



Louisiana Pacific Corporation Annual Report

2014

BUILD WITH US.™



Dear LP Shareholders,

Though many positive achievements in the year set us up well for an improving housing market, LP's financial results for 2014 were disappointing amid the significant fall in OSB prices and the lower- than-expected growth in housing at about 9 percent for the year.

Total sales for the year were \$1.9 billion, 7 percent lower than 2013, with a loss from continuing operations of \$73 million, or \$0.52 per diluted share for the year. For the year, adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations was \$44 million, compared to \$330 million in the previous year.

2014 Accomplishments

Our market presence and customer relationships became stronger despite slow growth in North American housing construction. 2014 saw record production and shipment of LP SmartSide® products, as well as higher LVL / LSL, I-joist and OSB shipments that matched market growth.

We are very pleased to report that in 2014, LP had remarkable safety performance. We ended the year with a total incident rate (TIR) of 0.35, the lowest in LP history and the ninth year in a row below a 1.0 TIR rate. These industry-leading results are only possible because everyone at LP embraces safety as our No. 1 value.

Quality performance of our existing products was outstanding, with extremely low claim rates. The exception to this was ongoing activity related to LP CanExel® in Europe, which can largely be attributed to a specific geographic area and time period.

The sales organization took on the challenge of enhancing relationships with customers and the entire channel. While this is an effort that will never be finished, we believe that our sales organization is better integrated and more aligned and responsive to our customers than ever.

In 2014, LP implemented a new ERP system throughout North America, which we rate as a success despite a tough first few months. Our employees soldiered through to stabilize and enhance the system with the typical LP can-do spirit. We met all compliance deadlines, booked orders, shipped products, collected cash, issued purchase orders, paid vendors and employees, set and managed production schedules, analyzed sales and started to fine-tune our reporting. We are learning just how powerful a management tool this system will be for LP, and know our investment will pay back handsomely as we continue to make improvements and integrate ERP into the way we do business every day.

LP continued to invest in growth in the year, though we were disappointed that our bid to acquire Ainsworth was thwarted by the governmental regulators. We increased the capacity at our Tomahawk siding mill by more than 50 percent, rebuilt the press at Roxboro and completed significant capital projects at many other facilities — all without a single contractor injury. We also made the decision to convert our Swan Valley, Manitoba OSB mill to siding to fill the increasing demand for this popular product, and began the planning process for this conversion.

In addition, LP started an ambitious effort in 2014 to accelerate growth both within the housing market and beyond; we contracted with an outside firm to help us install a robust growth and innovation capability into LP and have established teams within each business to catalyze this growth. The teams have undertaken extensive

LOUISIANA-PACIFIC CORPORATION

414 Union St., Suite 2000 Nashville, TN 37219 T 615.986.5600 F 615.986.5666 WWW.LPCORP.COM

BUILD WITH US.

market mapping to determine where opportunities may lie and have developed a myriad of hypotheses on “laneways” (project speak for pathways) where we can increase growth. We have already begun market testing these ideas. We have also identified product “adjacencies,” extensions that can expand our current offerings and scope. Exciting work is being done, with more to come in 2015 and beyond.

All of these efforts have helped to establish a solid foundation at LP from which we can accelerate growth beyond just the recovery in the housing market (which *will* happen!).

2015 Focus

Housing starts in 2014 fell well short of the consensus forecast. For 2015 we will once again plan on about a 10 percent increase in housing starts, about one-half of the growth forecast by the economists.

We are seeing some positive signs that housing activity will continue to improve, including higher consumer confidence driven by low energy prices, job and wage growth, actions taken by the U.S. government to lower the cost and increase the availability of mortgages, and better outlook for jobs. We notice optimism from all facets of the housing industry that these developments should make it easier for first-time homebuyers, who are so crucial to new construction, to enter the market.

LP recently announced internal management changes in our executive leadership with the retirement of Rick Olszewski, EVP Sales, Marketing and South America and, later in the year, of Jeff Wagner, EVP OSB. While we are sorry to lose two very talented executives, we were very pleased that we have internal people to fill these roles because of our deep bench of management talent at LP and our strong succession planning process. Effective March 1, Mike Sims will assume the role of SVP Sales and Marketing, Brad Southern will take Jeff’s position as EVP OSB, and Brian Luoma will fill Brad’s job as EVP of our Siding group. Neil Sherman will take over as SVP of our Engineered Wood Products (EWP) group. We look forward to a smooth transition and to working with the newly configured team.

As always, in 2015, our No. 1 priority is the safety of employees and contractors, followed by “doing the right thing.” We believe our strong culture of safety and ethical behavior is why people want to work for and do business with LP.

We have an aggressive capital investment program in place for 2015, with about \$130 million slated for projects. Of particular strategic importance is the conversion of Swan Valley to siding. This project is on an aggressive schedule to be producing early in the fourth quarter to meet the strong demand for our SmartSide products. In Chile, we have been selling out capacity for many years and it is time to expand to further growth there, as well as to provide product to other South American countries. If all goes according to plan, we will begin construction in the second half of this year. There are many other 2015 capital projects across businesses that will ensure the long term viability of our production assets plus provide additional capabilities to serve our customers.

After bringing in new tools for growth and innovation in 2014, the work we are embarking upon in 2015 is to institutionalize the growth process and increase the rate of success. We look forward to sharing the successes with you in the months ahead.

While the basic capabilities are installed and working, we will take our ERP system to the next level in 2015 with the continued maturation of the Center of Excellence (COE) and extensive user training to allow us to take advantage of the existing system, as well as exploring additional capabilities.

We are extremely proud and pleased with LP’s Building Leaders and Foundation for Building Leaders leadership and supervisory training programs, which will continue in 2015. In addition, our Lean Six Sigma (LSS) program continues to bring operational improvements and excellent financial returns to LP.

We are confident that with a slightly recovering housing market, continued growth in other segments, continued improvement in our cost structure and better OSB pricing, LP’s improved financial performance will follow.

While we would always like housing to recover more quickly, we are pleased with our positioning in the market and we stand ready to serve the increased housing market activity.

Thank you for your continued support.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "E. Gary Cook". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style with a prominent initial "E".

E. Gary Cook

Chairman

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Curt Stevens". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style with a prominent initial "C".

Curt Stevens

Chief Executive Officer

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-K

**Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d)
of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

For the fiscal year ended
December 31, 2014

Commission File Number
1-7107

Louisiana-Pacific Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State of Incorporation)

93-0609074
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

414 Union Street, Suite 2000
Nashville, TN 37219
(Address of principal executive offices)

615-986-5600
Registrant's telephone number
(including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

<u>Title of Each Class</u>	<u>Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered</u>
Common Stock, \$1 par value	New York Stock Exchange
Preferred Stock Purchase Rights	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "accelerated filer," "large accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act): Yes No

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter: \$2,070,534,952

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock as of the latest practicable date: 142,364,326 shares of Common Stock, \$1 par value, outstanding as of March 2, 2015.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Definitive Proxy Statement for 2014 Annual Meeting: Part III

Except as otherwise specified and unless the context otherwise requires, references to "LP", the "Company", "we", "us", and "our" refer to Louisiana-Pacific Corporation and its subsidiaries.

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

ABOUT FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 provide a “safe harbor” for forward-looking statements to encourage companies to provide prospective information about their businesses and other matters as long as those statements are identified as forward-looking and are accompanied by meaningful cautionary statements identifying important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those discussed in the statements. This report contains, and other reports and documents filed by us with the Securities and Exchange Commission may contain, forward-looking statements. These statements are or will be based upon the beliefs and assumptions of, and on information available to, our management.

The following statements are or may constitute forward-looking statements: (1) statements preceded by, followed by or that include words like “may,” “will,” “could,” “should,” “believe,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “intend,” “plan,” “estimate,” “potential,” “continue” or “future” or the negative or other variations thereof and (2) other statements regarding matters that are not historical facts, including without limitation, plans for product development, forecasts of future costs and expenditures, possible outcomes of legal proceedings, capacity expansion and other growth initiatives and the adequacy of reserves for loss contingencies.

Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to the following:

- changes in governmental fiscal and monetary policies and levels of employment;
- changes in general economic conditions;
- changes in the cost and availability of capital;
- changes in the level of home construction activity;
- changes in competitive conditions and prices for our products;
- changes in the relationship between supply of and demand for building products;
- changes in the relationship between supply of and demand for raw materials, including wood fiber and resins, used in manufacturing our products;
- changes in the cost of and availability of energy, primarily natural gas, electricity and diesel fuel;
- changes in other significant operating expenses;
- changes in exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and other currencies, particularly the Canadian dollar, Australian dollar, EURO, Brazilian *real* and the Chilean *peso*;
- changes in general and industry-specific environmental laws and regulations;
- changes in tax laws, and interpretations thereof;
- changes in circumstances giving rise to environmental liabilities or expenditures;
- governmental gridlock and curtailment of government services and spending;
- the resolution of existing and future product-related litigation and other legal proceedings; and
- acts of public authorities, war, civil unrest, natural disasters, fire, floods, earthquakes, inclement weather and other matters beyond our control.

In addition to the foregoing and any risks and uncertainties specifically identified in the text surrounding forward-looking statements, any statements in the reports and other documents filed by us with the Commission that warn of risks or uncertainties associated with future results, events or circumstances identify important factors that could cause actual results, events and circumstances to differ materially from those reflected in the forward-looking statements.

ABOUT THIRD-PARTY INFORMATION

In this report, we rely on and refer to information regarding industry data obtained from market research, publicly available information, industry publications, U.S. government sources and other third parties. Although we believe the information is reliable, we cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of the information and have not independently verified it.

PART I

ITEM 1. *Business*

General

Our company, founded in 1973 and headquartered in Nashville, Tennessee, is a leading manufacturer of building products. As of December 31, 2014, we had approximately 4,500 employees. We currently own 21 modern, strategically located facilities in the U.S. and Canada, two facilities in Chile and one facility in Brazil. We also operate facilities through a joint venture, for which we are the exclusive provider of product distribution for North America. Our focus is on delivering innovative, high-quality commodity and specialty building products to retail, wholesale, home building and industrial customers. We utilize various tools, such as Lean Six Sigma, to improve operational efficiency and productivity. Our products are used primarily in new home construction, repair and remodeling, and outdoor structures.

Business Segments

We operate in four segments: North America Oriented Strand Board (OSB); Siding; Engineered Wood Products (EWP); and South America. In general, our businesses are affected by the level of housing starts; the level of home repairs; changes in industry capacity; changes in the prices we pay for raw materials and energy and changes in foreign exchange rates (primarily the Canadian dollar, Chilean Peso and Brazilian Real).

OSB

Our OSB segment manufactures and distributes OSB structural panel products.

OSB is an innovative, affordable and environmentally smart product made from wood strands arranged in layers and bonded with resin. OSB serves many of the same uses as plywood, including roof decking, sidewall sheathing and floor underlayment, but can be produced at a significantly lower cost. It is estimated for 2014 that OSB accounted for approximately 62% of the structural panel consumption in North America with plywood accounting for the remainder. We estimate that the overall North American structural panel market (based upon 2014 housing starts) was 29.0 billion square feet with the OSB market comprising an estimated 18.0 billion square feet of this market. Based upon our production in 2014 of 4.3 billion square feet (including OSB produced in our siding segment), we estimate that we account for 24% of the North American OSB market and 15% of the overall North American structural panel market. We believe we have the largest installed capacity and are one of the most efficient producers of OSB in North America.

Siding

Our siding offerings fall into two categories: SmartSide® siding products and related accessories; and CanExel siding and accessories and other related products. Our SmartSide® products consist of a full line of wood-based sidings, trim, soffit and fascia. These products have quality and performance characteristics similar to solid wood at more attractive prices due to lower raw material and production costs. Our CanExel siding and accessory product offerings include a number of pre-finished lap and trim products in a variety of patterns and textures.

Additionally, minor amounts of commodity OSB are produced and sold in this segment.

Engineered Wood Products

Our Engineered Wood Products (EWP) segment manufactures and distributes laminated veneer lumber (LVL), I-Joists, laminated strand lumber (LSL) and other related products. This segment also includes the sale of I-Joist produced by our joint venture with Resolute Forest Products and LVL sold under a contract manufacturing

arrangement. We believe that in North America we are one of the top three producers (including our joint venture production) of I-Joists, LVL and LSL. A plywood mill associated with our LVL operations in British Columbia and minor amounts of commodity OSB are also included in this segment.

We believe that our engineered I-joists, which are used primarily in residential and commercial flooring and roofing systems and other structural applications, are stronger, lighter and straighter than conventional lumber joists. Our LVL and LSL are high-grade, value-added structural products used in applications where extra strength and quality is required, such as headers and beams.

South America

Our South American segment manufactures and distributes OSB and siding products in South America and certain export markets. This segment also distributes and sells related products to augment the transition to wood frame construction. We believe we are the only producer of OSB in South America.

Other Products

Our other products category includes our remaining timber and timberlands, and other minor products, services and closed operations. Prior to their disposition during 2013, this category also included our interest in a joint venture that produced cellulose insulation and our former moulding operations.

Sales, Marketing and Distribution

Our sales and marketing efforts are primarily focused on traditional two-step distribution, professional building products dealers, home centers, third-party wholesale buying groups and other retailers. The wholesale distribution channel includes a variety of specialized and broad-line wholesale distributors and dealers focused primarily on the supply of products for use by professional builders and contractors. The retail distribution channel includes large retail chains catering to the do-it-yourself (DIY) and repair and remodeling markets as well as smaller independent retailers.

Customers

We seek to maintain a broad customer base and a balanced approach to national distribution through both wholesale and retail channels. In 2014, our top ten customers accounted for approximately 41% of our sales. Because a significant portion of our sales are from OSB, a commodity product sold primarily on the basis of price and availability, we are not dependent on any one customer. Our principal customers include the following:

- Wholesale distribution companies, which supply building materials to retailers on a regional, state or local basis;
- Two-step distributors, who provide building materials to smaller retailers, contractors and others;
- Building materials professional dealers, that specialize in sales to professional builders, remodeling firms and trade contractors that are involved in residential home construction and light commercial building;
- Retail home centers, that provide access to consumer markets with a broad selection of home improvement materials and increasingly serve professional builders, remodelers and trade contractors; and
- Shed producers, who design, construct and distribute prefabricated residential and light commercial structures, including fully manufactured, modular and panelized structures, for consumer and professional markets.

Seasonality

Our business is subject to seasonal variances, with demand for many of our products tending to be greater during the building season, which generally occurs in the second and third quarters in North America and the fourth and first quarters in South America. From time to time, we engage in promotional activities designed to stimulate demand for our products, such as reducing our selling prices and providing extended payment terms, particularly at times when demand is otherwise relatively soft. We do this in an effort to better balance our inventory levels with demand, manage the logistics of our product shipments, allow our production facilities to run efficiently, be competitive, and/or obtain initial orders from customers.

Competitors / Competition

The building products industry is highly competitive. We compete internationally with several thousand forest and building products firms, ranging from very large, fully integrated firms to smaller enterprises that may manufacture only one or a few items. We also compete less directly with firms that manufacture substitutes for wood building products. Some competitors have substantially greater financial and other resources than we do that could, in some instances, give them a competitive advantage over us.

In terms of our commodity OSB, we compete based upon price, quality and availability of products. In terms of our specialty products, including EWP, siding and various value added OSB products, we compete based upon price, quality, and availability of products as well as performance features offered.

Raw Materials

Wood fiber is the primary raw material used in most of our operations, and the primary source of wood fiber is timber. The primary end-markets for timber harvested in the U.S. are manufacturers who supply: (1) the housing market, where it is used in the construction of new housing and the repair and remodeling of existing housing; (2) the pulp and paper market; (3) commercial and industrial markets; (4) export markets; and (5) emerging biomass energy production markets. The supply of timber is limited by access to timber and by the availability of timberlands. The availability of timberlands, in turn, is limited by several factors, including forest management policies, alternate uses of land, and loss to urban or suburban real estate development.

In Canada, we harvest enough timber annually under long-term harvest rights with various Canadian governments and other third parties to support our Canadian production facilities.

We purchase approximately 80% of our wood fiber requirements on the open market, through either private cutting contracts or purchased wood arrangements. Our remaining wood fiber requirements (20%) are fulfilled through government contracts, principally in Canada. Because wood fiber is subject to commodity pricing, the cost of various types of timber that we purchase in the market has at times fluctuated greatly due to weather, governmental, economic or other industry conditions. However, our mills are generally in close proximity to large and diverse supplies of timber and have the ability to procure wood fiber at competitive prices.

In addition to wood fiber, we use a significant quantity of various resins in our manufacturing processes. Resin product costs are influenced by changes in the prices of raw materials used to produce resin, primarily petroleum products, as well as competing demand for resin products. Currently, we purchase the majority of our resin from three major suppliers and believe our relationships with those suppliers to be good. However there can be no assurance that pricing or availability of resins will not be impacted based upon competing demand.

While a portion of our energy requirements are generated at our plants through the conversion of wood waste, we also purchase substantial amounts of energy in our operations, primarily electricity and natural gas. Energy prices have experienced significant volatility in recent years, particularly in deregulated markets. We attempt to mitigate our exposure to energy price changes through the selective use of long-term supply agreements.

Environmental Compliance

Our operations are subject to many environmental laws and regulations governing, among other things, discharges of pollutants and other emissions on or into land, water and air, the disposal of hazardous substances or other contaminants, the remediation of contamination and the restoration and reforestation of timberlands. In addition, certain environmental laws and regulations impose liability and responsibility on present and former owners, operators or users of facilities and sites for contamination at such facilities and sites without regard to causation or knowledge of contamination. Compliance with environmental laws and regulations can significantly increase the costs of our operations. In some cases, plant closures can result in more onerous compliance requirements becoming applicable to a facility or a site. Violations of environmental laws and regulations can subject us to additional costs and expenses, including defense costs and expenses and civil and criminal penalties. We cannot assure you that the environmental laws and regulations to which we are subject will not become more stringent, or be more stringently implemented or enforced, in the future.

During 2012, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) finalized the Clean Air Act pollution standards. We estimate that we will be required to make capital expenditures in the range of \$3 million to \$13 million over the next five years to comply with such standards.

Our policy is to comply fully with all applicable environmental laws and regulations. We devote significant management attention to achieving full compliance. In addition, from time to time, we undertake construction projects for environmental control equipment or incur other environmental costs that extend an asset's useful life, improve its efficiency or improve the marketability of certain properties.

Additional information concerning environmental matters is set forth under item 3, Legal Proceedings, and in Note 18 of the Notes to the consolidated financial statements included in item 8 of this report.

Employees

We employ approximately 4,500 people, about 800 of whom are members of unions, primarily in Canada, Chile and Brazil. We consider our relationship with our employees generally to be good. While we do not currently anticipate any work stoppage, there can be no assurance that work stoppages will not occur.

Available Information

We file annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). Our SEC filings are available to the public over the internet at the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov>. You may also read and copy any document we file at the SEC's public reference room at 100 F Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the SEC's public reference room in Washington, D.C. by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330.

In addition, we will make available our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act through our internet website at <http://www.lpcorp.com> as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC.

Segment and Price Trend Data

The following table sets forth, for each of the last three years: (1) our production volumes; (2) the estimated average wholesale price of OSB sold in the United States; and (3) our logs procured by source. In addition, information concerning our: (1) consolidated net sales by business segment; (2) consolidated profit (loss) by business segment; (3) identifiable assets by segment; (4) depreciation, amortization and cost of timber harvested; (5) capital expenditures; and (6) geographic segment information is included at Note 24 of the Notes to the consolidated financial statements included in item 8 of this report and information concerning our sales by product line is included in item 7 of this report.

**Product Information Summary
For Years Ended December 31
(Dollar amounts in millions, except per unit)**

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
PRODUCTION VOLUMES⁽¹⁾			
OSB, 3/8" basis, million square feet ⁽²⁾	4,294	4,096	3,660
South America OSB, million cubic meters	485	458	458
Wood-based siding, 3/8" basis, million square feet	1,103	1,011	923
Engineered I-joists, million lineal feet	76	74	63
Laminated veneer lumber and laminated strand lumber, thousand cubic feet	9,015	7,783	6,633
COMMODITY PRODUCT PRICE TRENDS⁽³⁾			
OSB, MSF, 7/16" span rating (North Central price)	\$ 218	\$ 315	\$ 271
OSB, MSF, 7/16" span rating (Western Canada price)	\$ 197	\$ 300	\$ 269
OSB, MSF, 7/16" span rating (Southwest price)	\$ 208	\$ 293	\$ 260
% LOGS BY SOURCES⁽⁴⁾			
Private cutting contracts	4%	6%	8%
Government contracts	20%	29%	26%
Purchased logs	76%	65%	67%

- (1) Includes purchases of products from joint ventures or purchased under contract manufacturing arrangements.
- (2) Includes production at both our commodity and specialty mills in North America.
- (3) Prices represent yearly averages stated in dollars per thousand square feet (MSF). Source: *Random Lengths*.
- (4) Stated as a percentage of total log volume.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

You should be aware that the occurrence of any of the events described in this Risk Factors section and elsewhere in this report or in any other of our filings with the SEC could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, results of operations and cash flows. In evaluating us, you should consider carefully, among other things, the risks described below and the matters described in “About Forward-Looking Statements.”

Cyclical industry conditions and commodity pricing have and may continue to adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. Our operating results reflect the general cyclical pattern of the building products industry. Demand for our products correlates to a significant degree to the level of residential construction activity in North America, which historically has been characterized by significant cyclicity. This cyclicity is influenced by a number of factors, including the supply of new and existing homes on the market, the level of unemployment, longer-term interest rates, and mortgage foreclosure rates. The cyclicity is also influenced by the availability of mortgage financing, which is currently more restrictive than normal and which could be adversely affected by the implementation of one or more proposals to eliminate or reduce the mortgage market roles of or levels of support for government-sponsored enterprises such as Federal National Mortgage Association and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation. A significant increase in longer-term interest rates, a prolonged decline in the availability of mortgage financing, or the occurrence of other events that reduce levels of residential construction activity could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Our primary product, OSB, and a significant portion of our raw materials are globally traded commodity products. In addition, our products are subject to competition from manufacturers worldwide. Historical prices for our products have been volatile, and we, like other participants in the building products industry, have limited influence over the timing and extent of price changes for our products. Product pricing is significantly affected by the relationship between supply and demand in the building products industry. Product supply is influenced primarily by fluctuations in available manufacturing capacity. Demand is affected by the state of the economy in general and a variety of other factors, including the level of new residential construction activity and home repair and remodeling activity, changes in the availability and cost of mortgage financing and weather conditions. We are not able to predict with certainty market conditions and selling prices for our products. In this competitive environment with so many variables which we do not control, we cannot assure you that prices for our products will not decline from current levels. A prolonged and severe weakness in the markets for one or more of our principal products, particularly OSB, could seriously harm our financial condition and results of operations and our ability to satisfy our cash requirements, including the payment of interest and principal on our debt.

We have a high degree of product concentration. OSB accounted for about 48%, 59% and 57% of our North American sales in 2014, 2013 and 2012 and we expect OSB sales to continue to account for a substantial portion of our revenues and profits in the future. Concentration of our business in the OSB market further increases our sensitivity to commodity pricing and price volatility. In this competitive environment with so many variables for which we do not control, we cannot assure you that pricing for OSB or our other products will not decline from current levels.

Intense competition in the building products industry could prevent us from increasing or sustaining our net sales and profitability. The markets for our products are highly competitive. Our competitors range from very large, fully integrated forest and building products firms to smaller firms that may manufacture only one or a few types of products. We also compete less directly with firms that manufacture substitutes for wood building products. Many of our competitors have greater financial and other resources than we do, and certain of the mills operated by our competitors may be lower-cost producers than the mills operated by us.

Our results of operations may be harmed by potential shortages of raw materials and increases in raw material costs. The most significant raw material used in our operations is wood fiber. We currently obtain about 80% of our wood fiber requirements in the open market. Wood fiber is subject to commodity pricing, which

fluctuates on the basis of market factors over which we have no control. In addition, the cost of various types of wood fiber that we purchase in the market has at times fluctuated greatly because of governmental, economic or industry conditions, and may be affected by increased demand resulting from initiatives to increase the use of biomass materials in the production of heat, power, biobased products and biofuels. In addition to wood fiber, we also use a significant quantity of various resins in our manufacturing processes. Resin product costs are influenced by changes in the prices or availability of raw materials used to produce resins, primarily petroleum products, as well as demand for and availability of resin products. Selling prices of our products have not always increased in response to raw material cost increases. We are unable to determine to what extent, if any, we will be able to pass any future raw material cost increases through to our customers through product price increases. Our inability to pass increased costs through to our customers could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Many of the Canadian forestlands from which we obtain wood fiber also are subject to the constitutionally protected treaty or common-law rights of the aboriginal peoples of Canada. Most of British Columbia is not covered by treaties and, as a result, the claims of British Columbia's aboriginal peoples relating to forest resources are largely unresolved, although many aboriginal groups are actively engaged in treaty discussions with the governments of British Columbia and Canada. Final or interim resolution of claims brought by aboriginal groups are expected to result in additional restrictions on the sale or harvest of timber and may increase operating costs and affect timber supply and prices in Canada.

We depend on our senior management team and other key employees, and significant attrition within our management team could adversely affect our business. Our success depends in part on our ability to attract, retain and motivate senior management and other key employees. Achieving this objective may be difficult due to many factors, including fluctuations in global economic and industry conditions, competitors' hiring practices, cost reduction activities, and the effectiveness of our compensation programs. Competition for qualified personnel can be very intense. We must continue to recruit, retain and motivate senior management and other key employees sufficient to maintain our current business and support our future projects. A loss of any such personnel, or the inability to recruit and retain qualified personnel in the future, could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our operations require substantial capital. Capital expenditures for expansion or replacement of existing facilities or equipment or to comply with future changes in environmental laws and regulations may be substantial. Although we maintain our production equipment with regular periodic and scheduled maintenance, we cannot assure you that key pieces of equipment in our various production processes will not need to be repaired or replaced or that we will not incur significant additional costs associated with environmental compliance. The costs of repairing or replacing such equipment and the associated downtime of the affected production line could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flow. If for any reason we are unable to provide for our operating needs, capital expenditures and other cash requirements on economic terms, we could experience a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Our pension and health care costs are subject to numerous factors which could cause these costs to change. We have defined benefit pension plans covering substantially all U.S. and Canadian employees. We provide retiree health care benefits to certain of our U.S. salaried and certain hourly employees. Our pension costs are dependent upon numerous pension plan provisions that are subject to interpretations and factors resulting from actual plan experience and assumptions of future experience. Pension plan assets are primarily made up of equity and fixed income investments. Fluctuations in actual equity market returns; changes in general interest rates and changes in the number of retirees may result in increased pension costs in future periods. Likewise, changes in assumptions regarding current discount rates and expected rates of return on plan assets could also change pension and health care costs. We are subject to market risk on pension plan assets as well as discount rates on long-term obligations. Significant adverse changes in the factors affecting our pension and health care costs could adversely affect our cash flows, financial condition and results of operations.

Our pension plans are currently underfunded, and over time we may be required to make cash payments to the plans, reducing the cash available for our business. We record a liability associated with our pension plans equal to the excess of the benefit obligation over the fair value of plan assets. The benefit liability recorded under the provisions of Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 715, “Compensation—Retirement Benefits,” at December 31, 2014 was \$96.1 million. Although we expect to contribute \$2.0 million to \$5.0 million to our plans in 2015, we continually reassess the amount and timing of any discretionary contributions. Over the next several years we may make significant contributions to the plans. The amount of such contributions will depend upon a number of factors, principally the actual earnings and changes in values of plan assets and changes in interest rates.

We depend on third parties for transportation services and increases in costs and the availability of transportation could materially and adversely affect our business and operations. Our business depends on the transportation of a large number of products, both domestically and internationally. We rely primarily on third parties for transportation of the products we manufacture and/or distribute as well as for delivery of our raw materials. In particular, a significant portion of the goods we manufacture and raw materials we use are transported by railroad or trucks, which are highly regulated. If any of our third-party transportation providers were to fail to deliver the goods we manufacture or distribute in a timely manner, we may be unable to sell those products at full value or at all. Similarly, if any of these providers were to fail to deliver raw materials to us in a timely manner, we may be unable to manufacture our products in response to customer demand. In addition, if any of these third parties were to cease operations or cease doing business with us, we may be unable to replace them at reasonable cost. Any failure of a third-party transportation provider to deliver raw materials or finished products in a timely manner could harm our reputation, negatively affect our customer relationships and have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, an increase in transportation rates or fuel surcharges could materially and adversely affect our sales and profitability.

We are subject to significant environmental regulation and environmental compliance expenditures and liabilities. Our businesses are subject to many environmental laws and regulations, particularly with respect to discharges of pollutants and other emissions on or into land, water and air, and the disposal and remediation of hazardous substances or other contaminants and the restoration and reforestation of timberlands. Compliance with these laws and regulations is a significant factor in our business. We have incurred and expect to continue to incur significant expenditures to comply with applicable environmental laws and regulations. Moreover, some or all of the environmental laws and regulations to which we are subject could become more stringent in the future. Our failure to comply with applicable environmental laws and regulations and permit requirements could result in civil or criminal fines or penalties or enforcement actions, including regulatory or judicial orders enjoining or curtailing operations or requiring corrective measures, installation of pollution control equipment or remedial actions.

Some environmental laws and regulations impose liability and responsibility on present and former owners, operators or users of facilities and sites for contamination at such facilities and sites without regard to causation or knowledge of contamination. In addition, we occasionally evaluate various alternatives with respect to our facilities, including possible dispositions or closures. Investigations undertaken in connection with these activities may lead to discoveries of contamination that must be remediated, and closures of facilities may trigger compliance requirements that are not applicable to operating facilities. Consequently, we cannot assure you that existing or future circumstances or developments with respect to contamination will not require significant expenditures by us.

