities, operating expenses

and development costs. This increases the likelihood of impairment if future commodity prices or reserves quantities are lower than those originally used to determine fair value, or if future operating expenses or development costs are higher than those originally used to determine fair value. Impairment would have no effect on cash flows but would result in a decrease in net income or increase in net loss for the period in which the impairment is recorded. See Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding our purchase price allocations.

### ***Fair Value Measurements***

A small portion of our energy derivative assets and liabilities trade in markets with limited availability of pricing information requiring us to use unobservable inputs and are considered Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. For Level 2 transactions, we do not make significant adjustments to observable prices in measuring fair value as we do not generally trade in inactive markets.

The determination of fair value for our energy derivative assets and liabilities also incorporates the time value of money and various credit risk factors which can include the credit standing of the counterparties involved, master netting arrangements, the impact of credit enhancements (such as cash collateral posted and letters of credit) and our nonperformance risk on our energy derivative liabilities. The determination of the fair value of our energy derivative liabilities does not consider noncash collateral credit enhancements. For net derivative assets, we apply a credit spread, based on the credit rating of the counterparty, against the net derivative asset with that counterparty. For net derivative liabilities, we apply our own credit rating. We derive the credit spreads by using the corporate industrial credit curves for each rating category and building a curve based on certain points in time for each rating category. The spread comes from the discount factor of the individual corporate curves versus the discount factor of the LIBOR curve. At December 31, 2017, the credit reserve is \$4 million on our net derivative assets and net derivative liabilities. Considering these factors and that we do not have significant risk from our net credit exposure to derivative counterparties, the impact of credit risk is not significant to the overall fair value of our derivatives portfolio.

At December 31, 2017, 75 percent of the fair value of our derivatives portfolio expires in the next 12 months and more than 99 percent expires in the next 24 months. Our derivatives portfolio is largely comprised of exchange-traded products or like products where price transparency has not historically been a concern. Due to the nature of the markets in which we transact and the relatively short tenure of our derivatives portfolio, we do not believe it is necessary to make an adjustment for illiquidity. We regularly analyze the liquidity of the markets based on the prevalence of broker pricing and exchange pricing for products in our derivatives portfolio.

There were no instruments included in Level 3 at December 31, 2017.

For the year ended December 31, 2017, we recognized an impairment on natural gas-producing properties held for sale in the San Juan Basin as a result of comparing our book value to the estimated fair value, less costs to sell, based on the probability-weighted cash flows of expected sales proceeds. In conjunction with exchanges of leasehold, we estimated the fair value of the leasehold through discounted cash flow models and consideration of market data. See Note 15 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

For the year ended December 31, 2015, we recognized impairments of certain assets that were measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. These impairment measurements are included in Level 3 as they include significant unobservable inputs, such as our estimate of future cash flows and the probabilities of alternative scenarios. See Note 15 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

### ***Contingent Liabilities***

We record liabilities for estimated loss contingencies, including royalty litigation, environmental and other contingent matters, when we assess that a loss is probable and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Revisions to contingent liabilities are generally reflected in income when new or different facts or information become known or circumstances change that affect the previous assumptions with respect to the likelihood or amount of loss. Liabilities for contingent losses are based upon our assumptions and estimates and upon advice of legal counsel, engineers or other third parties regarding the probable outcomes of the matter. As new developments occur or more information becomes available, our assumptions and estimates of these liabilities may change. Changes in our assumptions and estimates or outcomes different from our current assumptions and estimates could materially affect future results of operations for any particular quarterly or annual period. See Note 11 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## **Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

### ***Interest Rate Risk***

Our interest rate risk exposure is related primarily to our debt portfolio. Our senior notes are fixed rate debt in order to mitigate the impact of fluctuations in interest rates. For our fixed rate debt, \$350 million matures in 2020, \$1,100 million matures in 2022, \$500 million matures in 2023 and \$650 million matures in 2024. Interest rates for each group are 7.50 percent, 6.00 percent, 8.25 percent and 5.25 percent, respectively. The aggregate fair value of the senior notes is \$2,746 million. Borrowings under our credit facility are based on a variable interest rate and could expose us to the risk of increasing interest rates. As of December 31, 2017, we had no amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility Agreement. See Note 9 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

### ***Commodity Price Risk***

We are exposed to the impact of fluctuations in the market price of oil, natural gas and NGLs, as well as other market factors, such as market volatility and energy commodity price correlations. We are exposed to these risks in connection with our owned energy-related assets, our long-term energy-related contracts and our marketing trading activities. We manage the risks associated with these market fluctuations using various derivatives and nonderivative energy-related contracts. The fair value of derivative contracts is subject to many factors, including changes in energy commodity market prices, the liquidity and volatility of the markets in which the contracts are transacted and changes in interest rates. See Notes 15 and 16 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

We measure the risk in our portfolios using a value-at-risk methodology to estimate the potential one-day loss from adverse changes in the fair value of the portfolios. Value at risk requires a number of key assumptions and is not necessarily representative of actual losses in fair value that could be incurred from the portfolios. Our value-at-risk model uses a Monte Carlo method to simulate hypothetical movements in future market prices and assumes that, as a result of changes in commodity prices, there is a 95 percent probability that the one-day loss in fair value of the portfolios will not exceed the value at risk. The simulation method uses historical correlations and market forward prices and volatilities. In applying the value-at-risk methodology, we do not consider that the simulated hypothetical movements affect the positions or would cause any potential liquidity issues, nor do we consider that changing the portfolios in response to market conditions could affect market prices and could take longer than a one-day holding period to execute. While a one-day holding period has historically been the industry standard, a longer holding period could more accurately represent the true market risk given market liquidity and our own credit and liquidity constraints.

We segregate our derivative contracts into trading and nontrading contracts, as defined in the following paragraphs. We calculate value at risk separately for these two categories. Contracts designated as normal purchases or sales and nonderivative energy contracts have been excluded from our estimation of value at risk.

We have policies and procedures that govern our trading and risk management activities. These policies cover authority and delegation thereof in addition to control requirements, authorized commodities and term and exposure limitations. Value-at-risk is limited in aggregate and calculated at a 95 percent confidence level.

### ***Trading***

We currently have entered into no other derivative contracts for purposes other than economically hedging our commodity price-risk exposure.

### ***Nontrading***

Our nontrading portfolio consists of derivative contracts that hedge or could potentially hedge the price risk exposure from our energy commodity purchases and sales. The fair value of our derivatives not designated as hedging instruments was a net liability of \$177 million at both December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

The value at risk for derivative contracts held for nontrading purposes was \$56 million at December 31, 2017, and \$47 million at December 31, 2016. During the year ended December 31, 2017, our value at risk for these contracts ranged from a high of \$56 million to a low of \$33 million. The increase in value at risk from December 31, 2016 primarily reflects additional positions entered into to economically hedge our equity production.

**Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data**

**MANAGEMENT'S ANNUAL REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER  
FINANCIAL REPORTING**

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a—15(f) and 15d—15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Our internal controls over financial reporting are designed to provide reasonable assurance to our management and Board of Directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorization of our management and Board of Directors; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements.

All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations including the possibility of human error and the circumvention or overriding of controls. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017, based on the criteria set forth in 2013 by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (“COSO”) in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework*. Based on our assessment, we concluded that, as of December 31, 2017, our internal control over financial reporting was effective.

Ernst & Young LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, has audited our internal control over financial reporting, as stated in their report which is included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on  
Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

To the Shareholders and Board of Directors of WPX Energy, Inc.,

**Opinion on Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

We have audited WPX Energy, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) (the COSO criteria). In our opinion, WPX Energy, Inc. (the Company) maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB), the consolidated balance sheets of WPX Energy, Inc. as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes and financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15.(a) and our report dated February 22, 2018 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

**Basis for Opinion**

The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects.

Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

**Definition and Limitations of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Tulsa, Oklahoma  
February 22, 2018

## Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Shareholders and Board of Directors of WPX Energy, Inc.

### Opinion on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of WPX Energy, Inc. (the Company) as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes and financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15.(a) (collectively referred to as the “financial statements”). In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of the Company at December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB), the Company’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) and our report dated February 22, 2018 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

### Basis for Opinion

These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company’s financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

We have served as the Company’s auditor since 2010.

Tulsa, Oklahoma  
February 22, 2018

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Consolidated Balance Sheets**

	December 31,	
	2017	2016
	(Millions)	
<b>Assets</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 189	\$ 496
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$2 million as of December 31, 2017 and \$3 million as of December 31, 2016	307	168
Derivative assets	36	26
Inventories	44	32
Assets classified as held for sale	34	12
Other	28	20
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>638</b>	<b>754</b>
Investments	70	—
Properties and equipment, net (successful efforts method of accounting)	7,454	6,157
Derivative assets	23	12
Assets classified as held for sale	—	317
Other noncurrent assets	22	24
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 8,207</b>	<b>\$ 7,264</b>
<b>Liabilities and Equity</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 446	\$ 222
Accrued and other current liabilities	209	301
Liabilities associated with assets held for sale	13	2
Derivative liabilities	171	152
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>839</b>	<b>677</b>
Deferred income taxes	117	251
Long-term debt, net	2,575	2,575
Derivative liabilities	65	63
Asset retirement obligations	36	38
Liabilities associated with assets held for sale	—	62
Other noncurrent liabilities	448	132
Contingent liabilities and commitments (Note 11)		
Equity:		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock (100 million shares authorized at \$0.01 par value; 4.8 million shares outstanding at December 31, 2017 and 2016)	232	232
Common stock (2 billion shares authorized at \$0.01 par value; 398.3 million and 344.7 million shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2017 and 2016)	4	3
Additional paid-in-capital	7,479	6,803
Accumulated deficit	(3,588)	(3,572)
<b>Total equity</b>	<b>4,127</b>	<b>3,466</b>
<b>Total liabilities and equity</b>	<b>\$ 8,207</b>	<b>\$ 7,264</b>

See accompanying notes.

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Consolidated Statements of Operations**

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Revenues:	(Millions, except per share amounts)		
Product revenues:			
Oil sales	\$ 1,029	\$ 551	\$ 494
Natural gas sales	163	125	138
Natural gas liquid sales	115	46	23
Total product revenues	1,307	722	655
Net gain (loss) on derivatives	3	(207)	418
Commodity management	25	177	286
Other	1	1	7
Total revenues	1,336	693	1,366
Costs and expenses:			
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	673	623	528
Lease and facility operating	218	163	145
Gathering, processing and transportation	94	76	64
Taxes other than income	102	60	62
Exploration	101	42	85
General and administrative (including equity-based compensation of \$30 million, \$33 million and \$31 million for the respective periods)	174	214	210
Commodity management, including charges for unutilized pipeline capacity	27	208	261
Net (gain) loss—sales of assets, divestment of transportation contracts or impairment of producing properties (Note 5)	(111)	22	(349)
Acquisition costs (Note 2)	—	—	23
Other—net	15	16	63
Total costs and expenses	1,293	1,424	1,092
Operating income (loss)	43	(731)	274
Interest expense	(188)	(207)	(187)
Loss on extinguishment of debt (Note 2) (Note 9)	(17)	(1)	(65)
Investment income and other	3	2	(2)
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	(159)	(937)	20
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(148)	(325)	24
Loss from continuing operations	(11)	(612)	(4)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	(5)	11	(1,722)
Net loss	(16)	(601)	(1,726)
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	—	1
Net loss attributable to WPX Energy, Inc.	\$ (16)	\$ (601)	\$ (1,727)
Less: Dividends on preferred stock	15	18	9
Less: Loss on induced conversion of preferred stock	—	22	—
Net loss available to WPX Energy, Inc. common stockholders	\$ (31)	\$ (641)	\$ (1,736)
Amounts available to WPX Energy, Inc. common stockholders:			
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (26)	\$ (652)	\$ (13)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	(5)	11	(1,723)
Net loss	\$ (31)	\$ (641)	\$ (1,736)
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common share:			
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (0.06)	\$ (2.08)	\$ (0.06)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	(0.02)	0.03	(7.36)
Net loss	\$ (0.08)	\$ (2.05)	\$ (7.42)
Weighted-average shares	395.1	313.3	234.2

See accompanying notes.





**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity**

WPX Energy, Inc., Stockholders								
	Preferred Stock	Common Stock	Capital in Excess of Par Value	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Stockholders' Equity	Noncontrolling Interests(a)	Total
(Millions)								
Balance at December 31, 2014	\$ —	\$ 2	\$ 5,562	\$ (1,244)	\$ (1)	\$ 4,319	\$ 109	\$ 4,428
Net income (loss) attributable to WPX Energy, Inc.	—	—	—	(1,727)	—	(1,727)	1	(1,726)
Stock based compensation, net of tax impact	—	—	26	—	—	26	—	26
Dividends on preferred stock	—	—	(11)	—	—	(11)	—	(11)
Issuance of common stock to public, net of offering costs	—	—	292	—	—	292	—	292
Issuance of common stock related to an acquisition	—	1	295	—	—	296	—	296
Issuance of preferred stock to public, net of offering costs	339	—	—	—	—	339	—	339
Impact of divestitures	—	—	—	—	1	1	(110)	(109)
Balance at December 31, 2015	339	3	6,164	(2,971)	—	3,535	—	3,535
Net loss attributable to WPX Energy, Inc.	—	—	—	(601)	—	(601)	—	(601)
Stock based compensation, net of tax impact	—	—	23	—	—	23	—	23
Issuance of common stock to public, net of offering costs	—	—	538	—	—	538	—	538
Conversion of preferred stock to common stock	(107)	—	118	—	—	11	—	11
Loss on induced conversion of preferred stock and related conversion costs	—	—	(22)	—	—	(22)	—	(22)
Dividends on preferred stock	—	—	(18)	—	—	(18)	—	(18)
Balance at December 31, 2016	232	3	6,803	(3,572)	—	3,466	—	3,466
Net income (loss) attributable to WPX Energy, Inc.	—	—	—	(16)	—	(16)	—	(16)
Stock based compensation, net of tax impact	—	—	22	—	—	22	—	22
Issuance of common stock to public, net of offering costs	—	1	669	—	—	670	—	670
Dividends on preferred stock	—	—	(15)	—	—	(15)	—	(15)
Balance at December 31, 2017	\$ 232	\$ 4	\$ 7,479	\$ (3,588)	\$ —	\$ 4,127	\$ —	\$ 4,127

(a) Primarily represented the 31 percent of Apco Oil and Gas International Inc. owned by others.

See accompanying notes.

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
<b>Operating Activities(a)</b>	<b>(Millions)</b>		
Net loss	\$ (16)	\$ (601)	\$ (1,726)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	673	631	940
Deferred income tax benefit	(134)	(281)	(1,005)
Provision for impairment of properties and equipment (including certain exploration expenses) and investments	158	38	2,426
Net (gain) loss on derivatives in continuing operations	(3)	207	(418)
Net settlements related to derivatives in continuing operations	4	302	617
Net loss on derivatives included in discontinued operations	—	46	—
Amortization of stock-based awards	32	36	35
Loss on extinguishment of debt and acquisition bridge financing fees	17	1	81
Net gains on sales of assets and divestment of transportation contracts	(170)	(29)	(385)
Cash provided (used) by operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	(153)	126	233
Inventories	(8)	10	(2)
Margin deposits and customer margin deposits payable	1	(1)	26
Other current assets	(8)	5	—
Accounts payable	158	(72)	(247)
Federal income taxes receivable (payable)	12	(19)	—
Accrued and other current liabilities	(31)	(45)	87
Payments on liabilities accrued in 2015 for retained transportation and gathering contracts related to discontinued operations	(53)	(53)	(14)
Other, including changes in other noncurrent assets and liabilities	28	(33)	171
Net cash provided by operating activities(a)	<u>507</u>	<u>268</u>	<u>819</u>
<b>Investing Activities(a)</b>			
Capital expenditures(b)	(1,161)	(578)	(1,124)
Proceeds from sales of assets	193	1,127	810
Proceeds (payments) related to divestment of transportation contracts	—	(238)	209
Purchases of a business, net of cash acquired	(799)	—	(1,212)
Net proceeds from the joint venture formation	338	—	—
Purchases of investments	(8)	—	—
Other	(1)	(1)	1
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities(a)	<u>(1,438)</u>	<u>310</u>	<u>(1,316)</u>
<b>Financing Activities</b>			
Proceeds from common stock	672	540	295
Proceeds from preferred stock	—	—	339
Dividends paid on preferred stock	(15)	(18)	(6)
Payments related to induced conversion of preferred stock to common stock	—	(10)	—
Borrowings on credit facility	661	380	841
Payments on credit facility	(661)	(645)	(856)
Proceeds from long-term debt, net of discount	148	—	1,000
Payments for retirement of long-term debt, including premium	(165)	(356)	(1,100)
Taxes paid for shares withheld	(12)	(6)	(8)
Payments for debt issuance costs, credit facility amendment fees and acquisition bridge financing fees	(2)	(5)	(40)
Other	(2)	—	—
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	<u>624</u>	<u>(120)</u>	<u>465</u>
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	<u>(307)</u>	<u>458</u>	<u>(32)</u>
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	496	38	70
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 189</u>	<u>\$ 496</u>	<u>\$ 38</u>

---

(a) Amounts reflect continuing and discontinued operations unless otherwise noted.

(b) Increase to properties and equipment	\$	(1,232)	\$	(584)	\$	(865)
Changes in related accounts payable and accounts receivable		71		6		(259)
Capital expenditures	\$	(1,161)	\$	(578)	\$	(1,124)

See accompanying notes.

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

**Note 1 . Description of Business, Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

**Description of Business**

Operations of our company include oil, natural gas and NGL development and production primarily located in Texas, North Dakota, New Mexico and Colorado. We specialize in development and production from tight-sands and shale formations in the Delaware, Williston and San Juan Basins. Associated with our commodity production are sales and marketing activities, referred to as commodity management activities, that include oil and natural gas purchased from third-party working interest owners in operated wells, the management of various commodity contracts, such as transportation and related derivatives, and the marketing of Piceance Basin volumes during a transition period from April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016 (see Note 3 ).

In addition, we had other operations sold in 2015 and 2016 which are reported as discontinued operations, as discussed below.

In February 2018, we announced that we signed an agreement to sell our holdings in the San Juan Basin's Gallup oil play for \$700 million to an undisclosed third party. Closing is expected to occur in the first quarter of 2018. As discussed in Note 5, we divested of our legacy natural gas assets in the San Juan Basin in December 2017. Upon closing of the most recent transaction, we will no longer have a presence in the San Juan Basin. See Note 17 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The consolidated businesses represented herein as WPX Energy, Inc. is also referred to as "WPX," the "Company," "we," "us" or "our."

**Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

*Principles of consolidation*

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of our wholly and majority-owned subsidiaries and investments. Companies in which we own 20 percent to 50 percent of the voting common stock, or otherwise exercise significant influence over operating and financial policies of the Company, are accounted for under the equity method. All material intercompany transactions have been eliminated. The Company has no other elements of comprehensive income other than net income.

Our continuing operations comprise a single business segment, which includes the development, production and commodity management activities of oil, natural gas and NGLs in the United States.

*Discontinued Operations*

Our discontinued operations include the results of previously owned properties in the Piceance and Powder River Basins and our previously owned 69 percent controlling interest in Apco Oil and Gas International Inc. ("Apco"), an oil and gas exploration and production company with activities in Argentina and Colombia.

See Note 3 for a further discussion of discontinued operations. Unless indicated otherwise, the information in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements relates to continuing operations. Additionally, see Note 11 for a discussion of contingencies related to the former power business of The Williams Companies, Inc. ("Williams") (most of which was disposed of in 2007).

*Use of estimates*

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Significant estimates and assumptions which impact these financials include:

- impairment assessments of long-lived assets;
- valuation of deferred tax assets and liabilities;
- valuations of derivatives;
- estimation of oil and natural gas reserves;
- assessments of litigation-related contingencies; and
- asset retirement obligations.

These estimates are discussed further throughout these notes.

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

*Cash and cash equivalents*

Our cash and cash equivalents balance includes amounts primarily invested in funds with high-quality, short-term securities and instruments that are issued or guaranteed by the U.S. government. These have maturity dates of three months or less when acquired.

*Restricted cash*

Restricted cash was approximately \$12 million and \$10 million as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively, and is included in other current assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

*Accounts receivable*

Accounts receivable are carried on a gross basis, with no discounting, less the allowance for doubtful accounts. We estimate the allowance for doubtful accounts based on existing economic conditions, the financial conditions of the customers and the amount and age of past due accounts. Receivables are considered past due if full payment is not received by the contractual due date. Past due accounts are generally written off against the allowance for doubtful accounts only after all collection attempts have been exhausted. A portion of our receivables are from joint interest owners of properties we operate. Thus, we may have the ability to withhold future revenue disbursements to recover any non-payment of joint interest billings.

*Inventories*

All inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Our materials, supplies and other inventories consist of tubular goods and production equipment for future transfer to wells and crude oil production in transit. Inventory is recorded and relieved using the weighted average cost method. The following table presents a summary of inventories.

	Years ended December 31,	
	2017	2016
	(Millions)	
Material, supplies and other	\$ 43	\$ 30
Crude oil production in transit	1	2
	\$ 44	\$ 32

During the third quarter of 2016, we recorded a \$4 million impairment charge of certain material and supplies inventory.

*Properties and equipment*

Oil and gas exploration and production activities are accounted for under the successful efforts method. Costs incurred in connection with the drilling and equipping of exploratory wells are capitalized as incurred. If proved reserves are not found, such costs are charged to exploration expenses. Other exploration costs, including geological and geophysical costs and lease rentals are charged to expense as incurred. All costs related to development wells, including related production equipment and lease acquisition costs, are capitalized when incurred whether productive or nonproductive.

Unproved properties include lease acquisition costs. Individually significant lease acquisition costs are assessed annually, or as conditions warrant, for impairment considering our future drilling plans, the remaining lease term and recent drilling results. Lease acquisition costs that are not individually significant are aggregated by prospect or geographically, and the portion of such costs estimated to be nonproductive prior to lease expiration is amortized over the average holding period. The estimate of what could be nonproductive is based on our historical experience or other information, including current drilling plans and existing geological data. Impairment and amortization of lease acquisition costs are included in exploration expense on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. If the unproved properties are determined to be productive, the appropriate related costs are transferred to proved oil and gas properties. We refer to unproved lease acquisition costs as unproved properties.

From time to time we may exchange leasehold acreage with third parties. In connection with this type of nonmonetary exchange, we must record assets received based on the fair value of either the asset surrendered or, if more readily determinable, the assets received. Any resulting difference between the fair value and the carrying value of the assets is recorded as a gain or loss, to the extent a loss exceeds accumulated amortization, in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Gains or losses from the ordinary sale or retirement of properties and equipment are recorded in operating income (loss) as either a separate line item, if individually significant, or included in other—net on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

Costs related to the construction or acquisition of field gathering, processing and certain other facilities are recorded at cost. Ordinary maintenance and repair costs are expensed as incurred.

*Depreciation, depletion and amortization*

Capitalized exploratory and developmental drilling costs, including lease and well equipment and intangible development costs are depreciated and amortized using the units-of-production method based on estimated proved developed oil and gas reserves on a field basis. Depletion of producing leasehold costs is based on the units-of-production method using estimated total proved oil and gas reserves on a field basis. In arriving at rates under the units-of-production methodology, the quantities of proved oil and gas reserves are established based on estimates made by our geologists and engineers.

Costs related to gathering, processing and certain other facilities are depreciated on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives.

*Impairment of long-lived assets*

We evaluate our long-lived assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate, in our management's judgment, that the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable. When an indicator of impairment has occurred, we compare our management's estimate of undiscounted future cash flows attributable to the assets to the carrying value of the assets to determine whether an impairment has occurred. If an impairment of the carrying value has occurred, we determine the amount of the impairment recognized in the financial statements by estimating the fair value of the assets and recording a loss for the amount that the carrying value exceeds the estimated fair value.

Proved properties, including developed and undeveloped, are assessed for impairment using estimated future undiscounted cash flows on a field basis. If the undiscounted cash flows are less than the book value of the assets, then a subsequent analysis is performed using discounted cash flows. Additionally, our leasehold costs are evaluated for impairment if the proved property costs within a basin are impaired.

Judgments and assumptions are inherent in our management's estimate of undiscounted future cash flows and an asset's fair value. Additionally, judgment is used to determine the probability of sale with respect to assets considered for disposal. These judgments and assumptions include such matters as the estimation of oil and gas reserve quantities, risks associated with the different categories of oil and gas reserves, the timing of development and production, expected future commodity prices, capital expenditures, production costs, and appropriate discount rates.

*Contingent liabilities*

Due to the nature of our business, we are routinely subject to various lawsuits, claims and other proceedings. We recognize a liability in our consolidated financial statements when we determine that it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount can be reasonably estimated. If we determine that a loss is probable but lack information on which to reasonably estimate a loss, if any, or if we determine that a loss is only reasonably possible, we do not recognize a liability. We disclose the nature of loss contingencies that are potentially material but for which no liability has been recognized.

*Asset retirement obligations*

We record an asset and a liability upon incurrence equal to the present value of each expected future asset retirement obligation ("ARO"). These estimates include, as a component of future expected costs, an estimate of the price that a third party would demand, and could expect to receive, for bearing the uncertainties inherent in the obligations, sometimes referred to as a market risk premium. The ARO asset is depreciated in a manner consistent with the depreciation of the underlying physical asset. We measure changes in the liability due to passage of time by applying an interest method of allocation. This amount is recognized as an increase in the carrying amount of the liability and as a corresponding accretion expense in lease and facility operating expense included in costs and expenses.

*Cash flows from revolving credit facilities*

Proceeds and payments related to any borrowings under a revolving credit facility are reflected in the financing activities of the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows on a gross basis.

*Derivative instruments and hedging activities*

We utilize derivatives to manage our commodity price risk. These instruments consist primarily of futures contracts, swap agreements, option contracts, and forward contracts involving short- and long-term purchases and sales of a physical energy commodity.

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

We report the fair value of derivatives, except those for which the normal purchases and normal sales exception has been elected, on the Consolidated Balance Sheets in derivative assets and derivative liabilities as either current or noncurrent. We determine the current and noncurrent classification based on the timing of expected future cash flows of individual trades. We report these amounts on a gross basis. Additionally, we report cash collateral receivables and payables with our counterparties on a gross basis.

The accounting for the changes in fair value of a commodity derivative can be summarized as follows:

<u>Derivative Treatment</u>	<u>Accounting Method</u>
Normal purchases and normal sales exception	Accrual accounting
Designated in a qualifying hedging relationship	Hedge accounting
All other derivatives	Mark-to-market accounting

We may elect the normal purchases and normal sales exception for certain short- and long-term purchases and sales of a physical energy commodity. Under accrual accounting, any change in the fair value of these derivatives is not reflected on the balance sheet after the initial election of the exception.

Certain gains and losses on derivative instruments included on the Consolidated Statements of Operations are netted together to a single net gain or loss, while other gains and losses are reported on a gross basis. Gains and losses recorded on a net basis include:

- unrealized gains and losses on all derivatives that are not designated as cash flow hedges related to production and for which we have not elected the normal purchases and normal sales exception;
- unrealized gains and losses on all derivatives that are not designated as cash flow hedges related to commodity management and for which we have not elected the normal purchases and normal sales exception;
- realized gains and losses on all derivatives that settle financially;
- realized gains and losses on derivatives held for trading purposes; and
- realized gains and losses on derivatives entered into as a pre-contemplated buy/sell arrangement.

Realized gains and losses on derivatives that require physical delivery are recorded on a gross basis. In reaching our conclusions on this presentation, we considered whether we act as principal in the transaction; whether we have the risks and rewards of ownership, including credit risk; and whether we have latitude in establishing prices.

*Product and commodity management revenues*

Our revenues on the Consolidated Statement of Operations include oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids sales (collectively, “product revenues”), commodity management revenues and net gain (loss) on derivatives. Product revenues relate to production from properties in which we own an interest. Commodity management revenues primarily relate to sales of products we may purchase from other third parties in the areas we operate. We derive substantially all of our revenues from the sale of oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids in the continental United States. We believe the disaggregation of product revenues into the three major product types of oil sales, natural gas sales and natural gas liquid sales is an appropriate level of detail for our company’s primary activity and industry.

Our contracts for oil and natural gas sales are typically standard industry contracts that may include modifications for counterparty-specific provisions related to volumes, price differentials, discounts and other adjustments and deductions. Our contracts related to natural gas liquids sales are generally with the company contracted to gather and process natural gas to extract the natural gas liquids. The provider of these services typically purchases our share of the natural gas liquids pursuant to the terms of each contract. Oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids prices are derived from stated market prices which are then adjusted to reflect deductions including fuel, shrink, transportation, fractionation and processing. Product revenues are initially accrued based on volume and price estimates using the best available information. These accruals are typically actualized one to two months later when volume and pricing are confirmed. Adjustments to actualize the accruals for product revenues are generally not material.

Revenue is recognized when the performance obligations under the terms of our contracts with customers are satisfied. The primary performance obligation for the material portion of our revenue contracts is the delivery of oil, natural gas or natural gas liquids to our customers. Payment is typically due 30 to 45 days following delivery of product to our customers.



**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

Revenues from production in properties for which we have an interest with other producers are recognized based on the actual volumes sold during the period. Any differences between volumes sold and entitlement volumes, based on our net revenue interest, that are determined to be nonrecoverable through remaining production are recognized as accounts receivable or accounts payable, as appropriate. Our cumulative net natural gas imbalance position based on market prices as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 was insignificant .

*Commodity management expenses*

Commodity management expenses primarily relate to product we may purchase from other third parties in the areas we operate. Charges for unutilized transportation capacity are included in commodity management expenses and were \$27 million and \$38 million in 2016 and 2015 , respectively.

*Income taxes*

We file consolidated and combined federal and state income tax returns for the Company and its subsidiaries. We record deferred taxes for the differences between the tax and book basis of our assets as well as loss or credit carryovers to future years. A valuation allowance is established to reduce deferred tax assets if it is determined it is more likely than not that the related tax benefit will not be realized. Deferred tax liabilities and assets are classified as noncurrent on the statement of financial position.

*Employee stock-based compensation*

Restricted stock units and awards are generally valued at market value on the grant date and generally vest over three years. Restricted stock compensation cost, net of estimated forfeitures, is generally recognized over the vesting period on a straight-line basis.

Stock options are valued at the date of award, which does not precede the approval date, and compensation cost is recognized on a straight-line basis, net of estimated forfeitures, over the requisite service period. The purchase price per share for stock options may not be less than the market price of the underlying stock on the date of grant. Stock options generally become exercisable over a three -year period from the date of grant and generally expire ten years after the grant.

*Earnings (loss) per common share*

Basic earnings (loss) per common share is based on the sum of the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding and vested restricted stock units. Diluted earnings (loss) per common share includes any dilutive effect of stock options and nonvested restricted stock units and awards (see Note 4 ).

*Debt issuance costs*

Debt issuance fees, which are recorded at cost, net of amortization, are amortized over the life of the respective debt agreements utilizing the effective interest and straight-line methods. The Company had total net debt issuance costs of \$32 million and \$37 million as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 , respectively. Unamortized debt issuance costs related to the Company’s senior unsecured notes are reported in long-term debt (see Note 9 ) and debt issuance costs related to the Credit Facility are recorded in other noncurrent assets on the Company’s Consolidated Balance Sheets.

**Recently Adopted Accounting Standards**

In March 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2016-09, *Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting*, as part of the Simplification Initiative. The areas for simplification in ASU 2016-09 involve several aspects of the accounting for share-based payment transactions, including the income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities, and classification on the statement of cash flows. ASU 2016-09 is required for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016. Under ASU 2016-09, on a prospective basis, companies will no longer record excess tax benefits and deficiencies in additional paid in capital. Instead, excess tax benefits and deficiencies will be recognized as income tax expense or benefit on the statement of operations. Other portions of the standard are adopted using either a prospective, retrospective, or modified retrospective approach depending on the topic covered in the standard. The Company adopted this guidance effective January 1, 2017 which impacted (a) our income tax provision in 2017 due to the tax deficiency recognized for tax and (b) the operating and financing activities sections of our Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows to reflect tax payments related to shares withheld for taxes. Cash outflows of \$12 million , \$6 million and \$8 million for the years ended December 31, 2017 , 2016 and 2015 respectively, would have been included in operating activities under previous guidance, but are now reflected in financing activities. Previously reported periods have been reclassified to conform with our presentation for the current period.

### **Accounting Standards Not Yet Adopted**

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*, and has updated it with additional ASUs. The core principle of the guidance in ASU 2014-09 is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. ASU 2014-09, as amended, is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within that reporting period. The FASB permits companies to adopt the new standard early, but not before the original effective date of annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016. ASU 2014-09 can be applied using either a full retrospective method, meaning the standard is applied to all of the periods presented, or a modified retrospective method, meaning the cumulative effect of initially applying the standard is recognized in the most current period presented in the financial statements.

In 2016, we performed an initial assessment of the impact of ASU 2014-09 with the assistance of an outside consultant. Our assessment was based on a bottoms-up approach, in which we analyzed our existing contracts and current accounting policies and practices to identify potential differences that would result from applying the requirements of the new standard to our contracts. In 2017, we documented our conclusions around the impact of the standard to our business processes, systems or controls to support recognition and disclosure under the new standard. Our findings and progress toward implementation of the standard are periodically reported to management.

We do not expect the impact of adopting ASU 2014-09 to be material to our total net revenues and operating income (loss) or to our consolidated balance sheet because our performance obligations, which determine when and how revenue is recognized, are not materially changed under the new standard; thus, revenue associated with the majority of our contracts will continue to be recognized as control of products is transferred to the customer. We will adopt this standard on January 1, 2018 using the modified retrospective method. We have finalized our documentation and assessment of the impact of the standard on our financial results and related disclosures and have incorporated disclosure changes in this document; therefore, we anticipate minimal adjustments to our disclosures in future filings from the adoption of this standard.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, *Leases*, to increase transparency and comparability among organizations by recognizing right-of-use assets and lease payment liabilities on the balance sheet and disclosing key information about leasing arrangements. Under ASU 2016-02, a determination is to be made at the inception of a contract as to whether the contract is, or contains, a lease. Leases convey the right to control the use of an identified asset in exchange for consideration. Only the lease components of a contract must be accounted for in accordance with this ASU. Non-lease components, such as activities that transfer a good or service to the customer, shall be accounted for under other applicable Topics. ASU 2016-02 permits lessees to make policy elections to not recognize lease assets and liabilities for leases with terms of less than twelve months and/or to not separate lease and non-lease components and account for the non-lease components together with the lease components as a single lease component. Based on an initial review of the new guidance and the Company's current commitments, the Company anticipates it may be required to recognize right-of-use assets and lease payment liabilities related to drilling rig commitments, certain equipment leases, and potentially other arrangements, the effects of which cannot be estimated at this time. ASU 2016-02 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted for any entity in any interim or annual period. The Company continues to evaluate the impact of ASU 2016-02 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements or related disclosures.

In November 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-18, *Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Restricted Cash*, which will require entities to show the changes in the total of cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents in the statement of cash flows. When cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents are presented in more than one line item on the balance sheet, the new guidance requires a reconciliation of the totals in the statement of cash flows to the related captions in the balance sheet. This reconciliation can be presented either on the face of the statement of cash flows or in the notes to the financial statements. ASU 2016-18 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, and interim periods within those years. Early adoption in an interim period is permitted, but any adjustments must be reflected as of the beginning of the fiscal year that includes that interim period. Restricted cash was approximately \$12 million and \$10 million as of December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. The Company does not expect any significant impact on its consolidated statement of cash flows from the adoption of the standard.

In January 2017, FASB issued ASU 2017-01, *Business Combinations*, clarifying the definition of a business to assist entities with evaluating whether transactions should be accounted for as acquisitions (or disposals) of assets or businesses. ASU 2017-01 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, and interim periods within those years. The Company will adopt this standard on January 1, 2018 and we do not expect a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements from the adoption of the standard.

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

In February 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-05, *Other Income - Gains and Losses from the Derecognition of Nonfinancial Assets (Subtopic 610-20): Clarifying the Scope of Asset Derecognition Guidance and Accounting for Partial Sales of Nonfinancial Assets*. This ASU clarifies the scope and application of ASC 610-20 on the sale or transfer of nonfinancial assets and in substance nonfinancial assets to noncustomers, including partial sales. The amendments are effective at the same time as the new revenue standard. For public entities, the amendments are effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim reporting periods within that reporting period. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect a significant impact on consolidated financial statements from the adoption of this standard.

In May 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-09, *Compensation - Stock Compensation (Topic 718)*. The amendments in this Update provide guidance about which changes to the terms or conditions of a share-based payment award require an entity to apply modification accounting in Topic 718. The amendments in this Update are effective for all entities for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2017. Early adoption is permitted, including adoption in any interim period. The Company will adopt this standard on January 1, 2018 and we do not expect a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements from the adoption of the standard.

In August 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-12, *Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815)*. This ASU provides guidance for various components of hedge accounting including hedge ineffectiveness, the expansion of types of permissible hedging strategies, reduced complexity in the application of the long-haul method for fair value hedges and reduced complexity in assessment of effectiveness. The amendments in this Update are effective for public entities for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2018. Early adoption is permitted, including adoption in any interim period. The Company does not expect a significant impact on its consolidated financial statements from the adoption of this standard unless we apply hedge accounting in a future period.

**Note 2 . Acquisitions**

**2017**

On January 12, 2017, we signed an agreement to acquire certain assets from Panther Energy Company II, LLC and Carrier Energy Partners, LLC (the “Panther Acquisition”) for \$775 million, subject to post-closing adjustments. The transaction closed in March 2017 for \$798 million including estimated closing adjustments. The assets, as of the closing date, included 25 producing wells ( 18 horizontals), three drilled but uncompleted horizontal laterals, approximately 18,000 net acres and more than 900 gross undeveloped locations in the Delaware Basin. We estimated that approximately \$599 million of the purchase price is allocable to unproved properties and approximately \$200 million is allocable to proved developed properties and facilities. This estimate is based on discounted cash flow models, which include estimates and assumptions such as future commodity prices, projections of estimated quantities of oil and natural gas reserves, expectations for timing and amount of future development and operating costs, projections of future rates of production, expected recovery rates, and risk adjusted discount rates. These assumptions represent Level 3 inputs. At the time of the acquisition closing, production was approximately 10,000 Boe per day. The impact of this acquisition to prior periods is not material to our results of operations for those periods.

**2015**

On August 17, 2015, we completed the acquisition of privately held RKI Exploration & Production, LLC (“RKI”). Per the terms of the merger agreement, the purchase price was \$2.75 billion, consisting of 40 million unregistered shares of WPX common stock and approximately \$2.28 billion in cash (the “RKI Acquisition”). The cash consideration was subject to closing adjustments and was reduced by our assumption of \$400 million of aggregate principal amount of RKI’s senior notes and amounts outstanding under RKI’s revolving credit facility along with other working capital items. We incurred approximately \$23 million of acquisition-related costs, primarily related to legal and advisory fees which are reflected on a separate line item on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. In addition, we incurred \$16 million of acquisition bridge facility fees, included in interest expense, and a \$65 million loss on extinguishment of RKI’s senior notes, reflected in loss on extinguishment of debt on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

WPX funded the RKI Acquisition with proceeds from a combination of debt, preferred stock and common stock offerings along with available cash on hand and borrowings under its revolving credit facility.

The following table presents the unaudited pro forma financial results for the year ended December 31, 2015 as if the RKI Acquisition and related financings had been completed January 1, 2014. The year ended December 31, 2015 has been adjusted to exclude \$23 million of acquisition costs, \$65 million loss on extinguishment of acquired debt and \$16 million of acquisition bridge facility fees. The unaudited pro forma financial information does not purport to be indicative of results of operations that would have occurred had the RKI Acquisition occurred on the date assumed or for the period presented, nor is such information indicative of the Company’s expected future results of operations.

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

	Year Ended December 31,
	2015
	(Millions)
Revenues	\$ 1,578
Net income from continuing operations attributable to WPX Energy, Inc.	\$ 81

The RKI Acquisition qualified as a business combination, and as a result, we estimated the fair value of the underlying shares distributed, the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed as of the August 17, 2015 acquisition date. The fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value measurements also utilize assumptions of market participants. We used a combination of market data, discounted cash flow models and replacement estimates in determining the fair value of the oil and gas properties and the related midstream assets, all of which include estimates and assumptions such as future commodity prices, projections of estimated quantities of oil and natural gas reserves, expectations for timing and amount of future development and operating costs, projections of future rates of production, expected recovery rates and risk adjusted discount rates. These assumptions represent Level 3 inputs. Deferred taxes must also be recorded for any differences between the assigned values and the carryover tax bases of assets and liabilities. Deferred taxes are based on available information concerning the tax bases of assets acquired and liabilities assumed and carryovers at the acquisition date (see Note 10 ). The following table summarizes the consideration paid for the RKI Acquisition and the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the acquisition date. The final purchase price allocation is presented below.

	Purchase Price Allocation
	(Millions)
<b>Consideration:</b>	
Cash, net of an estimated post-close settlement	\$ 1,251
Fair value of WPX common stock issued	296
Total consideration	\$ 1,547
<b>Fair value of liabilities assumed:</b>	
Accounts payable	\$ 104
Accrued liabilities	74
Deferred income taxes	752
Long-term debt	990
Asset retirement obligation	23
Total liabilities assumed as of the acquisition date	1,943
<b>Fair value of assets acquired:</b>	
Cash and cash equivalents	51
Accounts receivable, net	80
Derivative assets, current	97
Derivative assets, noncurrent	34
Inventories	12
Other current assets	3
Properties and equipment(a)	3,209
Other noncurrent assets	4
Total assets acquired as of the acquisition date	3,490
Net fair value of assets and liabilities	\$ 1,547

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

(a) Properties and equipment reflect the following as of the acquisition date:

Proved properties	\$	881
Unproved properties		2,168
Gathering, processing and other facilities		157
Other		3
Total	\$	3,209

**Note 3 . Discontinued Operations**

On February 8, 2016, we signed an agreement with Terra Energy Partners LLC (“Terra”) to sell WPX Energy Rocky Mountain, LLC that held our Piceance Basin operations for \$910 million . The agreement also required Terra to become financially responsible for approximately \$104 million in transportation obligations held by our marketing company. Additionally, WPX Energy Rocky Mountain LLC had natural gas derivatives with a fair value of \$48 million as of the closing date. The parties closed this sale in April of 2016 and we received net proceeds of \$862 million , subject to post-closing adjustments, resulting in a gain of \$52 million . We performed certain transition services for the buyer which concluded during third-quarter 2016. In addition, we had an agreement with the buyer to purchase production through June 30, 2016 which is reported in commodity management revenue and expenses.