We are involved in various environmental matters, product liability and other legal proceedings. The outcome of these matters and proceedings and the magnitude of related costs and liabilities are subject to uncertainties. The conduct of our business involves the use of hazardous substances and the generation of contaminants and pollutants. In addition, the end-users of many of our products are members of the general public. We currently are or from time to time in the future may be involved in a number of environmental matters and legal proceedings, including legal proceedings involving anti-trust, warranty or non-warranty product

liability claims, negligence and other claims, including claims for wrongful death, personal injury and property damage alleged to have arisen out of the use by others of our or our predecessors' products or the release by us or our predecessors of hazardous substances. Environmental matters and legal matters and proceedings, including class action settlements relating to certain of our products, have in the past caused and in the future may cause us to incur substantial costs. We have established contingency reserves in our consolidated financial statements with respect to the estimated costs of existing environmental matters and legal proceedings to the extent that our management has determined that such costs are both probable and reasonably estimable as to amount. However, such reserves are based upon various estimates and assumptions relating to future events and circumstances, all of which are subject to inherent uncertainties. We regularly monitor our estimated exposure to environmental and litigation loss contingencies and, as additional information becomes known, may change our estimates significantly. However, no estimate of the range of any such change can be made at this time. We may incur costs in respect of existing and future environmental matters and legal proceedings as to which no contingency reserves have been established. We cannot assure you that we will have sufficient resources available to satisfy the related costs and expenses associated with these matters and proceedings.

Settlements of tax exposures may exceed the amounts we have established for known estimated tax exposures. We maintain reserves for known estimated tax exposures in federal, state and international jurisdictions and uncertain tax positions. Significant income tax exposures may include potential challenges to intercompany pricing and loans, the treatment of financing, acquisition and disposition transactions, the use of hybrid entities and other matters. These exposures are settled primarily through the closure of audits with the taxing jurisdictions and, on occasion, through the judicial process, either of which may produce a result inconsistent with past estimates. We believe that we have established appropriate reserves for estimated exposures; however, if actual results differ materially from our estimates we could experience a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. In addition, our deferred tax liabilities include substantial amounts related to installment sales of timber lands in 1998 and 2003 for which we have previously monetized most of the installment receivable. As a result of these monetizations, we will be required to fund these liabilities from sources other than such installments, potentially including such tax loss and credit carryovers as may then be available.

Fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates could result in currency exchange losses and reductions in stockholders' equity. A significant portion of our operations are conducted through foreign subsidiaries. The functional currency for our Canadian subsidiary is the U.S. dollar. The financial statements of this foreign subsidiary are remeasured into U.S. dollars using the historical exchange rate for property, plant and equipment, timber and timberlands, equity and certain other non-monetary assets and liabilities and related depreciation and amortization on these assets and liabilities. These transaction and translation gains or losses are recorded in foreign exchange gains (losses) in the income statement. The functional currency of our Chilean subsidiary is the Chilean peso and the functional currency of our Brazilian subsidiary is the Brazilian real. Translation adjustments, which are based upon the exchange rate at the balance sheet date for assets and liabilities and the weighted average rate for the income statement, are recorded in the Accumulated Comprehensive Income (Loss) section of Stockholders' Equity. Therefore, changes in the Canadian dollar, the Chilean *peso* or the Brazilian *real* relative to the U.S. dollar may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Our ability to service our indebtedness, to refinance our indebtedness or to fund our other liquidity needs is subject to various risks. Our ability to make scheduled payments on and to refinance our indebtedness depends on and is subject to our financial and operating performance, which in turn is affected by general and regional economic, financial, competitive, business and other factors, including the availability of financing in the banking and capital markets as well as the other risks described herein. In particular, demand for our products correlates to a significant degree to the level of residential construction activity in North America, which historically has been characterized by significant cyclicity. Over the last several years, housing starts remained below "normal" levels. There can be no assurance as to when, or if the housing market, will rebound to "normal levels". Accordingly, we cannot assure you that our business will generate sufficient cash flows from operations or that future borrowings will be available to us in an amount sufficient to enable us to service our debt, to

refinance our debt or to fund our other liquidity needs. If we are unable to service our debt obligations or to fund our other liquidity needs, we could be forced to curtail our operations, reorganize our capital structure or liquidate some or all of our assets in a manner that could cause the holders of our securities to experience a partial or total loss of their investment in us.

We have not independently verified the results of third-party research or confirmed assumptions or judgments upon which it may be based, and the forecasted and other forward-looking information contained therein is subject to inherent uncertainties. We refer in this report and other documents that we file with the SEC to historical, forecasted and other forward-looking information published by sources such as RISI (Resource Information Systems, Inc.), FEA (Forest Economic Advisors, LLC), *Random Lengths* and the U.S. Census Bureau that we believe to be reliable. However, we have not independently verified this information and, with respect to the forecasted and forward-looking information, have not independently confirmed the assumptions and judgments upon which it is based. Forecasted and other forward looking information is necessarily based on assumptions regarding future occurrences, events, conditions and circumstances and subjective judgments relating to various matters, and is subject to inherent uncertainties. Actual results may differ materially from the results expressed or implied by, or based upon, such forecasted and forward-looking information.

Initiatives to upgrade our information technology infrastructure involve many risks. We regularly implement business process improvement initiatives to optimize our performance. Our current initiatives include plans to further standardize the business processes and technology that support our strategies through implementation of further upgrades to our software solution over the next few years. We may experience difficulties as we transition to these new or upgraded systems and processes, including loss of data and decreases in productivity as our personnel become familiar with new systems. In addition, transitioning to these new or upgraded systems requires significant capital investments and personnel resources. Difficulties in implementing new or upgraded information systems or significant system failures could disrupt our operations and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. In addition, we will experience significant changes in our internal controls over financial reporting as our implementation progresses. If we are unable to manage these changes successfully, our ability to timely and accurately process transactions and report our results of operations could be adversely affected.

ITEM 1B. *Unresolved Staff Comments*

None.

ITEM 2. Properties

Information regarding our principal properties and facilities is set forth in the following tables. Information regarding production capacities is based on normal operating rates and normal production mixes under current market conditions, taking into account known constraints such as log supply. Market conditions, fluctuations in log supply, and the nature of current orders may cause actual production rates and mixes to vary significantly from the production rates and mixes shown.

ORIENTED STRAND BOARD

Oriented Strand Board Panel Plants

11 plants—5,885 million square feet annual capacity, 3/8” basis

	<u>Square feet in millions</u>
Carthage, TX	500
Chambord, Quebec, Canada ¹	470
Dawson Creek, BC, Canada	380
Ft. St. John, British Columbia, Canada	820
Hanceville, AL	410
Jasper, TX	475
Maniwaki, Quebec, Canada	650
Roxboro, NC	500
Sagola, MI	410
Swan Valley, Manitoba, Canada ³	520
Thomasville, AL	750

SIDING

Siding and Specialty Plants

6 plants—1,445 million square feet annual capacity, 3/8” basis

	<u>Square feet in millions</u>
Newberry, MI	150
Hayward, WI ²	475
Tomahawk, WI	230
Two Harbors, MN	190
Roaring River, NC	300
East River, Nova Scotia, Canada	100

ENGINEERED WOOD PRODUCTS

I-joist Plants⁴

1 plant—80 million lineal feet annual capacity

	<u>Lineal feet in millions</u>
Red Bluff, CA	80

LVL Plants

2 plants—9,400 thousand cubic feet annual capacity

	<u>Cubic feet in thousands</u>
Golden, BC, Canada	4,800
Wilmington, NC	4,600

LSL Plant

1 plant—7,000 thousand cubic feet annual capacity

	<u>Cubic feet in thousands</u>
Houlton, ME	7,000

SOUTH AMERICAN OPERATIONS3 plants—680 million square feet annual capacity. ³/₈" basis

	<u>Square feet in millions</u>
Panguipulli, Chile	130
Lautaro, Chile	160
Ponta Grossa, Brazil	390

¹ Facility indefinitely curtailed as of December 31, 2014.

² The Hayward, WI siding facility can produce both commodity OSB and SmartSide siding.

³ The Swan Valley, Manitoba, Canada OSB mill is planned to be converted to a siding plant in 2015.

⁴ In addition to the plants described, our 50/50 joint venture with Resolute Forest Products owns and operates a plant in St. Prime, Quebec, Canada and a plant in La Rouche, Quebec, Canada. The combined annual production capacity of these facilities is 140 million lineal feet.

CANADIAN TIMBERLAND LICENSE AGREEMENTS

<u>Location</u>	<u>Acres</u>
British Columbia	20,700,000
Manitoba	8,900,000
Nova Scotia	600,000
Quebec	27,700,000
Total timberlands under license agreements in Canada	<u>57,900,000</u>

We also have timber-cutting rights on 12,874 acres on government and privately owned timberlands in the U.S.

Our Canadian subsidiary has arrangements with four Canadian provincial governments which give our subsidiary the right to harvest a volume of wood off public land from defined forest areas under supply and forest management agreements, long-term pulpwood agreements, and various other timber licenses. The acreage noted above is the gross amount of the licenses and is not reflective of the amount of timber acreage that we currently manage. We also obtain wood from private parties in certain cases where the provincial governments require us to obtain logs from private parties prior to harvesting from the licenses to meet our raw materials needs.

ITEM 3. *Legal Proceedings*

Certain environmental matters and legal proceedings are discussed below.

ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

We are involved in a number of environmental proceedings and activities, and may be wholly or partially responsible for known or unknown contamination existing at a number of other sites at which we have conducted operations or disposed of wastes. Based on the information currently available, management believes that any fines, penalties or other costs or losses resulting from these matters will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, cash flows or liquidity.

ARS LITIGATION

On July 31, 2009, LP filed suit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California captioned Louisiana Pacific Corporation v. Money Market 1 Institutional Investment Dealer (“MM1”); Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc.; Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated; and Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. (Civil Action No.09cv3529). Merrill Lynch caused this case to be transferred to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (Civil Action No. 09 MD 2030) where Merrill Lynch had multiple similar cases pending as a result of a Multi-District Litigation Panel ruling. This matter arose out of LP’s acquisition of certain ARS structured and underwritten by Merrill Lynch and Deutsche Bank with an approximate par value of \$145.9 million. In the lawsuit, LP alleges that the defendants made misrepresentations and omissions of material facts in connection with the issuance of and the auctions for the ARS which constitute a violation of both state and federal securities laws, as well as common law fraud. LP seeks recovery of compensatory damages, rescission of the purchase of the securities at par value, consequential damages, punitive damages, attorneys’ fees and any other damages the court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

On February 15, 2012, the Court granted Merrill Lynch’s motion to dismiss the case against Merrill Lynch. Cases against MM1 remain pending in the Northern District of California and the Southern District of New York. LP is seeking default judgment against MM1 in both cases.

On November 30, 2012, we entered into a settlement agreement and mutual release with Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. (DBSI) relating to the case against DBSI. Pursuant to the agreement, DBSI, without admitting any liability or wrong-doing, paid to us the sum of \$20 million, we dismissed the legal proceedings against DBSI with prejudice, and we and DBSI released the other from all claims and liabilities arising out of the sale and purchase of the auction rate securities and the related legal proceedings.

HARDBOARD TRIM LITIGATION

We were named in four putative class action lawsuits filed against us in United States District Courts during the first quarter of 2012 related to non-treated hardboard trim product formerly manufactured at our Roaring River, North Carolina hardboard plant: *Brown v. Louisiana-Pacific Corporation.*, Case No. 4:12-CV-00102-RP-TJS (S.D. Iowa) (filed March 8, 2012, as a state-wide putative class); *Holbrook v. Louisiana-Pacific Corporation, et al.*, Case No. 3:12-CV-00484-JGC (N.D. Ohio) (filed February 28, 2012, as a state-wide putative class); *Bristol Village Inc. v. Louisiana-Pacific Corporation, et al.*, Case No. 1:12-CV-00263 (W.D.N.Y.) (filed March 30, 2012, as a state-wide putative class or, alternatively, as a nation-wide putative class) and *Prevett v. Louisiana-Pacific*, Case No. 6:12-CV-348-ORL-18-KRS (M.D. Fla) (filed March 5, 2012, as a state-wide putative class). A fifth lawsuit, *Eugene Lipov v. Louisiana-Pacific*, Case 1:12-CV-00439- JTN (W.D. Mich) (filed May 3, 2012) was filed as a statewide putative class action in the second quarter of 2012. These lawsuits follow two state-wide putative class action lawsuits previously filed against LP in United States District Courts: *Hart, et al. v. Louisiana-Pacific Corp.*, Case No. 2:08-CV-00047 (E.D.N.C.) and *Ellis, et al. v. Louisiana-Pacific Corp.*, Case No. 3:11-CV-191 (W.D.N.C.) The Hart case was certified by the District Court as a class action on July 15, 2011.

The Holbrook case was dismissed by the District Court on August 29, 2012 and appealed by the plaintiffs to the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit. The Court of Appeals upheld the dismissal on all counts except the express warranty. The Ellis case was dismissed in its entirety by the District Court which dismissal was affirmed by the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit on November 2, 2012. The Prevett case was voluntarily dismissed by the plaintiffs on May 31, 2012 and replaced by *Riley v. Louisiana-Pacific*, Case No. 6:12-CV-00837-18 (M.D. Fla) (filed June 4, 2012 as a state-wide putative class). The Riley case was voluntarily dismissed by the plaintiffs on December 17, 2013. The Lipov case was voluntarily dismissed by the plaintiffs on November 27, 2013. On August 30, 2013, the District Court in the Hart case decertified the class and granted summary judgment on the claims brought by the individual plaintiff's dismissing the entire case. The plaintiffs have appealed the dismissal and decertification to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit.

On October 4, 2013, another lawsuit, *Harbison v. Louisiana-Pacific Corporation*, Case No. 2:13-CV-00814 (W.D. Pa.) was filed as a putative class action. On February 17, 2014, the U.S. District Court granted, in part, LP's motion to dismiss and on March 20, 2014, the court granted summary judgment in favor of LP on the breach of express warranty claim. This matter is being appealed by the plaintiffs to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

On September 18, 2014, the district court in *Brown v. Louisiana-Pacific Corporation.*, Case No. 4:12-CV-00102-RP-TJS (S.D. Iowa) (filed March 8, 2012, as a state-wide putative class) granted LP's motion for summary judgment and denied as moot Plaintiff's motion for class certification. Plaintiff has moved for reconsideration of the court's order.

The plaintiffs in these lawsuits seek to certify classes consisting of all persons that own structures within the respective states in which the lawsuits were filed (or, in some cases, within the United States) on which the hardboard trim in question is installed. The plaintiffs seek unspecified damages and injunctive and other relief under various state law theories, including negligence, violations of consumer protection laws, and breaches of implied and express warranties, fraud, and unjust enrichment. While some individual owners of structures within the putative classes may have valid warranty claims, we believe that the claims asserted on a class basis are

without merit and we intend to defend these matters vigorously. We have established warranty reserves for the hardboard trim in question pursuant to our normal business practices, and we do not believe that the resolution of these lawsuits will have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or liquidity.

OTHER PROCEEDINGS

LP is party to other legal proceedings. Based on the information currently available, LP believes that the resolution of such proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on its financial position, results of operations, cash flows or liquidity.

CONTINGENCY RESERVES

We maintain reserves for the estimated cost of the legal and environmental matters referred to above. However, as with any estimate, there is uncertainty of predicting the outcomes of claims and litigation and environmental investigations and remediation efforts that could cause actual costs to vary materially from current estimates. Due to various uncertainties, we cannot predict to what degree actual payments will exceed the recorded liabilities related to these matters. However, it is possible that, in either the near term or the longer term, revised estimates or actual payments will significantly exceed the recorded liabilities.

For information regarding our financial statement reserves for the estimated costs of the environmental and legal matters referred to above, see Note 18 of the Notes to financial statements included in item 8 in this report.

ITEM 4. *Mine Safety Disclosures*

N/A

PART II

ITEM 5. *Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities*

The common stock of LP is listed on the New York Stock Exchange with the ticker symbol "LPX." The Dow-Jones newspaper quotations symbol for the common stock is "LaPac." Information regarding the high and low sales prices for the common stock for each quarter of the last two years is as follows:

	<u>1ST QTR</u>	<u>2ND QTR</u>	<u>3RD QTR</u>	<u>4TH QTR</u>
HIGH AND LOW STOCK PRICES				
2014 High	\$18.88	\$17.55	\$15.45	\$17.66
Low	\$15.85	\$13.73	\$12.71	\$12.46
2013 High	\$22.55	\$21.70	\$18.50	\$18.96
Low	\$18.93	\$14.51	\$14.73	\$15.40

As of March 2, 2015, there were approximately 6,881 holders of record of our common stock. No dividends were paid in 2014 or 2013. Our ability to pay dividends in the future is subject to limitations set forth in the agreement governing our bank credit facility and the indenture governing our Senior Notes due in 2020.


ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

On October 31, 2014, LP's Board of Directors authorized LP to repurchase up to \$100 million of LP's common stock. LP may initiate, discontinue or resume purchases of its common stock under this authorization in the open market, in privately negotiated transactions or otherwise at any time or from time to time without prior notice. As of March 2, 2015, no purchases have occurred under this authorization.

PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The following graph compares the total cumulative return to investors, including dividends paid (assuming reinvestment of dividends) and appreciation or depreciation in stock price, from an investment in LP Common Stock for the period December 31, 2009 through December 31, 2014, to the total cumulative return to investors from the Standard & Poor’s 500 Stock Index and the Standard & Poor’s Paper and Forest Products Index for the same period. Stockholders are cautioned that the graph shows the returns to investors only as of the dates noted and may not be representative of the returns for any other past or future period.

COMPARISON OF 5 YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN*
 Among Louisiana-Pacific Corporation, the S&P 500 index
 and the S&P Forest Products Index



	<u>Dec-09</u>	<u>Dec-10</u>	<u>Dec-11</u>	<u>Dec-12</u>	<u>Dec-13</u>	<u>Dec-14</u>
LOUISIANA-PACIFIC CORPORATION	\$100	\$136	\$116	\$277	\$265	\$237
S&P 500 INDEX	\$100	\$115	\$117	\$136	\$180	\$205
PAPER & FOREST PRODUCTS	\$100	\$100	\$100	\$100	\$100	\$100

ITEM 6. Selected Financial Data

Dollar amounts in millions, except per share
Year ended December 31

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
SUMMARY INCOME STATEMENT DATA					
Net sales	\$1,934.8	\$2,085.2	\$1,691.2	\$1,328.7	\$1,351.4
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(73.4)	177.4	29.5	(175.5)	(37.4)
Loss from discontinued operations	(2.0)	(0.3)	(0.7)	(5.6)	(1.2)
Net income (loss)	(75.4)	177.1	28.8	(181.1)	(38.6)
Net income (loss) attributed to Louisiana-Pacific Corporation	(75.4)	177.1	28.8	(181.3)	(39.0)
Income (loss) from continuing operations per share—basic	\$ (0.52)	\$ 1.27	\$ 0.22	\$ (1.32)	\$ (0.29)
Net income (loss) per share—basic	\$ (0.53)	\$ 1.27	\$ 0.21	\$ (1.36)	\$ (0.30)
Income (loss) from continuing operations per share—diluted	\$ (0.52)	\$ 1.23	\$ 0.20	\$ (1.32)	\$ (0.29)
Net income (loss) per share—diluted	\$ (0.53)	\$ 1.23	\$ 0.20	\$ (1.36)	\$ (0.30)
Average shares of common stock outstanding (millions)					
Basic	141.1	139.6	137.1	133.2	129.1
Diluted	141.1	144.3	142.6	133.2	129.1
SUMMARY BALANCE SHEET INFORMATION					
Total assets	\$2,353.5	\$2,493.3	\$2,331.0	\$2,139.9	\$2,410.6
Long-term debt, excluding current portion	\$ 759.5	\$ 762.7	\$ 782.7	\$ 715.9	\$ 714.5
Contingency reserves, excluding current portion	\$ 12.2	\$ 13.3	\$ 12.8	\$ 17.2	\$ 25.9
Stockholders' equity	\$1,115.8	\$1,226.3	\$1,033.8	\$1,000.9	\$1,217.8

ITEM 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

OVERVIEW

General

Our products are used primarily in new home construction, repair and remodeling, and outdoor structures. We also market and sell our products in light industrial and commercial construction and we have a modest export business. Our manufacturing facilities are primarily located in the U.S. and Canada, but we also operate two facilities in Chile and one facility in Brazil.

To serve these markets, we operate in four segments: North America Oriented Strand Board (OSB); Siding; Engineered Wood Products (EWP); and South America. OSB is the most significant segment, accounting for 44% of continuing sales in 2014, 51% in 2013 and 48% in 2012.

OSB is sold as a commodity for which sales prices fluctuate daily based on market factors over which we have little or no control. We cannot predict whether the prices of our products will remain at current levels, increase or decrease in the future.

Factors Affecting Our Results

Revenues and Operating Costs.

We derive our revenues from sales of our products. The unit volumes of products sold and the prices at which sales are made determine the amount of our revenues. These volumes and prices are affected by the overall level of demand for, and supply of, products of the type we sell and comparable or substitute products, and by competitive conditions.


Our operating results reflect the relationship between the amount of our revenues and our costs of production and other operating costs and expenses. Our costs of production are affected by, among other factors, costs of raw materials (primarily wood fiber and various petroleum-based resins) and energy costs, which in turn are affected by the overall market supply of and demand for these manufacturing inputs.

Demand for Building Products

Demand for our products correlates to a significant degree to the level of residential construction activity in North America, which historically has been characterized by significant cyclicity. This activity can be further delineated into three areas: (1) new home construction; (2) repair and remodeling; and (3) outdoor structures.

New Home Construction. Demand for our products correlates to a significant degree to the level of new home construction activity in North America, which historically has been characterized by significant cyclicity. The U.S. Census Bureau reported that actual single and multi-family housing starts in 2014 were about 9% higher than 2013, which were about 19% higher than such housing starts in 2012. We believe that the level of building continues to be impacted by delayed household formations due to the sluggish economy and a more restrictive mortgage market.

While near term residential construction is constrained in the U.S., positive long-term fundamentals exist. Increased immigration, the changing age distribution of the population, the high number of adults living with their parents and historically low interest rates are expected to lead to more household formations. The chart below, which is based on data published by U.S. Census Bureau, provides a graphical summary of new housing starts for single and multi-family in the U.S. showing actual and rolling five and ten year averages for housing starts.




Repair and Remodeling. Demand for building materials to support home improvement projects is largely tied to the size and age of the existing housing stock in North America and consumer confidence. In this regard, the 1970s and 1980s had some of the highest levels of building activity. This puts these homes at an age of approximately 30-40 years, which has been shown to be consistent with the highest per home expenditure rate on repair and remodeling. With the rise in the number and scale of home improvement stores in North America, individuals now have ready and convenient access to obtain the building materials needed for repair and remodeling, as well as increased access to installation services.

Supply of Building Products

OSB is a commodity product, and it is, along with all of our products, subject to competition from manufacturers worldwide. Product supply is influenced primarily by fluctuations in available manufacturing capacity and imports. According to FEA (Forest Economic Advisors, LLC), total North American OSB annual production capacity is projected to increase by approximately 1.6 billion square feet in the period from 2015 to 2018 while plywood production capacity is projected to increase by 0.2 billion square feet for the same period. According to FEA, OSB accounted for approximately 66% of North American structural panel production capacity in 2014, with plywood accounting for the remainder.

Putting Demand and Supply Together


As noted above, demand for building products is influenced by the general economy, demographics and need for housing. In the case of OSB, generally, lower demand coupled with higher production capacity will result in lower pricing. The chart below, as calculated by FEA (as of December 2014), shows the demand capacity ratio (demand divided by supply) for OSB in 2010 through 2014 as well as FEA's forecast through 2018 based upon estimated future demand and supply.



Product Pricing.

Historical prices for our products have been volatile, and we, like other participants in the building products industry, have limited influence over the timing and extent of price changes for our products. The estimated average North Central wholesale price for OSB (per thousand square feet 7/16" basis) from 2007 through 2014, as published by *Random Lengths*, an industry publication, is presented below. FEA's forecast (as of December

2014) for average North Central wholesale pricing for OSB (per thousand square feet 7/16" basis) through 2018 is also shown.



CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND SIGNIFICANT ESTIMATES

A discussion of our significant accounting policies and significant accounting estimates and judgments is presented in Note 1 of the Notes to the financial statements in item 8 of this report. Throughout the preparation of the financial statements, we employ significant judgments in the application of accounting principles and methods. These judgments are primarily related to the assumptions used to arrive at various estimates. For 2014, these significant accounting estimates and judgments include:

Legal Contingencies. Our estimates of loss contingencies for legal proceedings are based on various judgments and assumptions regarding the potential resolution or disposition of the underlying claims and associated costs. In making judgments and assumptions regarding legal contingencies for ongoing class action settlements, we consider, among other things, discernible trends in the rate of claims asserted and related damage estimates and information obtained through consultation with statisticians and economists, including statistical analysis of potential outcomes based on experience to date and the experience of third parties who have been subject to product-related claims judged to be comparable. Due to the numerous variables associated with these judgments and assumptions, both the precision and reliability of the resulting estimates of the related loss contingencies are subject to substantial uncertainties. We regularly monitor our estimated exposure to these contingencies and, as additional information becomes known, may change our estimates significantly.

Environmental Contingencies. Our estimates of loss contingencies for environmental matters are based on various judgments and assumptions. These estimates typically reflect judgments and assumptions relating to the probable nature, magnitude and timing of required investigation, remediation and/or monitoring activities and the probable cost of these activities, and in some cases reflect judgments and assumptions relating to the obligation or willingness and ability of third parties to bear a proportionate or allocated share of the cost of these activities, including third parties who purchased assets from us subject to environmental liabilities. We consider the ability of third parties to pay their apportioned cost when developing our estimates. In making these judgments and assumptions related to the

development of our loss contingencies, we consider, among other things, the activity to date at particular sites, information obtained through consultation with applicable regulatory authorities and third-party consultants and contractors and our historical experience at other sites that are judged to be comparable. Due to the numerous variables associated with these judgments and assumptions, and the effects of changes in governmental regulation and environmental technologies, both the precision and reliability of the resulting estimates of the related contingencies are subject to substantial uncertainties. We regularly monitor our estimated exposure to environmental loss contingencies and, as additional information becomes known, may change our estimates significantly. At December 31, 2014, we excluded from our estimates approximately \$2.3 million of potential environmental liabilities that we estimate will be allocated to third parties pursuant to existing and anticipated future cost sharing arrangements.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets. We review the long-lived assets held and used by us (primarily property, plant and equipment and timber and timberlands) for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of assets may not be recoverable. We consider the necessity of undertaking such a review at least quarterly, and also when certain events or changes in circumstances occur. Events and changes in circumstances that may necessitate such a review include, but are not limited to: a significant decrease in the market price of a long-lived asset or group of long-lived assets; a significant adverse change in the extent or manner in which a long-lived asset or group of long-lived assets is being used or in its physical condition; a significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate that could affect the value of a long-lived asset or group of long-lived assets, including an adverse action or assessment by a regulator; an accumulation of costs significantly in excess of the amount originally expected for the acquisition or construction of a long-lived asset or group of long-lived assets; current-period operating or cash flow loss combined with a history of operating or cash flow losses or a projection or forecast that demonstrates continuing losses associated with the use of a long-lived asset or group of long-lived assets; and current expectation that, more likely than not, a long-lived asset or group of long-lived assets will be sold or otherwise disposed of significantly before the end of its previously estimated useful life. Identifying these events and changes in circumstances, and assessing their impact on the appropriate valuation of the affected assets under accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S., requires us to make judgments, assumptions and estimates.

In general, for assets held and used in our operations, impairments are recognized when the carrying amount of the long-lived asset or groups of long-lived assets is not recoverable and exceeds the fair value of the asset or group of assets. The carrying amount of a long-lived asset or groups of long-lived assets is not recoverable if it exceeds the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the assets or group of assets. The key assumptions in estimating these cash flows relate to future production volumes, pricing of commodity or specialty products and future estimates of expenses to be incurred as reflected in our long-range internal planning models. Our assumptions regarding pricing are based upon the average pricing over the commodity cycle (generally five years) due to the inherent volatility of commodity product pricing, and reflect our assessment of information gathered from industry research firms, research reports published by investment analysts and other published forecasts. Our assumptions regarding expenses reflect our expectation that we will continue to reduce production costs to offset inflationary impacts.

When impairment is indicated for assets held and used in our operations, the book values of the affected assets are written down to their estimated fair value, which is generally based upon discounted future cash flows associated with the affected assets. When impairment is indicated for assets to be disposed of, the book values of the affected assets are written down to their estimated fair value, less estimated selling costs. Consequently, a determination to dispose of particular assets can require us to estimate the net sales proceeds expected to be realized upon such disposition, which may be less than the estimated undiscounted future net cash flows associated with such assets prior to such determination, and thus require an impairment charge. In situations where we have experience in selling assets of a similar nature, we may estimate net sales proceeds on the basis of that experience. In other situations, we hire independent appraisers to estimate net sales proceeds.

Due to the numerous variables associated with our judgments and assumptions relating to the valuation of assets in these circumstances, and the effects of changes in circumstances affecting these valuations, both the

precision and reliability of the resulting estimates of the related impairment charges are subject to substantial uncertainties and, as additional information becomes known, we may change our estimates significantly.

Income Taxes. The determination of the provision for income taxes, and the resulting current and deferred tax assets and liabilities, involves significant management judgment, and is based upon information and estimates available to management at the time of such determination. The final income tax liability to any taxing jurisdiction with respect to any calendar year will ultimately be determined long after our financial statements have been published for that year. We maintain reserves for known estimated tax exposures in federal, state and international jurisdictions; however, actual results may differ materially from our estimates.

Judgment is also applied in determining whether deferred tax assets will be realized in full or in part. When we consider it to be more likely than not that all or some portion of a deferred tax asset will not be realized, a valuation allowance is established for the amount of the deferred tax asset that is estimated not to be realizable. As of December 31, 2014, we had established valuation allowances against certain deferred tax assets, primarily related to state and foreign carryovers of net operating losses, credits and capital losses. We have not established valuation allowances against other deferred tax assets based upon positive evidence such as recent earnings history, generally improving economic conditions and deferred tax liabilities which we anticipate to reverse within the carry forward period. Accordingly, changes in facts or circumstances affecting the likelihood of realizing a deferred tax asset could result in the need to record additional valuation allowances.