The Piceance Basin represented 52 percent of our total proved reserves at December 31, 2015.

Significant transactions for the Piceance Basin Operations reflected in the tables below are as follows:

- \$52 million gain recorded on the sale of the Piceance Basin in 2016.
- As a result of market conditions including oil and natural gas prices in the fourth quarter of 2015, we performed impairment assessments of our proved producing properties. As a result of these assessments, which included the possibility of cash flows from a divestiture of the Piceance Basin, we recorded a total of \$2,334 million in impairment charges associated with the Piceance Basin, of which approximately \$2,308 million is recorded in impairment of assets in the table below and \$26 million is included in exploration expenses.

In August 2015, we signed agreements for the sale of our Powder River Basin for \$80 million . On September 1, 2015, we completed a portion of the Powder River Basin divestiture. The remaining portion of the divestiture, which relates to our equity method investment in Fort Union Gas Gathering, LLC, closed on October 30, 2015. We recorded a pre-tax loss of \$15 million related to this transaction during 2015. During the first and second quarters of 2015, we recorded a total of \$16 million in impairments of the net assets to a probability weighted-average of expected sales prices for the Powder River Basin. In addition, we retained certain firm gathering and treating obligations with total commitments of \$104 million through 2020 related to the Powder River properties sold. These commitments had been in excess of our production throughput. At the time of closing, we also had certain pipeline capacity obligations held by our marketing company with total commitments through 2021 totaling \$150 million , which were related to the Powder River operation. With the closing of the Powder River Basin sale and exiting this basin in 2015, we recorded \$187 million of expense related to these contracts, which is included as a separate line below. This expense was the estimated present value of the \$254 million in payments associated with these contracts remaining as of the Powder River Basin sales date, and includes the fair value of estimated recoveries from third parties and discounting based on our risk adjusted borrowing rate. Liabilities of \$54 million and \$133 million were recorded in accrued and other current liabilities and other noncurrent liabilities, respectively, as of the closing date. In 2017, we increased the remaining liability for a change in estimate of third-party recoveries of future gathering and processing fees due to recent collectability issues.

During the third quarter of 2014, we had signed an agreement to sell our Powder River Basin holdings. This sales agreement did not successfully close in March 2015 and we subsequently terminated the transaction with the counterparty. During third-quarter 2015, we received \$13 million in escrow funds as a result of the terminated contract and this amount is included in Other-net expense below.

On January 29, 2015 we completed the divestiture of our international interests and received net proceeds of \$291 million after expenses but before \$17 million of international’s cash on hand as of the closing date. We recorded a pretax gain of \$41 million related to this transaction during first quarter 2015.

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

**Summarized Results of Discontinued Operations**

The following table presents the results of discontinued operations for the years presented.

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
	(Millions)		
Total revenues(a)	\$ —	\$ 64	\$ 592
Costs and expenses:			
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	\$ —	\$ 9	\$ 412
Lease and facility operating	—	18	103
Gathering, processing and transportation	—	49	257
Taxes other than income	—	2	21
Exploration	—	—	26
General and administrative	—	9	45
Commodity management	—	—	1
Accrual for contract obligations retained	5	—	187
Impairment of assets	—	—	2,324
Accretion of liabilities related to contract obligations retained	6	2	2
Other—net(b)	(3)	6	(9)
Total costs and expenses(c)	8	95	3,369
Operating income (loss)	(8)	(31)	(2,777)
Investment income and other	—	—	6
Gain (loss) on sales of domestic assets	—	51	(15)
Gain (loss) on sale of international assets	—	—	41
Income (loss) from discontinued operations before income taxes	(8)	20	(2,745)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(3)	9	(1,023)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations(d)	\$ (5)	\$ 11	\$ (1,722)

(a) Includes \$15 million related to international activity for 2015.

(b) Includes severance tax refund received in 2017.

(c) Includes \$8 million related to international activity for 2015.

(d) Includes \$52 million related to international activity for 2015.

**Cash Flows Attributable to Discontinued Operations**

In addition to the amounts presented below, cash outflows related to previous accruals for the Powder River Basin gathering and transportation contracts retained by WPX were \$53 million, \$53 million and \$14 million for 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively. During 2017, we received a \$10 million severance tax refund for prior years related to our former Piceance Basin operations.

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2016	2015
	(Millions)	
Cash provided by operating activities(a)	\$ 25	\$ 187
Capital expenditures within investing activities	\$ (35)	\$ (266)

(a) Excluding income taxes and changes to working capital.

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

**Note 4 . Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share from Continuing Operations**

The following table summarizes the calculation of earnings per share.

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
	(Millions, except per-share amounts)		
Loss from continuing operations attributable to WPX Energy, Inc.	\$ (11)	\$ (612)	\$ (4)
Less: Dividends on preferred stock	15	18	9
Less: Loss on induced conversion of preferred stock	—	22	—
Loss from continuing operations attributable to WPX Energy, Inc. available to common stockholders for basic and diluted loss per common share	\$ (26)	\$ (652)	\$ (13)
Basic weighted-average shares	395.1	313.3	234.2
Diluted weighted-average shares(a)	395.1	313.3	234.2
Loss per common share from continuing operations:			
Basic	\$ (0.06)	\$ (2.08)	\$ (0.06)
Diluted	\$ (0.06)	\$ (2.08)	\$ (0.06)

(a) The following table includes amounts that have been excluded from the computation of diluted earnings (loss) per common share as their inclusion would be antidilutive due to our loss from continuing operations attributable to WPX Energy, Inc. available to common stockholders. The common shares issuable upon assumed conversion of 6.25% Series A mandatory convertible preferred stock have been excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share as their inclusion would be antidilutive due to application of the if-converted method.

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
	(Millions)		
Weighted-average nonvested restricted stock units and awards	2.1	2.2	1.3
Weighted-average stock options	0.2	0.1	0.1
Common shares issuable upon assumed conversion of 6.25% Series A mandatory convertible preferred stock (Note 14)	19.8	23.8	15.5

The table below includes information related to stock options that were outstanding at December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 but have been excluded from the computation of weighted-average stock options due to the option exercise price exceeding the fourth quarter weighted-average market price of our common shares.

	December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Options excluded (millions)	1.5	2.0	2.6
Weighted-average exercise price of options excluded	\$ 17.80	\$ 17.42	\$ 16.16
Exercise price range of options excluded	\$14.41 - \$21.81	\$14.41 - \$21.81	\$11.46 - \$21.81
Fourth quarter weighted-average market price	\$ 12.10	\$ 13.23	\$ 7.43

The diluted weighted-average shares excludes the effect of approximately 0.6 million and 3.0 million nonvested restricted stock units for 2017 and 2015, respectively. These restricted stock units were antidilutive under the treasury stock method.

**Note 5 . Asset Sales, Impairments, Other Expenses and Exploration Expenses**

**Asset Sales and Impairments**

**2017**

Net gain on sales of assets for the year ended December 31, 2017 primarily reflect total gains of \$103 million from exchanges of leasehold acreage in the Permian Basin and \$56 million from the recognition of deferred gains related to the completion of commitments from the sales of gathering systems discussed below. The gains were partially offset by a \$60 million impairment of natural gas-producing properties held for sale in the San Juan Basin as discussed below. Net gain on sales

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

of assets for the year ended December 31, 2017 also includes \$8 million recognized on the sales of certain Green River Basin and Appalachian Basin assets.

In conjunction with exchanges of leasehold, we estimated the fair value of the leasehold through discounted cash flow models and consideration of market data. Our estimates and assumptions include future commodity prices, projection of estimated quantities of oil and natural gas reserves, expectations for future development and operating costs and risk adjusted discount rates, all of which are Level 3 inputs.

In the third quarter of 2017, we began a process to market our natural gas-producing properties in the San Juan Basin and our Board of Directors approved a divestment subject to a minimum price. Following the marketing process, we received several acceptable bids. As a result, we determined the estimated fair value, less costs to sell, based on the probability-weighted cash flows of expected proceeds and compared it to our net book value at September 30, 2017 which resulted in an impairment of \$60 million recorded in third-quarter 2017. On October 26, 2017, we signed an agreement to sell the properties for \$169 million, subject to closing adjustments, and subsequently closed a majority of the transaction in the fourth quarter of 2017, which resulted in a gain of approximately \$2 million. The assets and corresponding liabilities associated with the portion of the divestment that is expected to close in the first half of 2018 are classified as held for sale on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2017 and 2016.

**2016**

During July 2016, we completed the divestment of the remaining transportation contracts primarily related to our Piceance Basin operations which eliminated certain pipeline capacity obligations held by our marketing company, which were not included in the Piceance Basin divestment to Terra. The total remaining commitments related to these contracts for the remainder of 2016 and thereafter were approximately \$400 million. As a result of the divestments and net payment of \$238 million, we recorded a net loss of \$238 million in third-quarter 2016.

On March 9, 2016, we completed the sale of our San Juan Basin gathering system for consideration of approximately \$309 million. The consideration reflected \$285 million in cash, subject to closing adjustments, and a commitment estimated at \$24 million in capital designated by the purchaser to expand the system to support WPX's development in the Gallup oil play. We were obligated to complete certain in-progress construction as of the closing which resulted in the deferral of a portion of the gain. As a result of this transaction, we recorded a gain of \$199 million in first-quarter 2016 and additional gains of \$18 million in the subsequent quarters of 2016 as certain in-progress construction was completed. As of December 31, 2016, the deferred gain was \$11 million, most of which was recognized in 2017, related to an estimated \$4 million of remaining recorded obligations for in-progress construction. See Note 8 for liabilities accrued for future construction and deferred gain related to these obligations.

**2015**

During the fourth quarter of 2015, we completed the sale of a North Dakota gathering system for approximately \$185 million, subject to closing adjustments. Under the terms of the agreement, a subsidiary of the buyer, Midstream Capital Partners, will manage the overall system and we will operate, at the direction of the owner, the system for a two year initial term and any renewal terms. Under the terms of the agreement, we are required to complete certain future construction obligations. As a result of this transaction, we recorded a net gain of \$70 million in fourth-quarter 2015. See Note 8 for liabilities accrued for future construction and deferred gain related to these obligations.

During May 2015, WPX completed the sale of a package of marketing contracts and release of certain related firm transportation capacity in the Northeast for approximately \$209 million in cash. The transaction released us from various long-term natural gas purchase and sales obligations and approximately \$390 million in future demand payment obligations associated with the transport position. As a result of this transaction, we recorded a net gain of \$209 million in second-quarter 2015 on these executory contracts.

During the first quarter of 2015, we sold a portion of our Appalachian Basin operations and released certain firm transportation capacity to Southwestern Energy Company (NYSE: SWN) for approximately \$288 million, subject to post-closing adjustments. Including an estimate of post-closing adjustments of \$17 million, we recorded a net gain of \$69 million in first-quarter 2015. The assets were primarily located in the Appalachian Basin in Susquehanna County, Pennsylvania. The transaction also included the release of firm transportation capacity that we had under contract in the Northeast, primarily with Millennium Pipeline. Upon the transfer of the firm capacity, we were released from approximately \$24 million per year in annual demand obligations associated with the transport.



**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

**Other Expenses**

In December 2015, we plugged and abandoned the remaining wells serviced by a certain natural gas gathering system in the Appalachian Basin. As a result, we recorded approximately \$23 million associated with the net present value of future obligations under the gathering agreement which is included in other—net on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

During the first quarter of 2015, we executed a termination and settlement agreement to release us from a crude oil transportation and sales agreement in anticipation of entering into a different agreement with another third party with more favorable terms. As a result of this contract termination and settlement, we recorded an expense of approximately \$22 million which is included in other—net on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

**Exploration Expenses**

The following table presents a summary of exploration expenses.

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
	(Millions)		
Unproved leasehold property impairments, amortization and expiration	\$ 98	\$ 38	\$ 54
Geologic and geophysical costs	3	3	7
Impairments of exploratory area well costs and dry hole costs	—	1	24
Total exploration expenses	<u>\$ 101</u>	<u>\$ 42</u>	<u>\$ 85</u>

Unproved leasehold property impairment, amortization and expiration for 2017 includes costs in excess of the accumulated amortization balance associated with certain leases in the Permian Basin that expired during the first quarter of 2017. These leases were renewed in second-quarter 2017.

Impairments of exploratory well costs and dry hole costs for 2015 include \$24 million related to a non-core exploratory play where we no longer intend to continue exploration activities.

Unproved leasehold property impairments, amortization and expiration in 2015 include impairments of \$26 million related to non-core exploratory areas where we no longer intend to continue exploration activities.

**Note 6 . Investments**

In June 2017, we signed an agreement with Howard Energy Partners (“Howard”) to jointly develop oil gathering and natural gas processing infrastructure in the Stateline area of the Delaware Basin. Under the terms of the agreement, WPX and Howard each have a 50 percent voting interest in the newly formed joint venture legal entity, Catalyst Midstream Partners LLC (“Catalyst”) and a Howard entity will serve as operator. In addition to a \$300 million cash contribution, Howard is obligated to fund the first \$263 million of joint venture capital expenditures. At closing in October 2017, WPX contributed subsidiaries holding crude oil gathering and natural gas processing assets already in service and/or under construction, with a net book value of approximately \$53 million . WPX also paid \$11 million for advisory services and legal fees on the transaction. Howard contributed \$439 million in cash at closing, \$139 million of which applies to the \$263 million carry obligation of Howard including \$49 million for capital expenditures from January 1, 2017 to closing. Concurrently, WPX received a \$300 million special distribution plus the \$49 million for capital expenditures from Catalyst. We will account for our investment in Catalyst as an equity method investment. In connection with the joint venture, a consolidated subsidiary of WPX dedicated production from its current and future leasehold interest in the Stateline area, representing 50,000 net acres in the Delaware Basin, pursuant to 20 year fixed-fee oil gathering and natural gas processing agreements with subsidiaries of Catalyst. The agreements do not include any minimum volume commitments. As of December 31, 2017, our investment in Catalyst is \$64 million . We have deferred recognition of the \$349 million and will recognize it over the 20 years based on production volumes as a deduction to gathering, processing and transportation expense. The \$349 million is reported within other noncurrent liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

**Note 7. Properties and Equipment**

Properties and equipment is carried at cost and consists of the following:

	Estimated Useful Life(a) (Years)	December 31,	
		2017	2016
		(Millions)	
Proved properties	(b)	\$ 6,875	\$ 5,451
Unproved properties	(c)	2,334	2,065
Gathering, processing and other facilities	15-25	249	185
Construction in progress	(c)	340	172
Other	3-40	118	113
Total properties and equipment, at cost		9,916	7,986
Accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization		(2,462)	(1,829)
Properties and equipment—net		\$ 7,454	\$ 6,157

(a) Estimated useful lives are presented as of December 31, 2017 .

(b) Proved properties are depreciated, depleted and amortized using the units-of-production method (see Note 1 ).

(c) Unproved properties and construction in progress are not yet subject to depreciation and depletion.

Unproved properties consist primarily of non-producing leasehold in the Delaware Basin.

**Asset Retirement Obligations**

Our asset retirement obligations relate to producing wells, gathering well connections and related facilities. At the end of the useful life of each respective asset, we are legally obligated to plug producing wells and remove any related surface equipment and to cap gathering well connections at the wellhead and remove any related facility surface equipment.

A rollforward of our asset retirement obligations for the years ended 2017 and 2016 is presented below.

	2017	2016
	(Millions)	
Balance, January 1	\$ 45	\$ 44
Liabilities incurred	6	5
Liabilities settled	(11)	(6)
Estimate revisions	1	—
Accretion expense(a)	2	2
Balance, December 31	\$ 43	\$ 45
Amount reflected as current	\$ 7	\$ 7

(a) Accretion expense is included in lease and facility operating expense on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Accretion expense associated with natural gas-producing assets in the San Juan Basin that were sold in December 2017 was approximately \$4 million and \$3 million for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

**Note 8 . Accounts Payable and Accrued and Other Current Liabilities**

**Accounts Payable**

The following table presents a summary of our accounts payable as of the dates indicated below.

	December 31,	
	2017	2016
	(Millions)	
Trade	\$ 120	\$ 64
Accrual for capital expenditures	151	72
Royalties	150	69
Other	25	17
	<u>\$ 446</u>	<u>\$ 222</u>

**Accrued and other current liabilities**

The following table presents a summary of our accrued and other current liabilities as of the dates indicated below.

	December 31,	
	2017	2016
	(Millions)	
Taxes other than income taxes	\$ 14	\$ 15
Accrued interest	69	72
Compensation and benefit related accruals	39	51
Gathering and transportation	11	14
Gathering and transportation related to exited areas	53	57
Deferred gain and future construction obligations related to sales of gathering systems	—	66
Other, including other loss contingencies	23	26
	<u>\$ 209</u>	<u>\$ 301</u>

**Note 9 . Debt and Banking Arrangements**

The following table presents a summary of our debt as of the dates indicated below.

	December 31,	
	2017 (a)	2016 (a)
	(Millions)	
Credit facility agreement	\$ —	\$ —
7.500% Senior Notes due 2020	350	500
6.000% Senior Notes due 2022	1,100	1,100
8.250% Senior Notes due 2023	500	500
5.250% Senior Notes due 2024	650	500
Other	—	1
Total debt	<u>\$ 2,600</u>	<u>\$ 2,601</u>
Less: Current portion of long-term debt	—	—
Total long-term debt	<u>\$ 2,600</u>	<u>\$ 2,601</u>
Less: Debt issuance costs(b)	25	26
Total long-term debt, net(b)	<u>\$ 2,575</u>	<u>\$ 2,575</u>

(a) Interest paid on debt totaled \$178 million , \$194 million and \$120 million for 2017 , 2016 and 2015 , respectively.

(b) Debt issuance costs related to our Credit Facility are recorded in other noncurrent assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

**Credit Facility**

On March 18, 2016, the Company entered into a Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, Lender and Swingline Lender and the other lenders party thereto (the “Credit Facility”). The Credit Facility, as amended, is now a \$1.2 billion senior secured revolving credit facility with a maturity date of October 28, 2019. Based on our current credit ratings, a Collateral Trigger Period applies making the Credit Facility subject to certain financial covenants and a Borrowing Base as described below. The Credit Facility may be used for working capital, acquisitions, capital expenditures and other general corporate purposes. The financial covenants in the Credit Facility may limit our ability to borrow money, depending on the applicable financial metrics at any given time. As of December 31, 2017, WPX had no borrowings outstanding, had \$70 million of letters of credit issued under the Credit Facility and was in compliance with our covenants under the credit agreement.

*Borrowing Base.* During a Collateral Trigger Period, loans under the Credit Facility are subject to a Borrowing Base as calculated in accordance with the provisions of the Credit Facility. In October 2017, the Borrowing Base was increased to \$1.5 billion and will remain in effect until the next Redetermination Date as set forth in the Credit Facility. At this time, the Credit Facility Agreement is limited by the total commitments on the Credit Facility which remained at \$1.2 billion. The Borrowing Base is recalculated at least every six months per the terms of the Credit Facility.

*Terms and Conditions.* The Credit Facility will initially be guaranteed by certain subsidiaries of the Company (excluding subsidiaries holding Midstream Assets and subsidiaries meeting other customary exclusion criteria), as Guarantors, and secured by substantially all of the Company’s and the Guarantors’ assets (including oil and gas properties), subject to customary exceptions and carve outs (which shall also exclude Midstream Assets and the equity interests of subsidiaries holding Midstream Assets). Such obligations shall terminate on the earlier of any applicable Collateral Trigger Termination Date (as described below) or the date on which all liens held by the Administrative Agent for the benefit of the secured parties are released pursuant to the terms of the Credit Facility.

The Collateral Trigger Termination Date is the first date following the date of the closing of the Credit Facility and the first date following any Collateral Trigger Date, as applicable, on which:

- (1) (i) the Company’s Corporate Rating is BBB- or better by S&P (without negative outlook or negative watch) or (ii) Baa3 or better by Moody’s (without negative outlook or negative watch), provided that the other of the two Corporate Ratings is at least BB+ by S&P or Ba1 by Moody’s; or
- (2) both (i) the ratio of Consolidated Net Indebtedness to Consolidated EBITDAX (for the most recently ended four consecutive fiscal quarters) is less than or equal to 3.00 to 1.00 and (ii) the Corporate Rating is (A) at least Ba1 by Moody’s and at least BB by S&P or (B) at least Ba2 by Moody’s and at least BB+ by S&P.

*Interest and Commitment Fees.* Interest on borrowings under the Credit Facility is payable at rates per annum equal to, at the Company’s option: (1) a fluctuating base rate equal to the alternate base rate plus the applicable margin, or (2) a periodic fixed rate equal to LIBOR plus the applicable margin. The alternate base rate will be the highest of (i) the federal funds rate plus 0.5 percent, (ii) the Prime Rate, and (iii) one-month LIBOR plus 1.0 percent. The Company is required to pay a commitment fee based on the unused portion of the commitments under the Credit Facility. The applicable margin and the commitment fees during a Collateral Trigger Period are determined by reference to a utilization percentage as set forth in the Credit Facility. The applicable margin and the commitment fee other than during a Collateral Trigger Period are determined by reference to a pricing schedule based on the Company’s senior unsecured non-credit enhanced debt ratings.

*Significant Financial Covenants.*

Currently, the Company is required to maintain:

- ratio of Consolidated Secured Indebtedness to Consolidated EBITDAX (for the most recently ended four consecutive fiscal quarters) of not greater than 3.25 to 1.00 as of the last day of any fiscal quarter ending on or before December 31, 2017 and 3.00 to 1.00 thereafter; and
- a ratio of consolidated current assets (including the unused amount of the Borrowing Base) of the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries to the consolidated current liabilities of the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries as of the last day of any fiscal quarter of at least 1.0 to 1.0.

If a Collateral Trigger Termination Date occurs, other financial covenants would apply.

*Covenants.* The Credit Facility contains customary representations and warranties and affirmative, negative and financial covenants (as described above) which were made only for the purposes of the Credit Facility and as of the specific date (or dates) set forth therein, and may be subject to certain limitations as agreed upon by the contracting parties. The covenants limit,

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

among other things, the ability of the Company’s subsidiaries to incur indebtedness; the ability of the Company and its subsidiaries to grant certain liens, make restricted payments, materially change the nature of its or their business, make investments, guarantees, loans or advances in non-subsidiaries or enter into certain hedging agreements; the ability of the Company’s material subsidiaries to enter into certain restrictive agreements; the ability of the Company and its material subsidiaries to enter into certain affiliate transactions; the ability of the Company and its subsidiaries to redeem any senior notes; and the Company’s ability to merge or consolidate with any person or sell all or substantially all of its assets to any person. The Company and its subsidiaries are also prohibited from using the proceeds under the Credit Facility in violation of Sanctions (as defined in the Credit Facility). In addition, the representations, warranties and covenants contained in the Credit Facility are subject to certain exceptions and/or standards of materiality applicable to the contracting parties.

*Events of Default.* The Credit Facility includes customary events of default, including events of default relating to:

- non-payment of principal, interest or fees;
- inaccuracy of representations and warranties in any material respect when made or when deemed made;
- violation of covenants;
- cross payment-defaults;
- cross acceleration;
- bankruptcy and insolvency events;
- certain unsatisfied judgments;
- a change of control; and
- during any secured period, the failure of the collateral documents to be in effect or a lien to be valid and perfected.

If an event of default with respect to a borrower occurs under the Credit Facility, the lenders will be able to terminate the commitments and accelerate the maturity of the loans of the defaulting borrower under the Credit Facility and exercise other rights and remedies.

**Senior Notes**

The following table summarizes the face values, maturity dates, semi-annual interest payment dates, and optional redemption periods related to the Company’s outstanding unsecured senior note obligations at December 31, 2017.

Senior Note	Face Value (Millions)	Maturity Date	Interest Payment Dates	Optional Redemption Period(a)
7.500% Senior Notes due 2020 (the “2020 Notes”)	\$ 350	August 1, 2020	February 1, August 1	July 1, 2020
6.000% Senior Notes due 2022 (the “2022 Notes”)	\$ 1,100	January 15, 2022	January 15, July 15	October 15, 2021
8.250% Senior Notes due 2023 (the “2023 Notes”)	\$ 500	August 1, 2023	February 1, August 1	June 1, 2023
5.250% Senior Notes due 2024 (the “2024 Notes”)	\$ 650	September 15, 2024	March 15, September 15	June 15, 2024

(a) At any time prior to these dates, we have the option to redeem some or all of the notes at a specified “make whole” premium as described in the indenture(s) governing the notes to be redeemed. On or after these dates, we have the option to redeem the notes, in whole or in part, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes to be redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon to the redemption date as more fully described in the indenture.

During third-quarter 2017, we issued an additional \$150 million of our 5.25% senior notes due 2024. The proceeds were used to fund the tender offer of \$150 million of our 7.50% senior notes due 2020. As a result, we recorded a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$17 million.

The terms of the indentures governing our 2020 Notes, 2022 Notes, 2023 Notes and 2024 Notes are substantially identical.

*Change of Control.* If we experience a change of control (as defined in the indentures governing the notes) accompanied by a specified rating decline, we must offer to repurchase the notes of such series at 101% of their principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

*Covenants.* The terms of the indentures governing our notes restrict our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries to incur additional indebtedness secured by liens and to effect a consolidation, merger or sale of substantially all our assets. The indentures also require us to file with the trustee and the SEC certain documents and reports within certain time limits set forth in the indentures. However, these limitations and requirements are subject to a number of important qualifications and exceptions. The indentures do not require the maintenance of any financial ratios or specified levels of net worth or liquidity.

*Events of Default.* Each of the following is an “Event of Default” under the indentures with respect to the notes of any series:

- (1) a default in the payment of interest on the notes when due that continues for 30 days ;
- (2) a default in the payment of the principal of or any premium, if any, on the notes when due at their stated maturity, upon redemption, or otherwise;
- (3) failure by us to duly observe or perform any other of the covenants or agreements (other than those described in clause (1) or (2) above) in the indenture, which failure continues for a period of 60 days , or, in the case of the reporting covenant under the indenture, which failure continues for a period of 90 days , after the date on which written notice of such failure has been given to us by the trustee; provided, however, that if such failure is not capable of cure within such 60-day or 90-day period, as the case may be, such 60-day or 90-day period, as the case may be, will be automatically extended by an additional 60 days so long as (i) such failure is subject to cure and (ii) we are using commercially reasonable efforts to cure such failure; and
- (4) certain events of bankruptcy, insolvency or reorganization described in the indenture.

**Note 10 . Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes**

The following table includes the provision (benefit) for income taxes from continuing operations.

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
	(Millions)		
Provision (benefit):			
Current:			
Federal	\$ (18)	\$ (26)	\$ (4)
State	1	(7)	7
	<u>(17)</u>	<u>(33)</u>	<u>3</u>
Deferred:			
Federal	(118)	(301)	12
State	(13)	9	9
	<u>(131)</u>	<u>(292)</u>	<u>21</u>
Total provision (benefit)	<u>\$ (148)</u>	<u>\$ (325)</u>	<u>\$ 24</u>

The following table provides reconciliations from the provision (benefit) for income taxes from continuing operations at the federal statutory rate to the realized provision (benefit) for income taxes.

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
	(Millions)		
Provision (benefit) at statutory rate	\$ (56)	\$ (328)	\$ 7
Increases (decreases) in taxes resulting from:			
State income taxes (net of federal benefit)	(12)	(40)	3
Valuation allowance on current year state income taxes (net of federal benefit)	17	18	1
Valuation allowance on state income taxes resulting from sale (net of federal benefit)	—	8	—
Effective state income tax rate change (net of federal benefit)	(12)	15	7
Provisional impact of Tax Cuts and Jobs Act	(92)	—	—
Other	7	2	6
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	<u>\$ (148)</u>	<u>\$ (325)</u>	<u>\$ 24</u>

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

As discussed below, we record a valuation allowance on certain state net operating loss (“NOL”) carryovers generated in current years. As a result of the sale of our Piceance Basin operations in Colorado in the second quarter of 2016, we recorded \$8 million of valuation allowances against Colorado NOL and credit carryovers generated in prior years.

Significant changes to our operations during 2017, 2016 and 2015 resulted in changes to our anticipated future state apportionment for our estimated state deferred tax liability. As a result of these changes and the differing state tax rates, we recorded an additional \$12 million deferred tax benefit in 2017. We also accrued an additional \$15 million and \$7 million of deferred tax expense in 2016 and 2015, respectively.

On December 22, 2017, the President of the United States signed into law the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (“Act”). The income tax effects of changes in tax laws are recognized in the period when enacted. While the Company continues to assess the impact of the tax reform legislation on its business and consolidated financial statements, the legislation does reduce the U.S. corporate tax rate from the current rate of 35 percent to 21 percent effective January 1, 2018. This rate reduction results in a provisional estimate of a \$97 million benefit offset by \$5 million impact of equity based executive compensation to income tax expense in continuing operations and a corresponding reduction in the deferred tax liability. The Act provides for other significant tax law changes and modifications such as the repeal of the alternative minimum tax (“AMT”). Accordingly, AMT credits can be used to offset regular tax liability and/or can be refundable over tax years 2018-2021.

Due to the uncertainty or diversity in views about the application of ASC 740 in the period of enactment of the Act, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin (“SAB”) 118 which allows us to provide a provisional estimate of the impacts of the Act in our earnings for the year ending December 31, 2017. Our estimate does not reflect the impact of potential reductions of AMT credit refunds, changes in current interpretations of performance based executive compensation deduction limitations, effects of any state tax law changes and uncertainties regarding interpretations that may arise as a result of federal tax reform. The Company will continue to analyze the effects of the Act on its financial statements and operations. Additional impacts from the enactment of the Act will be recorded as they are identified during the one-year measurement period as provided for in SAB 118.

The following table includes significant components of deferred tax liabilities and deferred tax assets.

	December 31,	
	2017	2016
	(Millions)	
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Properties and equipment	\$ 792	\$ 1,295
Derivatives, net	—	—
Other, net	1	2
<b>Total deferred tax liabilities</b>	<b>793</b>	<b>1,297</b>
Deferred tax assets:		
Accrued liabilities and other	79	178
Alternative minimum tax credits	78	104
Loss carryovers	672	849
Derivatives, net	42	66
<b>Total deferred tax assets</b>	<b>871</b>	<b>1,197</b>
Less: valuation allowance	195	151
<b>Total net deferred tax assets</b>	<b>676</b>	<b>1,046</b>
<b>Net deferred tax liabilities</b>	<b>\$ 117</b>	<b>\$ 251</b>

As of December 31, 2017, the Company has not completed its accounting for the tax effects of enactment of the Act; however, the Company has made a reasonable estimate of the effects on its existing deferred tax balances.

Net cash payments (refunds) for income taxes were \$(39) million, \$21 million and \$(8) million in 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

The Company has federal NOL carryovers of approximately \$2,132 million at December 31, 2017, including a \$353 million RKI NOL, that will not begin to expire until 2032. In addition, we have \$46 million of federal capital loss carryovers at December 31, 2017, that will begin to expire in 2020.

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

The Company has state NOL carryovers, including the RKI carryovers, of approximately \$3.8 billion and \$3.1 billion at 2017 and 2016, respectively, of which more than 99 percent expire after 2029.

We have recorded valuation allowances against deferred tax assets attributable primarily to certain state NOL carryovers as well as our federal capital loss carryover. When assessing the need for a valuation allowance, we primarily consider future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences. To a lesser extent we may also consider future taxable income exclusive of reversing temporary differences and carryovers, and tax-planning strategies that would, if necessary, be implemented to accelerate taxable amounts to utilize expiring carryovers. The ultimate amount of deferred tax assets realized could be materially different from those recorded, as influenced by future operational performance, potential changes in jurisdictional income tax laws and other circumstances surrounding the actual realization of related tax assets. Valuation allowances that we have recorded are due to our expectation that we will not have sufficient income, or income of a sufficient character, in those jurisdictions to which the associated deferred tax asset applies. As of December 31, 2017, our assessment of federal net operating loss carryovers was that no valuation allowance was required; however, a future pretax loss may result in the need for a valuation allowance on our deferred tax assets.

The ability of WPX to utilize loss carryovers or minimum tax credits to reduce future federal taxable income and income tax could be subject to limitations under the Internal Revenue Code. The utilization of such carryovers may be limited upon the occurrence of certain ownership changes during any three-year period resulting in an aggregate change of more than 50 percent in beneficial ownership (an "Ownership Change"). As of December 31, 2017, we do not believe that an Ownership Change has occurred for WPX, but an Ownership Change did occur for RKI effective with the RKI Acquisition. Therefore, there is an annual limitation on the benefit that WPX can claim from RKI carryovers that arose prior to the RKI Acquisition.

Pursuant to our tax sharing agreement with Williams, we remain responsible for the tax from audit adjustments related to our business for periods prior to our spin-off from Williams on December 31, 2011. The 2011 consolidated tax filing by Williams is currently being audited by the IRS and is the only pre spin-off period for which we continue to have exposure to audit adjustments as part of Williams. The IRS has recently proposed an adjustment related to our business for which a payment to Williams could be required. We are currently evaluating the issue and expect to protest the adjustment within the normal Appeals process of the IRS. In addition, the alternative minimum tax credit deferred tax asset that was allocated to us by Williams at the time of the spin-off could change due to audit adjustments unrelated to our business. Any such adjustments to this deferred tax asset will not be known until the IRS examination is completed but is not expected to result in a cash settlement.

The Company files a consolidated federal income tax return and several state income tax returns. The Company's federal income tax returns for tax years 2014 through 2016 remain open for examination. The statute of limitations for most states expires one year after expiration of the IRS statute. During the year ended December 31, 2017, the IRS began an examination of the Company's 2014 and 2015 federal income tax returns. In addition, the IRS began an examination of RKI's 2014 and short-period 2015 federal income tax returns. These examinations remain in the preliminary stage and no additional taxes or refunds have been recorded at this time.

The Company's policy is to recognize related interest and penalties as a component of income tax expense. The amounts accrued for interest and penalties are less than \$1 million for 2017. The impact of this accrual is included within *Other* in our reconciliation of the provision (benefit) at statutory rate to recorded provision (benefit) for income taxes.

As of December 31, 2017, the Company has approximately \$8 million of unrecognized tax benefits which is offset by an increase in deferred tax assets of approximately \$7 million. During the next 12 months, we do not expect ultimate resolution of any uncertain tax position will result in a significant increase or decrease of an unrecognized tax benefit.

## **Note 11 . Contingent Liabilities and Commitments**

### **Contingent Liabilities**

#### ***Royalty litigation***

In October 2011, a potential class of royalty interest owners in New Mexico and Colorado filed a complaint against us in the County of Rio Arriba, New Mexico. The complaint presently alleges failure to pay royalty on hydrocarbons including drip condensate, breach of the duty of good faith and fair dealing, fraudulent concealment, conversion, misstatement of the value of gas and affiliated sales, breach of duty to market hydrocarbons in Colorado, breach of implied duty to market, violation of the New Mexico Oil and Gas Proceeds Payment Act, and bad faith breach of contract. Plaintiffs sought monetary damages and a declaratory judgment enjoining activities relating to production, payments and future reporting. This matter was removed to the United States District Court for New Mexico where the court denied plaintiffs' motion for class certification. In March 2017,



**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

plaintiffs appealed the denial of class certification to the Tenth Circuit and oral argument before the Tenth Circuit was held on January 17, 2018. In August 2012, a second potential class action was filed against us in the United States District Court for the District of New Mexico by mineral interest owners in New Mexico and Colorado. Plaintiffs claim breach of contract, breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, breach of implied duty to market both in Colorado and New Mexico and violation of the New Mexico Oil and Gas Proceeds Payment Act, and seek declaratory judgment, accounting and injunctive relief. On August 16, 2016, the court denied plaintiffs' motion for class certification. On September 15, 2016, plaintiffs filed their motion for reconsideration and filed a second motion for class certification, and on September 30, 2017, the Court issued its memorandum opinion and order denying the plaintiffs motion for reconsideration and their Second Motion for Class Certification. At this time, we believe that our royalty calculations have been properly determined in accordance with the appropriate contractual arrangements and applicable laws. We do not have sufficient information to calculate an estimated range of exposure related to these claims.

Other producers have been pursuing administrative appeals with a federal regulatory agency and have been in discussions with a state agency in New Mexico regarding certain deductions, comprised primarily of processing, treating and transportation costs, used in the calculation of royalties. Although we are not a party to those matters, we are monitoring them to evaluate whether their resolution might have the potential for unfavorable impact on our results of operations. Certain outstanding issues in those matters could be material to us. We received notice from the U.S. Department of Interior Office of Natural Resources Revenue ("ONRR") in the fourth quarter of 2010, intending to clarify the guidelines for calculating federal royalties on conventional gas production applicable to many of our federal leases in New Mexico. The guidelines for New Mexico properties were revised slightly in September 2013 as a result of additional work performed by the ONRR. The revisions did not change the basic function of the original guidance. The ONRR's guidance provides its view as to how much of a producer's bundled fees for transportation and processing can be deducted from the royalty payment. We believe using these guidelines would not result in a material difference in determining our historical federal royalty payments for our leases in New Mexico. Similar guidelines were recently issued for certain leases in Colorado and, as in the case of the New Mexico guidelines, we do not believe that they will result in a material difference to our historical federal royalty payments. ONRR has asked producers to attempt to evaluate the deductibility of these fees directly with the midstream companies that transport and process gas.

***Environmental matters***

The Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), other federal agencies, and various state and local regulatory agencies and jurisdictions routinely promulgate and propose new rules, and issue updated guidance to existing rules. These new rules and rulemakings include, but are not limited to, new air quality standards for ground level ozone, methane, green completions, and hydraulic fracturing and water standards. We are unable to estimate the costs of asset additions or modifications necessary to comply with these new regulations due to uncertainty created by the various legal challenges to these regulations and the need for further specific regulatory guidance.

***Matters related to Williams' former power business***

In connection with a Separation and Distribution Agreement between WPX and Williams, Williams is obligated to indemnify and hold us harmless from any losses arising out of liabilities assumed by us for the pending litigation described below relating to the reporting of certain natural gas-related information to trade publications.

Civil suits based on allegations of manipulating published gas price indices have been brought against us and others, seeking unspecified amounts of damages. We are currently a defendant in class action litigation and other litigation originally filed in state court in Colorado, Kansas, Missouri and Wisconsin and brought on behalf of direct and indirect purchasers of natural gas in those states. These cases were transferred to the federal court in Nevada. In 2008, the court granted summary judgment in the Colorado case in favor of us and most of the other defendants based on plaintiffs' lack of standing. On January 8, 2009, the court denied the plaintiffs' request for reconsideration of the Colorado dismissal and entered judgment in our favor.

In the other cases, on July 18, 2011, the Nevada district court granted our joint motions for summary judgment to preclude the plaintiffs' state law claims because the federal Natural Gas Act gives the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission exclusive jurisdiction to resolve those issues. The court also denied the plaintiffs' class certification motion as moot. The plaintiffs appealed to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. On April 10, 2013, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit issued its opinion in the *In re: Western States Wholesale Antitrust Litigation*, holding that the Natural Gas Act does not preempt the plaintiffs' state antitrust claims and reversing the summary judgment previously entered in favor of the defendants. The U.S. Supreme Court granted Defendants' writ of certiorari. On April 21, 2015, the U.S. Supreme Court determined that the state antitrust claims are not preempted by the federal Natural Gas Act. On March 7, 2016, the putative class plaintiffs in several of the cases filed their motions for class certification. On March 30, 2017, the court denied

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

the motions for class certification, which decision was appealed on June 20, 2017. On May 24, 2016, in Reorganized FLI Inc. v. Williams Companies, Inc., the Court granted Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment in its entirety, and an agreed amended judgment was entered by the court on January 4, 2017. The parties have filed numerous motions for summary judgment, reconsideration and remand, and there are currently two appeals before the Ninth Circuit. Because of the uncertainty around pending unresolved issues, including an insufficient description of the purported classes and other related matters, we cannot reasonably estimate a range of potential exposure at this time.

***Other Indemnifications***

Pursuant to various purchase and sale agreements relating to divested businesses and assets, including the agreement pursuant to which we divested our Piceance Basin operations, we have indemnified certain purchasers against liabilities that they may incur with respect to the businesses and assets acquired from us. The indemnities provided to the purchasers are customary in sale transactions and are contingent upon the purchasers incurring liabilities that are not otherwise recoverable from third parties. The indemnities generally relate to breaches of representations and warranties, tax liabilities, historic litigation, personal injury, environmental matters and rights-of-way. Additionally, Federal and state laws in areas of former operations may require previous operators to perform in certain circumstances where the buyer/operator may no longer be able to perform.

The indemnity provided to the purchaser of the entity that held our Piceance Basin operations relates in substantial part to liabilities arising in connection with litigation over the appropriate calculation of royalty payments. Plaintiffs in that litigation have asserted claims regarding, among other things, the method by which we took transportation costs into account when calculating royalty payments. In 2017, we settled one of these claims.

As of December 31, 2017, we have not received a claim against any of these indemnities and thus have no basis from which to estimate any reasonably possible loss beyond any amount already accrued. Further, we do not expect any of the indemnities provided pursuant to the sales agreements to have a material impact on our future financial position. However, if a claim for indemnity is brought against us in the future, it may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations in the period in which the claim is made.

In connection with the separation from Williams, we agreed to indemnify and hold Williams harmless from any losses resulting from the operation of our business or arising out of liabilities assumed by us. Similarly, Williams has agreed to indemnify and hold us harmless from any losses resulting from the operation of its business or arising out of liabilities assumed by it.

***Summary***

As of December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the Company had accrued approximately \$11 million and \$13 million, respectively, for loss contingencies associated with royalty litigation and other contingencies. In certain circumstances, we may be eligible for insurance recoveries, or reimbursement from others. Any such recoveries or reimbursements will be recognized only when realizable.

Management, including internal counsel, currently believes that the ultimate resolution of the foregoing matters, taken as a whole and after consideration of amounts accrued, insurance coverage, recovery from customers or other indemnification arrangements, is not expected to have a materially adverse effect upon our future liquidity or financial position; however, it could be material to our results of operations in any given year.