Pension Plans. Most of our U.S. employees and many of our Canadian employees participate in defined benefit pension plans sponsored by LP. We account for the consequences of our sponsorship of these plans in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S., which require us to make actuarial assumptions that are used to calculate the related assets, liabilities and expenses recorded in our financial statements. While we believe we have a reasonable basis for these assumptions, which include assumptions regarding long-term rates of return on plan assets, life expectancies, rates of increase in salary levels, rates at which future values should be discounted to determine present values and other matters, the amounts of our pension related assets, liabilities and expenses recorded in our financial statements would differ if we used other assumptions. See further discussion related to pension plans below under the heading “Defined Benefit Pension Plans” and in Note 13 of the Notes to the consolidated financial statements included in item 8 of this report.

Warranty Obligations. Customers are provided with a limited warranty against certain defects associated with our products for periods of up to fifty years. We estimate the costs to be incurred under these warranties and record a liability in the amount of such costs at the time product revenue is recognized. Factors that affect our warranty liability include the historical and anticipated rates of warranty claims and the cost of resolving such. We periodically assess the adequacy of our recorded warranty liability for each product and adjust the amounts as necessary. While we believe we have a reasonable basis for these assumptions, actual warranty costs in the future could differ from our estimates.

NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES

In evaluating our business, we utilize several non-GAAP financial measures. A non-GAAP financial measure is generally defined by the SEC as one that purports to measure historical or future financial performance, financial position or cash flows, but excludes or includes amounts that would not be so excluded or included under applicable GAAP guidance. In this report on Form 10-K, we disclose earnings (loss) from continuing operations before interest expense, taxes, depreciation and amortization (“EBITDA from continuing operations”) which is a non-GAAP financial measure. Additionally, we disclose Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations which further adjusts EBITDA from continuing operations to exclude stock based compensation expense, (gain) loss on acquisitions, costs associated with proposed acquisitions, (gain) loss on sales or impairment of joint ventures interest and long lived assets, other operating charges and credits, (gain) loss on early debt extinguishment, acquisition expenses, (gain) loss on litigation settlements, other than temporary asset impairments, investment income and depreciation included in equity in (income) loss of

unconsolidated affiliates. Neither EBITDA from continuing operations nor Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations is a substitute for the GAAP measure of net income or operating cash flows or for any other GAAP measures of operating performance or liquidity.

We have included EBITDA from continuing operations and Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations in this report on Form 10-K because we use them as important supplemental measures of our performance and believe that they are frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested persons in the evaluation of companies in our industry, some of which present EBITDA when reporting their results. We use EBITDA from continuing operations and Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations to evaluate our performance as compared to other companies in our industry that have different financing and capital structures and/or tax rates. It should be noted that companies calculate EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA differently and, therefore, our EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA measures may not be comparable to EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA reported by other companies. Our EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA measures have material limitations as performance measures because they exclude interest expense, income tax (benefit) expense and depreciation and amortization which are necessary to operate our business or which we otherwise incur or experience in connection with the operation of our business.

The following table presents significant items by operating segment and reconciles results from continuing operations to EBITDA from continuing operations and Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations:

Year Ended December 31, 2014 (Dollar amounts in millions)	OSB	Siding	EWP	South America	Other	Corporate	Total
Sales	\$855.2	\$617.3	\$281.0	\$150.4	\$32.8	\$ (1.9)	\$1,934.8
Depreciation and amortization	56.1	17.4	13.7	9.1	1.1	3.3	100.7
Cost of sales and selling and administrative	851.7	520.1	284.7	130.0	35.2	85.6	1,907.3
Loss on sales of and impairments of long- lived assets	—	—	—	—	—	(3.1)	(3.1)
Other operating credits and charges, net	—	—	—	—	—	7.5	7.5
Total operating costs	<u>907.8</u>	<u>537.5</u>	<u>298.4</u>	<u>139.1</u>	<u>36.3</u>	<u>93.3</u>	<u>2,012.4</u>
Income (loss) from operations	(52.6)	79.8	(17.4)	11.3	(3.5)	(95.2)	(77.6)
Total non-operating expense	—	—	—	—	—	(27.4)	(27.4)
Income (loss) before income taxes and equity in (income) loss of unconsolidated affiliates	(52.6)	79.8	(17.4)	11.3	(3.5)	(122.6)	(105.0)
Provision for income taxes	—	—	—	—	—	(27.2)	(27.2)
Equity in (income) loss of unconsolidated affiliates	—	—	(3.4)	—	—	(1.0)	(4.4)
Income (loss) from continuing operations . .	<u>\$ (52.6)</u>	<u>\$ 79.8</u>	<u>\$ (14.0)</u>	<u>\$ 11.3</u>	<u>\$ (3.5)</u>	<u>\$ (94.4)</u>	<u>\$ (73.4)</u>
Reconciliation of income (loss) from continuing operations to EBITDA from continuing operations							
Income (loss) from continuing operations . .	\$ (52.6)	\$ 79.8	\$ (14.0)	\$ 11.3	\$ (3.5)	\$ (94.4)	\$ (73.4)
Provision for income taxes	—	—	—	—	—	(27.2)	(27.2)
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest	—	—	—	—	—	29.8	29.8
Depreciation and amortization	56.1	17.4	13.7	9.1	1.1	3.3	100.7
EBITDA from continuing operations	<u>3.5</u>	<u>97.2</u>	<u>(0.3)</u>	<u>20.4</u>	<u>(2.4)</u>	<u>(88.5)</u>	<u>29.9</u>
Stock based compensation expense	0.9	0.7	0.5	—	—	7.3	9.4

Year Ended December 31, 2014 (Dollar amounts in millions)	OSB	Siding	EWP	South America	Other	Corporate	Total
Loss on sales of and impairments of long-lived assets	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (3.1)	\$ (3.1)
Other operating credits and charges, net	—	—	—	—	—	7.5	7.5
Other operating credits and charges, associated with JV's	—	—	—	—	—	(1.0)	(1.0)
Expenses associated with proposed acquisition of Ainsworth Lumber Co. Ltd.	—	—	—	—	—	6.8	6.8
Investment income	—	—	—	—	—	(5.5)	(5.5)
Depreciation included in equity in (income) loss of unconsolidated affiliates	—	—	0.1	—	—	—	0.1
Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations	\$ 4.4	\$ 97.9	\$ 0.3	\$ 20.4	\$ (2.4)	\$ (76.5)	\$ 44.1
Year Ended December 31, 2013 (Dollar amounts in millions)	OSB	Siding	EWP	South America	Other	Corporate	Total
Sales	\$1,068.1	\$573.8	\$250.4	\$171.5	\$30.6	\$ (9.2)	\$2,085.2
Depreciation and amortization	49.9	16.4	11.4	10.5	1.3	1.8	91.3
Cost of sales and selling and administrative	803.3	471.6	252.6	141.0	32.9	85.7	1,787.1
Loss on sales of and impairments of long-lived assets	—	—	—	—	—	0.2	0.2
Other operating credits and charges, net	—	—	—	—	—	3.8	3.8
Total operating costs	853.2	488.0	264.0	151.5	34.2	91.5	1,882.4
Income (loss) from operations	214.9	85.8	(13.6)	20.0	(3.6)	(100.7)	202.8
Total non-operating expense	—	—	—	—	—	3.8	3.8
Income (loss) before income taxes and equity in (income) loss of unconsolidated affiliates	214.9	85.8	(13.6)	20.0	(3.6)	(96.9)	206.6
Provision for income taxes	—	—	—	—	—	41.1	41.1
Equity in (income) loss of unconsolidated affiliates	(15.4)	—	1.0	—	2.5	—	(11.9)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 230.3	\$ 85.8	\$ (14.6)	\$ 20.0	\$ (6.1)	\$ (138.0)	\$ 177.4
Reconciliation of income (loss) from continuing operations to adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations							
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 230.3	\$ 85.8	\$ (14.6)	\$ 20.0	\$ (6.1)	\$ (138.0)	\$ 177.4
Provision for income taxes	—	—	—	—	—	41.1	41.1
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest	—	—	—	—	—	36.0	36.0
Depreciation and amortization	49.9	16.4	11.4	10.5	1.3	1.8	91.3
EBITDA from continuing operations	280.2	102.2	(3.2)	30.5	(4.8)	(59.1)	345.8
Stock based compensation expense	1.0	0.6	0.6	—	—	6.6	8.8
Loss on sales of and impairments of long-lived assets	—	—	—	—	—	0.2	0.2

<u>Year Ended December 31, 2013</u> <u>(Dollar amounts in millions)</u>	<u>OSB</u>	<u>Siding</u>	<u>EWP</u>	<u>South America</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Corporate</u>	<u>Total</u>
Other operating credits and charges, net . .	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 3.8	\$ 3.8
Early debt extinguishment	—	—	—	—	—	2.3	2.3
Other operating credit and charges, associated with JV's	—	—	—	—	—	2.7	2.7
Gain on acquisition	—	—	—	—	—	(35.9)	(35.9)
Gain on sale of joint venture	—	—	—	—	—	(1.2)	(1.2)
Expenses associated with proposed acquisition of Ainsworth Lumber Co. Ltd.	—	—	—	—	—	7.6	7.6
Investment income	—	—	—	—	—	(10.3)	(10.3)
Depreciation included in equity in loss of unconsolidated affiliates	3.4	—	0.2	—	2.8	—	6.4
Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations	\$ 284.6	\$102.8	\$ (2.4)	\$ 30.5	\$ (2.0)	\$ (83.3)	\$ 330.2
<u>Year Ended December 31, 2012</u> <u>(Dollar amounts in millions)</u>	<u>OSB</u>	<u>Siding</u>	<u>EWP</u>	<u>South America</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Corporate</u>	<u>Total</u>
Sales	\$814.1	\$500.9	\$198.4	\$168.8	\$27.8	\$ (18.8)	\$1,691.2
Depreciation and amortization	33.1	15.5	9.8	12.0	1.3	1.7	73.4
Cost of sales and selling and administrative	663.1	418.0	201.9	138.8	31.6	58.2	1,511.6
Loss on sales of and impairments of long- lived assets	—	—	—	—	—	4.9	4.9
Other operating credits and charges, net	—	—	—	—	—	(2.9)	(2.9)
Total operating costs	696.2	433.5	211.7	150.8	32.9	61.9	1,587.0
Income (loss) from operations	117.9	67.4	(13.3)	18.0	(5.1)	(80.7)	104.2
Total non-operating expense	—	—	—	—	—	(69.4)	(69.4)
Income (loss) before income taxes and equity in loss of unconsolidated affiliates	117.9	67.4	(13.3)	18.0	(5.1)	(150.1)	34.8
Benefit for income taxes	—	—	—	—	—	7.0	7.0
Equity in loss of unconsolidated affiliates . .	(6.1)	—	0.5	—	3.9	—	(1.7)
Income (loss) from continuing operations . .	\$124.0	\$ 67.4	\$ (13.8)	\$ 18.0	\$ (9.0)	\$ (157.1)	\$ 29.5
Reconciliation of income (loss) from continuing operations to adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations							
Income (loss) from continuing operations . .	\$124.0	\$ 67.4	\$ (13.8)	\$ 18.0	\$ (9.0)	\$ (157.1)	\$ 29.5
Benefit for income taxes	—	—	—	—	—	7.0	7.0
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest	—	—	—	—	—	49.3	49.3
Depreciation and amortization	33.1	15.5	9.8	12.0	1.3	1.7	73.4
EBITDA from continuing operations	\$157.1	\$ 82.9	\$ (4.0)	\$ 30.0	\$ (7.7)	\$ (99.1)	\$ 159.2
Stock based compensation expense	0.9	0.5	0.5	—	—	6.5	8.4
Loss on sales of and impairments of long- lived assets	—	—	—	—	—	4.9	4.9
Other operating credits and charges, net	—	—	—	—	—	(2.9)	(2.9)

<u>Year Ended December 31, 2012</u> (Dollar amounts in millions)	<u>OSB</u>	<u>Siding</u>	<u>EWP</u>	<u>South America</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Corporate</u>	<u>Total</u>
Gain on settlement of litigation related to ARS						\$ (20.0)	\$ (20.0)
Investment income	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	(14.8)	(14.8)
Early debt extinguishment						52.2	52.2
Depreciation included in equity in loss of unconsolidated affiliates	8.3	—	0.5	—	3.8	—	12.6
Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations	<u>\$166.3</u>	<u>\$ 83.4</u>	<u>\$ (3.0)</u>	<u>\$ 30.0</u>	<u>\$ (3.9)</u>	<u>\$ (73.2)</u>	<u>\$ 199.6</u>

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

We reported net loss of \$75.4 million (\$(0.53) per diluted share) in 2014, which was comprised of loss from continuing operations of \$73.4 million (\$(0.52) per diluted share) and a loss from discontinued operations of \$2.0 million (\$0.01 per diluted share). This compares to net income of \$177.1 million (\$1.23 per diluted share) in 2013, which was comprised of income from continuing operations of \$177.4 million (\$1.23 per diluted share) and a loss from discontinued operations of \$0.3 million (\$0.00 per diluted share). We reported net income of \$28.8 million (\$0.20 per diluted share) in 2012, which was comprised of income from continuing operations of \$29.5 million (\$0.20 per diluted share) and a loss from discontinued operations of \$0.7 million (\$0.00 per diluted share).

Net sales in 2014 were \$1.9 billion, a decrease of 7% from 2013 net sales of \$2.1 billion. Net sales in 2013 as compared to 2012 were higher by 23%. Sales in 2014 were negatively impacted by decreases in OSB selling prices relative to 2013 and 2012.

Our results of operations for each of our segments are discussed below, as are results of operations for the “other” category which comprises other products that are not individually significant. See Note 24 of the Notes to the consolidated financial statements included in item 8 of this report for further information regarding our segments.

OSB

Our OSB segment manufactures and distributes OSB structural panel products in North America and certain export markets. OSB is an innovative, affordable and environmentally smart product made from wood strands arranged in layers and bonded with resin. We believe we are the largest and one of the most efficient producers of OSB in North and South America.

According to FEA, it is estimated for 2014 that OSB accounted for approximately 62% of the structural panel consumption in North America with plywood accounting for the remainder. We estimate that the overall North American structural panel market (based upon 2014 housing starts) was 29 billion square feet with the OSB market comprising an estimated 18 billion square feet of this market. Based upon our production in 2014 of 4.3 billion square feet, we estimate that we account for 24% of the North American OSB market and 15% of the overall North American structural panel market.

To enhance our industry leading position in the OSB business, we plan to: (1) leverage our expertise in OSB to capitalize on new opportunities for revenue growth through new product lines; (2) improve net realizations relative to weighted-average OSB regional pricing; (3) reduce costs and improve throughput and recovery by continuing to focus on efficiency, raw materials cost reductions and logistics; and (4) manage our capacity to meet our customers’ expected needs for OSB demand.

OSB is manufactured through the use of wood strands arranged in layers and bonded with resins and wax. Significant cost inputs to produce OSB and approximate breakdown percentages for the year ended December 31, 2014 include wood fiber (31%), resin and wax (23%), labor and burden (15%), utilities (6%) and manufacturing and other (25%).

Segment sales, operating profits (losses) and Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations for this segment were as follows:

Dollar amounts in millions Year ended December 31,	2014	2013	2012	Increase (decrease)	
				2014 – 2013	2013 – 2012
Sales	\$855.2	\$1,068.1	\$814.1	(20)%	31%
Operating profits (losses)	\$ (52.6)	\$ 230.3	\$124.0	(123)%	86%
Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations	\$ 4.4	\$ 284.6	\$166.3	(98)%	71%

Percent changes in average sales prices and unit shipments for the year ended 2014 compared to 2013 and 2013 compared to 2012 were as follows:

	2014 versus 2013		2013 versus 2012	
	Average Net Selling Price	Unit Shipments	Average Net Selling Price	Unit Shipments
OSB	(26)%	7%	20%	11%

2014 compared to 2013

OSB prices decreased compared to 2013. The decline in OSB prices was likely due to lower housing demand than forecasted and continued increased supply in the market. The decrease in selling prices unfavorably impacted operating results and Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations by approximately \$298 million for 2014 as compared to 2013. Sales volumes increased consistent with the increase in housing starts and repair and remodeling activities. As of December 31, 2014, one of our OSB mills was indefinitely curtailed.

2013 compared to 2012

OSB prices increased compared to 2012. The increase in OSB prices was likely due to strengthening of the relationship between regional supply and demand based upon currently operating facilities across the industry. The increase in selling prices favorably impacted operating results and Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations by approximately \$170 million for 2013 as compared to 2012. Sales volumes increased due to the improved housing market as well as continued movement into industrial applications and increased exports. As of December 31, 2014, one of our OSB mills was indefinitely curtailed. Two mills were restarted during 2013.

Siding

Our siding segment produces and markets wood-based siding and related accessories and commodity OSB products. We believe that we are a leading wood composite exterior siding producer in North America. We manufacture exterior siding and other cladding products for the residential and commercial building markets, retail and non-residential structures. Additionally, we are seeking to optimize our current capacity by extending the hardboard lifecycle through innovative new products and features.

Our strategy is to drive product innovation by utilizing our technological expertise in wood and wood composites to better address the needs of our customers. We intend to increase our product offerings and production capacity of higher margin, value-added products through the addition of lower cost plants or the conversion of OSB plants from commodity structural panel production to OSB-based exterior siding products.

Segment sales, operating profits and Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations for this segment were as follows:

Dollar amounts in millions Year ended December 31,	2014	2013	2012	Increase (decrease)	
				2014 – 2013	2013 – 2012
Sales	\$617.3	\$573.8	\$500.9	8%	15%
Operating profits	\$ 79.8	\$ 85.8	\$ 67.4	(7)%	27%
Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations	\$ 97.9	\$102.8	\$ 83.4	(5)%	23%

Sales in this segment by product line were as follows:

Dollar amount in millions Year ended December 31,	2014	2013	2012	Increase (decrease)	
				2014 – 2013	2013 – 2012
SmartSide® siding	\$549.0	\$460.0	\$390.8	19%	18%
Commodity OSB	10.7	52.8	46.1	(80)%	15%
CanExel siding and other hardboard related products	48.5	52.8	56.8	(8)%	(7)%
Other	9.1	8.2	7.2	11%	14%
Total	<u>\$617.3</u>	<u>\$573.8</u>	<u>\$500.9</u>		

Percent changes in average sales prices and unit shipments for the year ended 2014 compared to 2013 and 2013 compared to 2012 are as follows:

	2014 versus 2013		2013 versus 2012	
	Average Net Selling Price	Unit Shipments	Average Net Selling Price	Unit Shipments
SmartSide® siding	4%	13%	3%	15%
Commodity OSB	(26)%	(73)%	23%	(10)%
CanExel siding	(3)%	4%	(1)%	(9)%

2014 compared to 2013

Sales volumes increased in our SmartSide® siding line due to continued penetration in several key focus markets including retail, repair and remodel markets and sheds. Sales prices in our SmartSide® siding product line increased due to unit price increases as well as changes in product mix.

Sales volumes for CanExel increased due to higher demand in Canada and Europe. Sales prices decreased for 2014 as compared to 2013 due to the impact of the Canadian dollar weakening as these sales are generally denominated in Canadian dollars. Based upon Canadian dollar selling prices, prices were 3% higher due to unit price increases as well as changes in product mix.

Sales prices decreased for 2014 as compared to 2013 for our commodity OSB products as discussed in the OSB segment above. Volumes of commodity OSB were lower as compared to 2013 due to increases in siding volume, which reduced the production capacity available for OSB, and log outages.

Overall, the reduction in operating results for our siding segment for 2014 compared to 2013 was due to decreased OSB volume, higher raw material (primarily logs) and transportation costs which were partially offset by higher siding sales.

2013 compared to 2012

Siding sales volumes increased in our SmartSide® siding line due to continued penetration in several key focus markets including retail, repair and remodel markets, sheds and increased housing demand. Sales prices in

our SmartSide siding product as compared to the prior year increased due primarily to changes in product mix as well as price increases implemented to offset increases in raw material costs.

Sales volumes declined slightly in our Canexel siding lines due to lower demand in Canada and international sales, primarily Europe. Sales prices were down slightly between years due to the impact of the fluctuations in the Canadian dollar related to the U.S dollar. Sales prices in the Canadian dollar increased by 3% between years.

Sales prices increased for our commodity OSB products as described in the discussion of our OSB segment above. The increase in selling price favorably impacted operating results and Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations by approximately \$3 million for 2013 as compared to 2012.

Overall, the increases in operating results for our siding segment for 2013 as compared to 2012 were due to increased OSB pricing and higher sales volumes in our SmartSide® siding line.

Engineered Wood Products

Our EWP segment manufactures and distributes LVL, I-Joists, LSL and other related products. This segment also includes the sale of I-Joist and LVL products produced by our joint venture with Resolute Forest Products and under a sales and marketing arrangement with Murphy Plywood. Included in this segment is a plywood mill, which primarily produces plywood as a by-product from the LVL production process. Also a minor amount of OSB are produced by our LVL facility.

Our strategy is to strengthen our brand name recognition in the EWP market by enhancing our product mix and quality, providing superior technical support to our customers and leveraging our sales and marketing relationships to cross-sell our EWP products. Additionally, we are seeking to drive costs down by rationalizing our production capacity across geographic areas and improving operating efficiencies in our manufacturing facilities.

Segment sales, operating (losses) and Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations for this segment were as follows:

<u>Dollar amount in millions</u> <u>Year ended December 31,</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>Increase (decrease)</u>	
				<u>2014 – 2013</u>	<u>2013 – 2012</u>
Sales	\$281.0	\$250.4	\$198.4	12%	26%
Operating losses	\$(14.0)	\$(14.6)	\$(13.8)	4%	(6)%
Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations	\$ 0.3	\$ (2.4)	\$ (3.0)	113%	20%

Sales in this segment by product line were as follows:

<u>Dollar amount in millions</u> <u>Year ended December 31,</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>Increase (decrease)</u>	
				<u>2014 – 2013</u>	<u>2013 – 2012</u>
LVL / LSL	\$139.2	\$126.2	\$104.7	10%	21%
I-joist	97.2	89.7	69.8	8%	29%
OSB	6.9	1.2	—	475%	— %
Related products	37.7	33.3	23.9	13%	39%
Total	<u>\$281.0</u>	<u>\$250.4</u>	<u>\$198.4</u>		

Percent changes in average sales prices and unit shipments for the year ended 2014 compared to 2013 and 2013 compared to 2012 are as follows:

	2014 versus 2013		2013 versus 2012	
	Average Net Selling Price	Unit Shipments	Average Net Selling Price	Unit Shipments
LVL/LSL	2%	9%	3%	17%
I-joist	4%	6%	10%	17%

2014 compared to 2013

Sales volumes increased in LVL/LSL and I-joist due to improved market demand due to increased housing starts. Net average selling prices increased due to implemented price increases.

2013 compared to 2012

Sales volumes increased in LVL/LSL and I-joist due to increased demand in the U.S. housing market with offsetting reductions in exports. Net average selling prices increased due to price increases implemented to offset higher raw material costs.

The results of operations were lower for 2013 as compared to 2012 due to increases in raw material costs, primarily OSB and lumber, which were partially offset by increases in sales prices.

South America

Our South America segment manufactures and distributes OSB structural panel and siding products in South America. We operate in two geographic areas of South America, Chile and Brazil.

Dollar amount in millions Year ended December 31,	2014	2013	2012	Increase (decrease)	
				2014 – 2013	2013 – 2012
Sales	\$150.4	\$171.5	\$168.8	(12)%	2%
Operating profits	\$ 11.3	\$ 20.0	\$ 18.0	(44)%	11%
Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations	\$ 20.4	\$ 30.5	\$ 30.0	(33)%	2%

Sales in this segment by production location were as follows:

Dollar amount in millions Year ended December 31,	2014	2013	2012	Increase (decrease)	
				2014 – 2013	2013 – 2012
Chile	\$ 88.7	\$111.9	\$112.6	(21)%	(1)%
Brazil	61.8	59.6	56.2	4%	6%
Total	<u>\$150.5</u>	<u>\$171.5</u>	<u>\$168.8</u>		

Percent changes in average sales prices and unit shipments for the year ended 2014 compared to 2013 and 2013 compared to 2012 are as follows:

	2014 versus 2013		2013 versus 2012	
	Average Net Selling Price	Unit Shipments	Average Net Selling Price	Unit Shipments
Chile	(15)%	(7)%	4%	(3)%
Brazil	1%	2%	1%	(4)%

2014 compared to 2013

For our Chilean operations, sales volumes were lower due to issues related to a political transition in Chile which has slowed housing activity. We are also seeing increased imports. Sales volumes in Brazil were slightly higher in 2014 compared to 2013 due to increased export sales.

Sales prices in Chile declined for 2014 as compared to 2013 due to pricing pressure from increased imports and the impact of the fluctuations in the Chilean Peso relative to the U.S. dollar as a majority of these sales are in local markets. Sales prices in Brazil increased slightly for 2014 as compared to 2013 due to the impact of the fluctuations in the Brazilian Real relative to the U.S. dollar as a majority of these sales are in local markets. Local currency selling prices in Chile were 2% lower in 2014 as compared to 2013 and local currency selling prices in Brazil were about flat with the prior year.

2013 compared to 2012

In our Chilean operations, sales volumes decreased due to increased imports from North America. Sales volumes in Brazil decreased due to reduced production related to maintenance work completed on its press during the year.

Sales prices in Chile increased in 2013, as compared to 2012 due to price increases implemented. Sales prices in Brazil increased slightly due to the impact of the fluctuations in the Brazilian real related to the U.S. dollar as a majority of these sales are in local markets. Local currency selling prices in Chile increased by (2)% and local currency selling prices in Brazil increased by (1)% in 2013 as compared to 2012.

Other

Our other products category includes our remaining timber and timberlands and other minor products, services and closed operations which are not classified as discontinued operations. Additionally, our other products category included our U.S. Greenfiber joint venture interest and certain costs associated with our moulding operations, both of which were sold during 2013.

Sales, operating losses and adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations for this category were as follows:

<u>Dollar amount in millions</u> <u>Year ended December 31,</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>Increase (decrease)</u>	
				<u>2014 – 2013</u>	<u>2013 – 2012</u>
Sales	\$32.8	\$30.6	\$27.8	7%	10%
Operating losses	\$(3.5)	\$(6.1)	\$(9.0)	43%	32%
Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations	\$(2.4)	\$(2.0)	\$(3.9)	(20)%	49%

2014 compared to 2013

Operating results in our other products businesses were higher due to the elimination of the losses associated with our previous joint venture interest in U.S. Greenfiber.

2013 compared to 2012

Overall, operating results in our other products businesses were higher due to slightly improved operation in our U.S. Greenfiber joint venture (prior to the sale of this entity) and reduced costs associated with non-operating sites.

GENERAL CORPORATE AND OTHER EXPENSE, NET

Net general corporate expense was \$89.8 million in 2014 as compared to \$96.7 million in 2013 and \$78.7 million in 2012. General corporate and other expenses primarily consist of corporate overhead such as wages and benefits for corporate personnel, professional fees, insurance, travel costs, non-product specific marketing and other expenses. The decrease in 2014 as compared to 2013 was due to lower cash management incentive accruals offset by an increases in the support and maintenance costs related to our information technology system upgrade and expenses associated with our efforts to acquire Ainsworth Lumber Co Ltd. The increase in 2013 as compared to 2012 was due to costs associated with our system upgrade as well as increased costs associated with our efforts to acquire Ainsworth Lumber Co. Ltd. During 2014, we did not accrue any cash management incentives.

OTHER OPERATING CREDITS AND CHARGES, NET

For a discussion of other operating credits and charges, net, see Notes 1 and 16 of the Notes to the consolidated financial statements included in item 8 of this report.

GAIN (LOSS) ON SALES OF AND IMPAIRMENTS OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS

For a discussion of gain (loss) on sales of and impairments of long-lived assets, see Notes 1 and 17 of the Notes to the consolidated financial statements included in item 8 of this report.

NON-OPERATING INCOME (EXPENSE)

In 2014, net non-operating expense was \$27.4 million compared to income of \$3.8 million in 2013 and expense of \$69.4 million in 2012. Components of non-operating income (expense) were as follows:

Dollar amounts in millions	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Interest expense	\$(29.9)	\$(36.2)	\$(48.0)
Amortization of debt charges	(1.2)	(1.4)	(1.5)
Capitalized interest	1.3	1.6	0.2
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest	(29.8)	(36.0)	(49.3)
Investment income	5.5	9.4	13.0
SERP market adjustments	—	0.9	1.8
Investment income	5.5	10.3	14.8
Foreign currency gains (losses)	(3.1)	(5.3)	(2.7)
Gain on acquisition	—	35.9	—
Gain on sale of joint venture	—	1.2	—
Early debt extinguishment	—	(2.3)	(52.2)
Other non-operating expense	(3.1)	29.5	(34.9)
Total non-operating income (expense)	<u>\$(27.4)</u>	<u>\$ 3.8</u>	<u>\$(69.4)</u>

EQUITY IN EARNINGS OF UNCONSOLIDATED AFFILIATES

We have participated in several joint venture arrangements. These include: (1) a joint venture with Casella Waste Management Systems, Inc. to produce cellulose insulation; (2) a joint venture with Canfor Corporation to construct and operate an OSB mill in British Columbia; and (3) a joint venture with Resolute Forest Products to construct and operate two I-joist facilities in Quebec.

In 2000, we and Casella Waste Management Systems, Inc., each contributed most of the assets of our respective cellulose insulation operations to a joint venture, U.S. GreenFiber, LLC (GreenFiber). Pursuant to the joint venture agreement, each company owned 50% of GreenFiber. GreenFiber elected to be treated as a partnership for income tax purposes and therefore the entity is not taxed directly. The results of this operation are included within Other Products. In December of 2013, we sold our 50% interest in GreenFiber and recognized a gain of \$1.2 million.

In 2003, we and Canfor Corporation, entered into a joint venture to construct and operate an 820 million square foot OSB facility in British Columbia, Canada. Pursuant to the joint venture agreement, each company owned 50% of the venture, with LP being responsible for all North American sales from this facility. The results of this operation are included in our OSB segment. In 2013, we acquired the 50% interest owned by Canfor Corporation.

In 2002, we entered into a joint venture with Resolute Forest Products to construct and operate an I-joist facility in Eastern Canada. Pursuant to the joint venture agreement, each company owns 50% of the venture. Subsequently, the joint venture constructed and commenced operating a second I-joist facility in Eastern Canada. The results of these operations are included in the EWP segment.

DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Included in discontinued operations for 2014, 2013 and 2012 are the carrying costs of mills that have been closed and divested and related warranty costs associated with such facilities. These operations include residual losses of mills divested in past years and associated warranty and other liabilities associated with these operations.

2014 compared to 2013

Included in discontinued operations for 2014 is a \$3 million increase in our warranty reserves associated with discontinued products.

2013 compared to 2012

Included in discontinued operations for 2013 is a gain of \$1.7 million on the sale of the moulding operations that was recognized in the third quarter of 2013 as well as a \$2 million increase in our warranty reserves associated with discontinued products.

INCOME TAXES

We recorded a tax benefit in continuing operations of \$27.2 million in 2014 and a tax provision of \$41.1 million in 2013 and \$7.0 million in 2012. For 2014, the primary difference between the U.S. statutory rate of 35% and the effective rate on our continuing operations relates to state income taxes, the effect of foreign tax rates and exchange rates and increases in valuation allowances on certain deferred tax assets for foreign and state net operating loss carryovers.

For 2013, the primary difference between the U.S. statutory rate of 35% and the effective rate on our continuing operations relates to state income taxes, the effect of foreign tax rates and exchange rates and reductions in valuation allowances previously established on certain deferred tax assets for foreign and state net operating loss carryovers.

We paid \$3.7 million of cash taxes and received \$1.6 million in cash tax refunds in 2014 and expect to receive \$2.1 million in related refunds from prior years in 2015.

DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLANS

We maintain several qualified and non-qualified defined benefit pension plans in the U.S. and Canada that cover a substantial portion of our employees. The measurement of liabilities related to these plans is based on management's interpretation of the applicable plan provisions and assumptions related to future events, including expected return on plan assets and rate of compensation increases. The discount rate reflects the rate at which benefits could be effectively settled on the measurement date. The projected payment for each year is discounted using the rates specified by the yield curve. The sum of these discounted payments is the benefit obligation. The discount rate disclosed is the single rate applied to all projected payments that creates an equivalent obligation. Actual pension plan asset investment performance will either reduce or increase unamortized pension losses at the end of any fiscal year, which ultimately affects future pension costs. See Note 13 of the Notes to the consolidated financial statements included in item 8 of this report for further information on these plans.

The table below quantifies the approximate impact on our plans, as of December 31, 2013, of a one-half percentage point decrease in our assumptions for discount rate and expected return on assets, holding other assumptions constant:

Dollars in millions

Increase in annual costs for 2015:

Discount rate	\$ 0.4
Expected long-term rate of return	\$ 1.3

Increase in projected benefit obligation:

Discount rate	\$20.6
---------------------	--------

For our U.S. plans, we used a long term rate of return assumption of 6.5% for 2014 and 7.25% to calculate the net periodic pension costs. For our Canadian plans, we used a long-term rate of return assumption of 3.75% to 5.25% in 2014 and 3.75% to 4.5% in 2013 to calculate the net periodic pension costs. For 2014, our net periodic pension cost was \$6.2 million and we estimate for 2015, our net periodic pension cost will be \$7.6 million. This estimate assumes that we will have no curtailment or settlement expenses in 2015. If a settlement or curtailment does occur in 2015, this estimate may change significantly.

LEGAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

For a discussion of legal and environmental matters involving us and the potential impact thereof on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows, see Item 3 in this report as well as Note 18 in the Notes to the consolidated financial statements included in item 8 of this report.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Overview

Our principal sources of liquidity are existing cash and investment balances, cash generated by our operations and our ability to borrow under such credit facilities as we may have in effect from time to time. We may also from time to time issue and sell equity, debt or hybrid securities or engage in other capital market transactions.

Our principal uses of liquidity are paying the costs and expenses associated with our operations, servicing outstanding indebtedness and making capital expenditures. We may also from time to time prepay or repurchase outstanding indebtedness, acquire assets or businesses that are complementary to our operations. Any such repurchases may be commenced, suspended, discontinued or resumed, and the method or methods of effecting any such repurchases may be changed, at any time or from time to time without prior notice.

Operating Activities

During 2014, we used \$52.9 million of cash for operations as compared to generating \$242.5 million of cash from operations in 2013. This change reflects decreased results of operations for 2014. Our trade receivables increased \$26.9 million during 2014. Our trade accounts payable decreased by \$2.8 million during 2014 primarily due to the decreases in raw material inventory and our salary and wages payable decreasing by \$10.9 million due to timing of payroll disbursements as well as lower management accruals. Our other current liability increased by \$10.3 million due to settlement of previously disclosed U.S. I.R.S audits. During 2014, we made \$3.1 million in contingency payments and \$11.6 million in warranty payments.

During 2013, we generated \$242.5 million of cash from operations as compared to using \$111.8 million of cash for operations in 2011. This change reflects improved results of operations for 2012. During 2013, we received tax refunds of \$5.9 million (net of payments). Our trade receivables increased about \$15 million during 2013. Our trade accounts payable increased by \$12.0 million during 2013 primarily due to increases in inventory and our salary and wages payable increasing by \$10.4 million due to expected management incentive payouts as well as timing on payroll. During 2013, we made \$0.4 million in contingency payments and \$12.5 million in warranty payments.

During 2012, we generated \$111.8 million of cash from operations as compared to using \$40.2 million of cash for operations in 2011. This change reflects improved results of operations for 2012. During 2012, we received tax refunds of \$0.3 million (net of payments). Our trade receivables increased about \$15 million during 2012 and the balance was above 90% current, which is comparable to prior years with no substantial changes in terms of sales. Our trade accounts payable increased by \$12.5 million during 2012 primarily due to increases in inventory and our salary and wages payable increasing by \$11.0 million due to expected management incentive payouts as well as timing on payroll. During 2012, we made \$1.4 million in contingency payments and \$11.6 million in warranty payments.

Investing Activities

During 2014, we used \$63.5 million in cash from investing activities. Capital expenditures for 2014 were \$80.1 million, primarily related to growth and maintenance capital and minor capital related to our announced expansion in South America. We received distributions from our joint ventures of \$2.6 million, \$13.2 million from the sale of assets and \$0.8 million in cash no longer required to collateralize certain long-term obligations. Additionally, included in accounts payable is \$5.2 million related to capital expenditures that had not yet been paid as of December 31, 2014.

During 2013, we used \$17.4 million in cash from investing activities. Capital expenditures for 2013 were \$75.6 million, primarily related to maintenance capital, initial costs associated with our software upgrade and minor capital related to our announced expansion in South America. We received distributions from our joint ventures of \$13.9 million, \$16.7 million from the sale of assets and \$0.7 million in cash no longer required to collateralize certain long-term obligations. We also received \$91.4 million of payments on notes receivable from asset sales. Additionally, included in accounts payable is \$6.4 million related to capital expenditures that had not yet been paid as of December 31, 2013.

During 2012, we generated \$21.5 million in cash from investing activities. Capital expenditures for 2012 were \$31.2 million, primarily related to maintenance capital, initial costs associated with our software upgrade and minor capital related to our announced expansion in South America. We received distributions from our joint ventures of \$12.7 million, \$9.2 million from the sale of assets and \$0.8 million in cash no longer required to collateralize certain long-term obligations. We also received \$20.0 million from the settlement of litigation related to ARS and \$10.0 million of payments on notes receivable from asset sales. Additionally, included in accounts payable is \$4.1 million related to capital expenditures that had not yet been paid as of December 31, 2012.

Capital expenditures in 2015 are expected to be approximately \$130 million related to projects for siding expansion plans, productivity improvements and maintenance projects.

Financing Activities

In 2014, net cash used in financing activities was \$3.9 million. During 2014, we used \$2.3 million to repay outstanding debt and \$1.5 million for taxes related to net share settlement of equity awards.

In 2013, net cash provided from financing activities was \$126.4 million. During 2013, we used \$113.2 million to repay outstanding debt, \$12.1 million for taxes related to net share settlement of equity awards and \$1.2 million for debt issuance fees. We received \$0.1 million from the sale of common stock under equity plans.

In 2012, net cash provided from financing activities was \$92.1 million. During 2012, we issued \$350.0 million of 7.5% Senior Notes due 2020, and used approximately \$248.6 million of the proceeds of this issuance to fully retire our Senior Secured Notes due in 2017 and pay related transaction costs and expenses. We also received \$1.3 million from the sale of common stock under equity plans.

CREDIT AGREEMENTS

In December 2013, we entered into a credit agreement with various lenders and American AgCredit, PCA, as administrative agent and CoBank, ACB, as letter of credit issuer. The credit agreement provides for a \$200 million revolving credit facility, with a \$60 million sublimit for letters of credit. The credit facility terminates and all loans made under the credit agreement become due in December 2018. As of December 31, 2014, no revolving borrowings had been made under the credit facility.

Certain of our existing and future wholly owned domestic subsidiaries guaranty our obligations under the credit facility. Subject to certain limited exceptions, obligations under the credit facility are secured by a lien on substantially all of our personal property and the personal property of the our subsidiaries that are guarantors under the credit facility.

The credit agreement contains customary covenants applicable to us and certain of our subsidiaries, including restrictions on, among other things, our ability to: incur debt; incur liens; declare or make distributions to our stockholders; make loans and investments; repay debt; enter into mergers, acquisitions and other business combinations; form or acquire subsidiaries; amend or modify our governing documents; enter into hedging arrangements; engage in other businesses other than our business as currently conducted; and enter into transactions with affiliates. The credit agreement also contains financial covenants that require us and our consolidated subsidiaries to have, as of the end of each quarter, (i) a capitalization ratio (i.e., funded debt to total capitalization) of no more than 40% and (ii) unrestricted cash and cash equivalents of at least \$200 million, in each case calculated in the manner specified in the credit agreement. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, we were in compliance with all financial covenants under the credit agreement. The credit agreement contains customary events of default, the occurrence of which could result in the acceleration of our obligation to repay the indebtedness outstanding thereunder.

Obligations under the indenture governing our Senior Notes due 2020 are unsecured and not presently guaranteed by any of our subsidiaries. The indenture contains customary covenants applicable to us and our subsidiaries, other than certain unrestricted subsidiaries, including restrictions on actions and activities that are restricted under the credit facility. The indenture also contains customary events of default, the occurrence of which could result in acceleration of our obligations to repay the indebtedness outstanding thereunder.

LP Chile is a party to a term loan agreement with Banco de Credito e Inversiones for UF 943,543.7391 (equivalent to \$39 million at the time of inception). The loan agreement has a term of 10 years with semi-annual principal payments beginning in June of 2012. The loan bears interest at UF plus 3.9% per annum, and is secured

by a first priority security interest in substantially all of the real property owned by LP Chile. The loan contains various restrictive covenants and requires the maintenance by LP Chile of a debt to equity ratio of less than or equal to 1. If LP Chile is late in making payments, LP Chile will also be required to maintain a ratio of net debt to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) of less than or equal to 2.5 and a ratio of EBITDA to financial costs of at least 3. The loan agreement also contains customary events of default, the occurrence of which could result in acceleration of our obligations to repay the indebtedness outstanding thereunder. Since this loan is denominated in other than U.S. dollars, the balance fluctuates based upon changes in the underlying currency rates. The impact of foreign currency exchange rates in 2014 was \$2.1 million which was partially offset by a UF change of \$0.8 million. LP made principal payments of \$21 million during 2013 which was partially offset by a UF change of \$0.3 million plus the impact of foreign currency exchange rates of \$2.8 million.

OTHER LIQUIDITY MATTERS

As of December 31, 2014, we had \$4.6 million (\$23.4 million, par value) of principal invested in auction rate securities (ARS). The ARS held by us are securities with long-term nominal maturities for which the interest rates were historically reset through a Dutch auction each month.

We review our marketable securities routinely for other-than-temporary impairment. The primary factors LP uses to determine if an impairment charge must be recorded because a decline in value of the security is other-than-temporary include (i) whether the fair value of the investment is significantly below its cost basis, (ii) the financial condition of the issuer of the security (including its credit rating), (iii) the length of time that the cost of the security has exceeded its fair value and (iv) LP's intent and ability to retain the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value.

The estimated market value of our ARS holdings at December 31, 2014 was \$4.6 million, which reflects a \$18.8 million adjustment to the par value of \$23.4 million. We intend to continue to offer our ARS at auction and to consider other options, including alternative buyers and other potential transactions. We do not currently require our ARS to be liquidated in order to fund our day-to-day operations and we are prepared to hold them until maturity, if necessary.

If uncertainties in the credit and capital markets continue, these markets deteriorate further or we experience any ratings downgrades on any investments in our portfolio (including on ARS), we may incur additional impairments to our investment portfolio, which could negatively affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flow.

Contingency Reserves

Contingency reserves, which represent an estimate of future cash needs for various contingencies (principally, payments for environmental reserves), totaled \$14.2 million at December 31, 2014, of which \$2.0 million is estimated to be payable within one year. As with all accounting estimates, there is inherent uncertainty concerning the reliability and precision of such estimates. As described above and in Note 18 of the Notes to the consolidated financial statements included in item 8 of this report, the amounts ultimately paid in resolving these contingencies could exceed the current reserves by a material amount.

Contractual Obligations

The table below summarizes our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2014 over the next several years. See discussion above concerning provisions that could accelerate the due dates on our long-term debt.

Dollars amounts in millions Contractual obligations	Payments due by period				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Long-term debt	\$ 2.4	\$ 2.3	\$ 6.8	\$395.5	\$ 4.8
Interest payments on long-term debt ¹	29.4	29.3	29.2	27.4	26.4
Operating leases	4.5	3.5	2.5	1.8	1.7
Total contractual cash obligations	<u>\$36.3</u>	<u>\$35.1</u>	<u>\$38.5</u>	<u>\$424.7</u>	<u>\$32.9</u>

- ¹ The estimate of interest payments assumes interest is paid through the date of maturity or expiration of the related debt based upon stated rates in the respective debt instruments.
- ² Uncertain tax positions have been excluded from the above table as it is not reasonably possible to estimate when these may need to be paid. As of December 31, 2014, the amount of uncertain tax positions excluded from the above table is \$42.2 million.
- ³ As of December 31, 2014, LP estimates that capital expenditures of \$3 million to \$13 million will be required over the next six years to comply with the EPS's Clean Air Act. These have been excluded from the above table as it is not reasonably possible to determine when these may need to be paid.
- ⁴ As of December 31, 2014, the fair value of the continent consideration payable in connection with a business combination was \$0.3 million. The fair value of this liability is remeasured at the end of each reporting period and has been excluded from the above table as it is not reasonably possible to determine the final liability.
- ⁵ As of December 31, 2014, LP had warranty reserves of \$31.4 million. These have been excluded from the above table as it is not reasonably possible to determine when these may need to be paid.

Other Financing Arrangements

In connection with the sale of southern timber and timberlands in 2003, we received \$26 million in cash and \$410 million in notes receivable from the purchasers of such timber and timberlands. In order to borrow funds in a cost-effective manner, LP issued \$368.7 million of senior debt in 2003 in a private placement to unrelated third parties. Both the notes receivable and notes payable mature in 2018. The notes payable are supported by a bank letter of credit. LP's reimbursement obligations under the letter of credit are secured by the notes receivable. In general, the creditors under this arrangement have no recourse to LP's assets, other than the notes receivable. However, under certain circumstances, LP may be liable for certain liabilities (including liabilities associated with the marketing or remarketing of the notes payable and reimbursement obligations, which are fully cash collateralized under the letter of credit supporting the notes payable) in an amount not to exceed 10% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes receivable. LP's maximum exposure in this regard was approximately \$41 million as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013. The notes receivable are classified as long-term "Notes receivable from asset sales" and the notes payable are classified as long-term debt on the financial statements included in item 8 of this report.

In connection with the sales of timberlands in California in 1997 and 1998, we received notes from the purchasers totaling \$403.8 million. The notes receivable were monetized through the issuance of notes payable in a private placement secured by the notes. Proceeds from the notes receivable from the purchasers are used to fund payments required for the notes payable. To date, all installments under these notes have been received when due and the corresponding installments under the notes payable have been paid when due. The next installment is due in 2018. The notes receivable are classified as "Current portion of Notes receivable from asset sales" and "Long-term notes receivable from asset sales" and the notes payable are classified as the "Current portion of limited recourse notes payable" and long-term debt on the financial statements included in item 8 of this report.

POTENTIAL IMPAIRMENTS

We continue to review several mills and investments for potential impairments. Management currently believes we have adequate support for the carrying value of each of these assets based upon the anticipated cash flows that result from our estimates of future demand, pricing and production costs assuming certain levels of planned capital expenditures. As of December 31, 2014, there were no indications of impairment for the asset grouping that included the company's indefinitely curtailed facilities. As of December 31, 2014, the fair values of LP's facilities that have not been indefinitely curtailed were substantially in excess of their carrying value, which supported the conclusion that no impairment is necessary for those facilities. However, if demand and pricing for the relevant products continues at levels significantly below cycle average demand and pricing, or should LP decide to invest capital in alternative projects, or should changes occur related to LP's wood supply for these locations, it is possible that impairment charges will be required. See Note 3 of the Notes to the financial statements included in item 8 of this report for impairment charges recorded in the periods presented.

We also review from time to time possible dispositions of various assets in light of current and anticipated economic and industry conditions, our strategic plan and other relevant factors. Because a determination to dispose of particular assets can require management to make assumptions regarding the transaction structure of the disposition and to estimate the net sales proceeds, which may be less than previous estimates of undiscounted future net cash flows, we may be required to record impairment charges in connection with decisions to dispose of assets.

PROSPECTIVE ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

See Note 1 for discussion of prospective accounting pronouncements in the Notes to the consolidated financial statements included in item 8 of this report.

ITEM 7A. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk*

A portion of our outstanding debt bears interest at variable rates and accordingly is sensitive to changes in interest rates. Interest rate changes would result in gains or losses in the market value of our debt portfolio due to differences in market interest rates and the rates at the inception of the debt agreements. Offsetting the variable rate debt are variable rate notes receivable from asset sales. Based upon the balances of the variable rate notes receivable from asset sales and the variable rate debt at December 31, 2014, a 100 basis point interest change would impact pre-tax net income and cash flows by \$0.4 million annually.

Our international operations have exposure to foreign currency rate risks, primarily due to fluctuations in the Canadian dollar, Brazilian real and the Chilean peso. Although we have in the past entered into foreign exchange contracts associated with certain of our indebtedness and may continue to enter into foreign exchange contracts associated with major equipment purchases to manage a portion of the foreign currency rate risk, we historically have not entered into material currency rate hedges with respect to our exposure from operations, although we may do so in the future.

Some of our products are sold as commodities and therefore sales prices fluctuate daily based on market factors over which we have little or no control. The most significant commodity product we sell is OSB. Based upon an assumed North America annual production capacity in the OSB segment of 5.9 billion square feet (3/8" basis) or 4.2 billion square feet (7/16" basis), a \$1 change in the annual average price per thousand square feet on 7/16" basis would change annual pre-tax profits by approximately \$4.2 million.

The fair value of the contingent consideration related to the Peace Valley OSB acquisition is remeasured at the end of each reporting period. During 2014, the fair value of the contingent consideration payable was remeasured and reduced by \$3.2 million due to the decline in projected OSB prices in the near term as compared to the data of acquisition.

We historically have not entered into material commodity futures and swaps, although we may do so in the future.

ITEM 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Louisiana-Pacific Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Louisiana-Pacific Corporation and subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, cash flows and stockholders’ equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Louisiana Pacific Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 2, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Nashville, Tennessee
March 2, 2015

Consolidated Balance Sheets

Dollar amounts in millions

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 532.7	\$ 656.8
Receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1.1 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013	108.4	78.1
Inventories	229.8	224.4
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	25.0	7.7
Deferred income taxes	45.1	50.9
Assets held for sale	9.3	16.3
Total current assets	950.3	1,034.2
Timber and timberlands	67.1	71.6
Property, plant and equipment, at cost:		
Land, land improvements and logging roads, net of road amortization	136.1	123.1
Buildings	321.6	330.9
Machinery and equipment	1,838.8	1,794.5
Construction in progress	18.6	46.1
	2,315.1	2,294.6
Accumulated depreciation	(1,464.4)	(1,407.8)
Net property, plant and equipment	850.7	886.8
Goodwill	9.7	9.7
Notes receivable from asset sales	432.2	432.2
Investments in and advances to affiliates	5.0	3.2
Deferred debt costs	5.6	6.8
Long-term investments	4.6	3.7
Restricted cash	10.4	11.3
Other assets	17.3	33.8
Long-term deferred tax asset	0.6	—
Total assets	\$ 2,353.5	\$ 2,493.3
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 2.4	\$ 2.3
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	168.3	161.9
Current portion of contingency reserves	2.0	2.0
Total current liabilities	172.7	166.2
Long-term debt, excluding current portion	759.5	762.7
Deferred income taxes	139.5	188.7
Contingency reserves, excluding current portion	12.2	13.3
Other long-term liabilities	153.8	136.1
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$1 par value, 15,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued	—	—
Common stock, \$1 par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized, 152,844,802 and 152,045,461 shares issued	152.8	152.0
Additional paid-in capital	507.0	508.0
Retained earnings	812.3	887.7
Treasury stock, 10,618,647 shares and 10,920,546 shares, at cost	(225.0)	(232.2)
Accumulated comprehensive loss	(131.3)	(89.2)
Total stockholders' equity	1,115.8	1,226.3
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 2,353.5	\$ 2,493.3

See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Consolidated Statements of Income
Dollar amounts in millions, except per share

	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Net sales	\$1,934.8	\$2,085.2	\$1,691.2
Operating costs and expenses:			
Cost of sales	1,757.8	1,636.9	1,383.2
Depreciation and amortization	100.7	91.3	73.4
Selling and administrative	149.5	150.2	128.4
Loss on sale or impairment of long lived assets	(3.1)	0.2	4.9
Other operating credits and charges, net	7.5	3.8	(2.9)
Total operating costs and expenses	2,012.4	1,882.4	1,587.0
Income (loss) from operations	(77.6)	202.8	104.2
Non-operating income (expense):			
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest	(29.8)	(36.0)	(49.3)
Investment income	5.5	10.3	14.8
Other non-operating income (expense)	(3.1)	29.5	(34.9)
Total non-operating income (expense)	(27.4)	3.8	(69.4)
Income (loss) before income taxes and equity in (income) loss of unconsolidated affiliates	(105.0)	206.6	34.8
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(27.2)	41.1	7.0
Equity in (income) loss of unconsolidated affiliates	(4.4)	(11.9)	(1.7)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(73.4)	177.4	29.5
Loss from discontinued operations before tax	(3.0)	(0.4)	(1.1)
Benefit for income taxes	(1.0)	(0.1)	(0.4)
Loss from discontinued operations	(2.0)	(0.3)	(0.7)
Net income (loss)	(75.4)	177.1	28.8
Basic net income (loss) per share:			
Income (loss) per share from continuing operations	\$ (0.52)	\$ 1.27	\$ 0.22
Loss per share from discontinued operations	(0.01)	—	(0.01)
Net income (loss) per share	\$ (0.53)	\$ 1.27	\$ 0.21
Diluted net earnings (loss) per share:			
Income (loss) per share from continuing operations	\$ (0.52)	\$ 1.23	\$ 0.20
Loss per share from discontinued operations	(0.01)	—	—
Net income (loss) per share	\$ (0.53)	\$ 1.23	\$ 0.20
Average shares of common stock used to compute net loss per share:			
Basic	141.1	139.6	137.1
Diluted	141.1	144.3	142.6

See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income
Dollar amounts in millions

	<u>Year ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Net income (loss)	\$ (75.4)	\$177.1	\$28.8
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax			
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(14.5)	(11.6)	0.6
Unrealized gains (losses) on derivative financial instruments	—	0.3	(0.7)
Unrealized gains (losses) on securities, net of reversals	0.6	1.0	0.8
Defined benefit pension and post retirement plans:			
Amortization of prior service cost	—	0.2	0.2
Prior service cost	(6.1)	—	—
Net gain (loss)	(26.7)	23.0	(8.1)
Amortization of net loss	3.9	4.7	4.2
Pension settlement	—	—	1.3
Exchange gain (loss) on remeasurement	0.7	1.1	—
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	<u>(42.1)</u>	<u>18.7</u>	<u>(1.7)</u>
Comprehensive income (loss)	<u>\$ (117.5)</u>	<u>\$195.8</u>	<u>\$27.1</u>

See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Dollar amounts in millions

	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES			
Net income (loss)	\$ (75.4)	\$ 177.1	\$ 28.8
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	100.7	91.3	73.4
(Income) loss from unconsolidated affiliates	(4.4)	(11.9)	(1.7)
Other operating credits and charges, net	9.0	3.8	(2.9)
Loss on sale or impairment of long-lived assets	(3.1)	0.2	4.9
Gain from acquisition	—	(35.9)	—
Gain on sale of discontinued operations	—	(1.7)	—
Gain on sale of joint venture	—	(1.2)	—
Gain on settlement of litigation related to ARS	—	—	(20.0)
Loss on early debt extinguishment	—	2.3	52.2
Payment of long-term deposit	—	(17.1)	—
Stock-based compensation related to stock plans	9.4	8.8	8.4
Exchange loss on remeasurement	(2.5)	(2.9)	3.4
Cash settlements of contingencies	(1.6)	(0.4)	(1.4)
Cash settlements of warranties, net of accruals	(7.9)	(9.6)	(5.1)
Pension (payments) expense, net	(3.2)	6.3	8.4
Non-cash interest expense, net	1.7	0.8	4.8
Other adjustments, net	0.4	(0.4)	0.7
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of acquisition:			
(Increase) decrease in receivables	(34.1)	4.5	(13.3)
Increase in inventories	(9.2)	(17.7)	(44.5)
(Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses	(0.4)	(1.9)	0.3
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(7.8)	12.4	11.7
Increase (decrease) in deferred income taxes	(24.5)	35.7	3.7
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	<u>(52.9)</u>	<u>242.5</u>	<u>111.8</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Property, plant, and equipment additions	(80.1)	(75.6)	(31.2)
Proceeds from asset sales	13.2	16.7	9.2
Acquisitions, net of cash	—	(67.4)	—
Investment in and advances to joint ventures	2.6	13.9	12.7
Proceeds from sale of joint venture	—	2.9	—
Proceeds from settlement of litigation related to ARS	—	—	20.0
Receipt of proceeds from notes receivable	—	91.4	10.0
Decrease in restricted cash under letters of credit/credit facility	0.8	0.7	0.8
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	<u>(63.5)</u>	<u>(17.4)</u>	<u>21.5</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Borrowings of long-term debt	—	—	350.2
Repayment of long-term debt	(2.3)	(113.2)	(253.1)
Payment of debt issuance fees	—	(1.2)	(6.3)
Taxes paid related to net share settlement of equity awards	(1.5)	(12.1)	—
Other financing activities, net	(0.1)	0.1	1.3
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	<u>(3.9)</u>	<u>(126.4)</u>	<u>92.1</u>
Effect of exchange rate on cash and cash equivalents	(3.8)	(2.8)	(4.5)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(124.1)	95.9	220.9
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	656.8	560.9	340.0
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	<u>\$ 532.7</u>	<u>\$ 656.8</u>	<u>\$ 560.9</u>

See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity

Dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share amounts

	Common Stock	Treasury Stock	Additional	Retained	Accumulated	Total
	Shares	Shares	Paid-In	Earnings	Comprehensive	Stockholders'
	Amount	Amount	Capital	Loss	Loss	Equity
	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Balance as of December 31, 2011	149.8	12.7	\$549.9	\$681.8	\$(106.2)	\$1,000.9
Net income (loss)				28.8		28.8
Issuance of shares for employee stock plans and stock-based compensation		(0.8)	(23.8)			(2.3)
Amortization of restricted stock grants			8.1			8.1
Exercise of stock warrants	0.6		(0.6)			—
Other comprehensive loss				(1.7)		(1.7)
Balance as of December 31, 2012	150.4	11.9	533.6	710.6	(107.9)	1,033.8
Net income				177.1		177.1
Issuance of shares for employee stock plans and stock-based compensation		(1.0)	(32.7)			(12.0)
Amortization of restricted stock grants			8.4			8.4
Exercise of stock warrants	1.6		(1.6)			—
Tax benefit of employee stock plan transactions			0.3			0.3
Other comprehensive gain				18.7		18.7
Balance as of December 31, 2013	152.0	10.9	508.0	887.7	(89.2)	1,226.3
Net income				(75.4)		(75.4)
Issuance of shares for employee stock plans and stock-based compensation		(0.4)	(9.5)			(0.7)
Amortization of restricted stock grants			9.3			9.3
Exercise of stock warrants	0.8		(0.8)			—
Taxes paid related to net share settlement of equity awards		0.1	—			(1.6)
Other comprehensive loss				(42.1)		(42.1)
Balance as of December 31, 2014	152.8	10.6	\$507.0	\$812.3	\$(131.3)	\$1,115.8

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Operations

Louisiana-Pacific Corporation and its subsidiaries (collectively LP or the Company) are principally engaged in the manufacture of building products. In addition to its U.S. operations, the Company also maintains manufacturing facilities in Canada, Chile and Brazil through foreign subsidiaries and a joint venture. The principal customers for the Company's building products are retail home centers, manufactured housing producers, distributors and wholesalers in North America and South America, with sales to Asia, Australia and Europe.

See Note 24 below for further information regarding LP's products and segments.

Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. See discussion of specific estimates in this Note under the headings "Other Operating Credits and Charges, Net" and in the Notes entitled "Income Taxes," "Retirement Plans and Postretirement Benefits," "Stockholders' Equity," "Other Operating Credits and Charges, Net," "Gain (Loss) on Sale of and Impairment of Long-Lived Assets, Net," "Contingencies" and "Acquisition of Peace Valley OSB."

Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of LP and its majority-owned subsidiaries after elimination of intercompany transactions. The equity method of accounting is used for joint ventures and investments in associated companies over which LP has significant influence but does not have control. Significant influence is deemed to exist generally when the Company has an ownership interest in the voting stock of an investee of between 20 percent and 50 percent. LP's equity in the income and losses of these investments is recorded in "Equity in loss of unconsolidated affiliates" on the Consolidated Statements of Income. See Note 8 for further discussion of these investments and advances.

LP consolidates a variable interest entity (VIE) when it has a controlling financial interest in the VIE and is thus determined to be the VIE's primary beneficiary. LP currently consolidates its interest in LP Pinewood which was established in 2003 in connection with the sale of LP's southern timberlands. LP has notes receivable of \$410.0 million (see Note 6) and notes payable of \$368.7 million (see Note 12) recorded in the balance sheet related to LP's interest in the VIE. For further information regarding the details of the relationship of the assets and liabilities and the recourse provisions of the consolidated VIE see Note 12.