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

**Commitments**

We have minimum commitments with midstream companies for gathering, treating, processing and transportation services associated with moving certain of our production to market. As part of managing our commodity price risk, we may also utilize contracted pipeline capacity to move our natural gas production and third-party purchases of natural gas to other locations in an attempt to obtain more favorable pricing differentials. During 2015 and 2016 we divested most of our contracted pipeline capacity in conjunction with our exits from the Piceance and Appalachian Basins. During 2017, we entered into various contracts for pipeline capacity to move our Permian Basin production to market. The midstream service and transportation contract commitments disclosed below include obligations for which liabilities were recorded in 2015 associated with our exit from the Powder River Basin and our abandonment of an area in the Appalachian Basin. Amounts below also include obligations totaling \$317 million associated with our San Juan Basin operations that will be assumed by the purchaser (see Note 17 ). As of December 31, 2017 , commitments and recorded liabilities associated with our midstream service and transportation contracts are as follows:

	<u>Midstream Services</u>	<u>Transportation</u>	<u>Total</u>
	(Millions)		
2018	\$ 106	\$ 55	\$ 161
2019	101	57	158
2020	103	60	163
2021	91	44	135
2022	81	33	114
Thereafter	219	279	498
<b>Total commitments</b>	<b>\$ 701</b>	<b>\$ 528</b>	<b>\$ 1,229</b>
<b>Accrued liabilities</b>	<b>\$ 34</b>	<b>\$ 67</b>	<b>\$ 101</b>

Our midstream service commitments will be settled over approximately eight years .

Future minimum annual rentals under noncancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2017 , are payable as follows:

	(Millions)
2018	\$ 7
2019	5
2020	5
2021	4
2022	1
Thereafter	—
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 22</b>

Total rent expense, excluding amounts capitalized, was \$25 million , \$30 million and \$28 million in 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Rent charges incurred for drilling rig rentals are capitalized under the successful efforts method of accounting; however, charges for rig release penalties or long term standby charges are expensed as incurred.

**Note 12 . Employee Benefit Plans**

WPX has a defined contribution plan which matches dollar-for-dollar up to the first 6 percent of eligible pay per period. Employees also receive a non-matching annual employer contribution equal to 8 percent of eligible pay if they are age 40 or older and 6 percent of eligible pay if they are under age 40. Total contributions to this plan were \$11 million , \$13 million and \$15 million for 2017 , 2016 and 2015 , respectively. Approximately \$7 million , \$7 million and \$9 million were included in accrued and other current liabilities at December 31, 2017 , 2016 and 2015 , respectively, related to the non-matching annual employer contribution.

**Note 13 . Stock-Based Compensation**

We have an equity incentive plan (“2013 Incentive Plan”) and an employee stock purchase plan (“ESPP”). The 2013 Incentive Plan authorizes the grant of nonqualified stock options, incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

stock, restricted stock units, performance shares, performance units and other stock-based awards (restricted stock awards, restricted stock units, performance shares and performance units are collectively referred to as restricted stock units and awards for purposes of this footnote). At December 31, 2017, 14 million shares of our common stock were reserved for issuance pursuant to existing and future stock awards, of which 6 million shares were available for future grants. The 2013 Incentive Plan is administered by either the full Board of Directors or a committee as designated by the Board of Directors, determined by the grant. Our employees, officers and non-employee directors are eligible to receive awards under the 2013 Incentive Plan.

Total stock-based compensation expense was \$30 million, \$33 million and \$31 million for of the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and is reflected in general and administrative expense. Measured but unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to restricted stock units and awards at December 31, 2017 was \$36 million and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.8 years. There was no unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to stock options at December 31, 2017.

The ESPP allows employees the option to purchase WPX common stock at a 15 percent discount through after-tax payroll deductions. The purchase price of the stock is the lower of either the first or last day of the biannual offering periods, followed with the 15 percent discount. The maximum number of shares that shall be made available under the purchase plan is 1 million shares, subject to adjustment for stock splits and similar events. Offering periods are from January through June and from July through December. Employees purchased 122 thousand shares at an average price of \$8.34 per share during 2017.

**Nonvested Restricted Stock Units and Awards**

The following summary reflects nonvested restricted stock unit activity and related information for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Restricted Stock Units	Shares (Millions)	Weighted-Average Fair Value(a)
Nonvested at December 31, 2016	6.5	\$ 11.92
Granted	2.4	\$ 13.76
Forfeited	(0.7)	\$ 12.72
Vested	(2.5)	\$ 13.18
Nonvested at December 31, 2017	5.7	\$ 12.06

(a) Performance-based shares are valued utilizing a Monte Carlo valuation method using measures of total shareholder return. All other shares are valued at the grant-date market price.

*Other restricted stock unit information*

	2017	2016	2015
Weighted-average grant date fair value of restricted stock units granted during the year, per share	\$ 13.76	\$ 10.99	\$ 10.24
Total fair value of restricted stock units vested during the year (millions)	\$ 33	\$ 37	\$ 40

Performance-based shares granted represent 31 percent of nonvested restricted stock units outstanding at December 31, 2017. These grants may be earned at the end of a three-year period based on actual performance against a performance target. Expense associated with these performance-based grants is recognized in periods after performance targets are established. Based on the extent to which certain financial targets are achieved, vested shares may range from zero percent to 200 percent of the original grant amount.

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

**Stock Options**

The following summary reflects stock option activity and related information for the year ended December 31, 2017 .

Stock Options	Options (Millions)	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (Millions)
Outstanding at December 31, 2016	2.7	\$ 15.31		\$ 4
Granted	—	\$ —		
Exercised	(0.1)	\$ 8.06		
Forfeited	(0.4)	\$ 15.96		
Outstanding at December 31, 2017	2.2	\$ 15.35	2.2	\$ 3
Exercisable at December 31, 2017	2.2	\$ 15.35	2.2	\$ 3

The total intrinsic value of options exercised was \$224 thousand , \$160 thousand and \$319 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2017 , 2016 and 2015 , respectively.

Cash received from stock option exercises was \$0.4 million , \$0.4 million and \$2 million during 2017 , 2016 and 2015 , respectively.

The Company did not grant stock options during the years ended 2017, 2016 and 2015.

**Note 14 . Stockholders' Equity**

**Preferred Stock**

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation authorizes our Board of Directors to establish one or more series of preferred stock. Unless required by law or by any stock exchange on which our common stock is listed, the authorized shares of preferred stock will be available for issuance without further action. Rights and privileges associated with shares of preferred stock are subject to authorization by our Board of Directors and may differ from those of any and all other series at any time outstanding. As of December 31, 2017 , there were 4.8 million shares of our 6.25% series A Mandatory Convertible Preferred Stock (“Preferred Stock”) issued and outstanding (as described below).

*Series A Mandatory Convertible Preferred Stock*

On July 22, 2015, we issued 7 million shares, \$0.01 par value, pursuant to a registered public offering, of our Preferred Stock at \$50 per share, for gross proceeds of approximately \$350 million , before underwriting discounts and commissions.

Dividends on our Preferred Stock will be payable on a cumulative basis when, as and if declared by our Board of Directors, or an authorized committee of our Board of Directors, at an annual rate of 6.25% of the liquidation preference of \$50 per share. We may pay declared dividends in cash or, subject to certain limitations, in shares of our common stock, or in any combination of cash and shares of our common stock on January 31, April 30, July 31 and October 31 of each year, commencing on October 31, 2015 and ending on, and including, July 31, 2018.

Each share of our Preferred Stock has a liquidation preference of \$50 and, unless converted or redeemed earlier, each share of our Preferred Stock will automatically convert on July 31, 2018 into between 4.1254 and 4.9504 shares of our common stock (respectively, the “minimum conversion rate” and “maximum conversion rate”), subject to anti-dilution adjustments. The number of shares of our common stock issuable on conversion will be determined based on the average volume weighted average price per share of our common stock over the 20 consecutive trading day period beginning on, and including, the 23rd scheduled trading day immediately preceding July 31, 2018, which we refer to as the “final averaging period.” At any time prior to July 31, 2018, a holder may convert one share of our Preferred Stock into a number of shares of our common stock equal to the minimum conversion rate of 4.1254 , subject to anti-dilution adjustments.

On July 20, 2016, we entered into Conversion Agreements with certain existing beneficial owners (the “Preferred Holders”) of our Preferred Stock, pursuant to which each of the Preferred Holders agreed to convert (the “Conversion”) shares of Preferred Stock it beneficially owned into shares of our common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, and in addition receive a cash payment from us in connection with the Conversion. The Preferred Holders agreed to convert an aggregate of approximately 2.2 million shares of Preferred Stock into approximately 10.2 million shares of our common stock in the Conversion, and we made an aggregate cash payment to the Preferred Holders of approximately \$10 million . Following the

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

Conversion, approximately 4.8 million shares of Preferred Stock remain outstanding. We issued the shares of common stock in the Conversion on July 28, 2016. As a result of the cash payment and additional shares issued as an inducement to the Preferred Holders, we recorded a loss of \$22 million in 2016.

By entering into the Conversion and associated transactions early, we reduced cash dividend payments and continued simplifying our capital and cost structure.

**Common Stock**

Each share of our common stock entitles its holder to one vote in the election of each director. No share of our common stock affords any cumulative voting rights. Holders of our common stock will be entitled to dividends in such amounts and at such times as our Board of Directors in its discretion may declare out of funds legally available for the payment of dividends. No dividends on our common stock were declared or paid for 2017, 2016 or 2015. No shares of common stock are subject to redemption or have preemptive rights to purchase additional shares of our common stock or other securities.

Subject to certain exceptions, so long as any share of our Preferred Stock remains outstanding, no dividend or distribution shall be declared or paid on the shares of the Company's common stock or any other class or series of junior stock, and no common stock or any other class or series of junior or parity stock shall be purchased, redeemed or otherwise acquired for consideration by the Company or any of its subsidiaries unless all accumulated and unpaid dividends for all preceding dividend periods have been declared and paid upon, or a sufficient sum of cash or number of shares of the Company's common stock has been set apart for the payment of such dividends upon, all outstanding shares of Preferred Stock.

On July 22, 2015, we completed an equity offering of 30 million shares of our common stock for gross proceeds of approximately \$292 million, net of underwriter discounts and commissions, at the public offering price of \$10.10 per share.

On August 17, 2015, we issued 40 million unregistered shares of our common stock to RKI shareholders as part of the consideration under our merger agreement. The estimated fair value of the shares on the RKI Acquisition date was \$296 million. These shares were registered in December 2015. See Note 2 for further discussion of the RKI Acquisition.

On June 6, 2016, we completed an underwritten public offering of 56.925 million shares of our common stock, which included 7.425 million shares of common stock issued pursuant to an option granted to the underwriters to purchase additional shares. The stock was sold to the underwriters at \$9.47 per share and we received proceeds of approximately \$538 million from the sale of these shares of common stock, net of offering expenses and underwriting discounts and commissions.

On January 12, 2017, we completed an underwritten public offering of 51.675 million shares of our common stock, which included 6.675 million shares of common stock issued pursuant to an option granted to the underwriters to purchase additional shares. The stock was sold to the underwriters at \$12.97 per share and we received proceeds of approximately \$670 million from the sale of these shares of common stock, net of offering expenses and underwriting discounts and commissions. We used these proceeds, and cash on hand, to close the Panther Acquisition.

**Note 15 . Fair Value Measurements**

Fair value is the amount received from the sale of an asset or the amount paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants (an exit price) at the measurement date. Fair value is a market-based measurement considered from the perspective of a market participant. We use market data or assumptions that we believe market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk and the risks inherent in the inputs to the valuation. These inputs can be readily observable, market corroborated or unobservable. We apply both market and income approaches for recurring fair value measurements using the best available information while utilizing valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs.

The fair value hierarchy prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value, giving the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurement) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurement). We classify fair value balances based on the observability of those inputs. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

- Level 1—Quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that we have the ability to access. Active markets are those in which transactions for the asset or liability occur in sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis. Our Level 1 measurements primarily consist of financial instruments that are exchange traded.
- Level 2—Inputs are other than quoted prices in active markets included in Level 1 that are either directly or indirectly observable. These inputs are either directly observable in the marketplace or indirectly observable through corroboration with market data for substantially the full contractual term of the asset or liability being measured. Our

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

Level 2 measurements primarily consist of over-the-counter (“OTC”) instruments such as forwards, swaps and options. These options, which hedge future sales of production, are structured as costless collars, calls or swaptions and are financially settled. They are valued using an industry standard Black-Scholes option pricing model. Also categorized as Level 2 is the fair value of our debt, which is determined on market rates and the prices of similar securities with similar terms and credit ratings.

- Level 3—Inputs that are not observable for which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability being measured. These inputs reflect management’s best estimate of the assumptions market participants would use in determining fair value. Our Level 3 measurements consist of instruments valued using industry standard pricing models and other valuation methods that utilize unobservable pricing inputs that are significant to the overall fair value.

In valuing certain contracts, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. For disclosure purposes, assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety in the fair value hierarchy level based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the overall fair value measurement. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment and may affect the placement within the fair value hierarchy levels.

The following table presents, by level within the fair value hierarchy, our assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis. The carrying amounts reported in the Consolidated Balance Sheets for cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and margin deposits approximate fair value due to the nature of the instrument and/or the short-term maturity of these instruments.

	December 31, 2017				December 31, 2016			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
	(Millions)				(Millions)			
Energy derivative assets	\$ —	\$ 59	\$ —	\$ 59	\$ —	\$ 38	\$ —	\$ 38
Energy derivative liabilities	\$ —	\$ 236	\$ —	\$ 236	\$ —	\$ 215	\$ —	\$ 215
Total debt(a)	\$ —	\$ 2,746	\$ —	\$ 2,746	\$ —	\$ 2,702	\$ —	\$ 2,702

(a) The carrying value of total debt, excluding capital leases and debt issuance costs, was \$2,600 million as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 .

Energy derivatives include commodity based exchange-traded contracts and over-the-counter (“OTC”) contracts. Exchange-traded contracts include futures, swaps and options. OTC contracts include forwards, swaps, options and swaptions. These are carried at fair value on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Many contracts have bid and ask prices that can be observed in the market. Our policy is to use a mid-market pricing (the mid-point price between bid and ask prices) convention to value individual positions and then adjust on a portfolio level to a point within the bid and ask range that represents our best estimate of fair value. For offsetting positions by location, the mid-market price is used to measure both the long and short positions.

The determination of fair value for our assets and liabilities also incorporates the time value of money and various credit risk factors which can include the credit standing of the counterparties involved, master netting arrangements, the impact of credit enhancements (such as cash collateral posted and letters of credit) and our nonperformance risk on our liabilities. The determination of the fair value of our liabilities does not consider noncash collateral credit enhancements.

Exchange-traded contracts include New York Mercantile Exchange and Intercontinental Exchange contracts and are valued based on quoted prices in these active markets and are classified within Level 1.

Forward, swap, option and swaption contracts included in Level 2 are valued using an income approach including present value techniques and option pricing models. Option contracts, which hedge future sales of our production, are structured as costless collars, calls or swaptions and are financially settled. All of our financial options are valued using an industry standard Black-Scholes option pricing model. In connection with several crude oil and natural gas swaps entered into, we granted swaptions and calls to the swap counterparties in exchange for receiving premium hedged prices on the crude oil and natural gas swaps. These swaptions and calls grant the counterparty the option to enter into future swaps with us. Significant inputs into our Level 2 valuations include commodity prices, implied volatility and interest rates, as well as considering executed transactions or broker quotes corroborated by other market data. These broker quotes are based on observable market prices at which transactions could currently be executed. In certain instances where these inputs are not observable for all periods, relationships of observable market data and historical observations are used as a means to estimate fair value. Also categorized as Level 2 is the fair value of our debt, which is determined on market rates and the prices of

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

similar securities with similar terms and credit ratings. Where observable inputs are available for substantially the full term of the asset or liability, the instrument is categorized in Level 2.

Our energy derivatives portfolio is largely comprised of exchange-traded products or like products and the tenure of our derivatives portfolio extends through the end of 2020. Due to the nature of the products and tenure, we are consistently able to obtain market pricing. All pricing is reviewed on a daily basis and is formally validated with broker quotes or market indications and documented on a monthly basis.

Certain instruments trade with lower availability of pricing information. These instruments are valued with a present value technique using inputs that may not be readily observable or corroborated by other market data. These instruments are classified within Level 3 when these inputs have a significant impact on the measurement of fair value. There were no instruments included in Level 3 at December 31, 2017.

Reclassifications of fair value between Level 1, Level 2, and Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, if applicable, are made at the end of each quarter. No significant transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 occurred during the years ended December 31, 2017 or 2016.

Realized and unrealized gains (losses) included in income (loss) from continuing operations for the above periods are reported in revenues on our Consolidated Statements of Operations.

**Other**

In addition to the items discussed below, we performed other nonrecurring fair value assessments as discussed in Note 2.

*2017*

In conjunction with the \$103 million of gains from exchanges of leasehold during 2017, we estimated the fair value of the leasehold through discounted cash flow models and consideration of market data. Our estimates and assumptions include future commodity prices, projection of estimated quantities of oil and natural gas reserves, expectations for future development and operating costs and risk adjusted discount rates, all of which are Level 3 inputs. The total fair value of leasehold exchanges in 2017 approximated \$200 million. See Note 5 for additional discussion related to leasehold exchanges.

In addition, during the third quarter of 2017, we began a process to market our natural gas-producing properties in the San Juan Basin and our Board of Directors approved a divestment subject to a minimum price. Following the marketing process, we received several acceptable bids. As a result, we determined the estimated fair value, less costs to sell, based on the probability-weighted cash flows of expected proceeds and compared it to our net book value which resulted in an impairment of \$60 million recorded in the third-quarter of 2017. See Note 5 for additional discussion related to the impairment of our natural gas-producing properties in the San Juan Basin.

*2015*

As previously noted, we evaluate our long-lived assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate, in our management's judgment, that the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable. On several occasions in the past three years, we considered the significant declines in forward natural gas, oil and NGL prices as compared to the previous respective period's forward prices to be indicators of a potential impairment. As a result, we assessed the carrying value of our producing properties and costs of acquired unproved reserves for impairments as of the dates of those declines. Our assessments utilized estimates of future cash flows, including in some instances potential disposition proceeds. Significant judgments and assumptions in these assessments include estimates of proved, probable and possible reserve quantities, estimates of future commodity prices (developed in consideration of market information, internal forecasts and published forward prices adjusted for locational basis differentials), expectation for market participant drilling plans, expected capital costs and an applicable discount rate commensurate with the risk of the underlying cash flow estimates. In the year ended December 31, 2015, our assessment identified certain properties with a carrying value in excess of their calculated fair values and as a result, we recorded impairment charges. The following table presents impairments associated with certain assets that have been measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.



**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

	<b>Total losses for the year ended December 31,</b>	
	<b>2015 (a)</b>	
<b>Impairments:</b>		
Producing properties and costs of acquired unproved reserves (Note 3 and Note 5)	\$	2,308
Unproved leasehold		26
	\$	2,334

(a) As a result of our impairment assessment in 2015, we recorded the following significant impairment charges that are reported in discontinued operations, for which the fair value measured for these properties at December 31, 2015 was estimated to be approximately \$880 million :

- \$2,308 million impairment charge related to natural gas-producing properties in the Piceance Basin, reported in discontinued operations. Significant assumptions in valuing these properties included estimated cash flows from a potential divestment.
- \$26 million impairment charge on our unproved leasehold acreage in the Piceance Basin, reported in discontinued operations, as a result of the impairment of the producing properties in conjunction with a potential divestment.

**Note 16 . Derivatives and Concentration of Credit Risk**

**Energy Commodity Derivatives**

*Risk Management Activities*

We are exposed to market risk from changes in energy commodity prices within our operations. We utilize derivatives to manage exposure to the variability in expected future cash flows from forecasted sales of crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids attributable to commodity price risk.

We produce, buy and sell crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids at different locations throughout the United States. To reduce exposure to a decrease in revenues from fluctuations in commodity market prices, we enter into futures contracts, swap agreements, and financial option contracts to mitigate the price risk on forecasted sales of crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids. We have also entered into basis swap agreements to reduce the locational price risk associated with our producing basins. Our financial option contracts are either purchased or sold options, or a combination of options that comprise a net purchased option, zero-cost collar or swaptions.

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

*Derivatives related to production*

The following table sets forth the derivative notional volumes of the net long (short) positions that are economic hedges of production volumes, which are included in our commodity derivatives portfolio as of December 31, 2017 .