LP also has a variable interest in its Abitibi-LP equity method investee but is not considered to be the primary beneficiary. See Note 8 for further information on this investment.

Earnings per Share

Basic earnings per share are based on the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding. Diluted earnings per share are based upon the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding plus all potentially dilutive securities that were assumed to be converted into common shares at the beginning of the period under the treasury stock method. This method requires that the effect of potentially dilutive common stock equivalents (employee stock options, stock settled stock appreciation rights, incentive shares, performance shares and warrants) be excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share for the periods in which losses from continuing operations are reported because the effect is anti-dilutive. The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

Dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share amounts	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Numerator:			
Income (loss) attributed to LP common shares:			
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (73.4)	\$177.4	\$ 29.5
Loss from discontinued operations	(2.0)	(0.3)	(0.7)
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ (75.4)</u>	<u>\$177.1</u>	<u>\$ 28.8</u>
Denominator:			
Basic—weighted average common shares outstanding	141.1	139.6	137.1
Dilutive effect of employee stock plans	—	2.5	2.3
Dilutive effect of stock warrants	—	2.2	3.2
Diluted shares outstanding	<u>141.1</u>	<u>144.3</u>	<u>142.6</u>
Basic earnings per share:			
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (0.52)	\$ 1.27	\$ 0.22
Loss from discontinued operations	(0.01)	—	(0.01)
Net income (loss) per share	<u>\$ (0.53)</u>	<u>\$ 1.27</u>	<u>\$ 0.21</u>
Diluted earnings per share:			
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (0.52)	\$ 1.23	\$ 0.20
Loss from discontinued operations	(0.01)	—	—
Net income (loss) per share	<u>\$ (0.53)</u>	<u>\$ 1.23</u>	<u>\$ 0.20</u>

Stock options, warrants and stock settled stock appreciation rights (SSARs) related to approximately 2.5 million and 2.3 million common shares were considered not in-the-money for purposes of LP's earnings per share calculation for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. Stock options, warrants, stock settled stock appreciation rights (SSARs) and performance shares related to approximately 5.8 million common shares for the year ended December 31, 2014 were considered anti-dilutive for purposes of LP's earnings per share calculation due to LP's loss position in continuing operations.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of money market investments with an initial maturity of three months or less. These investments are stated at cost, which approximates market value.

Investments

LP's long-term investments are classified as available-for-sale and are reported at estimated fair value. LP may invest in securities including U.S. treasury notes, bank obligations, corporate obligations, auction rate securities and commercial paper. Under LP's investment criteria at purchase, bank and corporate obligations

carry a rating of at least A-1 and commercial paper must have the highest rating obtainable from one or more rating agencies. Unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, on these investments are reported as a separate component of “Accumulated comprehensive loss” in Stockholders’ Equity until realized. Impairment losses are charged to income for other-than-temporary declines in fair value. Realized gains and losses (including impairments) are recorded in “Investment income” in the Consolidated Statements of Income. For purposes of computing realized gains and losses, cost is identified on a specific identification basis. See Note 2 for further discussion.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

LP has, where appropriate, estimated the fair value of financial instruments. These fair value amounts may be significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of cash flows. Accordingly, the estimates presented are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that could be realized in a current market exchange.

Inventory

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market. Inventory costs include materials, labor and operating overhead. The LIFO (last-in, first-out) method is used for a minor portion of the Company’s log inventories with the remaining inventories valued at FIFO (first-in, first-out) or average cost. See Note 5 for further discussion.

Timber and Timberlands

Timber and timberlands is comprised of timber deeds and allocations of purchase price to Canadian timber harvesting licenses. Timber deeds are transactions in which LP purchases timber, but not the underlying land. The cost of timber deeds are capitalized in timber and timberlands and charged to cost of timber harvested as the volume is removed. Timber that has been severed but has not yet been delivered to a facility is included in timber and timberlands. The values associated with timber licenses were allocated in the purchase price allocations for Le Groupe Forex (Forex), Peace Valley OSB and the assets of Evans Forest Products. These licenses have a life of twenty to twenty-five years. These licenses are amortized on a straight-line basis over the life of the facilities. Cost of timber harvested also includes the amortization of the timber licenses. See Note 7 for further discussion.

Assets Held for Sale

Over the last several years, LP has adopted and implemented plans to sell selected assets in order to improve its operating results. LP is required to classify assets held for sale which are not part of a discontinued business separately on the face of the financial statements outside of “Property, plant and equipment”. During 2014, LP sold the assets of one of its non-operating locations for \$11.9 million. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, LP included two OSB mills and various non-operating sites in its held for sale category. See Note 3 for discussion of impairments recorded on these assets to reduce carrying value to estimated sales prices less estimated selling costs. The current book values of assets held for sale by category is as follows:

	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Dollar amounts in millions		
Property, plant and equipment, at cost:		
Land, land improvements and logging roads, net of road amortization	\$ 4.5	\$ 6.9
Buildings	2.9	6.2
Machinery and equipment	52.0	99.1
	<u>59.4</u>	<u>112.2</u>
Accumulated depreciation	(50.1)	(95.9)
Net property, plant and equipment	<u>\$ 9.3</u>	<u>\$ 16.3</u>

LP believes that the net realizable sales value of the aforementioned assets exceeds their carrying values.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment, including capitalized interest, are recorded at cost. Depreciation for financial statement purposes is provided principally using the units of production method for machinery and equipment which amortizes the cost of equipment over the estimated units that will be produced during its useful life. Provisions for depreciation of buildings, land improvements and the remaining machinery and equipment have been computed using straight-line rates based on the estimated service lives. The effective straight-line lives for the principal classes of property range from three to twenty years.

Logging road construction costs are capitalized and included in land and land improvements. These costs are amortized as the timber volume adjacent to the road system is harvested.

LP capitalizes interest on borrowed funds during construction periods. Capitalized interest is charged to machinery and equipment accounts and amortized over the lives of the related assets. Capitalized interest totaled \$1.3 million in 2014 and \$1.6 million in 2013.

Potential Impairments

Long-lived assets to be held and used by LP (primarily property, plant and equipment and timber and timberlands) are reviewed for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. When impairment is indicated, the book values of the assets are written down to their estimated fair value as calculated by the expected discounted cash flow or estimated net sales price. See Note 3 for impairment charges recorded in the periods presented. See Note 17 for a discussion of charges in 2014, 2013 and 2012 related to impairments of property, plant and equipment. Long-lived assets that are held for sale are written down to the estimated sales proceeds less cost to sell unless the estimated net proceeds exceed the carrying value.

LP continues to review certain operations and investments for potential impairments. LP's management currently believes it has adequate support for the carrying value of each of these operations and investments based upon the anticipated cash flows that result from estimates of future demand, pricing and production costs assuming certain levels of planned capital expenditures. As of December 31, 2014, the fair values of LP's facilities were substantially in excess of their carrying value, which supported the conclusion that no impairment is necessary for those facilities. However, if demand and pricing for the relevant products continues at levels significantly below cycle average demand and pricing, or should LP decide to invest capital in alternative projects, or should changes occur related to LP's wood supply for these locations, it is possible that impairment charges will be required.

Income Taxes

LP accounts for income taxes under an asset and liability approach that requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in LP's financial statements or tax returns. In estimating future tax consequences, LP generally considers all expected future events other than the enactment of changes in tax laws or rates and future income. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates will be recognized as income or expense in the period that includes the enactment date. Additionally, deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when it is more likely than not that some portion of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

LP recognizes liabilities for uncertain tax positions through a two step process. The first step is to evaluate the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of the available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on audit, including resolution of related appeals or litigation process, if any. The second step requires LP to estimate and measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more than 50% likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement. It is inherently difficult and subjective to estimate such amounts, as LP must determine the probability for various outcomes. LP evaluates

these uncertain tax provisions when new information becomes available. These revaluations are based upon factors including, but not limited to, changes in circumstances, changes in tax law, successful settlement of issues under audit and new audit activity. Such a change in recognition or measurement could result in the recognition of a tax benefit or an increase to the related provision.

LP classifies interest related to income taxes liabilities or uncertain tax positions as interest expense or interest income and, if applicable, penalties are recognized as a component of income tax expense.

See Note 10 for further discussion of deferred income taxes.

Stock-Based Compensation

LP recognizes the cost of employee services received in exchange for awards of equity instruments, such as stock options, performance shares, restricted stock or restricted stock units and stock settled stock appreciation rights (SSAR), based upon the fair value of those awards at the date of grant over the requisite service period. LP generally uses the Black-Scholes-Merton (Black-Scholes) option pricing model to determine the fair value of the stock option and SSAR awards. Stock-based compensation plans, related expenses and assumptions used in the Black-Scholes option pricing model are more fully described in Note 14. The fair market value of performance share awards are determined based on the fair value as of the date of grant times the number of shares adjusted for the weighted probability of the attainment of the relevant performance goals.

Foreign Currency Translation

The functional currency for the Company's Canadian subsidiaries is the U.S. dollar; however the books and records for these subsidiaries are maintained in the Canadian dollar. The financial statements of these foreign subsidiaries are remeasured into U.S. dollars using the historical exchange rate for property, plant and equipment, timber and timberlands, goodwill, and certain other non-monetary assets and related depreciation and amortization on these assets and liabilities. LP uses the exchange rate at the balance sheet date for the remaining assets and liabilities, including deferred taxes. A weighted-average exchange rate is used for each period for revenues and expenses. These transaction gains or losses are recorded in "Other non-operating income (expense)" on the Consolidated Statements of Income.

The functional currencies of LP's Chilean and Brazilian subsidiaries are the Chilean peso and Brazilian real and their books and records are maintained in the local currency. Translation adjustments, which are based upon the exchange rate at the balance sheet date for assets and liabilities and the weighted-average rate for the income statement, are recorded in "Accumulated comprehensive loss" in Stockholders' equity.

Goodwill

Goodwill is tested for impairment on an annual basis, and when indicators of impairment are determined to exist. Impairment is evaluated by applying a fair value based test. Impairment losses would be recognized whenever the implied fair value of goodwill is less than its carrying value.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets with finite useful lives are amortized generally on a straight-line basis over the periods benefited. Impairment of the intangible asset is evaluated when factors indicate impairment may exist.

Restricted Cash

In accordance with LP's credit facilities, discussed at Note 12, LP has established restricted cash accounts. As of December 31, 2014, a portion of the restricted cash secures outstanding letters of credit under LP's U.S. and Canadian credit facilities.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue is recognized when title has passed. The following criteria are used to determine that title has passed: (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (2) delivery has occurred or services have been rendered; (3) the price to the buyer is fixed or determinable; and (4) the collection is reasonably assured.

During 2014, 2013 and 2012, LP's top ten customers accounted for approximately 41%, 44% and 46% of its sales. During 2012, Home Depot, Inc. accounted for more than 10% of its sales with no customers exceeding 10% in 2013 or 2014.

Pricing and Sales Incentives

LP records estimated reductions to revenue for customer programs and incentive offerings, including pricing arrangements, promotions and other volume-based incentives, at the date revenue is recognized. Some of these incentives are negotiated up front with the customer and are redeemable only if the customer achieves a specified cumulative level of sales (measured in dollars or units) or sales increase. Under these incentive programs, at the time of sale, LP estimates the anticipated rebate to be paid based upon forecasted sales levels. These forecasts are updated on a regular basis. If the forecasted sales for a customer change significantly, the accrual for rebates is adjusted to reflect the revised estimate.

Asset Retirement Obligations

LP records the fair value of the legal obligations and the conditional obligations to retire and remove long-lived assets in the period which the obligation is incurred. These obligations primarily consist of monitoring costs on closed landfills, timber reforestation obligations associated with LP's timber licenses in Canada and certain site restoration costs. When the related liability is initially recorded, LP capitalizes the cost by increasing the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset. Over time, the liability is accreted to its settlement value and the capitalized cost is depreciated over the useful life of the related asset. Upon settlement of the liability, LP recognizes a gain or loss for any difference between the settlement amount and the liability recorded. See Note 15 for further discussion.

Deferred Debt Costs

Deferred debt costs are amortized over the life of the related debt using a straight line basis which approximates the effective interest method. If the debt is retired early, the related unamortized deferred financing costs are written off in the period the debt is retired to other non-operating income (expense). During 2013, \$1.4 million and during 2012, \$4.5 million of deferred debt costs were written off in association with the early debt extinguishment. LP amortized deferred debt costs of \$1.2 million, \$1.4 million and \$1.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012. See Note 11 for further discussion.

Other Operating Credits and Charges, Net

LP classifies significant amounts that management considers unrelated to ongoing core operating activities as "Other operating credits and charges, net" in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Such items include, but are not limited to, amounts related to restructuring charges (including severance charges), charges to establish and maintain litigation or environmental reserves, product reserves, prior year inventory profit adjustments, retirement charges and gains or losses from settlements with governmental or other organizations. Due to the nature of these items, amounts in the income statement can fluctuate from year to year. The determination of which items are considered significant and unrelated to core operations is based upon management's judgment. See Note 16 for a discussion of specific amounts in 2014, 2013 and 2012.

Retirement Benefits

LP is required to use actuarial methods and assumptions in the valuation of defined benefit obligations and the determination of expense. Difference between actual and expected results or changes in the values of the obligations and plan assets are not recognized in earnings as they occur but, rather, systematically and gradually over subsequent periods. See Note 13 for further information.

Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income consists of net income (loss) and other gains and losses affecting shareholders' equity that are excluded from net income (loss), including foreign currency translation adjustments, prior service costs and credits, transition assets or obligations associated with pension or other post retirement benefits that have not been recognized as components of net periodic benefit costs, net unrealized gains or losses on securities, and unrealized gains and losses on financial instruments qualifying for cash flow hedge accounting, and is presented in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income. See Note 22 for further discussion.

Present and Prospective Accounting Pronouncements

In August 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2014-15, Presentation of Financial Statements-Going Concern (Subtopic 205-40): Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern, which requires management to evaluate whether conditions exist which raise substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date that the financial statements are issued (or within one year of when the financial statements are available to be issued). If such conditions exist, disclosure is required of: (1) the principal conditions; (2) management's evaluation of the significance of the conditions on the entity's ability to meet obligations; and (3) management's plans to alleviate this substantial doubt related to the ability to continue as a going concern. If management's plans do not alleviate this substantial doubt, management must specifically disclose that there is substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date that the financial statements are issued (or date the financial statements are available to be issued), in addition to the disclosure noted above. This guidance becomes effective for the annual period ending after December 15, 2016, and for annual periods and interim periods thereafter.

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606), which supersedes the revenue recognition requirements in Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 605, Revenue Recognition. The new revenue recognition standard requires entities to recognize revenue in a way that depicts the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled to in exchange for those goods or services. ASU 2014-09 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016. LP is currently evaluating the effect that adopting this new accounting guidance will have on its consolidated results of operations and financial position.

In April 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-08, Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity. This guidance states that the disposal of a component of an entity is to be reported in discontinued operations only if the disposal represents a strategic shift that has (or will have) a major effect on an entity's operations and financial results. The pronouncement also requires additional disclosures regarding individually significant disposals of components that do not meet the criteria to be recognized as a discontinued operation as well as additional and expanded disclosures. The guidance is effective for all disposals (or classifications as held for sale) of components of an entity and all businesses or nonprofit activities that, on acquisition, are classified as held for sale that occur within annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2014, and interim periods within annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2015; it is applied prospectively. Early adoption is permitted, but only for disposals (or classifications as held for sale) that have not been reported in financial statements previously issued or available for issuance. The adoption of this standard will impact presentation only and will not have an effect on LP's consolidated results of operations and financial position.

2. INVESTMENTS

Long-term investments held by LP are debt securities designated as available for sale and are reported at fair market value using the specific identification method. The following table summarizes unrealized gains and losses related to these investments as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

Dollar amounts in millions	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
December 31, 2014				
Auction rate securities	\$0.3	\$4.3	\$—	\$4.6
Total marketable securities	<u>\$0.3</u>	<u>\$4.3</u>	<u>\$—</u>	<u>\$4.6</u>
December 31, 2013				
Auction rate securities	\$0.4	\$3.3	\$—	\$3.7
Total marketable securities	<u>\$0.4</u>	<u>\$3.3</u>	<u>\$—</u>	<u>\$3.7</u>

As of December 31, 2014, LP had \$4.6 million (\$23.4 million, par value) invested in auction rate securities (ARS). The ARS held by LP are securities with long-term nominal maturities for which the interest rates may be reset through a Dutch auction each month. LP's investments in ARS represent interests in collateralized debt obligations supported by pools of residential and commercial mortgages and other securities.

LP reviews its marketable securities routinely for other-than-temporary impairment. The primary factors LP used to determine if an impairment charge must be recorded, because a decline in value of the security is other than temporary, include (i) whether the fair value of the investment is significantly below its cost basis, (ii) the financial condition of the issuer of the security (including its credit rating) and the underlying collateral, (iii) the length of time that the cost of the security has exceeded its fair value and (iv) LP's intent and ability to retain the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value.

The contractual maturities of debt securities classified as available for sale at December 31, 2014 were as follows:

Dollar amounts in millions	2014	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Due in one year or less	\$—	\$—
Due in more than one year	0.3	4.6
Total marketable securities	<u>\$ 0.3</u>	<u>\$ 4.6</u>

There were no purchases of short-term and long-term investments for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. During 2014 and 2013, LP did not own any short-term investments.

3. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. The fair value hierarchy requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. LP is required to classify these financial assets and liabilities into two groups: recurring—measured on a periodic basis and non-recurring—measured on an as needed basis.

There are three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

- Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.
- Level 2 Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in inactive markets; or valuations based on models where the significant inputs are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.
- Level 3 Valuations based on models where significant inputs are not observable. Unobservable inputs are used when little or no market data is available and reflect the Company's own assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 is summarized in the following tables.

Dollar amounts in millions	December 31, 2014	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Available for sale securities	\$4.6	\$—	\$—	\$ 4.6
Trading securities	2.3	2.3	—	—
Contingent consideration	0.2	—	—	0.2

Dollar amounts in millions	December 31, 2013	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Available for sale securities	\$3.7	\$—	\$—	\$ 3.7
Trading securities	2.0	2.0	—	—
Contingent consideration	3.8	—	—	3.8

Due to the lack of observable market quotations on a portion of LP's auction rate securities (ARS) portfolio, LP evaluates the structure of its ARS holdings and current market estimates of fair value, including fair value estimates from issuing banks that rely exclusively on Level 3 inputs. These inputs include those that are based on expected cash flow streams and collateral values, including assessments of counterparty credit quality, default risk underlying the security, discount rates and overall capital market liquidity. The valuation of LP's ARS investment portfolio is subject to uncertainties that are difficult to predict. Factors that may impact LP's valuation include changes to credit ratings of the securities as well as to the underlying assets supporting those securities, rates of default of the underlying assets, underlying collateral value, discount rates, counterparty risk and ongoing strength and quality of market credit and liquidity.

Trading securities consist of rabbi trust financial assets which are recorded in other assets in LP's consolidated balance sheets. The rabbi trust holds assets attributable to the elections of certain management employees to defer the receipt of a portion of their compensation. The assets of the rabbi trust are invested in mutual funds and are reported at fair value based on active market quotations, which represent Level 1 inputs.

The following table summarizes changes in assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) during the twelve months ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Dollar amounts in millions	<u>Available for sale securities</u>	<u>Contingent consideration</u>
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ 2.0	\$ —
Contingent consideration pursuant to business combination	—	24.3
Adjustment to contingent consideration fair value	—	(20.5)
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)		
Included in investment income	—	—
Included in other comprehensive income	1.7	—
Balance at December 31, 2013	<u>\$ 3.7</u>	<u>\$ 3.8</u>
Contingent consideration pursuant to business combination	\$—	\$ —
Adjustment to contingent consideration fair value	—	(3.2)
Foreign exchange rate changes	—	(0.4)
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)		
Included in investment income	—	—
Included in other comprehensive income	0.9	—
Balance at December 31, 2014	<u>\$ 4.6</u>	<u>\$ 0.2</u>

LP estimated the Senior Notes maturing in 2020 to have a fair value of \$371.0 million at December 31, 2014 and \$390.3 million at December 31, 2013 based upon market quotations.

Carrying amounts reported on the balance sheet for cash, cash equivalents, receivables and accounts payable approximate fair value due to the short-term maturity of these instruments.

LP reviews the carrying values of long-lived assets to be held and used for impairment wherever events or changes in circumstances indicate possible impairment. An impairment loss is recognized when a long-lived asset's carrying value is not recoverable (given assumptions on housing starts and growth rates) and exceeds estimated fair value.

During 2014, LP recognized a gain of \$3.2 million as a fair value adjustment to the contingent consideration payable in connection with a business combination (see Note 23 for further discussion). The fair value of the contingent consideration was reduced during the year due to the decline in projected OSB prices as compared to the initial fair value which was established at the date of the acquisition. This reduction resulted in lowering the estimated payment obligation. The fair value adjustment is recorded in Other Operating Credits and Charges, Net (see Note 16 for further discussion). This fair value was determined based upon the income approach using significant non-observable inputs such as projected OSB pricing taking into consideration volatility of such projections.

During 2013, LP recognized a gain of \$20.5 million as a fair value adjustment to the contingent consideration payable in connection with a business combination (see Note 24 for further discussion). The fair value of the contingent consideration was reduced during the year due to the decline in projected OSB prices as compared to the initial fair value which was established at the date of the acquisition. This reduction resulted in lowering the estimated payment obligation. The fair value adjustment is recorded in Other Operating Credits and Charges, Net (see Note 16 for further discussion). This fair value was determined based upon the income approach using significant non-observable inputs such as projected OSB pricing taking into consideration volatility of such projections.

4. RECEIVABLES

Receivables consist of the following:

Dollar amounts in millions	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Trade receivables	\$ 96.1	\$69.2
Interest receivables	0.2	0.2
Income tax receivable	1.4	1.2
Other receivables	11.7	8.6
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(1.0)	(1.1)
	<u>\$108.4</u>	<u>\$78.1</u>

Other receivables at December 31, 2014 and 2013 primarily consist of Canadian sales tax receivables, vendor rebates and other miscellaneous receivables.

5. INVENTORIES

Inventories consist of the following (work-in-process is not material):

Dollar amounts in millions	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Logs	\$ 39.6	\$ 46.9
Other raw materials	21.3	27.8
Semi finished inventory	19.3	11.1
Finished products	149.6	138.6
Total	<u>\$229.8</u>	<u>\$224.4</u>

6. NOTES RECEIVABLE FROM ASSET SALES

Notes receivable from asset sales are related to transactions that occurred during 1997, 1998 and 2003. The 1997 and 1998 notes receivable provide collateral for LP's limited recourse notes payable and the 2003 notes receivable provide collateral for LP's non-recourse notes payable (see Note 12). LP monitors the collectability of these notes on a regular basis.

Dollar amounts in millions	Interest Rate	December 31,	
		2014	2013
Notes receivable (secured), maturing 2018, interest rates fixed	7.3%	22.2	22.2
Notes receivable (secured), maturing 2018, interest rate variable		410.0	410.0
Total		432.2	432.2
Current portion		—	—
Long-term portion		<u>\$432.2</u>	<u>\$432.2</u>

The weighted average interest rate for all notes receivable from asset sales at December 31, 2014 and 2013 was approximately 0.8% for both years. The remaining notes of \$432.2 million mature in 2018. LP estimates that the fair value of these notes at December 31, 2014 and 2013 was approximately \$438.0 million for both years.

7. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Changes in goodwill for the year ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 are provided in the following table:

Dollar amounts in millions	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Beginning balance December 31,	9.7	—
Additions	—	9.7
Total goodwill	<u>9.7</u>	<u>9.7</u>

LP has recorded other intangible assets in its Consolidated Balance Sheets, as follows:

Dollar amounts in millions	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Timber licenses (recorded as part of Timber and Timberlands)	\$64.8	\$68.2
Other	0.1	0.1
Total	<u>\$64.9</u>	<u>\$68.3</u>

Included in the balance of timber and timberlands are values allocated to Canadian forest licenses in the purchase price allocations for Le Groupe Forex (Forex), Peace Valley OSB and the assets of Evans Forest Products. The initial value of these licenses was \$111.8 million and are amortized over the estimated useful life of twenty to twenty-five years.

Amortization of the above intangible asset over the next five years is as follows:

Dollar amounts in millions <u>Year ended December 31,</u>	
2015	\$3.3
2016	3.3
2017	4.1
2018	4.1
2019	4.1

8. INVESTMENTS IN AND ADVANCES TO AFFILIATES

At December 31, 2014, LP has an investment in a joint venture with Resolute Forest Products to operate jointly owned I-Joist facilities in Quebec (Abitibi-LP). Each partner owns 50% of the venture. In 2013, LP acquired full ownership of the Peace Valley OSB joint venture (formerly referred to as Canfor-LP) in which LP previously maintained a 50% interest (see Note 23 for further information). Additionally, during 2013, LP sold its equity investment in U.S. Greenfiber, which resulted in a gain of \$1.2 million.

The remaining investment does not meet the Regulation S-X significance test requiring the inclusion of the separate investee financial statements for any year; however based upon the aggregation requirements under S-X, aggregated summarized financial information (including net sales and net income information for entities no longer held) for 2012 is required as follows:

Dollar amounts in millions	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2012</u>
Net sales	<u>\$238.9</u>
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ 3.4</u>

LP sells products and raw materials and purchases products for resale from Abitibi-LP and previously purchased OSB from Canfor—LP prior to the acquisition on May 31, 2013. LP eliminates profits on these sales and purchases, to the extent the inventory has not been sold through to third parties, on the basis of its 50% interests. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, LP sold \$9.8 million, \$13.7 million and \$8.7 million of products to Abitibi-LP and purchased \$55.4 million, \$52.0 million and \$37.9 million of I-joists from Abitibi-LP. LP purchased \$98.2 million and \$148.0 million from Canfor-LP during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. Included in LP's Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2014 and 2013 are \$0.7 million and \$0.8 million in accounts receivable and \$0.4 million in accounts payable for 2014 associated with Abitibi-LP.

9. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities were as follows:

Dollar amounts in millions	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Accounts payable	\$ 80.3	\$ 83.1
Salaries and wages payable	32.4	43.3
Taxes other than income taxes	3.3	2.1
Current portion of warranty reserves	12.0	12.0
Accrued interest	6.4	2.5
Contingent consideration	—	2.1
Accrued rebates	15.9	9.1
Other accrued liabilities	18.0	7.7
Total Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	<u>\$168.3</u>	<u>\$161.9</u>

Other accrued liabilities at December 31, 2014 and 2013 primarily consist of uncertain tax positions, accrued rent, current portion of worker compensation liabilities and other items. Additionally, included in accounts payable is \$5.2 million and \$6.4 million related to capital expenditures that had not yet been paid as of December 31, 2014 and as of December 31, 2013.

10. INCOME TAXES

Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes consists of the following:

Dollar amounts in millions	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Domestic	\$ (66.4)	\$134.0	\$31.0
Foreign	(34.2)	84.5	5.5
Total	<u>\$(100.6)</u>	<u>\$218.5</u>	<u>\$36.5</u>

The following presents the components of LP's income tax provision (benefit) from continuing operations.

Dollar amounts in millions	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
<i>Current tax provision (benefit):</i>			
U.S. federal	\$ (5.0)	\$ 1.3	\$12.6
State and local	0.3	1.2	0.6
Foreign	1.6	3.3	0.9
Net current tax provision (benefit)	<u>(3.1)</u>	<u>5.8</u>	<u>14.1</u>
<i>Deferred tax provision (benefit):</i>			
U.S. federal	(19.5)	44.6	(7.3)
State and local	(1.2)	2.5	—
Foreign	(13.3)	13.4	(0.3)
Net valuation allowance increase (decrease)	9.9	(25.2)	0.5
Net deferred tax benefit	<u>(24.1)</u>	<u>35.3</u>	<u>(7.1)</u>
Total income tax provision (benefit)	<u><u>\$(27.2)</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 41.1</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 7.0</u></u>

LP received income tax refunds during 2014, 2013 and 2012 of \$1.6 million, \$0.9 million and \$1.9 million and paid cash taxes of \$3.7 million, \$6.8 million and \$1.6 million. Included in the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2014 and 2013 are income tax receivables of \$1.4 million and \$1.2 million.

The income tax effects of LP's share of the income or loss of U.S. GreenFiber and Canfor-LP OSB Limited Partnership in 2013 and 2012 are recorded in "Provision (benefit) for income taxes" on the Consolidated Statements of Income, while LP's share of such pre-tax income is recorded in "Equity in (income) loss of unconsolidated affiliates".

The tax effects of significant temporary differences creating deferred tax (assets) and liabilities at December 31 were as follows:

Dollar amounts in millions	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Property, plant and equipment	\$ 172.1	\$178.9
Timber and timberlands	17.8	10.5
Inventories	(8.1)	(8.1)
Accrued liabilities	(84.9)	(70.0)
Benefit of capital loss and NOL carryovers	(134.0)	(97.1)
Benefit of federal & state tax credit carryovers	(17.8)	(14.9)
Installment sale gain deferral	129.2	129.9
Market value write down of ARS	(8.9)	(8.9)
Other	(3.9)	(6.0)
Valuation allowance	32.3	23.5
Net deferred tax liabilities	<u>\$ 93.8</u>	<u>\$137.8</u>
Balance sheet classification		
Current deferred tax asset	\$ (45.1)	\$ (50.9)
Long-term deferred tax asset	(0.6)	—
Long-term deferred tax liability	139.5	188.7
	<u>\$ 93.8</u>	<u>\$137.8</u>

The \$134.0 million of benefit relating to capital loss and net operating loss (NOL) carryovers included in the above table at December 31, 2014 consists of \$36.1 million for federal NOL carryovers which will begin to expire in 2031, \$31.8 million (net of federal taxes) for state NOL carryovers and \$0.9 million for state capital losses which will expire in various years 2015 through 2033, \$54.3 million for Canadian NOL carryovers which will expire starting in 2029 and \$10.9 million for Canadian capital loss carryovers which may be carried forward indefinitely. At December 31, 2014, LP has recorded valuation allowances of \$9.9 million related to state NOL carryover benefit; \$0.9 million related to state capital loss carryover; \$5.6 million related to Canadian NOL carryover; \$13.7 million against the Canadian capital loss benefit; \$0.8 million against the state tax credit carryovers and \$1.4 million related to other items.

LP periodically reviews the need for valuation allowances against deferred tax assets and recognizes these deferred tax assets to the extent that their realization is more likely than not. As part of our review, we consider all positive and negative evidence, including earnings history, the future reversal of deferred tax liabilities, and the relevant expirations of carry forwards. LP believes that the valuation allowances provided are appropriate. If future years' earnings differs from the estimates used to establish these valuation allowances or other objective positive or negative evidence arises, LP may be required to record an adjustment resulting in an impact on tax expense (benefit) for that period.

In 2013, LP decreased its previously recorded valuation allowance against its state and Canadian deferred tax assets by approximately \$22.1 million based on 2013 current operating results and an increase in deferred tax liabilities.

As a result of certain realization requirements of ASC 718 *Compensation—Stock Compensation*, the table of deferred tax assets and liabilities shown above does not include \$13.9 million and \$13.2 million of deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 that arose directly from tax deductions related to amounts of equity compensation that are greater than the compensation recognized for financial reporting. Equity will be increased if and when such deferred tax assets are ultimately realized. LP uses the “with and without” method for determining when excess tax benefits have been realized. In 2013, LP recognized a current tax benefit of \$0.3 million as adjustments to additional paid in capital arising from tax deductions for equity compensation that were more than the compensation recognized for financial reporting.

U.S. taxes have not been provided on approximately \$51.0 million of undistributed earnings of LP's foreign subsidiaries, which under existing law are not subject to U.S. tax until distributed as dividends. These earnings have been, and are intended to be, indefinitely reinvested in LP's foreign operations. Determination of the amount of any unrecognized income tax liability on this temporary difference is not practical because of the complexities of the hypothetical calculation. Furthermore, any taxes paid to the foreign governments on these earnings may be used, in whole or in part, as credits against the U.S. tax on any dividends distributed from such earnings.

The following table summarizes the differences between the statutory U.S. federal and effective income tax rates on continuing operations:

	<u>Year ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
U.S. Federal tax rate	(35)%	35%	35%
State and local income taxes	(2)	2	2
Adjustments to previously recorded deferred tax liabilities	—	—	(12)
Uncertain tax positions	1	—	(1)
Effect of foreign tax rates	5	(3)	(1)
Effect of foreign exchange on functional currencies	(6)	(3)	(2)
Valuation allowance	10	(12)	1
Other, net	—	—	(3)
Effective tax rate (%)	<u>(27)%</u>	<u>19%</u>	<u>19%</u>

LP and its domestic subsidiaries are subject to U.S. federal income tax as well as income taxes of multiple state jurisdictions. Its foreign subsidiaries are subject to income tax in Canada, Chile, Peru and Brazil. During 2011, the U.S. Internal Revenue Service initiated an audit of tax years 2007 through 2009. LP protested certain proposed adjustments and requested review by the IRS Appeals Office. In the fourth quarter of 2014, the IRS Appeals conferences were completed and a tentative agreement was reached resolving all issues. The agreed upon changes are subject to approval by U.S. Joint Committee on Taxation which is expected to occur in 2015. During 2013, LP deposited \$17.1 million with the IRS to suspend the accrual of interest pending the resolution of this matter. The deposit is included within Prepaid and other current assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2014.

LP remains subject to U.S. federal examinations of tax years 2011 through 2013 as well as state and local tax examination for the tax years 2007-2013. Canadian federal income tax years are closed through 2009 and no examinations are currently in progress. Quebec provincial audits have been effectively settled through 2012. Chilean returns for the 2010 – 2012 tax years are under review by the Chilean Tax Office. Brazilian returns for years 2009 – 2013 are subject to audit but no examinations are currently in progress.

In accordance with the accounting for uncertain tax positions, the following is a tabular reconciliation of the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits at the beginning and end of the years presented:

during

Dollar amounts in millions	December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Beginning balance	\$48.9	\$49.9	\$23.5
Increases:			
Tax positions taken in current year	0.1	—	0.1
Tax positions taken in prior years	1.3	0.4	33.9
Decreases:			
Tax positions taken in current year	—	—	—
Tax positions taken in prior years	(8.1)	—	(7.4)
Settlements during the year	—	—	(0.2)
Lapse of statute in current year	—	(1.4)	—
Ending balance	<u>\$42.2</u>	<u>\$48.9</u>	<u>\$49.9</u>

Included in the above balances at December 31, 2014 and 2013 is \$14.6 million and \$12.8 million of tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect LP's effective tax rate. LP reversed interest of \$1 million during 2014 and accrued interest of \$0.2 million during 2013, and in total LP has recognized a liability of \$4.7 million and \$5.7 million for accrued interest related to its uncertain tax positions as of December 31, 2014 and 2013. While outcomes and timing cannot be predicted, it is possible that unrecognized tax benefits of up to \$34.7 million, primarily related to the timing of fixed asset recovery and \$4.1 million related to the timing of gain recognition could change as a result of the anticipated settlement of the 2007-2009 IRS audit and the lapse of statutes of limitation, respectively, during the next twelve months.

11. NON-OPERATING INCOME (EXPENSE)

Included in LP's Consolidated Statements of Income is non-operating expense of \$27.4 million, \$3.8 million and \$69.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012. This income (expense) is comprised of the following components:

Dollar amounts in millions	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Interest expense	\$(29.9)	\$(36.2)	\$(48.0)
Amortization of debt charges	(1.2)	(1.4)	(1.5)
Capitalized interest	1.3	1.6	0.2
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest	(29.8)	(36.0)	(49.3)
Investment income	5.5	9.4	13.0
SERP market adjustments	—	0.9	1.8
Investment income	5.5	10.3	14.8
Foreign currency losses	(3.1)	(5.3)	(2.7)
Gain on settlement of litigation related to ARS	—	—	20.0
Gain on acquisition	—	35.9	—
Gain on the sale of joint venture	—	1.2	—
Early debt extinguishment	—	(2.3)	(52.2)
Other non-operating income (expense)	(3.1)	29.5	(34.9)
Total non-operating income (expense)	<u>\$(27.4)</u>	<u>\$ 3.8</u>	<u>\$(69.4)</u>

For the year ended December 31, 2013, LP recognized a gain of \$35.9 million from the acquisition of the remaining 50% ownership of the Peace Valley OSB joint venture and a gain of \$1.2 million from the sale of the U.S. GreenFiber joint venture.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, LP recognized a gain of \$20.0 million from the settlement of its ARS litigation with Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc.

12. LONG-TERM DEBT

Dollar amounts in millions	Interest Rate 2014	December 31,	
		2014	2013
<i>Debentures:</i>			
Senior unsecured notes, maturing 2020, interest rates fixed	7.5%	\$350.0	\$350.0
<i>Bank credit facilities:</i>			
Chilean term credit facility, maturing 2019, interest rates fixed	UF+3.9%	14.4	15.7
Brazilian export financing facility, maturing 2017, interest rates fixed	6.65%	6.0	8.0
<i>Limited recourse notes payable:</i>			
Senior notes, payable 2018, interest rates fixed	7.3%	22.0	22.0
<i>Other financing:</i>			
Non-recourse notes payable 2018, interest rates variable	0.2%	368.7	368.7
Other		0.8	0.6
Total		761.9	765.0
Less: current portion		(2.4)	(2.3)
Net long-term portion		<u>\$759.5</u>	<u>\$762.7</u>

LP believes the carrying amounts of its variable rate long-term debt approximates fair market value. LP estimated the limited recourse notes payable to have a fair value of approximately \$23.2 million and \$22.9 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013. LP estimated the senior unsecured notes maturing in 2020 to have a fair value of \$371.0 million at December 31, 2014 and \$390.0 million at December 31, 2013 based upon market quotations. LP believes the carrying amounts of the Chilean term credit facility as well as the Brazil export facility approximates fair market value based upon current interest rates with similar remaining maturities.

LP issued \$348.6 million of senior notes in June 1998 in a private placement to institutional investors. The remaining \$22.0 million of notes mature in 2018. The notes are secured by \$22.2 million of notes receivable from Green Diamond Resource Company (Green Diamond). Pursuant to the terms of the notes payable, in the event of a default by Green Diamond, LP would be liable to pay only 10% of the indebtedness represented by the notes payable.

LP issued \$368.7 million of non-recourse notes in 2003 in a private placement to unrelated third parties. The notes mature in 2018. The notes are supported by a bank letter of credit. LP's reimbursement obligations under the letter of credit are secured by \$410.0 million in notes receivable from assets sales. In general, the creditors under this arrangement have no recourse to LP's assets, other than the notes receivable. However, under certain circumstances, LP may be liable for certain liabilities (including liabilities associated with the marketing or remarketing of the notes payable and reimbursement obligations, which are fully cash collateralized, under the letter of credit supporting the notes payable) in an amount not to exceed 10% of the aggregate principle amount of the notes receivable.

In December 2013, LP entered into a credit agreement with various lenders and American AgCredit, PCA, as administrative agent and CoBank, ACB, as letter of credit issuer. The credit agreement provides for a \$200 million revolving credit facility, with a \$60 million sublimit for letters of credit. The credit facility terminates and all loans made under the credit agreement become due in December 2018. As of December 31, 2013 and 2014, no revolving borrowings had been made or were outstanding under the credit facility.

Certain of LP's existing and future wholly owned domestic subsidiaries guaranty LP's obligations under the credit facility. Subject to certain limited exceptions, obligations under the credit facility are secured by a lien on substantially all of the personal property of LP and its subsidiaries that are guarantors under the credit facility.

Revolving borrowings under the credit agreement accrue interest, at the Company's option, at either a "base rate" plus a margin of 0.75% to 2.50% or LIBOR plus a margin of 1.75% to 3.50%. The credit agreement also includes an unused commitment fee, due quarterly, ranging from 0.30% to 0.625%. The applicable margins and fees within these ranges are based on the Company's ratio of consolidated EBITDA to cash interest charges. The "base rate" is the highest of (i) the Federal funds rate plus 0.5%, (b) the U.S. prime rate, and (iii) one month LIBOR plus 1.0%.

The credit agreement contains various restrictive covenants and customary events of default. The credit agreement also contains financial covenants that require the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries to have, as of the end of each quarter, (i) a capitalization ratio (i.e., funded debt to total capitalization) of no more than 40% and (ii) unrestricted cash and cash equivalents of at least \$200 million thereafter, in each case calculated in the manner specified in the credit agreement. As of December 31, 2014, we were in compliance with all financial covenants under the credit agreement.

In December 2009, LP Chile entered into a term loan agreement with Banco de Credito e Inversiones for UF 943,543.7391 (equivalent to \$39 million at the time of inception). The loan will be repaid in 16 semi-annual principal payments that began in June 2012 and end in December 2019. The loan bears interest at UF plus 3.90% per annum, and is partially secured by a first priority security interest in substantially all of the real property owned by LP Chile. The loan contains various restrictive covenants and requires the maintenance by LP Chile of a debt to equity ratio of less than or equal to 1. If LP Chile is late in making payments, it will also be

required to maintain a ratio of net debt to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) of less than or equal to 2.5 and a ratio of EBITDA to financial costs of at least 3. The loan agreement also contains customary events of default, the occurrence of which could result in acceleration of LP's obligations to repay the indebtedness outstanding. Any increases or decreases in the loan balance shown are related to the change in the underlying foreign currency exchange rates, the UF or principal payments. LP made no principal payments during 2014. The impact of foreign currency exchange rates in 2014 was \$2.1 million which was offset by a UF change of \$0.8 million. LP made principal payments of \$21 million during 2013 which included a scheduled payment of \$2.6 million and an optional prepayment of \$18.4 million. The impact of foreign currency exchange rates in 2013 was \$2.8 million which was offset by a UF change of \$0.3 million.

In August 2011, LP entered into an export financing loan agreement with a Brazilian bank. This loan is to be repaid in 10 equal semi-annual payments that began in January 2013 and end in July 2017. During 2014, LP made principal payments of \$2.0 million.

In May 2012, LP issued \$350.0 million of 7.5% Senior Notes due 2020. LP used a portion of the proceeds to fully retire the remaining balance outstanding on the Senior Secured Notes due in 2017. In connection with this repurchase, LP recorded a loss on early debt extinguishment of \$52.2 million which included \$4.5 million associated with the unamortized financing costs associated with the Senior Secured Notes. On or after June 1, 2016, LP may, at its option on one or more occasions, redeem all or any portion of the Notes at specified redemption rates.

Obligations under the indenture governing LP's Senior Notes due 2020 are unsecured and not presently guaranteed by any of its subsidiaries. The indenture contains customary covenants applicable to LP and its subsidiaries, other than certain unrestricted subsidiaries, including restrictions on actions and activities that are restricted under the credit facility. The indenture also contains customary events of default, the occurrence of which could result in acceleration of LP's obligations to repay the indebtedness outstanding thereunder.

The weighted average interest rate for all long-term debt at December 31, 2014 and 2013 was approximately 3.9 percent for both periods. Required repayment of principal for long-term debt is as follows:

Dollar amounts in millions	
<u>Year ended December 31,</u>	
2015	\$ 2.4
2016	2.3
2017	6.8
2018	395.6
2019	4.8
2020 and after	<u>350.0</u>
Total	<u>\$761.9</u>

Cash paid during 2014, 2013 and 2012 for interest (net of capitalized interest) was \$30.8 million, \$35.0 million and \$50.1 million.

13. RETIREMENT PLANS AND POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS

LP sponsors various defined benefit and defined contribution retirement plans that provide retirement benefits to substantially all of its employees. Most regularly scheduled employees are eligible to participate in these plans except those covered by a collective bargaining agreement, unless the collective bargaining agreement specifically allows for participation in LP's plans. LP contributes to a multiemployer plan for certain employees covered by collective bargaining agreements. LP also provides other post retirement benefits consisting primarily of healthcare benefits to certain retirees who meet age and service requirements.

Defined Benefit Plans

Pension benefits are earned generally based upon years of service and compensation during active employment. Contributions to the qualified defined benefit pension plans are based on actuarial calculations of amounts to cover current service costs and amortization of prior service costs over periods ranging up to 20 years. LP contributes additional funds as necessary to maintain desired funding levels.

Benefit accruals under our most significant plans, which account for approximately 80% of the assets and 82% of the benefit obligations in the tables below, had been credited at the rate of 4% of eligible compensation with an interest credit based upon the 30-year U.S. Treasury rate. The Company discontinued providing contribution credits effective January 1, 2010 to these plans. The remaining defined benefit pension plans (primarily in Canada) use a variety of benefit formulas.

LP also maintains a Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP), an unfunded, non-qualified defined benefit plan intended to provide supplemental retirement benefits to key executives. Benefits are generally based on compensation in the years immediately preceding normal retirement. LP has established a grantor trust that provides funds for the benefits payable under the SERP. The assets of the grantor trust are invested in corporate-owned life insurance policies. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, the trust assets were valued at \$9.7 million and \$9.7 million and are included in "Other assets" on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. LP did not contribute to this trust in 2014 or 2013. During the year ended December 31, 2012, LP paid its retiring CEO his accumulated SERP liability of \$10.4 million through the use of the assets included in the grantor trust. In connection with this distribution, LP recorded a plan settlement charge of \$2.2 million.

The components of LP's net periodic pension costs and the assumptions related to those costs consisted of the following:

Dollar amounts in millions	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Service cost	\$ 3.5	\$ 3.4	\$ 3.7
Interest cost	14.1	12.7	14.6
Expected return on plan assets	(16.9)	(16.5)	(16.8)
Amortization of prior service cost and net transition asset	—	0.3	0.3
Amortization of net actuarial loss	5.5	7.3	6.6
Net periodic pension cost	<u>\$ 6.2</u>	<u>\$ 7.2</u>	<u>\$ 8.4</u>
Loss (gain) due to settlement	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 2.2</u>
Discount rate	<u>4.59%</u>	<u>3.80%</u>	<u>4.41%</u>
Rate of compensation increase	<u>0.8%</u>	<u>0.7%</u>	<u>0.7%</u>
Expected return on plan assets	<u>6.72%</u>	<u>6.64%</u>	<u>6.87%</u>

Other changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive income (OCI):

Dollar amounts in millions	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Net actuarial (gain) loss	\$44.2	\$(35.9)	\$11.3
Amortization of net actuarial loss	(5.5)	(7.3)	(6.6)
Amortization of prior service cost	9.4	(0.3)	(0.3)
Settlement	—	—	(2.2)
Foreign exchange rate changes	—	0.2	—
Total recognized in OCI	<u>\$48.1</u>	<u>\$(43.3)</u>	<u>\$ 2.2</u>

LP calculates the net periodic pension cost for a given fiscal year based upon assumptions developed at the end of the previous fiscal year. As of January 1, 2010 LP froze future contribution credits to its qualified U.S. defined benefit pension plans. LP recognized settlement charges of \$2.2 million during 2012, related to the LP SERP pension plan associated with the retirement of LP's previous CEO.

The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets reflects the weighted-average expected long-term rates of return for the broad categories of investments currently held in the plans (adjusted for expected changes), based on historical rates of return for each broad category, as well as factors that may constrain or enhance returns in the broad categories in the future. The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets is adjusted when there are fundamental changes in expected returns in one or more broad asset categories and when the weighted-average mix of assets in the plans changes significantly.

The projected benefit obligation is the actuarial present value of benefits attributable to employee service rendered to date, including the effects of estimated salary increases. The benefit plan obligation, funded status and the assumptions related to the obligations as of the measurement date for each year presented as of December 31 follow:

Dollar amounts in millions	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Change in benefit obligation:		
Beginning of year balance	\$322.1	\$352.0
Service cost	3.5	3.4
Interest cost	14.1	12.7
Actuarial (gain)/loss	37.4	(24.1)
Transfers in	—	0.3
Plan amendments	9.4	—
Foreign exchange rate changes	(5.3)	(3.6)
Benefits paid	(17.1)	(18.6)
End of year balance	<u>\$364.1</u>	<u>\$322.1</u>
Change in assets (fair value):		
Beginning of year balance	\$270.3	\$259.3
Actual return on plan assets	10.2	28.3
Employer contribution	9.6	4.2
Transfers in	—	0.3
Foreign exchange rate changes	(5.0)	(3.2)
Benefits paid	(17.1)	(18.6)
End of year balance	<u>\$268.0</u>	<u>\$270.3</u>
Funded status	\$ (96.1)	\$ (51.8)
Weighted average assumptions for obligations as of measurement date		
Discount rate for obligations	3.82%	4.58%
Rate of compensation increase	0.57%	0.63%

The amounts recognized in LP's Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31 consist of the following:

Dollar amounts in millions	2014	2013
Noncurrent pension assets, included in "Other assets"	\$ 0.6	\$ 0.6
Current pension liabilities, included in "Accounts payable and accrued liabilities"	(2.7)	(0.2)
Noncurrent pension liabilities, included in "Other long-term liabilities"	<u>(93.8)</u>	<u>(52.2)</u>
Total	<u>\$ (95.9)</u>	<u>\$ (51.8)</u>
Amounts recognized in other comprehensive income—pre-tax		
Net actuarial loss	\$149.6	\$112.1
Prior service cost	<u>9.5</u>	<u>0.1</u>
Total	<u>\$159.1</u>	<u>\$112.2</u>

The total accumulated benefit obligation for all pension plans as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 was \$361.5 million and \$317.7 million.

The accumulated benefit obligation and fair value of plan assets for pension plans with accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets were \$348.0 million and \$217.9 million at December 31, 2014 and \$304.7 million and \$256.7 million at December 31, 2013. The projected benefit obligations and fair value of plan assets of plans with projected benefit obligations in excess of plan assets were \$350.7 million and \$217.9 million at December 31, 2014 and \$309.0 million and \$256.7 million at December 31, 2013.

The amounts of accumulated other comprehensive income that is expected to be amortized as expense during 2015 is:

Dollar amounts in millions	
Net actuarial loss	\$7.1
Prior service cost	<u>0.5</u>
Total	<u>\$7.6</u>

The benefits expected to be paid from the benefit plans, which reflect expected future service, are as follows:

Dollar amounts in millions	
<i>Year</i>	
2015	\$ 22.7
2016	24.3
2017	30.1
2018	20.0
2019	20.6
2020 – 2024	108.1

These estimated benefit payments are based upon assumptions about future events. Actual benefit payments may vary significantly from these estimates.

Asset allocation targets are established based upon the long-term returns and volatility characteristics of the investment classes and recognize the benefits of diversification and the profits of the plans' liabilities. The actual and target allocations at the measurement dates are as follows:

<i>Asset category</i>	Target	Actual	
	Allocation	2014	2013
Equity securities	47.0%	45.7%	46.4%
Debt securities	27.0	43.8	36.8
Real estate	8.0	—	6.2
Other, including cash and cash equivalents	18.0	10.5	10.6
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

LP's investment policies for the defined benefit pension plans provide target asset allocations by broad categories of investment and ranges of acceptable allocations. These policies are set by an administrative committee with the goal of maximizing long-term investment returns within acceptable levels of volatility and risk. LP's U.S. plans include real estate, hedge funds and real return investment strategies to increase returns and reduce volatility. LP's plans do not currently invest directly in derivative securities, although such investments may be considered in the future to increase returns and/or reduce volatility. To the extent the expected return on plan assets varies from the actual return, an actuarial gain or loss results.

The fair value of LP's pension plan assets at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, fair value asset categories and the level of inputs as defined in Note 3 are as follows:

Dollar amounts in millions	December 31,	Quoted Prices	Significant	Significant
Asset Category		2014	in Active	Observable
		Markets for	Inputs	Inputs
		Identical	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
		Assets		
		(Level 1)		
Equity investment funds: ^(a)				
Domestic stock funds	\$ 84.5	\$ 71.4	\$13.1	\$ —
International stock funds	37.9	12.8	25.1	—
Fixed income investment funds: ^(b)				
Domestic bond funds	62.5	62.5	—	—
International bond funds	40.9	—	40.9	—
Diversified real asset funds	13.9	13.9	—	—
Real estate funds ^(c)	—	—	—	—
Multi-strategy funds ^(d)	26.1	—	—	26.1
Cash & cash equivalents	2.2	—	2.2	—
Total	\$268.0	\$160.6	\$81.3	\$26.1

(a) Equity investments include investments in funds that are primarily invested in large capitalization U.S. and international equity securities and a mutual fund.

(b) Fixed income investments include investments in funds that are primarily invested in a diversified portfolio of investment grade U.S. and international debt securities.

(c) Real estate investments are primarily invested in U.S. commercial real estate.

(d) The multi-strategy funds invest in various hedge funds that employ a fund of funds strategy.

Dollar amounts in millions Asset Category	December 31, 2013	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Equity investment funds: ^(a)				
Domestic stock funds	\$ 83.0	\$ 68.8	\$14.2	\$ —
International stock funds	42.4	14.4	28.0	—
Fixed income investment funds: ^(b)				
Domestic bond funds	54.4	54.4	—	—
International bond funds	34.2	—	34.2	—
Diversified real asset funds	11.1	11.1	—	—
Real estate funds ^(c)	16.5	—	—	16.5
Multi-strategy funds ^(d)	25.2	—	—	25.2
Cash & cash equivalents	3.5	—	3.5	—
Total	<u>\$270.3</u>	<u>\$148.7</u>	<u>\$79.9</u>	<u>\$41.7</u>

- (a) Equity investments include investments in funds that are primarily invested in large capitalization U.S. and international equity securities and a mutual fund.
- (b) Fixed income investments include investments in funds that are primarily invested in a diversified portfolio of investment grade U.S. and international debt securities.
- (c) Real estate investments are primarily invested in U.S. commercial real estate.
- (d) The multi-strategy funds invest in various hedge funds that employ a fund of funds strategy.

Level 1 investments are valued based on active market quotations.

Level 2 investments are valued based on the unit prices quoted by the funds, representing the fair value of underlying investments.

Due to the lack of observable market quotations on real estate and multi-strategy funds, LP evaluates their structure and current market estimates of fair value, including fair value estimates from the funds that rely exclusively on Level 3 inputs. These inputs include those that are based on expected cash flow streams and property values, including assessments of overall market liquidity. The valuations are subject to uncertainties that are difficult to predict.

The following table summarizes assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) during the period.

Dollar amounts in millions	Multi-Strategy Funds	Real Estate	Total
Balance at January 1, 2013	\$ 49.5	\$ 15.2	\$ 64.7
Total unrealized gains (losses)	5.5	1.3	6.8
Net income	—	0.1	0.1
Contribution (redemption)	(29.4)	—	(29.4)
Management fees	(0.4)	(0.1)	(0.5)
Balance at December 31, 2013	<u>\$ 25.2</u>	<u>\$ 16.5</u>	<u>\$ 41.7</u>
Total unrealized gains (losses)	\$ 0.8	\$ —	\$ 0.8
Net income	—	—	—
Contribution (Redemption)	—	(16.5)	(16.5)
Management fees	0.1	—	0.1
Balance at December 31, 2014	<u>\$ 26.1</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 26.1</u>

Defined Contribution Plans

LP also sponsors defined contribution plans in the U.S. and Canada. In the U.S., these plans are primarily 401(k) plans for hourly and salaried employees that allow for pre-tax employee deferrals and a company match of up to 3.5% of an employee's eligible wages (subject to certain limits). Under the profit sharing feature of these plans, LP may elect to contribute a discretionary amount as a percentage of eligible wages. Included in the assets of the 401(k) and profit sharing plans are 2.5 million shares of LP common stock that represented approximately 12.4% of the total market value of plan assets at December 31, 2014.

In Canada, LP sponsors both defined contribution plans and Registered Retirement Savings Plans for hourly and salaried employees that allow for pre-tax employee deferrals. LP provides a base contribution of 2.5% of eligible earnings and matches 50% of an employee's deferrals up to a maximum of 3% of each employee's eligible earnings (subject to certain limits).

Expenses related to defined contribution plans and the multiemployer plan in 2014, 2013 and 2012 were \$5.6 million, \$8.3 million and \$5.2 million.

Other Benefit Plans

LP has several plans that provide postretirement benefits other than pensions, primarily for salaried employees in the U.S. and certain groups of Canadian employees. The funded status at December 31, 2014 and 2013 was \$8.0 million and \$8.2 million. Net expense related to these plans was not significant in 2014 or 2013.

Effective August 16, 2004, LP adopted the Louisiana-Pacific Corporation 2004 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan (the Plan). Pursuant to the Plan, certain management employees are eligible to defer up to 90% of their regular salary and annual cash incentives that exceed the limitation as set forth by the Internal Revenue Service. Each plan participant is fully vested in all employee deferred compensation and earnings credited associated with employee contributions. Employer contributions and associated earnings vest over periods not exceeding five years. The liability under this plan amounted to \$2.1 million and \$1.9 million at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 and is included in "Other long-term liabilities" on LP's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

14. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Preferred Stock

The Company is authorized to issue up to 15,000,000 shares of preferred stock at \$1.00 par value. At December 31, 2014, no shares of preferred stock have been issued; however, 2,000,000 shares of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock have been reserved for issuance in connection with the Company's Shareholder Rights Plan. Additional series of preferred stock may be designated and the related rights and preferences fixed by action of the Board of Directors.

Shareholder Rights Plan

In May 2008, the Board of Directors approved a shareholder rights plan and declared a dividend of one preferred share purchase right for each outstanding share of common stock. Each right represents the right to purchase one-hundredth of a share of Preferred Stock, at an exercise price of \$100, subject to adjustment. The rights are only exercisable ten days after a person or group acquires, or commences a tender or exchange offer to acquire, beneficial ownership of 15% or more of the Company's outstanding common stock.

Subject to the terms of the shareholder rights plan and the discretion of the Board of Directors, each right would entitle the holder to purchase a number of additional shares of common stock of LP having a total market value of twice the exercise price of each right. The rights expire in June 2018, but can be redeemed by action of the Board of Directors prior to that time at \$0.01 per right.

Warrants

During 2009, LP issued warrants to purchase 18,395,963 shares of LP common stock at an exercise price of \$1.39 per share subject to mandatory cashless exercise provisions. During the years ended December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, warrant exercises resulted in the issuances of 799,488 and 1,621,362 shares. At December 31, 2014, the remaining outstanding warrants were exercisable to purchase approximately 573,520 shares. The warrants (at date of grant) were valued based upon Black-Scholes option pricing model using expected stock price volatility of 53%; no expected dividends; risk-free interest rate of 2.6%; and an expected life of 8 years, which resulted in a fair value per share of \$0.72.

Common Stock Plans

At December 31, 2014, LP had stock-based employee compensation plans as described below. The total compensation expense related to all of LP's stock-based compensation plans was \$9.4 million, \$8.8 million and 8.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

LP recognizes these compensation costs, net of an estimated forfeiture rate and recognizes the compensation costs for only those shares expected to vest on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award, which is generally the vesting term of three years. LP estimated the forfeiture rate for 2014, 2013 and 2012 based on its historical experience during the preceding three years.

Stock Compensation Plans

LP grants stock settled appreciation rights (SSARs) to key employees. On exercise or issuance, LP generally issues these shares from treasury. The options and SSARs are granted at market price at the date of grant. For employees, options and SSARs become exercisable over three years and expire ten years after the date of grant. At December 31, 2014, 5.2 million shares were available under the current stock award plans for stock-based awards. The following table sets out the weighted average assumptions used to estimate the fair value of the options and SSARs granted using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model:

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Expected stock price volatility	57%	69%	64%
Expected dividend yield	— %	— %	— %
Risk-free interest rate	1.5%	0.9%	0.7%
Expected life of options (in years)	5.0 years	5.0 years	5.1 years
Weighted average fair value of options and SSARs granted	\$ 9.03	\$ 11.68	\$ 4.75

Expected Stock Price Volatility: The fair values of stock-based payments were valued using the Black-Scholes valuation method with a volatility factor based on LP's historical stock prices.

Expected Dividend Yield: The Black-Scholes valuation model calls for a single expected dividend yield as an input. This is determined based upon current annual dividend as of the date of grant compared to the grant price.

Risk-Free Interest Rate: LP bases the risk-free interest rate used in the Black-Scholes valuation method on U.S. Treasury issues with an equivalent term. Where the expected term of LP's stock-based awards do not correspond with the terms for which interest rates are quoted, LP performed a straight-line interpolation to determine the rate from the available maturities.