Commodity	Period	Contract Type (a)	Location	Notional Volume (b)	Weighted Average Price (c)
<i>Crude Oil</i>					
Crude Oil	2018	Fixed Price Swaps	WTI	(57,500)	\$ 52.82
Crude Oil	2018	Basis Swaps	Midland	(17,521)	\$ (0.91)
Crude Oil	2018	Basis Swaps	Nymex	(20,000)	\$ 0.03
Crude Oil	2018	Fixed Price Calls	WTI	(13,000)	\$ 58.89
Crude Oil	2019	Fixed Price Swaps	WTI	(32,000)	\$ 51.99
Crude Oil	2019	Basis Swaps	Midland	(20,000)	\$ (0.93)
Crude Oil	2019	Basis Swaps	Nymex	(20,000)	\$ 0.11
Crude Oil	2019	Fixed Price Calls	WTI	(5,000)	\$ 54.08
Crude Oil	2020	Basis Swaps	Midland	(5,000)	\$ (1.16)
<i>Natural Gas</i>					
Natural Gas	2018	Fixed Price Swaps	Henry Hub	(140)	\$ 2.97
Natural Gas	2018	Basis Swaps	San Juan	(40)	\$ (0.30)
Natural Gas	2018	Basis Swaps	Permian	(48)	\$ (0.31)
Natural Gas	2018	Basis Swaps	Waha	(15)	\$ 0.93
Natural Gas	2018	Basis Swaps	Houston Ship	(43)	\$ (0.08)
Natural Gas	2018	Fixed Price Calls	Henry Hub	(16)	\$ 4.75
Natural Gas	2019	Fixed Price Swaps	Henry Hub	(50)	\$ 2.88
Natural Gas	2019	Basis Swaps	Permian	(25)	\$ (0.39)
Natural Gas	2019	Basis Swaps	Waha	(45)	\$ 0.07
Natural Gas	2019	Basis Swaps	Houston Ship	(30)	\$ (0.09)
<i>Natural Gas Liquids</i>					
Natural Gas Liquids	2018	Fixed Price Swaps	Ethane-Mont	(3,078)	\$ 0.29
Natural Gas Liquids	2018	Fixed Price Swaps	Propane	(900)	\$ 0.79
Natural Gas Liquids	2018	Fixed Price Swaps	Propane-Mont	(3,604)	\$ 0.80
Natural Gas Liquids	2018	Fixed Price Swaps	Iso Butane	(651)	\$ 0.91
Natural Gas Liquids	2018	Fixed Price Swaps	Normal Butane	(1,701)	\$ 0.90
Natural Gas Liquids	2018	Fixed Price Swaps	Natural	(1,401)	\$ 1.31

(a) Derivatives related to crude oil production are fixed price swaps settled on the business day average, basis swaps, fixed price calls and swaptions. The derivatives related to natural gas production are fixed price swaps, basis swaps, fixed price calls and swaptions. The derivatives related to natural gas liquids production are fixed price swaps. In connection with several crude oil and natural gas swaps entered into, we granted swaptions to the swap counterparties in exchange for receiving premium hedged prices on the crude oil and natural gas swaps. These swaptions grant the counterparty the option to enter into future swaps with us.

(b) Crude oil volumes are reported in Bbl/day, natural gas volumes are reported in BBTu/day and natural gas liquids volumes are reported in Bbl/day.

(c) The weighted average price for crude oil is reported in \$/Bbl, the natural gas is reported in \$/MMBtu and the natural gas liquids is reported in \$/Gallon.

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

*Fair values and gains (losses)*

Our derivatives are presented as separate line items in our Consolidated Balance Sheets as current and noncurrent derivative assets and liabilities. Derivatives are classified as current or noncurrent based on the contractual timing of expected future net cash flows of individual contracts. The expected future net cash flows for derivatives classified as current are expected to occur within the next 12 months. The fair value amounts are presented on a gross basis and do not reflect the netting of asset and liability positions permitted under the terms of our master netting arrangements. Further, our derivatives do not include cash held on deposit in margin accounts that we have received or remitted to collateralize certain derivative positions.

We enter into commodity derivative contracts that serve as economic hedges but are not designated as cash flow hedges for accounting purposes as we do not utilize this method of accounting for derivative instruments. The following table presents the net gain (loss) related to our energy commodity derivatives.

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Gain (loss) from derivatives related to production(a)	\$ 3	\$ (207)	\$ 438
Gain (loss) from derivatives related to physical marketing agreements(b)	—	—	(20)
Net gain (loss) on derivatives	<u>\$ 3</u>	<u>\$ (207)</u>	<u>\$ 418</u>

(a) Includes settlements totaling \$4 million, \$301 million and \$650 million for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

(b) Includes settlements totaling \$1 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 and payments totaling less than \$1 million and \$33 million for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2015, respectively.

The cash flow impact of our derivative activities is presented as separate line items within the operating activities on the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

*Offsetting of derivative assets and liabilities*

The following table presents our gross and net derivative assets and liabilities.

	Gross Amount Presented		Netting Adjustments (a)	Net Amount
	on Balance Sheet			
<b>December 31, 2017</b>	(Millions)			
Derivative assets with right of offset or master netting agreements	\$ 59	\$ (42)		\$ 17
Derivative liabilities with right of offset or master netting agreements	\$ (236)	\$ 42		\$ (194)
<b>December 31, 2016</b>				
Derivative assets with right of offset or master netting agreements	\$ 38	\$ (33)		\$ 5
Derivative liabilities with right of offset or master netting agreements	\$ (215)	\$ 33		\$ (182)

(a) With all of our financial trading counterparties, we have agreements in place that allow for the financial right of offset for derivative assets and derivative liabilities at settlement or in the event of a default under the agreements. Additionally, we have negotiated master netting agreements with some of our counterparties. These master netting agreements allow multiple entities that have multiple underlying agreements the ability to net derivative assets and derivative liabilities at settlement or in the event of a default or a termination under one or more of the underlying contracts.

*Credit-risk-related features*

Certain of our derivative contracts contain credit-risk-related provisions that would require us, under certain events, to post additional collateral in support of our net derivative liability positions. These credit-risk-related provisions require us to post collateral in the form of cash or letters of credit when our net liability positions exceed an established credit threshold. The credit thresholds are typically based on our senior unsecured debt ratings from Standard and Poor's and/or Moody's Investment Services. Under these contracts, a credit ratings decline would lower our credit thresholds, thus requiring us to post additional

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

collateral. We also have contracts that contain adequate assurance provisions giving the counterparty the right to request collateral in an amount that corresponds to the outstanding net liability.

As of December 31, 2017, we did not have any collateral posted to derivative counterparties to support the aggregate fair value of our net \$194 million derivative liability position (reflecting master netting arrangements in place with certain counterparties) which includes a reduction of \$4 million to our liability balance for our own nonperformance risk. As of December 31, 2016, we did not have any collateral posted to derivative counterparties to support the aggregate fair value of our net \$182 million derivative liability position (reflecting master netting arrangements in place with certain counterparties) which includes a reduction of \$5 million to our liability balance for our own nonperformance risk. The additional collateral that we would have been required to post, assuming our credit thresholds were eliminated and a call for adequate assurance under the credit risk provisions in our derivative contracts was triggered, was \$194 million and \$187 million at December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

**Concentration of Credit Risk**

*Cash equivalents*

Our cash equivalents are primarily invested in funds with high-quality, short-term securities and instruments that are issued or guaranteed by the U.S. government.

*Accounts receivable*

The following table summarizes concentration of receivables, net of allowances, by product or service as of dates indicated below.

	December 31,	
	2017	2016
	(Millions)	
Receivables by product or service:		
Sale of natural gas, crude and related products and services	\$ 251	\$ 122
Joint interest owners	54	23
Other	2	23
Total	\$ 307	\$ 168

Oil and natural gas customers include pipelines, distribution companies, producers, marketers and industrial users primarily located in the southwestern United States and North Dakota. As a general policy, collateral is not required for receivables, but customers' financial condition and credit worthiness are evaluated regularly.

*Derivative assets and liabilities*

We have a risk of loss from counterparties not performing pursuant to the terms of their contractual obligations. Counterparty performance can be influenced by changes in the economy and regulatory issues, among other factors. Risk of loss is impacted by several factors, including credit considerations and the regulatory environment in which a counterparty transacts. We attempt to minimize credit-risk exposure to derivative counterparties and brokers through formal credit policies, consideration of credit ratings from public ratings agencies, monitoring procedures, master netting agreements and collateral support under certain circumstances. Collateral support could include letters of credit, payment under margin agreements and guarantees of payment by creditworthy parties.

We also enter into master netting agreements to mitigate counterparty performance and credit risk. During 2017, 2016 and 2015, we did not incur any significant losses due to counterparty bankruptcy filings. We assess our credit exposure on a net basis to reflect master netting agreements in place with certain counterparties. We offset our credit exposure to each counterparty with amounts we owe the counterparty under derivative contracts.

Our gross and net credit exposure from our derivative contracts were \$59 million and \$17 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2017. All of our credit exposure is with investment grade financial institutions. We determine investment grade primarily using publicly available credit ratings. We consider counterparties with a minimum S&P's rating of BBB- or Moody's Investors Service rating of Baa3 to be investment grade.

At December 31, 2017, all of our net credit exposure is with a single counterparty. Under our marginless hedging agreements with key banks, neither party is required to provide collateral support related to hedging activities.

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

*Other*

At December 31, 2017, we held collateral support of \$10 million, either in the form of cash, letters of credit or surety bond, related to our commodity management agreements.

Collateral support for our commodity agreements could include margin deposits, letters of credit, and guarantees of payment by credit worthy parties.

*Revenues*

The following companies accounted for more than 10 percent of our total consolidated revenues adjusted for net gain (loss) on derivatives in any given year presented below. Management believes that the loss of any individual purchaser would not have a long-term material adverse impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

	Year ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Crestwood Midstream Partners LP	16%	(a)	(a)
Andeavor	18%	17%	15%
St. Paul Refining	12%	10%	(a)
NGL Crude Logistics	11%	(a)	(a)
Plains Marketing	(a)	11%	(a)

(a) Revenues for purchaser were less than 10 percent of total consolidated revenues adjusted for net gain (loss) on derivatives.

One of our senior officers is on the board of directors of NGL Energy Partners, LP ("NGL Energy"). In the normal course of business, we sell crude oil to NGL Energy. For the year ended 2017, sales to NGL Energy were approximately 11 percent of our total consolidated revenues adjusted for gain (loss) on derivatives.

**Note 17 . Subsequent Events**

On January 30, 2018, we signed an agreement to sell our operations in the San Juan Basin's Gallup oil play ("San Juan Gallup") to an undisclosed third party for \$700 million. The operations in the San Juan Gallup represent 12 percent of our total proved reserves at December 31, 2017 and 16 percent of our total production for 2017. WPX plans to use a significant portion of the San Juan Basin proceeds for debt reduction.

In December 2017, we sold our natural gas-producing properties in the San Juan Basin ("San Juan Legacy") for \$169 million. A portion of San Juan Legacy sale will close in 2018. Collectively, the San Juan Gallup and San Juan Legacy comprised our San Juan Basin operations. Upon closing of the sale of San Juan Gallup, we will no longer have operations in the San Juan Basin.

The following unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial statements apply certain pro forma adjustments to the historical consolidated financial statements of WPX Energy, Inc. The pro forma adjustments give effect to the disposition of San Juan Legacy (including the portion to close in 2018) and the probable disposition of our operations in the San Juan Gallup (collectively, the "San Juan Dispositions"). It is anticipated that the San Juan Basin operations will now qualify as discontinued operations and, as a result, would be reclassified from income (loss) from continuing operations to discontinued operations in accordance with the provisions of "Presentation of Financial Statements" in the Accounting Standards Codification in future filings.

The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three years ended December 31, 2017 give effect to the reclassification of the results of the San Juan Basin from continuing operations. The unaudited pro forma condensed balance sheet as of December 31, 2017 assumes the transaction occurred on December 31, 2017.

The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial statements are presented for illustrative purposes only to reflect the San Juan Dispositions and do not represent what our results of operations or financial position would actually have been had the San Juan Dispositions occurred on the dates noted above, or project our results of operations or financial position for any future periods. The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial statements are intended to provide information about the continuing impact of the San Juan Dispositions as if it had been consummated earlier and do not

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

represent any conclusions about whether such operations of the San Juan Basin will be reported as discontinued operations. The pro forma adjustments are based on available information and certain assumptions that management believes are factually supportable and are expected to have a continuing impact on our results of operations. In the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary to present fairly the unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial statements have been made.

	December 31, 2017		
	WPX Energy, Inc. - As Reported	Disposition(a) (Millions)	Pro Forma
		(Unaudited)	
<b>Assets</b>			
Current assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 189	\$ 721	\$ 910
Accounts receivable, net of allowance	307	—	307
Derivative assets	36	—	36
Inventories	44	(19)	25
Assets classified as held for sale	34	(34)	—
Other	28	—	28
Total current assets	638	668	1,306
Investments	70	—	70
Properties and equipment, net (successful efforts method of accounting)	7,454	(763)	6,691
Derivative assets	23	—	23
Other noncurrent assets	22	—	22
Total assets	\$ 8,207	\$ (95)	\$ 8,112
<b>Liabilities and Equity</b>			
Current liabilities:			
Accounts payable	\$ 446	\$ —	\$ 446
Accrued and other current liabilities	209	—	209
Liabilities associated with assets held for sale	13	(13)	—
Derivative liabilities	171	—	171
Total current liabilities	839	(13)	826
Deferred income taxes	117	—	117
Long-term debt, net	2,575	—	2,575
Derivative liabilities	65	—	65
Asset retirement obligations	36	(4)	32
Other noncurrent liabilities	448	(3)	445
Contingent liabilities and commitments (Note 10)			
Equity:			
Stockholders' equity:			
Preferred stock	232	—	232
Common stock	4	—	4
Additional paid-in-capital	7,479	—	7,479
Accumulated deficit	(3,588)	(75)	(3,663)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	—	—	—
Total stockholders' equity	4,127	(75)	4,052
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 8,207	\$ (95)	\$ 8,112

(a) Assumes receipt of \$700 million of cash on December 31, 2017 for the sale of our San Juan Gallup that are part of a probable disposition and \$21 million from post closing of San Juan Legacy. The \$700 million purchase price does not assume any closing adjustments that will occur. The other amounts presented are the adjustments necessary to reflect the removal of the San Juan Gallup and remaining San Juan Legacy assets and liabilities from our consolidated historical financial statements. These adjustments are based on available information and certain assumptions that management believes are factually supportable and may not represent the assets and liabilities that will be assumed by the buyer.

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

	Year Ended December 31, 2017		
	WPX Energy Inc. - As Reported	Disposition(b)	Pro Forma
Revenues:	(Millions)		
Product revenues:	(Unaudited)		
Oil sales	\$ 1,029	\$ (150)	\$ 879
Natural gas sales	163	(96)	67
Natural gas liquid sales	115	(45)	70
Total product revenues	1,307	(291)	1,016
Net gain (loss) on derivatives	3	—	3
Commodity Management	25	—	25
Other	1	—	1
Total revenues	1,336	(291)	1,045
Costs and expenses:			
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	673	(131)	542
Lease and facility operating	218	(50)	168
Gathering, processing and transportation	94	(70)	24
Taxes other than income	102	(23)	79
Exploration	101	(14)	87
General and administrative (including equity-based compensation of \$30 million, \$2 million and \$28 million respectively)	174	(8)	166
Commodity management, including charges for unutilized pipeline capacity	27	—	27
Net (gain) loss on sales of assets or impairment of producing properties	(111)	(50)	(161)
Other—net	15	—	15
Total costs and expenses	1,293	(346)	947
Operating income (loss)	43	55	98
Interest expense	(188)	—	(188)
Loss on extinguishment of acquired debt	(17)	—	(17)
Investment income and other	3	—	3
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	(159)	55	(104)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(148)	20	(128)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (11)	\$ 35	\$ 24

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

	Year Ended December 31, 2016		
	WPX Energy Inc. - As Reported	Disposition(b)	Pro Forma
	(Millions)		
Revenues:			
Product revenues:		(Unaudited)	
Oil sales	\$ 551	\$ (100)	\$ 451
Natural gas sales	125	(90)	35
Natural gas liquid sales	46	(25)	21
Total product revenues	722	(215)	507
Net gain (loss) on derivatives	(207)	—	(207)
Commodity Management	177	—	177
Other	1	—	1
Total revenues	693	(215)	478
Costs and expenses:			
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	623	(182)	441
Lease and facility operating	163	(45)	118
Gathering, processing and transportation	76	(64)	12
Taxes other than income	60	(17)	43
Exploration	42	(16)	26
General and administrative (including equity-based compensation of \$33 million, \$2 million and \$31 million respectively)	214	(12)	202
Commodity management, including charges for unutilized pipeline capacity	208	—	208
Net (gain) loss on sales of assets or divestment of transportation contracts	22	217	239
Other—net	16	(1)	15
Total costs and expenses	1,424	(120)	1,304
Operating income (loss)	(731)	(95)	(826)
Interest expense	(207)	—	(207)
Loss on extinguishment of acquired debt	(1)	—	(1)
Investment income and other	2	—	2
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	(937)	(95)	(1,032)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(325)	(35)	(360)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (612)	\$ (60)	\$ (672)



**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)**

	Year Ended December 31, 2015		
	WPX Energy Inc. - As Reported	Disposition(b)	Pro Forma
	(Millions)		
Revenues:	(Unaudited)		
Product revenues:			
Oil sales	\$ 494	\$ (127)	\$ 367
Natural gas sales	138	(109)	29
Natural gas liquid sales	23	(16)	7
Total product revenues	655	(252)	403
Net gain (loss) on derivatives	418	—	418
Commodity Management	286	—	286
Other	7	(1)	6
Total revenues	1,366	(253)	1,113
Costs and expenses:			
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	528	(178)	350
Lease and facility operating	145	(58)	87
Gathering, processing and transportation	64	(42)	22
Taxes other than income	62	(20)	42
Exploration	85	(18)	67
General and administrative (including equity-based compensation of \$31 million, \$1 million and \$30 million respectively)	210	(9)	201
Commodity management, including charges for unutilized pipeline capacity	261	—	261
Net (gain) loss on sales of assets or impairment of producing properties	(349)	—	(349)
Acquisition costs	23	—	23
Other—net	63	1	64
Total costs and expenses	1,092	(324)	768
Operating income (loss)	274	71	345
Interest expense	(187)	—	(187)
Loss on extinguishment of acquired debt	(65)	—	(65)
Investment income and other	(2)	—	(2)
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	20	71	91
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	24	27	51
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (4)	\$ 44	\$ 40

(b) Amounts presented are the adjustments necessary to reflect the removal of the results of operations of the San Juan Basin from our consolidated historical financial statements. These adjustments are based on available information and certain assumptions that management believes are factually supportable and may not be indicative of future results of operations of the San Juan Basin assets.

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA**  
(Unaudited)

Summarized quarterly financial data is presented below. The sum of earnings per share for the four quarters may not equal the total earnings per share for the year due to rounding.

	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
(Millions, except per-share amounts)				
<b>2017</b>				
Product revenues	\$ 253	\$ 289	\$ 326	\$ 439
Net gain (loss) on derivatives	\$ 203	\$ 116	\$ (106)	\$ (210)
Commodity management	\$ 5	\$ 8	\$ 4	\$ 8
Total revenues	\$ 461	\$ 413	\$ 224	\$ 238
Operating costs and expenses	\$ 279	\$ 297	\$ 302	\$ 337
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 94	\$ 76	\$ (150)	\$ (31)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	(2)	—	4	(7)
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ 92</u>	<u>\$ 76</u>	<u>\$ (146)</u>	<u>\$ (38)</u>
Amounts available to WPX Energy, Inc. common stockholders:				
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 90	\$ 72	\$ (153)	\$ (35)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	(2)	—	4	(7)
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ 88</u>	<u>\$ 72</u>	<u>\$ (149)</u>	<u>\$ (42)</u>
Basic earnings (loss) per common share:				
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.18	\$ (0.39)	\$ (0.09)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	—	—	0.01	(0.01)
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ 0.23</u>	<u>\$ 0.18</u>	<u>\$ (0.38)</u>	<u>\$ (0.10)</u>
Diluted earnings (loss) per common share:				
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.18	\$ (0.39)	\$ (0.09)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	—	—	0.01	(0.01)
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ 0.22</u>	<u>\$ 0.18</u>	<u>\$ (0.38)</u>	<u>\$ (0.10)</u>
<b>2016</b>				
Product revenues	\$ 127	\$ 176	\$ 188	\$ 231
Net gain (loss) on derivatives	\$ 57	\$ (154)	\$ 38	\$ (148)
Commodity management	\$ 31	\$ 116	\$ 25	\$ 5
Total revenues	\$ 216	\$ 138	\$ 251	\$ 88
Operating costs and expenses	\$ 269	\$ 384	\$ 264	\$ 255
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ —	\$ (223)	\$ (218)	\$ (171)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	(12)	25	(1)	(1)
Net loss	<u>\$ (12)</u>	<u>\$ (198)</u>	<u>\$ (219)</u>	<u>\$ (172)</u>
Amounts available to WPX Energy, Inc. common stockholders:				
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (5)	\$ (229)	\$ (244)	\$ (174)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	(12)	25	(1)	(1)
Net loss	<u>\$ (17)</u>	<u>\$ (204)</u>	<u>\$ (245)</u>	<u>\$ (175)</u>
Basic earnings (loss) per common share:				
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (0.02)	\$ (0.76)	\$ (0.72)	\$ (0.51)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	(0.04)	0.08	—	—
Net loss	<u>\$ (0.06)</u>	<u>\$ (0.68)</u>	<u>\$ (0.72)</u>	<u>\$ (0.51)</u>
Diluted earnings (loss) per common share:				
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (0.02)	\$ (0.76)	\$ (0.72)	\$ (0.51)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	(0.04)	0.08	—	—
Net loss	<u>\$ (0.06)</u>	<u>\$ (0.68)</u>	<u>\$ (0.72)</u>	<u>\$ (0.51)</u>

Net income or loss for each respective quarter include the following pre-tax items:

First-quarter 2017:

- \$34 million net gain on sales of assets and exchanges of leasehold acreage and deferred gains related to the completion of commitments from the sales of gathering systems in prior years (see Note 5 ).
- \$23 million loss on write-off of expired leases in the Permian Basin (see Note 5 ).

Third-quarter 2017:

- \$115 million net gain on sales of assets and exchanges of leasehold acreage and deferred gains related to the completion of commitments from the sales of gathering systems in prior years offset by \$60 million impairment on San Juan Legacy (see Note 5 ).
- \$17 million loss on extinguishment of debt (see Note 9 ).
- \$10 million severance tax refunds for prior years related to the Piceance Basin (see Note 3 ).

Fourth-quarter 2017:

- \$11 million gain on leasehold exchanges (see Note 5 ).
- \$5 million increase on future commitments under gathering, processing and transportation liability related to the Powder River Basin in discontinued operations (see Note 3 ).
- \$92 million income tax benefit related to the impact of new income tax legislation (see Note 10 ).

First-quarter 2016:

- \$199 million gain on the sale of our San Juan Basin gathering system (see Note 5 ).
- \$14 million increase of our deferred tax liability as of the beginning of the year resulting from an increase to our state effective rate.

Second-quarter 2016:

- \$52 million gain included in discontinued operations for the sale of the Piceance Basin (see Note 3 ).
- \$5 million recognition of a deferred gain on the sale of our San Juan Basin gathering system.

Third-quarter 2016:

- \$238 million net loss on divestment of the remaining transportation contracts (see Note 5 ).
- \$11 million recognition of a deferred gain on the sale of our San Juan Basin gathering system.

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**Supplemental Oil and Gas Disclosures**  
**(Unaudited)**

We have significant continuing oil and gas producing activities primarily in the Delaware Basin in Texas and New Mexico, the Williston Basin in North Dakota and the San Juan Basin in the Rocky Mountain region, all of which are located in the United States. Subsequent to December 31, 2017, we entered into an agreement for the sale of our remaining San Juan Basin properties (see Note 17 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements). These properties represented approximately 12 percent of our reserves at December 31, 2017.

With the exception of Capitalized Costs, the following information includes activity through the completion of the respective asset sales. These sales include operations which are reported within continuing operations and the sales of the Piceance and Powder River Basins, both of which have been reported as discontinued operations in our consolidated financial statements. The Piceance Basin properties were sold in April 2016 and represented approximately 52 percent of our reserves as of December 31, 2015. The Powder River Basin properties were sold in late 2015 and represented less than 5 percent of our total domestic proved reserves at December 31, 2014. Capitalized Costs do not include amounts which are classified as assets held for sale on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

**Capitalized Costs**

	As of December 31,	
	2017	2016
	(Millions)	
Proved Properties	\$ 7,208	\$ 5,616
Unproved properties	2,334	2,065
	9,542	7,681
Accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization and valuation provisions	(2,338)	(1,722)
Net capitalized costs	\$ 7,204	\$ 5,959

- Excluded from capitalized costs are equipment and facilities in support of oil and gas production of \$223 million and \$170 million, net, as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.
- Proved properties include capitalized costs for oil and gas leaseholds holding proved reserves, development wells including uncompleted development well costs and successful exploratory wells.
- Unproved properties consist primarily of unproved leasehold costs.

**Cost Incurred**

	For the years ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
	(Millions)		
Acquisition	\$ 864	\$ 84	\$ 3,208
Exploration	5	5	84
Development	1,048	471	657
	\$ 1,917	\$ 560	\$ 3,949

- Costs incurred include capitalized and expensed items.
- Acquisition costs are as follows: Costs in 2017 primarily relate to our purchase of assets in the Delaware Basin (see Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements) in March 2017 that included \$195 million and 23.8 MMboe of proved developed reserves and facilities. Costs in 2016 primarily relates to purchases of additional acreage in the Delaware Basin and included approximately 2.5 MMboe of proved reserves. Costs in 2015 primarily relate to the allocated purchase price of RKI properties in the Permian-Delaware Basin (see Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements) and includes 53 MMboe of proved developed reserves.
- Exploration costs include the costs incurred for geological and geophysical activity, drilling and equipping exploratory wells, including costs incurred during the year for wells determined to be dry holes, exploratory lease acquisitions and retaining undeveloped leaseholds. The 2015 amount primarily related to the drilling of Piceance Niobrara wells.
- Development costs include costs incurred to gain access to and prepare well locations for drilling and to drill and equip wells in our development basins. Development costs associated with our Piceance Basin operations were \$27 million and \$106 million for 2016 and 2015, respectively.

**Proved Reserves**

The SEC defines proved oil and gas reserves (Rule 4-10(a) of Regulation S-X) as those quantities of oil and gas, which, by analysis of geoscience and engineering data, can be estimated with reasonable certainty to be economically producible—from a given date forward, from known reservoirs, and under existing economic conditions, operating methods, and government regulations—prior to the time at which contracts providing the right to operate expire, unless evidence indicates that renewal is reasonably certain, regardless of whether deterministic or probabilistic methods are used for the estimation. The project to extract the hydrocarbons must have commenced or the operator must be reasonably certain that it will commence the project within a reasonable time. Proved reserves consist of two categories, proved developed reserves and proved undeveloped reserves. Proved developed reserves are currently producing wells and wells awaiting minor sales connection expenditure, recompletion, additional perforations or borehole stimulation treatments. Proved undeveloped reserves are those reserves which are expected to be recovered from new wells on undrilled acreage or from existing wells where a relatively major expenditure is required for recompletion. Proved reserves on undrilled acreage are limited to those that can be developed within five years according to planned drilling activity. Proved reserves on undrilled acreage also can include locations that are more than one offset away from current producing wells where there is a reasonable certainty of production when drilled or where it can be demonstrated with reasonable certainty that there is continuity of production from the existing productive formation.

The following is a summary of changes in our proved reserves including proved reserves activity through the completion of our sales of the Piceance and Powder River Basins which are reported as discontinued operations and other divestitures in continuing operations.

	Oil (MMbbls)	Natural Gas (Bcf)	NGLs (MMbbls)	All Products (MMboe)
<b>Proved reserves at December 31, 2014</b>	<b>130.8</b>	<b>3,149.6</b>	<b>70.8</b>	<b>726.6</b>
Revisions	(31.9)	(624.6)	(14.0)	(150.0)
Purchases	39.8	205.6	20.7	94.7
Divestitures	—	(380.3)	—	(63.4)
Extensions and discoveries	17.1	116.9	5.1	41.6
Production	(13.1)	(277.0)	(7.3)	(66.5)
<b>Proved reserves at December 31, 2015</b>	<b>142.7</b>	<b>2,190.2</b>	<b>75.3</b>	<b>583.0</b>
Revisions	(3.8)	(50.2)	(2.9)	(15.2)
Purchases	1.6	4.4	0.4	2.8
Divestitures	(5.5)	(1,505.9)	(38.3)	(294.8)
Extensions and discoveries	54.9	214.6	19.8	110.5
Production	(15.3)	(118.6)	(4.8)	(39.9)
<b>Proved reserves at December 31, 2016</b>	<b>174.6</b>	<b>734.5</b>	<b>49.5</b>	<b>346.4</b>
Revisions	4.7	(8.4)	(1.1)	2.3
Purchases	21.8	58.8	7.8	39.4
Divestitures	(1.7)	(312.5)	(0.8)	(54.6)
Extensions and discoveries	86.7	194.5	23.6	142.7
Production	(22.4)	(75.9)	(5.0)	(40.0)
<b>Proved reserves at December 31, 2017</b>	<b>263.7</b>	<b>591.0</b>	<b>74.0</b>	<b>436.2</b>
<b>Proved developed reserves:</b>				
<b>December 31, 2015</b>	<b>83.0</b>	<b>1,618.2</b>	<b>49.5</b>	<b>402.2</b>
<b>December 31, 2016</b>	<b>84.4</b>	<b>440.2</b>	<b>24.1</b>	<b>181.8</b>
<b>December 31, 2017</b>	<b>130.3</b>	<b>321.2</b>	<b>38.8</b>	<b>222.7</b>
<b>Proved undeveloped reserves:</b>				
<b>December 31, 2015</b>	<b>59.7</b>	<b>572.0</b>	<b>25.8</b>	<b>180.8</b>
<b>December 31, 2016</b>	<b>90.2</b>	<b>294.2</b>	<b>25.4</b>	<b>164.6</b>
<b>December 31, 2017</b>	<b>133.4</b>	<b>269.8</b>	<b>35.2</b>	<b>213.5</b>

- Natural gas reserves are computed at 14.73 pounds per square inch absolute and 60 degrees Fahrenheit .
- Revisions in 2017 primarily reflect 24.1 MMboe of positive revision due to an increase in the 12 month average price offset by 21.8 MMboe negative revisions primarily due to changes in the development plan for certain natural gas wells. Revisions in 2016 primarily reflect 49 MMboe of negative revisions due to the decrease in the 12-month average price partially offset by 34 MMboe of positive revisions due to decreased costs and well improvements. Revisions in 2015 primarily reflect 209 MMboe of negative revisions related to the decrease in the 12-month average prices partially offset by 59 MMboe of positive revisions due to decreased costs and well improvements. The 2015 revisions comprised 108 MMboe net negative revisions related to proved undeveloped locations and 42 MMboe net negative revisions related to proved developed locations.
- Purchases in 2017 primarily reflect the Panther Acquisition of which 23.8 MMboe is proved developed. Purchases in 2015 reflects the RKI Acquisition of which 53.4 MMboe is proved developed and 41.3 MMboe is associated with proved undeveloped locations.
- Divestitures in 2017 primarily relate to the sale of our natural gas assets in the San Juan Basin which included 28.7 MMboe of proved developed reserves and 16.6 MMboe of proved undeveloped reserves. Divestitures in 2016 relate to the sale of the Piceance Basin which included proved developed reserves and proved undeveloped reserves of 222 MMboe and 67 MMboe, respectively. Divestitures in 2015 relate to sales of properties in the Powder River Basin ( 28 MMboe) and the Appalachian Basin ( 35 MMboe).
- Extensions and discoveries in 2017 reflect 46 MMboe added for proved developed locations and 97 MMboe of proved undeveloped locations primarily in the Delaware and Williston Basins. Extensions and discoveries in 2016 reflect 26 MMboe added for proved developed locations and 84 MMboe for proved undeveloped locations primarily in the Delaware Basin. Extensions and discoveries in 2015 reflect 21 MMboe added for proved developed locations and 21 MMboe for proved undeveloped locations primarily related to our San Juan Gallup and Williston Basins.

### Standardized Measure of Discounted Future Net Cash Flows Relating to Proved Oil and Gas Reserves

The following is based on the estimated quantities of proved reserves. Prices were calculated from the 12-month trailing average, first-of-the-month price for the applicable indices for each basin as adjusted for respective location price differentials. The average domestic oil price used in the estimates for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 was \$46.39, \$35.91 and \$43.84 per barrel, respectively. The average natural gas price used in the estimates for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 was \$1.67, \$1.74 and \$2.26 per Mcf, respectively. The average NGL price per barrel was \$21.16, \$10.57 and \$15.84 for the same periods. Future income tax expenses have been computed considering applicable taxable cash flows and appropriate statutory tax rates. The discount rate of 10 percent is as prescribed by authoritative guidance. Continuation of year-end economic conditions also is assumed. The calculation is based on estimates of proved reserves, which are revised over time as new data becomes available. Probable or possible reserves, which may become proved in the future, are not considered. The calculation also requires assumptions as to the timing of future production of proved reserves, and the timing and amount of future development and production costs.

Numerous uncertainties are inherent in estimating volumes and the value of proved reserves and in projecting future production rates and timing of development expenditures. Such reserve estimates are subject to change as additional information becomes available. The reserves actually recovered and the timing of production may be substantially different from the reserve estimates.

### Standardized Measure of Discounted Future Net Cash Flows

	As of December 31,	
	2017	2016
	(Millions)	
Future cash inflows	\$ 14,785	\$ 8,072
Less:		
Future production costs	6,112	4,076
Future development costs	2,070	1,518
Future income tax provisions	408	—
Future net cash flows	6,195	2,478
Less 10 percent annual discount for estimated timing of cash flows	3,034	1,440
Standardized measure of discounted future net cash inflows	\$ 3,161	\$ 1,038

- Our historical tax basis, including carryforwards, (i.e. future deductions for taxable income calculation) of proved properties at December 31, 2016 are greater than the total standardized measure of future net cash flows before taxes; therefore, future taxable income as calculated in the standardized measure of cash flows would be less than zero.

### Sources of Change in Standardized Measure of Discounted Future Net Cash Flows

	For the years ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
	(Millions)		
<b>Beginning of year</b>	\$ 1,038	\$ 1,284	\$ 3,883
Sales of oil and gas produced, net of operating costs	(894)	(458)	(541)
Net change in prices and production costs	1,385	(261)	(5,231)
Extensions, discoveries and improved recovery, less estimated future costs	816	735	254
Development costs incurred during year	345	142	276
Changes in estimated future development costs	105	(211)	1,213
Purchase of reserves in place, less estimated future costs	305	20	657
Sale of reserves in place, less estimated future costs	20	(253)	(397)
Revisions of previous quantity estimates	30	(78)	(374)
Accretion of discount	104	136	489
Net change in income taxes	(83)	—	1,073
Other	(10)	(18)	(18)
Net changes	2,123	(246)	(2,599)
<b>End of year</b>	\$ 3,161	\$ 1,038	\$ 1,284

**WPX Energy, Inc.**  
**SCHEDULE II—VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS**

	Beginning Balance	Charged (Credited) to Costs and Expenses	Other	Deductions	Ending Balance
<b>2017:</b>					
Allowance for doubtful accounts—accounts and notes receivable(a)	\$ 3	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (1)	\$ 2
Deferred tax asset valuation(b)(f)	151	44	—	—	195
Price-risk management credit reserves—liabilities(c)(d)	5	—	(1)	—	4
<b>2016:</b>					
Allowance for doubtful accounts—accounts and notes receivable(a)	\$ 6	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (3)	\$ 3
Deferred tax asset valuation(b)	124	26	1	—	151
Price-risk management credit reserves—assets(a)(d)	1	—	(1)	—	—
Price-risk management credit reserves—liabilities(c)(d)	—	—	5	—	5
<b>2015:</b>					
Allowance for doubtful accounts—accounts and notes receivable(a)	\$ 6	\$ 5	\$ —	\$ (5)	\$ 6
Deferred tax asset valuation(b)(e)	118	3	3	—	124
Price-risk management credit reserves—assets(a)(d)	1	—	—	—	1

- (a) Deducted from related assets.  
(b) Deducted from related assets with a portion included in assets held for sale.  
(c) Deducted from related liabilities.  
(d) Included in revenues.  
(e) Includes RKI Acquisition.  
(f) Includes impact of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act enacted rate reduction.



**Item 9. *Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure***

None.

**Item 9A. *Controls and Procedures***

**Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a—15(e) and 15d—15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act) (“Disclosure Controls”) will prevent all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected. We monitor our Disclosure Controls and make modifications as necessary; our intent in this regard is that the Disclosure Controls will be modified as systems change and conditions warrant.

**Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

An evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our Disclosure Controls was performed as of the end of the period covered by this report. This evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that these Disclosure Controls are effective at a reasonable assurance level.

**Management’s Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

See report set forth in Item 8, “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.”

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

See report set forth in Item 8, “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.”

**Fourth Quarter 2017 Changes in Internal Controls**

There have been no changes during the fourth quarter of 2017 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal controls over financial reporting.

**Item 9B. *Other Information***

None.

### PART III

**Item 10. *Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance***

The information called for by this Item 10 is incorporated by reference to our definitive proxy statement for our 2018 Annual meeting of Stockholders, or our 2018 Proxy Statement, anticipated to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days of December 31, 2017, under the headings “Proposal 1— Election of Directors,” “Corporate Governance,” and “Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership and Reporting Compliance.”

**Item 11. *Executive Compensation***

The information called for by this Item 11 is incorporated by reference to our 2018 Proxy Statement anticipated to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days of December 31, 2017, under the headings “Executive Compensation” and “Compensation Interlocks and Insider Participation.”

**Item 12. *Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters***

The information called for by this Item 12 is incorporated by reference to our 2018 Proxy Statement anticipated to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days of December 31, 2017, under the headings “Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management” and “Equity Compensation Plan Information.”

**Item 13. *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence***

The information called for by this Item 13 is incorporated by reference to our 2018 Proxy Statement anticipated to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days of December 31, 2017, under the headings “Corporate Governance” and “Certain Relationships and Transactions.”

**Item 14. *Principal Accountant Fees and Services***

The information called for by this Item 14 is incorporated by reference to our 2018 Proxy Statement anticipated to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days of December 31, 2017, under the heading “Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.”

## PART IV

### Item 15. *Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules*

(a) 1 and 2.

	<u>Page</u>
Covered by report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm:	
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2017 and 2016	<a href="#">70</a>
Consolidated Statements of Operations for each year in the three-year period ended December 31, 2017	<a href="#">71</a>
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for each year in the three-year period ended December 31, 2017	<a href="#">72</a>
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each year in the three-year period ended December 31, 2017	<a href="#">73</a>
Notes to consolidated financial statements	<a href="#">74</a>
Schedule for each year in the three-year period ended December 31, 2017:	
II — Valuation and qualifying accounts	<a href="#">117</a>
All other schedules have been omitted since the required information is not present or is not present in amounts sufficient to require submission of the schedule, or because the information required is included in the financial statements and notes thereto.	
Not covered by report of independent auditors:	
Quarterly financial data (unaudited)	<a href="#">111</a>
Supplemental oil and gas disclosures (unaudited)	<a href="#">113</a>
(a) 3 and (b). The exhibits listed below are filed as part of this annual report.	

## INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
<a href="#">2.1</a> **	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated October 2, 2014, by and among Pluspetrol Resources Corporation, Pluspetrol Black River Corporation and Apco Oil and Gas International Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 7, 2014)
<a href="#">2.2</a> **	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of July 13, 2015, by and among RKI Exploration & Production, LLC, WPX Energy, Inc. and Thunder Merger Sub LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 14, 2015)
<a href="#">2.3</a> **	Membership Interest Purchase Agreement by and Among WPX Energy Holdings, LLC, as Seller, WPX Energy, Inc., solely for purposes of Section 14.15, and Terra Energy Partners LLC, as Purchaser, dated February 8, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 9, 2016)
<a href="#">2.4</a> **	Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated as of January 12, 2017, by and among RKI Exploration & Production, LLC, Panther Energy Company II, LLC and CP2 Operating, LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 13, 2017)
<a href="#">3.1</a>	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of WPX Energy, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-35322) filed with the SEC on January 6, 2012)
<a href="#">3.2</a>	Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of WPX Energy, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 14, 2015)
<a href="#">3.3</a>	Amended and Restated Bylaws of WPX Energy, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 21, 2014)
<a href="#">3.4</a>	Certificate of Designations for 6.25% Series A Mandatory Convertible Preferred Stock (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 22, 2015)
<a href="#">4.1</a>	Indenture, dated as of November 14, 2011, between WPX Energy, Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to The Williams Companies, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-04174) filed with the SEC on November 15, 2011)
<a href="#">4.2</a>	Indenture, dated as of September 8, 2014, between WPX Energy, Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on September 8, 2014)
<a href="#">4.3</a>	First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 8, 2014, between WPX Energy, Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on September 8, 2014)
<a href="#">4.4</a>	Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 22, 2015, between WPX Energy, Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 22, 2015)
<a href="#">10.1</a>	Separation and Distribution Agreement, dated as of December 30, 2011, between The Williams Companies, Inc. and WPX Energy, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011)
<a href="#">10.2</a>	Employee Matters Agreement, dated as of December 30, 2011, between The Williams Companies, Inc. and WPX Energy, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-35322) filed with the SEC on January 6, 2012)
<a href="#">10.3</a>	Tax Sharing Agreement, dated as of December 30, 2011, between The Williams Companies, Inc. and WPX Energy, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-35322) filed with the SEC on January 6, 2012)
<a href="#">10.4</a>	WPX Energy, Inc. 2013 Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-35322) filed with the SEC on May 29, 2013)(1)
<a href="#">10.5</a>	WPX Energy, Inc. 2011 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s registration statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-178388) filed with the SEC on December 8, 2011)(1)

Exhibit No.	Description
<a href="#">10.6</a>	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement between WPX Energy, Inc. and Non-Employee Directors (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011) (1)
<a href="#">10.7</a>	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement between WPX Energy, Inc. and Executive Officers (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014) (1)
<a href="#">10.8</a>	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement between WPX Energy, Inc. and Executive Officers (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014) (1)
<a href="#">10.9</a>	Form of Performance-Based Restricted Stock Unit Agreement between WPX Energy, Inc. and Executive Officers (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2015)(1)
<a href="#">10.10</a>	Form of Stock Option Agreement between WPX Energy, Inc. and Executive Officers (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014)(1)
<a href="#">10.11</a>	WPX Energy Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan, effective January 1, 2013 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012)(1)
<a href="#">10.12</a>	WPX Energy Board of Directors Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan, effective January 1, 2013 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012) (1)
<a href="#">10.13</a>	Retirement Agreement, dated December 16, 2013, between WPX Energy, Inc. and Ralph A. Hill (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 17, 2013)
<a href="#">10.14</a>	Employment Agreement, dated April 29, 2014, between WPX Energy, Inc. and Richard E. Muncrief (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 2, 2014) (1)
<a href="#">10.15</a>	Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement between WPX Energy, Inc. and Richard E. Muncrief (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 2, 2014) (1)
<a href="#">10.16</a>	Form of 2014 Time-Based Restricted Stock Unit Agreement between WPX Energy, Inc. and Richard E. Muncrief (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 2, 2014) (1)
<a href="#">10.17</a>	Form of 2014 Performance-Based Restricted Stock Unit Agreement between WPX Energy, Inc. and Richard E. Muncrief (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 2, 2014) (1)
<a href="#">10.18</a>	Form of Time-Based Restricted Stock Unit Inducement Award Agreement between WPX Energy, Inc. and Richard E. Muncrief (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 2, 2014) (1)
<a href="#">10.19</a>	Form of Performance-Based Restricted Stock Unit Inducement Award Agreement between WPX Energy, Inc. and Richard E. Muncrief (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 2, 2014) (1)
<a href="#">10.20</a>	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award between WPX Energy, Inc. and Non-Employee Directors (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on September 3, 2014) (1)
<a href="#">10.21</a>	Separation and Release Agreement, dated July 28, 2014, between WPX Energy, Inc. and James J. Bender (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on September 3, 2014) (1)
<a href="#">10.22</a>	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of October 28, 2014, by and among WPX Energy, Inc., the lenders party thereto, and Citibank, N.A., as Administrative Agent and Swingline Lender (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 3, 2014)