Expected Life of Options: Expected life represents the period that LP's stock-based awards are expected to be outstanding and was determined based on historical experience of similar awards, giving consideration to the contractual terms of the stock-based awards, vesting schedules and expectations of future employee behavior as influenced by changes to the terms of its stock-based awards.

Estimated Pre-vesting Forfeitures: When estimating forfeitures, LP considers voluntary termination behavior as well as workforce reduction programs.

The following table summarizes stock options and SSARs outstanding as of December 31, 2014 as well as activity during the three year period then ended.

Share amounts in thousands	Options/ SSARs	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in millions)
Options outstanding at January 1, 2012	8,315	\$12.78		
Options granted	971	\$ 8.85		
Options exercised	(751)	\$ 6.24		
Options canceled	(60)	\$16.69		
Options outstanding at December 31, 2012	8,475	\$12.88		
Options granted	343	\$20.49		
Options exercised	(1,861)	\$ 9.06		
Options canceled	(20)	\$22.23		
Options outstanding at December 31, 2013	6,937	\$14.26		
Options granted	494	\$18.09		
Options exercised	(43)	\$ 9.92		
Options canceled	(384)	\$21.14		
Options outstanding at December 31, 2014	<u>7,004</u>	<u>\$14.19</u>	<u>4.5</u>	<u>\$32.6</u>
Vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2014 ⁽¹⁾	<u>6,654</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>\$30.9</u>
Options exercisable at December 31, 2014	<u>6,019</u>	<u>\$13.91</u>	<u>3.9</u>	<u>\$30.3</u>

⁽¹⁾ Options or SSARS expected to vest based upon historical forfeiture rate

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value (the difference between LP's closing stock price on the last trading day of 2014 and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money options and SSARs) that would have been received by the holders had all holders exercised their awards on December 31, 2014. This amount changes based on the market value of LP's stock as reported by the New York Stock Exchange.

As of December 31, 2014, there was \$4.4 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to stock options and SSARs. These costs are expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.3 years. LP recognized \$3.8 million, \$3.4 million and \$3.7 million in compensation expense associated with these awards for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

Incentive Share Awards

LP has granted incentive share stock awards (restricted stock units) to certain key employees and directors as allowed under the current stock award plans. The awards entitle the participant to receive a specified number of shares of LP common stock at no cost to the participant. Awards granted under this plan vest three years from the date of grant. The market value of these grants approximates the fair value. LP recorded compensation expense related to these awards in 2014, 2013 and 2012 of \$3.0 million, \$2.7 million and \$2.3 million. As of December 31, 2014, there was \$3.5 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested incentive share awards. This expense will be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.0 year.

The following table summarizes incentive share awards outstanding as of December 31, 2014 as well as activity during the three year period then ended.

	<u>Shares</u>	<u>Weighted Average Contractual Term (in years)</u>	<u>Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in millions)</u>
Incentive share awards outstanding at January 1, 2012	1,112,868		
Incentive shares awards granted	330,426		
Incentive share awards vested	(407,909)		
Incentive share awards canceled	<u>(74,997)</u>		
Incentive share awards outstanding at December 31, 2012	960,388		
Incentive shares awards granted	166,474		
Incentive share awards vested	(350,107)		
Incentive share awards canceled	<u>(24,160)</u>		
Incentive share awards outstanding at December 31, 2013	752,595		
Incentive shares awards granted	123,982		
Incentive share awards vested	(253,834)		
Incentive share awards canceled	<u>(29,130)</u>		
Incentive share awards outstanding at December 31, 2014	<u>593,613</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>\$ 9.8</u>
Vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2014 ⁽¹⁾	<u>563,932</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>\$ 9.3</u>
Incentive share awards exercisable at December 31, 2014	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>

⁽¹⁾ Incentive shares expected to vest based upon historical forfeitures rate

Restricted Stock

LP grants restricted stock to certain senior executive employees. The shares vest three years from the date of grant. During the vesting period, the participants have voting rights and receive dividends, but the shares may not be sold, assigned, transferred, pledged or otherwise encumbered. Additionally, granted but unvested shares are forfeited upon termination of employment. The fair value of the restricted shares on the date of the grant is amortized ratably over the vesting period which is generally three years. As of December 31, 2014, there was \$2.4 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to restricted stock. This expense will be recognized over the next 0.9 year.

The following table summarizes restricted stock awards outstanding as of December 31, 2014 as well as activity during the three year period then ended.

	<u>Number of Shares</u>	<u>Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value</u>
Restricted stock awards outstanding at January 1, 2012	836,877	\$ 5.42
Restricted stock awards granted	202,009	8.85
Restrictions lapsing	(413,837)	2.50
Restricted stock awards canceled	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
Restricted stock awards at December 31, 2012	625,049	8.46
Restricted stock awards granted	108,174	20.49
Restrictions lapsing	(221,138)	7.37
Restricted stock awards canceled	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
Restricted stock awards at December 31, 2013	512,085	11.48
Restricted stock awards granted	122,649	17.93
Restrictions lapsing	(170,567)	9.54
Restricted stock awards canceled	<u>(11,021)</u>	<u>12.35</u>
Restricted stock awards at December 31, 2014	<u>453,146</u>	<u>\$13.93</u>

LP recorded compensation expense related to these awards in 2014, 2013 and 2012 of \$2.1 million, \$2.1 million, and \$1.8 million.

Performance Share Awards

In connection with Mr. Stevens' appointment to Chief Executive Officer on May 4, 2012, he was awarded 300,000 performance shares. This award was granted pursuant to the terms of LP's 1997 Incentive Stock Award Plan. If pre-determined market-based performance goals are met, shares of LP's stock will be issued to Mr. Stevens based upon a pre-determined vesting schedule based upon the required service periods. The fair market value of this award was determined based on the fair value as of the date of grant times the number of shares adjusted for the weighted probability of the attainment of certain performance goals. LP recorded compensation expense related to these awards of \$0.4 million in 2014. As of December 31, 2014, the performance target for 200,000 performance shares was met. As of December 31, 2014, there was \$0.5 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to this award. This expense will be recognized over the next 1.3 years.

Phantom Stock

During 2011 and 2012, LP made annual grants of phantom stock units to its directors. Subsequent to the approval of the 2013 Omnibus Plan in May 2013, phantom stock units are no longer granted to directors. The awards are considered liability awards. The director does not receive rights of a shareholder, nor is any stock transferred. The units will be paid in cash at the end of the five year vesting period. The value of one unit is based on the market value of one share of common stock on the vesting date. The cost of the grants is recognized over the vesting period and is included in stock-based compensation expense. As of December 31, 2014, LP had phantom stock units covering 66,339 shares outstanding under this program. During 2014, LP paid \$0.2 million due to retirement of one of its directors. LP recorded compensation expense related to these awards of \$0.1 million in 2014.

15. ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS

The activity in LP's asset retirement obligation liability for 2014 and 2013 is summarized in the following table. These are included in "Other long-term liabilities" in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Dollar amounts in millions	Year ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
Beginning balance	\$ 8.3	\$ 8.3
Accretion expense	0.6	0.7
Accrued to expense during the year	0.3	—
Payments made	(0.5)	(0.5)
Translation	(0.1)	(0.2)
Ending balance	<u>\$ 8.6</u>	<u>\$ 8.3</u>

16. OTHER OPERATING CREDITS AND CHARGES, NET

The major components of "Other operating credits and charges, net" in the Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31 are reflected in the table below and described in the paragraphs following the table:

Dollar amounts in millions	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Adjustment related to a change in inventory convention for spare parts	\$ —	\$ (4.8)	\$—
Adjustment related to prior year inventory	—	(1.6)	(1.5)
Adjustment related to prior year depreciation	—	(1.6)	—
Refundable value added tax receivable	—	1.4	—
Insurance recovery	0.5	1.9	—
Contingent consideration fair value adjustment	3.2	20.5	—
Addition to workers compensation reserves	(0.4)	(1.0)	—
Adjustments to retirement accounts	—	—	(3.8)
Gain due to forfeiture of deposit	1.0	—	—
Reductions, net of additions, to product related contingency reserves	—	—	5.0
Adjustment to product related warranty reserves	(11.3)	(17.7)	1.8
Additions to environmental related contingency reserves	(0.5)	(1.0)	—
Timber related reserves	—	—	0.8
Other	—	0.1	0.6
	<u>\$ (7.5)</u>	<u>\$ (3.8)</u>	<u>\$ 2.9</u>
Other operating charges and credits associated with unconsolidated affiliates:			
Valuation allowance associated with deferred taxes	\$ 1.0	\$ (1.8)	\$—
Addition to contingency reserves	\$ —	\$ (0.9)	\$—
	<u>\$ 1.0</u>	<u>\$ (2.7)</u>	<u>\$—</u>

2014

During 2014, LP recorded a \$7.5 million loss in "Other operating credits and charges, net". The components of the net charges include:

- a gain of \$0.5 million related to proceeds received from an insurance claim;
- a gain of \$3.2 million related to fair market value adjustment to the contingent consideration payable in connection with a business combination (see Note 3 and Note 23 for additional discussions on fair value measurements and the acquisition of Peace Valley OSB);

- a loss of \$0.4 million associated with a workers compensation reserve adjustment at an siding mill;
- a gain of \$1.0 million due to the forfeiture of a deposit posted with LP in relation to assets held for sale;
- a loss of \$11.3 million related to an increase in product related warranty reserves associated with CanExel products sold in specific geographic locations and for a specific time period;
- a loss of \$0.5 million related to an increase in environmental reserves associated with a previously owned plywood mill.

Additionally, other operating charges and credits reflected in Equity in (income) loss from unconsolidated affiliates includes a gain of \$1.0 million associated with the reduction of a valuation allowance on the joint venture's books associated with deferred tax assets

2013

During 2013, LP recorded a \$3.8 million loss in "Other operating credits and charges, net". The components of the net charges include:

- a loss of \$4.8 million related to a change in inventory convention for spare parts;
- a loss of \$1.6 million related to a prior year inventory adjustment;
- a loss of \$1.6 million related to a correction of prior years depreciation amounts associated with LP's South American operations;
- a loss of \$17.7 million related to an increase in product related warranty reserves associated with CanExel products sold in specific geographic locations and for a specific time period;
- a gain of \$1.4 million related to value added taxes;
- a gain of \$1.9 million related to proceeds received from insurance claims associated with an OSB mill in Canada and an earthquake in Chile;
- a gain of \$20.5 million in relation to the fair market value adjustment of the contingent consideration payable in connection with a business combination. See Note 3 and Note 24 for additional discussions on fair value measurements and the acquisition of Peace Valley OSB;
- a loss of \$1.0 million associated with a workers compensation reserve adjustment at an OSB mill; and
- a loss of \$1.0 million related to an increase in environmental reserves associated with a previously owned plywood mill.

Additionally, other operating charges and credits reflected in Equity in (income) loss from unconsolidated affiliates includes a charge of \$1.8 million associated with a valuation allowance on the joint venture's books associated with deferred tax assets as well as a loss of \$0.9 million associated with the recording of a contingent liability from past years.

2012

During 2012, LP recorded a \$2.9 million gain in "Other operating credits and charges, net". The components of the net credits include:

- a loss of \$1.5 million related to a prior year inventory adjustment;
- a loss of \$3.8 million consisting of \$2.2 million related to SERP settlement associated with the retirement of LP's previous CEO and \$1.6 million related to termination indemnities in South America;
- a gain of \$5.0 million related to a reduction in product related contingency reserves associated with the national hardboard class action settlement (see Note 18 for further discussion);

- a gain of \$1.8 million consisting of a loss of \$1.0 million for additions to warranty reserves associated with Canexel products sold in Europe in prior years, a loss of \$0.8 million for additions to warranty reserves associated with a discontinued line of OSB siding and a gain of \$3.6 million related to a reduction in hardboard siding trim warranty reserve; and
- a gain of \$0.8 million related to reductions in reforestation liabilities associated with LP's Canadian timber obligations.

Severance

Over the course of the last three years, LP has entered into several restructuring plans in an effort to sell selected businesses and reduce overall expenses. The detail of the severance accrual and related expense and payments for the last three years is as follows:

Dollar amounts in millions	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Beginning balance	\$ 0.7	\$ 0.7	\$ 1.5
Charged to expense, continuing operations	0.8	0.8	1.0
Payments	(1.1)	(0.8)	(1.8)
Ending balance	<u>\$ 0.4</u>	<u>\$ 0.7</u>	<u>\$ 0.7</u>

The balance of the accrued severance is included in "Accounts payable and accrued liabilities" on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The balance as of December 31, 2014 is payable under contract through 2014. For the year ended December 31, 2014, severance expense is primarily related to general and corporate expenses.

17. LOSS ON SALE OR IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS

The major components of "Loss on sale or impairment of long-lived assets" in the Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31 are reflected in the table below and are described in the paragraphs following the table:

Dollar amounts in millions	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Impairment charges on long-lived assets	\$—	\$(0.1)	\$(4.4)
Gain (loss) on sale of other long-lived assets	3.1	(0.1)	(0.5)
	<u>\$ 3.1</u>	<u>\$(0.2)</u>	<u>\$(4.9)</u>

2014

During 2014, LP recorded a net gain on sale of long-lived assets of \$3.1 million. This net gain includes the following items:

- a gain of \$3.7 million related to the sale of the Athens Georgia facility; and
- a loss of \$0.6 million associated with the retirement of environmental equipment.

2013

During 2013, LP recorded a loss on sale of and impairment of long-lived assets of \$0.2 million. This net loss includes the following items:

- a loss of \$0.1 million related to the impairment of an asset associated with a siding facility in Roaring River, NC; and
- a loss of \$0.1 million associated with the sales of various assets.

2012

During 2012, LP recorded a loss on sale of and impairment of long-lived assets of \$4.9 million. This net loss includes the following items:

- a \$4.4 million charge related to the impairment of assets associated with an OSB mill in Quebec, Canada, included in assets held for sale, based upon a change in the plan of their sale of various assets held for sale to reduce their carrying value to the estimated selling price less selling costs.

18. CONTINGENCIES

LP maintains reserves for various contingent liabilities as follows:

Dollar amounts in millions	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Environmental reserves	\$13.6	\$14.9
Other reserves	0.6	0.4
Total contingencies	14.2	15.3
Current portion	(2.0)	(2.0)
Long-term portion	<u>\$12.2</u>	<u>\$13.3</u>

LP's estimates of its loss contingencies are based on various assumptions and judgments. Due to the numerous uncertainties and variables associated with these assumptions and judgments, both the precision and reliability of the resulting estimates of the related contingencies are subject to substantial uncertainties. LP regularly monitors its estimated exposure to contingencies and, as additional information becomes known, may change its estimates significantly. While no estimate of the range of any such change can be made at this time, the amount that LP may ultimately pay in connection with these matters could materially exceed, in either the near term or the longer term, the amounts accrued to date. LP's estimates of its loss contingencies do not reflect potential future recoveries from insurance carriers except to the extent that recovery may from time to time be deemed probable as a result of an insurer's agreement to payment terms.

Environmental Proceedings

LP is involved in a number of environmental proceedings and activities, and may be wholly or partially responsible for known or unknown contamination existing at a number of other sites at which it has conducted operations or disposed of wastes. Based on the information currently available, management believes that any fines, penalties or other costs or losses resulting from these matters will not have a material effect on the financial position, results of operations, cash flows or liquidity of LP.

LP maintains a reserve for undiscounted estimated environmental loss contingencies. This reserve is primarily for estimated future costs of remediation of hazardous or toxic substances at numerous sites currently or previously owned by the Company. LP's estimates of its environmental loss contingencies are based on various assumptions and judgments, the specific nature of which varies in light of the particular facts and circumstances surrounding each environmental loss contingency. These estimates typically reflect assumptions and judgments as to the probable nature, magnitude and timing of required investigation, remediation and/or monitoring activities and the probable cost of these activities, and in some cases reflect assumptions and judgments as to the obligation or willingness and ability of third parties to bear a proportionate or allocated share of the cost of these activities. Due to the numerous uncertainties and variables associated with these assumptions and judgments, and the effects of changes in governmental regulation and environmental technologies, both the precision and reliability of the resulting estimates of the related contingencies are subject to substantial uncertainties. LP regularly monitors its estimated exposure to environmental loss contingencies and, as additional information becomes known, may change its estimates significantly. However, no estimate of the range of any such change can be made at this time.

In those instances in which LP's estimated exposure reflects actual or anticipated cost-sharing arrangements with third parties, LP does not believe that it will be exposed to additional material liability as a result of non-performance by such third parties. There are three forms of cost-sharing arrangements under which costs are apportioned to others and are therefore not reflected in LP's environmental reserves. The amounts involved, the number of sites and a description of each are as follows:

- Approximately \$2.3 million of costs, relating to three sites, pursuant to formal cost-sharing arrangements between LP and one or more third parties.
- Approximately \$2.7 million of costs, related to two transactions each covering multiple sites, pursuant to agreements contained in purchase and sale documents where LP has sold an asset to a third party and that third party has assumed responsibility for all or a portion of any remediation costs required for the sold asset.
- Approximately \$0.2 million of costs, related to one site undergoing cleanup pursuant to federal or state environmental laws, where multiple parties are involved.

LP considers the financial condition of third parties subject to the cost-sharing arrangements discussed above in determining the amounts to be reflected in LP's environmental reserves. In addition, LP is a party to clean-up activities at two additional sites for which LP does not believe that the failure of a third party to discharge its allocated responsibility would significantly increase LP's financial responsibility based on the manner in which financial responsibility has been, or is expected to be, allocated.

LP's estimates of its environmental loss contingencies do not reflect potential future recoveries from insurance carriers except to the extent that recovery may from time to time be deemed probable as a result of a carrier's agreement to payment terms.

The activity in LP's reserve for estimated environmental loss contingency reserves for the last three years is summarized in the following table.

Dollar amounts in millions	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Beginning balance	\$14.9	\$14.1	\$15.0
Adjusted to expense (income) during the year	1.3	1.3	0.7
Payments made for claims	(3.1)	(0.5)	—
Adjusted to expense (income) through other operating credits and charges, net	0.5	—	—
Payments made for administrative costs	—	—	(1.6)
Ending balance	<u>\$13.6</u>	<u>\$14.9</u>	<u>\$14.1</u>

During 2014, 2013 and 2012, LP adjusted its reserves at a number of sites to reflect current estimates of remediation costs and environmental settlements.

Other Proceedings

LP and its subsidiaries are parties to other legal proceedings. Based on the information currently available, management believes that the resolution of such proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the financial position, results of operations, cash flows or liquidity of LP.

19. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

LP is primarily self-insured for workers' compensation and employee health care liability costs. Self-insurance liabilities for workers' compensation are determined based upon a valuation performed by an actuarial firm. The estimate of future workers' compensation liabilities incorporates loss development and an estimate

associated with incurred but not yet reported claims. These claims are discounted. Self-insurance liabilities for employee health costs are determined actuarially based upon claims filed and estimated claims incurred but not yet reported. These claims are not discounted.

The Company and its subsidiaries lease certain office, manufacturing, warehousing and other plant sites and equipment. The leases generally provide for the lessee to pay taxes, maintenance, insurance and certain other operating costs of the leased properties.

At December 31, 2014, future minimum annual rent commitments are as follows:

Dollar amounts in millions	
Year ended December 31,	
2015	\$ 2.5
2016	2.1
2017	1.7
2018	1.7
2019	1.7
2020 and thereafter	<u>4.4</u>
Total	<u>\$14.1</u>

As of December 31, 2014, LP has entered into non-cancelable subleases for a portion of its corporate headquarters in Nashville, Tennessee. Minimum annual rent commitments have not been reduced by minimum sublease rentals of \$0.4 million (in total for all years) due in the future. Rental expense for operating leases amounted to \$9.1 million, \$9.2 million and \$8.3 million in 2014, 2013 and 2012.

20. GUARANTEES AND INDEMNIFICATIONS

LP is a party to contracts in which LP agrees to indemnify third parties for certain liabilities that arise out of or relate to the subject matter of the contract. In some cases, this indemnity extends to related liabilities arising out of the negligence of the indemnified parties, but usually excludes any liabilities caused by gross negligence or willful misconduct of the indemnified parties. LP cannot estimate the potential amount of future payments under these agreements until events arise that would trigger the liability.

Additionally, in connection with certain sales of assets and divestures of businesses, LP has agreed to indemnify the buyer and related parties for certain losses or liabilities incurred by the buyer or such related parties with respect to (1) the representations and warranties made to the buyer by LP in connection with the sales and (2) liabilities related to the pre-closing operations of the assets sold. Indemnities related to pre-closing operations generally include environmental liabilities, tax liabilities and other liabilities not assumed by the buyer.

Indemnities related to the pre-closing operations of sold assets normally do not represent added liabilities for LP, but simply serve to protect the buyer from potential liability associated with the obligations that existed (known and unknown) at the time of the sale. LP records accruals for those pre-closing obligations that are considered probable and estimable. LP has not accrued any additional amounts as a result of the indemnity agreements summarized below as LP believes the fair value of the guarantees are not material.

- In connection with various sales of LP's timberlands, LP has agreed to indemnify various buyers with respect to losses resulting from breaches of limited representations and warranties contained in these agreements. These indemnities generally are capped at a maximum potential liability and have an unspecified duration.

- In connection with the sale by LP Canada Pulp Ltd (LPCP) of its pulp mill in Chetwynd, BC, Canada to Tembec, Ltd in October 2002, LP provided an indemnity of unspecified duration provided by LPCP for liabilities arising out of pre-closing operations. These indemnities, which do not extend to environmental liabilities, are capped at C\$15.0 million in the aggregate.

LP also has various other indemnities that are individually and in the aggregate immaterial.

LP will record a liability related to specific indemnification when future payment is probable and the amount is estimable.

Additionally, LP offers warranties on the sale of most of its products and records an accrual for estimated future claims. Such accruals are based upon historical experience and management's estimate of the level of future claims. The activity in warranty reserves for the last three years is summarized in the following table.

Dollar amounts in millions	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Beginning balance	\$ 29.3	\$ 21.4	\$ 30.3
Accrued to expense during the year	3.6	2.7	4.5
Accrued/ (credited) to other operating credits and charges	11.3	17.7	(1.8)
Foreign currency translation	(1.2)	—	—
Payments made	(11.6)	(12.5)	(11.6)
Total warranty reserves	31.4	29.3	21.4
Current portion of warranty reserves	(12.0)	(12.0)	(12.0)
Long term portion of warranty reserves	<u>\$ 19.4</u>	<u>\$ 17.3</u>	<u>\$ 9.4</u>

The current portion of the warranty reserve is included in "Accounts payable and accrued liabilities" and the long-term portion is included in "Other long-term liabilities" on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

During 2014, LP increased the warranty reserve associated with CanExcel products sold in certain geographic areas by \$11.3 million. The changes to the reserve reflected revised estimates of future claims.

During 2013, LP increased the warranty reserve associated with CanExcel products sold in certain geographic areas by \$17.7 million. The changes to the reserve reflected revised estimates of future claims.

During 2012, LP increased the warranty reserve related to its discontinued vinyl siding products by \$3.6 million. The change to the reserve reflected revised estimates of future claims.

LP increased the warranty reserves related to discontinued composite decking products by \$3.0 million in 2014 and \$2.0 million in 2013. The additional reserves reflect revised estimates of future claim payments based upon an increase in decking warranty claims related to a specific operation and specific time period. During the fourth quarter of 2008, LP established a voluntary recall of the affected decking. During the second quarter of 2009, LP established a formal recall program under the Consumer Products Safety Board requirements for these products. No additional reserve increase was required in connection with the establishment of the formal program. LP continues to monitor warranty and other claims associated with these products and with the recall program (see Note 18) and believe as of December 31, 2014 that the reserves associated with these matters are adequate.

LP believes that the warranty reserve balances at December 31, 2014 are adequate to cover future warranty payments. However, it is possible that additional charges may be required.

21. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Over the last several years, LP has adopted and implemented plans to sell selected businesses and assets in order to improve its operating results. For all periods presented, these operations include residual losses of mills divested in past years and associated warranty and other liabilities associated with these operations.

Dollar amounts in millions	2014	2013	2012
Sales	\$—	\$16.0	\$25.0
Operating profit	(3.0)	(0.4)	(1.1)

Included in the operating losses of discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2014 is an increase in warranty reserves of \$3.0 million associated with discontinued composite decking products.

During 2013, LP sold its moulding operations and recognized a gain of \$1.7 million on the sale. Included in the operating losses of discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2013 is an increase in warranty reserve of \$2.0 million associated with discontinued composite decking products.

Included in the operating losses of discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2012 is an increase in warranty reserves of \$3.6 million associated with products previously discontinued based upon significant increases in warranty claim activity during the year ended December 31, 2012.

22. ACCUMULATED COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

Accumulated comprehensive loss consists of cumulative translation adjustments, unrealized gains (losses) on certain derivative instruments and pension and post retirement adjustments. Other comprehensive income activity, net of tax, is provided in the following table for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

Dollar amounts in millions	Foreign currency translation adjustments	Pension adjustments	Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instruments	Unrealized gain (loss) on investments	Other	Total
Balance at January 1, 2012	\$ (8.2)	\$(96.0)	\$ 0.4	\$ 0.2	\$(2.6)	\$(106.2)
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications	0.6	2.4	(0.7)	0.8	0.6	3.7
Amounts reclassified from accumulated comprehensive income	—	(5.4)	—	—	—	(5.4)
Net current-period other comprehensive income	0.6	(3.0)	(0.7)	0.8	0.6	(1.7)
Balance at December 31, 2012	(7.6)	(99.0)	(0.3)	1.0	(2.0)	(107.9)
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications	(11.6)	33.3	0.3	1.0	0.3	23.3
Amounts reclassified from accumulated comprehensive income	—	(4.6)	—	—	—	(4.6)
Net current-period other comprehensive income	(11.6)	28.7	0.3	1.0	0.3	18.7
Balance at December 31, 2013	(19.2)	(70.3)	—	2.0	(1.7)	(89.2)
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications	(14.5)	(25.5)	—	0.6	0.5	(38.9)
Amounts reclassified from accumulated comprehensive income	—	(3.2)	—	—	—	(3.2)
Net current-period other comprehensive income	(14.5)	(28.7)	—	0.6	0.5	(42.1)
Balance at December 31, 2014	\$(33.7)	\$(99.0)	\$—	\$ 2.6	\$(1.2)	\$(131.3)

Reclassifications from accumulated other comprehensive loss for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 are summarized, in millions of dollars, in the following table:

Components of Other Comprehensive Income	Amount reclassified from accumulated comprehensive income			Affected line item in the income statement
	2014	2013	2012	
Amortization of defined benefit pension plans				
Prior service cost	\$—	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.3(a)	
Actuarial loss	5.5	7.3	6.6(a)	
Transition obligation	—	(0.4)	1.5(a)	
	5.5	7.2	8.4	Total before tax
	(2.3)	(2.6)	(3.0)	Tax provision
Total reclassifications for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012	\$ 3.2	\$ 4.6	\$ 5.4	Net of tax

(a) These accumulated other comprehensive income components are included in the computation of net periodic pension cost, see Note 13 for additional details. The net periodic pension cost is included in Cost of sales and Selling and administrative line items in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Foreign currency translation adjustments exclude income tax expense (benefit) given that these adjustments arise out of the translation of assets into the reporting currency that is separate from the taxable income and is deemed to be reinvested for an indefinite period of time. The pension adjustments included income tax benefits of \$17.7 million, \$15.5 million and \$0.9 million in 2014, 2013 and 2012. The unrealized gain (loss) on investments included tax provisions of \$0.3 million, \$0.6 million and \$0.5 million in 2014, 2013 and 2012.

23. ACQUISITION OF PEACE VALLEY OSB

On May 31, 2013, LP acquired full control of the Peace Valley OSB joint venture in which LP previously maintained a 50% interest. Peace Valley OSB's results of operations have been fully consolidated in all periods subsequent to May 31, 2013. Since LP previously served as the exclusive distributor of all OSB produced by this venture, this acquisition will not have a material impact on LP's consolidated net sales.

Due to LP's pre-existing 50% ownership interest in Peace Valley OSB, this acquisition was accounted for as a step acquisition in accordance with ASC 805, *Business Combinations* ("ASC 805"). Accordingly, LP recognized a gain of \$35.9 million in connection with this transaction to remeasure its 50% ownership interest in Peace Valley at fair value on the acquisition date. The gain is reflected in Other operating credits and charges, net on the Consolidated Statement of Income. The fair value of LP's existing 50% interest (\$95.9 million) was determined using a combination of the income and market approach. In completing this valuation, management considered future earnings and cash flow potential of the business, earnings multiples, and recent market transactions of similar businesses. This gain is included in "Non-operating income (expense)."

The purchase price of the 50% acquired interest was \$74.6 million (including working capital) paid in cash. Additionally, as part of the purchase consideration, LP agreed to pay contingent consideration equal to a pre-defined percentage of the operation's earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) over a pre-defined threshold for each of the next three years. As of May 31, 2013, the fair value of the contingent consideration payable was valued at \$24.3 million and was recorded in "accounts payable and accrued liabilities" and "other long term liabilities". The fair value of the contingent consideration payable will be remeasured at the end of each reporting period. The fair value of the contingent consideration payable was remeasured and reduced by \$20.5 million and \$3.2 million during 2013 and 2014 respectfully. This reduction was due to the decline in projected OSB prices in the near term as compared to the date of acquisition. This reduction in OSB pricing reduces the estimated EBITDA of the operation.

Including the 50% interest previously owned by LP, LP acquired net assets of \$194.8 million, consisting of \$22.7 million in current assets, \$146.4 million in fixed asset, \$43.8 million of intangible assets (comprised of \$34.1 million of timber licenses and \$9.7 million of goodwill) and \$8.7 million in current liabilities and \$9.4 million in long term liabilities. Additional financial information about Peace Valley OSB (e.g., pro forma financial information and allocation of purchase price) is not presented because such information is not material to LP's results of operations and financial position.

24. SEGMENT INFORMATION

LP operates in four segments: North America Oriented Strand Board (OSB); Siding; Engineered Wood Products (EWP) and South America. LP's business units have been aggregated into these four segments based upon the similarity of economic characteristics, customers and distribution methods. LP's results of operations are summarized below for each of these segments separately as well as for the "other" category which comprises other products that are not individually significant. During 2014, certain timber operations were reclassified from EWP to Other. Segment information was prepared in accordance with the same accounting principles as those described in Note 1. LP evaluates the performance of its business segments based upon operating profits excluding other operating credits and charges, net, gain (loss) on sales of and impairments of long-lived assets, general corporate and other expenses, translation gains and losses, interest and income taxes.

The OSB segment includes OSB products produced in North America. The siding segment includes Smart Side® siding products; Canoxel siding products; and other related products. The engineered wood products segment includes laminated veneer lumber and laminated strand lumber; I-joists; plywood; and other related products. The South America segment includes products produced and or sold (generally OSB) in South America.

Information about LP's product segments is as follows:

Dollar amounts in millions	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
SALES BY BUSINESS SEGMENT			
OSB	\$ 855.2	\$1,068.1	\$ 814.1
Siding	617.3	573.8	500.9
Engineered Wood Products	281.0	250.4	198.4
South America	150.4	171.5	168.8
Other products	32.8	30.6	27.8
Intersegment Sales	(1.9)	(9.2)	(18.8)
Total sales	<u>\$1,934.8</u>	<u>\$2,085.2</u>	<u>\$1,691.2</u>
PROFIT (LOSS) BY BUSINESS SEGMENT			
OSB	\$ (52.6)	\$ 230.3	\$ 124.0
Siding	79.8	85.8	67.4
Engineered Wood Products	(14.0)	(14.6)	(13.8)
South America	11.3	20.0	18.0
Other products	(3.5)	(6.1)	(9.0)
Other operating credits and charges, net	(7.5)	(3.8)	2.9
Gain (loss) on sales of and impairments of long-lived assets	3.1	(0.2)	(4.9)
General corporate and other expense, net	(89.8)	(96.7)	(78.7)
Investment income	5.5	10.3	14.8
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest	(29.8)	(36.0)	(49.3)
Other non-operating income (expense)	(3.1)	29.5	(34.9)
Income (loss) from continuing operations before taxes	(100.6)	218.5	36.5
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(27.2)	41.1	7.0
Income (loss) from continuing operations	<u>\$ (73.4)</u>	<u>\$ 177.4</u>	<u>\$ 29.5</u>

	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION			
OSB	\$ 56.1	\$49.9	\$33.1
Siding	17.4	16.4	15.5
Engineered Wood Products	13.7	11.4	9.8
South America	9.1	10.5	12.0
Other products	1.1	1.3	1.3
Non-segment related	3.3	1.8	1.7
Total depreciation and amortization	<u>\$100.7</u>	<u>\$91.3</u>	<u>\$73.4</u>
CAPITAL EXPENDITURES			
OSB	\$ 26.3	\$36.5	\$10.1
Siding	33.0	22.8	8.7
Engineered Wood Products	5.3	2.2	2.5
South America	7.4	3.9	4.6
Other products	—	—	0.9
Non-segment related	8.1	10.2	4.4
Total capital expenditures	<u>\$ 80.1</u>	<u>\$75.6</u>	<u>\$31.2</u>

Information concerning identifiable assets by segment is as follows:

Dollar amounts in millions	December 31,	
	2014	2013
IDENTIFIABLE ASSETS		
OSB	\$ 675.8	\$ 668.9
Siding	205.5	189.4
Engineered Wood Products	130.5	129.3
South America	126.9	137.5
Other products	41.5	39.4
Non-segment related	1,173.3	1,328.8
Total assets	<u>\$2,353.5</u>	<u>\$2,493.3</u>

Non-segment related assets include long-term notes receivable, cash and cash equivalents, short-term and long-term investments, corporate assets, assets held for sale and other items.

Information concerning LP's geographic segments is as follows:

Dollar amounts in millions	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
GEOGRAPHIC SEGMENTS			
<i>Total Sales—Point of origin</i>			
U.S.	\$1,465	\$1,611	\$1,317
Canada	322	303	331
South America	150	172	164
Intersegment sales	(2)	(1)	(121)
Total Sales	<u>\$1,935</u>	<u>\$2,085</u>	<u>\$1,691</u>
<i>Operating profit (loss)</i>			
U.S.	\$ 27	\$ 247	\$ 166
Canada	(17)	48	3
South America	11	20	18
Other operating credits and charges, net and gain (loss) on sales of and impairments of long-lived assets	(4)	(4)	(2)
General corporate expense, other-than-temporary investment impairment, loss on early debt extinguishment, realized gain on long term investments, translation gains (losses) and interest, net	(118)	(93)	(148)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(27)	41	7
Income (loss) from continuing operations	<u>\$ (73)</u>	<u>\$ 177</u>	<u>\$ 30</u>
IDENTIFIABLE TANGIBLE LONG LIVED ASSETS			
U.S.	\$ 523	\$ 544	\$ 540
Canada	329	313	144
South America	66	83	100
Total assets	<u>\$ 918</u>	<u>\$ 940</u>	<u>\$ 784</u>

25. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On February 27, 2015, LP was notified by the Ministry of Forestry in Quebec that it intends to terminate LP's forest license associated with an indefinitely curtailed OSB mill. Based upon this notification, LP may be required to record an impairment in the first quarter of 2015. The current net book value of this facility is \$35.8 million. LP cannot presently determine the amount of such impairment, if required.

Interim Financial Results (unaudited)

(Dollars in millions, except per share)	1ST QTR		2ND QTR		3RD QTR		4TH QTR	
	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013
QUARTERLY DATA								
Net sales	\$444.7	\$531.1	\$518.5	\$567.0	\$518.1	\$507.4	\$453.5	\$479.7
Income (loss) from continuing operations before taxes, equity in (income) loss of unconsolidated affiliates	(20.4)	81.0	(8.8)	114.0	(24.5)	41.9	(55.5)	(30.3)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(14.2)	65.0	0.6	94.1	(19.1)	37.5	(43.0)	(19.2)
Net income (loss)	\$ (14.2)	\$ 65.1	\$ 0.6	\$ 94.3	\$ (21.2)	\$ 38.1	\$ (42.9)	\$ (20.4)
Income (loss) from continuing operations per share—basic	\$ (0.10)	\$ 0.47	\$ —	\$ 0.67	\$ (0.14)	\$ 0.27	\$ (0.30)	\$ (0.14)
Income (loss) from continuing operations per share—diluted	\$ (0.10)	\$ 0.45	\$ —	\$ 0.65	\$ (0.14)	\$ 0.26	\$ (0.30)	\$ (0.14)
Net income (loss) per share—basic	\$ (0.10)	\$ 0.47	\$ —	\$ 0.68	\$ (0.15)	\$ 0.27	\$ (0.30)	\$ (0.15)
Net income (loss) per share—diluted	\$ (0.10)	\$ 0.45	\$ —	\$ 0.65	\$ (0.15)	\$ 0.26	\$ (0.30)	\$ (0.15)
SALES BY SEGMENT:								
OSB	\$194.9	\$286.7	\$223.7	\$306.2	\$233.4	\$245.4	\$203.2	\$229.8
Siding	143.5	133.8	169.7	152.7	163.2	149.0	140.9	138.3
Engineered wood products	62.2	58.0	75.9	58.0	77.3	66.9	65.6	67.4
South America	36.6	45.1	41.9	44.3	36.0	41.5	35.9	40.6
Other	7.9	8.1	8.7	6.6	8.3	8.8	7.9	7.2
Intersegment sales	(0.4)	(0.6)	(1.4)	(0.8)	(0.1)	(4.2)	—	(3.6)
Total net sales	<u>\$444.7</u>	<u>\$531.1</u>	<u>\$518.5</u>	<u>\$567.0</u>	<u>\$518.1</u>	<u>\$507.4</u>	<u>\$453.5</u>	<u>\$479.7</u>
PROFIT (LOSS) BY BUSINESS SEGMENT								
OSB	\$ (1.9)	\$ 98.1	\$ (5.5)	\$ 95.4	\$ (16.4)	\$ 30.2	\$ (28.8)	\$ 6.6
Siding	19.2	20.7	25.9	27.1	20.8	22.5	13.9	15.5
Engineered wood products	(3.1)	(3.9)	(5.3)	(5.2)	(0.1)	(1.9)	(5.5)	(3.6)
South America	4.2	6.3	4.0	6.3	0.3	5.3	2.8	2.1
Other	(0.7)	(1.4)	(1.0)	(2.1)	(2.4)	(2.2)	0.8	(0.4)
Other operating credits and charges, net	—	(1.6)	(0.6)	(5.4)	(0.5)	16.1	(6.4)	(12.9)
Loss on sale of and impairment of long-lived assets	—	—	0.5	0.7	3.6	(0.3)	(1.0)	(0.6)
General corporate and other expenses, net	(27.3)	(22.5)	(20.7)	(24.0)	(18.5)	(22.1)	(23.5)	(28.1)
Non-operating income (expense)	(4.3)	(0.7)	3.8	32.3	(1.3)	0.2	(1.3)	(2.3)
Investment income	1.8	3.5	1.7	3.1	0.9	1.7	1.1	2.0
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest	(7.7)	(10.6)	(7.4)	(9.8)	(8.3)	(7.6)	(6.4)	(8.0)
Income (loss) from operations before taxes	(19.8)	87.9	(4.6)	118.4	(21.9)	41.9	(54.3)	(29.7)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(5.6)	22.9	(6.7)	24.3	(3.6)	4.4	(11.3)	(10.5)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	<u>\$ (14.2)</u>	<u>\$ 65.0</u>	<u>\$ 2.1</u>	<u>\$ 94.1</u>	<u>\$ (18.3)</u>	<u>\$ 37.5</u>	<u>\$ (43.0)</u>	<u>\$ (19.2)</u>
ADJUSTED EBITDA FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS								
OSB	\$ 11.9	\$108.7	\$ 8.3	\$107.7	\$ (0.8)	\$ 45.6	\$(15.0)	\$ 22.6
Siding	23.6	24.7	30.3	31.7	25.5	26.8	18.5	19.6
Engineered wood products	1.3	(0.9)	(1.3)	(2.6)	4.0	1.0	(3.6)	—
South America	6.5	8.9	6.6	8.8	2.3	8.3	5.0	4.5
Other	(0.4)	(0.2)	(0.8)	(1.1)	(2.2)	(1.0)	1.2	0.4
Corporate	(20.0)	(21.1)	(16.8)	(22.9)	(17.2)	(15.9)	(22.9)	(23.4)
Total Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations	<u>\$ 22.9</u>	<u>\$120.1</u>	<u>\$ 26.3</u>	<u>\$121.6</u>	<u>\$ 11.6</u>	<u>\$ 64.8</u>	<u>\$(16.8)</u>	<u>\$ 23.7</u>

Included in “Other operating credits and charges, net” and “(Gain) loss on sale or impairment of long-lived assets” for continuing operations are the following:

In the second quarter 2014, LP recorded a loss of \$0.5 million related to an environmental reserve settlement. LP also recorded a loss of \$0.1 million related to a fair market value adjustment to the contingent consideration payable in connection with a business combination.

In the third quarter of 2014, LP recorded a gain of \$0.5 million related to proceeds received from an insurance claim associated with an OSB mill and a loss of \$1.0 million associated with workers compensation reserve adjustment.

In the fourth quarter of 2014, LP recorded a loss of \$11.3 million related to an increase in product related warranty reserves associated with CanExcel products sold, gains of \$3.3 million related to a fair market value adjustment to the contingent consideration payable in connection with a business combination, \$0.6 million reserve adjustment associated with a workers compensation, and a gain of \$1.0 million due to the forfeiture of a deposit posted with LP in relation to assets held for sale.

In the first quarter of 2013, LP recorded a loss of \$1.6 million related to a prior year inventory adjustment.

In the second quarter of 2013, LP recorded a loss of \$1.6 million related to a correction of prior years depreciation amounts associated with LP’s South American operations; a loss of \$4.1 million related to an increase in product related warranty reserves associated with CanExcel products sold in certain geographic areas from 2004 to 2008; a loss of \$1.8 million associated with a valuation allowance on the joint venture’s books associated with deferred tax assets, and a loss of \$0.9 million associated with the recording of a contingent liability from prior years.

In the third quarter of 2013, LP recorded a gain of \$0.4 million related to proceeds received from an insurance claim associated with an OSB mill and a reduction in the fair value of \$17.3 million payable in relation to the contingent consideration associated with a business combination (as discussed in Note 19); a loss of \$1.0 million associated with a workers compensation reserve adjustment; a loss of \$2.0 million during the quarter related to an increase in product related warranty reserves associated with CanExcel products sold in certain geographic areas from 2004 through 2008; and a gain of \$1.4 million related to value added taxes.

In the fourth quarter of 2013, LP recognized a loss of \$4.8 million related to a change in inventory convention for spare parts; a loss of \$11.6 million related to an increase in product related to warranty reserves associated with LP’s South American operations; a gain of \$1.5 million related to proceeds received from an insurance claim associated with an OSB mill from Chile; and a gain of \$3.2 million in relation to the contingent consideration associated with a business combination.

See Notes 16 and 17 for further discussion on the other operating charges and credits, net and the gains and losses on sale of and impairment of long-lived assets mentioned above.

ITEM 9. *Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure*

None

ITEM 9A. *Controls and Procedures*

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of December 31, 2014, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer carried out, with the participation of the Company's Disclosure Practices Committee and the Company's management, an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act (Act). Based upon this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls are designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and that procedures are effective to provide reasonable assurance that material information required to be disclosed by us in reports we file under the Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC rules and forms and that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in LP's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during LP's most recently completed fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in the Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). The Company's management conducted an assessment of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on the framework established by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013)*. Based on this assessment, the Company's management has concluded that, as of December 31, 2014, the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective. The Company's independent registered public accounting firm, Deloitte & Touche LLP, has audited the Company's consolidated financial statements and has issued an attestation report the Company's internal control over financial reporting, as stated in their report included herein.

The certifications of LP's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer required under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act have been filed as Exhibits 31.1 and 31.2 to this report. Additionally, in 2014 LP's Chief Executive Officer certified to the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") that he was not aware of any violation by LP of the NYSE corporate governance listing standards.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Louisiana-Pacific Corporation

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Louisiana-Pacific Corporation and subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company’s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying *Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting*. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company’s principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company’s board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014 of the Company and our report dated March 2, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Nashville, Tennessee
March 2, 2015

PART III

ITEM 10. *Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant*

Information regarding LP's directors is incorporated herein by reference to the material included under the caption "Item 1—Election of Directors" in the definitive proxy statement to be filed by LP for its 2014 annual meeting of stockholders (the "2014 Proxy Statement"). Information regarding compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is incorporated herein by reference to the material included under the caption "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" in the 2014 Proxy Statement. Information regarding LP's audit committee is incorporated herein by reference to the material included under the captions "Board and Committee Meetings," "Finance and Audit Committee" and "Audit Committee Financial Experts" in the 2014 Proxy Statement.

Information regarding each of LP's executive officers as of March 2, 2015, including employment history for the past five years, is set forth below:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Title</u>
Curtis M. Stevens	62	Chief Executive Officer
Sallie B. Bailey	55	Executive Vice President, CFO
Richard S. Olszewski	58	Executive Vice President, Sales and Marketing and South America
Jeffrey N. Wagner	60	Executive Vice President, OSB
W. Bradley Southern	55	Senior Vice President, Siding
Brian E. Luoma	52	Senior Vice President, EWP

Curtis M. Stevens has been Chief Executive Officer since May 2012 and was previously Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer since December 2011 and Executive Vice President, Administration and Chief Financial Officer from May 2002 to December 2011. He previously served as Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer from September 1997 to April 2002.

Sallie B Bailey has been Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer since December 2011. She previously served as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Ferro Corporation from 2007 through 2010 and previously as Senior Vice President, Finance and Controller of Timken Company.

Richard S. Olszewski has been Executive Vice President, Sales and Marketing since September 2007. Previously he was Vice President of the Fasson Roll Division, North America, a division of Avery Dennison Corporation. On February 11, 2015, LP announced that Mr. Olszewski will be retiring effective March 31, 2015.

Jeffrey N. Wagner has been Executive Vice President of OSB since May 2006 and previously Vice President OSB since November 2004. He served as Vice President, Forest Resources, Supply Management and Logistics from 2003 to 2004. Previously, Mr. Wagner served as Director of Supply Management. On February 11, 2015, LP announced that Mr. Wagner will be retiring effective mid-2015.

W. Bradley Southern has been Senior Vice President, Siding since May 2012 and previously Vice President of Specialty Operations since 2004.

Brian E. Luoma has been Senior Vice President, EWP since May 2012 and previously Vice President of EWP since 2006.

In January 2015, the Board revised the Code of Ethics applicable to LP's principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer. The Code of Ethics is disclosed at LP's website at www.lpcorp.com.

In January 2005, the Board adopted revised charters for the Nominating Committee and the Compensation Committee and also adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and Corporate Governance Guidelines, each of which is disclosed at LP's website at www.lpcorp.com.

ITEM 11. *Executive Compensation*

Information regarding executive compensation is incorporated herein by reference to the material under the captions “Compensation of Executive Officers,” and “Directors’ Compensation,” in the 2014 Proxy Statement.

ITEM 12. *Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters*

Information regarding security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management and LP’s existing equity compensation plans and arrangements is incorporated herein by reference to the material under the captions “Holders of Common Stock” and “Equity Compensation Plan Information” in the 2014 Proxy Statement.

ITEM 13. *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence*

There are no transactions of the type required to be disclosed by Item 404(a) of Regulation S-K.

Information regarding transactions with related persons and director independence is incorporated herein by reference to the material under the captions “Nominees,” “Continuing Directors,” “Principles of Corporate Governance,” “Audit Committee Financial Experts” and “Related Person Transactions” in the 2014 Proxy Statement.

ITEM 14. *Principal Accountant Fees and Services*

Information regarding fees and services provided by LP’s principal accountant and the LP Audit Committee’s pre-approval policies and procedures relating thereto is incorporated herein by reference to the material under the caption “Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services of Independent Auditor” in the 2014 Proxy Statement. In November 2006, the Board adopted a revised charter for the Audit Committee which is disclosed at LP’s website at www.lpcorp.com.

PART IV

ITEM 15. *Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules*

A. Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules

The following financial statements of LP are included in this report:

Consolidated Balance Sheets—December 31, 2014, and 2013.

Consolidated Statements of Income—years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012.

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income—years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows—years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, 2012.

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity—years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

Notes to the Financial Statements.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

Interim Financial Results (unaudited).

No other financial statement schedules are required to be filed.

B. Exhibits

The exhibits filed as part of this report or incorporated by reference herein are listed in the accompanying exhibit index. Each management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement is identified by an asterisk (*).

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Louisiana-Pacific Corporation, a Delaware corporation (the “registrant”), has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: March 2, 2015

LOUISIANA-PACIFIC CORPORATION

(Registrant)

/s/ SALLIE B BAILEY

Sallie B. Bailey

*Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer*

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<u>Date</u>	<u>Signature and Title</u>
March 2, 2015	/s/ CURTIS M. STEVENS Curtis M. Stevens <i>Chief Executive Officer, Director (Principal Executive Officer)</i>
March 2, 2015	/s/ SALLIE B. BAILEY Sallie B Bailey <i>Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)</i>
March 2, 2015	/s/ REBECCA A. BARCKLEY Rebecca A. Barckley <i>Controller, Financial Reporting (Principal Accounting Officer)</i>
March 2, 2015	/s/ E. GARY COOK E. Gary Cook <i>Chairman of the Board</i>
March 2, 2015	/s/ COLIN D. WATSON Colin D. Watson <i>Director</i>
March 2, 2015	/s/ LIZANNE C. GOTTUNG Lizanne C. Gottung <i>Director</i>
March 2, 2015	/s/ DUSTAN E. MCCOY Dustan E. McCoy <i>Director</i>
March 2, 2015	/s/ DANIEL K. FRIERSON Daniel K. Frierson <i>Director</i>

Date

Signature and Title

March 2, 2015

/s/ KURT M. LANDGRAF

Kurt M. Landgraf
Director

March 2, 2015

/s/ JOHN W. WEAVER

John W. Weaver
Director

EXHIBIT INDEX

On written request, Louisiana-Pacific Corporation (LP) will furnish to any record holder or beneficial holder of its common stock any exhibit to this report upon the payment of a fee equal to LP's costs of copying such exhibit plus postage. Any such request should be sent to: Louisiana-Pacific Corporation, 414 Union Street, Suite 2000, Nashville, TN 37219.

Items identified with an asterisk (*) are management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements. Each prior LP filing which contains an exhibit incorporated by reference herein is filed under SEC File No. 001-07107.

- 3.1 Restated Certificate of Incorporation of LP. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to LP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.
- 3.1 (a) Amended Certificate of Designation of Series A Junior Participating Cumulative Preferred Stock. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2009.
- 3.2 Bylaws of LP, as amended and restated effective January 31, 2014. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 12, 2014.
- 4.1 Rights Agreement, dated as of May 23, 2008, between LP and Computershare Trust Company, N.A., as Rights Agent, including the form of Right Certificate as Exhibit A and the Summary of Rights to Purchase Preferred Shares as Exhibit B. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to LP's Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed June 17, 2008.
- 4.2 Warrant Agreement, dated as of March 10, 2009, between Louisiana-Pacific Corporation and Computershare Trust Company, N.A., as warrant agent. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 10, 2009.
- 10.1(a) Credit Agreement, dated as of December 6, 2013, among LP, as borrower, certain subsidiaries of LP from time to time party thereto, the lenders party thereto, American AgCredit, PCA, as administrative agent for the lenders (as successor to American AgCredit FLCA), and CoBank, ACB, as letter of credit issuer. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 12, 2013.
- 10.1(b) Letter Agreement re: Louisiana-Pacific Credit Agreement; Resignation of American AgCredit FLCA ("FLCA"), as Administrative Agent, and appointment of its Affiliate, American AgCredit, PCA ("PCA"), as new Administrative Agent, dated as of December 6, 2013, among PCA, FLCA, the lenders party to the Credit Agreement and LP.
- 10.1(c) Joinder Agreement, dated as of December 31, 2013, between LPS Corporation and American AgCredit, PCA, in its capacity as administrative agent under the credit agreement.
- 10.1 (d) First Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of February 25, 2014, among LP, as borrower, certain subsidiaries of LP from time to time party thereto, the lenders party thereto, American AgCredit, PCA, as administrative agent for the lenders (as successor to American AgCredit FLCA), and CoBank, ACB, as letter of credit issuer. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 27, 2014.
- 10.1 (e) Second Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of July 25th, 2014, among LP, as borrower, certain subsidiaries of LP from time to time party thereto, the lenders party thereto, American AgCredit, PCA, as administrative agent for the lenders (as successor to American AgCredit FLCA), and CoBank, ACB, as letter of credit issuer. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 28, 2014.

- 10.2 Security Agreement, dated December 6, 2013, among LP, certain subsidiaries of LP from time to time party thereto and American AgCredit, PCA, as administrative agent under the credit agreement (as successor to America AgCredit, FLCA). Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 12, 2013.
- 10.3 Indenture, dated as of May 21, 2012, between Louisiana-Pacific Corporation and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 21, 2012. *
- 10.4 Note Purchase Agreement, dated June 30, 1998, among LP, LP SPV2, LLC and the Purchasers named therein. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4 to LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1998.
- 10.5 Undertaking Letter between Phemus Corporation and LP, dated July 2, 2003. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003.
- 10.6 Settlement Agreement, dated November 30, 2012, between Duetsche Bank Securities, Inc. and LP. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6(b) to LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 3, 2012.
- 10.7 1992 Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan (as amended and restated as of May 8, 2009). Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2009. *
- 10.8 2000 Non-Employee Director Restricted Stock Plan Effective May 1, 2000 (as amended and restated as of May 8, 2009). Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2009. *
- 10.9 1997 Incentive Stock Award Plan, as amended and restated effective May 7, 2009. Incorporated herein by reference to Appendix A B to LP's Proxy Statement dated March 23, 2009. *
- 10.9(a) Form of Award Agreement under the 1997 Incentive Stock Award Plan for Non-Qualified Stock Options. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 4, 2005. *
- 10.9(b) General Form of Award Agreement under the 1997 Incentive Stock Award Plan for Incentive Shares. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to LP Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 4, 2005. *
- 10.9 (c) Form of Award Agreement under the 1997 Incentive Stock Award Plan for Restricted Stock. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.11(c) to LP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.*
- 10.9(d) Form of Award Agreement under the 1997 Incentive Stock Award Plan for Stock Settled Stock Appreciation Rights. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.11(d) to LP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.*
- 10.10 Annual Cash Incentive Award Plan, as amended and restated as of February 12, 2009. Incorporated herein by reference to Appendix B to LP's Proxy Statement dated March 23, 2009. *
- 10.11 Performance Share Award Agreement LP and Curtis M. Stevens. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 13, 2012. *
- 10.12 Form of Change of Control Employment Agreement between LP and each of Curtis M. Stevens, Richard S. Olszeski and Mr. Jeffrey M. Wagner. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to LP's Current Report on Form 8-K. *

- 10.13 Change of Control Employment Agreement between LP and Sallie B. Bailey. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to LP's Form 10-K dated December 31, 2011. *
- 10.14 2004 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, amended and restated effective January 1, 2009. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated July 29, 2011. *
- 10.15 2008 Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, amended and restated effective January 1, 2008. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated July 29, 2011. *
- 10.16 2011 Non-Employee Director Phantom Share Plan effective May 15, 2011. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated July 29, 2011. *
- 10.17 2013 Omnibus Stock Plan effective May 3, 2013. Incorporated herein by reference to Annex A to LP's Proxy Statement dated March 20, 2013. *
- 10.19 Form of Award Agreement under the 2013 Omnibus Stock Plan for Stock Settled Stock Appreciation Rights. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 of LP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.*
- 10.20 Form of Award Agreement under the 2013 Omnibus Stock Plan for Restricted Stock Awards. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 of LP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.*
- 10.21 Form of Award Agreement under the 2013 Omnibus Stock Plan for Restricted Stock Unit Awards. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 of LP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 *
- 10.22 Form of Award Agreement under the 2013 Omnibus Stock Plan for Restricted Stock Unit Awards with certain retirement provisions. *
- 10.23 Form of Award Agreement under the 2013 Omnibus Stock Plan for Performance Awards.*
- 10.24 Form of Award Agreement under the 2013 Omnibus Stock Plan for Performance Awards with certain retirement provisions.*
- 10.25 Form of Award Agreement under the 2013 Omnibus Stock Plan for Stock Settled Stock Appreciation Rights with certain retirement provisions. *
- 21 List of LP's subsidiaries. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 21 to LP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004.
- 23 Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP.
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).
- 32.1 Certifications pursuant to §906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

LP hereby agrees to furnish supplementary to the SEC upon its request any schedules and similar documents omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(2) of Regulation S-K and any instruments omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(4)(iii) of Regulation S-K.

CERTIFICATIONS

I, Curtis M. Stevens, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-K of Louisiana-Pacific Corporation;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

- a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
- b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
- d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):

- a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 2, 2015

/s/ CURTIS M. STEVENS

Curtis M. Stevens
Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION

I, Sallie B. Bailey, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-K of Louisiana-Pacific Corporation;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

- a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
- b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
- d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):

- a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 2, 2015

/s/ SALLIE B.BAILEY

Sallie B. Bailey
Chief Financial Officer

LOUISIANA-PACIFIC CORPORATION
411 Union Street, Suite 2000
Nashville, TN 37219-1700
(615)986-5600

March 2, 2015

Securities and Exchange Commission
Judiciary Plaza
450 Fifth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20549

Re: Certification Pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, in connection with the filing of the Form 10-K of Louisiana-Pacific Corporation (the “Company”) for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the “Report”), each of the undersigned officers of the Company certifies, that, to such officer’s knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company as of the dates and for the periods expressed in the Report.

/s/ CURTIS M. STEVENS

Name: Curtis M. Stevens
Title: Chief Executive Officer

/s/ SALLIE B. BAILEY

Name: Sallie B. Bailey
Title: Chief Financial Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to Louisiana-Pacific Corporation and will be retained by Louisiana-Pacific Corporation and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

LP Executives, Board of Directors and Stockholder Information

EXECUTIVES

Curtis M. Stevens
Chief Executive Officer, Director

Sallie B. Bailey
Executive Vice President
Chief Financial Officer

Richard S. Olszewski
Executive Vice President,
Sales and Marketing

Jeffrey N. Wagner
Executive Vice President
Oriented Strand Board

Brian E. Luoma
Senior Vice President,
Engineered Wood Products

W. Bradley Southern
Senior Vice President, Siding

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

E. Gary Cook
Chairman of the Board

Daniel K. Frierson
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer,
The Dixie Group, Inc.

Lizanne C. Gottung
Senior Vice President of Human
Resources, Kimberly-Clark Corporation

Kurt M. Landgraf
President and Chief Executive Officer
Educational Testing Services (retired)

Dustan E. McCoy
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer,
Brunswick Corporation

Colin D. Watson
President and Chief Executive Officer,
Vector Aerospace Corporation (retired)

John W. Weaver
Executive Chairman
Abitibi Bowater Inc. (retired)

STOCKHOLDER INFORMATION

Corporate Office
414 Union Street, Suite 2000
Nashville, TN 37219
tel 615.986.5600
fax 615.986.5666
www.lpcorp.com

ANNUAL MEETING

The annual meeting of stockholders will take place on Friday, May 1, 2015 in Nashville, Tennessee. Additional copies of LP's Form 10-K Annual Report to the Securities and Exchange Commission will be available on request to the Corporate office.

DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT

Holders of common stock may automatically reinvest dividends toward the purchase of additional shares of the Company's common stock. For a copy of a brochure describing the plan and an application, contact:

Computershare Trust Company, N.A.
Dividend Reinvestment Plans
Louisiana-Pacific Corporation
P.O. Box 43081
Providence, RI 02940-3081
800.756.8200

Ticker Symbol: LPX
Louisiana-Pacific Corporation's common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange.
Newspaper quotations symbol: LaPac

TRANSFER AGENT AND REGISTRAR

Computershare Trust Company, N.A.
P.O. Box 43069
Providence, RI 02940-3081
800.756.8200
www.computershare.com

INVESTOR RELATIONS CONTACTS

Mike Kinney
Becky Barckley

MEDIA CONTACT

Mary Cohn

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

Deloitte & Touche LLP
Nashville, Tennessee

COUNSEL

Jones Day
Dallas, Texas