Exhibit No.	Description
<a href="#">10.23</a>	Form of Voting and Support Agreement, dated as of July 13, 2015, by and between WPX Energy, Inc. and the Member signatory thereto (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 14, 2015)
<a href="#">10.24</a>	First Amendment to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of July 16, 2015, by and among WPX Energy, Inc., the lenders party thereto, and Citibank, N.A., as existing Administrative Agent and existing Swingline Lender, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as successor Administrative Agent and successor Swingline Lender (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 22, 2015)
<a href="#">10.25</a>	Commitment Increase Agreement for Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of July 31, 2015, among WPX Energy, Inc., the Lenders party thereto, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, and the Issuing Banks thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on August 6, 2015)
<a href="#">10.26</a>	Registration Rights Agreement dated August 17, 2015, among WPX Energy, Inc. and the signatories thereto (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.35 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2015)
<a href="#">10.27</a>	Second Amendment to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of March 18, 2016, by and among WPX Energy, Inc., as the borrower thereunder, the financial institutions party thereto from time to time, as lenders, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent and Swingline Lender (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 22, 2016)
<a href="#">10.28</a>	Form of Performance-Based Restricted Stock Unit Agreement between WPX Energy, Inc. and Executive Officers (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2016) (1)
<a href="#">10.29</a>	Form of Severance and Restrictive Covenant Agreement between WPX Energy, Inc. and Marcia MacLeod (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2016) (1)
<a href="#">10.30</a>	Form of Severance and Restrictive Covenant Agreement between WPX Energy, Inc. and Michael Fiser (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2016) (1)
<a href="#">10.31</a>	Form of Amended and Restated Change in Control Agreement between WPX Energy, Inc. and CEO (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 16, 2016) (1)
<a href="#">10.32</a>	Form of Amended and Restated Change in Control Agreement between WPX Energy, Inc. and Tier One Executives (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 16, 2016) (1)
<a href="#">10.33</a>	Amended and Restated WPX Energy Executive Severance Pay Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 23, 2017) (1)
<a href="#">10.34</a>	Purchase and Sale Agreement by and Among WPX Energy Production, LLC and Enduring Resources IV, LLC dated January 30, 2018 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to WPX Energy, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 5, 2018)
<a href="#">12</a> *	Statement of Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges
<a href="#">21.1</a> *	List of Subsidiaries
<a href="#">23.1</a> *	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, Ernst & Young LLP
<a href="#">23.2</a> *	Consent of Independent Petroleum Engineers and Geologists, Netherland, Sewell & Associates, Inc.
<a href="#">24.1</a> *	Powers of Attorney
<a href="#">31.1</a> *	Certification by the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
<a href="#">31.2</a> *	Certification by the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
<a href="#">32.1</a> *	Certification by the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
<a href="#">99.1</a> *	Report of Independent Petroleum Engineers and Geologists, Netherland, Sewell & Associates, Inc.

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.DEF*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

---

\* Filed herewith

\*\* All schedules to the Merger Agreement have been omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(2) of Regulation S-K. A copy of any omitted schedule and/or exhibit will be furnished to the SEC upon request.

(1) Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

WPX ENERGY, Inc.  
(Registrant)

By:                                 /s/ Stephen L. Faulkner  
**Stephen L. Faulkner**  
**Controller**  
**(Principal Accounting Officer)**

Date: February 22, 2018

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
<u>                                /s/ Richard E. Muncrief</u>	Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board (Principal Executive Officer)	February 22, 2018
<u>                                /s/ J. Kevin Vann</u>	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)	February 22, 2018
<u>                                /s/ Stephen L. Faulkner</u>	Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)	February 22, 2018
<u>                                /s/ John A. Carrig*</u>	Director	February 22, 2018
<u>                                /s/ William R. Granberry*</u>	Director	February 22, 2018
<u>                                /s/ Robert K. Herdman*</u>	Director	February 22, 2018
<u>                                /s/ Kelt Kindick*</u>	Director	February 22, 2018
<u>                                /s/ Karl F. Kurz*</u>	Director	February 22, 2018
<u>                                /s/ Henry E. Lentz*</u>	Director	February 22, 2018
<u>                                /s/ George A. Lorch*</u>	Director	February 22, 2018
<u>                                /s/ William G. Lowrie*</u>	Director	February 22, 2018
<u>                                /s/ Kimberly S. Lubel*</u>	Director	February 22, 2018
<u>                                /s/ David F. Work*</u>	Director	February 22, 2018

                                /s/ Stephen E. Brillz  
**Attorney-in-Fact**

\*By:                                 /s/ Stephen E. Brillz February 22, 2018



WPX Energy, Inc.  
Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013
	(Millions)				
<b>Earnings:</b>					
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	\$ (159)	\$ (937)	\$ 20	\$ 404	\$ (1,572)
Less: Equity earnings, excluding proportionate share from 50% owned investees and unconsolidated majority-owned investees	1	1	1	1	1
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes and equity earnings	(158)	(936)	21	405	(1,571)
<b>Add:</b>					
<b>Fixed charges:</b>					
Interest accrued, including proportionate share from 50% owned investees and unconsolidated majority-owned investees (a)	188	207	187	123	108
Capitalized Interest	(1)	—	1	—	—
Rental expense representative of interest factor	5	6	5	5	5
Total fixed charges	192	213	193	128	113
Distributed income of equity-method investees, excluding proportionate share from 50% owned investees and unconsolidated majority-owned investees	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Less:</b>					
Capitalized interest	1	—	(1)	—	—
Total earnings as adjusted	\$ 35	\$ (723)	\$ 213	\$ 533	\$ (1,458)
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges	0.18	(b)	1.10	4.16	(d)
Preferred stock dividend requirement	\$ 24	\$ 29	\$ 15	\$ —	\$ —
Combined fixed charges and preferred dividends	\$ 216	\$ 242	\$ 208	\$ 128	\$ 113
Ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred dividends	0.16	(c)	1.02	4.16	(d)

(a) Does not include interest related to income taxes, including interest related to liabilities for uncertain tax positions, which is included in provision (benefit) for income taxes in our Consolidated Statements of Operations.

(b) Earnings are inadequate to cover fixed charges by \$936 million.

(c) Earnings are inadequate to cover combined fixed charges and preferred dividends by \$965 million.

(d) Earnings are inadequate to cover fixed charges by \$1,571 million.

**List of Subsidiaries of WPX Energy, Inc.**  
(all Delaware entities unless otherwise indicated)

1. Betterit Land & Title Holding Company, LLC - a New Mexico Limited Liability Company  
Fictitious name in Oklahoma: WPX Energy Chaco Slope, LLC
2. Cardinal Oil and Gas Holdings, LLC  
DBA in New Mexico and Texas as: Cardinal Exploration & Production, LLC
3. Catalyst Midstream Partners, LLC
4. Delaware Basin Residue, LLC
5. Oryx Delaware Holdings, LLC
6. RKI Exploration & Production, LLC
7. RW Gathering, LLC
8. Stateline Crude, LLC - an Oklahoma LLC
9. Stateline Gathering, LLC - an Oklahoma LLC
10. Stateline Processing, LLC - an Oklahoma LLC
11. Stateline Water, LLC - an Oklahoma LLC
12. WPX Energy Gulf Coast, LP  
Assumed name in Texas: Williams Prod. Gulf Coast, L.P.
13. WPX Energy Holdings, LLC
14. WPX Energy Keystone, LLC
15. WPX Energy Marketing, LLC
16. WPX Energy Mid-Continent Company, an Oklahoma corporation
17. WPX Energy Production, LLC  
Fictitious name in Oklahoma: WEG-Production Company, LLC
18. WPX Energy RM Company
19. WPX Energy Services Company, LLC
20. WPX Energy Williston, LLC  
Trade name in North Dakota: D3 E & P LLC
21. WPX Permian Midstream Crude Holdings, LLC
22. WPX Permian Midstream Holdings, LLC
23. WPX Permian Midstream Residue Holdings, LLC

International subsidiaries:

1. WPX Energy International Oil & Gas (Venezuela), Ltd. – a Cayman Islands corporation

**Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the following Registration Statements:

- (1) Registration Statement (Form S-3 No 333-208552) of WPX Energy, Inc.,
- (2) Registration Statement (Form S-8 No 333-204355) pertaining to the WPX Energy, Inc. 2013 Incentive Plan, as amended effective May 21, 2015,
- (3) Registration Statement (Form S-3 No 333-221301) of WPX Energy, Inc.,
- (4) Registration Statement (Form S-3 No 333-197905) of WPX Energy, Inc.,
- (5) Registration Statement (Form S-8 No 333-188767) pertaining to the WPX Energy, Inc. 2013 Incentive Plan, and
- (6) Registration Statement (Form S-8 No 333-178388) and the related post-effective amendment No. 1 pertaining to the WPX Energy, Inc. 2011 Incentive Plan and the WPX Energy, Inc. 2011 Employee Stock Purchase Plan

of our reports dated February 22, 2018 with respect to the consolidated financial statements and schedule of WPX Energy, Inc. and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting of WPX Energy, Inc., included in this Annual Report (Form 10-K) of WPX Energy, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2017 .

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Tulsa, Oklahoma  
February 22, 2018



CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT PETROLEUM ENGINEERS AND GEOLOGISTS

We hereby consent to the references to our firm, in the context in which they appear, and to the references to and the filing and incorporation by reference of our audit letter as of December 31, 2017, included in or made part of the Annual Report on Form 10-K of WPX Energy, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2017. We also hereby consent to the incorporation by reference of the references to our firm, in the context in which they appear, and of our audit letter as of December 31, 2017, and references thereto, into WPX Energy, Inc.'s Registration Statements on Form S-8 (Nos. 333-204355, 333-178388, and 333-188767 and Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement No. 333-178388 on Form S-8) and Form S-3 (Nos. 333-208552, 333-197905 and 333-221301).

**NETHERLAND, SEWELL & ASSOCIATES, INC.**

By:           /s/ C.H. (Scott) Rees III  
C.H. (Scott) Rees III, P.E.  
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Dallas, Texas  
February 22, 2018

Please be advised that the digital document you are viewing is provided by Netherland, Sewell & Associates, Inc. (NSAI) as a convenience to our clients. The digital document is intended to be substantively the same as the original signed document maintained by NSAI. The digital document is subject to the parameters, limitations, and conditions stated in the original document. In the event of any differences between the digital document and the original document, the original document shall control and supersede the digital document.

**POWER OF ATTORNEY**

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that each of the undersigned individuals, in his or her capacity as a director of WPX Energy, Inc., a Delaware corporation (“WPX Energy”), does hereby constitute and appoint each of Dennis C. Cameron and Stephen E. Brilz as his or her true and lawful attorney-in-fact, with full power and authority to sign WPX Energy’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 , and any and all amendments thereto or any and all instruments necessary or incidental in connection therewith. Each said attorney-in-fact shall have full power of substitution, and each said attorney-in-fact shall have full power and authority to do and perform in the name and on behalf of each of the undersigned, in any and all capacities, every act whatsoever requisite or necessary to be done in or about the premises, as fully to all intents and purposes as each of the undersigned might or could do in person, the undersigned hereby ratifying and approving the acts of said attorney-in-fact.

This power of attorney has been duly executed below by the following persons as of the 22<sup>nd</sup> day of February, 2018.

/s/ John A. Carrig

\_\_\_\_\_  
John A. Carrig

/s/ Robert K. Herdman

\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert K. Herdman

/s/ Kelt Kindick

\_\_\_\_\_  
Kelt Kindick

/s/ George A. Lorch

\_\_\_\_\_  
George A. Lorch

/s/ Kimberly S. Lubel

\_\_\_\_\_  
Kimberly S. Lubel

/s/ William R. Granberry

\_\_\_\_\_  
William R. Granberry

/s/ Karl F. Kurz

\_\_\_\_\_  
Karl F. Kurz

/s/ Henry E. Lentz

\_\_\_\_\_  
Henry E. Lentz

/s/ William G. Lowrie

\_\_\_\_\_  
William G. Lowrie

/s/ David F. Work

\_\_\_\_\_  
David F. Work

## SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION

I, Richard E. Muncrief, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of WPX Energy, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 22, 2018

/s/ Richard E. Muncrief

---

Richard E. Muncrief  
Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

## SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION

I, J. Kevin Vann, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of WPX Energy, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 22, 2018

/s/ J. Kevin Vann

---

J. Kevin Vann  
Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report of WPX Energy, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the period ending December 31, 2017, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), each of the undersigned hereby certifies, in his capacity as an officer of the Company, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to his knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Richard E. Muncrief

---

Richard E. Muncrief  
Chief Executive Officer

February 22, 2018

/s/ J. Kevin Vann

---

J. Kevin Vann  
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

February 22, 2018

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

The foregoing certification is being furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit to the Report and shall not be considered filed as part of the Report.



February 12, 2018

Manager, Corporate Reserves  
WPX Energy, Inc.  
One Williams Center, Suite 2600  
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74172

Dear Sir or Madam:

In accordance with your request, we have audited the estimates prepared by WPX Energy, Inc. and its subsidiaries WPX Energy Production, LLC; WPX Energy RM Company, LLC; WPX Energy Williston, LLC; and RKI Exploration & Production, LLC (collectively referred to herein as "WPX"), as of December 31, 2017, of the proved reserves and future revenue to the WPX interest in certain oil and gas properties located in the United States. It is our understanding that the proved reserves estimates shown herein constitute over 99 percent of all proved reserves owned by WPX. We have examined the estimates with respect to reserves quantities, reserves categorization, future producing rates, future net revenue, and the present value of such future net revenue, using the definitions set forth in U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Regulation S-X Rule 4-10(a). The estimates of reserves and future revenue have been prepared in accordance with the definitions and regulations of the SEC and, with the exception of the exclusion of future income taxes, conform to the FASB Accounting Standards Codification Topic 932, Extractive Activities-Oil and Gas. We completed our audit on or about the date of this letter. This report has been prepared for WPX's use in filing with the SEC; in our opinion the assumptions, data, methods, and procedures used in the preparation of this report are appropriate for such purpose.

The following table sets forth WPX's estimates of the net reserves and future net revenue, as of December 31, 2017, for the audited properties:

Category	Net Reserves			Future Net Revenue (\$)	
	Oil (MBBL)	NGL (MBBL)	Gas (MMCF)	Total	Present Worth at 10%
Proved Developed	129,903	38,744	320,860	3,449,186	2,041,377
Proved Undeveloped	133,337	35,198	269,785	3,139,438	1,193,793
Total Proved	263,240	73,942	590,645	6,588,623	3,235,170

Totals may not add because of rounding.

The oil volumes shown include crude oil and condensate. Oil and natural gas liquids (NGL) volumes are expressed in thousands of barrels (MBBL); a barrel is equivalent to 42 United States gallons. Gas volumes are expressed in millions of cubic feet (MMCF) at standard temperature and pressure bases.

When compared on a well-by-well basis, some of the estimates of WPX are greater and some are less than the estimates of Netherland, Sewell & Associates, Inc. (NSAI). However, in our opinion the estimates shown herein of WPX's reserves and future revenue are reasonable when aggregated at the proved developed, proved undeveloped, and total proved levels and have been prepared in accordance with the Standards Pertaining to the Estimating and Auditing of Oil and Gas Reserves Information promulgated by the Society of Petroleum Engineers (SPE Standards). Additionally, these estimates are within the recommended 10 percent tolerance threshold set forth in the SPE Standards. We are satisfied with the methods and procedures used by WPX in preparing the December 31, 2017, estimates of reserves and future revenue, and we saw nothing of an unusual nature that would cause us to take exception with the estimates, in the aggregate, as prepared by WPX.

Reserves categorization conveys the relative degree of certainty; reserves subcategorization is based on development and production status. The estimates of reserves and future revenue included herein have not been adjusted for risk. WPX's estimates do not include probable or possible reserves that may exist for these properties, nor do they include any value for undeveloped acreage beyond those tracts for which undeveloped reserves have been estimated.

Prices used by WPX are based on the 12-month unweighted arithmetic average of the first-day-of-the-month price for each month in the period January through December 2017. For oil volumes, the average West Texas Intermediate posted price of \$47.79 per barrel is adjusted by area for quality, transportation fees, and market differentials. For gas volumes, the average Henry Hub spot price of \$2.976 per MMBTU is adjusted by area for energy content, transportation fees, and market differentials. All prices are held constant throughout the lives of the properties. The average adjusted product prices weighted by production over the remaining lives of the properties are \$46.39 per barrel of oil, \$21.16 per barrel of NGL, and \$1.67 per MCF of gas.

Operating costs used by WPX are based on historical operating expense records. For the nonoperated properties, these costs include the per-well overhead expenses allowed under joint operating agreements along with estimates of costs to be incurred at and below the district and field levels. Operating costs for the operated properties are limited to direct lease- and field-level costs and WPX's estimate of the portion of its headquarters general and administrative overhead expenses necessary to operate the properties. Operating costs have been divided into field-level costs, per-well costs, and per-unit-of-production costs. Capital costs used by WPX are based on authorizations for expenditure and actual costs from recent activity. Capital costs are included as required for workovers, new development wells, and production equipment. Operating costs and capital costs are not escalated for inflation. Estimates do not include any salvage value for the lease and well equipment or the cost of abandoning the properties. Additionally, although we are aware of firm transportation contracts that are in place for these properties, the associated costs are considered by WPX to be corporate-level expenses; no adjustments have been made to estimates of future revenue to account for such contracts.

The reserves shown in this report are estimates only and should not be construed as exact quantities. Proved reserves are those quantities of oil and gas which, by analysis of engineering and geoscience data, can be estimated with reasonable certainty to be economically producible; probable and possible reserves are those additional reserves which are sequentially less certain to be recovered than proved reserves. Estimates of reserves may increase or decrease as a result of market conditions, future operations, changes in regulations, or actual reservoir performance. In addition to the primary economic assumptions discussed herein, estimates of WPX and NSA are based on certain assumptions including, but not limited to, that the properties will be developed consistent with current development plans as provided to us by WPX, that the properties will be operated in a prudent manner, that no governmental regulations or controls will be put in place that would impact the ability of the interest owner to recover the reserves, and that projections of future production will prove consistent with actual performance. If the reserves are recovered, the revenues therefrom and the costs related thereto could be more or less than the estimated amounts. Because of governmental policies and uncertainties of supply and demand, the sales rates, prices received for the reserves, and costs incurred in recovering such reserves may vary from assumptions made while preparing these estimates.

It should be understood that our audit does not constitute a complete reserves study of the audited oil and gas properties. Our audit consisted primarily of substantive testing, wherein we conducted a detailed review of all properties. In the conduct of our audit, we have not independently verified the accuracy and completeness of information and data furnished by WPX with respect to ownership interests, oil and gas production, well test data, historical costs of operation and development, product prices, or any agreements relating to current and future operations of the properties and sales of production. However, if in the course of our examination something came to our attention that brought into question the validity or sufficiency of any such information or data, we did not rely on such information or data until we had satisfactorily resolved our questions relating thereto or had independently verified such information or data. Our audit did not include a review of WPX's overall reserves management processes and practices.

---

We used standard engineering and geoscience methods, or a combination of methods, including performance analysis, volumetric analysis, and analogy, that we considered to be appropriate and necessary to establish the conclusions set forth herein. As in all aspects of oil and gas evaluation, there are uncertainties inherent in the interpretation of engineering and geoscience data; therefore, our conclusions necessarily represent only informed professional judgment.

Supporting data documenting this audit, along with data provided by WPX, are on file in our office. The technical persons primarily responsible for conducting this audit meet the requirements regarding qualifications, independence, objectivity, and confidentiality set forth in the SPE Standards. Dan Paul Smith, a Licensed Professional Engineer in the State of Texas, has been practicing consulting petroleum engineering at NSAI since 1980 and has over 7 years of prior industry experience. John G. Hattner, a Licensed Professional Geoscientist in the State of Texas, has been practicing consulting petroleum geoscience at NSAI since 1991 and has over 11 years of prior industry experience. We are independent petroleum engineers, geologists, geophysicists, and petrophysicists; we do not own an interest in these properties nor are we employed on a contingent basis.

Sincerely,

**NETHERLAND, SEWELL & ASSOCIATES, INC.**  
Texas Registered Engineering Firm F-2699

/s/ C.H. (Scott) Rees III

By:

C.H. (Scott) Rees III, P.E.  
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Dan Paul Smith

By:

Dan Paul Smith, P.E. 49093  
Senior Vice President

/s/ John G. Hattner

By:

John G. Hattner, P.G. 559  
Senior Vice President

Date Signed: February 12, 2018

Date Signed: February 12, 2018

DPS:JSB

Please be advised that the digital document you are viewing is provided by Netherland, Sewell & Associates, Inc. (NSAI) as a convenience to our clients. The digital document is intended to be substantively the same as the original signed document maintained by NSAI. The digital document is subject to the parameters, limitations, and conditions stated in the original document. In the event of any differences between the digital document and the original document, the original document shall control and supersede the digital document.